A database approach for constraining fluvial geostatistical reservoir models: concepts, workflow and examples



Luca Colombera, Fabrizio Felletti, Nigel P. Mountney, William D. McCaffrey **UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS**

ABSTRACT

The sedimentary architecture of fluvial depositional systems is characterized by heterogeneities – manifested over a wide range of scales – that control hydrocarbon distribution and fluid-flow behavior; thus, subsurface subseismic-scale sedimentological features are often tentatively predicted by means of geostatistical modeling techniques, often conditioned by hard and soft sedimentological data obtained from outcrop successions or modern rivers considered to be analogous to the reservoir. We propose an alternative database approach as a way to derive such constraints from several classified case studies whose boundary conditions or architectural properties best match with the subsurface system that needs to be modeled.

The relational database characterizes the fluvial architecture of classified case studies from the stratigraphic record and modern rivers at three different scales of observation, corresponding to three types of genetic unit (large-scale depositional elements, architectural elements and facies units) that constitute the building blocks of reservoir models. The database case studies can be filtered on their

boundary conditions or architectural properties, generating composite datasets consisting of geneticunit proportions, dimensions and transition statistics with which to inform and condition fluvial reservoir models.

The potential value of the database in providing constraints to stochastic reservoir models is demonstrated by employing both object-oriented and pixel-oriented techniques to generate unconditional idealized models of fluvial architecture, associated to given system parameters (e.g. river pattern), giving a special focus on the aptness of the hierarchically-nested database output to the integration of different modeling techniques into the same reservoir model, with the scope to improve and/or validate predictions. In addition, simulation realizations depict results as graphical representations of stratigraphic volumes of given synthetic depositional/facies models of fluvial architecture and these could be employed as training images to constrain multi-point statistics-based reservoir models.



units within architectural elements).



	The same numeric indices
	that are used for
	representing containment
	relationships, are also used
	for object neighboring
	relationships, represented
	within tables containing
	transitions in the vertical,
	cross-valley and along-
	valley directions. The
	hierarchical order of the
	bounding surface across
	which the transition occurs is
1	also specified at the facies
	and architectural element
	scales; the bounding surface
	hierarchy proposed by Miall
	(1996) has been adopted.





Above:

representation of categories of completeness (after Geehan & Underwood 1993) of observed/sampled dimensional parameter. Correlated genetic-unit dimensions are stored as unlimited.

can be stored as representative thicknesses, flowperpendicular (i.e. cross-gradient) widths, downstream lengths, cross-sectional areas, and planform areas. Widths and lengths are classified according to the completeness of observations into complete, partial or unlimited categories, as proposed by Geehan & Underwood (1993). Apparent widths are stored whenever only oblique observations with respect to palaeoflow are available. Where derived from borehole correlations, widths and lengths are always stored as 'unlimited'.

Future development will involve the inclusion of descriptors of genetic-unit shape, implemented either by linking these objects to 2D/3D vector graphics or by adding table attributes (columns) relating to cross-sectional, planform and/or 3D shape types.

FAKTS GENETIC UNITS: classifications

Depositional elements

Depositional elements are classified as channel-complex or floodplain elements. Channel-complexes represent channel-bodies defined on the basis of flexible but unambiguous geometrical criteria, and are not related to any particular genetic significance or spatial or temporal scale; they range from the infills of individual channels, to compound, multi-storey valley-fills. This definition facilitates the inclusion of datasets that are poorly characterized in terms of the geological meaning of these objects and their bounding surfaces (mainly subsurface datasets)

Floodplain segmentation into depositional elements is subsequent to channel-complex definition, as floodplain deposits are subdivided according to the lateral arrangement of channel-complexes.



Rakaia River channel-belt (New Zealand.) From Google Earth[™].

Facies units

Code	Legend	Lithofacies type
G-		Gravel to boulders - undefined structure
Gmm		Matrix-supported massive gravel
Gmg		Matrix supported graded gravel
Gcm		Clast-supported massive gravel
Gci		Clast-supported inversely-graded gravel
Gh		Horizontally-bedded or imbricated gravel
Gt		Trough cross-stratified gravel
Gp		Planar cross-stratified gravel
S-		Sand - undefined structure
St		Trough cross-stratified sand
Sp		Planar cross-stratified sand

In FAKTS, facies units are defined as genetic bodies characterized by homogeneous lithofacies type down to the decimetre scale, bounded by second- or higher-order (Miall 1996) bounding surfaces. Lithofacies types are based on textural and structural characters; facies classification follows Miall's (1996) scheme, with minor additions (e.g. texture-only classes - gravel to boulder, sand, fines – for cases where information regarding sedimentary structures is not provided).



	Following M		
Code	Legend	Architectural element type	characterist
СН		Aggradational channel fill	interpretable
DA		Downstream-accreting macroform	according t
LA		Laterally accreting macroform	make them
DLA		Downstream- & laterally-accreting macroform	expression, easier. Arch
SG		Sediment gravity-flow body	alternative s
НО		Scour-hollow fill	
AC		Abandoned-channel fill	
LV		Levee	
FF		Overbank fines	
SF		Sandy sheetflood-dominated floodplain	
CR		Crevasse channel	
CS		Crevasse splay	Maste
LC		Floodplain Lake	
С		Coal-body	Above: exam
		Undefined elements	Utah, USA).

liall's (1985, 1996) concepts, architectural elements are components of a fluvial depositional system with the tic facies associations that compose individual elements le in terms of sub-environments. esigned for storing architectural element types classified

to both Miall's (1996) classification and also to a on derived by modifying some of Miall's classes in order to more consistent in terms of their geomorphological so that working with datasets from modern rivers is nitectural elements described according to any other scheme are translated into both classifications following outlined by Miall (1996) for their definition.





nple preserved architectural elements (DA and LA barforms) wer Jurassic Kayenta Formation at Sevenmile Canyon (SE

•		Ce Ce
Sr	Ripple cross-laminated sand	25
Sh	Horizontally-laminated sand	
SI	Low-angle cross-bedded sand	
Ss	Scour-fill sand	SQ
Sm	Massive or faintly laminated sand	
Sd	Soft-sediment deformed sand	
F-	Fines (silt, clay) - undefined structure	
FI	Laminated sand, silt and clay	Sr
sm	Laminated to massive silt and clay	
m	Massive clay and silt	
Fr	Fine-grained root bed	
Ρ	Paleosol carbonate	i
С	 Coal or carbonaceous mud	Above
	Undefined facies	Forma







e: example sandy facies units from the Lower Jurassic Kayenta ation in the Moab area (SE Utah, USA).





http://frg.leeds.ac.uk

A database approach for constraining fluvial geostatistical reservoir models: concepts, workflow and examples



Fluvial Architecture Knowledge Transfer System FAKTS













DISCUSSION

RANGE (m)

С

Some important implications regarding the database approach to fluvial reservoir modeling presented here:

- the FAKTS database – not being limited to the storage of dimensional data as is the case for many other analog architectural databases, but instead permitting a full characterization of sedimentary architecture that encompasses also genetic- and material-unit volumetric proportions and spatial relationships – allows for the derivation of simulation input parameters that are often arbitrarily chosen rather than obtained: it is possible to derive relative dimensional parameters, transition probability matrices and indicator auto- and cross-variograms, all of which are the result of the synthesis of data drawn from multiple-classified case studies:

- the database permits the filtering of data on a multitude of attributes describing internal parameters and external controls of both modern and ancient fluvial systems, ensuring that the knowledge-base that is most relevant to the subsurface case study that needs to be modeled can be selectively chosen;

- the database permits filtering architectural data on other associated architectural features (e.g. containment within larger-scale genetic units, bounding-surface order data), thereby providing an additional constraint to data selection for generating simulation input parameter;

- the FAKTS-derived parameters refer to a variety of genetic or material units belonging to three spatial scales nested in a hierarchical framework thereby permitting the choice of input parameters referring to the scale that best suits the model case and enabling a multi-scale approach.

> Contacts: Luca Colombera email: eelc@leeds.ac.uk mobile: +44 (0)7554096074





http://frg.leeds.ac.uk



A database approach for constraining fluvial geostatistical reservoir models: concepts, workflow and examples









nexen saudi Aramco woodside



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

Luca Colombera email: eelc@leeds.ac.uk mobile: +44 (0)7554096074 A database approach for constraining fluvial geostatistical reservoir models: concepts, workflow and examples



Luca Colombera, Fabrizio Felletti, Nigel P. Mountney, William D. McCaffrey **UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS**

BASIC FAKTS **OUTPUT**



FAKTS allows probability density functions of given dimensions to be derived or syntheses of aspect ratios for any genetic unit or geneticunit type to be computed, choosing whether to include or not underestimated (partial and unlimited) and overestimated (apparent) dimensions.



Genetic-unit transition statistics



FAKTS can be queried to derive data on occurrences of transitions between genetic units, in order to obtain a quantitative description of spatial depositional trends. To further characterize genetic units internally, transition statistics can be filtered so that only transitions observed within the type of element investigated and across given boundingsurface orders are taken into account. Through a special query, 2D- and 3D-dataset transitions can be filtered with random selections in order to force the sampling to be one dimensional.

Material-unit properties

GENETIC UNITS

В

В

D

D

С

Α

We define FAKTS material units as contiguous volumes of sediment characterized by having the same value of a given categorical or discretized continuous variable, or of any combination of two or more of them. For example we may wish to define a material unit on the basis of a given lithofacies type, or on the basis of a threshold percentage content in clay and silt, or on the combination of the two criteria. An individual material unit would then correspond with all the physically adjacent FAKTS genetic units having the required attribute values. Practically, this means that we can derive virtually any type of user defined reservoir and non-reservoir categories and their relative reservoir-modeling constraints.

One important implication is that the geometry of material units defined on genetic-unit types are different from the geometry of genetic units of that type, invariably resulting in larger size distributions, which will importantly control indicator variogram ranges. As material units are not directly stored within the FAKTS database, they are generated by querying N-times for properlyclassified vertically and laterally juxtaposed genetic units, as sketched in the figure on the left.

Α

С

С

A



DATABASE-DERIVED RESERVOIR MODELING CONSTRAINTS

While some FAKTS output can be directly used as input to software for the structure-imitating simulation of fluvial sedimentary architecture, some key input parameters - like size ratios, transition rates and indicator autovariograms or cross-variograms – require additional data processing, as outlined here (and discussed in greater detail in Colombera et al., accepted).

Indicator auto-variograms

For every direction of FAKTS' space, descriptive statistics (mean and coefficient of variation) of the size of material units (thickness, strike-width and dip-length) can be used in conjunction with their proportions to derive the ranges of materialunit indicator auto-variograms, whereas their sills can be calculated from material-unit marginal probabilities (i.e. proportions) and the variogram model inferred from the coefficient of variation of the dimensional parameters, as formulated by Ritzi (2000).

This means that FAKTS permits informing indicator variogram models referring to any type of material unit (so to any user-defined reservoir and nor-reservoir modeling categories) whenever the scarcity of direct data impedes the typical curve-fitting procedure: for hydrocarbon reservoirs this is routinely the case in the horizontal directions as the majority of boreholes are vertically oriented and too widely spaced to provide usable horizontal indicator variograms.

Indicator cross-variograms

The sills of indicator cross-variogram models referring to a pair of material units for a given direction can be computed from unit proportions, as they approach –p,p, (Carle & Fogg 1996), whereas cross-variogram ranges

