

Questions in Coaching Conversations

—

Questions and Actions of Questioning in Danish Coach-Client Interactions

Anna Mark Diget

Master of Arts (by research) in Sociology

University of York

Department of Sociology

January 2010

Abstract

This dissertation is a conversation analytical study of coaching interactions between Danish trainee coaches and clients. The study focuses on how coach and client accomplish coaching: specifically on the questioning actions of the coach; how the roles of the coach as the questioner and the client as the answerer substantiate a non-directive coaching principle; and how the practices used enhance the client's epistemic authority.

Chapter 2 shows three question types: information elicitation questions, future-orientation questions and hypothetical questions. The different question types display different assumptions about the client's state of knowledge. The analyses show how the future-orientation questions and the hypothetical questions underline the role of the coach as helping the client unpack untapped potential. The questions evoke reflexivity, and they attribute epistemic authority to the client. Chapter 2, furthermore, identifies complex question constructions that evaluate, advise and direct the client. As such, if coaching is aiming to be non-directive, the question-answer turn-taking structure can work against a coach wanting to advise.

Chapters 3 and 4 show how direction and guidance can be done through questioning constructions. The quote-question constructions (chapter 3) and the formulations (chapter 4) show practices by which the coach leads the interaction in a specific direction. These practices exercise topic control and thereby present the most constructive point of departure in relation to the client's learning process.

The knowledge imbalance between the participants displayed when asking questions and the knowledge-ownership imbalance displayed when formulating are seen as essential in upholding the role of the coach and the client as facilitator and learner, respectively. Therefore, working to maintain these imbalances can be seen as a salient aspect of conducting coaching.

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	2
Table of Contents	3
List of Tables	5
List of Excerpts.....	5
Author's Declaration	7
1 Introduction	8
1.1 What is Coaching	9
1.2 Short Sketch of the History of Coaching	10
1.3 Principles of Coaching.....	14
1.4 Conversational Practice	16
1.5 The Collection.....	19
1.6 Account of the Data Collection	32
1.7 Analysing Interaction	37
1.8 CA of institutional Interaction	40
2 Questions in Coaching Interaction	42
2.1 Information Elicitation	42
2.2 Future-Orientation Questions	51
2.3 Hypothetical Questions	59
2.4 Giving Advice and Direction.....	63
2.5 Summary & Discussion	74
3. Quoting the Client.....	77
3.1 Quotes and Questions	78
3.2 Reported Speech in Interaction	81
3.3 Sequential Formats.....	83
3.4 The Quote in Service of the Question	96
4 Formulating the Client's Talk.....	105
4.1 Features of Formulating Actions	108
4.2 Turn format.....	113
4.3 Responses	121
4.4 Epistemic Authority.....	129
4.5 Formulations as a Vehicle for Doing Coaching	136

5 Conclusions and Perspectives	139
5.1 Summary	140
5.2 The contribution to the understanding of coaching.....	144
Appendix A: Transcript of ICC4_230507.....	1
Appendix B: Transcript of ICC5_230507.....	53
Appendix C: Transcription Symbols and Abbreviations	194
References	196

List of Tables

Table 1: Various sample information.....	33
Table 2: Formulation formats	115

List of Excerpts

Excerpt 1.1	17
Excerpt 1.2	17
Excerpt 1.3	20
Excerpt 1.4	21
Excerpt 1.5	23
Excerpt 1.6	25
Excerpt 1.7	26
Excerpt 1.8	28
Excerpt 1.9	30
Excerpt 1.10.....	34
Excerpt 2.1	43
Excerpt 2.2	43
Excerpt 2.3	43
Excerpt 2.4	44
Excerpt 2.5	47
Excerpt 2.6	51
Excerpt 2.7	52
Excerpt 2.8	54
Excerpt 2.9	56
Excerpt 2.10.....	59
Excerpt 2.11.....	60
Excerpt 2.12.....	63
Excerpt 2.13.....	65
Excerpt 2.14.....	68
Excerpt 2.15.....	70
Excerpt 3.1	78
Excerpt 3.2	79
Excerpt 3.3	84
Excerpt 3.4	85

Excerpt 3.5	87
Excerpt 3.6	88
Excerpt 3.7	90
Excerpt 3.8	91
Excerpt 3.9	92
Excerpt 3.10	94
Excerpt 3.11	96
Excerpt 4.1	105
Excerpt 4.2	108
Excerpt 4.3	110
Excerpt 4.4	113
Excerpt 4.5	117
Excerpt 4.6	118
Excerpt 4.7	123
Excerpt 4.8	124
Excerpt 4.9	127
Excerpt 4.10	128
Excerpt 4.11	130
Excerpt 4.12	132
Excerpt 4.13	133

Author's Declaration

This dissertation has been submitted to the University of York, UK, to fulfill the requirements for the Master (by research) degree in Sociology. The work presented is the author's original work and has been performed under the supervision of Professor Paul Drew. The data has been collected by the author and has not been used for other research or presented elsewhere.

1 Introduction

This dissertation reports a study of interaction between trainee coaches and clients. All coaching sessions were recorded in Denmark in 2007 during the second module of a certifying coaching education programme. The coaching sessions were conducted by different trainee coaches, all Danish. Although the coaches are trainees participating in a training programme, the coaching sessions themselves were not training simulations. They were ‘real’; that is conducted for the benefit of clients (who are fellow trainees), in the ways such sessions are normally conducted as part of the coaching service offered to clients – in much the same way as psychotherapeutic training is conducted.

Briefly put, coaching is an interaction between a coach and a client, in which the coach helps the client to set and reach new goals. This is done by the coach asking questions in a way that enables the client to figure out what she¹ will do to reach this goal.

The interactions deal with various issues typically of a work-related nature but they can also be dealing with personal issues. Work-related issues can for instance be related to various challenges in establishing private consultancies; or issues related to the client’s present work-situation, or issues related to career development and direction. Personal issues can be anything from weight-loss to improving a golf handicap. This does not mean that any coaching session is dealing strictly with either one or the other. Personal matters can also be part of interactions where the primary focus is work-related issues. In the interactions, the coach and client discuss whatever the client wants to be coached in relation to.

The primary aim of the study is to gain insight into coaching as an interactional practice and achievement. The study focuses on how coach and client accomplish coaching in the turn-by-turn development of the coaching conversations. The focus is specifically on the questioning actions of the coach. What do questions look like in this specific context, which activities do they constitute and how can they be seen as a vehicle for doing coaching? Even though the empirical and analytic chapters in the study touch only on a limited range of

¹ Coaches are consistently referred to in the male gender and clients in the female gender for the sake of clarity. In the study, coaches and clients were both male and female, although, coincidentally, there is an overweight of male coaches and female clients.

aspects of coaching, this study will hopefully be able to give coaches some insight into their own and others' practice, and as such help reflections on and the development of coaching. This study will give a presentation of what coaching is from the perspective of the turn-by-turn unfolding of coaching sessions.

1.1 What is Coaching

Coaching refers to a conversation between a coach and a client, where the coach acts as a catalyst for the client's learning process, in relation to some issue or goal set by the client. Through questioning, the coach aims to facilitate a learning process that helps the client to *formulate clear goals* — either work related or personal — to *recognise obstacles* that may prevent the client from reaching the goals, and to identify *steps towards reaching the goals*.

The goal orientation is central to coaching as it creates a positive foundation from which the coaching process sets off. Goals are in this context to be understood as any issue the client wants coaching on, and formulating such issues as goals is essential in that coaching takes its starting point in a positive description of the client wanting to achieve something. The coaching perspective views clients as competent and capable to create the changes sought. Even though, goals may very well be related to some described dissatisfaction or difficulty in the client's life, changing such issues from difficulties to goals focuses the coaching interaction on what the client wants instead of what the client does not want; on positives instead of negatives; on possibilities instead of obstacles; and on present and future scenarios instead of past disappointments.

Obstacles are typically related to the client's own limiting convictions. This is not to say that coaching is not focussed on the client's external circumstances, but this is done by focussing on how the client deals with them. The focus of coaching is always on aspects the client can act in relation to, not circumstances that are outside the client's sphere of influence. Again this focuses the interaction on the opportunities the client has instead of impossibilities.

In a coaching context, identifying steps to reach the goal means that the client has to figure out how she is going to do, what it is she has decided to do. That coaching deals with the execution of reaching the goal shows that coaching is a proactive approach to change. Coaching is not just dealing with personal issues for the sake of those issues, but to enhance performance. Coaching is dealing with *where* the client wants to go and *how* the client wants to get there, and not with *why* the client got to be where she is at present. Wanting to make a change in

relation to a certain aspect of life is therefore an essential premise of a coaching consultation.

Seen in relation to other types of consulting interactions, coaching is not about being advised by the coach; the client is to be her own problem-solver. It is the job of the coach to help the client to reach some sort of realisation of how the client can deal with the issues at hand, not based on the coach's expert knowledge on a specific issue, but based on the client's own solutions.

This is also the essence of the difference between coaching and mentoring; two types of consulting that are often mentioned together (Maclennan 1995, Megginson & Clutterbuck 2005, Connor & Pokora 2007 Garvey et al. 2008, Parsloe & Leedham 2009). Both have as their goals to enhance performance but mentoring is a relationship between the experienced and knowledgeable mentor, and the less experienced and less knowledgeable client. The relationship in mentoring is based on this difference, and the client wishes to benefit from the guidance of the more qualified mentor. In the coaching relationship, the balance is shifted. The client is considered to have what it takes to deal with the issues at hand, and the coach is not an expert, in the sense that he is not to act as an expert in relation to them.

Likewise, coaching differs from psychotherapy. The coach is not an expert on human nature or psyche, nor on mental conditions, and even more essential the coaching relation is not focussing on or treating any ailments — as mentioned earlier coaching is not focussing on problems or why they occurred. The focus is on the solutions not the difficulties. This makes coaching unsuitable for people who in anyway are ill or have mental health conditions. Coaches are, it seems, very aware of their inability to deal with such issues, and would not claim that the kind of counselling they offer is an alternative to traditional therapeutic treatments. However, the coaching approach can and has been combined with more classic therapy. Smith (2006) reports that the therapist can use coaching as a tool, and thereby, accommodate clients who do not wish to dig into their past or focus on psycho-emotional experiences. Because coaching is a positive approach focusing on the client moving forward, a therapist using the coaching approach is expanding the services offered, and also adapting the method used to the wishes and development of the client (Smith 2006:7).

1.2 Short Sketch of the History of Coaching

The word 'coach' comes from the Hungarian 'kocsi', which means 'from Kocs'. Kocs is the name of a town in Hungary, which was known for its fabrication of a specific

rather exclusive horse-drawn carriage. According to the Oxford English Dictionary (OED 1989a) 'kocsi' and its derivations evolved to denote other types of carriages and also the person steering them. In the mid-1800s, the term coach was used in England as a term for a person who was helping students prepare for exams, and later again the term coach was used about sport trainers.

The term coaching has its roots in the world of sports. O'Connor & Lages (2007) say in their sketch of the coaching history how the term coach used in the sports world was used for a trainer who was not only an expert in techniques of a specific sporting discipline, but who was also focusing on motivation and mental training. Gallwey's book "*The Inner Game of Tennis*" was a foundation in the shifting perspective from the techniques of sports to the 'inner opponent', enabling better performance in the athlete, if internal obstacles were worked on as well.

From here, coaching spread as a method for enhancing better performance not only for athletes but for anyone relating to any part of life. Through the 1980s, it took its shape as the new way of releasing the human potential, and in the 1990s, the coaching approach was used in the development of the performance of large corporations. The awareness of coaching as a new management and leadership approach grew as the corporations in the western world became increasingly aware that their ability to compete depends on the talent of their best employees, and not the inability to compete on production prices. Whitmore (2008) states that as the coaching approach was transferred to the corporate world the name coaching remained the term used, even though many people back then — and still today — associate coaching with sports-instructions.

As the coaching field grew and gradually established itself through the 1990s, different coaching-associations were formed. With the need to establish a profession came also the need to offer certifying training. In 1992, the European Mentoring and Coaching Council (EMCC) was founded, an association aiming to support, communicate and inspire developments in the mentor and coaching profession². The EMCC provides coaching educations and provides individual coaches with a quality award, as a way of securing a pan-European standard for coaching and mentoring. In 1995, the International Coaching Federation (ICF) was founded as an association for coaches around the world. The ICF offers certifying coaching education, and has around 14,000 members. In 1998, The Oxford School of Coaching and Mentoring established a qualification programme educating

² See: <http://www.emccouncil.org/>

coaches, and in 2001 the International Coaching Community (ICC) was established also offering coaching accrediting education in association with coaches around the world.

Developed from predominantly the US and to the UK, Europe and the rest of the world, coaching today seems to be a very international profession where individual coaches or coaching education programmes are associated with one or more of the international or European associations. However, as the coaching profession is finding its feet anyone can still establish himself as a coach. Just as coaching evolved from sports coaching to business coaching by the same people using the same techniques in different settings, consultancies offering coaching are using the coaching approach as just one of the tools in their toolbox, and coaching as a profession has become informed by a varieties of practices and theoretical backgrounds of the coaches.

In a Danish context one of the first coaches who introduced coaching to professional athletes is inspired both by brain research and traditional Native American spirituality (Hundevalt 2001). It seems widely acknowledged that coaching is an approach that can be included and used in connection with a variety of tasks, making the discipline of coaching inspired by practices that build on a diverse bouquet of theoretical backgrounds. This multi faceted ground of coaching makes it not only challenging to establish coaching as a well-defined profession, it also challenges the continuing development.

Describing the theoretical foundations of the coaching approach is challenging for the same reasons: coaching seems to be continually informed by many theories (Bachkirova & Kauffman 2008). O'Connor & Lages (2007) report, in their overview of the coaching history, influences from humanistic psychology, eastern philosophy, constructivism and linguistics in the form of the psychotherapy-inspired neuro-linguistic programming. Stein (2007:15) reports on influential disciplines such as developmental psychology, social-systems theory, psychotherapy, communication studies and management studies, and also what she calls a "*less academic fields of the self-help movement, holistic movement, and motivational athletic coaching*". Stein furthermore points out that many types of coaching have emerged building on the same foundational ideas, but using different approaches and focusing on different aspects of life. Coaching has moved from the world of sports to the corporate world and into the personal life.

Humanistic psychology and its founding fathers are reported as the grandfathers of coaching by O'Conner & Lages (2007:36) because of their view of

human nature. Humanistic Psychology emphasizes that human beings are capable individuals striving for personal development and growth. Leading researchers such as Rogers (see for instance Rogers 1951, Rogers 1961) founded a positive psychology with the client at the centre of the therapy, and Maslow (see for instance Maslow 1968) instigated a shift in psychology research by his interest in the healthy human being, focusing in on the human drive for personal growth and ultimately self-actualization.

Constructivism links to coaching in its view of human experience and learning as something the individual ultimately creates. Just as humanistic psychology presented a shift from behaviorist thinking, which places its understanding of human nature in a system of stimuli and response, constructivism emphasizes the human ability to choose reactions, thereby shaping one's own circumstances. Coaching likewise views learning as constructing the answer or solution. It is not searching for some external truth to give guidance about how to deal with the issues at hand. Furthermore O'Connor & Lages (2007:38-39) point out that by acting, people create a set of circumstances that will either work for or against them; and that coaching should challenge people to act beyond the default so they can create the change they want, and reach the goals they have set.

Eastern philosophy is related to coaching through its emphasis on self-awareness. Whitmore (2002:32) states that self-awareness is the ability to describe circumstances without being controlled by them. The ability to observe and describe without assessment creates an awareness, which sets a person free to see and act differently. Eastern philosophy seems particularly linked to ontological coaching (Flaherty 2005, Sieler 2005, 2009) which takes a holistic approach to coaching. The focus on behavioral change is replaced or at least linked with the need for a more fundamental personal change.

Examining more closely what seems to have inspired the development of coaching, it becomes clear that coaching has many different inspirations, and that specific coaching approaches stand on specific theories or knowledge bases. However, it also becomes less clear how specific influences have inspired specific types of coaching, and whether such developments can be said to be part of the coaching roots. However that may be, coaching is informed by many different bodies of knowledge, that share some common basic principles but each have their own history and methodology.

Establishing how common coaching is is also challenged by the many directions coaching has evolved in. The coaching associations give an idea of how coaching is evolving, and it certainly seems to be a profession in growth. According to Whitmore (2008:18), the ICF was increasing its number of members by 400 a month in 2008, and ICC have over 3000 members from South America, Asia and Africa as well as the US and Europe³. In addition to this, there are smaller and individual consultancies of coaches and coach training schemes both nationally and international, which do not feature in the membership numbers of the mentioned associations.

1.3 Principles of Coaching

As the short sketch of the history of coaching shows coaching is informed by many different disciplines and knowledge areas. For that reason defining the principles of coaching in a way that takes into account all the different approaches is an extensive task. Whitworth et al (2007) present ‘Co-active Coaching’ as not emphasising problem solving, better performance or goal achievement, but recognise that these issues are what will be affected by co-active coaching. There is a strong emphasis on the client setting the agenda, and that co-active coaching is holistic, addressing the client’s whole life, as all aspects of life, work, family etc. are intertwined and affect each other.

‘Ontological Coaching’ focuses on *being*, and how being is created. The starting point is to create change in the elements that constitute ways of being. It is not primarily goal focussed or performance oriented.

‘NLP-Coaching’ focuses on language as determining the way we think and thereby our behaviour (O’Conner & Lages 2007, MacDermott & Jago 2001). Neuro Linguistic Programming refers to the interrelatedness of the mind (thinking), our language, and how we behave. By affecting and changing one of these parameters, language, thought or behaviour, you affect the whole being and change is possible.

‘Performance Coaching’ takes a GROW-model approach (Whitmore 2002:54) emphasising *goals*; what does the client want to achieve, *reality*; what is the current situation, *options*; possible intervention, and *will*; planning who, what and when the goal is to be reached.

Following from the diversity of these theoretical approaches, the principles that govern coaching may not be equally defining of all coaching approaches. In this

³ See <http://www.internationalcoachingcommunity.com>

section, I have nevertheless attempted to outline some of the common salient features of coaching by looking at three definitions from the coaching literature.

One of the classical definitions of coaching is that offered by Gallwey:

“Coaching is unlocking a person’s potential to maximize their own performance. It is helping them to learn rather than teaching them.”
(Whitmore 2002:8)

Along the same lines Connor & Pokora define coaching as a:

“learning relationship, which help people to take charge of their own development, to release their potential and achieve results which they value”
(Connor & Pokora 2007:11)

And MacLennan writes:

“Coaching is the process whereby one individual helps another: to unlock their natural abilities, to perform, learn and achieve, to increase awareness of the factors which determine performance...”(MacLennan 1995:4)

All these definitions have three aspects in common: the focus on performance, (better/changed actions) the understanding of human nature (ability and potential) and the role of the coach (helper).

Performance is about action. Essential in the coaching relationship is a client aiming for a change; a client who wants to accomplish something not yet accomplished, or who wants to do something differently. Performance is not aiming at doing more, but doing something differently and better and changing behaviour. Better performance can be seen to be the result of coaching but also as its starting point, in the sense that the client must want or seek a change. Performance is about changing the actions of the client so that a new behaviour enabling change occurs.

At the core of any coaching relation is fundamental understanding of the client as being competent and resourceful. The starting point is that the client possesses all the potentials – skills and knowledge – needed to accomplish whatever she wants. The possibility of change is dependent only on the client’s willingness to pursue it. This makes coaching a client-centred approach, regarding

the client as the ultimate authority in relation to her own development. A coaching session therefore also places responsibility for the content of the coaching session on the client. It is the client who guides what is being talked about, where the coach guides how it is being talked about. Put differently, the responsibility for the content is the client's and the responsibility for the process is the coach's.

The coach is regarded as a 'helper' and someone who unlocks the client's innate potential, as described in the definitions above. By being responsible for the coaching process, the coach becomes a facilitator of a learning and awareness process. The coach does not have any answers or solutions in relation to the issues the client presents, but the coach does have expertise in relation to the process of change and learning. The coach is therefore both directing and guiding in terms of the coaching process but non-directing and withdrawn in relation to the client's development. These are the challenges of the coaching role: challenging and questioning the client, and at the same time not pushing the client according to one's own agenda.

1.4 Conversational Practice

Setting aside team coaching, the coaching approach is a conversation between a coach and a client, where the coach questions the client. This personal conversation is at the heart of coaching to such a degree that some define coaching as a communication type (Gjerde 2006). Coaching as a conversational practice has been described as having roots as far back as Socrates who through his maieutic philosophy — also called *Socratic Questioning* or *The Socratic Method* (Whitmore 2002, Maclennan 1995) — used 'asking questions' as a method for generating learning. Therefore, guidelines about how to do coaching are among other things focussed on the use of questions (Flaherty 2005, Whitmore 2002, Cook 1998, Maclennan 1995). Instructions about how to ask questions, which question formats to use, and which not to use are common:

“An effective question is neutral. Don't confuse “neutral” with “neutered.” A good question may be controversial. Interesting ones are. But it doesn't imply the “right” answer through biased wording.” (Cook 1998: 60-61)

The approach by which coaching is conducted is obviously informed by the founding principles and by the specific tradition from which a given approach to coaching arose, but questioning is the most salient feature when describing coaching as a

[completely] Iclarified about th[is here
completely sure about this

4 com: [((client slams hand in table))

5 Coa: ['a
['es

6 Cli: Sådan at jeg måske også
So that I maybe also
So that I might also

7 får det prioriteret lidt bedre.=
get it prioritised little better.=
get it prioritised a little better.=

8 Coa: =Ja
=Yes

9 Cli: Mhm:

10 Coa: Hvad skulle du- Hvad skulle der til
What should you- What should there to
What should you- What does it take

11 for at du kunne blive
for that you could become
in order for you to be

12 ø:h (0.7) blive afklaret,
u:h (0.7) become clarified,
u:h (0.7) to be sure,

13 (2.2)

14 Cli: Årh:: Det er jo et rigtig godt spørgsmål
Arh:: That is ADV a really good question
Arh:: That is you know a really good question

15 Cli: fordi hvis jeg vidste det
because if I knew that

16 så [blev jeg jo afklaret. .hh ø:::hm::: (0.7)
then [became I ADV clarified..hh u:::hm::: (0.7)
then I would be sure you know. .hh u:::hm::: (0.7)

17 com: [((snap)) ((The client snaps her fingers.))

18 Cli: tsk (0.4) Jamen hvad skulle der til.
tsk (0.4) Yes_but what should there to.
tsk (0.4) Well what does it take.

19 Der er jo selvfølgelig haha
There is ADV of_course haha
There is you know of course haha

20 Der er selvfølgelig ø:::hm (0.4)...
There is of_course u:::hm (0.4)...
There is of course u:::hm (0.4)...

In excerpts 1.1 and 1.2, both clients are in the process of starting their own consultancy. In excerpt 1.1, the client is about to approach potential customers,

and she wants to call them to follow up on her initial contact. Prior to this, the client has been telling what she anticipates could be the need of one of her potential customers (lines 1-3). In lines 7-8, the coach asks the client how she can identify the needs of the customer — a question sets up an ‘assignment’ for the client; an assignment, which relates to the client’s description of what she presumes is relevant for her potential customer. With this question, the coach picks up from her previous talk something the client is unsure about and brings it out, not by addressing the uncertainty, but by asking the client how she can gain the knowledge.

In excerpt 1.2, the client is at a standstill with regards to her own consultancy, and she is having doubts whether it is actually something she wants to pursue. The client wants to be certain that starting her own consultancy is something she actually wants (lines 1-7). Again the coach sets an assignment in his question in line 10-12 targeting the lack of clarity, by asking how the client can gain it.

These questions are examples of ‘coaching-questions’. They present the clients with assignments, assignments that challenge the clients’ previous talk. The questions invite reflexivity by asking the clients to consider solutions in relation to the issues discussed. Of course not all questions look like these examples: every question is related to and formed by the activity going on at that specific time of the interaction, but when talking about salient questions in a coaching context, these questions represent well how questioning is to be understood in a coaching context. Questioning work in coaching is not about seeking factual information but about inviting reflexivity by challenging the clients.

1.5 The Collection

The coaching sessions recorded for this study exhibited a pattern of stages. These stages consist of five steps or activities that coach and client accomplish collaboratively: goal-setting, identifying underlying values, identifying obstacles, planning, and ensuring that actions are taken.

The goal-setting activity involves the coach and client establishing what the focus of the coaching session is to be. This part of the conversation can be shorter or longer depending on how clear the client is about what it is he wants to achieve. Excerpts 1.3 and 1.4 show examples of how the goal setting activity can be accomplished.

22 (2.0) ((Coach writes))

23 Coa: Hvis jeg skriver
If I write

24 [aftale møde med Jimmy Johnsen,
[arrange meeting with Jimmy Johnsen,

25 com: [((Client turning head looking a notes))

26 Cli: Ja.
Yes.

27 Coa: Er det så rigtigt?
Is that then correct?

28 Cli: Ja.
Yes.

Excerpt 1.4 ICCZ_240507

1 Cli: Så du står simplethen mellem
So you stand simply between
So you simply have

2 at at skal finde ud af
to to must figure out of
to figure out

3 hvad er det egentlig jeg vil (0.3)
4 what is it really I want (0.3)

5 når det kommer til stykket.=
when it comes to piece_the.= ((idiom))
when it comes down to it.=

6 Cli: =[.mt]

7 Coa: =[Hvad] er det egentlig jeg vil,=
=[What] is it really I want,=

8 =Vil jeg have (.) et fast job?
=Will I have (.) a regular job?
=Do I want (.) a regular job?

9 eller vil jeg have mit (.) have mit eget firma.
or will I have my (.) have my own company.
or do I want my (.) want my own company.

10 [(Hvad er det)]
[(What is it)]

11 Cli: [hhhhh Ja og så] Og så tshhh vel vidende
[hhhhh Yes and then] And then tshhh well knowing

12 at [d(h)er] er jo ikke
that [th(h)ere] is ADV not
that [th(h)ere] is not you know

13 Coa: [ja]
[yes]

- 14 Cli: frit valg [på] alle hylder
free choice [on] all shelves ((idiom))
a possibility to just pick and choose
- 15 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 16 Cli: fordi jeg kan jo [godt] se på
because I can ADV [well] look at
because I can you know very well look at
- 17 et fast job >som jeg sy/i<
a regular job >which I th/i<
a regular job >which I th/i<
- 18 Jamen det er da det jeg vil have
Yes_but that is PRT what I will have
Well that is sure what I want
- 19 men ø:h [men] det er da ikke sikkert
but u:h [but] it is PRT not certain
but u:h [but] it is surely not certain
- 20 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 21 Cli: de vil have mig.
they will have me.
they want me.
- 22 Cli: [Der] kan være mange andre der ka:n [
[There] can be many others there ca:n [
- 23 Coa: [Nej]
[No]
- 24 com: [((client
25 slams pen on her notebook on the table))
- 26 Cli: [Utroligt men der kan være nogen
[Incredible but there can be someone
- 27 Coa: [.hja ja
[.hja ja
- 28 Cli: de [vælger [f(h)r(h)emfor mig=
they [choose [r(h)a(h)ther_than me=
they [choose [r(h)a(h)ther than me=
- 29 Coa: [.hja
[.hja
- 30 com: [((Client lifts her cup to her mouth))
- 31 Coa: =Ja
=Yes
- 32 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 33 Coa: .hh Så æ::::h (.) Så hvis du skulle
.hh So e::::h (.) So if you should

Yes

3 (1.0)

4 Coa: (Prøv lige at fornemme)
(Try just to sense)
Just try to sense

5 første juni (1.1) totusindogotte
first June (1.1) twothousand_and_eight
the first of June (1.1) twothousand and eight

6 (1.8)

7 Cli: <Det er simplethen skønt>.
<That is simply marvelous>.

8 (1.6)

9 Coa: Ja
Yes

10 Cli: Det er simplethen skønt
That is simply marvelous

11 Coa: (Og hvordan er [det]. Hvor hvor er du] henne?
(And how is [it]. Where where are you] at?)

12 Cli: [Der vil jeg gerne]
[There will I gladly]
[There I would like to]

13 (1.1)

14 Cli: Jamen hhhhh ((shakes her head))
Yes_but hhhhh
Well hhhhh

15 (0.8)

16 Cli: Jeg er på arbejde. Jeg er i weekend
I am at work. I am in weekend

17 Jeg er simplethen .hhh Jeg er simplethen
I am simply .hhh I am simply
I am simply .hhh I am simply

18 over det hele fordi jeg har bare så'n energi
over it all because I have just such energy
all over because I just have such energy

19 at j- så jeg kan være over det hele.
that I- so I can be over it all.
that I- so I can be everywhere.

In line 4, the coach asks the client 'to sense' the day they have set as deadline for her reaching her goal. The client is asked to project herself into the future where she has reached her goal, and she responds by describing how it feels. The identification of values is here made possible by the coach's request, which sets up

a hypothetical reality where the client, if accepting the request, can describe a future experience. In this way, the client is asked to identify values by describing an experience instead of being asked to describe values.

The third activity is identifying obstacles. Obstacles are understood as convictions held by the client that might be constraining her. Such convictions can be related to external factors, that is, things the client needs to do but finds it hard to see how can be done. They can also be related to personal ideas about the client's own abilities.

Identifying obstacles

Excerpt 1.6 ICCZ_240507

- 1 Cli: Jeg har tidligere troet
I have earlier thought
- 2 at øh jeg havde resourcer til (.) øh begge dele
that uh I had resources to (.) uh both parts
that uh I had resources to (.) uh do both things
- 3 men ø:h det har jeg ikke haft nej.
but u:h that have I not had no.
- 4 Coa: °Nej°
- 5 (1.4)
- 6 Cli: °Det er også noget arbejde
°There is also some work
°There is also work
- 7 og noget andet (og noget)°
and something else (and some)°
and other things (and some)°
- 8 °[der] skal passes. Ja.°
°[which] must lookedPASS after. Yes.°
°[which] must be attended to. Yes.°
- 9 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 10 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 11 (2.1)
- 12 Coa: Hvad kunne rykke din ø:h (.)
What could move your u:h (.)
What could push your u:h (.)
- 13 Hvad kunne rykke din ø:h din tidsplan,
What could move your u:h your time schedule,
What could push your u:h your time schedule,
- 14 (0.6)

15 Coa: (Øh) Hva- Hvilke forhindringer kan du::: (1.0)
 (Uh) Wha- Which obstacles can you:: (1.0)

16 kan du komme på der kunne gøre at (0.5)
 can you think about which could do that (0.5)

17 Cli: .mt .hh Jeg har n- lige nævnt
 .mt .hh I have n- just mentioned

18 den ene omkring det der med
 the one concerning that there with
 the one concerning that

19 hvis æh dem jeg skal have til
 if eh those I must have to
 if eh those I need help from

20 at hjælpe [med at] lave
 toINF help with toINF make
 in making

21 Cli: [ja]
 [yes]

22 hjemmesiden [hold]er ferie [og så] videre.
 homepage_the [take]s holiday [and so] forth.
 the homepage are on holiday and so forth.

23 Coa: [Ja] [.hja]
 [Yes] [.hyes]

24 Cli: .hh Øh det er en af dem.
 .hh Eh it is one of them.

Excerpt 1.6 shows an example of how the coach manages identifying obstacles. In lines 12-13, the coach asks the client to identify anything that can change the client's schedule in relation to launching her own private consultancy, and subsequently in lines 15-16, the coach asks which obstacles the client anticipates. This question is related to the client's previous talk where she tells how she has realised that she did not have the time and resources to do as she initially had planned. The client responds by listing possible obstacles in relation to her time schedule.

In the fourth stage, planning the client's future course of action, the client is encouraged to identify the steps that are necessary to reach her goal. This is often initiated by a question about what the client wants to do, and perhaps also when and how she is planning to do it.

Planning

Excerpt 1.7 ICCZ_240507

1 Cli: Ø:::h der hvor jeg kommer til
 U:::h there where I come to
 U:::h there where I will come to believe in it

2 at tro på det det er jo
 toINF believe in it that is ADV
 that is you know

3 når jeg har fået nogle kunder.
 when I have gotten some customers.
 when I have gotten some customers.

4 Coa: Det er når du har fået nogle kunder.
 That is when you have gotten some customers.

5 Cli: Ja
 Yes

6 Coa: .hja
 .hyes

7 (2.5)

8 Cli: Så kunne jeg selvfølgelig vælge
 Then could I of course choose
 Then I could of course choose

9 at:: ansætte en sælger til
 to:: hire a salesman to
 to:: hire a salesman

10 at hjælpe mig med det >og så videre<
 toINF help me with that >and so on<
 to help me with that >and so on<

11 men det er ikke ø::h .hh Det er ikke sådan
 but that is not u::h .hh That is not so
 but that is not u::h .hh That is not how

12 at det skal starte op.
 that is must start up.
 that is should begin.

13 Det skal startes op ø::h med mine resourcer
 It must start_PASS up u::h with my resources
 It must be begun u::h with my resources

14 og uden (.) voldsomt
 and without (.) extremely
 and without (.) extremely

15 mange °etableringsomkostninger°
 many °startup_expenses°
 many °startup_expenses°

16 °[og så] tager jeg den derfra°
 °[and then] take I it there_from°
 °[and then] I will take it from there °

17 Coa: [m:::]

18 Coa: .hja=
 .hyes=

19 Cli: =.hja
 =.hyes

20 Coa: Så hvad er det første du kan gøre?
 So what is the first you can do?
 So what is the first thing you can do?

21 (1.5)

22 Cli: Jamen det første æh jeg har gjort
 Yes but the first eh I have done
 Well the first eh I have done

23 er [at] jeg har registreret det
 is [that] I have registered
 is [that] I have registered it

24 jeg har navngivet det...
 it I have name_given it...
 I have named it...

Excerpt 1.7 shows an example of how the coach initiates a planning phase by asking the client what she can do first (line 20). The client's goal is to regain faith in her consultancy and as part of her description of what she needs to do gain faith in her company, (lines 1-16), she rejects one possible step she could take in order to get customers, lines 8-16. By picking up on the client's description on what not to do, the coach initiates planning, not only by asking what the client can do, but by asking what she can do first. The question clearly projects the initiation of a multiple-step planning where subsequent steps are to follow.

Another way of initiating planning is by getting the client to associate the goal with past experiences, and in that way identify the means through which the client has previously reached her goal.

Excerpt 1.8 ICC5_230507 (Appendix B, line 1452–1481)

1 Coa: 1→ Hvad var det du gjorde der?
 What was it you did there?
 What was it you did back then?

2 (0.6)

3 com: ((coach change position in his chair))

4 Coa: 1→ For at få den der følelse.
 To toINF get that there feeling.
 To get that feeling.

5 Coa: 1→ Du stod jo i en situation hvor du skulle til
 You stood ADV in a situation where you had to
 You stood in a situation you know where you had to

6 1→ at opfylde et eller andet mål

- 26 Coa: 2→ =kan den bruges i denne her sammenhæng?
=can it used in this here connection?
=can it be used in this connection?
- 27 Cli: Ja det kan den faktisk godt.
Yes that can it actually well.
Yes actually it can.
- 28 Coa: Planlægning i detaljer.
Planning in details.
- 29 Cli: Planlægning i detaljer og så ø:::h (0.7) have
Planning in details and then u:::h (0.7) having
- 30 Cli: en plan for hvad jeg vil gøre når det går galt.
a plan for what I will do when it goes wrong.

In this approach to planning the coach has asked the client to talk about a previous experience that generated the same values that the client identified in relation to the current goal. In lines 1-7, the coach asks the client to identify the means she used to reach a previous goal, then in lines 23 and 26, the coach asks the client whether she can transfer what she might have learned from this experience in relation to her current circumstances and goal. The planning activity is here initiated in three steps: the identification of a previous success, identifying the means used to make that happen, and the transferring these means to the current situation.

Ensuring that action is taken is the last activity of the steps in the coaching structure and relates to the client's accountability for carrying out any plan of action that emerges from the session. This is not to be understood as the client being accountable to the coach but more as the client being accountable to herself and her own plan. Seeking a commitment from the client is a way of ensuring that she will indeed carry out the plan of action that emerges.

Ensuring that action is taken

Excerpt 1.9 ICC4_230507 (Appendix A, lines 1026–1040)

- 1 Coa: MED DEN opgave [til] løsning
WITH THAT assignment [to] solving
WITH THAT assignment to be solved
- 2 Cli: [m:]
- 3 Cli: m::
- 4 (1.0)
- 5 com: ((during silence client nods))
- 6 Coa: der synes jeg at vi nåede dertil (0.5)

there think I that we reached there_to (0.5)
I think that we reached the point (0.5)

7 hvor vi skulle i dag,
where we should in day,
we should reach today,

8 Cli: m:m?

9 (0.2) ((client nods))

10 Coa: .hh Hvordan æ::h (0.8) hvordan får jeg besked om
.hh How u::h (0.8) how get I told about
.hh How will u::h (0.8) how will I know

11 Coa: at du har haft °ringet.°
that you have had called.
that you have called.

12 (0.2)

13 Cli: .hh Jamen der vil jeg De:r ringer jeg til dig.
.hh Yes_but there will I There call I to you.
.hh Yes well I will will I will call you

14 Coa: Du ↑ringer til mig,
You ↑call to me,
You will ↑call me

15 Cli: Ja
Yes

In excerpt 1.9, the coach seeks to ensure that action is taken by asking (lines 10-11) how he can know (i.e. be sure) that the client will do, what she has said (earlier in the session) she will do. To which the client responds by assuring him that she will call him to confirm that she has actually carried out the agreed plan of action. The client thereby makes herself accountable to the coach.

All excerpts above present ways in which the coaching activities of goal-setting, identifying underlying values, identifying obstacles, planning, and ensuring that actions are taken, can be done.

This model of the five stages/activities that are to be found in these coaching sessions is of course something of an ideal, in the same way that Byrne and Long's (1976) model of the six stages that comprise the 'overall organisation' of the consultation in primary care acute medical visits is an ideal (See Robinson 2003). Not all sessions follow precisely the same steps in the same order, and not all sessions contain all these steps or stages or activities. Depending on the issues raised by the client the individual steps may be shorter or longer. Some goal might be difficult to formulate, maybe the planning will reveal that the goal will have to be divided into subsidiary goals to be dealt with within the timeframe of the session, and sometimes the coach and client will not make it through all steps. But

something like this ‘overall organisation’ of the sequential pattern of steps/activities can be discerned across the coaching sessions recorded for this study.

1.6 Account of the Data Collection

All data were collected by video recording during the second module of an ICC coach certifying education in Denmark 2007. All participants gave their consent to be video recorded. The consent was given on the basis of a signed confidentiality statement explaining how the data is going to be used and kept.

The module ran over 3 days, during two of which I recorded training sessions. The total number of recordings consisted both of teachings, participants’ discussions, training exercises and one-to-one coaching sessions. In all I recorded approximately 18 hours, of which 10 hours was one-to-one coaching sessions. Out of these coaching sessions 6 have been used as the basis for this study. In table 1 below information is shown summarising various aspect of the data sample.

The coaching sessions have been grouped into two types of sessions depending on the relationship between the participants involved. Over the course of the programme, which consists of three modules over the course of approximately three months, the participants coach one another. They are in that sense regular coaching-partners and build an ongoing coach-client relationship during that period. Three of the six sessions, which constitute the data for this study, involve coaches and clients who are in a continuous coaching-relationship. They have met before and will continue this relationship during the third module of the programme.

The other three sessions are labelled one-off sessions. Here the participants are not in a continuous coaching relationship but meet for just this one coaching session. One or more observers may be present either just observing or both observing and giving feedback after the session.

The sessions are between 20-50 minutes long. These time limits are defined by the length of the coaches’ final certifying examination, which is 20 minutes. However, in the session of the continuing coaching relationship the participants seem to be more autonomous in defining their own frames. However, all sessions are confined by other activities such as for instance teaching sessions and have therefore the times frames that are not necessarily related to the matters discussed in the coaching session.

Coaching sessions	Duration	Session-types	Participants Coaches / Clients	Total number of male/female coaches
ICC2_250507	18 minutes	One-off session	Coach: Caroline Client: Maren 3 Observers	Female Female
ICC3_2405071	20 minutes	One-off session	Coach: Allan Client: Hanne- Sofie 4 Observers	Male Female
ICC3_2405072	20 minutes	One-off session	Coach: Janne Client: Jesper 4 Observers	Female Male
ICC4_230507	21 minutes	Continuing relationship	Coach: Jesper Client: Caroline 1 Observer	Male Female
ICC5_230507	50 minutes	Continuing relationship	Coach: Jes Client: Hanne- Sofie	Male Female
IC CZ_240507	35 minutes	Continuing relationship	Coach: Leif Client: Sanne 1 Observer	Male Female
Total 6 sessions	Total 164 minutes		Total 9 participants	Total Coaches: 4 male 2 female Clients: 1 male 4 female

Table 1: Various sample information

For each of the one-on-one coaching sessions the individual participants were approached to secure permission to record their specific sessions. There were several parallel sessions running, and the two available cameras were placed randomly, recording those who agreed first. The camera was left in the room with coach and client.

The video-recorder 'controlled' the situation to the extent that the participants were generally very accommodating in placing themselves in relation

to the camera. Sometimes they would ask where to sit in relation to the camera, and sometimes rearranging chairs to accommodate the recording angle. How much the camera otherwise affected the situation is taken to be an empirical question. In one session the coach and client discuss the presence of the camera after the session.

Excerpt 1.10 ICC5_230507 (Appendix B 2743–2761)

- 1 Coa: Hvordan var det? ((smiling))
How was that?
- 2 (0.8)
- 3 Cli: Det var vildt:
It was crazy:
- 4 (1.2) ((Coach silently laughing))
- 5 Cli: Det var vildt:
It was crazy:
- 6 (2.1)
- 7 Cli: Fuldstændig.
Completely.
- 8 (0.8)
- 9 Cli: Jeg kan ikke [(.) holde ud
I can not [(.) stand out
I cannot (.) stand
- 10 com: [(client points towards camera)]
- 11 Cli: at den er på haha.hhhhh=Videoen, men sådan
that it is on haha.hhhhh=Camera_the but ADJ
that it is on haha.hhhhh=The camera but that is
- 12 Cli: er det jo. .hh[h ((sniff))]
is it ADV .hh[h ((sniff))]
how it is you know .hhh ((sniff))
- 13 Coa: [Nå ja]
[Oh yes]
[Ah well]
- 14 Coa: Havde du tænkt på den var der?
Had you thought about it was there?
Had you thought about it being there?
- 15 Cli: Æ::h lige i starten og så glemte jeg det
E::h just in beginning_the and then forgot I it
E::h just in the beginning and then I forgot it
- 16 igen.=Og så kom jeg i tanke om det nu,
again.= And then came I in though about it now,
again.= And I remembered it now,

- 17 Coa: Ja=
 Yes=
- 18 Cli: =hvor du spørger for nu kommer jeg ligesom
 =where you ask for now come I kind_of
 =that you ask cause now I kind of
- 19 ud af den igen.
 out of it again.
 snap out of it again.
- 20 Coa: [Ja]
 [Yes]
- 21 Cli: [El]lers så har jeg ikke nej.
 [Ot]herwise then have I not no.
 [Ot]herwise I have not no.

If the participants make relevant the camera's presence, which they sometimes do by commenting on it or gesturing towards it, as in the excerpt above, it enters into the interaction as an 'artefact' to which participants orient, and as such is salient to the interaction. The salience is visible both for the participants and analyst to see and therefore any effect the camera might have on the interaction can be considered as an analytical issue. On the other hand, if the participants do not make it relevant for themselves in the interaction, it is not relevant for the analyst either. The issue of a possible camera-effect is as such left for the participants to show.

Of course what the participants do not do because of the camera is impossible to say, and as such the presence of the camera may affect the interaction in a way that the interaction does not show. In relation to this issue, the analytic approach taken here avoids the problem in two ways: firstly by dealing with the in situ conduct that is recorded, and not with what is not there or might have been. The method does not concern itself with personal hidden motives in the individual, but with what visibly goes on between the participants, with their visible conduct, and how they build their interaction. Secondly, the analysis is orientated to a detail of interaction that is presumably not within the individuals' personal control: this relates to how they build and take their turns at talking, and how they relate their talk as a response to the other participant's talk. These issues will become clearer as the methodology is outlined.

A further note in relation to video data is the nature of the data recording generates. Non-audible aspects such as gestures and gaze are accessible and can be studied as resources the participants makes use of along with the talk. There is more to gain from the visual aspects of the data than is exploited in this study. Not

focussing more on these recourses is not a result of overlooking their importance; instead the focus and the scope of this study simply has not made it possible to explore such issues with any thoroughness or at all systematically.

Due to the data being recorded during a coaching training programme, issues of the genuineness of the data have been considered. All interactions are real in the sense that they occurred not for the sake of this study but as part of the participants' training. The interactions were accomplished with the focus of doing coaching and occurred for their own sake.

The sessions are not role-playing because they are not set up to be. The participants were not asked to play the roles of coaches and clients, no script was laid out for the participants to follow, and the clients raise real concerns, formulate authentic goals that relate to their professional or personal life⁴. There is of course no guarantee that the client is authentic and honest - which would be an issue in relation to the coaching-relationship, in any case, and in relation to the analyses an empirical question - but the starting point for all sessions is that the client wants to receive coaching in relation to the issues presented. The sessions are opportunity for the coaches to develop their coaching abilities, but they are not set up as exercises. They are learning-by-doing realities, not enactments of thought-up realities.

A second issue concerning genuineness is whether the interactions can be called coaching when performed by trainee coaches. In relation to this, the underlying premise is that the interactions are what the participants name them to be. The interactions are coaching because the participants enter into a coach-client relationship, and see themselves as conducting the roles of client and coach. As this study and its methodology are concerned with describing how the participants do what they do, the analysis does not attempt to assess whether what is done can be called coaching or not. Whether or not interactions meet the criteria and standards the coaching profession determines for such interactions to be deemed coaching is a quality issue for professional coaches to discuss. Similarly, the issue is not taken to affect the validity of the analysis either, as the findings are valid for the data presented. It is nowhere claimed that the findings here are true for all kinds of coaching; nevertheless the findings have relevance to these

⁴ Based on knowledge of the client's life, both professional and personal, obtained during the recording days, it was possible to assess the genuineness of the issues discussed in all the sessions that are part of the final sample.

coaches, who are performing a type of coaching that is foundational for all future coaches in Denmark trained within the ICC framework.

1.7 Analysing Interaction

The methodology used in his study is Conversation Analysis (hereafter CA). CA focuses on how participants manage their interaction through the use of language and language structures, and what those structures reveal about the practices that constitute our basic interactional competences. At the core of CA are actions and how actions are being done in order to achieve participants' interactional goals. Heritage & Atkinson define the goal of CA as:

“...the description and explication of the competences that ordinary speakers use and rely on in participating in intelligible, socially organized interaction. At its most basic this objective is one of describing the procedures by which conversationalists produce their own behaviour and understand and deal with the behaviour of others.” (Heritage & Atkinson 1984:1)

Harvey Sacks developed CA in the 1960s and 1970s, not with the purpose of studying language and interaction as such, but because he wanted to explore social action, and to develop an approach to the systematic study of social action. Sacks investigated records of social actions; recordings of people interacting in face-to-face and telephone conversations. During his research, it became clear that fundamentally all of society's institutions are acted out in talk, and that in these 'interactions of talk' the participants display their understanding of them in how they choose to conduct them. The focus of a conversation analytic analysis is therefore how any social interaction, whether it is a telephone call between friends, a medical appointment, or a coaching session is talked into being. The fundamental understanding, that people engaged in any form of communication are not just talking, but performing specific actions that show their understanding of the interaction they are engaged in, makes the study of the ways such talk is conducted – its patterns and structures – a way to see how a specific form of social life is conducted.

The backdrop of CA was Garfinkel's ethnomethodology, a sociological approach focusing on the procedures or methods by which people make sense of and act in the world (Heritage 1984). Behind the ability to interact in a meaningful

coherent way lies a body of unconscious expertise shared by members of a society. Such expertise includes both knowledge of language, how to construct meaningful sentences; knowledge of conversational patterns, such as when to speak; and knowledge of conversational constraints; such as what to speak. These shared abilities behind speaking coherently, at the appropriate moment, in an appropriate way are what CA studies; and a basic assumption is that these procedures are present in interaction because participants in conversation display them to each other when making sense of one another's conduct in interaction.

The focus on people's practices makes the study of 'the real world' and not hypothetical versions of it significant, and as Sacks' research was focused on developing sociology as a "*naturalistic observational science*" (Hutchby & Wooffitt 1998:24) recordings of naturally occurring interactions was and is essential in the CA approach. Recordings capture the real-life interaction through the enactment of the participants and not through the observational abilities of the analyst.

The concepts of 'turn taking' and turn-by-turn progression, and 'sequence formation' are central to CA's methodology. Sacks, Schegloff & Jefferson's (1974) fundamental article on the turn-taking structures and rules of interaction show how the ordering of interactions in turns at talk are upheld by the participants talking one at a time, one after the other. Such turns at talk can be built differently and may consist of anything from a single word, or maybe even just a sound such as 'hm' to one or more sentence. The way in which a turn is designed, the combination of words, clauses and sentences and how these are added together reflects what that turn at talk is to do; and in the turn-taking system the participants orient to such designs to find out, who is to speak next, when to speak next and how to speak. The analysis and understanding of turn and turn-design provide insights into how participants construct turns to do and mean what the participants aim to do. The turn design shows how participants conduct themselves in manner appropriate for the 'projects' they want to pursue.

Turn-design is related to the understanding of talk as action. In a specific turn-design lies the formation of an action. Each turn does not only offer the speaker a choice of which action to perform in that turn but also the choice of how to perform it. These choices reflect the participants' own understanding of the activity they are engaged in. As Sacks et al. note:

"It is a systematic consequence of the turn taking organisation of conversation that it obliges its participants to display to each other, in a

turns talk, their understanding of the other turn's talk.” (Sacks et al. 1974:728)

Sequence formation is the turn-by-turn, or action-by-action progression of the interaction. Whereas turn and turn-design relate to the individual participant's building of an action, sequence formation is the relationship between two consecutive turns. The sequences show that the actions performed by turns are situated activities. This means that a turn is not only designed to perform some action, but an action, which addresses the previous talk. In this way, turns form coherent sequences of talk, where one turn is shaped by the preceding context, and in itself creates a context for the following talk (Heritage 1984:242 Heritage & Maynard 2006: 10-11).

The relationship between turns at talk is captured by the terms *adjacency* and *conditional relevance* (See for example Sacks, 1992:521–541, Sacks & Schegloff 1973). The adjacency relationship of turns refers to fact that the implications of talk being done in sequences is that the action of the talk in first position makes possible a range of actions to come in the second position. As such, the first action creates some more or less specific constraint on the next turn. The adjacency is particularly strong in sequences of talk where the first action creates a constraint for a quite specific set of responses. Such *adjacency pairs* are for instance question-answer sequences, where the question, done as a first action makes conditionally relevant an answer as its response. Greeting-greeting, request-granting/rejection, invitation-acceptance/rejection are other types of adjacency pairs (for more on adjacency pairs, see e.g. Drew 2004).

Because of the insights CA research has provided and will provide into this intersection of turns and sequences – that the way a turn at talk is done affects the sequence of talk – the CA approach is appropriate when looking at interactions such as coaching. CA is not only an approach that can describe how coaching is brought about as a specific type of interaction, but how its specificity is accomplished at the level where all interactions are accomplished. CA is used in this study because coaching is a specific type of interaction, and a method, which has as its focus how participants bring about the specificity of such interaction, enables an understanding of how coaching is managed interactionally and what practices are used to achieve it. That is, the aim is to discover the practices coaches use to coach - for instance the practices for asking questions or the practice of reformulating the client's previous talk. CA enables the study of how an aspect of

social life - in this case coaching - is managed through the actions and activities it is made up of.

1.8 CA of institutional Interaction

Coaching has along with other types of institutional interaction a turn-taking organisation that is relatively restricted compared with ordinary everyday interaction (Arminen 2005:44, Drew & Heritage 1992:29). Such restrictions are a result of the functions of the specific institution, and the principles or theories that govern a specific institutional setting. Because coaching interaction utilises the same sense-making devices as any interaction it is possible to see how the institutional context is an achievement, and how specific procedures relate to a given institutional context.

The data shows that coaching interaction is built primarily of question-answer sequences, where the coach asks questions and the client responds. This is one way in which coaching can be seen as having a restricted or specific turn-taking system, that effects the participants' contributions. Questioning is allocated to the coach and the answering to the client, and so the participants in coaching refrain from doing actions that they could and would do in everyday interaction, and in this way they form the particular interaction they are engaged in. (For a general account of turn taking systems in institutional interactions, see Arminen 2005, Heritage 1997, Heritage & Drew 1992.)

When the turn-taking operation in coaching is described as being primarily question-answer sequences, these are of course not to be understood as disconnected sequences. The actions and the activities, which they constitute, are salient in describing how coaching is done. Many other types of institutional interaction are realised through similar sequential organisation, but question-answer sequences in courtrooms, in news interviews, in doctor patient consultations do different work in relation to participants' primary tasks or roles. In doctor-patient consultations, the questions reflect the business of getting information so that a diagnosis can be reached (Heritage & Maynard 2006). In courtrooms, a line of questioning can set up to accuse or defend a witness (Atkinson & Drew 1979), and in news interviews adversarial questioning can establish the interviewer in the role of opposition to the interviewee (Clayman and Heritage 2002). Thus the specific practices of questioning used by coaches in coaching interactions, are part of what makes these interactions distinct and unique,

The turn-taking operation in coaching is achieved to solve the task coaching is to solve: In coaching questioning is associated with continually giving the client assignments to solve. The turn and action design involved in coaching are means to create learning, promote reflexivity and prompt action and responsibility in the client. The description of these gives insight into how coaches accomplish coaching and how they conduct the interaction so clients are guided towards finding out for themselves – and in this way learning – what they need to do in pursuing their goals.

2 Questions in Coaching Interaction

Coaching conversations consist primarily of question-answer sequences: the coach asking questions, the client answering. The distribution of contributions reflects the role of the coach as a facilitator, helping the client to un-pack the resources needed to reach the goal set and solve the tasks at hand. This makes the overall turn taking of the coaching sessions uniform and asymmetric: Whichever actions the coach performs, whether it is giving advice, directions or evaluations, they tend to be done through question-answer sequences. This chapter describes some of the question-types used, and how they can be seen as setting up a central task of coaching.

In the following, different types of questions are presented. The questions are designed to accomplish the specific interactional task they set out to solve and are shaped by the interactional context in which they occur. The first question-type seeks specific information, and typically occasions short specific answers. The coach for instance asks the client about names and places, which are relevant for the following talk. This question-type can be part of a series of questions leading on to a key point, often in terms of another question.

The second and third question-types are ‘future-orientation questions’ and ‘hypothetical questions’. These questions are oriented toward future events or hypothetical scenarios, and in these questions, the coach sets up tasks by asking the client how she will solve a particular challenge, or gets the client to consider relevant issues in connection to the goal the coach and client have set up at the beginning of the conversation. Generally speaking, the second and third question-types seek reflections, where the type-I question seeks information. The following will explore the question-types in turn. The next section will focus on question-type I.

2.1 Information Elicitation

The first question-type asks for particular information. As such, these questions resemble the classical linguistic definition of questions as ‘genuine’ information elicitations: They seek information in relation to a specific point (Quirk 1985). These questions seek information that the questioner does not have but presumes the questioned to have. Excerpts 2.1 and 2.2 show such questions (marked in grey).

Excerpt 2.1 ICC4_240507

1 Coa: Hvilken virksomhed var det det var.
Which company was it it was.
Which company was it it was.

2 Cli: Det var Kærsgaard.
It was Kærsgaard.

3 Coa: Det var Kærsgaard.
It was Kærsgaard

4 Cli: °m°

5 Coa: Og han hedder?
And he calls?
And he is called?

6 Cli: .mt Han hedder Jimmy Johnsen.
.mt He calls Jimmy Johnsen.
.mt He is called Jimmy Johnsen.

Excerpt 2.2 ICC4_230507

1 Coa: .hh Hvad beskæftiger hans virksomhed sig med.
.hh What engages his company itself with.
.hh What does his company do

2 Cli: .mt .hhh De bes- øh skæftiger sig
.mt .hhh They en- uh gage themselves
.mt .hhh They do consultancy

3 med rådgivning.
with consultancy.
consultancy.

The questions in line 1 and 5 of excerpt 2.1 seek to identify a company that is a potential customer of the client. In line 1, the coach asks the client to identify the company, and line 5 the coach asks about the name of the company contact.

In line 1 of excerpt 2.2, the coach asks about the same company's core output. These questions seek information that names and as such identifies the company and marks it as distinctive.

Another example of this type of question is seen in excerpt 2.3, which follows immediately after excerpt 2.2.

Excerpt 2.3 ICC4_230507

1 Coa: Din originale indgangsvinkel til virksomheden
Your originale entrance to company_the
Your original entrance to the company

2 Coa: det var dit personlig netværk [°Er det]rigtigt?°=

- 3 hvor vi har kommet
 where we have come
 where we have
- 4 lidt i gang omkring (0.6)
 a little in progress concerning (0.6)
 begun to process (0.6)
- 5 .hhh æ:::h Og den backtracker jeg også lige
 .hhh e:::h And it backtracks I also just
 .hhh e:::h And I will backtrack that as well
- 6 så vi er enige om
 so we are agreeing on
 so we agree
- 7 hvor vi står henne præcist med det,
 where we stand at precisely with that,
 where we are with that exactly,
- 8 .hh at du har sendt en række breve ud.
 .hh that you have sent a row letter out.
 .hh that you have sent out a number of letters.
- 9 Cli: Ja. ((nods))
 Yes.
- 10 (0.4)
- 11 Coa: Æ:::h (0.2) orienteringsbreve.
 E:::h (0.2) orientation_letters.
 E:::h (0.2) orientation letters.
- 12 Cli: Ja.
 Yes.
- 13 (0.2)
- 14 Coa: Og du har fået (0.2) nogen respons,
 And you have had (0.2) some response,
- 15 Cli: °Mhmh°
- 16 Coa: Og status er den at du står med (0.2) ((04.12))
 And status is it that you stand with (0.2)
 And status is that you have (0.2)
- 17 at der er kommet et navn på
 that there is come one name on
 that there is added one name
- 18 [og] et af brevene arbejder endnu.
 [and] one of letter_the works yet.
 [and] one of the letters is still working.
- 19 Cli: [Ja]
 [Yes]
- 20 Cli: Ja
 Yes
- 21 (0.2) ((client nods during gap))

- 22 Coa: 1→ Hvilken virksomhed var det det var.
Which company was it it was.
Which company was it it was.
- 23 Cli: Det var Kærsgaard.
It was Kærsgaard.
- 24 Coa: Det var Kærsgaard.
It was Kærsgaard
- 25 Cli: °m°
- 26 Coa: 2→ Og han hedder?
And he called?
And he is called?
- 27 Cli: .mt Han hedder Jimmy Johnsen.
.mt He called Jimmy Johnsen.
.mt He is called Jimmy Johnsen.
- 28 Coa: Han hedder Jimmy Johnsen.
He called Jimmy Johnsen.
His is called Jimmy Johnsen.
- 29 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 30 Coa: 3→ .hh Og så når vi frem til
.hh And then reach we forward to
.hh And then we reached the point
- 31 at æ::hm: (0.2) æ::h (0.2) han havde ikke fået
that e:hm: (0.2) e::h (0.2) he had not had
that e:hm: (0.2) e::h (0.2) he had not had
- 32 det første brev, Jimmy Johnsen=
the first letter, Jimmy Johnsen=
- 33 Cli: =Nej
=No
- 34 Coa: .hh Og så aftalte du med ham telefonisk..
.hh And then agreed you with him by_telephone..
.hh And then you agreed with him on the telephone..

As part of her attempt to get connected with potential customers, the client has sent out some orientation letters telling about her services as an external consultant in her own consultancy company, which she has just established (lines 1-12). Lines 14-20 describe the reactions to the sent letters. The coach says that *'one name is added'* – that one prospective customer gave a positive response – and that one letter *'is still working'*, meaning that the client is still waiting to hear back from this potential customer. When the coach, in line 22, asks which company it was, the client understands that the coach is seeking to identify the latter company mentioned, and this question therefore changes the characterisation of the company from *'the one where the letter still is working'* to the company's name.

- it takes too long
- 12 så mister man (0.2) faktisk penge.
then lose one (0.2) actually money.
then you (0.2) actually lose money
- 13 (.)
- 14 .hhh Som firma.
.hhh As company.
- 15 Cli: (°O-°) Så det er utroligt vigtigt for et firma
(°A-°) So it is incredibly important for a company
- 16 at (.) målene er kla:re og at æ::h man
that (.) goals_the are clea:r and that u::h one
that the goals are clear and that u::h you
- 17 æ::h når man er på vejen
u::h when one are on road_the
u::h when you are on the way
- 18 at man går efter de rigtige mål,
that one go after the right goals,
that you aim for the right goals
- 19 dem man virkelig har valgt sig.
those one really have chosen yourself.
the ones you have truly chosen.
- 20 Og så også at der er de værdier undervejs
And then also that there is those values en_route
And then also that there are those values along the
way
- 21 så man .hh æ::h holder motivationen
so one .hh u::h keep motivation_the
so you .hh u::h keep the motivation
- 22 hos sine medarbejdere og og (.) kommer dertil.
in your employees and and (.) come there.
in your employees and and (.) reach that place.
- 23 Coa: 1→ .hh Hvad beskæftiger hans virksomhed sig med.
.hh What engages his company itself with.
.hh What does his company do.
- 24 Cli: .mt .hhh De bes- øh skæftiger
.mt .hhh They en- uh gage
.mt .hhh They do
- 25 sig med rådgivning.
themselves with consultancy.
consultancy.
- 26 Coa: °De beskæftiger sig med rådgiv[ning.]°
°They engage themselves with consultan[cy.]°
°They do consultancy°
- 27 Cli: [m:]
- 28 com: ((client nods))

29 (0.5)

30 Coa: 2→ Din originale indgangsvinkel til virksomheden
Your originale entrance to company_the
Your original entrance to the company

31 Coa: 2→ det var dit personlig netværk [°er det]rigtigt?°=
that was your personal network [°is that]correct?°=

32 Cli: [Jahr]
[Yeah]

33 Cli: =Det er rigtigt.
=That is correct.

34 (2.0) ((Coach opens his mouth, maybe he does an
35 inaudible inhalation. He removes his gaze from the
36 client and gazes into the middle distance.))

37 Coa: 3→ Hvad tror du (0.4) *øh (0.4)
What think you (0.4) *uh (0.4)
What do you think (0.4)*uh (0.4)

38 3→ [Hvor tror du han kan bruge coaching,
[Where think you he can use coaching,
[Where do you think he can use coaching,

39 com: [((Coach gazes at client.))

40 (1.5)

41 Cli: Jeg tror han kan bruge det
I think he can use it
I think he can use it

42 i i forbindelse med altså...
in in connection with PTR...
in in connection to well...

Preceding the three questions (marked with arrowed numbers) the client has been telling why companies need coaching in lines 1-22. The third question (line 37-38) asks the client to speculate about where coaching is needed in the company she is hoping to do business with. This question follows two ‘information elicitations’, which establish the ground for asking it. The questions concerning the company’s core output (question 1) and how the initial contact was established, (question 2) build the transition from the client’s talk to the third question: asking about the company’s core services and establishing the validity in how this knowledge was obtained give way to the hypothetical framed question about the use of client’s service for her potential clients (question 3). What the client knows about the company is relevant in terms of later asking what she as a coach can do for the company. If she was unclear about the company’s line of work more questions concerning that would be relevant before asking more about her potential role.

The series of questions creates a stepwise transition from the client's talk to the third question, which opens a new aspect of the topic discussed. The third question shifts the topic from talking about why companies in general need coaching (lines 1-22), to the client's prospective business partner's need of coaching in particular. This shift is not only achieved by this question, but by the preceding two questions. The first question in line 23 re-introduces the specific company by asking about '*his company*', *his* referring to the company contact, and by using the definite '*the company*' in the second question in lines 30-31. The two fact-seeking questions turn the topic from the client's talk about companies in general to her specific customer in particular.

The series of questions establish a stepwise entry into the following talk. That is, the seemingly trivial information elicitation questions become a way of getting down to business: The business involving the client and the specific customers she is involved with. The two first questions reintroduce the topic of the client's prospective customer, the third question reintroduces 'the use of coaching' in relation to the prospective customers.

The notion of 'stepwise' is associated with topic changes, especially related to the transition to and from 'difficult topics', such as embarrassing and controversial topics, into the following talk (Jefferson 1984). Jefferson (1988) reports on the stepwise transition into talk about troubles. The stepwise entry into business is similar in that these inquiries function as procedures for getting into a specific line of talk. The questions retrieve themes that are connected to the up-coming question. However, the stepwise entry is not related to a topic change, but rather a topic shift (Maynard 1980). That is, there is a movement from one aspect of a topic to another, rather than a movement from one topic to another. Accordingly, 'the use of coaching' continues to be the issue, but shifts to the use of coaching in relation to the client and her specific customer.

In both excerpts 2.4 and 2.5, the questions seeking particular facts are involved in 'topical talk' in the sense that they draw out issues of the matters discussed, and in doing that they are made topically relevant for the following talk. The questions invite characterisations that topicalise one company instead of another (excerpt 2.4) or re-topicalise a particular company instead of companies in general (excerpt 2.5).

In both cases, it can be said that the trivial information brought forward by these questions is ensuring that the talk is not kept general, but personal. In

excerpt 2.4, the coach is not talking about any potential customer, but a specific company and contact, and similarly in excerpt 2.5. However, the context for these two sequences of questions is different. As shown in relation to excerpt 2.4, the questions bring out information relevant to the coach's summation, and in excerpt 2.5, they bring the talk back to issues relevant to the client. Accordingly, in excerpt 2.5 the series of questions solves the task of bringing the client back on track, without making it an issue that the client is off track. The fact-seeking questions steers the talk back to the client's own goal.

2.2 Future-Orientation Questions

The second group of questions used significantly in coaching conversations is future-orientation questions. This group of questions have in common that they all deal with future events, related to the goal set in the beginning of the session. The questions 'place' the client in a future event by making an inquiry that has the future orientation as its presupposition (Peräkylä 1995:289). They ask the client to describe a future event: either in terms of how she will deal with it or how she foresees it will be. An example of a future-orientation question is seen in excerpt 2.6.

Excerpt 2.6 ICC4_230507

1 Coa: Hvordan skal den telefonsamtale forløbe.
 How shall that telephone conversation proceed.
 How shall that telephone conversation proceed.

In excerpt 2.6, the question asks the client about the future event of a telephone call, not as she imagines it to be, but how she wants it to be. The question does not seek specific factual knowledge, but instead it creates the space for the client to consider aspects of the task at hand, she might or might not have thought about, and might or might not know.

As with the type-I questions, the future-projecting questions can be part of longer exchanges, either as a series of questions together with type-I questions or with other future-orientation questions. Furthermore, the future-orientation questions can form complex structures. These complex question-answer sequence are designed *question — declarative component — question redone*, and the complex question-answer sequences fall into two groups. The first deals with possible difficulty in responding. These sequences typically contain a grounding statement linking the preceding question to the wider context of the interaction,

and a second version of the initial question, both occasioned by the absence of response from the client. The second group of the complex questions are designed so they contain advice and/or evaluation. The advice can either be integrated in the question as a parenthetical or be latched onto a question. This section will look at the different aspects of the type-II questions in turn.

In excerpt 2.7 the future-projection question in excerpt 2.6 is presented in its context.

Excerpt 2.7 ICC4_230507

1 Coa: .hh Og så nåede vi frem til
 .hh And then reached we forward to
 .hh And then we reached the point

2 at jeg spurgte dig, så ville du ringe til ham.
 that I asked you, then would you call to him.
 where I asked you, then you would call him.⁵

3 Cli: Mhm:

4 (2.2)

5 com: ((client starts nodding while uttering "Mhm:" and
 6 continues during the pause. After the last nod she
 7 moves her head slightly forward))

8 Coa: Hvordan skal den telefonsamtale forløbe.
 How shall that telephone conversation proceed.
 How shall that telephone conversation proceed.

9 Cli: °Ja°
 °Yes°
 °Right°

10 com: ((Client moves gaze from coach after "°ja°", gazes
 11 into the middle distance))

12 (0.4)

13 Cli: .hhhhh hhhh

14 (0.2)

15 Cli: Krhm:: .mt Altså det æ::hm:: (0.2) som som
 Krhm:: .mt PRT that e::hm:: (0.2) which which
 Krhm:: .mt Well that e::hm:: (0.2) which which

⁵ The English translation may be grammatically unclear as indeed is the Danish original. My understanding of lines 1-2 is that the coach is summarising an earlier meeting when he asked the client a question to which she answered that she 'would call him' - him being a potential customer. In the coach's turn he omits the question from the summary. I understand the meaning to be along these lines: 'And then we reached the point where I asked you [what you would do and] then [you said] you would call him'.

- 16 jo også bliver >mere og mere< klart
 ADV also becomes >more and more< clear
 you know also becomes >more and more< clear
- 17 det er det (.) at coaching
 it is that (.) that coaching
 it is fact that (.) that coaching
- 18 er et tillidsspørgsmål.
 is a trust_question.
 is a question of trust.

The client has decided to call the company contact, and in line 8 the coach asks how that phone call shall proceed. This future-orientation is accentuated in the shift from the backtracking to the question. In line 1-2, the coach is coming to the end of the backtracking. *‘And then’* marks the following as the next step in the outlining of past events, which are marked by the past tense of the verbs *‘reached’* and *‘would’*. The shift to future is expressed in the verb phrase *‘shall proceed’* in the question in line 8⁶. The verb ‘shall’ stresses that the enquiry is about how the telephone call is going to be. The coach is not asking the client to describe a possible course of events, but rather determine what she wants to happen. The use of ‘shall’ substantiates the client’s commitment to solve the challenge put forward in the question, as well as affirming the challenge as something the client is capable of determining how she wants done. In that sense, the future event is not presented as a hypothetical world even though it addresses issues that have not yet happened. It is rather presented as a future event that the client can determine here and now. The future-orientation question invokes a future world, and by making an inquiry about it invites the client into that future.

The future-orientation question in excerpt 2.7 has a simple syntax, similar to the type-I questions: It is a single wh-interrogative question. That this question has a very different function is seen in the response. The client’s immediate response is delayed, compared to excerpt 2.4 and 2.5, where the answers follow straight after the question. In excerpt 2.7, the client first responds with a *‘right’* (line 9), which does an acknowledgement of the task presented by the question. Following, in line 12-14, there is a gap and a deep in-breath, while the client moves her gaze from the coach, starring into the middle distance; and the turn-design of the response in line 15 with the throat-clearing, *‘Krhmm::’*, the hesitation marker *‘æ::hm::’*, and the interjection *‘Well’* shows the client is making a run-up for a

⁶ The Danish verb ‘skal’ in the present tense expresses a future action, as the present tense is regularly used to express future in Danish (Arndt: 1997:188-189).

longer turn at talk. The client displays her understanding of the future-orientation question as seeking a longer account of her future action: From line 15, she initiates this account, throughout which the coach also shows that the client's response is indeed appropriate by refraining for taking a turn during the client's account. (See appendix 1 for full account.) This question is not just seeking factual information that can be delivered in a short next response.

Excerpt 2.8 is another example of a future-orientation question, here in a more complex turn-design.

Excerpt 2.8 ICC4_230507

1 Coa: <Hvad vil du [bru:ge> (1.0) °*øh°
<What will you [u:se> (1.0) °*eh°

2 com: [(Coach turns his head slightly to
3 the left towards the client. No eyecontact))

4 Coa: Hvad vil du bruge af argumenter
What will you use of arguments
What will you use as arguments

5 [overfor Jimmy Johnsen.
[towards Jimmy Johnsen.

6 com: [(coach turns his head / gaze on the client))
7 (2.0)

8 Coa: For at få det møde.
For to get that meeting.
In order to get that meeting.

9 (1.0)

10 Cli: .hhhhh hhhhh
11 (5.0)

12 Coa: Hvad vil du sige?
What will you say?
What are you going to say?

13 (3.0)

14 Cli: Altså:: først så vil jeg jo præsenterer mig.
PRT first then will I ADV present my self.
We::ll firstly I will present my self you know.

15 (0.4)

16 Coa: [°Ja°]
[°Yes°]

17 Cli: [Og] sige det er Caroline,=
[And] say it is Caroline,=

18 Coa: =hm
 19 Cli: Blidstrup fra CB coaching?
 Blidstrup from CB coaching?

Prior to excerpt 2.8, the coach and client have established the goal for the coaching session: The client has to figure out how to secure a meeting with the company contact. In excerpt 2.8, there are two future-orientation questions. The first in line 1 and 4-5 is asking for arguments the client can use in order to secure a meeting with her prospective customer. Instead of asking ‘which arguments will you use’ the question is formulated as ‘*what will you use as arguments*’. Using ‘which’ would front ‘the arguments’ and thereby topicalise them. By using the what + preposition phrase the acting client is fronted and emphasised.

After a gap, an increment, and another extensive gap — only suspended by an inhalation and a sigh from the client — the coach asks the second future-orientation question. The second question (line 12) — formulated after not getting an immediate response — is asking simply what the client will say. Both questions project a future event where the client is talking to her prospective customer.

By asking ‘*what are you going to say*’ in the second question, the restriction on the account the question asks the client to make, is changed. Compared to the first question, the assignment is changed from specific to general. By asking ‘*What will you use as arguments towards Jimmy Johnsen*’, the client is asked to identify arguments that will persuade the company contact to have a meeting with her. The future scene this question is projecting is one of how the client can convince the company contact to meet. This question, accordingly, focuses very specifically on one aspect of securing a meeting. The second question simply asks for a description of what the client is going to say. The future event is left unrestricted; it is not set up as a specific event requiring specific actions.

The reformulation of the question seems to place the client in the actual future situation where she can act out what she is going to do. Her response is literally saying what she would say to the company contact on the telephone, starting with the presentation of herself in lines 17 and 19.

Compared to excerpt 2.7, excerpt 2.8 has quite different sequential features. The future-orientation question in excerpt 2.7 is simple and straightforward, but in 2.8 it is part of a complex structure of *question – background information/clarification – question reformulated*. In excerpt 2.8, the increment in line 7 and the second question are occasioned by the absent response of the client, and as such these orient to a possible difficulty in answering. As the increment is

but which questions can you use

24 at afdække de .hh virksomhedens værdier
 toINF identify those .hh ompany the GEN values
 to identify those .hh the values of the company

25 og hans problemer med.
 and his problems with.
 and his problems.

26 [Hvilke spørgsmål kan være (0.8) kan åbne ham,
 [Which questions can be (0.8) can open him,
 [Which questions can be (0.8) can open him up,

27 com: [((coach lets his hands fall in his lap.))

28 (10.0)

29 Cli: .mt

30 (1.0)

31 Cli: Jeg har lidt- (0.3) Altså jeg har jeg har s::
 I have little- (0.3) PRT I have I have s::
 I have a little- (0.3) Well I have I have s::

In excerpt 2.9, the future-orientation question is reformulated 3 times over 2 turns. The first question, in lines 7 and 10, asks the client to identify questions that will help her in identifying the prospective customer's needs. The client's response is a deep inhalation, a 'woaw', laughter, and a thumbs-up gesture, while quite markedly first moving back in her chair, then forward and then back again, as if she is taken aback by the question (lines 11-18). Again similar to excerpt 2.6, the client's response clearly shows that this question requires reflection and consideration. It is to be answered by giving an account of a future event, and not just a short specific answer.

As the client's initial response did not provide an answer, the coach then pursues an answer by reformulating the question twice. The first reformulation in lines 19 and 22-25 is a compound construction where the first part of the turn is referring to the client's earlier talk, and the second part, an wh-interrogative, asks about how the client can identify company values and problems. In the first part of the second question, the coach refers to the client having mentioned that she hopes to target his values, and the question asks about the company's values. The reformulation therefore makes clear the connection between the initial questions and the client's earlier talk, and this connection is established as the turn unfolds. In line 24, the coach repairs his turn: Initially he reuses the first question construction 'which questions can you use to identify those .hh' but then replaces the plural pronoun 'those' by 'the company's values'. The question could

have continued as the first question, completing the noun phrase with ‘those needs’, but instead the question is being matched to the reference back to the client’s talk by the phrase ‘*the company’s values*’. As a result, by referring back to something the client said earlier, the coach links the question to the client’s own talk⁷.

The third question in line 26 follows immediately after the second, and presents a second reformulation of the initial question. This time ‘questions identifying needs, values and problems’ is rephrased as ‘*questions, which can open him up*’. Again as in excerpt 2.8, a much less specific task is given the client by this question, and likewise the second question is occasioned by the absence of an answer.

In excerpts 2.7-2.9 the future-orientation questions are part of simple or more complex structures. In excerpt 2.7, a single future-orientation question was asked. It was asked after the coach’s summarising backtracking, which led up to the event the question asks about. As such, the stage for the future orientation was set immediately prior to the question bridging any inferential distance there might be for the client in answering the question. The world of the future event is set up by the backtracking done by the coach, where the client is led to the moment of doing the phone call. As Peräkylä (1998:271-272) writes about future-oriented questions in AIDS counselling they are occasioned by setting up a scene so the future realm is introduced step-wise into the conversation. The placement of the question in line 8, excerpt 2.7 after the backtracking is essential in making sense of the question, and doing a summary can accordingly be seen as a way of leading the participant towards the future scenario.

As excerpts 2.8 and 2.9 show, this is not the only way to create the link between the future-orientation question and the present/immediate context. In excerpts 2.7 and 2.8, the link is done after the first future-orientation question is asked, making the question sequence structure more complex. Responding to silence with either an increment or by referring back to previous talk can be

⁷ Furthermore, referring to the client’s previous talk can be seen as having a legitimising function as well. It justifies the question in connecting it to the client’s own talk, and as such marks that it is aiming to help the client do something she has mentioned as important. The emphasis on the client’s ownership of the issue raised in the question is also emphasised by the use of the Danish ‘jo - you know’ and ‘selv - yourself’. ‘You yourself’ emphasises the ownership of the talk referred to, and the Danish ‘jo’ characterises the utterance as something obvious, knowledge shared by the participants.

13 inaudible inhalation. He removes his gaze from the
14 client and gazes into the middle distance.))

15 Coa: 3→ Hvad tror du (0.4) *øh (0.4)
 What think you (0.4) *uh (0.4)
 What do you think (0.4)*uh (0.4)

16 3→ [Hvor tror du han kan bruge coaching,
 [Where think you he can use coaching,
 [Where do you think he can use coaching,

17 com: [((Coach gazes at client.))

18 (1.5)

19 Cli: Jeg tror han kan bruge det
 I think he can use it
 I think he can use it

20 i i forbindelse med altså...
 in in connection with PTR...
 in in connection to well...

In excerpt 2.10 the client is asked about the use of coaching, which is the service she is offering the company she is hoping to do business with. The question is introduced by the verb *‘think’* (line 15-16), and accordingly, it is not asking where the company can use coaching but where the client imagines coaching to be useful.

The question is formed as a wh-interrogative. The coach repairs his initial attempt, changing the interrogative pronoun from *‘what’* to *‘where’*. This changes the presupposition of the question; asking *‘what’* seeks an account of why coaching in general is useful, asking *‘where’* presumes coaching to be useful and seeks identification of areas within the company where it may be useful. The first interrogative structure addresses issues in relation to coaching, the second interrogative structure addresses issues in relation the customer.

The client frames the response accordingly, using the same verb. Not only does the question design set the stage for the speculation, the response co-constructs the hypothetical world, in which this assignment is to be solved.

In excerpt 2.11, a hypothetical world is likewise set up by the use of the verb *‘imagine’*.

Excerpt 2.11 ICC4_240507

1 Coa: [.mt .hh (Hvo- hva-) Forestiller du dig
 [.mt .hh (Whe- Wha-) Imagine you yourself
 [.mt .hh (Whe- Wha-) Do you imagine

2 com: [((Coach lifting his right hand so both hand are
3 raised in front of his chest slightly pointing
4 towards the client.))

5 at at °at æh° (0.7) din hjælp
that that °that uh° (0.7) your help

6 til ham er er projektorienteret?
to him is is project_oriented?

7 (1.6) ((Client looking intensely at coach))

8 Coa: Forestiller du dig at du kan være
Imagine you yourself that you can be
Do you imagine that you can be

9 'n en s:upport *æh for en projektgruppe
a a s:upport *uh for a project-group

10 (.) i hans virksomhed der øger
(.) in his company which increase
(.) in his company which will increases

11 kommunikationen når du hjælper.
communication_the when you help.
their communication when you help.

12 (1.0)

13 Cli: °mm°

14 com: ((Client sits up straight not leaning as much
15 forward towards the coach as before.
16 Gaze shifts from coach to the middle distance.))

17 (2.0)

18 Coa: Hvordan forestiller du dig at at (0.2)
How imagine you yourself that that (0.2)
How do you imagine that that (0.2)

19 at at din måde at give ham hjælp på
that that your way to give him help on
that that your way of helping him

20 skal foregå,=Er det .hhh overordnet
must be, =Is it .hhh overall
will be,=Is it overall

21 for hele firmaet, eller kan det v-
for entire company the, or can it b-
for the entire company, or can it b-

22 >er det< projektorienteret.=Hvordan forestiller
>is it< project_oriented. =How imagine
is it project oriented.=How do you imagine

23 forestiller du dig at at [din indsats
imagine you yourself that that [your performance
do you imagine that that your performance

24 Cli: [.hhh

25 Coa: kan være målbar for ham,
can be measurable for him,

- 26 com: ((Coach lowers his hand, placing them in his lap))
- 27 Cli: Det kommer lidt an på hvad hans: øh
That depends a little on what his: uh
That depends a little on his:
- 28 hvad han har brug for et eller andet(.) sted.
what he has need of one or another(.) place.
uh what he needs really

In excerpt 2.11, the coach asks the client how she is going to work with the prospective customer. This question is reformulated 4 times: In lines 8-11, in 18-20, and in line 22-23 and 25. In lines 8-11, the coach reformulates the first question as another yes/no interrogative. In lines 18-20, the coach reformulates the question as *'how do you imagine'*, a wh-interrogative with a latched alternative interrogative, and in 22-23 and 25 the question is presented again as *'How do you imagine that that your performance can be measurable for him.'* Despite the extensive reformulation all the questions in this excerpt use the verb 'imagine', and insistently revoke the future hypothetical world.

The third reformulation, the how-interrogative (lines 18-20) changes the constraints of the response: it seeks an account for how the client is going to be a support for the company. As such, the how-interrogative opens up the possibility of the client giving her own account of her role in the company, compared to either confirming or rejecting the proposed roles displayed by the yes/no-interrogatives. The alternative interrogative latched onto the third version of the question presents two possible answers, and as such, it explicates two response-options for the client. It guides the client as to where the coach is going with the question. Accordingly, several measures are taken in remedying any difficulty there might in responding.

Also the turn-design of the third and fourth question suggests that work is being done to secure a response. The speaker-transition is cancelled by latching the three final questions together: the alternative interrogative in lines 20-22 is latched to the how-interrogative in line 18-20, which itself is latched to the final how-interrogative in line 22. The coach completes his turn when the client, in overlap with the final question, makes an audible inhalation showing a readiness to respond (line 24). The client overlaps with an inhalation, even though the turn is clearly in progress, projecting a following subordinate clause. This shows that the client orients to the pursuit of a response and by signalling readiness to take her turn, the client brings this pursuit to an end. Accordingly, by its placement in the

middle of a recognisable ongoing turn, the inhalation substantiates that the design of the latched questions is understood as a means to secure a response.

As seen in excerpts 2.8 and 2.9, the extensiveness of the question is occasioned by the lack of response from the client, and likewise for each step the question is explicated. The second yes/no-interrogative specifies ‘*your help*’ from the first question as being ‘*a support*’ in the second version of it; and likewise, ‘*project-oriented*’ is explicated as being a support ‘*for a project-group (.) in his company which will increase their communication when you help.*’. The elaborations serve as an explanation of any unclarity that might have been in the previous questions.

Hypothetical questions have a sense of a future orientation, and ask the client to speculate about how she will act in the hypothetical future. Compared to question-type I, and also the future-orientation questions, these questions show that the client is not presumed to have the knowledge sought by the question. The question displays the assumption that the client might not have the knowledge, but that she can find an answer through speculation. By setting up a hypothetical question, it makes it possible for the client to give an answer, whether or not she actually knows. This does not mean, as clearly demonstrated in excerpt 2.11 that it makes it unproblematic for the client to respond. The first question is a yes/no-interrogative. Even though the yes/no-interrogative in its design makes a yes/no-answer relevant and sufficient, the lack of response shows that the client cannot answer. The constraint of the question requires more than an ‘off the top of my head’ answer.

2.4 Giving Advice and Direction

Coaching is predominantly conducted through question-answer sequences. This section will show how actions such as advice-giving, evaluating and directing are accomplished through question-answer sequences. In excerpt 2.12, the coach responds to a similar lack of immediate uptake by the client by restating his question, as seen in the excerpts above. However, here he aids the client’s sense making by providing a reason for his question before restating it. Giving the reason also has an advisory function.

Excerpt 2.12 ICC4_230507

```
1      Coa:      .mt .hh [<Hvordan kan du: æ:h> (0.5)      ((13.52))
                .mt .hh [<How can you: u:h> (0.5)
```

2 com: [((coach moves his right hand from the
3 notes to a position in front of his chest))

4 Coa: [<Kan du supplere?> (0.5)
[<Can you supplement?> (0.5)]

5 com: [((Coach raises hand slightly and drops it to
6 initial position at the stressed marked part
7 of 'supplement'))

8 Coa: <Hvordan kan du supplere din brochure,>
<How can you supplement your brochure,>

9 (0.6)

10 Cli: [°(.mt) .hhh°] ((Client opens her mouth))

11 Coa: [Så du ikke] sidder og læser op<=
[So you not] sit and read up<=
[So you are] not reading aloud<=

12 Coa: =Hvordan kan du supplere den.
=How can you supplement it.

13 com: ((Coach drops his hand and returns it to the notes
14 on the table and begins writing))

15 Cli: Jamen det vil være at jeg giver ham yderligere
Yes_but that will be that I give him further
Yes well that will be that I give him further

The client has sent out brochures to prospective customers telling about the services she offers, and in line 1, the coach initiates a question concerning how the client can supplement the information she has already provided to the customers in the brochures.

After two abandoned question-turns in line 1 and 4, the coach completes a question in line 8. The abandoned questions initiate repair of the interrogative formats used; first a how-interrogative (line 1) is repaired to a yes/no-interrogative (line 4), which then is repaired to the complete how-interrogative. The difference between asking 'can you' and 'how can you' lies in the constraints it places upon the response. In asking a yes/no-question, a sufficient answer would be a confirmation or rejection i.e. either a yes or a no. In asking 'how', the participant is asked to give an account of how what is being asked about should be done. The difference between the possible responses is accordingly one between assertion and demonstration⁸. Furthermore, asking 'how' presupposes that the client can

⁸ A positive response to a yes/no-question often entails further talk explicating what the 'yes' is confirming. In the case above, a yes-response to the yes/no-interrogative would typically introduce further talk about *how*. It is as if the yes/no-interrogative projects a following *how*, that is, if the responding participant would not continue by herself with answering *how*, the next question would

supplement her brochure, and therefore, it avoids the possible rejection a yes/no-interrogative entails. Just as latching interrogatives together, as in excerpt 2.11, repair can be a means of changing the constraints under which a response is to be given.

After no immediate uptake from the client after the completed how-interrogative in line 9, the coach and client simultaneously begin the next turn. The client does an inaudible, but not invisible inhalation in line 10, and the coach continues with a statement giving the reason for the question in line 11, before restating the question in line 12. The reason for asking in line 11 is done as a continuation of the first question. It is introduced by ‘so’ linking the turn to the prior question: line 11 adds that supplementing the brochure is to be done in order to avoid just reading from it. As such, the reason for asking constitutes not only that, but also becomes advice, though indirect.

Even though a reason for asking can be added after the completion of a question-turn, the possibility of a speaker-shift can be a challenge; and maybe even more so when dealing with questions, which have a strong response-eliciting force. A means to secure that the client does not begin her turn before advice or direction is given is by constructing the questions with parentheticals. The following two exchanges, excerpts 2.13 and 2.14, show cases where the coach provides reasons for asking embedded in the question construction. In these excerpts, the complex question constructions are not occasioned by the pursuit of a response. As the embedded information is not triggered by anything in the interactional context, it seems to be doing something different than linking the questions to the prior context in aiding the client’s sense making. The parentheticals have an advisory function: Indirectly, they evaluate or recommend specific steps of action to the client. In excerpt 2.13 and 2.14, the parentheticals are marked by grey, and arrows mark the question-initiations and question-resumptions.

Excerpt 2.13 ICC4_230507

1 Coa: → Kan du forestille dig en måde [INde:n (.)]

almost certainly be *how*. It could even seem deliberately rude just to answer *yes*, as if the participant did not fulfil the interactional requirements posed by the question. As such, the responses to a yes/no- and a how-interrogative are not necessarily that different, in the sense that yes/no-interrogatives can function and are recognised as being a pre-sequences to how-interrogatives.

- Can you imagine you a way [BEfo:re (.)
 Can you imagine a way BEfo:re (.)
- 2 com: [((coach moves his
 3 hands to his right and holds them there))
- 4 du kommer med den æ::h med den med remsen.
 you come with that u::h with that with speech the.
you come with the u::h with that with the speech.
- 5 (0.6) ((Coach fixing his eyes on client))
- 6 Cli: M:m[:
- 7 Coa: [Som du nævnte lige før,
 [Which you mentioned just before,
 [Which you just mentioned,
- 8 Cli: Ja
 Yes
- 9 Coa: → [.hh Kan du forestille dig en måde
 [.hh Can you imagine you a way
 [.hh Can you imagine a way
- 10 com: [((Coach moves hands back to initial position in
 11 front of his chest))
- 12 Coa: → hvor du kan spørge ind til ham på?
 where you can ask in to him on?
 in which you can enquire about him?
- 13 (0.4)
- 14 Coa: → Være nysgerrig overfor ham omkring (0.7) ø::h
 Be curious toward him concering (0.7) u::h
 Be curious about him concerning (0.7) u::h
- 15 → hans[: øh problemstillinger,
his[: uh challenges,
- 16 Cli: [Ja
 [Yes
- 17 Coa: → han[s virksomheds pro[°blemstil]linger°=
hi[s company_GEN chal[°lenges°]=
 the challenges of his company
- 18 Cli: [Ja [hhh Ja]
 [Yes [hhh Yes]
- 19 Cli: =Altså
 =PRT
 =Well
- 20 (3.0)
- 21 com: ((client open mouth and closes it again))
- 22 Cli: .mt hm:::
- 23 (2.0)

24 Cli: Altså det- fdet jeg gerne vil spø(h)rge om
 PRT that- fthat I gladly will a(h)sk about
 Well what- fwhat I would like to a(h)sk about

The client has been outlining possible ways she can present herself and her company such that she can secure a face-to-face meeting with a prospective customer, and in line 1, the coach introduces a question relating to this presentation. The question is a yes/no-interrogative with a parenthetical sequence inserted between the two parts of a projected compound question. In line 1, after the coach asks: ‘*Can you imagine a way*’, an adverbial clause: ‘*BEfo:re (.) you come with the u:h with that with the speech.*’ and a relative clause ‘*Which you just mentioned,*’ are inserted, before the coach returns to the question in line 9. In line 9, the coach repeats the initial part of the question before making a first completion in line 12. The return to the question is marked by the coach’s gestures, his hand returning to the same position relative to his posture before the parenthetical.

The parenthetical is marked as such by its placement in a turn that is recognisable as incomplete. The first part of the question in line 1 is an unfinished grammatical unit. ‘*Can you imagine a way*’ is a main clause projecting a following subordinate clause. Further markings are the increased stress and amplitude on the first and last word of the adverbial clause ‘*BEfo:re*’ and ‘*speech*’.

Schegloff notes that parentheticals form an independent sequence not adding to the anchor sequence (in this case the question-answer sequence) as an insertion or an expansion would do (Schegloff 2007:241). That the parenthetical is oriented to as something requiring a response is seen in the client’s acknowledgement tokens in lines 6 and 8. The responses themselves, being minimal, show the client’s analysis of this as a parenthetical: that is, there is no speaker transition. The manner in which these acknowledgments are achieved shows how the responses are interactionally acquired. The delivery of the first acknowledgement ‘*M:m:*’ in line 6 is delayed, and the coach’s following relative clause is done in a slight overlap with – or at least latched onto – the acknowledgement. The relative clause is an expansion of the parenthetical, the relative pronoun ‘which’ referring to ‘the speech’, and as such it re-occasions a response to the parenthetical. Accordingly, the expansion of the parenthetical is occasioned by the delayed acknowledgement and by expanding the parenthetical another response is made relevant and followingly achieved in line 8.

A similarity between excerpts 2.12 and 2.13 is that the parenthetical has an

advising function, but the parenthetical in excerpt 2.13 also has a sense of evaluation: by suggesting that something should be done ‘before’ the presentation, which the client has been outlining, the matter of timing is presented as relevant. By suggesting another order of things said, the coach indirectly evaluates the plan of action presented by the client and asks her to find a way to amend it. The sense of evaluation is also conveyed in the lexeme used to describe the presentation the client will make. The Danish lexeme ‘*remsen – the speech*’ in line 4 has connotations of ‘babbling’; of going on and on until no one listens. The parenthetical, accordingly, does more than advice, compared to excerpt 2.12 it bases the advice on a review of a proposed plan of action.

As the incomplete question broken up by the parenthetical is recognisable as incomplete, it projects what it takes to be complete, and as such it is possible for the client to know when to respond. The parenthetical can be seen as a device to avoid the speaker transition that could occur, if whatever is said in the parenthetical had been done before or after the question it is embedded in. By constructing the question with the advice-giving parenthetical, the coach ensures that the client only responds after the reason for the question is given, and also after the advice is given indirectly.

Excerpt 2.14 shows an even more complex example: a wh-interrogative is latched to a yes/no-interrogative, the wh-interrogative itself contains a parenthetical.

Excerpt 2.14 ICC4_230507

```

1      Coa: →   .hh [Kan du vise din egen (.) faglige
              .hh [Can you show your own (.) professional

2      com:     [((Coach raises both hands from the notes and holds
3              them stretched out in front of his chest))

4      →       baggrund (0.2)undervejs her.=°Hvord- Hvordan°
              background (0.2)during here.=°Ho- How°
              background (0.2) during this.=°Ho- How°

5      Coa:     °[kan du:° (.hh) >For< du sidder jo
              °[can you:° (.hh) >Because< you sit ADV
              °can you:° (.hh) >Because< you are you know

6      com:     [((Client turns gaze away from coach looking down
7              and turns head slightly to her right, turning
8              gaze towards the notes on the table.))

9              og fortæller om en masse her,
              and tell about a lot here,
              telling a lot here,
```

- 10 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 11 Coa: æh der [egentlig indikerer at du]
uh which [actually indicates that you]
- 12 Cli: [.hhhh Ja.]
[.hhhh Yes.]
- 13 Coa: har en baggrund=
have a background=
- 14 Cli: =[Ja-
=[Yes-
- 15 Coa: → =[Hvordan kan du vise det [også,]
=[How can you show that [also,]
- 16 com: [((Client sits up straight in her chair))
- 17 Cli: [Det] har jeg
[That] have I
[That] I have
- 18 Det [har jeg heldigvis (.)
That [have I fortunately (.)
I have fortunately (.)
- 19 com: [((Coach begins lowering his right hand))
- 20 Cli: fskrevet ned på bro(h)churernef
fwritten down on bro(h)chures_thef
fwritten that down on the bro(h)churesf

The coach asks the client how she can show her professional background when talking to her prospective customer. The question in line 1 and 4 is formed as a yes/no-interrogative, where the transitional relevance is cancelled by the wh-interrogative being latched onto it. In this how-question, a parenthetical is inserted between the subject 'you' and the main verb 'show', projecting that the other half of the verb phrase and also a following predicate are needed to complete the question. This parenthetical introduces a reason for asking the question that frames it. It is introduced by 'because', marking the parenthetical as a cause for the question. In line 15, the coach returns to the question also in a similar way to excerpt 2.13: he repeats the part of the question already said in line 4, and the return to the anchor sequence is also marked in both instances by the coach's gestures, his hand returning to the same position relative to his posture as before the parenthetical.

Because a completed yes/no-interrogative precedes the how-interrogative, the client knows what the uncompleted question is about before it is completed. This can explain the readiness to answer the to-be-completed wh-interrogative already

in line 12 and 14, where her repeated yes'es and shift of seating position function as speaker pre-shift tokens, displaying an eagerness to respond.

As mentioned, the parenthetical clarifies the reason for asking the question. The questions in excerpt 2.14 introduce the client's educational background as a topic. Prior to this excerpt, the coach and client have been planning how the client can present herself in a telephone conversation with the company contact of her potential customer. Her professional background and the importance of it have not earlier been mentioned. Again, the reason for asking becomes an indirect advice-giving: the coach shows that he finds it advisable for the client to make clear her professional background. As such, excerpt 2.14 is similar to both excerpt 2.12 and 2.13, but also different from excerpt 2.13 because it does not have any evaluative function in relation to the client's plans. The advising function of the sequence is also substantiated in the shift from the initial yes/no-interrogative to the following how-interrogative. The importance of telling about the educational background is upgraded with the how-interrogative; asking the client for an account presupposes that the educational background should be told about.

A further aspect of the design of the parenthetical: '>Because< you are you know telling a lot here', is that it attributes the reason for the questions about the client's educational background to what she herself has been telling. Even though, the coach has raised this issue and thereby ascribed it importance, the parenthetical is designed to show that the question and the reason for it have sprung out of the client's own talk.

Advice giving can also be done in a clearly directive manner. In excerpt 2.15, the coach advises the client through a series of questions.

Excerpt 2.15 ICC4_230507

- | | | |
|---|---------|--|
| 1 | Coa: 1→ | Hvor <u>lang</u> tror du
How <u>long</u> think you
How <u>long</u> do you think |
| 2 | 1→ | den telefonsamtale kan blive,
that telephone_conversation can become,
that telephone_conversation can be, |
| 3 | Cli: | Den bliver nok meget kort [egent]lig.
It becomes probably very short [actual]ly.
It will probably be very short actually. |
| 4 | Coa: | [Ja]
[Yes] |

first question is a leading question, strongly displaying its preference for a specific answer. The second question explicates what inevitably follows from the first question sequence, and both question sequences give way to the third question, which presents the client with a task, she should solve on the basis of the knowledge made explicit by the first two sequences. Accordingly, through this series of questions the client uncovers some information that is seen as relevant in relation to the task of securing a meeting when calling her prospective customer.

In the first question in line 1-2, the coach asks the client to consider how long she thinks the telephone call to the company contact can be. In this question, the issue of the length of the telephone call is introduced as a topic. The question is pointing out a time aspect of calling the company contact that the coach finds important. In linguistics the term ‘conducive’ is used for such questions (Koshik 2005:10). The question is calculated to produce a specific answer. The notion of preference within CA also captures that this question is set up to prefer an answer that aligns with the preference of the question. The question asks ‘*how long*’ and the response is ‘*very short*’. This aspect of opposition suggests the question hints an answer opposite to its own description. Koshik (2002:1851) calls these question ‘reversed polarity questions’ because “*they are treated by recipients as conveying an assertion of the opposite polarity to that of the grammatical form of the question.*”, just as the client does here. Both lexical choices and pronunciation features construct the preference of the question: In the first question, the stress marking on ‘*long*’ and the verb phrase ‘*can be*’ constitute such features. By asking how long the call can be, the coach displays the telephone call as having an inherent optimal length. In comparison, if the coach had used the phrase ‘will last’, the length of the telephone conversation would have been displayed as something defined by how much the client wanted to say. This implies that the length of the telephone conversation should not be defined by the amount of talking to be done but by an optimal length.

In line 3 the client responds that the telephone call: ‘*will probably be very short actually*’, which shows that she understand the preference of the question as seeking an ‘opposite answer’. Also following the coach’s agreeing ‘yes’ in line 4, the client specifies and upgrades this assertion by defining ‘*very short*’ as meaning 5-10 minutes, and by adding the emphatic ‘*tops*’. That the client’s response provides a description of the telephone call that is opposite to the

description in the question, is displayed in the design of the answer. The adverb ‘actually’ marks it as an amendment compared to any prior conceptions of the phone call. Clift’s research (2001:258) shows that a turn-final ‘actually’ is “regularly used to mark turns produced in response to, and in contrast with, a prior assertion”. The client’s analysis shows the first question to prefer an answer opposite of what it asserts and this analysis is furthermore confirmed in the coach’s agreement in line 4.

In the second question in lines 6-7, the coach asks which implications the client’s answer has in relation to her proposed plan of action. The question forms a conclusion on the basis of the prior sequence and is designed as ‘a next’ by the use of adverb ‘then’. The question is rhetorical in the sense that it is not as much seeking information but conveying an assertion. Accordingly, when the coach asks ‘So how much time do you think there is to go over brochures and such’ the question implies not only that there is not much time but that there is no time, which is the answer the client gives (line 8 and 10). As such the second question is more directive than the first.

The classical linguistic definition of rhetorical questions is that they do not require an answer, because the answer is already implicitly given in the assertion they convey (Quirk et al 1985:825). As seen in the client’s response this is not the case. The client does produce an answer, which confirms the conclusion the question poses, and which moves the interaction along.

Again, the client gives a preferred response, and it is even similar in its design with the adverb ‘really’ in line 10, functioning as ‘actually’ in the first response. Additionally, the Danish ‘jamen’ also displays the client’s understanding of the question as preferring an answer opposite of what it is asking. ‘jamen’ is one word, contraction of ‘ja-yes’ and ‘men-but’. In the glossing of line 8, it is written as such, but the translation is done as ‘well’. The reason for this is that it does not, in this context, function as preliminary agreement followed by a contrast marker introducing a disagreement. ‘Jamen’ relates to the cross-cutting preferences of the question. It introduces a sentence that expresses agreement with the stance of the speaker of the previous turn but not the stance of the turn itself. The ‘jamen’ in this context seem to capture the rhetorical nature of the question: expressing opposing stances. This is perhaps not captured by the translation to ‘well’, but the better so than with yes but.

The first question suggests a restriction in relation to the call the client has to make, the second presents a conclusion, which is also amending the client’s

proposed plan of action. The result of the question-answer sequences is that the plan for the telephone conversation that the client had devised is not applicable. That is, the line of questioning so far has made the client discard her initial plan and positioned her to make a new plan. This is what the third question in the series addresses.

In the third question (lines 11-14 and rephrased in line 16), the coach asks the client how she can secure a meeting with the prospective customer, now that she has discarded her original initial plan. This question is also constructed with the adverb *'then'* underlining the step-by-step transition from one question to another. The question is designed such that it addresses the time issue (line 13-14). The client's estimate of the call of *'five to ten minutes'* becomes, in the words of the coach, *'five maximum nine and a half minutes'*. The simple act of reintroducing the time issue emphasises its importance, and the exaggerated precision highlights it. In a sense, the nine and a half minute can be said to be a precise version of *'ten minutes tops'*, because adding *'tops'* implies that ten minutes is stretching it. Additionally, the (exaggerated) precision draws in to the final question the premise previously arrived at.

The question addresses a future event. This future event is acted out in the reported speech in lines 13-14, where the coach enacts the role of the company contact agreeing to meet the client. This enactment sets up the future event of the client having achieved the purpose of her call. As such, it conjures up the situation of the client having achieved her goal and then gives her the assignment – in the form of the question – of telling how she got there.

In excerpt 2.5, a series of three questions were asked, where the first and the second question opened up for a third. In excerpt 2.5, the first two questions, seeking factual information, led the way to a hypothetical question. In the excerpt above, two questions also led the way to a third future orientation question. However, the use of leading and rhetorical questions makes excerpt 2.15 quite different. Through a series of questions and answers, the client gets to a position where she can rethink her initial plan, and come up with alternative approaches. By this question series, the coach advises and directs the client about how to conduct herself appropriately.

2.5 Summary & Discussion

The question sequences presented in this chapter are divided into three overall types. Question-type I are questions seeking factual information: they are 'pure'

information elicitation. Question-type II are questions asking the client to reflect about a future event which along with question-type III, the hypothetical questions, evoke a hypothetical or future world and bid the client into that world with the enquiry of the question.

All question types can stand alone, they can work together in series of sequences, where the first questions make the way for the following. The questions can have complex structures that contain an advising or evaluating element, typically given as the reason for posing a specific question, or they can be directing the client to rethink plans of action.

The salient difference between 'the information elicitation', the future-orientation questions and the hypothetical questions is the assumptions concerning the ease of answering the questions displayed. The information elicitation displays the assumption that the client has the answer, the future-orientation questions display the assumption that the client can find an answer, and the hypothetical questions display the assumption that the client does not have an answer but will be able to find one.

When the use of future-orientation and hypothetical questions are prevalent in coaching, it is because coaching sessions deal with issues not yet realised. The strong focus on the goal and on figuring out how that goal can be realised make dealing with future issues central to the interactions. Another aspect of the coaching approach, which might relate to the saliency of these questions, is the underlying view of human potential. It is assumed that the clients are capable of finding the solution to their challenges, or of finding out how to reach their goals. The foundational view of human nature is that humans have the potential to do and realise whatever is wanted. However, for the client to know that anything is possible, the coach can help the client unpack untapped potential. One essential task for the coach is to ask questions that reflect this basic premise of the approach. The coach has to ask questions so that the client will know that s/he knows, and so that the client can come to know, when she offhand does not. The future-orientation questions, whether hypothetical or not, invite the client to co-construct a future event or world where she knows what it takes to realise her goals.

Excerpts 2.12-2.15 show that actions such as advising and directing the client can be done through question-answer sequences. Again, doing advising and directing in such a more or less indirect manner shows how the participants orient to asking questions as the way in which coaching is conducted.

The questions incorporating advice, evaluation, and direction into the turn-taking structure of question-answer sequences are quite coercive devices for the coach to use in giving advice etc., because of the strong preference structure of the question-answer sequences. That is, when the client answers the question, she at the same time accepts the advice, or evaluation as the backdrop of the question. In none of the excerpts above, does the client address whether reading aloud from her brochure is something that should be avoided (excerpt 2.12); or whether it is important that she should ask the prospective customer about his needs before telling what it is she as a consultant can offer (excerpt 2.13); or whether it is relevant to make her educational background explicit during the telephone call. All these issues are accepted without comments because the client orients to answering the question. As such, the overall turn taking structure of coaching can work against a coach wanting to advise, if the coach at the same time is aiming to be non-directive. This indicates that the question-answer turn taking may not be the most appropriate for all activities in a coaching session, and that coaches advising, evaluating and directing may be better served by not using question-packing.

The next chapter presents other question constructions coaches use in directing the client and the coaching session.

3. Quoting the Client

“The job of the coach is to take the words and help the clients to reflect on them, to get a different perspective, and thus see the world differently. Rephrasing is reseeing. When we can look at the words, they become objective – in other words, outside ourselves – and they no longer control us.” (O’Connor & Lages 2007:41).

The present chapter focuses on ways in which the coach reuses the client’s talk. The quote from O’Connor & Lages above is related to an underlying coaching value of creating awareness. By reporting back the client’s own talk it is possible for the client to ‘re-see’ his or her own words. When the client reeses his or her own words, s/he reeses a description of circumstances. Having the described circumstances ‘placed in front of you’ by the rephrasing of the coach, those word or circumstances become objective, and such an objective knowledge enables the client to act in ways which create solutions or open up new opportunities to create change.

A frequent activity in the data sample is the coach quoting back to the client what the client said on a previous occasion. These quotes are done as reported speech of the client’s preceding turns. In many cases, the talk that is being reported is immediately preceding the quote: the coach reports the talk of the client straight after the client has said it.

Moreover, the quotes analysed in this chapter all precede a question. They can in fact be done in one turn; creating a single ‘quote-question construction’. The question following the quote asks the client to unpack the quote. The questions seek to clarify aspects of the client’s talk or seek an elaboration of it.

This chapter explores quote-question constructions in coaching conversations: that is the coach’s use of reported speech — reported speech in which the coach quotes the client’s preceding or immediately preceding talk — in conjunction with a question. It focuses on the use of reported speech, as a way for the coach to make the client resee his or her own words. The analyses show how the quote-question constructions are designed and how the different sequential formats show an orientation to the quote as projecting a subsequent question. They also show how this construction moves the interaction along and directs the coaching sessions in ways which create opportunities for the client to rethink her circumstances. This is typically done in the quote, by slightly changing the client’s original talk. Finally,

completely all right.=You say you want to

15 i totusindogsyv >kan du< præcisere
 in two thousand and seven >can you< specify
 in two thousand and seven >can you< specify

16 hvornår i totusindogsyv[v (.) du ska:l
 when in two thousand and seven (.) you mu:st
 when in two thousand and seven (.) you have to

17 Cli: [Tch Ja i
 [Tch Yes in

18 totusindogsyv.
 two_thousand_and_seven.

19 Kalenderåret to[tusindogsyv.
 Calendar_year_the two_[thousand_and_seven.
 The calendar year of two thousand and seven.

In line 1-4 the client presents a goal he would like discuss in the coaching session, and in lines 7-8 he gives a reason why he has set this goal. In line 14, the coach reports the initial part of the client's talk from line 1 as part of a question asking the client to specify his deadline. Accordingly, the question is introduced by quoting the client's immediate prior talk.

Another example is seen excerpt 3.2.

Excerpt 3.2 ICC3_240507

1 Cli: .hhh Jeg får det bare ikke gjort altså
 .hhh I get it just not done PTC
 .hhh I just do not get it done that is

2 Jeg får ikke Fordi jeg kan ikke
 I get not Because I can not
 I do not get Because I cannot

3 planlægge så langt frem.
plan that far ahead.
plan that far ahead.

4 Der kommer altid et eller andet med arbejde
 There comes always one or other with work
 There is always something with work

5 eller (at jeg ikke ved) hvornår jeg kommer hjem.
 or (that I not know) when I come home.
 or (that I do not know) when I will be home.

6 (0.7)

7 Coa: S:å du så du siger du kan ikke
 S:o you so you say you can not
 S:o you so you say you cannot

8 planlægge så langt frem.

- plan that far ahead.
 plan that far ahead.
- 9 Cli: Nej
 No
- 10 (1.1)
- 11 Coa: Hvad forhindrer dig i at °planlægge°.
 What prevents you from toINF °plan°.
 What prevents you from °planning°.
- 12 Cli: .hhh Jamen det gør at:::
 .hhh Yes_but that does tha:::t
 .hhh Yes well the fact tha:::t
- 13 jeg arbejder i Rødding ((town))
 I work in Rødding
 I work in Rødding
- 14 og bor i Rødekro ((town)) så til hverdag
 and live in Rødekro so on weekdays
- 15 der ville jeg så skulle (.) vide..
 there would I then should (.) know..
 there would I then have to (.) know..

This client tells that she cannot get around to book an appointment with a golf coach. She explains this in lines 2-3 by saying long-term planning is difficult, and that work also can be an obstacle. In line 11, the coach asks a question, which is preceded by a report of the client's talk about long term planning (line 7-8). In between the quote and the question, the client responds to the report with a confirming 'no'⁹ in line 9, before the question addresses the issues brought forward by the quote.

It is this use of reported speech that this section focuses on. The talk being reported is either in the immediately preceding turn or in close proximity to the report, and it is always preceding a question that seeks to explore or clarify the reported speech. The question becomes grounded in the client's own talk: the reported speech is preparatory to the question, and it explicitly states on the basis of what information the question is asked.

This practice uses reported speech to set the stage for a subsequent question. This way of linking to the client's talk shows explicitly that the coach's questions arise from the client's prior talk, and at the same time it highlights a specific issue raised by the client by making it the object of further talk.

Before exploring the formats of these quote-question constructions, and this practice utilizing the client's talk in doing questioning, a brief review of the

⁹ Danish confirms negated sentence with a negation.

research on reported speech is presented along with considerations of how it compares to the data analysed here.

3.2 Reported Speech in Interaction

Reporting the talk of another can be done in a number of ways, and even though recent linguistic research distinguishes between ‘free indirect speech and thought’ and ‘distancing indirect speech and thought’ (Vandelanotte 2008), direct reported speech and indirect reported speech (hereafter DRS and IRS) are traditionally distinguished as the two main types of reports (Holt & Clift 2007, Coulmas 1984). The difference between the two is the viewpoint from which the report is given. (Coulmas 1984) In DRS, the original viewpoint is maintained, so that the report is presented in a way that preserves the viewpoint of the original speaker. DRS claims to present the original talk as it was and as it was said. In IRS, which is the form of reported talk in the quote-question constructions above, the report is given from the reporter’s point of view, that is the reporter does not claim to present the original talk as it was. The difference is also captured in terms of how ‘faithful’ the report is in relation to the original talk. As defined in Semino & Short:

“[IRS] presents the propositional content of what was said. The use of (F)DS [DRS] normally brings one further faithfulness claim: in addition to presenting the speech act value and the propositional content of the utterance it provides the words and the grammatical structures claimed to have been used...” (Semino & Short 2004:12)

The change in the grammatical structure distinguishing DRS from IRS is a change in deixis; typically a shift in the personal deixis as seen in both excerpt 3.1 and 3.2: Here, the pronoun is changed from ‘I’ (the client’s viewpoint) as in *‘I want to in two thousand and seven’* to ‘you’ (the coach’s viewpoint) as in *‘you say you want to in two thousand and seven’*. Deixis is the main grammatical feature, which distinguishes the two different report-formats, and in Danish as well as English IRS can be combined with the reporting clause with ‘that’.

In all the excerpts in this chapter, except one, the coach uses IRS when quoting the client, and in only one case is ‘that’ used to connect the reporting clause to the reported. As this ‘grammatical marker’ is not often present, and because most of the quotes are nearly identical to the original talk, the difference in whether DRS or IRS is used seem almost unimportant. However, it will be argued

in relation to the one example of DRS, that the choice between the two is not random, and that DRS's greater faithfulness to the original talk can be utilised as a means to claim such a fidelity, when it is not present.

In many ways the reported speech in the quote-question constructions is different from other uses of reported speech. Whether it is reported speech as part of narratives (Holt 2000, 1999) or in non-narrative contexts (Clift 2007) two aspects of the reports in the data presented here stand out: firstly their 'preparatory' relationship to the following question, and secondly, their proximate relationship to the original talk.

Research on the use of reported speech has shown how reported speech can be part of doing actions such as assessments and accounts (Couper-Kuhlen 2007) as well as complaints (Drew 1996). Similarly, the reported speech in the excerpts shown above can be seen as a part of an overall question construction. However, in the case of assessments, accounts, and complaints, the report is part of the core action and not merely setting a context for it. As Drew shows in relation to reported speech in complaints:

"...what the other is quoted as saying is being portrayed as really what the complaint is about. Whatever else the other did or did not do that was reprehensible, what they are quoted as having said is the key to the complaint about their behavior." (Drew 1998:321).

In the quote-question constructions, the reported speech is not doing questioning or in any way showing the coach's stance toward what the client said. It is re-stating the client's words to make explicit how the question is related to the client's talk. In this sense, the quote has a preparatory function in relation to the overall question construction, compared to the uses of reported speech doing actual assessments, accounts or complaints.

Reported speech can be and generally is about something said on an occasion that is removed from the here-and-now of the interaction. Reported speech can serve to show the reasoning behind some specific action, as when accounts are made, and it can show that some opinion was held prior to the here and now of the interaction as when assessments are made. It is the dislocation of the reported talk that makes it a resource for participants when needing to 'prove' that a certain position was taken or a certain stance held prior to the current interaction (Clift 2007).

18 totusindogsyv.
 two_thousand_and_seven.

In excerpt 3.1, the report and the questioning action are one sequential turn. There is no intervening talk from the client between the quote in lines 14-15 and the question lines 15-16. The coach continues straight from the report into a following question, marking the beginning of the question by speeding up the first words of the interrogative construction in line 14. The turn construction does not leave any space for the client to take a turn between the quote and the question: the rush-through of the initial interrogative components in excerpt 3.1 ensures that no transitional space is created.

Another example of the same-turn format is seen in excerpt 3.3.

Excerpt 3.3 ICCZ_240507

1 Coa: Ja. Hvad gør den stemme ved dig? ((07.44))
 Yes. What does that voice to you?
 Yes. What does that voice do to you?

2 Cli: .mt .hhhh æ:h:: Umiddelbart synes jeg bare
 .mt .hhhh e:h:: Offhand think I just
 .mt .hhhh e:h:: Offhand I just think

3 det er sund skepsis.
 it is sound scepticism.

4 Coa: Det er sund skepsis.
 It is sound scepticism.

5 Cli: Som jeg nok [al]tid vil have
 Which I probably [al]ways will have

6 Coa: [.hja]
 [.hyes]

7 Coa: J[a
 Y[es

8 Cli: [Eh indtil jeg ser (0.2)
 [Eh until I see (0.2)

9 O↑kay det her det lykkes (.) for[di
 O↑kay this here this succeeds (.) be[cause
 O↑kay this will succeed (.) because

10 com: ((Client shrugs her shoulders))

11 Coa: [Ja
 [Yes

12 (1.0)

13 Coa: Du siger du tror det er sund skepsis (0.8)

You say you think it is sound scepticism (0.8)
 You say you think it is sound scepticism (0.8)

14 er det noget du tror
 is it something you think
 is it something you think

15 eller 'det noget du ved?
 or 'it something you know?
 or is it something you know?

16 (3.2)

17 Cli: *Ø::h Jeg har det okay med det.
 *U::h I have it okay with it.
 *U::h I am fine with it.

18 (.)

19 Cli: [Lad] mig sige det sådan.
 [Let] me say it so.
 [Let] me put it like that.

The client is in the process of establishing her own consultancy, but has postponed the start-up several times. Prior to this excerpt she talked about a doubting voice questioning whether she will ever get her private business going, and in line 2-3, she describes this doubt as '*sound scepticism*'.

In lines 13-15, the coach asks a question composed of reported speech and an interrogative. In this excerpt, the report is quoting the client's turn (lines 2-3), and the question is an alternative interrogative exploring whether the client knows for sure that her doubt is sound scepticism.

In this example, there is a .8 pause (line 13), which leaves space for the client to respond. However, the client does not respond and the coach continues to ask the question, and as a result creates a same-turn quote-question construction.

Other syntactic constructions are used in the same-turn format, such as the 'when-then' construction in excerpt 3.4. This syntactic format introduces the reported speech with a 'when', which makes the turn unit containing the report recognisably incomplete.

Excerpt 3.4 ICC3_240507

1 Cli: Ne:j jeg vil <gennemarbejde>
 No: I will <through work>
 No: I want to <work through>

2 et for-coachingforløb i totusindogsyv.
 a pre-coaching_course in twothousandandseven.
 a pre-coaching course in twothousandandseven.

3 Det er færdig

It is complete

4 når totusindogsyv er færdig.
when two_thousand_and_seven is complete.
when two thousand and seven has ended.

5 Coa: Den enogtredivte i tolvte
The one_and_thirtyth in twelfth
December thirty first

6 [.hhh der e]:r du:
[.hhh there a:]re you:
.hhh then you are

7 Cli: [(>Det kan du< (godt) ())]
[(>That can you< (well) ())]

8 Coa: Der har du gennemarbejdet det=
There have you through_worked it.=
Then you have worked it through.=

9 Coa: =[.hhhh Når du siger]
=[.hhhh When you say]
=.hhhh When you say

10 Cli: [Ja det kan også være lige før jul]
[Yes it can also be just before Christmas]

11 Coa: du har gennemarbejdet det
you have through_worked it
you have worked it through

12 Coa: hvad ø:h hvad betyder det så?
what u:h what means that then?
what u:h does that mean?

13 (.)

14 Coa: Er det klar eller hva- hva- hvordan æh-
Is it start_ready lor wha- wha- how eh-
Is it operational lor wha- wha- how eh-

15 Hvad er det du står med i hænderne.
What is it you stand with in hands the.
What is it you have in your hands.

16 (.)

17 Coa: Kan [du ikke beskrive det for mig.]
Can [you not describe that to me.]

18 Cli: [For mig der er gennemarbejdet]
[For me there is through_worked]
[For me there is worked through]

19 så er det færdigt.
then is it finished.

This excerpt is taken from the same session as excerpt 3.1 and is a little later in the interaction. The coach and client are still discussing the goal the client has set. In line 9, the coach introduces the report with ‘when’. The ‘when’ projects that it

- 5 Coa: Du tror ikke helt hundrede på det?
You believe not completely hundred in it?
You do not believe a hundred percent in it.
- 6 Cli: Nej
No
- .
.
.
.
((39 lines omitted))
.
- 7 Coa: Vi vi mangler lige at få sat ((06.56))
We we lack just toINF get set
We we still need to
- 8 ø::h sat ord på: ø::h på målet.
u::h set word o:n u::h on goal_the.
u::h formulate u::h the goal.
- 9 .hh Men ø:::h du sagde (0.2) du sagde før
.hh But u:::h you said (0.2) you said before
- 10 ja:m'n jeg kommer nok aldrig til
ye:s_b't I come probably never to
we:ll I will probably never
- 11 at (.) tro (0.2) tro rigtig på det.
toINF (.) believe (0.2) believe really in it.
(.) believe (0.2) really believe in it.
- 12 Cli: hm
- 13 Coa: .hh Hvad vil det gøre ved dit private firma
.hh What will it do to your private company
- 14 hvis du ikke tror på det?
if you do not believe in it?
- 15 (3.2)
- 16 Cli: .hhhh hhhh <Jamen> æ:::hm:: jeg TROR jo
.hhhh hhhh <Yes_but> e:::hm:: I BELIEVE ADV
.hhhh hhhh <Well> e:::hm:: I BELIEVE you know
- 17 altså også så meget på det a jeg gør det.
PRT also so much in it that I do it
that is also so much in it that I do it

In line 12, the client responds to the reported speech, here done as DRS, with the continuer 'hm' (Schegloff 1982), through which the client displays her understanding of the quote as a turn in progress. In both excerpt 3.2 and 3.7, the responses, being minimal, orient to the report as introducing something more. The clients show that they have understood the coach, and at the same time indicate that the coach may continue. The client does not seize the opportunity to begin an extended turn but instead aligns as a recipient of the projected talk.

[That is too many good ideas.

- 15 Coa: [Ja
[Yes
- 16 Cli: [Det er at jeg selv skal i gang
[It is that I myself must in progress
[It is that I have to initiate
- 17 med dem alle sammen jo.
with them all together ADV.
all of them you know.

Prior to this excerpt, the client has been talking about one of her challenges in being her company's idea-maker. Because of the client's inventiveness too many projects land on her desk, which results in too many unfinished projects. This is the context for the client's wish in lines 1-3, which the coach quotes in line 6-7.

As this excerpt shows, the separate-turn formats can have sequences interjected in between the report and the question. In line 8 (marked by the arrow), the client gives her confirmation of the report, and after that the coach adds '*At any rate*', occasioning another affirmative response from the client. '*At any rate*' qualifies the quote as accurate no matter what else may be the case; that even though the quote is not depicting the entire original talk, the reported part holds true. '*At any rate*' is an increment (Schegloff 1997), and it is syntactically dependent on its prior turn and connected to the report in a way that does not move the interaction on. '*At any rate*' recompletes the already complete turn in line 6-7, and as such, this inserted sequence still projects the coming question.

Another example of an intervening sequence is seen in excerpt 3.9, where the coach formulates the consequences of the reported assertion in between the quote and the question.

Excerpt 3.9 ICC3_240507

- 1 Cli: Og nu lige der er min frem til første juni
And now just there is my forward to first June
And just now there is my until the first of June
- 2 der er min (.) kalender .hh vildt booket
there is my (.) kalendar .hh crazy booked.
my (.) kalendar is .hh completely booked.
- 3 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 4 Cli: Så jeg kan træne i weekender
So I can practise in weekends
- 5 og i weekender er det svært

and in weekends is it difficult
and in weekends it is difficult

6 at få fat på træneren.
to get hold of coach_the.
to get hold of the coach.

7 Coa: .hja
.hyes

.
. ((12 Lines omitted where the client talks about
. similar difficulties in making other
. appointments at the weekends))
.

8 Cli: Så jeg har svært ved
So I have difficulty to
So I have difficulty

9 at få aftalt den tid.
toINF get arranged that appointment.
getting that appointment arranged.

10 Coa: Ø::hm s:å: du siger din kalender er fyldt op.
U::hm s:o you say your calendar is filled up.
U::hm s:o you say your calendar is filled.

11 Cli: Ja
Yes

12 Coa: → Ja så derfor er det svært æ:::h
Yes so therefore is it difficult e:::h
Yes so therefore it is difficult e:::h

13 → at få noget puttet ind der.
to get something put in there.
to get something added in there.

14 Cli: Ja
Yes

15 (0.5)

16 Coa: .hhh ø::hm::: .mt Er der noget
.hhh u::hm::: .mt Is there something
.hhh u::hm::: .mt Is there anything

17 i din kalender som er mindre vigtigt
in your calendar which is less important
in your calendar which is less

18 end noget andet.
than something else.
important than the others.

19 Cli: .hh .mt Ikke i den her altså Det er ø:::h øhm
.hh .mt. Not in this here PTC That is u:::h uhm
.hh .mt. Not in this here that is That is u:::h uhm

20 Der er ikke nogle ting
There is not some things
There is not anything

3.4 The Quote in Service of the Question

The use of reported speech sets the stage for the subsequent question, which seeks to unpack the quoted talk, either by inquiring further into it as in excerpts 3.2, 3.3, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8 and 3.9, or by seeking a clarification as in excerpt 3.1, 3.4, 3.5 and 3.10.

That the quote is in service of the question is also seen in cases where the quote is adapted to make relevant the subsequent question. In excerpt 3.11, the coach and client are establishing the goal, which the client wants to pursue. The client has been talking about her own ability, and lack thereof, to set goals, pursue and reach them. This can be seen in excerpt 3.11, where she talks about her wish to lose weight.

Excerpt 3.11 ICC5_230507

1 Cli: Og da jeg ved (0.2)
 And since I know (0.2)
 And since I know (0.2)

2 at det med at tabe mig
 that that with to lose me
 that this concerning weight loss

3 .hhh er en ting som betyder utroligt meget for mig,
 .hhh is a thing which means incredibly much to me,

4 Coa: m

5 (0.5)

6 Cli: og jeg alligevel ikke kan holde (.)
 and I nevertheless not can keep (.)
 and I nevertheless cannot manage (.)

7 >|så vil jeg sige<
 >|then will I say<
 >|then I will say<

8 Cli: hvis jeg kan over- hvis jeg klare den
 if I can comp- if I manage that

9 så kan jeg sgu vælte verden.
 then can I ADV overturn world_the.
 then I can overturn the world damn it.

10 (0.5)

11 Coa: Okay.
 Okay.

12 (0.7)

13 Cli: Altså.
 PRT.
 You know.

- 14 Coa: Ja.
Ja.
- 15 (0.5)
- 16 Coa: N' du siger det betyder no:get for dig, (0.4)
W' you say it means something to you, (0.4)
- 17 Coa: hvor meget be^ttyder det for ^ldig.
how much ^lmeans it to ^lyou.
how much does it ^lmean to ^lyou.
- 18 Coa: På en skala fra et til ti
On a scale from one to ten
On a scale from one to ten
- 19 hvor meget be^ttyder det for dig.=
how much ^{mean} it to you.=
how much does it ^{mean} to you.=
- 20 Cli: =At tabe mig?=
=To lose myself?=
=To lose weight?=-
- 21 Coa: =Ja
=Yes
- 22 Cli: .hhh Jamen >det det< erhh >Det det<
.hhh Yes_but >it it< ishh >It it<
.hhh Yes_well >it it< ishh >It it<
- Det betyder tolv hah f^på en skala fra et til ti.£
It means twelve hah f^on a scale from one to ten.£

The report of the client's talk is slightly different from the original talk. The client has described losing weight as meaning '*incredibly much*' to her. In the reported talk, this is downgraded to meaning '*something*'. The question, which follows, asks the client to rate the importance of her wish to lose weight on a scale from one to ten, and in that way seeks to clarify and specify just how important the goal is. The client's own assessment is not a precise measure in terms of the scale, but something meaning '*incredibly much*' is clearly positive or on the high end of an importance-scale. In the report, on the other hand, the assessment 'meaning something' is almost neutral. The downgraded assessment in the adapted report does not only make it relevant to ask about and establish the importance of the weight-loss wish, it has to be designed this way to accommodate the question. The report makes it possible to ask the client how much losing weight means, even though the coach has just been told. In other words, the 'imprecise' report is adapted so it makes the clarification in the question pertinent.

In excerpt 3.11, it is clearly seen how the report is set up for the following question, and it shows that it is the report of the client's talk and not the talk

proper, that the question is inquiring into. This practice opens up a line of inquiry, which serves different purposes according to the specific context. In excerpt 3.11, the client assesses weight loss as *'incredibly important'* and at the same time she is admitting to doing nothing about it (line 6). With this contradiction, her importance-assessment could be rightly questioned, and by asking for a scale rating based on the more neutral assessment in the quote, this issue is questioned in a manner that does not explicitly address the contradiction. By referring back to the assessment of the weight-loss wish, the coach overlooks any intervening talk and in this case topicalises the 'importance' assessment, and not the client's lack of persistence.

Similarly, in excerpt 3.9, the description in the quote is also downgraded compared to the original talk.

From excerpt 3.9

1 Cli: Og nu lige der er min !Frem til første juni
 And now just there is my !Forward to first June
 And just now there is my !Until the first of June

2 der er min (.) !kalender .hh vildt booket
 there is my (.) !calendar .hh crazy booked.
 my (.) !calendar is .hh completely booked.

 .
 .
 .

10 Coa: Ø:::hm s:å: du siger din kalender er fyldt op.
 U:::hm s:o you say your calendar is filled up.
 U:::hm s:o you say your calendar is filled.

11 Cli: Ja
 Yes

12 Coa: Ja så derfor er det svært æ:::h
 Yes so therefore is it difficult e:::h
 Yes so therefore it is difficult e:::h

13 at få noget putt^{et} ind der.
 to get something put in there.
 to get something added in there.

14 Cli: Ja
 Yes

15 (0.5)

16 Coa: .hhh ø:::hm::: .mt Er der noget
 .hhh u:::hm::: .mt Is there something
 .hhh u:::hm::: .mt Is there anything

17 i din kalender som er mindre vigtigt

```

in your calendar which is less important
in your calendar which is less

18      end noget      andet.
        than something else.
        important than the others.

```

In line 10, the coach reports the client's talk from line 2: The client describes her calendar as being '*completely booked*', which in the reported speech is rephrased as '*filled*'. The client's extreme case description does not leave much opportunity for adding any new appointments to her schedule, but the downgraded version creates precisely the possibility of space in the client's calendar. The adapted, more moderate description of the client's calendar opens up for the coach to ask about the possibility to prioritise in the subsequent question.

Furthermore, the client's own extreme-case description of her calendar creates an obstacle for reaching her goal. If she needs to schedule an appointment with a golf coach to improve her golf handicap, something has got to be done in relation to her overbooked calendar. The coach topicalises this obstacle by creating a context where it can be addressed, and also modifies the description of the client's circumstances in a manner which opens up space for possible solutions.

In both excerpts 3.11 and 3.9, the quote 'rewinds' the interaction such that the part of the client's talk that is overlooked is her account of difficulties. As such, these adapted quotes remove obstacles from the client's circumstances and focus on creating progress in relation to the client's goal.

From excerpt 3.4

```

1      Cli:      Ne:j jeg vil <gennemarbejde>
        No: I will <through work>
        No: I will <work through>

2      et for-coachingforløb i totusindogsyv.
        a pre-coaching_course in twothousandandseven.
        a pre-coaching course in twothousandandseven.

        .
        .
        .

5      Coa:      Den enogtredivte i !tolyte
        The one_and_thirtyth in !twelth
        December thirty first

6      [.hhh                der e]:r du:
        [.hhh                there a:]re you:
        .hhh then you are

7      Cli:      [(>Det kan du< (godt) ( ) ) ]

```

- [(>That can you< (well) ())]
- 8 Coa: Der har du gennemarbejdet det=
There have you through_worked it.=
Then you have worked it through.=
- 9 Coa: = [.hhhh Når du siger]
= [.hhhh When you say]
= .hhhh When you say
- 10 Cli: [Ja det kan også være lige før jul]
[Yes it can also be just before Christmas]
- 11 Coa: du har gennemarbejdet det
you have through_worked it
you have worked it through
- 12 Coa: hvad ø:h hvad bety^{der} det så?
what u:h what means that then?
what u:h does that mean?
- 13 (.)
- 14 Coa: Er det ikøreklart l eller hva- hva- hvordan æh-
Is it istart_ready lor wha- wha- how eh-
Is it loperational lor wha- wha- how eh-
- 15 Hvad er det du står med i h^ænderne.
What is it you stand with in hands_the.
What is it you have in your hands.

In excerpt 3.4, the coach has asked the client to specify the deadline for his project. In line 5, the coach draws a conclusion regarding the deadline and latched onto that she quotes the client in line 9. In the quote, there is a change of verb: the client uses the verb ‘*will*’ in his description and the coach uses ‘*have*’. This slight change creates a future projection. In the quote, the project is now being referred to as something the client has done. The quote is matched to the future description of the client having reached his goal in the coach’s preceding conclusion. The future projection sets up a future scenario in which the client has completed his project and building on that the line of questions, which follows, are asked. The client is asked to explain having something ‘*worked through*’, but from a future perspective. He is mentally to project himself to a point in time where he has reached his goal.

Again, a subtle adaption in the quote sets up the context for a specific question. The adapted quote makes a smooth transition from one perspective to another, and directs the interaction – through the future projection – to a new scenario.

The following shows the adapted quotes from excerpts 3.3 and 3.6.

From excerpt 3.3

2 Cli: .mt .hhhh æ::h::: Umiddelbart synes jeg bare
 .mt .hhhh e::h::: Offhand think I just
 .mt .hhhh e::h::: Offhand I just think

3 det er sund skepsis.
 it is sound scepticism.

 .
 .
 .

13 Coa: Du siger du tror det er sund skepsis (0.8)
 You say you think it is sound scepticism (0.8)
 You say you think it is sound scepticism (0.8)

14 er det noget du tror
 is that something you think

15 eller 'det noget du ved?
 or 'it something you know?

From excerpt 3.6

4 Cli: jeg tror nok ikke
 I believe probably not
 I probably do not believe

5 helt hundrede på det.
 completely a hundred percent in it.

 .
 .
 .

9 Coa: .hh Men ø::h du sagde (0.2) du sagde før
 .hh But u::h you said (0.2) you said before

10 ja:m'n jeg kommer nok aldrig til
 ye:s_b't I come probably never to
 we:ll I will probably never

11 at (.) tro (0.2) tro rigtig på det.
 toINF (.) believe (0.2) believe really in it.
 (.) believe (0.2) really believe in it.

12 Cli: hm

13 Coa: .hh Hvad vil det gøre ved dit private firma
 .hh What will it do to your private company

14 hvis du ikke tror på det?
 if you do not believe in it?

In excerpt 3.3, the client uses the Danish verb 'synes–think1' in line 2. By contrast, the coach uses the Danish verb 'tror–think2' (line 13) when quoting her. Both Danish verbs translate into English 'think', making the translation inadequate to capture the semantic differences between the two verbs. 'Synes–think1' refers to an

attitude, an approach the client chooses to take towards the voice in her head, where as ‘tror–think2’ on the other hand refers to a doubt. In the reported version, the coach turns the client’s assessment of her doubt into something she is unsure about. The change from ‘synes–think1’ to ‘tror–think2’ establishes the relevance for the question (line 14-15), which seeks to clarify whether the client is sure about her assessment of her doubt as ‘*sound scepticism*’. The creation of client-doubt is essential in making the question relevant.

In excerpt 3.6, the client describes her ambivalence in preparing to launch her own consultancy but at the same time not believing in it. The client describes her lack of belief in the present tense (line 4). This means that right now the client does not believe in her company, and this lack of belief is valid at present. In the quote, the lack of belief is reported in the future tense (line 10-11): the client will never believe in her company. The lack of belief is reported as being valid always. This change is done partly through the change from the present tense of the client’s talk, to future tense of the report, and partly by the use of the adverb ‘*never*’. Furthermore, there is a change in the description from the client’s quantifying assessment of not believing ‘*a hundred percent*’ to the coach’s ‘*never really believe in it*’. What the client is describing as a percentile lack of belief, the coach is reporting as a continuous state of disbelief. Having set the stage for the client not having any faith in her company, the following question asking how this will affect her consultancy is made possible.

In these excerpts, the adaptations of the reports create a more fundamental change in the meaning compared to the client’s original talk. These changes are not just downgrading and making less dramatic the original talk; they are more or less changing what the client previously said. Interestingly, DRS is used in excerpt 3.6: a format that is claiming to maintain the viewpoint of the original talk. DRS “*implies a greater fidelity to the source of information*” (Li 1984:41). That this format is used in a case where the quote is changed considerably from the original talk shows how DRS can be used to claim a fidelity that is not there. DRS stresses the client’s ownership of the talk in a case where it can be questioned whether the reported talk was ever the words of the client. This could indicate that the choice of DRS over IRS is not a random choice, but is influenced by the need to demonstrate a faithfulness to the original talk, that is not there.

In all these excerpts, the reports do not just set the stage for a following question; the reports are also adapted in a way that makes the question not only relevant but possible to ask at all. Even though the reported speech is related more

loosely to the original utterances, the clients still do not comment on the correctness of the reported speech in their response. Even when the separate-turn format makes an intermediate turn possible, the clients still orient to the compound nature of the quote-question constructions. Because of these interactional constraints, the quote-question construction is a powerful way to recreate the interaction, and direct its course. Not commenting on the reported speech, even when edited to a degree where the correctness could rightly be questioned shows how the clients are not focussed on the report as an object for commenting on their own talk. This is not to say that the clients are at the mercy of these constructions. However, as the question creates a preference for an answer, the clients have to disrupt the contiguity of the interaction, if they want to comment on the accuracy of the report.

3.5 Summary and Discussion

All the excerpts above clearly show that the practice of quoting the client's talk is recognised as setting the stage for a subsequent questioning action. This is seen in the same-turn formats, where the quote-question constructions are designed as single turns, having the quote as a question preface. The separate-turn formats show that the clients recognise the quote as part of a compound structure, and any intervening talk display the understanding of the quotes as turns or actions in progress. Also, any intervening talk by the coach is designed as expansions of the quote, dependent on it, and continuing the projection.

In setting the stage for a subsequent question, the quote selects a specific issue raised by the client, and makes it a topic for further talk. The questions seek to clarify and/or elaborate some element of the client's talk.

The quote-question constructions make explicit how the coach's questions are linked to some prior talk. The necessity of the explication of such a link could be explained in a distance between the question and what is questioned. In some excerpts, where there is a distance between the original talk and quote, the aptitude of reported speech to 'place' talk, which is outside the here-and-now of the interaction, in the present of the interaction is exploited. However, no matter whether the quote bridges a distance between the quote and the original talk, the placement of the report before the question is essential, in that it is not the client's talk the question relates to but the coach's version of the talk. This practice of asking questions makes it possible to rewind and delete or 'overlook' any intervening talk that might not be appropriate for the business at hand; and it

makes it possible to adapt the original talk to the question so it will be useful for the business at hand. As such, this practice of asking questions refocuses the interaction and leads it in a certain direction – a direction that is not in opposition to the issues brought to the interaction by the client – but still chosen and shaped by the coach.

By quoting the client, the redirection is done in a way that shows that the coach is listening to the client, and that the coach is attentive towards the client's agenda. It is a way for the client to resee his or her own statements, not only by getting them rephrased, but also by seeing what kinds of questions the talk raises for the coach.

Because of the proximate nature of the quote in many of the excerpts, the reported speech might seem superfluous, as the continuity already exists because of the closeness between the original talk and the quote. In fact, the apparent redundancy of the quote seems to break up the interactional flow and creates an opportunity for the coach to direct or redirect the conversation. Compared to asking the question straight after the client's talk, this practice creates a platform from which the coach can take control of the interaction. Without commenting on the client's talk, and as such taking a stance in relation the issues discussed, the quote-question constructions turn the client's talk into something coachable; and as such this practice expresses a coaching behaviour – a way of doing coaching.

4 Formulating the Client's Talk

This chapter focuses on another way in which the coach 'questions' the client in a manner that directs the interaction. Just as the quote-question constructions analysed in chapter 3 are a means for the coach to re-focus and re-shape the words of the client, *formulating* the client's preceding talk represents another way for the coach to direct the coaching sessions. This practice is similar to the quote-question constructions in that it also presents the client's preceding talk, but instead of quoting the client, the coach rephrases the client's talk in drawing out the essence of it.

This chapter analyses instances of formulations and similar practices used by the coach to present his or her understanding of the client's preceding talk. In excerpt 4.1, two s of rephrasing the client's preceding talk are used (marked in grey).

Excerpt 4.1 ICC5_230507

1	Coa:	Så når du <u>har</u> den her plan So when you <u>have</u> this here plan So when you <u>have</u> this plan	((38.07))
2		og den æh og du har brugt tiden på det, and it eh and you have spend time_the on that, and it eh and you have spend time on that,	
3	Cli:	m:	
4	Coa:	Og du har risikoanalysen And you have risk_analysis_the And you have the risk analysis	
5		[og] du har det hele, [(1.0) [and] you have it all, [(1.0)	
6	Cli:	[Ja] [Yes]	
7	com:		[((Client nodding))
8	Coa:	hvad kan så gå galt? what can then go wrong?	
9		(2.2)	
10	Cli:	.mt .hh Der ka- Der kan ikke .mt .hh There ca- There can not .mt .hh Nothing ca- Nothing can	
11	com:	((Client shakes her head))	

- 12 Cli: gå noget galt så.
go anything wrong then.
go wrong then.
- 13 Coa: °O↑kay°
°O↑kay°
- 14 Cli: Det kan der jo sådan set ikke.
That can there ADV so seen not.
There actually cannot you know.
- 15 Coa: Så det er det der skal til,
So that is that there must to,
So that is what it takes,
- 16 Cli: Ja. Det er det der skal til.
Yes. That is that there must to.
Yes. That is what it takes.
- 17 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 18 Cli: At at det bliver et projekt for mig.
That that it becomes a project to me.
That that it will become a project to me.
- 19 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 20 (1.2)
- 21 Coa: Så de- (.) Så det du siger
So ther- (.) So that you say
So ther- (.) So what you are saying
- 22 der er ikke noget der kan forhindre det (0.2)
there is not anything that can prevent it (0.2)
there is nothing that can prevent it (0.2)
- 23 hvis [du] har den her plan,=
if [you] have this here plan,=
if you have this plan,=
- 24 Cli: [°ja°]
[°yes°]
- 25 Cli: =°.hja°
=°.hyes°
- 26 (0.5)
- 27 Coa: detaljeret (0.3) risikoanalyse.
detailed (0.3) risk analysis.
detailed (0.3) risk analysis.
- 28 Cli: *Nej (.) Så kan der i hvert fald
*No. (.) Then can there in any case
*No. (.) Then there cannot in any case
- 29 ikke være nogle ting som *e:r står i min magt...
not be any things which *i:s stand in my power...
nothing which *i:s is within my power...

The client has prior to this excerpt been outlining steps she will take in order to reach her goal, and in lines 1-8, the coach sums up these actions by asking what can go wrong when the client has taken these steps. The client concludes, in line 10, 12 and 14, that *'nothing can go wrong'*. The coach then sums up the talk by concluding: *'So that is what it takes'*, in line 15, which the client confirms by repeating it in her following turn. This conclusion presents an inference from the client's previous talk: that the client's plans will enable the client to reach her goal.

After this conclusion, the coach does yet another rephrasing of the client's talk in lines 21-23 and 27. This time the coach explicitly marks his talk as a version of the client's talk: The phrase *'so what you are saying'* introduces the following talk as the coach's understanding of what the interaction or part of the interaction has been about so far.

The second practice of rephrasing the client's talk is a formulation. Formulations are as Heritage (1985:100) defines: *"summarizing, glossing or developing the gist of an informant's earlier statements"*. Formulations as conversational practices were first presented in Heritage & Watson's foundational paper (Heritage & Watson 1980), which develops Garfinkel & Sacks' notion of formulating talk (1970). Sacks & Garfinkel define formulating as: *"Conversationalists' practices of saying-in-so-many-words-what-we-are-doing"* (ibid:351). Both this and the quote from Heritage above capture the re-stating or re-phrasing nature of formulations, and Heritage furthermore points out the salient next turn relationship: Formulations bring out or highlight something in the prior talk.

As described in chapter 3 in relation to excerpt 3.5, where a quote was inserted into a formulation, formulations are A-statements¹¹ about B-events (Labov & Fanshel 1977, Heritage & Roth 1995). That is, one speaker formulates the other speaker's expressed experiences and/or knowledge. As seen in excerpt 4.1, the coach formulates the gist: *'So ther- (.) So what you are saying there is nothing that can prevent it (0.2) if you have this plan, detailed (0.3) risk analysis.'*, of the client's own assessment: *'Nothing ca- Nothing can go wrong then'*. This assessment is based on the client's knowledge about what will make her reach her goal. It is an assessment of issues belonging to the client's epistemic domain.

¹¹ The term 'statement' is here not referring to the linguistic declarative, which is the prototypical format for statements. Formulations can be not only declaratives but also interrogatives.

The first rephrasing in excerpt 4.1, line 15, is similar, but not explicitly marking the conclusion as a rephrasing of the client's talk. It is summarising the preceding talk but rather presenting an inference the coach makes. The two practices are similar in that they present a version of the client's preceding talk for the client to either confirm or dismiss, the second rephrasing is a more prototypical formulation as it explicitly designs the conclusion as a version of the client's talk. In this chapter, however, the term *formulations* and *formulating* is used broadly, and encompass both practices presented above.

These formulations are distinguishable from other summing up actions: it is common in coaching conversations for coaches to do what they call backtrackings, where the coach sums up the interaction so far. These summaries address the whole interaction and are not linked particularly to the immediately prior talk, and they do not formulate the client's feelings, knowledge, experiences, opinions or anything in the client's epistemic domain in particular, but the overall interaction in general.

The next section of this chapter shows how the practice of formulating the client's talk, as identified above, is done. Characteristics of this are highlighted, and the two major formats used are described.

The design of the formulations shows how the client's authority is enforced by the coach, and likewise the responses of the client show how she underscores the ownership of the talk being rephrased. Sections 4.3 and 4.4 show particularly how the epistemic authority of the client is maintained both by the responses and designs of the formulations themselves.

4.1 Features of Formulating Actions

One aspect of formulations already mentioned above is that parts of the prior talk are topicalised. The coach picks up on a certain aspect of the client's preceding talk and thereby makes it the topic for further talk. Accordingly, formulations select elements of the prior talk, and present an edited version of it.

Excerpt 4.2 ICC5_230507

- 1 Cli: Jam- h- h- han sidder og spørger ((33.55))
 Yes_b- h- h- he sits and asks
 Yes we- h- h- he is asking
- 2 hvad han så skal hjælpe mig med.
 what he then must help me with.
 what he then should help me do.

- 3 Coa: °°Okayζ°°
°°Okayζ°°
- 4 Cli: Hvad mit næste mål er.
What my next goal is.
- 5 Coa: O:kay.
O:kay.
- 6 (1.3)
- 7 Cli: Det gør han.
That does he.
That is what he does.
- 8 Coa: Så han er klar til næste mål nu=
So he is ready for next goal now=
So he is ready for the next goal now=
- 9 Cli: =Ja det er han faktisk.
=Yes that is he actually.
=Yes he actually is.
- 10 (0.7)
- 11 Coa: Han er:::
He is:::
- 12 Cli: Nu ka- nu kan han holde
Now ca- now can he hold
Now ca- now he can hold

In excerpt 4.2 lines 1-2, the client is referring to an inner voice, which has been personified as a devil sitting on her left shoulder causing her to doubt her own ability to reach the goals she sets for herself. This character is who is referred to as *'he'*. Prior to excerpt 4.2, the coach has asked the client to imagine that she has reached her goal and to describe what it is like. The coach also asks what her inner voice is saying at this moment. Lines 1 and 2 are part of that answer.

In line 8, the coach formulates an upshot of the client's previous turns. The client tells that once she has reached this goal, the devil will be asking her about her next goal. The coach rephrases this talk as: *'So he is ready for the next goal now'*. The topic, 'the next goal', is maintained, whereas the client's description of the devil's willingness to help (lines 1-2) is rephrased as *'being ready'*. That the formulation upholds the topic is also seen in the talk following it. Both in excerpt 4.1 and 4.2, the client continues along the same lines as prior to the formulation. In excerpt 2, the client continues to talk about what the devil will do now in line 12, where *'now'* refers to the projected future, and in excerpt 4.1, she elaborates the formulation by defining *'what it takes'* as *'becoming a project'* in line 18.

In both excerpt 4.1 and 4.2, the conclusions drawn also make something more of the original talk. In excerpt 4.1, the formulation rephrases the talk about the

- Yes. Then is it a realistic goal.
Yes. Then it is a realistic goal.
- 15 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 16 (0.5)
- 17 Cli: Der sidder et et lille håb inde (.) om
There sits a a little hope inside (.) about
There is a little hope inside (.)
- 18 at (.) det er begge dele til den første december.
that (.) it is both parts to the first December.
that (.) it is both to the first of December.

In the formulation (lines 12-13), the coach concludes that *'cope with it'* – which is how the client describes why she has set the deadline for her goal as she has – means that something *'is a realistic goal'*. Topic maintenance is done here by incorporating the previous turn into the formulation as reported speech: *'when you say you can cope with it'*. This construction makes clear that the formulation builds on what has been said previously. The topic elaboration is a shift from a characterisation of the client to a characterisation of the goal. The client accounts for the goal-setting by referring to her own ability to cope in line 10, but the formulation turns the focus away from this self-characterisation to the characteristic of the goal – describing *'realistic'* as a trait of the goal.

Besides topic maintenance, topic elaboration and selectivity Heritage reports two other features of formulations: “*neutrality* and *seeking reconfirming response*” (*ibid*). *Neutrality* refers to the fact that a formulation does not assess the other participant's prior talk. The neutrality of formulations is related to their claims to be summaries. When formulating, the speaker is only rephrasing the words of another co-participant and is as such not adding anything or seemingly displaying any stance in relation to the talk.

This is particularly salient in an example from Heritage (1985), where the formulation is a response to a troubles-telling and an expression of empathy would have been common in everyday conversation. In that example, neutrality is upheld by the rephrasing of the formulation that claims to add nothing to the preceding talk. Also Antaki (2008:27) describes formulations as displaying some sort of neutrality. Among a range of actions, Antaki identifies formulations as being the least combative way of offering an alternative account. As reinterpretable statements, formulations are more co-operative than the challenges, corrections and extensions than Antaki compares them with.

However, the term neutrality is problematic because formulations can be used as “*argumentative moves*” in the interaction (Hutchby 1995:53), and Heritage (1985:108) also gives examples of what he calls “*inferentially elaborative probes*” where the formulations state prior talk more strongly than the other participant can subscribe to. A further aspect that makes neutrality in relation to formulations problematic is the issue of selectivity. As Clayman & Heritage also point out in relation to formulations in news interviews:

“*Such reformulations are never purely neutral summaries of what has been said; they are usually designed in such a way as to sharpen the interviewee’s point.*” (Clayman and Heritage 2002: 308).

As described in the above, the choice of what is formulated in itself emphasizes specific aspects of the talk, and formulations can therefore by nature be said to be skewed in relation to the original talk. Admittedly, formulations can be viewed as being neutral in that the formulator does not portray himself as displaying his own stance in formulating, but as moves in the interaction¹² they can be highly argumentative and used to create controversy.

Seeking a reconfirming response is related to formulations being A-statements about B-events: Whatever the coach formulates is something the client has primary access to, and as such they invite the client to comment on the coach’s version of the client’s own events.

The formulations in excerpts 4.1 and 4.2 state both what follows from the previous talk, and what the essence of the preceding talk is: If nothing can go wrong, it follows that the plan is what it takes (excerpt 4.1 line 15); if the devil is asking what he can do to help, it follows that he is ready for the next goal (excerpt 4.2, line 8); saying that nothing can go wrong and that the plan is what it takes is essentially the same as saying that nothing can prevent the client from reaching her goal when she has the plan (excerpt 4.3 lines 21-23 and 27). Similarly, in excerpt 4.3, the formulation re-states what coping with a goal means in relation to

¹² That formulations can be used strategically in this way underlines that they are acts in the interaction. As comments on the prior talk, formulations have been labelled meta-talk in a more linguistically oriented approach to the description of different discourse markers (Schiffrin 1980:200). Even though much of this type of analysis draws on and incorporates some understanding of conversational analytical findings, it discards the sequential analysis, and fails to recognize the action performed by formulating and the interactional tasks the participants perform by them.

the goal. These two different types of rephasings represent Heritage and Watson's distinction between *gists* and *upshots* (1979:130). The difference between the two is rather fine, as gists and upshots both form conclusions, but whereas gists are rephrasing the preceding talk in other words, upshots are conclusions inferred from the previous talk. Heritage & Watson do not uphold this distinction as being markedly significant, nor does subsequent research mention the different types of formulations. However, in the data presented here the distinction makes sense as it is reflected in the format and design of the formulations. This will be explored further in the next section.

4.2 Turn format

This section will explore further the formats of the 14 formulations collected; examining which formats are used, and how these are distributed in relation to the formulation-type they present.

The formulations in this collection fall into two formats. The first format was seen in excerpts 4.1 and 4.2 where the formulations are introduced by the conjunction 'so' '*So that is what it takes,*' in excerpt 4.1 and '*So he is ready for...*', in excerpt 4.2. The second formulation format is introduced by a 'summing up-clause' – possibly but not necessarily preceded by 'so' – as seen in excerpt 4.1: '*So what you are saying*' and in excerpt 4.3: '*That will say... / That means...*'. In the latter two examples, the formulations are prefaced by a clause presenting it as some form of what was being said, and the first format is not. The formats point to a distinction between upshots and gists being embedded in the turn design: The formulations, in excerpts 4.1 and 4.2, state what follows from the previous talk, and in excerpt 4.3, the formulation says in other words what coping with a goal means in relation to the goal. Excerpt 4.4 shows a format similar to that of excerpt 4.3.

Excerpt 4.4 ICC5_230507

1	Cli:	Min første indtryk er (0.3) [((snap)) My first impression is (0.3)
2	Com:	[((Client moves her
3		right hand up and down showing measuring people))
4	Cli:	hvor stor eller lille er vedkommende. how big or small is the_person_in_question how big or small is the person in question
5		(.)
6	Cli:	Og så begynder vi at kigge

Og_then begin we to look
Og then we begin to look

7 efter alt muligt andet.=
after all kinds different.=
after different things.=

8 Coa: =Vil det sige [at] øh
=Will that say [that] uh
=Does that mean that uh

9 Cli [((sniff))]

10 et yderligere resultat af at nå det her mål
an additional result of to reach this here goal
an additional result of reaching this goal

11 Coa: det er at du behøver [ikke] at betragte
that is that you need [not] to look
that is that you do not need to look at

12 Cli: [krm]

13 Coa: alle andre mennes[ker] i forhold til deres vægt,
all other peo[ple] in relation to their weight,
other people in relation to their weight,

14 Cli: [JA]
[YES]

15 Cli: JA
YES

In excerpt 4.4, the client is telling the coach how the persistent wish of weight loss has affected how she views other people. In lines 8-13, the formulation is introduced by a similar ‘summing up clause’ as used in excerpt 4.3, however here in an interrogative format. The formulation is furthermore named as an ‘*additional result*’. In formulating the essence of the prior talk, the coach makes more of it by constructing it as an additional positive result of the client reaching her goal.

The table below shows that all the formulations found in the data exclusively use these two formats – either an introducing ‘so’ or ‘so’ + ‘summing up clause’ – and moreover, that they seem to make up two distinctive sets: ‘so’ + ‘summing up clause’ prefaced formulations are gists, and ‘so’ prefaced formulations are upshots. This corresponds with 4.1 and 4.2 being upshots formulating what follows from the prior talk, whereas 4.3 and 4.4 are gist, rephrasing prior talk.

<i>Formulations of</i>		
<i>Upshots</i>	Excerpt 4.1a	Så det er det der skal til, → So that is what it takes,
	Excerpt 4.2	Så han er klar... → So he is ready...
	Excerpt 4.5b	Så det her det fører... → So this leads...
	Excerpt 4.6b	Så han er med for at... → So he is there to ...
	Excerpt 4.7	Så- så- (glæden) han er faktisk... → Yes so- so- (the joy) he is actually...
	Excerpt 4.8	Så du står simpelthen mellem... → So you simply have to figure out...
	Excerpt 4.9	Så det er vigtigt for dig at tro... → So it is important to you to believe...
	Excerpt 4.10	Så det er vigtigt for dig at (0.2) du har en stemme indeni... → So it is important to you (0.2) you have a voice inside...
	Excerpt 4.13	Så risikoanalysen er det... → So the risk analysis is that...
<i>Gists</i>	Excerpt 4.1b	Så de- (.) Så det du siger der er... → So ther- (.) So what you are saying there is...
	Excerpt 4.3	Det vil sige når du siger... → That means when you say...
	Excerpt 4.4	Vil det sige at øh et <u>y</u> derligere... → Does that mean that uh an <u>additional</u> result
	Excerpt 4.6a	Så det vil sige at den her (0.6) djævel... → So that means that this (0.6) devil
	Excerpt 4.14	Det vil sige at hvis du... → That means if you...

Table 2: Formulation formats

These examples all confirm that different turn designs relate to the difference between these two types of formulations. A further indication is that this distinction seems to be oriented to by the coach when formulating the talk. This is seen in the repair in excerpt 4.1.

From excerpt 4.1

21 Coa: Så de- (.) Så det du siger
 So ther- (.) So that you say
 So ther- (.) So what you are saying

- 22 der er ikke noget der kan forhindre det (0.2)
 there is not anything that can prevent it (0.2)
 there is nothing that can prevent it (0.2)
- 23 hvis [du] har den her plan,=
 if [you] have this here plan,=
 if you have this plan,=
- 24 Cli: [°ja°]
 [°yes°]
- 25 Cli: =° .hja°
 =• .hyes°
- 26 (0.5)
- 27 Coa: detaljeret (0.3) risikoanalysen
 detailed (0.3) risk analysis the
 detailed (0.3) the risk analysis
- 28 Cli: *Nej (.) Så kan der i hvert fald
 *No. (.) Then can there in any case
 *No. (.) Then there is in any case
- 29 ikke være nogle ting som er står i min magt
 not be any things which is stand in my power
 nothing which is in my power

The formulation is introduced by 'so' + a reporting clause 'what you are saying'. The reporting clause is added after a repair initiation on 'So ther-'. It seems the coach initially was going straight for 'so there is not anything...', making the 'so' the only summing-up marker of the formulation. Repairing 'so ther-' suggests an orientation to formulating a gist as requiring a different turn format.

As mentioned in the preceding, the difference between gists and upshots is rather fine. As formulation-types, they both conclude something in relation to the previous talk, but since both formulation-types always make something more of the original talk by their selectivity, the inference – adding what follows from some line of talk – can be almost undistinguishable from the highlighted summary. As such, upholding a strict distinction between the two types is not necessarily informative. However, having two different formulation-formats serves another purpose. The formulation from excerpt 4.1 is a second formulation. The first is a 'so'-rephrasing, and they follow immediately after each other. The second formulation relates to the same talk as the first. Thus having these two formats, presents two different ways of drawing out conclusions from the same preceding talk. This is not uncommon in this data set; 6 of the 14 formulations follow each other in pairs. The formulation in excerpt 4.4 is also part of a formulation pair, here presented as excerpt 4.5, and likewise, excerpt 4.6 shows a formulation-pair.

Excerpt 4.5 ICC5_230507

- 1 Coa: 1→ =Vil det sige [at] øh
 =Will that say [that] uh
 =Does that mean that uh
- 2 Cli [((sniff))]
- 3 Coa: 1→ et yderligere resultat af at nå det her mål
 an additional result of to reach this here goal
 an additional result of reaching this goal
- 4 1→ det er at du behøver [ikke] at
 betragte
 that is that you need [not] to look
 that is that you do not need to look at
- 5 Cli: [krm]
- 6 Coa: 1→ alle andre mennes[ker] i forhold til deres vægt,
 all other peo[ple] in relation to their weight,
 other people in relation to their weight,
- 7 Cli: [JA]
 [YES]
- 8 Cli: JA
YES
- 9 Coa: Ok(h)ay.
 Ok(h)ay.
- 10 (1.7)
- 11 Cli: Jeg vil være så (ful-/fut-)
 I will be so (com-/)
 I will be so com-
- 12 Jeg [ved at jeg ikke (f)]
 I [know that I not (f)]
 I know that I do not (f)
- 13 Coa: 2→ [Så det her det]
 [So this here it]
So this will
- 14 2→ fører meget mere med sig end: [æ:h
 leads much more with itself than: [e:h
lead to much more than e:h
- 15 Cli: [JA
 [YES]
- 16 (0.8)
- 17 Cli: Det er ikke bare et spørgsmål
 It is not just a question
 It is not just a question
- 18 om .hhh at tabe sig.

about .hhh to lose yourself.
of .hhh losing weight.

- 19 Og jeg *v: øh og og det er det blevet endnu mindre...
And I *w: uh and and that is it become even less...
And I *w: uh and and that it has become even less...

Excerpt 4.6 ICC5_230507

- 1 Coa: [Hvad sker der] Hvad sker der
 [What happens there] What happens there
 What will happen What will happen
- 2 Coa: hvis han slet ikke er der.
 If he ADV not is there.
 If he is not there at all.
- 3 (3.7)
- 4 Cli: Hvad sker der hvis han slet ikke er der.
 What happen there if he ADV not is there.
 What will happen if he is not there at all.
- 5 (3.2)
- 6 Cli: Så er det min egen skyld
 Then is it my own fault
 Then it is my own fault
- 7 hvis jeg ikke når målet.
 if I not reach goal_the.
 if I do not reach the goal.
- 8 Så er det endnu mere min egen skyld.
 Then is it even more my own fault.
 Then it is even more my own fault.
- 9 (0.6)
- 10 Coa: Okay. [Hvad gør] det ved dig ((17.36))
 Okay. [What do] it to you
 Okay. What does it do to you
- 11 Cli: [()]
- 12 Coa: =hvis nu (.) du ikke når målet
 =if now (.) you not reach goal_the
 =if you do not reach the goal
- 13 Coa: og det er din egen skyld.
 and it is your own fault.
- 14 Cli: Men så sænker det mit lev- mit selvværd,
 But then lowers it my lev- my self-worth,
 But then it lowers my self-worth,
- 15 Coa: Så sænker det dit ↑selvværd,
 Then lowers it your ↑self-worth,
 Then is lowers your ↑self-worth,
- 16 Cli: Ja det gør det.
 Yes, it does that.

- 17 (0.7)
- 18 Cli: Fordi så s:[::] ((17.45))
Because then t:[::]
- 19 Coa: 1→ [Så] det vil sige
[So] that will say
[So] that means
- 20 Coa: 1→ at den her (0.6) <djævel er med til at> (1.0)
that this here (0.6) <devil is with to toINF> (1.0)
that this (0.6) <devil is partly> (1.0)
- 21 Coa: 1→ passe på °at dit selvværd det ikke ryger?°
looking out °that your self_worth it not lost?°
looking out for °that your self-worth is not lost?°
- 22 (1.1)
- 23 Coa: Er det det du siger,
Is that that you say,
Is that what you are saying,
- 24 Cli: †J:a
†Y:es
- 25 (1.3)
- 26 Coa: °Okay°=
°Okay°=
- 27 Cli: =Det er det jeg siger. ((17.54))
=That is that I say
=That is what I am saying.
- 28 Cli: .hhhh fmed bevæg[else.] Ja£
.hhhh fwith emo[tion.] Yes£
- 29 Coa: [°Okay°]
[°Okay°]
- 30 (0.5) [((coach begins writing))
- 31 Cli: Det er det.
That is it.
It is that
- 32 (0.9)
- 33 Coa: 2→ Så han er [med for at (.)und[gå] [ø::h (0.9)
So he is [there for to (.) a[void] [e::h (0.9)
So he is there to (.) avoid e::h (0.9)
- 34 Cli: [°†Ja°]
[°†Yes°]
- 35 com: [((coach looks up from notepad))
- 36 Coa: 2→ [ø::h (0.9) [knæk på selvværdet eller hvad,
[e::h (0.9) [breach on self-worth the or what,
[breach on the self-worth or what,

33 com: [((Coach looks at notes again and writes))
 34 com: [((Coach looks from notes to client))
 35 Cli: Ja
Yes

In excerpt 4.5, the coach presents a result of reaching the goal (as described in connection with excerpt 4.4) in a 'summing-up clause' format, and in lines 13-14 he makes a second formulation, a generalised version of the first, prefaced only by 'so'. Likewise in lines 19-21 in excerpt 4.6, the formulation-format is 'so + 'summing up-clause', and the following formulation is prefaced only by 'so' lines 33 and 36. Accordingly, the formulations can follow each other gist—upshot, excerpts 4.5 and 4.6, or upshot—gist as in excerpt 4.4, but in none of the cases is the same format used twice.

In all three cases, where two rephrasings follow each other, the choice of the first format seems to restrict the choice of the next. Having these two formats, presents two different ways of drawing out conclusions of the interaction. It also highlights the topic of the talk twice, emphasizing it more strongly.

Another striking feature of the formats is the verb used. Most of the so-prefaced formulations are, other than 'so', introduced by pronoun + a form of 'to be', and all formulations introduced by the summing up clause – whether it is the formulaic 'that will say/that means' or the reporting clause 'what you are saying' – use the verb 'say'. None of the formulations use verbs such as *mean*, *imply*, *suggest* or *claim*. A verb such as *mean* indicates that what is reported relates more freely to the original talk, and verbs such as *imply*, *suggest* and *claim*, all express the speaker's stance to the original talk. These verbs make explicit some allusion in the talk indicating that the speaker may not agree with what was said. Neither are lexemes that 'push the point' such as *really* or *actually* used. 14 examples of formulations using these restricted verbs forms therefore suggest that, in this particular setting, such formulations are kept in neutral tone. They are not putting a point forward too strongly and are not used as argumentative moves.

Drew (2003) shows that specific formulation-formats are found in specific institutional settings. He argues that the activities associated with the institutions concerned are played out in the format of the formulations, making them constructions in concordance with the specific institutional activities. In the excerpts presented so far, the topics discussed are diverse, and the local activities in which they are engaged are also varied. For instance in excerpt 4.6, the second formulation is done in the service of note taking: the coach writes down the

- 31 → der er ikke noget der kan forhindre det (0.2)
 there is not anything that can prevent it (0.2)
 there is nothing that can prevent it (0.2)
- 32 hvis [du] har den her plan,=
 if [you] have this here plan,=
 if you have this plan,=
- 33 Cli: [°ja°]
 [°yes°]
- 34 Cli: =°.hja°
 =°.hyes°
- 35 (0.5)
- 36 Coa: detaljeret (0.3) risikoanalysen
 detailed (0.3) risk_analysis_the
 detailed (0.3) the risk analysis
- 37 Cli: ⇒ *Nej (.) Så kan der i hvert fald
 *No. (.) Then can there in any case
 *No. (.) Then there is in any case
- 38 ⇒ ikke være nogle ting som er står i min magt...
 not be any things which is stand in my power...
 nothing which is in my power...

From excerpt 4.2

- 8 Coa: → Så han er klar til næste mål nu=
 So he is ready for next goal now=
 So he is ready for the next goal now=
- 9 Cli: ⇒ =Ja det er han faktisk.
 =Yes that is he actually.
 =Yes he actually is.

From excerpt 4.3

- 13 Coa: → så er det et realistisk mål.
 then is it a realistic goal.
 then it is a realistic goal.
- 14 Cli: ⇒ Ja. Så er det et realistisk mål.
 Yes. Then is it a realistic goal.
 Yes. Then it is a realistic goal.

All these responses agree with and confirm the formulations. The response-formats show the difference between agreeing and confirming: in doing agreement, no authority is assumed, whereas doing confirmation is assuming authority in relation to the talk. In the confirmation lies the claim that the client has the knowledge to 'judge' whether the coach's conclusion is right or wrong. The 'yes' +

a possibility to just pick and choose

In excerpts 4.7 and 4.8, the formulations are confirmed and further elaborated. In excerpt 4.7, the client is explaining how overcoming her own doubting inner voice will make her happy. This is formulated by the coach (lines 6 and 8), and the client, in lines 9-10 and 12, confirms the formulation: firstly with a 'yes' and then an elaboration accounting for why the inference in the formulation is correct.

In excerpt 4.8, the formulation puts forward two options concerning the client's work situation. Here, the initial confirmation (line 10) is followed by an amendment, stating that even though the choice put forward in the formulation is essentially the choice the client is facing, the actual situation is more complicated.

In both responses, the client adds, alters or amends the formulations. The elaborations portray the client as having the knowledge and rights to define what her previous talk meant, and to determine whether the formulation captured that. Both ways of doing confirmations, the 'yes' + full/partial repeat and the yes + elaboration, are not only confirming the formulation but emphasising the client's ownership of what is formulated.

That formulations seek confirmation rather than just agreement is made explicit by the lack of response in the next excerpts. In excerpt 4.6 and 4.8, the confirming response from the client is delayed.

From excerpt 4.6

- 20 Coa: Så det vil sige at den her (0.6) <djævel>
So that will say that this here (0.6) <devil>
So that means that this (0.6) <devil>
- 21 <er med til at> (1.0) passe på
<is with to toINF> (1.0) looking out
<is part of> looking (1.0) out for
- 22 °at dit selvværd det ikke ryger?°
°that your self_worth it not lost?°
°that your self-worth is not lost?°
- 23 (1.1)
- 24 Coa: → Er det det du siger,
Is that that you say,
Is that what you are saying,
- 25 Cli: ⇨ †J:a
†Y:es
- 26 (1.3)
- 27 Coa: °Okay°=

confirmation – not just agreement – as the preferred response.

However, not all formulations are confirmed. In excerpt 4.10, the client has told how she sometimes doubts whether she will get her consultancy going at all. She has describes this as a doubting inner voice.

Excerpt 4.10 ICCZ_240507

- 1 Coa: Hvord- Hvordan vil det være ((09.32))
Ho- How will it be
- 2 hvis: æh stemmen den ikke var der?
if: eh voice_the it not was there?
if: eh the voice was not there?
- 3 Cli: Så ville det ikke være mig.
Then would it not be me.
Then it would not be me.
- 4 Coa: Så vil det ikke være dig?=
Then would it not be you?=
Then is would not be you?=
5 Cli: =Nej.
=No.
- 6 Coa: †Nej.
†No.
- 7 (4.1)
- 8 Coa: → Så det er vigtigt for dig
So it is important to you
- 9 → at (0.2) du har en stemme indeni (0.2)
that (0.2) you have a voice inside (0.2)
- 10 → der så siger arh:: (0.7)
which then says arh:: (0.7)
- 11 → hold nu lige [lidt igen.
hold now just [little back. ((idiom))
hold your horses.
- 12 Cli: [hhh.hh ((client smiles/laughs))
- 13 Cli: ⇨ Jeg ved ikke om det er vigtig for mig
I know not whether it is important to me
I do not know whether it is important to me
- 14 ⇨ men den er der.
but it is there.
- 15 Coa: Den er [der.
It is [there.
- 16 Cli: ⇨ [Og det er ikke vigtigt for mig
[And it is not important to me

17 ⇒ at få den til at forsvinde.
 to get it to toINF disappear.
 to get it to disappear.

The formulation, in lines 8-11, is both summarising the relationship between the client and her doubt with an assessment labelling the doubt as *'important'* (line 8), and it is also characterising the doubt as a factor holding the client back; done by animating the voice of the doubt in the direct speech in line 10-11.

The dismissal of the formulation is done first by denying having the knowledge to confirm or disconfirm the assessment in the formulation: *'I do not know whether it is important to me'*. Thereby the client treats the rephrasing as irrelevant. Secondly, the client formulates the essence of the talk in line 14, and finally she states that the doubt is not something she wants to go away in lines 16-17.

This dismissal of the formulation is done in an environment of an already established misalignment. Immediately preceding the formulation, the client is dismissive towards the agenda concerning her doubt. In the question-answer sequence in lines 1-3, the coach asks for a description of a future scenario where the doubt is not there. The question is a future-projection question: The client is asked to describe a future scenario set up by the question. In her response, the client shows that she does not go along with the future projection. Instead of giving a description, she makes an assessment, stating that if she did not have doubt then 'she would not be herself' (line 3). The client is being dismissive by invoking her personal authority to know 'who I am' and 'what is me'. Accordingly, when the coach formulates the prior talk in line 8-11, he also formulates the misalignment of that talk.

To sum up, when formulations receive confirming responses, the responses do not only confirm the formulation, but also emphasise the client's ownership of the issues discussed. In the responses, the client stresses her epistemic authority to know what was said and how it can be rightly summarised.

Furthermore, formulations seek confirming responses, and such responses are pursued when absent. The coach therefore displays an orientation towards not just getting an agreeing response, but a confirming response, and as such the coach is also pursuing the display of client ownership.

4.4 Epistemic Authority

Assigning or claiming authority is not an inherent trait of formulations. Hutchby shows that formulations can be used to exercise control over the agenda of the

conversation (Hutchby 1986:52). Formulations present an essence of the prior talk, and as such formulations are a way of shaping the agenda. This can be done in more or less 'neutral' ways, and the formulation formats found in coaching conversations are designed to display non-argumentative, non-interpretive relations to the prior talk, and are thus among the most 'neutral' formulations.

In addition to this, some of the formulations are designed in a way that attributes epistemic authority to the client. The verb-choice in formulations, the 'yes' + full repeat response, and the pursuit of confirming response mentioned above all point to client authority being a salient feature of formulating in coaching conversations. Further examples of the orientation to client authority are seen in different design features of formulations in excerpts 4.11-4.14.

Excerpt 4.11 ICC5_230507

1 Coa: Så han er [med for at (.)und[gå]
So he is [there for to (.) a[void]
So he is there to (.) avoid e::h (0.9)

2 Cli: [°↑Ja°]
[°↑Yes°]

3 com: [((coach looks up from notepad))

4 Coa: [ø::h (0.9) [knæk på selvværdet eller hvad,
[e::h (0.9) [breach on self-worth_the or what,
[breach on the self-worth or what,

5 com: [((Coach looks at notes again and writes))
6 com: [((Coach looks from notes to client))

7 Cli: Ja
Yes

8 Coa: Undgå (.) [Kan] vi kalde det [det?]
Avoid (.) [Can] we call it [that?]
Avoid (.) Could we put it like that?

9 Cli: [Ja] [Ja]
[Yes] [Yes]

When initiating the formulation (line 1) the coach is taking notes. He writes and looks up from and down at his notes while finishing the formulation. The formulation is part of the coach's note taking: he is getting the essence of the talk on record.

Two parts of this sequence show an orientation to client authority: the first is the questioning 'or what' attached to the formulation in line 4. The conjunction 'or' introduces an alternative and 'what' leaves the alternative unspecified. Leaving the alternative open gives the client an invitation to formulate her own upshot and

thereby also to reformulate the formulation already given. The second is the confirmation-seeking question, specifically targeting the wording of the formulation: *'Could we put it like that?'*, in line 8.

Done in the context of a preceding formulation (see excerpt 4.6) that did not get any immediate uptake, the interrogative formats could be a way of securing an immediate response, as they upgrade response elicitation. The confirmation, which is emphasised by extra stress, is nevertheless also treated as insufficient, similarly to excerpts 4.6 and 4.9. Here, the coach continues with a confirmation-seeking question in line 8: a question that specifically targets whether the formulation is phrased appropriately.

The emphasis on the client's confirming authority could be related to the context of getting an essence of the talk on record. The record is preserved for later consultations. Assuming coaches consult their notes to recall what has been talked about on previous occasions, the written version becomes in a sense the official version of the talk. If it is important that this version is shared by the participants, it becomes essential that the client not only agrees with but also confirms what is written down. The continued search for confirmation is securing that it is the client's understanding of her own situation that goes on record.

By marking the formulation with a questioning attachment, and by explicitly seeking confirmation of the wording of the formulation, the coach orients and adheres to the right of the client to approve the conclusion drawn by the formulation. Heritage & Raymond (2005) describes a similar function of tag-questions in first position assessment: the tags make the assessing action secondary to the questioning action, which again makes the response constraints primarily an answer and secondly, an agreement. In that way, epistemic authority is handed over to the co-participant. The *'or what'* in the excerpt above has a similar function. The questioning *'or what'* displays the coach's understanding of the client as having the primary right to draw conclusions from her own talk and about her own life.

Another means of marking the client's epistemic authority is to use interrogatively formatted formulations, as in excerpts 4.12 and 4.13.

From excerpt 4.5

1	Coa:	=Vil det sige [at] øh =Will that say [that] uh =Does that mean that uh
2	Cli	[((sniff))]

- 3 et yderligere resultat af at nå det her mål
an additional result of to reach this here goal
an additional result of reaching this goal
- 4 Coa: det er at du behøver [ikke] at betragte
that is that you need [not] to look
that is that you do not need to look at
- 5 Cli: [krm]
- 6 Coa: alle andre mennes[ker] i forhold til deres vægt,
all other peo[ple] in relation to their weight,
other people in relation to their weight,
- 7 Cli: [JA]
[YES]
- 8 Cli: JA
YES

Excerpt 4.12 ICC5_240507

- 1 Cli: Jeg vil simpelthen gå ind og lave risikoanalysen
I will simply go in and do risk_analysis_the
I will simply do the risk analysis
- 2 som vi gør i i .hh Hvor man går ind og siger
as we do in in .hh Where one go in and say
as we do in in .hh Where you say
- 3 der er forskellige=Man kan afbryde
there are different=One can abandon
there are different=You can abandon
- 4 og forebygge .hh[hh] fjerne hindringer
and avert .hh[hh] remove obstacles
- 5 Coa: [okay]
[okay]
- 6 Cli: og man kan lave en plan B.=
and one can make a plan B.=
and you can make a plan B.=
- .
. ((16 lines omitted where the client describes
. the risk analysis.))
.
- 7 Cli: Og andre ting har jeg gjort
And other things have I done
And other things I have done
- 8 for at de slet ikke kom til at ske.
so that they ADV not came to toINF happen.
so that they did not happen at all.
- 9 Coa: → Ja. Så risikoanalysen er det egentlig
Yes. So risk_analysis_the is that actually
Yes. So the risk analysis is that actually

- 10 → at afdække forhindringer?
 to uncover obstacles?
- 11 Cli: Ja det er det.
 Yes it is that.

The formulation, in excerpt 4.5, is introduced by the summarising *'does that mean'* here with the interrogative inverted word order, and likewise, lines 9-10, in excerpt 4.12, show a concluding question. This question formulates an upshot of the client's description of how she will perform a risk analysis, asking whether it can be summed up as *'uncovering obstacles'*.

The interrogative syntax increases the confirmation-eliciting force of the formulation. The interrogative syntax presents the coach as a questioner, i.e. one who is lacking knowledge and seeking it of the client, who is presumed to have it, and thereby explicitly cedes epistemic authority to the client.

Interrogative formulations, however, are not the only way to display and enhance the epistemic authority of the client. Other means are: reported speech, here from excerpt 4.1, and a conditional sentence (excerpt 4.13).

From excerpt 4.1

- 7 Coa: Så de- (.) Så det du siger
 So ther- (.) So that you say
 So ther- (.) So what you are saying
- 8 der er ikke noget der kan forhindre det (0.2)
 there is not anything that can prevent it (0.2)
 there is nothing that can prevent it (0.2)
- 9 hvis [du] har den her plan,
 if [you] have this here plan,
 if you have this plan,

Excerpt 4.13 ICC5_230507

- 1 Cli: Fordi:: når jeg kunne det her ((34:21))
 Because:: when I could this here
 Because:: when I could do this
- 2 Coa: Ja
 Yes
- 3 (1.4)
- 4 Cli: så ø:::h
 then u:::h
 then u:::h
- 5 hff::: [kan jeg vel også det andet]
 hff::: [can I surely also that other]

hff::: [I surely also can that other]

6 Coa: [Og og det her det er]realistisk,
[And and this here this is]realistic,
And and this this is realistic,

7 Du har gjort det før.
You have done it before.

8 Coa: → [Det] vil sige at hvis du kommer dertil nu,
[That] will say that if you come there_to now,
[That] means if you reach that point now,

9 Cli: [.mt]

10 Cli: Ja
Yes

11 (0.9)

12 Coa: → Så:: ø:h [(0.5) så åbner (0.2)[det] hele sig.
The::n u:h [(0.5) then open (0.2) [it] all itself.
The::n u:h (0.5) then everything will open up.

13 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]

14 com: [(The coach raises his hands and moves them apart
15 showing something opening.)]

16 Coa: → [Så kan du gøre] hvad du vil,=
[Then can you do] what you want,=
[Then you can do] what you want,=

17 Cli [Ja det gør det]
[Yes it does that]

18 Cli: =Ja.
=Yes.

19 (1.4)

20 Cli: Det kan jeg.
That can I.
I can that.

The use of the reported speech-format in the formulation, from excerpt 4.1, 'disguises' the formulation as the client's talk. It does not claim to repeat the client's actual words, but portrays the inference made as being originally done by the client. As such, it makes explicit the client's ownership of the formulation, even though it is the coach, who verbalises it. Clift (2008) shows that reported speech can be used when a speaker wants to underline that whatever is reported was prior to the present interaction. As such, what is reported is claimed to have been done by the client prior to the coach reporting it. The use of reported speech, in the formulation above, can be seen to do similar work: the reported speech not only

indicates that it belongs to the client, but also that the inference the formulation presents was made prior to this point. In this way, the formulation emphasises the client as the originator of the inference.

Excerpt 4.13 follows immediately after excerpt 4.2, where the coach and the client talked about the client being ready to pursue a new goal when she has reached this one. The formulation, in lines 8, 12 and 16, makes up a conditional sentence: an if-then construction. A distinctive feature of the conditional construction is that it projects what it takes to be complete. The client can therefore anticipate a subsequent then-clause to complete the construction. The orientation towards the projection is reflected in the client's different actions as the coach's turn unfolds. In line 10, after the if-clause, the client aligns herself as a listener with only a minimal acknowledging response, showing an orientation to the end of the if-clause as not being a turn-transitional place, but in line 17, after the first then-clause, the client does the 'yes + repeat confirmation, marking the orientation to the possible completion point of the coach's turn.

Because it is possible to know what it takes to complete the turn it is also possible for a participant other than the speaker to complete it. The completion point of the if-clause is described by Lerner as having:

"...dual characteristics of not being a transition relevant place itself, while nevertheless being the place from which a turn can be brought to the next transition-relevant place" (Lerner 2006: 53).

This makes constructions such as conditionals suited for collaborative completions: that is, where one participant completes the turn initiated by another.

In this case, the client does not complete the conditional, but there is ample opportunity for her to do so. In line 10, after the completion of the 'if-clause', there is a gap, as the coach does not immediately initiate the then-clause, and the initial 'then', (line 11) is stretched and followed by a hesitation marker and a pause, which again provide the client with plenty of opportunities for entry. Even the coach's gestures – his arms showing something opening up (lines 13-14), acting out the not yet verbalised then-clause – provide the client with cues she needs to finish the turn.

The possibility of anticipatory completion enhances the client's involvement in drawing up the conclusions of the formulation. Designing this action as a

conditional construction adds the possibility of the client co-constructing the formulation, and thereby leaving the actual conclusion up to the client.

Another feature that also increases the possibility of client involvement is the possibility of doing the then-clause as a list, or at least adding several then clauses to the conditional construction. In line 16, the coach adds another 'then-clause' giving another result of reaching the goal. The conditional construction is in this way suitable for expanding the formulation, and is as such both increasing the concluding work done by the formulation, but also increasing the possibility of the client adding her own 'then-clause'.

To summarise, the formulations done in coaching interaction shape the interaction by drawing conclusions based on the preceding talk of the client. They are done in a non-argumentative manner that orients to the right of the client to draw conclusions from her own talk, and by that attributes epistemic authority to the client.

4.5 Formulations as a Vehicle for Doing Coaching

As formulations are A-statements about B-events they are always formulating the talk or the point of the talk of the co-participant. This entails that the client could formulate the talk of the coach and vice versa. However, all the examples of this found in the data set show the coach formulating the talk of the client. This finding is similar to other research on formulations found in institutional interaction. Heritage (1985) points out that, in institutional interaction, formulating is often done by the 'professional conversationalist'; i.e. the speaker who represents a specific institutional role¹³. This is also in line with the distribution of formulations found in other institutional settings, such as radio call-in programmes (Hutchby 1996), news interviews (Clayman & Heritage 2002) and psychotherapy (Davis 1986, Antaki 2008).

As such, formulations represent an asymmetry consistent with the overall asymmetry in the coaching sessions. Drew & Heritage (1992:47) point out that asymmetry in the turn taking is one of the salient traits of the institutional interaction. Asymmetries also do occur locally in any type of interaction, but in institutional interaction, the rights and obligations embedded in institutional roles create an asymmetry not present in everyday conversations. Accordingly, it is not surprising that formulations are rare in everyday conversations. Drew (2003)

¹³ This is not always the case, see Drew (2003) for an example of psychotherapy where the client is formulating the therapist's inexplicit talk.

points out that although formulating is not exclusively an institutional practice, few instances of formulations are found in everyday interaction.

In his definition of coaching Whitmore says: "*Coaching ... is helping them (the clients) to learn rather than teaching them*" (Whitmore 2002:8). O'Connor & Lages phrase it:

"Coaching is a form of process consultation where the coach's main task is to help clients understand their way of generating problems, not to solve them" (O'Connor & Lages 2007:13).

Both quotes emphasise the role of the coach as not being a problem-solver, nor responsible for the learning process of the client, but rather being responsible for the process that creates learning. The asymmetry represented in the coach being the one who formulates the client's talk mirrors this asymmetry in responsibility. A way of creating this environment of not taking responsibility can be done by demonstrating that the questions asked build on the client's own previous actions. In this way, it becomes clear that the client guides *what* is being talked about and the coach *how* it is being talked about. This schism between what might be called the coach's responsibility for the learning process and the client's responsibility for the learning is captured very well in doing a directive action such as a formulation. On the one hand, the formulation steers the interaction and brings 'coaching-perspectives' to the client in the conclusion and inferences made. On the other hand, the formulations in this data set are done in a manner that emphasises the underlying coaching principles of client responsibility.

Attributing authority and ownership of the interaction to the client is a salient feature of formulations in coaching sessions. This is not to say that formulations do not exercise any topic control. They do select an aspect of the client's talk as the topic of the following turn, and, establish a specific understanding or viewpoint of the preceding talk, that may shape, add to or change the viewpoint originally presented. So, formulations can be designed in ways clearly signalling client authority, and on the other hand, they are actions that shape interaction in some direction.

Most of the formulations presented form a positive conclusion: in excerpt 4.1 the absence of a negative '*nothing going wrong*' is turned into the presence of a positive '*that is what is takes*'. Also, in excerpt 4.1, the second formulation concludes that there are no obstacles for the client in reaching her goal if she

follows her plan. In excerpt 4.4, the client's talk is formulated as an extra gain of reaching the goal, and in excerpt 4.6, the client's prior negative descriptions are turned into positive functions – her own inner doubt being someone who *'looks out'* for and who *'prevents a breach'* in her self-worth. Likewise, in excerpt 4.7, the client's doubting voice is again given a positive function formulated as making *'the joy even bigger'*. It is striking that nothing negative or no presented challenges are formulated, and even when areas the client is struggling with, such as inner doubt, are discussed, the talk is formulated positively. Rephrasing the talk in this specific way may therefore be seen as a vehicle for conducting coaching. These formulations do not only steer the interaction, they give a specific positive perspective of the matters related. Such positive perspectives display a very proactive attitude towards the challenges presented, and they create a positive starting point for the client to take action.

The principles underlying the coaching approach stress the role of the coach as a facilitator and the role of the client as being ultimately responsible for reaching the goals set up at the beginning of the conversation. This entails that the coaching sessions aim to create an environment where clients are enabled and supported in taking the necessary actions to reach their goal. Formulations that see positives rather than negatives, potential rather than obstacles, accordingly, establish a coaching relevant 'point of departure' for the following part of the interaction.

5 Conclusions and Perspectives

The overall aim of the present study has been to describe coaching interactions: how coaches and clients conduct their 'business', manage their activities and generally 'do' coaching. The aim has been to gain insight into a type of counselling – that has been and continues as a growing profession – from an interactional viewpoint. The study focuses on coaching as interaction and sheds light on how coaching is constructed and managed by the coach and client: which actions or activities can be said to constitute this type of interaction and how, out of the management of their various activities and their orientation to their identities as coach and client, respectively, coaching comes to exhibit the kinds of patterns that make it the specific type of institutional interaction that we find.

The interactional analyses are at the core of this study as the primary realisation of coaching is through talk in interaction; and though all coaching approaches see language and the use of language and language structures as central in the conduction of coaching, no research, that I know of, has so far investigated the interactional patterns of coaching conversations (see Stein 2009 for coaching research from a discourse analytic approach).

The diversity of the coaching profession, which was discussed in the introduction to this dissertation, is a challenge for coaching research in the sense that when research is based on data from one specific coaching tradition, research findings may be restricted to that setting and not necessarily directly transferable to others.

This study has presented data from a very goal-oriented coaching approach, which has similarities with the classic GROW-model (Whitmore 2002). Findings presented in this dissertation may therefore only be applicable to other coaching approaches insofar as practitioners find them compatible with their own approach. On a general level, any insights into ways in which coaches chose to conduct their coaching can be useful for reflections on their own practices.

However, the diversity also makes research conducted at the current stage of the life-span of coaching particularly interesting, as it is part of establishing a research-based (and perhaps new) foundation for the professionalization of coaching. Accordingly, research insights based on a specific coaching approach may contribute 'a piece of the puzzle' in establishing this foundation. In fact, it may be part of the very foundation that will ground coaching as a recognised profession.

Bennett (2006:246)¹⁴ reports on themes and potential research topics in his outline of an agenda for coaching-related research. Among others, he lists “*the process of coaching*” as a theme for coaching research; and under this heading he mentions “*effectiveness of coaching skills*”, skills such as question-asking, listening, and encouragement, as a potential topic of future coaching research. The present study provides an insight into the skills of questioning by analysing coaching interactions as they unfold turn by turn. It shows how coaches construct questioning actions, and the research and method presented here thus give insights into one of the research topic listed in Bennett’s outline. The sequential analyses do not only describe the actions of the coach but also the responses they occasion on the part of the client. As such, conversation analysis is a particularly appropriate method for gaining insight into the effect of the practices coaches use.

Accordingly, this study brings both a new analytical approach and insights from that approach to the profession of coaching: it shows how our ordinary turn taking, turn design and language competences underlying any everyday interaction are employed to build and manage the specific kind of interaction coaching is. Knowledge about this particular type of conduct therefore brings insight into how sequences of talk, that are common in everyday interaction, are used to realise this particular type of institutional talk.

5.1 Summary

The analyses presented in the previous chapters all focus on various aspects of doing questioning work. The focus on questions and question constructions was suggested by the data itself, as the primary activities in coaching are asking and answering questions. As the analyses show, this does not mean that ‘questions’ are necessarily doing the action of seeking information; that is, other actions can be realised through questions and interrogative structures. That there is no one-to-one correspondence between the linguistic construction of an interrogative and the action of questioning has been the focus for much research (see for instance Koshik 2005, Heritage & Roth 1995), and ‘professional conversationalists’ such as coaches are well aware of the fact that asking a question is not necessarily straightforward.

¹⁴ Bennett’s study is based on 125 peer-reviewed papers related to coaching, which have been presented in the psychological literature since 1937 (Bennett 2006:243.) He mentions that most of them have been published during the 1990s. This also indicates that research in coaching is a recent development.

The questioning done is formed by the activities the coach and client are involved in, and by inferences and assumptions about the client's knowledge.

This is a central finding of the analysis of chapter 2: the analysis shows how the different question-types display different assumptions about the client's state of knowledge – what Heritage has called someone's epistemic status (Heritage 2009). The 'pure' information elicitation questions, question-type I, display the assumption that the client has the knowledge sought; future-orientation questions display the assumption that the client can find the knowledge sought; and hypothetical questions display the assumption that the client is not yet aware of having the knowledge sought but will be able to retrieve it through reflection. So when the coach and the client deal with issues not yet realised, the use of future-orientation questions and hypothetical questions are prevalent in coaching, whereas when the coach is retrieving facts about the client's current situation questions eliciting that information are used. In this way, the question types are fitted to the business at hand.

When asking questions from a non-directive approach coaches need to be aware that their personal agendas and opinions are not guiding the learning process of the client, and the roles of the coach as the questioner and the client as the answerer partly substantiate this non-directive coaching principle. Also the future-orientation questions and hypothetical questions underline the role of the coach as helping the client unpack untapped potential. The questions reflect that the client will come to know even when she does not know offhand or right away. Such questions are designed to evoke reflexivity, and they attribute epistemic authority to the client (Heritage 2009).

Chapter 2 also identifies complex question constructions that evaluate, advise and direct the client in relation to her goal. Doing advising and directing in such an indirect manner shows how the participants orient to asking questions as the way in which coaching is conducted. Since the coach is aiming at the same time to be non-directive, the overall turn-taking structure of coaching can, however, be seen to work against a coach wanting to advise. This suggests that the question-answer turn taking may not be the most appropriate for all activities in a coaching session, and that coaches advising, evaluating and directing may be better served not using a 'question-packing'.

That coaches do advise and direct the client also shows how coaches might assume their responsibility for the coaching process, and the coach's responsibility for directing the coaching process also places constraints on how questions can be

asked. This study has demonstrated that direction is done as part of questioning, and chapter 3 and 4 furthermore show how the coach guides the coaching session through question constructions. Both the quote-question constructions in chapter 3 as well as the formulations in chapter 4 show a practice of asking questions that lead the interaction in a specific direction. Neither of these practices involves any comment on the client's talk, and the coach does not display his own stance in relation to the issues discussed. The practices do, however, exercise some sort of topic control: they select an aspect of the client's talk as the topic of the following turn, and where the quote-question constructions question the preceding talk, the formulations establish a specific understanding or viewpoint of the preceding talk. Both therefore shape, add to and change the viewpoint originally presented. In using these practices, the coach therefore presents the view that is the most constructive point of departure in relation to the client's learning process.

Both practices tie well into the coaching principle of awareness. Both self-awareness and awareness about one's current life-situation are seen as vital to coaching, because having awareness is having an understanding of what makes it possible to take action and make changes. Both O'Connor & Lages (2007:35) as well as Whitmore (2002:32) say that awareness is knowledge, and that knowledge is different from experience, which may involve assessments and feelings about the given circumstances: awareness is objective knowledge. Therefore, coaching focuses on description of circumstances and not on what the client makes them out to be. A way of creating awareness is by giving another description of the matters at hand, which is what the coach does when he formulates, rephrases, and make adapted quotes of the client's talk. By quoting and formulating the client's talk, the client's circumstances are described once more, and it is therefore possible for the client not only to 'see again' her own situation, but also to see it in the edited version of the adapted quotes and rephrasings.

As was pointed out in chapter 3: even though formulations are not questions in the 'interrogative sense', they have the pragmatic force of questions. So just as interrogatively constructed questions can perform other actions besides that of questioning, practices such as formulations can do questioning work. The data show that the difference between interrogative questions and formulations is not clear-cut. As the notion of epistemic authority has been used in defining the difference between declaratively formatted formulations and interrogatively formatted formulations, the epistemic authority could establish some defining features that distinguish formulations from questions:

- When asking questions, knowledge is sought that the questioner appears not to have but presumes the questioned to have. The knowledge balance between the participants is therefore portrayed to be unequal with the questioner not having a knowledge (K-) that the questioned has (K+).
- In contrast, formulations rephrase what has previously been told, and as such, formulations make explicit that knowledge is shared between the participants. When formulations nonetheless can be said to have the pragmatic force of questions, it is because of an imbalance in knowledge states and ownership.

Formulations always rephrase the talk of a co-participant and so formulations always express the experiences, opinions or knowledge of another. Accordingly, there is no imbalance in the knowledge between the participants, but rather an imbalance in the ownership of that knowledge, and this knowledge ownership connects to a right to define that knowledge. A fundamental difference between formulations and questions is therefore the imbalance in states of knowledge ownership rights. Questions portray a knowledge imbalance; formulations portray a knowledge-ownership imbalance.

Both the knowledge imbalance displayed when asking questions and the knowledge-ownership imbalance displayed when formulating are central in coaching, as both uphold the role of the coach and the client as facilitator and learner, respectively. Therefore, working to maintain these imbalances can be seen as an essential aspect of conducting coaching. The knowledge and knowledge-ownership imbalances are ways of describing the tasks of coaches that explain the notions of being non-directive and neutral. The present study clearly demonstrates that coaches guide and direct, and that this 'directiveness' serves the client because the coach in this way assumes his responsibility over the coaching process and creates 'coaching relevant points of departure' in relation to the issues discussed. Accordingly, the term 'non-directive' gives no indication of how this principle is to be conducted. The notions of knowledge imbalance and knowledge-ownership imbalance, on the other hand, are derived from the analysis of the conduct of coaching, and with this link to practice, it therefore better explains how coaches may conduct themselves in a non-directive manner.

5.2 *The contribution to the understanding of coaching*

“What if coaches had a tool where they could listen to, or look at transcripts of, their conversations with clients and be able to name what they were doing in every sentence or phrase that they said? What if the same tool could help coaches be conscious of the choices that they make at each moment of a coach-client conversation? Such a tool would allow coaches to be more self-reflective as practitioners; it would allow them to analyze their own conversations, in the moment and afterwards, and make judgments about what worked and what didn’t work. In short, such a tool could provide the information to help individual coaches pursue mastery of their coaching process.” (Stein 2009:163)

The present study is a descriptive study of aspects of Danish coaching conversations. It is not assessing whether the interactions in the data set can be labeled good coaching conduct or even be labeled coaching at all. It has not been a focus to do an applied study, where practitioners could be advised on how to do coaching better or more effectively. Insofar as this study can be considered to be applied, it is so because it can be ‘the tool of self-reflection’ mentioned in the quote by Stein. In addition to the insights from the analyses highlighted in the summary above, the present study provides coaches with opportunities to reflect on their conduct of coaching: to look at transcripts of talk, to recognize practices used, to evaluate them and their effectiveness (in the ways they think about effectiveness), and thereby to increase consciousness of their own coaching-conduct.

The study presented here is exploratory rather than exhaustive: the data are exclusively Danish, which makes it incapable of capturing any cultural differences in the conduction of coaching. Only one language is represented, and specific language constructions may not be transferable to other language contexts as means of doing certain actions. Furthermore, the participants are coaches-to-be and therefore not yet officially certified as coaches – at least not within the ICC framework¹⁵. It does, however, provide insight into coaching conducts that are foundational not only for the coaches participating in this study but most likely also for future coaches educated within the ICC framework in Denmark.

Furthermore, the question constructions and practices focussed on here are by no means the only ways in which coaches do questioning work, neither in

¹⁵ At least one of the participants has another coach certification.

coaching interactions in general nor in this data set in particular. Also, in terms of evaluating what works and what does not, it will be instructive to focus on the responses to a much greater extent than the scope of the present study has allowed. As the clients display their analyses of the previous turns in their responses, the descriptions and analyses of these responses will give coaches insight into how the clients understand the actions of the coach. An evaluation of the coach's practices will as such be rooted in the client's 'evaluation', and neither in a researcher's understanding nor in the coach's recollection of the coaching session. Accordingly, further research focusing on questioning actions in coaching is still very much needed.

As for future research relating to the findings of this study, one aspect – that ties directly with Stein's research on coaches' conversational identities during the different activities in the coaching session – would be to consider the different stages of the coaching sessions to investigate whether specific questioning activities are more salient at different and specific stages. It would for instance be likely that question type I, the information elicitations, would be particularly salient in the goal-setting stage – or at least in the beginning of the session – where the coach needs to sort out different facts in getting acquainted with the issues at hand. A further perspective would be a study exclusively focusing on the directive actions of the coach. This could give further insights into how direction is done in a non-directive approach, and also provide coaches with practical implications of their actions and perhaps yield some guidelines as to how being non-directive can be done.

Appendix A: Transcript of ICC4_230507

The following two transcripts (appendices A and B) are provided to allow the reader to 'see' two coaching session in full length. The transcripts are referred to when presenting the different phases of the coaching sessions in the introduction.

Participants: Coa coach, Cli client, Obs observer,
? doubt of identity, com commentary
Filename: ICC4_230507.ca
Moviefile: ICC4_230507.mov
Transcriber: Anna Mark Diget
Transcription: Oct. 2008

1 Coa: [haha haha]
2 Cli: [haha haha]
3 Obs: [haha haha]
4 com: ((all look at observer who is outside the picture))
5 Coa: Jamen æ:::h (3.0)
Yes_but e:::h
Well e:::h
6
7 com: ((Coach looks at paper))
8 Coa: Det- jeg synes jo egentlig
That I think ADV really
That you know I really think
9 Coa: [(D-) det talte vi lidt om i går
[(D-) that spoke we a little about yesterday
we discussed it a little yesterday
10 com: [Coach raises head and looks at client
11 Coa: Jeg [synes jo egentlig meget (1.0)
I [think ADV really much (1.0)
I really think you know (1.0)
12 com: [((Coach turns head and looks at observer))
13 Coa: at at æ:h (3.0) endNU er det sådan (0.8)
that that e:h still is it like (0.8)
that that e:h it is still like this (0.8)
14 at det er værd
that it is worth
15 at tage (3.0) f:ra lidt af hvert.=
to take (3.0) f:rom little of everything.=
taking (3.0) a little of everything.=
16 Coa: =[Altså j' jeg kunne godt sige
=[PRT I- I could well say
=[Well I- I could say
17 com: [turns head and looks at paper

- 18 Coa: at jeg gerne ville have at du .hhh æ::hm
that I like would have that you .hhh e::hm
that I would like to have that you .hhh e::hm
- 19 holder særligt øje me- med (1.0)
keep particular eye wi- with (1.0)
in particular keep an eye on (1.0)
- 20 æh: overbevisningerne æ::hm: (0.8)
eh convictions_the e::hm: (0.8)
eh the convictions e::hm: (0.8)
- 21 .mt ud af strukturen ikke
.mt out of structure_the right
.mt from the structure right
- 22 æh holder øje med med >at jeg< få fat i
eh keep eye with with that I get hold in
eh keep an eye on that I will get a hold on
- 23 (0.8)
- 24 overbevisninger æh og (0.2) og værdier,
convictions eh and (0.2) and values,
- 25 (0.4)
- 26 Coa: Men i virkeligheden så er alt
But in reality then is everything
But actually then everything is
- 27 så er det vel egentlig sådan
then is it supposedly actually like_this
then I guess it is actually like this
- 28 at ø:h (0.6) at alt
that e:h (0.6) that everything
that eh (0.6) that everything
- 29 hvad du oplever (0.4)
which you experience (0.4)
which you observe (0.4)
- 30 er jo det der er afgørende.
is ADV that which is crucial.
is what counts you know
- 31 Obs: Ja .hh men (nu) jeg skriver her som hovedpunkter
Yes .hh but (now) I write here as key_points
Yes .hh but then I will write here as key points
- 32 at få fat i overbevisninger.
to get hold of convictions.
to get a hold of convictions.
- 33 Coa: Jah (.) det er i orden.
Yes that is in order.
Yes that is fine.
- 34 Obs: Så er det dine spørgsmål til overbevisninger
So is it your questions to convictions
So is it your questions about convictions

- 35 jeg skal være opmærksom på.
I must be aware on.
I must be aware of/call attention to.
- 36 Coa: Kan du ik' b- det er det he:le
Can you not j- it is it all
Can you not j- it is all of it
- 37 Obs: Det er det he-≡De:t he:le≡
It is it al-≡It all≡
It is al-≡All of it
- 38 Obs: jaha [haha .hhh]
yeahha [haha .hhh]
yeahha haha .hhh
- 39 Coa: [Men de:t]Dermed bli'r det jo
[But i:t]Consequently becomes it ADV
But i:t Consequently it will be you know
- 40 Obs: Ja
Yes
- 41 Coa: mine spørgsmål.
my questions.
- 42 Obs: Det (e-/θ) >jeg vil< så vil jeg gøre det på den måde
That (e-/θ)>I will< then will I do it in that way
What (e-/θ) I will then I will do it in this way
- 43 at jeg (.) især fokuserer på det
that I (.) particularly focus on that
that I (.) in particular will focus on that
- 44 [og så] på din struktur.
[and then] on your structure.
- 45 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 46 Coa: Jah
Yeah
- 47 Obs: Strukturen generelt.
Structure_the generally.
The structure in general.
- 48 Coa: Fint.
Fine.
- 49 Obs: °Ja°
°Yes°
- 50 (12.0)
- 51 com: ((Coach looks through papers and just before client
52 speaks he turn his head towards her and points at
53 her)) ((1.14))
- 54 Cli: [Det som som vi egentlig ska' ska' sige
[That which which we actually must must say
- 55 com: [((Client looks at observer))

- 56 Cli: det er vel også (.) meget kort
that is supposedly also (.) very briefly
that is I suppose also (.) to very briefly
- 57 introducere at hvad det var vi var i gang med
introduce to what it was we were in doing with
introduce what it was we were doing
- 58 i går [°eller°]
yesterday [°or°]
yesterday or
- 59 Coa: [Jo men] jeg har tænkt mig
[Yeah but] I have thought me
Yeah but I had in mind
- 60 lige at lave en lille backtracking.
just to do a little backtracking.
- 61 Cli: [Yes:: (Det er helt fint)]
[Yes:: (That is totally fine)]
Yes:: that is just fine
- 62 Coa: [Så at vi lige når frem til]
[So that vi just reach forward to]
So that we will get to
- 63 hvor vi er nået.
where we are reached.
where we left off
- 64 Cli: Yep
Yeah
- 65 Coa: I min opfattelse
In my perception
From my point of view
- 66 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 67 Coa: Jeg er knap så stivbenet i dag
Jeg am scant as stiff-legged today
I am not nearly as stiff-legged today
- 68 Cli: [£Nå (men det var godt.)£]
[£Well (but that was good.)£]
[£Well that is good£]
- 69 Coa: [men du skal stadig regne] med
[but you shall still count] with
but you should still expect
- 70 at jeg kan give mig lidt £undervejs£
that I will stretch myself a little £during£
that I will stretch a little as we go along
- 71 Cli: Ja haha[hahahaha]
Yes haha[hahahaha]
- 72 Coa: [hehehehe]
- 73 Coa: .hhhhh æ- (.) Vi var jo

- .hhhhh e- (.) We were ADV
 .hhhhh e- (.) We were you know
- 74 i gang i går med æh::
 in progress yesterday with eh::
 yesterday looking at
- 75 (3.0) ((coach is looking through papers))
- 76 Coa: syntes jeg (0.2) og tillade mig
 think I (0.2) and premit myself
 as I see it (0.2) and I will permit myself
- 77 at komme med en fortolkning,
 to come with a interpretation,
 to make an interpretation,
- 78 Cli: hmhm
- 79 Coa: med en overbevisning
 with a conviction
- 80 Cli: °hm[hm°]
- 81 Coa: [som] du allerede har flyttet på
 [which] you already have moved on
 [which] you already have changed
- 82 nemlig salg og marketing.
 namely sale and marketing.
 that is sale and marketing.
- 83 Cli: Ja
 Yes
- 84 Coa: Hvad det er for nogle værdier.
 What it is for some values.
 Which kind of values that is.
- 85 Cli: Ja
 Yes
- 86 Coa: [Og- (.) for din benefice (0.2)
 [And (.) for your benefit (0.2)
- 87 com: [((coach turns head towards observer))
- 88 Coa: æh da vi FØRste gang talte om det her
 eh when we FIRst time talked about this here
 eh when we the FIRst time talked about this
- 89 da kunne Caroline (.) næsten ikke
 then could Caroline (.) all most not
 then Caroline could (.) all most not
- 90 tage ordet salg i sin mund.
 take word_the sale in her mouth.
 take the word sale in her mouth.
- 91 Obs: °m°
- 92 Coa: (>For<) det var så negativt ladet.
 (>Because<) it was so negatively charged.
 (>Because<) it had such negative connotations.

93 com: ((client shakes head and smiles))

94 Coa: [Er det rigtigt?
[Is it true?
[It that true

95 com: [((coach turns head towards client))

96 Cli: Ja. (.) Det er rigtigt.
Yes. (.) It is true.

97 (1.0)

98 com: ((coach licks his finger and turns over a page))

99 Coa: .hh SÅ Æ:HM: har du løst en opgave
.hh THEN E:HM: have you solved an task
.hh THEN EH:M: you have solved a task

100 >som< Fra sidste gang vi var sammen
>which< From last time we were together
>which< since we were together last time

101 altså fra første modul og frem til i dag, (.)
PRT from first module og forward til today, (.)
that is from the first module and till today, (.)

102 og i min vurdering, (2.0) fantastisk løst.
and in my estimate, (2.0) excellently solved.
and in my estimate (2.0) exellently solved.

103 com: ((Coach looks at client and holds his hand out
104 towards her))

105 (2.0)

106 Coa: Du har både brugt energi, (.)
You have both used energy, (.)
You have used both energy, (.)

107 .hh og du har gennemtænkt det,
.hh and you have through thought it,
.hh and you have though it through,

108 og i går forklarede du mig ø::h materialet,
and yesterday explained you me e::h material the,
and yesterday you explained to me the material,

109 (0.8)

110 Coa: Æh og det er faktisk øh (.)
Eh and that is actually eh (.)

111 Vi var lidt i gang med det i går
We were a little in progress with it yesterday
We touched upon it yesterday

112 Cli: [mhmh] ((nods))

113 Coa: [Og det er ud fra] (.) dit materiale
[And it is out of] (.) your material
[And it is from] (.) your material

114 Coa: jeg vil fortsætte,
I will continue,
I want to continue

115 Cli: Ja.
Yes.

116 Coa: Vi startede op i går under (.) emnet
We began up yesterday under (.) subject_the
We began yesterday with the subject

117 hvordan bruger du (0.2)
how use you (0.2)
how are you using (0.2)

118 og hvordan vil °du bruge (.) materialet.°
and how will °you use (.) material_the.°
and how will °you use (.) the material°.

119 Cli: Mm::: ((nods))

120 (0.2)

121 Cli: Ja
Yes

122 (.)

123 Coa: I forbindelse med du selv har (.)
In connection with you self have (.)
In connection with that you yourself have (.)

124 æh:: har ord fremme som kam{p}agne,
eh:: have word out like cam{p}aign,
use words like cam{p}aign,

125 Cli: Hmhm

126 (0.3)

127 Coa: .mt øh:: Altså tilbage til den gamle opgave,
.mt eh:: PRT back to the old assignment,
.mt eh:: That is from the old assignment,

128 com: ((Coach reads the following from paper))

129 Coa: Jeg vil lave en orienteringskampagne
I want to make a orientation campaign
I want to do an orientation campaign

130 for CB coaching der indeholder en analyse af
for CB coaching which contains an analysis of
for CB coaching which contains an analysis of

131 hvor det er mest funktionelt
where it is most functional
where it is most functional

132 at sætte ind med orien[tering,
to set ind with orien[tation,
to bring in orientation,

133 com: [coach looks at client

- 134 (.)
- 135 Cli: Mhm
- 136 (.)
- 137 Coa: Din egen bøjning af verbet orientering
Your own inflection of verb_the orientation
Your own inflection of the verb orientation
- 138 så det ikke bliver falt for salgsorienteretf.
so it not becomes fall too sales_orientedf.
so it will not become ftoo oriented towards salef.
- 139 (.) ((client nods and smiles))
- 140 Coa: Og så har du lavet
And then have you made
And then you have created
- 141 dine etiske (.) salgsregler=
your ethical (.) sale_rules=
your ethical (.) rules of sale=
- 142 Cli: =J[a
=Y[es
- 143 Coa: [() din din egen (.) moralske ramme omkring
[() your your own (.) moral frame around
[() your your own (.) moral frame for
- 144 Coa: hvad er salg for noget her,
what is sale for something here,
what sale is here,
- 145 Cli: Ja.
Yes.
- 146 (0.5)
- 147 Coa: Og det har jo givet dig ro.
And that has ADV given you peace.
And that has given you peace, you know
- 148 com: ((coach stretches arms out towards client))
- 149 Cli: Mhm?
- 150 Coa: Oplevede jeg dig [for]tælle mig i går.
Experienced I you [tell] me yesterday.
I sensed?? you telling me yesterday.
- 151 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 152 (0.2)
- 153 Coa: Æ::h >og en og en og en< meget mere afbalanceret
E::h >and a and a and a< much more balanced
- 154 Coa: (.) et af- afbalanceret forhold til det med salg.
(.) a ba- balanced attitude to that with sale.
(.) a ba- balanced attitude towards sale.

155 Cli: Jahr=
Yeah=

156 com: ((client initiates nod simultaneously with uttering
157 "jahr"))

158 Coa: Så det er der vi starter (0.3) [syn]es jeg.=
So that is there we begin (0.3) [thi]nk I.=
So that is where we begin (0.3) I think.=

159 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]

160 com: ((coach turns gaze to papers at "der"))

161 Cli: =Jeg kunne tage [salg ind ligesom [ø::h
=I could take [sale in like [e::h
=I was able to look at sale

162 com: [((coach looks at client at 'salg'))

163 com: [((client puts
164 hand on her chest/heart during "ø::h"))

165 Cli: [.hhh efter at jeg [ha::vde ø::h
[.hhh after that I [had e::h
[.hhh after I had

166 com: [((coach puts hand on his chest/heart on ".hhh"
167 [and lowers it on "havde"))

168 Cli: at je:::g har forholdt mig [til det] etisk.
that I::: have responded me [to it] ethically.
that I had dealt with it ethically.

169 Coa: [KRM krm]

170 (.)

171 Cli: Fordi at når jeg skriver
Because that when I write
Because when I write down

172 e- etiske (.) ting ned omkring det
ethical (.) things down about it
ethical things concerning it=

173 com: ((Coach looks away at papers on the table to his
174 left))

175 så bliver det (.) .hhh bedre at have (.) salg
then becomes it (.) .hhh better to have (.) sale
then it is better to have sale

176 com: ((Coach looks a client again after the micro pause
177 and inbreath))

178 Cli: med de etiske retningslinjer
with the ethical guidelines
with the ethical guidelines

179 end at (.) at (.) ikke altså
than that (.) that (.) not PRT

- than that (.) that (.) not that is
- 180 Cli: eller eller have salg (.) uden noget som helst.
or or have sale (.) without anything at all.
- 181 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 182 Cli: [Hvor] jeg ikke ø::h
[Where] I not e::h
- 183 hvor jeg bare tager skyklapperne på
where I just put blinkers on
- 184 com: ((client shows blinkers with her hands))
- 185 Cli: og ikke kigge på salg for det vil jeg ikke.
and not look at sale because that will I not.
and not look at sale because I do not want to.
- 186 Cli: .hh Æ::h At >Eller< den del af min virksomhed
.hh E::h That >Or< that part of my company
- 187 (er) vil jeg helst ikke kigge på.=
(is) will I rather not look at.=
I would rather not look at.=
- 188 Cli: =.hh Så er det meget bedre at man kigger på det
=.hh Then is it much better that one looks at it
=.hh Then it is much better that you look at it
- 189 og så siger >jamen< nu forhold^{er} jeg mig til det
and then says >well< now relat^e I me to it
and then say well now I will deal with it
- 190 °Hvilke etiske regler er der.°
°Which ethical rules are there.°
- 191 Cli: .hh Og de:t Derfor så kunne jeg tage det ind
.hh And tha:t Therefore then could I tage it in
and tha:t Therefore then I could respond to it
- 192 Cli: (da) at jeg blev klar over det.
when that I became aware over that.
when I became aware of that.
- 193 (0.2)
- 194 Cli: Det var den indsigt jeg fik=
That was the insight I got=
- 195 Coa: =Ja
=Yes
- 196 Cli: ø::h mellem (.) de her to moduler vi har haft.
e::h between (.) these here two modules we have had.
- 197 (0.3) ((client nods))
- 198 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 199 Cli: °mmm°

200 (.)

201 Coa: Du har knoklet [med det,
You have slogged [with it,
You have worked hard

202 com: [coach smiles

203 Cli: hhhhh[h]((Client laughs/smiles))

204 Coa: [°inde i hovedet°] haha
[°inside in head_the°] haha
°inside your head°

205 Cli: .hh £Hvad siger du?£
.hh £What says you?£
.hh £What are you saying?£

206 Coa: Td(h)u har arbejdet med det
Tyo(h)u have worked with it
Tyo(h)u have worked with it

207 [inde i hovedet.]
[inside in head_the.]
inside your head.

208 Cli: [£Ja (.) det] har jeg.£.hh
[£Yes (.) that] have I.£ .hh
£Yes I have.£

209 (0.4)

210 Coa: .hh I GÅR der nåede vi-
.hh YESTERDAY there reached we-
.hh YESTERDAY we reached

211 Der sluttede vi faktisk
There ended we actually
We actually ended

212 hvor vi har kommet
where we have come
where we have begun

213 lidt i gang omkring (0.6)
a little in progress concerning (0.6)
to process (0.6)

214 .hhh æ:::h Og den backtracker jeg også lige
.hhh e:::h And it backtracks I also just
.hhh e:::h And I will backtrack that as well

215 =så vi er enige om
=so we are agreeing on
so we agree where

216 hvor vi står henne præcist med det,
where we stand at precisely with that,
we are with that exactly,

217 .hh at du har sendt en række breve ud.
.hh that you have sent a row letter out.
.hh that you have sent out a number of letters.

218 Cli: Ja. ((nods))
Yes.

219 (0.4)

220 Coa: Æ::h (0.2) orienteringsbreve.
E::h (0.2) orientation_letters.
E::h (0.2) orientation letters.

221 Cli: Ja.
Yes.

222 (0.2)

223 Coa: Og du har fået (0.2) nogen respons,
And you have had (0.2) some response,

224 Cli: °mhmh°

225 Coa: Og status er den at du står med (0.2)
And status is it that you stand with (0.2)
And the status is that you have (0.2)

226 at der er kommet et navn på
that there is come one name on
that there is added one name

227 [og] et af brevene arbejder endnu.
[and] one of letter_the works yet.
[and] one of the letters is still working.

228 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]

229 Cli: Ja
Yes

230 (0.2) ((client nods during gap))

231 Coa: Hvilken virksomhed var det det var.
Which company was it it was.
Which company was it

232 Cli: Det var Kærsgaard.
It was Kærsgaard.

233 Coa: Det var Kærsgaard.
It was Kærsgaard

234 Cli: °m°

235 Coa: Og han hedder?
And he calls?
And he is called?

236 Cli: .mt han hedder Jimmy Johnsen.
.mt he calls Jimmy Johnsen.
.mt he is called Jimmy Johnsen.

237 Coa: Han hedder Jimmy Johnsen.
He calls Jimmy Johnsen.
His is called Jimmy Johnsen.

238 Cli: Ja

- Yes
- 239 Coa: .hh og så når vi frem til
.hh and then reach we forward to
.hh and now we have reached the point
- 240 at æ::hm: (0.2) æ::h (0.2)
that e:hm: (0.2) e::h (0.2)
that e:hm: (0.2) e::h (0.2)
- 241 han havde ikke fået det første brev, Jimmy Johnsen=
he had not had the first letter, Jimmy Johnsen=
- 242 Cli: =Nej
=No
- 243 Coa: .hh Og så aftalte du med ham telefonisk
.hh And then agreed you with him by telephone
.hh And then you agreed with him on the telephone
- 244 at han skulle have (.) en ny forsendelse
that he should have (.) a new shipment
- 245 Coa: [fra] dig.=Er det rigtigt,
[from] you.=Is that correct,
- 246 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 247 Cli: Ja.
Yes.
- 248 (0.2)
- 249 Coa: Der er f:: c:irka fjorten dage siden,
That is f:: a:bout fourteen days ago,
- 250 Cli: Ja.
Yes.
- 251 (0.2)
- 252 Coa: Og nu regner du med at han har læst den.
And now count you with that he has read them.
And now you expect that he has read them.
- 253 Cli: Ja.
Yes.
- 254 (0.2)
- 255 Coa: .hh Og så nåede vi frem til
.hh And then reached we forward to
.hh And then we reached the point
- 256 at jeg spurgte dig så ville du ringe til ham.
that I asked you then would you call to him.
where I asked you then you would call him.
- 257 Cli: Mhm:
- 258 (0.4)
- 259 com: ((client starts nodding while uttering "nh hm:" and

260 continues during the pause. After the last nod she
 261 moves her head slightly forward))

262 Coa: Hvordan skal den telefonsamtale forløbe.
 How shall that telephone conversation proceed.
 How shall that telephone conversation proceed.

263 Cli: °Ja°
 °Yes°
 °Right°

264 com: ((Client moves gaze from coach after "°ja°", gazes
 265 into the middle distance))

266 (0.4)

267 Cli: .hhhhh hhhh

268 (0.2)

269 Cli: Krhm:: .mt Altså det æ::hm:: (0.2) som som
 Krhm:: .mt PRT that e::hm:: (0.2) which which
 Krhm:: .mt Well that e::hm:: (0.2) which which

270 jo også bliver >mere og mere< klart
 ADV also becomes >more and more< clear
 you know also becomes >more and more< clear

271 det er det (.) at coaching
 it is that (.) that coaching
 it is the fact that (.) that coaching

272 [er et tillidsspørgsmål.
 [is a trust_question.
 is a question of trust.

273 com: [((Client looks at coach again))

274 Cli: Og derfor så har jeg
 And therefor then have I
 And therefor I have

275 Cli: [brug for at at jeg kan vise mig som person
 [need to that that I can show myself as a person
 [the need to show myself in person

276 com: [((coach turns head from client to table on his left
 277 hand then places his notebook on the table, then
 278 places hands over eyes and shifts in his chair))

279 Cli: for de mennesker som som eventuelt
 to the persons which which maybe
 to the persons who maybe

280 skal (.) hyre mig,
 shall (.) hire me,
 are going to hire me,

281 (0.2)

282 Cli: Til det.
 To that.

283 (0.2)

- 284 Coa: Ja,
Yes,
- 285 Cli: Og derfor så er de::t vigtigt
And therefore then is i::t important
And therefore i::t is important
- 286 at få de personlige møder,
to get the personal meetings,
to get the personal meetings,
- 287 så de kan se mig
so they can see me
so thay can see me
- 288 og så de kan æh har et ansigt på og
and so they can eh have a face on and
and so they can eh recognise me and
- 289 og ved hvad jeg står for o:g ø::h ø::h
and know what I stand for and e::h e::h
- 290 Cli: Og det som som vi så aftalte
Og that which which we then agreed
- 291 i hvert fald til en: til en opgave,
in any case for an: for an assignment,
at least for an: for an assignment,
- 292 (0.5)
- 293 Cli: Det var det at jeg skulle .hh sku:lle:: gå [hjem
That was it that I should .hh shou:ld:: go [hjem
It was that I should .hh shou:ld:: go home
- 294 com: [((coach
295 turns head from client toward the table and lifts
296 his arm))
- 297 (0.7)
- 298 Cli: og jeg skulle ringe til ham
and I should call to him
and I should call him
- 299 og så skulle jeg aftale et møde,
and then should I arrange a meeting,
and then I should arrange a meeting,
- 300 com: ((Coach takes pen and begins writing))
- 301 (0.3)
- 302 Cli: Med ham.
With him.
- 303 (1.3) ((Coach writes))
- 304 Cli: .hh Og hvordan jeg vil så få den
.hh and how I will then get that
.hh and how then I will get that
- 305 æhæ:: fdet møde i stand?f

ehe:: fthat meeting in stall?f
ehe:: fthat meeting set up?f

306 (0.3) ((Coach writes))

307 Cli: det er jo så lidt sværere for mig.
that is ADV then little difficult_COMP for me.
that is you know a little more difficult for me.

308 (0.4) ((Coach writes))

309 Cli: E:hm:: (0.2) .hhhh .mt
E:hm:: (0.2) .hhhh .mt

310 ((Client gazes into middle distance))

311 (2.0) ((Coach writes))

312 Coa: Hvis jeg skriver
If I write

313 [aftale møde med Jimmy Johnsen, ((5:45))
[arrange meeting with Jimmy Johnsen,

314 com: [((Client turning head looking a notes))

315 Cli: Ja.
Yes.

316 Coa: Er det så rigtigt?
Is that then correct?

317 Cli: Ja.
Yes.

318 (7.0)

319 com: ((Coach is still writing))

320 Coa: Som °Petersen° gør.
As °Petersen° does.
Just as °Petersen° does.

321 com: ((Coach reaches the notebook towards client she
322 leans forward and looks at it))

323 Cli: Yes[:
Yes[:

324 Coa: [Jimmy er ikke stavet rigtigt.
[Jimmy is not spelled correctly.

325 Cli: Nej men det er fint.fhh
No but that is fine.fhh

326 Coa: °kay°
°kay°

327 com: ((°kay°" is accompanied by a nodding/pointing with
328 the notebook toward the client))

329 Coa: °Men° det det er en opgave
°But° that that is an
°But° that that is an assignment

330 du har formuleret omkring,=
assignment you have formulated about,=
you have stated concerning,=

331 com: ((coach returns notebook to table and writes some
332 more looking at notebook))

333 Cli: =Ja det formulerede jeg i går.
=Yes that formulated I yesterday.
=Yes I stated that yesterday.

334 (0.4)

335 com: ((Client nods, coach turns toward her, not looking
336 at her.))

337 Coa: Æ::hm:
E::hm:

338 (3.0)

339 Cli: Og det vil jeg gøre fredag (.) Forresten
And that will I do Friday (.) By_the_way
And I will do it Friday (.) By the way

340 det [de:t]
that [tha:t]
that tha:t

341 Coa: [Nå ja]hvornår,
[Ah yes] when,

342 com: ((Coach turns gaze to client at "gøre", at
343 "forresten" he turns left toward table and
344 notebook and begins writing))

345 Cli: Ja fredag havde jeg-
Yes Friday had I-
Yes Friday had I-

346 når jeg kommer hjem fra det her.
when I come home from this here.
when I return come from this.

347 (0.5)

348 Cli: Så
So

349 (0.5)

350 Cli: Det var også en deadline vi satte i går
That was also a deadline we set yesterday
That was also a deadline we fixed yesterday

351 com: ((Client turns head and gazes reaches observer at
352 "deadline"))

353 Coa: (°Det er rigtigt°)
(°That is correct°)

354 com: ((coach still writing))

355 Cli: °Mhm°

356 (0.4)

357 Coa: FREdag,
FRIday,

358 Cli: Ja.
Yes.

359 Coa: I denne uge.
In this week.

360 Cli: Mhm

361 (5.0)

362 com: ((coach stops writing, drops pen and turns towards
363 client))

364 (5.0)

365 com: ((Coach gazes into the middle distance.))
366 ((Client moves gaze from notebook to coach mid-
367 gap.))

368 Coa: <Hvad vil du [bru:ge> (1.0) °*øh°
<What will you [u:se> (1.0) °*eh°

369 com: [((Coach turn his head slightly to
370 the left towards the client. No eyecontact))

371 Coa: Hvad vil du bruge af argumenter
What will you use of arguments

372 Coa: [overfor Jimmy Johnsen.
[towards Jimmy Johnsen.

373 com: [((coach turns his head/gaze on client))

374 (2.0)

375 Coa: For at få det møde.
For to get that meeting.
In order to get that.

376 (1.0)

377 Cli: .hhhhh hhhhh

378 (5.0)

379 Coa: Hvad vil du sige?
What will you say?
What are you going to say?

380 (3.0)

381 Cli: Altså:: først så vil jeg jo præsenterer mig.
PRT first then will I ADV present my self.
We::ll firstly I will present myself you know.

382 (0.4)

- 383 Coa: [°Ja°]
[°Yes°]
- 384 Cli: [Og] sige det er Caroline,
[And] say it is Caroline,
- 385 Coa: mhm
- 386 Cli: Blidstrup fra CB coaching?
Blidstrup from CB coaching?
- 387 Coa: Ja.
Yes.
- 388 Cli: Og jeg ringer igen
And I calls again
And I am calling again
- 389 fordi at jeg har jo sendt en brochure til dig?
because that I have ADV send a brochure to you?
because I have sent a brochure to you, you know?
- 390 Coa: °Ja°
°Yes°
- 391 Cli: som vi aftalte.
like we agreed.
- 392 (0.5)
- 393 Cli: O:g jeg regner med (.) du har haft lejlighed
A:nd I count on (.) you have had opportunity
A:nd I expect (.) you have had opportunity
- 394 til at kigge den igennem.
to toINF look it through.
to look through it.
- 395 Cli: .hh Ud fra det så vil jeg egentlig
.hh Out from that then want I actually
.hh On that basis I would actually
- 396 meget gerne mødes med dig,=
very gladly meet with you,=
really like to meet you
- 397 fordi jeg vil selvfølgelig meget gerne vise dig, (.)
because I want naturally very much show you, (.)
because, naturally I very much want to show you, (.)
- 398 hvad vi kan gøre for din virksomhed.
what we can do for your company.
- 399 (1.0)
- 400 Coa: .mt J:a
.mt Y:es
- 401 (1.4)
- 402 Cli: .hhh Der har jeg så: ø::h i min forretningsplan
.hhh There have I the:n u::h in my buisness plan
.hhh There I the:n have then u::h in my buisness plan

- 403 der har jeg så nogle æ::h (0.4)
there have I the:n some u::h (0.4)
there I have the:n some u::h (0.4)
- 404 altså en formulering speciel- meget k_ort formulering
PRT a formul_oration especiall- very sh_ort formul_oration
well a formul_oration especiall- very sh_ort formul_oration
- 405 .hhh $\text{\textit{f}}$ som jeg gerne vil bruge $\text{\textit{f}}$. (0.3) m::æ::h
.hhh $\text{\textit{f}}$ that I gladly will use $\text{\textit{f}}$. (0.3) m::u::h
.hhh $\text{\textit{f}}$ that I would like to use $\text{\textit{f}}$ (0.3) m::u::h
- 406 Coa: °Hvad er det for en formulering.=°
°What is that for a formulation.=°
°Which forulation is that.= °
- 407 Cli: =Jah hhhhaha .hhhh men den går på dels æ::::h
=Yesh hhhhaha .hhhh but that goes on partly u::::h
=Yesh hhhhaha .hhhh but it partly goes along the line
of u::::h
- 408 Den går på lidt på hvad hvad coaching (0.5)
It goes on a little on what what coaching (0.5)
It goes along the line of what what (0.5)
- 409 altså hvad coaching er.
PRT what coaching is.
well what coaching is.
- 410 Cli: Og der er det
And there is it
And there it is
- 411 .hhh nu kan jeg ikke huske den helt ordret
.hhh now can I not remember it completely literally
.hhh now I cannot remember it word for word
- 412 Cli: Men det er at det e::::r æ::h (.)
But it is that it i::::s u::h (.)
- 413 ø:h målorientering fokuseret (0.2)
u:h goal_oriented focussed (0.2)
u:h goal_oriented focussed (0.2)
- 414 øh udvikling (0.5) baseret på på (0.5) værdier;
uh development (0.5) based on on (0.5) values;
- 415 (0.2) og på handling.
(0.2) and on action.
- 416 (1.0)
- 417 Cli: Og ø:h grunden til at at firmaer (.)
And u:h reason_the to that that companies (.)
And the reason that that companies (.)
- 418 har brug for coaching
have need for coaching
need coaching
- 419 det er at at ø::h *ø::h at i denne her verden
that is that that *u::h that in this here world
that is that that *u::h that in this world

420 hvor vi æh >simpelthen<
 where we uh >simply<
 where we uh simply

421 hvor tingene går så hurtig
 where things_the goes so quickly
 where things are moving so fast

422 og man hele tiden .hø:h skal tjene penge,
 and one whole time_the .hu:h must earn money,
 and you constantly .hu:h must make money,

423 ø::h på på og for eksempel
 u::h on on and for example

424 hvis hvis man vælger det forkerte mål
 if if one chooses the wrong goal
 if if you choose the wrong goal

425 .hhh eller hvis man man vælger en vej
 .hhh or if one one chooses a road
 .hhh or if you you choose a way

426 der er for langt til målene
 there is too far to goals_the
 there is too far to the goals

427 >det tager for< lang tid
 >it takes too< long time
 it takes too long

428 så mister man (0.2) faktisk penge.
 then loses one (0.2) actually money.
 then you actually lose money

429 (.)

430 .hhh Som firma.
 .hhh As company.

431 (°O-°) Så det er utroligt vigtigt for et firma
 (°A-°) So it is incredibly important for a company

432 at (.) målene er kla:re og at æ::h
 that (.) goals_the are clea:r and that u::h
 that the goals are clea:r and that u::h

433 man æ::h når man er på vejen
 one u::h when one is on road_the
 you u::h when you are on the way

434 at man går efter de rigtige mål,
 that one goes after the right goals,
 that you aim for the right goals,

435 dem man virkelig har valgt sig.
 those one really has chosen yourself.
 the ones you have truly chosen

436 Og så også at der er de værdier
 And then also that there is those values
 And then also that there are those values

- 437 undervejs så man .hh æ::h holder motivationen
en_route so one .hh u::h keeps motivation_the
along the way so you .hh u::h keep the motivation
- 438 hos sine medarbejdere og og (.) kommer dertil.
by your employees and and (.) come there.
in your employees and and (.) reach that place.
- 439 Coa: .hh Hvad beskæftiger hans virksomhed sig med.
.hh What engages his company itself with.
.hh What does his company do
- 440 Cli: .mt .hhh De bes- øh skæftiger sig
.mt .hhh They en- uh gage themselves
.mt .hhh They do
- 441 med rådgivning.
with consultancy.
consultancy
- 442 Coa: °De beskæftiger sig med rådgiv[ning.]°
°They engage themselves with consultan[cy.]°
°They do consultancy°
- 443 Cli: [m:]
- 444 com: ((Client nods))
- 445 (0.5)
- 446 Coa: Din originale indgangsvinkel til virksomheden
Your originale entrance to company_the
Your original entrance to the company
- 447 Coa: det var dit personlig netværk [°Er det] rigtigt?°=
that was your personal network [°Is that] right?°=
- 448 Cli: [Jahr]
[Yeah]
- 449 Cli: =Det er rigtigt.
=That is right.
- 450 (2.0) ((Coach opens his mouth, maybe he does an
451 inaudible inbreath. He removes his gaze from the
452 client and gazes into the middle distance))
- 453 Coa: Hvad tror du (0.4) *øh (0.4)
What think you (0.4) *uh (0.4)
What do you think (0.4)*uh (0.4)
- 454 [Hvor tror du han kan bruge coaching,
[Where think you he can use coaching,
[Where do you think he can use coaching,
- 455 com: [((Coach gazes at client))
- 456 (1.5)
- 457 Cli: Jeg tror han kan bruge det
I think he can use it
I think he can use it

- 458 i i forbindelse med altså
in in connection with PRT
in in connection to well
- 459 Cli: .hh æ:::hm: for eksempel æh æh
.hh u:::hm: for instance uh uh
- 460 de rådgivere som der er,
the consultants which there are,
the consultants which are there,
- 461 (0.3)
- 462 Cli: I firmaet.
In company_the.
In the company.
- 463 (.)
- 464 Cli: Hvis de skal ud og så: rådgive
If they must out and the:n counsel
If they are going to give counselling
- 465 i f: i forskellige virksomheder i forbindelse med
in d: in different companies in connection with
in different companies in connection with
- 466 forskellige byggeprojekter (.) og den slags
differentbuilding_projects (.) og that kind
different building projects (.) and such
- 467 .hhh Så vil det være vigtigt hvis de (.) de
.hhh Then will it be important if they (.) they
.hhh Then it will be important if they (.) they
- 468 sammen (.) med deres samarbejds::partnere
together (.) with their collaborators
- 469 Cli: meget hurtigt finder ud af hvor målet er.
very quickly find out of where goal_the is.
very quickly figure out where the goal is.
- 470 Cli: Altså [hvad] det er de de: ø::h ønsker
PRT [what] it is they they: u::h wish
Well [what] it is they they: u::h wish
- 471 Coa: [°Ja°]
[°Yes°]
- 472 Cli: for eksempel med de.hh de projekter.
for instance with the .hh the projects.
- 473 Hvordan skal projektet se ud,
How must project_the look out,
What does the project look like
- 474 Hvordan .hh kommer vi dertil,
How .hh come we there,
How do we get there
- 475 Og så især æh også samarbejdsmæssigt
And then especially uh also cooperation_wise
- 476 (.)

477 Cli: .mt Fordi .hh mange gange i ø::h ingeniørfaget
 .mt Because .hh many times in u::h engineering

478 der er det jo sådan at
 there is it ADV so that
 there it is you know so

479 .hh at ø:h der er er entreprenørerne,
 .hh that u:h there are are contractors_the,
 .hh that u:h there are are the contractors

480 og der er ingeniørerne
 and there are engineers_the
 and there are the engineers

481 og der er æh arkitekter,
 and there are uh architects_the,
 and there are the architects

482 o(h)g der er en masse andre
 a(h)nd there are a lot others
 a(h)nd there are many others

483 der skal arbejde sammen.
 there must work together.
 who must work together.

484 Coa: °hm°

485 Cli: .hhhh Og nogle gange så kan det være
 .hhhh And some times then can that be
 .hhhh And sometimes that can be incredibly hard

486 utroligt svært i denne her relation
 incredibly hard in this here relationship
 incredibly hard in this relationship

487 fordi hvis det er at der er et eller andet
 because if it is that there is one or other
 because if it is that there is something

488 Cli: der går galt, (0.4) .mt .hhh så- kan det
 which goes wrong, (0.4).mt .hhh then- can it
 which goes wrong, (0.4).mt .hhh then it can

489 blive sådan at det bliver til retsager
 become like this that there becomes to trials
 be like this that there will be trials

490 hvor man sidder og finder ud af hvem skal så
 where one sits and find out of who must then
 where you are trying to figure out who must

491 betale for den her (.) °ting >der er°<=
 pay for this here (.) °thing >there is°<=

492 Cli: =Så derfor så er det .hh
 =So therefore then is it .hh
 =So therefore it is .hh

493 >Det er< ekstrem vigtig for dem
 >It is< extremely important for them
 >It is< extremely important for them

494 at have en total afklarethed.
to have a complete clarifiedness.
to be completly clarified.

495 com: ((client moves her hands which are placed together
496 in front of her towards coach at the beginning of
497 "afklarethed"))

498 Cli: Tror jeg.
Think I.
I think.

499 (.)

500 Cli: Altså det er jo let gæt,f=
PRT that is ADV fa guess,f=
Well that is fa guessf you know,

501 Coa: =°*m°

502 Cli: .hhh ø:::h Om *øhm hvem er det
.hhh u:::h About *uhm whō is it
.hhh u:::h Concerning whō is doing

503 der gør hvad i det her.
who does what in this here.
what in this thing.

504 (0.4)

505 Cli: Og så også:: .hh hvordan vil vi gøre det her
And then also:: .hh how will we do this here
And then also:: .hh how will we do this thing
together

506 sammen så det det måske på en eller anden måde
together so it it maybe on one or other way
together so it it maybe in one way or the other

507 skaber nogle fælles værdier for
creates some joint values for

508 hvad er det °egentlig°,
what is it °really°,

509 Cli: °Hvordan vil vi arbejde sammen° .hhh
°How will we work together° .hhh

510 (1.2)

511 Cli: Æ::hm:
E::hm:

512 (1.2)

513 Coa: Kan du forestille dig en måde [INden (.)
Can you imagine you a way [BEfore (.)
Can you imagine a way BEfore (.)

514 com: [(coach moves hands
515 to his right and hold them there))

516 du kommer med den æ::h med den med remsen.

- you come with that u::h with that with speech the.
you come with the u::h with that with the speech.
- 517 (0.6) ((Coach fixing his eyes on client))
- 518 Cli: m:m:
- 519 Coa: [Som du nævnte lige før,
[Which you mentioned just before,
[Which you just mentioned,
- 520 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 521 Coa: [.hh Kan du forestille dig en måde
[.hh Can you imagine you a way
[.hh Can you imagine a way
- 522 com: [((coach moves hands back to initial position in
523 front of his chest))
- 524 hvor du kan spørge ind til ham på?
where you can ask in to him on?
in which you can enquire about him?
- 525 (0.4)
- 526 Coa: Være nysgerrig overfor ham omkring (0.7) ø::h
Be curious toward him concerning (0.7) u::h
Be curious about him concerning (0.7) u::h
- 527 Coa: hans[: øh problemstillinger,
his[: uh challenges,
- 528 Cli: [Ja
[Yes
- 529 Coa: han[s virksomheds pro[°blemstil]linger°=
hi[s company_GEN chal[°lenges°]=
the challenges of his company=
- 530 Cli: [Ja [.hhh Ja]
[Yes [.hhh Yes]
- 531 Cli: =Altså
=PRT
=Well
- 532 (3.0)
- 533 com: (client open mouth and closes it again)
- 534 Cli: .mt hm::::
- 535 (2.0)
- 536 Cli: Altså det- fdet jeg gerne vil spø(h)rge om
PRT that- fthat I gladly will a(h)sk about
Well what- fwhat I would like to a(h)sk about
- 537 det er jo hvordan kan jeg hjælpe
that is ADV how can I help
that is how can I help you know

- 538 men ha- han kender jo ikke (.)
 but he- he knows ADV not (.)
 but he- he does not know you know
- 539 hvad coaching kan gøre *sådan *så
 what coaching can do *as such *so
 what coaching as such *can do *so
- 540 .hhh (.) Så det ville være svært,
 .hhh (.) So it would be difficult,
- 541 Cli: Altså jeg bliver jo nok nødt til
 PRT I become ADV probably obliged to
 That is I will probably have to you know
- 542 at spørge mere til ti:l øh (0.8) *øh hvad laver
 to ask more to to: uh (0.8) *uh what do
 to ask more about uh (0.8) what do
- 543 I (.) specielt i i jeres virksomhed hva-
 you (.) specifically in in your company wha-
 you do (.) specifically in in your company wha-
- 544 (1.0)
- 545 com: ((client shifts position in her chair))
- 546 Cli: Hvad er det som æ::h er der nogle steder
 What is it that u::h are there some places
- 547 hvor hvor- (0.5) æ::h hvor der kan være (0.5)
 where where- (0.5) u::h where there can be (0.5)
- 548 æ::h ting som er er måske (.)
 u::h things which are are maybe (.)
- 549 er vanskelige til at (0.3) få=
 are difficult to to(INF) (0.3) get=
 are difficult to to (0.3) reach=
- 550 =Er der nogle mål som .hh som ser ud til
 =Are there some goals which .hh which looks out to
 =Are there some goals where .hh where it looks like
- 551 der er nogle forhindringer før man ka-
 there are some obstacles before one ca-
 there are some obstacles before you ca-
- 552 kan nå dem=Er der et eller andet=Er der
 can reach them=Is there one or another=Is there
 can reach them=Is there something=Is there
- 553 et sted der man kan sætte ind,
 a place there you can act in,
 somewhere there you take action
- 554 (0.4)
- 555 Coa: Ja
 Yes
- 556 Cli: F:ordi at at det er jo
 B:ecause that that that is ADV
 B:ecause that that that is

557 det coaching (.) gør.
 what coaching (.) does.
 what coaching (.) does you know.

558 Altså jeg kan hjælpe med at sætte ind de steder
 PRT I can help with to act in those places
 That is I can help to take action in those places

559 hvor der er (0.6) ø::h problemer i at nå,=
 where there is (0.6) u::h problems in to reach,=
 where there are problems reaching,=

560 =Enten hvis man ikke er helt klar over
 =Either if you not are completely aware about

561 Cli: hvilken værdier giver det egentlig i firmaet.
 which values gives it really in company_the.
 which values it really gives in the company.

562 (0.5)

563 Coa: Ja
 Yes

564 (0.4)

565 com: ((coach points his finger at client after "Ja"))

566 Cli: Og øh hvilke ø::h hvilke: m:: øh mål
 And uh which u::h which: g: uh goals

567 Cli: har vi helt klart (0.5) for firmaet.
 have we completely clear (0.5) for company_the.
 do we obviously have (0.5) for the company.

568 (0.9)

569 Cli: Ikke >Altså selvfølgelig< kender de nok
 Righth >PRT of course< know they undoubtedly
 Right >Well of course< they undoubtedly know

570 Cli: deres mål men især den der med (.)
 their goals but in particular that there with (.)
 their goals but in particular that concerning (.)

571 med ø::hm (.) hvilke værdier,
 with u::hm (.) which values,
 concerning u::hm (.) which values,

572 (1.4)

573 Cli: æ::::hm:: hhh
 u::::hm:: hhh

574 (1.5)

575 Cli: Ja.
 Yes.

576 Coa: [.mt .hh (Hvo- hva-) Forestiller du dig
 [.mt .hh (Whe- Wha-) Imagine you yourself [.mt
 .hh (Whe- Wha-) Do you imagine that that

577 com: [((Coach lifting his right hand so both hand are
578 raised in front of his chest slightly pointing
579 towards the client.))

580 Coa: at at °at æh° (0.7) din hjælp til ham
that that °that uh° (0.7) your help to him

581 er er projektorienteret?
is is project oriented?

582 (1.6) ((Client looking intensely at coach))

583 Forestiller du dig at du kan være
Imagine you yourself that you can be
Do you imagine that you can be

584 'n en s:upport *æh for en projektgruppe (.) i
a a s:upport uh for a project_group (.) in
a a s:upport *uh for a project group (.) in

585 hans virksomhed der øger
his company which increases
his company which will increases

586 kommunikationen når du hjælper.
communication_the when you help.
their communication when you help.

587 (1.0)

588 Cli: °mm°

589 com: ((Client sits up straight not leaning as much
590 forward towards the coach as before. Gaze shifts
591 from coach to the middle distance.))

592 (2.0)

593 Coa: Hvordan forestiller du dig at at (0.2)
How imagine you yourself that that (0.2)
How do you imagine that that (0.2)

594 at at din måde at give ham hjælp på
that that your way to give him help on
that that your way of helping him

595 skal foregå,=Er det .hhh overordnet
will be,=Is it .hhh overall
will be,=Is it overall

596 for hele firmaet eller kan det v-
for entire company_the or can it b-
for the entire company or can it b-

597 >er det< projektorienteret.==Hvordan forestiller
>is it< project_oriented.==How imagine
is it project oriented.==How do you imagine

598 du dig at at [din indsats
you yourself that that [your performance
that that your performance

599 Cli: [.hhh

- 600 Coa: kan være målbar for ham,
can be measurable for him,
- 524 com: ((Coach lowers his hand, placing them in his lap))
- 601 Cli: Det kommer lidt an på hvad hans:
That depends a litttle on what his:
That depends a little on his:
- 602 øh hvad han har brug for et eller andet (.) [st]ed.
uh what he has need of one or another(.) [pla]ce.
uh what he needs really.
- 603 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 604 Cli: Fordi hvis .hh hvis han har det sådan at lige
Because if .hh if he has it ADJ that just
Because if .hh if it is like this that
- 605 i øjeblikket der har de nogen: (0.5)
in moment_the there have they some (0.5)
at present they have some (0.5)
- 606 Måske °*altså° det er også ren hypotese
Maybe °*PRT° this er also strictly hypothesis
Maybe well this is also a complete hypothesis
- 607 Hvis de har nogle .hh nogle ø::hm:
If they have some .hh some u::hm:
If they have some .hh some u::hm:
- 608 *ø::h °hvad hedder det°
*u::h °what called it°
*u::h what's it called
- 609 problemer i nogle teams der kører,
problems in some teams which runs,
problems in some teams running
- 610 .hh så kunne jeg jo >gå d-< gå ind der.
.hh then could I ADV >go t-< go in there.
.hh then I could you know intervene there.
- 611 Cli: Hvis de har nogle ø::h .hhh ø::hm:: (0.7)
if they have some u::h .hhh u::hm:: (0.7)
- 612 for eksempel nogle generelle problemer med at at
for instance some general problems with that that
- 613 hver gang de kommer ud i et projekt
every time they come out on a project
- 614 så fungerer det mange gange sådan
then functions it many time ADV
then it often works such
- 615 .hh at der kommer nogle retsager
.hh that there becomes some trials
.hh that there will be some trials
- 616 at der bliver noget u[enig]hed
that there becomes some disa[gree]ment

617 Coa: [Ja]

618 Cli: =så kan man måske gå ind der.=
=then can you maybe go in there.=

619 Cli: =Og d- det- det tror jeg er et sted
=And th- that- that think I is a place
And th- that- that I think is a place

620 hvor hvor (0.5) sådan nogle
where where (0.5) such some
where where (0.5) such

621 Cli: rådgivningsvirksomheder [har problemer måske.=
counselling_companies [have problems maybe.=
counselling companies have challenges maybe.=

622 com: [((coach uncrosses his
623 legs and leans towards the client))

624 Coa: =Hvilke spørgsmål kan du [bruge til
=Which questions can you [use to

625 com: [((coach leans back in his
626 chair))

627 at afdække (.) hans behov.
toINF identify (.) his needs.
identify (.) his needs.

628 Cli: .hhhhh woaw [hhhha↑ha[haha↓ha

629 com: [((Client leans back in chair and places
630 hands over her face while laughing))
631 [leans forward towards coach

632 Cli: [tsk [.hhhh æ:::hm::: .hhhh

633 com: [does a thumbs up
634 [sits back in her chair

635 Coa: [Du nævner jo selv
[You mention ADV yourself
You mention yourself

636 com: [((Coach picking up pencil and hold out is hand in
637 front of his chest as he speaks))

638 Coa: at du vil ramme hans værdier
that you will target his values
that you want to target his values you know

639 men hvilke spørgsmål kan du komme til
but which questions can you come to
but which questions can you use

640 at afdække de .hh virksomhedens værdier
toINF identify those .hh company_the_GEN values
to identify those .hh the values of the company

641 og hans problemer med.
and his problems with.
and his problems.

642 Coa: [Hvilke spørgsmål kan være (0.8) kan åbne ham,
[Which questions can be (0.8) can open him,
[Which questions can be (0.8) can open him up,

643 com: [((Coach lets his hand fall in his lap))

644 (10.0)

645 Cli: .mt

646 (1.0)

647 Cli: Jeg har lidt- (0.3) Altså jeg har jeg har s::
I have little- (0.3) PRT I have I have s::
I have a little- (0.3) Well I have I have s::
648 (1.1)

649 Cli: .hh >Altså< nu nu kan jeg s::
.hh PRT now now can I s::
.hh >Well< now now can I s::
650 Cli: hvis han har læst brochurerne så ved han jo
if he has read brochures_the then knows he ADV
if he has read the brochures then he knows you know

651 Cli: noget om hvad coaching står for
something about what coaching stands for
something about what coaching stands for

652 Cli: for det har jeg jo skrevet i dem.
because that have I ADV written in them.
because I have written that in them you know.

653 Coa: M:

654 (1.0)

655 Cli: Ø::hm:
U::hm:

656 (0.6)

657 Cli: For ellers så har jeg sådan en følelse af
Because otherwise then have I such a feeling of
Because otherwise I have the feeling

658 at jeg bliver nødt til
that I become obliged to
that I will have

659 at fortælle ham om det først.
toINF tell him about it first.
to tell him about it first

660 (0.3)

661 Cli: For at han han forstår
In order that he he understands

662 Cli: hvad det (.) hvordan jeg (.) [kan] kan hjælpe .hhhh
what it (.) how I (.) [can] can help .hhhh

663 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]

664 Coa: Er det en del af disponeringen det her=
It that a part of plan_the that here=
It that a part of the plan

665 Coa: =Fortæl først,
=Tell first,

666 com: ((coach holds out one finger, counting on fingers))

667 (0.8)

668 Cli: Jamen det tror jeg.
Yes_but that think I.
Yes, I believe it is

669 Cli: Altså j- >i hvert fald< Først
PRT I- >at any rate< Firstly
That is I- >at any rate< Firstly,

670 allerførst så tror jeg jeg skal
in the first place then believe I I must
as the very first I believe I must

671 spørge ind til (0.6) ø::hm::=ø:h hvad
ask in to (0.6) u::hm::=u:h what
enquire about (0.6) u::hm::=u:h what

672 han forstår ved coaching
he understands by coaching

673 com: ((coach turns to write in note block on table))

674 Cli: og om øh de brochurer har afklaret det.
and whether uh those brochures have cleared that.

675 Cli: .hhh ø:::hm::
.hhh u:::hm::

676 (0.7)

677 Cli: Også .hhh (.) derefter så- så skal jeg
And_then .hhh (.) thereafter then- then must I
And_then .hhh (.) thereafter then- then I must

678 Cli: hvis han han æh Jeg kan mærke
if he he uh I can feel
if he he uh I sense

679 at der er nogle steder
that there are some places
that there are areas

680 hvor han måske ikke ved .hh nok
where he maybe not know .hh enough
where he may not know enough

681 Cli: så må jeg (0.4) øh *give °en en øh en
then must I (0.4) uh *give °a a uh a
then I must (0.4) uh give a a uh a

682 Cli: hvad hedder det° (.) en yderligere information.

- what called it° (.) a further information.
 what is it called (.) additional information.
- 683 Coa: .mt .hh [Hvordan kan du: æ:h (0.5)
 .mt .hh [How can you: u:h (0.5)
- 684 com: [((coach moves his right hand from the notes
 685 to a position in front of his chest))
- 686 Coa: [Kan du supplere, (0.5)
 [Can you supplement, (0.5)
- 687 com: [((Coach raises hand slightly and drops it to
 688 initial position at the stressed marked part of
 689 'supplement'))
- 690 Coa: Hvordan kan du supplere din brochure,
 How can you supplement your brochure,
 691 (0.6)
- 692 Cli: [°(.mt) .hhh°]
- 693 Coa: [Så du ikke]sidder og læser op=
 [So you not]sit and read up=
 [So you are]not reading aloud=
- 694 Coa: =>Hvordan kan du supplere den,<[
 =>How can you supplement it,< [
- 695 com: [((Coach drops his
 696 hand and returns it to the notes on the table and
 697 begins writing
- 698 Cli: Jamen det vil være at jeg giver ham yderligere
 Yes_but that will be that I give him further
 Yes well that will be that I give him further
- 699 (0.3)
- 700 Cli: *æh Og det kunne være den der
 *uh And that could be that there
 *uh And that could be that
- 701 meget korte (0.5) salgstale fre- fra for eksempel
 very short (0.5) sales_speech fre- from for instance
 very short (0.5) sales_speech fre- from for instance
- 702 forretningsplanen jeg har lavet.
 business_plan_the I have made.
 the business plan I have made.
- 703 Cli: .hhh Altså den der med med hurtig
 .hhh PRT that there with with quick
 .hhh That is that one with with quickly
- 704 hvad coaching står for
 what coaching stands for
- 705 Coa: m:[:]
- 706 Cli: [Og] så også hvad hvad det kan (.)
 [And] then also what what it can (.)
 [And] then also what what it

- 707 kan hjælpe generelt med.
can help in general with.
in general can help to do.
- 708 Cli: .hh Og så kan jeg spørge *æh om han føler
.hh And then can I ask *uh whether he feels
.hh And then I can ask uh whether he senses
- 709 Cli: at der er nogle steder i i de teams der kører
that there are some places in in the teams that runs
that there are some places in in the running teams
- 710 Cli: eller om der er nogen steder
or whether there are some places
- 711 Cli: hvor tingene sådan traditionelt ligesom
where things_the in a way traditionally like
where things in a way tradinionally line .hh
u:::hm::
- 712 Cli: .hh ø:::hm:: at der der er nogen
.hh u:::hm::that there there are some
- 713 Cli: .hh problemstillinger eller nogen mål
.hh challenges or some goals
.hh challenges or some goals
- 714 der bliver (0.5) .mt forsinkede,
which become (0.5) .mt delayed,
which are (0.5) .mt delayed,
- 715 (0.4)
- 716 Cli: På grund af nogle øh samarbejdsproblemer
Due to some uh cooperation problems
Due to some uh co-operative difficulties
- 717 eller .hhh eller andet.
or .hhh or other.
or .hhh or other.
- 718 (0.5)
- 719 Cli: Og det er så .hh både med ham=
And that is then .hh both with him=
And that is then both concerning him=
- 720 Cli: (>og me-<) også >med< me::d med øh andre firmaer.
(>and wh-<)also >with< wi::th with eh other
companies.
- 721 Coa: Hvis nu jeg skriver >at at at< øh første step
If now I write >that that that< uh first step
If I now write >that that that< uh first step
- 722 Coa: det er (0.5) hans forståelse af coaching,
that is (0.5) his understanding of coaching,
- 723 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 724 Coa: æh Læst brochure,
uh Read brochure,

725 Cli: Ja
Ja

726 Coa: [Og ev]entuelte
[And pos]sible

727 Cli: [Og y]
[And f]

728 (0.4)

729 Cli: Yderligere information ja
Further information yes

730 Coa: Yder[ligere informa]tion=
Fur[ther informa]tion=

731 Cli: [fra mig]
[from me]

732 Cli: =Mundtlig
=Oral

733 (0.8)

734 com: ((Coach is writing, client is looking at paper))

735 Coa: Mundtlig
Oral

736 Cli: mhm::

737 (7.8) ((coach is writing))

738 Coa: Hvordan kan anden (0.7) andet trin så være.
How can second (0.7) second step then be.
How can the second (0.7) the second step then be.

739 Cli: .hh [Det]
.hh [That]

740 Coa: [Hvad] skal det bestå af.
[What] must it consist of.

741 Cli: Det er så e- en- en afdækning af
That is then a- an- an identification of

742 hvor jeg kan hjælpe ham.
where I can help him.

743 Cli: Altså hvilke steder i firmaet
PRT which places in company_the
That is which places in the company

744 .hhh eller i grupperne i firmaet
.hhh or in groups_the in company_the
.hhh or in the groups within the company

745 Cli: eller (.) ø::h i hvilke relationer (0.3) ka-
or (.) u::h in which relations (0.3) ca-

746 Cli: kan øh coaching være en god idé.
can uh coaching be a good idea.

747 Coa: Altså hvis jeg skriver afdækning af behov;
PRT if I write identification of needs;
So if I write identification of needs;

748 Cli: Ja
Yes

749 Coa: relationer,
relations,

750 Cli: Mm:m:

751 (2.0)

752 com: ((coach is writing and both are looking at the
753 notebook))

754 Cli: Relationer og ø::h .hhh (.) ø::hm:: te::ams=
Relations and u::h .hhh (.) u::hm:: te::ams=

755 Cli: =Ja det relationer er det jo men ø::h
=Yes that relations is it ADV but u::h
Yes that relations it is you know but u::h

756 (1.0)

757 Coa: Team
Team

758 Cli: Ja
Yes

759 (1.5)

760 Coa: .hh [Kan du vise din egen (.) faglige
.hh [Can you show your own (.) professional

761 com: [((Coach raises both hands from the notes and
762 hold them in stretched out in front of his chest))

763 Coa: baggrund (0.2) undervejs her.=>°Hvord- Hvordan°
background (0.2) during here.=>°Ho- How°
background (0.2) during this.=>°Ho- How

764 [°kan< du:° (.hh) >For< du sidder jo
[°can< you:° (.hh) >Because< you sit ADV
°can< you:° (.hh) >Because< you are you know

765 com: [((Client turns gaze away from coach looking down
766 and turn head slightly to her right, turning gaze
767 towards the notes on the table.))

768 Coa: og fortæller om en masse her,
and tell about a lot here,
telling a lot here,

769 Cli: Ja
Yes

770 Coa: æh der [egentlig indikere at du]
uh which [actually indicates that you]

771 Cli: [.hhhh Ja.]

- with master_the°.
with the master.
- 791 Coa: Ja.
Yes.
- 792 Cli: Så det det står.
So it it stands
So it it is written.
- 793 (0.5)
- 794 Cli: Og det vil han kunne (.) kunne læse.
And that will he be_able (.) be_able read.
And he will be able to read that.
- 795 (0.4)
- 796 Cli: °Så° .hhh Altså jeg (0.9) jeg regner med a-
°So° .hhh PRT I (0.9) I count on th-
°So° .hhh That is I (0.9) I count on th-
- 797 Jeg fhåber jo at han har læst
I fhope ADV that he has read
I hope you know that he has read
- 798 de derf [hhhe]he .hhhh
those theref [hhhe]he .hhhh
those hhhehe
- 799 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 800 Cli: Men det vil jeg jo spørge ham om.
But that will I ADV ask him about.
But I will ask him about that you know.
- 801 [Og] hvis han ikke::: har det så vil jeg
[And] if he no:::t has that then will I
[And] if he has no:::t then I will
- 802 Coa: [()]
- 803 Cli: så- >skal det< være i den der
then- >must it< be in that there
then- >it must< be in that
- 804 yderligere information .h[hh også.
further information .h[hh also
further information.
- 805 Coa: [Ja
[Yes
- 806 com: ((After the cut off så- client points to the paper))
- 807 Cli: Fordi så må jeg starte med
Because then must I begin with
Because then I must begin with
- 808 at give en introduktion om mig selv
to give an introduction about my self
giving an introduction about myself

- 809 (0.2)
- 810 Cli: Altså hvad kan jeg.
PRT what can I.
That is what do I do.
- 811 (0.8)
- 812 Cli: Og så ø:::h logiske (.) årsager til=
And then u:::h logical (.) reasons to=
- 813 Cli: =at han skal vælge (0.3) coaching.
=that he should choose (0.3) coaching.
- 814 Cli: .hhh Og så: eventuel noget sa:lgsmæssigt
.hhh and then: possibly some sa:leswise
.hhh and then: possibly something sa:leswise
- 815 Coa: Hvordan tror du at at første trin
How think you that that first step
How do you think that that the first step
- 816 i i dispositionen her=Hvordan tror du
in in plan_the here=How think you
in in the plan here=How do you think
- 817 Coa: det får størst effekt °på ham.°
it gets biggest effect °on him.°
it will have biggest effect on him
- 818 (0.9)
- 819 Coa: Sådan længdemæssigt hastighedsmæssigt.
That is lengthwise pacewise.
That is lengthwise pacewise
- 820 Cli: .hhh ø:::hm:: (0.8) jeg tror det skal være kort,
.hhh e:::hm:: (0.8) I think it must be short,
.hhh e:::hm:: (0.8) I think it has to be short,
- 821 Cli: Fordi .hh at når je:g (0.4) .mt ringer til ham
Because .hh that when I (0.4) .mt phone to him
Because .hh that when I (0.4) .mt phone him
- 822 Cli: så er det jo ikke sikkert at han lige har tid
then is it ADV not sure that he just has time
then it is not sure you know that is has time
- 823 Cli: =til at °snakke med mig°.°
=to toINF °talk with me°.°
=to °talk to me°.°
- 824 (.)
- 825 Cli: °O:g° det kan også være at han .hhhhh altså: ø:::h
°A:nd° it can also be that he .hhhhh PRT u:::h
°A:nd° it can also be that he .hhhhh well: u:::h
- 826 Cli: Han vil i hvert fald nok gerne tilbage til
He will in any case probably like back to
He will in any case probably like to go back to
- 827 Cli: sit arbejde kunne man forestille sig.

- his work could you imagine yourself.
his work you could imagine.
- 828 Cli: Så jeg tror at at ø::h jeg skal gøre det så kort
So I think that that u::h I must do it so short
So I think that that u::h I have to must do as short
- 829 Cli: jeg kan men alligevel så uddybende.
I can but nevertheless as elabotated.
as I can but nevertheless as clear.
- 830 Cli: Altså jeg skal forberede .hhh at jeg få sagt det
PRT I must prepare .hhh that I get said that
That is I must prepare .hhh that I say what
- 831 Cli: jeg gerne (0.4) vil sige.
I like (0.4) will say.
I would like to say.
- 832 (1.0)
- 833 Coa: Den snak her om disposition forestiller du dig
This talk here about plan picture you yourself
This here talk about planning do you picture
- 834 Coa: at det er til tele↑fonsamtalen
that that is to telephone_conversation_the
that it is for the telephone conversation
- 835 eller til ↓mødet.
or to ↓meeting_the.
or for the meeting.
- 836 Cli: >Det tel-< Det er til telefonsamtalen.
>That tel-< That is for telephone_conversation_the.
>That tel-< That is for the telephone conversation
- 837 Coa: Det er til telefonsam[ta]len?
That is for telephone_conversation_the?
That is for the telephone conversation?
- 838 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 839 Cli: .hh For det er det allerførste der skal ske.
.hh For that is the very_first that must happen.
.hh For that is the very first thing to happen
- 840 (0.6)
- 841 Cli: Og så v- Jeg regner med han først vil have
And then v- I count with he first will have
And then v- I expect he will only
- 842 Cli: et møde hvis jeg kan .hh redegøre for at han
a meeting if I can .hh account for that he
meet if I can account for that he
- 843 Cli: virkelig har brug for mig nogle steder
really have need for me some places
really needs me somewhere
- 844 i sin (.) i *ø::h (.) øh organisationen.
in his (.) in *u::h (.) uh organisation_the.

in his (.) in u::h (.)uh the organisation.

845 Coa: .mt

846 (8.0)

847 com: ((coach is first looking at client than gazing up,
848 then writting on his noteblock))

849 Coa: Hvad er målet med telefonsamtalen. ((17:30))
What is goal_the with telephone_conversation_the.
What is the goal with the telephone conversation.

850 Cli: Det er at få (0.2) den samtale.
That is to get (0.2) that talk.

851 Cli: °Den den .hhh°
°That that.hhh°

852 Cli: Så det jeg skal det er at sælge (0.4)
So that I must that is to s:ell (0.4)
So what I must do is to s:ell (0.4)

853 så jeg får (0.3) mødet.
so I get (0.3) meeting_the.
so I will get (0.3) the meeting.

854 (0.5)

855 Coa: TELEFONsamtalens mål er
TELEPHONE_conversation_theGEN goal is
The goal of the telephone conversation is

856 Cli: At sælge så jeg får mødet.
To sell so I get meeting_the.
To sell so I will get the meeting

857 (0.6)

858 Coa: At få (.) mødeaftale.
To g:et (.) meeting_agreement.
To g:et (.) a meeting

859 Cli: Ja
Yes

860 (0.8)

861 Cli: Og det er der (.) [Faktisk så er det [der
And it is there (.) [Actually then is it [there
And it is there (.) [Actually then it is [there

862 com: [((Client stretches out her hand
863 and points at the notes on the tables.))

864 com: [((Client
865 taps finger on notes))

866 Cli: jeg skal sælge .hh ø::h coachingen.
I must sell .hh u::h coaching_the.
I must sell .hh u::h the coaching.

867 (0.4)

868 Cli: Altså det er [der æ::h *a:[:
PRT it is [there u::h *a:[:
That is it is [there u::h *a::

869 com: [((Coach stops writing and turns to
870 client))

871 Coa: [Hvor lang tror du
[How long think you
How long do you think

872 den telefonsamtale kan blive,
that telephone_conversation can become,
that telephone conversation can be,

873 Cli: Den bliver nok meget kort [egent llig.
It become probably very short [actual]ly.
It will probably be very short actually.

874 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]

875 Cli: Fem til ti minutter HØJST altså
Five to ten minutes TOPS PRT
Five to ten minutes TOPS that is

876 Coa: Hvor meget tror du så der er plads til
How much think you then there is room to
Then how much time do you think there is

877 Coa: at få gennemgået brochurer og lignende.
toINF get over_gone brochures and such.
to go over brochures and such.

878 Cli: Jamen det er der ikke.
Yes_but that is there not.
Well there isn't.

879 (0.3)

880 Cli: Rigtig. .hh[hh [hhhh
Really. .hh[hh [hhhh

881 Coa: [Hvordan kan vi så [tease ham
[How can we then [tease him
[Then how can we tease him

882 på f- fem maksimalt (0.2) ni et halvt minut
in f- five maximum (0.2) nine a half minute
in f- five maximum (0.2) nine and a half minute

883 <til at sige> (0.6) Her er min kalender, (0.6)
<to toINF say> (0.6) Here is my calender, (0.6)
<to say> (0.6) Here is my calender, (0.6)

884 Vi aftaler en mødedato nu.
We arrange a meeting_date now.
We will arrange a meeting now.

885 (1.1)

886 Coa: Hvordan kan du gøre det.
How can you do that.

887 Cli: Æ::hm::
U::hm::

888 (6.9)

889 Cli: .mt .hh Altså jeg kunne gøre
.mt .hh PRT I could do
.mt .hh Well I could do

890 det (.) måske me:d (0.8)
it (.) maybe wi:th (0.8)
it (.) maybe wi:th (0.8)

891 Cli: Er du k- Er du klar over hvilke muligheder
Are you k- Are you clear over which opportunities
Are you a- Are you aware about which opportunities

892 Cli: der ligger i coaching.
there lies in coaching.
there lies within coaching.

893 Cli: At æ:h .hh vi kan.
That u:h .hh we can.

894 Coa: .hhh Kan det omformuleres ti:l (.)
.hhh Can that be_rephrased to: (.)
.hhh Can that be rephrased to: (.)

895 til noget der er mere aktivt?
to something that is more active?

896 ?: fhhhh

897 Cli: m::: (.) .hh Jeg kunne utrolig godt tænke mig
m::: (.) .hh I could incredible well think me
m::: (.) .hh I would very much like

898 Cli: at vise dig (.) hvilke muligheder
to show you (.) which opportunities

899 du vil få med coaching.
you will get with coaching.
you will have with coaching.

900 (0.3) ((Coach nods))

901 Cli: Kan vi æ::h tage
Can we u::h take
Can we u::h have

902 et uforpligtigende møde om det.
a non-committal meeting about that.
a non-committal meeting about that.

903 (0.6)

904 com: ((during silence coach lifts his arms above his
905 shoulders so they aligned with his face and lowers
906 them almost slamming them towards his knees.
907 Client smiles?))

908 Coa: BINGO

909 Cli: hhhh ha↑hahahaha↓hahh °↑ha°

- 910 Coa: JEG VIL JEG VED JEG KAN.
I WILL I KNOW I CAN.
I WANT TO I KNOW I CAN.
- 911 Cli: M:m::
- 912 Coa: ↑JA
↑YES
- 913 Cli: M:m::
- 914 (2.2)
- 915 Coa: [Hvor hvor]
[Where where]
- 916 Cli: [£Skriver du] ikke det ned
[£Write you] not that down
Will you not write that down
- 917 for det vil jeg meget gerne lige£ [hhhh] haha
for that will I very much just£ [hhhh] haha
for that I would very much like just£ hhhh haha
- 918 Coa: [Okay]
- 919 Coa: Sig det i[gen].
Say it a[gain].
- 920 Cli: [.hhh] £Jamen det ved jeg ikke
[.hhh] £Yes_but that know I not
.hhh Yes but I do not know
- 921 om jeg kan£. .hh ø::hm:: (0.9) .nfh
whether I can£. .hh u::hm:: (0.9) .nfh
whether I can .hh u::hm:: (0.9) .nfh
- 922 Je- øh (1.0) Jeg vil meget gerne
I- uh (1.0) I will very like
I- uh (1.0) I would very much like
- 923 fortælle dig (0.5) mere om coaching
tell you (0.5) more about coaching
- 924 og hvad det kan gøre (ved/for) dig.
and what it can do (to/for) you.
- 925 .hh Så *øh kan vi tage et uforpligtigende møde,
.hh So *uh can we take a non-committal meeting,
.hh So uh can we have a non-committal meeting,
- 926 (0.8) hvor jeg fortæller dig om det.
(0.8) where I tell you about that.
- 927 .hh Det synes jeg bare ikke lød
.hh That think I just not sounded
.hh I just don't think that sounded
- 928 lige så godt som det første.
just as good at the first.
as well as the first.
- 929 (2.5)

930 Cli: hhhng ((snort))

931 (6.3)

932 com: ((during silence coach writes and client looks at
933 him))

934 Cli: >Jeg vil< vise dig
>I will< show you
>I want to< show you

935 hvad coaching kan gøre i din (0.3) virksomhed.
what coaching can do in your (0.3) company.

936 Coa: [m::]

937 Cli: [for] din virksomhed.
[for] your company.

938 (0.6)

939 Cli: Ø::hm::

940 (2.8)

941 Cli: Kan vi tage et uforpligtigende møde om det.
Can we take a non-committal meeting about that.
Can we have a non-committal meeting about that.

942 (4.0)

943 Coa: Kan gøre for (.) din (0.3) [vir]somhed.
Can do for (.) your (0.3) [com]pany.

944 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]

945 Cli: Ja
Yes

946 (4.0)

947 com: ((During silence coach is writing and client is
948 looking at the notes he writes.))

949 Coa: Kan du sætte øh tid på
Can you put uh time on
Can you tell him how long

950 mødets varighed for ham,
meeting_the_GEN duration for him,
the meeting is going to last,

951 (0.9)

952 Cli: .hhh æ::hm:: Jeg vil sige
.hhh u::hm:: I will say

953 det vil tage (0.8) omtrent en halv times tid.
it will take (0.8) about a half hour_GEN time
it will be about half an hour

954 (1.6)

955 Cli: Hvis han ikke har tid
If he not has time
If he has not got the time

956 så kan jeg måske gøre det på et kvarter.
then can I maybe do it in a quarter.
I may be able to do it in fifteen minutes.

957 (0.4)

958 Coa: NEJ
NO

959 (0.6)

960 Coa: >Du< kan ej.
>You< cannot.

961 (0.2)

962 Coa: Ouw [hhh=
Ups [hhh=

963 ges: [coach slaps his hand on his mouth

964 Coa: =[he he he]

965 Cli: =[hh ha ha] ha ha HA HA ha [ha ha]

966 Coa: [Kan vi] t:age
[Can we] t:ake
Can we have

967 (0.2)

968 Cli: .hhh en halv [times møde]
.hhh a half [hour meeting]

969 Coa: [Kan vi af]tale
[Can we ar]range

970 Cli: Ja et halv times møde.
Yes a half hour meeting.

971 Coa: Et møde.
A meeting.

972 (0.7)

973 Cli: På en halv times tid,
On a half hour_GEN time,
About half an hour

974 (3.2)

975 com: ((coach is writing))

976 Coa: °m°

977 (0.7)

978 Cli: Jah
Yeah

979 (1.8)

980 com: ((during silence coach is nodding))

981 Coa: .hhhh Så (0.7) FREdag
.hhhh So (0.7) FRiday

982 Cli: Ja
Yes

983 (0.4)

984 Cli: Der laver jeg f:[::]
There do I f:[::]
There I will do f:::

985 Coa: [Der] er tanken at ringe.=
[There] is thought_the to call=
The thought is to call=

986 Cli: =[Ja]
=[Yes]

987 Coa: [Er] aftalen Der er din opgave=
[Is] agreement_the There is your assignment=
The agreement That your assignment is=

988 Cli: =m:m=

989 Coa: =at ringe til ham,
=to call to him,
=to call him,

990 Cli: m:m:

991 (0.3)

992 Coa: Med det formål i telefonsamtalen
With the purpose in telephone_conversation_the
With the purpose in the telephone conversation

993 at få en mødeaftale.
to get a meeting_agreement.
to arrange a meeting.

994 Cli: Ja.
Yes

995 (1.6) ((Client nods))

996 Coa: .hh En indgangsvinkel er (1.3) den her
.hh An entry_point is (1.3) that here
.hh A starting point is (1.3) that this

997 den skal være med,
it must be with,
it must be a part of it,

998 com: ((During the inbreath the coach turns his head
999 toward his notes and point at paper as his start his
1000 turn, during the pause (1.3) he looks from paper
1001 to client and back to paper))

1002 Cli: Ja
Yes

- 1003 (0.8)
- 1004 Coa: At jeg vil gerne vise dig hvad coaching kan gøre
That I will like show you what coaching can do
That I would like to show you what coaching can do
- 1005 for din virksomhed.
for your company.
- 1006 Cli: Ja.
Yes.
- 1007 Coa: Kan vi aftale et møde.
Can we arrange a meeting.
- 1008 Cli: Ja.
Yes.
- 1009 Coa: Eventuelt kan vi aftale et møde på en halv time,
Possibly can we arrange a meeting on a half hour,
Possibly can we arrange a half hour meeting,
- 1010 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 1011 Coa: Afhængigt af stemningen med ham.
Dependent on atmosphere_the with him.
Dependent on the atmosphere with him.
- 1012 Cli: Ja.
Yes.
- 1013 (1.1)
- 1014 com: ((Client is nodding))
- 1015 Cli: Og uforpligtigende det
And non-committal that
And without any commitment
- 1016 synes jeg er [(m:)]
think I is [(m:)]
I think that is (m:)
- 1017 Coa: [U]forpligtigende
[Non-committal
Without any commitment
- 1018 Cli: Fordi [at at] så de ikke æ:[:h] (0.3)
Because [that that] so they not u:[:h] (0.3)
Because that that so they will not u::h
- 1019 Coa: [Undskyld °ja°] [Ja?]
[Sorry °yes°] [Yes?]
- 1020 Cli: Så de ved at de ikke skal betale.
So they know that they not must pay.
So they know that they do not have to pay
- 1021 (6.6)
- 1022 com: ((coach is writing, at end of silence he puts down
1023 his pen))

1024 Cli: Ja ((Client does a little nod))
Yes

1025 (1.0)

1026 Coa: MED DEN opgave [til] løsn^{ing}
WITH THAT assignment [to] solv^{ing}
WITH THAT assignment to solve

1027 Cli: [m:]

1028 Cli: m::

1029 (1.0)

1030 com: ((during silence client nods))

1031 Coa: der synes jeg at vi nåede dertil (0.5)
there think I that we reached there_{to} (0.5)
I think that we reached the point (0.5)

1032 hvor vi skulle i dag,
where we should in day,
we should reach today,

1033 Cli: m:m?

1034 (0.2) ((client nods))

1035 Coa: .hh Hvordan æ::h (0.8) hvordan får jeg besked om
.hh How u::h (0.8) how get I told about
.hh How will u::h (0.8) how will I know

1036 Coa: at du har haft °ringet.°
that you have had called.
that you have called

1037 (0.2)

1038 Cli: .hh Jamen der vil jeg De:r ringer jeg til dig.
.hh Yes_{but} there will I There call I to you.
.hh Yes well I will will I will call you

1039 Coa: Du ↑ringer til mig,
You ↑call to me,
You will ↑call me

1040 Cli: Ja
Yes

1041 (0.4) ((client nods))

1042 Coa: F:redag.
F:riday.

1043 Cli: .hh ø::h Ja det k- det k- ja sådan fredag,=
.hh u::h Yes that c- That c- yes that is Friday,

1044 Cli: =ja jarh hhh Hi:[jov]
=yes yeah hhh Hi:[yeah]

1045 Coa: [Hvornår] hvornår ringer
[When] when call

When when do

1046 [du til ham] fredag,
[you to him] Friday,
you call him Friday,

1047 Cli: [.hhhhh] æ::ø:hm::
.hhhhh u::u:hm::
1048 (1.8) ((client looking up in ceiling))

1049 Coa: Cirka.

1050 Cli: Jamen s- om formiddagen,
Yes_but s- in morning_the,
Well s- in the morning

1051 Coa: Formiddag.
Morning

1052 Cli: Mhm

1053 Coa: †°Godt°
†°Good°

1054 Cli: Og så ringer jeg til dig (0.3) aften=
And then call I to you (0.3) evening=
And then I call you (0.3) evening

1055 Cli: =elle::r eftermiddag eller aften.
=o::r afternoon or evening.

1056 (0.3)

1057 Coa: Anytime
Anytime

1058 (0.2)

1059 Cli: Yes:

1060 (0.3)

1061 Coa: Det er en aftale.
That is an agreement.
That is a deal.

1062 com: ((coach stretches his hand out towards client))

1063 Cli: Jar hhhh [ha↑hahaha↓ha↑hahhhhh=
Yeah. hhhh [ha↑hahaha↓ha↑hahhhhh=

1064 com: [((client begins til move har hand towards coach's
1065 hand, reaches it and shakes it mid-laugh))

1066 Cli: =.hhhhh

1067 com: ((Coach takes pen writes a note puts pen down))

1068 (1.9)

1069 ((Client looks at coach writing nods as he puts
1070 pencil down, she looks at her wrist watch.))

```
1071  Cli:    £Det var bare [skide godt£  
        £That was just [shitty well£ ((idiom))  
        £That was bloody well£  
  
1072  com:    [((Client raises head from watch and  
1073        looks at coach))  
  
1074        @end
```

Appendix B: Transcript of ICC5_230507

Participants: Coa coach, Cli client, Obs observer,
 ? doubt of identity, com commentary
 Filename: ICC5_230507.docx
 Moviefile: ICC5_230507.mov
 Transcriber: Anna Mark Diget
 Transcription: Oct 2008.

- 1 Coa: Ja Hannesofie khrm vi sidder jo her
 Yes Hannesofie khrm we sit you know here
 Yes Hannesofie khrm we are you know sitting here
- 2 fra i går også.
 from yesterday also.
 from yesterday also.
- 3 Cli: Ja det gør vi [ja]
 Yes that do we [yes]
 Yes we are yes
- 4 Coa: [og] havde den her snak om øh
 [and] had this here talk about uh
 and had this talk about uh
- 5 at du gerne ville forfølge et mål.
 that you gladly would pursue a goal.
 that you would like to pursue a goal
- 6 Cli: Jah
 Yes
- 7 Coa: Du var også inde på den her snak om
 You were also inn on this here talk about
 You also touched upon ths talk about
- 8 at øh du ville forfølge et mål a↑lone,
 that uh you would pursue a goal a↑lone,
 that uh you wanted to pursue a goal a↑lone,
- 9 (0.4)
- 10 Cli: Ja
 Yes
- 11 (0.2)
- 12 Coa: Og lige pludselig blev målet også
 And just sudden became goal_the also
 And all of a sudden the goal also became
- 13 øh °hvad hedder det
 uh what calls it
 uh what is it called
- 14 det her med at æ:h° jeg vil gerne tabe mig,
 this here with that u:h° I will gladly lose me,

- 15 this about that u:h° I would like to lose weight,
(0.3)
- 16 Coa: Femt[en kilo],
Fifteen kilos,
- 17 Cli: [J::ah]
[Y::esh]
- 18 Coa: Overvejelsen omkring her
Consideration_the concerning here
The consideration here concerning
- 19 er det noget specifikt jeg går efter
is it something specific I go after
is it something specific I am pursuing
- 20 er det noget generelt
is it something general
Is it something general
- 21 .hhh ø::h var også inde billedet.
.hhh u::h was also in picture_the.
.hhh u::h was also an issue.
- 22 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 23 Coa: Der var mange ting inde i det.
There were many things inside it.
There were many things in it
- 24 Coa: Men i hvert fald så besluttede du
But in any case then decided you
But in any case you then decided
- 25 sådan som jeg hørte i går at øh vi skulle
PRT as I heard yesterday that uh we should
that is as I heard yesterday that uh we should
- 26 arbejde videre med mål og værdier.
work further with goals and values.
work further on goals and values.
- 27 (0.3)
- 28 Cli: J:a.
Y:es.
- 29 (1.1)
- 30 com: ((During silence client nods and coach begin his
31 next turn as eye contact is established))
- 32 Coa: At de- det- det var det.
That tha- that- that was it.
- 33 Cli: Det er det.
That is it.
- 34 Coa: Ja
Yes

- 35 Cli: For jeg tror jeg kan bruge det
Because I think I can use it
- 36
i så mange sammenhænge.
in so many contexts.
- 37 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 38
(1.2)
- 39 Coa: Så hva::d tænker du lige nu, Er det det? ((10.42))
So wha::t think you just now, Is it that?
So what do you think now Is that it?
- 40
(.)
- 41 Coa: [Eller hvad har du selv]
[Or what have you self]
Or what have you yourself
- 42 Cli: [.hh Jamen je- je-] er meget i tvivl om
[.hh Yes_but I- I-] am much in doubt whether
.hh Well I- I- am doubting whether
- 43 Cli: om mit mål det er
whether my goal it is
whether my goal is
- 44
at jeg vil kunne fastholde et mål
that I will could stick_to a goal
that I want to be able to stick to a goal
- 45
og og forfølge det altså nå det.
and and pursue it PRT reach it.
and and pursue it that is reach it.
- 46 Coa: 'a
'es
- 47 Cli: Jeg ved godt der kan være ting
I know well there can be things
I well know there can be things
- 48
der gør at man ikke når det.
which entail that one not reaches it.
which entail that you do not reach it
- 49 Cli: Men >altså< at jeg gør de ting
But >PRT< that I do the things
But that is that I do the things
- 50
jeg kan for at nå målet.
I can to toINF reach goal_the.
I can to reach the goal
- 51 Cli: At det ikke er mig selv det ligger hos
That it not is me self it lies with
That it is not something in me
- 52
hvis jeg ikke når det.
if I not reach it.
if I do not reach it.

53 Cli: .hhh Det er den ene ting
 .hhh That is the one thing
 .hh that is one thing

54 og den anden ting
 and the other thing
 and the other thing

55 det er .hh[h (d-)]
 that is .hh[h (d-)]

56 Coa: [D- Den sk]al jeg lige have igen:
 [T- That mu]st I just have igen:
 T- I did not catch that

57 Altså (0.7) skal det ligge hos dig selv
 PRT (0.7) must it lie with you self
 That is (0.7) must it be something within you

58 eller hvad var det [du sagde]
 or what was it [you said]

59 Cli: [>Ja det jeg siger]
 [>Yes that I say]
 >Yes what I am saying

60 der er j-< Der er selvfølgelig mål
 there are y'kn-< There are of course goals
 there are y'kn-< Of course there are goals

61 man forfølger som- hvor der kan
 one pursues which- where there can
 you pursue which- where something can

62 komme noget udefra som gør at (.)=
 come something out_from which entails that (.)=
 come from the outside which entails that (.)=

63 Coa: =Jaja [()]
 =Yesyes [()]

64 Cli: [det] kan vi ikke nå.=
 [that] can we not reach.=
 that we cannot reach

65 Coa: =det kan (vi jo ikke)
 =that can (we PTR not)
 =that can (we not you know)

66 Cli: [Men hvis hvis]
 [but if if]
 but if if

67 Coa: [Det j- Det er jo så hvad det er]
 [That j- That is you know then what is it]
 That j- That is then what it is you know

68 Cli: årsagen til ikke at nå målet
 reason_the to not to reach goal_the
 the reason for not reaching the goal

69 er en eller anden barriere hos mig selv
 is one or other barrier with me self

- is some barrier within myself
- 70 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 71 Cli: Eller en manglende motivation
Or a missing motivation
- 72 (0.2)
- 73 Cli: Det øh det- (0.3) Det ønsker jeg ikke.
That uh that- (0.3) That wish I not.
That uh that- (0.3) That I do not want
- 74 (0.2)
- 75 Coa: Nej
No
- 76 Cli: Hvis jeg hvis jeg (.) jeg sætter
If I if I (.) I set
If I if I (.) I set
- 77 mig et mål (0.4) Det er også okay for mig
myself a goal (0.4) It is also okay for me
a goal for myself (0.4) It is also okay for me
- 78 ændre (0.6) vigtigheden af målet
to change (0.6) importance_the of goal_the
to change (0.6) the importance of the goal
- 79 så der (så) kommer noget andet ind foran
so there (so) comes something other in in_front
so there (so) something else comes first
- 80 Coa: m
- 81 Cli: Men det må ikke være sådan noget
But it must not be such something
But it must not be such a thing
- 82 at det bare er slaphed
that it just is leadenness
- 83 der gør at jeg ikke når mit mål
which entails that I not reach my goal
which entails that I do not reach my goal
- 84 Coa: Nej (.) °okay°
No (.) °okay°
- 85 Cli: >De:t de:t< den jeg har det skidt med.
>It's it's< that I have it bad with.
>It's It's< that I feel bad about.
- 86 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 87 Cli: At jeg ikke ø:h er vedholdende nok.
That I not u:h am persistent enough.
That I am not persistent enough.
- 88 Coa: Ja
Yes

- 89 Coa: Så det er slapheden eller
So it is leadenness_the or
So it the leadenness or
- 90 Cli: De:t de:t den je-
It's it's that I-
- 91 De:t den jeg (.) jeg har det (0.4) Altså (0.8) Jeg
It's that I (.) I have it (0.4) PRT (0.8) I
It's that I (.) I have it (0.4) Well (0.8) I
- 92 er bange for.
am afraid of.
- 93 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 94 (0.8)
- 95 Cli: Det er der vi har den der lille djævel
It is there we have that there little devil
It is there we have that little devil
- 96 som vi snakkede om
which we talked about
- 97 Cli: [der sidder omme på ryggen af mig o:g
[which sits behind on back_the of me a:nd
[it sits on my back a:nd
- 98 com: [((client raises her right hand towards her
99 shoulder and points at her back))
- 100 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 101 Cli: .hh siger jamen æh (0.9)
.hh says yes_but uh (0.9)
.hh says well uh (0.9)
- 102 det plejer jo ikke at gå.
it usually ADV not to go
it usually does not work you know.
- 103 Coa: Nej=
No=
- 104 Cli: =Og DER hvor jeg så er i tvivl
=And THERE where I then am in doubt
=And THERE where I am in doubt
- 105 når jeg sidder her og sætter mål
when I sit here and set goals
then when I am setting goals
- 106 det er om om om mit mål
it is whether whether whether my goal
it is whether whether whether my goal
- 107 skal være det eller om (1.0)
must be that or whether (1.0)
should be that or whether (1.0)

108 .mt .hhh eller om det er bedre
 .mt .hhh or whether it is better

109 at prøve det af på et konkret mål.
 to try it of on a concrete goal.
 to try it on a specific goal.

110 Som jeg nu hvor jeg kommer ind til
 As I now where I come in to
 as I now where I have found

111 at det helt dybtliggende
 that the completely fundamental
 that the completely fundamental

112 det som jeg virkelig gerne vil
 that which I really gladly will
 what I really would like to

113 det er at tabe mig (.) femten kilo?
 that is to lose myself (.) fifteen kilos?
 that is to lose (.) fifteen kilos?

114 Coa: 'a
 'es

115 Cli: Det er bare et- et tal ikke,
 That is just a- a number right,

116 Coa: [Jojo jov]
 [yesyes yes]

117 Cli: .h[hhhh]hh Eller om jeg kan sige=
 .h[hhhh]hh Or whether I can say=

118 hvis jeg nu gik efter det andet mål
 if I now went after the other goal
 if I instead went for the other goal

119 [at] kunne holde et mål
 [to] could keep a goal
 [to] be able to reach a

120 Coa: [°m°]

121 Cli: >Det bliver bare så abstrakt.<
 >That becomes just so abstract.<
 >That is just so abstract.<

122 Coa: Ja
 Yes

123 (.)

124 Coa: °Okay°
 °Okay°

125 Cli: Fordi hvordan kan jeg se
 Because how can I see
 Because how then can I see

126 at jeg så har gjort det.=
 that I then have done it.=

- that I have done it.=
- 127 =Så er jeg nødt til at have
=Then am I obliged to toINF have
=Then I have to have
- 128 et eksempel at gøre det på.
an example to do it on.
an example to test it on.
- 129 Coa: Ja okay?
Ja okay?
- 130 (0.4)
- 131 Cli: Så derfor bliver det for mig
So therefore becomes it to me
So therefore it becomes
- 132 lidt mere (0.2) <nærværende> hvis vi siger
a little more (0.2) <present> if we say
a little more (0.2) <present> to me if we say
- 133 .hhh hvis vi nu prøver med det første
.hhh if we now try with the first
.hhh if we now try with the first
- 134 så må det jo kunne lade sig gøre
then must it ADV could be done
then it must be possible you know to do
- 135 også på andre mål.
also on other goals.
with other goals as well.
- 136 (0.3)
- 137 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 138 (0.7)
- 139 Cli: Og da jeg ved (0.2)
And since I know (0.2)
And since I know (0.2)
- 140 at det med at tabe mig (12.23)
that that with to lose myself
that that about weight loss
- 141 .hhh er en ting som betyder utroligt meget for mig,
.hhh is a thing which means incredibly much to me,
- 142 Coa: m
- 143 (0.5)
- 144 Cli: og jeg alligevel ikke kan holde (.)
and I nevertheless not can keep (.)
and I nevertheless cannot manage (.)
- 145 >|så vil jeg sige<
>|then will I say<

- >↓then I will say<
- 146 Cli: hvis jeg kan over- hvis jeg klare den
if I can comp- if I manage that
- 147 så kan jeg sgu vælte verden.
then can I ADV overturn worldthe.
then I can God damn overturn the world.
- 148 (0.5)
- 149 Coa: Okay.
Okay.
- 150 (0.7)
- 151 Cli: Altså.
PRT.
You know.
- 152 Coa: Ja.
Ja.
- 153 (0.5)
- 154 Coa: N' du siger det betyder no:get for dig, (0.4)
W' you say it means so:mething to you, (0.4)
- 155 Coa: Hvor meget betyder det for dig,
How much means it to you,
How much does it mean to you,
- 156 Coa: På en skala fra et til ti
On a scale from one to ten
On a scale from one to ten
- 157 hvor meget betyder det for dig.=
how much mean it to you.=
how much do it mean to you.=
- 158 Cli: =At tabe mig?=
=To lose myself?=
=To lose weight?=-
- 159 Coa: =Ja
=Yes
- 160 Cli: .hhh Jamen >det det< erhh >Det det<
.hhh yesbut >it it< ishh >It it<
.hhh Yes well >it it< ishh >It it<
- 161 Det betyder tolv hah fpå en skala fra et til t[i.ɛ
It means twelve hah fon a scale from one to t[en.ɛ
- 162 Coa: [Okay
[Okay
- 163 Cli: >Al's< det er virkelig virkelig=
>PRT< it is really really=
>Th's< it is really really=
- 164 Coa: =S:å her (0.2) [(hører j-)]
=S:o here (0.2) [(hear I-)]
=S:o here (0.2) I hear

165 Cli: [Det er noget]
[It is something]
It is something

166 jeg tænker [over simplethen
I think [about simply

167 com: [((client raises her hand to her head
168 indicating thoughts flying around in her head))

169 Cli: [.hhh femoghalvfems procent af min bevidste tid
[.hhh fiftyfive percent of my conscious time

170 com: [((coach closes and opens her hand during the
171 inbreath))

172 Cli: Så ligger det=Al's når jeg ikke sover ikke,=
Then lies it=PRT when I not sleep right,=
Then it is there=That's when I am not asleep right,

173 Coa: Okay
Okay

174 Cli: Så ligger det (0.3) et eller andet sted
Then lies it (0.3) one or other place
Then is is there (0.3) some place

175 lige under overfladen.
right under surface_the.
right under the surface.

176 Jeg er <h:ele tiden bevidst> om det.
I am <who:le time_the conscious> about it.
I am conscious of it all the time.

177 Coa: Okay.
Okay.

178 (0.5)

179 Cli: >Et eller andet sted.< .hh Når jeg underviser
>One or other place.< .hh When I
>Somewhere.< .hh When I teach

180 når jeg sidder her .hh når jeg sidder og spiser.
teach when I sit here.hh when I sit and
eat.
when I am sitting here .hh when I am eating

181 (0.4)

182 Cli: Altid. Der er få sekunder hvor jeg glemmer det.
Always. There are few seconds where I forget it.

183 Coa: °Okay°
°Okay°

184 Cli: I løbet af af [min] vågne tid.
In run_the of of [my] awake time.
During the time when I am awake.

185 Coa: [°Ja°]
[°Yes°]

- 186 Coa: °Okay°
°Okay°
- 187 (0.5)
- 188 Coa: Så her er der i hvert fald æh tale om et mål
So here is there in any case uh talk about a goal
So here we are in any case uh dealing with a goal
- 189 om du så når det eller ej
whether you then reach it or not
whether you then will reach it or not
- 190 det er jo så (0.9) i forhold til det med
that is you know then (0.9) in relation to that with
that is you know then (0.9) in relation to that
concerning
- 191 om du [så kan]
Whether you [then can]
Whether you [then can]
- 192 Cli: [krm]
- 193 Coa: [finde den der vedholden[hed
[find that there persis[tence
find that persistence
- 194 com: [((Coach looks down at papers))
- 195 com: [((coach looks back at
196 client))
- 197 Coa: æh [og ikke] f: [slap]heden
uh [and not] f: [leaden]ness_the
uh and not f: the leadenness
- 198 Cli: [Ja] [Ja]
[Yes] [Yes]
- 199 Coa: og alt det der.=
and all that there.=
and all that=
- 200 Cli: =Ja
=Yes
- 201 (0.4)
- 202 Coa: Men der er ikke tvivl om
But there is not doubt whether
- 203 at det er et mål du ønsker at nå.
that it is a goal you wish to reach.
it is a goal you wish to reach.
- 204 (0.2)
- 205 Cli: De:t æh kan ikke blive ret meget større nej.
I:t uh can not be very much bigger no.
I:t uh cannot be very much bigger no.
- 206 Coa: °Nej°

- °No°
- 207 (0.6)
- 208 Cli: Det kan det ikke.
That can it not.
It can not.
- 209 (0.3) ((coach nodds))
- 210 Coa: Så er det det,
So is that it,
- 211 (0.4)
- 212 Coa: Du ønsker.
You wish.
You want.
- 213 Cli: .hhh Jeg vil i hvert fald gerne bruge det
.hhh I will in any case gladly use it
.hhh I would certainly like to use it
- 214 som ø:h eksempel på at sige fordi (0.5)
as u:h example on to say because (0.5)
as u:h an example to say because
- 215 com: ((client moves her left hand in a gliding movement
216 down away from her body))
- 217 Cli: hvis jeg kan klare det så- så kan j- er jeg
if I can do this then- then can I- am I
if I can do this then- then I can I am
- 218 videre .hh klar til at gå videre med (0.4)
further .hh ready to toINF go further with (0.4)
going .hh ready to continue with (0.4)
- 219 Cli: at bruge den [ting] til andre ting.
to use this [thing] to other things.
to use this [thing] to do other things.
- 220 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 221 (0.6)
- 222 Coa: S:å vil du prøve at formulere det mål.
S:o will you try to formulate that goal.
- 223 Cli: .hhh Ja mit mål er .hhh (1.5)
.hhh Yes my goal is .hhh (1.5)
- 224 at få det til at lykkes (0.7) at tabe mig.
to get it to toINF succeed (0.7) to lose me.
to succeed (0.7) in losing weight
- 225 Coa: °Ja°
°Yes°
- 226 (0.7)
- 227 Cli: Altså man kan sige .hh
PRT one can say .hh

- You can say that is .hh
- 228 Man kan godt sige at mit mål er
One can well say that my goal is
You can well say that my goal is
- 229 at tabe mig ø:::h f- (.) x kilo.
to lose me u:::h f- (.) x kilos.
To lose u:::h f- (.) x kilos.
- 230 (0.9)
- 231 Cli: Problemet er bare
Problem_the is just
Only the problem is
- 232 hvis jeg kun siger det sådan (0.7)
if I only say it like_that (0.7)
if I just say it like that (0.7)
- 233 (vi- v-) det er selve det at nå målet,
(we- w-) it is very that to reach goal_the,
(we- w-) it is that very thing to reach the goal,
- 234 (0.4)
- 235 Coa: Ja=
Yes=
- 236 Cli: =der er interessant.
=that is interesting.
- 237 (1.2)
- 238 Cli: Så mit mål er (0.7) at [det lykkes]
So my goal is (0.7) that [it succeeds]
- 239 Coa: [Hva- HVAD er-]Hvad er det
[Wha- WHAT is-]What is it
[Wha- What is-]What is it
- 240 du [vil].
you [will].
you want.
- 241 com: [((coach slams his right hand in his notes))
- 242 (0.5)
- 243 Cli: Jamen hvad er det jeg vil,
Yes_but what is it I will,
Well what is it I want,
- 244 (0.6)
- 245 Cli: Jamen jeg vil tabe mig.
Yes_but I will lose me.
Well I want to lose weight
- 246 Cli: Jeg vil simpelthen veje femten kilo mindre.
I will simply weigh fifteen kilos less.
I simply want to weigh fifteen kilos less
- 247 Du kan [også s]ige jeg vil veje

You can [also sa]y I will weigh
 You can [also sa]y I want to weigh

248 Coa: [Jeg]
 [I]

249 Cli: lad os bare sige halvfjerds kilo.
 let us just say seventy kilos.

250 Cli: Om det så lige er femten eller sytten
 Whether it then just is fifteen or seventeen

251 eller tolv eller hvad det er.
 or twelve or what it is.

252 Coa: Jeg vil tabe mig ((Coach is writing))
 I will lose me
 I want to lose weight

253 Cli: Ja
 Yes

254 (2.0) ((Coach is writing))

255 Coa: Så jeg til slut vejer? ((coach looks at client))
 So I to end weigh?
 So I in the end weigh

256 (0.2)

257 Cli: Halvfjerds kilo.
 Seventy kilos.

258 (3.0) ((coach is writting))

259 Coa: (Så)
 (So)

260 Cli: Plus minus to det er helt fint
 Plus minus two that is completely fine

261 (0.7)

262 Coa: vejer
 weigh

263 (1.9)

264 Coa: halvfjerds kilo
 seventy kilos.

265 Cli: Plus minus to
 Plus minus two

266 (0.3)

267 Cli: Det kommer an på
 That depends on

268 hvordan jeg ser ud når jeg vejer .hhh
 how I look out when I weigh .hhh
 how I look when I weigh .hhh

269 Coa: Plus (.) minus (.) to=

Plus (.) minus (.) two=

270 Cli: =Ja=
=Yes=

271 Coa: =kilo.
=kilos.

272 Cli: Så har jeg sådan .hhh Det er ikke
Then have I like_that .hhh It is not
Then I have like that .hhh It is not

273 com: ((arm movement))

274 Cli: sådan lige kr::hh=Den ligger der.
like_that just kr::hh=It lies there.
just like that kr::hh=It is there.

275 (1.6)

276 com: ((coach leans toward client to show what he has
277 written down))

278 Coa: Jeg vil tabe mig
I will lose me
I want to lose weight

279 så jeg til slut vejer (0.2) halvfjerds °kilo°
so I to end weigh (0.2) seventy °kilos°
so I in the end weigh (0.2) seventy °kilos°

280 °plus [minus] to (kilo)°
°plus [minus] two (kilos)°

281 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]

282 Cli: Ja
Yes

283 (0.9)

284 Coa: [Er det det du v- Er det] det du vil gå efter,
[Is it that you w- Is it] that you will go after,
[Is it that you w- Is it] that you want to pursue,

285 Cli: [Det vil jeg simplethen.]
[That will I simply.]
[I simply want to do that]

286 Cli: Det vil jeg simplethen.
That will I simply.
I simply want to do that

287 (0.8)

288 Cli: Nu skal det lykkes.
Now must it succeed.
Now it must succeed

289 (0.2)

290 Coa: Yes °godt°
Yes °good°

- 291 (1.0) ((coach is writing))
- 292 Coa: Den der djævel ø::h
That there devil e::h
This devil e::h
- 293 inden vi sådan går videre >og siger
before we like_this go further >and say
before we just proceed >and say
- 294 hvordan kan vi løse< .mt ((lip smacking))
how can we solve< .mt
- 295 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 296 Coa: Så har jeg indtryk af at den der djævel
Then have I impression of that that there devil
Then I got the impression that this devil
- 297 *den æ::h betyder lidt for dig.
*it e::h means little for you.
*it e::h means something to you.
- 298 Cli: .hhh Den betyder meget for mig.
.hhh It means a lot for me.
.hhh It means a lot to me.
- 299 Coa: Ja. Er det noget du vil snakke lmere om,=
Yes. Is it something you will talk lmore about,=
Yes. Is it something you want to talk lsome more
about,=
- 300 =[Vi var sådan] lidt inde
=[We were like_this] a little
=We just
- 301 Cli: =[Krm krm::]
- 302 Coa: og berører den i går l*me:n.
in and touch it yesterday l*bu:t.
touched upon it yesterday l*bu:t
- 303 (0.3)
- 304 Cli: .mt .hh Jamen >det det< er
.mt .hh Yes_but >it it< is
.mt .hh Yes well >it it< is
- 305 den der A's: det er fordi
that there PRT it is because
that That's it is because
- 306 når jeg sidder i mange situationer
when I sit in many situations
when I am in many situations
- 307 så er jeg selv (.) øh når jeg hører gode ideer
then am I even (.) eh when I hear good ideas
then I am even (.) eh when I hear good ideas
- 308 .hhh så er jeg altid den der er skeptisk,=

.hhh then am I always it there is sceptical,=
.hhh then I am always the one who is sceptical,=
309 =Jeg øvh påtager mig næsten altid
=I uvh undertake me almost always
=I uvh almost always undertake
310 at være djævelens advokat.
to be devil_the_GEN advocat.
to be the devil's advocat.
311 (.)
312 Cli: Også for andre.
Also for others.
313 (.)
314 Coa: Okay.
Okay.
315 (0.2)
316 Coa: °Okay°
°Okay°
317 Cli: Det er sådan noget sv (>hvis vi siger<)
That is some thing sv (>if we say <)
318 Det er ikke for at pille den ned.
That is not to toINF put it down.
It is not to put it down
319 Coa: M- ((Nej))
M- ((No))
320 ()
321 Cli: .hhh men det er for
.hhh but that is to
.hhh but it is to
322 at (.) prøve realismen i det.
toINF (.) try realism_the in it.
try how realistic it is
323 Coa: °Ja°
°Yes°
324 Cli: Og og jeg tror at den der lille djævel
And and I think that that there little devil
And and I think that this little devil
325 han sidder derinde og siger jamen ø:h
he sits there_in and says yes_but e:h
he sits in there and says well e:h
326 du har jo simplethen bare
you have you_see simply just
you have just
327 prøvet så mange gange,
tried so many times,
tried this so many times, you know

- 328 (1.2) ((Coach is nodding))
- 329 Cli: så ø::h hvorfor tror du på
so e::h why believe you in
So e::h why do you believe
- 330 det lykkes [den her gang.=[Så han] sidder
it succedes [this here time.=[So he] sits
that it will succeed this time=So he sits
- 331 com: [((Client points left
332 hand over left shoulder))
- 333 Coa: [.hhh Nu nu s::]
[.hhh Now now s::]
- 334 Cli: den lille djævelens advokat
that little devils_the GEN advocate
that little devil's advocate
- 335 [der[inde.
[the[re_in
in there
- 336 com: [((Client lowers her right arm))
- 337 Coa: [Nu siger du han <sidder derinde>,
[Now say you he <sits therein>,
[you say he <sits in there>],
- 338 Cli: Ja. ((nods))
Yes. ((nods))
- 339 (0.5)
- 340 Coa: Før sagde du han sad omme på ryggen.
Before said you he sat behind on back_the.
Ealier you said he sat on your back.
- 341 (0.7)
- 342 Cli: Ja [han sidder her.
Yes [he sits her.
- 343 com: [((Client again points on/above her left shoulder))
- 344 Coa: Han sidder på s: Hvor er her?
He sits on s: Where is here?
- 345 Cli: [Ja de:t det er her.
[Yes i:t it is here.
- 346 com: [((Client points above her shoulder and begins
347 circling her left hand in the area above the
348 shoulder))
- 349 (0.6)
- 350 Cli: I det her omr- Han sidder her.
In that here are- He sits here.
- 351 Coa: Er det på skulderen?
Is that on shoulder_the?

- Is that on the shoulder?
- 352 Cli: .hhhh Det er ↑h:er. ((Voice is shrill))
.hhhh That is ↑h:ere.
- 353 Coa: Det er her.
That is here.
- 354 Cli: Det er simplethen ↓her.
That is simply ↓here.
That is just ↓here
- 355 Coa: Okay
Okay
- 356 Cli: Han kan så se mig og (.) jeg kan ikke se ham.
He can see me and (.) I can not see him.
He can see me and (.) I cannot see him.
- 357 Coa: Nej. Okay.
No. Okay.
- 358 Cli: Altså
PRT
Well
- 359 Coa: Kan du ikke prøve at beskrive ham [()].
Can you not try to describe him [()].
- 360 Cli: [fnh]
- 361 (1.0)
- 362 Cli: *M:: det e: Det er sådan en rigtig lille djævel=
*B:: it i: It is such a real little devil=
*B:: it i: It is such a real little devil=
- 363 som man ser med med fork
as one sees with with trident
as you see with with trident
- 364 og (0.3) horn i panden=
and (0.3) horn in forehead_the=
and (0.3) horn on the forehead
- 365 Cli: Altså Det ER en lille djævel
PRT It IS a little devil
That is It IS a little devil
- 366 Coa: (En lille) [djævel hvor stor er han,]
(A little) [devil how big is he,]
- 367 Cli: [En lille irriterende]
[A little annoying]
- 368 Coa: En lille djævel [hvor stor]
A little devil [how big]
- 369 Cli: [Han er sådan
[He is like] this
[He is like] this
- 370 com: ((Client holds up her hand indicating his size
371 between her thumb and middle finger))

372 (0.3)

373 Coa: Han er så stor.
He is this big.

374 Cli: Han er sådan her.
He is like_this here.
He is like this

375 (0.5) ((Coach lifting his hand and indicating the
376 size))

377 Coa: Hvad er det,
What is that,

378 (.)

379 Coa: En ti centimeter,=
One ten centimetres,=
Like ten centimetres,=

380 Cli: =Jah
=Yeah

381 Coa: Okay.
Okay.

382 (0.5)

383 Cli: Jeg har en ti centimeters djævel
I have a ten centimeter_GEN devil
I have a devil of ten centimetre

384 siddende heromme
sitting here_back
sitting back here

385 Coa: Ja
Yes

386 Cli: Ja
Yes

387 (0.8) ((Coach nods))

388 Coa: Æh på din ventre
Eh on your left

389 (0.4)

390 Cli: På min [venstre] skulder.
On my [left] shoulder.

391 Coa: [side]
[side]

392 Coa: På din venstre skulder. ((coach begins writing))
On your left shoulder.

393 Cli: (Eller) han svæver lidt her. (Han er)
(Or) he floats a_little here. (He is)
(Or) he floats a little here. (He is)

394 com: ((Coach indicating the place with her left hand))
395 (.)
396 Coa: Ja=
Yes=
397 Cli: =Han ligger .hhh som sådan en lille fe
=He lies .hhh as such a little fairy
=He lies .hhh as a little fairy
398 Cli: der ligger og svæver=[men] det er
which lies and floats=[but] it is
floating=but it is
399 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
400 Cli: en lille (.) djævel.
a little (.) devil.
a little (.) devil.
401 Coa: Venstre skulder ((coach is writing))
Left shoulder
402 Cli: Ja
Yes
403 (1.0)
404 Coa: Vi snakkede lidt om i går
We talked a_little about yesterday
We talked a little about yesterday
405 at den har en æ:h Den der djævel
that it has a e:h That there devil
406 den har en (.) [positiv intention.
it has a (.) [positive intention.
407 com: [((coach looks from papers
408 to client))
409 Cli: Ja den har jeg godt nok svært ved at se.
Yes it have I well enough difficulty ADV to see.
Yes that I have some difficulty seeing.
410 (1.2)
411 Cli: Ud over selvfølgelig ø:h at lade være med
Out over of course e:h to hold off with
Except from of course e:h to hold off from
412 at glæde mig for meget til et eller andet
to joy myself too much to one or other
looking too much forward to something
413 fordi så bliver jeg jo ikke lige så skuffet
because then become I ADV not just as
disappointed
because then I won't become just as disappointed,
you know
414 som hvis jeg havde glædet mig.

- as if I had joyed myself
as if I had looked forward to it
- 415 Cli: .hhh Hvis jeg nu tror fuldstændig på (.)
.hhh If I now believe completely in (.)
.hhh If I now completely believe (.)
- 416 at jeg når mit mål,
that I reach my goal,
that I will reach my goal
- 417 (.)
- 418 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 419 Cli: Hvis jeg så ikke når det alligevel
If I then not reach it anyway
If I then won't reach it anyway
- 420 (0.4)
- 421 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 422 Cli: så bliver jeg jo v:ildt skuffet.
then become I ADV w:ildly disappointed
then I will be w:ildly disappointed you know
- 423 Coa: Ja ((coach begins writing))
Yes
- 424 Cli: .hhh Men når han nu har siddet deroppe
.hhh But when he now has sitting there_up
.hhh But when he has been sitting up there
- 425 og sagt at æ:h du når det jo
and said that e:h you reach it ADV
and said that e:h you won't make it
- 426 alligevel ikke (0.5) så bliver jeg (.) vildt glad
anyway not (0.5) so become I (.) wildy happy
anyway you know (0.5) then I will be wildly happy
- 427 hvis det lykkes alligevel.
if it succeeds nevertheless.
if it nevertheless succeeds.
- 428 (0.4)
- 429 Coa: Ja så så (glæden) [han er faktisk med til
Yes so so (joy_the) [he is actually with to
Yes so so (the joy) he is actually helping
- 430 Cli: [S:::
- 431 Coa: at gøre glæden endnu større[:]
to make joy_the even bigger[:]
to make the joy even bigger:
- 432 Cli: [J]a fordi s:
[Y]es because t:
[Y]es because t:

- 433 Haha hvad sagde jeg.
Haha what said I.
Haha I told you so.
- 434 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 435 Cli: Kan jeg jo så sige til ham ikke?
Can I ADV then say to him right?
I can then tell him right?
- 436 Coa: Jov
Yeah
- 437 Coa: M: men omvendt så har jeg også indtryk af
B: but reverse then have I also impression of
B: but on the other hand I also have the impression
- 438 at det du siger det er
that what du say that is
that what you say is
- 439 at han har vundet nogle gange ikke?
that he has won some times right?
that he has won sometimes right?
- 440 Cli: Mange gange.
Many times
Many times
- 441 Coa: Han har vundet mange [gange.]
He has won many [times.]
- 442 Cli: [Rigtig] mange gange.
[Really] many times.
[very] many times.
- 443 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 444 Cli: F:or mange gange
T:oo many times
- 445 (0.3)
- 446 Coa: For mange gange
Too many times
- 447 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 448 Coa: Så:: .hhh Det jeg hørte dig sige i går=nu ved
So:: .hhh That I heard you say yesterday=now know
So:: .hhh What I heard you say yesterday=now
- 449 jeg ikke om det har ændret sig siden,
I not whether it has changed itself since,
I do not know whether it has changed since,
- 450 [.hhh] [det er det er egentlig godt nok
[.hhh] [that is it is actually good enough
[.hhh] [that is it is actually okay
- 451 Cli: [khkhm]

- 452 com: [((coach moving his right hand to his right
453 shoulder))
- 454 han er der.
he is there.
he is there.
- 455 (0.8)
- 456 Cli: .hh[hh]Ja det ved jeg godt
.hh[hh]Yes that know I well
.hh[hh]Yes I know well
- 457 Coa: [°venstre side°]
[°left side°]
- 458 com: [((coach move his right had to his left
459 shoulder))
- 460 Cli: jeg sagde i går=men det har jeg
I said yesterday=but that have I
I said that yesterday=but that I have
- 461 også tænkt meget over
also thought a lot about
also thought a lot about
- 462 det f: jeg kan i- Jeg kan ikke se
that f: I can n- I can not see
that f: I cann- I cannot see
- 463 at det er godt nok han er der.=
that it is well enough he is there.=
that it is okay that he is there.=
- 464 =Jeg f:: Hvis det er okay [at man ikke]
=I f:: If it is okay [that one not]
=I f:: If it is okay that you not
- 465 Coa: [Hvad sker der]
[What happens there]
What will happen
- 466 Coa: Hvad sker der hvis han slet ikke er der. ((17.20))
What happens there if he ADV not is there.
What will happen if he is not there at all.
- 467 (3.7)
- 468 Cli: Hvad sker der hvis han slet ikke er der.
What happens there if he ADV not is there.
What will happen if he is not there at all
- 469 (3.2)
- 470 Cli: Så er det min egen skyld
Then is it my own fault
Then it is my own fault
- 471 hvis jeg ikke når målet.
if I not reach goal_the.

492 Cli: =Det er det jeg siger.
 =That is that I say
 =That is what I am saying.

493 Cli: .hhhh fmed bevæg[else.] Ja f
 .hhhh fwith emo[tion.] Yes f

494 Coa: [°Okay°]
 [°Okay°]

495 (0.5) [((coach begins writing))]

496 Cli: Det er det.
 That is it.
 It is that

497 (0.9)

498 Coa: Så han er [med for at (.) und[gå]
 So he is [there for to (.) a[void]
 So he is there to (.) avoid

499 Cli: [°↑Ja°] ((nodds))
 [°↑Yes°]

500 com: [((coach looks up from notepad))]

501 Coa: [ø::h (0.9) [knæk på selvværdet eller hvad,
 [e::h (0.9) [breach on selfworth_the or what,
 [breach on the selfworth or what,

502 com: [((Coach looks at notes again and writes))]

503 com: [((Coach looks up from notes at client))]

504 Cli: [Ja
 [Yes

505 com: [((Coach resumes writing))]

506 Coa: Undgå (.) [Kan] vi kalde det [det.]
 Avoid (.) [Can] we call it [that.]
 Avoid (.) Could we put it like that?

507 Cli: [Ja] [Ja]
 [Yes] [Yes]

508 Coa: Undgå knæk på selvværdet.
 Avoid breach on selfworth_the.
 Avoid breach on the selfworth.

509 com: ((Coach is writing))

510 (.)

511 Coa: °Ja°
 °Yes°

512 Cli: ((sniff))

513 (2.0)

514 Cli: HHhh[h]

515 Coa: [Er] det ikke æ::h væsentligt;
[Is] that not e::h essential;

516 Cli: Jov
Yeah

517 (3.0)

518 Coa: Hvis: d- (0.3) hvis det er væsentligt (0.3)
If: t- (0.3) if that is essential (0.3)

519 for dig (>Hannesofie<)
for you (>Hannesofie<)

520 (2.5) ((Client is crying))

521 Coa: Det gør ikke noget (°Hannesofie°).
It does not anything (°Hannesofie°).
It is okay (°Hannesofie°).

522 (0.6)

523 Coa: Det gør ingenting
It does nothing
It is okay

524 Cli: hhh.mt [Nej æh] ((Sniff))
hhh.mt [No eh] ((Sniff))

525 Coa: [Det er] kun sundt fordi æ:h .hhhh
[It is] only healthy because e:h .hhhh
[It is] only good because e:h .hhhh

526 nu tror jeg på at øh .mt (1.2) vi er inde
now believe I in that eh .mt (1.2) we are in
now I believe that eh .mt (1.2) we are

527 =at [røre ved et eller an]det=
=to [touch by one or ot]her=
=touching something

528

529 Cli: [.HHHhhhh]

530 Coa: =som [bety]der noget for dig [her] ikke?
=that [me]ans something to you [here] right?
=that [me]ans something to you right?

531 Cli: [J:a] [Ja]
[Y:es] [Yes]

532 (0.8)

533 Coa: Så:: Så ↑det er okay,
So:: So ↑that is okay,

534 (0.2)

535 Coa: Skal jeg finde noget til [dig?]
Should I find something for [you?]

536 Cli: [Ja] (vil du)
[Yes] (would you)

537 hhhhhfmm((cough))
hhh .hhfmm

538 Coa: Jeg finder noget til dig
I find something for you
I will find something for you

539 så kan du [lige sidde] ((coach stands up))
then can you [just sit]
then you can [sit]

540 Cli: [() (hvis du)] lige der udenfor=
[() (if you)] just there outside=

541 Coa: [()]

542 com: ((client points toward the door))

543 Cli: =[tror] jeg
=[think] I
=I think

544 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]

545 Coa: Så kan du lige sidde
Then can you just sit
Then you can just sit

546 og (0.4) sunde dig lidt.
and (0.4) compose yourself a little.

547 (25.2)

548 com: ((Coach leaves the room and comes back with some
549 tissues which he hands to the client))

550 Cli: Han er inde og rører ved at (0.8) khr::
He is in and touch upon that (0.8) khr::
He is touching upon that (0.8) khr::=

551 alle mennesker tror .hhh snf ((sniff)) at jeg
all people think .hhh snf that I

552 er et ø:h (0.5) stærkt selvsikkert menneske,
am a u:h (0.5) strong self-confident person,

553 (2.0)

554 com: ((client is looking down, coach looks at client
555 nodding))

556 Cli: Den eneste der ikke tror på det
The only_one that not believe in it
The only one that does not believe in it

557 det er mig selv.
that is my self.
that is me.

558 (1.0)

559 Coa °Okay°
°Okay°

560 Cli: Ja
Yes

561 (0.8)

562 Cli: Så jeg snyder verden.
So I cheat world_the.
So I cheat the world.

563 (0.7)

564 Coa: Ja
Yes

565 (.)

566 Coa: Men han er der jo
But he is there ADV
But he is there you know

567 for at passe på dig [siger du?]
to toINF look after you [say you?]
to look after you you say?

568 Cli: [Han er der]
[He is there]

569 Han må være der han må være der
He must be there he must be there

570 for at: (0.9) at jeg ikke knækker over
to that: (0.9) that I not break over
so (0.9) that I do not break down over

571 af det.=Ja (.) Det må han være.
of it.=Yes (.) That must he be.
it.=Yes (.) That he must be.

572 Coa: Jamen er det ikke en god ting?
Yes_but is that not a good thing?
Well is that not a good thing?

573 (0.4)

574 Cli: Jov
Yeah

575 (1.6)

576 com: ((client is drying her eyes))

577 Coa: Så:: [(på en må)]
So:: [(in a wa)]

578 Cli: [Men jeg vil]le ønske mig
[But I would wish me
But I would wish

- 579 jeg kunne klare mig uden ham alligevel.
I could manage me without him anyway
I could manage without him anyway
- 580 Coa: Ø:::h ja. Men risikoen for at du så
U:::h yes. But risk_the for that you then
U:::h yes. But the risk that you then
- 581 Coa: knækker sammen for du ikke [selv] kunne gøre det
break down because you not [self] could do it
break down because you could not do it
- 582 Cli: [Jov]
[Yeah]
- 583 Coa: den er der også ikke?=
it is there also right?=
it is also there right?=
584 Cli: =[Den er der ja=
=[It is there yes=
585 com: [(Coach raising this right hand
586 Coa: =Så hvis- (0.4) Hvis vi nu kunne tone ned for ham.
=So if- (0.4) If we now could tone down for him
=So if- (0.4) If could tone him down now
587 (0.3)
588 Coa: Så [det var] tilpas han var der.=
So [it was] suitable he was there.=
So [it was] just enough he was there.=
589 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
590 Cli: =Ja=
=Yes=
591 Coa: =Altså acceptere at han er der,
=PRT accept that he is there,
=That is accept that he is there
592 (0.5)
593 Coa: Men når han kommer frem
But when he comes forward
594 Coa: [så er] det dig der skal styrer den.
[then is] it you that must control it.
then it is you that must control it.
595 Cli: [Khrrm:]
596 Coa: Hvordan ville det v- æh lyde,
How would that b- eh sound,
597 Cli: Det ville lyde godt
That would sound fine
That would sound fine
598 fordi så ville han være der til
because then would he be there to

because then he would be there to

599 .hhh at sørge for at alt man gjorde
 .hhh to make sure to that everything one did
 .hhh to make sure that everything you did

600 det var inden for realistiske grænser.
 it was inside for realistic frames.
 it was within realistic frames.

601 Det v- Hvis han nu kunne nøjes med det
 it w- If he now could settle for that
 it w- If he could settle for that now

602 Coa: °Okay°
 °Okay°

603 Cli: I stedet for at pille mig ned (.) kunne han
 Instead of toINF put me down (.) could he
 Instead of putting me down (.) could he

604 så ikke bare nøjes med at holde mig realistisk.
 then not just settle for to keep me realistic.
 then not just settle for keeping me realistic.

605 (0.8)

606 Cli: Fordi det er fint nok.
 Because that is fine enough
 Because that is okay

607 (1.0)

608 Cli: For jeg vil ikke være blåøjet og naiv.
 Because I will not be starry-eyed and naive
 Because I do not want to be starry-eyed and naïve

609 Coa: °m°

610 (1.1)

611 Cli: ((sniff))

612 Coa: Hannesofie nu stiller jeg dig nok et mærkeligt
 Hannesofie now ask I you probably a strange
 Hannesofie now I probably ask you a strange

613 spørgsmål men prøv alligevel a::t tænke efter
 question but try anyway to think after
 question but try anyway to think

614 om du ka::n (.) besvare det.
 Whether you ca::n (.) answer it.

615 Coa: .mt Kan du snakke med ham,
 .mt Can you talk with him,
 .mt Can you talk to him,

616 (3.0)

617 Cli: Det tror jeg jeg kan når jeg sover.
 That think I I can when I sleep
 I think I can when I am sleeping

618 Cli: Altså ø:[h]
 PRT e:[h]
 Well e:h

619 Coa: [°Okay] når du sover°?
 [°Okay] when you sleep°?
 [°Okay] when you are sleeping°?

620 Cli: Ja det tror jeg faktisk jeg kan.
 Yes that think I actually I can
 Yes I think I can actually

621 Cli: Eller eller der hvor man ligger lige imellem
 Or or there where one lies right in_between
 Or or there where you are right in between

622 Coa: °Okay°
 °Okay°

623 Cli: Så så fører jeg indre dialoger,
 Then then have I inner dialogues,
 Then then i have inner dialogues,

624 Coa: °Ja°
 °Yes°

625 Cli: Og det er sikkert med ham der (djævel)
 And that is propably with him there (devil)

626 Coa: Vil du prøve a:t lukke øjenene °lige så stille°
 Would you try t:o close eyes_the °just so quiet°
 Would you try t:o close your eyes °quietly°

627 Cli: Ja
 Yes

628 Coa: Sæt dig sæt dig så du slapper helt (.) fuldstændig
 Sit you sit you so you relax whole (.) completely
 Place yourself place yourself so that you relax
 completely

629 com: ((coach changes position in his chairs, then client
 630 changes position))

631 Coa Læg den der (0.7) mappe væk [ø::h]
 Put that there (0.7) folder away [e::h]
 Put that (0.7) folder away e::h

632 Cli: [Ja]
 [Yes]

633 com: ((Client places folder on the floor))

634 Coa: Lad ([])
 Let

635 Cli: [Khr krm]

636 Coa: Så prøv a:t lukke øjnene
 Then try t:o close eyes_the
 Then try t:o close your eyes

637 Cli: HHhhhh

- 638 (2.0)
- 639 Coa: Forestil dig at æh (0.8) du ligger der
Imagine you that eh (0.8) you ly there
Imagine that eh you are lying there
- 640 hvor (0.8) du er sådan
where (0.8) you are like_that
where (0.8) you are like
- 641 lige før (.) du falder hen,
just before (.) you doze off,
just before (.) you doze off
- 642 (1.0)
- 643 Coa: Og du har faktisk nu muligheden for
And you have actually now possibility_the to
And now you actually have the possiblity to
- 644 at snakke med den her (0.5) djævel
to talk with this here (0.5) devil
to talk to this (0.5) devil
- 645 (0.2)
- 646 Coa: Som ø:h jo egentlig er der (0.4)
Which e:h ADV really is there (0.4)
Which e:h you know really is there (0.4)
- 647 af en god mening (0.2) men ø:h (0.2) måske
of a good intention (0.2) but e:h (0.2) perhaps
and means well (0.2) but e:h (0.2) perhaps
- 648 har taget for meget magt, (0.4)
has taken too much power, (0.4)
- 649 så du ikke når de mål du sætter dig.
So you not reach the goal you set you.
So you are not reaching the goal you are setting
- 650 (0.7)
- 651 Coa: Passer for meget på dig
Looks too much on you
Looks too much after you
- 652 (0.6)
- 653 Coa: Det er det du siger.
That is that you say.
That is what you say'
- 654 (1.9)
- 655 Coa: Det er den her lille djævel
It is that here little devil
It is that little devil
- 656 med en:: (0.9) en fork og (0.8)
with a:: (0.9) a trident and (0.8)

- 657 Coa: en cirka ti centimeter høj
one around ten centimetres tall
around ten centimetres tall
- 658 (1.8)
- 659 Coa: Den sidder der på (0.5) venstre (0.5) skulder
It sits there on (0.5) left (0.5) shoulder
It is sitting there on (0.5) the left (0.5) shoulder
- 660 (3.0)
- 661 Coa: Hvis du nu prøver at stille den
If you now try to ask it
- 662 det spørgsmål (1.4) øh=
the question (1.4) eh=
this question (1.4) eh=
- 663 Cli: =Jeg ved godt hvad jeg vil
=I know well what I will
=I know well what I want to
- 664 svare de- spørge den om,
answer it- ask it about,
answer it- ask it about,
- 665 Coa: Okay så s[pørg
Okay then a[sk
- 666 Cli: [om han da ikke godt gider
[Whether he ADV not good bother
[Whether he would not
- 667 at h:jælpe mig i stedet for at pille mig ned.
to h:elp me instead of toINF put me down
h:elp me instead of putting me down
- 668 Coa: Okay. Hvad svarer han til det,
Okay. What answers he to that,
Okay. What does he answer to that,
- 669 (2.0)
- 670 Cli: Han ser lidt forbavset ud
He looks a little surprised out
He looks a little surprised
- 671 (0.8)
- 672 Cli: Fordi det var det han troede han gjorde.
Because it was what he thought he did.
- 673 Coa: O↑kay.
O↑kay.
- 674 (0.8)
- 675 Coa: Okay.
Okay.
- 676 (1.5)

677 Cli: >Også siger jeg<
>And_then say I <
>And_then I say<

678 men det hjælper ikke mig (.) at blive pillet ned
but it helps not me (.) to be put down
but it does not help me (.) to be put down

679 Jeg b- (0.4) Det er ikke det jeg har behov for.
I b- (0.4) It is not that I have need of.
I b- (0.4) It is not what I need.

680 Coa: °O↑ka[y°]
°O↑ka[y°]

681 Cli: [Jeg] skal have ros
[I] must have praise
[I] need praise

682 jeg skal have anerkendelse jeg skal opmuntres=
I must have recognition I must encouraged=
I need recognition I must be encouraged=

683 Coa: =Ja=
=Yes=

684 Cli: Jeg skal ikke pilles ned.
I must not put down.
I must not be put down.

685 Coa: °m°

686 Cli: Det skal jeg nok selv finde ud af
That will I ADV myself find out of
I will figure that out myself

687 (1.0)

688 Cli: Jeg vil meget hellere have hjælp til at (0.5)
I would much rather have help to toINF (0.5)
I would much rather have help to (0.5)

689 Coa: Ja [så hv] hvad svarer han til det.
Yes [so wh] what answers he to that.
Yes [so wh] what does he answer to that.

690 Cli: [holde fast]
[hold on]

691 Cli: .snf (sniff) Jamen han er stadigvæk forbavset.
.snf (sniff) Yes_but he is still surprised
.snf (sniff) Well he is still surprised

692 Cli: [Fordi det] var ikke det han ville?
[Because it] was not what he wanted

693 Coa: [°Forbavset°]
[°Surprised°]

694 (1.0)

695 Coa: Nå?
Oh?

696 (1.0)

697 com: ((client wipes away tears))

698 Coa: Hvad er det s- så han vil?=Han- (0.2)
 What is it t- then he will?=He- (0.2)
 What is it t- then he wants?=He- (0.2)

699 Hvad er det så han vil?
 What is it then he will?
 What is it he wants then?

700 (3.0)

701 Cli: Jamen altså han siger han vil jo bare have
 Yes_but PRT he says he will ADV just have
 Well that is he says he just wants you know

702 at jeg beholdt jordforbindelsen.
 that I kept ground_connection_the
 that I keep in touch with reality

703 (1.2)

704 Coa: Okay
 Okay

705 Cli: Jeg skulle ikke svæve helt deroppe
 I should not float all there_up and
 I should not float all up there and

706 og tro at (0.5) jeg var noget.
 and think that (0.5) I was something

707 Altså så ville jeg jo bare
 PRT then would I ADV just
 That is then I would you know just

708 blive skuffet jo.
 become disappointed ADV.
 be disappointed you know.

709 Coa: Okay.
 Okay.

710 Cli: Sfnhht ((sniff)) så han vil bare hjælpe mig
 Sfnhht ((sniff)) so he will just help me
 Sfnhht ((sniff)) so he just wants to help me

711 til at holde jordforbindelsen.=
 to toINF keep ground_connection_the.=
 to keep touch with reality.

712 Coa: =Ja=
 =Yes=

713 Cli: =Og han ser faktisk anderledes ud lige nu.
 =And he looks actually different out just now.
 =And he actually looks different just now.

714 Coa: Han ser ↑anderledes ud.
 He looks ↑different out.
 He looks different.

- 715 com: ((Coach raises eyebrows as he repeats the client))
- 716 Cli: Ja=
Yes=
- 717 Coa: =Kan du ikke prøve at beskrive
=Can you not try to describe
=Won't you try to describe him=
- 718 hvordan han ser ud [nu].
how he looks like [now].
- 719 Cli: [Jov.] Han er en ganske
[Yes.] He is a quite
[Yes.] He is quite an
- 720 almindelig lille mand nu.
ordinary little man now.
- 721 Coa: Han er en lille mand;
He is a little man;
- 722 Cli: ↑Ja:
↑Yes:
- 723 Coa: Okay.
Okay.
- 724 Cli: Han er ikke [æ:::h]
He is not [e:::h]
- 725 Coa: [Prøv at beskrive] 'm for mig,=
[Try to describe] 'm to me,=
- 726 =Hvad har han tøj på?
=What has he clothes on?
=What is he wearing anything?
- 727 Cli: Det- det ved jeg ikke
That- that know I not
That- that I do not know
- 728 men han har i hvert fald
But he has in any case
But he has in any case
- 729 mistet hornene i panden,
lost horns_the in forehead_the,
lost the horns on his forehead,
- 730 (0.5)
- 731 Coa: O↑kay. Har han en [farve?]
O↑kay. Has he a [colour?]
O↑kay. Has he got a colour?
- 732 Cli: [Og han] har heller ikke
[And he] has neither
[And he] neither has not
- 733 sin øh fork længere.
his eh trident longer.
got his trident anymore

- 734 Coa: Han har heller ikke fork. Er han:: Har han farve?
He has either not trident Is he:: Has he colour?
He has neither trident Is he:: Has he got a colour?
- 735 (0.5)
- 736 Cli: Han er sort.
He is black
- 737 Coa: Han er sort.
He is black.
- 738 Coa: S:iger han noget?=(Eller) er der
S:ays he something?=(Or are there
Does he say something?=(Or) are there
- 739 nogle lyde fra ham?
some sounds from him?
some sounds from him?
- 740 Cli: Nej han snakker ikke. hhh
No he talks not. hhh
No he does not talk. hhh
- 741 Han snakker inde i mit hovede.
He talks inside in my head.
He talks inside in my head.
- 742 Altså der er ikke ly[de fra ham.=
PRT there are not so[unds from him.=
That is there are no sounds from him.=
- 743 Coa: [Han snakker
[He talks
- 744 Cli: =Ja
=Yes
- 745 Coa: Der er ingen lyde.
There are no sounds.
- 746 Cli: Nej det er::: f::: tankeoverførsel.
No it is::: f::: thought transference.
- 747 Coa: Ja.
Yes.
- 748 (0.6)
- 749 Cli: Hvordan har det ændret noget
How has it changed something
- 750 at han er blevet til en mand?
that he is become to a man?
that he has become a man?
- 751 Coa: Med dine følelser?
With your feelings?
- 752 Cli: hhhhh
- 753 Cli: .hhh Jamen det er blevet til at at (.) at det
.hhh Yes_but it is become to that that (.) that it

- .hhh Well it has become that that (.) that it
- 754 kan godt ske at han vil prøve at hjælpe mig.
can well happen that he will try to help me.
can be that he wants to try and help me.
- 755 Men altså (.) jeg ved ikke helt
But PRT (.) I know not quite
But that is (.) I do not know quite
- 756 om jeg tør stole på ham
whether I dare trust in him
whether I dare trust in him
- 757 eller om han bliver til en djævel igen altså
or whether he becomes to a devil igen PRT
or whether he will become a devil again that is
- 758 Coa: Nej okay.
No okay
- 759 Cli: Men altså hvis han i det mindste
But PRT if he in the least
But that is if he at least
- 760 bare lige har fattet en f:lig af
just has understood a scrap of
just has understood a scrap of
- 761 hvad det er jeg sidder og siger til ham [lige] nu=
what is is I sit and tell to him [just] now=
what it is I am telling him just now=
- 762 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 763 Cli: =så er der da en vej frem.
=then is there PRT a way forward.
=then there is a way forward
- 764 Coa: Okay.
Okay
- 765 Coa: Inden du lige æh får bekræftet det ved ham
Before you just eh get confirmed that by him
Just before you get that confirmed from him
- 766 så prøv lige at se (.) Hannesofie om du
then try just to see (.) Hannesofie whether you
then just try to see (.) Hannesofie whether you
- 767 kan flytte ham over på din ↑højre skulder.
can move him over on your ↑right shoulder
- 768 (1.4)
- 769 Cli: phuh::
- 770 (5.1)
- 771 Cli: Det kan jeg ikke.
That can I not
I cannot

- 772 (0.6)
- 773 Coa: Det kan du ikke
That can you not
You cannot
- 774 Cli: Nej
No
- 775 (1.3)
- 776 Coa: (Så lad ham [blive Så lad ham]
(Then let him [stay Then let him]
- 777 Cli: [Jeg ved ikke Jeg tror ikke han] f-
[I know not I think not he] f-
[I do not know I do not think he f-
- 778 Jeg tror ikke han forstår hvad han skal
I think not he understands what he must
I do not think he understands why he should move
- 779 [derover efter.]
[there_over after.]
over there
- 780 Coa: [Nej men prøv] prøv at lade ham blive
[No but try] try to let him stay
- 781 på venstre [skulder]
on left [shoulder]
- 782 Cli: [.hhja] Jeg tror han siger
[.hhyes] I think he says
[.hhyes] I think he says
- 783 han skal sidde derover
he must sit there_over
he must sit over there
- 784 fordi han skal være i nærheden af mit hjerte
because he must be in near of my heart
because he must be near my heart
- 785 Så derfor kan han ikke sidde derover.
So therefore can he not sit there_over.
So therefore he cannot sit over there
- 786 Coa: Nej okay det må han også gerne
No okay that can he also gladly
No okay he can do that ??
- 787 Han skal nok komme tilbage til hjertet igen.
He will enough come to_back to heart_the again
He will come back to the heart again
- 788 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 789 Coa: [S:å: flyt] ham over på din højre skulder.
[S:o: move] him over on your right shoulder

790 Cli: [°Nej° ()]
 [°No° ()]

791 (1.0)

792 Cli: .mt Det vil han ikke
 .mt That will he not
 .mt He won't do that

793 Coa: Det vil han ikke.
 That will he not.
 He won't do that

794 Cli: Nej
 Nej

795 Coa: Okay
 Okay

796 (0.3)

797 Coa: Kan du dreje ham rundt
 Can you spin him around

798 (2.6)

799 Cli: Jeg kan få ham til at sætte sig ned.
 I can get him to toINF sit himself down.
 I can get him to sit down

800 Coa: Du kan få ham til at sætte sig ned.
 you can get him to toINF sit himself down
 You can get him to sit down

801 Cli: Ja
 Yes

802 Coa: O[kay]
 O[kay]

803 Cli: [Isted]et for at stå op.
 [In_ste]ad of to stand up.
 In stead of standing up

804 (0.2)

805 Coa: Ja
 Yes

806 (0.7)

807 Cli: Det kan jeg godt.
 That can I well.
 I can do that

808 Coa: Ja
 Yes

809 (0.5)

810 Coa: KHRkh:r

811 (0.2)

812 Coa: Så få ham til at stå op igen,
Then get him to toINF stand up again,
Then get him to stand again

813 (0.2)

814 og sætte sig ned ligesom (0.5) når du styrer.
and sit himself down like (0.5) when you control.
and sit down like (0.5) when you are in control

815 (3.2)

816 Cli: *Jamen han han () han spørger
*Yes_but he he () he asks
*Yes but he he () he asks

817 hvad det skal gøre godt for.
what it must do good for
what it is good for

818 Coa: Ja: men det skal han nok få
Yes: but that must he ADV get
Yes but he will get

819 en forklaring på senere.
an explanation on later.
an explanation later.

820 (1.5)

821 Cli: Han gør det,
He does it,
He is doing it

822 Coa: Han gør det.
He does it.
He is doing it

823 Cli: Ja
Yes

824 Coa: Okay
Okay

825 (0.8)

826 Coa: .mt .hhh

827 Cli: Han ender med at sætte sig der ()
He ends with to sit himself there ()
He ends up sitting himself there ()

828 Han sidder nu.
Han sits now.
He is sitting now.

829 Coa: Han sidder [ned nu.]
He sits [down now.]
He is sitting down now.

- 830 Cli: [Han sid]der afventende.
[He sit]s waiting
He is sitting waiting
- 831 Coa: Ja Hvordan har du med
Yes How have you with
Yes How do you feel about
- 832 at han sidder der (.) [afvent]ende.
that he sits there (.) [wait]ing
that he is sitting there (.) waiting
- 833 Cli: [(Sniff)]
- 834 (0.8)
- 835 Cli: .mt Jeg føler han lurer lidt på mig altså.
.mt I feel he lies_in_wait little on me PRT.
.mt I feel he lies in wait for me that is
- 836 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 837 Cli: Nu nu kan jeg jo så selv bevise at (0.5)
Now now can I ADV then myself prove that (0.5)
Now now I can you know by myself prove that (0.5)
- 838 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 839 (0.8)
- 840 Coa: .hhh Så [prøv a::t]
.hhh Then [try to::]
- 841 Cli: [Hv- Hvi- Hv]is du virkelig tror
[I- If- I]f you really think
I- If- If you really think
- 842 du kan klare dig uden mig så prøv det.
you can make you without me then try it.
you can make it witout me then try it.
- 843 Coa: Ja så prøv det.
Yes then try that.
- 844 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 845 (0.5)
- 846 Coa: Men æ::h det har du også gjort.
But e::h that have you also done.
But e::h you have also done that.
- 847 Coa: Det synes jeg du skal sige til ham
That think I you should tell to him
I think you should tell that to him
- 848 At du har jo accepteret at at ø::h
That you have you know accepted that that e::h
- 849 Coa: du kan ikke klare dig uden.
you can not make you without.

- you cannot do it without
- 850 (0.4)
- 851 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 852 Coa: [Han] skal være der
[He] must be there
He must be there
- 853 for at redde den der selvværd
to toINF save that there selfworth
to save that selfworth
- 854 (0.4)
- 855 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 856 Coa: Den den må ikke () blive knækket.
That that may not () be broken
That that may not () be broken
- 857 Cli: .hnej
.hno
- 858 (0.3)
- 859 Coa: Men ø:h hvad skal der til,
But e:h what must there to,
But e:h what does it take,
- 860 Kan du ikke spørge ham om hvad der skal til
Can you not ask him about what must there to
Can you not ask him about what does it take
- 861 for at øhm::: (0.6) at han nøjles med
for that uhm::: (0.6) that he settles for
to uhm::: (0.6) that he settles for
- 862 at tage fat i det selvværd, (0.4) så det
to take hold in that selfworth, (0.4) so it
taking hold on that selfworth, (0.4) so it
- 863 ikke er en begrænsning () (hele tiden.)
not is a restriction () (whole time_the.)
is not a restriction () (all the time.)
- 864 (1.7)
- 865 Cli: Det forstår han ikke.
That understands he not.
He does not understand that.
- 866 (0.8)
- 867 Coa: Det forstår han ikke.
That understands he not.
He does not understand that.
- 868 Cli: Nej det spørgsmål forstod han ikke.
No that question understood he not.
No that question he did not understand.

869 (0.6)

870 Coa: Nej
No

871 (1.3)

872 Coa: Så hvad [skal der til
So what [must there to
So what does it take

873 Cli: [Fordi (jeg kunne høre ham sige det)
[Because (I could hear him say it)

874 >fordi når du < siger det der det han hørte det
>because when you< say that there that he heard it
>because when you< say that he heard it

875 kunne jeg se. Altså
could I see. PRT
I could see. That is

876 Coa: Han hørte det
He heard it

877 Cli: Ja
Yes

878 (.)

879 Coa: Okay
Okay

880 Coa: Så hvad skal der til
So what must there be
So what is needed

881 °for at han forstår det.°
°for that he understands it.°
°for him to understand it.°

882 (5.0)

883 Cli: >Jamen jeg jeg< tror j- jeg tror
>Yes_but I I< think I- I think
>Well I I< think I- I think

884 der skal et bevis til hvis hvis jeg (3.0)
there must a proof be if if I (3.0)
there must be a proof if if I (3.0)

885 com: ((client is shaking her head))

886 Cli: Han vil simpelthen have mig til at vise
He will simply have me to toINF show
He simply wants me to show

887 hvad det er jeg mener.
what it is I mean.
what I mean.

888 Coa: .mt Han vil have dig til at (.) vise (.)
.mt He will have you to toINF (.) show (.)

.mt He wants you to show

889 hvad det er du mener.
 what it is you mean
 what you mean

890 Cli: Ja
 Yes

891 (.)

892 Coa: Ja
 Yes

893 Com: ((coach moving forward in chair))

894 Coa: Og hvad skal det være for et bevis?
 And what must it be for a proof?
 And what kind of proof must it be?

895 (1.0)

896 Cli: Jam::
 Yes_b::
 We::
 897 (0.8)

898 Coa: Hvornår er han tilfreds?
 When is he satisfied?

899 (3.0)

900 Cli: .mt

901 (2.0)

902 Cli: °Hvornår er han tilfreds.°
 °When is he satisfied°

903 ((Client shaking her head while saying this))

904 ()

905 Cli: ((sniff))

906 (1.5)

907 Cli: År::h:: °°hvornår°° er han tilfreds.
År::h:: °°when°° is he satisfied.

908 (1.8)

909 Cli: Jamen han er egentlig tilfreds hvis h- ikke han
 Yes_but he is actually satisfied if h- not he
 Well he is satisfied actually if h- he does not

910 behøver at lave så meget.
 need toINF do so much
 need to do so much

911 (1.0)

912 Coa: †Okay. °Og <hvornår> behøver han ikke

- ↑Okay. °And <when> needs he not
 ↑Okay. °And <when> does he not need
- 913 at lave så meget.°
 to do so much.°
 to do so much.°
- 914 Cli: Jamen hvis jeg dog kunne blive
 Yes_but if I though could become
 Well if I could become
- 915 lidt mere selvhjulpen
 a little more self-supported
 a little more self-supported though
- 916 så ville han ikke behøve at lave så meget.
 then would he not have_to toINF do so much.
 then he would not have to do so much.
- 917 Coa: Okay [så hvis han ()]
 Okay [so if he ()]
- 918 Cli: [så ville han kun] behøve at være der
 [then would he only] need to be there
 [then he would only] need to be there
- 919 de gange hvor det var nødvendigt.
 those times when it was necessary.
- 920 Coa: J[a
 Y[es
- 921 Cli: [Så ville han bare kunne nøjes med
 [Then would he just could settle for
 Then he would just have to
- 922 at holde øje med mig i stedet for at .hhh
 to keep eye on me instead of to .hhh
 to keep a eye on me instead of .hhh
- 923 Coa: °Okay°
 °Okay°
- 924 Cli: Altså passe på mig i stedet for.
 PRT look for me instead of.
 That is look out for me instead.
- 925 Coa: Ja
 Yes
- 926 (0.8)
- 927 Cli: Så kunne han nøjes med det.
 Then could he settle for that.
 Then he could just do that.
- 928 Lige nu der er han nødt til
 Right now there is he needs to
 Right now he has to
- 929 at være lidt indgribende altså nødt til a:t
 to be little intervening PRT needs to t:oINF
 be a little intervening that is has t:o

- 930 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 931 Cli: Nødt til at være lidt mere oppe på dupperne.
Needs to toINF be a little more on his toes
Has to be a little more on his toes
- 932 Coa: °Okay°. [Er] det okay med dig?
°Okay°. [Is] that okay with you?
- 933 Cli: [For]
[Because]
- 934 (.)
- 935 Coa: At han er lidt øh oppe på dupperne.
That he is a little uh on his toes.
- 936 Cli: Ja det er [Jeg SYN]es det er fint nok=
Yes that is [I THI]nk that is fine enough.=
Yes that is [I THI]nk that is fine.=
- 937 Coa: [Du ved]
[You know]
- 938 Cli: =at jeg skal bevise det altså
=that I must prove it PRT
=that I have to prove it that is
- 939 Coa: 'r det fint nok du s[kal bevise det]
's it fine enough you m[ust prove it]
's it fine you have to prove it
- 940 Cli: [Man kan ikke bare]
[One can not just]
You cannot just
- 941 bede om noget uden at bevise noget
ask for something without to prove something
ask for something without proving something
- 942 altså uden at ville give noget.
PRT without toINF want give something.
that is without wanting to give something.
- 943 Så det synes jeg er helt [fint.]
So that think I is completely [fine.]
- 944 Coa: [O↓ka:y]
[O↓ka:y]
- 945 Coa: Okay så det er fint.=
Okay so that is fine.=
- 946 Cli: =Det er ↑helt fint med mig. Ja,
=That is ↑completely fine by me. Yes,
- 947 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 948 Cli: >Der skal der skal < Det er okay
>There must there must< It is okay
- 949 at jeg også skal tage noget ansvar fra ham.

That I also must take some responsibility from him.

950 Han kan jo ikke klare det hele.
He can ADV not do it all.
He can not do it all you know

951 Coa: Nej okay.
No okay.

952 (0.5)

953 Coa: Så vi nu sidder her (1.0)
So we now sit here (1.0)
So now we sit here (1.0)

954 og [dit mål] (1.1) går jeg ud fra=
and [your goal] (1.1) go I out from=
and [your goal] (1.1) I presume=

955 Cli: [hrhhhhh]

956 Coa: =det er dit bevis,
=that is your proof,

957 (1.6)

958 At ø:h du vil tabe dig
That u:h you want lose weight
That u:h you want to lose weight

959 (1.1)

960 Cli: Ja
Yes

961 com: ((client is wiping her hand on her forehead looking
962 down))

963 (0.6)

964 Coa: °Ja°=
°Yes°=

965 Cli: =((sniff))

966 Coa: °Hvornår kan han så være tilfreds°.
°When can he then be satisfied°.

967 (2.5)

968 Cli: .hhhh Han er tilfreds når jeg er på vej.=
.hhhh He is satisfied when I am on way.=
.hhhh He is satisfied when I am on the way.=

969 =Ha- han venter ikke på [at] jeg når målet,
=He- he waits not on [that] I reach goal_the
=He- he does not wait till I reach the goal

970 Coa: [Han]
[He]

971 Cli: Han er sådan set tilfreds når jeg har .hhhh
He is so seen satisfied when I have .hhhh

- He is actually satisfied when I have .hhhh
- 972 når jeg er på vej.
 when I am on way.
 when I am on my way.
- 973 Coa: Kan det skyldes at han godt ved det
 Can that cause_PASS that he well knows that
 Can that be because he well knows that
- 974 som du sagde i går, (.)
 which you said yesterday, (.)
 which you said yesterday, (.)
- 975 da du forklarede mig det
 when you told me it
 when you told it to me
- 976 Coa: at når du er på vej (.)
 that when you are on way (.)
 that when you are on the way (.)
- 977 så når du også målet?
 then reach you also goal_the?
 then you also reach the goal?
- 978 (2.5)
- 979 Cli: .mt °°j:a°°
 .mt °°y:es°°
- 980 (1.0)
- 981 Cli: ↑Ja
 ↑Yes
- 982 Coa: Okay.
 Okay.
- 983 (1.2)
- 984 Coa: Så det han vil for dig
 So what he wants for you
 So what he wants for you
- 985 deter at du skal i gang?
 it is that you must in action?
 it is that you must get into action?
- 986 Cli: ↑Ja
 ↑Yes
- 987 (2.5)
- 988 Cli: Og han siger også men det nytter ikke noget
 And he says also but it use not anything
 And he also says but it is no good
- 989 du kommer med de samme undskyldninger en gang til.
 you come with those same excuses one more to.
 you come up with those same excuses one more time.
- 990 (1.3)

991 Coa: °Okay°
°Okay°

992 (3.3)

993 Cli: Hvis ikke du gør det nu så lykkes det aldrig.
If not you do it now then succeed it never.
If you do not do it now then it will never succeed.

994 Coa: °Okay°
°Okay°

995 (1.0)

996 Coa: Hvad siger du selv til det.=

997 Cli: =Så det er (sats) Det det- Det er en stor risiko,
=So it is (gam) It it It is a big risk,

998 Coa: Ja. Hvad siger du til det.
Yes. What say you to that.
Yes. What do you say to that.

999 Cli: .snfft ((sniff))

1000 (0.6)

1001 Coa: Er risikoen for stor?
Is risk_{the} too great?
Is the risk too great?

1002 (5.0)

1003 Coa: Nej ikke andet end at ø::h (4.3)
No not other than that u::h (4.3)
No not other than that u::h (4.3)

1004 hhh at jeg jo ikke har ham
hhh that I ADV not have him
hhh that I you know do not have him

1005 at skyde skylden på hvis det er.
to shoot blame on if it is.
to blame if it is.

1006 (1.2)

1007 Coa: Han er der jo stadigvæk
He is there ADV still
He is still there you know

1008 Cli: Ja men han har sat sig ned
Yes but he has sat himself down

1009 og lovet at være afventende.
and promised to be waiting.

1010 Coa: J:a:, Men han er der.
Y:es:, But he is there.

1011 Cli: Han er der ja.
He is ther yes.

1012 Coa: Ja::,

- Yes::,
- 1013 Coa: Og du kan tage fat i ham igen,
And you can grab hold on him again,
And you can grab a hold on him again,
- 1014 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 1015 (3.0)
- 1016 Cli: Ja det kan jeg.
Yes, that can I.
Yes, that I can.
- 1017 Coa: Er det ikke rigtig?
Is that not correct?
- 1018 Cli: Jov
Yeah
- 1019 Coa: *Ja.
*Yes.
- 1020 (.)
- 1021 Coa: Så han er ikke smuttet fra dig.
So he is not slipped from you.
So he has not slipped away from you.
- 1022 Cli: Han er ikke smuttet [(helt væk)]
He is not slipped [(completely away)]
He has not slipped [(completely away)]
- 1023 Coa: [Det er] vigtig
[It is] important
- 1024 at han ikke [er] er smuttet fra dig.
That he not [is] is slipped from you.
That he is is not slipped away from you.
- 1025 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 1026 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 1027 (0.7)
- 1028 Coa: Jeg ved ikke om du kan spørge ham
I know not whether you can ask him
I do not know whether you can ask him
- 1029 om han vil love at blive der, (.)
whether he will promise to stay there, (.)
whether he will promise to stay there, (.)
- 1030 men være afventende.
but be waiting.
- 1031 (0.8)
- 1032 Coa: Hvis det er okay med dig.
If it is okay with you.

- 1033 (3.0)
- 1034 Cli: Jeg vil simplethen spørge ham
I will simply ask him
I simply want to ask him
- 1035 om han ikke godt bare vil være der
whether he not ADV just will be there
whether he not just wants to be there
- 1036 og så .hhh være klar til at gribe ind
and then .hhh be ready to toINF intervene
and then .hhh be ready to intervene
- 1037 hvis han kan ↑se det er ved at gå galt (.) men
if he can ↑see it is about to go wrong (.) but
- 1038 ikke før (.) eller hvis jeg ↓beder ham om det.
not sooner (.) or if I ↓ask him about it.
- 1039 Coa: °Okay°
°Okay°
- 1040 (0.8)
- 1041 Cli: Hvis jeg selv står og siger hvad nuhh,
If I myself stand and say what nowhh,
If I stand saying what nowhh,
- 1042 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 1043 Cli: Så skal han være der.
Then must he be there.
Then he must be there.
- 1044 (1.0)
- 1045 Coa: °Hvad siger han til det,°
°What says he to that,°
°What does he say to that,°
- 1046 (0.5)
- 1047 Cli: °*Men det det vil-° Ha- ha- han er heller ikke
°*But that that will-° He- he- he is either not
°*But that that will-° He- he- neither he is
- 1048 .hhh hundrede f: hvad det her går ud på
.hhh hundred f: what this here goes out about
.hhh a hundred f: what this is all about
- 1049 så han siger han vil gerne prøve.
so he says he will gladly try.
so he says that he would like to try.
- 1050 Coa: Han vil gerne prøve.
He will gladly try.
He would like to try.
- 1051 Cli: Han ved ikke me- om det lykkes
He knows not bu- whether it succeeds
He does not know bu- whether it will succeed

- 1052 men han vil gerne prøve.
 but he will gladly try.
 but he would like to try.
- 1053 Coa: Okay.
 Okay.
- 1054 Cli: AT tage den rolle
 To take that role
- 1055 Coa: Er de:t ok med dig,
 Is that ok with you,
- 1056 Cli: Ja
 Yes
- 1057 (0.5)
- 1058 Coa: °Okay°
 °Okay°
- 1059 Cli: Man kan jo ikke (.) forpligtes
 One can ADV not (.) obligate_PASS
 You cannot you know be obligated
- 1060 ud over sinGEN evne
 out over one's ability
 beyond your own capacity
- 1061 man kan ikke love mere end man skal holde.
 one can not promise more than one must keep.
 you cannot promise more than you must keep.
- 1062 Coa: °Nej. Okay°
 °No. Okay°
- 1063 Cli: S: så det synes jeg er fint nok.
 S: so that think I is fine enough.
 S: so I think that is good enough.
- 1064 Bare han vil prøve.
 Just he will try.
 As long as he will try.
- 1065 Coa: °Okay°
 °Okay°
- 1066 (0.6)
- 1067 Coa: Jeg synes du skal slå øjnene op igen=
 I think you shall open eyes_the up again=
 I think you should open your eyes again
- 1068 Cli: =Ja
 =Yes
- 1069 Coa: Kigge lidt ud i rummet
 Look little out in room_the
 Look a little out in the room
- 1070 Cli: .hhh sfnt ((Sniff))
- 1071 Coa: O::g ø:h Hanne Sofie hvad øh
 A::nd e:h Hanne Sofie what eh

A::nd e:h Hanne Sofie what eh

1072 Dit telefonnumer bagfra hvad er det,
Your telephone_number backwards what is that,
Your telephone number backwards what is that,

1073 Coa: Årh::: ((dries eyes and shakes her head))
Orh:::

1074 (2.0)

1075 Cli: f:: N:ul Det kan jeg ikke. Nul fire fem
f:: Zero That can I not. Zero four five
f:: Zero I cannot do that. Zero four five

1076 syv (1.5) to s:eks fire [syv.
seven (1.5) two six four [seven.

1077 Com: [((Client turn head and
1078 looks at coach))

1079 (0.6)

1080 Coa: °Okay°

1081 (0.8)

1082 Cli: Ja
Yes

1083 (0.8)

1084 Cli: Sfft ((sniff))

1085 Coa: Hvor mange vinduer er der (ved du det,)
How many windows are there (know you that,
How many windows are there (do you know that,)

1086 com ((coach looks towards the windows))

1087 (.)

1088 Coa: Hvor mange vinduer er der.
How many windows are there.

1089 Cli: Skal jeg kigge eller=
Shall I look or=

1090 Coa: =Ja=
=Yes=

1091 Cli: =skal jeg vide det.
=must I know it.

1092 Coa: (Nej) [() ()
(No)

1093 com: [client turns around in her chair

1094 Coa: ()

1095 Cli: To fire seks ti
Two four six ten

1096 Coa: Ti styk,=
Ten pieces,=
Ten

1097 Cli: =Der er ti vinduer.
=There are ten windows.

1098 Coa: °Ja°
°Yes°

1099 Cli: Ja
Yes

1100 (0.8)

1101 Coa: Så er du ligesom ude af det der igen
Then are you sort_of out of that there again
Then you are sort of out of that again

1102 [eller i hvert fald forsøgt på
[or at_least attempted on
or at least attempted

1103 Cli: [.hh

1104 at komme [ud af den der::
toINF come [out of that there::
to snap out of that

1105 Cli: [HHhhh

1106 Cli: Ja
Yes

1107 Coa: dialog (eller) [(]).
dialogue (or) [(]).

1108 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]

1109 Coa: Skal vi prøve at komme videre.
Should we try to come further.
Should we try to proceed.

1110 Cli: Ja
Yes

1111 (.)

1112 Coa: Godt nok. Sådan som jeg hører på dig
Good enough. Such as I hear on you
Okay. As I understand you

1113 så har du accepteret
then have you accepted
then you have accepted

1114 det her mål om jeg vil tabe mig.
this here goal concerning I will lose me.
this goal concerning I want to lose weight

1115 Cli: Ja
Yes

- 1116 Coa: Æ:h så jeg til slut vejer halvfjerds kilo,
E:h so I to end weigh seventy kilos
- 1117 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 1118 Coa: Det er ok at have den der [()] djævel der,
It is ok to have that there [()] devil there,
It is okay to have this devil there
- 1119 com: [((coach raises his
1120 hand and points to his shoulder))
- 1121 Coa: Han er lidt afventende [(lige nu)]
He is little hesitant/wait-and-see [(just now)]
- 1122 Cli: [Han er ikke]
[He is not]
[He is not]
- 1123 nogen djævel mere.
any devil more.
a devil anymore.
- 1124 Coa: Han er en mand
He is a man
- 1125 Cli: Han er en mand
He is a man
- 1126 Coa: Okay
- 1127 Coa (Mm) det er ok at have den der mand [der]=
(Mm) it is okay to have that there man [there]=
(Mm) it is okay to have this man there
- 1128 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 1129 Coa: =Han er lidt afventend[e]
=He is little hesitan[t]
=He is a little hesitan[t]
- 1130 Cli: [J]a
[Ye]s
- 1131 Coa: Han må ikke helt forsvinde
He must not completely disappear
- 1132 da han [lovet dig at blive)]
since he [promised you to stay)]
- 1133 Cli: [Han har simplethen]
[He has simply]
- 1134 lagt karnevalsdragten. =Ja.
laid carnival_suit_the.=Yes.
taken off the carnival suit. Yes.
- 1135 (0.4)
- 1136 Coa: Okay. Han har lagt karnevalsdragten [også.]
Okay. He has laid carnival_suit_the [also.]
Okay. He has also taken off the carnival suit.

1137 Cli: [Ja] det
[Yes] That
[Yes]

1138 har han.
has he.
he has.

1139 Coa: Ja. Okay.
Yes. Okay.

1140 Cli: Han har lovet at lade være med
He has promised to abstain from
He has promised to abstain from

1141 at være så truende.
to be so threatening.
being so threatening.

1142 [Han-](.) Han er ikke nær så farlig.
[He-](.) He is not nearly as dangerous.

1143 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]

1144 Coa: Nej. Okay.
No. Okay.

1145 (.)

1146 Coa: .mt Så: hvornår (.) vil du gerne tabe dig?=
.mt So: when (.) will you gladly lose you?=
.mt So: when (.) would you like to lose weight=

1147 =Hvornår skal du bevis::e overfor dig selv
=When must you prov::e towards you self
=When must you prov::e towards yourself

1148 [og overfor ham]
[and towards him]
[and towards him]

1149 Cli: [fht sft] krh khrm:

1150 Coa: at det her mål det er nået?
that this here goal it is reached?
that this goal it is reached?

1151 Cli: .hhh Jamen ø::h i stedet for at sætte en dato for
.hhh Yes_but e::h instead of to set a date for
.hhh Well e::h instead of setting a date for

1152 hvornår jeg har nået de halvfjerds kilo, (1.0)=
when I have reaced the sventy kilos, (1.0)=
when I have reaced sventy kilos, (1.0)=

1153 plus minus to (0.8) så vil jeg hellere sige
plus minus two (0.8) then will I rather say
plus minus two (0.8) then I would rather say

1154 at der må:: ø::h
that there can:: e::h

- 1155 (3.0)
- 1156 Cli: Det skal nemlig ikke gå hurtigt
It must namely not go fast
It must namely not be fast
- 1157 (1.3)
- 1158 Cli: (som med) Det skal ikke- Det skal være ægte.
(as with) It must not- It must be real.
- 1159 (3.5)
- 1160 Cli: Og hva- v vi har maj måned nu
And wha- w we have May month now
And wha- w we have May now
- 1161 (2.0)
- 1162 Cli: Jamen æ:::h Det er:::
Yes_but e:::h It is:::
Well e:::h It is:::
- 1163 inden sommerferien næste år.
before summer_vacation_the next year.
before the summer vacation next year.
- 1164 Coa: Inden sommerferien næste år.
Before summer_vacation_the next year.
before the summer vacation next year.
- 1165 Com ((coach writes as he repeats))
- 1166 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 1167 (0.8)
- 1168 Coa: Og hvornår=
And when=
- 1169 Cli: =Halvdelen [inden jul]
=half_part_the [before Christmas]
=half before Christmas
- 1170 Coa: [Hvornår er]det sommerferie?
[When is]it summer_vacation?
When is it summer_vacation?
- 1171 Cli: Halvdelen inden første december
Half_part_the before first December
Half before the first of December
- 1172 og den anden halvdel inden første juni
and the other half_part before first June
and the other half before the first of June
- 1173 Coa: Halvdelen (3.1) ø:::[h ja]
Half_part_the (3.1) u:::[h yes]
Half (3.1) u:::h yes
- 1174 Cli: [Så hvad] er det
[So what] is that

1175 Com: ((coach is writing during silence))

1176 (0.9)

1177 Cli: Så det vil si::ge ø::h (0.4) hvad=
So that will sa::y u::h (0.4) what=

1178 Vi kan også sige et tal i stedet f-
We can also say a number instead b-

1179 Det er nok bedre fordi hvad er halvdelen.
It is probably better because what is half_part_the
It is probably better because what is half

1180 Coa: Ja det vil jeg så også spørge [om] men=
Yes that will I then also ask [about] but=
Yes that would I also ask about then but=

1181 Cli: [.sft]

1182 Coa: =men [det bestemmer du jo]
=but [that decide you ADV]
=but that you decide you know

1183 Cli: [Jeg vil meget hellere] sætte et tal på
[I will much rather] put a number on
I would much rather put a number on it

1184 Coa: Ja
Yes

1185 (1.8)

1186 Cli: .mt Jeg vil sige at
.mt I will say that

1187 øh det er::: *øh maks otteoghalvfjerds
eh it is::: *eh max eight_and_seventy
eh it is::: *eh max seventyeight

1188 før den første december,
before the first December,
before the firs to December

1189 Coa: maks (.) otteoghalvfjerds kilo
max (.) eight_and_seventy kilos
max (.) seventyeight kilos

1190 (1.8)

1191 f: pr: [før]ste december
b: per: [fir]st December

1192 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]

1193 (.)

1194 Coa: [Totusind]ogsyv?
[Twothousand]andseven?

1195 Cli: [O::g]
[A::nd]

- 1196 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 1197 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 1198 Cli: Og endeligt mål ø::h .hhh [i]
And final goal e::h .hhh [in]
- 1199 Coa: [og] ((coach writes))
[and]
- 1200 (1.0)
- 1201 Cli: Det er så de halvfjerds plus minus to.
That is then the seventy plus minus two.
That is then seventy plus minus two.
- 1202 Coa: *J:a. =Halvfjerds plus minus to? ((coach writes))
*Y:es.=Seventy plus minus two?
- 1203 (1.5) ((coach stops writing and looks up
1204 after (0.8)))
- 1205 Cli: Første (.) juni totusindogotte
First (.) June two_thousand_and_eight
The first (.) of June two thousand and eight
- 1206 Coa: <Første> (.) juni (.) <totusind> (.) °otte°
<First> (.) June (.) <two_thousand> (.) °eight°
<The first> (.) of June (.) <two thousand> (.) eight
- 1207 (1.3)
- 1208 Cli: For så kan jeg overskue det.
For then can I oversee it
Because then I can cope with it
- 1209 (0.5)
- 1210 Coa: Det vil sige når du siger du kan overskue det
That will say when you say you can oversee it
That means when you say you can cope with it
- 1211 så er det et realistisk mål
then is it a realistic goal
then it is a realistic goal
- 1212 Cli: Ja. Så er det et realistisk mål.
Yes. Then is it a realistic goal.
Yes. Then it is a realistic goal.
- 1213 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 1214 (0.5)
- 1215 Cli: Der sidder et et lille håb inde (.) om
There sits a a little hope inside (.) about
There is a little hope inside (.)
- 1216 at (.) det er begge dele til den første december.
that (.) it is both parts to the first December
that (.) it is both to the first of December

1217 Coa: °Ja°
°Yes°

1218 Cli: men det e-
but it i-

1219 (1.0)

1220 com ((during silence client raises her hand
1221 and points at her left shoulder))

1222 Cli: Han råder mig til ()
He advises me to ()

1223 Coa: °Ja°
°Yes°

1224 Cli: at dele den op i to.
toINF split it up in two.
split it in two

1225 Coa (°ja°)
(°Yes°)

1226 Cli: Ja
Yes

1227 Coa: Er det okay med dig,=
Is that okay with you,=

1228 Cli: ='ar det er fint.
='eah it is fine.

1229 Coa: °Okay°
°Okay°

1230 Cli: Ja
°Yes°

1231 (1.7)

1232 com: ((Coach turns around and looks at clock on the
1233 wall))

1234 Cli: .hhh[h ts::]

1235 Coa: [Hvad siger du til] at vi fortsætter
[What say you to] that we continue
[What would you say] if we continue

1236 Cli: .hhhhh

1237 Coa: og så måske tager mig i:: [hele tid i mor]gen=
and then perhaps take me in:: [whole time tomor]row=
and then perhaps take me the whole time tomorrow=

1238 Cli: [længere tid]
[longer time]

1239 Cli: =Det er fint.
=That is fine.

1240 Coa: For jeg synes det er synd at stoppe her.

- Because I think is is a shame to stop here
- 1241 Cli: Ja det er [fint]
Yes that is [fine]
- 1242 Coa: [Vi vi er] i gang me::d
[We we are] in process wi::th
[We we are] doing
- 1243 æ:h noget som du brænder for=
e:h something which you burn for=
e:h something which you are enthusiastic about
- 1244 Cli: =Ja
=Yes
- 1245 Coa: Kan jeg mærke på dig [(ik)]
Can I sense in you [(right)]
Can I sense in you (right)
- 1246 Cli: [khr] Ja
[khr] Yes
- 1247 Coa: Og jeg synes æ::h .hhhh æ::h at-
And I think e::h .hhhh e::h that-
- 1248 Noget som du skal bevise den her gang
Something which you must prove this here time
Something which you must prove this time
- 1249 overfor dig sely, [og] overfor din ven der.
towards yourself, [and] towards your friend there.
- 1250 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 1251 (0.6)
- 1252 Coa: O::g derfor synes jeg °vi skal fortsætte°
A::nd therefore think I °we should continue°
A::nd therefore I think °we should continue°
- 1253 Cli: Ja det er [fint med mig.] Ja
Yes it is [fine by me.] Yes
- 1254 Coa: [°Er det okay°,]
[°Is that okay°,]
- 1255 (1.0)
- 1256 Coa: Ø:hm:: (.) Ja.
U:hm:: (.) Yes
- 1257 (.)
- 1258 Coa: Men så har du det her mål.
But then have you this here goal.
But then you have this goal.
- 1259 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 1260 (1.0)

- 1261 Coa: (Prøv lige at fornemme)
(Try just to sense)
(Just try to sense)
- 1262 første juni (1.1) totusindogotte
first June (1.1) two_thousand_and_eight
the first of June (1.1) two thousand and eight
- 1263 (1.8)
- 1264 Cli: <Det er simplethen skønt>.
<That is simply marvelous>.
- 1265 (1.6)
- 1266 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 1267 Cli: Det er simplethen skønt
That is simply marvelous
- 1268 Coa: (Og hvordan er [det]. Hvor hvor er du] henne?
(And how is [it]. Where where are you] at?)
- 1269 Cli: [Der vil jeg gerne]
[There will I gladly]
[There I would like to]
- 1270 (1.1)
- 1271 Cli: Jamen hhhhh ((shakes her head))
Yes_but hhhhh
Well hhhhh
- 1272 (0.8)
- 1273 Cli: Jeg er på arbejde. Jeg er i weekend
I am at work. I am in weekend
I am at work. I am in the weekends
- 1274 Jeg er simplethen .hhh Jeg er simplethen
I am simply .hhh I am simply
I am simply .hhh I am simply
- 1275 over det hele fordi jeg har bare så'n energi
over it all because I have just such energy
all over because I just have such energy
- 1276 at j- så jeg kan være over det hele.
that I- so I can be over it all.
that I- so I can be everywhere.
- 1277 Coa: Du kan være over det hele.
You can be over it all.
You can be all over.
- 1278 Cli: Jeg er simplethen ikke kun et sted af gangen.
I am simply not just one place at time_the.
I am simply not just one place at the time.
- 1279 Jeg kan simplethen magte både at .hhh være hjemme
I can simply cope both to .hhh be home
I am simply capable of both .hhh being home

- 1280 og på arbejde og på golfbanen og (.)
and on job and on golf_course_the and (.)
and on the job and on the golf course and (.)
- 1281 Coa: Okay=
Okay=
- 1282 Cli: =planlægge. Ja
=plan. Yes
to plan. Yes
- 1283 (0.9)
- 1284 Coa: Hvad går dine tanker på;
What goes your thoughts on;
What do your thoughts revolve around;
- 1285 (1.5)
- 1286 Cli: .mt De går på at jeg ikke behøver
.mt They go on that I not need
.mt They revolve around that I do not need
- 1287 at tænke på den skide vægt.
to think on that shitty weight
to think about that bloody weight
- 1288 Coa: °Okay°
°Okay°
- 1289 Cli: At det er derfor jeg kan overskue alt det andet.
That it is therefore I can oversee all that other.
That it is why I can cope with everything else
- 1290 Coa: °Ja°
°Yes°
- 1291 Cli: Det er (.) jo femoghalvfems procent af min
That is (.) ADV fiveandfifty percent of my
That is (.) you know fiftyfive percent of my
- 1292 tankevirks- underbevidste tankevirks[somhed]
thought_acti- subconscious thought_acti[vity]
thought_acti- subconscious thought activity
- 1293 Coa: [Mh:::]
- 1294 Cli: >der kan< bruges til noget
>which can< used to something
>which can< used on something
- 1295 <helt helt > andet.
<completely completely> different.
completely different.
- 1296 Coa: Hvad siger manden på venstre skulder
What says man_the on left shoulder
What says the man on the left shoulder
- 1297 der sidder der °og har smidt karnevalsdragten°.
which sits there °and have layed carnival_suit_the°.
which sits there °and has layed down the carnival
suit°.

- 1298 (3.0)
- 1299 Cli: Jamen han er sådan set
Yes_but he is
Well he is to a certain extent
- 1300 .hhhh >han sådan set< lidt tilfreds
.hhhh >he < a little satisfied
.hhhh >he to a certain extent< a little satisfied
- 1301 Han er også l:idt ærgerlig over at (.)=
He is also l:ittle vexed about that (.)=
He is also a l:ittle vexed that (.)=
- 1302 at jeg kunne klare mig så meget selv.
That I could manage me so much self.
That I could manage so much on my own.
- 1303 Coa: Okay,
Okay,
- 1304 Cli: Han er lid- Det er han lidt ærgerlig over.
He is lit- That is he little vexed about.
He is lit- That is he a little vexed about.
- 1305 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 1306 (1.1)
- 1307 Coa: Så spørg lige::: ham om
So ask just::: him about
So ask just::: him
- 1308 hvad han har lært af det,
what he has learned of that,
what he has learned from that,
- 1309 (3.9)
- 1310 Cli: Ja::
Yes::
- 1311 (1.3)
- 1312 Cli: Han har nok lært at: (1.8)
He has probably learned that: (1.8)
- 1313 Coa: Er det ham der svarer
Is it him who answers
- 1314 eller er det Hannesofie der [svarer]
or is it Hannesofie who [answers]
- 1315 Cli: [Ja::]
[Yes::]
- 1316 (1.2)
- 1317 Cli: Hvad svarer han lige nu
What answers he right now
What does he answer right now

- 1318 (1.7)
- 1319 com: ((Client shakes her head))
- 1320 Coa: Vi er ved den første januar
We are at the first January
We are at the first of January
- 1321 [eller første juni to tusind]ogotte
[or first June two thousand] and eight
or the first of June two thousand and eight
- 1322 Cli: [Første januar næste år]
[First January next year]
The first of January next year
- 1323 (1.2)
- 1324 Coa: (Du har tabt [dig]
(You have lost [you]
(You have lost weight)
- 1325 Cli: [Jam- h- h- han sidder og spørger
[Yes_b- h- h- he sits and asks
[Yes we- h- h- he is asking
- 1326 hvad han så skal hjælpe mig med.
what he then must help me with.
what he then should help me do.
- 1327 Coa: °°Okay¿°°
°°Okay¿°°
- 1328 Cli: Hvad mit næste mål er.
What my next goal is.
- 1329 Coa: O:kay.
O:kay.
- 1330 (1.3)
- 1331 Cli: Det gør han.
That does he.
That is what he does.
- 1332 Coa: Så han er klar til næste mål nu=
So he is ready for next goal now=
So he is ready for the next goal now=
- 1333 Cli: =Ja det er han faktisk.
=Yes that is he actually.
=Yes he actually is.
- 1334 (0.7)
- 1335 Coa: Han er:::
He is:::
- 1336 Cli: Nu ka- nu kan han [holde]
Now ca- now can he [hold]
Now ca- now he can hold
- 1337 Coa: [Han har] respekt så,
[He has] respect then,

- 1338 Cli: Ja:: nu kan han nøjes med at støtte
Yes:: now can he settle for to support
Yes:: now he can settle for supporting
- 1339 fordi vi kan ligeså godt sætte et nyt så.
Because we can just_as well set a new then.
Because we can just as well set a new one then.
- 1340 Coa: Okay
Okay
- 1341 Cli: Det kunne vi jo egentlig ligeså godt.
That could we ADV actually just_as well.
That could we actually just as well you know.
- 1342 Coa: O↑kay
O↑kay
- 1343 Cli: .mt Det kunne vi lige[så godt.]
.mt That could we just_[as well.]
.mt That could we just [as well.]
- 1344 Coa: [Så han]er klar.
[So he]is ready.
- 1345 Cli: Ja. [Han er klar]
Yes.[He is ready]
- 1346 Coa: [Tror han på] den?
[Believes he in] it?
Does he believe in it?
- 1347 Cli: Det gør han faktisk.
That does he actually.
He actually does.
- 1348 (1.8)
- 1349 Cli: Det gør han faktisk.
That does he actually.
He actually does.
- 1350 Coa: [Så de:t]
[So tha:t]
- 1351 Cli: [Fordi::] når jeg kunne det her
[Because::] when I could this here
Because:: when I could do this
- 1352 Coa Ja
Yes
- 1353 (1.4)
- 1354 Cli: så ø:::h hff:::
then u:::h hff:::
then u:::h hff:::
- 1355 [kan jeg vel også det andet]
[can I surely also that other]
[I surely also can that other]
- 1356 Coa: [Og og det her det er]realistisk,

- [And and this here this is]realistic,
And and this this is realistic,
- 1357 Du har gjort det før.
You have done it before.
- 1358 [Det] vil sige at hvis du kommer dertil nu
[That] will say that if you come there_to now
[That] means if you come there now
- 1359 Cli: [.mt]
- 1360 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 1361 (0.9)
- 1362 Coa: så ø:h (0.3) så åbner (.) [det] hele sig.
then u:h (0.3) then open (.) [it] all itself.
then u:h (0.3) then everything (.) opens
- 1363 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 1364 Coa: [Så kan du gøre] hvad du vil,=
[Then can you do] what you want,=
[Then you can do] what you want,=
- 1365 Cli: [Ja det gør det]
[Yes it does that]
- 1366 Cli: =Ja.
=Yes.
- 1367 (1.4)
- 1368 Cli: Det kan jeg.
That can I.
I can that.
- 1369 Coa: Er det værd at gå efter=
Is that worth to go after=
Is that worth pursuing=
- 1370 Cli: =<Absolut værd> at gå efter.
=<Absolutly worth> to go after
=<Absolutly worth> pursuing
- 1371 Coa: °Okay°
°Okay°
- 1372 (1.0)
- 1373 Cli: Også fordi jeg kan jeg kan virkelig stå i den der
Also because I can I can really stand in that there
Also because I can I can really stand in that
- 1374 .hhh lidt onde og sige haha
.hhh little evil and say haha
.hhh a little evil and say haha
- 1375 hvad sagde jeg til hele verden.
what said I to whole world.
what did I tell you to the whole world.

1376 Coa: m

1377 Cli: .sft ((sniff)) Selvfølgelig kunne jeg godt
.sft ((sniff)) Of course could I well
.sft ((sniff)) Of course I could do it

1378 når bare jeg ville.
when just I would.
if I wanted to.

1379 Coa: m

1380 (1.2)

1381 Cli: Jeg har åbenbart bare ikke villet nok.
I have apparently just not wanted enough.
Apparently I just did not want it enough.

1382 Men det ville jeg jo så den her gang.
But that would I ADV then this here time.
But that I would you know this time.

1383 Coa: Når du nu har bevist for den der
When you now have proved for that there
When you then have proved towards that

1384 første juni totusindogotte
first June two_thousand_and_eight
the first of June two thousand and eight

1385 at du kan det her. Du kan sætte nogle mål
that you can this here. You can set some goals
that you can do this. You can set goals

1386 og du kan følge dem.
and you can follow them.

1387 Hvem er du så.
Who are you then.

1388 (3.0)

1389 Cli: hhhh

1390 (2.5)

1391 Cli: Jamen jamen så er jeg hende
Yes_but yes_but then am I her
Well well then I am her

1392 hvor hvor (.) Så så er jeg (.)
where where (.) Then then am I (.)
where where (.) Then then I am (.)

1393 Cli: .hhh Så er jeg kom bare an?
.hhh Then am I come just on ((idiom))
.hhh Then I am challenge me

1394 Coa: Kom bare an.
Come just on.
Challenge me.

1395 Cli: Ja

- Yes
- 1396 Coa: °Okay°
°Okay°
- 1397 (0.7)
- 1398 Cli: Det er jeg. =Så er jeg lkom bare an,
That am I. =then am I lcome just on,
That I am. =Then I am challenge me,
- 1399 Coa: °Okay°
°Okay°
- 1400 Cli: fordi æ::h .hhh
because e::h .hhh
- 1401 Coa: Så det vil sige [de der æh
So that will say [those there eh
So that means those
- 1402 Cli: [Jeg skal nok sige fra
[I shall enough say from
[I will say no
- 1403 hvis ikke jeg kan.
if not I can.
If I cannot
- 1404 Coa: Ja=
Yes=
- 1405 Cli: =Og hvis jeg kan så::: .hhh je:g jeg er realist,
=and if I can then::: .hhh I: I am realist,
=and if I can then::: .hhh I: I am being realistic,
- 1406 Jeg ved det kan lade sig gøre
I know it can let itself do
I know it can be done
- 1407 eller ikke kan lade sig gøre.
or not can let itself do
or cannot be done
- 1408 Og hvis ikke jeg kan så .hhh jeg tager det
And if not I can then .hhh I take it
And if I cannot then .hhh I only take it
- 1409 kun på hvis jeg selv tror på det.
only on if I myself believe on it.
on if I believe in it
- 1410 Coa: °Ja°
°Yes°
- 1411 Cli: Jeg sætter mig ikke et mål
I set me not a goal
I do not set a goal
- 1412 som jeg ikke selv [tror] på.
which I not self [believe] on.
Which I do not myself believe in.
- 1413 Coa: [m]

- 1414 Coa: Hvornår havde du sidst
When had you last
When did you last
- 1415 den der følelse af kom bare an
that there feeling of come just on
have that feeling of challenge me
- 1416 Cli: .mt .hhsft

(2.0)
- 1417 Cli: Hvornår jeg sidst havde den.
When I last had it
- 1418 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 1419 Cli: .hhhh hhhhh
- 1420 Coa: (Hvordan du [])
(How you [])
- 1421 Cli: [Det det] havde je:g ø::h fo:r
 [That that] had I u::h fo:r
 That I had it u::h for
- 1422 >det er vel< en måneds tid siden.
>it is well< a month time ago
>it is I guess< a month ago
- 1423 .hhh Hvor jeg skulle have e:n undervisningssession
.hhh Where I should have a: teaching_session
.hhh When I had to do a teaching session
- 1424 som jeg var vildt nervøs for
which I was wildly nervous about
which I was extremely nervous about
- 1425 fordi jeg vidste ikke om det var det rigtige
because I knew not whether it was the right
because I did not know whether it was right
- 1426 og om (.) jeg ville blive hylet ud af den.
and whether (.) I would become ((idiom))
and whether (.) I would be thrown off balance.
- 1427 Altså om det var godt nok det her.
PRT whether it was good enough this here.
That is whether it was okay this
- 1428 .hhhh og pf:::: Jeg synes der var stillet
.hhhh og pf:::: I think there were asked
.hhhh og pf:::: I felt
- 1429 mange spørgsmål ved det jeg gjorde.
many questions ADV that I did.
what I did had been questioned.
- 1430 Men (.) hvor jeg kunne mærke en stor tilfredshed
But (.) where I could feel a great satisfaction
But (.) I could feel a great satisfaction

- 1431 da dagen var gået.
 when day_the was gone.
 when the day had gone.
- 1432 Coa: Okay
 Okay
- 1433 Cli: Da havde jeg sidst den fornemmelse der.
 Then had I last that sense there
 Then I had that sense
- 1434 Coa: Så du har altså den der kom bare an
 So you have PRT that there come just on
 So you do have that challenge me
- 1435 jeg kan altså [godt ø::h]
 I can PRT [good u::h]
 I can do this
- 1436 Cli: [Ja det har jeg]
 [Yes that have I]
- 1437 Cli: Derefter der kunne de komme
 There_after there could they come
 After that they could come
- 1438 med hvad som helst.=
 with ((DK idiom)).
 with anything.
- 1439 Coa: =Okay
 =Okay
- 1440 Cli: Den dag der.
 That day there.
 That day.
- 1441 Coa: Okay
 Okay
- 1442 Cli: Der havde jeg det sådan hvor er det næste hold
 There had I it like_that where is the next group
 There I had it like that where is the next group
- 1443 Com: ((Client looks around the room imitating looking for
1444 the next group))
- 1445 Coa: Ja
 Yes
- 1446 (.)
- 1447 Cli: Hvem har lyst til
 Who have want to
 Who wants to
- 1448 at [.hhh] komme igennem vridemaskinen.
 to [.hhh] come through wringer_the
 to [.hhh] come through the wringer
- 1449 Coa: [Ja]
 [Yes]

- 1450 [Jeg] skal nok være der.
[I] must well be there.
[I] will be there.
- 1451 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 1452 Coa: Hvad var det du gjorde der?
What was it you did there?
What was it you did back then?
- 1453 (0.6)
- 1454 com: ((coach change position in his chair))
- 1455 Coa: For at få den der følelse.
To toINF get that there feeling.
To get that feeling.
- 1456 Coa: Du stod jo i en situation hvor du skulle til
You stood ADV in a situation where you had to
You stood in a situation you know where you had to
- 1457 at opfylde et eller andet mål
toINF fulfil one or other goal
fulfil some goal
- 1458 som der måske var lidt svært?
which there maybe was little difficult?
which maybe was a little difficult?
- 1459 Coa: [Hvordan gjorde]
[How did]
- 1460 Cli: [Jeg forberedte] mig helt vildt.
[I prepared] myself completely crazy.
[I prepared] myself extremely
- 1461 (0.3)
- 1462 Coa: O↑kay

O↑kay
- 1463 Cli: Jeg planlagde (.) ned i mindste detalje.
I planned (.) down in last detail.
I planned (.) down to the last detail.
- 1464 Coa: Okay.
- 1465 Cli: Jeg æ:::h kunne tingene udenad stort set.
I e:::h could things_the by_heart
I e:::h could things by heart generally speaking.
- 1466 Jeg havde f:: Jeg havde (1.7) lavet en risikoanalyse
I had f:: I had (1.7) made a risk_analysis
I had f:: I had (1.7) made a risk analysis
- 1467 så stor så stor så stor.
so big so big so big.
- 1468 Coa: Ja [okay]
Yes [okay]

1469 Cli: [Inde] i mit hovede (.) om
[Inside] in my head (.) concerning

1470 hvis nu de gør det så det hvis de gør det
if now they do this then this if they do that

1471 Jeg havde .hhh syvogtyve plan B'er
I had .hhh sevenandtwenty plan B's
I had .hhh twentyseven plan B's

1472 Coa: °Okay°
°Okay°

1473 (0.5)

1474 Coa: Hva:::: vil den evne [(du har her)]=
Wha:::: would that ability [(you have here)]=

1475 Cli: [.shhhft ((sniff))]

1476 Cli: =Ja=
=Yes=

1477 Coa: =kan den bruges i denne her sammenhæng?
=can it used in this here connection?
=can it be used in this connection?

1478 Cli: Ja det kan den faktisk godt.
Yes that can it actually well.
Yes actually it can.

1479 Coa: Planlægning i detaljer.
Planning in details.

1480 Cli: Planlægning i detaljer og så ø:::h (0.7) have
Planning in details and then u:::h (0.7) having

1481 Cli: en plan for hvad jeg vil gøre når det går galt.
a plan for what I will do when it goes wrong.

1482 (1.3) ((coach writing))

1483 Coa: Planlægning (2.0) i detaljer, °æ:::::h ja°
Planning (2.0) in details, °e:::::h yes°

1484 (0.7) ((Coach i writning))

1485 Coa: Og så siger du en plan for
And then say you a plan for
And then you say a plan for

1486 Cli: .hhhh og så simplethen [en altså]=
.hhhh and then simply [a PRT]=
.hhhh and then simply a that is=

1487 Coa: [plan B]
[plan B]

1488 Cli: =en risikoanalyse simplethen
=a risk_analysis simply
=a risk_analysis that is

1489 Coa: Risikoanalyse.
Risk_analysis.

- Risk analysis.
- 1490 Cli: K- >sådan< en projektverden jeg har det,=
K- >such < a project_world I have that,=
K- >such < a project world I have that,=
- 1491 Coa: =<risiko> (0.5) analyse ((coach is writing))
=<risk > (0.5) analysis
- 1492 Cli: Ja. Og de- risikoanalysen der vil jeg simp-
Yes. And th- risk_analysis_the there will I simp-
Yes. And th- the risk analysis there I will I simp-
- 1493 Jeg vil simplethen gå ind og lave risikoanalysen
I will simply go in and do risk_analysis_the
I will simply do the risk analysis
- 1494 som vi gør i i .hh hvor man går ind og siger
as we do in in .hh where one goes in and say
as we do in in .hh where you say
- 1495 der er forskellige=Man kan afbryde
there are different=One can abandon
there are different=You can abandon
- 1496 og forebygge .hh[hh] fjerne hindringer
and avert .hh[hh] remove obstacles
and avert .hhhh remove obstacles
- 1497 Coa: [okay]
[okay]
- 1498 Cli: og man kan lave en plan B.=
and one can make a plan B.=
and you can make a plan B.=
- 1499 Coa: =Okay
=Okay
- 1500 (0.7)
- 1501 Cli: >Sådan [så jeg ikke< først skal] til at finde ud
>ADV [so I not< first must] to toINF find out
So that I must not first figure it out
- 1502 Coa: [S::å::]
[S::o::]
- 1503 af det når jeg er gået galt.
of it when I am gone wrong.
when I have gone wrong.
- 1504 Coa: Jarh
Yeah
- 1505 (.)
- 1506 Coa: O[kay]
O[kay]
- 1507 Cli: [Så] skal jeg ikke først sige
[So] must I not first say
[So] I must not first say

- 1508 .hhh så er det ikke selvbekræftelsen
 .hhh so is it not self_confirmation the
 .hhh so it is not the self confirmation
- 1509 så går jeg bare ind og siger
 then go I just in and say
 then I will look it up and say
- 1510 hvad var det jeg skrev,
 what was it I wrote,
 what was it I wrote,
- 1511 (.)
- 1512 Coa: Ja
 Yes
- 1513 Cli: at jeg ville gøre hvis det her skete.
 that I would do it this here happened.
 that I would do it this happened.
- 1514 Coa: Ja
 Yes
- 1515 (0.6)
- 1516 Cli: Og andre ting har jeg gjort
 And other things have I done
 And other things I have done
- 1517 for at de slet ikke kom til at ske.
 for that they ADV not came to toINF happen.
 so that they not at all came to happen.
- 1518 Coa: Ja. Så risikoanalysen er det egentlig
 Yes. So risk_analysis_the is that actually
 Yes. So the risk analysis is that actually
- 1519 to afdække forhindringer?
 to uncover obstacles?
- 1520 Cli: Ja det er det.
 Yes it is that.
- 1521 Coa: °Okay.°
 °Okay.°
- 1522 Cli: Det er at gå ind o- Det er at sætte mig ned .hhhh
 It is to go in a- It is to set myself down .hhhh
 It is to go in a- It is to set myself down .hhhh
- 1523 og finde ud af alt hvad der kan gå galt.=
 and find out of everything what there can go wrong.=
 and find out everything which can go wrong.=
- 1524 =Alt hvad der kan forhindre mig i
 =Everything what there can prevent me in
 =Everything which can prevent me from
- 1525 at det her det skal lykkes.
 that this here it will succeed.
 succeeding.

1526 Coa: Okay
Okay

1527 Cli: Og så (1.5) rent matematisk=
And then (1.5) pure schematically=
And then (1.5) pure schematically=

1528 Cli: så har jeg sandsynlighed og konsekvens
then have I probability and consequence
then I have probability and consequence

1529 og så gange jeg ud og så kan jeg rate dem
and then multiply I out and then can I rate them
and then I multiply and then I can rate them

1530 og så kan jeg sige
and then can I say
and then I can say

1531 hvad jeg så vil gøre ved dem.
what I then will do about them.
what I then will do about them.

1532 Coa: Så det har du
So that have you
So that you have

1533 [helt styr på det der]
[completely control on that there]
completely under control that thing

1534 Cli: [Du- du- du har en struktur]
[You- you- you have a structure]

1535 der .hhh .snff
there .hhh .snff

1536 com: ((Draws a square in the air with her hands))

1537 Coa: Så når du har den her plan
So when you have this here plan
So when you have this plan

1538 og den æh og du har brugt tiden på det,
and it eh and you have spend time_the on that,
and it eh and you have spend the time on that,

1539 Cli: m:,

1540 Coa: Og du har risikoanalysen
And you have risk_analysis_the
And you have the risk analysis

1541 [og] du har det hele, [(1.0)
[and] you have it all, [(1.0)

1542 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]

1543 com: [((Client nodding))

1544 hvad kan så gå galt?
what can then go wrong?

- 1545 (2.2)
- 1546 Cli: [.mt .hh Der ka- Der kan ikke
[.mt .hh There ca- There can not
[.mt .hh There ca- Nothing can
- 1547 com: [Client shakes her head
- 1548 Cli: gå noget galt så.
go anything wrong then.
go wrong then.
- 1549 Coa: °O↑kay°
°O↑kay°
- 1550 Cli: Det kan der jo sådan set ikke.
That can there ADV so seen not.
There actually cannot you know.
- 1551 Coa: Så det er det der skal til,
So that is that there must to,
So that is what it takes,
- 1552 Cli: Ja. Det er det der skal til.
Yes. that is that there must to.
Yes. that is what it takes.
- 1553 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 1554 Cli: At at det bliver et projekt for mig.
That that it becomes a project for me.
That that it will become a project for me.
- 1555 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 1556 (1.2)
- 1557 Coa: Så de- (.) Så det du siger
Then ther- (.) So that you say
Then ther- (.) So what you are saying
- 1558 der er ikke noget der kan forhindre det (0.2)
there is not anything that can prevent it (0.2)
there is not anything that can prevent it (0.2)
- 1559 hvis [du] har den her plan,=
if [you] have this here plan,=
if you have this plan,=
- 1560 Cli: [°ja°]
[°yes°]
- 1561 Cli: =°.hja°
=°.hyes°
- 1562 (0.5)
- 1563 Coa: detaljeret (0.3) risikoanalyse.
detailed (0.3) risk_analysis.
detailed (0.3) risk analysis
- 1564 Cli: *Nej (.) Så kan der i hvert fald

- *No. (.) Then can there in any case
 *No. (.) Then there is in any case
- 1565 ikke være nogle ting som er står i min magt
 not be any things which is stand in my power
 nothing which is in my power
- 1566 (.) der forh==Der kan komme sygdom dødsfald
 (.) there prev==There can come illness deaths
 (.) there prev==There can be illness deaths
- 1567 der kan komme (nogle ())
 there can come (some ())
 there can be (some ())
- 1568 Coa: Det er noget andet
 That is something else
- 1569 Cli: Fuldstændi[g
 Completel[y
- 1570 Coa: [Der er
 [There is
 There is
- 1571 [ikke noget der står i din] magt.
 [not anything there stands in your] power.
 nothing within your power.
- 1572 Cli: [Der er IKKE noget hos mig]
 [There is NOT anything in me]
- 1573 Cli: Nej
 No
- 1574 (1.2)
- 1575 Coa: Okay
 Okay
- 1576 Cli: Det er der ikke
 That is there not
 That there is not
- 1577 (1.2)
- 1578 Coa: Hvad med ham ø::h
 What about him u::h
 What about him u::h
- 1579 manden der [er han OK?]
 man_the there [is he OK?]
 the man there is he OK?
- 1580 Cli: [.sfft ((sniff)) Han] er s::
 [.sfft ((sniff)) He] is s::
 He is com- fst I think he is fallen a sleep,
 He is com- fst I think he is fallen asleep,
- 1581 Han er fuld- fst Jeg tror han er faldet i søvn,
 He is com- fst I think he is fallen a sleep,
 He is com- fst I think he is fallen asleep,
- 1582 (1.5)
- 1583 com: ((during silence coach does a wave at the man

- 1584 on the left shoulder))
- 1585 Coa: Ham vækker vi ikke.
Him wake we not.
We won't wake him.
- 1586 Cli: Nej. Jeg tror han ligger deromme.
No. I think he lies thereback.
No. I think he lies back there.
- 1587 Han er .hhh[h
He is .hhh[h
He is .hhhh
- 1588 Coa: [Han er der
 [He is there
- 1589 Cli: Han er der.
He is there.
He is there.
- 1590 Han har [simplethen sat] sig til at vente.
He has [simly sat] himself to toINF wait
He is simply waiting
- 1591 Coa: [(Han er der)]
 [(He is there)]
- 1592 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 1593 Coa: Yes
Yes
- 1594 (0.9)
- 1595 Cli: Han har slet ikke siddet der de sidste
He has ADV not sitting there the last
He has not been sitting there at all the last
- 1596 Coa: Nej
No
- 1597 Cli: [syv] minutter
[seven] minutes
- 1598 Coa: [S:å:]: [HanneSofie? (1.5) Du vil
[S:o:]: [HanneSofie? (1.5) you will
[S:o:]: [HanneSofie? (1.5) you want to
- 1599 gerne tabe dig.
gladly lose you.
lose weight.
- 1600 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 1601 (0.5)
- 1602 Coa: Det virker på mig (.) som om det her
It seems to me (.) like this here
It seems to me (.) like this
- 1603 det er et [mål] du gerne vil.

- this is a [goal] you gladly will.
 this is a [goal] you really want.
- 1604 Cli: [he]
- 1605 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 1606 Coa: Det virker på mig som om at du
It seems on me like that you
It seems to me that you
- 1607 har faktisk de resourcer der skal t[il.]
have actually the resources there must t[o.]
actually have the resources it takes
- 1608 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- Coa: En helt ny situation hvor du ikke behøver
A completely new situation where you not need
A completely new situation where you do not need
- 1609 andre der skal kontrollere dig [og] alt det
others who must control you [and] all that
others who must control you and all that
- 1610 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 1611 Coa: du nu har [snak]ket om.
you now have[talk]ed about.
you have talked about now.
- 1612 [Ja]
[Yes]
- 1613 (0.5)
- 1614 Coa: Æ::h det er nærværende for dig
E::h it is present for you
E::h it is present for you
- 1615 sådan lyder det på mig=
PRT sounds it on me=
that is how it sounds to me=
- 1616 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 1617 Coa: Så: æ::h hvad hvad skal det til?
So e::h what what must it to?
So e::h what what does it take?
- 1618 (.)
- 1619 Coa: Ø::hh hvad er første skridt?
U::hh hvad is first step?
U::hh hvad is the first step?
- 1620 Hvad hvad skal du gøre nu?
What what must you do now?
What what must you do now?

- 1621 (2.0)
- 1622 Cli: At ø::h jeg er her på (.) kursus og
That e::h I am here on (.) seminar and
That e::h I am on (.) seminar and
- 1623 .hhh i aften når jeg kommer hjem
.hhh to night when I come home
.hhh tonight when I arrive home
- 1624 så er der ikke nogen hjemme i huset,
then is there not anyone home in house_the,
then there is noone home in the house,
- 1625 (0.2)
- 1626 Cli: Når jeg kommer hjem til min søster der.
When I come home to my sister there
When I arrive home to my sisters
- 1627 (0.3)
- 1628 Cli: .hh Så jeg kan jo sådan sætte mig
.hh So I can ADV ADV set myself
.hh So I can that is sit down
- 1629 og lave den plan.
and make the plan.
and make the plan you know.
- 1630 Jeg havde egentlig regnet med nogle andre ting
I had actually counted with some other things
I had actually counted on some other things
- 1631 jeg ville lave i aften ø:hh i forhold til
I would do to night u:hh in relation to
I would do tonight u:hh in relation to
- 1632 at skrive noget af det her ren men .hh jeg
toINF write some of this here clean but .hh I
writing some of this down but .hh I
- 1633 synes egentlig at det her det er vigtigere.
think actually that this here this is important_COMP
actually think that this this is more important
- 1634 (.)
- 1635 Cli: Eller ikke egentlig Det ER vigtigere.
Or not actually It IS important_COMP
Or not actually it IS more important
- 1636 Coa: Det er vigtigere.
It is important_COMP
It is more important
- 1637 Cli: Så derfor vil jeg sætte mig
So therefore will I set myself
So therefore I will sit down
- 1638 og inden jeg laver planen
and before I make plan_the
and before I make the plan

maybe with some headlines or something on
 maybe with some headlines or something

1658 hvad er det egentlig du gør i aften.
 what is it actually you do tonight.

1659 Cli: .h[hhh] Jeg sætter mig ø::h med et stykke papir
 .h[hhh] I sit myself u::h with a piece paper
 .h[hhh] I will sit down u::h with a piece of paper

1660 Coa: [()]

1661 Coa: m

1662 Cli: og et skema >eller< jeg er næsten
 and a table >or< I am almost
 and a table >or< I probably

1663 nødt til at vise dig,
 need to toINF show you,
 need to show you,

1664 com: ((client reaches for her notebook on the floor))

1665 Coa: Okay
 Okay

1666 Cli: Jeg sætter mig simplethen med æ- Jeg kan bedst
 I sit myself simply with e- I can bedst
 I will simply sit down with e- I am best

1667 når jeg arbejder i kasser,
 when I work in boxes,
 when I work with boxes,

1668 Coa: m

1669 Cli: Jeg sætter mig simplethen med det skema
 I sit myself simply with that table
 I will simply sit with that table

1670 jeg kender fra projektverdenen.
 I know from project_world_the.
 I know from the project world.

1671 (0.2)

1672 Com: ((Client draws on paper))

1673 Cli: .hh Så skriver jeg her risiko
 .hh Then write I here risk
 .hh Then I write here risk

1674 Coa: Ja ((coach looks at paper))

1675 (.)

1676 Cli: Og her der skriver jeg så æh konsekvens,
 And here there write I then eh consequence,
 And here there I then write eh consequence,

1677 Coa: Ja
 Yes

- 1678 Cli: .hh Og her skriver jeg så sandsynlighed,
.hh And here write I then probability,
.hh And here I then write probability,
- 1679 Coa: °Okay°
°Okay°
- 1680 Cli: Og så ganger jeg de to tal ud.
And then multiply I those two numbers out.
And then I multiply those two numbers.
- 1681 Coa: Ja.
Yes.
- 1682 Cli: Og det er det jeg vil gøre først.
And that is what I will do first.
- 1683 Og så- så laver jeg simplethen brainstorm
And the- then make I simply brainstorm
And the- then I simply brainstorm
- 1684 hvor jeg (.) råt for usødet skriver (.)
where I (.) DK IDIOM write (.)
where I (.) without further thinking write
- 1685 hvad der kan gå galt.
what there can go wrong.
what can go wrong.
- 1686 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 1687 Cli: Uden at tænke. Brainstorm.
Without to think. Brainstorm.
Without thinking. Brainstorm.
- 1688 Coa: m
- 1689 Cli: Alt hvad jeg kan komme i [tanke] om.
Everything what I can come in [thought] of.
Everything that I can think of.
- 1690 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 1691 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 1692 Cli: [.hh]hh så begynder jeg at kigge på dem og sige
[.hh]hh then start I to look at them and say
[.hh]hh then I start to look at them and say
- 1693 hvor hvor hvor stor konsekvens
how how how big consequence
how how how big a consequence,
- 1694 vil det her og det [have,
will this here and this [have,
will this and this have
- 1695 Coa: [m
- 1696 (0.8)

1697 Cli: Så går jeg ind og siger
Then go I in and say
Then I will say

1698 men hvor sandsynligt er det det sker,
but how likely is it it happens,
but how likely is it that it happens,

1699 Coa: m

1700 Cli: med den ballast som jeg har
with the ballast which I have
with the ballast which I have

1701 i ryggen lige nu .hhsfft
in back_the just now .hhsfft
in my packback just now .hhsfft

1702 Cli: Og så finder jeg et risikotal.=
And then find I a risk_number.=
And then I find a risk number.=

1703 =Og så ser jeg hvad for en risiko
=And then see I what for a risk
=And then see I what risk

1704 der er størst.
which is greatest.

1705 (0.6)

1706 Coa: Okay.
Okay.

1707 Cli: Det kan je- Det ganger jeg jo simplethen ud.
That can I- That multiply I ADV simply out.
That can I- That I simply multiply you know.

1708 Coa: Okay
Okay

1709 Cli: Så kan jeg putte det ind i en matrix,
Then can I put it into in a matrix,
Then I can put it into a matrix,

1710 Coa: Ja
Yes

1711 Cli: Og så kan jeg se hvo hvad jeg vil gøre,
And then can I see wher what I will do,
And then I can see wher what I will do,

1712 .hhh og så kan jeg se at dem
.hhh and then can I see that those

1713 der har den højeste risikotal dem er jeg
which have the highest risk_number those am I
which have the highest risk number those I

1714 nødt til at have .hhh både forebygge, (0.5)
need to toINF have .hhh both prevent, (0.5)
need to have .hhh both prevent, (0.5)

1715 Hvad kan jeg gøre for at det slet ikke toptræder

- What can I do for that it ADV not loccur
 What can I do so that this does not at all loccur
- 1716 Coa: [Ja]
 [Yes]
- 1717 Cli: [.hh]h Og hvis den så alligevel sker (0.4)
 [.hh]h And if it then anyway happens (0.5)
 [.hh]h And if it then happens anyway (0.5)
- 1718 hvordan vil jeg så forebygge det.
 How will I then prevent it.
- 1719 Coa: Okay
 Okay
- 1720 Cli: Eller hvordan vil jeg så
 Or how will I then
 Or how will I then
- 1721 Hva- hva- Hvad vil jeg så gøre.
 Wha- wha- What will I then do.
 Wha- wha- What will I then do.
- 1722 Coa: Ja
 Yes
- 1723 Cli: Og og og et eksempel på det kunne være at (0.4)
 And and and an example on that could be that (0.4)
 And and and an example of that could be that (0.4)
- 1724 Jamen æ:h .hhhh selv om jeg siger det her
 Yes_but e:h .hhhh even though I say this here
 Well e:h .hhhh even though I say this
- 1725 så kommer jeg til en fest
 then come I to a party
 then I go to a party
- 1726 og jeg spiser en hel masse.
 and I eat a whole lot.
 and I eat a whole lot.
- 1727 Coa: Mh
- 1728 (0.5)
- 1729 Cli: Ø:hm sandsynligheden for at det sker
 U:hm probability_the for that this happens
 U:hm the probability that this happens
- 1730 den er lidt stor=Konsekvensen er
 it is little high=Consequence_the is
 it is somewhat high=The consequence is
- 1731 voldsom stor for[di] det [be]tyder .hhh at
 violently big be[cause] it [me]ans .hhh that
 severe because it means .hhh that
- 1732 Coa: [m] [m]
- 1733 jeg så siger (0.4) nu dumpede jeg i igen.
 I then say (0.4) now plumped I in again.
 I then say (0.4) now I failed again.

1734 com: ((client opens her arms))

1735 Coa: m::

1736 Cli: Så jeg kan selvfølgelig gøre noget
So I can of course do something
So I can of course do something

1737 for at forebygge det.=
to toINF prevent it.=
to prevent it.=

1738 [=At] jeg spiser hjemmefra=
[=That] I eat home_from=
[=That] I eat from home=

1739 Coa: [m]

1740 Coa: =m

1741 Cli: At det vil jeg gøre.
That that will I do.
That I will do that.

1742 Coa: m

1743 Cli: .hh Jeg kan sige også at jeg vil .hhh
.hh I can say also that I will .hhh
.hh I can say also that I will .hhh

1744 Æ::h (1.2) >Hvad hedder det-< Jeg kan også sige
E::h (1.2) >What calls it-< I can also say
E::h (1.2) >What is it called< I can also say

1745 at plan B det er at når jeg kommer op
that plan B that is that when I come up
that plan B that is that when I get up

1746 næste dag og jeg har gjort (0.6) begået den synd
next day and I have done (0.6) committed that sin
the next day and I have done (0.6) committed that sin

1747 Coa: m

1748 Cli: så skal jeg (.) Det må jeg så lige
then must I (.) That must I then just
then I must (.) That I must I just

1749 tænke mig om i aften.
think me over to night.
consider that tonight.

1750 Belønne eller straffe mig selv med et eller andet.
Reward or punish my self with one or other.
Reward or punish myself with something.

1751 (1.2)

1752 Cli: Gøre et eller andet som (.) fører mig
Do one or other which (.) leads me
Do something which (.) leads me

1753 tilbage på rette spor.

back on right track.
back on the right track.

1754 Coa: Okay
Okay

1755 Cli: For eksempel lave en ny risikoanaly[se] el[ler]
For example do a new risk_analy[sis] o[r]
For example do a new risk analysis or

1756 Coa: [Ja] [Ja]
[Yes] [Yes]

1757 Coa: [Okay]
[Okay]

1758 Cli: [.hh] Skrive hundrede gange jeg må ikke bryde
[.hh] Write hundred times I must not break
[.hh] Write hundred times I must not break

1759 jeg må ikke bryd[e] jeg [må] ikke [bry]de
I must not bre[ak] I [must] not [bre]ak

1760 Coa: [Ja] [Ja] [Ja]
[Yes] [Yes] [Yes]

1761 Coa: Ja
Yes

1762 Cli: Et eller andet
One or other
Something

1763 Coa: Okay
Okay

1764 Cli: Som bryder vanen.
Which breaks habit_the.
Which breaks the habit.

1765 (1.0)

1766 Coa: Så første skridt det er risikoanalysen. ((42.13))
So first step that is risk_analysis_the.
So first step that is the risk analysis.

1767 Cli: Det er risikoanalysen.
It is risk_analysis_the.
It is the risk analysis.

1768 Coa: Og det er realistisk at gøre den i aften?
And it is realistic to make it tonight?

1769 Cli: Ja
Yes

1770 Coa: Derhjemme [ved din søster
There_home [at your sister
Home at your sister=

1771 Cli: [fordi der er ikke nogen hjemme.
[because there is not anyone home.

1772 Coa: Der er ikke nogen hjemme?

- There is not anybody home?
- 1773 Cli: Nej
No
- 1774 Coa: Okay
Okay
- 1775 Cli: De er ude at spille golf.
They are out to play golf.
They are out playing golf.
- 1776 Coa: Nu har du den he:r øh risikoanalyse.
Now have you this he:re uh risk_analysis.
Now have you this uh risk analysis.
- 1777 (1.4)
- 1778 Cli: .hhh[hh HHH HHH] ((cough))
- 1779 Coa: [Hvad er så] (.) Hvad er næste skridt?
[What is then] (.) Hvad is next step?
[What is then] (.) Hvad is the next step?
- 1780 Coa: °°Hvad forestiller du dig
°°What imagine you yourself
°°What do you imagine
- 1781 der skal ske nu°°
there must happen now°°
must happen now°°
- 1782 (3.0)
- 1783 Cli: Mm:: Næste skridt det er at jeg er ved at (1.2)
Mm:: Next step that is that I am ADV to (1.2)
Mm:: Next step that is that I am doing (1.2)
- 1784 Jeg kunne selvfølgelig godt sige at øh (0.2)
I could of_course well say that uh (0.2)
I could of course well say that uh (0.2)
- 1785 det begyndte nu.
It began now.
- 1786 (0.6)
- 1787 Coa: m
- 1788 Cli: Men det synes jeg ikke er realistisk.
But that think I not is realistic.
But that I do not think is realistic.
- 1789 Jeg synes det er meget mere (.) realistisk for mig
I think it is much more (.) realisic for me
- 1790 .hh at have min plan klar,
.hh to have my plan ready,
- 1791 Det hele skal planlægges inden jeg går i gang.
The whole must planPASS before I go in progress.
The whole must be planned before I begin.
- 1792 (1.2)

1793 Coa: Okay. Er der mere der skal planlægges (0.2)
 Okay. Is there more there must planPASS (0.2)
 Okay. Is there more there must be planned (0.2)

1794 end risikoanalysen,
 than risk_analysis_the,
 than the risk analysis,

1795 Cli: Jah. Altså når jeg så har [gjort det så]
 Yeah. PRT when I then have [done that then]
 Yeah. Well when I then have done that then

1796 Coa: [Hvad skal så]
 [What must then]

1797 =får jeg jo plan==og så skal jeg så
 =get I ADV plan==and then must I then
 =I get you know plan==and then I must then

1798 Cli: gå ind og så skal jeg have lavet
 go in and then must I have done
 go in and then I must I get done

1799 .hhh så skal jeg have lavet my skema.=
 .hhh then must I have done my schedule.=
 .hhh then I must I get my schedule done.=

1800 =Jeg tror faktisk at jeg vil måske tage
 =I think actually that I will maybe take
 =I think actually that I might will take

1801 den der tiårsplan, Jeg vil prøve a:t (0.6)
 that there ten_year_plan I will try to: (0.6)
 that there ten year plan I will try to: (0.6)

1802 [tage den med mig selv simplethen.
 [do that with my self simply.
 [simply do that with myself.

1803 com: [((hand gestures marking steps in ten year plan))

1804 (0.7)

1805 Coa: Okay. Så [i:
 Okay. So [i:

1806 Cli: [Hvor jeg stiller mig ud for første juni
 [Where I place me out for first June
 [Where I place myself opposite June first

1807 Coa: Ja
 Yes

1808 Cli: Og går baglæns og hvad er der gået forud
 And walk backwards and what is there gone before
 And walk backwards and what has there gone before

1809 (0.9)

1810 Cli: Og se hvad vejer jeg nu hvad vejer jeg nu
 And see what weigh I now what weigh I now
 And see what do I weigh now what do I weigh now

1811 Cli: og hvad har jeg gjort.
and what have I done.

1812 (1.2)

1813 Coa: Okay. Så når du har risikoanalysen,
Okay. So when you have risk_analysis_the,
Okay. So when you have the risk analysis,

1814 Cli: Ja=
Ja=

1815 Coa: =ud af den kommer der en plan.=
=out of that comes there a plan.=
=out of that comes a plan.=

1816 Cli: =Ja
=Yes

1817 Coa: Når du har den plan (0.8)
When you have this plan (0.8)
When you have this plan (0.8)

1818 [så vil du::]: (0.5)
[then will you::]: (0.5)
then you:: will (0.5)

1819 Cli: [Så er jeg i gang]
[Then am I in progress]
Then I am moving

1820 Coa: =ø::h gennemgå tidslinjen.
=u:h through_go time_line_the.
=u:h go through the timeline.

1821 Cli: =J[a
=Y[es

1822 Coa: [for dig selv,
[for your self,
for yourself,

1823 Cli: Ja
Yes

1824 (2.0) ((Coach is writing))

1825 Cli: ((Sniff))

1826 (2.0) ((Coach is writing))

1827 Coa: <Tidslinjen > ((Coach is writing))
<Time_line_the>
The timeline

1828 (1.2)

1829 Cli: Og der kan det godt ske
And there can it well be
And there it can well be

1830 jeg godt vil så have hjælp til,
I well would then have help to,
I would like then to have help to,

1831 (0.8) ((Coach looking at watch))

1832 Cli: Tidslinjen.
Time_line_the.
The timeline.

1833 Coa: °Men hvorfor?°
°But why?°

1834 Cli: .hhhh [(til)]
.hhhh [(to)]

1835 Coa: [Øh skal] vi Skal vi vente (.) med
[Uh must] we Must we wait (.) with
[Uh must] we Must we wait (.) with

1836 Coa: tidslinjen, (0.4) indtil du har planen
time_line_the, (0.4) until you have plan the
the timeline, (0.4) until you have the plan

1837 (0.9)

1838 Coa: Eller skal vi tage [tidslinjen nu?]
Or must we take [time_line_the now?]
Or must we take [the time line now?]

1839 Cli: [Nej for jeg tror]
[No because I think]
[No because I think]

1840 tidslinjen den giver planen.
time_line_the that gives plan_the.
the timeline that gives the plan.

1841 (1.0)

1842 Coa: Giver tidslinjen planen.
Gives time_line_the plan_the.
Does the timeline give the plan.

1843 Cli: Ja
Yes

1844 Coa: Jamen er det den vi skal tage nu så.
Yes_but is it that we must take now then.
Well is it that we must do now then.

1845 (0.9)

1846 Cli: ((sniff))

1847 Coa: Eller skal den komme efter risikoanalysen.
Or must it come after risk_analysis_the.
Or must it be after the risk analysis.

1848 Cli: Den skal komme efter risikoanalysen.
It must come after risk_analysis_the.
It must come after the risk analysis.

1849 Coa: Det vil sige den tager vi i morgen.
That will say that take we tomorrow.
That means that we will do that tomorrow.

- 1850 (1.7)
- 1851 Cli: Ja hvis de- (.) Og det gør vi kun (.)
Yes if tha- (.) And that do we only (.)
Yes if tha- (.) And that we will only do (.)
- 1852 hvis ikke det kommer til at gå ud over
if not it comes to toINF go out over
if it is not on the expense of
- 1853 Jeg vil- har også brug for [at] lave
I will- have also need to [toINF] do
I will- also need to do
- 1854 Coa: [det]
[it]
- 1855 en grundig session den anden vej rundt
a thorough session the other way around
a thorough session the other way around
- 1856 Coa: Nåja det er selvfølgelig omvendt
Oh yes it is of_course opposite
Oh yes it is of course the other way around
- 1857 °i morgen. [Selv]følgelig ja.°
°tomorrow. [Of_]course yes.°
tomorrow. Of course yes.
- 1858 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 1859 (1.2)
- 1860 Coa: Yes=
Yes=
- 1861 Cli: =Så så derfor ø::[:h]
=So so therefore u::[:h]
- 1862 Coa: [Men] men der skal
[But] but there must
- 1863 Cli: [(gennem-)]
[(through-)]
- 1864 [Og og jeg er] ikke klar til
[and and I am] not ready to
and and I am not ready to
- 1865 at lave den lige nu kan jeg mær[ke]
toINF do it just now can I sen[se]
do it just now I sense
- 1866 Coa: [Nej] fint.
[No] fine.
- 1867 Coa: Det er [fint.]
That is [fine.]
- 1868 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 1869 Coa: Sådan skal det være.

So must that be.
That is how it must be.

1870 Cli: Ja
Yes

1871 Coa: Så det e:r tidslinjen.
So it i:s time_line_the.
So it i:s the timeline.

1872 Cli: Ja
Yes

1873 Coa: Den vil du prøve når du har den her plan.
That will you try when you have this here plan.
You will try that when you have this plan.

1874 Cli: Ja
Yes

1875 Coa: Ja. Hvad skal der ellers ske i:
Yes. What must there otherwise happen in:

1876 Cli: .snff ((sniff))

1877 (1.5) ((Client shakes her head))

1878 Coa: Og det er den der skal lave planen også.=
And it is that which must make plan_the also.=
And it is that which must also make the plan.=

1879 Coa: =[>Var] det< sådan,
=[>Was] it< so,

1880 Cli: =[Ja]
=[Yes]

1881 Cli: Ja det er den der laver planen.
Yes it is that which makes plan_the.
Yes it is that which generates the plan.

1882 [Fordi] når jeg så har det, (0.2)
[Because] when I then have that, (0.2)

1883 Coa: [°Okay°]
[°Okay°]

1884 Cli: så beslutter jeg mig for
then decide I me for
then I decide

1885 hvad der er startdatoen.
what there is start_date_the.
what the start date is.

1886 (1.3) ((coach looks up from papers))

1887 Coa: [O↑kay. Så kommer (.) tre.
[O↑kay. Then comes (.) three.
[O↑kay. Then comes (.) three.

1888 com: [((Coach looks down at papers again and begins to
1889 write))

- 1890 Coa: Det er så startdatoen.
That is then start_date_the.
That is then the start date.
- 1891 Cli: Den bliver fastsat (0.4)
It becomes fixed (0.4)
It will be fixed (0.4)
- 1892 når jeg har gjort de to ting.
when I have done those two things.
when I have done those two things.
- 1893 Coa: Okay
Okay
- 1894 (2.8)
- 1895 Cli: Når jeg har lavet en risikoanalyse=
When I have done a risk_analysis=
When I have done a risk analysis
- 1896 .hhh og e- det vil sige at j-
.hhh and e- that will say that I-
.hhh and e- that means that I-
- 1897 jeg kaster mig ikke ud i det
I throw myself not out in it
I do not throw myself in it
- 1898 .hhh jeg bruger simpl- jeg overfører simplethen
.hhh I use simpl- I transfer simply
.hhh I use simpl- I simply transfer
- 1899 alt det jeg kan og ved så rigtig godt
all that I can and know so very well
- 1900 fra projektledelse .hh til det her projekt.
from project_management .hh to this here project.
from project management .hh to this project.
- 1901 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 1902 Cli: Hvor jeg ved det he:le handler om planlægning.
Where I know it a:ll concerned with planning.
Where I know it is a:ll about planning.
- 1903 (0.7)
- 1904 Cli: Fordi ellers så bliver
Because otherwise then becomes
Because otherwise casual.
- 1905 (0.6)
- 1906 gennemførselen for tilfældig.
exercusion_the for casual.
the exercusion then becomes too
- 1907 Cli: Og det jeg vil nu det er at have planlagt.
And what I will now it is to have planned.
And what I want to do now is to have it planned.
- 1908 (1.8) ((Coach is nodding))

- 1909 Cli: Og når jeg har planlagt .hh så kan jeg jo bare
And when I have planned .hh then can I ADV just
And when I have planned .hh then I can just you know
- 1910 slå op og kigge efter
look up and look after
look it up and check
- 1911 (.)
- 1912 Cli: Hvad var det nu lige.
What was it now just.
What was it now.
- 1913 Coa: °Okay°
°Okay°
- 1914 Cli: Så kan jeg jo se det om jeg er på planen
Then can I ADV see it whether I am on plan_the
Then can I see it you know whether I am on track
- 1915 eller bagud for planen eller
or behind for plan_the or
or behind the plan or
- 1916 Coa: Ja=
Yes=
- 1917 Cli: =hvad jeg er. Jeg har et måleredskab
=what I am. I have a measuring_tool
=what I am. I have a measuring tool
- 1918 hele vejen igennem.
all way_the through.
all the way through.
- 1919 Coa: °°'a °°
°°'es°°
- 1920 (1.1) ((coach nods and looks in papers))
- 1921 Coa: Så: ø::h hvornår i:: aften er det
So e::h when to::night is it
So e::h when to::night is it
- 1922 du går i gang med den her °risikoanalyse°
you go in with this here °risk_analysis°
you begin this °risk_analysis°
- 1923 Cli: Vi er færdige her klokken seks
We are done here clock_the six
We are done here at six o'clock
- 1924 så skal vi have en øl
then must we have a beer
then we will have a beer
- 1925 Cli: .hh Det bliver klokken otte.
.hh It becomes clock eight.
.hh It will be eight o'clock
- 1926 Coa: Ved ottetiden
By eight_time_the

- Eightish
- 1927 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 1928 (1.4)
- 1929 Cli: Så sætter jeg mig lige
Then set I me just
Then I will sit down
- 1930 og laver den der risikoanalyse.
and do that there risk_analysis.
and do that risk analysis
- 1931 Coa: °Ja°
°Yes°
- 1932 (0.9)
- 1933 Cli: Og måske tidslinjen.
And maybe time_line_the
And maybe the timeline
- 1934 (0.8)
- 1935 Cli: Risiskoanalysen laver jeg i hvert fald i aften
Risk_analyses_the make I in any case to night
The risk analysis I will make tonight in any case
- 1936 Coa: Hvordan vil jeg vide at æ:h (.)
How will I know that e:h (.)
- 1937 Cli: .mt .hh Jeg har den med i morgen.
.mt .hh I have it with in tomorrow
.mt .hh I have it with me tomorrow
- 1938 Coa: Du har den ↑med i morgen.
You have it ↑with in tomorrow.
You have it with you tomorrow.
- 1939 Cli: Jeg har den med i morgen så du kan se den.
I have it with in tomorrow so you can see it.
I have it with me tomorrow then you can see it
- 1940 Coa: °Oka[y]
- 1941 Cli: [.hJa.] Det har jeg.
[.hyes] That have I
[.hyes] I have
- 1942 (1.8) ((coach writes))
- 1943 Cli: .hh Og jeg ved også allerede nu [en lille ting
.hh And I know also already now [a little thing
.hh And I also know a little thing now
- 1944 com: [((client raises
1945 her right hand and points over her left shoulder))
- 1946 Cli: som både ham deromme >og mig vi sagde<
which both him back_there >and me we said<
which both him back there and me we said

- 1947 .hhh at den først juni næste år
.hhh that the first June next year
.hhh that the first of June next year
- 1948 der mødes vi to simplethen.
there meet we two simply
there the two of us will simply have to meet
- 1949 (0.5)
- 1950 Coa: haha↑ha::
- 1951 Cli: Det gør vi=
That do we=
We will do that
- 1952 Coa: =Vi to hvem er det.
=We two who is that.
=the two of us who is that.
- 1953 Cli: Det er dig og mig.
That is you and me.
- 1954 Coa: Yes:
Yes:
- 1955 Cli: Ja. Det gør vi. Vi mødes simplethen
Yes. That do we. We meet simply
Yes. We will do that. We simply have to meet
- 1956 den første juni n .hh så du kan se det.
the first June n .hh so you can see it.
the first of June n .hh so you can see it.
- 1957 (0.8)
- 1958 Coa: Okay
Okay
- 1959 Cli: Og det er .hhh[h og je-]
And that is .hhh[h and I-]
- 1960 Coa: [Hvordan fejrer] v-
[How celebrate] w-
How do we celebrate
- 1961 Hvordan fejrer vi det?
How celebrate we that?
How do we celebrate that?
- 1962 Cli: Det fejrer vi ved a:t en grillaften
That celebrate we by tha:t a barbecue_night
That we will celebrate with a barbecue
- 1963 eller hvad det nu er og du kommer med din kone
or what it now is and you come with your wife
or whatever and you will come with your wife
- 1964 og så finder vi ud- Og du skal ned
and then find we ud- And you must down
and then we will find out- And you must come down
- 1965 at se hvordan jeg bor og .hhh de omgivelser

- to see how I live and .hhh the surroundings
and see how I live and .hhh the surroundings
- 1966 jeg er i s: Da:: første juni
I am in s: Then:: first June
I am in s: Then the first of June
- 1967 der skal du simplethen ned til os.
there must you simply down to us.
you simply have to come and visit us.
- 1968 (2.0)
- 1969 com: ((During silence coach puts his pen down and reaches
1970 over, shakes hand with client))
- 1971 Coa: Det gør vi.
That do we.
That we will do.
- 1972 Cli: Ja. Det er simplethen nødvendigt=
Yes. That is simply necessary=
Yes. That is simply a must
- 1973 Coa: =Første juni=
=First June=
=First of June=
- 1974 Cli: =Ja=
=Yes=
- 1975 Coa: =totusind°ogot[te°]
=two_thosand_and_eight
=two thosand and eight
- 1976 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 1977 (1.2)
- 1978 Cli: Det er sådan lidt et [(.)] et anker der.
That is like_that little a [(.)] an anchor there.
- 1979 com: [((Client points into
1980 middle distance with pen))
- 1981 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 1982 Cli: Det det Det vil jeg (.) Det vil jeg
That that That will I (.) That will I
That that That I will (.) That I will
- 1983 glæde mig til. Det vil simplethen
joy me to. That will simply
look forward to. That will simply
- 1984 være beviset på at det er nået.
be proof_the on that it is reached.
be the proof that it is reached.
- 1985 Coa: Ja.
Yes.

- 1986 (.)
- 1987 Coa: Men hvordan vil du så .h[hhh]h ø::h
But how will you then .h[hhh]h ø::h
But then how will you know .h[hhh]h ø::h
- 1988 Cli: [.fnt] ((Sniff))
- 1989 Coa: Det vil jeg selvfølgelig meget gerne være med til.
That will I of_course very gladly be with to
Of course I would very much like to be a part of
that
- 1990 Coa: [Jeg] vil meget gerne fejre det,=
[I] will very gladly celebrate it,=
[I] would very much like to celebrate it=
- 1991 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 1992 Cli: =J[a
=Y[es
- 1993 Coa: [sammen med dig.
[together with you.
[with you.
- 1994 Coa: Men hvordan vil du vide når du står der
But how will you know when you stand there
- 1995 første juni totusindogotte.
first June two_thousand_and_eight
the first of June two thousand and eight
- 1996 .hh at det her har du gjort for dig ↑selv?
.hh that this here have you done for your ↑self?
.hh that you have done this for your↑self?
- 1997 Cli: (.hhh[h)
- 1998 Coa: [Det har du ikke gjort
[It have you not done
[You have not done it
- 1999 fordi du skal mødes med mig.=
because you must meet with me.=
because you are going to meet me.=
- 2000 Cli: ='ej. Jamen det er jeg slet ikke i tvivl om.
=No. Yes_but that am I ADV not in doubt about.
=No. Well that I am not the least in doubt about.
- 2001 (0.6)
- 2002 Cli: Altså ø::[h
PRT e::[h
Well e::h
- 2003 Coa: [Okay
[Okay
- 2004 Cli: Je- jeg vil selvfølgelig gerne gøre det
I- I will of_course gladly do it
I will of couse gladly do it

- that I go- I would like to lose weight.
- 2023 [og] jeg har sagt det mange gang men .hhh men så
[and] I have said it many times but .hhh but then
- 2024 Coa: [m]
- 2025 Cli: har de tænkt hvorfor gør hun det så ikke.
have they thought why does she it then not.
they have thought why does she not do it then.
- 2026 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 2027 (0.7)
- 2028 Cli: Altså et eller andet sted kan jeg godt se dem.
PRT one or other place can I well see them.
Well somehow I can see them
- 2029 (0.5)
- 2030 Cli: Tanken deromme [Ja]men (.) herregud (.)
Thought_the there_back [Yes]but (.) lord_god (.)
The thought back there Well (.) my god (.)
- 2031 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 2032 Cli: hvorfor gør hun det så ikke=
why does she is then not=
why does she not do it then
- 2033 Coa: =°Ja ja°
=°Yes yes°
- 2034 Cli: Så den eneste der ved hva- hvad den lille djævel
So the only that knows wha- what that little devil
Sp the only one who knows wha- what that little
devil
- 2035 har betydet det er dig=
has meant that is you=
has meant that is you
- 2036 Coa: =°Ja°=
=°Yes°=
- 2037 Cli: =Så derfor er du nødt til at være der.
=So therefore are you needing to toINF be there.
=so therefore you have to be there
- 2038 (0.6)
- 2039 com: ((looks at client and smiles))
- 2040 Coa: Det er jeg stolt af.=
That am I proud of.=
I am proud of that.
- 2041 Cli: =m
- 2042 Coa: Må jeg sige.
Must I say

- I must say.
- 2043 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 2044 Coa: Så det glæder jeg mig [rig]tig meget til.
So that joys I me [real]ly very to
So I am really looking forward to that
- 2045 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 2046 (2.4)
- 2047 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 2048 (1.8)
- 2049 Coa: .mt >Er der< Er der mere vi mangler nu
.mt >Are there< Is there more we miss now
.mt >Are there< Is there more we need now
- 2050 for at °æ:::h° for at du kan komme videre,
for that °e:::h° for that you can come further,
in order °e:::h° in order for you to move on
- 2051 Cli: Nej. Jeg føler mig helt vildt afslappet lige nu.
No. I feel me whole wild relaxed right now.
No. I feel extremely relaxed right now
- 2052 Cli: Altså=
PRT
Well
- 2053 Coa: =Gør du det?
=Do you that?
You do?
- 2054 Cli: Ja. Jeg føler mig helt vildt
Yes I feel me completely wild
Yes I feel extremely
- 2055 æh (.) fortrøstningsfuld.
eh (.) hopeful/assured.
eh (.) assured.
- 2056 Coa: °°Okay°°
- 2057 Cli: Altså
PRT
Well
- 2058 (.)
- 2059 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 2060 (0.5)
- 2061 Cli: Altså
PRT
Well

- 2062 (0.8)
- 2063 Cli: Det skal sgu nok gå. Altså=
It must God damn enough go. PRT
It gonna work out all right, God damn. Well
- 2064 Coa: =Er der flere ressourcer som du jo
=Are there more resources which you you know
Are there more resources which you, you know
- 2065 erfaringsmæssig æh måske har prøvet .hh ikke lige
experience_wise eh maybe have tried .hh not just
in experience eh maybe have tried .hh not just
- 2066 i forhold til at tabe dig men så i forhold
in relation to toINF lose you but then in relation
in relation to losing weight but then in relation
- 2067 til andre mål som du er sikker på
to other goals which you are sure on
to other goals which you are certain
- 2068 du også skal have med her.
you also must have with here.
you must also use here.
- 2069 (1.5)
- 2070 Cli: Æ- Je- Jeg synes i den grad
E- I- I think in that degree
- 2071 det her det er mit projekt.
this here this is my project.
- 2072 Coa: Det er dit projekt.
This is your project.
- 2073 Cli: Ja=
Yes=
- 2074 Coa: =Okay
- 2075 Cli: Så jeg vil ikke trække på andre.
So I will not draw on others.
So I will not draw on other peoples' help
- 2076 Cli: Jeg [kan]
I [can]
- 2077 Coa: [Nej] NEJ men derfor kan der
[No] NO but therefore can there
[No] NO but therefore there can
- 2078 jo godt være noget i [dig] selv
ADV good be something in [you] self
you know be something within yourself
- 2079 Cli: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 2080 Cli: i mig selv som (er [brugt])
in my self which (is [used])
within myself which is used

2081 Coa: [(Så)]der er altså nogle
 [(So)]there are PRT some
 [(So)]there are in fact some

2082 ressourser i dig selv (.) æ:h som du også
 resources in yourself (.) e:h which you also
 resources within you (.) e:h which you also

2083 skal huske at have med.=
 must remember to have with.=
 must remember use.=

2084 Cli: =Ja
 =Yes

2085 (2.0)

2086 Coa: Udover planen. Altså planen siger du
 Apart plan the. PRT plan the say you
 Apart from the plan. That is you say the plan

2087 giver en [god base]=
 gives a [god base]
 gives a good foundation

2088 Cli: [Kh krn]

2089 Cli: =Ja
 =Yes

2090 Coa: Ø:h den giver startdato o:g alt det der
 U:h it gives start_date a:nd all that there
 U:h it gives start date a:nd all that

2091 (0.3)

2092 Cli: Den giver mulighed for at følge fuldstændig=
 It gives possibility to toINF follow completely=
 It gives possibility to follow completely=

2093 Coa: =Ja. Den giver
 =Yes. It gives
 =Yes. It gives

2094 Cli: [mulighed for at følge fuldstændig]
 [possibility to toINF follow completely]
 possibility to follow completely

2095 [Og () hvor den] er=
 [And () where it] is=

2096 Coa: =Ja
 =Ja

2097 (1.4)

2098 Coa: Så er det okay eller er der noget andet
 So it is okay or is there something else
 So it is okay or is there something else

2099 i dig selv som du også skal huske
 in you self which you also must remember
 inside you which you also ju:st must remember

- if that is what you have inclination to,=
if that is what you want to,=
- 2120 Cli: =Nej fordi det vil jeg ikke have.
=No because it will I not have.
=No because I do not want that.
- 2121 Fordi det vil jeg have det rig- Så vil jeg
Because it will I have it real- Then will I
Because I will have it real- Then I will
- 2122 føle at:: Altså det er ikke- Det skal ikke være
feel that:: PRT it is not- It must not be
feel that::Well it is not- It must not be
- 2123 det der med at så starter jeg først
that there with that then begin I
like that that then I first begin
- 2124 derhenn- Det er startet nu.
first ther- It is begun now.
ther- It has begun now.
- 2125 Coa: Okay=
Okay=
- 2126 Cli: Selvom planen først
Even_though plan_the first
Even though the plan first
- 2127 går i gang (.) den dag.
goes in progress (.) that day.
begins (.) that day.
- 2128 Coa: Okay.
Okay.
- 2129 Cli: Så er det selvfølgelig ikke det
Then is it of_course not that
Then it is of_course not that
- 2130 jeg går hjem at gøre.
I go home to do.
I will go home to do.
- 2131 Cli: .hhh Jeg vil simplethen ø::h phøhh (1.0) Jamen
.hhh I will simply u::h puhhh (1.0) Yes_but
.hhh I simply want to u::h puhhh (1.0) Well
- 2132 hvad vil jeg. Jeg vil simplethen bare .hhh sætte mig
what will I. I will simply just .hhh sit me
what do I want. I will simply just .hhh sit down
- 2133 og lave ingen↑ting.
and do no↑thing.
- 2134 (0.2)
- 2135 Coa: Du vil sætte dig og lave ingenting [()
You will sit you and do nothing [()
You will sit down and do nothing
- 2136 Cli: [Ja

[Yes

- 2137 Cli: Jeg vil sætte mig og tænde for fjernsynet
I will sit me and turn on TV_the
I will sit down and turn on the TV
- 2138 og være ligeglad med om det er noget pis
and be indifferent with whether it is some shit
and not care whether it is some shit
- 2139 der er i fjernsy-. >Jeg skal ba-<=
there is in televisi- >I must ju-<=
there is in TV-. >I must ju-<=
- 2140 =Eller hvis solen skinner så sætter jeg mig ud
=Or if sun_the shines then sit I me out
=Or if the sun shines then I will sit out
- 2141 på terrassen med et glas rødvin.
on terrace_the with a glas red_wine.
on the terrace with a glas of red wine.
- 2142 Coa: °Ja. Okay.°
°Yes. Okay.°
- 2143 Cli: Jeg vil simplethen
I will simply
- 2144 Coa: Hvordan kan du ø:h (0.8) Hvordan kan du så vide
How can you u:h (0.8) How can you then know
How can you u:h (0.8) How can you know then
- 2145 at du nu glæder dig over at du
that you now joy yourself over that you
that you now are happy about that you
- 2146 har nået det første skridt (in den her plan)
have reached the first step (in this here plan)
have reached the first step (in this plan)
- 2147 (0.6)
- 2148 Coa: Hvis du sidder og ser fjernsyn
If you sit and watch TV
If you watch TV
- 2149 ↑eller du sidder ude på terrassen,
↑or you sit out on terrace_the,
↑or you sit on terrace_the,
- 2150 Cli: J:amen ø::h .snff (1.0) (unk) ved simplethen bare
Y:es_but u::h .snff (1.0) (unk) by simply just
- 2151 at lade mig s:: og g- gøre noget som jeg er
to let me s:: and d- do something which I am
letting me s:: and d- do something which I do not
- 2152 ligeglad hvad andre tænker om.
indifferent what others think about.
care what others think about.
- 2153 Coa: Okay
Okay

2154 Cli: Og så simplethen bare .hh hvis det er det
 And then simply just .hh if it is that
 And then simply just .hh if it is what

2155 jeg har lyst til så er det det
 I have inclination to then is that what
 I want to do then that is what

2156 jeg har lyst til og så gør jeg det.
 I have inclination to and then do I it.
 I want to do and then I do it.

2157 (.)

2158 Cli: Hvis [(min () ikke)]
 If [my () not]]

2159 Coa: [Kan kan du sådan] stille dig ud (.)
 [Can can you so] place yourself out (.)

2160 Forestille dig (0.2) at du sidder
 Picture yourself (0.2) that you sit
 Picture (0.2) that you are sitting

2161 derhjemme på terrassen.
 there_home on terrace_the.
 there_on the terrace.

2162 Cli: =Ja
 =Yes

2163 Coa: eller foran fjernsynet hvad nu du vælger
 or in_front TV_the what now you choose
 or in front of the TV what now you choose

2164 af de to ting.
 of the two things.

2165 (0.2)

2166 Coa: Kan du så trække dig ud og stille dig
 Can you then pull yourself out and place yourself
 Can you pull yourself out then and place yourself

2167 hen sådan på siden af og se dig selv
 at ADV on side_the of and see your self
 at like that on side and see yourself

2168 sidde der (.) ude på terrassen (.) eller se
 sit there (.) out on terrace_the (.) or see
 sitting there (.)on the terrace (.) or see

2169 dig selv sidde der i en sofa eller hvad du nu gør=
 your self sit there in a sofa or what you nw do=
 yourself sitting there in a sofa or whatever you do=

2170 Cli: =Ja
 =Yes

2171 Coa: og se fjernsyn.
 And watch television.

2172 (0.8)

- 2173 Cli: Det kan jeg godt ja.
That can I well yes.
I can do that yes.
- 2174 Coa: Prøv så at beskrive det.
Try then to describe it.
- 2175 (0.8)
- 2176 Coa: Udefra at kigge ind på Hannesofie
Out_from to look in on Hannesofie
From the outside to look at Hannesofie
- 2177 og så sige (.) hvordan kan jeg se
and then say (.) how can I see
and then say (.) how can I see
- 2178 at hun er tilfreds (.) og at hun har nået
that she is satisfied (.) and that she has reached
that she is satisfied (.) and that she has done
- 2179 hendes øh risikoanalyse.
her uh risk_analysis.
her uh risk analysis.
- 2180 (0.4)
- 2181 Og er klar til at aflevere den til Jes.
And is ready to toINF hand it to Jes.
And is ready to hand it to Jes.
- 2182 com: ((Jes is the name of coach))
- 2183
- 2184 Cli: Jamen hun sidder simplethen bare
Yes_but she sits simply just
Well she is simply just sitting
- 2185 og ser lettet ud.
and looks relieved out.
looking relieved.
- 2186 Altså hun sidder simplethen bare
PRT she sits simply just
That is she is simply just sitting
- 2187 og ser [afslappet ud.
and looks [relaxed out.
and looking relaxed.
- 2188 com: [((Client lifts her hands and lets them
2189 fall on her note in her lap at 'ud'))
- 2190 Coa: Okay
Okay
- 2191 Cli: Farer ikke rundt og laver alt muligt.=
Rushs not around and do all kinds.=
Not rushing around doing all kinds of things.=
- 2192 =↑Sidder bare lige så stille og afslappet?
=↑Sits just ADV so quiet and relaxed?
=Is just sitting quietly and relaxed?
- 2193 (0.4)

- 2194 Coa: Okay
Okay
- 2195 Cli: Skal ikke nå noget.
Must not reach anything.
Must not do anything.
- 2196 (0.5)
- 2197 Cli: [Har] nået det (.) hun skulle i dag.
[Has] reached what (.) she should today.
[Has] done what (.) she should today.
- 2198 Coa: [Okay]
[Okay]
- 2199 Coa ()
- 2200 Cli: Det er simplethen [
That is simply
- 2201 com: [Client stretches out her arm
- 2202 Coa: Så hvad er forskellen (.) øh på nu
So what is difference_the (.) uh on now
So what is the difference (.) uh between now
- 2203 og så det du har set tidligere?
and then what you have seen earlier?
- 2204 (0.5)
- 2205 Coa: Hvis du (sådan [siddet]
If you (ADV [sitting]
If you (like that [sitting]
- 2206 Cli: [Det er at jeg har] måske nok
[That is that I have] maybe well
That is that I might have been
- 2207 siddet der men jeg har siddet der
sat there but I have sat there
sitting there but I have been sitting there
- 2208 med dårlig samvittighed.
with bad conscience.
with a guilty conscience.
- 2209 (1.0)
- 2210 Coa: fOkay
fOkay
- 2211 Cli: Fordi der var et eller andet.
Because there was one or other.
Because there was something.
- 2212 Coa: Så der er ro på nu?
So there is peace on now?
So there is peace now?
- 2213 Cli: Ja fordi nu har jeg lovet mig selv
Yes because now have I promised my self

Yes because now I have promised myself

2214 Cli: at det var det eneste jeg behøvede at gøre i dag.
that it was the only I needed to do today.
that it was the only thing I needed to do today.

2215 Coa: Ja
Yes

2216 (1.0)

2217 Cli: Og jeg vil ikke (.) Jeg har lovet
And I will not (.) I have promised
And I will not (.) I have promised

2218 at smide alt andet væk
to throw all other away
to throw everything else away

2219 [så] jeg ikke skal sidde med dårlig samvittighed=
[so] I not must sit with bad conscience=
[so] I will not have a guilty conscience=

2220 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]

2221 Cli: =Og jeg kunne jo også og alt det [der.]
=And I could ADV also and all that [there.]
=And I should also and all that stuff you know.

2222 Coa: [m]

2223 (0.7)

2224 Cli: ((sniff))

2225 Coa: [Så: når du står og kigger hen på hende
[So: when you stand and look at on her
[So: when you are standing there looking at her

2226 com: [((Coach looking and pointing to the imaginary
2227 client))

2228 og siger (.) nu har hun ikke dårlige samvittighed
and say (.) now has she not guilty conscience
saying (.) now she has not got a guilty conscience

2229 hun har den her ro=hun skal ikke
she has this here peace=she must not
she has this peace=she does not have to

2230 Coa: nå noget (.) hvem er hun så?
reach anything (.) who is she then?
do anything, (.)who is she then?

2231 (.)

2232 Coa: [Hva- hvad kan du se på hende?
[Wha- what can you see on her?

2233 com: [((coach turns his head look at client))

2234 (.)

- 2235 Coa: (hvem er hun så?)
(Who is she then?)
- 2236 (2.5)
- 2237 Cli: Jamen: ø::h
Yes_but: u::h
Well: u::h
- 2238 (1.0)
- 2239 Cli: .mt
- 2240 (1.8)
- 2241 Cli: Jamen hun er jo mig altså, .hhh
Yes_but she is ADV me PRT, .hhh
Well she is you know me that is, .hhh
- 2242 Coa: Hun er dig?
She is you?
- 2243 Cli: Ja som som sidder og ↑glæder sig
Yes who who sits and ↑joys herself
Yes who who is ↑happy
- 2244 og næsten ikke kan vente til første juni næste år.
and almost not can wait to first June next year.
and almost cannot wait for the first of June next year.
- 2245 Coa: O(h) ↑kay
O(h) ↑kay
- 2246 Cli: Altså
PRT
Well
- 2247 (1.5)
- 2248 Cli: Kan næsten ikke ↑vente ↓på at få lavet
Can almost not ↑wait ↓on to get done
Can almost not ↑wait ↓to get
- 2249 den der plan fordi æ:h jeg kommer jo ikke
that there plan because e:h I come ADV not
that plan done because e:h I will not
- 2250 in gang=Jeg har jo sagt at jeg ikke går
i progress=I have ADV said that I not go
start you know=I have said you know that I will not
- 2251 i gang før planen er færdig=
in progress before plan_the is done=
begin before the plan is done=
- 2252 Coa: =Ja
=Yes
- 2253 (0.4)
- 2254 Cli: Og hvis ikke den ikke er færdig
And if not it not is finished
And if not it is not finished

- 2255 kan jeg ikke komme i gang og jeg kan
can I not come i progres and I can
I cannot begin and I can
- 2256 næsten ikke vente med at komme i gang.
almost not wait with to come in progress.
hardly wait to begin.
- 2257 Coa: Okay
Okay
- 2258 Cli: Ergo må jeg (.) se at komme i gang
Ergo must I (.) see to come in progress
Ergo I must (.) get working
- 2259 med at få lavet den der ↑plan.
with to get done that there ↑plan
getting that there ↑plan done
- 2260 (0.8)
- 2261 Coa: Så [hvornår]
So [when]
- 2262 Cli: [Jeg vil sige] det er
[I will say] that is
- 2263 den en[este risiko i aften] det er at jeg kunne
the on[ly risk this evening] that is that I could
- 2264 Coa: [Så hvornår er det]
[So when is that]
- 2265 Cli: finde på at fortsætte med at lave planen
think of toINF continue with to make plan_the
think of continuing making the plan
- 2266 også.=At jeg slet ikke kan lade være.
also.=That I ADV not can let be.
also.=That I cannot help myself.
- 2267 (0.5)
- 2268 Cli: [Det er] en risiko men det synes jeg er en
[That is] a risk but it think I is a
[That is] a risk but I think it is a
- 2269 Coa: [Og og]
[And and]
- 2270 positiv risik[o
positive ris[k
- 2271 Coa: [Og hvad ville der ske ved det.
[And what would there happen by that.
[And what would happen by that.
- 2272 Cli: >Netop ikke. < Det er en positiv risiko.
>Exactly not.< It is a positive risk.
- 2273 Det ville da kun være
It would PRT only be

It would only be

2274 at [så er jeg foran planen.]
that [then am I ahead plan_the.]
that I then am ahead of the plan right.

2275 Coa: [Hvis du nu vælger at]
[If you now choose to]

2276 fejre det *he::r så du- Så det
celebrate this *he::re so you- So it

2277 er [(0.3) i gåseøjne kun risikoanalysen
is [(0.3) in quotation_marks only risk_analysis_the
is (0.3) in quotation marks only the risk analysis

2278 com: [((coach makes quotation marks with hands))

2279 Coa: der er kommet ud af det,
which is come out of it,
which has come out of it,

2280 Cli: Ja
Yes

2281 Coa: Hvornår vil planen så:: ø:h
When will plan_the then:: u:h
When will plan_the then:: u:h

2282 (0.3)

2283 Cli: Hvornår vil planen så være færdig.
When will plan_the then be finish.
When will the plan be finished then.

2284 (0.5)

2285 Cli: Krm hh khm:

2286 (4.1)

2287 Cli: Årh::: .snfft ((Sniff))

2288 (2.4)

2289 Cli: Ja:: det ville nok være lidt smart
Yes:: it would enough be little smart
Yes: it would probably be a little smart

2290 hvis jeg fik startet på den
if I got started on it
if I got started with it

2291 med det samme o' fordi.sfft((sniff))
with the same a' because .sfft
instantly a' because .sfft

2292 (5.8)

2293 Cli: Nej det bliver en frygtelig weekend jeg ser frem til
No it becomes a terrible weekend I look forward to
No it will be a terrible weekend I look forward to

2294 Coa: Okay

2295 (4.0)

2296 Cli: Og når jeg faktisk skal på arbejde
And when I must actually on work
And when I must actually work

2297 på anden pinsedag (så det er ())
on second pentecost_day (so that is ())
on the day after pentecost (so that is ())

2298 (6.0)

2299 Cli: .hhh °Jamen° hh Jeg tror jeg er nødt til og de-
.hhh °yes_but° hh I think I am needing to and de-
.hhh °yes_but° hh I think I have to and de-

2300 Det er selvfølgelig en træls måde (at være bund-)
That is of_course an akward way (to be boun-)
That is of course an akward way (to be boun-)

2301 men jeg tror jeg er nødt til at sige
but I think I am needing to toINF say
but I think I have to say

2302 at den skal være .hhh Planen skal være kl- (4.7)
that it must be .hhh Plan_the must be rea- (4.7)

2303 Planen skal være klar ikke til pinse hvad
Plan_the must be ready not at Pentecost what
The plan must be ready not at Pentecost what

2304 hedder det nu den den weekenden efter Pinsen.
calls it now that that weekend after Pentecost.
is it called now that that weekend after Pentecost

2305 (.)

2306 Cli: I den weekend skal den blive færdig.
In that weenend must it be done.
By that weenend it must be done.

2307 Coa: Weekendten efter Pinsen.
Weekend_the after Pentecost
The weekend after Pentecost

2308 Cli: Ja
Yes

2309 (0.7)

2310 Coa: Okay

2311 (1.0) ((coach is writing))

2312 Cli: .hh Den skal gøres færdig æ::h
.hh It must doPASS finish e::h
.hh It must be finished e::h

2313 søndagen efter Pinsen om formiddagen.
Sunday_the after Pentecost in morning_the
the Sunday the after Pentecost in the morning

- 2314 Coa: ()
- 2315 Cli: [.sfft M's min mand er til fodbold]
[.sfft W'le my husband is to football]
[.sfft W'le my husband is at football]
- 2316 Coa: [()]
- 2317 ()
- 2318 Cli: [Det er planen.]
[that is plan_the]
[That is the plan]
- 2319 Coa: [Hvem holder han med.]
[Who supports he with.]
[Who is he supporting.]
- 2320 (0.3)
- 2321 Coa: Hvem holder han med,
Who supports he with,
Who is he supporting,
- 2322 Cli: Han spiller foldbold.=
He plays football.=
- 2323 Coa: =Nå han spi(h)ller fodbhh.
=Oh he pl(h)ays footbhh.
- 2324 (1.1)
- 2325 Coa: Søndag efter pinsen?
Sunday after Pentecost?
- 2326 Cli: Ja=
Yes=
- 2327 Coa: =og det skulle være om formiddagen=
=and that should be in morning_the=
=and that should be the morning=
- 2328 Cli: =Ja. Der laver jeg planen.
=Yes. There make I plan_the.
=Yes. There I will make the plan.
- 2329 (0.8)
- 2330 Cli: Hvis ikke jeg har gjort det inden
If not I have done it before
If I have not done it before
- 2331 så laver jeg den der.
then make I it there.
then I will make it at that time.
- 2332 (4.2) ((coach is writing))
- 2333 Cli: Men som sagt det kan være jeg ikke kan vente.
But as said it can be I not can wait.
But as I said it could be I cannot wait.
- 2334 (1.5) ((coach nods))

- 2335 Cli: Det kunne godt gå hen at ske,=
It could well go to toINF happen,=
It could well happen,=
- 2336 =og sådan nogle ting de må godt komme ind.
=and such some things they can well come in.
=and such things they may gladly come in.
- 2337 (0.8)
- 2338 Cli: Det betyder [ba:re at (.) [pla]nen
It means [just
- 2339 com: [((Client stretches her right arm out to
2340 her right side and indicate 'moving forward' by
2341 moving her hand forward in intervals in front of
2342 her]))
- 2343 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 2344 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 2345 Cli: [kan komme i gang.]
[can come in progress.]
[can get started.]
- 2346 Coa: [Hvor lang tid] Hvor lang tid vil det
[How long time] How long time will it
[How long How long will it
- 2347 tage dig at lave den [(tror du)]
take you to do it [(think you)]
take you to do it [(do you think)]
- 2348 Cli: [Det vil nok] tage sådan
[It will probably] take such
- 2349 en formiddag.
a morning.
- 2350 Coa: En formiddag
A morning
- 2351 Cli: Jeg vil simplethen sætte mig og
I will simply set myself and
- 2352 Det skal tages seriøst det her.
It must take_PASS seriously this here.
It must be taken seriously this thing.
- 2353 °°Okay°°
°°Okay°°
- 2354 Cli: Det er ikke bare et stykke papir.
It is not just a piece paper.
It is not just a piece of paper.
- 2355 Det skal laves ordentligt.
It must do_PASS properly.
It must be done properly.
- 2356 (1.4) ((coach nods))

2357 Cli: Det skal ligge på computeren så jeg kan finde det.
It must lie in computer_the so I can find it.
It must be on the computer so I can find it.

2358 (0.6)

2359 Cli: [Ellers] så kan jeg ikke finde det.
[Otherwise] so can I not find it.
[Otherwise] I cannot not find it.

2360 Coa: [()]

2361 (0.6)

2362 Cli: Ting bliver væk for mig.
Things becomes lost to me.
I lose things.

2363 (0.8) ((coach nods))

2364 Cli: [Så
[So

2365 com: [((Client shrugs (her shoulder)))]

2366 Coa: Hvor- [hvordan vil du vil]de
Ho- [how will you know]

2367 Cli: [Når det ligger der]
[When it lies there]

2368 Coa: at du er tilfreds med din plan,
that you are satisfied with your plan,

2369 (0.5)

2370 Coa: *ø::h når du er færdig med [planen.]
*u::h when you are done with [plan_the.]
*u::h when you are done with the plan.

2371 Cli: [.hhh]Khrkhm

2372 Coa: Sådan at du kan stille dig op og sige
ADV that you can stand yourself up and say
So that you can stand yourself up and say

2373 jeg er tilfreds med den her,
I am satisfied with this here,

2374 Den her den bærer mig i mål.
This here it carries me in goal.
This here it carries me to the finish line.

2375 Coa: Fordi du har jo hele tiden sagt
Because you have ADV whole time_the said
Because you have you know said the whole time that

2376 at planen er det værktøj
that plan the is the tool
the plan is the tool

2377 der bærer dig i mål.
that carries you in goal.

that carries you across the finish line.

2378 (0.7) ((Client nodding))

2379 Coa: Hvordan vil du vide at den her plan
How will you know that this here plan
How will you know that this plan

2380 den er god nok til at kan bære (1.2)
it is good enough to toINF can carry (1.2)
it is good enough to carry (1.2)

2381 Coa: °dig i mål uden° (.) [()]
°you in goal without° (.) [()]
°you across the finish line° without (.)

2382 Cli: [Ø::h] Det ved jeg
[U::h] That know I
[U::h] I simply

2383 simpelthen når jeg kan se at den står
simply when I can see that it stands
know that when I can see that it is written

2384 med nogle milepæle og nogle .hh Altså hvor jeg
with some milestones and some .hh PRT where I
with some milestones and some .hh That is where I

2385 har lavet mine: livslinjer og hvor jeg så
have made my: life_lines and where I then
have made my: life lines and where I then

2386 p- på den der et års plan .hh .sfft
o- on that there one year plan .hh .sfft
o- on that one year plan .hh .sfft

2387 Og hvor jeg har skrevet på hvordan jeg vil fej-
And where I have written on how I will cel-
And where I have written in how I will cel-

2388 >Det vil sige< at jeg får de der
>That will say< that I get those there
>Which means that< I will get those

2389 flagdage undervejs:
flag_days during:
milestone days as I go along

2390 (0.9)

2391 Cli: Så: [Ø:h] Når jeg k- Når jeg h- (.) været inde=
So: [u:h] When I c- When I h- (.) been in=
So: [u:h] When I c- When I h- (.) been

2392 Coa: [Okay]
[Okay]

2393 Cli: =at lave den og lavet min plan og kan se
=to do that and done my plan and can see
=to do that and done my plan and can see

2394 .hhh at der står at jeg skal fejre noget
.hhh that it says that I must celebrate something

2395 den og den og den dato så ø::h (0.5)
 that and that and that date then u::h (0.5)
 that and that and that date then u::h (0.5)

2396 så er den færdig.
 then is it done.
 then it is done.

2397 (0.4)

2398 Coa: °°Okay°°
 °°Okay°°

2399 (0.2)

2400 Cli: Den er ikke endelig.
 It is not final.

2401 Coa: Nej=
 No=

2402 Cli: =Det er en projektplan ikke.
 =It is a project_plan not.
 =A project plan is not.

2403 Coa: Nej
 No

2404 Cli: Den er dynamisk.
 It is dynamic.

2405 Coa: Ja.
 Yes.

2406 Cli: Men den er klar til at blive forholdt sig til
 But it is ready to toINF be related itself to
 But it is ready to be related to.

2407 sådan jeg hele tiden kan se
 ADV I whole time_the can see
 so that I always can see

2408 .hhh at hvis jeg kommer bag ud her
 .hhh that if I come behind out here
 .hhh that if I am behind here

2409 så er jeg nødt til at indhente det der.
 then am I needing to toINF catch_up it there.
 then I have to catch up there.

2410 Coa: Ja
 Yes

2411 (1.1)

2412 Cli: Og det er det der gør den realistisk.
 And that is what there makes it realistic.
 And that is what makes it realistic.

2413 Coa: Ja
 Yes

2414 (1.1)

- 2415 Cli: I stedet for at sige
Instead of toINF say
Instead of saying
- 2416 at jeg overhovedet ikke må tage på
that I ADV not may gain on
that I not at all can gain weight
- 2417 i løbet af det år [som] vi nu snakker om.
during that year [which] we now talk about.
- 2418 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 2419 Coa: Okay
Okay
- 2420 (0.7)
- 2421 Cli: Den er realistisk fordi der er (.)<plads til>(1.0)
It is realistic because there is (.)<room for> (1.0)
- 2422 ø:h det skal ikke være asketisk det her.
u:h it must not be ascetic this here.
u:h it must not be ascetic.
- 2423 Cli: [Jeg] Jeg skal ikke ud at være fanatiker.
[I] I must not out to be fanatic.
[I] I am not about to become a fanatic.
- 2424 Coa: [Nej]
[No]
- 2425 Coa: Nej
No
- 2426 Cli: Det er derfor jeg også tager
That is therefore I also take
That is why I also will take
- 2427 et helt år om det nu.
a whole year about it now.
a whole year to do it now.
- 2428 Coa: Ja
Yes
- 2429 Cli: I stedet for de der hurtige tre måneder
Instead of those there quick three months
Instead of those three quick months
- 2430 inden man skal i bikinien næste gang
before one must in bikini the next time
before you have to wear the bikini next time
- 2431 (0.9) ((coach nods))
- 2432 Coa: .hh (1.3) Er det her i overensstemmelse
.hh (1.3) Is this here in accordance
.hh (1.3) Is this in accordance
- 2433 med den du e[r?
with who you a[re?
with who you are?

2434 Cli: [(sniff))

2435 Cli: Ja det er det=
Yes it is that=
Yes it is=

2436 Coa: =[Er det virkelig det du brænder for.
=[Is it really what you burn for.
=[Is it really what you are on fire for.

2437 com: [(coach moving his right hand towards his chest,
2438 places it on his heart as he say 'virkelig'))

2439 Cli: Ja [det er]
Yes [it is]

2440 Coa: [Eller er] Eller er det her
[Or is] Or is this here
[Or is] Or is this

2441 et af de eksempler (0.4) Et mere ikke
one of those examples (0.4) One more right
one of those examples (0.4) One more right

2442 for du har siddet her før ikke?
because you have sat here before right?
because you have been here before right?

2443 Nu er jeg lidt grov ved dig
Now am I little hard on you
Now I am a little hard on you

2444 men det synes jeg [også] go[dt jeg kan være]
but that think I [also] we[ll I can be]
but that I also think that I can be

2445 Cli: [Ja] [Ja de:t f:: ja]
[Yes] [Yes tha:t f:: yes]

2446 (0.2)

2447 Cli: .sft .sft ((sniff))

2448 Coa: Du har siddet her før,
You have sat here before,
You have been here before,

2449 Cli: Ja
Yes

2450 Coa: Sidste gang var de:t jeg skal ud i:: (.)
Last time was i:t I must out in:: (.)
Last time it was I must be outside in:: (.)

2451 Cli: Ja
Yes

2452 Coa: tyve minutter,
twenty minutes,

2453 Cli: Ja
Yes

- 2454 Coa: Og du var overbevist med dig selv om
And you were convinced with you self about
And you were convinced
- 2455 at det skal jeg nok komme=
that that must I ADV come=
that that will I surely do=
- 2456 Cli: =Ja=
=Yes=
- 2457 Coa: =og alt det der.=Du startede fint ud (0.2)
=and all that there.=You set fine out (0.2)
=and all that.=You sat out fine(0.2)
- 2458 men der skete ikke rigtig noget.
but there happend not really aynthing.
but nothing really happend.
- 2459 Cli: Nej
No
- 2460 (0.6)
- 2461 Coa: Hvad er- Hvad gør forskellen 'he'=-
What is- What makes difference_the 'her-'=
What is- What makes the difference 'her-'=
- 2462 =Hvad er forskellen på den her (og så den)
=What is difference_the on this here (and then that)
=What is the difference between this (and then that)
- 2463 (2.1)
- 2464 Cli: .mt .hhh Ja en af forskellene er jo
.mt .hhh Yes one of differences_the is AVD
.mt .hhh Yes one of the differences is you know
- 2465 at du fik mig der ud hvor du fik mig ud.
that you got me there out where you got me out.
that you got out there where you got me out.
- 2466 Coa: Okay
Okay
- 2467 (0.2)
- 2468 Cli: .hhh Og en af forskellene er også
.hhh And one of differnces_the is also
.hhh And one of the differnces is also
- 2469 at det med at få luft hver dag
that that with to get air every day
that that with getting outside every day
- 2470 det er sådan noget jeg godt ved
that is ADV something I well know
that is such a thing I well know
- 2471 med min fornuft er nødvendigt.
with my reason is necessary.
- 2472 (1.5) ((coach nods))

- 2473 Cli: Altså [ville] være godt.=
PRT [would] be good.=
That is would be good.=
- 2474 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]
- 2475 Coa: =Ja
=Yes
- 2476 (0.9)
- 2477 Cli: Og man kan også sige jeg kan også
And one can also say I can also
And you can also say I can also
- 2478 med min fornuft sige mig at det gælder om
with my reason tell myself that it depends upon
with my reason tell myself that is important
- 2479 ikke at veje for meget.
not to weigh too much.
not to weigh too much.
- 2480 Cli: Men det her det er noget jeg <virkelig> har
But this here this is something I <really> have
But this here this is something I <really>
- 2481 lyst til at gøre for mig selv.
want to toINF do for myself.
want to do for myself.
- 2482 Coa: °°Okay°°
°°Okay°°
- 2483 Cli: Fordi jeg ved jeg vil have det (.) s:å godt.
Because I know I would have it (.) s:o well.
Because I know I would feel (.) s:o good.
- 2484 Coa: ()
- 2485 (0.5)
- 2486 Cli: Og det er ik- .hh Og je- Og det er ikke ud fra
And it is no- .hh And I- And it is not out of
And it is no- .hh And I- And it is not because
- 2487 Jeg vil ikke have (.) de der putt_et i hovedet
I will not have (.) those there put in head_e the
I will not have (.) those put in my head
- 2488 om at .hhh Jamen når folk har
concerning that .hhh Yes_e but when people have
that .hhh Well when people have
- 2489 tabt sig så tror de alle deres problemer
lost weight then think they all their problems
lost weight then they think that all their problems
- 2490 er løst Det ved jeg (.) UDMÆRKet godt
are solved That know I (.) ADV well
are solved I know that (.) VERY well
- 2491 Jam- Jeg har ikke ret mange problemer [.hhh

- Yes_b- I have not quite many problems [.hhh
 Yes we- I have not very many problems .hhh
- 2492 Coa: [m
- 2493 Cli: men- (0.5) Det ved jeg udmærket godt.
 but- (0.5) That know I ADV well.
 but- (0.5) I know that very well.
- 2494 Det er ikke for mig en flugt,
 It is not for me a flight,
 For me it is not because I am running away,
- 2495 Altså at der i [virkeligheden]
 PRT that there in [reality]
 That is that there in reality
- 2496 Coa: [Nej. Okay.]
 [No. Okay.]
- 2497 Det er noget andet.
 It is something else.
 It is something else.
- 2498 Coa: (m)
- 2499 Cli: Det er virkelig noget dybt dybtliggen-
 It is really something deep deep-root-
 It is really something deep deep-root-
- 2500 Det har ligget i mig [fra jeg var (.)
 It has lain in me [from I was (.)
 It has been in me from when I was (.)
- 2501 com: [((client raises her right arm
 2502 looks to her left and takes the arm down))
- 2503 Coa: Okay
 Okay
- 2504 Cli: .snff barn.
 .snff child.
 .snff a child.
- 2505 Coa: O[kay]
 O[kay]
- 2506 Cli: [Når] jeg ser børnebilleder af mig selv
 [When] I see children_pictures of myself
 [When] I see pictures of myself as a child
- 2507 så kan jeg godt ser at jeg er ikke for tyk
 then can I well see that I am not too fat
 then I can well see that I am not too fat
- 2508 men jeg <kan kun> [hus]ke mig selv som for tyk.
 but I <can only> [re]member myself as too fat.
 but I <can only> remember myself as being too fat.
- 2509 Coa: [m]
- 2510 Coa: Okay
 Okay

- 2511 (0.6)
- 2512 Cli: Uanset hvor meget jeg ser et billede så
Regardless how much I see a picture then
- 2513 siger jeg det må have været [i fjorten dage (.)
say I it must have been [in fourteen days (.)
I say it must have been fourteen days (.)
- 2514 com: ((client hold out her hand with her thumb and
2515 indexfinger stretched referring to the short distance
2516 between them as indicating a short span of time))
- 2517 Cli: hvor jeg ikke var det.=
where I not was it.=
where I wasn't.=
- 2518 Coa: =m
- 2519 (0.9)
- 2520 Cli: Så derfor .hhh er det noget
So therefore .hhh is it something
So therefore .hhh it is something
- 2521 jeg har båret rundt [på] (.) <altid.>
I have carried around [on] (.) <always.>
I have carried around (.) <always.>
- 2522 Coa: [m]
- 2523 (2.0) ((Coach nods))
- 2524 Coa: Har du brug for hjælp i den her situation.=
Have du need for help in this here situation.=
Do you need help in this here situation.=
- 2525 =Ikke til at kontrollere dig ((Click))
=Not to toINF control you
=Not to control you
- 2526 men men hjælp til a:t ø:h holde ø:h
but but help to t:oINF u:h hold u:h
- 2527 [hol]de dig på planen på vejen [*eller]
[ke]ep you on plan_the on road_the [*or]
[ke]ep you on the plan on the road [*or]
- 2528 Cli: [Ja] [Ø:h jeg er li-]
[Yes] [U:h I am li-]
[Yes] U:h I am a li-
- 2529 Jeg ville kunne få det.
I would could get it.
I will be able to get it.
- 2530 Coa: Du ville kunne få det
You would could get it.
You will be able to get it.
- 2531 Cli: Ja. .hh Je- je- jeg ved det ikke på forhånd=
Yes. .hh I- I- I know it not on beforehand=
Yes. .hh I- I- I do not know it beforehand=

- 2532 Coa: =Nej
=No
- 2533 Cli: Hvis jeg kan begynde at at mærke
If I can start to to feel
If I start to to feel
- 2534 djævelen får horn igen
devil_the gets horn again
the devil gets back his horns
- 2535 Coa: m
- 2536 (0.5)
- 2537 Cli: i stedet for at blive siddende deroppe .hhh så
instead of to be sitting there_up .hhh then
instead of being sitting up there .hhh then
- 2538 ville jeg godt kunne få behov for at få hjælp ja.
would I well could get need to toINF get help yes.
I could well need to get help yes.
- 2539 Coa: Okay
Okay
- 2540 (1.1)
- 2541 Coa: Har du <behov for> (0.3) at få hjælp (0.8)
Have you <need to> (0.3) toINF get help (0.8)
Do you need to (0.3) get help (0.8)
- 2542 til den fase hvor planen ligger færdig?
to that phase where plan_the lies finished?
to that phase where the plan is finished?
- 2543 Cli: 'Aj
'Ope
- 2544 Coa: Det har du ikke.
That have you not.
That you have not.
- 2545 Cli: Nej
No
- 2546 Coa: [Den kommer af sig selv.]
[It comes of itself.]
It will happen spontaneously.
- 2547 Cli: [Det er når den går i gang.]
[It is when it goes in progress.]
It is when it begins.
- 2548 Coa: Den kommer af sig selv.
It comes of itself.
It will happen spontaneously.
- 2549 Cli: Ja.
Yes
- 2550 Cli: Det er når den går i gang.
It is when it goes in progress.
It is when it begins.

- 2551 (0.9)
- 2552 Cli: Ikke i tvivl om hvordan jeg skal lave plan-
Not in doubt about how I must do plan-
- 2553 Det er dejligt nemt..
That is wonderfully easy..
- 2554 Coa: Okay
Okay
- 2555 Cli: Det er et nemt ufarligt mål.
That is a easy un_dangerous target.
That is a easy non-dangerous target.
- 2556 (0.3) ((Coach nods))
- 2557 Cli: [At lave] planen.
[To do] plan the.
[To do] the plan.
- 2558 (1.2) ((Coach nodding))
- 2559 Cli: Det er det andet (0.6) [der er] (0.6)
It is that other (0.6) [there is] (0.6)
It is that other (0.6) where there is (0.6)
- 2560 Coa: [(m)]
- 2561 meget på spil ved.
much at stake by.
much at stake.
- 2562 (1.0)
- 2563 Cli: Der er rigtigt meget på spil.
There is very much at stake.
- 2564 (1.2)
- 2565 Cli: Det er altså ikke bare sådan alts==
It is PRT not just ADJ PRT=
It is in fact not just that this that i==
- 2566 =Okay det lykkes ikke så prøver jeg bare igen.
=Okay it succeeds not then try I just again.
=Okay it did not succeed then I will just again.
- 2567 Der er rigtig meget på spil.
There is very much at stake.
- 2568 (0.9)
- 2569 Cli: Jeg er lige ved at sige det her
I am just about to say this here
I am nearly saying that this
- 2570 det er sidste gang jeg gider at prøve det.
it is last time I bother to try it.
it is the last time I will bother to try it.
- 2571 (0.7)

- 2572 Coa: Så på en skala fra: et til ti
So on a scale fro:m one to ten
- 2573 hvor motiveret er du (nu) [()]
how motivated are you (now)
- 2574 Cli: [Jamen] der
[Yes_but] there
[Yes but] there
- 2575 er jeg (.) tolv (h)altså=
am I (.) twelwe (h) PRT=
I am (.) twelwe (h) that is=
- 2576 Coa: =Du er tolv.
=Du are twelwe.
- 2577 Cli: J[a
Y[es
- 2578 Coa: [Stadigvæk,
[still,
- 2579 Cli: Ja det er jeg.
Yes that am I.
Yes that I am.
- 2580 (1.4)
- 2581 Cli: Jeg vil simplethen bare.
I will simply just.
I simply just want to.
- 2582 (2.4)
- 2583 Coa: Okay
Okay
- 2584 Cli: Jeg bliver så dødmidsundelig=Jeg ser på al-
I become so dead_envious=I see on al-
I am so dead envious=I see al-
- 2585 .hh Det er som når folk skal have børn.
.hh It is as when people are having babies.
.hh It is as when people are expectationg.
- 2586 Coa: [M:]
- 2587 Cli: [Ø:h] Fra det øjeblik de får at vide
[U:h] From the moment they get to know
[U:h] From the moment they are told
- 2588 de er gravide ser de kun barnevogne.
they are pregnant see thet only prams.
they are pregnant they only see prams.
- 2589 Coa: mm:=
- 2590 Cli: =Sådan ha- P- Prøv at forestille dig et liv
=ADV ha- T- Try to imagine yourself a life
=So ha- T- Try to imagine yourself a life
- 2591 [.hh] hvor ethvert menneske jeg betragter
[.hh] where every person I watch

- [.hh] where every person I watch
- 2592 Coa: [m]
- 2593 Cli: kigger jeg på hvad de vejer.
look I at what they weigh
I look at what they weigh.
- 2594 Coa: m
- 2595 Cli: ↑Alle ↓mennesker.
↑All ↓people.
- 2596 Coa: m
- 2597 (1.3)
- 2598 Cli: Altid.
Always.
- 2599 Coa: M::
- 2600 Cli: Min første indtryk er (0.3) [((snap))
My first impression is
- 2601 Com: [((Client moves her
2602 right hand up and down showing measuring people))
- 2603 Cli: hvor stor eller lille er vedkommende.
how big or small is the_person_in_question
how big or small is the person in question
- 2604 (.)
- 2605 Cli: Også begynder vi at kigge
And_then begin we to look
And then we will begin to look
- 2606 efter alt muligt andet.=
for all kinds different.=
for other things.=
- 2607 Coa: =Vil det sige [at] øh
=Will that say [that] uh
=Does that mean that uh
- 2608 Cli [((sniff))]
- 2609 Coa: et yderligere resultat af at nå det her mål
an additional result of to reach this here goal
an additional result of reaching this here goal
- 2610 det er at du behøver [ikke] at betragte
that is that you need [not] to look
that is that you do not need to look
- 2611 Cli: [krm]
- 2612 Coa: alle andre mennes[ker] i forhold til deres vægt,
all other peo[ple] in relation to their weight,
at other people in relation to their weight,
- 2613 Cli: [JA]
[Yes]

- 2614 Cli: JA
YES
- 2615 Coa: Ok(h) ay.
Ok(h) ay.
- 2616 (1.7)
- 2617 Cli: Jeg vil være så (ful-/fut-)
I will be so (com-/
I will be so com-
- 2618 Jeg [ved at jeg ikke (f)]
I [know that I not (f)]
I know that I do not n
- 2619 Coa: [Så det her det]
[So this here it]
So this it
- 2620 fører meget mere med sig end: [æ:h]
leads much more with itself than: [e:h]
leads to much more than: e:h
- 2621 Cli: [JA]
[YES]
- 2622 (0.8)
- 2623 Cli: Det er ikke bare et spørgsmål
It is not just a question
It is not just a question
- 2624 om .hhh at tabe sig.
about .hhh to lose yourself.
about .hhh losing weight.
- 2625 Og jeg *v: øh og og det er det blevet endnu mindre
And I *w: uh and and that is it become even less
And I *w: uh and and that it has become even less
- 2626 fordi jeg synes .hh ((sniff)) at med .hhh Altså
because I think .hh ((sniff)) that with .hhh PRT
because I think .hh ((sniff)) that with .hhh Well
- 2627 hvor jeg før bare ville være slank
where I earlier just wanted be slim
where I earlier just wanted to be slim
- 2628 fordi jeg ville være attraktiv
because I want be attractive
because I wanted to be attractive
- 2629 (0.3)
- 2630 Coa: hm
- 2631 Cli: så vil jeg være slank
then will I be slim
then I want to be slim
- 2632 fordi jeg vil have det godth.

- because I will have it wellh.
because I want to be wellh.
- 2633 Coa: Okay
Okay
- 2634 Cli: Jeg gi:der ikke det her.
I bo:ther not this here.
I do not want this.
- 2635 Coa: ()
- 2636 Cli: Jeg gider ikke hver (0.2) <gang jeg tænker> (0.2)
I bother not every (0.2) <time I think> (0.2)
I do not want this every (0.2) <time I think> (0.2)
- 2637 rør mig (0.8) tager et stykke mad,
move myself (0.8) take a piece food,
move (0.8) take some food,
- 2638 com: ((client let her hands fall heavily in lab))
- 2639 Coa: Hmhm
- 2640 Cli: HHHhhhh
- 2641 (1.0)
- 2642 Cli: °°()°° [GID]er ikke
°°()°° [BOT]her not
°°()°° Do not WANT
- 2643 Coa: [Hm]
- 2644 (2.0)
- 2645 Coa: [Og du er klar du er klar]
[And you are ready you are ready]
- 2646 Cli: [at være en slave af det her]
[to be a slave of this here]
to be a slave of this
- 2647 (.)
- 2648 Cli: Vil ikke være slave af det mere=
Will not be slave of it anymore=
Do not want to be a slave of it anymore=
- 2649 Coa: =Men du er også klar til at tage kampen
=But you are also ready to toINF take fight_the
=But you are also ready to take the fight
- 2650 hver [ene]ste gang [hver] eneste dag
every [sin]gle time [every] single day
- 2651 Cli: [Ja] [Ja]
[Yes] [Yes]
- 2652 Coa: [hver] (.) [uge] hele året rundt=
[every] (.) [week] whole year_the round=
[every] (.) [week] the entire year=
- 2653 Cli: [Ja] [Ja]

[Yes] [Yes]

2654 Cli: =Ja=
=Yes=

2655 Coa: =i
=i

2656 (0.5) ((coach looks in paper))

2657 Cli: Resten af mit liv.
Rest_the of my life.
The rest of my life.

2658 Coa: [(Okay resten af dit liv)]
[(Okay rest_the of your life)]
[(Okay the rest of your life)]

2659 Cli: [.mt .hhh men foreløbig]
[.mt .hhh but for_now]
[.mt .hhh but for now]

2660 Cli: indtil første [juni
until first [June
until the first of June

2661 Coa: [Ja [fore]løbig [ind]til=
[Yes [for_]now [un]til=
[Yes for now until=

2662 Cli: [Ja] [Ja]
[Yes] [Yes]

2663 Coa: =første juni totusindogotte
=first June two_thousand_and_eight
=the first of June two thousand and eight

2664 Cli: Fordi så er jeg sikker på
Because then am I sure on
Because then I am sure

2665 at når jeg er kommet dertil
that when I am come there_to
that when I have reached that point

2666 .snff ((sniff)) at så ø:h er det piece of cake
.snff ((sniff)) that then u:h is it piece of cake
.snff ((sniff)) that then u:h it is piece of cake

2667 at holde det.
to keep it.

2668 (.)

2669 Coa: (Ja) Okay
(Yes) Okay

2670 (2.8)

2671 Cli: Altså de:t ik-
PRT i:t no-
That is i:t no-

2672 (0.5) ((client shakes her head))

- 2673 Cli: Så:: .hh har vejen dertil
 The::n .hh has road_the there_to
 The::n .hh the road to there has
- 2674 simplethen været for lang.
 simply been too long.
 simply been too long.
- 2675 (1.2) ((coach nods))
- 2676 Cli: Fordi de andre gange der har jeg sagt
 Because the other times there have I said
 Because the other times I have said
- 2677 sådan noget med tre måneder
 such something with three months
 something like three months
- 2678 [og] det kunne jeg også fint
 [and] that could I also fine
 [and] I could also do that easily
- 2679 Coa: [m:]
- 2680 Coa: m
- 2681 Cli: Men så har man jo haft det så dårligt i tre
 But then has one ADV had it so awful in three
 But you have been so awful in three
- 2682 måneder at så trænger man til
 months that then needs one to
 months months you know that then you need
- 2683 noget kompensation.
 some compensation.
 some compensation.
- 2684 Coa: m
- 2685 Cli: Ikke lige med det samme
 Not just with that instance
 Not right away
- 2686 [når] der er gået et stykke tid,
 [when] there is gone a piece time,
 [when] some time as gone,
- 2687 Coa: [m]
- 2688 Coa: m
- 2689 Cli: Arh nu er det i hvert fald fortjent.
 Arh now is it at any case deserved.
 Arh now it is at least deserved.
- 2690 (0.7)
- 2691 Cli: Så så det vil jeg ikke den her gang.
 So so that will I not this here time.
 So so that I won't do this time.
- 2692 Coa: Har du behov for at snakke om

Have you need to toINF talk about
Do you need to talk about

2693 [(0.5) de her risiko [som du skal]
[(0.5) these here risks [which you must]
(0.5) these risks

2694 com: [((Coach looks in papers))

2695 Cli: [((sniff))]

2696 Coa: bruge til din risikoanalyse [eller] ska:l vi:
use for your risk_analysis [or] shou:ld we:
use for your risk analysis or shou:ld we:

2697 Cli: [Khrkrm]

2698 Coa: ø:::h Vil du selv stille dem op.
u:::h Will you yourself line them up.
u:::h Do you want to line them up yourself.

2699 Cli: Jeg vil gerne udfordres på dem.
I will gladly challenge_PASS on them.
I would like to be challenged on them.

2700 Coa: Du vil gerne udfordres [på dem.]
You will gladly challenge_PASS [on them.]
You would like to be challenged [on them.]

2701 Cli: [Ja.] Jeg vil
[Yes.] I will
[Yes.] I would

2702 gerne udfordres på dem.
gladly challenge_PASS on them.
like to be challenged on them.

2703 Coa: Så [s
So [s

2704 Cli: [Med hvor realistiske de er o:g om
[On how realistic they are a:nd whether

2705 Coa: J[a
Y[es

2706 Cli: [.hhh ø:::h (.) hvorfor de nu er så sandsynlige
[.hhh u:::h (.) why they now is so probable

2707 Hvorfor jeg vurderer dem
Why I consider them
Why I consider them

2708 til den sandsynligheds[grad]
to that probability_[degree]
to have that degree of probability

2709 Coa: [hm]

2710 Cli: Om jeg nu har fået dem alle sammen med.=
Whether I now have got them all together with.
Whether I now have got all of them.

2711 =Jeg kunne godt ø:h=

- =I could well u:h=
- 2712 Coa: =Er det noget vi gør nu?
=Is that something we do now?
- 2713 Cli: .hh[hh nej for jeg] skal først have den lavet jo.
.hh[hh no cause I] must first have it done ADV.
.hh[hh no cause I] must first have it done you
know.
- 2714 Coa: [Eller er det]
[Or is that]
- 2715 Cli: [Det det kunne godt være]den næste session=
[It it could well be]the next session=
- 2716 Coa: [Du skal først have den lavet]
[You must first have it made]
- 2717 Cli: =når jeg har prøvet at [køre på det]
=when I have tried to [go on it]
=when I have tried to [go for it]
- 2718 Coa: [Ja:: ja]
[Yes:: yes]
- 2719 Cli: Altså simpelthen når vi mødes til juni.
PRT simply when we meet in June.
That is when we meet in June.
- 2720 Coa: Ja, Okay.
Yes, Okay.
- 2721 Cli: Der vil egen- Der vil jeg meget gerne
There will real- There will I very gladly
There will real- There I would really like to
- 2722 have en opfølgning på det her.
have a follow_up on this here.
have a follow up on this.
- 2723 Coa: Så ju*ni:: opfølgning på risikoanalysen.
So Ju*ne:: follow_up on risk_analysis_the.
So Ju*ne:: follow_up on the risk analysis.
- 2724 (0.2)
- 2725 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 2726 (1.0) ((coach writing))
- 2727 Coa: Planen også måske?
Plan_the also maybe?
The plan also maybe?
- 2728 Cli: Ja. Simplethen at vi tage den
Yes. Simply that we take it
Yes. That we simply take it
- 2729 o:g køre den igennem.
a:nd run it through.
a:nd run through it.

- 2730 (1.5) ((coach writing))
- 2731 Cli: [At] jeg simplthen ø::h samtidig med=
[That] I simply e::h same_time with
Simply that I at the same time
- 2732 Coa [()]
- 2733 Cli: at jeg fortæller dig om den
that I tell you about it
that I tell you about it
- 2734 så overbeviser jeg mig selv.
then convince I myself
then I convince myself
- 2735 (5.0) ((Coach writes and mumbles))
- 2736 Coa: Er det det vi gør?
Is that it we do?
Is that what we do?
- 2737 Cli: Ja
Yes
- 2738 (0.8)
- 2739 Cli: Det er det.
That is it.
That it is
- 2740 (1.5)
- 2741 Coa: Hvordan var det? ((smiling))
How was that?
- 2742 (0.8)
- 2743 Cli: Det var vildt:
It was crazy:
- 2744 (1.2) ((Coach silently laughing))
- 2745 Cli: Det var vildt:
It was crazy:
- 2746 (2.1)
- 2747 Cli: Fuldstændig.
Completely.
- 2748 (0.8)
- 2749 Cli: Jeg kan ikke [(.) holde ud
I can not [(.) stand out
I cannot (.) stand
- 2750 com: [((client points towards camera))
- 2751 Cli: at den er på haha .hhhhh Videoen, men sådan
that it is on haha.hhhhh==Camera_the but ADJ
that it is on haha.hhhhh==The camera but that is

2752 er det jo. .hh[h ((sniff))]
is it ADV .hh[h ((sniff))]
how it is you know .hhh ((sniff))

2753 Coa: [Nå ja]
[Oh yes]
[Ah well]

2754 Havde du tænkt på den var der?
Had you thought about it was there?
Had you thought about it being there?

2755 Cli: Æ::h lige i starten og så glemte jeg det
E::h just in beginning_the and then forgot I it
E::h just in the beginning and then I forgot it

2756 igen=Og så kom jeg i tanke om det nu
again=And then came I in though about it now
again=And I remembered it now

2757 Coa: Ja=
Yes=

2758 Cli: =hvor du spørger for nu kommer jeg ligesom
=where you ask for now come I kind_of
=that you ask because now I kind of

2759 ud af den igen.
out of it again.
snap out of it again.

2760 Coa: [Ja]
[Yes]

2761 Cli: [El]lers så har jeg ikke nej.
[Ot]herwise then have I not no.
[Ot]herwise I then have not no.

2762 @end ((01.00.25))

Appendix C: Transcription Symbols and Abbreviations

The transcripts reads as follows:

1 Person talking: Danish
 English word-to word translation/glossing
 English translation

Where the two translations are identical, only one is presented. The transcription symbols used in the transcripts are deoleped by Gail Jefferson (for full account see Atkinson and Heritage 1984:xi–xvi and Schegloff 2007:265-269).

- (.) marks a pause less than 0.2 seconds.
- (1.2) marks a measured pause in seconds.
- = latched speech, a single continuous utterance with no pause or break, either by same speaker or by different speakers.
- . punctuation marks falling intonation.
- , comma marks continuing intonation.
- ? question mark marks rising intonation.
- .hhh inhalation.
- hhh exhalation.
- wo[rd] square brackets mark beginning and end of overlap.
- word underlining marks emphasized part of word.
- wo::rd:: colons mark prolongation of sound.
- WORD written in CAPITALS marks loud voice.
- °word° degree signs mark low or silent voice.
- wor- hyphen after word means it is cut-off.
- £ pound sign marks a smiling voice.
- *word asterisk marks a creaky voice.
- ↑↓ up and down arrows mark sharp intonation rises and falls, respectively
- >word< the 'greater than' sign followed by the 'less than' sign marks compressed or rushed talk.
- <word> the 'less than' sign followed by the 'greater than' sign marks slow or drawn out talk.

- (()) double parentheses mark comments rather than actual talk.
(word) single parentheses mark uncertainty in what is transcribed.

Glossing symbols

ADV	Adverb ¹⁶
COMP	Comparative
GEN	Genitive
INF	Infinitive
PASS	Passive
PRT	Particle

¹⁶ Some adverbs in Danish display epistemic or evidential aspects of the statement where they are used, and it is often not possible to find appropriate translations for them. In the glossing they are marked as ADV and they are translated where possible. (See also Heinemann 2009.)

References

- Antaki C. (2008) "Formulations in psychotherapy", pp. 26-42, in Peräkylä *et al.* eds. *Conversation Analysis and Psychotherapy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Arminen, I. (2005) *Institutional Interaction: Studies of Talk at Work*. Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Arndt, H. (1997) *Grammatisk Analyse*. Aarhus: Institut for Lingvistik: Aarhus Universitet.
- Atkinson, J. M. & Heritage J. (1984) *Structures of Social Action: Studies in Conversation Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bachkirova, T. & C. Kauffman (2008) "Many ways of knowing: how to make sense of different research perspectives in studies of coaching", *Coaching: An International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice*, 1(2):107-113.
- Bennett, J. L. (2006) "An agenda for coaching-related research. A challenge for researchers", *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 58(4):240-249.
- Clayman, S. & J. Heritage (2002) *The News Interview. Journalists and Public Figures on the Air*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Clift, R. (2001) "Meaning in interaction: The case of actually", *Language*, 77(2):245-291.
- Clift, R. (2007) "Getting there first: The non-narrative use of reported speech in interaction", pp. 120-149, in E. Holt and R. Clift eds. *Reporting Talk: Reported Speech in Interaction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Clift R. & E. Holt (2007) "Introduction", pp 1-15, in E. Holt and R. Clift, eds. *Reporting Talk: Reported Speech in Interaction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Connor, M. & Pokora J. (2007) *Coaching and Mentoring at Work. Developing Effective Practices*. Maidenhead: McGraw-Hill.
- Cook, M. J. (1998) *Effective Coaching*. Ohio: McGraw-Hill.
- Coulmas F. (1984) "Reported Speech: Some general Issues", pp 1-28, in F. Coulmas ed. *Direct and Indirect speech, Trends in linguistics, Vol. 31*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Davidson J. (1984) "Subsequent versions of invitations, offers, requests and proposals dealing with potential or actual rejection", pp. 102-128, in J. M. Atkinson & J. Heritage eds. *Structures of Social Action: Studies of Conversation Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Davis K. (1986) "The process of problem (re)formulation in psychotherapy", *Sociology of Health and Illness*, 8:44-74.
- Drew, P. (1998) "Complaints About Transgressions and Misconduct", *Research on Language & Social Interaction*, 31(3-4):295-325.
- Drew, P. (2003) "Comparative Analysis of Talk-in-Interaction in Different Institutional Settings", pp. 249-262, in P. Glenn *et al.* eds. *Studies in Language and Social Interaction: In Honour of Robert Hopper*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Drew, P. (2004) "Conversation analysis", pp. 71-102, in Fitch, K. and R. Sanders, eds. *Handbook of Language and Social Interaction*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Drew P. & J. C. Heritage (1992). "Analysing talk at work: An introduction", pp. 3-65, in P. Drew & John Heritage eds. *Talk at Work. Interaction in Institutional Settings*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Flaherty, J. (2005). *Coaching. Evoking Excellence in Others*. Burlington: Elsevier.

- Gallwey, T. (2008) (1974) *The Inner Game of Tennis*. New York: Random House.
- Garfinkel H. & H. Sacks (1970) "On formal structures of practical actions", pp. 337-366, in J. C. McKinney & E. A. Tiryakian eds. *Theoretical Sociology*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Garvey, R, P. Stokes & D. Megginson (2008) *Coaching and Mentoring, Theory and Practice*. London: Sage Publications.
- Gjerde, S. (2006) *Coaching – hvad hvorfor og hvordan*. København: Forlaget Samfundslitteratur.
- Heinemann T. (2009) "Participation and exclusion in third party complaints", *Journal of Pragmatics*, 41(12): 2435-2451
- Heritage, J. C. (1985) "Analyzing news interviews: Aspects of the production of talk of an overhearing audience", pp. 95-119, in T. van Dijk ed. *Handbook of Discourse Analysis*. London: Academic Press.
- Heritage, J. (1997) "Conversation analysis and institutional talk: analyzing data", pp. 222-245, in D. Silverman ed. *Qualitative Analysis: Issues of Theory and Method*. London: Sage.
- Heritage, J. (2009) The Epistemic Engine. (Unpublished paper given to York CA Workshop, July 2009).
- Heritage, J. C. & D. R. Watson (1979) "Formulations as Conversational Objects", pp 123–162, in G. Psathas ed. *Everyday Language*. New York: Irvington.
- Heritage J. C. & D. R. Watson (1980) "Aspects of the properties of formulations in natural conversation: Some instances analysed", *Semiotica*, 30(3/4):245-262.
- Heritage J. C. and A. L. Roth (1995) "Grammar and institution: Questions and questioning in the broadcast news interview", *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 28(1):1-60.

- Heritage J. C. & G. Raymond (2005) "The terms of agreement: Indexing epistemic authority and subordination in talk-in-interaction", *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 68(1):15-38.
- Heritage, J. & M. Douglas eds. (2006) *Communication in Medical Care: Interactions between Primary Care Physicians and Patients*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Holt, E. (1996) "Reporting on Talk: The Use of Direct Reported Speech in Conversation", *Research on Language & Social Interaction*, 29(3):219-245.
- Holt, E. & R. Clift eds. (2007) *Reporting talk: Reported Speech in Interaction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hundevalt, K. (2001) *Stifinderen – Om Coachen Lasse Zäll*. Viby J: JPBøger.
- Hutchby, I. (1996) *Confrontation Talk. Arguments, Assymetries and Power on Radio Talk*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Jefferson, G. (1984) "On stepwise transition from talk about a trouble to inappropriately next-positioned matters", pp. 191-222 in J.M. Atkinson and J.C. Heritage eds. *Structures of Social Action: Studies of Conversation Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jefferson, G. (1988) "On the sequential organization of troubles talk in ordinary conversation", *Social Problems*, 35(4):418-442.
- Koshik, I. (2005) *Beyond Rhetorical Questions: Assertive Questions in Everyday Interaction*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Labov W. & D. Fanshel (1977) *Therapeutic Discourse*. New York: Academic Press.
- Lerner G. (2006) "On the syntax of sentences in progress", pp. 49-70 in P. Drew & J. Heritage eds. *Conversation Analysis*. Vol I. London: Sage Publications.

- MacDermott, I. & W. Jago (2001) *The NLP Coach. A Comprehensive Guide to Personal Well-Being and Professional Success*. London: Piatkus Books Limited.
- MacLennan, N. (1995) *Coaching and Mentoring*. Aldershot: Gower.
- Maslow, A. H. (1968) *Towards a Psychology of Being*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company.
- Megginson D. & D. Clutterbuck (2005) *Techniques for Coaching and Mentoring*. Oxford: Elsevier.
- O'Connor, J. & A. Lages (2007) *How Coaching Works: The Essential Guide to the History and Practice of Effective Coaching*. London: A & C Black.
- Oxford English Dictionary Online 1989a. Accessed November 2nd 2008
<http://dictionary.oed.com/cgi/entry/50042272>
- Parsloe, E. & M. Leedham (2009) *Coaching and Mentoring: Practical Conversations to Improve Learning*. London: Koganpage.
- Peräkylä, A. (1995) *AIDS Counselling: Institutional Interaction and Clinical Practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Pomerantz A. (1984) "Agreeing and disagreeing with assessments: some features of preferred/dispreferred turn shapes", pp. 57-101, in J. M. Atkinson & J. Heritage eds. *Structures of Social Action: Studies of Conversation Analysis* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Quirk *et al.* (1985) *A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language*. New York: Longman.
- Robinson, J. D. (2003) "An Interactional Structure of Medical Activities During Acute Visits and Its Implications for Patients' Participation", *Health Communication*, 15(1), 27-59.
- Rogers, C. R. (1951) *Client-Centred Therapy*. London: Constable.

- Rogers, C. R. (1961) *On Becoming a Person. A Therapist's View on Psychotherapy*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Sacks, H. (1987) "On the preferences for agreement and contiguity in sequences in conversation", pp. 54-69 in G. Button & J. R. Lee eds. *Talk and Social Organisation*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- Sacks, H. (1992) *Lectures on Conversation*.
- Schegloff E. A. (1996) "Confirming allusions: toward an empirical account of action", *American Journal of Sociology*, 102(1):161-216.
- Schiffrin D. (1980) "Meta-talk: organizational and evaluative brackets in discourse", *Sociological Inquiry*, 50(3-4):199-236.
- Semino, E. & E. Short (2004) *Corpus Stylistics: Speech, Writing and Thought Presentation in a Corpus of English Writing* London: Routledge.
- Sieler, A. (2005) *Coaching to the Human Soul. Ontological Coaching and Deep Change Volume I*. Victoria: Newfield.
- Sieler, A. (2009). "The Transformatonal Power of Ontological Coaching", pp 49-61 in D. Megginson & D. Clutterbuck eds. *Further Techniques in Mentoring and Coaching*. Oxford: Elsevier.
- Smith, J. V. (2006) *Therapist into Coach*. Buckingham: McGraw-Hill.
- Stein, I. (2007) *Enacting the Role of the Coach: Discursive Identities in Professional Coaching Discourse*. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation. Fielding Graduate University.
- Stein, I. F. (2009) "Which hat am I wearing now?: an evidence-based tool for coaching self-reflection", *Coaching: An International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice*, 2:2,163-175.

Stivers, T. (2005) "Modified repeats: one method for asserting primary rights from second position", *Research on Language & Social Interaction*, 38(2):131-158.

Vandelanotte, L. (2009) *Speech and Thought Representation in English: A Cognitive-Functional Approach*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Whitmore, J. (2002) *Coaching for Performance. GROWing People, Performance and Purpose*. London: Nickolas Brealey Publishing.

Whitmore, J. (2008). "The coaching and mentoring journey. Where are we coming from? Where are we going?", *The OCM Coach-Mentoring Journal*:18-19.

Available at <http://www.performanceconsultants.com/pdfs/JW_OCSM.pdf>

[Accessed 2nd November, 2008]

Whitworth, L. *et al.* (2007) *Co-Active Coaching. New Skills for Coaching People towards Success in Work and Life*. Mountain View CA: David Black Publishing.