




Tilia Graph 1. The percentages of taxa recorded from the Tremadoc to earliest Arenig aged field samples.




Tilia Graph 2. The numbers of taxa recorded from the microfossil dated Arenig aged field samples.

LITHOSTRATIGRAPHY
(after the Robertson Group 1985)

GROUP	FORMATION
Fezouata	Fezouata



Tilia Graph 3. The percentages of taxa recorded from samples JW 4 and JW 5.



Tilia Graph 4. The percentages of taxa recorded from samples DW 291 and DW 307.

LITHOSTRATIGRAPHY
(after the Robertson Group 1985)

GROUP FORMATION


Fezouata

Fezouata

Depth

DW 307

DW 291



PALYNOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION

6


MG Snape, 27th December 1992.

Tilia Graph 8. The percentages of taxa recorded from the Caradoc aged field samples.

LITHOSTRATIGRAPHY
(after the Robertson Group 1985)

GROUP FORMATION

Bani Ktaoua



PALYNOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION

9



MG Snape, 30th December 1992.

Total sum of squares

Tilia Graph 9. The percentages of taxa recorded from Caradoc aged field samples.

LITHOSTRATIGRAPHY
(after the Robertson Group 1985)


GROUP	FORMATION
Bani	Ktaoua




PALYNOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION

10


Tilia Graph 10. The percentages of taxa recorded from Ashgill aged field samples.






PALYNOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION	
18	
17	
16	
15	
14	
13	

Tilia graph 12: The percentages of taxa recorded from the early Ludlow field samples.



Tilia graph 13. The percentages of taxa recorded from the Pridoli to Early Devonian field samples.



Tilia graph 14. The percentages of taxa recorded from core samples from Oum Doui-1.

