1	Exploring Medical Communication & Media
2	Influence on Public Health Perceptions
3	During the COVID-19 Pandemic
4	
5	COVID-19 Medical Communication & Contemporary
6	Media Complexity
7	
8	Dr Kishan David Carlyon Rees, MBA
9	Submitted for fulfilment of Doctor of Medicine (MD)
10	The University of Hull & The University of York
11	Hull York Medical School
12	February
13	2025

14 Abstract

15 Background

- 16 The COVID-19 pandemic marked the first global health crisis to unfold in a highly connected digital
- 17 media environment. Understanding how social and mainstream media shaped public health
- 18 communication during this period is crucial for improving future pandemic responses. Early pandemic
- 19 communication was complicated by rapid information spread through social media, which often
- 20 outpaced official channels and created challenges for effective public health messaging.

21 Research Question

- How did social and mainstream media shape public perceptions and responses during the COVID-19
- 23 pandemic, and what were the lived experiences and understandings among both healthcare
- 24 professionals and members of the public regarding social and mainstream media broadcasting 15
- 25 months into the pandemic?

26 Literature

- 27 A narrative review explored three key areas: the evolution of media technologies and landscapes,
- 28 communication strategies from past pandemics, and expert perspectives on initial COVID-19
- 29 coverage. The review highlighted the unprecedented nature of pandemic communication in an
- 30 environment where social media enabled instant global information sharing while also facilitating the
- 31 spread of misinformation.

32 Methods

- 33 The study employed Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) methodology to examine the
- 34 lived experiences of 40 participants (20 healthcare professionals and 20 members of the public)
- 35 through in-depth, semi-structured interviews conducted 15 months into the pandemic. Analysis was
- 36 enhanced through innovative use of AI-assisted tools while maintaining IPA's idiographic focus.

37 Results

- 38 Analysis revealed distinct Group Experiential Themes (GETs) for healthcare professionals and the
- 39 public. Healthcare professionals demonstrated more sophisticated information evaluation strategies
- 40 but struggled with bridging professional knowledge and public understanding. The public showed
- 41 greater vulnerability to misinformation but developed increasingly critical approaches to media
- 42 consumption over time. Both groups experienced evolution in their trust of different information
- 43 sources throughout the pandemic.

44 Discussion

- 45 The findings highlighted how modern media environments complicate traditional public health
- 46 communication approaches. The research identified crucial differences in how healthcare
- 47 professionals and the public processed pandemic information, while also revealing shared challenges
- 48 in navigating the complex media landscape. The study extends understanding of how social media
- 49 platforms can both enhance and hinder effective health communication during crises.

50 Conclusions

- 51 The research demonstrates a fundamental shift from a 'deferrer society' to a 'referrer society' in public
- 52 health communication, necessitating new approaches to crisis communication that can harness social
- 53 media's speed and reach while maintaining message integrity. Findings suggest future pandemic
- 54 responses must balance traditional authority with new forms of collaborative knowledge creation, while
- 55 supporting both healthcare professionals and the public in navigating complex information landscapes.

56 Table of Contents

57	Abstract2-
58	Background2 -
59	Research Question2-
60	Literature2-
61	Methods2-
62	Results 2 -
63	Discussion2-
64	Conclusions2-
65	Table of Contents 3 -
66	List of Tables 16 -
67	List of Figures 16 -
68	Acknowledgements 17 -
69	Author Declaration 18 -
70	1.0 Introduction 19 -
71	1.1 Background 19 -
72	1.1.1 The Wuhan Whistle-blower: A Case Study in Early Pandemic Communication 19 -
73	1.1.2 Early Pandemic Behavioural Indicators 20 -
74	1.1.3 What Is Effective Communication & To Whom? 21 -
75	1.2 Research Questions 23 -
76	1.2.1 Research Aims 23 -

77	2.0 Literature Review 24	-
78	2.1 Introduction 24	-
79	2.1.1 What is Health Communication? 24	-
80	2.1.2 The Evolution of Health Communication: Social Media's Growing Role & Impact 28	-
81	2.1.3 Medical Practice: Dealing With Uncertainty & Ambiguity 29	-
82	2.1.4 Health Crisis Communications 30	-
83	2.2 Literature Review Methodology 31	-
84	2.3 Overview of Literature Review 32	-
85	SECTION I 34	-
86	2.4 The Evolution of Media: From Traditional to Social 34	-
87	2.4.1 Traditional Media	-
88	2.4.2 The Deferrer Society: Constraints of Traditional Media	-
89	2.4.3 The Digital Revolution & The Rise of Social Media	-
90	2.5 Implications for Pandemic Communication	-
91	2.6 The Revolution of Media: Social Media & It's Impacts 36	-
92	2.6.1 Social Media: Connectivity, Communication & Coverage	-
93	2.6.2 Social Media: Challenging Mainstream Media's Business Model	-
94	2.6.3 The Impact of Social Media Addiction on Public Health Communication	-
95	2.7 The Rise of the Referrer Society: Trust & Information Dissemination	-
96	2.8 Social Media as a Catalyst for Societal Change via Information Dissemination 41	-
97	2.9 New Media's Influence on Psychological Health, Medical Practices, & Public Opinion 41	-
98	2.9.1 Historical Impact of Media on Public Opinion & Psychological Health	-

99	2.9.2 Establishing New Media's Causal Connection in Political & Health Arenas 41 -
100	2.9.3 New Media in Medical Contexts: A Double Edged Sword 42 -
101	2.9.4 The Complex Influence of Media: The Werther Effect & The Papageno Effect 42 -
102	2.10 Pandemic Communication in the Social Media Era 43 -
103	2.10.1 Challenges & Opportunities 43 -
104	2.10.2 Considerations for Navigating Infodemics 44 -
105	2.10.3 The Start of the COVID-19 Infodemic 45 -
106	2.10.4 Infodemics Impact on Pandemic Public Health Communication
107	2.10.5 Miscommunication in Mainstream Media & Social Media Volatility: A UK Example 48 -
108	2.10.6 Virtual Volatility & Real-World Protests: Online Communication & Physical Violence - 49
109	-
110	2.11 Recent Empirical Applications in COVID-19 Communication
111	SECTION II 51 -
112	2.12 The Imperative of Effective Communication in the Context of an Evolving Pandemic & Media
113	Landscape 51 -
114	2.12.1 The Contemporary Media Environment & Current Challenges 51 -
115	2.12.2 The Importance of Clear Communication Amidst Pandemic Complexity
116	2.13 Learning from Past Pandemics: The Relevance of Historical Best Practices
117	2.14 The Significance of Effective Communication Strategies in Pandemic Management: Lessons
118	from the Past 52 -
119	2.14.1 A Playbook for Pandemic Communication: Dr Barbara Reynolds' Experience 52 -
120	2.14.2 Positive, Proactive Applications: Social Mobilisation Efforts

121	2.14.3 Consequences of Neglected Communication: The Emergence of an "Infodemic" 54 -
122	2.14.4 The Influence of Broadcast Drama on Behaviour Change: Integrating Public Health
123	Messaging & Psychological Perspectives 54 -
124	2.14.5 Navigating the Media Landscape During a Pandemic: The Politicisation of Public Health
125	55 -
126	2.14.6 Trust & Credibility in COVID-19 Health Communication
127	2.14.6.1 Challenges in Communicating COVID-19 Information: The Importance of
128	Transparency & Accuracy 57 -
129	2.14.7 Challenges in Information Dissemination 57 -
130	2.14.8 Balancing Trust & Realism: The Delicate Art of Pandemic Leadership Communications
131	57 -
132	SECTION III 58 -
133	2.15 Expert Opinion on Initial COVID-19 Coverage 58 -
134	2.15.1 Saad Omer - January 2020: Communicating Complexity & The Impact of
135	Communication Styles on Real-World Behaviours 58 -
136	2.15.2 Reflections from Frontline Journalists: 'Reporting Coronavirus' March – August 2020- 60 -
137	2.16 Royal Society of Medicine (RSM) Webinar 'COVID-19 - Media in A Health Crisis?' - May
138	2021 61 -
139	2.16.1 Overview 61 -
140	2.16.2 Fear-Driven Compliance: The Role of Emotion in Public Health Messaging 62 -
141	2.16.3 The Need for a Timely Public Inquiry: Learning Lessons Amid Ongoing Threats 62 -
142	2.16.4 Local vs. National Messaging: Overcoming Barriers & Ensuring Effective Communication -
143	62 -

144	2.16.5 The Impact of Centralised Control on Public Health Communication & Trust 63 -
145	2.16.6 Diverse Media Landscapes & Human Error: The Challenge of Maintaining Public
146	Engagement 63 -
147	2.16.7 Slogans & Media Medics: Balancing Simplification & Integrity in Public Health Messaging-
148	64 -
149	2.16.8 Building Trust & Transparency: Key Elements for a Successful Public Health Response
150	64 -
151	2.16.9 Summary 65 -
152	2.17 Role of Media & Communications in Pandemic Prevention
153	2.17.1 The Power of Seven Framework 65 -
154	2.17.2 Pandemic Communication Considerations 66 -
155	2.17.3 Complexity in Communicating Novel Nature 66 -
156	2.17.4 Constant Communication 67 -
157	2.18 The Politicisation of Science & Implications for Public Health Response
158	2.18.1 The Role of Social Media in Shaping The 'Global Political Village' & Influencing Public
159	Perception 68 -
160	2.19 Former U.S. President Barack Obama's Insights on the Challenges to Democracy in a Digital
161	Information Realm 69 -
162	3.0 Methodology 70 -
163	3.1 The Landscape of Medical Education Research 71 -
164	3.2 Qualitative, Quantitative, or Mixed Methods? 72 -
165	3.3 Axiology 73 -

166	3.3.1 Axiological Approach	- 73 -
167	3.4 Ontology, Epistemology & Research Underpinnings	- 74 -
168	3.4.1 Ontological Stance	- 74 -
169	3.4.2 Epistemology & Research Paradigms	- 74 -
170	3.5 Ontological & Epistemological Foundations of Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis	(IPA)
171	in COVID-19 Media Experience Research	- 77 -
172	3.5.1 Introduction to Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA)	- 77 -
173	3.5.2 Theoretical Underpinnings of IPA	- 78 -
174	3.5.3 Application of IPA to Media Experience Research	- 78 -
175	3.5.4 Rationale for Using IPA	- 78 -
176	3.5.5 Ontological & Epistemological Considerations	- 78 -
177	3.5.6 Methodological Considerations	- 79 -
178	3.5.7 Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in IPA Research	- 80 -
179	3.5.8 Summary of Ontological & Epistemological Foundations	- 81 -
180	3.6 Expanding IPA: Considerations on Sample Size & AI-Assisted Analysis	- 82 -
181	3.6.1 The Challenge of Scale in IPA	- 82 -
182	3.6.2 Potential Benefits of Larger Samples	- 82 -
183	3.6.3 Potential Challenges to IPA Philosophy	- 82 -
184	3.6.4 Revisiting the Core Elements of IPA	- 83 -
185	3.6.5 AI as a Tool in IPA: Opportunities & Limitations	- 83 -
186	3.6.6 Contrasting with Reflexive Thematic Analysis (RTA)	- 84 -
187	3.6.7 Conclusion: Navigating the Tensions	- 84 -

188	3.7 Formulating a Research Question	86 -
189	3.8 Ethics Application	86 -
190	3.9 Rationale for Individual Interviews	86 -
191	3.9.1 Timing of Interviews	87 -
192	3.9.2 Participant Selection & Sampling	87 -
193	3.9.3 Reception of Participation	87 -
194	3.9.4 Conducting Interviews	88 -
195	3.9.5 Interviewer	88 -
196	3.9.6 Data Collection	88 -
197	3.10 Phenomenological Research Principles	89 -
198	3.10.1 Bracketing	89 -
199	3.10.2 Intuiting	90 -
200	3.10.3 Analysing	91 -
201	3.10.4 Describing	91 -
202	3.11 Traditional IPA Methodology	92 -
203	3.12 An IPA Study with Use of AI Tools	93 -
204	3.12.1 Using ChatGPT 3 for Experimentation & Exploration	94 -
205	3.12.2 Using ChatGPT 4 to Identify All Themes & Substantiating Quotes from Transcripts	s 94 -
206	3.11.3 Transcription & Revisiting Interviews: The Role of Descript	96 -
207	3.12 Embracing AI Enabled Technologies in Future IPA Research: A Concluding Reflection	96 -
208	3.13 Rigour in Research	97 -
209	3.14 Reflexivity Section	98 -

210	3.14.1 Communication & Media in Medical Practice 101 -
211	3.14.2 Research Interests & Approach 101 -
212	4.0 Results - Healthcare Professionals 104 -
213	4.1 Overview 104 -
214	4.2 Healthcare Professional Participant Summaries 107 -
215	4.2.1 Christina's Story A 45-49F Healthcare Professional of Philippine Origin 107 -
216	4.2.2 Anjali's Story A 30-34F Healthcare Professional of Indian Origin 109 -
217	4.2.3 Agnieszka's Story A 18-19F Medical Student of Polish Origin 111 -
218	4.2.4 Radha's Story A 25-29F Foundation Doctor of Indian Origin 113 -
219	4.2.5 Owen's Story A 40-44M General Practitioner of British Origin 116 -
220	4.2.6 Becky's Story A 35-39F Ex-Surgeon & Pharmaceutical Professional of British Origin 118
221	-
222	4.2.7 Louise's Story A 30-35F Cardiology Registrar of German Origin 121 -
223	4.2.8 Claire's Story A 40-44 F General Practitioner of British Origin 124 -
224	4.2.9 Pravin's Story A 65-69M Consultant Paediatrician of Indian Origin 127 -
225	4.2.10 Laura's Story A 18-19F Medical Student of British Origin 128 -
226	4.2.11 Evelyn's Story A 55-59F Psychotherapist of British Origin 131 -
227	4.2.12 Hannah's Story A 25-29F Trainee Clinical Psychologist of British Origin 133 -
228	4.2.13 Simon's Story A 20-24M Foundation Doctor of British Origin 137 -
229	4.2.14 Sebastian's Story A 40-44M Senior Medical Director of British Origin 140 -
230	4.2.15 Claudia's Story A 25-29 Foundation Doctor of Swiss Origin 143 -
231	4.2.16 Ivana's Story A 24-29F Clinical Project Associate 146 -

232	4.2.17 Martin's Story A 50-54M Emergency Medicine Consultant of British Origin	148 -
233	4.2.18 Phoebe's Story A 20-24F Medical Student of British Origin	152 -
234	4.2.19 Peter's Story A 50-54M Patient Organisation CEO of British Origin	155 -
235	4.2.20 Aiste's Story A 25-29F Surgical Trainee of Lithuanian Origin	157 -
236	4.3 Healthcare Professionals - Group Experiential Themes (GETs)	159 -
237	5.0 Results – General Public	163 -
238	5.1 Overview	163 -
239	5.2 General Public Participant Summaries	165 -
240	5.2.1 Graham's Story A 65-69M Retired Virologist of English Origin	165 -
241	5.2.2 Chloe's Story A 24-29F Pharmaceutical Professional of English Origin	167 -
242	5.2.3 Howard's Story A 70-74M Retired Research Chemist of English Origin	170 -
243	5.2.4 Nadeem's Story A 50-54M Taxi Driver of Pakistani Origin	172 -
244	5.2.5 Margaret's Story a 50-54F Account Director of English Origin	173 -
245	5.2.6 Nigel's Story A 45-49M News Anchor of British Origin	176 -
246	5.2.7 Kenneth's Story A 65-69M Deputy Head Teacher of English Origin	179 -
247	5.2.8 Sakura's Story A 30-34F Pharmaceutical Professional of Japanese Origin	180 -
248	5.2.9 Lena's Story A 30-34F Flight Attendant of German Origin	182 -
249	5.2.10 Tariq's Story A 35-39M Businessman & Hypnotist of Indian Origin	184 -
250	5.2.11 Charles's Story A 35-39M Filmmaker of British Origin	186 -
251	5.2.12 Marcia's Story A 40-44F Procurement Professional of Jamaican Origin	191 -
252	5.2.13 Angela's Story A 50-54F Mental Health Practitioner of British Origin	193 -
253	5.2.14 Clifford's Story A 60-64M Stand-up Comedian of British Origin	196 -

254	5.2.15 Ritu's Story A 30-34F Lawyer of Indian Origin 198 -
255	5.2.16 Kamran's Story A 25-29M Sales Professional of Pakistani Origin 200 -
256	5.2.17 Sophie's Story A 35-39F Scientist of British Origin 202 -
257	5.2.18 Ben's Story A 25-29M Medical Educator of British Origin 205 -
258	5.2.19 Alice's Story A 25-29F Strategy Director of British Origin 208 -
259	5.2.20 Maddison's Story A 25-29F Medical Educator of Australian Origin 213 -
260	5.3 General Public - Group Experiential Themes (GETs) 216 -
261	5.4 Group Experiential Themes Across Healthcare Professionals & General Public 219 -
262	6.0 Discussion 220 -
263	6.1 Summary of Findings 220 -
264	6.2 Theoretical Framework & Findings 222 -
265	6.3 The Looming Threat of Viral Misinformation 223 -
266	6.3.1 Countering Misinformation & Encouraging Critical Appraisal 225 -
267	6.3.2 More Than Merely Misinformation? 227 -
268	6.4 Variable Individual Impact of COVID-19 Related Media 228 -
269	6.4.1 Initial Disbelief & Rolling Realisation 230 -
270	6.4.2 Factors Driving COVID-19 Related Anxiety 231 -
271	6.5 Social Media - The Beauty, The Beast & The Double Edged Sword 233 -
272	6.5.1 The Consumption of News on Social Media 235 -
273	6.6 Role of Celebrity Influencers & Media Doctors 237 -
274	6.7 Centralised "Command & Control" Approach to Communications & The Role of 'Unofficial'
275	Media Medics 238 -

276	6.8 Filter Bubbles, The Information Age, Echo Chambers, Algorithmic Awareness & Their Effects
277	on Public Perception in a Pandemic 240 -
278	6.8.1 Filter Bubbles 240 -
279	6.8.2 Echo Chambers 241 -
280	6.8.3 The Information Age: A Time of Information Overload & Information Disorder 241 -
281	6.8.4 Algorithmic Awareness 242 -
282	6.9 Impact of Infodemics 243 -
283	6.10 Consequences of Politicising & Sensationalising COVID-19 Content
284	6.10.1 Flawed messaging 246 -
285	6.10.2. Impact on trust and anxiety 246 -
286	6.10.3 Political interference 247 -
287	6.11 Trust in Authorities 247 -
288	6.11.1 Pandemic Preparedness 250 -
289	6.11.2 Public Response to Government Actions 251 -
290	6.12 Strengths & Limitations 251 -
291	6.12.1 Methodological Considerations 252 -
292	6.12.2 Temporal Context 254 -
293	6.12.3 Additional Use of AI enabled tools 254 -
294	6.12.4 Cross-Group Analysis 255 -
295	7.0 Conclusions & Recommendations 258 -
296	7.1 Historical Precedent & Today's Parallels 258 -
297	7.2 Addressing Research Aims & Questions 259 -

298	7.2.1 Impact on Public Understanding & Behaviour 260 -
299	7.2.2 Information Dissemination Challenges 260 -
300	7.2.3 Role of Trust in Authorities 261 -
301	7.2.4 Factors Influencing Communication Effectiveness 261 -
302	7.3 Recommendations for Future Practice 262 -
303	7.3.1 Tailored Communication Strategies 262 -
304	7.3.2 Enhanced Information Access 262 -
305	7.3.3 Professional Development 262 -
306	7.3.4 Public Education 262 -
307	7.3.5 Professional & Regulatory 263 -
308	7.4 Future Research Directions 263 -
309	7.4.1 Long-term Impact Studies 263 -
310	7.4.2 Healthcare Professional Role Development 263 -
311	7.4.3 Emerging Technology Impact 264 -
312	7.4.4 Cross-Cultural Considerations 264 -
313	7.5 Concluding Remarks 264 -
314	References 267 -
315	Appendix I – Approval Letter From Ethics Committee 277 -
316	Appendix II - Invitation to Participant Text & Artwork 278 -
317	Appendix III - Research Study Information Sheet 279 -
318	Appendix IV - Participant Consent Form 283 -
319	Appendix V - Questions Prepared for Semi-Structured Interviews 284 -

320	Appendix VI - Example of Transcript Processed into ChatGPT 4 28	36 -
321	Appendix VII – Miscellaneous Material 29)5 -
322	Glossary 30)4 -
323		

324

325 List of Tables

326	Table 1: Six salient points from 'Crisis, Emergency & Risk Communication'	(p53)
327	Table 2: Summary of Healthcare Professionals Participant's Baseline Characteristics	(p106)
328	Table 3: Summary of PETs & GETs for Healthcare Professionals	(p159)
329	Table 4: Summary of General Public Participant's Baseline Characteristics	(p164)
330	Table 5: Summary of PETs & GETs for General Public	(p216)
331	Table 6: GETs for Healthcare Professionals & General Public	(p219)

332 List of Figures

333	Figure 1: Collection of 'Stay Alert' memes demonstrating social media's ability for people	le to coalesce,
334	communicate & immediately contribute their thoughts on public health messaging	(p236)
335	Figure 2: Optimising Health Crisis Communication Infographic	(p263)

336 Acknowledgements

337 I am incredibly lucky to have been supported professionally and personally while completing this 338 research project. Thank you to my supervisor Professor Martin Veysey for offering me the opportunity 339 to conduct a higher degree at Hull York Medical School while also being an honorary lecturer. I have 340 really enjoyed ideating the various iterations of this project and have learnt so much. The other two 341 thirds of my supervisory team consisted of Professor Gabrielle Finn and Dr Paul Crampton. Gabs and 342 Paul thank you so much for your wisdom and guidance on the qualitative aspects of this research as 343 well as your time, patience and allowing both the project, and I as a researcher, to develop. In this 344 regard I am incredibly thankful to the 40 people who gave up their time and shared their insights and 345experiences of media in the pandemic. I hope my write up has done your stories justice and may 346 provide knowledge for communicating future health crises.

347 Finally, I could not have completed this without the love and support of my family, for which I am 348 most grateful. Whether it be the formative environment my Mum and Dad provided, giving me an 349 inquisitive mind coupled with a desire to disrupt and positively influence the practice of Medicine. My 350 brother Kieren for always being willing to listen carefully, advise and provide concise feedback. Last 351 but not least my partner and best friend Josephine. Josie thanks for providing a much appreciated 352 German sense of order and structure to the fluid British creative process, which at times may seem a 353 bit chaotic! With this submitted, and evenings and weekends now free again, very much looking 354 forward to getting out on the bikes and exploring London.

355 Author Declaration

363

I confirm that this work is original and that if any passage(s) or diagram(s) have been copied from academic papers, books, the internet, or any other sources these are clearly identified by the use of quotation marks and the reference(s) is fully cited. I certify that, other than where indicated, this is my own work and does not breach the regulations of HYMS, the University of Hull or the University of York regarding plagiarism or academic conduct in examinations. I have read the HYMS Code of Practice on Academic Misconduct, and state that this piece of work is my own and does not contain any unacknowledged work from any other sources I confirm that any participant information obtained

to produce this piece of work has been appropriately anonymised.

364 1.0 Introduction

365 This chapter provides important background context around communication challenges during the 366 early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic. It highlights the critical case of Dr Li Wenliang, whose 367 attempts to raise early warnings were initially suppressed by local authorities in Wuhan, China. This 368 case underscores the rapid dissemination of information through social media, which far surpasses 369 speed of information from institutional sources, in the earliest pandemic stages. It emphasises the 370 complexity of communication during health crises amid the prevalence of issues like confusion, fear, 371 and mistrust among the public. As millions of undiscovered viruses continue to pose threats to human 372 health, this chapter argues that understanding communication during COVID-19 is crucial to 373 informing strategies for future pandemics. The aim is to draw lessons from participants' lived 374 experiences during this pandemic and apply those insights to enhancing communication for future 375 outbreaks. Overall, this chapter situates the research within the wider context of health communication 376 challenges and the ongoing need to derive learnings for mitigating future risks.

377 1.1 Background

1.1.1 The Wuhan Whistle-blower: A Case Study in Early Pandemic Communication

379 On December 30, 2019, Dr Li Wenliang, an ophthalmologist in Wuhan, China, experienced a critical

380 juncture in the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic. After attempting to raise awareness about an

- 381 unusual illness resembling Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) and Middle Eastern
- 382 Respiratory Syndrome (MERS), Dr Wenliang was reprimanded by the public security bureau for

383 "spreading rumours that disturbed the public order". His well-intentioned message, shared privately

- with medical school colleagues via WeChat, warned of the emerging pathogen SARS-CoV-2 and the
- 385 subsequent Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19).

387 his pregnant wife. Although the Chinese government later apologised for their accusations, Dr

³⁸⁶ Tragically, Dr Wenliang died from COVID-19 on February 7, 2020, at the age of 33, leaving behind

388 Wenliang's story remains emblematic of the early mismanagement of the crisis (Farrar 2021). The 389 suppression of information raises questions about the potential impact of timely communication on 390 the global pandemic response.

With COVID-19 being the first pandemic to play out in a 24/7 media environment, it is fitting for a medical professional to pursue using social media as a tool to spread awareness in the earliest days of this outbreak, before it was declared a pandemic.

394 This case study highlights two critical aspects of modern health communication. First, the rapid

395 dissemination of emerging information via new media channels outpaces official announcements from

396 central governments and organisations such as The World Health Organisation (WHO). This speed

397 differential has persisted throughout the pandemic, prompting questions about its influence on

398 individuals' reactions and subsequent health behaviours. Second, Dr Wenliang's case demonstrates

399 the importance of professional networks allowing for rapid oversight of local outbreaks and

400 subsequent global awareness, both of which are facilitated by modern communication technologies.

401 Given the public nature and ability to, via social media, rapidly share both validated expert opinion

402 and unvalidated speculation on an unprecedented scale; this is an area worthy of academic exploration

403 in context of future pandemic communications.

404 1.1.2 Early Pandemic Behavioural Indicators

As early as April 2020, the literature suggests 'Functional fear' was a good predictor of public health compliance in the COVID-19 pandemic (Harper et al. 2020). Ahorsu et al. highlight that a feature unique to pandemic viral infections is the degree of fear which can be engendered across large swathes of the population (Ahorsu et al. 2020). Fear, a negative emotion, is characterised by extreme levels of emotional avoidance concerning specific stimuli (Perin et al. 2015).

410 Taylor and Asmundson's research from Canada suggests that during the COVID-19 pandemic, some

411 people have developed 'COVID Stress Syndrome'. Characterised by fear of infection, fear of touching

surfaces or objects that might be contaminated with the novel coronavirus, xenophobia (i.e., fear that
foreigners might be infected with the virus), COVID-related checking and reassurance seeking and
COVID-related traumatic stress symptoms (e.g. COVID-related intrusive thoughts and nightmares)
(Taylor and Asmundson 2020).

These are all important considerations, especially in a 24/7 media environment, as the true impact of how concerning COVID-19 information was communicated may potentially not be known for many years. As a result of the current pandemic, if research on natural disasters is used as a reference, we can estimate that 10% of people develop severe psychological problems, such as mood disorders, anxiety disorders or post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Galatzer-Levy, Huang, and Bonanno 2018).

However, considering the SARS outbreak of 2003, the percentage of those suffering could be even higher. A four-year follow up study of 70 survivors of SARS found that 44% developed PTSD (Hong et al. 2009). Even after recovering from the physical effects of SARS, the psychological effects continued in 82%. It is, therefore, unsurprising to see PTSD being predicted as the 'second tsunami of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic' (Dutheil, Mondillon, and Navel 2020). The broader potential impact of pandemic communications therefore highlights the importance of clear communication and coherent leadership during a health crisis such as COVID-19.

429 1.1.3 What Is Effective Communication & To Whom?

The unprecedented complexity of communication during a health emergency like COVID-19 has resulted in confusion, fear, fatigue, issues with trust, and denial, all of which emerged as themes in this research. By examining the lived experiences of diverse participants, this study aims to deeply explore and understand the impact of COVID-19 communications on individuals' lives.

434 The estimated millions of currently undiscovered viruses (Carroll et al. 2018) and fungi (Kolby 2020)

435 have the potential to negatively impact human health on a far greater scale than the already significant

disruption of COVID-19. Therefore, understanding communication during this pandemic is crucial.
Factors such as increasing global travel, urbanisation, and deforestation contribute to the growing
likelihood of pandemics (Madhav et al. 2018). With technological advancements like the 'metaverse'
(Mystakidis 2022) and the advancing role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in healthcare (Bohr and
Memarzadeh 2020) creating increasingly immersive online worlds, the implications for communication
in future pandemics is worthwhile considering.

To build on the insights gleaned from the case of Dr Wenliang and the complex communication landscape during health emergencies, the next section outlines the aims of this research, focusing on the specific objectives and questions that will guide the investigation of communication across various forms of media during the COVID-19 pandemic and its implications for future health crises. This research seeks to draw lessons from participants' lived experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic, in hopes of informing health communication strategies for future pandemics.

448 In the context of this thesis, 'effective communication' refers to the clear, accurate, and timely

449 dissemination of information that enables recipients to make informed decisions and take appropriate

450 actions. It encompasses not only the content of the message but also the channels through which it is

451 delivered, the credibility of the source, and the ability to adapt messaging to diverse audiences.

452 This research considers two key populations: healthcare professionals and the general public.

453 Healthcare professionals include doctors, nurses, and other medical staff who are both consumers and

454 disseminators of pandemic-related information. The general public encompasses individuals from

455 various backgrounds who rely on different media sources for information about the pandemic.

456 1.2 Research Questions

457 This study aims to examine:

458	1.	"How social and mainstream media shaped public perceptions and responses during the	
459		COVID-19 pandemic?"	
460	2.	"What were the lived experiences and understandings, among both medically qualified and	
461		members of the public, of social and mainstream media broadcasting 15 months into the	
462		COVID-19 pandemic?"	
463	3 1.2.1 Research Aims		
464	1.	Explore the impact of social and mainstream media on public understanding and behaviour	
465		during the COVID-19 pandemic.	
466	2.	Investigate the challenges of information dissemination and consumption in the contemporary	
467		media environment during a global health crisis.	
468	3.	Examine the role of trust in authorities and media sources in shaping public response to	
469		pandemic-related information.	
470	4.	Identify key factors influencing the effectiveness of public health communication during the	
471		pandemic.	
472	5.	Generate insights to inform future pandemic communication strategies.	

473 2.0 Literature Review

474 This chapter provides a narrative review of the literature examining the media's role during 475 pandemics. The chapter begins with an introduction, an overview of the literature review, followed by 476 a methodology of how the review was conducted. It is then organised into three sections, each 477 exploring distinct facets of media. The first section considers evolution of media technologies and 478 landscapes, establishing crucial context about social media's foundations and capabilities. The second 479 delves into communication strategies utilised during past pandemics, analysing their relevance for 480 COVID-19. The third considers expert perspectives on media coverage during the initial COVID-19 481 outbreak, investigating potential challenges and consequences. Sources range from scholarly 482 publications to first-hand accounts from journalists reporting on the pandemic. Together, these 483 sections aim to equip readers with essential background knowledge about media's complex 484 relationship with pandemic communication. The literature provides a springboard for assessing media 485impacts on societies facing future outbreaks and anchors the current study within broader discourses 486on this critical issue. Overall, this review chapter maps the terrain of media's involvement in pandemic 487 response through an expansive, multi-disciplinary lens.

488 2.1 Introduction

489 2.1.1 What is Health Communication?

Health communication is constantly evolving in scope and scale with it becoming an increasingly prominent field in public health, healthcare, non-profit and private sectors. As a result, many authors and organisations have been attempting to define and/or redefine the term over time. There are discrepancies in some of these definitions given the various multi-disciplinary lenses that are being applied (Schiavo 2013). The commonalities from the twenty-one definitions by multiple organisations examined in Schiavo's core textbook were distilled into the following: 496 "Health communication is a multifaceted and multidisciplinary field of research, theory and practice. 497 It is concerned with reaching different populations and groups to exchange health-related information, 498 ideas, and methods in order to influence, engage, empower and support individuals, communities, 499 healthcare professionals, patients, policy makers, organisations... and the public, so that they will 500 champion, introduce, adopt, or sustain a health or social behaviour, practice, or policy, that will 501 ultimately improve individual, community and public health outcomes".

502 Successful health communication operates within a complex environment, which involves encouraging 503 and supporting a wide range of stakeholders to make and or absorb information and implement 504 behavioural changes as a result, be they members of the public to adopt and sustain healthy lifestyles, 505 health care professionals to provide evidence based care or policy makers implementing new 506 processes or procedures.

507 Schiavo also detailed some key characteristics of effective health communication including: people 508 centred, evidence based, multidisciplinary, strategic, process-oriented, cost-effective, creative in support 509 of strategy, audience- and media- specific, relationship building, aimed at behavioural and social 510 results, inclusive of vulnerable and underserved groups.

511 Clearly the wide range of disciplines involved in the successful deployment of health communications 512 make this a complex task. This complexity existed even before the emergence of the COVID-19 513 pandemic which only served to complicate things further. Having considered what health 514 communication is and what effective health communication looks like, the rest of this section 515 considers some of the seminal and underpinning theoretical literature around media and 516 communication theory.

517 Firstly, "mass communication" refers to messages transmitted to a large audience through one or more

518 forms of media (Deuze 2020). Media is the means of transmitting such messages. Subsequently,

519 communication theory considers how messages mean different things to different people according to

520 the different channels used to communicate them (Deuze 2020). Various communication theories

- 25 -

provide crucial frameworks for understanding the dynamics of information dissemination during a
pandemic. Key concepts include source credibility (Hovland and Weiss 1951), message framing
(Tversky and Kahneman 1981) and channel effects (McLuhan 1964).

524 Source credibility theory suggests that the perceived expertise and trustworthiness of information 525 sources significantly influence message reception and acceptance (Hovland and Weiss 1951). In the 526 context of pandemic communication, this may explain varying public responses to information from 527 health authorities versus celebrities or social media influencers.

528 Message framing theory posits that the way information is presented can significantly affect how it is 529received and acted upon (Tversky and Kahneman 1981). During a health crisis, framing effects may 530 impact public compliance with safety measures or vaccine acceptance. Further expanding on message 531 framing theory, a comprehensive meta-analysis by Gallagher and Updegraff examined the effects of 532 gain- versus loss-framed messages on health behaviours. Their findings revealed that gain-framed 533 messages were significantly more effective than loss-framed messages in promoting prevention 534behaviours, particularly for skin cancer prevention, smoking cessation, and physical activity. 535 Interestingly, this effect was most pronounced when examining actual behavioural outcomes rather 536 than attitudes or intentions. This distinction underscores the importance of assessing behavioural 537 measures in health communication research, as attitudes and intentions may not always translate 538 directly into action. The authors also found that for detection behaviours, such as screening, there was 539no significant overall advantage for either frame. These insights suggest that careful consideration of 540 message framing, tailored to the specific health behaviour being promoted, can enhance the 541 effectiveness of health communication strategies. In the context of a pandemic, such nuanced 542understanding of framing effects could be crucial in crafting messages that effectively promote 543 preventive behaviours like mask-wearing or vaccination (Gallagher and Updegraff 2012). 544Marshall McLuhan was a Canadian philosopher whose work is among the cornerstones of the study of

545 media theory. His core text "The Medium is the Message" is particularly relevant of consideration in

- 26 -

546 this section on the theoretical underpinnings of media and communication. His channel effects theory 547 proposes that the medium through which a message is transmitted can be as impactful as the message 548 itself. In the modern media landscape, this concept takes on new dimensions with the interplay 549 between traditional and social media channels - where multiple new mediums can be used to convey 550 messages. This was a concept firmly grounded in the theoretical literature by McLuhan highlighting 551 the importance of the medium used in shaping the perception of the message itself (McLuhan 1964). 552McLuhan's seminal work on the state of the then emerging phenomenon of mass media as it was in 553 1964 has enjoyed a notable resurgence of interest, in today's modern media environment with phrases 554such as "the global village" and "the medium is the message" becoming particularly relevant once 555more.

556A decade later, further research into the interplay between and importance of medium and message, 557 developed new knowledge pertinent to the theoretical considerations of this MD research. Worchel et 558 al's study with 242 introductory psychology students found while the type of media does have an effect, 559 it is dependent on both the message (whether it agrees with the audience or disagrees with them) and 560on the communicator (whether they are deemed trustworthy or non-trustworthy). When the message 561 agrees with the position held by the audience, the media over which it is presented makes little 562difference. An effect which holds true across the source of said message, whether they be newscaster 563 or political candidate (Worchel, Andreoli, and Eason 1975).

Whereas, when the position taken by the message disagrees with that held by the audience, then depending on the communicator, the type of media does have an effect on the persuasiveness of the message. There was also an interesting dimension related to trust in this regard. With the trusted communicator, television was by far the most effective media in producing attitude change and radio seems to be more effective than the written message. The opposite was found when the communicator is initially viewed as untrustworthy; here television is the least effective attitude change media, and the written communication is the most effective. When Worchel et al's study was conducted only speculation could be offered as to why the pattern outlined above emerged. One theory suggested that the more "live" the speech the more involvement on the part of the audience. With television more "live" than radio; and radio being more involving than the written word (Weiss 1969). Given the aforementioned theoretical underpinning, it is proposed this research poses a relevant examination of the impact of increasingly immersive content distributed across social media, concerning the COVID-19 pandemic.

577 2.1.2 The Evolution of Health Communication: Social Media's Growing Role & Impact

578 Building upon the theoretical foundations of health communication, it is crucial to consider the rapidly 579 evolving role of social media in this domain. As Moorhead et al comprehensively examined in their 580 systematic review, social media platforms have become increasingly integral to health communication 581 strategies among the public, patients, and healthcare professionals alike (Moorhead et al. 2013). The 582 rise of social media in health communication can be seen as a natural progression of McLuhan's 583 "global village" concept, where information now spreads rapidly across digital networks, transcending 584traditional geographical and temporal boundaries (McLuhan 1994). This shift aligns with the Centers 585 for Disease Control (CDC) Crisis & Emergency Risk Communication (CERC) Manual. This 586 framework's emphasis on ongoing two-way communication, as social media platforms inherently 587 facilitate real-time interactions and feedback loops between information sources and recipients.

588 The benefits of social media in health communication are multifaceted. Firstly, it dramatically

589 increases the potential for interaction, allowing for more dynamic and responsive communication

590 strategies. This interactivity dovetails with Worchel et al.'s findings on audience involvement and

591 message effectiveness (Worchel, Andreoli, and Eason 1975). Secondly, social media enables the

592 dissemination of more tailored and personalised health information, potentially enhancing message

593 relevance and impact as per the CERC manual's guidance on audience-specific messaging.

594 Furthermore, social media platforms have significantly expanded accessibility to health information,

595 reaching demographics that may have been underserved by traditional communication channels. This

- 28 -

increased reach is particularly pertinent when considering Schiavo's emphasis on inclusive healthcommunication strategies (Schiavo 2013).

Social media also facilitates peer support networks, providing a digital space for individuals to share experiences and information – a factor that may influence perceived self-efficacy, a key component in health behaviour change. From a public health perspective, social media has emerged as a powerful tool for health surveillance, allowing for real-time monitoring of health trends and public sentiment. This capability is especially valuable in crisis situations, enabling rapid response and targeted interventions.

However, the use of social media in health communication is not without limitations. Chief among these are concerns about information quality and reliability – issues that intersect with Hovland and Weiss's work on source credibility (Hovland and Weiss 1951). The democratisation of information dissemination, while beneficial in many ways, also poses challenges in maintaining message accuracy and credibility. Additionally, the use of social media raises important questions about confidentiality and privacy, particularly when dealing with sensitive health information.

610 As we continue to navigate the complex landscape of health communication in the digital age, it is

611 clear that social media will play an increasingly significant role. However, its effective use will require a

612 nuanced understanding of both its potential benefits and limitations, grounded in established

613 communication theories and adapted to the unique challenges of the modern information ecosystem.

614 2.1.3 Medical Practice: Dealing With Uncertainty & Ambiguity

615 The practise of medicine has always been characterised by uncertainty (Geller, Faden, and Levine

616 1990). As Sir William Osler alluded to over 100 years ago "medicine is a science of uncertainty, and

617 an art of probability" (Bean 1954). Some early work in this area suggests as training advances residents

618 may become more tolerant of ambiguity thus the training process produces physicians who can deal

619 with the ambiguity and uncertainty of clinical practice (DeForge and Sobal 1991).

The concept of uncertainty has been explored recently in medical education, with a particular focus on how clinical medical students navigate ambiguous situations (Stephens, Sarkar, and Lazarus 2022). The authors emphasise that uncertainty is inherent to medical practice, a reality that has been magnified during the COVID-19 pandemic, when dealing with a pandemic caused by a novel pathogen. Their research underscores that uncertainty tolerance is not merely an emotional response but involves cognitive and behavioural strategies that can be developed over time.

While members of the medical profession may have been trained to deal with uncertainty and ambiguity, members of the public when placed in a situation where they are exposed to pandemic related media via social and mainstream channels will not have the benefit of that knowledge and training. It is hoped this research may provide insights into what constitutes effective public health communication in such a situation and what causes confusion and or disengagement with COVID-19 related content.

632 2.1.4 Health Crisis Communications

633 Well before the COVID-19 pandemic health communicators at the CDC, given their experience and 634 exposure dealing with prior pandemics developed an integrated model titled Crisis & Emergency Risk 635 Communication (CERC). CERC was pioneered as a general theoretical framework for explaining how 636 health communication functions within the contexts of risk and crisis (Veil et al. 2008). As a 637 framework CERC provides guidance emphasising the importance of ongoing two-way communication 638 to build trust and credibility. It also provides advice around channel and message characteristics. 639 CERC alludes to the fact risks and crises affect a wide variety of the public, all with variable needs, 640 interests and resources which in turn affects their communication channel preferences. Something 641 they advise paying particular attention to is the evolution of communication as a risk evolves into a 642 crisis, introducing new risks and as a crisis evolves to post crisis and recovery. From a message characteristic and persuasiveness perspective, the CERC framework emphasises tailoring messages to 643

audience needs and promoting self-efficacy. The premise behind this is if individuals believe they canact effectively to alleviate risk, they are more likely to do so.

646 2.2 Literature Review Methodology

647 A narrative literature review was conducted to explore the role of media during pandemics. Narrative 648 reviews are considered an important educational tool in continuing medical education and public 649 health (Bernardo, Nobre, and Jatene 2004). A narrative review approach was ultimately chosen for the 650 following reasons. Firstly, given the interdisciplinary nature of health communication and the broad 651 scope of our research questions, a narrative review allowed for the integration of diverse theoretical 652 perspectives and empirical findings. Secondly, this approach provided the flexibility to trace the 653 historical development of key concepts while also incorporating contemporary applications, 654 particularly in the rapidly evolving domain of social media and crisis communication. Lastly, a

narrative review facilitated the synthesis of complex theoretical frameworks in a manner that couldinform our specific research focus on pandemic communication.

657 While a traditional systematic search was not conducted, a comprehensive and structured approach 658 was employed to identify relevant theoretical and empirical work. Using suggested historical references 659 as a starting point, Research Rabbit - an AI-powered literature mapping tool, was utilised to explore the 660 broader landscape of communication theory and health communication research. This tool allowed 661 for the visualisation of citation networks and the identification of similar works across time. For 662 instance, McLuhan's seminal work "Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man" (McLuhan 1994) 663 was used as a seed publication, leading to the exploration of 1,077 similar works and 6,762 citations. 664Additionally, academic texts such as "Introduction to Digital Media" (Delfanti and Arvidsson 2019) 665 and "The Power of Platforms : Shaping Media and Society" (Nielsen and Ganter 2022) were used as 666 comprehensive jumping-off points into the literature, providing valuable insights into the past, present, 667 and future of digital media. This multi-faceted approach enabled a thorough examination of the 668 theoretical evolution and empirical applications of communication theories in health contexts, while

also ensuring coverage of contemporary digital media landscapes. The novel literature mapping tool,
Research Rabbit, identified all linked publications from databases including PubMed and Google
Scholar.

672 2.3 Overview of Literature Review

673 This literature review is organised into three main sections, each addressing distinct aspects of the 674media's role in the context of pandemics. Section I establishes the foundational role of media in 675 modern life, considering the literature pertaining to the use of social media in a pandemic. Section II 676 introduces the importance of effective communication during an evolving pandemic and media 677 landscape. This section explores the communication strategies employed during past pandemics over 678 the last two decades, even though these strategies unfolded within a significantly different media 679 environment - what elements remain relevant for the COVID-19 pandemic? One such example this 680 section examines is the work of Professor Barbara Reynolds, the CDC's first communications 681 professional to respond on the ground to the emerging bird flu outbreak in Hong Kong in 1997 682 (Quick 2018).

Having introduced the media landscape and learnings from past pandemics, Section III considers expert opinion on initial COVID-19 coverage. This section investigates the potential challenges and consequences of the initial stages of the COVID-19 pandemic as it unfolded in the complex media landscape. Key sources discussed here include an opinion piece by Saad Omer, Director of the Yale Institute for Global Health, published in <u>The New York Times</u> on January 23, 2020, in which he posed the question, *"Is America Ready for Another Outbreak.*?" (Omer 2020).

689 This section also considers the reflections of journalists on the front lines of reporting COVID-19, as

690 discussed in the book *"Reporting Coronavirus"*, which contains a collection of essays that provide

691 valuable 'behind the screens' insights into the evolving coverage of the pandemic's first six months.

692 Michael Jeremy, Director of News and Current Affairs at ITV, highlights the unprecedented nature of

693 the pandemic and its impact on television journalism in his opening quote: "As people increasingly

turned to mainstream media for information, the importance of reliable news sources became more apparent". Mary Nightingale, one of the journalists involved in *"Reporting Coronavirus"* (ITV News 2020) emphasised the essential role of highly regulated mainstream broadcasters in keeping people informed and safe. However, she went on to say this is in contrast to the highly unregulated "social media fanfare", where misinformation could cost lives. The interplay between these two forms of media, particularly during the initial stages of communication during a pandemic involving a novel pathogen, is a critical area of exploration.

Furthermore, this section presents the main themes from the Royal Society of Medicine (RSM)

webinar titled "Media in a Health Crisis?" broadcast on May 28, 2021, as part of their COVID-19

703 lecture series. A write up of this webinar has been included, as it formed part of my preparation in the

run up to competing the interviews. Considering the views of this expert panel as I completed

705 interviewing and then writing up the research participants lived experiences was helpful when drafting

706 topics that are presented in *'6.0 Discussion'*.

This literature review section aims to provide a solid foundation for readers who may not possess extensive background knowledge on the subject matter. In addition to conventionally referenced academic literature, news articles and websites will be hyperlinked to facilitate immediate access to relevant resources.

711 SECTION I

712 2.4 The Evolution of Media: From Traditional to Social

The media landscape has undergone significant transformation over the past few decades, profoundly impacting how information is disseminated during global health crises. This section will briefly outline the transition from traditional media to the current digital media environment, with a focus on the rise of social media and its implications for pandemic communication.

717 2.4.1 Traditional Media

Traditional media, including newspapers, radio, and television, have long been the primary sources of information for the general public. These forms of media were characterised by one-way mass communication, with limited opportunities for audience interaction or immediate feedback. During previous pandemics, such as the 1918 influenza pandemic, these traditional media channels played a crucial role in disseminating public health information.

723 2.4.2 The Deferrer Society: Constraints of Traditional Media

In the era spanning from the printing press to the advent of the World Wide Web, the media

representation and knowledge, with limited

726 opportunities for interaction and discussion among recipients. In the realm of traditional media, news

727 was disseminated at fixed times through one-way mass communication channels. Consequently, a

728 'deferrer society' emerged, marked by minimal interconnectivity.

729 Individuals were entirely reliant on traditional broadcast media, such as news programs, newspapers,

and magazines, for insights into the world beyond their immediate environment. The time lag between

- an event occurring and its coverage in print materials was a crucial factor contributing to delays in
- 732 knowledge dissemination. This distinctive aspect of the traditional media age restricted readers' ability
- to swiftly comment, engage in discussions, and share content with a broader audience.

- As this thesis will later explore, the 'deferrer society' contrasts starkly with the 'referrer society'
- 735 facilitated by the silicon chip and social media, which have uniquely shaped communication and
- 736 interaction during the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 737 2.4.3 The Digital Revolution & The Rise of Social Media
- 738 The advent of the internet and subsequent technological advancements, particularly the development
- of smartphones, has dramatically altered the media landscape. This digital revolution has given rise to
- new forms of media, most notably social media platforms, which have significantly changed how
- 741 individuals access, consume, and share information.
- 742 Key features of social media that have impacted pandemic communication include:
- Instant information sharing: Social media allows for real-time dissemination of information,
 enabling rapid updates during fast-evolving situations like pandemics.
- 745 2. User-generated content: Platforms enable individuals to create and share their own content,
- 746leading to a democratisation of information but also potential spread of mis-information.
- 747 3. Interactive nature: Unlike traditional media, social media facilitates two-way communication,
 748 allowing for immediate feedback and discussion.
- Global reach: Social media platforms can connect individuals across geographical boundaries,
 facilitating the spread of information (and mis-information) on a global scale.
- 5. Algorithmic content curation: Social media platforms use algorithms to personalise content,
- potentially creating echo chambers and filter bubbles that can influence how individuals
- 753 perceive pandemic-related information.
- 754 2.5 Implications for Pandemic Communication
- 755 The shift from traditional to social media has significant implications for how public health
- 756 information is communicated during pandemics:

- Speed of information spread: While beneficial for rapid updates, this can also lead to the
 quick proliferation of misinformation.
- 7592. Information overload: The sheer volume of information available can lead to confusion and760 difficulty in distinguishing credible sources.
- 761 3. Fragmented information landscape: The multitude of information sources can lead to762 inconsistent messaging and public confusion.
- 4. Challenges to authority: Traditional gatekeepers of information (e.g., health authorities,
 mainstream media) face challenges from alternative voices on social media.
- 765 2.6 The Revolution of Media: Social Media & It's Impacts
- 766 2.6.1 Social Media: Connectivity, Communication & Coverage
- 767 As inherently social beings, humans possess a fundamental need for contact and connection. Social
- 768 media platforms, through their influence on dopamine pathways, capitalise on these primal desires
- and offer an enticing space for engaging in conversations (Macit, Macit, and Güngör 2018).
- 770 Rapid advancements in globalisation and technology have provided innovative tools for interaction and
- engagement with others. Social media has revolutionised the way we communicate, maintain
- connections with family and friends, access information, and engage with news.

773
774	Conseq	uently.	social	networks	serve	to:
	conceq		DOCICL	neenon	00110	

- Rapidly disseminate information.
- Connect individuals globally.

• Enable users to join groups with shared passions and interests.

• Share and aggregate common ideas using hashtags.

The factors outlined above, in combination with the emergence of the internet, have brought about fundamental changes to the way information is produced, communicated, and distributed. From the information consumption perspective, a process which was once private has become public, further fuelling interaction and likes from other social media users.

783 These features have contributed to the immense popularity of social media, as evidenced by the

784 *"Digital 2021 Global Overview Report"* published by 'We Are Social' and 'Hootsuite' (Kemp 2021)

785 which provides essential insights into mobile, internet, and social media usage. As of January 2021, out

of a global population of 7.83 billion, 66.6% or 5.22 billion were mobile phone users, while 4.20

billion, or 53.6% of the global population, were active social media users. Collectively, the world's

social media users were projected to spend a total of 3.7 trillion hours on social media in 2021,

requivalent to more than 420 million years of combined human existence. Notably, during 2020, the

first year of the pandemic, over 1.3 million new users joined social media daily, translating to

approximately 15.5 users every second. Given the popularity and scale of social media usage, it is

rucial to investigate its impact on pandemic public health communication.

793 2.6.2 Social Media: Challenging Mainstream Media's Business Model

In his article, "You can't sell news for what it costs to make" (Filloux 2017), published by the Walkley

Foundation, an Australian non-profit organisation dedicated to promoting and supporting journalism

and the role of media in society, Filloux raised a crucial point regarding the relationship between social

and mainstream media two years before the emergence of the pandemic. He discussed the first largescale deployment of fake news on an industrial scale, marked by a wave of false reports propagated by
Donald Trump, which then reverberated within the social media echo chamber. Filloux refers to this
as "Ground Zero" in the phenomenon, which proved to be extremely effective. Considering that social
media platforms and internet technologies have significantly democratised news distribution, the
business model around news has made it increasingly challenging to profit from it.

803 Filloux contends that in the digital news business, neither the production costs nor the quality of the 804 content matter in terms of advertising prices. Advertisers are charged the same amount, regardless of 805 the quality of the editorial content hosting the advertising module. The cost of producing a news story 806 can vary widely. For example, a one-month investigation conducted by a team of reporters, reviewed 807 by editors and fact-checkers, may cost up to \$50,000 USD. This cost could increase substantially if the 808 story originates from a news bureau in Kabul, which might have annual fixed costs of one million 809 dollars. Conversely, a 500-word news article that takes a junior staff member and a subeditor half a day 810 to report, write, and edit may only cost a few hundred dollars to produce. However, both pieces of 811 journalism are sold to advertisers for the same amount, typically a few dollars for every thousand views, 812 also known as Cost per Mille (CPM).

813 Filloux's observation of the 2017 situation, where the distribution of misinformation parallels Moore's 814 Law with exponential growth in technology and rapid cost reduction, is highly relevant to the subject of 815 this thesis. As the research question seeks to examine the impact of and interplay between social and 816 mainstream media on global public health communication during a pandemic, it is crucial to consider 817 the implications of misinformation in the context of public health. The democratisation of news 818 distribution, combined with the proliferation of misinformation, has created an environment where 819 misleading or false information can spread rapidly and widely, potentially undermining public trust in 820 health authorities and affecting public health response efforts. The relationship between social and 821 mainstream media, as well as the consequences of their business models, may further exacerbate the

dissemination of inaccurate information during a pandemic. By exploring these dynamics, this thesis
aims to shed light on the role of media in shaping public health communication and the potential
consequences for global health during a pandemic.

825 2.6.3 The Impact of Social Media Addiction on Public Health Communication

In the book 'Digital Minimalism' (Newport 2019), addiction is described as a condition wherein an individual engages in the use of a substance or exhibits a behaviour for which the rewarding effects serve as a compelling incentive to repeatedly pursue the behaviour, despite detrimental consequences. It is essential to consider these factors when examining the perception and influence of public health communication messages disseminated within the complex contempory media environment.

831 Newport's research made quite clear even prior to the pandemic, society's unhealthy relationship with

832 social media was already a concern, as individuals often spent excessive time on these platforms,

833 seeking validation and instant gratification. This issue was further exacerbated during the first year of

834 the pandemic, as a significant increase in social media users was observed. People were constantly

835 searching for news and information about the pandemic, heightening their reliance on these platforms.

In this context, it becomes crucial to consider how social media addiction may affect the reception and interpretation of public health messages. Do public health officials need to adapt their communication strategies to account for the addictive nature of social media? Thus ensuring, that accurate, timely, and effective messages reach their intended audience, amidst the noise and distractions present in the digital environment.

841 2.7 The Rise of the Referrer Society: Trust & Information Dissemination

Trust has long been considered a critical factor in shaping people's relationships with media and news sources (Fletcher and Park 2017). In recent years, the digital landscape has witnessed an exponential increase in the variety of information sources available online, accompanied by the emergence of innovative tools, services, and social media applications that serve as intermediaries and enable unprecedented levels of interactivity around the news. The flow of information, and more importantly,
disinformation, can now disseminate instantaneously across the globe via individuals sharing content
within their networks and beyond, a phenomenon referred to as 'virality' (Denisova 2020).

849 The pervasive use of social media during a pandemic may present both opportunities and challenges 850 in terms of public health communication and response. This research seeks to further qualify those 851 challenges and opportunities. While social media platforms facilitate the rapid dissemination of 852 accurate information, enabling health organisations and governments to communicate important 853 information, updates, and strategies for managing the crisis. They can also facilitate the spread of mal-, 854 mis- and dis- information terms that have been defined below, as per a Council of Europe Report 855 titled 'Information Disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework for research and policy making' 856 (Wardle 2017).

857 2.7.1 Malinformation

Malinformation refers to genuine information that is shared with the intent to cause harm, often by taking it out of context or manipulating it to serve harmful purposes. This can include sharing private information to harm someone's reputation or leaking sensitive data to damage an organisation.

861 2.7.2 Misinformation

- 862 Misinformation refers to false or inaccurate information that is shared unintentionally, often by
- 863 individuals who genuinely believe the information to be true. This can result from misunderstandings,
- 864 cognitive biases, or a lack of knowledge about a particular subject.

865 2.7.3 Disinformation

- 866 Disinformation refers to deliberately created and disseminated false information or propaganda, often
- 867 with the intention of misleading or manipulating public opinion, causing confusion, or discrediting
- 868 specific individuals or organisations. It is typically created and spread by individuals or groups with a
- 869 specific agenda or goal in mind.

870 2.8 Social Media as a Catalyst for Societal Change via Information Dissemination

871 Social media platforms have played a significant role in driving societal change and revolution, as

872 evidenced by events such as the 'Arab Spring' (Khondker 2011), which was largely fuelled by the then-

873 emerging platform, Facebook. Additionally, the 2020 uprising in Belarus demonstrated the power of

874 secure private messaging apps, such as Telegram, in coordinating efforts of over 100,000 protesters

against Alexander Lukashenko's digital blackout, as reported by <u>WIRED Magazine</u> (Williams 2020).

876 In both instances, social media was a key factor in driving these social revolutions, showcasing the

877 potential impact of rapid information dissemination through digital channels.

878 2.9 New Media's Influence on Psychological Health, Medical Practices, & Public Opinion

879 2.9.1 Historical Impact of Media on Public Opinion & Psychological Health

880 Long before the emergence of new media, traditional mass media was already identified as a source of

great frustration for social scientists (Zaller 1996). Zaller described the difficulties faced by researchers

882 in demonstrating mass media's effects on public opinion, despite citizens in modern democracies

883 frequently developing opinions about political events beyond their direct experience. He argued that

884 contrary to the prevailing hypothesis of minimal effects, the persuasive impact of mass communication

in the domain of political communication should be considered closer to "massive" (Zaller 1996).

886 The influence of media on the psychological well-being of populations has long been recognised, as

887 seen in studies investigating the causal relationship between media consumption and eating disorders

as early as 1997 (Harrison and Cantor 1997). This relationship has become more complex with the

advent of social media, leading to an increase in research and meta-analyses on the topic, such as

890 Barlett et al's study on the impact of media images on men's body image concerns (Barlett et al. 2008).

891 2.9.2 Establishing New Media's Causal Connection in Political & Health Arenas

892 Since Zaller's writing in 1996, causal connections between media's influence on public opinion

893 formation have been established in various contexts, particularly through the use of Facebook in

- 894 influencing elections worldwide (Williams and Gulati 2013; Vepsäläinen, Li, and Suomi 2017;
- 895 Bronstein, Aharony, and Bar-Ilan 2018; Del Vicario et al. 2017). Although these examples are political
- 896 in nature, the lessons are equally relevant for matters concerning health, especially in the context of a
- 897 global pandemic where politics and health intertwine given the nature of decisions to be made
- 898 around responses such as lockdowns and decisions on vaccinations.

899 2.9.3 New Media in Medical Contexts: A Double Edged Sword

900 New media can have both positive and negative consequences in the medical field. On one hand, it 901 can quickly help expose fraudulent practices and claims of specialist knowledge, commonly referred to 902 as 'quackery', thus protecting patients from potentially dangerous treatments through the dissemination 903 of accurate information (Shukman 2020). Conversely, the widespread availability of mal-, mis-, and dis-904 information on social media platforms can also lead to the proliferation of confusion via unverified 905 medical advice, which can have detrimental effects on public health.

906 2.9.4 The Complex Influence of Media: The Werther Effect & The Papageno Effect

907 The media has influenced people in varying ways for many years, as demonstrated by the 'Werther

908 Effect' (Niederkrotenthaler, Herberth, and Sonneck 2007) and the 'Papageno Effect'

909 (Niederkrotenthaler et al. 2010). One of the earliest pieces of documentation concerning 'Suicide

910 Contagion' appeared in 1774, with the publication of Johann Wolfgang von Goethe's 'The Sorrows of

911 Young Werther' (Ortiz and Khin Khin 2018). It propelled Goethe to an international literary

912 celebrity, even having his work considered by Napoleon Bonaparte as 'one of the great works of

913 European literature'. However, there was a more macabre side to this fictional but loosely

914 autobiographical work; the book reputedly led to some of the earliest examples of 'copycat suicide'.

915 The cultural phenomenon was such that it also caught the eyes of authorities who were so concerned

916 that the sale of both Goethe's book 'The Sorrows of Young Werther' and Werther style clothes were

- 917 banned in several countries, as eloquently articulated in Furedi's paper 'The Media's First Moral
- 918 Panic' (Furedi 2015). Suicidal contagion is a complex subject, and not everyone is affected in the same

to a publicised suicide, the Papageno Effect describes the positive role mass media can have by
presenting non-suicide alternatives to crises (O'Carroll et al. 1994; Ortiz, Khin Khin, and law 2018;
Steede and Range 1989). This complex dynamic may be important to consider in the context of
communicating a pandemic on the scale of COVID-19, or any other health emergency, where fatality
following infection is a possibility and likely to be heavily focussed on in media coverage of a pandemic
caused by a novel pathogen.

way. While the Werther Effect refers to the phenomenon of copycat suicides following the exposure

- 926 2.10 Pandemic Communication in the Social Media Era
- 927 2.10.1 Challenges & Opportunities

919

928 The pervasive influence of social media and communication technology has resulted in unprecedented

929 global connectivity. This interconnectedness has been described by journalists and health

930 commentators as a "double-edged sword" (Quick 2018) given its potential to disseminate both

931 beneficial and detrimental messages.

932 The widespread use of social media platforms has contributed significantly to the proliferation of

933 conspiracy theories, such as those related to anti-vaccination movements or COVID-19 denialism.

934 These platforms provide a forum for like-minded individuals to connect with others who share their

935 beliefs and find information that validates their views (Senthilingham 2020).

936 These evolving dynamics underscore the importance of trust in pandemic communication from

937 official sources, particularly in the multifaceted multimedia environment of the 2020s, characterised by

938 constant connectivity and access to diverse, and often conflicting, perspectives. This environment

939 differs markedly from the more centralised media landscapes of the past, which relied on a deferral

- 940 system of news dissemination.
- 941 The current referral system of receiving, responding to, and reacting to news is facilitated by the
- 942 abundance of multimedia devices that connect social networks of friends, family, and colleagues

- 43 -

943 globally. As Dr Jonathan Quick alludes in his book 'The End of Epidemics - The Looming Threat to

944 *Humanity*', these informal communication networks play a crucial role in shaping pandemic

945 communications and must be carefully considered when devising effective public health strategies

- 946 (Quick 2018).
- 947 2.10.2 Considerations for Navigating Infodemics

948 Infodemics, characterised by the rapid spread of excessive amounts of often unreliable information

949 concerning a problem, are not new phenomena. However, they have been exacerbated in the highly

950 connected, globalised social media environments which have been recognised as 'interconnected

951 global risks' in the 2017 World Economic Forum Global Risk Report' (Quattrociocchi 2017).

952 Addressing infodemics is essential, as they tend to complicate the process of finding solutions, and the

953 psychology of fear can have detrimental effects on people's behaviour. A critical factor for this

954 research is the role of information diffusion in a disintermediated news cycle - one where the

955 functions of marketing and distribution are separated, enabling digital content to be delivered, or

956 allowing customers to find information through their means. The term 'disintermediated news cycle'

957 effectively captures the transition from old to new media and the differences between deferrer and

958 referrer approaches to engaging with the media (Cinelli et al. 2020).

959 A Lancet paper presents intriguing interviews with experts, discussing various factors concerning the

960 COVID-19 infodemic (Zarocostas 2020). One particularly insightful interview features Sylvie Briand, a

961 WHO Director tasked with developing their strategy for countering the anticipated infodemic

962 associated with COVID-19. Briand acknowledges that every outbreak is inevitably accompanied by a

963 deluge of information, misinformation, and rumours, a phenomenon observed even in the Middle

964 Ages. However, she notes that the amplification and acceleration of this phenomenon are now

965 facilitated by social media, posing a new challenge. Briand emphasises the need to be faster in

966 providing accurate information to fill the void and ensure that people are not only informed but also

967 act appropriately.

968 Another notable comment comes from David Heymann, Professor of Infectious Disease

969 Epidemiology at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine. He tells The Lancet that

970 traditional media has a vital role in providing evidence-based information to the general public, which

971 will 'hopefully' be circulated on social media. The relationship between credible information being

972 shared on traditional media and then disseminated on social media is a complicated one, which is

973 further explored in context of the academic literature related to COVID-19 in '6.0 Discussion'.

974 2.10.3 The Start of the COVID-19 Infodemic

Cinelli et al. from various Italian institutions published a significant review article titled 'The COVID-975 976 19 Social Media Infodemic' (Cinelli et al. 2020). This paper, where they essentially conducted a 977 'massive meta-analysis' across five social media platforms, is significant for several reasons. Firstly, it 978 was published in March 2020, early in the pandemic. Secondly, originating from Italy, it is particularly 979 relevant as the European epicentre of the COVID-19 pandemic was initially centred on the outbreak 980 in Italy. Thirdly, the authors address the topic of information diffusion across multiple social media 981 platforms using extensive data analysis. Fourthly, they not only fit information spreading to epidemic 982 models, calculating basic reproduction numbers (R0) for each social media platform, but also 983 characterised information spreading from questionable sources, identifying varying amounts of 984 misinformation on each platform. Lastly, they present an analysis and a differential assessment of the 985global discourse's evolution across the various platforms they studied, including Twitter, Instagram, 986 YouTube, Reddit, and others. This final point is especially relevant given the high degree of 987 fragmentation of users across multiple social media channels and the substantial polarisation within 988 these channels. Algorithms essentially filter people into tribes, and controversial content is prioritised 989 to further segment people into camps. Cinelli et al.'s paper provides a compelling perspective on the 990 content posted across five social media platforms in early 2020, and how that information spread. The 991 authors believe the understanding of social dynamics between content consumption and social media 992 platforms is an important research subject, since it may help to design more efficient pandemic models accounting for social behaviour and to design more effective and tailored communication strategies intime of crisis.

995 2.10.4 Infodemics Impact on Pandemic Public Health Communication

996 In June 2020, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, stated that a parallel 997 'Infodemic' was taking place alongside the COVID-19 pandemic. An infodemic is defined as "an 998 excessive amount of information about a problem, making it difficult to identify a solution" (Diseases 999 2020). During health emergencies, an infodemic can drown out reliable information, enable rumours 1000 to spread more effectively, and ultimately hinder any effective public health response. This confusion 1001 can affect both the general public and health professionals. Given the rapid growth of digital 1002communications (Glover and Grant 2010) and social media platforms, information can spread faster 1003 than the virus itself, seamlessly moving from our digital devices to our offline world. One area with less 1004 mature literature is the examination of information diffusion within a disintermediated news 1005 environment, where the link between marketing and distribution of content is severed (Zarocostas 1006 2020). Through examining the lived experience of participant's media consumption fifteen months 1007 into the pandemic, it is hoped this research may contribute to the literature in this area by providing 1008 valuable insights.

Whether it be conspiracies, dubious medical advice, or trivialisation of SARS-CoV-2, this content spreads quickly, ignoring national boundaries. This was despite the generally strong preferences for domestic national media and social media networks that ordinarily remain bounded geographically (Bridgman et al. 2021). It is therefore relevant to examine academic opinion around infodemics and the relationship of this dataset to the published literature.

1014 In 'The impact of fake news on social media and its influence on health during the COVID-19

1015 pandemic: a systematic review' it was found the spread of fake news and misinformation during the

1016 COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on people's health. The review included 14 studies

1017 from different countries and identified a total of 1467 false news items and 2508 reports. The findings

1018 showed that people tend to trust information found on social media platforms, leading them to believe 1019 and be affected by unverified information. The review emphasised the importance of addressing the 1020 perception of risk found in social media and promoting trust in information provided by reliable

1021 sources (Rocha et al. 2021).

1022 When considering 'infodemic pathways', it is worth noting that information spreads through a variety 1023 of social media channels, but also traditional media channels where journalists and politicians adopt 1024inaccurate and misleading positions (Jamison et al. 2020). We have seen in the past peer to peer 1025transmission via rumours and unfounded conspiracy theories which often accompany pandemics, as 1026 was the case for HIV and AIDS (Smith, Lucas, and Latkin 1999). Social media provides a medium for 1027 vastly increased visibility of peer to peer interactions and certainly acted as the catalyst for creating the 1028conditions of a parallel infodemic during the COVID-19 pandemic (Bridgman et al. 2020) but also on 1029 a lesser scale during past pandemics including Ebola (Fung et al. 2016), Zika (Sharma et al. 2017) and 1030 also vaccines more broadly (Radzikowski et al. 2016). The impact of misinformation around vaccines 1031 is particularly relevant as Larson alludes to in her book 'Stuck: How Vaccine Rumours Start and Why 1032 They Don't Go Away' (Larson 2020), making this a particularly fertile ground for future intervention 1033 to make maximal positive impact on public health communication.

1034 There was a complicated interconnected dynamic occurring across social media driving the COVID-1035 19 infodemic, comprising both willing and unwilling participants in the spreading of mal-, mis- and dis-1036 information. A dynamic that is worth exploring in the context of interpreting the results of this research 1037 project. While deliberate COVID-19 disinformation campaigns, designed to disseminate confusion 1038and fear, have been documented (Swan 2020) it is not correct to attribute the scale of the infodemic to 1039 them alone. The COVID-19 infodenic appears to have been sustained and spread beyond the usually 1040naturally constrictive geographical boundaries by a wider group of online political participants who 1041 ended up propagating misinformation inadvertently. This has been labelled as a 'paradox of 1042 participation' and is a well-documented phenomena most likely to occur through for example those

1043 engaging enthusiastically in online political debate - generating peer-to-peer misinformation 1044 transmission (Valenzuela et al. 2019). This situation becomes further complicated by new users 1045 coming to either the disinformation or misinformation, adding their own misleading commentary and 1046 sharing to their networks (Anspach and Carlson 2020). This additional commentary fuels a further 1047 dynamic whereby social media users are more likely to share and spread content from those they trust 1048 (Buchanan and Benson 2019), more likely to believe its validity (Sterrett et al. 2019), more likely to 1049 attribute importance to the issue (Feezell 2018) and more likely to later trust the source (e.g. external 1050 website) upon which their connection added commentary (Turcotte et al. 2015). This sequence clearly 1051 has consequences for the successful communication of public health messaging. The situation however 1052spirals further still as the more widely content is endorsed, via liking, tweeting, re-tweeting with 1053comments or sharing, the more likely it is to be further trusted/shared (Luo, Hancock, and Markowitz 1054 2022) via a 'bandwagon heuristic' (Sundar 2008). The more people are subjected to content containing 1055claims created, and compounded in this way by multiple social media users, the more they are at risk 1056of falling victim to an 'illusory truth effect' - wherein individuals have greater confidence in in the 1057 truthfulness of a claim given past exposure (Pennycook, McPhetres, et al. 2020).

1058 2.10.5 Miscommunication in Mainstream Media & Social Media Volatility: A UK Example

1059 The complex and sometimes contentious relationship between social and mainstream media and the

1060 degree of volatility it can generate should not be underestimated. A notable example that demonstrates

1061 the sensitive nature of this interplay occurred on July 19, 2021, widely referred to as 'Freedom Day' in

1062 the UK, when the last remaining restrictions regarding social distancing and mask-wearing were lifted.

1063 On this day, Sir Patrick Vallance, the UK Chief Scientific Advisor, misspoke during a press

1064 conference, stating: "60% of hospitalisations from COVID-19 are from double vaccinated people".

- 1065 Meaning to say those hospitalised were actually unvaccinated. Two hours later Sir Patrick corrected
- 1066 himself via a tweet. The responses to this tweet are also worth examining, with one user replying: "Can
- 1067 you tell @SkyNews because they're still trying to scare people with the error two hours later". This case

study highlights the critical role that accurate communication plays in both mainstream and social
media, as well as the potential consequences of miscommunication in fuelling social media volatility.

1070 2.10.6 Virtual Volatility & Real-World Protests: Online Communication & Physical Violence

1071 Although Sir Patrick Vallance's corrected tweet was acknowledged by mainstream media outlets, many 1072 of which published their own corrections, the social media frenzy surrounding the subject persisted. 1073 This online volatility spilled over into real-world protests outside Downing Street, where banners 1074displayed the false claim that had been rectified. This event was reported by GB News. The offensive language directed at the reporter is indicative of the highly charged atmosphere that COVID-19 1075 1076 communications evoked at the height of the pandemic. This example emphasises the importance of 1077 accurate communication in a highly connected world, where misinformation can rapidly escalate 1078tensions and contribute to real-world incidents, even when efforts are made to rectify errors. It 1079 underscores the need for responsible and fact-based communication, particularly during times of 1080 crisis, such as a pandemic.

1081 2.11 Recent Empirical Applications in COVID-19 Communication

The COVID-19 pandemic has provided a unique opportunity to examine the application of established communication theories in a real-world, global health crisis. Recent empirical studies have shed light on how these theories manifest in the digital age, particularly in the context of social media and misinformation.

1086 (Vraga and Bode 2021) conducted a study that applies theories of source credibility to combat

1087 COVID-19 misinformation on social media. Their research provides insights into strategies for

1088 addressing false information in the digital age, particularly during a global health crisis.

1089 (Zhao et al. 2020) examined how trust in different media sources influences health behaviours during

1090 the pandemic. Their study sheds light on the relationship between media trust and the adoption of

1091 infection-mitigating behaviours, which is crucial for understanding public response to health1092 communications during crises.

1093 (Cinelli et al. 2020) conducted an extensive analysis of information spreading on different social media 1094 platforms during the pandemic, with relevance to channel effects theory. This research offers valuable 1095 insights into how various social media channels influence the dissemination of health-related 1096 information during a global crisis.

1097 (Lwin et al. 2020) applied sentiment analysis to examine public reactions on social media during the 1098 pandemic. Their study provides a deeper understanding of global sentiments surrounding COVID-19 1099 as expressed on Twitter, offering a unique perspective on public response to the pandemic through 1000 social media trends.

1101 The aforementioned studies collectively demonstrate the enduring relevance of established

1102 communication theories while also highlighting the need for their adaptation to the unique challenges

1103 of the digital age. They underscore the complex interplay between information sources, message

1104 framing, channel characteristics, and public trust in the context of a global health crisis. Moreover, they

1105 illustrate the potential of social media as both a challenge (in terms of misinformation spread) and an

1106 opportunity (for rapid, wide-reaching communication) in public health messaging. In the aftermath of

1107 the COVID-19 pandemic and as we prepare for future health crises, these empirical insights offer

1108 valuable guidance for crafting effective health communication strategies that leverage the power of

1109 digital platforms while mitigating their potential pitfalls.

Having considered some of the theoretical underpinnings of the literature around communication theory, and some of the contemporary COVID-19 health communication literature the next section of the literature review considers the importance of effective communication in the context of an evolving pandemic.

1114 SECTION II

- 1115 2.12 The Imperative of Effective Communication in the Context of an Evolving
- 1116 Pandemic & Media Landscape
- 1117 2.12.1 The Contemporary Media Environment & Current Challenges
- 1118 The contemporary media environment is characterised by a constant influx of information through
- 1119 24/7 rolling news cycles and an abundance of social media channels. In such a context, individuals can
- 1120 easily garner an audience, regardless of their qualifications or expertise. This situation poses significant
- 1121 challenges for governments and public health officials when communicating about an evolving
- 1122 pandemic, particularly if systems for managing pandemics are sub-optimally prepared.

1123 2.12.2 The Importance of Clear Communication Amidst Pandemic Complexity

- 1124 Given the complexities inherent in the management and communication of an evolving pandemic
- 1125 caused by a novel pathogen, it is crucial for public health officials to adopt effective communication
- 1126 strategies to disseminate accurate and timely information to the general public. In light of the paucity
- 1127 of literature on best practices for communicating about an evolving pandemic in the current media
- 1128 landscape, examining historical examples of pandemic communication may offer valuable insights.
- 1129 Some such examples are explored in *2.13 Learning from Past Pandemics: The Relevance of*
- 1130 Historical Best Practices'.

1131 2.13 Learning from Past Pandemics: The Relevance of Historical Best Practices

In order to develop effective communication strategies for present and future pandemics, it is worth considering best practices employed during past pandemics as a starting point. Although the media landscape has evolved significantly over time, lessons gleaned from previous experiences can provide valuable guidance for contemporary public health officials. By analysing past successes and failures in pandemic communication, policymakers and public health professionals can identify key principles and strategies that may be adapted to the unique challenges posed by the contemporary media

1138 environment. This will ultimately contribute to improved public understanding of the situation, 1139 increased trust in authorities, and more effective management of public health crises. 1140 2.14 The Significance of Effective Communication Strategies in Pandemic Management: 1141 Lessons from the Past 1142 2.14.1 A Playbook for Pandemic Communication: Dr Barbara Reynolds' Experience 1143 Effective communication during a pandemic is a complex and challenging endeavour. However, 1144 valuable guidance can be found in the playbook authored by Dr Barbara Reynolds, which chronicles 1145 her experiences in dealing with the 1997 Hong Kong bird flu outbreak. As the first communications 1146 professional from The CDC to be deployed outside the United States for a health emergency, Dr 1147 Reynolds has dedicated her career to assisting public health officials in navigating crisis 1148 communication. Six key points from her comprehensive guide, "Crisis, Emergency, and Risk 1149 Communication" (Quick 2018) are presented in Table 1. While these tried and tested measures for 1150 how pandemic public health messaging is shared with communities provide a good starting point. It is 1151 important to note they haven't been tested to the degree; they have in this pandemic given the extent 1152of social media interconnectivity amplifying missteps in communications that in the past, may have

1153 gone completely unnoticed.

Tuble 1. Dix buildin 1 oni	Strom Chisis, Emergency This Risk Communication (Safek 2010)
Be first	If the information is yours to provide by organisational authority, do so
	as soon as possible. If you cannot provide the information, then explain
	how you are working to get it.
Be right	Give facts in increments. Tell people what you know when you know it,
	tell them what you do not know, and tell them you will share relevant
	information as it becomes available.
Be credible	Tell the truth. Do not withhold information to avoid embarrassment or
	the possible 'panic' – that seldom happens. Uncertainty is worse than
	not knowing. Remember, rumours are more damaging than hard
	truths.
Express empathy	Acknowledge in words what people are feeling – it builds trust.
Promote action	Give people things to do. It calms anxiety and helps restore order.
Show respect	Treat people the way you want to be treated, even if you must
	communicate hard decisions.

Table 1: Six Salient Points From 'Crisis, Emergency And Risk Communication' (Quick 2018)

1154 (Reproduced from 'The End of Pandemics - The Looming Threat To Humanity...')

1155 2.14.2 Positive, Proactive Applications: Social Mobilisation Efforts

- 1156 During the 2014 Ebola outbreak in Sierra Leone, the country experienced over 14,000 cases, the
- 1157 highest number worldwide (Prevention 2016). Ebola typically has a Case Fatality Rate (CFR) of 50%
- 1158 with a range of 25-90% in previous outbreaks. Remarkably, Sierra Leone reported a CFR of
- 1159 28%. This success can be attributed to several factors, including the establishment of National Ebola
- 1160 Response Centres (NERC) by President Koroma at the time. Among the NERC's mandates was the

1161 dissemination of scientifically sound yet culturally appropriate messages.

1162 A notable example involved the engagement of approximately 40,000 traditional healers, who were

1163 initially excluded from the Ebola response. Through the 'Sierra Leone Indigenous Traditional Healers

- 1164 *Union'*, these practitioners were incorporated into the response and tasked with running an Ebola
- 1165 campaign using approved messaging. Consequently, patients were referred for appropriate treatment,
- and unsafe secret burial practices were halted, contributing to the country's relatively lower CFR
- 1167 (Coltart et al. 2017).

1168 Effective communication strategies, such as social mobilisation efforts employed during the 2014

1169 Ebola outbreak (Laverack and Manoncourt 2016; Gillespie et al. 2016) are crucial for successfully

1170 managing public health crises. These proactive approaches can yield significant positive impacts,

1171 fostering trust in authorities and facilitating the dissemination of accurate information.

1172 2.14.3 Consequences of Neglected Communication: The Emergence of an "Infodemic"

1173 However, when communication efforts are neglected in the early stages of a pandemic, an information 1174 vacuum arises, leading to the proliferation of rumours and misinformation. In the absence of reliable 1175 and trustworthy information, individuals may resort to creating narratives to fill the gaps and make 1176 sense of the unfolding crisis. In today's globally connected world, social media platforms facilitate the 1177 rapid spread of such stories, often outpacing the dissemination of accurate information. Consequently, 1178 medical professionals are tasked with combating both the disease and the resulting 'infodemic' of 1179 misinformation (O'Connor and Murphy 2020). Thus, it is essential for public health officials to 1180 prioritise effective communication strategies in managing pandemics to mitigate the negative

1181 consequences of misinformation.

1182 2.14.4 The Influence of Broadcast Drama on Behaviour Change: Integrating Public Health

1183 Messaging & Psychological Perspectives

1184 The effectiveness of incorporating public health messaging into broadcast dramas has been

- 1185 demonstrated through initiatives such as the Population Media Centre's (PMC) work in Sierra Leone
- 1186 since January 2009. PMCs mission is empowering communities by telling transformative stories
- 1187 (Center 2023). Their drama, titled 'Saliwansai' (Puppet on a String), included storylines related to
- 1188 Acquired Immunodeficiency Disease (AIDS). As a result, listeners were 3.1 times more likely than
- 1189 non-listeners to acknowledge that the risk of contracting AIDS can be reduced through consistent
- 1190 condom use during sexual encounters.
- 1191 Understanding the underlying psychological mechanisms that drive behaviour change is crucial for
- 1192 developing impactful health communication strategies. The extensive literature on behaviour change in

1193 psychology provides valuable insights into the factors that influence individuals' attitudes, beliefs, and 1194 actions. By integrating these psychological perspectives into the development of public health

- 1195 messaging, for example in the context of broadcast dramas, it is possible to create more effective
- 1196 interventions that resonate with the audience and contribute to positive health outcomes.
- 1197 Incorporating behaviour change theories and frameworks, such as the Health Belief Model (HBM)
- 1198 (Rosenstock 1974), the Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) (Ajzen 1991), and the Transtheoretical
- 1199 Model (TTM) (Prochaska and DiClemente 1983) can help public health professionals design
- 1200 persuasive messages that address the cognitive, emotional, and social determinants of health
- 1201 behaviours. By leveraging the power of storytelling and emotion in broadcast dramas, these
- 1202 interventions can foster empathy, raise awareness, and challenge misconceptions, ultimately
- 1203 contributing to a shift in societal norms and individual behaviours.
- 1204 2.14.5 Navigating the Media Landscape During a Pandemic: The Politici sation of Public Health
- 1205 COVID-19 is the first pandemic to unfold in the context of an intense 24/7 news landscape, further
- 1206 exacerbated by an increasingly politically polarised social media environment. The politicisation of the
- 1207 pandemic has significantly impacted people's behaviours and compliance with regulations, as noted by
- 1208 a couple of editorials published in the British Medical Journal by Prof Gavin Yamey Professor of
- 1209 Global Health and Public policy (Gonsalves and Yamey 2020; Yamey and Gonsalves 2020). For
- 1210 instance, former President Donald Trump's prolific Twitter use for both pandemic and political topics
- 1211 led to his banning from the social media platform, only to be re-instated once Elon Musk completed
- 1212 the acquisition and renamed it 'X'. However, before his removal, he actively contradicted health
- 1213 officials at a press conference, by suggesting non-medical options such as injecting bleach as a COVID-
- 1214 19 cure. Even after his ban, this fallacy further propagated on social media.

1215 2.14.6 Trust & Credibility in COVID-19 Health Communication

1216 The concepts of trust and credibility have long been central to effective health communication, but

1217 their importance has been further amplified in the digital age, particularly during the COVID-19

1218 pandemic. As information sources multiply and misinformation proliferates, establishing and 1219 maintaining public trust has become increasingly challenging yet crucial for public health interventions. 1220 The rapid spread of information - and misinformation - through social media platforms has added new 1221 dimensions to the traditional understanding of source credibility. Recent research has explored how 1222 trust in various information sources influences public behaviour, vaccine acceptance, and overall 1223 adherence to public health guidelines. This section examines contemporary studies that shed light on 1224the complex dynamics of trust and credibility in health communication, particularly in the context of 1225 the COVID-19 pandemic and the evolving digital media landscape.

(Lovari 2020) examines the role of government communication in building public trust during the
 pandemic. This study provides insights into how governmental interventions and messaging strategies

1228 can influence public trust in the context of COVID-19 misinformation in Italy.

1229 (Limaye et al. 2020) discuss strategies for building trust in health communication on social media

1230 platforms. Their work explores methods to effectively influence online COVID-19 content while

1231 maintaining and enhancing public trust in health information shared through social media channels.

1232 (Nguyen and Catalan 2020) explore how digital misinformation affects public trust in health

1233 information. Their research offers fresh perspectives on public engagement with health and science

1234 controversies in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, highlighting the challenges posed by digital
 1235 mis/disinformation.

(Ratzan, Sommarivac, and Rauh 2020) discuss the importance of trust and credibility in global health
 communication during crises. Their work draws lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic to enhance

1238 the effectiveness of health communication strategies in crisis situations.

1239 (Gesualdo et al. 2022) examine how trust in different information sources influences vaccine intention

1240 among healthcare workers. This cross-sectional survey provides insights into the relationship between

trust in various information sources and COVID-19 vaccine acceptance among Italian healthprofessionals.

1243 2.14.6.1 Challenges in Communicating COVID-19 Information: The Importance of Transparency &1244 Accuracy

1245 Successfully communicating COVID-19 information to the broader public presented numerous

1246 challenges. Initial delays in reporting and information dissemination from China, coupled with

1247 continued underreporting, hindered accurate communication. As He et al. suggest, discrepancies in

1248 reporting were identified when comparing cremation data with official COVID-19 figures in Wuhan.

1249 In such an interconnected world, initial delays in data release from China could represent a missed

1250 opportunity in positively impacting the global pandemic response (He et al. 2020).

1251 2.14.7 Challenges in Information Dissemination

1252 The challenges faced in disseminating and consuming information during a global health crisis are

1253 closely linked to how ambiguity is handled. Stephens et al. note that medical students' ability to

1254 tolerate uncertainty improves with experience and education. Similarly, public health communication

1255 strategies during the pandemic must account for the varying levels of tolerance for ambiguity within the

1256 general population (Stephens, Sarkar, and Lazarus 2024). Tailoring messages to enhance

1257 understanding without overwhelming audiences is critical. This aligns with the finding that effective

1258 communication under conditions of uncertainty requires not just the dissemination of information but

1259 also guidance on how to interpret and act on that information amidst ambiguity.

1260 2.14.8 Balancing Trust & Realism: The Delicate Art of Pandemic Leadership

1261 Communications

1262 The communication tightrope officials must traverse during an evolving pandemic is a precarious one.

1263 With significant levels of fear in a population, it is essential to gain trust while maintaining calm.

1264 Simultaneously, the public must be provided with available facts and a realistic understanding of risk.

1265 These factors are amplified when dealing with a novel pathogen, as new information continually

- 1266 emerges. Global leaders' actions are under intense scrutiny, with the advent of social media it is the
- 1267 first time an environment which actively facilitates comparisons of what other world leaders and
- 1268 countries are doing, further increasing the complexity of COVID-19 communications.

1269 SECTION III

1270 2.15 Expert Opinion on Initial COVID-19 Coverage

1271 In this section, we will explore expert opinions on the initial coverage of the COVID-19 pandemic. By

1272 examining insights from various sources, including Saad Omer's early assessment, reflections from

1273 frontline journalists, a Royal Society of Medicine webinar, discussions on the politici sation of science,

1274 and challenges in the digital information realm as noted by President Obama, we aim to provide a

1275 comprehensive understanding of the complexities surrounding COVID-19 reporting and

1276 communication. This analysis will shed light on the challenges faced by experts, journalists, and

1277 policymakers during the early stages of the pandemic and the ongoing issues in disseminating accurate

1278 and reliable information.

1279 2.15.1 Saad Omer – January 2020: Communicating Complexity & The Impact of Communication

1280 Styles on Real-World Behaviours

1281 Effectively conveying the development of a pandemic during its nascent stages within a highly

1282 interconnected media environment, where news disseminates instantaneously, poses significant

1283 challenges. The rapidly evolving nature of pandemics necessitates ongoing learning and contributions

1284 from researchers, scientists, and medical professionals as government policies dynamically adapt.

1285 On January 23, 2020, Saad Omer, Director of the Yale Institute for Global Health, penned an opinion

- 1286 piece in <u>The New York Times</u>, questioning, "Is America Ready for Another Outbreak?" (Omer
- 1287 2020). Although framed within the context of the United States, Omer emphasised that these lessons
- 1288 were applicable to multiple governments. His conclusion was that the country was not ready, but he
- 1289 outlined clear steps governments needed to take. Omer further elaborated on his New York Times

opinion piece during a <u>pre-conference event</u> for the first WHO Global Infodemiology Conference
held on June 29, 2020 (Omer 2021). His insights, detailed below, warrant further exploration, as they
are relevant to this research.

- Let Scientists Deal with Emerging Information: Omer emphasises the need to close the gap
 between those who can assimilate rapidly evolving technical information and those who make
 or communicate decisions. He underscores the importance of allowing scientists to lead,
 ensuring optimal decision-making, and minimising confusion.
- Avoid False Reassurance: Political leaders often seek to project control, leading to false
 reassurance for populations during the early stages of pandemics. Although this might work in
 the short term, incoherent government messaging and reversals in recommendations can be
 misconstrued as incompetence, ultimately undermining trust in political and technical
 leadership.
- Scientific and Public Misinformation: Omer cautions against the early sharing of pre-print
 materials, particularly through press releases, before they undergo a rigorous peer review
 process. In the current media landscape, such practices can inadvertently contribute to the
 rapid spread of misinformation.

Omer's timely warning underscored potential issues regarding governments' preparedness for a
pandemic, as well as the challenges in communicating the nuances of an emerging novel pathogen in
the age of 24/7 news and social media.

In the early stages of the pandemic, communication challenges were not exclusive to the United States.
As the pandemic progressed and lockdowns were implemented worldwide, journalists played a crucial
role in disseminating vital information to the public. The following section, *'2.15.2 Reflections from*

- 1312 Frontline Journalists: Reporting Coronavirus in the First Six Months', will explore the experiences and
- 1313 perspectives of ITV journalists during the initial six months of the pandemic. This analysis will provide

insights into the challenges faced by the media in the United Kingdom, as well as the strategiesemployed to ensure accurate and timely reporting of critical information to the public.

1316 2.15.2 Reflections from Frontline Journalists: 'Reporting Coronavirus' March – August 2020

1317 2.15.2.1 The Confluence of Social and Mainstream Media during COVID-19

- 1318 The 2020 coronavirus pandemic marked an unprecedented event in the history of television
- 1319 journalism, affecting every populated continent and directly impacting the lives of individuals around
- 1320 the world including the UK. As Michael Jeremy, Director of News and Current Affairs at ITV, states
- 1321 in the opening quote of *Reporting Coronavirus*', the pandemic profoundly altered the way news
- 1322 organisations created their programs due to lockdowns, international travel restrictions, and social
- 1323 distancing measures. The book offers a behind-the-scenes look into the experiences of journalists
- 1324 reporting on COVID-19 during the first six months of the pandemic (ITV News 2020).
- 1325 As people turned to mainstream media for information, they also increasingly relied on social media
- 1326 platforms. The relationship between these two distinct forms of media was particularly intriguing
- 1327 throughout the pandemic. Mary Nightingale, a journalist featured in 'Reporting Coronavirus',
- 1328 highlights the valuable role that highly regulated mainstream broadcasters play in public service,
- 1329 disseminating accurate information to keep people informed and safe. However, this stands in contrast
- 1330 to the unregulated nature of social media, where misinformation can be just as influential and
- 1331 potentially life-threatening.

1332 2.15.2.2 Pandemic Reporting and the Impact of Previous Pandemics on Media

1333 Over the past two decades, numerous organisms with pandemic potential have been reported but have

1334 not resulted in widespread crises. This led to a sense of complacency among media professionals and

- 1335 the public in the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic. Tom Clarke, Science Editor at ITV News,
- 1336 provides an insightful perspective in his chapter 'We Are Dealing With a Completely New Virus'
- 1337 (ITV News 2020). He admits that when he first heard about the unknown respiratory disease in
- 1338 Wuhan, he did not immediately alert his news desk. Clarke's career had been "overshadowed" by the

1339 constant threat of pandemics, and none of the previous outbreaks had turned out to be the global1340 public health crisis everyone feared—until COVID-19.

1341 The politicised nature of the early pandemic, coupled with the UK's withdrawal from the EU, 1342 contributed to a lack of extensive media coverage on the emerging crisis. Moreover, the manner in 1343 which previous pandemics were communicated also played a role in shaping the response to the 1344 COVID-19 outbreak. Despite the prediction of the pandemic in David Quammen's book 'Spillover' 1345(Quammen 2012) which accurately anticipated the coronavirus's origin and characteristics, but 1346remained niched in the specialist literature on this topic, a wider awareness of the threat was not 1347 achieved among the general population or within the media. Consequently, as reflected in Tom 1348Clarke's account, many media professionals were caught off guard in the early stages of the pandemic. 1349 Additional factors contributed to the lack of immediate attention to the COVID-19 pandemic within 1350 mainstream media and the wider UK population during the first three months of 2020. These factors 1351 included a highly competitive media landscape (Praprotnik 2016), where numerous outlets competed 1352 for people's attention. Furthermore, people's attention spans for specific content have become 1353 increasingly shorter, even though individuals spend more hours on social media platforms (Newport 13542019). These combined factors contributed to the delayed recognition and coverage of the COVID-19 1355 pandemic during its initial stages.

1356 2.16 Royal Society of Medicine (RSM) Webinar 'COVID-19 - Media in A Health

- 1357 Crisis?' May 2021
- 1358 2.16.1 Overview

1359 In a webinar titled 'Media in a Health Crisis?' (Medicine 2021) broadcast on May 28, 2021, as part of

1360 the Royal Society of Medicine's (RSM) COVID-19 Lecture Series, four experts discussed the role of

- 1361 media during the pandemic. The panel was chaired by Victoria MacDonald, Health and Social Care
- 1362 Editor at Channel 4 News, and featured Professor Ivan Browne, Director of Public Health in

1363 Leicester, Robin McKee, Science and Environment Editor for the Observer, and Dr David Oliver,

1364Consultant in Geriatrics at The Royal Berkshire NHS Foundation Trust. The discussion covered

1365 seven themes related to the communication of facts and safety measures during the COVID-19

1366 pandemic. Given their relevance to this research, they have been summarised below.

1367 2.16.2 Fear-Driven Compliance: The Role of Emotion in Public Health Messaging

1368 One theme highlighted was the role of fear in driving initial compliance with public health measures.

1369 The panel suggested that this reliance on fear, particularly during the early stages of the pandemic, may

have contributed to widespread anxiety and missed opportunities to engage, educate, and empower the

1371 public to make informed decisions. For instance, the panel pointed out that instead of emphasising

- 1372 fear, public health messaging could have focused on building collective resilience, fostering community
- 1373 spirit, and promoting a better understanding of the virus and its transmission.

1370

1374 2.16.3 The Need for a Timely Public Inquiry: Learning Lessons Amid Ongoing Threats

The timing of a public inquiry into the pandemic response was another topic of discussion. Oliver argued that through the work of the National Audit Office and investigative journalists, much of what transpired during the pandemic is already known. However, there was a desire among clinicians to learn lessons in real-time, especially as emerging variants, such as Delta and Omicron, continued to pose a threat. The panel emphasised that a timely public inquiry could have identified areas for improvement and facilitated better preparedness for future pandemics.

1381 2.16.4 Local vs. National Messaging: Overcoming Barriers & Ensuring Effective Communication

1382 The panel also debated the effectiveness of local versus national messaging, with Browne sharing his

1383 experience from Leicester, where local restrictions remained in place even after the national lockdown

1384 was eased. He pointed out the challenges in breaking through the powerful national narratives, such as

1385 "Stay at Home, Protect the NHS, Save Lives", and ensuring local messages were heard and

1386 understood. To overcome these challenges, Browne suggested that local authorities needed to be

1387 more innovative in their messaging strategies, such as using targeted social media campaigns or

1388 working with community leaders to disseminate crucial information. This is particularly relevant as of 1389 the participants in this research Tariq was from Leicester, so it was interesting to explore his lived 1390 experience through the lens that he had been living under lockdown restrictions for far longer than 1391 other participants in this research.

1392 2.16.5 The Impact of Centralised Control on Public Health Communication & Trust

1393 The centralisation of communication control was another concern raised by the panel, particularly its 1394effect on local engagement and the suppression of individual doctors who wanted to contribute to 1395 public communication. McKee noted that centralisation has been a recurring issue in past health 1396 crises, such as foot and mouth disease, Measles Mumps and Rubella vaccines, and genetically 1397 modified crops. The panel mentioned that during the COVID-19 pandemic, this central control often 1398 led to doctors being explicitly told not to speak to the media, resulting in a lack of diverse voices and 1399 perspectives in public discussions. This suppression not only limited valuable input from medical 1400 professionals but also inadvertently created an impression that public health officials had something to 1401hide, eroding public trust in the healthcare response.

1402 2.16.6 Diverse Media Landscapes & Human Error: The Challenge of Maintaining Public

1403 Engagement

1404MacDonald emphasised the diversity within the media landscape, arguing that it is unfair to generalise 1405 their pandemic response. For example, while some outlets focused on investigative journalism and 1406 presenting evidence-based information, others resorted to sensationalism or politicising the pandemic. 1407 The panellists agreed that human error played a role in shaping public perception of the pandemic, 1408 with incidents such as the test and trace data loss in Bolton leading to unfair blame being placed on the 1409 local population for the spread of a COVID-19 variant. They acknowledged that maintaining public 1410 engagement with complex issues became increasingly difficult as the crisis persisted, with people 1411 feeling overwhelmed or fatigued by constant reporting.

- 63 -

1412 2.16.7 Slogans & Media Medics: Balancing Simplification & Integrity in Public Health

1413 Messaging

1414 The panel also explored the use of slogans, such as "Follow the Science" and "Protect the NHS",

1415 which were met with mixed reactions. While these slogans were designed to simplify public health

1416 messages, they sometimes led to scepticism or confusion. For example, the slogan "Follow the

1417 Science" was criticised for implying that science is a monolithic entity, whereas it is a constantly

1418 evolving field with multiple perspectives. The panel discussed the potential benefits of utilising media

1419 medics, especially GPs, who are adept at simplifying complex information while maintaining message

1420 integrity. These medical professionals could have played a more significant role in public

1421 communication if it weren't for the centralised control of messaging during the pandemic, and NHS

1422 Communication Directors actively shutting down local voices.

1423 2.16.8 Building Trust & Transparency: Key Elements for a Successful Public Health

1424 Response

1425 In discussing the key elements of a successful public health response, the panel emphasised the 1426importance of trust, transparency, and effective communication. Browne asserted that "no public 1427 health response can succeed without public trust" and that sharing information openly and promptly is 1428 crucial to building and maintaining that trust. For example, New Zealand's response to the pandemic 1429was lauded for its clear communication and data transparency, which helped foster public trust in 1430 government actions. The panellists also noted that public trust in frontline healthcare workers is 1431 generally high, so leveraging their experiences and insights could have improved communication 1432 efforts. Additionally, they acknowledged the importance of engaging with communities that may have 1433 low trust in government and institutions due to long-term neglect, as neglect can exacerbate discontent 1434 and distrust during a crisis.

1435 2.16.9 Summary

1436 In conclusion, The RSM webinar brought to light several critical themes, such as the role of emotion

- 1437 in public health messaging, the necessity for a timely public inquiry, the struggle to balance local and
- 1438 national communication, the impact of centralised control, the challenges of navigating a diverse media
- 1439 landscape, the use of slogans and media medics in simplifying complex information, and the
- 1440 importance of trust and transparency in public health response. The expertise of this panel and the
- 1441 themes they chose to discuss underscore the intricate relationship between public health
- 1442 communication and the factors that influence its effectiveness. Where relevant, these themes will be
- 1443 considered in conjunction with the findings of this research project within the context of the
- 1444 contempory literature in *6.0 Discussion*.
- 1445 2.17 Role of Media & Communications in Pandemic Prevention
- 1446 2.17.1 The Power of Seven Framework

1447 In 'The End of Pandemics - The Looming Threat To Humanity And How To Stop It', a framework

- 1448 titled 'The Power of Seven' (Quick 2018) is laid out consisting of the actions required for preventing
- 1449 pandemics. These include: -
- 1450 (1) Ensuring bold leadership at all levels.
- 1451 (2) Building resilient health systems.
- 1452 (3) Fortifying three lines of defence against disease (prevention, detection & response).
- 1453 (4) Ensuring timely and accurate communication.
- 1454 (5) Investing in smart, new innovation.
- 1455 (6) Spending wisely to prevent disease before an epidemic strikes.
- 1456 (7) Mobilising citizen action.

1457 The research that went into Quick's work establishing this framework involved interviews, lectures,

1458 publications and mining the expertise of scores of policymakers, political leaders, public-health

1459 experts, research scientists, field epidemiologists and frontline workers. Given the vast expertise of

1460 subject matter experts interviewed, and this work was published before the COVID-19 pandemic,

1461 'The Power of Seven' framework provides a valuable lens within the pre-pandemic literature through

1462 which we can examine elements of the UK response – especially as there is so much in this framework

1463 that is related media and communication.

1464 2.17.2 Pandemic Communication Considerations

1465 Multimedia has made the world more connected than ever before. This degree of connectivity has

1466 been described by journalists and health commentators alike as a "double-edged sword" (Quick 2018)

1467 as it can be used to spread both useful public health information as well as mis- or dis-

1468 information. The advent of technology and, more specifically, the ubiquitous use of social media has

1469 played a crucial role in the growth of conspiracy theorists. Whether those with anti-vax sentiment or

1470 COVID-19 deniers, social media provides a forum for like-minded individuals to seek out fellow

1471 believers and find information that validates their views (Senthilingham 2020).

1472 2.17.3 Complexity in Communicating Novel Nature

1473 While the symptoms of COVID-19 share commonality with those caused by other coronaviruses, i.e.

1474 fever, fatigue and cough (Wang et al. 2020), many individuals can appear asymptomatic, thus

1475 spreading the virus without knowing to those in their vicinity (Holshue et al. 2020). Another

- 1476 complicating factor is the lack of awareness of how different individuals respond to SARS-CoV-2
- 1477 infection and develop COVID-19. In the earliest stages of the pandemic, as the UK pursed a strategy
- 1478 of 'herd immunity' people were told most would suffer a mild infection from which they would
- 1479 recover from.

1480 2.17.4 Constant Communication

Given the 24/7 nature of the modern-day news environment, the extensive degree of coverage a pandemic caused by a novel pathogen generated, and our natural propensity to gravitate towards negative headlines. It is certainly worth further consideration as to the impact media coverage of such an event can have on the psychological health of populations.

1485 This new dynamic brings an added level of significance to the role of trust in pandemic

1486 communications from official sources. Especially given the rich multimedia environment of the 2020s, 1487 where everyone is constantly connected and has access to competing and or contrary voices. There is a 1488 marked difference from media environments of the past that were more centred on a deferral system 1489 of news dissemination. This move towards a referral system of receiving, responding, and reacting to 1490 news is fuelled by the multitude of multimedia devices connecting social networks of friends, family, 1491 and colleagues around the world. As Dr Jonathan Quick eludes in his book *'The End of Epidemics –* 1492 *The Looming Threat to Humanity'* these informal communication networks are of vital importance to

1493 consider in pandemic communications (Quick 2018).

1494 Positive, proactive applications such as the social mobilisation efforts (Laverack and Manoncourt 2016; 1495 Gillespie et al. 2016) deployed during the Ebola outbreak of 2014 are vital and have had immensely 1496 positive impacts. However, should these approaches be neglected in the early phase of a pandemic, 1497 the resulting information vacuum and lack of facts from highly reputable and trusted sources means 1498people concoct stories to fill in the blanks and make sense of what is happening. In a world globally 1499connected by social media these stories and rumours can and often spread further and quicker than 1500the disease itself, leaving doctors having to both combat disease and counter fake news (O'Connor and 1501 Murphy 2020) as a result of the consequent 'Infodemic'.

1502 2.18 The Politicisation of Science & Implications for Public Health Response

1503 It is important to consider the politicisation of science throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, this is

1504 particularly relevant to this thesis given one of the main slogans communicated in this pandemic was

1505 "Follow the Science". The potential for science to become politicised during a pandemic is a 1506 significant concern, as it can compromise the integrity of public health responses. A notable instance 1507 of this during the COVID-19 pandemic involved the pharmaceutical company Pfizer and its vaccine 1508 development. The timing of the vaccine data release and subsequent deployment, which closely 1509 coincided with the US presidential election, led to the politicisation of the vaccine by then-President 1510 Donald Trump (Bourla 2022). This situation prompted several pharmaceutical industry CEOs, 1511 including Pfizer's Albert Bourla, to sign a letter asserting their political independence and commitment 1512 to following the science. This incident received considerable attention on social media, highlighting the 1513 potential impact of such politicisation on public trust and response.

1514 2.18.1 The Role of Social Media in Shaping The 'Global Political Village' & Influencing

1515 Public Perception

1516 The pervasive influence of social media in modern communication has enabled local populations to

1517 observe and compare global responses to the pandemic. The contrasting approaches of different

1518 international governments and organisations to public health management during the pandemic have

1519 become readily apparent due to the constant media coverage of global events. This unprecedented

1520 level of oversight has facilitated comparisons between political leaders and their handling of COVID-

1521 19. For instance, New Zealand's Jacinda Ardern and Germany's Chancellor Angela Merkel were

1522 praised for their leadership, characterised by clear communication, empathy, and the alignment of

1523 science and politics. In contrast, the actions of the United States' Donald Trump and Brazil's Jair

1524 Bolsonaro were criticised as "shambolic, self-serving, and sometimes deliberately misleading"

1525 (Diseases 2020).

1526 The Lancet Journal of Infectious Diseases article further argued that the mass media's preference for

1527 quick, often sensationalist reporting over carefully worded scientific messages with balanced

- 1528 interpretation exacerbates miscommunication (Diseases 2020). When officials underreact to threats
- 1529 that subsequently worsen, such as the case with SARS, public trust is eroded, and outrage and anger

- 68 -

1530 are instilled. This highlights the importance of considering the role of social media and the

1531 politicisation of science in shaping public perception and response during a global health crisis. In the

1532 next and final section, we will consider the impact of digital information on democracy and its

- 1533 implications for public health communication.
- 1534 2.19 Former U.S. President Barack Obama's Insights on the Challenges to Democracy in a Digital1535 Information Realm
- 1536 In a keynote address at the Cyber Policy Centre on April 21, 2022, former U.S. President Barack
- 1537 Obama discussed the challenges to democracy posed by the digital information realm. Although his

1538 speech was not directly related to COVID-19, it sheds light on the complexities of communicating

1539 intricate information through new media platforms (De Witte 2022), which is highly relevant to this

1540 thesis. Obama emphasised the transformation in how we communicate and consume information,

1541 with search and social media platforms becoming our primary sources of news and information. He

1542 warned that these platforms often "blur the lines between fact, opinion, and fiction, as useful and

1543 factual information is mixed with lies, conspiracy theories, junk science, and other harmful content".

1544 This constant exposure can erode our ability to discern the credibility of the information we

1545 encounter. In the context of this thesis, Obama's insights on the impact of big tech and social media

platforms on society can be applied to the challenges faced in public health communication during the
COVID-19 pandemic. The following excerpt from his speech highlights the need for tech platforms,

1548 citizens, and healthcare professionals to take responsibility for the information they disseminate and

1549 consume:

1550 *"Tech platforms need to accept that they play a unique role in how we, as a people, are consuming*

- 1551 information, and that their decisions have an impact on every aspect of society. With that power
- 1552 comes accountability and, in democracies like ours, at least, the need for some democratic oversight.
- 1553 As citizens, we have to take it upon ourselves to become better consumers of news. Looking at

sources, thinking before we share, and teaching our kids to become critical thinkers who know how to
evaluate sources and separate opinion from fact".

President Obama's warnings underscore the importance of fostering critical thinking and media literacy among the public to navigate the vast and complex digital information landscape, especially during a pandemic. The role of tech platforms in shaping public perception and response to a global health crisis like COVID-19 cannot be understated, and it is essential to consider their influence and responsibility in public health communication.

1561 3.0 Methodology

1562 In alignment with the objectives of this research, this chapter delves into the theoretical and

1563 philosophical foundations of potential methodologies applicable to the study. The chapter commences

1564 with a broad consideration of the appropriateness of quantitative versus qualitative approaches,

1565 ultimately selecting the latter with a justification as to rationale for its adoption.

1566 There is then a detailed examination of the ontological and epistemological foundations of

1567 Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA), considering the applications and relevance to media

1568 experience research as well as a consideration of the challenges encountered when scaling IPA via the

1569 use of Artificial Intelligence enabled tools to assist analysis for a broader group of participants.

1570 The chapter introduces the semi-structured interview schedule, interview timing, data collection and

1571 analysis methods, and measures employed to enhance the trustworthiness of the data and overall study

1572 quality. Finally, this chapter concludes with '3.15 Reflexive Section' an introspective account of my

1573 background, formative experiences, and perspectives that have shaped my interest in studying medical

1574 communication during the COVID-19 pandemic.

1575 3.1 The Landscape of Medical Education Research

1576 This section examines the research landscape within medical education, discussing potential research 1577 design options and providing justification for the selected methodology. Both qualitative and 1578 quantitative research methods contribute to our understanding of the world (Davides 2002). However, 1579 they have not always been equally valued (Bunniss and Kelly 2010). While some authors recognise the 1580significance of qualitative research (Lingard and Kennedy 2010; Shaw, Larkin, and Flowers 2014) in 1581 medical research, this has not always been the case and consequently Health Professions Education 1582(HPE) research, have historically leaned toward quantitative approaches rooted in positivist or post-1583positivist paradigms (Brown and Dueñas 2020). These paradigms uphold the existence of a universal

1584 truth, which manifests as a set of consistently replicable facts in medical practice (Alderson 1998).

Given the medical field in which this research is taking place, an awareness of this perspective is usefulwhen considering the design of research and dissemination of research findings.

Qualitative research, conducted from constructivist and critical theory paradigms, aims to understand and describe human nature and challenge myths to empower societal change (Blaikie and Priest 2019). Considering the traditionally paternalistic approach to medical practice (Buchanan 1978) and the influence of Flexner's 1910 report on medical education over the past century (Duffy 2011) it is perhaps not surprising to see a historical preference within the medical sciences research landscape for positivist and post-positivist paradigms associated with quantitative research.

1593 Over the last decade, there has been ongoing debate about how to ensure qualitative medical

1594 education research is not perceived as inferior to quantitative research (Todres, Stephenson, and Jones

1595 2007). The contentious relationship between the two approaches may have been exacerbated by the

1596 introduction of the Research Excellence Framework in the UK in 2008, which positioned medical

1597 education closer to science rather than social science (Bligh and Brice 2008). Concurrently, some

advocated for classifying medical education as a social science (Monrouxe and Rees 2009).

Qualitative research is gaining acceptance in medical journals (Shuval et al. 2011). Over the past fifteen years, HPE research conducted by qualitative scholars has seen increased legitimacy and publication success, though these accomplishments were hard-earned (Varpio et al. 2017). As qualitative research gains recognition and value, it is essential that it is conducted rigorously and methodically to produce meaningful and beneficial results (Nowell et al. 2017). These are factors that have been considered when designing this research project.

1605 3.2 Qualitative, Quantitative, or Mixed Methods?

1619

Several potential research methodologies were considered when designing this study. At the paradigm level, there was a consideration as to whether qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods would be appropriate. With the development and perceived legitimacy of both qualitative and quantitative research, mixed methods employing the combination of both approaches has gained increasing popularity within the social and human sciences (Creswell and Creswell 2017). That being said, there were three reasons as to why quantitative investigational methods were discounted, and mixed methods were not pursued.

Firstly, given the subject matter under investigation, COVID-19 and medical communication through multimedia platforms, there was the potential this topic may have been distressing for some. As such,

1615 sending a quantitative-based research tool or form would not allow for the contemporaneous

1616 assessment of the emotional or psychological wellbeing of participants, as they were taking part in the

1617 study. Therefore, it was felt a quantitative approach would be sub-optimal in this regard.

1618 Secondly, with this being a part-time Doctor of Medicine degree, there was a need for speed in terms

1620 distress COVID-19 no doubt had on the country had subsided. However, also not so far after the

of gathering responses at a specific time point in the pandemic. A time after which the initial shock and

1621 initial stages of the pandemic, memories of participants falter or fail. During the planning stages of this

1622 research project, it was felt a time period of between twelve to fifteen months into the pandemic would

1623 allow for sufficient background reading and study design to be undertaken while also securing all
appropriate ethical approvals. Thus, a balance was struck between maintaining psychological safety of participants, while also conducting a qualitative research study sufficiently soon after the pandemic such that it was a contemporaneous record of what was a unique time in human history.

1627 Lastly, a mixed-methods approach was considered but ultimately not chosen due to the limited scope

1629 of the research question by combining the strengths of both quantitative and qualitative methods, the

and timeframe of the study. While mixed methods can provide a more comprehensive understanding

1630 implementation of such an approach would demand additional resources and time, which were

1631 deemed not feasible within the constraints of a part-time Doctor of Medicine program. Consequently,

1632 a qualitative methodology was deemed most suitable for this study.

1633 3.3 Axiology

1628

1634 Traditionally, the core concepts of constructing social science research and defining one's research 1635 paradigm have consisted of ontology, epistemology, methodology, methods, and sources, as alluded to 1636 in Guba and Lincoln's work, which has since been cited over 30,000 times (Guba and Lincoln 1994). 1637 (Grix 2002) argued that a *"directional and logical relationship needs to be understood if academics are* 1638 *to engage in constructive dialogue and criticism of each other's work".* There has been a move towards 1639 the inclusion of axiology as a fourth defining component of a research paradigm (Deane 2018).

1640 3.3.1 Axiological Approach

The axiological approach I bring to this research project is grounded in my professional experiences in communication, social media, and public health messaging. As a clinician skilled in conveying complex medical information clearly to the public, I have witnessed firsthand how impactful effective communication can be. Social media has enabled me to disseminate important public health insights widely. However, I have also observed the potential for media to be used divisively when contentious narratives spread unchecked. 1647 Even before COVID-19 was formally declared a pandemic, I felt this would be the first global public

1648 health crisis to unfold in the social media era. My early pandemic experiences cemented this

1649 realisation - I received messages from distressed individuals seeking explanations amidst the

- 1650 uncertainty. While brief, these interactions offered perspective on how rapidly misinformation and
- 1651 fear could propagate and the need for credible voices promoting scientifically accurate facts.
- 1652 As I embarked on this research, I was mindful of my duty as both a clinician and researcher to provide
- 1653 a platform for participants to share their stories. My aim was to approach each interview with openness

1654 to emotions and vulnerabilities, while maintaining methodological rigor. My communication

1655 background shapes the axiological perspective I bring. Valuing the potential to broadly communicate

1656 complex information, yet also acutely aware of media's potential to divide. This study provided an

1657 opportunity to explore the interplay between public commentary and personal pandemic experiences,

1658 with the goal of improving future health crisis communication.

1659 3.4 Ontology, Epistemology & Research Underpinnings

1660 3.4.1 Ontological Stance

One of the main categorisations relevant to qualitative research is related with ontology, which is defined as the 'nature of reality' (Ritchie 2020) and the 'study of being' (Scotland 2012). The two overarching ontological positions include realism and relativism. Realism relates to a distinction between the way the world is and of people's beliefs or understanding of that external reality (Ritchie 2020). Relativism relates to the philosophy that reality is constructed within the human mind and that reality is 'relative' depending on the experiences of individuals (Moon and Blackman 2014).

1667 3.4.2 Epistemology & Research Paradigms

1668 Epistemology is based on the creation, acquisition and communication of knowledge (Cohen, Manion,

- and Morrison 2002). (Guba and Lincoln 1994) formed the epistemological question: *"What is the*
- 1670 *nature of the relationship between the would-be knower*?"

1671 A paradigm is "a basic set of beliefs that guide action". Paradigms differ according to the set of beliefs 1672 they bring with them to the research setting. Research paradigms are the philosophical positions that 1673 underpin how research is designed, conducted, and interpreted (Rossman and Rallis 2011). The first 1674 aspect to consider in the construction of this research project's paradigm involves the study of value 1675 and ethics (Biedenbach and Jacobsson 2016). Two factors I hope have clearly been conveyed in this 1676 thesis thus far. While relevant across all clinical educational research, paradigms hold particular 1677 prominence when discussing qualitative research. To ensure research rigor, appropriate reflection, and 1678 consideration of the paradigm within which it is conducted are critical. Brown and Dueñas argue that 1679 within the medical sciences educational community, there is a "paucity of understanding" regarding 1680what a research paradigm consists of and how best to construct one (Brown and Dueñas 2020). This 1681 section will detail the considerations around the research paradigm within which this work has been 1682 conducted.

The perspective one has on the world, biases they bring and the need for reflexivity are all of vital importance to consider, especially in the qualitative research process, impacting everything from study design and data collection to the delivery of results. Ultimately, as Rolfe alludes, they are critical for validity, trustworthiness and rigor of qualitative research (Rolfe 2006). Researchers can use various paradigms together based on compatibility within their research (Creswell and Creswell 2017). The four main paradigms used to inform research include: post positivism, constructivism, advocacy/participatory and pragmatism.

Post positivism allows engaging with research through a scientific approach based on logic, cause-andeffect and empirical data collection through a *priori* theory (Creswell and Poth 2016). Researchers using a postpositive paradigm will adopt rigorous methods of data collection and analysis and seek multiple perspectives from participants rather than a single reality (Denzin and Lincoln 2011).

1694 The constructivist worldview enables individuals to develop subjective meanings around their

1695 experiences. As researchers using constructivism seek complexity of views rather than narrow

1696 meanings, they orient their research around the participants' view of the situation. These subjective 1697 views are formed through interactions with others; hence the researcher inductively generates a theory 1698 or pattern of meaning rather than beginning with a theory as seen in post positivism. Constructivist 1699 researchers ask broad questions to participants and stimulate these participants to construct meaning 1700 through open-ended discussion and dialogue. The researchers' own background shapes their 1701 interpretation of the constructed meaning formed by participants, and ultimately, the researcher looks 1702 to make sense of the meaning others have about the world. It is seen that constructivist worldviews 1703 usually manifest in phenomenological studies (Creswell and Poth 2016).

Advocacy/Participatory stipulates that research must bring an action agenda which will lead to meaningful change to the lives of the participants and institutions involved in the research. The researcher looks to act as a voice for these participants and institutions and help free them from the constraints of unjust structures hindering their self-development and self-determination. Subsequently, advocacy/participatory researchers design their questions and conduct their research through collaboration with their participants, with the final report consisting of an agenda for reform. This paradigm is usually seen in ethnographic studies (Creswell and Poth 2016).

Pragmatism allows researchers to focus on the overall outcome of the research, including the actions and consequences of the inquiry. Pragmatist researchers retain complete freedom over the methods, techniques and procedures of research they best see fit for the purpose, as pragmatism is not committed to any specific philosophy or reality (Cherryholmes 1992; Murphy 1990). Researchers using this worldview will use multiple methods of data collection to comprehensively answer the research question and they will focus on the practical implications of the research (Creswell and Poth 2016).

1718 One's worldview influences the underlying research design deemed appropriate and selected.

1719 Moreover, the manners in which these manifests in the research process is intimately connected to the

1720 underlying perspective of the researcher. With that in mind, it is worth noting that knowledge is not

asocial. 'Data' is not just out there to be obtained by automated, emotionally neutral processes. Rather,

1722 data are constructed according to the framing of the research topic and theoretical assumptions

1723 researchers have of the world. Braun and Clarke discuss the importance of the active role researchers

1724 play in identifying patterns and themes based on their theoretical and epistemological commitments

1725 (Braun and Clarke 2006).

1726 Given the deeply personal nature of a pandemic, especially one involving a novel virus affecting people

in seemingly indiscriminate ways, I was keen to learn what people felt was important about how the

1728 evolving phenomenon was communicated to them, what processes led to their engagement or

1729 disengagement with the media regarding COVID-19 and then their resulting behaviours.

1730 3.5 Ontological & Epistemological Foundations of Interpretative Phenomenological

1731 Analysis (IPA) in COVID-19 Media Experience Research

1732 3.5.1 Introduction to Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA)

1733 Interpretive Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) is a qualitative research approach committed to the

- examination of how people make sense of their major life experiences (Larkin, Flowers, and Smith2021).
- 1736 The genesis of IPA is complex and multifaceted. With its origins in health psychology (Smith 1995;

1737 Smith, Flowers, and Osborn 2013; Smith, Jarman, and Osborn 1999), theoretically its roots lie in what

1738 some scholars refer to as a "Critical realist" position (Bhaskar 2013; Fade 2004).

- 1739 While IPA is a relatively recently developed and still evolving approach to qualitative research (Willig
- 1740 2013) it has emerged as a potent research methodology, particularly suited to exploring lived
- 1741 experiences and focuses on how individuals make sense of their personal and social world.

1742 3.5.2 Theoretical Underpinnings of IPA

- 1743 The main theoretical underpinnings of IPA are drawn from phenomenology, hermeneutics and
- 1744 idiography which when combined can facilitate a deep understanding of the subjective experiences of
- 1745 individuals.
- 1746 3.5.3 Application of IPA to Media Experience Research
- 1747 In the context of investigating the lived experience of mainstream and social media consumption
- among medically and non-medically qualified participants during a pandemic, IPA offers a robust
- 1749 framework for deep, nuanced understanding. This section elucidates the ontological and
- 1750 epistemological underpinnings of IPA as applied to this thesis.

1751 3.5.4 Rationale for Using IPA

- 1752 The rationale for employing IPA in this study is rooted in its unique philosophical underpinnings,
- 1753 which align closely with the research question's focus on lived experiences. **IPA**'s methodological
- approach is particularly relevant for examining media experiences during a global pandemic, as it
- acknowledges the complex interplay between individual meaning-making and collective crisis
- 1756 experiences. The methodology enables researchers to explore how participants navigate and interpret
- 1757 their media engagement while recognising that these experiences are deeply embedded in their
- 1758 personal, professional, and social contexts. The IPA framework provides a robust foundation for
- 1759 understanding the nuanced ways individuals processed media information during COVID-19.
- 1760 3.5.5 Ontological & Epistemological Considerations
- 1761 IPA's ontological position assumes that reality is subjective and constructed through individual
- 1762 experiences and interpretations. Epistemologically, IPA acknowledges that access to these experiences
- 1763 is always interpretative, recognising the double hermeneutic where the researcher interprets the
- 1764 participants' interpretations of their experiences.
- 1765 In the context of media experience research, this ontological position acknowledges that each
- 1766 participant's interaction with mainstream and social media is unique, influenced by their background,

profession (medical or non-medical), and personal history. The reality of media experience is not afixed external entity, but a fluid, internally constructed phenomenon.

1769 IPA's foundation in phenomenology, hermeneutics, and idiography makes it particularly suitable for

1770 this study. The phenomenological aspect allows for a deep exploration of participants' lived

1771 experiences with media during the pandemic. The hermeneutic element acknowledges the

1772 interpretative nature of understanding these experiences, both from the participant's and the

1773 researcher's perspectives. The idiographic focus enables a detailed examination of individual cases

1774 before moving to more general claims.

1775 3.5.6 Methodological Considerations

1776 3.5.6.1 Sample Size in IPA

However, it's crucial to address the limitations of IPA, particularly concerning sample size. While
(Tindall 2009) suggest that IPA is most effective with smaller sample sizes to maintain its idiographic
commitment, this study's larger sample size (n=40) pushes the boundaries of typical IPA practice. This
decision was made to capture a broader range of experiences across both medical professionals and

- 1781 the general public, providing a more comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon under study.
- 1782 The choice of a larger sample size within an IPA framework necessitates careful consideration of how
- 1783 to maintain the idiographic focus while also identifying patterns across cases. This approach allows for
- 1784 a nuanced understanding of individual experiences while also revealing shared themes that may have
- 1785 broader implications for pandemic communication strategies.

1786 3.5.6.2 Comparison with Reflexive Thematic Analysis (RTA)

1787 In contrast to Reflexive Thematic Analysis (RTA), which might have allowed for a larger sample size

1788 but potentially at the cost of depth in individual accounts, **IPA** enables a more detailed exploration of

- 1789 personal meanings and the lived experience of engaging with media during the pandemic. While it's
- 1790 true that RTA could also elaborate on emotive claims, IPA's specific theoretical background in

phenomenology, hermeneutics and idiography and its interpretative element provides a unique lensthrough which to view these experiences.

The decision to persist with **IPA** despite the larger sample size reflects a commitment to honouring individual voices while also seeking to understand broader patterns in the lived experience of pandemic media consumption. This approach allows for a rich, nuanced analysis that may help inform future public health communication strategies while remaining true to the idiographic principle of **IPA**.

1797 3.5.7 Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in IPA Research

1798 *3.5.7.1 Role of AI Tools*

1799 While this study employed IPA with a larger sample size than is typically recommended, it's important 1800 to clarify the role of AI tools in the research process. Rather than considering the use of AI as a novel 1801 methodology, it's more accurate to describe these tools as supportive elements in managing and 1802 organising the extensive data set generated from 40 interviews. One could consider the coherence of 1803 such an approach with abiding by and applying the philosophy behind IPA. The use of AI, namely 1804 ChatGPT 4 and Descript, as tools to organise and re-visit and interrogate the transcripts aided the 1805application of **IPA** principles in order to getting a deep understanding of individual lived experience. 1806 Specifically, the Descript tool was utilised for its transcription capabilities, helping to efficiently convert 1807 audio recordings into text. ChatGPT 4, an advanced large language model, was employed as an 1808 organisational aid to help sort and categorise the vast corpus of interview transcripts. It is important to state, each interview transcript was divided into smaller sections, with a myself a human researcher, 'in 18091810 the loop' at every stage of the process checking outputs, matched transcript inputs, and ensuring 1811 against hallucination - essentially ChatGPT generated content. Information about how ChatGPT and 1812 Descript were deployed as tools to assist in this research can be found in Appendices VI & VII.

1813 3.5.7.2 Maintaining IPA Integrity with AI Support

1814 However, it's crucial to emphasise that these AI tools did not play a part in the hermeneutic circle

1815 central to IPA. The interpretative work, which is at the heart of IPA's methodology, remained firmly

1816 in the hands of the researcher.

1817 The use of AI in this context was limited to tasks that supported the analysis process without replacing

1818 the researcher's interpretative role. This approach allowed for the maintenance of IPA's idiographic

1819 focus while managing a larger sample size than is typically used in IPA studies. It's important to note

1820 that the decision to use AI tools was made to enhance efficiency in data management, not to alter the

1821 fundamental interpretative nature of IPA.

1822 3.5.7.3 Privacy Concerns & Data Security

1823 However, the use of AI tools in research, particularly those involving sensitive health data, raises 1824important privacy concerns. While steps were taken to ensure data security, including seeking 1825 guidance from HYMS, anonymising data fed into these tools, paying for the professional versions and 1826 opting out of allowing research transcript data to train the large language model. It is worth 1827 acknowledging the potential risks associated with using current AI tools for research purposes. These 1828 concerns include the storage and potential reuse of data by AI companies, the possibility of data 1829 breaches, and the evolving nature of AI privacy policies. By clarifying the limited role of AI tools in 1830 this study and addressing the associated privacy concerns, we can maintain the integrity of the IPA 1831 approach while acknowledging the practical support that technology provided in managing a larger-1832 than-typical sample size for an IPA study.

1833 3.5.8 Summary of Ontological & Epistemological Foundations

The ontological and epistemological foundations of IPA provide a robust framework for exploring the lived experiences of mainstream and social media among diverse participants. By acknowledging the subjective, interpreted nature of reality and emphasising deep, contextual understanding, IPA offers unique insights into how individuals navigate and make meaning from their media experiences. This approach aligns well with the complex, personal nature of media interaction in contemporary society,
offering potential for rich, nuanced findings that can inform future practice in effective public health
communication.

1841 3.6 Expanding IPA: Considerations on Sample Size & AI-Assisted Analysis

- 1842 The application of Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) to larger datasets, particularly
- 1843 when facilitated by AI tools such as ChatGPT 4, raises important questions about maintaining the
- 1844 methodological and philosophical underpinnings of IPA. This section addresses these considerations,
- 1845 with particular attention to the core elements of IPA: hermeneutics, idiography, and phenomenology.
- 1846 3.6.1 The Challenge of Scale in IPA
- 1847 Traditionally, IPA has been associated with small sample sizes, typically ranging from 1 to 10
- 1848 participants (Larkin, Flowers, and Smith 2021). This approach aligns with IPA's commitment to
- 1849 idiography and deep, contextual analysis. However, the use of AI to analyse larger quantities of data

1850 presents both opportunities and challenges to this established paradigm.

1851 3.6.2 Potential Benefits of Larger Samples

- 1852 1. Increased Diversity: Larger samples can capture a wider range of experiences, potentially
 1853 leading to more comprehensive insights for consideration in future pandemics.
- 1854 2. Pattern Recognition: AI-assisted analysis may identify patterns or themes that might be less
 1855 apparent in smaller samples.
- 18563. Generalisability: While not a primary goal of IPA, larger samples might offer more
- 1857 transferable findings.

1858 3.6.3 Potential Challenges to IPA Philosophy

- 1859 1. Idiographic Focus: The cornerstone of IPA is its commitment to detailed examination of
- 1860 individual cases (Tindall 2009). Larger samples may compromise this depth.

- 1861 2. Hermeneutic Circle: The interpretative process in IPA involves moving between the part 1862 and the whole, which becomes more complex with larger datasets. 1863 3. Phenomenological Essence: Capturing the essence of lived experience may be diluted in 1864 the analysis of larger datasets. 18653.6.4 Revisiting the Core Elements of IPA 1866To address these challenges, it's crucial to revisit the three key philosophical elements of IPA as 1867 outlined by Larkin et al (Larkin, Flowers, and Smith 2021). 1868 1. Hermeneutics: The theory of interpretation is central to IPA. With larger datasets, researchers 1869must ensure that the interpretative process remains true to the individual's experience while 1870 also considering the broader context. 1871 2. Idiography: This commitment to the particular is perhaps the most challenged by larger 1872 sample sizes. Researchers must find ways to maintain the idiographic focus even when dealing 1873 with more extensive data. 1874 3. Phenomenology: The focus on lived experience and how individuals make sense of it remains 1875 crucial, regardless of sample size. 1876 3.6.5 AI as a Tool in IPA: Opportunities & Limitations 1877 The use of AI as a tool in IPA research requires careful consideration with regards to opportunities 1878 and limitations: 1879 1. Enhanced Data Processing: AI can help process larger volumes of data, potentially identifying 1880 patterns that might be missed in manual analysis. 1881 2. Consistency in Coding: AI tools can provide consistency in initial coding stages, potentially 1882 reducing researcher bias.
- 1883
 3. Risk of Decontextualisation: There's a risk that AI-driven analysis might miss nuanced,
 1884 context-dependent meanings that are crucial to IPA.

4. Maintaining the Interpretative Element: Researchers must ensure that AI tools support rather
than replace the crucial interpretative work central to IPA.

1887 3.6.6 Contrasting with Reflexive Thematic Analysis (RTA)

1888 To illustrate the decision-making process in choosing IPA for larger datasets, it's helpful to contrast it

1889 with Reflexive Thematic Analysis (RTA) as proposed by Braun and Clarke (Braun and Clarke 2006,2019):

- Theoretical Flexibility: RTA offers greater theoretical flexibility and is more amenable to
 larger datasets.
- Level of Interpretation: While both involve interpretation, IPA typically involves a deeper
 level of interpretative work, focusing on the lived experience.
- 1895
 3. Idiographic vs. Pattern-Based: IPA maintains an idiographic focus, whereas RTA is more
 1896
 pattern-based across the dataset.
- 1897
 4. Researcher Positioning: In IPA, the researcher's interpretative role is more explicitly
 acknowledged and utilised.
- 1899 3.6.7 Conclusion: Navigating the Tensions

1900 The use of AI to analyse larger datasets within an IPA framework presents both opportunities and

1901 challenges. While it may allow for broader insights, researchers must be vigilant in maintaining the

- 1902 core philosophical commitments of IPA:
- Ensure that the idiographic focus is not lost by protecting and preserving the continued and
 deep engagement with individual cases.
- 1905
 2. Use AI as a supportive tool in the initial stages of sorting transcripts, but rely on human
 1906 interpretation for deeper, contextual understanding.
- 1907 3. Maintain a reflexive stance, acknowledging how the use of AI and larger samples impacts the1908 interpretative process.

- 1909 By carefully navigating these tensions, it is hoped this research may potentially expand the scope of
- 1910 IPA while remaining true to its philosophical foundations. This approach requires a clear articulation
- 1911 of methodological decisions and their implications, ensuring transparency in how AI tools and larger
- 1912 samples are integrated into the IPA framework.

1913 3.7 Formulating a Research Question

1914 I wanted to base my programme of study around a research question aiming to compare medical 1915 education in my two roles, in the pharmaceutical industry and as an honorary lecturer at Hull York 1916 Medical School. However, with the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020, it became 1917 apparent that this event could have significant impact upon society. Following discussions with my 1918 supervisor Professor Martin Veysey, we decided to reshape the scope of this MD to examine the 1919 influence of media during a pandemic. Over the first 12 months, until March 2021, I extensively 1920 consumed various mainstream and social media content related to COVID-19. However, there was 1921 also an element of criticality in the timing of the interviews, as they had to be conducted in a relatively 1922 contemporaneous manner during a condensed period of the pandemic.

1923 3.8 Ethics Application

1924 The ethics application process for this research project exploring the impact and interplay between

1925 social and mainstream media on global public health communication during a pandemic, commenced

1926 in February 2021. Following acceptance of the proposed ethical considerations and safeguards ethical

- 1927 approval was granted by the end of May 2021 as per the approval letter in 'Appendix I Approval
- 1928 Letter from Ethics Committee'.

1929 3.9 Rationale for Individual Interviews

Considering the highly personal and potentially emotive nature of experiences related to the pandemic, individual interviews were deemed the most appropriate method to elicit in-depth and candid responses from participants. This approach allowed for open discussions and facilitated the exploration of each participant's unique perspective on the impact of social and mainstream media during the pandemic. In contrast, focus groups might not have provided the same level of comfort or encouraged the same level of openness, given the group setting and potential for social dynamics to influence participants' responses.

1937 3.9.1 Timing of Interviews

1938

1939 fifteen months after the declaration of the pandemic in March 2020. This timing was chosen to strike a

Interviews for this study were conducted between June and July 2021, which marked a period of

1940 balance between allowing sufficient time to elapse, so as not to evoke trauma or distress for

1941 participants while discussing their experiences and ensuring that their thoughts and recollections of the

1942 early stages of the pandemic remained relatively fresh. This approach aimed to facilitate a

- 1943 comprehensive exploration of the participants' experiences while minimising potential emotional
- 1944 distress associated with discussing what may have been a challenging period in their lives.

1945 3.9.2 Participant Selection & Sampling

1946 Participant recruitment for this study was carried out according to the documentation approved by the

1947 ethics committee. These documents consisted of 'Appendix II - Invitation to Participant Text &

1948 Artwork', 'Appendix III - Research Study Information Sheet', and 'Appendix IV Participant Consent

1949 *Form*'. Advertisements were posted on social media platforms to attract a diverse pool of participants.

During the study period, interviews were conducted with a range of individuals to ensure a balanced representation of age, sex, educational qualifications, and professional backgrounds. This approach aimed to include a heterogeneous mix of participants, comprising both medically and non-medically qualified individuals, in order to capture a wide range of perspectives on the impact of media during the pandemic.

1955 3.9.3 Reception of Participation

1956 Only one participant responded to an invite via WhatsApp declining to participate in the research,

1957 who felt the line of questioning maybe something they were not willing to participate in. In fact, the

1958 majority of participants responded positively and commented in the interview, or just after, how much

- 1959 of a cathartic exercise they found the process of talking for the first time about what had clearly been a
- 1960 traumatic series of events in the 15 months preceding the initial lockdown of March 2020. Given these

1961 facts I feel the timing of interviews was just right in regard to the balance between participant wellbeing1962 and richness of research findings.

1963 3.9.4 Conducting Interviews

Semi-structured interviews were utilised in this study, allowing participants the freedom to guide the conversation in directions they found relevant or significant. While adhering to the predetermined questions in *Appendix V – Questions Prepared for Semi-Structured Interviews*', participants were encouraged to explore their thoughts and elaborate their experiences in-depth. Handwritten field notes were taken during the interviews and reviewed immediately afterward. These notes were consulted before conducting subsequent interviews to ensure continuity and reflection on the process.

1970 3.9.5 Interviewer

1971 The majority of interviews were conducted solely by me. However, in five instances, medical students 1972 from the research team joined the process. This approach aimed to provide them with valuable 1973 research experience and allowed me to observe their interviewing techniques and mannerisms. I found 1974 this observation beneficial, as it enabled me to incorporate useful elements from their approach into 1975 my own interview process. Consistency was maintained as they used the same semi-structured 1976 interview schedule.

1977 3.9.6 Data Collection

1978 A series of questions were written, and submitted for ethical approval, for the semi structured

1979 interviews. These can be found in 'Appendix V - Questions Prepared for Semi-Structured Interviews'.

1980 The intention behind writing this document was to provide a framework around which participants

1981 could elaborate on areas most pertinent to their lived experience of the pandemic.

1982 All interviews started the same way with the participant being thanked for their time, making it clear

1983 there were no right or wrong answers and if there were any questions, they didn't want to answer that

1984	wasn't a problem. Assuming they were ok to proceed, which they all were, the following opening
1985	statement was read out:

- 1986 "2020 has been a year like no other, the purpose of this interview is to explore your views on the role
- 1987 various forms of media has had on how you personally have processed and reacted to evolving news of
- 1988 the pandemic".
- 1989 Participants were then asked an open question for their reflections on that statement. It proved this
- 1990 was a particularly rich period of the interview, with many people giving detailed answers, pertinent to
- 1991 their own lived experience, that set the scene of the rest of the interview.
- 1992 The following sections of the interview centred around:
- 1993 1) Initial recollections when they first heard about COVID-19.
- 1994 2) Origins of COVID-19.
- 1995 3) Subsequent pandemic behaviours.
- 1996 4) Faces and phrases of the pandemic.
- 1997 3.10 Phenomenological Research Principles

1998 There are four phenomenological research principles, namely bracketing, intuiting, analysing, and

- 1999 describing (Moustakas 1994). Each step plays a pivotal role in helping to understand the lived
- 2000 experiences of research participants. In this section, I will provide a brief overview of each of these
- 2001 terms and share my personal reflections on the challenges and successes I encountered while
- 2002 deploying these techniques in my research project.

2003 3.10.1 Bracketing

- 2004 Bracketing is an essential methodological aspect of phenomenological inquiry (Chan, Fung, and Chien
- 2005 2013), requiring researchers to consciously set aside their own beliefs and pre-existing knowledge
- about the phenomenon under investigation. The purpose of this suspension of judgment is to enable

2007 researchers to focus on the analysis of experiences rather than allowing preconceived notions or biases2008 to influence their understanding.

2009 Initially, I found this aspect of the IPA process challenging, particularly during the early stages of 2010 analysis. However, as I became more deeply immersed in the data, I noticed a significant 2011 improvement in my ability to bracket my own preconceptions. Continually revisiting the transcripts of 2012 participants with differing views from my own and repeatedly watching and listening to their accounts 2013 helped me to genuinely hear and understand what they were saying, rather than interpreting their 2014words purely through the lens of my own beliefs. This iterative process of engagement with the data 2015 ultimately enabled me to gain a more authentic understanding of the participants' experiences and 2016perspectives.

2017 3.10.2 Intuiting

Following the bracketing process, intuiting takes centre stage, which entails the researcher focusing on the meanings attributed to the phenomenon by the participants. Intuiting facilitates the development of a shared understanding of the phenomenon between the researcher and the participant, fostering a deeper insight into their experiences.

2022 As a researcher, I found that I was naturally more adept at intuiting, thanks to my empathetic and 2023 inquisitive disposition. At the beginning of each interview, I emphasised my eagerness to understand 2024 the participants' perspectives on the impact and interplay of media during the pandemic. This approach encouraged participants to share extensive and detailed information. It was this eagerness 20252026 conducting interviews and learning first-hand how COVID-19 communication in the media had 2027 affected people which led to me conduct a larger quantity of interviews than would ordinarily be 2028 required for an IPA study. The same reasoning as to seeking a heterogeneous rather than 2029 homogeneous group.

- 90 -

2030 3.10.3 Analysing

2031 The analysis stage necessitates a meticulous and comprehensive examination of the collected data to

2032 discern patterns, themes, and insights into the participants' lived experiences. This process

2033 commences with the researcher repeatedly reading and re-reading the gathered data, fostering a

2034 deeper and more holistic understanding of the participants' perspectives.

2035 As previously mentioned, the considerable volume of data collected posed challenges in terms of

2036 relying purely on manual processing and analysis. To maintain academic rigor and ensure a thorough

2037 engagement with the data, I devoted ample time and effort to the manual IPA approach. I also spent

2038 time exploring how innovative AI tools could augment the interrogation of the vast corpus of data.

2039 This approach facilitated the identification and interpretation of the nuances and complexities of the

2040 participants' experiences. By embracing both traditional analytical techniques and innovative

2041 technologies, I was able to achieve a balance between in-depth understanding and efficient data

2042 processing, ensuring the validity and reliability of the study's findings.

2043 3.10.4 Describing

The final principle in phenomenological research is describing, which emphasises the articulation of how individuals experience a specific phenomenon. It is crucial to set aside biases and present a detailed and accurate account of the participants' experiences, ensuring the validity of the research findings.

As detailed in chapters '4.0 Results for HCPs' and '5.0 Results for General Public', individual writeups for each participant are provided, highlighting themes and substantiating quotes that emerged from their experiences. In '6.0 Discussion', a comprehensive analysis of the common GETs that surfaced throughout the research process is presented, in relation to the contemporary COVID-19 literature on the subject. This in-depth exploration was made possible by employing IPA methodology through a combination of traditional techniques and innovative technological approaches. By integrating these 2054 methods, a more accurate and thorough understanding of the participants' lived experiences was2055 achieved, ultimately contributing to the rigor and credibility of the study.

2056 3.11 Traditional IPA Methodology

2057 From August 2021 to October 2022, a period of 14 months, the IPA methodology for analysis was

2058 applied manually. The interviews were conducted on Zoom, which offered an auto-transcription

2059 feature. While this feature saved time, there were limitations due to occasional transcription errors.

2060 Nevertheless, by carefully listening back to the interviews, I was able to work around these inaccuracies

and ensure the reliability of the transcriptions.

2062 From November 2022 to August 2023, I engaged in a period of in-depth analysis, immersing myself in

2063 the dataset. This process entailed creating handwritten exploratory, descriptive, linguistic, and

2064 conceptual notes based on the recorded interviews. The sheer volume of data, combined with my part-

2065 time MD status, meant that this phase of the project required the most significant individual

2066 investment of time. This immersion proved invaluable for gaining a profound understanding of the

2067 data.

2068 3.12 An IPA Study with Use of AI Tools

2069 Utilising AI enabled tools, I revisited every transcript in a consistent and replicable manner and 2070 validated the outputs from the manual methodology applied. My deep familiarity with the data allowed 2071 me to clearly recognise which interview each specific theme originated from, as well as where 2072 occasional errors or misrepresentations were made, as a result of the AI hallucinating and essentially 2073 making up output.

These cutting edge tools streamlined the data analysis process, allowing analysis which took 15 months to be completed manually, to be replicated and completed over a period of a few concentrated weeks of work, but also enhance the depth and rigor of the study, allowing for more comprehensive review of all the participants' lived experiences. These outputs still required manual review to ensure they were

2078 all correct and fair representations and of relevance to the research question. By leveraging the

2079 capabilities of AI systems like ChatGPT and Descript, I was able to efficiently process, re-visit and re2080 analyse the wealth of information gathered in its entirety, effectively integrating technology throughout
2081 the entire research process.

The simple example of ChatGPT 3 being asked to provide bullet point summaries allowed for rapid navigation around transcripts. The consistency of which these tools can analyse vast corpuses of data to identify connections that may be missed by human analysis alone, in my opinion, enhance the rigor of the human analytical process. For example, the ability of ChatGPT 4 to pull out all themes and quotes for human review. As a dyslexic researcher, I particularly found it useful, allowing me to better understand each of the participants lived experience in a deeper way. The following section details exactly how each AI tool was used, and how it augmented the human IPA research process.

2089 3.12.1 Using ChatGPT 3 for Experimentation & Exploration

I began experimenting with ChatGPT 3 upon its release in November 2022 for work purposes
unrelated to my research project. Over the Christmas holidays, time dedicated to my MD, this
experimentation progressed to exploring whether there may be relevant use cases for my research.
Initially, there was again a period of experimentation, as this new technology had not previously been

deployed for the purpose of assisting the analysis of an **IPA** study. I explored the use of ChatGPT 3 as an instrument for conducting superficial analysis and condensing the transcripts into bullet points. The capabilities of this tool were such that it could provide a useful bullet point list to easily identify broadly what issues appeared in which interviews. However, it was not capable of any more nuanced or detailed analysis.

I explored the potential of ChatGPT 3 assisting for identifying themes, but I found that the output was 2099 2100 not of sufficient quality for this purpose, with the tool making errors and at times even fabricating 2101 answers. It was only as a result of my deep immersion within the data I was able to spot these answers 2102 produced as a result of AI hallucination, so would caution against other researchers attempting to use 2103 ChatGPT 3 for this purpose. Consequently, I decided to continue using ChatGPT 3 solely for 2104 providing bullet point summaries of the transcripts. This was helpful to approach the project again in a 2105 fresh manner after 18 months had elapsed since the interviews were conducted. When these bullet 2106points were considered in context with my handwritten notes this approach proved to be highly 2107 beneficial, as it facilitated efficient quick, and accurate access to the relevant sections of interviews 2108 when revisiting and refining first and second pass IPA notes.

2109 3.12.2 Using ChatGPT 4 to Identify All Themes & Substantiating Quotes from Transcripts

2110 In March 2023, the latest generation of ChatGPT was released. Given the significant amount of data

2111 collected across the 40 interviews, consisting of over 35 hours of interviews and a corpus of transcripts

2112 of 375,000 words, running to over 1,000 pages. I wanted to explore whether ChatGPT 4, could be

2113 used as a tool to extend the IPA process over a wider sample size of participants than usually

2114	considered for a study using IPA methodology. Could ChatGPT 4 potentially identify themes, that
2115	may be missed by human analysis alone? And present themes with substantiating quotes in a
2116	replicable, auditable manner?
2117	The advanced capabilities of ChatGPT 4 made it a valuable tool for augmenting the IPA research
2118	process, as it can efficiently analyse large volumes of data and extract themes that might be overlooked
2119	by human researchers. The AI's ability to process and categorise information quickly and accurately
2120	enhances the quality of the research and provides a comprehensive list of all themes covered. It was
2121	then up to me as a researcher to manually review these themes so I can develop a more
2122	comprehensive understanding of each of the participants' lived experience.
2123	In this study, ChatGPT 4 was deployed to revisit each transcript individually for the analysis of themes
2124	and substantiating quotes. The AI's power enabled the extraction of all themes present in the
2125	transcripts. Something not possible with ChatGPT 3, nor human analysis alone. This information was
2126	then manually copied into an Excel spreadsheet, where each theme and substantiating quote was
2127	reviewed manually for its relevance to the research question. Irrelevant themes, unrelated to the
2128	research question were removed, resulting in 835 themes related to the research question. These
2129	themes and substantiating quotes were presented in one tab per interview, allowing for easy access
2130	when revisiting the cases. Although there was duplication of themes across interview, this approach
2131	allowed for the attribution of each theme to its respective interview and the determination of theme
2132	frequency. This was of great benefit to the study, as it facilitated a more comprehensive and nuanced
2133	understanding of the participants' experiences and perspectives with regards to the research question.
2134	I then used the excel document collating the collection of themes and substantiating quotes, in
2135	combination with my first and second pass IPA notes to compile manually written individual
2136	summaries for each participant. I felt this combined approach helped me do justice to accurately
2137	detailing their lived experiences with media during the COVID-19 pandemic, and each participants
2138	summary is presented in the chapters '4.0 Results for HCPs' and '5.0 Results for General Public'.

- 95 -

2139 3.11.3 Transcription & Revisiting Interviews: The Role of Descript

2140 Descript is an AI-powered transcription software that offers industry leading accuracy and speed, along

2141 with powerful tools for re-visiting specific sentences quickly and efficiently, as demonstrated in

2142 'Appendix VII - Miscellaneous Material'. In the context of an IPA study, Descript can significantly

2143 streamline the transcription process and facilitate the revisiting of interviews for analysis. The software

2144 automatically transcribes interviews within minutes, assigns speaker labels using its AI-powered

2145'Speaker Detective' feature, and allows users to export well-formatted transcripts. Descript was

2146 deployed in this research project, shortly after its release, from March 2023 onwards.

2147 In addition to its transcription capabilities, Descript enables researchers to remove filler words, search

2148 for specific phrases or quotes, and quickly listen back to the relevant sections of the interview. The

2149 interface to allow this is shown in Appendix VII. This level of efficiency and accessibility makes it

2150 easier for researchers to delve deeper into the data and extract meaningful insights. As a relatively new

2151 technology, Descript may not be widely used in IPA research yet. However, its potential to enhance

2152 the transcription and analysis process warrants further exploration and adoption by qualitative

2153 researchers. By integrating tools like Descript into the research process, researchers may be able to

2154 further optimise their workflow and potentially improve the quality of their IPA studies.

2158

21553.12 Embracing AI Enabled Technologies in Future IPA Research: A Concluding Reflection

2156AI technology and Large Language Models (LLM), such as ChatGPT 3 and ChatGPT 4, represent a 2157 potential new frontier in IPA research methodology, as demonstrated by this research project. While

these technologies have shown promise in enhancing the depth and efficiency of analysis, it is

2159 important to recognise that they currently serve as adjuncts to the human research process. As these

2160 technologies continue to advance and become more widely adopted, standardi sed approaches for their

- 2161 use in IPA studies may emerge. I believe it is crucial for researchers to openly share their experiences
- 2162 using these tools, and for academic institutions to embrace and support their integration into the

research process, as ultimately an augmented approach to research combining the best of both humanand AI capabilities will improve the quality, reliability, and robustness of such projects.

Fundamental training in conducting research remains invaluable, coupled with deeply immersing oneself in the data through practical application of said research methodology. The collaboration between human researchers and AI systems has the potential to increase the quality and scope of IPA studies, leading to a deeper understanding of the complexities of human experience. By adopting a forward looking perspective and continuing to explore the potential of AI and LLMs, researchers can pave the way for new insights and advancements in the field of IPA research.

2171 With this being a new use of a novel technology, I also strongly feel it is important to share such 2172 insights as it may be of use to other researchers wishing to use LLMs, such as ChatGPT 4, to augment 2173 their own research projects. This field is advancing so rapidly that during the write up stages of this 2174project there has already been three distinct AI tools used with very different capabilities all of 2175 increasing ability. As documented ChatGPT 3, ChatGPT 4 and Descript have all been used. For 2176 context these are just three of hundreds of AI tools being released. With the pace of change showing 2177 no sign of abating, university institutions and organisations that ban the use of these emerging 2178 technologies in research stand to lose out on learning regarding the pros and cons of safely integrating 2179 these tools into research processes.

2180 3.13 Rigour in Research

Rigour is defined as the state of being very exact or strictly precise (Dictionary 2002). In research, rigour relates to the strength of the research design and appropriateness of the methods to answer relevant questions (Morse et al. 2002). The concept of Rigour is heightened in importance for qualitative research due to the potential of subjectivity inherent in this research approach. Thus, for qualitative research to be accepted as conducted in a rigorous manner, the inclusion of a reflexive section is of paramount importance (Rolfe 2006). While quantitative research has been the traditional mainstay of research methodologies deployed in medical research it tends to analyse phenomena in terms of trends and frequencies, whereas qualitative research provides the opportunity to uncover depth of understanding phenomena through exploration with emphasis on the meaning, experiences and views of the participants (Al-Busaidi 2008). As such, in the reflexive statement of this research project I have introduced the biases, background, and experiences I bring to this project, to allow readers an insight into the factors that contributed to that interplay between the data and the researcher. Thus, I will now detail my reflexive practice and present my reflexivity statement.

2194 3.14 Reflexivity Section

Having discussed the rationale and justification for the choice of research methods, it is also important for qualitative research to consider ones positionality, biases, and pre-suppositions. Throughout this research project frequent reflexive conversations with my supervisors enabled critical self-appraisal concerning my role within the evolving research process.

2199 As a clinician with a longstanding interest in communication across various domains - clinical,

2200 educational, managerial, and media settings - I approached this research with a unique perspective. My

2201 professional background has made me acutely aware of the critical role that effective communication

2202 plays in healthcare, particularly during times of crisis. This awareness significantly influenced my

2203 choice of research topic and my approach to exploring the impact of social and mainstream media on

2204 global public health communication during the COVID-19 pandemic.

2205 My clinical experience has taught me the importance of clear, accurate, and empathetic

2206 communication in healthcare settings. I've observed firsthand how miscommunication or

2207 misunderstanding can lead to adverse outcomes for patients. This background made me particularly

2208 sensitive to the challenges of communicating complex health information to the public during a rapidly

2209 evolving pandemic. It also fuelled my curiosity about how different media channels might influence

2210 public understanding and behaviour.

2211 As a researcher with dyslexia, I faced unique challenges in approaching the traditionally text-heavy

2212 process of Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA). IPA typically involves extensive reading

2213 and re-reading of interview transcripts, which can be particularly demanding for individuals with

2214 dyslexia. Recognising this potential barrier, I sought innovative solutions that would allow me to engage

2215 deeply with the data without being hindered by my severe dyslexia.

2216 This led me to adapt my research methods by incorporating technological tools such as ChatGPT and

2217 Descript. These tools proved invaluable in helping me navigate the large corpus of data generated

2218 from the interviews. Descript, an audio transcription and editing tool, allowed me to engage with the

2219 interview content through both text and audio formats. This multimodal approach made it easier for

2220 me to process and analyse the information, playing to my strengths as a dyslexic researcher.

2221 ChatGPT, an AI large language model, served as a supportive tool in several ways. It helped me

2222 organise and summarise key points from the interviews, which was particularly useful given the volume

2223 of data. However, I was always mindful of the need to maintain the integrity of the IPA approach. I

2224 used ChatGPT as an aid to my thinking process, not as a replacement for my own analysis. The AI's

summaries and suggestions served as a starting point, prompting me to delve deeper into the data and

2226 encouraging me to consider perspectives I might have otherwise overlooked.

2227 My use of these tools reflects a broader consideration in my research: the role of technology in shaping

2228 how we communicate and process information. Just as I adapted my research methods using

2229 technology, I was studying how society at large adapted to new forms of media during the pandemic.

2230 This parallel wasn't lost on me and indeed informed my analysis of how people engaged with various

2231 media platforms during the crisis.

2232 Throughout the research process, I was acutely aware of my own experiences and perceptions of

2233 media coverage during the pandemic. As both a healthcare professional and a consumer of media, I

had my own reactions to the evolving situation. I made a conscious effort to bracket these personal

experiences while conducting interviews and analysing data, striving to approach each participant'snarrative with openness and curiosity.

2237 My portfolio background proved to be a significant asset in conducting this research, substantially 2238 enriching both the data collection and analysis phases. My broad clinical experience enabled me to ask 2239 probing, contextually relevant questions when participants discussed their health-related anxieties and 2240 alluded to information-seeking behaviours. I could quickly identify areas that warranted deeper 2241 exploration and guide participants to elaborate on crucial aspects of their experiences that might 2242 otherwise have been overlooked. My expertise across multiple communication domains - clinical, 2243 educational, broadcast and social media, provided me with a sophisticated understanding of how 2244 information flows through different channels. This allowed me to recognise subtle but important 2245 patterns in how participants navigated various media platforms during the pandemic, and to draw 2246 meaningful connections between their described experiences and broader public health 2247 communication challenges. Rather than simply observing their narratives, I could engage with 2248 participants' accounts at a deeper level, drawing on my practical understanding of both healthcare 2249 delivery and crisis communication to uncover richer insights into their lived experiences. 2250 Reflecting on the research process, I realise that my adaptation of IPA methods to accommodate my 2251 dyslexia mirrors a key theme that emerged from the study: the importance of adapting communication 2252 strategies to meet diverse needs. Just as I found ways to engage with the research data that worked for

2253 me, participants in the study described their own processes of finding and filtering information in ways

2254 that suited their individual circumstances and capabilities.

2255 This research journey has deepened my appreciation for the complexity of public health

2256 communication, especially in crisis situations. It has also reinforced my belief in the importance of

2257 considering diverse perspectives and needs when developing communication strategies. As a clinician,

2258 researcher, and individual with dyslexia, I feel this project has not only contributed to the field of

2259 health communication research but has also enhanced my own practice and understanding.

- 100 -

In conclusion, my personal and professional experiences significantly shaped my approach to this research, from the choice of topic to the methods used. By reflecting on these influences, I hope to provide transparency about the lens through which this research was conducted, allowing readers to better contextualise the findings and interpretations presented in this thesis.

2264 3.14.1 Communication & Media in Medical Practice

2265 Communication has been a core component of my clinical practice and career. I am also against the 2266paternalistic practise of medicine and have spoken positively about the benefits of 'Dr Google'. I have 2267 experience in communicating complex clinical scenarios in the consulting room, through social media 2268 and via mainstream media, including three appearances on Sky News covering COVID-19 related 2269 issues. First before COVID-19 was even declared a pandemic, discussing the emerging story in the 2270 studio on Mark Austin's News Hour (Rees 2020b). Second, when the then UK Prime Minister Boris 2271 Johnson was admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (Rees 2020a). The third and final COVID-19 2272 related appearance was in January 2021 when The Mayor of London Sadiq Khan put London 2273 hospitals on high alert over COVID-19 admissions (Rees 2021). Combining my interests and career 2274 experiences meant even before COVID-19 was officially declared a pandemic, I recognised the 2275 importance of designing a research project around this unique event playing out in the all-consuming, 2276 connected, and complicated contemporary media environment.

2277 3.14.2 Research Interests & Approach

2278 There were several reasons behind approaching this research with a preference towards a qualitative

- approach. Given the novel nature of COVID-19, and the highly connected contemporary media
- 2280 environment through which it was reported I felt the potential for miscommunication and
- 2281 misunderstanding was high. I was interested to explore any subsequent potential impacts of media
- 2282 reporting on people's lives. I believed a qualitative approach may provide rich insights into how
- 2283 individuals were affected by the reporting of news related to COVID-19.

2284 Throughout my research, I have relied on a wide range of resources, many with competing

2285 perspectives, to gain a comprehensive understanding of the pandemic and how it was communicated.

2286 This includes examining scientific literature, media reports, social media posts, and personal accounts

2287 from individuals who have experienced the disease first-hand.

2288 During the pandemic, the catchphrase "Following the Science" was widely accepted by the public, at

2289 least superficially. However, some in the scientific community were sounding alarm bells either at the

2290 time or shortly thereafter. In the years following the pandemics declaration, several books were

2291 published by those who raised early concerns. These publications critically examined the decisions

2292 made and their subsequent communication. Examples include 'Reporting Coronavirus - Personal

2293 Reflections on a Global Crisis from ITV News Journalists' (ITV News 2020), 'What Really Happened

2294 in Wuhan: A Virus Like No Other, Countless Infections, Millions of Deaths' (Markson 2021), and

2295 Mark Woolhouse's 'The Year the World Went Mad - A Scientific Memoir' (Woolhouse 2022).

Additional resources, such as Sir Jeremy Farrar's *'Spike: The Virus Vs. the People the Inside Story'* (Farrar 2021), contributed to my background reading for this Doctor of Medicine.

2298 When conducting research, it is crucial to consider a wide range of resources with diverse viewpoints.

2299 This includes perspectives like Farrar's following his resignation from the Government's Scientific

2300 Advisory Group for Emergencies (SAGE) or Markson's investigative reporting. It is particularly

important in a world where social media often drives polarisation and hostility towards opposing views(Wylie 2019).

2303 My research interests primarily revolve around the intersection of medical communication, during a 2304 pandemic caused by a novel pathogen, in a complicated media environment in which both mainstream

and social media compete for capturing peoples' attention. What is the impact on understanding,

2306 subsequent behaviours, and overall public health response? By focusing on the following key areas, I

aim to explore the nuances of communication during an unprecedented global crisis:

2308	1. The role of mainstream and social media in disseminating information about COVID-19						
2309		both accurate and inaccurate.					
2310	2.	The impact of governmental and public health messaging on public perceptions and					
2311		adherence to guidelines.					
2312	3.	The role of medical professionals as communicators, both in clinical settings and on public					
2313		platforms.					
2314	4.	The influence of individual experiences and perspectives on understanding and interpreting					
2315		information related to COVID-19.					
2316	5.	The challenges and opportunities presented by the pandemic for improving future medical					
2317		communication, public health messaging, and media literacy.					
2318	My ap	proach to the research is grounded in empathy and a genuine interest in listening to the					
2319	experi	ences of those who have lived through the pandemic, as well as considering the perspectives of					
2320	memb	ers of the public, healthcare professionals, policymakers, and media representatives. By					
2321	examining a diverse range of sources and engaging in open dialogue with various stakeholders, I strive						
2322	to present a holistic view of the complex landscape of medical communication during the COVID-19						
2323	pande	mic. Ultimately, I hope to contribute to a better understanding of how communication can be					
2324	improved in times of crisis, with the aim of fostering greater trust, transparency, and collaboration						
2325	between medical professionals, media, and the public.						

2326 4.0 Results – Healthcare Professionals

This chapter presents the outcomes of 20 in-depth, semi-structured interviews conducted to explore healthcare professionals' lived experiences with media during the COVID-19 pandemic. Aligning with the phenomenological principles underpinning this study, the results are structured around individual case summaries for each participant. Manually applying an IPA methodology enabled deep immersion in the idiographic details of each account. The analysis seeks to balance capturing the nuances of individual pandemic journeys with extracting meaningful insights applicable to collective comprehension and future crisis communication.

2334 4.1 Overview

In the results section, I have made every effort to faithfully represent the summary of each participant's lived experience. I have carefully selected quotes that best represent the corpus of data for that specific participant. I made the deliberate decision to write up each participants experience individually, as I felt this was the best way to accurately represent their invaluable contributions to this research while also aligning to the phenomenological approach of this research (Alase 2017).

2340 This is achieved by using the analytical framework of Personal Experiential Themes (PETs) and

2341 Group Experiential Themes (GETs) as outlined in Smith, Flowers and Larkin's (2022) Interpretative

2342 Phenomenological Analysis textbook (Smith 2022). PETs represent patterns identified within

2343 individual participant accounts, capturing how each person made sense of their lived experience.

2344 These PETs form the building blocks for developing GETs - higher-order patterns that emerge when

2345 examining commonalities and divergences across multiple participants' accounts. Throughout the

2346 following two chapters, individual participant summaries are presented to maintain a deep idiographic

2347 commitment, with PETs and GETs used as analytical tools to systematically explore both the unique

and common features between healthcare professionals' and members of the publics' experiences with

2349 media during the COVID-19 pandemic.

By presenting each case individually, I was able to maintain an idiographic focus, staying consistent to the essence of the IPA methodology and developing a deep understanding of each participant's lived experience, while also gaining multiple perspectives from the participants in order to explore this phenomena in a broad manner (McInally and Gray-Brunton 2021). This approach also facilitated cross-case analysis, enabling me to compare and contrast participants' experiences and identify commonalities and differences across cases, which was crucial for generating insights relevant to the broader complex phenomenon under study.

2357 Writing up individual summaries greatly assisted my engagement in a reflexive process as I grappled 2358 with my own understanding and interpretation of the data. This is an essential aspect of qualitative 2359 research, as it helps raise awareness of how as a researcher, I may influence the data collected and 2360 findings. Thus, reflexivity helps ensure the credibility of the findings. Additionally, this approach 2361 aided data organisation, allowing me to easily revisit specific themes and interviews as needed. Most 2362 importantly, presenting the results with individual summaries, in conjunction with the detailed 2363 appendices that have been provided, increases the transparency of the research process, enabling 2364readers to better understand the data and the steps taken to arrive at the final conclusions. This 2365 approach supports the auditability of the study, another critical aspect of ensuring its trustworthiness. 2366 Table 2 provides a summary of the baseline characteristics of the participant group, offering a clear 2367 overview of the diverse backgrounds and experiences represented within the study. Note names have 2368 been replaced with pseudonyms.

2005 Table 2: Summary of Heatheare Trolessionals Baseline Characteristics

#	Pseudonym	Nationality	Age & Sex	Occupation	Highest qualification
1	Christina	Philippine	45-49 F	1st year GP	Post Graduate Certificate
2	Anjali	Indian	30-34 F	Psychiatrist	Masters
3	Agnieszka	Polish	18-19 F	1st year medical student	A-levels
4	Radha	Indian	25-29 F	FY1 Doctor	Bachelors
5	Owen	English	40-44 M	General Practitioner	Post Graduate Certificate
6	Becky	English	35-39 F	Ex-Surgeon now Pharmaceutical Professional	Post Graduate Certificate
7	Louise	German	30-35 F	Cardiology Registrar	Post Graduate Certificate
8	Claire	British	40-44 F	General Practitioner	Post Graduate Certificate
9	Pravin	Indian	65 - 69 M	Medical Director	Masters
10	Laura	British	18 or 19 F	1st year medical student	A-levels
11	Evelyn	British	55-59 F	Psychotherapist	Masters
12	Hannah	British	25-29 F	Trainee Clinical Psychologist	PhD
13	Simon	British	20-24 M	Foundation Doctor	Bachelors
14	Sebastian	British	40-44 M	Consultant Physician	Doctorate
15	Claudia	Swiss	25-29 F	FY1 Doctor	Bachelors
16	Ivana	Bulgarian	25-29 F	Clinical Project Associate	Masters
17	Martin	British	50-54 M	Emergency Medicine Consultant	Doctorate
18	Phoebe	British	20-24 F	Student and HCA	A-levels
19	Peter	British	50-54 M	Patient Organisation CEO	A-levels
20	Aiste	Lithuanian	25 - 29 F	Doctor - Surgical Trainee	Masters

2370

2371 4.2 Healthcare Professional Participant Summaries

- 2372 In this section, I summarise healthcare professional participant's story, presenting an accurate
- 2373 representation of what I as the researcher considered the most significant and relevant aspects of their
- 2374 lived experience interacting with COVID-19 content and communication across various media.
- 2375 Throughout each summary representative quotes have been incorporated. At the end of each
- 2376 summary Personal Experiential Themes (PETs) are listed.

2377 4.2.1 Christina's Story | A 45-49F Healthcare Professional of Philippine Origin

2378 Christina is a 45-49 year old female healthcare professional. She expressed frustration with the media's

2379 portrayal of the pandemic, claiming that there seemed to be a lot of blame placed on people getting

- 2380 sick, and a perceived bias in media coverage: "The media are demonising healthcare workers and
- 2381 hospitals and blaming them for not doing enough, even though we are doing our best". She mentioned
- her distrust in mainstream media, stating: *"I don't watch the BBC News... I find it very biased [towards*the government] it seems to be... and I also take newspapers with a pinch of salt".

2384 During the early stages of the pandemic Christina felt the public were largely ignorant of the risks,

continuing to engage in risky behaviours and not adhering to distancing guidelines, which caused her to become increasingly frustrated. She relied on government information and guidelines but expressed mistrust in the government's handling of the pandemic, particularly with regard to contracts and the: *"PPE disaster"*. Christina expressed a deep concern about the influence of both social and mainstream media on public perceptions of the pandemic, stating people tend to pick up on certain phrases and misconstrue them altogether.

2391 Christina also mentioned the challenges in interpreting scientific papers and the increased access to

2392 information and resources through medical groups. She noted the public's misunderstanding of

2393 pandemic risks and guidelines, especially with regard to asymptomatic individuals and vaccines. This

2394 seemed a real source of frustration for her, especially when reflecting on her day to day interactions

2395 with patients in clinic. Christina went on to highlight the role of experts and media doctors in

- 2396 communication, while expressing caution about potential collusion with the government: "I didn't find
- 2397 them [press conferences] useful. It seemed to be a lot of rhetoric and very little action and very little
- 2398 decisions, and it wasn't always based on what the scientists have recommended. In the end I just
- 2399 stopped watching them".
- 2400 She lamented the general public's lack of consideration for others and the tension between healthcare
- 2401 professionals and the public, feeling unsupported by the media and government. Christina was keen to
- stress the importance of clear messaging and emphasised the need for focus on specific guidelines like
- 2403 mask-wearing. She was troubled by the misconceptions about vaccines and the impact of
- 2404 misinformation on vaccination rates, stating: *"Oh terrible, absolutely disgusting... because it meant that*
- 2405 people didn't want the vaccine, we still have problems with that".
- 2406 Christina also noted the negative impact on healthcare workers, feeling disrespected and unsupported
- by the public and their colleagues. She perceived a bias in media coverage and criticised the
- 2408 government's inaction and consequences, stating: "I think they knew a lot more... but they didn't want
- 2409 to risk losing money so with regards to the economy... and then in the end actually it made it worse,
- 2410 *because so many people become unwell and lost their lives, sadly".* She also shared her frustration
- 2411 with the public's understanding and behaviour during the pandemic, particularly when it came to
- 2412 mask-wearing and adhering to guidelines. Although this was balanced with: "There's other
- 2413 considerations to consider with regards to human behaviour and the effect of the news that's
- 2414 disseminated and the wording that's given... So, when they say they're lifting lockdown, that can be
- 2415 quite misleading because the risk is still there".
- 2416 4.2.1.1 PETs Derived from Christina's Story
- Deep frustration with media bias and demonisation of healthcare workers.
- Sense of betrayal by government prioritising economy over health.
- Professional isolation and feeling unsupported by public and colleagues.
- Anxiety over public misunderstanding of health guidelines and science.
- 2421
- Exhaustion from managing misinformation in patient interactions.
- Cynicism toward official communications perceived as empty rhetoric.
- **R**ecognition of complex relationship between messaging and behaviour.

2424 4.2.2 Anjali's Story | A 30-34F Healthcare Professional of Indian Origin

2425 Anjali a 30-34 year old female healthcare professional revealed the significant impact lockdown had on

2426 her personal life, including disrupted maternity leave and financial strain as her partner struggled to

find work. She also admitted to seeking information on social media, particularly Facebook, while

2428 acknowledging this could be a source of misinformation. Anjali shared she was initially unaware of the

severity of the overall COVID-19 situation and politicians' calls for lockdown.

- 2430 In reaction to the lockdown measures, Anjali questioned their effectiveness given the nature of the
- 2431 virus. She mentioned using: "Google Scholar and hospital librarians to access reliable medical

2432 information, while also receiving updates from sources like the BMJ and NICE guidance". Of

2433 significant personal concern for Anjali was the health of her husband given his asthma, placing him at

- 2434 higher risk were he to contract COVID, this significantly influenced her behaviour and meant she was
- 2435 strict in adhering to the lockdown rules.
- 2436 Anjali noted conspiracy theories and misinformation were rampant during the pandemic, with some

2437 people suggesting the virus originated from a biological weapons factory in Wuhan, however tried to

2438 stay away from consuming such content. Anjali also expressed a personal preference with an increased

- 2439 interest in vaccine information stating: "Well I'm interested in, understanding about immunisations
- 2440 because that's something that is within my realm of influence [to control]".
- 2441 The actions of government officials like Dominic Cummings, who broke lockdown rules with much
- social and mainstream media attention, were seen as contributing to a more carefree attitude among
- 2443 the public and a decrease in compliance with restrictions, therefore having a negative impact on the
- 2444 public health response. Anjali backed this position up with the following: *"I definitely saw a change in*

- 2445 attitudes ... lots of people saying well if he can do it, it's not really that bad. After he did what he did
- 2446 people just had this very carefree attitude". She was however very balanced in her views in this regard
- 2447 and went on to say: "maybe people were already breaking the rules anyway, but were too shy to say it,
- 2448 but it suddenly became 'okay' following Dominic Cummings doing so".
- 2449 Given Anjali's role as a psychiatrist she felt the government's public health communication was:
- 2450 "simple and effective but could have made better use of platforms like Facebook". She identified the
- 2451 main issue throughout, as the government not setting the right example themselves.
- 2452 Splits within Anjali's household emerged regarding media consumption. Although "the news is on in
- 2453our house, my hubby watches it every day", she actively goes out of her way to avoid the news and any
- 2454 theories about the virus. She says: "The more I watch it, the more stressed out I'm likely to be" and:
- 2455"Knowing a lot more about [the figures] isn't going to help me personally because it's just going to
- 2456 upset me".
- 2457 The fact this lady's husband had asthma which made him a particularly high risk patient, should he
- 2458 contract COVID-19, proved to be a powerful motivator for behaviour change: "I was very, very
- 2459 worried about him getting anything so I didn't dare leave the house".
- 2460 Anjali therefore took a very practical approach regarding her engagement with pandemic related media
- 2461 probably stemming from the stress and anxiety unmoderated access had on her: "If I can change it, I'll
- 2462get involved!". She went on to elaborate on this with an example, she would choose to have the
- 2463 vaccine because that's a bit she can influence, therefore it's a bit she chooses to be aware of and engage
- 2464with media related to vaccines.
- 2465 4.2.2.1 PETs Derived from Anjali's Story
- 2466
- Personal impact of lockdown affecting maternity leave and family finances. •
- 2467 Selective media engagement to manage stress and anxiety.
- 2468 Professional approach to information seeking through medical databases.

- Deep concern for vulnerable family member (husband with asthma) driving strict adherence.
- Pragmatic focus on controllable aspects like vaccination rather than broader pandemic news.
- Recognition of Cummings scandal as turning point in public compliance.
- Strategic avoidance of COVID news despite household differences in media consumption.
- Practical approach to engagement based on ability to influence outcomes.

2474 4.2.3 Agnieszka's Story | A 18-19F Medical Student of Polish Origin

- 2475 In the interview with Agnieszka, a 18-19 year old female medical student, several themes emerged
- 2476 regarding her experience and perceptions of the pandemic. As a young medical student with family all
- 2477 over the world Croatia, Germany, America, etc, she has seen first-hand how the different media
- 2478 responses in each country and how the public reacted accordingly: *"I've read just pretty much a lot of*
- 2479 scaremongering in the Bosnian [newspapers]" she recalls. Whereas: "my family in Germany, they took
- 2480 a more serious approach straight away".
- 2481 Agnieszka was critical of the blanket media reporting on the pandemic at the expense of other
- 2482 important matters in the country: "Things like child poverty, increase in child hunger, increase of
- 2483 homelessness in senior citizens... All these things got put on the backburner" she says. "It puts blinkers
- 2484 on the public so we're only seeing one massive thing in front of us, whereas if we look behind there's
- 2485 about three major other issues that are going on".
- 2486 Initially, Agnieszka did not take the pandemic seriously, describing it as: "just a virus outbreak in
- 2487 *China*" and believing it would: *"come and go"*. However, as the situation escalated and began to affect
- 2488 her personal life, she became more aware and concerned, stating that she: "didn't feel the gravity of
- 2489 *the situation*" until her college closed.
- 2490 As a medical student Agnieszka, like many of her peers, relied heavily on social media particularly
- 2491 Twitter, for information during the pandemic. She appreciated the platform because it allowed her to
- 2492 hear directly from world leaders like Boris Johnson, asserting that: *"there are a lot of figureheads on*

2493 *Twitter, and it can come directly from them*". Despite this, she expressed concerns about the increase

2494 in technology use during the pandemic and acknowledged the presence of conspiracy theories and

2495 misinformation, mentioning: "a lot of theories going on in Bosnia, that COVID-19 was to do with 5G

2496 *towers*".

- 2497 Agnieszka also discussed the impact of communication during the pandemic, criticising the
- 2498 government's contradictory statements and the ineffectiveness of slogans such as: *"stay alert, control*
- 2499 the virus, save lives" which she said: "didn't even stick". She emphasised the importance of clear,
- simple, and consistent messaging, stating: *"if you decrease the simplicity of the message, compliance"*
- 2501 *will also decrease*". As such, she believes in creating simple, unified messages to communicate with
- 2502 members of the public from all backgrounds and political mindsets: "People are just confused by
- 2503 certain things and to make things digestible just creates a more trusting environment". Agnieszka was a
- 2504 big believer in the power of empathy in communication: *"I think a big part of this, and this applied to*
- 2505 everything in life not just this pandemic but being well read up on different sides of a story and [aware]
- 2506 where these people are getting their information from. Empathy is a big thing".
- 2507 Trust in the government was a key factor, and Agnieszka felt it was severely lacking during the
- 2508 pandemic due to a lack of transparency, arguing: *"with trust comes transparency, which is something*"
- 2509 that the government lacked during the pandemic".
- 2510 Agnieszka highlighted the media's role in sensationalising the pandemic, stating: *"the media is making*"
- 2511 *it out to be this massive thing, but they're making out aspects that shouldn't really be blown up".* She
- 2512 called for empathy and understanding, urging others to consider different perspectives and socio-
- 2513 economic backgrounds when discussing pandemic responses: "*if you put yourself in their shoes and*
- 2514 you think from that perspective, you're already one step ahead of the game".
- 2515 Agnieszka was particularly concerned about the lockdowns having led to an increased use of tech
- among young people who it may be damaging for, as seen in her brother: *"It's not normal for a child*
- 2517 to be on an iPad that much".

2518 Finally, she expressed the belief that future pandemics are likely, noting that: *"we have more effect on*

2519 the environment than we ever have done before "hence she stressed the importance of preparedness,

suggesting early lockdowns and improved global communication as potential improvements in

2521 pandemic response. Agnieszka also emphasised the need for transparency and public communication,

advocating for openness from the start in order to better manage future outbreaks: *"I think we should*

2523 be completely open from the start".

- 2524 4.2.3.1 PETs Derived from Agnieszka's Story
- 2525 Global perspective on pandemic response through international family connections. ٠ 2526 Critical of media's singular focus neglecting other societal issues. • 2527 Evolution from initial dismissal to serious concern as pandemic affected personal life. • 2528 Strategic use of Twitter for direct information from leaders despite misinformation risks. • 2529 Strong advocacy for simple, clear messaging to maintain public compliance. 2530Emphasis on empathy and understanding different perspectives in crisis communication. 2531 Concern about increased technology dependence, particularly among young people. • 2532 Recognition of transparency's role in building public trust during health crises. • 2533 4.2.4 Radha's Story | A 25-29F Foundation Doctor of Indian Origin 2534 Radha a 25-29 year old female Foundation Year 1 doctor, emphasised the role of health messaging on 2535social media, particularly during the pandemic: "I think people have relied heavily on both social and 2536 mainstream media to keep up their morale and to keep informed and entertained". She remarked on 2537 how even official sources, like NHS England and the government, have been using platforms like 2538TikTok to communicate essential information: "The importance of social media change this last year
- 2539 has really spoken volumes... we've had NHS England, and the government started doing TikTok...
- 2540 giving those messages of hands, face and space".

2541 The doctor acknowledged both the positive and negative impacts of social media consumption during

- 2542 the pandemic. On one hand, she appreciated the businesses that leveraged social media to engage with
- 2543 audiences and entertain them during lockdowns: "So many businesses have really gone to town with
- 2544 using social media creating content in order to reach out to their audiences and keep them
- 2545 *entertained*". On the other hand, Radha recognised the negative effect social media could have on
- 2546 mental health when it led to constant comparison or information overload, stating: *"at one point, it*
- 2547 became really toxic for me to watch that because it is constantly comparing yourself". She admitted to
- 2548 having had to detox from social media herself: "social media was consuming my life so much last year,
- 2549 I had to do some serious detox".
- 2550 Radha's personal experience as a doctor and public figure allowed her to spread important messages
- and inspire young people: "With my small platform being able to spread messages, been able to be
- 2552 *inspiring to young people*". She appreciated the value the public placed on healthcare professionals,
- 2553 as well as the media's celebration of their efforts: *"A lot of people in the healthcare industry actually*
- 2554 who've said that they feel valued as a profession because they can see that someone like me a health
- 2555 care professional is getting celebrated in the media".
- 2556 However, Radha also recalled the initial disbelief and fear when the pandemic started: "When I first
- 2557 heard about it, I never thought that it would actually become a global pandemic... I had absolutely no
- 2558 *idea how big this pandemic was going to get*". Radha recounted the challenges faced during travel
- 2559 restrictions, describing it as: *"really scary and horrible"*. She recalls a period in India: *"When I was*
- 2560 self-isolating... I was just literally begging someone to please add more credit to my SIM card so I
- 2561 could just consume more social media or just do anything else... it was really helpful actually having
- any media and listening to the radio".
- 2563 Radha expressed concern about misinformation and misinterpretation of information surrounding the
- 2564 pandemic, highlighting the importance of clear messaging, trust in health communication, and
- 2565 transparency. She emphasised the role healthcare professionals play in educating the public, both in

- and out of work: "It needs to be taught to all medics and health professionals to... teach the public".
- 2567 She also emphasised the importance of honesty and trust in health communication, stating: *"Trust is*
- 2568 important, but more important than that I think it's a sense of feeling that what you're doing is actually
- 2569 *helping"*.
- 2570 Radha was passionate in her belief that addressing, the significant amounts of misinformation out there
- 2571 and empowering the public through education are essential in managing the current pandemic and
- 2572 maintaining trust in healthcare communication. In her words: *"We need to empower the public by*
- 2573 teaching them more and finding whatever opportunity we can to teach... we have a responsibility
- 2574 outside of our working hours as medical students, as doctors, as whatever health professional you are
- 2575 to reinforce those messages in the public".
- 2576 Radha felt mask messaging was particularly effective: *"This is a visual thing therefore you can hold*
- 2577 people accountable when they're not doing it... honestly I feel naked and guilty without a face covering
- 2578 *now*".
- 2579 4.2.4.1 PETs Derived from Radha's Story
- Dual perspective as healthcare professional and social media user on pandemic
 communication.
- Recognition of social media's evolving role in official health messaging.
- Personal struggle with social media overconsumption requiring "detox".
- Professional pride in being celebrated and valued through media coverage.
- Journey from initial pandemic disbelief to frontline reality.
- Value of media connectivity during isolation periods.
- Strong belief in healthcare professionals' duty to educate public beyond work hours.
- Personal embodiment of successful public health messaging (mask wearing).

2589 4.2.5 Owen's Story | A 40-44M General Practitioner of British Origin

Owen, a 40-44 year old male GP, gave a particularly frank account of his thoughts on many subjects related to COVID-19 and the media. He emphasised the importance of team building and trust in communication, especially in a volatile media environment during the pandemic. Owen elaborated on how he initiated the creation of a: *"team wall"* in his practice, where team members contribute images that represent their values and identity as a group. This fosters a sense of unity and cohesion within his team. It meant confidence was built such that they could discuss various pandemic related media stories immediately as they arose. As a group he felt they'd achieved coverage of the vast media output

- that was clearly affecting his staff.
- 2598 Owen also touched on the importance of trust and communication, expressing his sense of betrayal
- 2599 when learning about the critical pandemic situation from an outside source, who came to him for
- advice, rather than from official NHS England channels: "I felt personally betrayed that the first I
- 2601 heard of it [COVID-19] was from somebody in banking [coming to him for advice], who clearly knew
- 2602 more than we as clinicians in the UK knew".
- 2603 Owen also discussed the role of charismatic leadership, mentioning how general practice has gained
- allegiance to a charismatic leader who has generated goodwill previously absent in the community.
- 2605 However, he also expressed concern about potential manipulation: "*I believe it is a persona and I*
- 2606 believe general practice is being manipulated. I think probably what is happening is we're seeing an old
- 2607 fashioned military tactic of control of the media. If you control communication, you control the
- 2608 *power*".
- 2609 Owen emphasised the significance of pattern recognition and global communication in the context of
- 2610 pandemics, highlighting the importance of immediate learning: "We don't need to learn for the next
- 2611 pandemic, we need to learn, right now, because we're making the exact same mistake that caused the
- 2612 *worldwide outbreak*". In this context, he acknowledged the role of social media in rapidly
- 2613 disseminating information, despite concerns about the quality and trustworthiness of the sources: "My

2614personal feeling is that I've received information faster through social media". He went on to qualify 2615 this: "the thing about listening to the social network is that you often get the bullet points of facts, or 2616 you might get a balanced view or opinions based on the left side and the right side of people's political 2617 streams, what it means is you can weigh it up and come to your own conclusions". Owen was a strong 2618 proponent of utilising one's social network advocating: "one of the things we have in the world that we 2619 haven't had before is global communication that is immediate and massive, so we have a world of data 2620 that we have never had access to before". He explained the utility of live access to information as it 2621 happens: "really boils down to trust, is it high quality, reputable and can you inform decisions based on

2622 *it?*".

2623 Just as he enthused about social media he was equally scathing of NHS England: "even if NHS

2624 England were timely with their communications, it would still be important to have social media to be

2625 able to give context to what would otherwise be a corporate sanitised communication that requires

2626 *interpretation, it might even be termed propaganda*".

Owen again further discussed the importance of developing trusted networks and the need for unbiased information to make informed decisions for patients. He recognised the value of balancing different opinions and engaging in team discussions for decision-making. The same pattern recognition skills that are crucial in diagnosing medical conditions can also be applied to interpreting meaning out of social media noise. His personal experience at the beginning of the pandemic letting his banking acquaintance down, being unable to provide information or insight, seemed to spur him on.

2633 Owen felt high quality leadership and communication were both vital during crises, providing

2634 information, comfort, and a focus for negative energy. Owen expressed frustration with

 $2635 \qquad {\rm miscommunication\,and\,lack\,of\,trust\,between\,medical\,professionals\,and\,official\,sources,\,emphasising}$

2636 the potential influence of charismatic leaders in shaping opinions and the importance of controlling

2637 communication to maintain power.

In conclusion, Owen highlighted the need for trust, communication, and leadership in healthcare, underscoring the role of team building and global communication in addressing challenges. He also emphasised the need to balance speed, source, and trust in information, as well as the importance of interpreting and filtering information for better decision-making.

2642

4.2.5.1 PETs Derived from Owen's Story

- 2643 Strong emphasis on team building and trust within practice to manage media impact. 2644Deep sense of betrayal learning critical pandemic info from banking sector before NHS. 2645 Scepticism of charismatic leadership in general practice, viewing it as media control. • 2646Strategic use of social media for rapid information despite quality concerns. 2647 Critical view of NHS England's "sanitized" communications versus social media context. 2648Recognition of global communication's unprecedented value in pandemic response. 2649 Emphasis on pattern recognition skills in interpreting media "noise". 2650 Personal experience of early pandemic unpreparedness driving information-seeking 2651 behaviour. 26524.2.6 Becky's Story | A 35-39F Ex-Surgeon & Pharmaceutical Professional of British Origin 2653 Becky a 35-39 year old female shares a unique perspective on her experience of COVID-19 and 2654 media, at the beginning of the pandemic she was an orthopaedic registrar. At the time of interview 2655Becky had moved to a role in the Pharmaceutical industry. As you will see from Becky's lived 2656experience it relates to both these domains of her professional life while also touching upon personal 2657 impact.
- Becky started revealing her journey through the COVID-19 pandemic, starting with initial scepticism and denial, as she claimed: *"I was a naysayer at the beginning"*, and thought it was a: *"storm in a teacup"*. However, her perception shifted as the situation worsened, and she experienced fear and anxiety, especially after contracting COVID-19 herself. She described her illness as leaving her:

2662 "delirious for about four days" and: "petrified" about the potential impact on her family. This quote 2663 from the transcript of our discussion clearly demonstrates the degree of fear: "there's obviously 2664 enough fear by that point that we had gone to the trouble of getting our wills done".

2001 chough tea by the point the remargine to the tought of gening out whis done.

- 2665 Becky's early personal experience with COVID-19 led to challenges when implementing her own
- 2666 safety measures at work. She mentioned: "getting in trouble at work for wearing a mask", especially
- 2667 when in fracture clinic (an area deemed low risk). A willingness to go against PPE recommendations
- 2668 circulated in the medical media at the time coupled with her recovery from the virus brought a
- 2669 newfound confidence, which led to her taking on high-risk procedures at the hospital. The messaging
- around who was at risk of COVID-19 in the early stages of the pandemic also contributed: *"everyone*"
- 2671 wanted me to do all the high risk procedures because... ... you're the lowest risk person in the
- 2672 *department*". However, this was not without personal difficulty; she noted that treating patients during
- 2673 the pandemic was: *"very hard"* and involved dealing with increased mortality rates.
- 2674 When reflecting on the absurdity of the PPE situation, Becky also touched upon inter-departmental
- 2675 politics and tensions, expressing frustrations with power dynamics and departmental conflicts. These
- 2676 interdepartmental tensions manifested when this registrar was told by a matron, she was: "scaring
- 2677 *patients*" when going in seeing them in PPE.
- 2678 Becky described herself as: "quite an old fashioned and not particularly media savvy person" while she
- 2679 logs on to the BBC News platforms she admits: *"it's a terrible source of news"*. Becky expressed her
- 2680 strong preference for using LinkedIn and The Economist as news sources, as well as WhatsApp for
- 2681 information during the pandemic. WhatsApp in fact emerged as a powerful aggregator of news sources
- 2682 for Becky with her membership of several different professional and personal groups providing
- 2683 different perspectives: "people were just constantly sending each other data essentially".
- 2684 Becky had particularly strong views on slogans such as: *"follow the science"* which was prevalent across
- 2685 various forms of both social and mainstream media especially in the initial stages of the pandemic. She
- 2686 felt there was a very real risk of letting politics overrule science. Her personal experience of being a

2687 mother still breastfeeding a four month old baby strongly informing this viewpoint. Becky felt it was

- 2688 inappropriate for pharmaceutical companies such as AstraZeneca and Pfizer who released their
- 2689 vaccines stating they have not done the normal toxicology studies to recommend it in pregnancy
- 2690 breastfeeding or those trying to conceive. Yet, the Joint Committee for Vaccines and Immunisations
- 2691 (JCVI) telling women: "the vaccine is fine if you are breastfeeding or if pregnant because we know
- 2692 thousands of pregnant women have had the vaccine". She was firm in her belief: "that's not science,
- 2693 that's not how you tell if a drug or vaccine is safe in pregnancy you can't just bend science to make
- 2694 your point".
- 2695 Becky who at time of interview was working in the Pharmaceutical industry was also critical of how side
- 2696 effects associated with the AstraZeneca vaccine were communicated: *"With the blood clots, they*
- 2697 played a very fine game between denying there was any side effects because they didn't want people to
- 2698 stop taking the vaccine and then, when there was an established link people were very close to thinking
- 2699 *they were trying to cover that up*". She thought while: *"they just about got away with it, it was a close"*
- 2700 run thing and if people think they're trying to cover up side-effects no one will have any vaccines for
- 2701 *the next 5 generations*". She went on to make the point: *"people's concept of risk is not intelligent, so*
- 2702 you have to be very, very careful what impact do you let the politics play on the science".
- 2703 Another aspect of the COVID-19 media experience that was particularly pertinent to this participant
- 2704 was how COVID-19 took over from Brexit in dominating the news cycle: *"we had spent three years*
- 2705 [constantly] going through Brexit and there was nothing in the news except for Brexit and then when
- 2706 this came along it was [initially] at least we don't have to talk about Brexit anymore". As COVID-19
- 2707 media coverage ramped up she expressed scepticism towards media coverage and saturation, public
- 2708 health slogans, and political figures such as Matt Hancock.
- 2709 Becky's closing comments shared her prediction for a: *"technology backlash in the middle classes and*
- 2710 *a disconnect*". Potentially with culture in Britain going to become more divided between those who
- 2711 plug in and those who consciously try to plug out of all this media because: *"there's so much noise*

with a huge proportion of society [missing out on the real world] sitting in a huge [virtual] room
listening to it all".

2714 4.2.6.1 PETs Derived from Becky's Story

- Evolution from initial pandemic scepticism to deep fear after personal COVID experience.
- Professional conflict over PPE use despite being deemed a "low risk" staff member.
- Complex navigation of work responsibilities after recovery made her "lowest risk" person.
- Strategic use of WhatsApp groups as news aggregator across professional networks.
- Critical perspective on "follow the science" slogan, especially regarding pregnancy advice.
- Industry insider's view on vaccine side-effect communication challenges.
- Observation of media transition from Brexit to COVID coverage.
- Prediction of societal divide between those who "plug in" versus "plug out" of media.

2723 4.2.7 Louise's Story | A 30-35F Cardiology Registrar of German Origin

2724 Louise is a 30 to 35 year old female cardiology registrar was not dissimilar to many of the other 2725 participants in this research in that in the initial stages of the pandemic she did not take the situation 2726 seriously, there was always an element of thinking: "the BBC were scaremongering". Interestingly in 2727 this case it wasn't scaremongering about the effects of COVID-19 per se. Louise a public sector 2728 worker was immediately drawn to the part of the story she could relate to most. The fact this pandemic 2729 could see a large percentage of public sector workers off sick she distinctly remembers chuckling with 2730 her husband that such a scenario seemed unlikely. The other factor in Louise's mind that made this an 2731 unlikely event to affect us was the sheer geographical distances between the UK and China. As a 2732 medically qualified person this quote on realisation was interesting: "It took a couple of weeks for the 2733 news to repetitively come through and us realising that actually this was going to hit us".

- As Louise shared where she got her news from, she was unusual in that she was very clear: *"objective*"
- 2735 news for me, the first is always BBC news, that sort of objective reporting, where as twitter, which sort

2736 of came into play a bit later is opinion from experts and digesting what happens in the news". Louise 2737 was well aware of the inherent bias present in social media platforms specifically Twitter's: "echo *chamber effect*" - which she found can limit exposure to diverse perspectives as such she carefully 2738 2739 created her own media diet to get a broad mix of both opinions and information. Louise's role as an 2740 influencer in her own right as a founder of 'women in cardiology', gave her an understanding of 2741 Twitter as an influencer rather than just a passive participant of social media. She reflects her time pre 2742pandemic using social media to influence women about careers in cardiology and how it gave her an 2743 understanding of the algorithms that these social media platforms use to increase engagement. Given 2744Louise's unique background, knowledge and use of both mainstream and social media as an active and 2745passive participant she took it upon herself to spend her time looking through a range of media 2746 including reports, papers, and commentary on social media. She then created a WhatsApp group of 2747 all people around her to which she disseminated a condensed summary, as she wanted to educate her 2748surroundings in a filtered way rather than just sending over facts which the general public might not 2749understand. Louise, as a science driven person, felt she made all her decisions on informed 2750 knowledge. She also felt it's very difficult for the general population to translate all the scientific 2751 numbers into what it means in real life. Louise was well aware algorithms a vital component off 2752pandemic communications presented a skewed view: "The problem on Twitter and social media in 2753 general is that you are connected to those people you like and the algorithm both on Twitter and on 2754Instagram, they focus on what you like and what you spend time on, so you obviously have a totally 2755 skewed opinion because Twitter offers you the opinion of people you are likely to agree with, so you 2756end up in a sort of Twitter echo chamber and you don't hear those people who might have contrary 2757 views". She therefore strived to balance a mix of subjective and objective news sources. 2758 Louise emphasised the need for expert opinions to translate complex infection control concepts into

2759 digestible, actionable advice for the public. This role is crucial for media doctors, who can bridge the

2760 gap between high-profile experts and the general population: *"Their role is really important because*

2761 they can translate the sort of high profile, you know professor of immunology and so forth talk into

- 2762 *what people understand*". Therefore, Louise felt such media doctors can be really important in
- 2763 helping bridge that gap and increase public understanding.
- 2764 In reflecting on the importance of public health slogans, such as: "Stay at Home", which, while
- 2765 effective in promoting certain behaviours, may have unintentionally discouraged people from seeking
- 2766 necessary medical help. Louise recounted: *"there were hundreds of free beds in the hospital... not a*
- 2767 single patient to end up with severe illness and a lot of out of hospital cardiac arrest, because people
- 2768 didn't come in with a heart attack because they were told to stay at home".
- 2769 The role of mainstream media and social media algorithms in creating echo chambers was seen as
- 2770 problematic, leading to biased perspectives, and influencing public opinion and behaviour. Louise also
- 2771 criticised government messaging and rule-breaking by leaders, which may have led to the public
- 2772 justifying their own non-compliance with pandemic guidelines. Louise lamented: *"knowing that our*
- 2773 *leaders... didn't follow the rules [it] makes people justify why they can break the rules".*
- 2774 Louise felt trust was a vital component of pandemic communications stating: *"the role of the media is*
- 2775 to report neutrally, in an ideal world, and the medical profession should then comment on what that
- 2776 *means for people and gain their trust and translated into layman's terms"*. Louise also felt slogans were
- 2777 a good idea for the uneducated general public: "*I think it's incredibly important to translate something*
- 2778 as complex as infection control to simple words to hammer it home and get people to reproduce it on
- 2779 *a day-to-day level*". On experts and their role in the media Louise explained her nuanced view: "The
- 2780 problem with experts is that some of them are self-selected experts, if you look at the media doctors,
- 2781 they're not necessarily experts in medicine, they're just experts in presenting their medical profession
- 2782 in the media. But I guess if a professor of infection control tells me what the right thing to do is, then
- 2783 that would be the person I believe".
- 2784 Louise shared how critical the combination of news and social media is when communicating to the
- 2785 public during health crises: "For the general blast of getting everyone in the population on board, I
- 2786 think the news are very important. Vital in fact. That's just to get out neutral information to the general

- 2787 population. I think social media is really important, also, to get those who are not on board, to
- 2788 understand those people and then try and convince them to do the right thing".
- 2789 Louise was broadly supportive of the use of simple catchy slogans in certain circumstances: "I think
- 2790 the problem is that we are having information overload and if you don't pay attention to the news on a
- 2791 regular basis, then you will end up not getting the right thing. I think the simpler you can keep it for the
- 2792 population, the better and I think they certainly attempted it, and it was catchy phrases, so overall I
- 2793 think I would support the government in what they've done".
- 2794

4.2.7.1 PETs Derived from Louise's Story

- Evolution from initial dismissal as "BBC scaremongering" to pandemic realisation.
- Strategic approach to media consumption, balancing BBC news with expert Twitter opinions.
- Unique dual perspective as both medical professional and social media influencer.
- Active role in filtering and disseminating information to personal network via WhatsApp.
- Strong awareness of social media algorithms creating "echo chambers".
- Recognition of media doctors' importance in translating complex medical concepts.
- Concern about unintended consequences of "Stay at Home" messaging on healthcare seeking.
- Balanced view on simple slogans while acknowledging information overload challenges.

2803 4.2.8 Claire's Story | A 40-44 F General Practitioner of British Origin

2804 Claire, a 40 to 44 year old female General practitioner, working in a remote rural area found elderly

2805 patients in her village took to organising community support over social media (Facebook etc) during

- 2806 lockdown. Claire's deep worry around media reporting during COVID centred around health
- 2807 inequality and disparity in responses between cities and rural areas, she saw social media as a
- 2808 particularly effective way of helping in this regard. One of the most significant observations was the
- 2809 rapid communication and information dissemination enabled by social media, which Claire noted:
- 2810 "never before... in human history has it been possible really to kind of communicate so easily and so

2811 *quickly*". This had posed some challenges also, with even professional colleagues getting sucked into

- 2812 conspiracy theories: "I have a friend who is a doctor... She's very much in the school of thought that
- 2813 it's a conspiracy and... it's nowhere near as contagious and damaging as it has been proven to be. She's
- 2814 actually gone out of her way not to wear any sort of PPE, and I don't actually know how she's got away
- 2815 with it. But any time I get into any kind of conversation with her, she starts trying to spout research to
- 2816 me about 'do you have any understanding about how the PCR test works?' She's done a lot of
- 2817 research into it, [via social media] but her whole stance on the pandemic I one that I find quite
- 2818 *unsettling*".
- 2819 Yet social media played a crucial role in keeping local communities connected during the pandemic,
- 2820 as Claire shared: "Facebook groups were formed and lots of little Community things... [which] was a
- 2821 great way to allow people to say, 'are you Okay?', 'Do you need some more shopping?', 'Can I help
- walk the dog is there anything I can do to help?' I think it [social media] kept a lot of people going in a
 very, very difficult [time]".
- 2824 The spread of information purely via social media environments also allowed one of Claire's GP 2825 colleagues to make very early recommendations in her practice, to safeguard staff. Social media was 2826 perhaps not seen as a credible source by all with the intervention not necessarily welcomed by all 2827 partners: "A good friend of mine, who is also a GP, was way ahead of the game. She was saying, 'we 2828 need to protect out reception staff because they're on the frontline seeing patients... We need to 2829 provide masks.' This is way before masks were even talked about, it was only Japanese tourists that 2830 were walking around wearing masks at that point. But she was shunned by the other partners in the 2831 practice because she was speaking out and saying what she thought based on her own understanding of 2832 the situation, her own research into what was going in on China and the way it was spreading from 2833 China across Europe... She arranged weekly practice meetings. None of the other partners attended
- 2834 the only people that attended were reception staff". Claire felt this was an example of how

- discrepancies in how information is reported via social versus mainstream media can lead to colleagues
 being shunned by their peer's despite in the end being proved right.
- 2837 In terms of the relevance of COVID briefings to the wider population, Claire questioned how
- 2838 accessible the information was to those without a medical or science background, stating: "I'm not sure
- 2839 how relevant those statistics and presentations were to the wider population average Joe". This
- 2840 highlights the importance of clear communication and consistency, with the Claire also suggesting that:
- 2841 *"if we keep things simple and keep things... and don't keep changing our minds generally about what*
- 2842 to do and when to do it and how to do it [people will have a better understanding]".
- 2843 Lastly, Claire highlighted the need for better regulation and public trust in media, asserting: "a big key
- 2844 in joining everything up is... trust and... a great public trust because there's been an awful lot of...
- 2845 *mistrust and distress about information that's being given about"*. During the pandemic, the focus of
- 2846 mainstream media shifted from issues like climate change to COVID-19, demonstrating potential
- 2847 biases in coverage. As Claire observed: "it is interesting how social mainstream media can bias itself so
- 2848 strongly to new stories".
- 2849 4.2.8.1 PETs Derived from Claire's Story
- Observation of rural elderly adapting to social media for community support.
- Deep concern about health inequality between urban and rural pandemic response.
- Complex reaction to professional colleagues falling for social media conspiracy theories.
- Recognition of social media's unprecedented speed in information sharing.
- Example of early social media-informed preventive measures being initially rejected.
- Questioning accessibility of technical COVID briefings for general public.
- Emphasis on need for simple, consistent messaging to maintain understanding.
- Recognition of media's role in building or eroding public trust.

2858 4.2.9 Pravin's Story | A 65-69M Consultant Paediatrician of Indian Origin

- 2859 Pravin, a 65-69-year old male Consultant Paediatrician, provides valuable insights into the impact of
- and interplay between social and mainstream media on global public health communication during the
- 2861 COVID-19 pandemic. He believes: *"mainstream media is, in my personal opinion, stage managed by*
- 2862 *politicians*" which may have contributed to confusion and misinformation surrounding the pandemic.
- 2863 On the other hand, he notes: *"social media is completely transforming"* communication by allowing
- 2864 professionals like himself to: "use a lot of social media engage in Zoom meetings, and consult (directly)
- 2865 *with experts*", thereby creating new avenues for sharing information and knowledge acquisition.
- 2866 However, he felt sorry for members of the public: *"but the profession is confused, how bad must it be*
- 2867 for the common people and common men and women?".
- 2868 Pravin highlighted the confusion arising from mixed messaging in professional fields, which may have
- been exacerbated by the influence of different sources of information, such as *"official SAGE"*
- 2870 committee, Independent SAGE committee, then the [Royal] college's". This confusion, he says,
- 2871 highlights the need for: *"clear, unified messaging from credible sources, such as the WHO and senior*
- 2872 leaders, who should take a leading role in guiding public health communication".
- 2873 Public education and communication are emphasised as critical components in managing the
- 2874 pandemic, with the director urging the medical community to take responsibility for educating the
- 2875 public, stating that it is the: "medical and nursing professions' [obligation] to take the responsibility of]
- 2876 *educating the public*". The inclusion of faith leaders and community engagement in public health
- 2877 communication strategies is recognised as an essential aspect of disseminating accurate information
- and fostering trust among diverse populations, with the director stating that: "that is why I was really
- 2879 happy when they started using faith leaders".
- 2880 Pravin was clear in his belief for involving front line health care professionals in creation and
- 2881 distribution of messaging: "I think the whole community of medical professionals, nursing
- 2882 professionals, have to take the responsibility of educating the public. For example, look at the

- 2883 vaccination initial hesitation. Once the GPs got involved, everything changed. Until then there was a lot
- 2884 of vaccine hesitancy... I think we have to communicate with the people and tell them, this is not flu,
- 2885 *this is a serious illness, this is how we are going to manage it, this is the evidence".* Pravin felt the
- 2886 situation wasn't sensationalised by the media it was serious and needed to be communicated as such:
- 2887 "I don't think it's been sensationalised at all. I think it's a fact. It is a dangerous condition. They had no
- 2888 choice. I always said, 'be honest with the public,' and that is what they have done. I think daily statistics
- 2889 have been very helpful".
- 2890 4.2.9.1 PETs Derived from Pravin's Story
- 2891 • Strong scepticism of politically "stage-managed" mainstream media messaging. 2892 Recognition of social media's transformative role in professional communication. 2893 Concern about public confusion when even medical professionals were uncertain. 2894Frustration with multiple competing expert committees creating mixed messages. 2895Strong advocacy for frontline healthcare professionals' role in public education. 2896 Recognition of faith leaders' importance in building community trust. • 2897 Support for honest communication of pandemic severity through statistics. 2898 Emphasis on GPs role in reducing vaccine hesitancy through direct engagement. • 2899 4.2.10 Laura's Story | A 18-19F Medical Student of British Origin 2900 Laura, an 18-19 year old female medical student, shared several topics that emerged regarding the 2901 experiences and perceptions of young people during the COVID-19 pandemic. One notable topic was 2902 the prevalence of inaccurate information and sensationalism in the media. Laura described how 2903 misleading and sensationalised articles were widespread, often creating confusion and mistrust: "there 2904 has been so much misleading information, deliberately misleading information, a lot of the time from
- 2905 the media... I think sensationalised is probably the best way to put it".

2906 The impact of the pandemic on young people and students was a topic that Laura clearly felt strongly

about and felt deeply affected by it. Laura expressed how she felt young people were unfairly

2908 demonised and blamed for the spread of the virus, which she believed shifted responsibility away from

the government. Laura was also left conflicted by schemes such as "Eat out to Help out" as much as

she enjoyed it while knowing it was perhaps not the best thing to do in a pandemic wasn't keen on

2911 students being blamed for going out and causing rises in cases: "My first thought was 'I can eat at

2912 Wagamamas for six pounds'. I'm not going to pretend that I did the moral thing and didn't go at all. I

2913 definitely got my money's worth, but I did think it was a ridiculous way of getting everyone to go out

and spend as much money as they can... but then they were shocked and blamed a lot of it on the

2915 University students when it spread".

2909

2916 Laura also recounted her own experiences working in a pub during the early stages before a pandemic 2917 was formally declared, where she was exposed to misinformation and fear. Mistrust of information on 2918 social media emerged as a significant issue, with Laura noting that unreliable sources were often shared 2919 on platforms like Facebook, which then quickly spread. She stressed the importance of reliable news 2920 sources and mentioned using Twitter and Instagram to access news updates from mainstream media 2921 sources. However, she also acknowledged that exposure to misinformation and arguments online 2922 could be overwhelming. This young medical student highlighted the prevalence of sensationalised 2923 articles related to COVID-19, noting that she often encounters new articles about alleged severe 2924 symptoms or alarming death rates. She expressed her frustration with these articles, as they tend to be 2925 misleading and often based on small or insignificant details. Upon closer examination, she found that 2926 most of the information in these articles was not accurate and seemed to be exaggerated to attract 2927 attention and encourage clicks.

2928 Laura observed public scepticism about vaccines, stating that when vaccines were mentioned,

2929 comments on social media were often filled with: "little sheep emoji being like it's a poison". She also

2930 noticed a variety of opinions on the government's handling of COVID, with many comments

- criticising their response. The influence of social media platforms on shaping opinions appeared tovary depending on the platform and the pages being viewed.
- 2933 Early reactions and misconceptions about COVID were also discussed, with Laura admitting that she
- and her peers initially did not take the pandemic seriously. However, as the situation progressed, her
- 2935 perception of the seriousness of the virus evolved. Laura expressed scepticism about various theories
- and beliefs surrounding COVID, and she felt that the Prime Minister's actions hindered compliance
- 2937 with public health measures: "Well, honestly think the Prime Minister was the biggest hinderance (for)
- 2938 people complying with any COVID rules".
- 2939 Laura was well aware as to the media's power in being used for both good and bad and manipulating
- 2940 people's fears: "It's quite complicated because, in many ways, the only reason we've been able to
- 2941 isolate so long successfully is because of the media being able to share the news for people to
- 2942 understand what's going on... but at the same time I also think it has been extremely damaging because
- 2943 there has been so much deliberately misleading information from the media and so much fear has
- 2944 been produced because of it. Because they want clicks, they've manipulated people's fears and you see
- 2945 a thousand articles that haven't got any science behind them".
- 2946 Laura also went on to say she felt the media was responsible for shifting the blame from the
- 2947 government as to the handing of the pandemic to various other groups: *"I feel like a lot of groups were*
- 2948 demonised throughout this whole pandemic, especially young people, and students, and I think there
- 2949 was a responsibility taken off the government because of that. I feel like every week there was someone
- 2950 new to blame but not an awful lot of blame put on the government... Every week there was a new
- 2951 article saying who's at fault, like 'it's people coming back from holidays', 'it's people who chose to go
- 2952 on holidays', in the middle of the pandemic when a lot of people had no choice".
- 2953 4.2.10.1 PETs Derived from Laura's Story
- Frustration with media sensationalism and deliberately misleading information.

2955	• Personal conflict over government initiatives like "Eat Out to Help Out".
2956	• Resentment of media scapegoating young people and students for virus spread.
2957	• Evolution of pandemic perception from initial dismissal to serious concern.
2958	• Critical awareness of click-bait tactics in COVID-19 reporting.
2959	• Recognition of media's dual role in enabling isolation while spreading fear.
2960	Observation of social media's role in vaccine scepticism.
2961	• Criticism of media deflecting blame from government to different groups.
2962	4.2.11 Evelyn's Story A 55-59F Psychotherapist of British Origin
2963	Evelyn, a female psychotherapist aged 55-59, highlights the misrepresentation of mental health in the
2964	media, which can contribute to confusion and misinformation.
2965	The impact of media-induced fear and misunderstanding is evident in Evelyn's account of
2966	communication and social interaction during the pandemic. She suggests that the way media portrays
2967	health and illness can make people more fearful and less likely to engage with others: "And you
2968	believe what you're watching on the news, and you bet you are frightened out of your life. I've seen
2969	people with double masks on and all sorts".
2970	Generational differences in social media use further contribute to the complex interplay between

- 2971 media and public health communication. Evelyn explains that younger generations are more likely to
- rely on social media for information, which can exacerbate fear and contribute to misinformation.
- 2973 Moreover, Evelyn discusses the negative messaging from authorities and mainstream media, as well as
- 2974 inadequate support from healthcare providers during the pandemic. This combination has created a
- 2975 pervasive atmosphere of fear that affects mental health, relationships, and social interactions. As a
- 2976 psychotherapist Evelyn emphasises the significant role media and government messaging play in
- 2977 causing fear during a pandemic. She suggests that consuming news can lead to extreme fear, which

2978 impacts people's behaviours and social interactions, such as being afraid to interact with delivery 2979 personnel.

- 2980 Evelyn felt significant media coverage of COVID made it predictable the type of people who may be
- 2981 susceptible to latching onto long COVID as a diagnosis: "There's someone here in her apartment

2982 building who has got Long COVID... If I'd put a bet on the person that would get Long COVID in

- 2983 this building, I'd have put a £1000 bet on it... because he suffered from depression for many, many
- 2984years... it's almost like he needs something that no one has been able to give him, so therefore at least

2985Long COVID gives him: a) something to talk about and b) some empathy and c) it's very current and

2986 more cool to say you've got Long COVID than you're depressed or you've got ME".

2987 In summary, Evelyn shared insights around a significant impact on mental health caused by social and

2988 mainstream media and how health messages are communicated during a pandemic. In her opinion

2989 the media's portrayal of health issues lead to confusion, fear, and misinformation, while generational

- 2990 differences in social media use can exacerbate these problems. The negative messaging from
- 2991 authorities and mainstream media, coupled with inadequate healthcare support, further contributed to
- 2992 a general climate of fear and anxiety.
- 2993

4.2.11.1 PETs Derived from Evelyn's Story

- 2994Strong criticism of media's role in generating excessive fear around COVID. • 2995 Professional concern about media's impact on mental health and social interactions. 2996 Observation of generational differences in social media consumption and effects. 2997 Critical perspective on Long COVID media coverage influencing illness identification.
- 2998 Recognition of media attention making certain diagnoses more socially acceptable. •
- 2999 Insight into how media coverage affects patient presenting behaviour.
- 3000 Concern about inadequate healthcare support during heightened media anxiety.
- 3001 Connection between media consumption and extreme behavioural changes.

3002 4.2.12 Hannah's Story | A 25-29F Trainee Clinical Psychologist of British Origin

- Hannah, a 25-29 year old female trainee clinical psychologist, emphasised the importance of
- 3004 effectively communicating the risks and benefits associated with the pandemic and its management.
- 3005 Noting there has been insufficient emphasis on understanding why people have not adhered to
- 3006 guidelines, despite it being communicated enough stating: "I do think, with my psychology head on
- 3007 about trying to understand why people haven't stuck to that".
- 3008 Hannah also discussed the pressure individuals face in making decisions related to the pandemic,
- 3009 highlighting how society puts a significant emphasis on individualism rather than the collective good.
- 3010 She noted: "It's an awful lot of individual pressure to put on people...I think that we put a lot of
- 3011 individual pressure on people to follow rules and to make their own decisions rather than thinking
- 3012 about the collective good of society".
- 3013 Social media and misinformation were also identified as challenges, as the participant mentioned
- 3014 seeing claims about vaccines still being in phase three trials on social media. This ties into the broader
- 3015 issue of polarisation and the effects of social media on public opinion. Hannah observed: *"Everything*"
- 3016 gets polarised online, you have to have this strict view either one way or the other, you can't sit on the
- 3017 fence online like it's got to be one or the other".
- 3018 Hannah further discussed the importance of critical thinking and questioning sources, as well as
- 3019 seeking factual information from experts, stating: "And I think now I'm finding if I want to know
- 3020 something factual about, I'm fine and also because of the privilege, I have going into research journals
- 3021 of what actually was the research telling me all the time fact-checking website". She also mentioned
- 3022 following medical professionals and researchers to obtain accurate information, distancing herself from
- 3023 biased news sources.
- 3024 Moreover, Hannah touched on issues of denial and disbelief, as well as the dismissal of concerns,
- 3025 reflecting on her experiences with supervisors and colleagues who downplayed the situation. However,

she also recognised the importance of diverse perspectives on social media, valuing disagreements as a
healthy part of discourse. She emphasised the need to avoid news overload, acknowledging that too
much information can be overwhelming.

3029 Hannah expressed the importance of encouraging critical thinking in others, as well as practicing it

3030 herself. She also acknowledged the lack of critical thinking skills taught in the British education system,

3031 which she believes contributes to the spread of conspiracy theories.

3032 The personal impact of COVID-19 on relationships and belief systems was also discussed, as well as

3033 the reliance on medical journals and professionals for accurate information. Hannah acknowledged

3034 the role of celebrities in public health communication but noted that their messages may not always be

3035 consistent or reliable stating: "You can't rely on these people to give a consistent message".

Hannah criticised the government's response to the pandemic, highlighting inconsistent messaging and
delays in action, such as the initial advice against wearing masks. She also discussed the impact of rulebreaking on behaviour, observing that it can lead to both increased compliance and rule defiance
among the public.

3040 Emphasising the importance of compassion and listening, Hannah mentioned her approach to

3041 understanding differing beliefs and concerns, rather than simply dismissing them. She also touched on

3042 the confusion caused by government slogans, suggesting that the intended messages were unclear.

3043 The impact of social media was recognised, including the spread of memes and misinformation.

3044 Hannah also discussed the mistrust in government, sharing anecdotes of individuals who believed

3045 images of leaked text messages had been: "doctored".

3046 Hannah described the overwhelming nature of the rapidly changing news cycle during the pandemic,

3047 particularly for individuals experiencing anxiety. She acknowledged the difficulties posed by conflicting

3048 information and the possibility of details becoming outdated within just a couple of hours.

- 3049 Consequently, she found herself advising others to avoid constant news consumption, while also3050 questioning the impact of such exposure on her own mental well-being.
- 3051 Hannah shared her deeper thoughts on the rapidly changing news cycle being overwhelming and
- 3052 causing anxiety. She also shared her experience working with anxious individuals, which caused a
- 3053 moment of self-reflection stating: "*I just think it's overwhelming, you can have too much information*
- 3054 professionally I work with a lot of people who are very anxious. Over the last year [it] has been
- 3055 *incredibly difficult for them*". Hannah also mentioned her own struggles with the constant influx of
- 3056 contradictory information, which led her to advise others not to look at the news. She reflected on her
- 3057 own feelings, saying: "I'm thinking why am I doing this?" It's making me more anxious. This
- 3058 information overload of contradictory stuff. Who do I believe? What's true of the information? It will
- 3059 change tomorrow. So, what's the point in looking right now?".
- 3060 When considering COVID and the media Hannah had several thoughts. First, she mentioned the lack
- 3061 of recognition for public figures involved in the pandemic response, suggesting that their roles and
- 3062 identities were not well known among the public. She also shared how difficult she found it when a
- 3063 colleague was dying of long COVID while the media said we needed to get back to normal: "One of
- 3064 my colleagues passed away at the weekend. He had COVID last year and died due to complications of
- 3065 Long COVID and I'm seeing a lot of stuff on social media and generally in the media this week about
- 3066 *'we need our lives to get back to normal' and 'everyone just needs to get on with it, if people die,*
- 3067 *people die' and that's been really hard to hear this week".* Hannah also shared she was conflicted
- 3068 about the vaccines following significant coverage and discussion in the media: "*I know logically that the*
- 3069 vaccines has been through lots of tests and it's fine, but I think personally, ethically, I don't know if this
- is true or not but from what I've seen on social media, people are saving [the vaccines are] still
- 3071 technically in a phase 3 trial... so we're vaccinating all these people and I've seen this week that the
- 3072 government are on about everyone in a care home has to be vaccinated... But I also personally feel a
- 3073 strong sense of autonomy, like I should be able to make a choice. I don't feel confident yet that there

3074 will 100% not be any complications or side effects or anything. So me, personally right now, I'm
3075 feeling really apprehensive about that".

3076 Another interesting comment Hannah made concerned how she saw people coming together at the 3077 beginning of the pandemic, but felt the online world was pulling people apart: "I also know that the 3078 tech world is here to stay and does push people apart further and further. Everything gets polarized 3079 online. You have to have a strict view one way or the other. You can't sit on the fence online; it's got to 3080 be one or the other. We're seeing that more and more and I think that will continue. Not just with 3081 COVID, generally. You are either in this camp of, 'you're an anti-vaxxer and you're killing everyone 3082 and you're really selfish' or you're doing the greater good, and you can't be anywhere in the middle". 3083 Finally, Hannah shared a detailed personal story of a colleague being sucked into believing conspiracy 3084theories: "I think people believe in conspiracy theories for lots of different reasons and a... lack of 3085education sometimes. I have a very good friend that has been completely sucked into all the COVID 3086 conspiracy theories. I had to distance myself from him. My psychological understanding of why he 3087 believes it is because he moved to a different part of the country just as COVID started and wanted to 3088 find a community, find a place he belonged. He didn't have that; he has not had it all his life. He is a 3089 gay man from a Muslim background, his family didn't accept him, he experienced lots of bullying, he 3090 has never really found a place to fit, so this has happened, he's moved to another part of the country, 3091 he's wanted to find a place to fit and online he's found this community of people who all believe that 3092 COVID is a hoax or a scam or whatever and so he's found a place to belong and he wants to stay in 3093 that community rather than questioning the reality of that. I challenged him on something he posted 3094on social media, and he blocked and deleted me. I think I got to know a different side of him because 3095 of the COVID-19 pandemic. I already knew stuff about his life, already knew he'd had a challenging 3096 life. I'd already psychologically formulated him; I knew what was going on for him... But what I saw 3097 through social media was him being sucked into believing stuff, not challenging stuff... because of 3098 *COVID* he just became completely encompassed by conspiracies".

3099 4.2.12.1 PETs Derived from Hannah's Story 3100 Professional emphasis on understanding psychological reasons for guideline non-adherence. • 3101 • Critical of societal pressure on individual rather than collective responsibility. 3102 Observation of online polarisation preventing nuanced discussion. 3103 Recognition of privilege in accessing research journals for fact-checking. 3104 Personal conflict over media messaging while experiencing colleague's death from Long 3105 COVID. 3106 Insight into psychological drivers of conspiracy theory belief through friend's experience. 3107 Concern about overwhelming nature of rapidly changing news cycle. • 3108 Analysis of how online communities can provide belonging through shared misinformation. 3109 4.2.13 Simon's Story | A 20-24M Foundation Doctor of British Origin 3110 Simon, a 20-24 year old male FY1 doctor, revealed a range of perspectives on the impact of social 3111 media. He expressed long held beliefs regarding concerns about social media's effect on happiness, 3112 suggesting in his experience those who engage with it were: "not a lot happier" and that it may not offer 3113 the promised benefits of staying in touch. He also noted the potential for social media to negatively

- 3114 impact self-image and self-worth, with users constantly comparing themselves to others.
- 3115 Despite these concerns, Simon acknowledged the role social media played during the pandemic in
- 3116 facilitating public health communication. He explained that it allowed for the rapid spread of
- 3117 important messages, but at the same time: *"enabled the spread of misinformation a lot as well"*. This
- 3118 was particularly evident in the growth of movements such as anti-vaccine and anti-mask campaigns,
- 3119 which gained momentum following the COVID-19 outbreak.
- 3120 Simon also shared his views on the significant differences between social media and traditional media
- 3121 in news delivery. He observed how social media fosters more dialogue, as: *"the news is there and then*
- 3122 right underneath that news is all the comments, while traditional media like BBC News presents

3123 information in a lecture format, allowing for individual interpretation". This interactive conversation 3124 seeing how your friends' comments are reacted to have a significant role on credibility and level of 3125 interpretation: "So underneath that news I can immediately see that one of my friends or a friend of a 3126 friend has put 'I think that's rubbish' and that's got 50,000 likes and I think oh, maybe it is rubbish,

3127 because he's got a lot of likes".

3128 In terms of the initial perception and preparedness for the pandemic, Simon admitted that he did not

3129 initially recognise the global threat, and that the UK was not prepared for COVID-19. As the

3130 pandemic played out Simon felt the media coverage did sensationalise things with the daily death

figures being posted out of context as to what it meant in relation to people dying on a normal day in aprevious year for example.

3133 Simon shared further insights into the pandemic, highlighting the growth of anti-vaccine and anti-mask

3134 movements, which he attributed to gaining: "a lot more momentum, since the COVID vaccine came

3135 *out*". He also discussed his initial perception of COVID-19, not realising its potential to become a

global crisis at first. Simon emphasised the lack of preparedness for the pandemic, particularly in the
UK, comparing it to how other diseases like Ebola did not spread globally due to better containment
measures.

3139 Simon touched upon government messaging and public response during the pandemic. He recognised

3140 the impact of slogans as political tools, noting that they instilled a sense of guilt and responsibility, for

3141 example, to: "protect the NHS". More specifically on slogans: "[Boris Johnson] got slated at the time

3142 for it being quite vague, the 'stay alert' part of it particularly. I think that's quite reasonable. It was a bit

- 3143 vague, and it was like, 'we've already been staying alert for this whole time'. At the time I didn't see that
- 3144 the changing message was necessary, it all felt a bit semantic". He also mentioned: "In terms of
- 3145 instilling fear in the public, especially around the time of the first slogans ['stay home, protect the
- 3146 NHS, save lives'], it got the job done. I think people, even if they can't remember the specific slogan,
- 3147 remember 'this is a scary situation, don't go out'. And that element of 'protect the NHS' instilling a

3148 feeling of if you were to go out, you're feeling guilt, you're contributing to the problems. So, I think

- 3149 slogans are a good political tool. I don't know how much I like them. But things like 'Get Brexit
- 3150 Done', people just started saying it and it doesn't have any meaning anymore... but it works as a
- 3151 political tool to get people onside to get a message across, definitely".
- 3152 Simon mentioned that misunderstandings and confusion around various aspects of the disease persist
- 3153 not helped by the media's role in sensationalising information, which contributed to fear and
- 3154 confusion, and observed the politicisation of the pandemic, with examples such as the involvement of

3155 Dominic Cummings attendance at meetings of the UK's independent Scientific Advisory Group for

3156 Emergencies (SAGE) for COVID-19. He expressed a preference for trusting scientific papers rather

- 3157 than media outlets, which tend to focus on sensationalised soundbites rather than scientifically verified
- 3158 information.
- 3159 The media's role in creating fear and confusion during the pandemic was significant, as it often
- 3160 sensationalised information, contributing to heightened anxiety. Simon noted: "While the media was
- 3161 *keeping very abreast of the governments daily deaths figure, I felt it could have been given potentially*
- 3162 *in a bit more context, maybe"*. This sensationalism, along with the prevalence of unverified theories,
- 3163 undermined trust in media outlets as reliable sources of information. Despite this scepticism, Simon
- acknowledged: "I wouldn't really trust media outlets, I don't think. Because it's all just these theories,
- 3165 *these are more theories*". He still reads these sources to stay informed and critically assess the theories
- 3166 presented, explaining that he likes: *"keeping abreast of what the theories are at least because they're*
- 3167 *interesting to sort of think through and even in your own head*".
- 3168 The long-term changes and impacts of the pandemic were also discussed, with Simon suggesting that
- 3169 we are now in a: *"less trusting world"*. He shared his thoughts on the influence of friends, celebrities,
- 3170 and experts during the pandemic, explaining that he would consider implementing suggestions from
- 3171 these sources if they were: "easy to implement, not harmful, and had potential benefits".

Finally, Simon addressed the challenges of dealing with misinformation and unknowns in healthcare,
emphasising the importance of being careful with words and not straying from verified information

- 3174 when communicating with patients.
- 3175 4.2.13.1 PETs Derived from Simon's Story
- Recognition of social media's mixed impact on mental health and information spread.
- Insight into how social media's comment sections influence message credibility.
- Critical view of media's presentation of death figures without proper context.
- Analysis of slogan effectiveness while questioning their ethical implications.
- Evolution of trust in different information sources during pandemic.
- Recognition of social media's dialogue format versus traditional media's lecture style.
- Professional commitment to verified information in patient communication.
- Observation of pandemic creating "less trusting world" through media exposure.

3184 4.2.14 Sebastian's Story | A 40-44M Senior Medical Director of British Origin

3185 Sebastian, a 40-44 year old male Senior Medical Director, demonstrated his awareness and willingness

3186 to seek out diverse information sources, stating that he gathers information from a wide range of

- 3187 sources, including those that do not necessarily align with his views. He is wary of confirmation bias
- 3188 and echo chambers, striving to make well-rounded decisions by exploring various perspectives. He
- 3189 said: "I'm very aware of confirmation bias and I'm very wary of the effect of ECHO chambers, and I
- 3190 think you make better decisions by having a well-rounded view".

3191 Sebastian recounted his early perceptions of the pandemic, acknowledging that the trajectory exceeded

3192 his initial expectations. The influence of his professional network played a significant role in shaping

- 3193 his understanding of the situation. A practical example of making independent decisions and relying
- 3194 on his professional network was where he emphasised the importance of PPE and safety measures,

stating: "I was one of the physicians who was insisting on slightly higher levels of PPE... I was saying to
myself and the team that we are not going to see a patient unless we have high levels of PPE".

He critiqued the effectiveness of slogans and messaging during the pandemic. Sebastian felt that the messages were weak and reactionary, likely influenced by the Dominic Cummings affair, which led to people questioning and then breaking the rules. Sebastian acknowledged the impact of social media on public perception and felt there was a need for tighter social media regulation to prevent the spread of misinformation.

3202 Sebastian also emphasised the importance of credibility and transparent messaging in communication,

3203 suggesting that messages should be tailored to different communities to effectively convey information.

3204 He stated: "And then, recognising that they're going to be different communities you're trying to

- 3205 communicate to and when you're communicating, we're trying to understand where they come from
- 3206 and how the message is going to land".
- 3207 In discussing public health messaging around the Dominic Cummings incident, he felt: *"It was an*
- 3208 inflection point where people then started to question the rules, started to break them. It took us from
- 3209 a position where it felt like we were all in this together, so people were willing to accept more
- 3210 restrictions, to one where people thought there was a two tier system. I think it was a major point of
- 3211 *weakening of the public health campaign"*. In discussing the use of slogans and messaging, Sebastian
- 3212 felt that government messaging following this incident, was weak and reactionary, again emphasising
- 3213 the need for consistent and simple communication.
- 3214 Sebastian acknowledged the impact of social media on public perception and the need for tighter
- 3215 regulation, noting the challenge in helping the public recognise credible sources. Echo chambers and
- 3216 polarised views on vaccination were identified as problematic, as people tend to seek or be presented
- 3217 with materials that support their existing beliefs.

3218 Adapting communication strategies as information evolves was seen as crucial, with the Sebastian

- 3219 suggesting that experts should be willing to revise their positions based on new evidence and
- 3220 communicate transparently. The importance of credibility and transparent messaging was emphasised,
- along with the need to tailor messages to different communities, considering their backgrounds and
- 3222 perspectives. On slogans Sebastian had the following views: "There's the hands, face, space slogan
- 3223 which doesn't roll off the tongue for me but the message is clear. And then there's 'stay at home,
- 3224 protect the NHS, save lives' which got sort of weakened after the Dominic Cummings episode at
- 3225 Barnard Castle".
- 3226 Given Sebastian's dual role spending time in industry as well as on the wards he was afforded the
- 3227 opportunity to see variations among how professional groups differed in their response: "My family, at
- 3228 the time, were more conservative than I was, so they wanted to be extremely cautious. I would say
- 3229 otherwise my general professional network were fairly aligned, the professional network in industry.
- 3230 On the wards, if anything they were a bit more blasé, junior doctors on the wards".
- 3231 Sebastian was very clear on his thoughts as to how best to communicate scientific information to the
- 3232 public: "I think you need to keep your messages consistent and simple. You need to think about broad
- 3233 impact... it's about the impact of that message on various people because that's going to have an impact
- 3234 on how it's received".
- 3235 *"Language. We all know that black and minority ethnic people were more at risk and things like the*
- 3236 vaccine uptake, so thinking about credibility of that message and how it's delivered across
- 3237 *communities*".
- 3238 *"Evidence-based, and communicating that, but at times like this you may not have a complete evidence*
- 3239 base, [so] clarity about where there is uncertainty. Transparency about uncertainty but explanation".
- 3240 Sebastian also felt the way rules were broadcast to all but differed led to annoyance among members of
- 3241 the public: *"I think anything that feels like one rule for one group and another rule for a separate*

3242 group. And that can be in two ways, it can be like the tier system, particularly if one area of the country

3243 feels they've been disproportionately affected, whether real or perceived. There was certainly a

3244 suggestion when it affected London, everything was locked down, you were furloughed, you were given

- 3245 a hypothetical salary. But when it's in northern England, you get on with it yourself and accept far
- 3246 less".
- Sebastian's final thoughts were surrounding the difficulties around recognising credible sources on 3247
- 3248 social media: "There's a real problem at the moment with social media which is, how do the public in

3249 general recognise a credible source? You get people who speak with great authority, who have titles,

- 3250 but you've got no idea who they are, what their biases are, where they come from".
- 3251

4.2.14.1 PETs Derived from Sebastian's Story

- 3252 Strong awareness of confirmation bias and conscious effort to seek diverse viewpoints.
- 3253 Early adoption of strict PPE measures based on professional network insights.
- 3254 Recognition of Cummings incident as critical turning point in public compliance. •
- 3255 Emphasis on tailoring messages for different communities backgrounds.
- 3256 Observation of varying risk attitudes between industry and clinical professionals.
- 3257 Criticism of perceived geographic inequalities in pandemic response. ٠
- 3258 Focus on transparency about scientific uncertainty in public communication. •
- 3259 Concern about credibility assessment challenges in social media environment. •

3260 4.2.15 Claudia's Story | A 25-29 Foundation Doctor of Swiss Origin

3261 Claudia, a 25-29 year old female Foundation Year 1 doctor, shared her experiences and perspectives

- 3262 on various aspects relating to media and communication of the COVID-19 pandemic. She expressed a
- 3263 preference for ignoring the news and relying on work communication to stay updated, stating: "I kind
- 3264 of just stopped reading the news so much because we already had all the work emails that would
- 3265 update us on how it's going". Claudia qualified this by saying: "Igot very quickly fed up with the same

- news for a year and a half. I listened in the first lockdown but then it was all over the place at work
 anyway so I don't think I needed the news to tell me how bad everything was".
- 3268 Claudia appreciated social media's role in promoting vaccination, mentioning: *"in terms of social*
- 3269 media, I've got a bunch of pages, or people like follow that were saying how you should get vaccinated,
- 3270 yeah I thought was good". However, Claudia was frustrated by the presence of non-believers in her
- 3271 personal circles, saying: "I've had colleagues that lived with people that still didn't believe in it and
- 3272 you're like well what the hell?!".
- 3273 Claudia observed a difference in news portrayal between waves, with the first wave receiving more
- 3274 coverage, and felt that the severity of the pandemic was portrayed differently in various countries. She
- 3275 mentioned that the UK news portrayed their situation as: *"terrifying"*, while in Switzerland news
- 3276 coverage seemed less sensationalised. Claudia found herself comparing news sources and countries,
- 3277 relying on the BBC and Swiss news for information, but remained sceptical about the accuracy and
- 3278 potential biases in news reporting, expressing: *"any blog or YouTube or even the news honestly, I*
- 3279 don't even... I don't know if that would be true".
- 3280 Adjusting her personal behaviour in response to the pandemic, Claudia recalled: "I went home for an
- 3281 interview in the New Year and went skiing for two days with my parents and I found it so weird being
- 3282 *so close to people*". She described her reaction to information overload as one of avoidance, stating:
- 3283 *"I got very quickly fed up with the same news... my reaction to that was ignore it"*. She shared her
- 3284 perception that there was often a disparity between news coverage and reality on the ground in
- 3285 hospitals, noting: "I think it was worse in hospital before it made the news... there was a big delay".
- 3286 Claudia was particularly concerned about the long-term effects of COVID-19 and its impact on
- 3287 personal life, particularly when she saw it affecting those outside of who the media warned were at
- 3288 increased risk e.g. those with conditions such as Type 2 Diabetes or obesity. Claudia went on to share
- 3289 stories of teammates who were Olympic athletes suffering from long COVID, saying: "I've had a
- 3290 bunch of teammates who are Olympic athletes that have long COVID and have to drop out of the
Olympic team, so I think that scared me more now". It was Claudia's personal experience of
acquaintances suffering long COVID, rather that it being reported in the media, that fuelled her fear.
This was clearly something that deeply affected her.

- 3294 Claudia acknowledged the public's initial shock and adaptation to the pandemic and recognised public
- 3295 health figures, though sometimes struggled to remember their specific roles. She believed that public
- 3296 health messaging was effective, but wondered if a more self-focused approach might have elicited a
- 3297 stronger response from the public, contemplating: *"I don't know if people would have reacted more if*

3298 *it's like so save themselves because I don't know how much people always care about everyone else".*

- 3299 Claudia felt that the media representation of the pandemic, particularly stories and videos from
- 3300 hospitals, helped the public understand the situation better. However, she also noted the confusion
- 3301 caused by inconsistent information, frequently changing narratives and information being presented
- 3302 with a degree of negative spin: "[The news] put a headline, it was something like 'COVID's getting a
- 3303 lot worse, the vaccine's not working because people are increasing in hospital'. It framed it in a way
- 3304 that nothing was working. But really, if you read the article, it was actually non-vaccinated people were
- in hospital, which they could have phrased in a more positive way, like the vaccine works".
- 3306 4.2.15.1 PETs Derived from Claudia's Story
- Preference for work-based updates over news due to information saturation.
- Recognition of social media's positive role in vaccine promotion.
- Frustration with COVID denialism among healthcare workers' close contacts.
- Observation of disparity between news coverage and hospital reality.
- Personal impact of seeing elite athletes affected by Long COVID.
- Comparison of different countries' media approaches to pandemic coverage.
- Critical of media's negative framing of vaccine effectiveness.
- Professional desensitisation leading to news avoidance behaviours.

3315 4.2.16 Ivana's Story | A 24-29F Clinical Project Associate

- 3316 Ivana, a 25-29 year old female clinical project associate, shared several themes aspects related to the
- pandemic, social media, and mental health. With 2020 becoming the year that: *"really pushed social"*
- 3318 *media up a notch*". A significant increase in dependence on social media was noted, as Ivana
- assistant explained that it has become an even larger part of people's lives due to its role in supporting small
- 3320 businesses and careers. This was not without its disadvantages. She acknowledged that her own time
- 3321 spent on social media had increased as a result of reduced opportunities for socialising outdoors: "I'm
- 3322 on social media more... it's just mindlessly scrolling".
- 3323 Ivana also discussed the role of media in pandemic awareness, admitting that she initially
- 3324 underestimated the situation: "I didn't believe that it was going to escalate as much as it did". Once it
- 3325 did, she took government advice very seriously and it really impacted on her behaviour: "I took the
- 3326 government advice very seriously. I have a lot of allergies, and my family was calling and saying, 'you're
- in the risk group, you need to shield, you need to be careful'. Probably for two weeks I didn't go
- anywhere. Then I started going to the shop or going on walks very early in the morning, around seven.
- 3329 I remember that I had to do a whole grocery list, buy food for two and a half weeks".
- 3330 Social media platforms like Instagram and Facebook became Ivana's primary sources of news
- 3331 updates. This reliance on social media for information also highlighted its impact on mental health
- and well-being. Ivana mentioned feeling isolated after a few months of limited human contact and
- 3333 noticed changes in her sleep patterns due to increased screen time. Social media being a source of
- 3334 news for her friend was a theme also touched upon: "My flatmate said 'I read Instagram' which I think
- is funny because I think a lot of 20 to 30 year olds do exactly the same thing. You wouldn't go to
- thetimes.com, you would just go on Facebook or YouTube, and it will pop up and we would read
- 3337 *about it there*".
- 3338 Reflecting on her own social media use Ivana states: *"[I take social media] with a pinch of salt, but*
- 3339 there's some truth to it and it's good to compare to other resources". Regarding trust in media, Ivana

3340 expressed scepticism: "I can't say that I believe the media hundred percent because it's all biased".

- 3341 Her family's reluctance to get vaccinated also demonstrated a lack of trust in government decisions.
- Nevertheless, she praised the government's initial response to the pandemic for being proactive in
- 3343 closing borders.
- 3344 Ivana described her efforts to adapt to pandemic measures by taking government advice seriously and
- shielding herself. However, she acknowledged the shock of restrictions, such as not being able to go
- 3346 outside: "Now [there] haven't been any rules ever that you can't go outside, but now you can't do the

3347 *most basic human thing to breathe fresh air*". Pandemic fatigue also emerged as an issue, with Ivana

and explaining how people had become tired of the constant influx of news about the virus.

- 3349 The role of social media in shaping public perception was another topic discussed by Ivana, who
- 3350 noticed that some people were not as cautious as they should have been in following safety measures.
- 3351 She also touched on the importance of targeted communication and adapting messaging for different
- 3352 age groups, citing the government's failure to explain the situation adequately, which led to mass panic
- and hoarding. Ivana also shared social media combined with government corruption was a particular
- platform that enabled misinformation to spread in her native Bulgaria: *"because Bulgaria is quite"*
- 3355 corrupt and for every COVID case you have in the country you get EU aid. So, they were saying
- 3356 'you're negative but we're going to say you're positive so the government can get more money in'... I
- 3357 think that's why people didn't believe that it existed. And I think now they do believe that it exists but
- 3358 the vaccines chip you so the company can follow you around and they're going to manipulate you".
- 3359 Ivana mentioned lack of clarity in messaging from the UK government led to panic: "There was mass
- 3360 panic and mass buying, and I remember that I went into three different shops and couldn't find a bag
- 3361 of rice because people didn't know what was happening, and the government didn't really explain it
- 3362 very well. They just said, 'something is happening, and we might close, but we don't know'. No
- 3363 *guidance whatsoever*". She also commented on the issue of information fatigue and how it affected
- her: "Because it's around you so much and it's so loud, you kind of tone it down and lose interest. At

- 3365 the start I was really intrigued, I wanted to know, I was researching, but now I've just let it pass... No
- 3366 one wants to engage that much anymore. Everyone's very tired of it and I think I fall into that category
- 3367 as well". Given these points Ivana felt government messaging should be targeted into age groups: "I
- 3368 think it should be targeted into age groups... I don't think something targeted for me would be helpful
- 3369 for my cousins who are eight years younger than me and vice versa. I wouldn't really understand their
- 3370 Tik Tok dances. I have seen on social media how a lot of doctors and dentists and dermatologists do
- 3371 these TikTok dances to get into that age group which I think was really smart because they were
- and going to that level that different generations can understand".
- 3373 4.2.16.1 PETs Derived from Ivana's Story
- Evolution of social media dependence during pandemic for news and connection.
- Initial pandemic scepticism transformed by family pressure about health risks.
- Generational observation of 20-30 year olds preferring social media news sources.
- Critical awareness of media bias while still using it as information source.
- Recognition of native country's corruption influencing vaccine scepticism.
- Criticism of UK government's unclear messaging leading to panic buying.
- Experience of pandemic fatigue affecting information engagement.
- Support for age-targeted messaging including social media platform selection.
- 3382 4.2.17 Martin's Story | A 50-54M Emergency Medicine Consultant of British Origin
- 3383 Martin, a 50-54-year-old male Emergency Medicine Consultant, identifying as right wing and felt media
- 3384 sensationalised COVID by: *"Whipping up public fear when evidence didn't back it up"*. Martin also
- 3385 went on to lament how he feels: *"media has become too politicised"* and: *"frustrated by a lack of*
- 3386 *middle-ground in media coverage*". Martin also highlighted how hysteria and fear were exacerbated by
- 3387 media and government decisions, stating: *"There was a lot of fearmongering in the media which*
- 3388 created unnecessary panic" and pointing out that: "misinformation led to public confusion about PPE
- and testing". Martin also spoke of: "feeling almost a wave of hysteria and I felt as if nothing was done

3390 to stem the wave of hysteria. Everything just fed into it constantly". The hysteria had very real

3391 consequences on Martin's ability to run a hospital service as he alluded to: *"If we lost our staff [due to*

3392 not turning up due to fear] the injury would be far worse and that was my concern over this hysteria.

3393 How do you keep your front-line workers on the front-line when everybody's shitting themselves?".

- 3394 Martin reflected on his initial thoughts and how they changed over the course of the pandemic in
- 3395 relation to the severity of COVID: "I went through a phase of thinking 'this [COVID] is a significant
- 3396 *health risk', then because of media and everything we saw, it seemed to become something completely*
- 3397 different... it seemed to become something fairly monstrous back in March 2020. But when I look
- 3398 back now, when I look at the statistics, I actually think my first interpretation was more accurate and it
- 3399 seemed to be blown out of proportion, which is not detracting from the fact that it is a significant
- 3400 public health event, but I felt as though it was turned into a zombie apocalypse... We were whipped up
- 3401 into a state of frenzy, people became scared, there was lots of rumours, people focusing on the wrong
- 3402 *things"*.
- 3403 The role of media, both mainstream and social, in shaping public opinion and professional debates is
- evident in throughout Martin's account. He cited division among medical professionals regarding the
- 3405 use of face masks, driven in part by differing interpretations of scientific evidence and media coverage,
- 3406 mentioning: *"There was a lot of debate among professionals about masks, and the media didn't help*
- 3407 by presenting conflicting information".
- 3408 Martin discussed the impact of media bias, conspiracy theories, and misinformation on public
- opinion, emphasising the polarisation of society. He noted that media organisations should provide
- 3410 unbiased facts and details, allowing individuals to formulate their own decisions based on accurate
- 3411 information. He explained: "The media's role should be to present objective facts without bias, so
- 3412 people can make informed choices".
- 3413 Martin also touched on the role of social media in spreading fear, anxiety, and misinformation during
- 3414 the pandemic. He remarked: "Social media played a big part in spreading fear and anxiety, as well as

3415 *false information*". This highlights the need for effective public health communication strategies that

- 3416 leverage the advantages of social media while mitigating its potential harms. The consultant encouraged
- 3417 individuals to be aware of biases, urging them to practice critical reasoning when evaluating
- 3418 information on social and mainstream media platforms, saying: "People should be critical consumers
- 3419 of news and question the information they receive".
- 3420 Martin also had very distinct views on masks: "I actually see this [wearing masks] as a symbol of how
- 3421 fragile we've become as a population in our minds and our strength... It's almost as if we're scared so
- 3422 we're hiding behind this mask and that, to me, is not the British spirit that I used to know 30 years
- 3423 ago... It's almost like we're running and hiding, I've seen people driving in their car on their own
- 3424 wearing masks. To me it's become a symbol of fear, it just shows how people can be manipulated".
- 3425 This proved to be quite a divisive position among Martin's fellow professional colleagues: *"Even*
- 3426 amongst our own profession. I posted on social media a fairly innocuous comment about 'isn't it time
- 3427 now to move on from the mask wearing because there is no evidence for actually wearing masks' and I
- 3428 cited the evidence as a scientist and as a medical practitioner and I got berated even from my own
- 3429 medical profession, telling me I was irresponsible and should not be advocating this dangerous
- 3430 approach. And it amazed me that even intellectual, educated people aren't reading the evidence.
- 3431 They've been sucked into this mass hysteria about what we should be doing and what this illness is and
- 3432 how it's going to kill us all".
- 3433 Slogans such as "Follow the Science" proved to be another area around which Martin shared rich
- 3434 insights: "To me 'Follow the Science' means two things. I believe in following the science but that was
- 3435 used as a slogan, and I think it's been used as a slogan to mean 'follow the science that I put forward to
- 3436 you'. The thing about science is science can fall either way. There's science in favour of masks, using
- 3437 that example, and science not in favour. If you apply confirmation bias to 'follow the science', that
- 3438 means 'follow my science'. So yeah, in principle, follow the science is a great thing to do but I actually
- 3439 believe that slogan was used to say, 'follow my science' because my science is correct".

- 3440 *"Most of the public would then have defaulted to believing that was science and that was correct and*
- 3441 that was the right thing to do. If you're not a scientist and you don't understand the indecision in
- 3442 science, the inability to reach consensus... People think science is fact. It's the pursuit of fact but it isn't
- always fact. I think the public interpreted that as 'you know what you're talking about, everything else
- 3444 that is not your opinion is bullshit and what you're saying is fact and that's it, it's not arguable'. You can
- 3445 shut any argument up by claiming that something's the fact and it's difficult to argue if you don't know
- 3446 the counter arguments. To argue with that you've got to be scientifically trained because I'd ask what
- 3447 science it is that you actually want me to believe".
- 3448 As a content creator posting his own videos on COVID, often from the hospital car park Martin felt
- 3449 they did well as they tapped into a desire among his audience to escape the negativity: *"The overall*
- 3450 reason those videos became popular was because there was positivity. The comments that I got back
- 3451 were in the thousand, about 'thank God somebody is speaking positively because I'm sick of all the
- 3452 *negativity'...* The pulse of that was that people were sick of negativity. They wanted positivity. They
- 3453 wanted people to say, 'this is how great everything is despite that' rather than 'we're all going to die'
- 3454 and that kind of thing".
- 3455 On the use of political slogans overwhelming people and therefore giving over too much power to the
- 3456 government Martin has this to say: *"This is the lead into totalitarianism, isn't it? When you have a*
- 3457 blanket population of people who have now given up trying to understand what happens above, who
- 3458 *just automatically accept the voice of what's above them, suddenly you find yourself being controlled.*
- 3459 Ultimately the government is supposed to reflect the will of the majority of the people. And if it stops
- 3460 doing that because people aren't telling you what they want and what they think then you become a
- 3461 *totalitarian state. The government becomes a government where it governs and tells everybody else*
- 3462 what to do. And I'm fearful that's what's happening in society".
- 3463 In conclusion, the interview with Martin, an Emergency Medicine Consultant, underscores the
- 3464 significant impact of social and mainstream media on global public health communication during a

pandemic. The interplay between these media forms shapes public opinion, professional debates, and
 healthcare policies, emphasising the importance of accurate, unbiased reporting and critical reasoning

- 3467 in fostering informed discussions and decision-making.
- 3468

4.2.17.1 PETs Derived from Martin's Story

- Strong criticism of media's role in creating "zombie apocalypse" level fear.
- Evolution from seeing COVID as significant health risk to viewing media as exaggerating
 threat.
- Frustration with polarisation and lack of middle-ground in media coverage.
- Professional concern about fear affecting frontline staff attendance.
- Controversial stance on mask-wearing leading to conflict with medical colleagues.
- Critical analysis of "Follow the Science" slogan as potentially misleading.
- Success with positive messaging in personal social media content.
- Concern about public's passive acceptance of government messaging leading to totalitarianism.

3478 4.2.18 Phoebe's Story | A 20-24F Medical Student of British Origin

3479 Phoebe, a 20-24 female medical student, offers valuable insights into the experiences of a young

- 3480 medical student who was also working as a healthcare assistant and vaccinator on the front line during
- 3481 the pandemic. Her experiences emphasise the importance of reliable information sources, such as the
- 3482 World Health Organisation (WHO) and the NHS, in shaping public understanding and behaviour
- 3483 stating: "big organisations like the WHO and the NHS [I] definitely trust those".
- 3484 Phoebe identified unclear communication and guidelines as one of the main challenges. Despite
- 3485 widespread access to information through social and mainstream media, people still experienced
- 3486 difficulties understanding the rules and recommendations surrounding the pandemic. This confusion
- 3487 may have been exacerbated by the interplay between various media sources, leading to contradictory
- 3488 or misleading messages.

3489 Pheobe highlights the need for trusting experts over friends and celebrities when seeking pandemic-

3490 related information, as experts are: "less likely to have been influenced by other sources that aren't as

3491 *reliable*". This underscores the challenge of discerning credible information amidst the vast amount of

3492 content available through social and mainstream media. Government messaging and slogans played a

3493 role in public health communication, with mixed reactions to their effectiveness. Some slogans, such

3494 as: "stay alert", were criticised for their ambiguity, potentially resulting from attempts to simplify

3495 complex information for mass consumption through both mainstream and social media.

3496 It was interesting to see how Pheobe described her knowledge on the origins of COVID and how

3497 stories circulating early on social media clearly influenced the thoughts of both her and her peer group:

3498 "Well the classic one is that some random man ate a bat and now COVID is a thing. To be fair I

3499 haven't looked into it that much, I'm not an epidemiologist or interested in that sort of thing, but if

3500 someone asked me where it came from, I understand that in China they have these big food markets

with lots of random different animals that they eat, and as far as I'm aware it came from that. The food

3501

3502 hadn't been prepared fresh, and the virus was in one of those".

3503 Pheobe also expressed the confusion caused to the public with the rules keeping changing thus the

3504public were unsure what to do and given her role in the hospital they gravitated towards her for advice:

3505 "There's those government slogans, 'hands face space'. They're good because they're simple and

3506 people will remember it, but I think sometimes people aren't too sure what the right thing is to do. I

3507 remember my parents being like 'am I allowed to do this now?' and obviously I understood it a bit

3508 more than them because I read more sources than them and they trusted me because I work in

3509 hospital". This did however place Pheobe in a difficult situation: "I was thinking, I shouldn't be

3510 answering these questions. I'm happy to, and I'm able to, I was confident I knew the right thing to do,

3511 but I was still thinking if they can't get hold of this information or understand it, then how does

3512 somebody who doesn't use the internet or doesn't have a phone, how are they supposed to know what

3513 to do?". Building on how this was communicated to the public Pheobe explained people were either

- 3514 scared to attend hospital or unsure if their GP practice was open, while COVID was focussed on to
- 3515 the detriment of other condition both purely as a result of the media coverage: *"I remember A&E was*"
- 3516 super quiet at the start because people just weren't coming in. Just because COVID is here doesn't
- 3517 mean the other health conditions aren't. People are still having heart attacks, people are still having
- 3518 strokes, people are still falling off ladders. So that was something we tried to get across, but I don't
- 3519 think necessarily was".
- 3520 Finally, Phoebe felt the public found the government's numbers and dates arbitrary: "I think a lot of
- 3521 people found the numbers quite arbitrary. The rule of six, what happens when I have seven?' The
- 3522 same with lockdown dates. 'We're going to lock down on this day, we're going to come out of
- 3523 lockdown on this day' and it's like 'why have you chosen that day? Does COVID not exist the day
- after that?' I certainly questioned it a few times, finding the numbers quite arbitrary and sometimes it
- 3525 did feel like they'd plucked these numbers from thin air. That's something that could have been done
- 3526 better... I think it would have been helpful for people to have understood how the government came
- 3527 to the rule of six".
- 3528 4.2.18.1 PETs Derived from Phoebe's Story
- 3529 Strong trust in major health organizations (WHO, NHS) over other information sources. 3530 Tension between being informal medical advisor to family while feeling unqualified. 3531 Recognition of arbitrary-seeming rules creating public confusion and scepticism. 3532 Early acceptance of social media narratives about virus origins despite medical education. 3533 Concern about COVID focus overshadowing other serious health conditions. • 3534 Observation of public confusion over changing guidelines affecting hospital attendance. • 3535 Complex position as both medical student and frontline worker during crisis.
- Recognition of communication challenges for less digitally connected populations.

3537 4.2.19 Peter's Story | A 50-54M Patient Organisation CEO of British Origin

3538 Peter, a 50-54 year old male CEO, shared how his personal experience influenced how he interacted

3539 with mainstream media, such as Sky News, during the initial stages of the pandemic. Despite the initial

3540 dismissive attitude of many people towards the pandemic, Peter's prior experience with swine flu and

3541 subsequent development of heart failure made him more vigilant and responsive to the emerging

3542 crisis. He recalls watching news coverage and taking immediate action, such as sourcing masks for

3543 personal use: "I was watching Sky News and I said to my wife, we need to get some more masks".

3544 This demonstrates the power of mainstream media in driving public response to pandemic-related

- news and updates, particularly for individuals with heightened awareness due to their personal
- 3546 experiences and health conditions.
- 3547 Peter shared an interesting perspective that anti-vax sentiment was present pre COVID. His

3548 occupation involved working with NHS England and through this he was invited to a conference at

3549 Facebook's head office before the pandemic hit in mid-2019: "Basically a whole room of us was told

3550 that you'll lose your social pages if you don't manage the anti-vax stuff and we will banish your group

and not allow access to it'... that was the policy coming in because they had been pressurized by the

3552 WHO.... So, all social media companies locked down on the anti-vax stuff in mid-2019".

3553 This directive did mean during the pandemic, the onerous task placed on the page owner to moderate

3554 their content, meant several people having to cover 24 hour shifts, due to the explosion of people

asking legitimate questions about their heart failure and COVID-19. The sensitivity however of the

3556 situation meant many comments were flagged, which were not contentious – merely people seeking

3557 help.

3558 Patient advocacy groups, such as the CEO's organisation, had to adapt their communication methods

- 3559 in response to the pandemic. They utilised social media and digital technologies to engage diverse
- audiences through webinars and online events. These online platforms allowed them to continue

- providing support, information, and community-building activities to patients when traditionalcommunication channels became inaccessible or insufficient.
- BEER Was a vocal critic of the government's communication strategy during the pandemic, emphasising
- the importance of both mainstream and social media in disseminating accurate information and
- 3565 guiding public behaviour. The government's messaging was often criticised for being confusing and
- 3566 ineffective, leading to public anxiety and confusion: *"Throughout the pandemic that communication*
- 3567 *was juvenile, to say the least, in fact, it was embryonic in quality and delivery*". Peter believed that more
- 3568 effective use of mainstream and social media channels by public health authorities could have
- 3569 improved communication and better managed public response during the pandemic.
- 3570 Peter highlighted the challenges faced by the public during the pandemic, with a particular emphasis
- 3571 on the inadequacy of communication from central authorities. He described: *"the communication*
- 3572 *from the centre as atrocious*". An example given was the initial mask messaging which he felt led to
- 3573 significant mortality: *"The bullshit about PPE, we should have been prepared, and the ridiculous*"
- 3574 statements by leaders in the Department of Health and the NHS about not needing masks killed
- 3575 thousands of people... It's a Coronavirus, it's airborne. It's not about droplets, it's not about spitting all
- 3576 over anybody, it's an aerosol... Telling people that you don't need masks is ridiculous when it's a
- 3577 *respiratory virus*". According to Peter this poor approach to messaging had very real impacts on them
- as a patient charity: *"We had to brace ourselves every time there was a big [government]*
- announcement because we knew that, however many times you tell people, there was blind panic from
- 3580 patients who didn't know what to do... We said 'if you've got a real problem, message us'. I had two or
- 3581 three messages going at once for three or four hours in the evening, every evening". This reaction
- 3582 Peter went onto say was not due to a lack of intelligence, but rather a sense of blind panic experienced
- by patients who were uncertain about how to proceed. Peter points out that these patients were
- 3584 fortunate to have the support of patient advocacy organisations stepping in and filling the gap by
- 3585 providing them with essential guidance and assistance during what was a difficult time.

3586 4.2.19.1 PETs Derived from Peter's Story

3587	• Early pandemic vigilance due to personal swine flu experience affecting media consumption.			
3588	• Pre-COVID insight into social media companies' anti-vax content moderation policies.			
3589	• Challenge of moderating patient support groups during pandemic information surge.			
3590	• Adaptation of patient advocacy communication to digital platforms.			
3591	• Strong criticism of "embryonic" government communication quality.			
3592	• Particular frustration with early mask messaging viewed as costing lives.			
3593	• Recognition of patient panic following government announcements.			
3594	• Role of patient advocacy groups in filling government communication gaps.			
3595	4.2.20 Aiste's Story A 25-29F Surgical Trainee of Lithuanian Origin			
3596	Aiste, a 25-29 year old female surgical trainee, shared valuable insights about how she felt a deep sense			
3597	of responsibility to correct people online about COVID related misinformation. Some of this was			
3598	rooted in feeling a sense of sympathy for the general public as she felt there was too much information			
3599	being shared about COVID for them to take in.			
3600	Misinformation on social media platforms, such as Facebook, emerged as a significant concern for			
3601	Aiste. She noted that inadequate management of misinformation led to fear and anxiety among the			
3602	public, ultimately affecting their decision-making and behaviour during the pandemic: <i>"I think a lot of</i>			

3603 this misinformation spreads a lot of fear amongst people".

3604 Aiste also stressed the importance of trusted sources of information, acknowledging that one's social

3605 media experience may depend on their network and educational background: "Your level of

3606 education, your immediate surrounding friends, so if you're in the medical field it's easy to get access

3607 to the right information that is trustworthy". Aiste felt, Government websites serve as an appropriate

- 3608 source of information for the general population: *"The government website, if it is kept up to date*
- 3609 appropriately would be the go to source for the general population, I think". However, Aiste also

3610 recognised the negative impact of misinformation via social media on public perception. She highlights

3611 the challenges faced in managing misinformation on social media platforms like Facebook: "It's very

3612 difficult, it's so difficult to manage all of it because there are so many people posting anything at any

3613 time, any point, and it doesn't have to be necessarily true, whatever they're sharing". The ease with

- 3614 which false information can spread creates a vicious cycle of misinformation that is difficult to combat:
- 3615 *"And it's like an ongoing chain of misinformation"*. Aiste also notes the negative reactions people may
- have when their beliefs are challenged, making it even more challenging to address misinformation:
- 3617 *"And then tracking back that information may be really hard and getting it checked, and people get*
- 3618 *angry if you challenge them on this*". This underlines the importance of creating effective strategies to
- 3619 counteract misinformation and promote accurate, reliable information on social media platforms.
- 3620 Medical professionals were identified as having a crucial role in mitigating the negative impact of
- 3621 misinformation on public health communication. Aiste emphasised the responsibility of medical
- 3622 professionals to set a good example in real life and on social media by providing evidence-based facts
- 3623 and engaging in civilised discussions: *"Show presence on social media as well and try and spread the*
- 3624 right information and if discussions take place just like give them the evidence, give them the facts and
- 3625 try and make sure that there is a civilised discussion going on".
- 3626 Direct experience with COVID-19 patients allowed Aiste to observe the challenges in decision-
- 3627 making, remote communication with families, and the impact of treatment trials. Her perception of
- 3628 government messages and international government approaches highlighted the importance of clear
- 3629 and consistent communication to maintain public trust: *"because the government was so strict about*
- 3630 *things, I think it had an impact on the general population's views".* Rule-breaking by government
- 3631 officials, on the other hand, was seen as detrimental to public confidence in medical professionals and
- authorities: "What first of all, what sort of people it's like you know we touched a little bit like you
- 3633 know government officials breaking certain rules definitely sets a very, very bad example".

3635	•	Strong sense of professional duty to correct COVID misinformation online.
3636	•	Empathy for public struggling with information overload.
3637	•	Recognition of educational background affecting access to reliable information.
3638	•	Frustration with difficulty in tracking and correcting viral misinformation.
3639	•	Emphasis on medical professionals role in social media fact-sharing.
3640	•	Challenge of maintaining civilised discussion when correcting false beliefs.
3641	•	Impact of government rule-breaking on public trust in medical authority.
3642	•	Focus on evidence-based communication to counter misinformation spread.

3643 4.3 Healthcare Professionals - Group Experiential Themes (GETs)

3644 Table 3: HCP GETs as derived from Personal Experiential Themes

4.2.20.1 PETs Derived from Aiste's Story

3634

Group Experiential Theme (GET)	Personal Experiential Themes (PETs)
Professional Identity and Media Responsibility	Professional duty to correct misinformation
	(Aiste)
	• Strong advocacy for frontline healthcare
	professionals' role in education (Pravin)
	• Tension between being informal medical
	advisor while feeling unqualified (Phoebe)
	• Professional commitment to verified
	information in patient communication (Simon)
	Complex navigation of professional
	responsibilities (Becky)
Evolution of Pandemic Perception	• Evolution from initial dismissal to serious
	concern (Agnieszka)

	• Journey from initial pandemic disbelief to
	frontline reality (Radha)
	• Evolution from initial pandemic scepticism to
	deep fear after personal experience (Becky)
	• Evolution from seeing COVID as health risk
	to viewing media as exaggerating (Martin)
	• Evolution of trust in different information
	sources during pandemic (Simon)
Strategic Information Management	Professional approach to information seeking
	through medical databases (Anjali)
	• Strategic use of Twitter for direct information
	(Agnieszka)
	• Strategic use of WhatsApp groups as news
	aggregator (Becky)
	• Strategic approach to media consumption,
	balancing BBC with expert Twitter (Louise)
	• Preference for work-based updates over news
	(Claudia)
Digital Communication Transformation	• Recognition of social media's transformative
	role in professional communication (Pravin)
	• Adaptation of patient advocacy
	communication to digital platforms (Peter)
	Recognition of global communication's
	unprecedented value (Owen)

	Challenge of moderating patient support
	groups during information surge (Peter)
	• Observation of rural elderly adapting to social
	media (Claire)
Professional Versus Public Understanding	• Concern about public confusion when even
	medical professionals uncertain (Pravin)
	• Recognition of privilege in accessing research
	journals (Hannah)
	Complex position as both medical student
	and frontline worker (Phoebe)
	• Dual perspective as healthcare professional
	and social media user (Radha)
	• Critical awareness of media bias while using as
	information source (Ivana)
Impact on Professional Practice	Professional isolation and feeling unsupported
	(Christina)
	• Exhaustion from managing misinformation in
	patient interactions (Christina)
	• Professional desensitization leading to news
	avoidance (Claudia)
	Professional concern about media's impact on
	mental health (Evelyn)
	• Impact of government rule-breaking on
	public trust in medical authority (Aiste)

Communication Clarity and Trust	• Strong advocacy for simple, clear messaging
	(Agnieszka)
	• Emphasis on transparency's role in building
	trust (Agnieszka)
	• Focus on evidence-based communication
	(Aiste)
	• Recognition of faith leaders' importance in
	building community trust (Pravin)
	• Support for honest communication of
	pandemic severity (Pravin)

3646 5.0 Results – General Public

This chapter presents the outcomes of 20 in-depth, semi-structured interviews conducted to explore lived experiences of the general public with media during the COVID-19 pandemic. In the same way as the interviews with healthcare professionals in Chapter 4.0, the results are structured around individual case summaries for each participant. Again, I manually applied an IPA methodology that enabled deep immersion in the idiographic details of each account to derive PETs and then GETs.

3652 5.1 Overview

As in the case of the healthcare professional interviews, I have carefully selected quotes that best represent the corpus of data for that specific participant. I made the deliberate decision to write up each participants experience individually as felt this was the best way to accurately represent their invaluable contributions to this research while also aligning to the phenomenological approach of this research (Alase 2017).

3658 Through presenting each case individually, like Chapter 4.0, I was able to maintain an idiographic

3659 focus, staying consistent to the essence of the IPA methodology and developing a deep understanding

3660 of each participant's lived experience, while also gaining multiple perspectives from the participants in

3661 order to explore this phenomena in a broad manner (McInally and Gray-Brunton 2021). This

3662 approach also facilitated cross-case analysis, enabling me to compare and contrast participants'

3663 experiences and identify commonalities and differences across cases, which was crucial for generating

3664 insights relevant to the broader complex phenomenon under study.

Table 4 provides a summary of the baseline characteristics of the participant group, offering a clear

3666 overview of the diverse backgrounds and experiences represented within the study. Note names have

3667 been replaced with pseudonyms.

#	Pseudonym	Nationality	Age & Sex	Occupation	Highest qualification
1	Graham	English	65-69 M	Retired researcher (molecular virology)	Masters
2	Chloe	English	25-29 F	Pharmaceutical Medical Affairs	Bachelors
3	Howard	English	70-74 M	Retired Research Chemist	Masters
4	Nadeem	Pakistani	50-54 M	Taxi Driver	A-levels
5	Margaret	English	50-54 M	Account director	Not specified
6	Nigel	English	45-49 M	Journalist/ News Presenter	Doctorate
7	Kenneth	English	65-69 M	Deputy Head Teacher	Post Graduate Certificate
8	Sakura	Japanese	30-34 F	Pharmacist Medical Affairs	Masters
9	Lena	German	30-34 F	Flight Attendant	Bachelors
10	Tariq	Indian	35-39 F	Business director & Hypnotist	Bachelors
11	Charles	British	35 - 39 M	Filmmaker	Bachelors
12	Marcia	Jamaican	40-44 F	Director at Big Pharmaceutical Company	Masters
13	Angela	British	50-54 F	Carer	Bachelors
14	Clifford	British	60-64 M	Comedian/Actor/Writer	Masters
15	Ritu	Indian	30-34 F	Lawyer	Masters
16	Kamran	Pakistani	25-29 M	Salesman	Masters
17	Sophie	British	35-39 F	Scientist & Pharmaceutical Professional	Masters
18	Ben	British	25-29 M	Medical Affairs – Pharmaceuticals	Bachelors
19	Alice	British	25-29 F	Strategy Director - UK Government	Bachelors
20	Maddison	Australian	25-29 F	Medical Affairs – Pharmaceuticals	Bachelors

3668 Table 4: Summary of General Public Participants' Baseline Characteristics

3669

3670 5.2 General Public Participant Summaries

3671 In this section I summarise general public participant's story, presenting an accurate representation of

3672 what I as the researcher considered the most significant and relevant aspects of their lived experience

3673 interacting with COVID-19 content and communication across various media. Throughout each

- 3674 summary representative quotes have been incorporated. At the end of each summary Personal
- 3675 Experiential Themes (PETs) are listed.

3676 5.2.1 Graham's Story | A 65-69M Retired Virologist of English Origin

3677 Graham, a 65-69 year old male retired research virologist, shared several thoughts around how media

3678 coverage of COVID impacted him, such as his reliance on trusted sources, the disappearance of

3679 certain scientists, and scepticism towards government claims. Graham also emphasised the importance

3680 of obtaining information from reputable sources examples he would class as such included BBC News

3681 whether that be across TV or Radio and the 'New Scientist' magazine.

3682 The disappearance of specific scientists from public discourse, such as Professor Sir Johnathan Van

3683 Tam, raised particular concerns for Graham. Graham highlighted a strong preference for front-line

3684 scientists, expressing that: "the front line scientists should actually be talking on TV and radio" to

3685 provide accurate and reliable information for members of the public. This was referring to the

3686 infamous press conference by Prof Van Tam following the Dominic Cummings 'Barnard Castle'

3687 debacle, where Prof Van Tam stated in his view the rules were clear and applied to everyone.

3688 Following this he was then not picked to speak at government press conferences for a significant

3689 period thereafter. Something which was of great concern to Graham.

3690 Government messaging was also discussed, with Graham questioning the government's motivations for

3691 promoting certain personal protective measures. Despite taking his own precautions, such as

- 3692 maintaining at least two meters of distance from others, Graham expressed scepticism towards the
- 3693 government's opening up claims, saying: "I think the most important thing is space to be honest and I

3694 *just gave people a wide berth. At least two meters*".

- 165 -

- 3695 Polarisation and the resistance to change people's opinions were acknowledged as significant
- 3696 challenges, drawing parallels to the Brexit debate. Graham was particularly frank in expressing distrust
- 3697 in Chinese reporting, specifically related to the COVID-19 pandemic, and raised concerns about the
- 3698 possibility of an accidental escape from the Wuhan laboratory.
- 3699 Graham was quite dismissive of celebrity influence as he believed that celebrities lacked sufficient
- 3700 knowledge and credibility to provide reliable advice on the matter. Instead, Graham much preferred
- and appreciated clear communication from authorities, such as the: *"hands, face, and space"* slogan,
- which he felt was successful and easily understood by the public.
- 3703 Graham did feel there were: "elements of scientists falling into line with government messaging", yet
- also criticised celebrities for: *"spouting what they have been told to say"*.
- 3705 He was particularly critical of: *"follow the science"* as a slogan feeling it was: *"a misnomer, as what the* 3706 *government mean is they are listening to the science but then have to balance with all other issues"*
- e.g., the economy and maintaining public order. Graham also felt there were members of the public
 with fixed beliefs around topics such as vaccines not working, and regardless of what you say, this view
 cannot be changed.
- 3710 In conclusion, Graham's experience of media coverage of COVID-19 emphasised the importance of
- 3711 relying on trusted sources, prioritising information from front-line scientists, and fostering clear
- 3712 communication.
- 3713 5.2.1.1 PETs Derived from Graham's Story
- Evolution of social media dependence during pandemic for news and connection.
- Initial pandemic scepticism transformed by family pressure about health risks.
- Generational observation of 20-30 year olds preferring social media news sources.
- Critical awareness of media bias while still using it as information source.
- Recognition of native country's corruption influencing vaccine scepticism.

- 3719
- Criticism of UK government's unclear messaging leading to panic buying.
- Experience of pandemic fatigue affecting information engagement.
- Support for age-targeted messaging including social media platform selection.
- 3722 5.2.2 Chloe's Story | A 24-29F Pharmaceutical Professional of English Origin
- 3723 In the early stages of the pandemic Chloe, a 24-29 year old female pharmaceutical professional, took a
- 3724 very relaxed approach: "I can remember going out with a bunch of girlfriends the night before the
- 3725 *lockdown announcement, without a care in the world*". Although vaguely aware of COVID-19 in
- 3726 China she initially thought of it as a very much: *"Them, rather than us, issue"*.
- 3727 This changed pretty soon into the pandemic, where Chloe noted the intensity and lack of variety in
- 3728 pandemic news, stating that it was: *"unlike anything"* she had ever experienced. This: *"overwhelming*"
- 3729 *nature* "was exacerbated due to the lack of variety, everything was COVID-19 related. She adds: *"We*
- 3730 have all got very used to this constant news and 24 hour access to everything around the world, but I
- 3731 think the difference has been there has been no news except pandemic news for the last 18 months".
- 3732 Chloe went on to make the important point around the difficulty in fact-checking when being
- 3733 constantly bombarded with messages from various sources. It was something she felt was just:
- 3734 *"Incompatible with the way news is broadcast nowadays".* Chloe also expressed concern that news
- 3735 sources often focused on negative aspects, contributing to misinformation and paranoia.
- As a young, new media native Chloe admits she listens to news more than reading it. In her view
- 3737 media played a significant role in implementing lockdowns, acknowledging that mainstream media was
- 3738 used to communicate guidelines in a generally positive manner. However, she also believed that social
- 3739 media contributed to spreading paranoia and misinformation, with some individuals capitalising on the
- attention in order to spread fake news, and further their own individual profile. Chloe explained: *"The*
- 3741 fact that anybody has a platform to spread information is a great thing, but it makes it impossible to
- 3742 monitor. So, you've almost got to move away from the source of news and focus your efforts on the

- people digesting the news and try to support them to do so in a constructive way and decide for
 themselves what is useful and what is not".
- 3745 In terms of personal experience, Chloe recounted her initial exposure to the pandemic through more
- 3746 niche sources like 'The Economist' and seeing COVID as: "a bit of a far flung scientific curiosity that
- 3747 *wouldn't have affected us"*. Chloe's perception of the pandemic's severity evolved over time as she
- 3748 watched the daily press conferences and began to grasp the magnitude of the situation.
- 3749 The role of social media in pandemic communication was evident in Chloe's experience, as she
- 3750 observed friends posting about the seriousness of the situation. She was quite shocked to realise such a
- 3751 limited scientific understanding among her peers: *"who were quite bright girls"*. As she continued to
- 3752 observe she witnessed her friends' paranoia and reliance on 'advice' shared on platforms like
- 3753 WhatsApp: "I watched the WhatsApp group, literally hundreds of messages every day giving very
- 3754 *dubious advice to each other*".
- 3755 As a result, Chloe expressed mistrust in social media, opting for alternative sources such as: "podcasts
- 3756 from New Scientist, The Economist, and The Guardian". She appreciated these sources for providing
- a more comprehensive and informative perspective on the pandemic, while also addressing:
- 3758 *"intriguing possibilities and conspiracy theories"* but in a more balanced and substantiated manner.
- 3759 That being said Chloe had a self-awareness of how she perceived and interacted with her preferred
- 3760 sources: "I trust these sources so much, that I take what they say as gospel, so things like the New
- 3761 Scientist or Economist if I read a good piece I am sold".
- 3762 While on one level Chloe felt social media has helped a lot, as never before have we been able to have
- a global picture of the pandemic, she also mentioned how she would judge friends' behaviours
- 3764 depending on their preferred news source: "I think a couple of friends who were changing their
- 3765 behaviours earlier were the ones that I tend to associate with being quite paranoid and love click bait
- areas or reading The Daily Mail and so I think that almost validated for me that they were the ones
- 3767 *panicking*".

- 3768 Chloe was quite candid, discussing both denial and delayed behaviour change, influenced by friends'
- 3769 opinions and behaviours, as well as her family's scepticism towards the pandemic. She also mentioned
- 3770 high levels of personal trust placed in scientific reports and the importance of understanding virus
- 3771 dynamics to make informed decisions.
- 3772 Increased media consumption was another aspect of Chloe's experience during the pandemic, even if
- 3773 they did not fully understand some elements of the situation. Chloe felt: *"Catchy public health*
- 3774 *messaging, such as "hands, face, space"* were appreciated by less scientifically literate peers who found
- 3775 it: *"memorable and effective"*.
- 3776 Lastly, Chloe commented on rule-breaking and shaming, which she believed had both negative and
- 3777 positive impacts on the public health response. Chloe was also vocal about wishing to see a more
- 3778 positive approach to mainstream media news reporting: "I think it's so rare for the mainstream media
- 3779 outlets to focus on positive or constructive news because negativity sells". However, Chloe was realistic
- 3780 this was unlikely given people have short attention spans, and many crave clickbait.
- 3781 5.2.2.1 PETs Derived from Chloe's Story
- 3782 Evolution from seeing pandemic as "them not us" issue to full awareness. • 3783 Recognition of unprecedented single-topic news coverage creating overwhelm. 3784 Observation of friends' pandemic behaviour correlating with news source choices. 3785 Self-awareness about uncritical trust in preferred news sources. 3786 Preference for audio news consumption reflecting young media consumer habits. • 3787 Critical view of social media enabling unqualified voices to spread misinformation. 3788 Appreciation for simple public health messaging helping less scientific peers. 3789 Complex relationship with media driving both global awareness and paranoia.

3790 5.2.3 Howard's Story | A 70-74M Retired Research Chemist of English Origin

- 3791 Howard a 70-74 year old male retired research chemist, shared several examples, providing insights
- into his lived experience and perception of the media environment surrounding the COVID-19

pandemic. He was clearly made to feel quite nervous and anxious through the whole experience. The

- 3794 first was a deep sense of uncertainty leading to panic, as Howard expressed feeling overwhelmed by
- 3795 the rapidly changing environment: *"I got really, really panicky you know"*. He further stated: *"There's*
- 3796 so much [information] I don't know what to believe "indicating an ongoing struggle to understand and
- 3797 process the speed and volume of information surrounding him.
- 3798 Misinformation and fake news emerged as another theme, with Howard admitting to being: *"taken in*
- 3799 *by fake videos*". He expressed frustration with the abundance of misinformation, again stating that it
- 3800 contributes to panic: "I started panicking with the media [covering] things like that, I got a bit panicky".
- 3801 Howard was clear in attributing what had not helped with regards to his panicked disposition the
- 3802 media: "All these people are dying in hospital, and you hear about the care homes crisis. The media
- 3803 has not helped, they've gone over the top with it, they're getting people to panic so I think everybody's
- 3804 panicked recently over what's been happening".
- 3805 In fact, Howard reminisced how the briefings reminded him of previous military briefings when we
- 3806 were at war: "It was like, when the Iraq war was on and George Bush Sr used to have a meeting every
- 3807 day with a General, there was a podium with the President and a General in a military uniform,
- 3808 frightening it was... It was the same during the Falklands. When that [COVID-19] happened, you had
- 3809 people coming on every day giving a daily [briefing]. It was like we were at war".
- 3810 Howard also shared his views on government and media communication, expressing scepticism about
- 3811 the transparency of the government: *"I don't think the government's been upfront they're holding*"
- 3812 *things back".* He mentioned having greater trust in the deputy chief medical officer and deputy chief
- 3813 scientific officer, compared to mainstream media sources like the BBC: "You've got Boris Johnson in

- 3814 the middle, and you've got the Chief Scientific Officer and the Chief Medical Officer. The person I
- 3815 trust better is the Deputy Chief Scientific Officer, Professor Van Tam. He seemed to be more
- amenable to questions". He also felt: "Sky seem to be interrogating better than the BBC do... The
- 3817 BBC seems more biased with the government".
- 3818 Personal experiences and observations shaped Howard's perceptions, with daily encounters
- 3819 influencing his understanding of the situation: "*I'm seeing people will carry face masks now*". He
- expressed frustration with some of the publics' behaviours, calling them: *"ludicrous"* for not engaging
 or following advice.
- 3822 Trust in sources of information was another theme, with Howard asserting the importance of expert
- 3823 opinion: "When it comes to keeping my loved ones safe I'm most likely to listen to an expert,
- 3824 *obviously*". As a scientist himself, he expressed scepticism but still valued reliable information.
- 3825 The impact of social media and online information was also discussed, as Howard noted that
- 3826 misinformation often spread through platforms like Facebook and Twitter. Mixed messaging causing
- 3827 confusion was another significant theme, with Howard pointing out that inconsistencies in world
- 3828 leaders' behaviour contributed to the confusion. Howard cited examples both sides of the Atlantic
- 3829 including the Prime Minister's insistence on handshaking during the early stages of the pandemic: "If
- 3830 *you see the Prime Minister shaking hands, you just assume it's okay"*. While also specifically
- 3831 mentioning dubious advice given out by the President of The United States: *"[Trump] should have*
- 3832 had a scientific advisor with him. He obviously didn't take scientific advice... Any scientific advisor
- 3833 wouldn't have told him to say 'inject yourself with bleach'... It must have come out of his own head".
- 3834 5.2.3.1 PETs Derived from Howard's Story
- Strong anxiety reaction to media coverage creating sense of panic.
- Comparison of COVID briefings to wartime military communications.
- Personal struggle with information overload and identifying reliable sources.

- 3838 Greater trust in deputy medical officers than political leaders. 3839 Recognition of being susceptible to fake videos despite scientific background. 3840 Critical comparison of different news outlets' government scrutiny. 3841 Frustration with inconsistent leadership behaviour undermining health messages. 3842 Impact of international leaders' unscientific statements on public trust • 3843 5.2.4 Nadeem's Story | A 50-54M Taxi Driver of Pakistani Origin 3844 Nadeem a 50-54-year-old male taxi driver, expressed his initial disbelief regarding the COVID-19 3845 pandemic, stating in the beginning, it seemed like just a rumour: "*I'll be very honest I didn't really* 3846 believe it because, when it started, like before we had some cases in England, I heard that something 3847 started in China so for the first couple of days it was just a rumour that something is coming". Nadeem 3848 reflected on a situation, with the tests (for COVID-19) starting: "News just shot up so quickly". He also 3849 mentioned that there were mixed reactions among his customers, with some believing it would 3850 eventually affect England, while others thought it would not reach our shores. 3851 As the pandemic unfolded, he noted unclear information from the media and government, which 3852 likely contributed to the public's confusion: "Everyone, I think, at the very beginning, the information 3853 wasn't that clear. On media no clear guideline from the government as well and that's that probably 3854was the reason because everybody was going to do their own things every day". Despite the lack of 3855 clear information, Nadeem took personal precautions to protect himself and his passengers, such as 3856 providing masks and sanitiser, and stopping work before the official lockdown was announced. Given 3857 Nadeem's public facing role this provided him with another avenue to receive pandemic related 3858 information he got the following advice from a customer: "It's best when somebody sits in, keep the 3859 windows open, more ventilation with air in and out means you have less chance to catch something". 3860 This was information he acted upon before hearing it in the media or via government channels.
- 3861 Nadeem relied on trusted media sources like the BBC for accurate information, as he had a: *"long*"
- 3862 *time history*" with them. The pandemic's perceived threat became all too real for him when he could

- not attend a family funeral due to the restrictions, which was: *"a bit of a shock for everybody"*. He
 acknowledged the ongoing presence of the virus and its variants, expressing concern that new strains
 might emerge every six months.
- 3866 He also observed negative public responses to government actions, with people questioning the logic
- 3867 of certain restrictions: "If we [one specific group] are not able to meet each other, why, are they
- 3868 *[another specific group] doing it and risking other people's lives"*. This highlights the difficulty of
- 3869 communicating the easing of lockdown restrictions, on a group by group basis.
- 3870 Nadeem emphasised the importance of clear communication from the government in order to better
- 3871 manage public sentiment and response to the pandemic: "I think public information was not very clear
- 3872 from the government and they could have acted a little bit more promptly".
- 3873 5.2.4.1 PETs Derived from Nadeem's Story
- Evolution from initial COVID disbelief as "just a rumour" to serious concern.
- Early adoption of safety measures before official guidance due to public-facing role.
- Learning practical safety measures from passengers before official channels.
- Trust in BBC based on long-term relationship with broadcaster.
- Personal impact of restrictions realised through inability to attend family funeral.
- Observation of public confusion over group-specific restriction changes.
- Value of practical experience in public-facing role informing pandemic response.
- Criticism of delayed and unclear government communication.
- 3882 5.2.5 Margaret's Story | a 50-54F Account Director of English Origin
- 3883 Margaret a 50-54 year old female account director shares her pandemic experience, focusing on her
- extensive consumption of both social and mainstream media to actively seek public health

- 3885 communication from various governments. She emphasises that every country has a unique situation,
- 3886 stating: "I think, every country is different... I think everyone has to have an individual approach". She
- 3887 believes seeking out information about different approaches: *"is hugely positive because there are*
- 3888 some things that will work that we could potentially, use here or could share with some other countries
- 3889 *that would be useful for them". She also finds the inconsistencies between countries can also lead to*
- 3890 confusion: "I think from a media perspective, it's confusing when you look at what Sweden does, and I
- 3891 don't really understand why that won't work here?".
- 3892 Margaret is notable for her proactive engagement with diverse media sources to better understand
- 3893 COVID-19 guidelines. She expresses difficulty in finding useful information, saying: *"I think it's been*
- 3894 quite difficult to get information that you find useful and that can help you determine whether you
- 3895 *want to take the risk to go to the shop or... send your children to school*". She feels that people are
- 3896 not given enough options to take charge of their lives and must rely on unclear guidance.
- 3897 Though aware of the rising number of cases, she is unsure about the potential impact of virus variants.
- 3898 Her main concern is obtaining reliable information, which she feels is scarce. This lack of control and
- 3899 guidance, combined with the uncertainty surrounding the pandemic, has significantly affected her
- 3900 personal decisions, such as allowing her children to attend social events. She primarily relies on social
- 3901 media to gauge her comfort level in these situations, saying: "my kids [going] to a party, for example".
- 3902 Her exposure to international media has led her to compare different government approaches, which
- has further confused her and diminished her trust in institutions and authorities. Consequently, she
- 3904 has adopted a more individualistic approach to risk management and decision-making: *"For instance,*
- 3905 right at the beginning of the pandemic I was talking to my husband about 'why we are sending our
- 3906 children into school, this is clearly a problem,' whereas the school are still booking trips to the
- 3907 transport museum, and I'm thinking 'well, I'm not okay with that.' I'm not comfortable with someone
- 3908 else having all the control and taking charge of something which is quite serious".

- 3909 Conversations with friends and social networks have exposed her to a range of pandemic perspectives,
- 3910 including conspiracy theories, which has led to increased anxiety and stress. For example, she recalls
- 3911 feeling overwhelmed by pandemic-related restrictions at a restaurant. She also questions the credibility
- 3912 of certain information sources and criticises their: *"lack of connection to reality"*.
- 3913 Margaret was quite strong in condemning sensationalism in the media, arguing that it detracts from
- 3914 other pressing global issues and exacerbates the challenges of navigating the pandemic. Referring to
- 3915 sensational headlines, she says: "Yes, in the newspapers that I don't read, and their headlines, and I
- 3916 don't respond to because I think it's ridiculous, and they put the fear of god into people and also
- 3917 distracts".
- 3918 As someone who sought several international media sources, while also condemning: "the
- 3919 sensationalism aspects of reporting" this clearly had an impact on Margaret: "I go through stages of
- 3920 *leaving the house fully masked and gloved up*" to thinking: *"I can't keep scaring my children any*
- 3921 *longer*". Margaret also described the effect of her demeanour on her children: *"The more panicked I*
- 3922 get about something the more panicked the children get. My 11 year old is permanently washing his
- 3923 hands, he walks around with antibacterial gel and is really quite germophobic, not wanting to play in
- 3924 the garden, it's quite debilitating to be honest".
- Margaret felt she was a smart person who did not need to be spoon fed information, stating she will
 look at government documents, appraise them and act on them. Equally she does appreciate the need
- 3927 that some people will need spoon feeding.
- 3928 Finally, Margaret expressed times where she was surprised by people, she had known for 30 or 40
- 3929 years and the positions they were taking on COVID. However not wanting to delve into the whys of
- 3930 their beliefs as she felt it would cause an argument; she likened the situation to religion a very emotive
- 3931 topic that is often not talked about.

Active seeking of international media perspectives on pandemic approaches. Struggle between wanting detailed information and finding it overwhelming. Personal conflict over institutional control versus individual decision-making. Impact of parental anxiety affecting children's behavioural responses. Evolution between extreme caution and desire to protect children from fear. Self-identification as capable of processing complex information while recognising other's needs.

- Observation of pandemic beliefs becoming taboo topic like religion.
- Frustration with sensationalist media distracting from other global issues.

3942 5.2.6 Nigel's Story | A 45-49M News Anchor of British Origin

5.2.5.1 PETs Derived from Margaret's Story

3932

- 3943 Nigel, a male news presenter aged 45-49, shared several of his thoughts concerning public health
- 3944 communication during a pandemic. Initially, both the media and much of the public reaction was calm
- and dismissive, as people thought the crisis was: *"a big fuss over nothing".* Nigel's attitude was
- 3946 influenced by comparisons to past health crises he had covered such as Ebola and SARS, which
- 3947 turned out to be not as serious as initially feared.
- 3948 Nigel emphasised the importance of proximity, noting that the situation became more real when
- 3949 deaths occurred nearby: "I think the big change for us was Italy when things absolutely went to shit.
- 3950 And that's obviously a country that a lot of us can relate to and isn't very far away... ... it's all about
- 3951 being relatable, isn't it? We do live in this global world, and we do global coverage, but it's still not,
- 3952 unless it gets a bit closer to home that you pay a great deal of attention".
- 3953 Preventive measures were not widely adopted until they were mandated, suggesting a slow adaptation
- 3954 process. As a news presenter, Nigel was keen to highlight and emphasise the importance of delivering
- 3955 clear and simple information to the public. Interestingly, Nigel felt the news conferences were **PR**

- 3956 exercises, with the presenter stating: "It's a PR exercise ultimately. The whole public questions thing is
- 3957 a total waste of time and the total PR exercise... It's nonsense, but it makes people feel better,

3958 *presumably, which is fine, but it doesn't actually achieve anything"*. Nigel also questioned the necessity

- 3959 of having specialist health reporters cover the pandemic.
- 3960 Different age groups reacted differently to the pandemic, and as a presenter he stressed the difficulty
- 3961 of tailoring media output to meet the needs of various demographics, due to the significant costs
- 3962 involved. Despite potential sensationalism and shocking headlines, Nigel argued that broadcast media
- has been largely responsible in its coverage due to strict regulations.
- 3964 Social media, however, was seen as a: *"complete disaster"* something he had thought for: *"a long time*
- 3965 before the pandemic [that social media was dangerous] but it was an issue that was amplified during
- 3966 *the pandemic*", given social media creates echo chambers, spreads conspiracy theories and
- 3967 misinformation: *"The anti vaxxers get so much traction because it's a closed room and anyone who*
- 3968 *disagrees with them isn't allowed in so they're not hearing any alternative views and that's very*
- 3969 dangerous". Nigel stressed the importance of relying on trusted sources and clear messaging, such as
- 3970 memorable slogans like: *"hands, face, space"* which make it palatable for audiences potentially not
- 3971 otherwise interested.
- 3972 Confusion in messaging and rules contributed to difficulties in public health communication, the
- 3973 presenter also noted the importance of community support during the pandemic. When talking about
- 3974 contrasting messaging Nigel admits to it becoming totally confusing towards the end of 2020. When
- 3975 people used to ask him what we can do he would reply he had no idea. One example cited was the
- 3976 constantly changing traffic light system for travel he went on to say he didn't know whether those
- 3977 decisions were right or wrong, but it just caused confusion and he wasn't sure how to avoid it: "you
- 3978 either let things go back to normal or continue with caution and locking down".
- 3979 Nigel discussed the negative impact of social media on information dissemination and the content on
- 3980 those channels when compared with mainstream media. Clarity in communication, the importance of

- 3981 facts and expert opinions, and the role of mainstream media were all highlighted as crucial aspects of
- 3982 public health communication during a pandemic: *"It's all about facts, there is a great responsibility*"
- 3983 when people are tuning in to find out what the hell is going on. You could create a panic. It's all about
- 3984 *delivery it has to be calm and considered".* Nigel saw his role as a news anchor and that of fellow
- 3985 professionals in the industry to: *"translate information for people down the pub so it's simple and clear*
- 3986 while also challenging contributors in order to facilitate clarity".
- 3987 The influence of politics on public health communication was acknowledged, with Nigel suggesting

3988 that politicians should prioritise protecting the public over playing politics. Adapting to different

- audiences and subsets posed a challenge, but the importance of admitting uncertainty in a public
- 3990 health crisis was emphasised as key to effective communication.
- 3991 Nigel concluded his interview with the following advice for those communicating to the public in
- 3992 pandemic situations: "Clarity is the big thing, even if clarity is only saying we don't know". He went on
- to say this maybe down to media training or a lack thereof, but it would be particularly useful for
- 3994 politicians who feel their obliged to provide an answer, even if they fudge it. On the point of
- 3995 politicians, the news presenter was keen to emphasise how very important the use of language is in
- 3996 such pandemic situations citing Trump: "I mean calling it the 'China virus' just makes division worse".
- 3997 5.2.6.1 PETs Derived from Nigel's Story
- Evolution from initial dismissal based on prior health crisis coverage experience.
- Recognition of proximity effect making pandemic "real" through Italy crisis.
- Strong criticism of press conferences as **PR** exercises rather than information tools.
- View of social media as "complete disaster" creating dangerous echo chambers.
- Professional insight into challenge of delivering clear information to diverse audiences.
- Personal confusion over constantly changing rules despite media insider position.
- Emphasis on "facts first" approach in mainstream media coverage.

4005

• Recognition that admitting uncertainty is key to effective pandemic communication.

4006 5.2.7 Kenneth's Story | A 65-69M Deputy Head Teacher of English Origin

4007 Kenneth, a 65-69 year old male deputy head teacher, discussed his perceptions of the pandemic and

4008 its handling by authorities. He expressed a general mistrust towards politicians, and other people in

4009 authority. Kenneth talked openly and was particularly concerned about the increasing reliance on

- 4010 behavioural science in public health communication, stating: *"this whole business of behavioural*
- 4011 science has been adopted by governments increasingly... and also the use of language and how

4012 language can get people to respond in a way that a government wants".

4013 Kenneth's personal experiences, such as having a daughter on the front line, influenced his perception

4014 of the pandemic's severity. He observed public fear and confusion, suggesting: "just a little drop of

4015 uncertainty into the cocktail is very destabilising". He also compared different countries' approaches,

4016 mentioning Sweden as an example, while acknowledging the prevalence of myths and misinformation.

4017 Kenneth criticised the government's chaotic strategies and questioned possible hidden agendas, stating:

4018 *"I think this government is chaotic and therefore you can't necessarily rely on them to choose"*

- 4019 *strategies which are appropriate*". He recognised public compliance with pandemic restrictions but
- 4020 also noted resistance, particularly to vaccines.
- 4021 The impact of media and information exposure on public perception was evident in his statement:

4022 "the more I read the more confused I become". He highlighted the pandemic's effects on mental

- 4023 health, citing personal examples of stress experienced by his daughter and a colleague.
- 4024 Regarding the virus's origins, he was aware of the suspicions but did not subscribe to conspiracy
- 4025 theories. He expressed trust in reputable journalism sources, such as: "The Financial Times, New
- 4026 York Times, London Times, and the BBC". While he trusted the World Health Organisation to
- 4027 some extent, he was unsure about the direction of their investigations.

Kenneth emphasised the importance of public adherence to pandemic restrictions, sharing anecdotes
of both compliance and disobedience. His insights provide a valuable perspective on the varied
experiences people have had throughout the pandemic, regardless of the sources of media they
consume, with Kenneth focussing exclusively on sources from reputable journalists.

4032

5.2.7.1 PETs Derived from Kenneth's Story

- Strong scepticism of behavioural science use in government messaging.
- Personal connection to frontline worker (daughter) informing pandemic views.
- Recognition of uncertainty as destabilising force in public response.
- Critical view of government chaos preventing appropriate strategy selection.
- Experience of information overload leading to increased confusion.
- Trust limited to traditional reputable journalism sources.
- Observation of compliance variations despite inconsistent messaging.
- Concern about hidden agendas in pandemic management strategies.

4041 5.2.8 Sakura's Story | A 30-34F Pharmaceutical Professional of Japanese Origin

- 4042 Sakura a 30-34 year old female pharmaceutical professional, relied on multiple platforms for
- 4043 information during the pandemic, stating: *"There were loads of posts on LinkedIn, Facebook and*
- 4044 Instagram I was getting things via push notifications on my phone". Sakura initially believed that the
- 4045 coronavirus situation would: *"blow over and not be too severe"*. However, her perceptions changed
- 4046 within two weeks as she recognised the seriousness of the pandemic: "Okay, this is serious, you know
- 4047 people are actually dying, they actually calling it a pandemic".
- 4048 Sakura noted a distinct lack of professional education and communication among healthcare
- 4049 professionals: "It was more like guessing, we don't know anything from the government, we think it's
- 4050 this or this might come about because of this, it was kind of just assumptions, there was no proper
- 4051 *training that was that was given out"*. This lack of reliable information, coupled with conflicting views
- 4052 among healthcare professionals, led some to believe in conspiracy theories and regard the pandemic as
- 4053 a: *"political game"*. Public perception of fairness was influenced by the lockdown measures, with some
- 4054 people feeling that the economic consequences for younger individuals and families were not justified
- 4055 in order to save older or more vulnerable populations. Sakura acknowledged the importance of
- 4056 flattening the curve and achieving herd immunity through vaccination: "*I just remember that*
- 4057 statement, to flatten the curve, you know, the more people get immune to the disease, then you would
- 4058 get eventually herd immunity in a population".
- 4059 Sakura's trust in sources of information varied, expressing some confidence in BBC News but also
- 4060 criticising the potentially misleading nature of their data presentations: "I don't know about of some of
- 4061 the graphs, I remember, they did present seemed a bit misleading. I remember speaking to some
- 4062 *colleagues about it*". Reflecting on the overall preparedness for the pandemic, she felt that the UK was
- 4063 unprepared for such an outbreak: "I don't think we were prepared for anything like this, especially in a
- 4064 *country like the UK*". However, she believed that future pandemics would be met with a greater sense
- 4065 of preparedness: "I think an outbreak like this could happen, but I think we would feel more
- 4066 prepared".
- 4067 5.2.8.1 PETs Derived from Sakura's Story
- Reliance on multiple social platforms with push notifications for updates.
- Evolution from initial dismissal to recognition of pandemic severity.
- Frustration with lack of proper healthcare professional training and guidance.
- Observation of conspiracy theories emerging among healthcare colleagues.
- Recognition of intergenerational tension over lockdown economic impact.
- Critical view of BBC data presentation despite general trust in source.
- Reflection on UK's lack of pandemic preparedness.
- Optimism about future pandemic response based on learned experience.

4076 5.2.9 Lena's Story | A 30-34F Flight Attendant of German Origin

- 4077 Lena, a 30-34 year old female flight attendant, revealed several themes related to anxiety,
- 4078 misinformation, and the need for clear communication in the context of the ongoing pandemic. She
- 4079 also shared her coping strategy and emphasised the importance of carefully selecting media sources in
- 4080 order to alleviate anxiety, stating: "You need to pick the sources of media wisely... I'm not following
- 4081 the media on a daily basis, to be honest with you, it saved me a lot of anxiety".
- 4082 Misinformation and sensationalism were identified as problematic, with Lena sharing an anecdote
- 4083 about a flight attendant colleague's behaviour who believed the virus could be transmitted via water
- 4084 saying: "I've heard that the virus can be transmitted via water from your shower, so I won't be
- 4085 showering and need 6 large bottles of water (from the flights supplies for crew) to keep clean". Lena
- 4086 also mentioned that people tend to believe everything they read without questioning, especially if it
- 4087 comes from sensational media outlets like: *"The Daily Mail or its equivalent in Germany"*.
- 4088 To combat misinformation, the Lena discussed the importance of seeking information from trusted
- 4089 sources, such as the BBC News, which she described as: *"the source that I would trust"*. She also
- 4090 emphasised the value of consulting trusted individuals, saving: "I would ask a partner, a good friend,
- 4091 *that I trust, that I can ask a stupid question*". However, she also acknowledged the influence of
- 4092 conspiracy theories, admitting that she knows: *"a few people who are conspiracy theorists"*, who make
- 4093 claims without any scientific knowledge behind them.
- 4094 Lena underscored the importance of trusting experts and relying on mainstream media for
- 4095 information while maintaining a healthy scepticism towards various viewpoints. Lena's partner who was
- 4096 in the same room, commented on this mentioning his scepticism over people's views and observed
- 4097 that: "a lot of people say to me, listen to experts, but really they are subconsciously listening to this,
- 4098 excuse my French, shit".

4099 In terms of public health guidelines, Lena expressed her awareness of general advice to keep distance

4100 and work from home if possible, describing the guidelines as: *"Keep distance and stay at home... work*

4101 *from home if you can work from home"*. However, she also noted her difficulty in recalling the names

- 4102 of public health officials and assessing the trustworthiness of public figures, referring to one as: *"she*
- 4103 *doesn't have the best charisma, so not a very trustworthy person".*
- 4104 The impact of social media misinformation on society was evident in her observation of friends with
- 4105 strong opinions despite lacking medical or scientific expertise: *"Some of my friends have very, very*
- 4106 strong opinions and without even being a doctor or scientist, they make strong statements about it,
- 4107 which might split society".
- 4108 Lena ultimately stressed the significance of clear communication between experts and the public,
- 4109 highlighting the need for a: *"connector between the people and the medical world"*. Overall, her
- 4110 insights emphasised the challenges posed by anxiety, misinformation, and communication during the
- 4111 pandemic and the necessity of continually fostering trust and clarity in public health messaging.
- 4112 5.2.9.1 PETs Derived from Lena's Story

4113	٠	Strategic limitation of media consumption to manage personal anxiety.
4114	•	Witness to extreme colleague behaviour based on water transmission misinformation.
4115	•	Recognition of sensationalist media's role in spreading misinformation.
4116	•	Strong preference for BBC News as trusted information source.
4117	•	Observation of friends making strong claims without scientific expertise.
4118	•	Partner's scepticism about people claiming to "listen to experts".
4119	•	Difficulty assessing trustworthiness based on public figure charisma.
4120	•	Emphasis on need for "connector" between medical experts and public.

4121 5.2.10 Tariq's Story | A 35-39M Businessman & Hypnotist of Indian Origin

4122 Tariq is a 35 to 39 year old male businessman and hypnotist, who owns a health food shop. Reflecting

4123 on his experiences during lockdown as: *"a good time"* given he was able to spend more time with his

4124 family while also being able to keep his business open to the public. It was that regular contact with his

4125 customers during lockdown that formed a substantial part of his thoughts around media consumption

- 4126 during COVID. In his line of business Tariq believes he saw a more unspoken result of the
- 4127 government's response to the pandemic than the physical health risks that were predominantly focused
- 4128 upon- mental health risks, particularly prevalent in his body conscious customers: *"two of my*
- 4129 customers... over the last 12 months have actually killed themselves". He goes on to say: "the biggest
- 4130 killer [off the pandemic] isn't going to be COVID it's going to be the subconscious mind [with media

4131 *playing a significant role]"*. He blames stress-induced cortisol that weakens the body's immune system,

- 4132 and from his perspective the stress is a direct result of media consumption during the lockdowns. He
- 4133 sees two main reasons for this. Firstly, an image-obsessed society who have nothing else to do during

4134 lockdowns but stay in and judge themselves against other people's social media profiles: *"They live for*

- 4135 the likes and going out, going to the gym [but] now they're not being able to do that".
- 4136 The role of social media as a source of misinformation was highlighted, with the gentleman explaining
- 4137 that people: *"just don't know who is right, who is wrong"*. This mistrust extends to government and
- 4138 institutions, with people questioning the motives behind their actions. Public health communication
- 4139 was further discussed, with the rise influence of alternative voices, such as Russell Brand, gaining
- 4140 traction over more traditional sources of information: "Russell Brand. He's massive on the whole
- 4141 conspiracy theory and he knows a lot of people are taking his advice now rather from Boris
- 4142 *[Johnson]*". This was a very clear example of how mistrust in mainstream media has grown, with
- 4143 people questioning the news and turning to alternative sources of information.
- 4144 Tariq was clearly affected and concerned by the mental health impact of the pandemic driven by social
- 4145 media. Tariq also expressed concerns around social media, its adverse impact on mental health, and

- 4146 the fact many people are: "always on it [social media]" and "exposed to so much negativity". Tariq also
- 4147 reflected on the contemporary culture of people: "continuously craving click bait". Something which
- 4148 led to: "A constant stream of conflicting views". He went on to state this makes things so hard to trust
- 4149 anyone whether that be in the online or physical world. Tariq's access to a broad spectrum of opinion
- 4150 for social media, led to him deciding to choose what he decides to listen to when it came to
- 4151 government messaging: "what social media has done it's given everyone a voice - and there's so much
- 4152 false news out there you just don't know who is right [and] who is wrong".
- 4153Tariq went on to define the constant barrage of negativity people received from all media outlets -
- 4154 social media, news, and official government messaging. "[Facebook] used to be a form of escapism ...
- 4155 but now it's like: NHS, Government, NHS". He sees the same problem with: "turning on Sky
- 4156[News]... and being bombarded with negativity".
- 4157It's got to the point where he has hidden any posts related to the NHS and Government, and he says
- 4158

that people he know have started to abandon Facebook as well. Tariq summarised with: "Historically,

- 4159we used to use the internet to escape the real world. But now we do out into the real world to escape
- 4160the internet".
- 4161 Despite social media leading to significant stress and despair that Tariq has seen among his customers
- 4162and social group, he sees it as a positive in terms of enabling the public to make up their own minds:
- 4163 "Twenty years ago, we had no voice. We had TV, we had radio. Now, we can type out a big essay on
- 4164 *Twitter. Tweet Boris, tweet Dr Ranj*" and not only make our opinions heard but decide which
- 4165 opinions to listen to. Tariq feels: "You have to get everyone's point of view. Sometimes even experts
- 4166are wrong... They're only listening to other experts".
- 4167 Tariq shared some interesting comments on his views about news: "Tve not watched the news since
- 41682013... before it all. And I've been the happiest. I'm always full of energy... But obviously COVID
- 4169*came [and] you can't avoid it*". Tariq found some of his colleagues and friends started thinking the
- 4170 same: "A lot of people now started the question the news as well... Thinking 'is this real?' Because

- 4171 *there's different stories going about. Are the death rates actually real?*". This wasn't the case from the
- 4172 start and something that took time to develop: *"In the first couple of months, it was 'okay, let's [stay]*

4173 quiet, there's something going on' but eventually humans always want to ask why".

- 4174 Because of the factors discussed, Tariq is still to have the vaccine, which has led to some tension within
- 4175 his family especially since his brother was on the vulnerable list and received the vaccine early. Tariq
- 4176 justifies this: "I'm not anti-vax, I'm pro-common sense", he says: "I'd rather take my time [to
- 4177 *research]"*.
- 4178 5.2.10.1 PETs Derived from Tariq's Story
- Recognition of media's role in pandemic mental health impact through customer suicides.
- Connection between social media consumption and stress-induced health impacts.
- Observation of shift from internet as escape to real world becoming escape.
- Strategic avoidance of news media since 2013 for personal wellbeing.
- Evolution of public trust from initial acceptance to questioning official narratives.
- View of social media as double-edged sword causing stress while enabling voice.
- Complex family dynamics around vaccine decisions.
- Perspective as business owner witnessing unspoken mental health pandemic.

4187 5.2.11 Charles's Story | A 35-39M Filmmaker of British Origin

4188 Charles, a 35 to 39 year old filmmaker and cinema worker, could not properly remember when he

- 4189 first heard about the pandemic: *"I can't properly clearly remember [when I first heard] because I*
- 4190 wasn't taking it in. It was just another news story".
- 4191 He initially did not perceive the pandemic as a major concern, recalling that it was just one of many
- 4192 topics he discussed with colleagues in the staff room at work at work. Charles gave an example: "I
- 4193 guess I wasn't taking it seriously at all then, because I remember I tore a customer's ticket, coughed
- 4194 into my elbow and then handed it back and I remember the customer took a step back and the

- 4195 colleague I was with remarked how I really freaked out that customer". Reflecting on that experience
- 4196 Charles remembered thinking: "Oh yeah we're not supposed to be coughing I guess coughing might
- 4197 symbolise something and obviously it did for the rest of the year".
- 4198 There was also a distinct lack of clarity from his seniors in the early days of the pandemic: "*There was*
- 4199 a point just before we properly locked down. I remember the manager just handing whoever was on
- 4200 shift some sanitizer and some j-cloths and saying, 'just make sure we're sanitizing and you're doing it
- 4201 quite often in front of the customers, just so they know we're doing something,' to put their minds at
- 4202 *case*".
- 4203 Charles also reflected on the initial messaging around hand washing and masks: *"I remember for the*
- 4204 first month or so of the actual lockdown there wasn't really a big thing about masks, it wasn't
- 4205 compulsory. It was all about washing your hands. I guess at the time they [the experts] didn't know
- 4206 enough about it. Also, I imagine behind the scenes they didn't want the optics of making everyone in
- 4207 the country wear masks. It's a bit Orwellian, as the phrase is now".
- 4208 Charles shared his media consumption was mainly through The Guardian and Twitter, but he also
- 4209 checked The Daily Mail to: *"keep up with a variety of perspectives"*. He noted a political divide,
- 4210 stating that people on the left were often quick to criticise the government's response to the pandemic,
- 4211 and that social media was driving polarisation. He argued that, as a child growing up in the 90's, there
- 4212 was more room for nuanced debate, and attributes the explosion of social media as significant in
- 4213 driving this societal change.
- 4214 Charles discussed the role of mainstream media and the influence of social media, asserting that
- 4215 everything had become politicised due to the extreme nature of online discourse. He believed that the
- 4216 pandemic and the response to it had been politicised as well, with people forced to: *"pick a side"*. He
- 4217 also mentioned the presence of bias in the media, stating that some outlets clearly had an agenda.

4218 Charles felt the initial public perception of the pandemic's limited impact on England led to a delayed

4219 response, and he expressed doubts about the necessity of lockdown measures. Charles wondered what

4220 would have happened if the government had taken a less extreme stance, treating the virus as a: *"new*

4221 *kind of flu type thing*". He mentioned that the people he knew who contracted COVID-19

4222 experienced relatively mild symptoms, which caused a degree of cognitive dissonance given the

4223 severity of reporting at the time.

4224 Charles expressed compliance with lockdown measures, stating that he was willing to follow guidelines:

4225 *"if that's what they are telling me to do, fine I'll go along with it"*, even though he may have had

4226 reservations. He discussed the impact of the pandemic on businesses, using the example of the

4227 delayed James Bond film release, which signalled the severity of the situation to him.

4228 He observed that public reactions to lockdown were initially positive, even with some people

4229 potentially excited about the novelty of the experience: "people were kind of quite excited about it... it

4230 was a new thing wasn't it and everyone thought... it was kind of novel". However, he admitted that he

4231 was unaware of the possibility of a national lockdown until the day it was announced.

4232 Acknowledging the influence of mainstream media in shaping public opinion, Charles shared

4233 concerns about government control and potential authoritarianism, stating: *"it's not up to the*

4234 government to take our freedom to decide when they get to give it back to us". He also expressed his

4235 fears about societal collapse and the erosion of freedoms: "This is kind of a nightmare I've always

4236 been worried about..... some kind of more authoritarian dictatorship was going to come into place,

4237 and this is maybe how it starts". He also reflected on the role of social media in creating information

4238 overload and desensitising people to news events: *"When you look at your phone, you look on the*

4239 internet... and it's just white noise and all these different kinds of shit happening, so you just block it

4240 *out*". He hypothesised such use of media leads to a lack of political accountability in situations such as

4241 the handling of the COVID-19 pandemic.

4242 Charles pointed out that the democratisation of news has provided a variety of perspectives, but the 4243 rapid cyclical flow of content can make concentrating on one topic difficult, due to the pervasive 4244 nature of the internet. His interview highlights the overwhelming and constant flow of information in 4245 today's world, creating a: "white noise" that makes it difficult to focus on specific issues or hold 4246 politicians accountable. Such events were, according to Charles, wide-ranging including issues such as 4247 the Israel-Palestine conflict, the pandemic, Brexit aftershocks, and the Capitol riots all contributing to 4248information overload, for an audience that finds themselves bombarded with information and 4249 saturated. Charles felt the fast-paced news cycle allows politicians to avoid accountability, as 4250controversies, including the COVID pandemic, are quickly forgotten: "So you look on your phone, 4251 you look on the internet, and that's where most people work nowadays, so even if you just log on to do 4252 some work you see all that stuff and it's just white noise, all this kind of different shit happening so you 4253 just block it out and you haven't got time to just concentrate on one thing and be angry or see that 4254there's any accountability for one thing. That's why politicians don't have to resign about anything. 4255Because they know people are going to forget about it the next day". Charles went on to elaborate with 4256 an example particularly pertinent to the pandemic: "Think about the Dominic Cummings thing when 4257 he went to Bernard Castle last year... There was no accountability, there was no fallout. He'd broken 4258the lockdown rules... the worst thing that anyone could do at that point, but he just made some 4259 statement, and it all got forgotten about and the government knew that was going to happen. There's 4260 no accountability anymore and that's because of how the public consumes content. Because it's 4261 constant and what's big one day is not going to be what's big the next day. And the public are just 4262sleepwalking into this wave of white noise which is constant content. And the politicians know that so 4263 it's only going to get worse". This phenomenon leads the public to become desensitised and 4264 potentially slip into a situation where accountability is lacking, further exacerbating the diminishing 4265 trust in traditional news sources. This is particularly concerning in the midst of a pandemic, where 4266 accurate and reliable information is crucial for public safety and effective decision-making. Charles was 4267 clear as to what was driving this phenomenon: "This is a social media, internet thing where you can't

4268 escape the think-pieces and the articles. On Twitter everyone's a bloody thought-leader and everyone's 4269 a journalist. Everyone's putting up their opinions all the time and in order for it to carry any weight or 4270 get any attention they've got to be quite extreme opinions. It's got to be 'Boris Johnson is doing this 4271 and it's wrong' or 'the way this is happening is right and it's because of this'... It's this extremism that 4272 everything on the internet breeds. And because of that, literally everything has become political, and it

- 4273 was happening before the pandemic".
- 4274 Charles shared an interesting perspective as to the changing role of the news and how different

4275generations may see things: "Back in the old days, if you wanted to see the news, you'd watch The 4276 News, and it would be one news bulletin happening. It would be someone saying, 'this is what we're 4277 talking about now for the next 10 minutes' or you'd read a newspaper, and it would be 'this is on the 4278 front page and here's the article on the next page.' For example, if I go on the Guardian website right 4279 now, I'll click on the front page and there will be an article here, an article here, an article here, all 4280about different things, saying 'choose which one you want.' You haven't got time to just focus on one 4281 thing. And some people would say that's good. That's the democratization of the news. A 20-year-old 4282might look back at how we received the news in the 90s or the 80s and [think], so they told you what to 4283 think about the news?". Charles concluded: "I don't think it's a coincidence that since then, and with 4284 this 'democratisation' of the news there has been this absolute eradication of any kind of responsibility 4285 or accountability for anyone when they basically commit crimes, which is what politicians have been 4286 doing".

4287

•

5.2.11.1 PETs Derived from Charles's Story

4288

Initial dismissal of pandemic as "just another news story" until personal incidents. •

Evolution of workplace safety measures from performative to serious.

- 4289
- 4290 Critical view of media's role in political polarisation compared to 1990s discourse.
- 4291 Complex relationship with news sources, deliberately seeking varied perspectives.
- 4292 Observation of initial public excitement about lockdown novelty.

- 4293
- Concern about authoritarian control through pandemic measures.
- 4294

• Analysis of social media's role in creating "white noise" of information.

• Insight into how modern news consumption prevents political accountability.

4296 5.2.12 Marcia's Story | A 40-44F Procurement Professional of Jamaican Origin

4297 Marcia, a 40-44 year old female procurement professional, discussed her experiences and perceptions 4298 during the COVID-19 pandemic. Initially made aware of the virus through her extensive use of social 4299 media, she recalls her family in the Caribbean sending her an article about the outbreak in China. Her 4300 early concerns were not taken seriously, as she (part) jokingly asked a medically qualified friend if she 4301 should stock up her fridge, only to be met with a dismissive response.

4302 Marcia expressed frustration with the lack of information from authorities particularly inadequate

4303 communication from her child's school. In her workplace, there was a slow response to the pandemic,

4304 and she did not receive any warnings or news about travel restrictions. This uncertainty fuelled her

4305 growing anxiety about personal risk, fearing she would: *"catch it and die"* due to the extreme news

4306 coverage. This led to a difficult discussion between Marcia and her boss two weeks prior to lockdown

4307 being announced saying she was uncomfortable working from the office and would be working from

4308 home. As the pandemic progressed, she noted drawbacks such as the loss of social connections and

4309 increased formality in communication: *"the screen made us more formal"*.

4310 Her experience with healthcare during the pandemic was less favourable. With phone consultations as

4311 the primary mode of medical care, she felt: "completely let down by the GP type service".

4312 Additionally, concerns about contracting COVID-19 made her hesitant to seek help at emergency4313 rooms.

- 4314 She questioned the communication and governance within the NHS, believing that the medical
- 4315 community had knowledge of the virus's severity but did not share it with the public. She perceived a
- 4316 sense of British arrogance that contributed to the UK's response to the pandemic, comparing the

4317 situation to Italy's outbreak: "So I think the arrogance of the British population, especially the

4318 politicians and the medical professions to say well we're British we don't kiss on the cheek and Italy

4319 that's their problem we're never going to be like Italy come three weeks after we're like bloody Italy".

- 4320 This quote highlights her perception of the UK's initial complacency and the eventual realisation of
- 4321 the gravity of the situation. Something she was only able to assess through her connection to the topic
- 4322 via social media.
- 4323 Marcia was aware of COVIDs global impact and maintained connections with people from various
- 4324countries. She also encountered conspiracy theories about the virus's origins. The pandemic has
- 4325 influenced her personal decision-making, leading her to be more cautious about travel and rely on
- 4326 vaccines for protection. Emphasising the importance of social distancing, she shared her commitment
- 4327 to following guidelines and frustration with those who don't: "I can't believe they're being so selfish".
- 4328 Finally, she recognised key figures in the pandemic response and recalled government messaging such
- 4329 as: "stay at home" and: "face, hands, space". Overall, her experience reflects a mix of concerns,
- 4330 frustrations, and adaptations during a time of global uncertainty.
- 4331

5.2.12.1 PETs Derived from Marcia's Story

- 4332 Early awareness through Caribbean family sharing social media news about China. •
- 4333 Initial warnings dismissed by medical friends despite personal concerns.
- 4334 Frustration with slow workplace response leading to self-directed remote work. •
- 4335Experience of formal communication barriers through screen interactions.
- 4336 Disappointment with GP services during pandemic transition.
- 4337 Critical of perceived British arrogance in early pandemic response. •
- 4338 Strong personal adherence to guidelines with judgment of non-compliant others.
- 4339 • Reliance on international connections for broader pandemic perspective.

4340 5.2.13 Angela's Story | A 50-54F Mental Health Practitioner of British Origin

4341 Angela, a female mental health practitioner aged 50-54, expressed her concerns about mainstream

4342 media's influence on the public perception of the pandemic. She referred to the mainstream media as:

4343 *"death porn"* and described the response to the pandemic as: *"disproportional and insanity"*. These

4344 were quite strong terms I had not encountered in other interviews thus far, so I asked Angela to share

- 4345 her thoughts behind these beliefs. Her response showed how content covered by the BBC actually
- 4346 changed her view as to the media she would consume: *"At the beginning I was still watching*"

4347 mainstream news. But the thing that broke me when it came to mainstream media was when they said

4348 they were having a surge of cases and the BBC were sending film crews into London hospitals and

4349 filming and interviewing people who were, in inverted commas, 'dying'. And I complained to the

4350 BBC, and I said, 'how can you be so irresponsible?' To me you can't have it both ways. Basically,

- 4351 you're telling the public how absolutely deadly this thing is yet you're stupid enough to send people in?
- 4352 You're telling us that the hospitals are so overrun and so busy and it's so terrible, yet you're sending a

4353 film crew in, and the justification is, 'well, we've got to show you' and I'm like, 'you don't have to show

- 4354 me someone setting themselves on fire for me not to do it, you don't show me someone jumping off a
- 4355 *cliff, so I don't do it'. The complete infantilisation and patronising of the public. It was just death*
- 4356 *porn*".
- 4357 Angela was also critical of public health communication, stating focus should be placed on ensuring
- 4358 the accuracy and balanced nature of reporting: *"Approximately 1,600 people die every day in this*
- 4359 country, why are you not talking about any of them? Why are you not talking about cancer? Why are
- 4360 you not talking about Alzheimers? Why are you not talking about things which really kill people and
- 4361 people suffer from?".
- 4362 Angela highlighted the impact of both social media and mainstream media on shaping public
- 4363 perception, as she found herself consuming media, she never thought she would, such as talk radio.

- 4364 She was highly critical of the public's willingness to believe unproven information, calling it: *"nonsense,*4365 *absolute nonsense"*.
- 4366 Angela was particularly critical content shared across social media in the earliest days of the pandemic
- 4367 in China: "Those films of people falling over and dying in China, if you're going to believe stuff like
- 4368 that without any provenance whatsoever, you're just a muppet".
- 4369 Angela also expressed concerns about the reliability of PCR tests, stating in her opinion they are not
- 4370 diagnostic tests yet are used as such. She criticised public health figures, such as Matt Hancock, calling
- 4371 him: "the most despicable man in the world who deserves to be sacked".
- 4372 Angela, drawing on her background as a mental health practitioner, lamented the detrimental impact
- 4373 of the pandemic and lockdowns on mental health, saying: *"I think everyone's depressed, I think*
- 4374 *everyone's a bit loony now*". She specifically pointed to the media's role in contributing to this
- 4375 decline, accusing the BBC of: *"causing mental health decline for the whole population for the last 15"*
- 4376 months". Poignantly Angela went on: "in terms of lockdowns and things like that, I would rather die
- 4377 than go through this again. This isn't living".
- 4378 Overall, Angela was very clear in conveying a deeply held and strong mistrust in mainstream media
- 4379 and a perception that media outlets like the BBC are no longer trustworthy. She believed that media's
- 4380 role in shaping public perception and mental health during the pandemic has been negative, and she
- 4381 criticised OfCom for enabling mainstream media to perpetuate the government's narrative.
- 4382 Angela clearly didn't agree with the media being used as a tool for forcing vaccination on carers, after
- 4383 working for long periods in the early stages of the pandemic without the pre-requisite PPE. This was
- 4384 clearly an emotive issue for her: *"The other day they came out with a story about, 'carers have to be*
- 4385 vaccinated'. Carers have worked the whole of the pandemic without any protection, and now you're
- 4386 saying because there's a vaccine [they have to take it]. It's completely up to them if they want to take it
- 4387 or not, but you who have been sitting at home can sit there and go, 'you're a bad person because you

4388 won't have it'. You haven't been working for the last 15 months throughout the pandemic putting

4389 yourself at risk without anything to protect you, and now we're the bad people? You can fuck off". She

4390 concluded with: "I'm not going to take the vaccine because it's the principle of the thing now. If you're

4391 going to coerce me, it's not consent. And we have to stand up and be counted. We will not be

4392 *coerced*".

- 4393 Throughout the interview Angela clearly expressed her concern over mainstream media and in
- 4394 particular the BBC. Comparing COVID deaths to those from car accidents of smoking for example

4395 she went on to state a lot of the coverage was hyperbole: *"More people are hurt in car accidents than*

- 4396 *hurt by COVID. In America half a million people die from smoking, and we don't tell people to stop*
- 4397 smoking and we don't ban cars. So actually, this is the biggest farce ever. Really, really awful. And I
- 4398 think they've treated people in a very, very demonized way. I've not worn a mask in months. Wash
- 4399 your hands, that's all you need to do. We are not vectors of disease".
- 4400 She went on to share her beliefs around the origin of conspiracy theories distrust of the media: *"The*
- 4401 only reason people come up with conspiracy theories is that they don't trust the media. No one trusts
- 4402 the BBC anymore. That's why people are like 'defund it'. And you don't want that to happen to the
- 4403 BBC... but the mainstream media won't report anything that won't fit into its narrative and there are
- 4404 loads of people who are really, really disenfranchised".
- 4405 5.2.13.1 PETs Derived from Angela's Story
- Strong rejection of mainstream media's "death porn" coverage of pandemic.
- Particular criticism of BBC hospital filming as hypocritical and sensationalist.
- Frustration with media's singular focus on COVID deaths versus other causes.
- Strong scepticism of early viral social media content from China.
- Professional concern as mental health practitioner about lockdown impact.
- Personal resistance to vaccine mandates based on principle of coercion.
- Connection between media distrust and conspiracy theory development.

4413

• Empathy for healthcare workers forced into vaccination after working unprotected.

4414 5.2.14 Clifford's Story | A 60-64M Stand-up Comedian of British Origin.

4415 Discussing with Clifford, a 60-64 year old male stand-up comedian, he shared several areas,

4416 highlighting the impact of social media and the pandemic on various aspects of his life. He expressed

4417 concerns about disinformation and conspiracy theories, stating social media facilitated: *"the battle*

4418 *between disinformation and conspiracy theory types*". This has led to a divisive impact of social media

4419 on friendships and relationships, as he revealed: "I've lost a few friends actually... on Facebook lots of

4420 *friendships been fractured*". Clifford characterised the underlying dynamic contributing to this as: *"the*

4421 *battle between disinformation and conspiracy theory types".* Clifford likened the experience to being

- 4422 in a zombie movie: *"For example I think this person's quite reasonable and then suddenly they send*
- 4423 me a link to something, and I think they have gone into the QAnon/tinfoil hat wearing wormhole and
- 4424 they are lost to me".

4425 Scepticism towards public health measures was evident in his peer group, with Clifford losing friends

4426 over issues like social distancing and mask-wearing. Furthermore, the pandemic led to divisiveness

4427 within the comedy community, which Clifford described as having: *"tore itself apart"*. He noted

4428 individualism and selfishness in response to public health measures, especially in the context of the

4429 Edinburgh Festival.

4430 Maintaining mental health and creative outlets were crucial for Clifford, who said: "I've done

4431 Facebook live gigs weekly now for... since October... for my mental health as much as anything else

4432 *because it forces me to write stuff every week*". He followed the news about the pandemic closely from

the beginning, as evidenced by his diary on pandemic related media. Through analysis of his

4434 contemporaneous notes Clifford perceived government corruption in handling the pandemic, stating:

- 4435 *"I think there's definitely a hint of... corruption and... backhanders, etc".* He also felt that the
- 4436 government was: *"behind the curve"* and attributed some of this to Boris Johnson's personality.
- 4437 Clifford was particularly critical with the Government taking credit for things going right: "When it was

- 4438 going badly, they [the media] kept saying, 'the NHS this, the NHS that', and then when it started to go
- 4439 well they went, 'the government this, the government that'. 'We've done...' and I kept thinking to
- 4440 *myself, 'well, you haven't really, have you?' All the while they [the government] have been behind the*
- 4441 *curve on this*".
- 4442 Anger and tension were prevalent during the pandemic, with Clifford describing it as a: *"pressure*
- 4443 cooker feeling" and noting that: "people's anger and vituperation was multiplied many times".
- 4444 Social media's impact on public discourse was significant, with the normalisation of certain conspiracy
- 4445 theories being particularly concerning. Clifford relied on mainstream media for information but
- 4446 recognised the influence of political agendas on public health communication. He noted the disregard
- 4447 for scientific data by some and the undermining of scientific expertise on social media, which has led
- 4448 to scientists being perceived as having their own agenda. Clifford went on to say: "One of the things I
- 4449 found very, very worrying was the undermining of scientific expertise".
- 4450 The pandemic's impact on both personal and professional life was both disorientating and debilitating
- for Clifford, with periods of isolation and inactivity alternating with periods of engagement. The
- 4452 public's perception of authority figures was also affected, with many viewing the government's handling
- 4453 of the Dominic Cummings incident as a turning point, with Clifford commenting: "I think Cummings
- 4454 was a turning point, I really do. I think that it made people so, so angry that he had blatantly broken
- 4455 the rules and, in fact, the appearance at the garden compounded it because he was obviously not sorry.
- 4456 The whole look on his face was 'why have you dragged me to this, I don't want to be here, why should
- I talk to these plebs, what do they know?'So, I do think there was a weakening of people's compliance
 after that".
- -----
- 4459 Communication through metaphors, such as those used by Prof Sir Jonathan Van Tam, was
- 4460 particularly appreciated by Clifford. On using slogans for public health messaging, he felt: "As a
- 4461 comedian, there's something a bit Orwellian about 'stay at home'... I understood why they said them at
- 4462 first: 'stay at home, protect the NHS'. To be honest I thought that was quite good in a way, it was quite

- 4463 clear. It was when they started to change it, they dropped certain ones and then they softened it. And
- 4464 *that just made me quite cynical*". While other public health messaging, such as: *"stay alert"* was met
- 4465 with ridicule, with Clifford, perhaps leaning into his on-stage comedic persona, describing it as:
- 4466 *"fucking hopeless"*.
- 4467 *5.2.14.*

5.2.14.1 PETs Derived from Clifford's Story

- Personal experience of social media fracturing friendships over conspiracy beliefs.
- Likening conspiracy conversion of friends to "zombie movie" experience.
- Observation of comedy community division over pandemic response.
- Use of Facebook live performances for mental health maintenance.
- Critical analysis of government claiming credit for NHS successes.
- Strong reaction to Cummings incident as compliance turning point.
- Appreciation for Van Tam's metaphorical communication style.
- Evolution from supporting early clear slogans to cynicism about later messaging.

4476 5.2.15 Ritu's Story | A 30-34F Lawyer of Indian Origin

4477 Ritu, a 30-34 year old female lawyer, details her experience during the pandemic and highlights the

- 4478 impact and interplay of social and mainstream media, through her unique lens as someone having to
- take the pandemic seriously to support her family and hadn't left the house for 18 months: *"The way*"
- 4480 I've approached everything is maybe a bit odd compared to some people. I've listened to all the public
- 4481 health announcements and so on, but once it was announced in March... the outbreak, I actually
- 4482 didn't leave my house much at all for 18 months. I probably only left my house six times, physically. I
- 4483 didn't even go outside to walk. And I was forced to go out because my MacBook broke, so I had to go
- 4484 *to the Apple Store repeatedly, and that was the only time".* Reflecting on her social media
- 4485 consumption during the pandemic Ritu recognised the potential of social media as a tool for public
- 4486 health communication, but also expressed caution, sharing the following reflections: *"I do use social*
- 4487 media every day but I'm very cautious about what I see on social media, only because of the amount of

- 4488 *fake news*". Ritu shared conflicting views of the utility and effect of fake news instilling fear in people:
- 4489 *"I see my news feed and it's lots of people liking lots of random stuff and you can tell it's just to create*
- 4490 a lot more fear. Having said that, though, I think social media can actually be good with the pandemic
- 4491 *because of the fear it's created in a lot of people*". Her experience underscores the importance of
- 4492 verifying the credibility of information shared on social platforms to avoid misinformation instilling
- 4493 fear in the population.
- 4494 Ritu expressed her preference for more official updates: "I follow WHO and I look at their updates

4495 because I know it's going to be factually correct and it's going to be useful, and I like the infographics".

- 4496 As the pandemic unfolded, she relied on credible news sources to stay informed: "So BBC every day,
- 4497 *I watch it as well [read] The Times and The Guardian, they are the three key ones"*. However, she
- 4498 acknowledged the significance of social media in disseminating information, especially among those
- 4499 who do not follow traditional media outlets.
- 4500 She also noted that people's personal experiences with COVID-19 shared on social media could
- 4501 positively influence public behaviour. Ritu stated: "But other ones about people getting COVID and
- 4502 their experiences, they start being more mindful and then they start thinking right, I need to do the
- 4503 right things, I do need to social distance. They get the message through social media".
- 4504 While she primarily depended on credible news outlets, she acknowledged the role of social media in
- 4505 reaching a wider audience and influencing behaviour. Ritu thought the daily news conferences were
- 4506 helpful: "I actually like when they did an update, 15 minutes, on what was going on with the virus and
- 4507 how they were approaching it. I actually found that really helpful. I know that a lot of people found
- 4508 that they didn't like to keep hearing their messages over and over again because it was the same
- 4509 repetitive message in some cases and they found it frustrating, but I thought it was quite good. It was a
- 4510 quite concise summary of the government's position and what they're doing".
- 4511 Although positive about the news conferences Ritu felt inconsistent and incorrect messaging led to a
- 4512 breakdown of trust between the government and the public, she broke down her thoughts and

- 4513 provided a detailed elaboration: "There's two parts, one is the content, and one is the medium. From
- 4514 a content point of view, it's the inconsistent messages that are coming out from the government as to
- 4515 what to do, and the lack of planning that they seem to have in place, or awareness of their own strategy.
- 4516 It changes quite quickly, and I think that's what's created a lot of anxiety for people and mental health
- 4517 issues, but also, they've struggled to buy in to what they're supposed to do because of the
- 4518 *inconsistency, and then they lose confidence in the government.*
- 4519 The other thing is the method of the communication social media can be brilliant with
- 4520 communication, keeping people connected. However, there's been a lot of fake news on social media
- 4521 and less policing and monitoring of that... Last year it was quite bad, the things you were seeing, and
- 4522 people were believing them and that was spreading and with social media it spreads a lot faster and that
- 4523 can be a huge issue in people doing the right things and understanding the risks".
- 4524 5.2.15.1 PETs Derived from Ritu's Story
- Extreme personal response to pandemic (leaving house only 6 times in 18 months).
- Complex view of social media fear as both problematic and potentially beneficial.
- Strong preference for official sources (WHO) and traditional news outlets.
- Appreciation for daily briefings despite public frustration with repetition.
- Detailed analysis of government communication failures in content and medium.
- Recognition of social media's dual role in connection and misinformation spread.
- Trust breakdown attributed to inconsistent government messaging.
- Appreciation for personal COVID experience stories influencing public behaviour.

4533 5.2.16 Kamran's Story | A 25-29M Sales Professional of Pakistani Origin

- 4534 Kamran, a 25-29 year old male sales professional, shared valuable insights into the role of media in
- 4535 global public health communication during a pandemic. A central concern he highlighted was the
- 4536 snowball effect of media coverage, stating: *"I believe that there was a snowball effect when it comes to*

4537 *the public and the media exposure towards COVID*". This observation alludes to the fact more could 4538 have been shared about COVID-19 in the earliest stages of the pandemic. Once the media coverage 4539 ramped up, this does also demonstrate the amplification of public health messaging and the influence 4540 of mainstream media in shaping public understanding and reactions during a pandemic.

- 4541 In terms of information sources and their reliability, Kamran discussed a variety of news outlets,
- 4542 including: "Sky News BBC News... Telegraph... Independent..." while expressing scepticism towards
- 4543 social media, particularly: *"Facebook news"* which was described as: *"terrible"*. Regarding social media
- 4544 generally Kamran shared: *"When it comes to social media I tend to stick to LinkedIn. Facebook*

4545 News is terrible, and pretty much the same for other social media. They're not informative as such,

- 4546 *more just speculative*". Kamran emphasised the importance of using all avenues, including social
- 4547 media, to share public health messaging, such as: *"hands, face and space"* but also noted the potential
- 4548 pitfalls of relying on social media for accurate information, given the vast differences in quality of
- 4549 information provided on various platforms such as LinkedIn and Facebook.
- 4550 The role of social media in public health communication is further explored through the discussion on
- 4551 the influence of celebrities and friends. Kamran observed: *"a lot of my friends would be more likely to*
- 4552 *take the opinions of another friend or a celebrity, for example*". Thus, highlighting the potential
- 4553 impact of social networks and influential individuals on shaping public opinion and behaviour during a
- 4554 pandemic, sometimes overshadowing official public health messaging.
- 4555 Moreover, Kamran touched upon the role of social media in public health communication across
- 4556 different countries, stating: "it would depend on the... country social media" and noted that: "some of
- 4557 *the social media is aligned with the government*". This observation suggests that the impact of social
- 4558 media on public health communication may vary depending on the political landscape and the degree
- 4559 of government involvement in information dissemination.
- 4560 Kamran felt a combination of the constantly changing messaging and the government not obeying the
- 4561 rules led to the public ignoring them: *"There was some sort of misinformation, I would say so. There*

- 4562 was a lot of back-and-forth from the government in terms of the messages over the course of the
 4563 lockdown, and that obviously caused a lot of confusion amongst the public".
- 4564 *"I feel the leaders of the nation didn't really practice what they preached and both Boris Johnson and*
- 4565 now recently Matt Hancock as well. Things like that don't really reflect well to the public and the
- 4566 public mirror the actions of their leaders. If they're ignoring the rules or taking that as blasé then why
- 4567 would the public take it really seriously?".
- 4568 Finally, Kamran shared his thoughts on how the pandemic forced the public to migrate to adopting

4569 digital channels: "The pandemic, if it's done one thing, it's forced the channel towards digital whereas

4570 traditionally people were growing on digital, but they were traditionalists. They were mainly face-to-face

- 4571 whereas the pandemic entirely took that channel away, so it's almost like they were forced to engage
- 4572 digitally and interact digitally and that really boosted and streamlined the digital processes".
- 4573 5.2.16.1 PETs Derived from Kamran's Story
- Recognition of media coverage "snowball effect" in pandemic communication.
- Clear hierarchy of trust in news sources (traditional media over social platforms).
- Observation of friends valuing peer/celebrity opinions over official guidance.
- Understanding of social media's varying role across different countries.
- Criticism of government's "back-and-forth" messaging creating public confusion.
- Link between leadership rule-breaking and public non-compliance.
- Analysis of pandemic forcing digital adoption among traditionalists.
- 4581 5.2.17 Sophie's Story | A 35-39F Scientist of British Origin

4582 Sophie, a 35-39 year old female scientist, revealed her perspectives on various aspects of media and 4583 communication during the pandemic. She initially relied on mainstream media as her primary source 4584 of information but eventually switched to more relevant sources due to the rapid change in scientific 4585 understanding and the prevalence of misinformation on social media platforms. She emphasised that: 4586 *"not everybody's opinion is valid"* highlighting the importance of considering the validity of the

4587 information shared on such platforms given social media treats everybody's opinion as equally valid

4588 even when its isn't: "Social media, there was so much fake news and commentary on it I just don't see

4589 *it as a force of good in any way, shape, or form. I think it creates more questions and more*

- 4590 misinformation and gives people who aren't qualified the ability to express their points of view on the
- 4591 same level as somebody who is. There's no kinds of filters; there's nothing to stop people saying

4592 anything. And yes, everybody is entitled to an opinion but not everybody's opinion is valid and it's the

4593 validity of opinions that just goes out the window on social media".

4594 Sophie noted initially a lot of people were asking her things from a scientific perspective, but that

4595 changed in the summertime as that was when: *"everyone became an expert and people weren't asking*"

4596 the questions anymore and they were repeating what they'd heard in the mainstream media or on

4597 *social media*". There was one conversation with her father that particularly stood out: *"I remember*

4598 having a conversation with my Dad and he was saying 'you know, this virus can mutate' and all viruses

4599 mutate... and because everyone had been exposed [via social media] to low-level science, they thought

4600 they could understand it and that's what they were repeating as gospel and the nuances behind how

4601 viruses change and how science changes rapidly, it took a while for people to grasp that".

4602 As a scientist Sophie appreciated the efforts of mainstream media in correcting mistruths circulating on

4603 social media. However, she acknowledged the challenges in interpreting scientific data and the

4604 misrepresentation of scientific literature, by simplifying it for public consumption. The scientist

4605 criticised the media's portrayal of scientific literature, asserting that they tend to focus on: *"juicy,*

4606 *interesting points*" while ignoring crucial aspects, such as study design flaws or conclusions. By taking

the: *"click bait"* from a journal article without providing context, the media inadvertently promotes

4608 misinformation. The impact of this approach is that people remember snippets of information, which

4609 they naively believe to be the whole story. This, in turn, leads to further dissemination of

4610 misinformation and misinformed discussions among the public. Sophie also observed a hindsight bias,4611 wherein people claimed expertise in hindsight.

- 4612 Regarding communication during the pandemic, Sophie expressed a deep disappointment with the
- 4613 Institute of Biomedical Sciences and the lack of contradiction from relevant bodies, stating: *"I was*
- 4614 really disappointed at the Institute of biomedical sciences, lack of communication in relation to testing
- 4615 and the capacity that laboratories have in the country". She criticised the government's response to
- 4616 testing capacity, asserting that the claim of lacking capacity in the country back in March was: *"an*4617 *absolute lie"*.
- 4618 Sophie also discussed the public's awareness of infectious diseases and prevention measures,
- 4619 expressing surprise at the general public's level of uncertainty. She shared her perspectives on the
- 4620 origin of the virus and natural mutation, trusting peer-reviewed literature and scientific sources over
- 4621 sensationalised media reports.
- 4622 Sophie criticised the sensationalism of variants, noting: "I think sometimes the variants get
- 4623 sensationalised, and they talk about variants like it's a new thing". She emphasised the importance of
- 4624 clear communication and reflected on the media's role during the pandemic. In her view, the media
- 4625 did not put enough pressure on the government to take stricter measures, such as closing borders,
- 4626 stating: "part of me thinks they didn't do enough and put enough pressure on the government to close
- 4627 the borders and that type of thing".
- 4628 5.2.17.1 PETs Derived from Sophie's Story
- Strong criticism of social media equalising valid and invalid scientific opinions.
- Observation of public shift from asking questions to claiming expertise.
- Frustration with public's superficial grasp of scientific concepts from media.
- Criticism of media's "click bait" approach to scientific literature.
- Professional disappointment with scientific bodies' communication.

- 4634
- Concern about sensationalisation of virus variants in media.
- **R**ecognition of changing public attitudes towards scientific authority.
- Critique of insufficient media pressure on government border policies.

4637 5.2.18 Ben's Story | A 25-29M Medical Educator of British Origin

- 4638 Ben, a 25-29 year old male pharmaceutical company medical educator, highlighted the personal
- 4639 impact mainstream media had on his reaction once the pandemic had been announced. Mainstream
- 4640 media, such as the BBC News, played a significant role in raising pandemic awareness, as he
- 4641 mentioned: "I remember watching the BBC News for the first two months, seven o'clock in the
- 4642 *morning being [right] switch on the news!"*. This was something new for Ben as he was religiously
- 4643 watching news first thing in the morning for the first time. He stopped after the initial phase of the
- 4644 pandemic as it became: *"repetitive and depressing"*. On lockdowns Ben felt they were: *"A bit of a*
- 4645 novelty initially, some that would have been fine for 6 weeks not the 16 months they turned out to
- 4646 *be*".
- 4647 However, Ben also acknowledged the influence of conspiracy theories originating initially on social
- 4648 media and their gradual seepage into mainstream media: *"I think, I heard that a lot. Initially not in the*
- 4649 mainstream media, and then it came into the mainstream media and it's still coming through the
- 4650 mainstream media even now".
- 4651 Ben expressed a personal preference for podcasts as an information source, stating: *"I'd rather just be*
- 4652 *really specific on what I want to listen to and just straight into [the point]*". Social media usage during
- 4653 the pandemic increased, with platforms like YouTube and Reddit becoming more prevalent in sharing
- 4654 information and opinions related to COVID-19: "I also used Reddit a fair amount, and that went off
- 4655 *[significant increase of usage] in terms of COVID"*. Ben went on to say he appreciated tools such as
- 4656 Reddit and Twitter as: "for news can [they] be absolutely incredible because you get first-hand sources,
- 4657 unfiltered, uncensored, straight to you on your phone. So, I use a lot of that for the COVID side of
- 4658 things, I found that really interesting". Even though not an avid user of Facebook Ben had the view: "I

- 4659 imagine that [Facebook] would be an absolute firestorm of misinformation. I think if I'd been on it
 4660 then, I'd have been off it pretty quickly".
- 4661 Ben had the view the public were confused by conflicting advice and reports for example about masks:
- 4662 *"There was a lot of people saying that masks don't do an awful lot initially. Fauci and his team were*
- 4663 publishing things around the fact that the masks weren't effective, initially. So, I think it's quite
- 4664 understandable that people felt like 'I don't need to do these things', but I think as more information
- 4665 came through, I thought 'this is the way to go'. But it doesn't make it easy when you do have these
- 4666 conflicting reports and studies coming out. That is always the risk of publishing this stuff and having to
- 4667 go back on it, you lose trust. I don't think I lose trust; I think that's exactly what science is: you look at
- 4668 the data and you initially think it's X and it turns out it's Y and you change your answer to Y. That's
- 4669 what science is really. But I think a lot of the public will lose trust".
- 4670 Ben placed trust in expert opinions and scientific sources over friends, family, and celebrities who
- 4671 lacked expertise in the subject matter: "Yeah, so definitely from a trained professional scientist, a
- 4672 doctor, even a sociologist talking about social distancing and things like that... definitely over my mates,
- 4673 *over my family, people who probably don't know*". Ben drew from his own experience of working
- 4674 with flu vaccines in the pharmaceutical industry: *"Thinking about some stuff I did on flu vaccines in*
- 4675 the past, having someone of a prominence or standing in a local community or demographic where
- 4676 the uptake is poor definitely has its uses. I think maybe they should have some backing in those areas,
- 4677 because you can have celebrity doctors or celebrity scientists, that absolutely has its uses".
- 4678 The impact of social media was twofold: it provided access to a wealth of information and facilitated
- 4679 communication but also exacerbated the spread of misinformation and conspiracy theories. He noted:
- 4680 *"Social media, I think that is exacerbating what was probably already there and people who are already*
- 4681 saying these things, but they're now getting a bigger platform because you had this external
- 4682 environmental factor that was just feeding the flames basically".

4683 Celebrities played a complex role in public health messaging. While they could amplify important

- 4684 messages, Ben felt their involvement should be supported by expertise in the field, as they could also
- 4685 inadvertently spread misinformation or come across as preachy: *"I think if they are just promoting*"
- 4686 things without actually knowing, and they can come across quite preachy a lot of celebrities, a lot of
- 4687 American ones as well".
- 4688 Ben drew on his experience from primary care on the risk of exposing the public to too much
- 4689 information something he felt risked causing fatigue: "People can get a bit fatigued with overload of
- 4690 information. There's a thing called alarm fatigue, especially in primary care, when you have your GP
- 4691 systems and there's alerts going off all the time around medications and you get totally immune to it. I
- 4692 *think there is always the risk of that. And you're also making the public aware of things that often they*
- 4693 won't have an awful lot of understanding around, and they might not have the scientific literacy. That
- 4694 can be the negative side of it. But I think on the whole it's better to be telling people those kinds of
- 4695 things and letting them make their own minds up about it".
- 4696 5.2.18.1 PETs Derived from Ben's Story
- Evolution from initial religious news watching to news avoidance due to repetition.
- 4698 Complex view of social media platforms' varying utility (Reddit/Twitter valued, Facebook
 4699 distrusted).
- Professional insight into public information fatigue from primary care experience.
- Understanding of how scientific method conflicts with public trust building.
- Nuanced view on celebrity involvement requiring expert backing.
- Preference for specific, targeted information through podcasts.
- Recognition of conspiracy theories' migration from social to mainstream media.
- Analysis of social media amplifying existing misinformation tendencies.

4706 5.2.19 Alice's Story | A 25-29F Strategy Director of British Origin

4707 Alice, a 25-29 year old female Strategy Director in UK central government, provided relevant insights

- 4708 and also balance as someone working within central government co-ordinating the pandemic response.
- 4709 Alice talked of how the government brought in behavioural psychologists to try and steer and monitor
- 4710 public response to pandemic and messaging. Personally, she felt the elements of governments
- 4711 response to COVID was chaotic and inconsistent, frustrated the public and made the UK a
- 4712 laughingstock to the rest of the world especially the Matt Hancock affair: "We need to be, in the
- 4713 media, taken seriously [in order for UK to be considered a world leader]. Stuff like with Matt Hancock
- 4714 and his aide coming out makes us look like a laughingstock and then other countries don't trust us".
- When news of the pandemic first came out Alice's thoughts were consistent with the early advice that only old people would be affected by the virus. This in turn caused her primary concern, something she admittedly felt was selfish, was around her promotion prospects being limited once being forced to work from home. As more people started talking about: *"this virus from China"* and Netflix started
- 4719 showing a few movies about pandemics: *"it started getting a bit more real, a bit scarier. I think the fear*
- 4720 of the unknown was definitely a bit scary but initially, probably like a lot of people, I was a bit
- 4721 sceptical".
- 4722 Once news leaked a lockdown announcement may be coming Alice's initial dismissive then sceptical
- 4723 thoughts dissipated: "I remember when the announcement came out and there was fear of a lockdown
- 4724 in London, and I was one of those people that ran for the train [out of London] because my family's
- 4725 from up north. So, I got the train, took my laptop, and got up here, and the next day it hit in the news
- 4726 *that this virus is happening*". When discussing her news preferences Alice preferred Sky News and
- 4727 didn't trust the BBC because of their connection to the government, through being publicly funded: "I
- 4728 have the Sky News app, so that's my main thing, Sky News, because I feel like it's more trustworthy
- 4729 *than the BBC, and also frankly I just don't like the BBC*". Alice saw the BBC as an extension of the

- 4730 government communication team: *"Whereas Sky News are totally independent. The BBC for me is*
- 4731 no different than looking at my emails from work, so I'd rather look at Sky News".
- 4732 During a cabinet office meeting Alice was told by senior advisors herd immunity would take care of
- 4733 COVID: "I remember I was in the cabinet office, and we had an all-staff meeting. The PM came in
- 4734 and then left suddenly. But then there was a former National Security Advisor, the most senior civil
- 4735 servant and at the time who was also head of the whole civil service and he was stood at the front with.
- 4736 the Deputy National Security Advisor. [We were told] 'we've had meetings with SAGE and some of
- 4737 these scientific advisors, and basically herd immunity is going to save us all and it's going to be fine'.
- 4738 He said, 'this is going to be leaked to the press in a couple of days, but I don't want anyone to worry'.
- 4739 Everyone was just so chilled; everyone was hugging each other, and it was fine".
- 4740 Interestingly Alice felt there was a reluctance to accept and own mistakes, such as those relating to
- 4741 herd immunity: "Even if we do get our position wrong, like we did on herd immunity, own it and
- 4742 apologise and say, 'this wasn't the right approach'. But I think people have too many opinions in the
- 4743 communications team in Number 10 and the cabinet office on what we should do which then means
- 4744 nothing actually gets done. So, I think we need to be a bit more assertive and then just be a bit more
- 4745 grown-up in terms of who we have in power".
- 4746 Alice provided interesting insights on the use of psychologists being brought in by government to
- 4747 predict how the public would react to messaging around COVID rules: "In the Number 10 comms
- 4748 team, there's a dedicated unit of people who think about how the public are going to react, and there's
- 4749 behavioural psychologists working in government that talk to them about how this is going to be
- 4750 perceived by the public so there definitely was a huge element of 'we need to control public behaviour
- 4751 and not have riots and everything else'. That was definitely thought about. In terms of the health thing,
- 4752 I think that was also thought about, but I think it was a secondary thought after 'how do we control the
- 4753 *public.*²". This was against a backdrop of a disorganised approach to information being relayed
- 4754 throughout the Home Office: "I found out through work first, sometimes like an hour before it would

- be leaked, which is how unorganised and chaotic it was. The longest advantage I had in terms of
 getting information was a day... and sometimes I would hear it through the news".
- 4757 Alice felt the government was not always upfront about when they didn't know things, something
- 4758 somewhat problematic when dealing with a novel phenomenon: *"…there wasn't an open and honest*
- 4759 conversation about it... A bit more honesty about not knowing, that would have helped people trust
- 4760 the government a bit more. I don't think government's like to admit when they don't know what's
- 4761 going on, they like to think that they have everything under control. Even on briefings that I've done
- 4762 and meetings that I've sat in, there's a lot of 'faking it' talk and then afterwards people laughing about
- 4763 *'we didn't know shit'"*.
- 4764 The calamitous nature of government rule breaking, and mistakes was particularly damaging to public
- 4765 trust: "I think it's damaging to a point where you can't turn back from it and the public then just don't
- 4766 trust anything and it has a knock-on effect. So, with Matt Hancock and his aide in the media, it then
- 4767 meant that not only did people not trust him personally, but they also didn't trust the whole health
- 4768 system and I think the conspiracy theories then just got out of control. So, it has a really detrimental
- 4769 knock-on effect. The public are sometimes good at understanding that people make mistakes and one
- 4770 mess-up by a politician on a decision is fine but when it's a constant thing it has a knock-on effect in a
- 4771 really bad way and it's very difficult to come back from".
- 4772 On communicating science, with the appropriate level of detail, to the public Alice had the following
- 4773 view: "The problem is people like myself, my parents, have a really rubbish baseline knowledge of
- 4774 science and biology that if anything comes out in the media about it and goes into too much detail, it
- 4775 deters people from actually reading it. And that's the difficulty, because it needs to be so simplistic that
- 4776 the ordinary man on the street can understand it, but if it's too simplistic, I don't think that's even
- 4777 possible because science isn't simple, so it's tricky".
- 4778 Alice provided valuable insights on the use of slogans to communicate science: *"I remember one"*
- 4779 because my best friend's fiancé wrote it, that was 'Eat Out To Help Out', and also because that was

4780 taken the piss out of by almost everyone. I also remember 'Hands, Face, Space'. A lot of them are

4781 mocked by people, which actually I don't think it's really a negative thing because at least it makes

4782 people remember it when they have these memes".

- 4783 Reflecting deeper on the effect of slogans and the seriousness of messages conveyed Alice shared: "I
- 4784 think sometimes they do have a positive effect but if you try and over-engineer it, the public aren't
- 4785 stupid and see that it's a campaign rather than something that's in the public interest. I think the 'Eat
- 4786 Out To Help Out' thing was fine because that was about the economy, it was about trying to help
- 4787 people get out there and it wasn't that serious in terms of, 'if you don't go and eat out, nothing's going
- 4788 to happen to you' but in terms of the serious stuff like keeping your distance, washing your hands, I
- 4789 think that needs to be more carefully thought about and it shouldn't be a jovial, washing your hands
- 4790 *thing because people then don't take it seriously"*. The 'Protect The NHS' messaging was seen as
- 4791 hypocritical especially from a Conservative government: *"I think it's good because it's clear and the*
- 4792 public need a clear direction, but I think 'protect the NHS' really wound people up, especially coming
- 4793 from a Tory government. So, I think actually it had the opposite effect on some people if you're trying
- 4794 to politicise the NHS, which I think the messaging could have been perceived as that".
- 4795 One phrase Alice felt was particularly damaging was 'Freedom day': "I think [the name 'Freedom
- 4796 Day'] is quite damaging because that implies that we're never going to have to do this again or go back
- 4797 to any sort of social distancing measures. I think for the younger generation it's quite toxic because it
- 4798 implies that you can do whatever you want, you can break the rules, you're free to do whatever. I think
- 4799 people could go over the top in terms of partying, doing drugs, and not [considering] the fact that this
- 4800 is a pandemic and just because the Prime Minister says so on one day of the year doesn't mean it's
- 4801 vanished forever. I think people are going to think now it's disappeared, and it's gone and when it does
- 4802 come back, which inevitably I think it will do in some form or another, people will be more depressed
- 4803 about going back into a lockdown".

4804 Alice also shared some thoughts of the pros and cons of social media in a pandemic: "In some ways

4805 [social media] has had a positive effect [on how public perception of COVID] because you can see

4806 pictures of people in hospital and there is evidence on social media that this is a real thing and it's a

4807 real risk. But I think that can be shown through news sources like Sky News and people can see it that

- 4808 way. So, I think the negatives outweigh the positives in terms of I see people are sharing stuff that is
- 4809 *negative and anti-COVID, like conspiracy theories.*
- 4810 I think people are more likely to form networks that link them to a conspiracy theory that isn't true. If

4811 you read something so much, you can convince yourself that it's true, and with all the material out

4812 there on social media about conspiracy theories and the fact that the virus is a myth, I think that does

- 4813 more harm than it does good because you can find them so easily".
- 4814 Mistrust and lack of transparency from the government and media can hinder public health

4815 communication. Alice recalls trust issues surrounding leaked information and the subsequent erosion

- 4816 of trust in the government. Furthermore, the lack of scientific understanding among the general public
- 4817 can impede the effectiveness of communication: *"I think the problem is people, like maybe myself,*
- 4818 my parents have such a baseline, like a really rubbish baseline knowledge of science, biology that if
- 4819 anything comes out in the media about it and goes into too much detail, it deters people from actually
- 4820 *reading it*".
- 4821 Alice also discusses the importance of clear and consistent messaging, such as the 'Follow the Science'
- 4822 campaign: "I feel 'follow the science' was the best one. Yeah, I think that was, I think that was quite
- 4823 good". However, the interplay between social and mainstream media can also contribute to the spread
- 4824 of misinformation and conspiracy theories, thus complicating public health communication efforts.
- 4825 5.2.19.1 PETs Derived from Alice's Story
- 4826
- Insight into government's use of behavioural psychologists for public messaging.
- Internal perspective on chaotic government communication and information leaks.

4828	• Critical of BBC as government extension, preferring independent news sources.		
4829	• Witness to early government confidence in herd immunity approach.		
4830	• Recognition of government's inability to admit uncertainty or mistakes.		
4831	• Analysis of slogan effectiveness including unintended mockery.		
4832	• Concern about "Freedom Day" messaging implications for young people.		
4833	• Complex understanding of social media's dual role in evidence sharing and conspiracy		
4834	spreading.		
4835	5.2.20 Maddison's Story A 25-29F Medical Educator of Australian Origin		
4836	Maddison, a 25-29 year old female pharmaceutical medical educator, expressed a range of concerns in		
4837	her interview, particularly regarding the media's role in communicating information about the		
4838	pandemic. She highlighted the conflicting information in the media, making it difficult to discern the		
4839	truth about lockdown measures and other aspects of the pandemic. She noted: "there's been		
4840	conflicting news articles, and you can't always believe exactly everything they say. So, one minute I		
4841	don't know we're coming out of a lockdown. The next minute they're telling us, we're going into a		
4842	lockdown".		
4843	Frustration with media coverage was evident as she discussed the need to turn off the news at times to		
4844	maintain her sanity, stating: "It can get frustrating. So, I think there was a period of time throughout the		
4845	lockdown where I just had to turn off the news. Cause I didn't know what was going on. I didn't know		
4846	what to believe, so I just had to shut it out just to keep my sanity". Additionally, she acknowledged the		
4847	difficulties in assessing the accuracy of information, particularly for individuals without a medical		
4848	background.		
4849	Despite Maddison's frustration, she recognised the reliance on media for guidance and updates,		
4850	including information on travel restrictions. However, she found the inconsistency in travel restrictions		

4851 to be: *"a bit of a shambles"*. She also shared her perceptions of lockdown measures, suggesting a

- 4852 preference for extended lockdowns to prevent further restrictions and the back-and-forth nature of
- 4853 current measures. On the back and forth nature of advice Maddison mentioned: "We're all just a bit
- 4854 frustrated now. Going back and forth in this swinging of going into lockdowns, coming out of
- 4855 lockdowns, different advice. Different advice in the media. I'm personally frustrated".
- 4856 Maddison's views on pandemic management have changed over time, particularly in relation to
- 4857 vaccine hesitancy and the strictness of lockdown measures. She commented on the effectiveness of
- 4858 vaccination programs, contrasting the UK's success with Australia's fewer effective efforts. Maddison
- 4859 also touched on the role of media in public health communication, the resonance of health messages,
- 4860 the influence of celebrities on health communication, and the impact of conflicting messaging and
- 4861 rule-breaking by government officials on public perception.
- 4862 Maddison shared interesting insights in how having access to different media reporting on the vaccine,
- 4863 enabled her to see what was happening in the UK and her native Australia with regard to vaccine
- 4864 messaging: "I follow a couple of Australian newspapers still, and I can see there's a real difference in
- 4865 *terms of the vaccine. They've had a real weird vaccination messaging. I think there's a lot of hesitancy*
- 4866 in Australia to get the vaccine, there's been a lot of over-reporting of the AstraZeneca side effects and
- 4867 other precautions of taking the vaccine. They've had different messaging about the vaccinations in
- 4868 Australia compared to what we've had [in UK]".
- 4869 Maddison had some interesting views on how lockdowns continued to be communicated as a thing
- 4870 despite the changing circumstances of the pandemic, something which left her conflicted: *"At the*
- 4871 started I understood that we had to be in lockdown to prevent deaths and things like that. I still
- 4872 understand that now, but I don't know, this might be a bit contentious, but I don't know if we can keep
- 4873 locking everyone up. The deaths have become quite minimal now, or less than they were before, so I
- 4874 don't know if it's a suitable argument to keep locking everyone up over. So, my views have changed,
- 4875 especially now that the majority of us have been vaccinated".

1070	
4877	• Frustration with conflicting news coverage leading to news avoidance.
4878	• Recognition of interpretation challenges for those without medical background.
4879	Criticism of "shambles" in travel restriction communication.
4880	• Evolution of view on lockdown necessity as pandemic circumstances changed.
4881	• Comparative insight into UK versus Australian vaccine messaging.
4882	• Experience of media fatigue from constant back-and-forth advice changes.
4883	• Understanding of initial lockdown rationale but questioning continued use.
4884	• International perspective on different national approaches to vaccine communication.

5.2.20.1 PETs Derived from Maddison's Story

4885 5.3 General Public - Group Experiential Themes (GETs)

4886 Table 5: General Public GETs as derived from Personal Experiential Themes

Group Experiential Theme (GET)	Personal Experiential Themes (PETs)
Evolution of Media Trust and Consumption	• Evolution from initial religious news watching
	to avoidance (Ben)
	• Evolution from supporting early clear slogans
	to cynicism (Clifford)
	• Evolution of public trust from initial
	acceptance to questioning (Tariq)
	• Evolution from seeing pandemic as "them
	not us" issue (Chloe)
	• Initial dismissal as "just another news story"
	until personal incidents (Charles)
Information Source Hierarchy	• Clear hierarchy of trust in news sources
	(Kamran)
	• Strong preference for BBC News as trusted
	source (Lena)
	• Critical of BBC as government extension
	(Alice)
	• Complex relationship with news sources
	(Charles)
	• Trust limited to traditional reputable
	journalism sources (Kenneth)
Social Media Dynamics	Personal experience of social media fracturing
	friendships (Clifford)
	• View of social media as "complete disaster"
-------------------------------------	--
	creating echo chambers (Nigel)
	Complex view of social media platforms'
	varying utility (Ben)
	• Recognition of social media's dual role (Ritu)
	• Social media enabling unqualified voices
	(Chloe)
Mental Health and Media Consumption	• Strategic limitation of media consumption to
	manage anxiety (Lena)
	• Recognition of media's role in mental health
	impact (Tariq)
	• Strong anxiety reaction to media coverage
	creating panic (Howard)
	• Use of Facebook live performances for
	mental health maintenance (Clifford)
	• Experience of media fatigue (Maddison)
Public Understanding of Science	• Recognition of uncertainty as destabilising
	force (Kenneth)
	• Understanding of how scientific method
	conflicts with public trust (Ben)
	• Frustration with public's superficial grasp of
	scientific concepts (Sophie)
	Recognition of being susceptible to fake
	videos despite scientific background (Howard)

	Recognition of interpretation challenges
	without medical background (Maddison)
Government Communication Analysis	Criticism of "back-and-forth" messaging
	creating confusion (Kamran)
	• Internal perspective on chaotic government
	communication (Alice)
	Detailed analysis of government
	communication failures (Ritu)
	Critical view of government chaos preventing
	strategy (Kenneth)
	Criticism of UK government's unclear
	messaging (Graham)
International Perspectives	Active seeking of international media
	perspectives (Margaret)
	• International perspective on different national
	approaches (Maddison)
	• Reliance on international connections for
	broader perspective (Marcia)
	• Understanding of social media's varying role
	across countries (Kamran)
	• Recognition of proximity effect through Italy
	crisis (Nigel)

4888 5.4 Group Experiential Themes Across Healthcare Professionals & General Public

4889 <u>Table 6: HCP & General Public GETs</u>

Group Experiential Themes	
Healthcare Professionals	General Public
Professional Identity & Media Responsibility	Evolution of Media Trust & Consumption
Evolution of Pandemic Perception	Information Source Hierarchy
Strategic Information Management	Social Media Dynamics
Digital Communication Transformation	Mental Health & Media Consumption
Professional Versus Public Understanding	Public Understanding of Science
Impact on Professional Practise	Government Communication Analysis
Communication Clarity & Trust	International Perspectives

4890 6.0 Discussion

This chapter examines how the findings from this research relate to and extend the contemporary literature on media's role during the COVID-19 pandemic. Building upon the Group Experiential Themes (GETs) identified through cross-case analysis and detailed in the results chapter, this discussion explores how participants' lived experiences illuminate broader patterns in public health communication during a global crisis.

4896 The analysis process moved from individual accounts through Personal Experiential Themes (PETs)

4897 to identify overarching GETs that captured shared elements of the pandemic media experience.

4898 While preserving the idiographic nature of each participant's story, this systematic thematic

4899 development allowed broader insights to emerge regarding how social and mainstream media shaped

4900 public understanding and response.

4901 Each section of this discussion examines some of the key themes to emerge from this research in 4902 relation to existing literature, integrating illustrative examples from participant narratives to ground 4903 theoretical insights in lived experience. The chapter concludes by exploring the strengths and 4904 limitations of this research approach.

- 4905 6.1 Summary of Findings
- 4906 The research questions of this project included:
- How social and mainstream media shaped public perceptions and responses during the
 COVID-19 pandemic?"
- 49092. What were the lived experiences and understandings, among both medically qualified and4910 members of the public, of social and mainstream media broadcasting 15 months into the
- 4911 COVID-19 pandemic?
- 4912 Through the analysis of 40 in-depth interviews, this research revealed distinct patterns in how these
- 4913 two groups engaged with and were affected by pandemic-related media content.

4914 Analysis of the research findings revealed several key dimensions that directly address the central 4915 research questions. The first aim of the research was to: "Explore the impact of social and mainstream 4916 media on public understanding and behaviour during the COVID-19 pandemic". Regarding how 4917 social and mainstream media shaped public perceptions and responses, the data illuminated the 4918 emergence of complex information hierarchies and trust frameworks that evolved throughout the 4919 pandemic period.

4920 The second aim of the research was to: "Investigate the challenges of information dissemination and 4921 consumption in the contemporary media environment during a global health crisis". The research 4922 successfully identified critical challenges in information dissemination. The public generally 4923 demonstrated greater vulnerability to misinformation. The lived experiences of healthcare 4924 professionals and members of the public manifested distinct yet interconnected patterns. Healthcare 4925 professionals' narratives centered around themes of professional identity and media responsibility, 4926 reflecting their unique position at the intersection of information consumption and dissemination. 4927 Concurrently, the general public's accounts revealed evolving patterns of media trust and consumption 4928that shifted substantially as the pandemic progressed. Both cohorts demonstrated significant transitions 4929 in their pandemic perception over time, with mental health considerations and media consumption 4930 The fourth aim of the research was to: "Identify key factors influencing the effectiveness of public 4931 health communication during the pandemic". The findings demonstrated significant transformations 4932 in media consumption patterns, alongside the substantial impact of digital communication modalities 4933 on information dissemination. Furthermore, a nuanced interplay between professional and public 4934 understanding emerged, accompanied by notable psychological implications of sustained media 4935 exposure.

The following discussion, all shaped by participants' perspectives, provides a unique window into thelived realities of media engagement amidst the COVID-19 pandemic. The following sections examine

the findings of this research in relation to the contemporary literature, offering both theoretical insightsand practical implications for future public health communication strategies.

4940 6.2 Theoretical Framework & Findings

4941 The findings from this research can be understood through several key theoretical lenses established

4942 in communication and media studies. These theoretical frameworks help explain the complex

4943 dynamics observed in how social and mainstream media shaped public responses during the COVID-

4944 19 pandemic.

4959

4945 Source credibility theory (Hovland and Weiss 1951) provides valuable insight into why participants 4946 demonstrated varying levels of trust in different information sources. Members of the public in this 4947 study, such as Howard and Graham, expressed particular trust in figures like Professor Sir Jonathan 4948 Van Tam, illustrating how perceived expertise and trustworthiness can significantly influence message 4949 reception. Conversely, the general public's evolving trust patterns, particularly their scepticism towards 4950 celebrity endorsements as expressed by Tariq, align with source credibility theory's emphasis on the 4951 importance of perceived authenticity and expertise.

4952 Message framing theory (Tversky and Kahneman 1981) helps explain participants' reactions to

4953 different types of pandemic communication. The study revealed how gain-framed versus loss-framed

4954 messages affected public response, particularly evident in participants' reactions to government

4955 messaging. As Agnieszka noted, the importance of transparency and clear messaging from the start

4956 reflects Gallagher et al's findings that carefully framed messages can enhance the effectiveness of health

- 4957 communication strategies (Gallagher and Updegraff 2012).
- 4958 McLuhan's channel effects theory, proposing that the medium itself shapes message reception, was
- 4960 2012; McLuhan 1994). This was evidenced in how participants like Louise strategically used different
- 4961 platforms WhatsApp for close communication and Twitter for broader information gathering. The

particularly relevant given the modern multi-platform media environment (Gallagher and Updegraff

findings support Worchel et al's observation that media effectiveness depends on both message
alignment with audience beliefs and communicator trustworthiness (Worchel, Andreoli, and Eason
1975).

The evolution of health communication through social media platforms, as outlined by (Moorhead et al. 2013), was clearly demonstrated in this study. Participants' experiences reflected the benefits and challenges of social media in health communication, from increased accessibility to information quality concerns. This was particularly evident in how healthcare professionals like Peter navigated the challenges of moderating patient support groups during periods of intense information flow.

4970 6.3 The Looming Threat of Viral Misinformation

4971 The pre-pandemic warnings about viral misinformation as a significant public health threat (Larson

4972 2018) proved prescient during the COVID-19 pandemic. Larson's research on vaccine confidence,

4973 which surveyed 67 countries, had already identified how rapid spread of misinformation was

4974 undermining trust in vaccines before COVID-19 emerged. When the pandemic struck, the

4975 uncertainty surrounding the virus's aetiology and consequences created conditions where institutional

4976 communication often became misaligned with media coverage, resulting in an indistinguishable mix of

4977 misinformation, unverified rumours and intentionally manipulated disinformation (Larson 2020).

4978 This research found misinformation to be a significant concern, emerging as a key theme in 24 of the

4979 40 interviews. When discussing the rampant spread of misinformation during the COVID-19

4980 pandemic, most participants agreed it was propagated via social media channels. This concurred with4981 the findings from my narrative review, where it was discussed how the exponential rise in innovative

4982 online information sources, such as social media applications, have allowed for instantaneous global

4983 dissemination of misinformation through the 'virality' phenomenon (Denisova 2020).

4984 The experiences of these participants illustrated how source credibility theory (Hovland and Weiss

4985 1951) operates in practice - as traditional sources of authority competed with an expanding array of

voices across social media platforms. Healthcare professionals demonstrated distinct patterns in their
approach to misinformation, with Personal Experiential Themes (PETs) revealing a strong sense of
professional duty to correct misinformation (Aiste) and commitment to verified information in patient
communication (Simon).

4990 The general public's experience with misinformation manifested differently, as evidenced by the

4991 Group Experiential Theme (GET) of 'Evolution of Media Trust and Consumption'. Participants like

4992 Ben described an evolution from initial "religious" watching of news to avoidance, while Clifford's

4993 journey from supporting early clear slogans to cynicism exemplifies how trust in information sources

4994 evolved over time. This aligns with channel effects theory (McLuhan 1964), demonstrating how

4995 different media platforms influenced message reception and trust.

4996 Larson's emphasis on monitoring news and social media for early signals of misinformation remains 4997 relevant. The findings from this research, particularly through the lens of 'Professional Identity and 4998 Media Responsibility' GET, showed how healthcare professionals like Peter navigated these 4999 challenges. Peter's experience with Facebook's approach to content moderation - placing responsibility 5000 on group owners while threatening closure for misinformation - illustrated the complex dynamics of 5001 managing health information in social media spaces. This is one strategy that could be considered to 5002 combat disinformation.

5003 Furthermore, Larson's work emphasises the importance of dialogue and engagement in combating

5004 misinformation. The author's argument that addressing existing perceptions and building trust is

5005 crucial goes beyond simply providing educational materials and resources. My narrative review also

5006 discusses how the concept of trust can be complicated when attempting to distinguish between mis-

5007 and disinformation, whether false information has been disseminated unintentionally or deliberately as

- 5008 a propaganda strategy by individuals with vested interests. This approach acknowledges the complexity
- 5009 of vaccine hesitancy and the need for meaningful conversations to address concerns and
- 5010 misconceptions. Interestingly Agnieszka, Evelyn, Margaret, and Maddison all touched on the

5011	importance of empathy and incorporating it into all COVID-19 communications whether that be via
5012	mass media or in one-to-one doctor patient consultations.
5013	The lived experiences of participants revealed several key dimensions of the misinformation challenge
5014	1. Professional Response: Healthcare professionals demonstrated a strategic approach to
5015	managing misinformation, as evidenced by their PETs around professional duty and
5016	information verification.
5017	2. Trust Dynamics: Both healthcare professionals and the public showed evolving patterns of
5018	trust, with many developing more sophisticated approaches to evaluating information sources
5019	over time.
5020	3. Platform Dynamics: Social media emerged as both a primary vector for misinformation and a
5021	potential tool for combating it, something critical to consider for future pandemics given the
5022	ubiquitous nature of the medium.
5023	4. Impact on Practice: The 'Impact on Professional Practice' GET revealed how managing
5024	misinformation created additional burdens for healthcare professionals, with Christina
5025	reporting exhaustion from managing misinformation in patient interactions.
5026	6.3.1 Countering Misinformation & Encouraging Critical Appraisal
5027	The challenge of countering misinformation during the COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the

5028 importance of critical appraisal skills in the modern media environment. Through the lens of

5029 Schiavo's framework for effective health communication, which emphasises evidence-based and

5030 audience-specific approaches, this research revealed distinct patterns in how different groups

- 5031 approached information evaluation (Schiavo 2013).
- 5032 The 'Professional Understanding Versus Public Understanding' GET illuminated significant
- 5033 differences within the sample population studied in how healthcare professionals and the general
- 5034 public approached critical appraisal. Healthcare professionals demonstrated what (Stephens, Sarkar,

and Lazarus 2022) describe as uncertainty tolerance, developed through their professional training.
This was evidenced in the participants' PETs, with Hannah recognising the privilege of accessing
research journals, and Pravin expressing concern about public confusion when even medical
professionals were uncertain.

5039 For the general public participants', the 'Public Understanding of Science' GET revealed more

5040 complex dynamics. Kenneth's recognition of uncertainty as a destabilising force and Ben's

5041 understanding of how scientific method conflicts with public trust demonstrated the challenges of

5042 critical appraisal without professional training. Even those with scientific backgrounds, like Howard,

5043 acknowledged being susceptible to misleading content, highlighting the sophisticated nature of modem

5044 misinformation.

5045 Critical appraisal emerged as particularly crucial in social media environments, where McLuhan's 5046 channel effects theory helps explain how platform characteristics influence message reception. Martin 5047 and Alice's strong advocacy for source evaluation reflected an understanding of how digital platforms 5048 can both facilitate and complicate information assessment. This aligns with Moorhead et al's 5049 observations about social media's growing role in health communication and its associated challenges 5050 (Moorhead et al. 2013).

5051 This research identified three key approaches to promoting critical appraisal:

50521. Encouraging diverse source consultation: Participants who actively sought varied information5053sources, including different news outlets, blogs, podcasts, and expert opinions, reported5054feeling better equipped to evaluate information quality.

50552. Developing media literacy: Hannah's observation about the British education system's failure5056to teach critical thinking points to a broader need for enhanced media literacy education.

5057 3. *Professional-public bridge building*: The 'Professional Identity and Media Responsibility'

5058GET revealed how healthcare professionals like Aiste took on roles in helping others evaluate5059information, suggesting a potential model for improving public critical appraisal skills.

- 226 -

5060 However, these approaches represent long-term solutions requiring sustained investment in public 5061 education and health communication infrastructure. As evidenced by the 'Evolution of Media Trust 5062and Consumption' GET, participants' ability to critically evaluate information evolved at significantly 5063 different rates throughout the pandemic, with marked differences between healthcare professionals 5064 and the general public. This suggests that while crisis periods can accelerate the development of critical 5065 appraisal skills for some when supported by appropriate guidance and resources, others may respond 5066by disengaging entirely from information sources - a finding that has important implications for future 5067 pandemic communication strategies.

5068 6.3.2 More Than Merely Misinformation?

5069 There was a complicated interconnected dynamic occurring across social media driving the COVID-5070 19 infodemic, comprising both willing and unwilling participants in the spreading of mal-, mis- and dis-5071 information. A dynamic that is worth exploring in the context of interpreting the results of this research 5072project. While deliberate COVID-19 disinformation campaigns, designed to disseminate confusion 5073 and fear, have been documented (Swan 2020) it is not correct to attribute the scale of the infodemic to 5074 them alone. The COVID-19 infodemic appears to have been sustained and spread beyond the usually 5075 naturally constrictive geographical boundaries by a wider group of online political participants who 5076 ended up propagating misinformation inadvertently. This has been labelled as a 'paradox of 5077 participation' and is a well-documented phenomena most likely to occur through for example those 5078 engaging enthusiastically in online political debate – generating peer-to-peer misinformation 5079 transmission (Valenzuela et al. 2019). This situation becomes further complicated by new users 5080 coming to either the disinformation or misinformation, adding their own misleading commentary and 5081sharing to their networks (Anspach and Carlson 2020). This additional commentary fuels a further 5082 dynamic whereby social media users are more likely to share and spread content from those they trust 5083 (Buchanan and Benson 2019), more likely to believe its validity (Sterrett et al. 2019), more likely to 5084attribute importance to the issue (Feezell 2018) and more likely to later trust the source (e.g. external 5085website) upon which their connection added commentary (Turcotte et al. 2015). This sequence clearly 5086 has consequences for the successful communication of public health messaging. The situation however 5087 spirals further still as the more widely content is endorsed, via liking, tweeting, re-tweeting with 5088 comments or sharing, the more likely it is to be further trusted/shared (Luo, Hancock, and Markowitz 5089 2022) via a 'bandwagon heuristic' (Sundar 2008). The more people are subjected to content containing 5090 claims created and compounded in this way by multiple social media users, the more they are at risk 5091of falling victim to an 'illusory truth effect' - wherein individuals have greater confidence in in the 5092 truthfulness of a claim given past exposure (Pennycook, Bear, et al. 2020).

5093 6.4 Variable Individual Impact of COVID-19 Related Media

5094 Following review of the literature, there is limited contemporaneous research examining the lived

5095 experience and impact of media output and consumption during the pandemic. This research,

5096 examining the phenomena 15 months into the COVID-19 pandemic, provides valuable insights into

5097 how different groups processed and responded to pandemic-related media content, illuminated

5098 through the lens of established communication theories.

5099 The markedly variable responses from participants illustrate how message framing theory (Tversky 5100 and Kahneman 1981) operates in real-world crisis scenarios. The 'Evolution of Pandemic Perception' 5101 GET among healthcare professionals and 'Evolution of Media Trust and Consumption' GET among 5102the public revealed a common pattern: the moment of realisation regarding COVID-19's seriousness 5103 typically occurred when something deeply personal was affected. Whether it was the cancellation of 5104professional conferences, disruption to medical training, postponement of football matches, or exam 5105cancellations, this finding suggests that message impact is significantly influenced by personal relevance 5106 rather than abstract risk communication alone.

5107 This personalisation effect was particularly evident in the transformation of individual perspectives.

5108 Becky's journey from being a: "Naysayer at the beginning, thinking it was all a storm in a teacup" to

5109 changing her position after contracting COVID-19 early in the pandemic exemplifies how direct

- 228 -

5110 experience altered media message reception. This aligns with Worchel et al's findings about message
5111 acceptance being influenced by alignment with personal experience (Worchel, Andreoli, and Eason
5112 1975).

The 'Professional Versus Public Understanding' GET revealed how different groups processed
pandemic information. Healthcare professionals, as evidenced by their PETs, demonstrated what
(Geller, Faden, and Levine 1990) describe as professional tolerance for uncertainty. However, this
professional perspective sometimes created tensions, as seen in Pravin's concern about public
confusion when even medical professionals were uncertain.
Anjali's observation about the pandemic affecting everyone differently highlights the importance of

5119 what (Schiavo 2013) terms 'audience-specific' health communication. While mainstream media

5120 operated under regulatory frameworks regarding content, tone and volume, social media platforms

5121 operated with different imperatives, reaching and impacting upon comparable audience sizes but in 5122 the absence of regulation.

5123 This research identified three key patterns in individual media impact:

- Professional identity influence: Healthcare professionals' responses were shaped by their
 'Professional Identity and Media Responsibility' GET, with many feeling a duty to interpret
 and contextualise information for others.
- Mental health considerations: Both groups' experiences aligned with the 'Mental Health and Media Consumption' GET, though their coping strategies differed. Lena and Claudia's strategy of selective media avoidance contrasted with Margaret's intensive engagement that ultimately affected her son's anxiety levels.
- 5131 3. *Media platform effects*: Supporting McLuhan's channel effects theory, participants'
- 5132 experiences varied significantly based on their primary information sources. The 'Strategic
- 5133 Information Management' GET among healthcare professionals revealed more sophisticated
- 5134 approaches to platform selection and information filtering.

- 229 -

5135 6.4.1 Initial Disbelief & Rolling Realisation

5136 Initial disbelief emerged as a consistent theme across both healthcare professionals and the public,

5137 though their journeys to acceptance followed distinct patterns aligned with their respective GETs.

5138 Through the lens of message framing theory, this widespread initial scepticism suggests limitations in

5139 early pandemic communication strategies.

5140 The 'Evolution of Pandemic Perception' GET among healthcare professionals revealed how even

5141 those with medical training initially underestimated the threat. This aligns with Stephens et al's work

5142 on medical uncertainty, suggesting that even professional uncertainty tolerance has limitations when

5143 confronting novel threats (Stephens, Sarkar, and Lazarus 2022). That this view was shared by Nigel, a

5144 news presenter, reinforces how deeply this initial scepticism penetrated across professional

5145 boundaries.

5146 For the general public, the 'Evolution of Media Trust and Consumption' GET documented a clear

5147 progression: from seeing COVID-19 as a "storm in a teacup" or a problem "many miles away," to

5148 gradual realisation through geographic proximity (particularly with Italy's outbreak), and finally to full

5149 recognition with domestic lockdowns. The temporal nature of this realisation process supports

5150 McLuhan's channel effects theory, as different media channels carried varying levels of impact at

5151 different stages of the pandemic (McLuhan 1994).

5152 This research identified three critical factors in this evolution from disbelief to acceptance:

51531. Geographic proximity: Supporting source credibility theory, information about distant5154outbreaks carried less weight than geographically closer events, particularly the Italian crisis.

5155 2. *Personal impact*: As evidenced through both groups' PETs, the moment of realisation often 5156 coincided with direct personal impact rather than abstract warnings.

- 230 -

51573. Professional framework: Healthcare professionals' experiences, captured in their 'Professional5158Identity and Media Responsibility' GET, showed how their clinical knowledge both helped5159and sometimes hindered early threat recognition.

5160 Looking toward future pandemics, particularly those with higher pathogenicity or mortality rates,

addressing this pattern of initial disbelief becomes crucial. The situation with COVID-19 was

5162 complicated by asymptomatic transmission, but as Agnieszka noted, there was a need to be

5163 "completely open from the start" rather than what she perceived as information being "gatekept" from5164 the public.

5165 The traditional "command and control" approach to communication, which Owen referenced,

5166 appears increasingly challenging in today's media environment. As demonstrated by both groups'

5167 GETs around information consumption, the democratised and decentralised nature of modern

5168 communication platforms requires new approaches to early warning message delivery. This aligns with

5169 Moorhead et al's observations about social media's growing role in health communication, suggesting

5170 need for more sophisticated early warning strategies that account for modern media consumption

5171 patterns (Moorhead et al. 2013).

5172 6.4.2 Factors Driving COVID-19 Related Anxiety

5173 Contemporaneous research has documented high levels of COVID-19 related anxiety and stress even

5174 in countries with low disease incidence (Newby et al. 2020; Lim et al. 2021). While traditional

5175 epidemiological models would predict anxiety levels proportional to local disease prevalence,

5176 hospitalisation rates, and mortality (Gellert and Gellert 2022), this research revealed how modern

- 5177 media ecosystems disrupted this relationship.
- 5178 The 'Mental Health and Media Consumption' GET among the general public revealed sophisticated
- 5179 patterns of anxiety management. Participants like Lena demonstrated strategic limitation of media
- 5180 consumption to manage anxiety, while others like Tariq showed clear recognition of media's role in

5181	mental health impact. This aligns with McLuhan's channel effects theory, suggesting that the medium
5182	of message delivery significantly influenced anxiety levels (McLuhan 1994, 1964).
5183	For healthcare professionals, the 'Impact on Professional Practice' GET revealed distinct anxiety
5184	patterns. Christina's experience of professional isolation and exhaustion from managing
5185	misinformation in patient interactions exemplifies the unique stressors faced by medical professionals.
5186	This supports Geller et al's work on medical uncertainty, showing how professional training in
5187	managing uncertainty may be overwhelmed during prolonged crises (Geller, Faden, and Levine 1990).
5188	This research identified three key factors driving anxiety:
5189	1. Media volume: The commercial imperatives of both mainstream media and social media
5190	influencers drove high volumes of COVID-related content, with advertising revenues
5191	dependent on engagement metrics.
5192	2. Geographic distortion: Despite typically strong preferences for domestic news consumption,
5193	social media enabled rapid global information flow, creating anxiety disconnected from local
5194	risk levels.
5195	3. <i>Cultural context</i> : International comparisons revealed varying approaches to media coverage.
5196	Australian media's evidence-based scientific focus contrasted with U.S. coverage reflecting
5197	political and cultural polarisation (Bridgman et al. 2021), contributing to misalignment
5198	between perceived and actual risk.
5199	The lived experiences of participants in this research confirmed media's role in anxiety generation,
5200	though responses varied significantly. The 'Strategic Information Management' GET among
5201	healthcare professionals revealed more structured approaches to managing information flow, while the
5202	public showed more varied responses. Some, like Lena and Claudia, chose media avoidance to
5203	manage negative feelings, while Margaret's anxiety manifested in concerns about her son's wellbeing.

5204 Through the lens of message framing theory, Radha's description of the pandemic as "exhausting"

5205 underscores the need for balanced information consumption. This supports Schiavo's emphasis on

5206 audience-specific health communication, suggesting need for guidance on managing media

5207 consumption during prolonged health crises (Schiavo 2013). The findings show this balance was

5208 crucial for maintaining essential information flow and social connection during isolation, while

5209 avoiding the mental and physical fatigue experienced by Radha, Sophie, Ivana, and Ben.

5210 6.5 Social Media - The Beauty, The Beast & The Double Edged Sword

5211 The impact of social media during the COVID-19 pandemic represents a significant evolution in

5212 health communication from previous global health crises. Through the lens of McLuhan's channel

5213 effects theory, social media platforms created novel dynamics in information flow and reception that

5214 traditional communication theories struggle to fully explain (McLuhan 1994). Schiavo's work

5215 recognises the prominence of social media platforms, networks and communities with regard to their

5216 important role in health communication (Schiavo 2013). This interplay is explored in further detail in

5217 *Chapter 7.0 Conclusions & Recommendations'.*

5218 Previous IPA research examining social media's impact during the pandemic, such as Keles et al's 5219 study of adolescents' experiences, identified a duality of positive and negative effects (Keles, Grealish, 5220 and Leamy 2023). However, the findings from this research, drawing from a broader adult population, 5221 revealed more complex patterns, particularly evident in the 'Social Media Dynamics' GET among the 5222 general public.

5223 Of the 40 participants, only seven (Christina, Graham, Nadeem, Kenneth, Sakura, Becky, and

5224 Maddison) reported minimal social media impact on their pandemic experience. For the majority,

5225 social media functioned as what (Moorhead et al. 2013) describe as a "double-edged sword" -

5226 simultaneously providing valuable connection and information while potentially amplifying anxiety and

5227 misinformation.

5228 This research revealed distinct patterns in social media engagement:

- 52291. Professional use: The 'Digital Communication Transformation' GET among healthcare5230professionals showed adaptation to new communication methods, exemplified by Peter's5231experience managing patient advocacy on digital platforms and Owen's recognition of global5232communication's unprecedented value.
- 5233 2. Public response: The 'Social Media Dynamics' GET revealed complex experiences, from
 5234 Clifford's personal experience of social media fracturing friendships to Nigel's view of it as a
 5235 "complete disaster" creating echo chambers.
- 5236 3. *Strategic management*: Some participants developed sophisticated approaches to platform use.
 5237 Louise's creation of a WhatsApp group to filter Twitter news for friends demonstrates what
 5238 (Schiavo 2013) terms 'audience-specific' communication adaptation.
- 5239 4. Disengagement Patterns: Tariq's experience exemplifies the evolution from using social media
 5240 as escape to avoiding it due to negativity, ultimately leading to disengagement from NHS
 5241 public health messaging a concerning outcome for health communication effectiveness.
- The findings support Worchel et al's theory about message reception being influenced by medium and source credibility, particularly evident in how participants like Simon made conscious decisions about social media use based on observed impacts on user wellbeing (Worchel, Andreoli, and Eason 1975). The 'Information Source Hierarchy' GET revealed how participants developed sophisticated frameworks for evaluating different platforms' reliability.

5247 Through the lens of source credibility theory (Hovland and Weiss 1951), social media's impact on

5248 public health communication reveals particular challenges. The democratisation of information

5249 sharing, while potentially beneficial for community engagement, complicated message credibility

- 5250 assessment. This was especially evident in the 'Professional Versus Public Understanding' GET, where
- 5251 healthcare professionals struggled to maintain authoritative voice amid competing narratives.

5252 Generally, participants who used social media in moderation in combination with other media sources 5253 as well as face to face interaction were happier. Simon was unusual in that he made a conscious 5254 decision early on in the development of social media, where he realised people using it were not 5255 particularly happier - hence he avoided it. Tariq summed up his experience with regards to how 5256 negative he found social media during the pandemic, lamenting he used to go on social media to 5257 escape the real world, whereas now both he and his friends prefer to stay in the real world to avoid the 5258 constant negativity of social media. This ended up having a negative effect on the desired behaviours 5259 with Tariq disengaging from government public health messaging saving: "I was fed up (by Facebook). 5260I've actually hidden all adverts by the NHS. Yeah. I (just) can't be bothered with it". Interestingly, 5261 some participants felt the government's use of social media could be increased - once again 5262highlighting diverging views on the topic. The interview findings validate the concept discussed in the 5263 literature review of the "double-edged sword" nature of media and how it can be both a positive and

5264 negative force.

5265 6.5.1 The Consumption of News on Social Media

5266 The consumption of news on social media is not limited to content delivery but also includes the 5267 conversations that take place in the comments section. This is a vital consideration for pandemic 5268 communications. Simon likened traditional news broadcasts to a lecture, while social media was more 5269akin to a conversation. This new dynamic brought an element of immediate feedback on government 5270 slogans from the public via social media. One such example was the immediate and widespread 5271 criticism across social media and mocking of the UK governments 'Stay Alert' slogan. Figure 1 is a 5272 collection of screenshots showing how quickly this slogan received immediate feedback on social 5273 media upon its release in May 2020. This demonstrates both the highly interactive nature of these 5274 platforms as well as their ability for people to coalesce, communicate and capture the public mood. 5275Interestingly many of the ways the slogan was altered correlates with the thoughts and topics covered in 5276 this research, whether that be Barnard Castle, Trump and bleach, Hancock, or the economic choices

- 5277 and decisions to be made. This is also a particularly nice example of how social and mainstream media
- 5278 are interconnected with a Mail Online <u>article</u> (Middleton 2020) being published driving further
- 5279 engagement of 2.1k shares and 1.8k comments, to another audience. This nicely demonstrates the
- 5280 highly interactive and connected nature of these platforms. This distinction has implications for future
- 5281 pandemics, which could be further explored as a way to improve health communication, as algorithms
- 5282 also serve up content based on users' friends and their opinions.
- 5283 Figure 1: Collection of 'Stay Alert' memes demonstrating social media's ability for people to coalesce,
- 5284 <u>communicate and immediately contribute their thoughts on public health messaging.</u>



5285

5286 6.6 Role of Celebrity Influencers & Media Doctors

5287 Champion and Skinner's Health Belief Model (HBM) provides a valuable framework for
5288 understanding how different message sources influenced health behaviours during the pandemic
5289 (Champion and Skinner 2008). The research revealed distinct patterns in how participants responded
5290 to celebrity influencers versus medical professionals, illuminating important considerations for future
5291 health communication strategies.

5292 MacKay et al.'s study of Canadian influencers' crisis messaging on Instagram identified varying

5293 engagement rates across different source types (MacKay et al. 2022). However, this research's findings

5294 diverge from MacKay's conclusions regarding celebrity effectiveness. While MacKay found higher

5295 engagement rates for celebrities and brand influencers compared to health authorities, participants in

5296 this study generally expressed scepticism toward celebrity involvement in health messaging, though this

5297 could reflect sample characteristics.

5298 The 'Professional Identity and Media Responsibility' GET revealed how healthcare professionals 5299 approached public communication, with emphasis on evidence-based information and clear 5300 messaging. This aligns with source credibility theory (Hovland and Weiss 1951), as participants 5301 generally expressed greater trust in medical professionals who could communicate complex 5302 information accessibly.

5303 Three key patterns emerged regarding message source effectiveness:

Celebrity impact: While Kamran observed that "a lot of my friends would be more likely to
 take the opinions of another friend or a celebrity," most participants expressed scepticism
 toward celebrity-endorsed health information, highlighting potential limitations in their role as
 health message carriers.

5308
 2. *Medical authority*: Through the lens of the 'Government Communication Analysis' GET,
 5309 participants like Margaret noted how medical leaders would "cut through the fluff of the

- 237 -

politicians," with figures like Professors Whitty and Van Tam described as "two very straight
forward clearly intelligent people, doing the best they can."

Trust dynamics: This research revealed how trust in medical authorities could be undermined
by political interference, supporting McLuhan's theory about medium affecting message

- 5314 reception. The disappearance of trusted scientific voices like Professor Van Tam prompted
- 5315 concern among participants like Graham.

5316 The findings suggest that while celebrities may have extensive reach and influence, their effectiveness 5317 in health communication requires careful consideration. The 'Public Understanding of Science' GET 5318 revealed how participants valued clear, evidence-based communication from credible medical sources. 5319 This aligns with HBM's emphasis on perceived benefits and barriers to action, suggesting that medical 5320 professionals' expertise adds credibility to health behaviour recommendations.

The research also highlighted the importance of medical communicators possessing both expertise and effective communication skills. This dual requirement supports Schiavo's framework for effective health communication, emphasising the need for messages to be both evidence-based and audienceappropriate (Schiavo 2013). Building trust in these expert voices proved essential for enhancing public health messaging effectiveness and countering potential negative effects of conflicting media influences.

5326 6.7 Centralised "Command & Control" Approach to Communications & The Role of

5327 'Unofficial' Media Medics

The centralisation of communication during the early pandemic period revealed significant tensions between traditional public health approaches and modern media dynamics. The Royal Society of Medicine's expert panel highlighted concerns about this centralised approach, particularly regarding its impact on local engagement and the suppression of individual doctors' contributions to public communication (Medicine 2021). This research's findings provide valuable insights into how this tension manifested in practice.

- 238 -

The 'Professional Identity and Media Responsibility' GET revealed how healthcare professionals
navigated these constraints. Owen's experience was particularly telling, noting that general
practitioners, despite being experts in translating complex science into comprehensible messaging for
patients, were largely excluded from the national response. This observation aligns with Schiavo's
emphasis on the importance of multi-level stakeholder engagement in effective health communication
(Schiavo 2013).

5340 The following key patterns emerged in how this centralised approach affected communication:

- Local-national disconnect: The 'Digital Communication Transformation' GET showed how
 healthcare professionals adapted, with Peter's experience demonstrating how patient advocacy
 groups needed to navigate both central directives and local needs.
- 5344
 2. *Trust implications*: Suppression of medical professionals' voices inadvertently suggested
 5345
 5346
 5346
 5346
 5347
 5347
 5347
- 3. Adaptive responses: The research revealed how frontline medical professionals utilised
 available social media tools to circumvent centralised controls, creating what McLuhan might
 term new channels of communication to reach wider populations.

Lena's observation that the medical community needed to act as a "connector or bridge" between national messaging and local implications highlights the limitations of centralised communication approaches. This aligns with Moorhead et al's findings about social media's growing role in health communication, suggesting need for more flexible, multi-level communication strategies (Moorhead et al. 2013).

- 5356 In the context of increasing emphasis on trust and authenticity in online communication, this research 5357 suggests that equipping local healthcare professionals with media skills and resources might prove
- 5358 more effective than attempting centralised control. This approach would better align with modern

5359 media environments' democratised and decentralised nature, while maintaining professional standards
5360 through appropriate training and support.

5361 6.8 Filter Bubbles, The Information Age, Echo Chambers, Algorithmic Awareness &

- 5362 Their Effects on Public Perception in a Pandemic
- 5363 At a meta level, this research identified four key themes specific to social media's role during the
- 5364 pandemic: filter bubbles, the information age phenomenon, echo chambers, and algorithmic
- awareness. These themes, when examined through established communication theories, provide
- 5366 insight into how modern media environments shaped pandemic perceptions.
- 5367 The 'Strategic Information Management' GET among healthcare professionals and the 'Information
- 5368 Source Hierarchy' GET among the public revealed sophisticated patterns of information consumption
- 5369 and filtering, though with notably different approaches based on professional background.

5370 6.8.1 Filter Bubbles

- 5371 Filter bubbles, a term popularised by (Pariser 2011), emerged as crucial contributors to the fragmented 5372 online social media environment. Pariser's argument that filter bubbles limit serendipitous learning 5373 and cross-disciplinary insight gained new relevance in the pandemic context, particularly evident in the
- 5374 'Social Media Dynamics' GET.
- 5375 This research revealed varying responses to these filter bubble effects:
- *Passive consumption*: Many participants described becoming passive consumers of content,
 influenced by social media's exploitation of dopamine pathways.
- 5378 2. Active disengagement: Some participants, like Anjali, actively disengaged due to stress.
- 5379
 3. *Strategic management*: Others, like Louise, deliberately leveraged filter bubble mechanics,
 5380
 creating curated WhatsApp groups to filter Twitter news for others.

5381	These varying responses align with McLuhan's channel effects theory, demonstrating how medium
5382	characteristics influence both message reception and user behaviour. Louise's approach, as a medical
5383	professional curating information for others, represents what (Schiavo 2013) terms audience-specific
5384	health communication adaptation.
5385	6.8.2 Echo Chambers
5386	The research supported Cinelli's findings about social media's role in limiting exposure to diverse
5387	perspectives and reinforcing shared narratives (Cinelli et al. 2021). The 'Evolution of Media Trust and
5388	Consumption' GET revealed how these echo chamber effects influenced pandemic information
5389	reception.
5390	Key findings to emerge from this research regarding echo chambers included:
5391	1. <i>Platform variation</i> : Different platforms showed varying levels of user segregation, supporting
5392	Cinelli's observation about Facebook's higher segregation compared to other platforms.
5393	2. Professional awareness: Healthcare professionals, through their 'Professional Versus Public
5394	Understanding' GET, showed greater awareness of echo chamber effects.
5395	3. Impact on trust: The research supported (Bruns 2019) argument that social and societal
5396	factors, not just technological ones, underpin these phenomena.
5397	Echo chambers, mentioned specifically by Nigel, Louise, Hannah, Sebastian, and Claudia,
5398	demonstrated how pre-existing beliefs could be reinforced and amplified. Hannah's experience of
5399	losing a friend to conspiracy theories represents a particularly compelling example of echo chambers'
5400	potential to divide communities and hinder coordinated public health efforts.
5401	6.8.3 The Information Age: A Time of Information Overload & Information Disorder
5402	The contemporary media environment, powered by social media and billions of connected devices,
5403	exemplifies what (Floridi 2014) terms the 'Information Age'. The research revealed how this

5404	unprecedented volume of information - enough daily to fill all US libraries eight times over - affected
5405	pandemic communication reception and processing.

5406 The 'Strategic Information Management' GET among healthcare professionals revealed sophisticated

5407 approaches to managing information flow. Owen's detailed discussion of social media feeds as

5408 essential resources for real-time updates demonstrates both the benefits and challenges of this new

5409 information ecosystem. This aligns with Zubiaga et al's observations about social media enabling

5410 immediate global information sharing (Zubiaga et al. 2016), though with limited trusted intermediation

5411 (Eysenbach 2008).

5412 This research identified two critical challenges in the Information Age:

5413 1. Information Overload: Supporting Melinat et al's findings, participants struggled to

5414 discriminate between relevant and irrelevant sources (Melinat, Kreuzkam, and Stamer 2014).

5415 This was particularly evident in the 'Public Understanding of Science' GET, where even those

5416 with scientific backgrounds reported difficulty managing information volume.

5417 2. Information Disorder: The research confirmed Wardle and Derakhshan's description of

5418 online ecosystems being 'polluted' with mal-, mis- and dis-information (Wardle and

5419 Derakhshan 2017). This manifested in both healthcare professional and public experiences,

5420 though with different impacts based on professional knowledge.

5421 6.8.4 Algorithmic Awareness

5422 This research extends Greene et al's findings about social media during quarantine, revealing more

5423 nuanced patterns of algorithmic awareness across different user groups (Greene et al. 2022). The

5424 'Digital Communication Transformation' GET showed varying levels of understanding about how

5425 social media algorithms shape information exposure.

5426 Key findings from this research regarding algorithmic awareness included:

- 5427
 Professional variation: Healthcare professionals like Louise and Martin demonstrated
 5428 sophisticated understanding of algorithms through their content creation experience, though
 5429 they were in the minority.
- *Adaptive behaviours*: Some participants, like Radha, actively attempted to influence their
 algorithmic feeds, showing awareness of how platform mechanics affect information exposure.
- 5432
 3. *Limited understanding*: Most participants, despite regular social media use, demonstrated
 5433
 5434
 Greene et al's findings about variable algorithmic literacy.

5435 These findings suggest potential for intervention in increasing public understanding of the algorithms 5436 that serve them content. This aligns with source credibility theory (Hovland and Weiss 1951), as 5437 understanding how information is algorithmically selected could enhance users' ability to evaluate 5438 source reliability. Such awareness could promote more balanced media consumption patterns, 5439 particularly valuable during future health crises.

5440 6.9 Impact of Infodemics

5441 The World Health Organization's declaration of a parallel infodemic during the COVID-19

5442 pandemic (Hua and Shaw 2020) highlighted a new dimension in public health crisis management.

5443 Their definition of an infodemic as 'too much information including false or misleading information in

5444 digital and physical environments during a disease outbreak' (WHO 2023) gained particular relevance

5445 in the social media age, where information, especially when false, spreads rapidly across networks.

5446 The research findings support Vosoughi et al's observation that false news generally spreads faster than

5447 factual information, partly due to its novel nature and capacity to generate emotional responses

5448 (Vosoughi, Roy, and Aral 2018). This was evident in both the 'Social Media Dynamics' GET among

5449 the public and the 'Professional Identity and Media Responsibility' GET among healthcare

5450 professionals.

5451 This research revealed several key findings relevant to infodemic pathways:

54521. Professional response: The 'Impact on Professional Practice' GET showed how healthcare5453professionals struggled with increasing volumes of misinformation. Christina's experience of5454exhaustion from managing misinformation in patient interactions exemplifies the practical5455challenges this created.

- *Platform dynamics*: Supporting Bridgman et al's findings, content spread rapidly across
 geographic boundaries despite usual preferences for domestic media networks (Bridgman et
 al. 2021). This was evident in participants accessing international news sources and sharing
 second-hand experiences from global contacts.
- 54603. Trust implications: The 'Evolution of Media Trust and Consumption' GET revealed how5461exposure to conflicting information sources affected trust in health messaging, aligning with5462source credibility theory (Hovland and Weiss 1951).

5463 This research identified a complex interconnected dynamic driving the COVID-19 infodemic,

5464 involving both willing and unwilling participants in spreading mal-, mis- and dis-information. While

5465 deliberate disinformation campaigns existed (Swan 2020), the research also supports Valenzuela et al's

5466 concept of a 'paradox of participation' which meant well-intentioned enthusiastic online engagement

5467 inadvertently propagated misinformation (Valenzuela et al. 2019).

5468 This dynamic was further complicated by what (Anspach and Carlson 2020) describe as layered

5469 sharing, where users added potentially misleading commentary when sharing content. This research

5470 concurs with contemporaneous work showing how this concept of "layered sharing" created cascading

- 5471 effects during the COVID-19 pandemic:
- 5472 Users were more likely to share content from trusted connections (Buchanan and Benson
 5473 2019).
- This increased likelihood of believing content validity (Sterrett et al. 2019).

5475

• It led to greater attribution of importance to issues (Feezell 2018).

5476 Users became more likely to trust external sources referenced by connections (Turcotte et al.
5477 2015).

5478 Significantly, while 'infodemic' wasn't explicitly mentioned by participants, misinformation concerns

5479 featured prominently, particularly among healthcare professionals (16 of 24 mentioning

5480 misinformation were medically qualified). This suggests even highly qualified individuals may be

5481 unaware of their potential role in propagating infodemic dynamics, supporting the need for broader

5482 education about how individual social media interactions can be amplified across geographies.

5483 Additionally, the importance of addressing infodemics through further research is highlighted in the

5484 narrative review in Chapter 2.0, given the level of detriment they bring to the finding of solutions and

5485 the fear they spread across communities (Cinelli et al. 2020).

5486 6.10 Consequences of Politicising & Sensationalising COVID-19 Content

5487 Van Scov et al's research on public anxiety and distrust during early COVID-19 media messaging 5488provides a valuable framework for understanding this research's findings about politicisation and 5489 sensationalism (Van Scoy et al. 2021). Their large-scale study (n=121,573) identified key themes that 5490 resonated strongly with the experiences captured in both healthcare professional and public GETs. 5491 In summary Van Scoy et al's study examined public perceptions of COVID-19 messaging during the 5492 early stages of the pandemic, with a significant sample size using a mixed methodological approach. 5493 The study found that flawed messaging, confusion, distrust, and anxiety were prevalent among the 5494 public. These are all themes that resonated with participants in this research 15 months into the 5495 pandemic. Such practices were found to lead to anxiety, panic, and irrational behaviour, as well as a 5496 skewed perception of the overall threat posed by the pandemic. This is further supported by the 5497 findings from Chapter 2 of the narrative literature review, which highlighted the complex relationship 5498 between media and psychological health. Sensationalism undermines the role of media as a reliable

5499	source of information and can hinder coordinated public health efforts by fostering confusion,
5500	mistrust, and getting people to disengage with the media, precisely the opposite of what you would
5501	want them to do in a pandemic.
5502	This research shared several themes with Van Scoy et al's findings, around flawed messaging, impact
5503	on trust and anxiety, and political interference. This has been further alluded to below.
5504	6.10.1 Flawed messaging
5505	• Information overload significantly impacted participants, evident in the experiences of Radha,
5506	Louise, Charles, Hannah, Claudia, and Ben.
5507	• Sensationalism emerged as a key concern, with participants like Agnieszka, Margaret, Lena,
5508	Laura, Simon, Claudia, Martin, and Sophie identifying it as problematic across mainstream
5509	and social media.
5510	• Contrasting views emerged, with Pravin arguing COVID-19's seriousness warranted emphasis,
5511	while Nigel believed mainstream media regulation prevented sensationalism.
5512	• Angela's description of media's fixation with death counts as "death porn" exemplifies
5513	concerns about contextless sensationalism.
5514	6.10.2. Impact on trust and anxiety
5515	Healthcare professionals' 'Professional Identity and Media Responsibility' GET revealed
5516	tensions in managing public anxiety while maintaining professional credibility.
5517	• The public's 'Mental Health and Media Consumption' GET showed varied anxiety responses
5518	and coping strategies.
5519	• Margaret's experience of anxiety affecting her son's behaviour ("turning her son into a
5520	germophobe") demonstrates intergenerational impact.
5521	• Peter attributed heightened anxiety among heart failure patients to "juvenile communication
5522	that was embryonic in quality and delivery" from government sources.

5523 6.10.3 Political interference

- The 'Government Communication Analysis' GET revealed widespread concern about
 political agendas influencing public health messaging.
- Margaret noted how medical leaders would "cut through the fluff of the politicians" with
 figures like Professors Whitty and Van Tam described as "two very straight forward clearly
 intelligent people".
- Sakura's insights about how conflicting views among healthcare professionals led to both
 conspiracy theories and people regarding the pandemic as a "political game".
- Charles observed how online discourse extremes led to automatic criticism of government
 actions, while Martin noted the absence of a sensible middle ground in media coverage.

These findings support McLuhan's channel effects theory, demonstrating how different media platforms influenced message reception and trust. The research revealed how such practices led to anxiety, panic, and irrational behaviour, while also creating skewed perceptions of overall pandemic threat levels. This also aligns with source credibility theory (Hovland and Weiss 1951), as politicisation and sensationalism undermined trust in information sources.

5538 6.11 Trust in Authorities

5539 Rieger et al's examination of trust in government actions during COVID-19 provides valuable context

5540 for understanding this research's findings about trust in authority and credibility (Rieger and Wang

- 5541 2022). Their large-scale survey across 57 countries, conducted right at the start of the pandemic
- 5542 between 20 March 22 April 2020, revealed how media freedom and education levels influenced
- 5543 government trust, themes that resonated with patterns identified in this study's GETs.

5544 The 'Government Communication Analysis' GET revealed complex trust dynamics:

Educational impact: Supporting Rieger and Wang's findings about education's role in trust, 5545 1. 5546 participants with healthcare backgrounds demonstrated more nuanced understanding of government decisions, though this didn't automatically translate to increased trust. 5547 55482. Media freedom effects: The research confirmed their observation that higher media freedom can reduce government trust through critical coverage, evident in how participants like Alice 5549 5550 provided internal perspective on chaotic government communication. 5551 3. *Trust evolution*: The 'Evolution of Media Trust and Consumption' GET showed how trust 5552 patterns shifted throughout the pandemic, extending beyond Rieger and Wang's early-5553 pandemic observations. 5554An analysis of UK-specific trust dynamics (Newton 2020) identified three key areas - secrecy, 5555vagueness, and example-setting - all of which emerged strongly in this research: 5556 Secrecy: 5557 1. This research revealed ongoing concerns about government transparency, particularly evident 5558 in the 'Government Communication Analysis' GET. As Agnieszka noted, information was 5559 often "gatekept" from the public, damaging trust in official communications. 5560 2. Healthcare professionals' 'Professional Identity and Media Responsibility' GET showed how 5561 perceived secrecy complicated their role in public communication. 5562 3. Participants noted how previously undisclosed pandemic preparation exercises (Winter 5563 Willow, Exercise Cygnus, Exercise Iris) affected trust when their findings emerged. 5564 Vagueness: 5565 1. Howard's trust in Professor Sir Van Tam stemmed from clear communication style, contrasting 5566 with general government messaging.

- 5567 2. Hannah's criticism of inconsistent messaging around mask-wearing and social distancing5568 highlighted how vagueness undermined compliance.
- 5569 3. The 'Communication Clarity and Trust' GET revealed strong preference for simple, clear
 5570 messaging among healthcare professionals.

5571 <u>Example-Setting:</u>

5572 The research revealed how specific incidents of authority figures breaking their own rules had

5573 profound effects on public trust and compliance. The Dominic Cummings Barnard Castle incident

5574 emerged as a watershed moment in public trust, with participants across both healthcare professional

5575 and public groups citing it as damaging to public health messaging effectiveness. Through the lens of

source credibility theory (Hovland and Weiss 1951), this incident particularly damaged trust because itcame from within the government's inner circle. The impact was magnified through what McLuhan

5578 describes as channel effects (McLuhan 1994), with social media platforms enabling immediate public

5579 reaction and criticism that traditional media then amplified further.

Matt Hancock's subsequent rule-breaking proved similarly damaging to what participants described as
an initially strong sense of collective purpose. The 'Government Communication Analysis' GET

5582 revealed how these high-profile breaches of rules created a cascade effect of declining trust. As

5583 Kenneth observed, such incidents prevented coherent strategy implementation, while Alice's internal

5584 perspective on government communication highlighted how these events undermined broader public

5585 health messaging efforts.

5586 These findings align with source credibility theory (Hovland and Weiss 1951), demonstrating how

actions inconsistent with messaging severely undermined authority figures' credibility. The research

5588 revealed how trust erosion occurred both through direct government actions and through what

5589 (Schiavo 2013) terms the 'cascade effect' of amplified criticism across media platforms.

- 249 -

5590 'Section 2.10.5 Miscommunication in Mainstream Media & Social Media Volatility: A UK Example'

5591 of my narrative review highlighted how mistakes and examples of miscommunication from

5592 government officials, albeit unintentionally, can rapidly spiral into mistrust of government officials,

5593 leading to situations where protests can break out, and subsequent social media attention towards such

5594 protest can further amplify mistrust in government officials. By reporting on discrepancies in policy,

5595 the media can help identify areas where improved communication and coordination are needed to

5596 ensure a more effective response to public health crises.

5597 6.11.1 Pandemic Preparedness

5598 The research revealed significant concerns about pandemic preparedness across both healthcare

5599 professional and public groups. Through the 'Professional Versus Public Understanding' GET,

5600 healthcare professionals like Pravin offered particularly valuable insights, noting how even The WHO

5601 had struggled with pandemic preparedness historically. This observation aligns with source credibility

theory (Hovland and Weiss 1951), suggesting how perceived preparedness affects trust in healthauthorities.

5604 The 'Digital Communication Transformation' GET revealed how modern media environments

5605 complicated traditional preparedness approaches. As Owen noted, general practitioners'

5606 communication expertise was underutilised despite their daily experience translating complex science

5607 for patients. This supports Schiavo's (2013) emphasis on stakeholder engagement in effective health5608 communication.

5609 Key research findings regarding preparedness included:

- Healthcare professionals' concerns about systemic readiness, evident in their 'Professional
 Identity and Media Responsibility' GET.
- 2. Public perceptions of preparedness affecting trust in authorities, shown in the 'Government
 Communication Analysis' GET.

3. The challenge of maintaining surveillance infrastructure post-crisis, a pattern participantsnoted from historical pandemic responses.

5616 6.11.2 Public Response to Government Actions

5617 Trust in government institutions emerged as crucial for effective pandemic response, though the

5618 research revealed complex dynamics in how this trust operated. The 'Evolution of Media Trust and

5619 Consumption' GET showed how initial high trust and collective purpose gradually eroded through 5620 various factors.

5621 Several distinct patterns emerged:

- Initial unity: Early pandemic stages showed strong collective response, with Agnieszka noting
 government attempts to create a "war time spirit" and community feeling.
- *Cultural framing*: Peter's observation about this appealing to a "Rule Britannia and Empire"
 mentality revealed how cultural frameworks influenced message reception.
- 56263. Trust erosion: The 'Government Communication Analysis' GET showed how inconsistent5627messaging and poor communication transparency gradually undermined public compliance.
- 5628 McLuhan's channel effects theory helps explain how modern media environments complicated public

5629 health messaging (McLuhan 1964). As news emerged simultaneously through mainstream outlets and

5630 social media, the disintermediated cycle created what (Bakshy, Messing, and Adamic 2015) identified

as important roles for weak ties in information propagation. This was particularly evident in how

5632 participants processed information from distant connections during early pandemic stages.

5633 6.12 Strengths & Limitations

5634 The strengths and limitations of this research must be considered through both methodological and

- 5635 practical lenses, particularly regarding the innovative application of IPA methodology at scale and the
- 5636 specific temporal context of data collection during an ongoing global health crisis.

5637 6.12.1 Methodological Considerations

5638 6.12.1.1 Scale & IPA Application

The study's 40 participants represented an unusually large sample for IPA, which traditionally focuses on smaller, more homogeneous groups. This was facilitated by deploying novel digital technologies, concurrently as the interviews were taking place. For example, conducting the interviews via a recorded Zoom call that provided a transcript within a far quicker timeframe, than conventional methods using external third parties, or proceeding manually allow.

As a researcher I found the conversations fascinating, and it was very easy to immerse myself in the participants' accounts as to how media played a part in their pandemic experiences. The articulation of this rich corpus of data to an external audience was a challenge for two reasons. Firstly, as a dyslexic researcher IPA is very much orientated around the written word – whereas my preference is for the spoken, hence the ease of completing, remembering and continuing with the series of interviews.

5649 Secondly, the sheer volume of data meant persisting with traditional means alone would have taken far 5650 longer to get meaningful academic output into the world. This meant the innovator in me gravitated 5651 towards a solution that entailed using Artificial Intelligence as a tool to help process the vast corpus of 5652 data, into manageable chunks, while ensuring methodological rigour and congruity with established 5653 IPA principles and practices.

5654 Such an approach certainly provided opportunities and challenges. From an opportunities perspective, 5655 it certainly enabled broader exploration of lived experiences across different social and professional 5656 groups, which in turn provided richer data for understanding varied media related pandemic 5657 experiences. From which came the identification of PETs and GETs, while maintaining a deep

5658 idiographic focus across a group of 40 participants. Finally, it demonstrates IPA's potential adaptability

5659 to larger scale studies.

5660 There are valid challenges to novel applications of tried and tested research practices, such an

approach incorporating AI tools, would not be possible nor recommended without a researcher

- 252 -
5662 immersing oneself deeply within the data before hand – as is essential for IPA. Further work to 5663 emerge from this thesis could include a flowchart mapping the ways in which AI tools add value, and 5664 perhaps more importantly where they detract from the underlying philosophical positions from which 5665 research is undertaken. Another legitimate critique of the application of these tools was the 5666 opportunistic nature, hence formalising and professionalising a process may well be of benefit to future 5667 researchers.

5668 6.12.1.2 Why not Reflexive Thematic Analysis (RTA)?

5669 While RTA might have offered a more flexible approach for this sample size through its systematic

5670 coding and theme development process, IPA was specifically chosen for its phenomenological

5671 foundation in exploring lived experiences. The choice of IPA over reflexive thematic analysis reflected

5672 the research's primary focus on individual lived experiences of media consumption during crisis,

5673 rather than broader pattern development across the dataset.

5674 One of the main issues around IPA is the length of time taken to conduct analysis, requiring a lot of

5675 time commitment for extended periods of time from IPA researchers (Clarke 2009). This raises

5676 questions around the scalability of IPA, particularly pertaining to this study. However, as discussed in

5677 section: *'3.11 Traditional IPA Methodology'*, use of the auto-transcription feature mitigated issues

5678 around excessive time consumption, thus enhancing scalability, despite minor transcription errors.

5679 Mitigation of excessive time consumption allowed for immersion into the qualitative data to be

unimpeded, thus vindicating justification of choosing IPA over RTA, which despite its amenability to
larger datasets, would not have allowed for the level of idiographic immersion into the data needed for

5682 this study.

5683 6.12.1.3 Sample Characteristics

5684 A cohort of 40 participants provided several methodological advantages. The diverse cohort enabled

5685 perspectives from varied ages, backgrounds, and qualifications to emerge. The split between

5686 healthcare professionals and public participants allowed consideration of how professional knowledge

influenced pandemic media interpretation, and enabled comparison with members of the public
without such training. This provided insights into different social and professional contexts. It could be
argued this heterogeneity, while providing rich comparative data, at times challenged traditional IPA's
idiographic focus. While I would largely defend this as careful focus has been maintained throughout,
I do concede it required particular attention in order to maintain phenomenological depth across
diverse experiences.

5693 6.12.2 Temporal Context

5694 6.12.2.1 Data Collection Timing

5695 Conducting interviews 15 months into the pandemic offered unique insights into how perceptions and 5696behaviours evolved. This timing allowed participants to reflect on their experiences with some distance 5697 while memories remained relatively fresh. This safe space of reflective distance was always a 5698consideration in terms of maintaining psychological safety. Such a timeframe also allowed for capturing 5699evolved perceptions and behaviours beyond initial crisis response. While also providing insights into 5700 how trust and subsequent information processing changed over time. This was of course with a trade-5701 off, however as retrospective data collection may have introduced recall bias, particularly regarding 5702 early pandemic experiences.

5703 6.12.3 Additional Use of AI enabled tools

5704 The application of artificial intelligence tools helped manage the larger dataset while maintaining IPA's 5705 phenomenological principles, particularly the double hermeneutic between researcher and data. This 5706 approach facilitated systematic analysis of extensive interview transcripts while preserving individual 5707 voices and presenting stories while maintaining a strong idiographic focus. This approach subsequently 5708 led to the PETs and GETs being established for each distinct group - healthcare professionals and 5709 members of the public. The methodology demonstrates potential for scaling qualitative research while 5710 maintaining analytical rigor. Central to this is maintaining a "Human in the loop" to ensure the benefits 5711 of technological assistance never compromise the phenomenological integrity of the research.

The deluge of AI enabled tools since November 2022 has been significant. With some offering significant value and others less so. This is a rapidly changing landscape with different companies offering differing levels of data privacy, a critical consideration when the ChatGPT 3 and ChatGPT 4 models were used in this project – ensuring participant confidentiality was maintained. Additional work and subsequent guidance is needed as to how the benefits the range of new AI enabled tools may, or may not, enhance future qualitative research while ensuring academic rigour, privacy and authentic original output.

5719 6.12.4 Cross-Group Analysis

5720 6.12.4.1 Comparative Insights

5721 The inclusion of both healthcare professional and public perspectives enabled valuable cross-group

analysis. The emergence of distinct GETs for each group provided insight into how professional

5723 knowledge influenced pandemic media experiences. The broader sample size enabled identification

5724 of patterns while still maintaining focus on individual experiences.

5725 These methodological choices reflected pragmatic responses to the pandemic's unique research

5726 challenges while maintaining commitment to rigorous qualitative inquiry. The limitations identified

- 5727 suggest valuable directions for future methodological refinement rather than fundamental flaws in
- 5728 approach.

5729 6.12.4.2 Comparing & Contrasting HCP and Public Reactions to COVID-19 Media

5730 This section provides contextual background to 5.4 Group Experiential Themes Across Healthcare

5731 *Professionals & General Public'* comparing and contrasting the lived experiences of HCPs and the

- 5732 general public regarding their interactions with COVID-19 media coverage. The analysis draws upon
- 5733 40 in-depth, semi-structured interviews conducted with both groups, offering a rich understanding of
- 5734 how media consumption shaped their perceptions, behaviours, and emotional responses during the
- 5735 pandemic.

5736 6.12.4.2.1 Similarities in Reactions

5737 Several key similarities emerged from the interviews:

Initial Underestimation of the Pandemic: Both HCPs and the public exhibited an initial
 tendency to downplay the severity of the COVID-19 outbreak. Many perceived it as a distant
 threat unlikely to significantly impact their lives.

- Evolving Perceptions as the Pandemic Progressed: As the pandemic unfolded, both groups 5742 experienced a shift in their perceptions, recognising the gravity of the situation. The intensity 5743 and pervasiveness of media coverage played a crucial role in this transformation.
- Reliance on Trusted Sources: Participants from both groups emphasised the importance of
 relying on trusted sources for information. Mainstream media outlets like the BBC were
 frequently cited, alongside official government websites and communications from
 professional bodies.
- Appreciation for Clear and Consistent Messaging: Both HCPs and the public expressed a
 strong preference for clear, concise, and consistent messaging from authorities. Conversely,
 conflicting information and frequent changes in guidelines generated confusion and mistrust.
- Recognition of Social Media's Dual Role: Participants acknowledged the dual role of social
 media in disseminating both valuable information and harmful misinformation. Social media
 platforms served as crucial communication tools, particularly for local community support and
 staying connected, but also as breeding grounds for conspiracy theories and unsubstantiated
 claims.
- 5756 6.12.4.2.2 Differences in Reactions
- 5757 Despite the shared experiences outlined above, several notable differences emerged between the two5758 groups:

- Access to Information: HCPs generally had greater access to reliable information through
 professional channels, including medical journals and internal communications. This
 privileged access contrasted with the public's reliance on a mix of sources, leading to greater
 potential for exposure to misinformation.
- **Critical Evaluation of Information:** HCPs exhibited a greater tendency to critically evaluate 5764 information, applying their professional knowledge and experience to assess the validity of 5765 claims. The public, on the other hand, often struggled to discern credible sources and 5766 information, particularly in the face of sensationalized reporting.
- Impact of Misinformation: While both groups recognised the dangers of misinformation, the
 consequences for HCPs manifested differently. HCPs expressed frustration and concern over
 the public's susceptibility to false information, recognising its potential to undermine public
 health efforts. The public, in contrast, often found themselves grappling with the emotional
 and psychological consequences of misinformation, leading to heightened anxiety, fear, and
 division within communities.
- Focus on Public Health vs. Individual Impact: HCPs tended to focus on the broader public
 health implications of the pandemic, advocating for adherence to guidelines and stressing the
 collective good. The public, while acknowledging the importance of public health measures,
 often prioritised their personal experiences and individual circumstances, leading to varying
 levels of compliance and acceptance of restrictions.
- Perspective on Government Response: While both groups expressed criticism of government
 communication and policies, HCPs often demonstrated a more nuanced understanding of the
 challenges faced by authorities. The public, in contrast, frequently voiced frustration, anger,
 and mistrust towards government actions, fuelled by perceptions of inconsistency, lack of
 transparency, and rule-breaking by officials.

5783 7.0 Conclusions & Recommendations

5784This concluding chapter synthesises key findings and recommendations from this research into 5785 COVID-19 media communication. It begins by examining historical parallels through the case of Dr 5786 Brinkley's radio broadcasts, demonstrating enduring challenges in regulating health communication 5787 across new media platforms. The chapter then presents research findings comparing healthcare 5788 professional and public experiences, highlighting shared challenges and distinct patterns in pandemic 5789 media consumption. These insights inform practical recommendations for future crisis 5790 communication, spanning regulatory frameworks, professional development, and public education. As 5791 well as potential areas of future academic inquiry. The chapter concludes by examining how the shift 5792 from deferrer to referrer society requires fundamental changes in approach to public health 5793 communication.

5794 7.1 Historical Precedent & Today's Parallels

5795The challenges of managing health communication across borders and platforms are not unique to the 5796 digital age. In 1923, Dr John Brinkley secured one of Kansas's first radio broadcasting licenses, using 5797 this revolutionary medium to promote questionable medical treatments to an expansive audience. 5798 Despite his impressive-sounding credentials, many obtained through purchase rather than merit, 5799 Brinkley used radio to reach unprecedented audiences with medical messages that contradicted 5800 established practice. When US regulators revoked his license, he simply established a more powerful 5801 transmitter in Mexico, broadcasting his medical claims across national boundaries with impunity. The 5802sixteen-year gap between Brinkley's first broadcasts and eventual professional sanctions by the 5803 American Medical Association exemplifies how regulatory frameworks can lag behind innovations in 5804communication technology (Hilmes 2013).

5805 This pattern of health misinformation spreading through networks is not unique to either Brinkley's 5806 era or COVID-19. During the HIV/AIDS crisis, unfounded conspiracy theories spread through peer-

- 258 -

to-peer transmission (Smith, Lucas, and Latkin 1999). Similar dynamics emerged during the Ebola outbreak of 2014 (Fung et al. 2016) and the Zika virus epidemic (Sharma et al. 2017), though at a smaller scale. However, what distinguishes the modern era is not just the presence of misinformation, but the unprecedented velocity and reach enabled by social media platforms. Each successive health crisis has demonstrated how emerging communication technologies can amplify both accurate

5812 information and misleading claims, with traditional regulatory frameworks struggling to adapt.

5813 Additionally, as explored in Chapter 6.0 regarding the underlying human behavioural dynamics of

5814 infodemics, modern social networks can create self-reinforcing cycles of misinformation through what

5815 researchers term the 'paradox of participation' - where even well-intentioned engagement can amplify

5816 misleading claims. These dynamics makes the challenge of managing health communication

5817 fundamentally different from previous eras.

5818 During the COVID-19 pandemic, these similar underlying challenges manifested at unprecedented 5819 scale and speed, this time propagated via another innovative communication medium – social media 5820 platforms. Just as Brinkley exploited radio's reach to bypass national regulations, modern content 5821 creators circumvented platform restrictions by migrating between services. While Brinkley operated 5822 alone, the democratisation of digital media enabled countless voices to broadcast unverified medical 5823 claims globally, creating what the WHO termed a 'parallel COVID-19 infodemic'.

5824 7.2 Addressing Research Aims & Questions

After considering these historical parallels, this section presents key findings addressing the central research aims and questions. Through analysis of 40 in-depth interviews, clear patterns emerged in how healthcare professionals and members of the public navigated the complex pandemic media landscape.

5829 This research explored how social and mainstream media shaped public perceptions and responses

5830 during the COVID-19 pandemic, with particular focus on comparing the lived experiences of

healthcare professionals and members of the public. The comparative analysis revealed both striking
similarities and significant differences in how these groups engaged with pandemic-related media
content.

- 5834 7.2.1 Impact on Public Understanding & Behaviour
- 5835 Building on these historical lessons, this research revealed several key patterns in how media

influenced understanding and behaviour. Shared experiences and distinct patterns are summarisedbelow.

below.

5838 7.2.1.1 Shared Experiences

- Initial underestimation of the pandemic's severity across both groups.
- Evolution of perceptions as the crisis unfolded.
- Recognition of social media's dual role in information dissemination.
- Strong preference for clear, consistent messaging.
- 5843 7.2.1.2 Distinct Patterns
- HCPs demonstrated more sophisticated information evaluation strategies.
- Public participants showed greater vulnerability to misinformation.
- Different approaches to evaluating government responses.
- Varying levels of access to reliable information sources.
- 5848 7.2.2 Information Dissemination Challenges

5849 The study identified several critical challenges in the contemporary media environment. Common and

- 5850 group specific challenges are listed below.
- 5851 7.2.2.1 Common Challenges
- Navigating the abundance of information across multiple platforms.
- Managing the impact of conflicting messages.

• Balancing rapid information sharing with accuracy.

5855	7.2.2.2	Group-	Specific	Challenges
------	---------	--------	----------	------------

- HCPs struggled with bridging professional knowledge and public understanding.
- Public participants faced difficulties in identifying credible sources.
- Different impacts of misinformation on each group's pandemic experience.
- 5859 7.2.3 Role of Trust in Authorities
- 5860 Trust emerged as a crucial factor when it came to COVID-19 communication. Shared elements and
- 5861 group differences are listed below.
- 5862 7.2.3.1 Shared Elements
- Reliance on trusted sources for information.
- Negative impact of inconsistent messaging on trust.
- Importance of clear communication from authorities.
- 5866 7.2.3.2 Group Differences
- HCPs showed more nuanced understanding of government challenges.
- Public displayed greater frustration with perceived rule-breaking.
- Different approaches to evaluating official guidance.
- 5870 7.2.4 Factors Influencing Communication Effectiveness

5871 This research found factors affecting communication effectiveness varied between groups, these are

- 5872 listed below.
- 5873 7.2.4.1 Healthcare Professionals
- Access to professional networks and resources.
- Ability to critically evaluate scientific information.
- Focus on broader public health implications.

5877 7.2.4.2 General Public 5878 Greater reliance on mainstream and social media. More emphasis on personal impact and circumstances. 5879 • 5880 Variable capacity for information evaluation. 5881 7.3 Recommendations for Future Practice 5882 Building on both historical lessons and contemporary research findings, this section outlines practical 5883 recommendations for improving future health crisis communication. These recommendations span 5884multiple stakeholder groups and address challenges identified at both systemic and individual levels. 5885 7.3.1 Tailored Communication Strategies 5886 Develop distinct approaches for different audience segments. • 5887 Leverage healthcare professionals as credible information intermediaries. 5888 Create clear pathways for public access to reliable information. • 7.3.2 Enhanced Information Access 5889 5890 Bridge the information gap between professional and public channels. • 5891 Develop public platforms that combine accessibility with credibility. 5892 Support public understanding of both critical appraisal and scientific uncertainty. • 58937.3.3 Professional Development 5894 Equip healthcare professionals with media communication skills. 5895 Develop clear guidelines for professional social media pandemic engagement. •

- Support professionals in managing public misinformation.
- 5897 7.3.4 Public Education
- Enhance medical media literacy programs.
- Develop tools for critical information evaluation.

5901 7.3.5 Professional & Regulatory
5902 Develop international frameworks for co-ordinating health communication standards.
5903 Establish expedited review processes for potential medical mis-information.
5904 Establish rapid response and rebuttal mechanisms, with cross-platform content monitoring.
5905
5906 Figure 2: Optimising Health Crisis Communication Infographic

Build understanding of scientific method and uncertainty.



5909 7.4.1 Long-term Impact Studies

5900

5907

5908

•

- Analysis of how access to different information sources (professional networks vs public media) shapes trust in health authorities.
- Assessment of lasting changes in media consumption patterns post-pandemic.
- 5913 Evaluation of long-term effectiveness of various communication strategies.
- 5914 7.4.2 Healthcare Professional Role Development
- 5915 Investigation of optimal methods for supporting HCPs as information intermediaries.

5916	Analysis of professional communication training effectiveness.
5917	• Exploration of barriers to HCP engagement with social media platforms.
5918	7.4.3 Emerging Technology Impact
5919	• Assessment of AI and machine learning in health communication verification.
5920	• Investigation of immersive technology potential for health message delivery.
5921	• Analysis of new social media platform effects on information dissemination.
5922	7.4.4 Cross-Cultural Considerations
5923	• Comparative analysis of communication strategies across different healthcare systems.
5924	• Investigation of cultural factors in health message reception.
5925	• Study of international coordination in crisis communication.
5926	These research directions would contribute valuable insights for developing more effective health
5927	communication strategies in an increasingly connected world.
5928	7.5 Concluding Remarks
5929	This research has demonstrated how the COVID-19 pandemic marked a decisive shift from a
5930	'deferrer society' to a 'referrer society' in public health communication. Just as Brinkley's radio

5931 broadcasts challenged the traditional medical establishment's control over health information, social

5932 media has fundamentally altered how health information is disseminated, consumed, and processed.

5933 The traditional one-way broadcast model of crisis communication proved insufficient in a media

environment characterised by instant sharing, rapid feedback, and complex networks of informationexchange.

5936 This transformation manifested differently among healthcare professionals and the public. Healthcare 5937 professionals, trained in the deferrer society's model of authoritative knowledge dissemination, found 5938 themselves navigating new roles as information mediators in the referrer society's more democratic 5939 information landscape. The public, meanwhile, experienced unprecedented access to information but 5940 struggled with the challenges of evaluation and verification in this new environment.

5941	The tension between these two communication paradigms - the controlled, hierarchical nature of
5942	traditional public health communication and the dynamic, networked nature of social media - emerged
5943	as a central challenge in pandemic response. As analysed in Chapter 6.0, this challenge manifested
5944	through what researchers termed the 'paradox of participation', where complex social media dynamics
5945	enabled both deliberate disinformation campaigns and inadvertent sharing of misinformation through
5946	trusted networks. Social validation, repeated exposure, and peer endorsement created self-reinforcing
5947	cycles that could transform unverified claims into widely accepted beliefs. Governmental
5948	spokespeople, including healthcare professionals, often sought to maintain the authority and clarity of
5949	traditional communication with only some adapting to new channels, while the public increasingly
5950	engaged in collaborative sense-making through social networks and peer-to-peer information sharing.
5951	This creates both challenges and opportunities for future public health communication.
5952	These findings highlight the need for future pandemic responses to embrace the reality of the referrer
5953	society while preserving the benefits of professional expertise. This requires developing sophisticated,
5954	multi-level communication strategies that can:
5955	• Harness the speed and reach of social networks while maintaining message integrity.
5956	• Bridge the gap between professional and public understanding.
5957	• Support both groups in navigating complex information landscapes.
5958	• Balance traditional authority with new forms of collaborative knowledge creation.
5959	The enduring parallels between Brinkley's era and modern challenges underscore how technological
5960	innovation consistently outpaces regulatory frameworks in health communication. However, this
5961	research suggests that solutions lie not in attempting to control information flow, but in building
5962	resilient systems that can adapt to new flexible communication paradigms while maintaining message
5963	integrity and public trust.
5964	The study's comparison of healthcare professional and public experiences provides valuable insights

5965 for developing crisis communication strategies suited to an age where information flows not just from

- 265 -

5966	authorities to public, but through complex networks of professional and peer referral. Success in
5967	future crises will require understanding and adapting to this fundamental shift in how society processes
5968	and shares critical health information.
5969	
5970	Dr Kishan Rees
5971	

5972 Isle of Dogs, London, February 2025.

5973 **References**

- Ahorsu, Daniel Kwasi, Chung-Ying Lin, Vida Imani, Mohsen Saffari, Mark D Griffiths, and Amir H
 Pakpour. 2020. 'The fear of COVID-19 scale: development and initial validation',
 International journal of mental health and addiction: 1-9.
- 5977 Ajzen, Icek. 1991. 'The theory of planned behavior', *Organizational behavior and human decision* 5978 processes, 50: 179-211.
- Al-Busaidi, Zakiya Q. 2008. 'Qualitative research and its uses in health care', Sultan Qaboos University
 Medical Journal, 8: 11.
- 5981Alase, Abayomi. 2017. 'The interpretative phenomenological analysis (IPA): A guide to a good5982qualitative research approach', International Journal of Education and Literacy Studies, 5: 9-598319.
- 5984 Alderson, Priscilla. 1998. 'The importance of theories in health care', *bmj*, 317: 1007-10.
- 5985 Anspach, Nicolas M, and Taylor N Carlson. 2020. 'What to believe? Social media commentary and 5986 belief in misinformation', *Political Behavior*, 42: 697-718.
- Bakshy, Eytan, Solomon Messing, and Lada A Adamic. 2015. 'Exposure to ideologically diverse news
 and opinion on Facebook', *Science*, 348: 1130-32.
- 5989Barlett, Christopher P, Christopher L Vowels, Donald A %J Journal of social Saucier, and clinical5990psychology. 2008. 'Meta-analyses of the effects of media images on men's body-image5991concerns', 27: 279-310.
- Bean, William Bennett. 1954. 'Sir William Osler: aphorisms from his bedside teachings and writings',
 British Journal for the Philosophy of Science, 5.
- Bernardo, Wanderley Marques, MR Nobre, and Fábio Biscegli Jatene. 2004. 'Evidence-based clinical practice. Part II--Searching evidence databases', *Revista da Associacao Medica Brasileira* (1992), 50: 104-08.
- 5997 Bhaskar, Roy. 2013. A realist theory of science (Routledge).
- 5998 Biedenbach, Thomas, and Mattias Jacobsson. 2016. 'The open secret of values: the roles of values and 5999 axiology in project research', *Project management journal*, 47: 139-55.
- Blaikie, Norman, and Jan Priest. 2019. Designing social research: The logic of anticipation (John Wiley & Sons).
- 6002Bligh, John, and Julie Brice. 2008. 'What is the value of good medical education research?', Medical6003education, 42: 652-53.
- 6004Bohr, Adam, and Kaveh Memarzadeh. 2020. 'The rise of artificial intelligence in healthcare6005applications.' in, Artificial Intelligence in healthcare (Elsevier).
- 6006 Bontekoe, Ronald. 1996. 'Dimensions of the hermeneutic circle'.
- 6007 Bourla, Albert. 2022. *Moonshot. Wyścig z czasem* (Insignis).
- Braun, Virginia, and Victoria Clarke. 2006. 'Using thematic analysis in psychology', *Qualitative research in psychology*, 3: 77-101.
- 6010 ----. 2019. 'Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis', *Qualitative research in sport, exercise and health*,
 6011 11: 589-97.
- Bridgman, Aengus, Eric Merkley, Peter John Loewen, Taylor Owen, Derek Ruths, Lisa Teichmann,
 and Oleg Zhilin. 2020. 'The causes and consequences of COVID-19 misperceptions:
 Understanding the role of news and social media', *Harvard Kennedy School Misinformation Review*, 1.
- Bridgman, Aengus, Eric Merkley, Oleg Zhilin, Peter John Loewen, Taylor Owen, and Derek Ruths.
 2021. 'Infodemic pathways: Evaluating the role that traditional and social media play in crossnational information transfer', *Frontiers in Political Science*, 3: 20.
- Bronstein, Jenny, Noa Aharony, and Judit %J Aslib Journal of Information Management Bar-Ilan.
 2018. 'Politicians' use of Facebook during elections'.

- 6021Brown, Megan EL, and Angelique N Dueñas. 2020. 'A medical science educator's guide to selecting a
research paradigm: building a basis for better research', Medical Science Educator, 30: 545-53.6022Research paradigm: building a basis for better research', Medical Science Educator, 30: 545-53.
- 6023 Bruns, Axel. 2019. 'Filter bubble', *Internet Policy Review*, 8.
- 6024 Buchanan, Allen. 1978. 'Medical paternalism', *Philosophy & Public Affairs*: 370-90.
- Buchanan, Tom, and Vladlena Benson. 2019. 'Spreading disinformation on facebook: Do trust in
 message source, risk propensity, or personality affect the organic reach of "fake news"?', Social
 media+ society, 5: 2056305119888654.
- Bunniss, Suzanne, and Diane R Kelly. 2010. 'Research paradigms in medical education research',
 Medical education, 44: 358-66.
- 6030 Carroll, Dennis, Peter Daszak, Nathan D Wolfe, George F Gao, Carlos M Morel, Subhash Morzaria,
 6031 Ariel Pablos-Méndez, Oyewale Tomori, and Jonna AK Mazet. 2018. 'The global virome
 6032 project', Science, 359: 872-74.
- 6033Center, Population Media. 2023. 'Mission Statement', Accessed 07 04 2023.6034https://www.populationmedia.org/storytelling/projects.
- 6035 Champion, Victoria L, and Celette Sugg Skinner. 2008. 'The health belief model', *Health behavior* 6036 and health education: Theory, research, and practice, 4: 45-65.
- 6037 Chan, ZC, Yuen-ling Fung, and Wai-tong Chien. 2013. 'Bracketing in phenomenology: Only 6038 undertaken in the data collection and analysis process', *The qualitative report*, 18: 1-9.
- 6039 Cherryholmes, Cleo H. 1992. 'Notes on pragmatism and scientific realism', *Educational researcher*,
 6040 21: 13-17.
- 6041 Cinelli, Matteo, Gianmarco De Francisci Morales, Alessandro Galeazzi, Walter Quattrociocchi, and
 6042 Michele Starnini. 2021. 'The echo chamber effect on social media', *Proceedings of the* 6043 National Academy of Sciences, 118.
- 6044 Cinelli, Matteo, Walter Quattrociocchi, Alessandro Galeazzi, Carlo Michele Valensise, Emanuele
 6045 Brugnoli, Ana Lucia Schmidt, Paola Zola, Fabiana Zollo, and Antonio Scala. 2020. 'The
 6046 COVID-19 social media infodemic', *Scientific Reports*, 10: 1-10.
- 6047 Clarke, Channine. 2009. 'An introduction to interpretative phenomenological analysis: A useful
 6048 approach for occupational therapy research', *British journal of occupational therapy*, 72: 376049 39.
- 6050Cohen, Louis, Lawrence Manion, and Keith Morrison. 2002. Research methods in education6051(routledge).
- Coltart, Cordelia EM, Benjamin Lindsey, Isaac Ghinai, Anne M Johnson, and David L Heymann.
 2017. 'The Ebola outbreak, 2013–2016: old lessons for new epidemics', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 372: 20160297.
- 6055 Creswell, John W, and J David Creswell. 2017. *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed* 6056 *methods approaches* (Sage publications).
- 6057 Creswell, John W, and Cheryl N Poth. 2016. *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing* 6058 *among five approaches* (Sage publications).
- Davides, D, Dodd, J.V. 2002. 'Qualitative research and the question of rigor', *Qualitative research and the question of rigor. Qualitative Health Research*, 12: 279-89.
- 6061De Witte, Melissa. Kubota, Taylor. Than, Ker.2022. "Regulation has to be part of the answer' to
combating online disinformation, Barack Obama said at Stanford event', Stanford News.
- 6063Deane, P. 2018. "A guide for interdisciplinary researchers: Adding axiology alongside ontology and
epistemology." In.: Australia: National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health.
- 6065 DeForge, BR, and J Sobal. 1991. 'Intolerance of ambiguity among family practice residents', *Family medicine*, 23: 466-68.
- 6067 Del Vicario, Michela, Fabiana Zollo, Guido Caldarelli, Antonio Scala, and Walter Quattrociocchi.
 6068 2017. 'Mapping social dynamics on Facebook: The Brexit debate', *Social Networks*, 50: 6-16.
- 6069 Delfanti, Alessandro, and Adam Arvidsson. 2019. *Introduction to digital media* (John Wiley & Sons).
- 6070 Denisova, Anastasia. 2020. 'How to Define 'Viral' for Media Studies?', Westminster Papers in
 6071 Communication and Culture, 15.

- 6072 Denzin, Norman K, and Yvonna S Lincoln. 2011. The Sage handbook of qualitative research (sage).
- 6073 Deuze, Mark. 2020. 'The role of media and mass communication theory in the global pandemic',
 6074 Communication today, 11: 4-16.
- 6075 Dictionary, Merriam-Webster. 2002. 'Merriam-webster', On-line at <u>http://www</u>. mw. com/home. htm,
 6076 8: 23.
- 6077 Diseases, The Lancet Infectious. 2020. 'The COVID-19 infodemic', *The Lancet. Infectious Diseases*,
 6078 20: 875.
- 6079 Duffy, Thomas P. 2011. 'The Flexner report—100 years later', *The Yale journal of biology and* 6080 *medicine*, 84: 269.
- Dutheil, Frédéric, Laurie Mondillon, and Valentin Navel. 2020. 'PTSD as the second tsunami of the
 SARS-Cov-2 pandemic', *Psychological Medicine*: 1-2.
- Eysenbach, Gunther. 2008. Credibility of health information and digital media: New perspectives and
 implications for youth (MacArthur Foundation Digital Media and Learning Initiative).
- 6085Fade, Stephanie. 2004. 'Using interpretative phenomenological analysis for public health nutrition and
dietetic research: a practical guide', *Proceedings of the nutrition society*, 63: 647-53.
- 6087 Farrar, Jeremy. 2021. Spike, The Virus vs The People The Inside Story (Profile Books).
- 6088 Feezell, Jessica T. 2018. 'Agenda setting through social media: The importance of incidental news 6089 exposure and social filtering in the digital era', *Political Research Quarterly*, 71: 482-94.
- Filloux, Frederic. 2017. "You can't sell news for what it costs to make." In *The Walkley Magazine*.
 Medium: The Walkley Foundation.
- Fletcher, Richard, and Sora Park. 2017. 'The impact of trust in the news media on online news
 consumption and participation', *Digital journalism*, 5: 1281-99.
- Floridi, Luciano. 2014. The fourth revolution: How the infosphere is reshaping human reality (OUP
 Oxford).
- 6096Fung, Isaac Chun-Hai, King-Wa Fu, Chung-Hong Chan, Benedict Shing Bun Chan, Chi-Ngai6097Cheung, Thomas Abraham, and Zion Tsz Ho Tse. 2016. 'Social media's initial reaction to6098information and misinformation on Ebola, August 2014: facts and rumors', *Public health*6099reports, 131: 461-73.
- 6100 Furedi, Frank. 2015. 'The media's first moral panic', *History Today*, 65: 46-48.
- 6101 Galatzer-Levy, Isaac R, Sandy H Huang, and George A Bonanno. 2018. 'Trajectories of resilience and
 6102 dysfunction following potential trauma: A review and statistical evaluation', *Clinical psychology* 6103 review, 63: 41-55.
- 6104 Gallagher, Kristel M, and John A Updegraff. 2012. 'Health message framing effects on attitudes, 6105 intentions, and behavior: a meta-analytic review', *Annals of behavioral medicine*, 43: 101-16.
- 6106 Geller, Gail, Ruth R Faden, and David M Levine. 1990. 'Tolerance for ambiguity among medical
 6107 students: implications for their selection, training and practice', *Social science & medicine*, 31:
 619-24.
- 6109 Gellert, George A, and Tess E Gellert. 2022. 'Contextual wellness in the age of COVID-19: Managing
 6110 disproportionate pandemic anxiety and stress in Australia, Singapore and other nations
 6111 achieving disease control success', *Health Promotion Journal of Australia*.
- 6112 Gillespie, Amaya M, Rafael Obregon, Rania El Asawi, Catherine Richey, Erma Manoncourt, Kshiitij
 6113 Joshi, Savita Naqvi, Ade Pouye, Naqibullah Safi, and Ketan Chitnis. 2016. 'Social mobilization
 6114 and community engagement central to the Ebola response in West Africa: lessons for future
 6115 public health emergencies', *Global Health: Science and Practice*, 4: 626-46.
- 6116 Glover, Ian, and Peter M Grant. 2010. *Digital communications* (Pearson Education).
- 6117 Gonsalves, Gregg, and Gavin Yamey. 2020. "Political interference in public health science during
 6118 covid-19." In.: British Medical Journal Publishing Group.
- 6119 Goulding, Christina. 2005. 'Grounded theory, ethnography and phenomenology: A comparative
 6120 analysis of three qualitative strategies for marketing research', *European journal of Marketing*,
 6121 39: 294-308.

- 6122 Greene, Amanda K, Elana Maloul, Devin A Kelly, Hannah N Norling, and Lisa M Brownstone.
 6123 2022. "An Immaculate Keeper of My Social Media Feed": Social Media Usage in Body
 6124 Justice Communities During the COVID-19 Pandemic', *Social media+ society*, 8:
 6125 20563051221077024.
- 6126 Grix, Jonathan. 2002. 'Introducing students to the generic terminology of social research', *Politics*, 22:
 6127 175-86.
- 6128 Guba, Egon G, and Yvonna S Lincoln. 1994. 'Competing paradigms in qualitative research',
 6129 Handbook of qualitative research, 2: 105.
- Harper, Craig A, Liam P Satchell, Dean Fido, and Robert D Latzman. 2020. 'Functional fear predicts
 public health compliance in the COVID-19 pandemic', *International journal of mental health* and addiction: 1-14.
- Harrison, Kristen, and Joanne %J Journal of communication Cantor. 1997. 'The relationship between
 media consumption and eating disorders', 47: 40-67.
- 6135 He, Mai, Li Li, Louis P Dehner, and Lucia F Dunn. 2020. 'Cremation based estimates suggest
 6136 significant under-and delayed reporting of COVID-19 epidemic data in Wuhan and China',
 6137 Available at SSRN 3612505.
- Hilmes, Michele. 2013. Only connect: A cultural history of broadcasting in the United States (Cengage
 Learning).
- Holshue, Michelle L, Chas DeBolt, Scott Lindquist, Kathy H Lofy, John Wiesman, Hollianne Bruce,
 Christopher Spitters, Keith Ericson, Sara Wilkerson, and Ahmet Tural. 2020. 'First case of
 2019 novel coronavirus in the United States', New England Journal of Medicine.
- Hong, Xia, Glenn W Currier, Xiaohui Zhao, Yinan Jiang, Wei Zhou, and Jing Wei. 2009.
 Posttraumatic stress disorder in convalescent severe acute respiratory syndrome patients: a 4year follow-up study', *General hospital psychiatry*, 31: 546-54.
- Hovland, Carl I, and Walter Weiss. 1951. 'The influence of source credibility on communication
 effectiveness', *Public opinion quarterly*, 15: 635-50.
- Hua, Jinling, and Rajib Shaw. 2020. 'Corona virus (Covid-19)"infodemic" and emerging issues through
 a data lens: The case of china', *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 17: 2309.
- 6151 ITV News, Multiple. 2020. Reporting Coronavirus Personal Reflections on a Global Crisis from ITV
 6152 News Journalists.
- 6153Jamison, Amelia M, David A Broniatowski, Mark Dredze, Anu Sangraula, Michael C Smith, and6154Sandra C Quinn. 2020. 'Not just conspiracy theories: Vaccine opponents and proponents add6155to the COVID-19 'infodemic'on Twitter', Harvard Kennedy School Misinformation Review,61561.
- Keles, Betul, Annmarie Grealish, and Mary Leamy. 2023. 'The beauty and the beast of social media:
 an interpretative phenomenological analysis of the impact of adolescents' social media
 experiences on their mental health during the Covid-19 pandemic', *Current Psychology*: 1-17.
- 6160Kemp, Simon. 2021. 'Digital 2021: The Latest Insights Into The 'State Of Digital', Accessed616107/04/2023. https://wearesocial.com/uk/blog/2021/01/digital-2021-the-latest-insights-into-the-6162state-of-digital/.
- Khondker, Habibul Haque. 2011. 'Role of the new media in the Arab Spring', *Globalizations*, 8: 675-6164
 79.
- Kolby, Jonathan. 2020. 'To prevent the next pandemic, it's the legal wildlife trade we should worry
 about', *National Geographic*, 7.
- Larkin, Michael, Paul Flowers, and Jonathan A Smith. 2021. 'Interpretative phenomenological analysis: Theory, method and research', *Interpretative phenomenological analysis*: 1-100.
- 6169 Larson, Heidi J. 2018. 'The biggest pandemic risk? Viral misinformation', *Nature*, 562: 309-10.
- 6170 ----. 2020. Stuck: How Vaccine Rumors Start--and Why They Don't Go Away (Oxford University
 6171 Press).

- Laverack, Glenn, and Erma Manoncourt. 2016. 'Key experiences of community engagement and
 social mobilization in the Ebola response', *Global health promotion*, 23: 79-82.
- 6174 Lim, Jane M, Zaw Myo Tun, Vishakha Kumar, Sharon Esi Duoduwa Quaye, Vittoria Offeddu, Alex
 6175 R Cook, May Oo Lwin, Shaohai Jiang, and Clarence C Tam. 2021. 'Population anxiety and
 6176 positive behaviour change during the COVID-19 epidemic: Cross-sectional surveys in
 6177 Singapore, China and Italy', *Influenza and other respiratory viruses*, 15: 45-55.
- Limaye, Rupali Jayant, Molly Sauer, Joseph Ali, Justin Bernstein, Brian Wahl, Anne Barnhill, and
 Alain Labrique. 2020. 'Building trust while influencing online COVID-19 content in the social
 media world', *The Lancet Digital Health*, 2: e277-e78.
- Lingard, Lorelei, and Tara J Kennedy. 2010. 'Qualitative research methods in medical education',
 Understanding medical education: evidence, theory and practice: 323-35.
- 6183 Lovari, Alessandro. 2020. 'Spreading (dis) trust: Covid-19 misinformation and government 6184 intervention in Italy', *Media and Communication*, 8: 458-61.
- Luo, Mufan, Jeffrey T Hancock, and David M Markowitz. 2022. 'Credibility perceptions and detection accuracy of fake news headlines on social media: Effects of truth-bias and endorsement cues', *Communication Research*, 49: 171-95.
- Lwin, May Oo, Jiahui Lu, Anita Sheldenkar, Peter Johannes Schulz, Wonsun Shin, Raj Gupta, and
 Yinping Yang. 2020. 'Global sentiments surrounding the COVID-19 pandemic on Twitter:
 analysis of Twitter trends', *JMIR public health and surveillance*, 6: e19447.
- Macït, Hüseyin Bilal, Gamze Macit, and Orhan Güngör. 2018. 'A research on social media addiction
 and dopamine driven feedback', *Mehmet Akif Ersoy Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi*, 5: 882-97.
- MacKay, Melissa, Caitlin Ford, Taylor Colangeli, Daniel Gillis, Jennifer E McWhirter, and Andrew
 Papadopoulos. 2022. 'A content analysis of Canadian influencer crisis messages on Instagram
 and the public's response during COVID-19', *BMC Public Health*, 22: 1-15.
- Madhav, Nita, Ben Oppenheim, Mark Gallivan, Prime Mulembakani, Edward Rubin, and Nathan
 Wolfe. 2018. 'Pandemics: risks, impacts, and mitigation'.
- Markson, Sharri. 2021. What Really Happened in Wuhan: A Virus Like No Other, Countless
 Infections, Millions of Deaths (HarperCollins Publishers Australia).
- McInally, Wendy, and Carol Gray-Brunton. 2021. 'Research made simple: Using multiperspective
 interpretative phenomenological analysis to explore healthcare phenomena', *Evidence-based nursing*, 24: 71-73.
- 6204 McLuhan, Marshall. 1964. 'Understanding Media'.
- 6205 ----. 1994. Understanding media: The extensions of man (MIT press).
- Medicine, Royal Society of. 2021. "RSM COVID-19 Series | Episode 76: Media in a health crisis." In, edited by Victoria MacDonald, <u>https://youtu.be/F3b_yZqbigY</u>.
- Melinat, Peter, Tolja Kreuzkam, and Dirk Stamer. 2014. "Information overload: a systematic literature review." In *Perspectives in Business Informatics Research: 13th International Conference, BIR 2014, Lund, Sweden, September 22-24, 2014. Proceedings 13*, 72-86. Springer.
- 6211Middleton, Joe; Andrews Luke. 2020. 'Confused Britons mock Boris Johnson's 'stay alert' slogan and6212accuse No 10 of putting out 'vague' mixed messages by creating their own versions'.6213<u>https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8304985/Sneak-Shout-Virus-Run-Britons-mock-</u>6214Boris-Johnsons-new-stay-alert-slogan.html.
- Monrouxe, Lynn V, and Charlotte E Rees. 2009. "Picking up the gauntlet: constructing medical
 education as a social science." In, 196-98. WILEY-BLACKWELL PUBLISHING, INC
 COMMERCE PLACE, 350 MAIN ST, MALDEN 02148
- Montague, Jane, Eleanor Phillips, Fiona Holland, and Stephanie Archer. 2020. 'Expanding
 hermeneutic horizons: Working as multiple researchers and with multiple participants',
 Research Methods in Medicine & Health Sciences, 1: 25-30.
- Moon, Katie, and Deborah Blackman. 2014. 'A guide to understanding social science research for natural scientists', *Conservation biology*, 28: 1167-77.

- 6223Moorhead, S Anne, Diane E Hazlett, Laura Harrison, Jennifer K Carroll, Anthea Irwin, and Ciska6224Hoving. 2013. 'A new dimension of health care: systematic review of the uses, benefits, and6225limitations of social media for health communication', Journal of medical Internet research,622615: e1933.
- Morse, Janice M, Michael Barrett, Maria Mayan, Karin Olson, and Jude Spiers. 2002. 'Verification strategies for establishing reliability and validity in qualitative research', *International journal of qualitative methods*, 1: 13-22.
- 6230 Moustakas, Clark. 1994. *Phenomenological research methods* (Sage publications).
- Murphy, John P. 1990. "Pragmatism-From Peirce to Davidson Boulder/San Francisco." In.: Oxford,
 Westview Press.
- 6233 Mystakidis, Stylianos. 2022. 'Metaverse', *Encyclopedia*, 2: 486-97.
- Newby, Jill M, Kathleen O'Moore, Samantha Tang, Helen Christensen, and Kate Faasse. 2020. 'Acute
 mental health responses during the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia', *PloS one*, 15:
 e0236562.
- 6237 Newport, Cal. 2019. *Digital minimalism: Choosing a focused life in a noisy world* (Penguin).
- Newton, Kenneth. 2020. 'Government communications, political trust and compliant social behaviour:
 the politics of Covid-19 in Britain', *The Political Quarterly*, 91: 502-13.
- Nguyen, An, and Daniel Catalan. 2020. 'Digital mis/disinformation and public engagment with health
 and science controversies: Fresh perspectives from Covid-19', *Media and Communication*, 8:
 323-28.
- Niederkrotenthaler, Thomas, Arno Herberth, and Gernot Sonneck. 2007. 'The" Werther-effect":
 legend or reality?', *Neuropsychiatrie*, 21: 284-90.
- Niederkrotenthaler, Thomas, Martin Voracek, Arno Herberth, Benedikt Till, Markus Strauss, Elmar
 Etzersdorfer, Brigitte Eisenwort, and Gernot %J The British Journal of Psychiatry Sonneck.
 2010. 'Role of media reports in completed and prevented suicide: Werther v. Papageno
 effects', 197: 234-43.
- Nielsen, Rasmus Kleis, and Sarah Anne Ganter. 2022. *The power of platforms: Shaping media and society* (Oxford University Press).
- Nowell, Lorelli S, Jill M Norris, Deborah E White, and Nancy J Moules. 2017. 'Thematic analysis:
 Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria', *International journal of qualitative methods*, 16: 1609406917733847.
- 6254 O'Carroll, Patrick W, Lioyd B %J Morbidity Potter, Mortality Weekly Report: Recommendations,
 6255 and Reports. 1994. 'Suicide contagion and the reporting of suicide: Recommendations from a
 6256 national workshop': 9-18.
- 6257 O'Connor, Cathal, and Michelle Murphy. 2020. 'Going viral: doctors must tackle fake news in the 6258 covid-19 pandemic', *bmj*, 369.
- 6259Omer, Saad. 2021. "Saad Omer preconference event, First WHO global infodemiology conference,
29 June." In, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uwy_SMZ3zN0.
- 6261 Omer, Saad. 2020. 'Is America Ready for Another Outbreak?', New York Times.
- 6262 Ortiz, Patricia, and Eindra Khin Khin. 2018. 'Traditional and new media's influence on suicidal 6263 behavior and contagion', *Behavioral sciences & the law*, 36: 245-56.
- 6264 Ortiz, Patricia, Eindra %J Behavioral sciences Khin Khin, and the law. 2018. 'Traditional and new 6265 media's influence on suicidal behavior and contagion', 36: 245-56.
- 6266 Pariser, Eli. 2011. The filter bubble: What the Internet is hiding from you (penguin UK).
- Pennycook, Gordon, Adam Bear, Evan T. Collins, and David G. Rand. 2020. 'The Implied Truth
 Effect: Attaching Warnings to a Subset of Fake News Headlines Increases Perceived Accuracy
 of Headlines Without Warnings', *Management Science*, 66: 4944-57.
- Pennycook, Gordon, Jonathon McPhetres, Bence Bago, and David G Rand. 2020. 'Predictors of
 attitudes and misperceptions about COVID-19 in Canada, the UK, and the USA', *PsyArXiv*,
 10: 1-25.

Perin, Cecilia, Massimiliano Beghi, Cesare Giuseppe Cerri, Federica Peroni, Barbara Viganò, and
Cesare Maria Cornaggia. 2015. 'Experience of group conversations in rehabilitation medicine:
methodological approach and pilot study', *Journal of Medicine and the Person*, 13: 96-104.

Praprotnik, Tadej. 2016. 'Digitalization and new media landscape', *Peer-reviewed academic journal Innovative Issues and Approaches in Social Sciences*: 1855-0541.

- 6278Prevention, Centers for Disease Control and. 2016. 'Number of Cases and Deaths in Guinea, Liberia,6279and Sierra Leone during the 2014-2016 West Africa Ebola Outbreak', Accessed 19 June62802023. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/vhf/ebola/history/2014-2016-outbreak/case-</u>6281counts.html?CDC_AA_refVal=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.cdc.gov%2Fvhf%2Febola%2Foutbreak6282s%2F2014-west-africa%2Fcase-counts.html.
- Prochaska, James O, and Carlo C DiClemente. 1983. 'Stages and processes of self-change of smoking:
 toward an integrative model of change', *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*, 51: 390.
- Quammen, David. 2012. Spillover Animal Infections and the Next Human Pandemic (Random House: London).
- 6287 Quattrociocchi, Walter. 2017. "Part 2-social and political challenges: 2.1 western democracy in crisis."
 6288 In *Global Risk Report World Economic Forum*.
- Quick, Jonathan D. 2018. The End of Pandemics The Looming Threat To Humanity And How To
 Stop It (London).
- Radzikowski, Jacek, Anthony Stefanidis, Kathryn H Jacobsen, Arie Croitoru, Andrew Crooks, and
 Paul L Delamater. 2016. 'The measles vaccination narrative in Twitter: a quantitative analysis',
 JMIR public health and surveillance, 2: e5059.
- Ratzan, Scott C, Silvia Sommarivac, and Lauren Rauh. 2020. 'Enhancing global health communication during a crisis: lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic'.
- 6296 Rees, KDC. 2020a. "UK PM Boris Johnson in ITU with Coronavirus." In. Sky News.
- 6297 ----. 2021. "London Hospitals Declare Major Incident " In. Sky News.
- Rees, KDC; French S; Austin M. 2020b. "Coronavirus Health & Economics Q&A." In *Mark Austin's News Hour*, 12:25. Global: Sky News.
- Rehorick, David Allan, and Gail Taylor. 1995. 'Thoughtful incoherence: First encounters with the
 phenomenological-hermeneutical domain', *Human Studies*: 389-414.
- Rieger, Marc Oliver, and Mei Wang. 2022. 'Trust in government actions during the COVID-19 crisis',
 Social Indicators Research, 159: 967-89.
- Ritchie, Katherine. 2020. 'Social structures and the ontology of social groups', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 100: 402-24.
- Rocha, Yasmim Mendes, Gabriel Acácio de Moura, Gabriel Alves Desidério, Carlos Henrique de
 Oliveira, Francisco Dantas Lourenço, and Larissa Deadame de Figueiredo Nicolete. 2021.
 The impact of fake news on social media and its influence on health during the COVID-19
 pandemic: A systematic review', *Journal of Public Health*: 1-10.
- Rolfe, Gary. 2006. 'Validity, trustworthiness and rigour: quality and the idea of qualitative research',
 Journal of advanced nursing, 53: 304-10.
- Rosenstock, Irwin M. 1974. 'Historical origins of the health belief model', *Health education monographs*, 2: 328-35.
- Rossman, Gretchen B, and Sharon F Rallis. 2011. Learning in the field: An introduction to qualitative
 research (Sage).
- 6316 Schiavo, Renata. 2013. Health communication: From theory to practice (John Wiley & Sons).
- 6317 Scotland, James. 2012. 'Exploring the philosophical underpinnings of research: Relating ontology and
 6318 epistemology to the methodology and methods of the scientific, interpretive, and critical
 6319 research paradigms', *English language teaching*, 5: 9-16.
- 6320 Senthilingham, Meera. 2020. Outbreaks and Epidemics Battling Infection from Measles to
 6321 Coronavirus (Icon: London).

- Sharma, Megha, Kapil Yadav, Nitika Yadav, and Keith C Ferdinand. 2017. 'Zika virus pandemic—
 analysis of Facebook as a social media health information platform', *American journal of infection control*, 45: 301-02.
- Shaw, Rachel L, Michael Larkin, and Paul Flowers. 2014. 'Expanding the evidence within evidence-based healthcare: thinking about the context, acceptability and feasibility of interventions',
 BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine, 19: 201-03.
- 6328Shinebourne, Pnina. 2011. 'The Theoretical Underpinnings of Interpretative Phenomenological6329Analysis (IPA)', Existential Analysis: Journal of the Society for Existential Analysis, 22.6329Older Description (IPA)', Existential Analysis: Journal of the Society for Existential Analysis, 22.
- 6330 Shukman, Harry. 2020. 'Clinic 'advises bleach to cure autism", *The Times*.
- 6331 Shuval, Kerem, Karen Harker, Bahman Roudsari, Nora E Groce, Britain Mills, Zoveen Siddiqi, and
 6332 Aviv Shachak. 2011. 'Is qualitative research second class science? A quantitative longitudinal
 6333 examination of qualitative research in medical journals', *PloS one*, 6: e16937.
- 6334 Smith, JA. 1995. "Idiography. IN: JA Smith, R. Harré, & L. Van Langenhove (eds.), Rethinking psychology (pp. 56-69)." In.: London: Sage.
- 6336 Smith, Jonathan A. 1996. 'Beyond the divide between cognition and discourse: Using interpretative 6337 phenomenological analysis in health psychology', *Psychology and health*, 11: 261-71.
- 6338 Smith, Jonathan A, Paul Flowers, and Mike Osborn. 2013. 'Interpretative phenomenological analysis
 6339 and the psychology of health and illness 1.' in, *Material discourses of health and illness*6340 (Routledge).
- 6341 Smith, Jonathan A, Maria Jarman, and Mike Osborn. 1999. 'Doing interpretative phenomenological analysis', *Qualitative health psychology: Theories and methods*, 1: 218-40.
- 6343 Smith, Jonathan A.; Flowers, Paul; Larkin, Michael. 2022. Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis:
 6344 Theory, Method and Research. Kindle Edition. (SAGE Publications).
- 6345 Smith, Laura C, Kenya J Lucas, and Carl Latkin. 1999. 'Rumor and gossip: Social discourse on HIV
 6346 and AIDS', Anthropology & Medicine, 6: 121-31.
- 6347 Steede, Kevin K, and Lillian M %J Journal of Community Psychology Range. 1989. 'Does television induce suicidal contagion with adolescents?', 17: 166-72.
- 6349 Stephens, Georgina C, Mahbub Sarkar, and Michelle D Lazarus. 2022. "A whole lot of uncertainty': A
 6350 qualitative study exploring clinical medical students' experiences of uncertainty stimuli',
 6351 Medical education, 56: 736-46.
- 6352 ----. 2024. 'I was uncertain, but I was acting on it': A longitudinal qualitative study of medical students'
 6353 responses to uncertainty', *Medical education*, 58: 869-79.
- 6354 Sterrett, David, Dan Malato, Jennifer Benz, Liz Kantor, Trevor Tompson, Tom Rosenstiel, Jeff
 6355 Sonderman, and Kevin Loker. 2019. 'Who shared it?: Deciding what news to trust on social
 6356 media', *Digital journalism*, 7: 783-801.
- 6357 Sundar, S Shyam. 2008. The MAIN model: A heuristic approach to understanding technology effects
 6358 on credibility (MacArthur Foundation Digital Media and Learning Initiative Cambridge, MA).
- 6359 Swan, Betsy Woodruff. 2020. 'State report: Russian, Chinese and Iranian disinformation narratives
 6360 echo one another', *Politico (April 21, 2020), <u>https://www</u>. politico.*
- 6361 com/news/2020/04/21/russia-china-iran-disinformation-coronavirus-state-department-193107.
 6362 Taylor, Steven, and Gordon JG Asmundson. 2020. 'Life in a post-pandemic world: What to expect of
- anxiety-related conditions and their treatment', *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 72: 102231.
 Tindall, Lucy. 2009. "JA Smith, P. Flower and M. Larkin (2009), Interpretative Phenomenological
- 6365 Analysis: Theory, Method and Research. London: Sage." In.: Taylor & Francis.
- 6366Todres, Mathew, Anne Stephenson, and Roger Jones. 2007. 'Medical education research remains the6367poor relation', *bmj*, 335: 333-35.
- Turcotte, Jason, Chance York, Jacob Irving, Rosanne M Scholl, and Raymond J Pingree. 2015. 'News
 recommendations from social media opinion leaders: Effects on media trust and information
 seeking', Journal of computer-mediated communication, 20: 520-35.
- Tversky, Amos, and Daniel Kahneman. 1981. 'The framing of decisions and the psychology of
 choice', *Science*, 211: 453-58.

- Valenzuela, Sebastián, Daniel Halpern, James E Katz, and Juan Pablo Miranda. 2019. 'The paradox of
 participation versus misinformation: Social media, political engagement, and the spread of
 misinformation', *Digital journalism*, 7: 802-23.
- Van Scoy, Lauren Jodi, Bethany Snyder, Erin L Miller, Olubukola Toyobo, Ashmita Grewel, Giang
 Ha, Sarah Gillespie, Megha Patel, Jordyn Reilly, and Aleksandra E Zgierska. 2021. 'Public
 anxiety and distrust due to perceived politicization and media sensationalism during early
 COVID-19 media messaging', *Journal of Communication in Healthcare*, 14: 193-205.
- Varpio, Lara, Rola Ajjawi, Lynn V Monrouxe, Bridget C O'Brien, and Charlotte E Rees. 2017.
 Shedding the cobra effect: problematising thematic emergence, triangulation, saturation and member checking', *Medical education*, 51: 40-50.
- Veil, Shari, Barbara Reynolds, Timothy L Sellnow, and Matthew W Seeger. 2008. 'CERC as a
 theoretical framework for research and practice', *Health promotion practice*, 9: 26S-34S.
- Vepsäläinen, Tapio, Hongxiu Li, and Reima %J Government Information Quarterly Suomi. 2017.
 Facebook likes and public opinion: Predicting the 2015 Finnish parliamentary elections', 34:
 524-32.
- Vosoughi, Soroush, Deb Roy, and Sinan Aral. 2018. 'The spread of true and false news online',
 Science, 359: 1146-51.
- Vraga, Emily K, and Leticia Bode. 2021. 'Addressing COVID-19 misinformation on social media
 preemptively and responsively', *Emerging infectious diseases*, 27: 396.
- Wang, Dawei, Bo Hu, Chang Hu, Fangfang Zhu, Xing Liu, Jing Zhang, Binbin Wang, Hui Xiang,
 Zhenshun Cheng, and Yong Xiong. 2020. 'Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients
 with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China', Jama, 323: 1061-69.
- Wardle, Claire, and Hossein Derakhshan. 2017. Information disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary
 framework for research and policymaking (Council of Europe Strasbourg).
- 6397 Wardle, Claire. Derakhshan, Hossein. 2017. "Information Disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary
 6398 framework for research and policy making." In. Council of Europe.
- 6399 Weiss, Walter. 1969. 'Effects of the mass media of communication'.
- 6400 WHO. 2023. 'Infodemic'. <u>https://www.who.int/health-topics/infodemic#tab=tab_1</u>.
- Williams, Christine B., and Girish. Gulati. 2013. 'Social networks in political campaigns: Facebook
 and the congressional elections of 2006 and 2008', *New media & society*, 15: 52-71.
- Williams, Sean. 2020. 'Belarus has torn up the protest rulebook. Everyone should listen'.
 https://www.wired.co.uk/article/belarus-protests-telegram.
- 6405 Willig, Carla. 2013. *EBOOK: introducing qualitative research in psychology* (McGraw-hill education (UK)).
- Woolhouse, Mark. 2022. The Year the World Went Mad: A Scientific Memoir (Sandstone Press
 Ltd).
- Worchel, Stephen, Virginia Andreoli, and Joe Eason. 1975. 'Is the medium the message? A study of
 the effects of media, communicator, and message characteristics on attitude change 1', *Journal*of Applied Social Psychology, 5: 157-72.
- 6412 Wylie, Christopher. 2019. *Mindf* ck: Inside Cambridge Analytica's plot to break the world* (Profile
 6413 Books).
- Yamey, Gavin, and Gregg Gonsalves. 2020. "Donald Trump: a political determinant of covid-19." In.:
 British Medical Journal Publishing Group.
- Zaller, John. 1996. 'The myth of massive media impact revived: New support for a discredited idea',
 Political persuasion and attitude change, 17: 17-78.
- 6418 Zarocostas, John. 2020. 'How to fight an infodemic', *The Lancet*, 395: 676.
- Kao, Erfei, Qiao Wu, Eileen M Crimmins, and Jennifer A Ailshire. 2020. 'Media trust and infection mitigating behaviours during the COVID-19 pandemic in the USA', *BMJ global health*, 5: e003323.

6422 Zubiaga, Arkaitz, Maria Liakata, Rob Procter, Geraldine Wong Sak Hoi, and Peter Tolmie. 2016.
6423 'Analysing how people orient to and spread rumours in social media by looking at 6424 conversational threads', *PloS one*, 11: e0150989.

6425

6426 Appendix I - Approval Letter From Ethics Committee



25 June 2021

Dr Kishan Rees MD Medical Sciences Student Hull York Medical School

Dear Kishan

21 14 – What is the impact of, and interplay between, social & mainstream media on global public health communication during a pandemic? (Social/mainstream media and a pandemic)

Thank you for submitting your application to the HYMS Ethics Committee. The application has been reviewed on behalf of HYMS Ethics Committee with respect to the documents received on 8th June 2021.

I am pleased to inform you that I do not have any HYMS specific ethical concerns and am happy to confirm HYMS Ethics approval.

On behalf of the Ethics Committee, we wish you success with this study.

Kind regards

Yours sincerely

Professor Thozhukat Sathyapalan Chair HYMS Ethics Committee

6427

Hull York Medical School Hull University of Hull Hull, HU6 7RX, UK York University of York York, YO10 5DD, UK T 0870 1245500

info@hyms.ac.uk www.hyms.ac.uk

6428 Appendix II - Invitation to Participant Text & Artwork

- 6429 Would you like to take part in an interview-based study, investigating the impact of, and interplay
- 6430 between, social & mainstream media on global public health communication during the COVID-19
- 6431 pandemic and how you used these media to communicate during the pandemic?
- 6432 As part of my MD studies with Hull York Medical School, I am looking to interview a range of
- 6433 people, from varying backgrounds, both medical and non-medical. It is hoped to explore the impact of
- 6434 media in the pandemic.
- 6435 Your interview, via an online platform, will last between 45 and 60 minutes. The interviews will be
- 6436 recorded and transcribed, but your answers will be anonymised and kept confidential.
- 6437 A £5 gift voucher will be offered to thank you for your participation and volunteering your time.
- 6438 If you are interested in participating, please email Dr Kishan Rees on kishan.rees@hyms.ac.uk or via
- 6439 WhatsApp / Signal / Telegram / Text on 0787 4645 007.



6441 Appendix III – Research Study Information Sheet

6442 Research Study Information Sheet

6443 Introduction

Thank you for considering participating in this research study. Before you decide to take part, there
are a few things I would like to explain about the study, including the rationale behind the study and
what participation will involve.

6447 I am, and will remain, mindful that the COVID-19 pandemic has caused a tremendous amount of

6448 stress on individuals both personally and professionally. So, I really do appreciate you volunteering

6449 your time to take part in this study.

6450 Please take time to read the following information carefully and feel free to ask if there is anything you

6451 do not understand or would like more information on. My contact details are at the bottom of this

6452 sheet. I would like to reiterate that you are under no obligation to accept this invitation and should

6453 only agree to participate if you desire to do so.

6454 Thank you for your interest,

6455 Dr Kishan Rees

6456 1. Title of the study

6457 What is the impact of, and interplay between, social and mainstream media on global public health

6458 communication during a pandemic?

6459 2. What is the purpose of this study?

6460 This study seeks to explore what impact the interplay between social and mainstream media has on the

- 6461 mass communication of public health messaging during a pandemic. What elements help a public
- 6462 health response and what elements hinder it. What are the salient points of what went well? What

6463 aspects need further consideration and refinement? It is hoped that this study will contribute to the

6464 literature, so that when it comes to communicating the public health issues pertaining to a future

6465 pandemic, healthcare professionals will be in a better place to use the tools available for mass

6466 communication, thus, members of the public will be in a better position to comprehend, assimilate

and apply such information so they can make decisions that are in their best interests.

6468 3. Why have I been chosen to take part?

6469 You have responded to an advertisement inviting you to participate circulated through social media.

6470 4. Do I have to take part?

6471 No, participation is entirely voluntary, and you are free to withdraw at any time without explanation.

6472 You would need to contact the student investigator, Dr Kishan Rees, to confirm withdrawal.

6473 5. What will happen if I take part?

6474 At a mutually beneficial time, an interview will be arranged via an online platform, Zoom. This will be

6475 a one to one semi structured interview. There are no right or wrong answers. The investigators are

6476 interested in getting a wide range of views, exploring the impact of, and interplay between, social and

6477 mainstream media on global public health communication during a pandemic.

6478 The interview process is expected to last 45-60 minutes in duration. However, there is a wide degree of

6479 flexibility depending on the progression of the discussion. The entire length of the interview will be

6480 screen recorded. This is to ensure that what is stated can be noted accurately and aid data analysis.

6481 This combined with the data from other interviews will form the conclusions of the study.

6482 6. Expenses and/or payment

As a show of appreciation for your time, you will be offered a certificate of completion along with a £5gift voucher at the process.

6485 7. Are there any risks in taking part?

- 280 -

6486 There are no risks to your health by taking part in this study. The topics covered in the interview 6487 should not be considered sensitive, embarrassing or otherwise uncomfortable. However, if you do 6488 have any concerns about your participation, please contact the student investigator or the supervisory 6489 team directly to discuss.

6490 8. What if I am unhappy or of there is a problem?

6491 If you are unhappy, or if there is a problem with the interview, please feel free to let the investigators

6492 know by contacting the student investigator or their supervisory team, whose details are below. If you

6493 remain unhappy or have a complaint about the conduct of the study, please contact the HYMS

6494 Research Support Office directly (01904 321780 or <u>research@hyms.ac.uk</u>).

6495 9. Will my participation be kept confidential?

6496 Recordings from the interview will be anonymised and stored without any identifiable information.

6497 They will be destroyed upon your withdrawal or at your specific request. If neither of these occur, the

6498 recordings and other documents will kept as long as they remain of academic interest or research

6499 relevance.

6500 10. What happens if I am unwell taking part in this study?

6501 In the extremely unlikely event that you become unwell during the interview, the process will stop, and

6502 the incident documented and reported to both the project supervisory team and HYMS.

6503 11. What happens to the results of the study?

6504 The anonymised results will be used to formulate the conclusions of the study, which will feature as

6505 the dissertation of the student investigator, Dr Kishan Rees, as part of an MD project in Medical

6506 Sciences. This will end up in the public domain at the completion of the MD and on publication.

6507 12. What will happen if I want to stop taking part?

- 6508 You retain the right to withdraw from the project at any time, for any reason, without explanation. If
- 6509 you are happy for this to occur, results up to the period of withdrawal may be used. If not, you are free
- 6510 to request that they are destroyed, and no further use is made of them. To do so, contact the student
- 6511 investigator, Dr Kishan Rees, or a member of the supervisory team.

6512 13. Who can I contact if I have further questions?

- 6513 The student investigator, Dr Kishan Rees, is the first point of contact. Questions should be addressed
- 6514 to him initially. If this is not appropriate, then you may contact a member of the supervisory team:
- 6515 Professor Martin Veysey, Professor Gabrielle Finn, or Dr Paul Crampton.
- 6516 Student Investigator
- 6517 Dr Kishan Rees kishan.rees@hyms.ac.uk or 0787 4645 007
- 6518 Supervisory Team
- 6519 Professor Martin Veysey <u>martin.veysey@nt.gov.au</u>
- 6520 Professor Gabrielle Finn <u>gabrielle.finn@hyms.ac.uk</u>
- 6521 Dr Paul Crampton paul.crampton@hyms.ac.uk
- 6522 The study information sheet was distributed via <u>Research Study Information Sheet Google Docs</u>

6523 Appendix IV – Participant Consent Form

6524	Project Title: What is the impact of, and interplay between, social & mainstream media on global social with the second s	obal
6525	public health communication during a pandemic?	
6526	Name of Student Investigator: Dr Kishan Rees	
6527	Name of Participant:	
6528	Please tick the statements below	
6529	I confirm I have read the Participant Information Sheet in its entirety and understood its contents	s. ()
6530	I confirm I have had the opportunity to ask questions about my involvement in this project.	()
6531	I understand that my involvement is entirely voluntary and that I am free to withdraw from the pro-	oject
6532	at any time, without giving reason or excuse.	()
6533	I understand that I will be expected to take part in a 45-60 minute zoom interview, and that my	
6534	involvement is voluntary.	()
6535	I understand that audio/video from the interview will be recorded and stored securely, and then	L
6536	transcribed. I understand that anonymised recordings and transcriptions may be kept so long as	they
6537	are deemed to be of academic use.	()
6538	I agree to take part in this research as an interviewee.	()
6539	Initials:	
6540	Date:	
6541	Signature:	
6542	Consent forms collected via: - <u>COVID communication - Consent form - Google Forms</u>	

6544 6545	Listed intervie	below are a series of proposed prompts, questions, and sub-questions for the semi-structured ew for the study.
6546	1.	Introductory statement
6547	"20	20 has been a year like no other, the purpose of this interview is to explore your views on
6548	the	role various forms of media has had on how you personally have processed and reacted to
6549		evolving news of the pandemic."
6550		
6551	Ch	neck with participant to see if any reflections on that opening statement. ⁹
6552		
6553	2.	Opening question: What are your recollections of when you first heard about COVID-19?
6554		a. What source of media do you use to get your news?
6555		b. What was the first country you heard was affected by COVID-19?
6556		c. How did that news make you feel?
6557		d. Did you change any behaviours, on hearing the news, if so what?
6558		e. How did your views vary as compared with your friends and family?
6559		
6560	3.	Origins of COVID-19
6561		a. What is your awareness on theories as to how COVID-19 came into existence?
6562		b. What would you deem as a trusted source as to get this information specifically?
6563 6564		c. Does detailed scientific knowledge of the science behind COVID-19 help or hinder your day-to-day response?
6565		d. Has your understanding of this increased or decreased over the past 12-15 months?
6566 6567		f. This has been widely described in the media as a 'once in a century event'. In your view could an outbreak such as this happen again in our lifetimes?
6568		
6569	4.	Subsequent pandemic behaviours?
6570 6571		a. Three world slogans have been a big part of UK government communications, are there any that particularly resonate with you, and why?
6572 6573		b. The vastly varying way COVID-19 affects people has meant shielding & asymptomatic transmission have been vital. What do these terms mean to you?
6574 6575		c. Are you more likely to listen to a friend, expert or celebrity when it comes to adopting behavioural modifications related to keeping you and your loved ones safe?

6543 Appendix V – Questions Prepared for Semi-Structured Interviews

6576 6577	d. When stories arose about people breaking the rules, do you think that helped, hindered or had no effect on the public health response?
6578 6579	e. Are there any phrases or words that spring to mind about 2020 and the COVID-19 pandemic as a whole?
6580	
6581	Faces & Phrases of the Pandemic
6582	a. Chris Witty
6583	b. Jonathan Van Tam
6584	c. Matt Hancock
6585	d. Jenny Harries
6586	e. Devi Sridhar
6587	f. Dominic Cummings
6588	g. Stay at home, messaging pixelated
6589	h. Stay Alert messaging pixelated
6590	i. Hands, Face, Space, Ventilate pixelated

6591 Appendix VI - Example of Transcript Processed into ChatGPT 4

6592 Model: GPT-4

6593 6594 Copied below is a section of a transcript from an Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) 6595 study. The research question I am seeking to answer is "What is the impact and interplay of 6596 social and mainstream media on global public health communication in a pandemic?". I need 6597 you to draw a table finding "themes" relevant to the research question on the left then 6598 'substantiating quotes" from the transcript on the right. Here is the first part of the transcript: -6599 Well, on the bit about how quickly the world has been evolving and. Honestly, the first thing I 6600 thought, when he said that was gosh it's been a bit of a mess. it's just been chaos I just feel like 6601 it's like no other time we had such intense and the kind of intensity of updates and news and 6602 paranoia has just been unlike anything. And I think the reason it's felt so intense has been 6603 because it's all been on one topic I think we've all been we've all got very useful through. 6604 Constant news we've all got very used to this like 24 hour access to everything and hearing 6605 everything around the world in live stream, basically, but I think the difference has been has 6606 been no news except pandemic news for the last 18. So the variety is gone that makes it feel even more overwhelming than constant us about various topics And I think it becomes difficult 6607 because you think well. I'm not hearing anything new it's just, day after day it's not news 6608 6609 anymore, because it's just yeah same thing, day after day after day. When it gets a little bit 6610 monotonous, I think, and that's why it's about so intense. Gosh it's such a big question isn't it. No, I think I feel undecided about how to move forward, because On one level my immediate 6611 6612 thought is Oh, we need to be fact checking things before it goes out because there's been a lot 6613 of obviously fake news is like a huge issue now, so my immediate thought is Oh, we need to fact 6614 check things before they go out, but that is incompatible with the way news is broadcast 6615 nowadays, and the fact that anybody has a platform to spread information which I think is a 6616 great thing I think that's brilliant, but it makes it impossible to monitor and so you've always got 6617 to move away from the source of the news and focus your efforts on the people digesting the 6618 news and try and support them to do so in a constructive way and decide for themselves what's 6619 useful and what's not. Yeah it's difficult isn't it because you can't facts check everything and it's 6620 the nature of our kind of immediate access to information that. Yeah he never going to be able 6621 to do that. But I think also, I think what I what I would love to see change in the news is the 6622 focus on the negative I think it's so rare for the mainstream media outlets to focus on at least 6623 positive or constructive news because negativity cells, and that's what I hate about mainstream 6624 media is that there could be a great story, but they'll find a negative twist on it, because that's 6625 what people seem to love reading. I didn't know if they do I don't know but that's what sells and 6626 I think that's the kind of larger change that I'd love to see is for last negativity and more like 6627 rounded communication, but we have guite short attention spans, I think, and on the whole, 6628 which means that people want clickbait they love the headlines that's what sells and that's it 6629 that's what gets written about the thought pieces they kind of like well research slow journalism 6630 type of stuff. So I suppose on one level it's helped a lot, obviously, because never before we've 6631 been able to have this global picture of the pandemic and see what's happening in other places, 6632 maybe try and kind of communicate that learn from the lessons make people aware that this is 6633 a global issue and it's a big problem. So I guess in that was actually influenced at well because I 6634 mean that's how they issue the lockdown instructions and the kind of public health. Yeah, I

6635 suppose, they use mass media mainstream media to communicate the guidelines and so on for 6636 lockdown so that's influenced, I guess, in a positive way that that's been the tool that they've 6637 been able to get across and, of course, those look down to pick three had guite a positive 6638 impact on the spread of the virus, so I guess in that way it's positive, and I think negatively it's 6639 made people very paranoid. But then it's difficult because it is, it is a scary thing, many people 6640 so maybe kind of rightly paranoid that way um. But yeah was this the question kind of how the 6641 communication influence the pandemic, because then I can influence the proceedings of the 6642 pandemic. Yeah I mean I quess from that behaviour one minute is that the media has enabled 6643 the implementation of the lockdowns and so on um gosh I think. I'm thinking about now, I'm 6644 just trying to, I think it may be goes back to the thing that you can't control the messaging and 6645 you can't fact check. But I think a lot of new sources and maybe even individuals capitalized on 6646 the great attention that's being paid to it Yeah and use that to maybe build a platform and 6647 spread fake news because I think a lot of the time people don't regard to speak, that is just 6648 there what they think is the right thing. But you know misleading means or what all the news 6649 about side effects of vaccines and I think yeah maybe the kind of maybe the influence than it is 6650 also going into the way that the vaccines have been communicated out to the public, actually 6651 has to see before the new stories about certain side effects and so on, and the way that's all being communicated. Yeah and it feels excited to play that so I started reading about it very 6652 6653 early on, because I read the economist and they were there was a whisperings about and the 6654 January issues started talking about it and at first honestly, it was very it was the kind of sounds 6655 terrible now, but it was out for them issue, not an issue I just don't genuinely did not see it as a 6656 threat to US and me, and our lives and our society so First it was like reading our kind of 6657 fascination I go and watch the spread of you know this, but when it's when it's such isolated 6658 cases I think they've been confirmed the 14 linked cases. You just don't understand that doing 6659 it, I know you said you kind of clocks on quite early but I definitely didn't click on that this could 6660 be could ever affect us, so I think At first it was just reading I had an idea about it through kind 6661 of January, February, but not really from news like mainstream media, but from kind of The 6662 Economist and me, scientists and so on but wasn't particularly sad about it at that point and 6663 honestly I remember the first time I really caught on to it was the end of March, and I had some 6664 friends to stay in Cambridge and we just gone to a gig out on the Sunday night, which now is 6665 the last day I went to for a long, long time, but I really was it wasn't even on my radar I think 6666 people have started to think about not going out and doing things are going to nightclubs or 6667 concerts because it was starting to bubble, it was just totally out of my mind and I just didn't 6668 even have that as a consideration, and I remember after that gig and people, the next morning 6669 starting to post on social media saying you know people should really stop going out to clubs, 6670 this is serious and I just I just had no idea that that was in the kind of minds of some people 6671 anyway, it was that day after it was on the Monday, my friends are still staying off the weekend 6672 and I went out for a run and I came back and open the door and someone said, for us, is told 6673 everyone stay inside. And that's what my friend said yeah and I just remembered my heart sunk 6674 and I thought, what and this kind of panic set in and then 20 seconds at my phone rings it's my 6675 dad and I pick up these Oh, have you seen this and I was so confused I just thought like whoa 6676 this this thing that we've kind of been you know, been very much in the back of my mind, a 6677 couple of months I thought, if I'm honest right, this is quite exciting what's going to happen 6678 here. The thing is yeah but anyway, so we kind of had been talking about it and we're worried 6679 like what's going to happen, what is this about and I just assumed, it would be a week or two 6680 you know I just I still had not really grasped the full scale of it. And then started watching the

point where they pose like daily briefing things, maybe they weren't even daily at that point but 6681 6682 started watching be versus press conferences, where he be in that room and that kind of thing 6683 and they're very I remember, they really kind of hit me and it's guite severe and suddenly say 6684 okay this actually is a thing and it's time to us it's going to affect us even at that point, I didn't 6685 grasp the full magnitude of it, and I still assume that it would just be a couple of weeks and 6686 containment measures or whatever, but yeah there was a lot of frenzy around that time. I 6687 honestly don't remember hearing much about it and I actually think the first couple of weeks I 6688 think I was still maybe under the impression that it was just like a flu like it wasn't much worse 6689 than the flu. It was so confused, I mean I didn't think, I guess, a lot of us didn't know a lot of 6690 people, scientists and then the medics didn't know what it was. But I didn't grasp the severity of 6691 it, I think, even for a bit longer than that and had just assumed I didn't know, maybe I assumed, 6692 it was no reaction I don't really know, maybe I just didn't want to believe that this was going to 6693 be a huge. Something huge impacts us Maybe it was that I don't feel like I fully grasp. A quite a while every day um, it is hard, looking back on it, because of course we had to say much sense 6694 6695 it's very difficult remembering in those initial weeks what my thinking was because obviously it's 6696 changed so much. Sure, and with others, I still didn't fully I didn't feel too panicked I, as I said, 6697 there's a bit more interested in the deal what's this going to be it's quite exciting and new and 6698 what's going on. Yeah just thinking, like, I think, so the funny thing, so in my kind of close 6699 Friendship Group for the universe, to the six of us girls we live together and we're still kind of 6700 best of friends.

Theme	Substantiating Quotes		
Intensity and lack of variety in pandemic news	"I just feel like it's like no other time we had such intense and the kind of intensity of updates and news and paranoia has just been unlike anything." "So the variety is gone that makes it feel even more overwhelming than constant us about various topics"		
The difficulty of fact- checking and controlling messaging	"my immediate thought is Oh, we need to be fact checking things before it goes out, but that is incompatible with the way news is broadcast nowadays, and the fact that anybody has a platform to spread information" "But I think also, I think what I what I would love to see change in the news is the focus on the negative"		
Impact of media on implementing lockdowns	"So I guess in that was actually influenced at well because I mean that's how they issue the lockdown instructions and the kind of public health." "Yeah, I suppose, they use mass media mainstream media to communicate the guidelines and so on for lockdown so that's influenced, I guess, in a positive way"		
The role of media in spreading paranoia and misinformation	"I think negatively it's made people very paranoid." "But I think a lot of new sources and maybe even individuals capitalized on the great attention that's being paid to it Yeah and use that to maybe build a platform and spread fake news because I think a lot of the time people don't regard to speak, that is just there what they think is the right thing."		
Personal experience and	"At first it was just reading I had an idea about it through kind of January, February, but not really from news like mainstream media, but from kind of The Economist and me, scientists and so on" "And then started watching the point where they pose like daily briefing things, maybe they weren't even daily at that point but started watching be versus press conferences, where he be in that room and that kind of thing and they're very I remember, they really kind of hit me and it's quite severe and suddenly say okay this actually is a thing and it's time to us it's going to affect us even at that point, I didn't grasp the full magnitude of it" "I didn't grasp the severity of it, I think, even for a bit longer than that and had just assumed I didn't know, maybe I assumed, it was no reaction		
Personal experience and	longer than that and had just assumed I didn't know, maybe I assumed, it was no reaction		
pandemic	Something huge impacts us"		
Theme	Substantiating Quotes		
--------------------------	--	--	--
	"I remember after that gig and people, the next morning starting to post on social media		
The role of social media	saying you know people should really stop going out to clubs, this is serious and I just I		
in pandemic	just had no idea that that was in the kind of minds of some people anyway, it was that		
communication	day after"		

6701

6702 Copied below is a section of a transcript from an Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) 6703 study. The research question I am seeking to answer is "What is the impact and interplay of 6704 social and mainstream media on global public health communication in a pandemic?". I need 6705 you to draw a table finding "themes" relevant to the research question on the left then 6706 "substantiating quotes" from the transcript on the right. Here is the second part of the 6707 transcript:- They always used to call me Dr Chloe because I was the only scientist and a half, and 6708 they are all they're all clever girls, but they are. Like, Very paranoid and have absolutely like a 6709 shocking level of side understanding like what them lcs to bring her chickens me out of the 6710 fridge and say Connie does this have salmonella, can you see. They just had no and he's 6711 obviously very clever girls and some of them are now doing less than doing very, very well in 6712 their careers, but their scientific understanding was shocking. Yeah, and so I watched the 6713 WhatsApp group hundreds of messages every day of them saying you know, giving this very 6714 dubious almost advice to each other and I remember, one of my friends that just make sure guys when you're out cycling don't cycle behind anyone because, because when you breathe it's 6715 6716 going to come into you behind and I just remember being like oh my God that's like to. Okay 6717 just to quickly kind of answer your question that I think the frenzy wasn't. And I'd say, probably 6718 the typical BBC News stream. At that point, I found it quite shallow reporting is in there wasn't 6719 much depth through any of the stories, I found so that's why I kind of prefer to use new scientist 6720 and where they do much longer and more like informed issues last news less like click it and 6721 more information and, gosh what else did I use, I mean I listened to guite a lot of podcasts, so I 6722 would have listened to the times daily news briefing The Economist daily news briefing the 6723 Guardian I kind of more listen to news rather than read I think I yeah I think I am a bit vibrating 6724 with some new sources that I trust them so much that I take what they say as possible, so things 6725 like New Scientist or the economist, I read a good piece in there I'm sold. Whereas I'm very 6726 sceptical if I hear if I see a headline or you know just the same the same story going round and 6727 round on the BBC TV channel something. So when they started when there was some doubts 6728 cost around the origins. Yeah, I think, so I don't know if I actually. I think, so I think I did just take 6729 care I can't remember questioning it we got to say that the kind of conspiracy theory of the labs 6730 maybe we can't even call it a conspiracy theory, but that was one of my favourites. And then just 6731 I just thought that that whole idea was so silly of lab accidentally is experimenting with 6732 dangerous Newton and it gets really stirred this whole kind of bio. Oh what's it called like 6733 bioterrorism that kind of thing I did, I have to say I found it guite interesting and intriguing 6734 because, I don't think I believe that it was anything but natural. But I was also very happy almost 6735 with the full picture that a lot of these longer. Our schools were giving us and I don't feel like 6736 they were just dismissing things they were giving a voice and opinion to some of these lesser 6737 kind of or more intriguing possibilities. I think China know, I guess, not before that. End of 6738 March for the announcement I don't think I changed any behaviours before that, I mean as I 6739 said, I was still one of those people still going out going to parties going to nightclubs going to 6740 gigs up literally up until the last day yeah and probably looking back, I feel like that that was

6741 irresponsible but, honestly, at the time I can't even say that I thought about it just wasn't even 6742 on my radar I shouldn't maybe be doing now. So, I don't think so not immediately I think there 6743 was that denial, because even when that announcement came on I didn't immediately feel like 6744 you know I want you to. Yeah exactly, yeah and I think a couple of my friends who were 6745 changing their behaviours earlier that are the ones that I tend to associate with being guite 6746 paranoid and love clickbait news and love reading the Daily Mail and so I think that almost 6747 validates that for me that they were the ones panicking and sharing these things I was like 6748 honestly I don't really you know they're the same ones that share with me articles about you 6749 know just ridiculous kind of dirty mouth science stories, and so I didn't kind of invalidate it, but 6750 they were the ones reacting to it for me which maybe shows low level of trust and my friends, 6751 but you know. So, I think in terms of my colleagues, similar to me I think everybody seems kind 6752 of this medium risk where they'd heard about it we're kind of talking about it, but it didn't feel 6753 like an immediate threat or even a huge deal to anyone, it was more like what's happening here 6754 what's you know what's this thing It was more intrigue. With my family very similar although 6755 they I say even further down the line, in terms of not Covid deniers but kind of like they want to 6756 hold on to their freedoms and see lockdown as you know they're kind of against their freedoms 6757 and all the best, so they wouldn't even bother down not wanting to accept that this could be a 6758 thing for us you would love to talk to my dad he is I mean has some very extreme views on the 6759 best but I kind of think better those and I think he's fascinating he's a scientist he's incredibly 6760 clever man, but when it comes to this, he got so caught up in the kind of frenzy and yeah has 6761 not caught up in the frenzy sorry almost like anti the frenzy, and things anybody that is scared 6762 about cave it is stupid and that kind of thing so it's very yeah I think you'd have an interesting 6763 discussion. Not a tool, I really don't I really don't remember any kind of names. Yeah that's a good point actually when Trump yeah with his theories, I guess, it would invalidate kind of 6764 6765 anything that he sort of dives I would question. Yeah that's a good For it, I was he kind of one of 6766 the first ones talking about. Yeah I guess so possibly I mean maybe it is the case that if he has 6767 something that you think is so ridiculous you don't even give it a consideration a second 6768 thought, because you don't feel like it's kind of worthy of it. I don't know that I think so, so the 6769 origins, I mean, I think, because I studied virology at epidemiology and I understand how biases 6770 we company and repurchase and variants arise, and all of that I just didn't think I didn't think 6771 that it was particularly crazy that this has arisen, I mean, I think I was one of those people that 6772 was like I just find it incredible that we aren't all infected by millions of things that are killing us 6773 every second because it's just so much you know there's so much going on in the human body, 6774 and so I don't think I was a tool looking for an alternative other than just a naturally arising new 6775 virus, I mean I just find it incredible that pandemics don't happen every single yeah so much 6776 out, yeah so I didn't think I was looking for another alternative so it's kind of didn't but then I 6777 think for a lot of people that don't understand where how viruses come about what It is how it 6778 can mutate change, new variants maybe it's more it's nicer to have an explanation that a 6779 scientist creates that rather than you know it's just like incubating and pegs and Growing 6780 mutations and you know Spreading amongst species and adapting and maybe it's easier to 6781 believe that scientists were tweaking and messing about then understand the evolution of 6782 variants of viruses and so on. Yeah exactly so yeah I kind of understand what they are, and the 6783 idea that in kind of contained environments in order to help us prepare for potential new 6784 viruses new variants new pathogens that could come to be one day it's a good idea to 6785 experiment with them and almost have an understanding of how more deadly pathogens can 6786 arise and, therefore, be better prepared for them, so I understand that those studies exist, and in 6787 a way ominous I'm in support of them as a kind of a song with a scientific background, and you 6788 know trust, maybe trust and containment measures and safety procedures and so on, and I just 6789 understand that we're always on this quest for kind of third their scientific not mentioned, and 6790 so on. But then yeah I mean when I started to hear if you know we're hand is one of these 6791 places that only a few facilities and the walls, where they do actually take back for into viruses 6792 and do these studies on them you do you think wow what a what a coincidence and I mean I'm 6793 still yet to be convinced that I think it probably was natural, but even just last week, I was 6794 reading something where a lot of people aren't satisfied with the WHO report and hasn't Biden 6795 given a group of special so it kind of special, as you know, scientists and time to go away and 6796 further investigate the so I'm yet to be convinced like I'm not against I'm not totally in disbelief 6797 that idea I think it'd be crazy If we think about you know that this pandemic is potentially being 6798 caused by a leak it's just very SCI fi, as I say, quite exciting really. I would trust official report, so I 6799 would trust the findings of a say who report probably from an independent body, I don't think I 6800 would trust it if it was government funded either by our government or especially maybe 6801 Chinese government who may have ulterior motives Something like that And I suppose 6802 everybody does um and then it's impossible really get to see impartial. I mean, my 6803 understanding was that was because it was very difficult for them to get access for quite a while. 6804 I mean I think, when you say how do I feel is in like do, I feel that was appropriate. No, I didn't 6805 think so, I mean I think if anything leaks down the line it's being considered, they have more 6806 time to fully investigate I think I would still trust the outcome if they did, change the outcome, I 6807 think they would I would still trust it Because you know that as long as they kind of had 6808 scientifically sound methods

Themes	Substantiating Quotes
1. Limited scientific understanding among peers	"Very paranoid and have absolutely like a shocking level of side understanding like what them lcs to bring her chickens me out of the fridge and say Connie does this have salmonella, can you see."
2. Influence of social media	"I watched the WhatsApp group hundreds of messages every day of them saying you know, giving this very dubious almost advice to each other."
3. Mistrust in mainstream media	"At that point, I found it quite shallow reporting is in there wasn't much depth through any of the stories I am a bit vibrating with some new sources that I trust them so much that I take what they say as possible Whereas I'm very sceptical if I hear if I see a headline or you know just the same the same story going round and round on the BBC TV channel something."
4. Alternative sources of information	"So that's why I kind of prefer to use new scientist and where they do much longer and more like informed issues last news less like click it and more information and, gosh what else did I use, I mean I listened to quite a lot of podcasts, so I would have listened to the times daily news briefing The Economist daily news briefing the Guardian."
5. Skepticism towards conspiracy theories	"But I was also very happy almost with the full picture that a lot of these longer. Our schools were giving us and I don't feel like they were just dismissing things they were giving a voice and opinion to some of these lesser kind of or more intriguing possibilities But I was also very happy almost with the full picture that a lot of these longer. Our schools were giving us and I don't feel like they were just dismissing things they were giving a voice and opinion to some of these lesser kind of or more intriguing possibilities
6. Denial and delayed behavior change	"So, I don't think so not immediately I think there was that denial, because even when that announcement came on I didn't immediately feel like you know I want you to."

Themes	Substantiating Quotes
7. Influence of friends' opinions and behaviors	"Yeah exactly, yeah and I think a couple of my friends who were changing their behaviours earlier that are the ones that I tend to associate with being quite paranoid and love clickbait news and love reading the Daily Mail and so I think that almost validates that for me that they were the ones panicking and sharing these things I was like honestly I don't really you know they're the same ones that share with me articles about you know just ridiculous kind of dirty mouth science stories, and so I didn't kind of invalidate it, but they were the ones reacting to it for me which maybe shows low level of trust and my friends, but you know."
8. Family's skepticism towards the pandemic	"With my family very similar although they I say even further down the line, in terms of not Covid deniers but kind of like they want to hold on to their freedoms

6809

6810 Copied below is a section of a transcript from an Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) study. The research question I am seeking to answer is "What is the impact and interplay of 6811 6812 social and mainstream media on global public health communication in a pandemic?". I need 6813 you to draw a table finding "themes" relevant to the research question on the left then "substantiating quotes" from the transcript on the right. Here is the third part of the transcript: -6814 6815 and so on, and So you know I didn't think the delay in the report was doing anything, the delay 6816 and the kind of report and the outcomes would make me trust it any less. I'd say hello From the 6817 perspective that I feel like if I if I really understand how a virus works mutate spreads exists on surfaces that kind of thing I feel like I'm better prepared to analyse and I don't know, maybe 6818 6819 four conclusions of the new sources that I read, maybe, for example, if I hear something that I 6820 just think is scientifically just not maybe plausible or Very improbable I feel like I'm better 6821 equipped with scientific knowledge to be able to analyse it think for myself and reach a 6822 conclusion, rather than just having to believe everything I read because they don't have the 6823 scientific skills to question it. Okay, so I think that's how And this topic being code 90 Definitely 6824 increased like I read about to every day so Okay, increase, but actually at the same time, maybe 6825 there's still a lot I don't maybe understand or if I'm not quite There with I don't fully understand 6826 some elements of it, for example, was a long covert or there's still stuff that I feel like it's 6827 surprising I don't know more about considering I've read about it every day for 18 months. Oh 6828 totally I mean I'm just ready now for this kind of at five year cycle, a pandemic or a five year 6829 cycle. I Jenny will maybe yeah I genuinely think so because I just think a couple of reasons, firstly 6830 globalization like we just travelled everywhere now we've got no hope of containment. I mean I 6831 just think that if any virus is arising or any pathogen is arising somewhere it's everywhere, within 6832 two days or something I just think that so globalization's of massive one. Yeah that can be 6833 encroaching into animal territories and deforestation and a lot more kind of maybe interaction 6834 with animals and viruses jumping between animals and humans and all of that yeah and then 6835 population as well just this kind of exponential population growth so many people viruses are 6836 just probably rubbing their hands thinking so many people, so, in fact. Okay yeah I just feel like 6837 it well, I mean I hope it wasn't by just for like it well Because I think yeah. Yes, the expert. hmm. 6838 The three word ones, not the ones that are all hands face space yeah I like that. I just think it's 6839 catchy and it's nice that it right. It does yeah he's the only one, I can think of, but he said, the 6840 three words when because the ones that I think will be safe and stay at home What was it. What 6841 was it stay at home, save lives. will come to them, so now three words warm weather. Yes, I 6842 mean as kind of a definition. So shielding I would say If a vulnerable person wants to kind of 6843 apply an extra level of protection against themselves and so chooses not to go and expose

6844 themselves to any potentially virus infested areas. So we kind of like to me it's like an extra level of protection, like everyone's taking measures, but for the particularly vulnerable shielding as 6845 6846 like yet another method. Right um and then the second one, was what sorry, yeah I mean yeah 6847 the theory that it's possible to be infected With current of ours and the acm so not displaying 6848 any symptoms and unknowingly unwittingly transmitting it to other people, which could then 6849 develop more severe infection so yeah I mean, in general I think any virus that produces a 6850 symptomatic infections is particularly worrying. Because it's not it would be a much nicer if 6851 everybody immediately on day one got if everybody got a horrible cough then it's very easy to 6852 know to stay inside. yeah, but I think that's The worrying thing, and it did cause a lot of nerves 6853 actually I think over the pandemic, knowing that a lot, while sharing that a lot of younger 6854 people, especially were asymptomatic. It caused a lot of worry because I thought, well, I could 6855 at. Any point be infected and I probably be fine. yeah and could be out there, spreading it, so I 6856 think it definitely did cause bit of worry and then and I think it's misleading, though, because I 6857 remember sometimes people would say. You know I feel like I'm not in fact I feel fine and then 6858 you say okay it's probably a lot of asymptomatic infection as well. But yeah. On the public health 6859 response um. gosh I think a bit of both I mean, I think it hindered it in there as soon as you hear 6860 that not everybody is following the Rules you think, and I send you plural not me not you just 6861 like people may think. Okay, if they're not sticking to it, and neither will I so it kind of reduces 6862 adherence but I say on the flip side, the response, the shaming of people when they. You know, 6863 someone was caught driving somewhere on a fishing trip the shape that that person got you 6864 know, like named and shamed or national media you do think wow Okay, some people are now 6865 going to really comply because they're terrified of things out. So I think probably I definitely 6866 think effects that I didn't think it would have no effect, but I think two different people, it would 6867 do different things. I didn't think anything additional to what everything we've spoken about. 6868 Oh God it's. Know it's funny maybe, going to be. Terrible at. And what their Jones. So this might 6869 be totally wrong I'm probably going to really embarrass myself I don't know this guy's name, 6870 but I think he has something to do with the WHO it's potentially the director of it. Oh, 6871 absolutely no idea honestly. No idea. Oh, she she's my friend's mom I can't remember her name 6872 but she's the she was sometimes on gosh what's her title. I know she was sometimes on the 6873 podium um. yeah gosh I can't I really can't remember I know she's a medical doctor, she was a 6874 medical doctor. And I remember her name or. I don't know. Wasn't she in like the same team as 6875 Chris Whitty I'm not quite. high security agency. Honestly, this isn't going well and I'm not 6876 redeeming myself here because I have no idea. So again, not sure that I have is this who guy. 6877 me because I was just gonna say direct Sir off, but maybe that maybe, can I say something like I 6878 just made direct towards like pandemic response. yeah okay. Congratulations to you, I thought 6879 the first guy was I thought this was there. That no I didn't miss. I kind of I started saying the 6880 director of the WHO and then I thought is that even more specific than that, so I tried to qualify 6881 with a of kindness. No idea. I recognize that now, I do. Yes, I have I've watched us speak yeah. I 6882 haven't real problem with names, you know. Have a real partner with. So, and sometimes. Oh 6883 yeah Dominic Cummings. I remember that interview so well, where he was out in the garden in 6884 his linen shirt. I'm what you call it the right hand man, Boris Johnson boycott by. Would you call 6885 it the advisor Is it the divisor. Something was protects the NHS. stay at home tech manager NHS 6886 save lives. And this was a different message. say it wasn't Stat hey I can't remember till now. 6887 stay, stay, and that was it yeah. Oh, I know exactly who he is. Do you ever find this when you get 6888 put under pressure with like a face, like watch this guy every day. soon as you pull him up I'm 6889 like no I did. I mean, it really is this, this is Chris Whitty. Matt Hancock's that. Minister how. Of

course it I literally watched him every day. yeah it is. uh huh. yeah I thought lunch at some point
that I can meet half about I'm probably going to take with the whole thing that that's fine yeah
no. God I can't believe I didn't recognize matt Hancock that is just me. which I think the most I
mean very recently I watched the most hilarious video Matt Hancock I'm. Doing park or have
you seen it oh it's so funny and his like clemson's he looks like a little boy did.

Theme	Substantiating Quotes
Trust in scientific reports	"So you know I didn't think the delay in the report was doing anything, the delay and the kind of report and the outcomes would make me trust it any less."
Understanding virus dynamics	"I feel like if I really understand how a virus works mutate spreads exists on surfaces that kind of thing I feel like I'm better prepared to analyseI feel like I'm better equipped with scientific knowledge to be able to analyse it think for myself and reach a conclusion, rather than just having to believe everything I read because they don't have the scientific skills to question it."
Increased media consumption	"Definitely increased like I read about [COVID-19] every day so but actually at the same time, maybe there's still a lot I don't maybe understand or if I'm not quite There with I don't fully understand some elements of it"
Globalization and pandemic spread	"I Jenny will maybe yeah I genuinely think so because I just think a couple of reasons, firstly globalization like we just travelled everywhere now we've got no hope of containment. I mean I just think that if any virus is arising or any pathogen is arising somewhere it's everywhere, within two days or something I just think that so globalization's of massive one."
Catchy public health messaging	"Yes, the expert. hmm. The three word ones, not the ones that are all hands face space yeah I like that. I just think it's catchy and it's nice that it right."
Shielding and asymptomatic concerns	"So shielding I would say If a vulnerable person wants to kind of apply an extra level of protection against themselvesSo we kind of like to me it's like an extra level of protectionI think any virus that produces a symptomatic infections is particularly worrying. Because it's not it would be a much nicer if everybody immediately on day one got if everybody got a horrible cough then it's very easy to know to stay inside."
Rule-breaking and shaming	"On the public health response um. gosh I think a bit of both I mean, I think it hindered it in there as soon as you hear that not everybody is following the Rules you think, and I send you plural not me not you just like people may think. Okay, if they're not sticking to it, and neither will I so it kind of reduces adherence but I say on the flip side, the response, the shaming of people when they."
Familiarity with public figures	"Oh, she she's my friend's mom I can't remember her name but she's the she was sometimes on gosh what's her title. I know she was sometimes on the podium umOh yeah Dominic CummingsOh, I know exactly who he is. Do you ever find this when you get put under pressure with like a face, like watch this guy every day. soon as you pull him up I'm like no I did. I mean, it really is this, this is Chris Whitty. Matt Hancock's that."

6895

6896 Appendix VII - Miscellaneous Material

- 6897 This appendix contains materials that while not pertinent to the main body of the thesis are useful
- 6898 when considering this work in its entirety.
- 6899 <u>Screenshot Showing Example of Descript Interface & Audio Transcripts</u>

Drive View Descript						-	٥	×
B ~	Q							
Kishan's Drive 🗸 📯	Show	vall ∽			Last Modified			
Recent projects	n.	0. RSM Media in a Crisis	Me	2 April	2 April			
Quick recordings	6	01. Audio Transcript ROFW	Me	Wednesday	Wednesday			
Shared with me	D	02. Audio Transcript ROFW	Me	Wednesday	Wednesday			
က္ Downloaded	D	03. Audio Transcript ROFW	Me	Wednesday	Wednesday			
III Voices	b	04. Audio Transcript ROFW	Me	Wednesday	Wednesday			
E Templates	D	05. Audio Transcript ROFW	Me	Wednesday	Wednesday			
	D	06. Audio Transcript ROFW	Me	Wednesday	Wednesday			
A Private workspace	D	07. Audio Transcript ROFW Part 1	Me	Wednesday	Wednesday			
	D	07. Audio Transcript ROFW Part 2	Me	Wednesday	Wednesday			
D Kishan's Drive workspace	D	08. Audio Transcript ROFW	Me	Wednesday	Wednesday			
MD INTERVIEWS	Ð	09. Audio Transcript ROFW	Me	26 March	26 March			
	D	10. Audio Transcript ROFW	Me	Wednesday	Wednesday			
	ħ	11. Audio Transcript ROFW	Me	Wednesday	Wednesday			
= P 👢 🖬 💽 🧕	-	🧶 🔍 🔄 💁 🖉 💽						15:4

6901 <u>Screenshot Showing Example of Descript Search Functionality</u>



6902

6900

6903 Reflecting on Adding AI Tools to IPA

While AI can significantly augment IPA, i.e., making something greater by adding to it, when applying IPA methodology to larger data sets it is important to remember IPA is still heavily dependent on the human researcher's understanding and judgement. Therefore, all AI generated outputs of this study were thoughtfully considered and critically reviewed to ensure the analysis remains true to the participants' lived experience, the human process of immersing oneself in the data is essential to that process.

6910 It is important to emphasise commercially available AI has not yet reached a point where it can fully

6911 grasp or interpret the nuance and depth of human experience the same way a human researcher can.

6912 What AI, can do and where I propose it adds value, as certainly has been the case in this research

6913 project - is to augment and scale the application of IPA principles and methodology to a far larger data

set, in this case of 40 participants, then the original and classical application of the methodology wasintended for.

6916 There are six core components of conducting an IPA study, that I propose make it a particularly well 6917 suited research methodology for innovation and adaption by augmenting with AI enabled tools and 6918 processes. Thus, maximising a hybrid human and AI driven approach to applying IPA on a broader 6919scale. These are: Phenomenology, Hermeneutics, Idiography, Transparency, Double Hermeneutics, 6920 and Participants Voice. These components were derived from and considered in the context of 6921 Smith's seminal paper introducing the IPA methodology (Smith 1996). The following considers these 6922 six principles of IPA when used with addition of AI tools and applied to larger heterogeneous groups 6923 rather than the usual small homogeneous groups IPA is traditionally applied to.

For a phenomenon as significant and impactful as the COVID-19 pandemic it is proposed such an approach is warranted to get a broader picture of participants' lived experience, the issues they faced and how the communication of COVID-19 impacted upon them. In this section each of the six core

6927 components listed above are introduced, briefly explained, and then offered as to how AI may or may

6928 not augment that step and the degree to which its role differs from the human component to6929 conducting qualitative research.

6930 Phenomenology

Firstly, phenomenology is a philosophical movement originating in the 20th century, which has had a
'long, controversial, and often confusing history' within the social sciences (Rehorick and Taylor 1995).
Moreover, depending on one's epistemological and ontological position as (Goulding 2005) allude, it
is either conceptualised as a philosophy, for those who adhere to the thinking of Husserl (1962) and
Heidegger (1962), or a methodology, for those who adopt the position put forward by Schutz (1967).

6936 In this research the goal of the phenomenological approach has been to enlarge and deepen

6937 understanding of the range of immediate experiences related to COVID-19 media coverage. My

primary concern as a researcher was the direct investigation and description of this phenomena asconsciously experienced, by as broad and diverse range of participants as possible. While, considering

6940 practical constraints such as time available for a single part-time researcher to complete the data

6941 collection, analysis and writing up phases within the submission deadline for the MD programme.

6942 IPA as a research methodology is firmly rooted in phenomenology thus is concerned with exploring

and a detailed examination of human experience. Importantly this is an examination without,

6944 considering theories as to the causal explanation of that human experience. Ideally it is also as free as

6945 possible from unexamined preconceptions and presuppositions. Augmenting the IPA research

6946 process with AI enabled tools allows for a consideration of human experience on a more detailed and

6947 broader scale, via analysing and signposting to relevant sections of transcripts that are related to the

6948 research question and worthy of human cognitive capacity when examining in relation to the research

6949 questions. Underpinning this study is 40 interviews and over 35 hours of audio and video footage

- 6950 which have been painstakingly poured over, each watched, rewatched and then specific sections
- 6951 revisited several times to achieve that detailed examination of human experience. The human to

6952 human connection during the interviews, where participants expressed a particularly strong desire to 6953 share following a traumatic experience - often commenting the process was quite cathartic - and 6954 subsequent interaction and interpretation of the data set amassed are at the very core of this process 6955 and hence study. I am aware the AI augmented element of this research may attract both positive and 6956 negative attention. Its deployment as a tool to examine the lived experience of a broad group, should 6957 not detract from the fundamental human desire to learn about peoples lived experience of COVID-19 6958 in the media, such that lessons can be learned for the next pandemic, and I hope insights from this 6959 research may prove valuable in that regard.

6960 Hermeneutics

6961 The second core component of an IPA study particularly relevant to this novel methodology is 6962 hermeneutics - the theory and methodology of interpretation. In an IPA context it refers to the process 6963 of understanding and interpreting the participants' experiences and perspectives. This iterative 6964 interpretive process is influenced by the researchers own conception, experiences and are processes 6965 described and acknowledged as a 'Hermeneutic circle' (Bontekoe 1996). Two levels of transcription 6966 each powered by varying degrees of AI aided and enabled the interpretation of each participant's lived 6967 experience. By using the transcription feature in Zoom, a transcript was available within an hour of 6968 each interview. Important to state these did contain some minor errors, but the transcript was available 6969 far faster than other more conventional and expensive methods. The rapid availability of the 6970 transcripts aided in the interpretation of each participant's lived experience in between interviews. 6971 Going back and correcting the transcript also made me realise aspects I hadn't properly heard, 6972 contemplated, or reflected on during the initial interview itself. This proved to be an incredibly 6973 valuable part of the interpretive process. These interviews were conducted over June to July 2021. 6974 This was a period when Descript, the other more powerful AI enabled transcription tool, deployed in 6975 this research, was not available. From March 2023 onwards, when writing up this project, the 6976 hermeneutic elements of this research were further strengthened. Each audio file was input into

6977 Descript a transcription tool that also allowed for the removal of filler words and therefore nicely 6978 formatted transcripts and associated audio files. By filler words, I mean 'umms, aahhs, ers, kindas' etc. 6979 Also, important to note that the first round of transcripts being reviewed included all these being 6980 present. This significantly aided the interpretative process when seeking to navigate to and revisit a 6981 specific part of the corpus of data. Descript also clearly labelled interviewer and participant. Individual 6982 transcripts were exported and then aggregated into one large Word document. This Word document 6983 was particularly useful in that it allowed for rapid searching of terms or phrases using the 'control + F'' 6984 function across the entire corpus of data. Descript also makes it possible to easily access and listen 6985back to specific sentences for tone and intonation. I would suggest this allows for a deeper level of 6986 interpretation than reading transcripts alone. Therefore, a double transcription process of varying 6987 levels of detail was deployed. With first low level transcripts being immediately available after the 6988 interview and used over the intervening period of immersion while manually applying IPA methods 6989 from August 2021 to October 2022. Then a deeper level of detail during March 2023 to May 2023, 6990 enabled by Descript, in a concentrated period of time which aided the interpretive process greatly.

6991 Idiography

Thirdly, Idiography plays a significant role in IPA (Shinebourne 2011). This refers to the detailed, in
depth study of individual cases or events. There is some overlap between Hermeneutics and
Idiography when it came to transcription. AI enabled tools augmented the IPA methodology from
both a hermeneutic and idiographic perspective by assisting with automated, high quality transcription
reducing manual labour, minimising errors, and creating a fully searchable corpus of data that can be
revisited and or audited.

In the context of IPA, idiography emphasises the importance of understanding the unique personal experiences of each participant. IPA is a methodology committed to exploring how individuals make sense of their personal and social world. The idiographic focus of IPA therefore allows for a detailed examination of the participant's lived experience and provides an opportunity to establish a rich,

- 299 -

nuanced understanding of their experiences. AI enabled tools were used in this study to augment theidiographic focus in a distinct way particularly relevant for a dyslexic researcher.

The idiographic focus of this work was significantly strengthened via text analysis. AI enabled systems,

such as ChatGPT 4 can process and analyse large volumes of text data identifying key themes and

substantiating quotes in a fully auditable and reproducible way, and example of which is provided in

7007 *Appendix VI - Example of Transcript Processed into ChatGPT 4*'. Which shows how all themes and

substantiating quotes were extracted using ChatGPT 4, they were then manually reviewed and put into

an Excel tab compiling all those relevant to the research question. This in conjunction with the Word

and Descript files detailed above proved incredibly powerful for both the hermeneutic and double

7011 hermeneutic elements of this research. As a dyslexic researcher this is a far stronger approach than a

purely manual process dependent on sticky notes and paper cuttings, within which I often found

7013 myself lost and unable to access or interpret insights from the vast corpus of data this research yielded.

Such an approach not only aids the researcher when applying AI tools to IPA with a larger dataset - by signposting them to areas of interest but also allows an auditable approach where those interested can see which themes and quotes were pulled out and further elaborated on by the researcher.

7017 Transparency

Transparency is a key principle when conducting IPA research. It is related to the clear and detailed

reporting of the research process from data collection to analysis and interpretation. In this case it also

allows for replication of the application of AI tools to IPA. I believe the addition of said tools to the

- 7021 qualitative research process greatly increases the transparency of said research, whether it is the
- 7022 detailed excel file collated with all themes and substantiating quotes or the various appendices detailing

7023 outputs.

7024 The Double Hermeneutic

7025 The double hermeneutic (Montague et al. 2020) is an integral part of the IPA research process and 7026 refers to the process of 'intersubjective meaning making' i.e. the participant interprets their experience 7027 of the phenomena under investigation within the research interaction and the researcher interprets the 7028 resulting accounts through their interaction and analysis of the data. This interaction and analysis of 7029 the data has occurred over significant period of time to allow for immersion. The AI tools used to 7030 create a fully searchable word document containing transcripts from all interviews, a fully searchable 7031 excel file with themes and quotes, these in conjunction with the audio loaded into the Descript 7032 application were the AI enabled building blocks that significantly aided and assisted the double 7033 hermeneutic approach to this research. For complete transparency had it not been for the emergence 7034 of these AI tools it would have taken far longer to present the findings of this research and arguably it 7035 would have been a less comprehensive representation of participants lived experience.

7036 Participant's Voice

7037 Finally, the sixth and perhaps most critically important component of IPA research is the participant's 7038 voice (Shinebourne 2011). This really is central to IPA, with the aim being to explore the participant's 7039 view of the world and the phenomenon under investigation and as far as possible adopt an 'insider's 7040 perspective' of the phenomenon under study. The participants voice in IPA is not just a source of data 7041 but the primary means through which, as a researcher I was able to gain insights into their lived 7042 experience. I hope through completing this research project I was able to provide a rich, detailed and 7043 nuanced understanding of each of the participants lived experience of how COVID-19 was 7044 communicated, how they interacted with various forms of social and mainstream media, the associated 7045 impact on their lives and their subsequent beliefs and behaviours. AI merely served as a tool to sort, 7046 categorise, and interrogate the vast corpus, thus allowing the participants voice to shine through.

- 7047 Having broken down each of these six core components of IPA and discussed how AI can augment
- the human research process I would like to use the constructed metaphor of an hourglass to illustrate
- areas within IPA that are predominantly if not exclusively reliant on human expertise and those areas
- 7050 where I believe AI can add value, above and beyond human capability alone.

7051 <u>'IPA + AI Tools Research Approach Explained via Hourglass Constructed Metaphor'</u>



'IPA+ AI Tools Research Approach Explained via Hourglass Constructed Metaphor'

7052

7053 The hourglass has the six core IPA components discussed above overlaid, the upper and lower 7054 extremities phenomenology and participants voice respectively symbolise areas within the IPA 7055 research process that are predominantly, if not exclusively, reliant on human connection, research 7056 expertise and empathy. 'Segment 1 – Phenomenology', positioned at the top signifies a need for deep 7057 empathetic understanding of lived experiences, a realm presently inaccessible to the comprehensive 7058 interpretation of AI. Similarly at the opposite extreme of the hourglass in 'Segment 6 - The 7059 Participant's Voice' has been positioned. As it was via inherently human interactions both in terms of 7060 how the raw data was generated through the interview and how that data was interpreted via the 7061 additional IPA lenses of hermeneutics and double hermeneutics - the participants voice was heard.

7062 Therefore, these are positioned as segments 2 and 5 respectively - the closest to segments 1 and 6. 7063 The aspects unique to each participant demands the nuanced comprehension of the human 7064 researcher another highly human centric process that presently outstrips the abilities of AI. As we 7065 navigate towards the central constriction of the hourglass, outlined in red, encompassing idiography in 7066 the top segment and transparency in the bottom, areas where the symbiotic integration of AI can 7067 significantly augment the IPA process are demonstrated. For instance, AI, with its ability to identify 7068 patterns and themes can lend substantial support to the idiographic process while maintaining 7069 transparency especially when dealing with large volumes of individualised qualitative data as was the 7070 case in this research project.

Within the bottom half of the hourglass AI can be of assistance in the double hermeneutic process by providing an organised framework for the analysis of patterns in language use thereby enabling a more robust and auditable approach. For example, in understanding not just how participants make sense of their lived experience, but also which sections and quotes were of particular interest to the researcher. However, the human process of a researcher interacting with and interpreting the data is vital, hence this is placed in a segment related predominantly to human cognition.

With regards to transparency, AI's capability for meticulous record keeping and structured approach
to presentation of themes are both factors that can enhance the clarity, reproducibility and hence
transparency of the overall research. Thus, while the extremities of the hourglass represents the innate

human experience vital to IPA, the closer we approach the hourglass nexus, as shaded in red with the

7081 mini hourglass, the more pronounced becomes the potential role of AI augmenting the IPA research

7082 process.

7083 Glossary

AI	Artificial Intelligence
AIDS	Acquired Immunodeficiency Disease
API	Application Programming Interface
BCE	Before Common Era
CDC	Centres for Disease Prevention and Control
CFR	Case Fatality Rate
ChatGPT	(AI Enabled Chatbot featuring a) Generative Pre-trained Transformer
COVID- 19	Coronavirus Disease- 2019
СРМ	Cost Per Mille
DNA	Did Not Attend
FCC	Federal Communication Commission
FRC	Federal Radio Commission
GETs	Group Experiential Themes
GM	Genetically Modified
GP	General Practitioner
GPT	Generative Pre-trained Transformer
GT	Grounded Theory
HBM	Health Beliefs Model
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
HPE	Health Professions Education
HPV	Human Papilloma Virus

IPA	Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis
JCVI	Joint Committee for Vaccines and Immunisations
LLM	Large Language Model
MD	Doctor of Medicine
MERS	Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome
MMR	Measles Mumps Rubella (Vaccine)
N/A	Not Applicable
NERC	National Ebola Response Centres
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
OfCom	Office of Communications - UK Media Regulator
PETs	Personal Experiential Themes
PhD	Doctor of Philosophy
РМС	Population Media Centre
PTSD	Post Traumatic Stress Disorder
R 0	Reproductive Number
RSM	Royal Society of Medicine
RTA	Reflexive Thematic Analysis
SARS	Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome
SARS- CoV-2	Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2
TPB	Theory of Planned Behaviour
ТТМ	Transtheoretical Model

TV	Television
UK	United Kingdom
USA	United States of America
WHO	World Health Organisation