

**Aspects of the English electoral
system 1800-50,
with special reference to Yorkshire.**

Volume 2 of 2

**Mark Pack
submitted for the degree of DPhil
York University
History Department
June 1995**

Appendix 1: Borough classifications

There are several existing classifications of boroughs by franchise type.¹ I have preferred to construct my own as there are clear problems with the existing classifications, such as inconsistencies and some errors (e.g. see Malton below). In this context, it is more satisfying to delve into the issue, rather than simply pick one of the existing classifications off the shelf. This is particularly so given the existence of a much under-used source of evidence: post-1832 electoral registers (or sources that contain information about them). Under certain conditions pre-1832 franchises were allowed to continue after 1832. As electoral registers listed what qualifications people had registered under, post-1832 registers can reveal the pre-1832 franchise.

That at least is the theory; there are some complicating factors. First, the description in an electoral register may be less than a complete description of the pre-1832 franchise. For example, if a register says “freemen” one does not know if there had been additional requirements, such as having to be resident. Second, not all pre-1832 constituencies survived, and so there are no electoral registers for these. Third, compilers of electoral registers may have got the pre-1832 franchise wrong. This is unlikely as when the first registers were being drawn up in the 1830s there was a wealth of local and verbal knowledge to consult. Additionally, given the competitiveness of many of the annual registration cycles in the 1830s and 1840s it would be surprising if erroneous classifications survived without challenge.

My other main source - Parliamentary Papers - suffers from problems too. In particular, they are often inconsistent and ambiguous. For example, describing the franchise as resting with “burgesses” may mean a borough was a corporation borough, or it may mean that it was a freeman borough.

Given the range of sources involved I have not given a complete bibliography of the evidence used for each individual constituency. Rather, I have highlighted the most useful and important sources. But, these are only an introduction to the evidence rather than a complete survey of it.

Notes

1. Many freemen boroughs actually had the franchise resting with freemen and the corporation, or members of it. For example, freemen, capital burgesses and mayor was a not uncommon franchise.
2. There were some franchise rules that applied to all constituencies, many of which continued after 1832. For example, in the 1801-2 session it had been resolved that, in the opinion of the Commons, no peer (except for Irish peers) had a right to vote in Parliamentary elections.
3. O’Gorman, *Voters, Patrons and Parties* and Thorne, *History of Parliament* are sources for all of the classifications. Generally, I have only listed additional sources below.
4. Italicised boroughs were in Yorkshire.

¹ For example, Oldfield, *A Complete History*, O’Gorman, *Voters, Patrons and Parties* and Thorne, *The History of Parliament*.

5. Each borough is accompanied by two 1s or 0s, which refer to, respectively, whether the constituency polled in the 1806 and 1818 general elections. For example, 10 means the constituency polled in 1806 but not in 1818. The evidence for this is taken from Thorne, *History of Parliament*..

6. For further details of the franchise in county boroughs see Appendix 5.

Freemen boroughs

In freemen boroughs the franchise lay with freemen.¹ The methods by which someone could become a freeman varied from borough to borough. The main methods were by birth, marriage to the daughter of a freeman, purchase or apprenticeship.

Aldeburgh 00	1831-2 (112) XXXV gives it being scot and lot, but 1831-2 (92) XXXVI gives it as being freeman.
Barnstaple 11	Confirmed by the presence of freemen on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>The Handbook of the Court; The Peerage; And The House Of Commons</i> , fifteenth edition, P.S. King, London, 1865, p.152.
Bedford 00	This is confirmed by the presence of freemen on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI. Householders may also have been able to vote: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.153.
Berwick-on-Tweed 11	Confirmed by the of electors under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.155.
<i>Beverley</i> 11	Confirmed by the presence of electors under freemen qualifications on the 1832 and 1836-9 registers: 1833 (189) XXXVII and 1840 (379) XLI.
Bewdley 00	Single-member borough. Confirmed by the presence of electors on the 1836-9 registers under freemen qualifications: 1840 (379) XLI.
Bishops Castle 01	Only resident freemen could vote. Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Bossiney 01	Note that all those who had free land in the borough and lived in the parish were automatically deemed freemen: 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and 1835 (116) XXIV.
Boston 11	Only resident freemen by birth or servitude paying scot and lot could vote. Confirmed by presence of electors under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.160.
Bridgnorth 00	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence electors under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.162.
Bristol 01	Confirmed by the presence of electors in the 1836-9 registers under freemen qualifications: 1840 (379) XLI. County borough.
Cambridge 01	Confirmed by the presence electors under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.168.
Camelford 01	Only resident freemen paying scot and lot could vote. Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of electors under freeman qualifications on the 1854 register: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.169.
Canterbury 01	Confirmed by the presence of electors under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI. County borough.
Carlisle 01	Confirmed by the presence electors under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.170.
Chester 01	Confirmed by the presence of electors under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI. County borough.
Chipping Wycombe	

¹ Some places did not have freemen, but "liverymen," "burgesses" or even "capital burgesses". From the electoral point of view there was no difference between them, so I simply use freemen as the collective term for all these types. Further, in some places - like York - women could become free, but this (apparently) did not allow them to vote.

Colchester 11	Confirmed by the presence of electors under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.179.
Coventry 01	Confirmed by the presence of electors under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI. County borough. See also 1780 21 Geo.III c.54.
Dartmouth 00	Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI, the ruling on an 1830 election petition and the presence of electors under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.184.
Derby 00	Confirmed by the presence of freemen on the 1864 register: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.185.
Dover 11	A 1770 Commons resolution allowed non-resident freemen to vote. Franchise confirmed by the presence of electors under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.190.
Dunwich 00	Only resident freemen could vote.
Durham 01	Confirmed by the presence of electors under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.197.
East Looe 10	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
East Retford 10	Only freemen resident at the time they were made free could vote. Following 11 Geo.IV & 1 Will.IV c.74 (1830) 40/- freeholds situated in the Bassetlaw Hundred could also grant a vote. Freemen could still also vote, except for freemen made via redemption since the last election. Freemen and freehold franchise confirmed by <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.274 and the presence of electors under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI.
Evesham 01	Confirmed by the presence of electors under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.200.
Eye 00	O’Gorman classifies Eye as scot and lot. ¹ In this he partially follows Oldfield, who gave the franchise as being with freemen, the corporation and payers of scot and lot. ² There were freemen on the 1832, 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1833 (189) XXVII, 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.201. As 1831-2 (92) XXXVI gives the franchise as resting (only) with freemen, I prefer this classification. It is a fine judgement, but I prefer a local official to the sometimes wayward Oldfield.
Exeter 01	County borough.
Grampound 01	Only freemen paying scot and lot could vote. It was disfranchised in 1821, and the seats given to Yorkshire.
Grantham 01	Only resident freemen could vote. Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of electors under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.207.
Great Yarmouth 01	
Grimsby 01	Only resident freemen paying scot and lot could vote. Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of electors under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI.
Guildford 11	Resident freeholders paying scot and lot could also vote; freemen had to be resident. Franchise extrapolated from 1831-2 (92) XXXVI, <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.209, Radice, <i>Identification, Interests and Influence</i> , p.99 and the presence of people under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI.
Hastings 00	Only resident freemen could vote. Confirmed by presence of electors under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI.
Hedon 01	

¹ *Voters, Patrons and Parties*, p.344 n.94.

² Oldfield, *A Complete History*, Volume 2, p.125-6.

Hereford 01	Only resident freemen could vote. Confirmed by the 1836-9 and 1864 registers including people under freeman qualifications: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.213.
Higham Ferris 00 Hull 11	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI. Single-member borough. Freemen franchise confirmed by the presence of electors on the 1832 and 1836-9 registers under freemen qualifications: Appendix 9. County borough.
Huntingdon 00	Confirmed by <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.217 and the presence of electors under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI. Inhabitant householders may also have been able to vote: 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and 1867 (82) LVI.
Hythe 11	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI, <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.218 and the presence of people on the 1836-9 registers under freeman qualifications: 1840 (379) XLI.
Ipswich 11	Confirmed by the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.219.
Kings Lynn 00	Confirmed by the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1864 register: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.243. Also known as Lynn Regis.
Lancaster 01	Confirmed by the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.228.
Launceston 00	Confirmed by <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.229, 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of electors under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI. Only freemen resident at the time they were made free could vote.
Lichfield 00	County borough. The Commons resolved in 1718 (10 December) that the franchise was with "bailiffs, magistrates, freeholders of 40 shillings per annum, and all that hold by burgage tenure, and in such freemen only of the said city as are enrolled, paying scot and lot there." The 1836-9 registers had people on them under freeman qualifications: 1840 (379) XLI.
Lincoln 11	County borough. Franchise confirmed by the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.235.
Liskeard 10	Franchise confirmed by Commons ruling on petitions (9 March 1803 and 10 May 1804) and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.237, 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI.
Liverpool 11	Franchise confirmed by the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.237.
London 11	Four-member county borough. It is possible that scot and lot payers could also vote, as 1833 (189) XXVII implies there were both "freemen" and "scot and lot" electors on the 1832 register. However, <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.238 gives the old constituency as simply being liverymen and £10 householders. There were electors under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI. See also 1724 11 Geo.1 c18.
Ludlow 00	Franchise confirmed by <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.241 and the presence of people on the 1836-9 registers under freeman qualifications: 1840 (379) XLI.
Lyme Regis 00	Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI, <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.242 and the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI.
Lymington 00	Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.242.
Maidstone 11	Franchise confirmed by presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.244.
Maldon 10	Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.244.

Monmouth 00	Single-member borough, with two contributory boroughs - Newport and Usk. Only freemen resident in Monmouth, Newport or Usk could vote. Monmouthshire was in England at this time. The freeman franchise is confirmed by the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI.
Morpeth 00	Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI.
Newcastle-under-Lyme 01	Only resident freemen could vote.
Newcastle-upon-Tyne 00	County borough. Franchise confirmed by the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.254.
New Woodstock 10	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of electors on the 1864 register under freemen qualifications: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.316.
Norwich 11	County borough. Franchise confirmed by the presence of people under freemen qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.259.
Nottingham 11	County borough. Freemen franchise confirmed by the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.260.
Okehampton 00	
Orford 00	Freeholders could also vote. Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Oxford 11	Franchise confirmed by the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.263.
Plymouth 11	
Plympton Earle 00	Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Poole 00	County borough. Franchise confirmed by <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.269 and the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI.
Portsmouth 00	Franchise confirmed by <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.270, and the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI.
Queenborough 00	Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Rochester 11	Franchise confirmed by the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.275.
Rye 00	Only resident freemen paying scot and lot could vote. Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.277.
St Mawes 00	This franchise is somewhat confused. For example, 1831-2 (92) XXXVI gave the franchise as being with resident householders and freeholders having freeholds in the borough, whilst 1867 (82) LVI gave the franchise as being with the mayor and resident burgesses.
Sandwich 00	Franchise confirmed by the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.279.
Shrewsbury 10	Only freemen paying scot and lot could vote, and (after 1806) they had to be resident. Franchise confirmed by presence of electors under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.282. See also O'Gorman, <i>Voters, Patrons and Parties</i> , p.188.
Stafford 11	Only resident freemen could vote. Franchise confirmed by the presence of electors under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.287.
Sudbury 11	Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of electors under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI.
Tewkesbury 00	Freeholders of a house in the borough could also vote. Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI, the presence of electors on the 1864 register under freeman and freehold qualifications (<i>Handbook of the</i>

Totnes 00	<i>Court</i> , p.298) and the presence on the 1836-9 registers of people under freeman qualifications: 1840 (379) XLI. Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI, the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.301.
Wells 00	Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI, the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.307.
Wenlock 00	Franchise confirmed by the presence of electors on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers under freeman qualifications: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.307.
West Looe 00	Freemen had to be resident. Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Wigan 00	Franchise confirmed by 1867 (82) LVI and the presence of people on the 1836-9 registers under freemen qualifications: 1840 (379) XLI. <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.312 appears to be wrong to claim the 1864 register had on it electors under scot and lot qualifications.
Winchelsea 00	Only resident freemen paying scot and lot could vote.
Winchester 00	Franchise confirmed by the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 and 1864 registers: 1840 (379) XLI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.315.
York 01	County borough. Franchise confirmed by the presence of people in respect of freeman qualifications on post-1832 registers (see Appendix 1).

Freeholder boroughs

Here the franchise rested with freehold owners, sometimes including leaseholders and other long-term property contracts. In 1804 one more was added to their number (Aylesbury).

Aylesbury (from 1804) 01	See under householder boroughs.
Cricklade 11	From 1782 (22 Geo.III c.31) 40/- freeholds situated in the hundreds or divisions of Highworth, Cricklade, Staple, Kingsbridge and Malmasbury could grant a vote. However, burgage holders, who had to have 40 days residence, retained their voting rights.
Haslemere 01	Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Ludgeshall 00	
New Shoreham 00	From 1771 (11 Geo.III c.55) 40/- freeholds situated in the Rape of Bramber to grant a vote. It did not disfranchise electors under the old franchise - inhabitants paying scot and lot - except in the case of several people named in the act.
Reigate 00	Franchise confirmed by 1867 (82) LVI.
Tavistock 00	Franchise confirmed by the presence of electors on the 1832 register under freehold qualifications: 1833 (189) XXVII. Freeholders had to be resident: 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Weymouth & Melcombe Regis 11	Four-member borough, with freemen also able to vote. Whilst Thorne, <i>The History of Parliament</i> , classifies it as a freeholder borough, O'Gorman in <i>Voters, Patrons and Parties</i> , p.344 n.94 classifies this as a scot and lot borough. However, the 1833 register has entries for "freeholders" and "freemen" but not for "scot and lot" - 1833 (189) XXVII. In addition, the 1864 register had one elector on it under a freeman qualification: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.310. Oldfield (Volume 1, p.191) gives the franchise as being with the "mayor, aldermen and capital burgesses, inhabiting the borough, and in persons seised in freeholds within the borough," whilst 1867 (62) LVI gave the franchise as being with the corporation, inhabitants and freeholders.

Burgage boroughs

Here the franchise came with the holding of certain pieces of property, called "burgages". There was some variety as to whether possession or occupation was required. Properties were burgages usually as

the result of a mix of rental rolls, local tradition and memory. For example, the Boroughbridge petition of 1820 referred to, "Practices long as old persons can recollect, and what they may have heard from persons who are dead."¹

Appleby 00	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Ashburton 00	Freeholders could also vote alongside proprietors of burgages. This is confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.149.
Bere Alston 00	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Bletchingly 00	Confirmed by 1831-2 (126) XXXVI.
Boroughbridge 01	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI. Although petition rulings in 1820 and 1821 altered the franchise details somewhat, it was always a burgage borough.
Bramber 00	To vote people had to inhabit burgages and pay scot and lot. Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and 1867 (62) LVI.
Castle Rising 00	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI, though Oldfield ² and 1867 (82) LVI gave the franchise as being with free burgesses.
Chippenham 11	Only freemen or members of the corporation resident in burgage houses could vote. Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and 1867 (82) LVI.
Clitheroe 00	Confirmed <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.178, 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and 1840 (379) XLI.
Cockermouth 01	Confirmed by <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.179, 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of people under burgage qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI.
Downton 00	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
East Grinstead 00	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Great Bedwyn 00	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Heytesbury 00	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Horsham 10	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.216.
<i>Knaresborough 00</i>	Its buildings had to be kept in good repair for a burgage to qualify - MD6798/1-6 p.9, Sheffield Record Office.
Midhurst 00	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Newport (Cornwall) 01	Freeholders of burgages and rated occupiers (i.e. payers of scot and lot) of burgages could vote: 1831-2 (92) XXXVI. <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.229 is slightly wayward in describing the franchise as simply being with scot and lot inhabitants. Similarly, 1867 (82) LVI gives the franchise as being with scot and lot payers and "two Vianders".
Newtown 00 (Isle of Wight)	This borough is a good example of the vagueness of "burgage". Though there were thirty-three burgages, the splitting of some of them meant there was an electorate of thirty-nine: F.M.G. Wilson, <i>A Strong Supporting Cast: The Shaw Lefevres 1789-1836</i> , Althone Press, London, 1993, p.35.
<i>Northallerton 00</i>	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Old Sarum 00	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Petersfield 01	Franchise lay with freeholders of burgages; hence, some sources have made a simple mistake, like 1831-2 (92) XXXVI, which simply gave the franchise as lying with freeholders.
<i>Richmond 00</i>	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI. It appears that some women, at some point, could indirectly vote in this borough. Previous to the 1684 election an agreement was made that "no widows should vote, it being against common right, but that widows should have power to assign their right to other persons." Burgages that had been divided or demolished did not grant a vote: Fieldhouse, "Parliamentary Representation," p.208. The burgage qualification is confirmed by the Town Clerk's comment in 1840 (379) XLI.
Ripon 00	Confirmed by Vyner MSS 5645 and 5666, Leeds Archives and 1831-2 (126) XXXVI

¹ O'Gorman, *Voters, Patrons and Parties*, p.35.

² Oldfield, *A Complete History*, Volume 1, p.411.

Saltash 01

In the eighteenth century the franchise was assumed to be with the corporation. This was challenged in 1780, when the rights of burgage-holders were asserted. They were accepted in 1787. (The description in Namier and Brooke, *The House Of Commons*, Volume 1, p.239 is somewhat confusing as it says "freeholders (i.e. burgage-holders)" when burgage-holders and freeholders are not necessarily the same thing). A petition resulted in the Commons ruling in February 1807 that the franchise rested with freemen. However, in October 1807 when another ruling was required it changed its mind, and ruled in favour of burgage holders again, as it did again in February 1808.

Thirsk 00

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.

Weobley 00

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI. To vote a person also had to be resident pay scot and lot.

Westbury 00

Voters had to be resident in the borough.

Whitchurch 00

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.

Corporation boroughs

In corporation boroughs MPs were elected by the corporation, and only its members could vote. Exactly which part of the corporation did the electing varied from borough to borough, though usually it was the Common Council (or its equivalent).

Andover 00

Confirmed by 1831-2 (112) XXXV and 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.

Banbury 01

Confirmed by 1831-2 (112) XXXV. Single-member.

Bath 00

Bodmin 00

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.

Brackley 00

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.

Buckingham 00

Bury St Edmonds 00

Confirmed by 1867 (82) LVI.

Calne 00

The Guild Stewards elected burgesses who elected MPs. Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and 1867 (82) LVI.

Christchurch 10

Confirmed by *Handbook of the Court*, p.177.

Devizes 01

The Corporation made burgesses; they and the Mayor had votes for Parliamentary elections.

Droitwich 00

Franchise rested with those possessing a share in the Old Brine Pit, the site of a former salt-spring, who formed the "burgesses of the corporation of the salt springs."¹ Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and 1867 (82) LVI.

Harwich 00

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI, 1867 (82) LVI and *Handbook of the Court*, p.211. Franchise rested with resident members of the corporation.

Helston 00

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and *Handbook of the Court*, p.213.

Lostwithiel 00

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.

Malmesbury 10

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and *Handbook of the Court*, p.245.

Marlborough 00

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and 1867 (82) LVI.

Newport 00

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI. Note that *The*

(Isle of Wight)

Handbook of the Court, p.254 gives the franchise as resting with freemen, but this is probably a mistake arising from the corporation having been composed of 12 freemen and 12 burgesses.

New Romney 00

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.

Salisbury 00

Confirmed by the presence of nine electors under corporation qualification on the 1864 register: *Handbook of the Court*, p.278.

Scarborough 00

Some sources, like Oldfield and the Porritts,² claim the franchise changed in 1791. However, the legal action that occurred in 1791 was only an

¹ Porritts, *House of Commons*, Volume 1, p.36. Note, though, that the Porritts classify Droitwich as a corporation borough, in that those, and only those, who had a share in the pit, and hence could vote, were also members of the corporation.

² Porritts, *House Of Commons*, Volume 1, p.14 n1.

opening tussle, and not definitive. Thorne provides an excellent brief summary of the machinations around the legal action.¹

Thetford 10
Tiverton 00

Though some sources give the franchise as being with freemen, Oldfield lists all the 24 corporation members and how they were politically influenced, which suggests that his knowledge of the constituency - and hence reasons for believing the franchise lay with the corporation - was strong.²

Truro 01
Wilton 00
Yarmouth (Isle of Wight)
00

Confirmed by 1867 (82) LVI.
Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and 1867 (82) LVI.
Confirmed by 1867 (82) LVI.

Scot and Lot boroughs

In scot and lot boroughs the franchise lay with tax payers. "Scot" means poor rate, and "lot" means church rate.³ Despite this derivation, though, even prior to the nineteenth century it was only poor rates that had to be paid. Such payers were also required to have six months residence. The uniformity of this requirement dated from 26 Geo.III c.100 (1786).

Abingdon 10

Confirmed by 1831-2 (112) XXXV and the presence of electors under scot and lot qualifications on the 1864 franchise: *Handbook of the Court*, p.148. Single-member.

Aldborough 00
Amersham 00
Arundel 00
Bridgwater 11

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and *Handbook of the Court*, p.148.
Confirmed by 1831-2 (232) XXXVI and 1867 (82) LVI. However, *Handbook of the Court*, p.161 gives the old constituency as freemen and burgesses, with seven on the 1864 register, and 1831-2 (112) XXXV gives the franchise as being with freemen.

Bridport 10

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of electors under scot and lot qualifications on the 1864 register: *Handbook of the Court*, p.162.

Callington 01

However, an 1821 Commons ruling placed the franchise with freeholders (could be non-resident), leaseholders (who had to be resident and rated to the poor for at least 40s.) and assignees.

Chichester 00

Confirmed by 1867 (82) LVI. However, *Handbook of the Court*, p.176 gives the 1864 register as having people on it under both freeman and scot and lot qualifications.

Corfe Castle 00
Dorchester 10
Fowey 11

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
In addition to scot and lot inhabitants, Prince's tenants capable of being Portreeves could vote. Franchise confirmed by 1867 (82) LVI.

Gatton 00
Gloucester 01

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
County borough. Resident freemen could also vote. Franchise confirmed by the 1832 register containing people qualified both as "freemen" and as "scot and lot": 1833 (189) XXVII. Also, the 1836-9 registers contained people under freemen qualifications: 1840 (379) XLI.

Great Marlow 00
Leicester 00

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and *Handbook of the Court*, p.247.
Freemen could also vote. Franchise confirmed by the presence of scot and lot electors on the 1832 register: 1833 (189) XXVII, by the presence of electors under freemen on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI, and by

¹ Thorne, *The History of Parliament*, Volume 2, p.459.

² Oldfield, *A Complete History*, Volume 1, p.137-9.

³ In fact, the franchise dates from before the introduction of poor rates. But, by the seventeenth century this requirement was clearly part of the franchise. Lambert, "Parliamentary Franchises," dissents. He argues that "scot" refers to a local impost, and "lot" to its apportioned amount.

- Leominster 01 the presence of electors under freemen and scot-and-lot qualifications on the 1864 register: *Handbook of the Court*, p.230.
Following a 1725 Commons resolution, bailiffs, capital burgesses and inhabitants paying scot and lot could vote. Franchise confirmed by the presence on the 1832 register of electors under capital burgesses and scot and lot qualifications: 1833 (189) XXVII. Note that the 1836-9 registers, somewhat misleadingly, are reported as having people under freemen qualifications: 1840 (379) XLI. However, mixing up capital burgesses and freemen was relatively common.
- Lewes 01 Confirmed by the presence people under scot and lot qualifications on the 1864 register: *Handbook of the Court*, p.233.
- Malton 00 Malton has often been misclassified. For example, O’Gorman in *Voters, Patrons and Parties* p.32 n.12 called it (with some reservations) a burgage borough. However, as Smith showed (“Earl Fitzwilliam and Malton”) strong evidence exists for it being a scot and lot borough, including a description of the franchise from William Hastings - Fitzwilliam’s steward - and evidence from the eighteenth century; see p.69n. In addition, and conclusively, the 1832 register included electors under scot and lot qualifications: 1833 (189) XXVII, and the Returning Officer in 1831 described it as a scot and lot borough: 1831-2 (112) XXXVI.
- Milborne Port 11 Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
- Mitchell 00
- Newark 00 Confirmed by *Handbook of the Court*, p.253.
- Newton (Lancashire) 00 Burgages also qualified people to vote. O’Gorman has some doubts over classifying this borough as a burgage borough in *Voters, Patrons and Parties*, p.33 n.12. The 1832 register is clear though, including both “scot and lot” and “burgage” electors - 1833 (189) XXVII.
- New Windsor 10
- Penryn 11
- Peterborough 00 Confirmed by *Handbook of the Court*, p.267 and the presence of scot and lot electors in the electorate after 1832: T. Bromund, “‘A Complete Fool’s Paradise’: The Attack on the Fitzwilliam Interest in Peterborough, 1852,” *Parliamentary History*, Volume 12 Part 1, 1993, p.62-3.
- Pontefract 11 The franchise was settled by the House of Commons in 1793, and is confirmed by the presence of electors on the 1832 register under scot and lot qualifications: 1833 (189) XXVII. The Commons had made a series of conflicting rulings between 1770 and 1793, but after 1793 did not touch the franchise again.
- Reading 01 Confirmed by *Handbook of the Court*, p.272.
- St Albans 11 Freemen could also vote. Franchise confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI, the 1832 poll book, which includes ancient right voters, described as “inhabitant householders paying scot and lot,” and the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI.
- St Ives 11 Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of people under scot and lot qualifications on the 1864 register: *Handbook of the Court*, p.278.
- Seaford 00
- Shaftesbury 10 Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of people under scot and lot qualifications on the 1864 register: *Handbook of the Court*, p.281.
- Southampton 11 County borough. Freemen could also vote. This is confirmed by the presence of electors on the 1864 register under both freeman and scot and lot qualifications: *Handbook of the Court*, p.286 and the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI.
- Southwark 11 Confirmed by the presence of electors under scot and lot qualifications on the 1864 register: *Handbook of the Court*, p.287.
- Stamford 01 Confirmed by the presence of electors on the 1864 register under scot and lot qualifications: *Handbook of the Court*, p.289.
- Steyning 00 Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
- Stockbridge 00 Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.

Tamworth 01	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of people on the 1864 register under scot and lot qualifications: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.296.
Wallingford 01	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of people on the 1864 register under scot and lot qualifications: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.303.
Wareham 00	In addition to payers of scot and lot, the mayor, magistrates and any freeholder could vote. Franchise confirmed by the presence of people on the 1864 register under scot and lot qualifications: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.304 and by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and 1867 (82) LVI.
Warwick 00	
Wendover 00	This is how O’Gorman classified it, ¹ though other sources frequently list it as householder. However O’Gorman quotes a revealing comment on electoral activity by the Earl Verney who allegedly filled up his houses with male inhabitants, and then let them “much land ... as will make up the several rents of the houses.” ² This suggests the value of property which someone occupied mattered, and in turn suggests a scot and lot borough (with the possibilities of minimum value below which tax was not levied), rather than a householder borough. Further, occupancy was allegedly only required for 40 days before an election, which is a much briefer period than the six months usual in householder boroughs.
Westminster 11	Westminster’s franchise was settled by the House of Commons in 1795. Only inhabitant householders paying scot and lot could vote. Franchise confirmed by the 1851 register only has ancient right voters on it under this qualification and by 1867 (82) LVI. See also 51 Geo.III c.126 (1811).
Wooton Bassett 01	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Worcester 11	County borough. Freemen could also vote. Confirmed by the presence of people under scot and lot qualifications on the 1832 and 1836-9 registers (1833 (189) XXVII and 1840 (379) XLI) and under freemen qualifications on the 1864 register: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.317

Householder (or potwalloper) boroughs

Here, inhabitant householders with six months residence, receiving neither alms nor poor relief, could vote.³ The uniformity of the residence requirement dated from 26 Geo.II c.100 (1786). The difference between these constituencies and scot and lot constituencies was often minimal, given that it was normal practice to levy the poor rate on inhabitant householders. One borough - Aylesbury - saw its franchise change in 1804.

Aylesbury (until 1804)	From 1804 (44 Geo.III c.60) 40/- freeholds situated in the three Aylesbury hundreds could grant a vote, with householders retaining their rights.
Cirencester 01	
Hertford 01	Freemen could also vote. Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI, the presence of people qualifying as inhabitant householders in the 1832 electorate ⁴ and the presence of people under freeman qualifications on the 1836-9 registers: 1840 (379) XLI.
Hindon 00	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.
Honiton 01	Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI and the presence of people under inhabitant householder qualifications on the 1864 register: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.215.

¹ O’Gorman, *Voters, Patrons and Parties*, p.33 n13.

² O’Gorman, *Voters, Patrons and Parties*, p.35.

³ “Potwalloper” comes from “pot-boiler” - the test as to whether someone occupied a “house” was traditionally whether or not they had their own fireplace on which to boil a pot.

⁴ Rowe, “The Hertford Borough Bill,” p.97.

Ilchester 01
Minehead 00
Northampton 00

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.

The franchise here is somewhat confused; for example, *Handbook of the Court*, p.257 gives the franchise as resting with scot and lot inhabitants, and there being people under such qualifications on the 1864 register whilst 1867 (82) LVI gives the franchise as being with burgage holders.

Preston 01

Confirmed by the presence of people under householder qualifications on the 1864 register: *Handbook of the Court*, p.271. Misdeeds at an election here, which previously had used a very loose interpretation of residency requirements, were the major reason for the above-mentioned 26 Geo.II c.100 (1786).

St Germans 00

Twelve months residence was required.

Taunton 11

Confirmed by the presence of people on the 1864 register under householder qualifications: *Handbook of the Court*, p.297.

Tregony 10

Confirmed by 1831-2 (92) XXXVI.

Appendix 2: Property valuations

There were several attempts - notably during the reform debates in 1830-2 and 1866-7 and also in 1860,¹ - to collect national statistics on the number of people occupying property of particular values. The idea was to allow estimates as to the size of the electorate under various hypothetical franchises. Were this evidence reliable, it would allow the potential electorate at various dates after 1832 to be calculated, and such factors as the impact of compounding on registration to be closely examined.

However, under scrutiny this evidence has many flaws, which is why I have used it little. The flaws have two main origins. First, one needs to be careful regarding which geographic area statistics apply to. For example, returns were often based on parishes, but these boundaries often diverged from Parliamentary ones. Second, the property aspect of the franchise was based on its annual value, but most of the statistics value property in different ways.

Property values could be derived from many things, such as actual rent, assessment to the inhabitant household duty, gross estimated rental, or rateable value. Valuations were sporadic, dated, unreliable,² and often made on an unclear basis. As Robert Dudley Baxter explained in 1860:

Through the whole of the West Riding, as a general rule, you may take it that a 7*l.* rating is equivalent to a 10*l.* actual rental; in many districts it is more; I could point out places - I know estates near Huddersfield and Halifax where a 5*l.*10*s.* rating is a 10*l.* annual value; and there people will be put on the register of the borough for a 10*l.* rental where they are only set down in the rate-book at 5*l.*10*s.*, or even 5*l.* rateable value ... In Leeds, I know as a fact, that after 1832, after the first election, an extensive rise took place in the rents of houses, in order that they might get upon the register, because there was a great competition between both parties. And the same took place at Knaresborough, and the same took place all through the Riding. That is one reason why the 9*l.* to 10*l.* column of the returns is so very small.³

The national statistics collected rested overwhelmingly on three different means of valuing property: *gross estimated rental*, *rateable value* and *property tax assessments*. None of these are synonyms for *annual value*, on which the franchise was based. The case of Cogan and Lockett in the Court of Common Pleas, which was upheld by later cases, decreed that *annual value* meant the sum at which a property would be let, with the tenant paying usual rates and taxes, but with the landlord paying for repairs and insurance.⁴

Gross estimated rental is a potentially fruitful source due to its presence in rate books all over the country.⁵ But its definition is messy. Despite having a central role to play in local finance and electoral law, no-one was quite sure what it was. Or rather, most people were not sure, and those who were sure tended to disagree with each other! In order to clarify matters I have coined some phrases: the *tax bill* (that is, the usual tenant rates and taxes and any tithe-commutation rent-charge) and the *maintenance bill* (that is, the costs of repairs and insurance).

¹ 1860 (455) XII.

² E.g. 1860 (455) XII, q.538-9 and 995-1007.

³ 1860 (455) XII, q.1399 and 1418. Baxter was a statistician who acted for the Conservatives and provided Disraeli with many of the statistics he used during the 1866-7 reform debates. His father was a partner in the firm of solicitors Baxter, Rose and Norton which did much electoral and legal work for the Conservatives. He stood in Hull in 1868.

⁴ 1860 (455) XII, q.1703-4.

⁵ The *estimated* in it simply reflects that, whatever it may be, in many cases a value had to be estimated or imputed.

In 1836 “An Act to regulate Parochial Assessments” was passed to introduce some uniformity to England and Wales.¹ Clause 1 stated that rates for relief of the poor should be made upon, “an Estimate of the net annual Value of the several Hereditaments rated thereunto; that is to say, of the Rent at which the same might reasonably be expected to let from Year to Year, free of all usual Tenants Rates and Taxes, and Tithe Commutation Rent-charge, if any, and deducting therefrom the probable average annual Cost of the Repairs, Insurance, and other Expences, if any, necessary to maintain them in a State to command such Rent.”² Despite this definition, and the lack of a definition for gross estimated rental, the Act’s example rate book layout in the act had a column for gross estimated rental column (despite the lack of a definition of it). The illustrative figures have a gross estimated rental that is *larger* than the rateable value.

As a result of the omission of a definition the Poor Law Commission concocted its own in 1837: gross estimate rental was that paid to the landlord if the landlord paid both the tax bill and the maintenance bill. The net rent is that which the landlord had after all these are done.³ But, in 1859 the Poor Law Board issued advice that gross estimated rental was, “the rent at which the property might be expected to let, free of tenant’s rates and taxes, and tithe commutation rent-charge, the tenant taking these burdens upon himself”; i.e. with the landlord paying the maintenance bill and the tenant paying the tax bill.⁴ The size of the tax bill is the difference between this definition of gross estimated rental and that of the Poor Law Commission in 1837. For either definition, if the tenant were paying directly themselves the maintenance bill, then it should be subtracted from the actual rent to get the gross estimated rental, and if the landlord was paying them then no adjustment was required on this score.

The real problem, though, is over the tax bill. The central Poor Law authorities were not consistent on this question. The situation was the same on the ground. In 1860 the standard practice was for gross estimated rental to mean rent paid, with the tenant paying the tax bill (and by implication the landlord paying the maintenance bill); this follows the 1859 Poor Law Board position, which would produce a gross estimated rental equal to annual value. However, not everyone followed this rule. In some areas the gross estimated rental was simply taken as an amount less than the actual rent; the deduction being highly variable and its level often being without apparent rhyme or reason.⁵ Usually the rateable value was calculated by making a deduction from the gross estimated rental equal to the maintenance bill. This would produce a gross estimated rental larger than the rateable value - as in the suggested rate book in the 1836 legislation.

Rateable values suffered from similar problems to those of gross estimated rental. In 1832 returns were collected as to the “Proportions to the Rent or actual Value at which the Parochial Assessments are

¹ 6&7 Will.IV c.96.

² Somewhat confusingly F.B. Smith, *The Making of the Second Reform Bill*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1966, p.260-1 n.35 claims this defines the gross estimated rental. However, this clause only refers to *net value*, and gives no indication regarding the adjustments needed to move from value to rental nor net to gross.

³ 1860 (455) XII, q.3613.

⁴ H. Owen, *Manual For Overseers, Assistant Overseers, Collectors Of Poor Rates And Vestry Clerks, As To Their Powers, Duties and Responsibilities*, Knight and Co., London, 1882, p.44-7.

⁵ For thirty-two of the forty-nine Poor Law auditors the 1859 position was generally followed in their areas: 1860 (455) XII, q.42-63. The exceptions were reported by the other auditors. One person commented, “In practice, the ‘gross estimated rental,’ in the rate-books of my district, does not, with a few exceptions, represent anything” - q.59!

made in each Parish or Township.”¹ This return shows a great variation in the relationship between rateable value and “Rent or actual value.”² Much of the evidence is hedged with qualifications: even those local officials making the returns were often unsure as to what happened in their locality. Thus the Beverley return has phrases such as, “it appears that” and “as nearly as can be ascertained.” Not only were the assessments for land and buildings often done in different proportions (although both, either on their own or in combination, could grant votes), but these proportions varied greatly (between 1:16 and 2:3 in Yorkshire boroughs) and the assessments on which they were based were often very old, in some cases so old that their dates could not be remembered.

The variations made the Poor Law Commissioners lament in 1834 that:

The mode of rating [property for the relief of the poor] is now, like many other parts of the administration of the Poor Laws, in the highest degree uncertain and capricious ... affording a temptation and a cover to partiality and abuse.³

An assistant commissioner reported,

The various modes in which the rates are made, afford another instance of this glorious inequality; nothing, indeed can be more complex or more puzzling than to ascertain from the rate the proportion which it bears to the property on which it is assessed ...

Many of the overseers knew not on what principle they were made, or at least appeared not to know, and evidently viewed this subject of inquiry with a suspicious eye.⁴

Matters are further muddled by the varying quality of valuations. For example, in 1849 the West Riding poor rate valuations were so dated that the county rate, normally based on them, used property tax returns instead.⁵

These difficulties were only partly due to local administrative incompetence. Philip Rose was asked in 1860:

You do not impute, either to those who prepared the returns, or to those who directed the preparation of them, any intention of deceiving, or any inaccuracy in the returns themselves? - Not for a moment; beyond the inaccuracy which must be expected in returns of this kind, which are collected in a great measure from illiterate men, there are not errors that need be mentioned, but the conclusions drawn from them I am satisfied are erroneous ... There are two main fallacies; one, the least important of the two, consists in the column “number of electors on the register,” in point of fact, representing merely the number of names upon the register and not the number of electors; the second, and much more important one, is the assumption that the “gross estimated rental” in the rate-book and actual rent are identical; whereas the result of my inquiries, and of my own personal experience, satisfies me that there is a very considerable variation between the two, ranging from 5 to 50 per cent.⁶

More complications arose from there being compelling reasons for rateable values and gross estimated rentals to be inaccurate. The lower an area’s value compared to that of the county or borough as a whole, the lower its share of the county rate or borough poor rate. Similarly, Edward Bond, a Conservative Leeds solicitor said,

¹ 1831-2 (444) XXXVI.

² And in any case, these did not necessarily have a regular relationship with annual value.

³ *Report from His Majesty's Commissioners for inquiring into the Administration and practical Operation of the Poor Laws, with an index*, 1834 (44) XXVII, p.203.

⁴ 1834 (44) XXVIII, p.468-9.

⁵ 1860 (455) XII, q.3365.

⁶ 1860 (455) XII, q.1200-1. Rose was Baxter’s partner and Disraeli’s solicitor. In the 1850s he became the Conservatives’ Principal Agent and chief of party management in the constituencies.

It is of no consequence to any of the inhabitants of a township upon what basis they are rated, so long as it is an equal one; but when you come to pay the borough rate, which is in the nature of a county rate ... out of the aggregate amount of the rating of the townships, every overseer strives to keep the aggregate amount of the rating of his township as low as possible, in order that the township may contribute as little as possible to the borough rate.¹

For individuals there were several reasons to not appeal against their ratings. It could be costly,² time-consuming and bothersome. It, invidiously, frequently required comparisons to be made with other people's ratings and even to show that others were under-rated. Complete revaluations were rare; it was more common for overseers to do partial updates, only taking into account new properties or major changes in value, such as those brought about by railway developments. There was no mechanism for regular valuations, and it was left to individual townships to decide. For example, in Leeds in 1860 the various townships replied variously that the last complete revaluation had been in 1826, 1831, 1836, 1837, 1841, 1848, 1849, 1859 and none in the last twenty-four years.³ In Knaresborough the 1796 valuation was still used in the 1830s as,

the expense attending a valuation to the township would be so serious, that the persons aggrieved have forborne to appeal.⁴

Rose himself praised property tax assessments as being an accurate guide, being based on actual rent paid to the landlord. In theory this was a simple number to ascertain. Further, Schedule A property tax returns included not just rent but also annual value and rateable value, with a penalty leviable if the tenant did not return accurate figures. Unfortunately, there were complications. There was the problem of owner-occupiers, where the rent has to be imputed and there was an obvious incentive for the owner-occupier to minimise it. Further, Schedule A included real property, canals and railways. Moreover, the general rule for Schedule A assessments was that all lands and tenements, farms and houses were assessed upon their full annual value, with deductions only for the land tax and for the drainage (in counties) or sewerage rate (in boroughs). Some properties, though, were assessed on profits: all quarries, mines, ironworks, gasworks, salt springs, docks, tolls, railways, ferries, bridges, rights of markets and fairs, etc. As an added complication canal and gas companies were assessed for property tax on all of their profits, though some of those properties might extend into other counties.⁵ Hospitals, public schools, charity property, colleges or halls in Universities (if belonging to a University and not occupied by an individual) were exempt from assessment. The Parochial Assessment Act required deductions to be made for repairs and insurance from annual values to get the rateable value; no such deductions were made for Schedule A.⁶ Thus, assessments to the property tax are not an unproblematic source.

Rose produced a comparison between Schedule A and gross estimated rental returns, which indicated the latter was about 10% less than actual rental as reported in the Schedule A returns. The property

¹ 1860 (455) XII, q.1994.

² At least £20: *Report from His Majesty's Commissioners for inquiring into the State of the Poor Laws in England and Wales:- Appendix (A.) - Assistant Commissioners' Reports, Part I, with an index, 1834 (44) XXVII, p.468.*

³ 1860 (455) XII, q.1794-5.

⁴ 1831-2 (444) XXXVI.

⁵ 1860 (455) XII, q.1502-22 and 2705.

⁶ 1860 (455) XII, q.3588 and 3611.

tax totals used were totals only for land and houses in 1841-3 and 1856-7, thereby excluding at least railways, mines and the like. But, within these figures, the numbers for land were relatively similar, whilst the difference for houses in England and Wales was significantly above 10%.¹ In other words, actual rent was often not based on the same assumptions about payment of tax and maintenance bills as gross estimated rental was, and therefore (depending on how gross estimated rental was defined) not based on the same assumptions as annual value.

To conclude, many calculations regarding property valuations are rather like pushing a piece of string; one can exert great energy and ingenuity without getting very far. There was great local variety and inconsistency.² The variations between the different terms were not trivial. For example, in 1848, for the West Riding county rate, the total annual value of property was £4,119,835, the rateable value £3,510,193 the annual value assessed to the property tax was £4,707,285 (for 1847/8) and the value on which townships were assessed to the county rate was £4,063,849.³ The problems with using gross estimated rental as a basis for deducing annual value are demonstrated by that fact that revising barristers did not restrict themselves to information in rate books.⁴ The point is also demonstrated by the differences between gross estimated rental and actual rental for various places in for Yorkshire. Were consistent definitions being used, and estimates as to the costs of taxes and maintenance made on a consistent basis, there would not be nearly as much variation as there actually was (Table A2.1).

Thus, electoral figures and projections based on property values have many failings. There is no magic rabbit to be pulled out of the hat. Rather, careful analysis of local and specific evidence is required.

¹ 1860 (455) XII q.3365. This was not the first estimate he produced for the committee, but a refined version, after earlier criticisms.

² For example, see the Appendix to 1860 (455) XII.

³ County Rate Reports, Warde Aldam MSS, DDWA M79/1, Doncaster Archives.

⁴ 1860 (455) XII, q. 2477-84.

Beverley	0
Bradford	0-30
Halifax	15
Huddersfield	8
Hull	0
Knaresborough	0(-20?)
Leeds	-3-40
Malton	0-33
Northallerton	10-50
Pontefract	0-25
Richmond	0
Ripon	-10-10
Scarborough	0-10
Sheffield	0-12
Thirsk	0-10
Wakefield	0
Whitby	0-8
York	0-50
West Riding	5
West Riding: county and borough	15

Table A2.1: Difference between gross estimated rental and actual rental in Yorkshire c.1860¹

¹ 1860 (455) XII, q.538-43, 896, 1566-1630, 1491-3, 1631-2, 3503-4 and p.138-9. Gross estimated rental is taken as being for tenants paying the tax bills and landlords paying the maintenance bills. A negative number indicates that the gross estimated rental was above actual rental.

Appendix 3: Turnout in English boroughs 1800-31

The following is a list of turnout figures for all the English constituencies in 1800-31 that I have been able to calculate. For some polls two figures are given, one being turnout based on the total size of the "electorate" (defined very loosely), the other being turnout with various deductions from the "electorate". For most of the other polls the sources are sufficiently vague for it not to be clear whether any such deductions have been made. In my calculations I have preferred to, where possible, make such deductions. This makes my subsequent electorate estimates, which are based on these turnout figures, less distorted by dead people, unqualified people etc. Polls marked with a # are particularly heroic estimates. Polls marked with a β were by-elections. Italicised constituencies were in Yorkshire. Data saved as *pre32to.mtp*.

The sources used are: poll books listed in the bibliography, O'Gorman, *Voters, Patrons and Parties*, p.184-5, Phillips, *Electoral Behaviour in Unreformed England*, p.88-9, Phillips, *The Great Reform Bill in the Boroughs*, p.32-6, Rankin, *Present State Of Representation*, Smith, *The Parliaments of England*, 1831 (149) XVI, 1831-2 (112) XXXVI, 1835 (116) XXIV and my Appendix 9. For Hedon and Hull I also used estimations of the mortality rate amongst freemen, combined with the records of freemen creation in 1831-2 (112) XXXVI to estimate electorates for all their polls in this period, based on firmer information as to the number of freemen at one poll in each of them. In theory this could be done for many more freemen boroughs; however estimating a reasonable mortality rate and finding one poll for which a reliable estimate of the number of freemen is available is difficult.

<i>Aldborough</i> (scot and lot)	1820	84%
<i>Beverley</i> (freemen)	1802	99%
	1806	78% #
	1807	91% #
	1812	95% #
	1818	93% #
	1820	91% #
	1826	95% #
	1830	98% #
Banbury (corporation)	1831	50% #
Bath (corporation)	1826	97%
	1829	84% β
	1829	84% β
<i>Boroughbridge</i> (burgage)	1818	95%
	1820	94% #
	1830	89%
Boston (freemen)	1812	68%
	1812	75% # β
	1826	96%
Bridgwater (scot and lot)	1802	95%
	1806	93%
	1807	94%
	1818	95%
	1831	97%
Bristol (freemen)	1812	66%
Bury St Edmonds (corporation)	1807	89% #
	1831	86%
Canterbury (freemen)	1818	89% or 91% ¹

¹ Latter excludes peers, freemen for under 12 months.

	1830	84% or 85% ¹
Chester (freemen)	1806	83%
	1807	59%
	1812	88%
	1818	30%
	1820	92%
	1831	70%
Cirencester (householder)	1802	95%
Devizes (corporation)	1820	66%
	1830	79%
Dover (freemen)	1826	96%
Durham (freemen)	1830	83%
Gloucester (scot and lot)	1812	53%
	1818	57%
	1830	53%
Grantham (freemen)	1820	74% # β
Hedon (freemen)	1802	65% #
	1807	60% #
	1818	70% #
	1820	79% #
	1826	83% #
Hereford (freemen)	1818	66% or 87%
	1826	89%
Hull (freemen)	1802	99% #
	1806	99% #
	1812	90% #
	1818	88% #
	1826	85% #
	1830	80% #
Knaresborough (burgage)	1805	80% #
	1830	25% #
Leicester (scot and lot)	1826	88%
Leominster (scot and lot)	1802	92% or 99% ²
	1831	89% or 95% ³
Lewes (scot and lot)	1802	97%
	1812	80% #
	1816	97% β
	1818	73% or 80% ⁴
	1826	94%
	1830	93%
Liverpool (freemen)	1812	77%
	1830	83%
	1831	80%
Maidstone (freemen)	1826	76%
	1830	84%
	1831	92%
Malmesbury (corporation)	1802	92%
Malton (scot and lot)	1807	91%
	1808	82% β
Marlborough (corporation)	1826	100%
Minehead (householder)	1802	91%
Newark (scot and lot)	1829	86% β
	1830	90% #
	1831	89%

¹ Latter excludes peers, freemen for under 12 months.

² Latter excludes rejected for receiving relief, etc.

³ Latter excludes non-resident, receiving relief, etc.

⁴ Latter excludes non-resident, receiving relief, etc.

New Windsor (scot and lot)	1802	91%
	1806	92%
Northampton (householder)	1818	73%
	1820	71%
	1830	80%
Norwich (freemen)	1802	82%
	1806	83%
	1807	67%
	1812	75%
	1818	82%
Oxford (freemen)	1831	90%
	1802	81%
Pontefract (scot and lot)	1806	90%
	1812	100% # β
Reading (scot and lot)	1830	100% #
	1802	81%
Rochester (freemen)	1812	90%
	1826	96%
	1802	54% or 76% ¹
	1806	88% or 97% ²
St Albans (scot and lot)	1807	71% or 76% ³
	1826	82%
	1830	70% or 74% ⁴
	1820	62%
	1826	76%
Salisbury (corporation)	1830	50%
	1831	82%
Sandwich (freemen)	1813	86% β
Scarborough (corporation)	1831	75%
Shrewsbury (freemen)	1802	75%
	1806	72%
	1807	67%
	1826	56%
	1830	78%
Southampton (freemen)	1831	25%
	1806	87%
	1812	91%
	1818	89%
	1820	93%
Totnes (freemen)	1831	85%
Truro (corporation)	1830	78% β (?)
Worcester (scot and lot)	1818	96% #
	1820	96% #
York (freemen)	1830	63% #
	1831	87%
	1807	73% #
Berkshire (county)	1818	79% #
	1820	91% #
Cambridgeshire (county)	1830	100% #
Herefordshire (county)	1820	32% #
Lincolnshire (county)	1830	92% #
	1818	80% #
	1818	97%
	1820	86%

¹ Latter excludes dead, abroad, etc.

² Latter excludes dead, abroad, etc.

³ Latter excludes dead, abroad, etc.

⁴ Latter excludes dead, abroad, etc.

Appendix 4: Turnout in Yorkshire constituencies

1832-50

The evidence on which this Appendix is based is given in Appendix 9. This Appendix extracts the relevant information from there, and puts it in a more palatable format. I have also added a few extra interpretations to the evidence. Part of the data is saved as *post32to.mtp*.

Polls marked with a P had an abnormally low turnout due to such factors as a candidate withdrawing during polling. β indicates a by-election.

Crude turnout	Date of poll	Number of register entries	Voted	Dead, multiple entries, removed (- = don't know)	Age of register (days) ¹	Multiple entries (% of register entries)
Beverley²						
96%	10 Dec 1832	1011	971	-, -, -	132	
95%	5 Jan 1835	1042	994	9, 3, -	158	0.3
92%	25 Jul 1837	1062	975	31, 2, -	359	0.2
76%	24 Jan 1840 β	1273	966	5, 219, -	177	17.2
79%	30 Jun 1841	1284	1012	17, 211, -	334	16.4
77%	30 Jul 1847	1357	1048	28, 203, -	364	15.0
Bradford						
84%	17 Dec 1832	1139	955	-, -, -	139	
82%	12 Jan 1835	1225	1010	8, 28, 9	165	2.3
79%	25 Jul 1837	1347	1060 ³	24, 48, 38	359	3.6
81%	1 Jul 1841	1397	1137	25, 55, 81	335	3.9
75%	16 Sept 1841 β	1397	1048	31, 55, 82	412	3.9
84%	31 Jul 1847	2127	1789	46, 99, -	365	4.7
Halifax						
92%	14 Dec 1832	536	493	-, 5, -	136	0.9
93%	8 Jan 1835	648	601	-, -, -	161	
82%	27 Jul 1837	970	793	18, 27, 49	361	2.8
78%	3 Jul 1841	899	704	11, 38, 71	337	4.2
90%	30 Jul 1847	1022	922	28, 13, -	364	1.3
Huddersfield						
68%	14 Dec 1832	608	415	-, 0, -	136	0
81%	9 Jan 1834 β	604	490	-, 0, -	162	0
52%	9 Jan 1835	671	350	-, 0, -	162	0
79%	8 May 1837 β	800	631	-, 0, -	281	0
78%	29 Jul 1837	800	624	-, 0, -	363	0
89%	31 Jul 1847	1142	1013	27, 0, -	365	0

¹ Number of days elapsed between 31 July and date of poll.

² These Beverley crude turnout figures conflict with Phillips' claim that, "Beverley's turnout never fell below 95 per cent at elections following 1832": Phillips, *Great Reform Bill*, p.36. However, for example, even subtracting the number of multiple entries and dead from the register total before calculating turnout still gives turnout in 1847 being 93%. Presumably Phillips's claim is a misprint and he meant to say 75 per cent. The eagle-eyed reader will also notice that my figures for Beverley's Parliamentary electorate in 1835 and 1837 are different to those given by Phillips and Wetherell in "Parliamentary Parties and Municipal Politics". In their Table 1 (p.62) they swapped the figures for 1835 and 1837.

³ There were 1062 voters cast, but two people voted twice.

Hull

86%	11-12 Dec 1832	3863	3305	-, -, -	133	
73%	6-7 Jan 1835	4244	3108	-, 506, -	159	12.1
67%	19-20 Jun 1835 β	4244	2851	-, 506, -	323	12.1
71%	24 Jul 1837	4222	2990	-, -, -	358	
74%	30 Jun 1841	4815	3582 ¹	-, -, -	334	
70%	29 Jul 1847	5192	3619	-, 574, -	363	11.1

Knarborough

94%	13 Dec 1832	278	260	-, -, -	135	
91%	8 Jan 1835	264	239	-, -, -	161	
87%	24 Jul 1837	271	236	-, -, -	358	
87%	29 Jun 1841	241	208	-, -, -	333	
91%	29 Aug 1847	235	220	-, -, -	394	

Leeds

85%	14 Dec 1832	4172	3534	-, -, -	136	
77%	17 Feb 1834 β	5062	3892	-, -, -	201	
76%	9 Jan 1835	4774	3633	200, 572, -	162	12.0
67%	28 Jul 1837	5579	3719	-, 1019, -	362	18.3
65%	2 Jul 1841	6334	4092	92, 1331, 438	336	21.0
70%	30 Jul 1847	6300	4335	-, -, -	364	

Northallerton

80%	10 Dec 1832	257	205	-, 25, -	132	9.7
88%	30 Jun 1841	276	243	-, 17, -	334	6.2

Pontefract

96%	6 Jan 1835	862	828	-, -, -	159	
85%	25 Jul 1837	795	679	-, -, -	359	
89%	29 Jun 1841	713	632	-, -, -	333	
93%	30 Jul 1847	685	637	16, 10, -	364	1.5

Richmond

84%	12 March 1839 β	287	242	-, -, -	214	
-----	-----------------	-----	-----	---------	-----	--

Ripon

97%	10 Dec 1832	341	330	1, -, -	132	
94%	8 Jan 1835	383	360	-, -, -	161	

Scarborough

92%	15 Dec 1832	431	395	-, -, -	137	
65%	8 Jan 1835 β	412	267	4, -, -	161	
82%	26 Jul 1837	514	423	-, 26, -	360	
90%	1 Jul 1841	564	510	-, -, -	335	5.1

Sheffield

87%	15 Dec 1832	3508	3056	-, 200, -	137	5.7
83%	12 Jan 1835	3587	2986	-, -, -	165	
11%	22 Aug 1836 β β	3903	414	-, -, -	387	
67%	29 Jul 1837	4028	2700	-, -, -	363	
55%	2 Jul 1841	4399	2401	-, -, -	336	
29%	29 Jul 1847	4934	1439	-, -, -	363	

¹ Excludes one who voted in respect of a register entry for which someone else had already voted.

Wakefield

81%	7 Jan 1835	617	497-9	-,-,-	160	
83%	27 Jul 1837	713	588	-,-,-	361	
75%	3 Jul 1841	837	628	11,87,51	337	10.4
83%	30 Jul 1847	780	650	-,98,-	364	12.6

Whitby

84%	13 Dec 1832	422	356	-,-,-	135	
-----	-------------	-----	-----	-------	-----	--

York

92%	10 Dec 1832	2873	2649	-,-,-	132	
76%	11 Nov 1833 β	2890	2183	-,-,-	103	
88%	8 Jan 1835	2890	2546	-,-,-	161	
87%	26 Jul 1837	2829	2468	-,-,-	360	
85%	30 Jun 1841	3507	2993	-,-,-	334	
58%	24 May 1848 β	4178	2422	-,-,-	297	

North Riding

90%	17 Dec 1832	9539	8581	-,-,-	139	
88%	14 Jan 1835	9545	8396	-,-,-	167	

East Riding

87%	7-8 Aug 1837	7180	6277	-,-,-	372	
-----	--------------	------	------	-------	-----	--

West Riding

85%	6 May 1835 β	18063	15330	-,-,-	279	
81%	7 Aug 1837	29346	23709	-,-,-	372	
82%	Jul 1841	30998	25268	-,-,-	?	
75%	11 Dec 1848 b	35280	26538	-,-,-	133	

Appendix 5: County boroughs

This classification is consistent with Moore's, which was largely based on a reconciliation of Peel's and the Porritts' claims.¹ Berwick may also have been a county borough, as there is a return from it in the 1831 return of resident freeholders in English and Welsh county boroughs.² Scarborough too may have been a county borough.³ A final complication is that one source suggests that in Exeter, Haverfordwest and Norwich freeholds of *any* value were sufficient to grant a vote.⁴ However, this is not backed up by other sources.

Borough-voting-county-boroughs

Bristol	Confirmed by <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.164 and U. Corbett, <i>An Inquiry Into The Elective Franchise Of The Freeholders Of, And The Rights Of Election For, The Corporate Counties In England And Wales: Also, A Report Of The Proceedings Of The Warwickshire Election Committee, in 1821</i> , J. and W.T. Clarke, London, 1826, p.74. However he adds, p.89, that as most freeholders were also freemen the freehold qualifications was little used.
Exeter	In a somewhat confusing fashion this is confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.v. and p.175. Though Corbett does not classify Exeter as a borough voting county borough, he describes the franchise as resting with freemen plus 40/- freeholders of Exeter who had been resident for forty days in the city (not necessarily on their freehold). Also, <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.201 gives the 1864 register as containing electors under a freehold qualification.
Haverfordwest	Confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.19 and p.216. This borough was in Wales.
Lichfield	Confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.244, the unattributed quote from 1718 in Thorne, <i>History of Parliament</i> , Volume 2, p.358 and the presence of electors on the 1864 register under freeholder qualifications: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.233.
Norwich	Confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.315-6 and the presence of electors on the 1864 register under freeholder qualifications: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.259.
Nottingham	Confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.328 and the presence of electors on the 1864 register under freeholder qualifications: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.260.

County-voting-county-boroughs

Canterbury	Confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.102.
------------	--

¹ Moore, *Politics of Deference*, p.459 n39. This classification is not inconsistent with the evidence in *Returns of the Number of Electors Enrolled in the Registers in each County, Division of County, City, Borough or Place, as entitled to Vote under the 2 Will. IV. c.45, at the last General Election in Great Britain, 1833 (189) XXVII*, which, although the information was not asked for, includes number of electors on the 1833 register under freeholder qualifications for some places. They are present for Bristol and Norwich, but not anywhere else. It is also consistent with the information in Thorne, *History of Parliament*, Volume 2, which mentions where freeholders could vote in borough elections.

² 1831 (150) XVI. Heywood, *A Digest Of The Law*, also gives Berwick as being a county borough. See also W.A. Jowitt, *The Dictionary of English Law*, Sweet and Maxwell, London, 1959, Volume 1, p.518-9. If Berwick was a county borough it most likely did not behave as one for Parliamentary election purposes - hence its absence from many pertinent sources.

³ "By the charters, Scarborough became a county of itself, and had its own assize, but this was never effectually put in force, so far as the records shew, until October 22nd, 1829": Baker, *History Of Scarborough*, p.211-2.

⁴ Stephens, *Practical Treatise*, p.634-5.

Poole	Confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.349-50 and the apparent absence of electors on the 1864 borough register under freeholder qualifications: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.269.
Southampton	Confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.363 and by the apparent absence of electors on the 1864 register under freeholder qualifications: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.286.
York, Ainsty	According to Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.389, freeholds in the City of York could not grant a vote for either the borough or the county, whilst freeholds in the Ainsty could grant a vote for the surrounding county but not for the borough. The Ainsty was a rural area to the west of the city.

Non-voting-county-boroughs

Carmarthen	But Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p. 39, states that a 40/- freehold entitled people to admission as burgesses. This borough was in Wales.
Chester	Confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.134, and the apparent absence of electors under freeholder qualifications from the 1864 register: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.175.
Coventry	Confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.158 and p.161-2, and by the absence of electors on the 1864 register under freeholder qualifications: <i>Handbook Of The Court</i> , p.182. Coventry lost its county borough status in 1842 under 5&6 Vict. c.110, when it became part of Warwickshire.
Gloucester	Confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.199.
Hull	Confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.19 and p.233.
Lincoln	Confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.258, and the apparent absence of electors under freeholder qualifications from the 1864 register: <i>Handbook Of The Court</i> , p.235.
London	Confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.268.
Newcastle-upon-Tyne	Confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.287 and the apparent absence of electors under freeholder qualifications from the 1864 register: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.254.
Worcester	Confirmed by Corbett, <i>The Elective Franchise</i> , p.375 and the apparent absence of electors under freeholder qualifications on the 1864 register: <i>Handbook of the Court</i> , p.317.
York, City of	

Appendix 6: Second reading of the Reform Bill

This Appendix lists all the MPs at the time of the vote on the second reading of the first Reform Bill (England and Wales), how they voted and which seats were vacant. The sources are *Hansard's Parliamentary Debates*, Volume 3, c.805-824, 23 March 1831, *Mirror of Parliament*, 2nd portion of 1st session of 9th Parliament, Volume 2, List of Divisions, p.2-4; *Morning Advertiser*, 24, 26 and 28 March 1831; *Morning Chronicle*, 24 and 25 March 1831; *The Spectator*, 26 March 1831; *The Standard*, 24 March 1831 and *The Times*, 24, 25 and 26 March 1831.

“N” indicates the MP voted against the bill, “Y” that they voted in favour, “Pn” or “Py” that they were, respectively, paired off against or in favour, “NT” or “YT” that they were a teller for, respectively, the noes and the ayes, “I” that they were ill, “Ab” that they definitely abstained and “A” that they were absent (though some of these may possibly also have been ill or abstained but were not recorded as such).

Heytesbury	Captain E.H. A'Court	N
Clackmannanshire/ Kinrossshire	Colonel G.R. Abercombie	Y
Armagh	Viscount Acheson	Y
Devon	Sir T.D. Acland	Y
Cambridgeshire	H.J. Adeane	Y
Wigtownshire	Sir Andrew Agnew	Y ¹
Old Sarum	James Alexander	N
Old Sarum	Josias Dupré Alexander	N
Northamptonshire	Viscount Althorp	Y
Great Yarmouth	George Anson	Y
Lichfield	Sir George Anson	Y
Plympton Earle	Gibbs Crawford Antrobus	N
Cirencester	Lord Apsley	N
Kincardineshire	General Hugh Arbuthnot	N
Dunwich	Andrew Arcedeckne	Y
Fermanagh	General Mervyn Archdall	Pn
Dorchester	Lord Ashley	N
Bridgwater	William Astell	N
Wiltshire	Sir J. Dugdale Astley	Y
Arundel	John Atkins	N
Boroughbridge	Matthias Attwood	N
Bristol	James Evan Baillie	Y
Rye	Henry Dundas Baillie	Y
Inverness	Colonel John Baillie	N
Taunton	Edward T. Bainbridge	Y
Totnes	Charles Barry Baldwin	N
Anstruther Easter	James Balfour	N
Dorset	Henry Bankes	N
Corfe Castle	George Bankes	N
Marlborough	William John Bankes	N
Callington	Alexander Baring	N
Callington	William Bingham Baring	Y
Chipping Wycombe	Sir Thomas Baring	Y
Portsmouth	Francis Thornbill Baring	Y
Thetford	Francis Baring	Y
Dunwich	Frederick Barne	A or N ²

¹ According to Parker, *Graham*, Volume 1, p.107-8 n1 and D. Le Marchant, *Memoir Of John Charles, Viscount Althorp*, p.303n Sir Andrew Agnew, “contrary to the general expectation, at the last moment joined the Reformers.”

² *Mirror of Parliament* and *The Spectator* give him as N, all the other sources give him as absent.

Dartmouth	Captain John Bastard	N
Londonderry	Sir Robert Bateson	N
York	S.A. Bayntun	Y
Northumberland	Thomas W Beaumont	Y
Haslemere	Sir John Beckett	N
Antrim	Earl of Belfast	Y
Cheshire	Lord Belgrave	Y
Northumberland	Matthew Bell	N
Wiltshire	John Bennett	Y
King's Lynn	Lord WGFC Bentinck	Y
Berwick	Lt. Col. Marcus Beresford	N
Northallerton	Sir John Poo Beresford	N
Waterford	Lord G.T. Beresford	N
Rochester	Ralph Bernal	Y
King's County	Thomas Bernard	Y
Bandon	Viscount Bernard	N
Yorkshire	Richard Bethell	Y
Denbigh	Robert Myddelton Biddulph	Y
Bere Alston	Christoper Blackett	N
Ayrshire	William Blair	N
Berwick	Sir Francis Blake	Y
New Woodstock	Marquis of Blandford	Y
Monaghan	C. Davis Blayney	N
Steyning	Edward Blount	Y
Rye	Francis Robert Bonham	N
Newcastle-under-Lyme	Richardson Borradaile	Pn
Cockermouth	Phillip P. Bouverie	Y
Salisbury	Duncan P. Bouverie	Y
Cork	Viscount Boyle	Y
Cork	John Boyle	Y
Dublin	Lord Brabazon	Y
Brackley	Robert Haldane Bradshaw	A
Brackley	Captain J. Bradshaw	A or Y ¹
Surrey	John Ivatt Briscoe	Y
Launceston	James Brogden	Pn ²
Downton	James Brougham	Y
Kerry	William Browne	Y
Mayo	James Browne	Y
Mayo	Dominick Browne	Y
Armagh	Charles Brownlow	Y
Ilchester	Michael Bruce	Y
Fowey	Lord Brudenell	N
Carlow	Colonel Henry Bruen	N
Coleraine	Sir J.W.H. Brydges	N
Exeter	Lewis William Buck	Y
Beaumaris	Sir R.B.W. Bulkeley	Y
Exeter	James Wentworth Buller	Y
Wilton	Henry L. Bulwer	Ab ³
Suffolk	Sir Henry E. Bunbury	Y
Westminster	Sir Francis Burdett	Y
Eye	William Burge	N
Galway	Sir John Burke	Y

¹ *The Times*, *Hansard* and *The Spectator* give him as A, *The Standard* gives him as Y and the *Morning Chronicle* and the *Morning Advertiser* gives him as Y but as being an MP for New Sarum, with the other New Sarum MP being DP Bouverie, actually MP for Salisbury!

² *The Times* gives him as N, the *Mirror of Parliament* gives him as both N and Pn (!) and all the other sources give him as Pn. I have gone for Pn as otherwise the number of Pn and Py would not be equal.

³ At the last minute he switched from planning to vote against as a result of a promise from Ellice of help in finding a seat at the subsequent election (though there were other stories explaining his failure to vote against): Brock, *The Great Reform Act*, p.176-8.

Lymington	George Burrard	N
Sussex	Walter Burrell	I
New Shoreham	Sir C.M. Burrell	Py
Beverley	Henry Burton	N
Great Bedwyn	John Jacob Buxton	N
Weymouth & Melcombe Regis	Thomas Fowell Buxton	Y
Milbourne Port	George Stevens Byng	Y
Middlesex	George Byng	Y
Wareham	John Calcraft	Y
Cork	Daniel Callaghan	Y
Bramber	Frederick G. Calthorpe	Y
Hertfordshire	Nicholson Calvert	Y
Huntingdon	John Calvert	N
Southwark	Charles Calvert	Y
Stafford	John Campbell	Y
Argyllshire	Walter Frederick Campbell	Y
Glasgow	Archibald Campbell	N
Queenborough	J. Capel	N
Aberdeen	Sir James Carnegie	N
St Mawes	Sir Codrington Edmund Carrington	N
Portsmouth	John Bonham Carter	Y
Northamptonshire	William Ralph Cartwright	N
Down	Viscount Castlereagh	N
Derbyshire	Lord G.A.H Cavendish	I
Derby	Henry F.C. Cavendish	Y
Cambridge	William Cavendish	Y
Stamford	Lord Thomas Cecil	N
Buckinghamshire	Marquis of Chandos	NT
Lincolnshire	Charles Chaplin	N
Stamford	Lt. Colonel Thomas Chaplin	N
Westmeath	Montague Lowther Chapman	Y
Belfast	Sir Arthur Chichester	Y
Wexford	Lt. Colonel Arthur Chichester	Y
Grantham	Sir Montague John Cholmeley	Y
Castle Rising	Lord W.H.H. Cholmondeley	N
New Woodstock	Lord Charles Spencer Churchill	N ¹
Leitrim	John Marcus Clements	N
Edinburghshire	Sir George Clerk	NT
Aldborough	Clinton James Fynes Clinton	N
Hereford	Edward Bolton Clive	Y
Ludlow	Viscount Clive	N
Ludlow	Robert Henry Clive	N
Montgomery	Henry Clive	N
Plymouth	Sir George Cockburn	N
Reigate	James Cocks	N
Norfolk	Thomas William Coke	Y
Horsham	Nicholas W.R. Colborne	Y
Enniskillen	Arthur Henry Cole	A
Hedon	Sir Thomas A.C. Constable	N
Orford	Sir Henry F. Cooke	Pn
Sligo	Edward Joshua Cooper	N
Queen's County	Sir Charles H. Coote	N
Clonmel	Eyre Coote	N
Bishop's Castle	Frederick H. Cornwall	N
Fermanagh	Viscount Corry	N
Tyrone	Henry T.L. Corry	N
Herefordshire	Sir John Geers Cotterell	N

¹ *The Times*, *Hansard*, the *Morning Advertiser* and the *Morning Chronicle* do not mention him, whilst the other sources give him as an N.

Totnes	Thomas Peregrine Courtenay	N
Camelford	Colonel Sheldon Cradock	Y
Dundalk	Lt. Colonel John H. Cradock	I ¹
Saltash	Philip Cecil Crampton	Y
Cirencester	Joseph Cripps	Y
Aldeburgh	John Wilson Croker	N
Sussex	Herbert B. Curteis	Y
Clitheroe	Robert Curzon	N
Clitheroe	Captain Peregrine F. Cust	N
Lostwithiel	Colonel Edward Cust	N
Haddington	Sir Adolphus John Dalrymple	N
Saltash	Earl of Darlington	N
Worcester	Colonel Thomas Henry Hastings Davies	Y
Bristol	Richard Hart Davis	N
Harwich	George R. Dawson	N
Louth	Alexander Dawson	Y
Surrey	William Joseph Denison	Y
Nottingham	Sir Thomas Denman	Y
Wexford	Sir Edward Cholmeley Dering	N
Maldon	Quintin Dick	N
Somerset	William Dickinson	N
Plympton Earle	Sir Crompton Domvile	N
Southampton	Abel Rous Dottin	N
Lanarkshire	Charles Douglas	N
Dumfries	William Robert Keith Douglas	N
Aldeburgh	Marquis of Douro	N
Tewkesbury	John E. Dowdeswell	N
Amersham	Thomas Tyrwhitt Drake	N
Amersham	Colonel William Tyrwhitt Drake	N
Stirlingshire	Henry Home Drummond	N
Elgin	General Alexander Duff	N
Warwickshire	Dugdale Stafford Dugdale	Y
Shaftesbury	William S. Dugdale	Y or N ²
Kilkenny	Viscount Duncannon	YT
Yorkshire	William Duncombe	N
East Retford	Arthur Duncombe	N
Hertford	Thomas Slingsby Duncombe	Y
Berkshire	Charles Dundas	Y
Ipswich	Robert Adam Dundas	N
Richmond	Sir RL Dundas	Y
Richmond	John Charles Dundas	Y
York	Thomas Dundas	Y
Edinburgh	William Dundas	Pn
Winchelsea	Henry Dundas	N
Winchester	Sir Edward Hyde East	N
Hereford	Viscount Eastnor	N
Devon	Lord Ebrington	Y
Cheshire	Wilbraham Egerton	N
Chester	Sir P. de M.G. Egerton	N
Lymington	William Tatton Egerton	N
Liskeard	Lord Eliot	N
Coventry	Edward Ellice	Y
Okehampton	G.J.W.A. Ellis	Y
Truro	Lord Encombe	N
Marlborough	T.H.S.B. Estcourt	N
Oxford	Thomas G.B. Estcourt	N
Bury St Edmonds	Earl of Euston	N
Leicester	William Evans	Y

¹ Despite his illness he attended the debate, but left before the vote thinking it would not happen that night.

² *The Times* and the *Mirror of Parliament* give him as N, whilst the other sources give him as Y.

Liverpool	William Ewart	Y
Wareham	James Ewing	N
Oxfordshire	John Fane	Y
Hastings	Sir Henry G.C.S.B. Fane	N
Lyme Regis	Colonel John Thomas Fane	N
Lyme Regis	H. Sutton Fane	N
Lincoln	John Fardell	N
Hedon	Robert Farrand	N
Peterborough	John N. Fazakerley	Y
Nottingham	Sir Ronald C. Ferguson	Y
Kirkcudbright Stewartry	Robert Cutlar Fergusson	Y
Seaford	John Fitzgerald	Pn
Kerry	M. Fitzgerald	N
Kildare	Lord William C. Fitzgerald	Y
Limerick	Richard Hobert Fitzgibbon	Y
Thetford	Lord James Fitzroy	Y
Hampshire	John Fleming	N
Worcestershire	Thomas H. Foley	Y
Droitwich	John H. Hodgetts Foley	Y
Ludgershall	Edward Thomas Foley	N
Norfolk	Sir W.J.H.B. Folkes	Y
Malmesbury	Sir Charles Forbes	N
Malmesbury	John Forbes	N
Longford	Viscount Forbes	N
Canterbury	Lord Fordwich	Y
Wenlock	G.C.W. Forester	N
Hindon	George M. Fortescue	Y
Thirsk	Roberk Frankland	A
Buckingham	Sir Thomas F. Freemantle	N
Roscommon	Arthur French	Y
Penryn	James W. Freshfield	N
Coventry	Thomas Bilcliffe Fyler	Y
Cockermouth	Lord Garlies	Y
Liverpool	General Issac Gascoyne	Pn
Bodmin	Davies Gilbert	N
Stafford	Thomas Gisborne	Y
Queenborough	Thomas Gladstone	Y
Cricklade	Robert Gordon	Y
Launceston	Sir James W. Gordon	A
Tregony	James Adam Gordon	N
Weymouth		
& Melcombe Regis	Colonel John Gordon	N
Aberdeenshire	Captain William Gordon	N
Caernarvon	William Ormsby Gore	N
Armagh	Henry Goulburn	N
Sutherland	Lord F. Leveson Gower	N
Cumberland	Sir James R.G. Graham	Y
Cambridge	Marquis of Graham	N
Ludgershall	Sir Sandford Graham	Y
Dunbartonshire	Lord Montague W. Graham	N
Norwich	Robert Grant	Y
Westbury	Sir Alexander C. Grant	N
Elginshire	Colonel Francis William Grant	N
Invernessshire	Charles Grant	Y
Wicklow	James Grattan	Y
Lancaster	Thomas G. Greene	Y
New Romney	Sir Roger Gresley	N ¹

¹ Although *Hansard* claims one "Romney" (*sic*) seat did not have an eligible MP at the time of the vote, the lists of constituencies without an eligible MP in the *Morning Chronicle* and the *Morning Advertiser* do not include it. Further, *The Times*, *The Spectator*, *The Mirror of Parliament*, *The Morning Chronicle* and even *Hansard* all give him as voting
(continued)

Warwick	Sir Charles John Greville	N
St Albans	Lord Grimston	N
Chester	Robert Grosvenor	Y
Honiton	Josiah John Guest	Y
Gloucestershire	Sir B.W. Guise	Y
Northampton	Sir Robert Henry Gunning	N
Newton (Isle of Wight)	Hudson Gurney	I ¹
Norwich	Richard H. Gurney	Y
Athlone	Richard Handcock	N
Newark	William Farnworth Handley	Y
Newport (Cornwall)	Sir H. Hardinge	N
Grimsby	George Harris	N
Donegal	General George Vaughan Hart	A
Colchester	Daniel W. Harvey	Y
Leicester	Sir C.A. Hastings	N
Mitchell	John Heywood Hawkins	Y
Haddingtonshire	Lord John Hay	N
Hampshire	Sir William Heathcote	N
Rutland	Sir Gilbert Heathcote	Y
Peterborough	Sir Robert Heron	Y
Harwich	John C. Herries	N
Shropshire	Sir Rowland Hill	N
Down	Lord Arthur M.W. Hill	Y
Carrickfergus	Lord George Augusta Hill	Y
Westminster	John Cam Hobhouse	Y
Kent	Thomas Law Hodges	Y
Newcastle-upon-Tyne	John Hodgson	Y
Dartmouth	Arthur Howe Holdsworth	N
Haslemere	William Holmes	N
East Grinstead	Viscount Holmesdale	N
East Looe	Henry Thomas Hope	N
Gatton	John Thomas Hope	N
Linlithgowshire	General Sir Alexander Hope	N
Bletchingley	Sir William Horne	Y
Leominster	Lord Hotham	N
Newton (Lancashire)	Thomas Houldsworth	N
Carlisle	Phillip Henry Howard	Y
Castle Rising	Colonel Fulke G. Howard	N
Morpeth	William Howard	Y
New Shoreham	Henry Howard	Y
Wicklow	Ralph Howard	Y
Higham Ferris	Viscount Howick	Y
Southampton	James Barlow Hoy	N
Oxford	William Hughes Hughes	Y
Wallingford	Colonel William Lewis Hughes	Y
West Looe	Sir Charles Hulse	N
Middlesex	Joseph Hume	Y
Preston	Henry Hunt	Y
Hertford	Lord Ingestre	N
Lincolnshire	Sir William Amcotts Ingilby	Y
Oxford	Sir Robert H. Inglis	N
Bramber	John Irving	N
Perth	Francis Jeffrey	Y ²

(..continued)

"no". There is similar clear evidence that the other New Romney MP - William Miles - was present and did vote. Finally, I have not found any evidence as to why this seat should not have had its full two eligible MPs.

¹ He was "absent from illness engaged on the circuit": *Morning Advertiser*, 28 March 1831. The paper refers to him as the MP for Yarmouth, but also says he was the only MP from Hampshire (*sic*) absent.

Shrewsbury	Richard Jenkins	N
Mallow	C.D.O. Jephson	Y
Bury St Edmonds	Earl Jermyn	N
Pontefract	Henry V.S. Jerningham	Y
Stirling	James Johnston	Y
Yorkshire	Sir J.V.B. Johnstone	Y
Dumfriesshire	John James Hope Johnstone	Y
Petersfield	Gilbert East Jolliffe	N
Petersfield	Sir W.G.H. Jolliffe	N
Carmarthen	John Jones	N
Londonderry	Captain Theobald Jones	N
West Looe	Charles Buller Jr.	Y
Tipperary	Thomas Wyse Jr.	Y
Carlow	Thomas Kavanagh	A
Wigan	John Hodson Kearsley	N
Leicestershire	George Ant. Legh Keck	Y
East Looe	Thomas Arthur Kemmis	N
Lewes	Thomas Read Kemp	Y
Ayr	Thomas Francis Kennedy	Y
Mitchell	Lloyd Kenyon	N
Eye	Sir Edward Kerrison	N
Orford	Spencer Horsey Kilderbee	N
Meath	Lord Killeen	Y
Chipping Wycombe	Sir John Dashwood King	N
Cork	Robert King	Y
Sligo	General Henry King	Y
Kent	Sir E. Knatchbull	Pn
Wallingford	Robert Knight	Y
Dungannon	John James Knox	Y
Newry	John Henry Knox	N
Taunton	Henry Labouchere	Y
Dungarvan	George Lamb	Y
Galway	James Staunton Lambert	Y
Oxford	James H. Langston	Y
Northallerton	Henry Lascelles	N
Warwickshire	Francis Lawley	Y
Kilkenny	Nicholas Philpot Leader	Y
Wells	John Lee Lee	Y ¹
Downton	Charles Shaw Lefevre	Y
Longford	Anthony Lefroy	N
Dublin	Doctor Thomas Lefroy	N
Newton (Lancashire)	Colonel Thomas Legh	A
Penryn	Sir Charles Lemon	Y
Maldon	Thomas Barrett Lennard	Y
Chichester	Lord John George Lennox	Y
New Ross	Charles Powell Leslie	A
Poole	Benjamin Lester Lester	Y
Radnorshire	Thomas F. Lewis	N
Wigan	Lt. Colonel James Lindsay	N
Staffordshire	Edward John Littleton	Y
Flint	Sir Edward Pryce Lloyd	Y
Hythe	John Loch	Y
Tain	James Loch	Py

(..continued)

² Whether or not he had the right to sit in Parliament was disputed by some Tories, who particularly liked to argue that by his own legal opinion (on another occasion) he should have been disqualified; for example, *The Advantages Of Reform, As Proposed By The Present Ministers*, 7th edition, Roake and Varty, London, 1831, p.4.

¹ *Hansard* and *The Times*, 24 March 1831 give him as A, but *The Times*, 25 March 1831, along with *Spectator*, *Standard* and *Mirror of Parliament* give him as Y. The other sources do not mention him. That *The Times* corrected itself suggests that *Hansard* and the initial *Times* report are wrong.

Dysart	Lord Loughborough	N
Cumberland	Sir John Lowther	N
Westmorland	Viscount Lowther	N
Westmorland	Colonel Henry Cecil Lowther	N
Wigtown	John Henry Lowther	N
Nottinghamshire	John Saville Lumley	Y
Carlisle	Colonel James Law Lushington	N
Minehead	John Fownes Luttrell	N
Worcestershire	Henry B. Lygon	N
Bere Alston	David Lyon	N
Seaford	William Lyon	N
Abingdon	John Maberly	Y
Calne	Thomas B. Macaulay	Y
Calne	Sir James Macdonald	Y
Rossshire	Sir James Wemyss Mackenzie	A
Tregoney	James Mackillop	N
Ipswich	Charles Mackinnon	N
Knaresborough	Sir James Mackintosh	Y
Louth	John Maclintock	N
Clare	William Nugent Macnamara	Y
Wootton Bassett	Viscount Mahon	N
Appleby	Viscount Maitland	N
Berwickshire	Captain Anthony Maitland	N
Hythe	Stewart Majoribanks	Y
Boston	Neil Malcolm	N
Huntingdonshire	Lord Mandeville	N
Leicestershire	Lord Robert Manners	N
Sandwich	Joseph Marryatt	Y
Leominster	William Marshall	Y
Plymouth	Sir Thomas Byam Martin	Y
Tewkesbury	John Martin	Y
Forfarshire	William R. Maule	Y
Cavan	Henry Maxwell	N
Lisburn	Captain Henry Meynell	N
Camelford	Mark Milbank	Y
Winchester	Paulet St John Mildmay	Y
Corfe Castle	Philip John Miles	N
New Romney	William Miles	N ¹
Newcastle-under-Lyme	William Henry Miller	N
Linlithgow	Henry Monteith	N
Peebleshire	Sir George Montgomery	A
Dublin	George Moore	N
Monmouthshire	Sir Charles Morgan	Y
Brecon	Charles MR Morgan	Y
Banffshire	John Morison	A
Yorkshire	Viscount Morpeth	Y
St Ives	James Morrison	Y
Flintshire	Sir Thomas Mostyn	Y
Donegal	Earl Mountcharles	Y
Derbyshire	Francis Mundy	Y
Perthshire	Sir George Murray	N
Chippenham	Joseph Neeld	N
East Retford	Lord Newark	Y
Waterford	Sir John Newport	Py
Great Bedwyn	Sir John Nicholl	N
Rutland	Sir Gerard N. Noel	Y
Oxfordshire	Lord Norreys	N
Drogheda	John Henry North	N
Aylesbury	Lord Nugent	Y

¹ See note on Sir Roger Gresley above.

Buckingham	Sir George Nugent	N
Ennis	William Smith O'Brien	A
Waterford	Daniel O'Connell	Y
Roscommon	Owen O'Connor	Y
Kildare	Richard Moore O'Ferrall	Y
Limerick	Colonel Standish O'Grady	Y
Galway	James O'Hara	Y
Antrim	General John Bruce Richard O'Neill	Y
Portarlington	Sir Charles Ogle	N
Morpeth	William Ord	Y
Cambridgeshire	Lord F.G. Osborne	Y
Kilkenny	Earl of Ossory	Y
Pembrokeshire	Sir John Owen	N
Pembroke	Hugh Owen Owen	N
King's County	Lord Oxmantown	Y
Ashburton	Sir Lawrence V. Palk	N
Berkshire	Robert Palmer	Y
Bath	Major General Charles Palmer	Y
Reading	Charles Fyshe Palmer	Y
Cambridge	Lord Palmerston	Y
Queen's County	Sir Henry B. Parnell	Y
Lancashire	John Wilson Patten	Y
Bridport	Sir H.D.C. St Paul	N
Truro	Nathaniel William Peach	N
Devizes	John Pearse	N
Helston	Sir S John B. Pechell	I ¹
Tamworth	Sir Robert Peel	N
Yarmouth (Isle of Wight)	William Yates Peel	N
Shropshire	John Creessett Pelham	N
Newton (Isle of Wight)	C.A.W. Pelham	Y
Cornwall	E.W.W. Pendarves	Y
Cashel	Matthew Pennefather	N
Shaftesbury	Edward Penrhyn	Y
Wilton	J. Hungerford Penruddocke	N
Newport (Isle of Wight)	Spencer Perceval	N
Ripon	Louis Hayes Petit	Y
Steyning	George Richard Philips	Y
Haverfordwest	Sir Richard B.P. Phillips	Y
Gloucester	John Phillpotts	Y
Scarborough	Edmund Phipps	N
St Mawes	George G. Wandisford Pigott	N
Cricklade	Joseph Pitt	N
Hastings	Joseph Planta	N
Bedford	Captain Fredrick Polhill	Y
Andover	Sir John Walter Pollen	N
Poole	W.F.S. Ponsonby	Y
Youghal	George Ponsonby	Y
Dorset	Edward Berkeley Portman	Y
Cardiganshire	Colonel William Edward Powell	Py ²
Durham	Lord William John Frederick Vane Powlett	Y
Ashburton	William Stephen Poyntz	Y
St Germain's	Winthorp M. Praed	N
Westbury	Michael George Prendergast	Y
Herefordshire	Sir Robert Price	Y
Sandwich	Samuel Grove Price	N
New Radnor	Richard Price	N
Liskeard	Sir William Henry Pringle	N

¹ Only the *Morning Advertiser* gives him as I, but all the other sources give him as A.

² *The Times* gave him as Y, the *Mirror of Parliament* gives him as both Y and Py, and the other five sources all give him as Py.

Selkirkshire	Alexander Pringle	N
Tipperary	Francis A. Prittie	Y
Cardigan	Pryse Pryse	Y
Chippenham	Phillip Pusey	N
Buteshire/Caithness	Sir William Rae	N
Newport (Cornwall)	Jonathan Raine	N
New Windsor	John Ramsbottom	Y
Malton	John Charles Ramsden	Y
Dover	Sir John Rae Reid	N
Limerick	Thomas Spring Rice	YT
Aylesbury	William Rickford	Y
Newcastle-upon-Tyne	Sir M.W. Ridley	Y
Maidstone	Abraham Wildey Robarts	Y
Bewdley	Wilson A. Roberts	N
Northampton	Sir George Robinson	Y
Worcester	George Richard Robinson	Y
Westmeath	Colonel Gustavus Rochfort	N
Bishop's Castle	Edward Rogers	N
Christchurch	Sir George H. Rose	N
Christchurch	Captain George Pitt Rose	N
St Germain's	Charles Ross	N
Great Yarmouth	Charles Edmund Rumbold	I
Tavistock	Lord John Russell	Y
Durham	William Russell	Y
Reading	Charles Russell	Y
Tavistock	Lord William Russell	Y
Thirsk	Robert Greenhill Russell	Y
Kinsale	John Russell	Y
Downpatrick	Edward Southwell Ruthven	Y
Tiverton	Granville D. Ryder	N
Newark	Michael Thomas Sadler	N
Tiverton	Lord Sandon	Y
Somerset	Edward A. Sanford	Y
Cavan	Alexander Saunderson	Py
Malton	Sir James Scarlett	N
Hull	George Schonswar	Y
Whitchurch	Sir Samuel Scott	N
Roxburghshire	Henry Francis Scott	N
Hertfordshire	Sir J.S. Sebright	Y
Droitwich	Earl of Sefton	Y
Fowey	John Cheesment Severn	N
Bodmin	Horace Beauchamp Seymour	N
Okehampton	Lord Seymour	N
Dublin	Frederick Shaw	N
Milbourne Port	Richard Lalor Sheil	Y
Gatton	John Villiers Shelley	N
Lewes	Sir John Shelley	N
Monaghan	Evelyn John Shirley	N
Lincoln	Colonel C.D.W. Sibthorp	N
Shrewsbury	Robert A. Slaney	Y
Buckinghamshire	Robert John Smith	Y
Andover	Thomas Assheton Smith	N
Chichester	John Smith	Y
Midhurst	John Abel Smith	Y
Midhurst	George Smith	I ¹
Pontefract	Sir C.E. Smith	A
Wendover	Samuel Smith	N

¹ Though most sources give him as A, a letter from him in *The Times* (26 March 1831) both claimed he was ill and that he would have voted in favour. Given that the ayes won, it is unlikely that an excuse would have need to be fabricated to explain his absence, so I prefer to list him as ill.

Wendover	Abel Smith	N
Tralee	Robert Vernon Smith	Y
Gloucestershire	Lord Robert E.H. Somerset	N
Monmouthshire	Lord G.C.H. Somerset	N
Meath	Sir Marc Somerville	Y
Nottinghamshire	Admiral Frank Sotheron	N
Ripon	George Spence	Y
Lancashire	Lord Stanley	Y
New Windsor	Edward G. Stanley	Y
Stockbridge	William Sloane Stanley	N
Heytesbury	Sir George T. Staunton	Y
Barnstaple	Stephens Lyne Stephens	N
Lancaster	Patrick M. Stewart	Y
Renfrewshire	Sir Michael Shaw Stewart	Y
Tyrone	Sir Hugh Stewart	N
Aldbrough	Viscount Stormont	N
Huntingdonshire	Lord Strathaven	Y
Derby	Edward Strutt	Y
Bedfordshire	William Stuart	N
Arundel	Lord Dudley C. Stuart	Y
Banbury	Henry Villiers Stuart	N
Huntingdon	James Stuart	N
Cardiff	Lord Patrick James Herbert Crichton Stuart	Y
Weymouth		
& Melcombe Regis	Sir E Butenshaw Sugden	N
Guildford	George Holme Sumner	N
Horsham	Earl of Surrey	Y
Scarborough	Charles Manners Sutton	Speaker
Beverley	Daniel Sykes	Py
Glamorgan	Sir Christopher R.M. Talbot	Y
Bedfordshire	Marquis of Tavistock	Py
Devizes	George Watson Taylor	N
Durham	Michael Angelo Taylor	Y
St Albans	Charles Tennant	Y
Bletchingley	Charles Tennyson	Y
Dover	Charles Poulett Thompson	Y
London	William Thompson	Y
Wenlock	Paul Beilby Thompson	Y
Yarmouth (Isle of Wight)	George Lowther Thompson	N
Bath	Lord John Thynne	N
Weobley	Lord William Thynne	A
Weobley	Lord H. Frederick Thynne	N
Warwick	John Tomes	Y
Minehead	William Edward Tomline	N
Helston	Lord James N.B.B. Townshend	N
Tamworth	Lord Charles V.F. Townshend	A
Whitchurch	H.G.P. Townshend	N
Orkney & Shetland	George Traill	Y
Cambridge	Lt. Colonel Frederick William Trench	N
Carmathanshire	George Rice Trevor	N
Barnstaple	George Tudor	N
Appleby	Henry Tufton	Y
Carlow	Lord Tullamore	N
Bossiney	Edward Rose Tunno	N
Newport (Isle of Wight)	Horace Twiss	N
Bridgwater	Charles Kemeys Kemeys Tynte	Y
Essex	Colonel Sir John T. Tyrell	N
Suffolk	Charles Tyrell	Y
Weymouth		
& Melcombe Regis	Masterton Ure	N
Anglesey	Earl of Uxbridge	Y
Wexford	Viscount Valentia	N

Lostwithiel	Viscount Valletort	N
Wells	John Edwards Vaughan	N
Merioneth	Sir Roberty Williams Vaughan	N
Ilchester	James Joseph Hope Vere	Y
Lichfield	George Granville Venables Vernon	Y
Rochester	Lord Villiers	N
Wootton Bassett	Thomas Hyde Villiers	Y
Cornwall	Sir Richard Rawlinson Vyvyan	N
London	Robert Waithman	Y
Guildford	Charles B. Wall	N
King's Lynn	Colonel John Walpole	Y
Sudbury	Bethel Walrond	A ¹
Sudbury	Sir J. Benn Walsh	N
Bridport	Henry Warburton	Y
London	William Ward	N
Honiton	Sir George Warrender	N
Knarborough	Lord Waterpark	Y
Canterbury	Richard Watson	Y
Gloucester	Colonel Edward Webb	Y
Grantham	Glynne Earle Welby	N ²
St Ives	William P.T.L. Wellesley	Y
Fifeshire	James Wemyss	Y ³
East Grinstead	Frederick Richard West	N
Essex	Charles Callis Western	Y
Boroughbridge	Sir Charles Wetherell	N
Hindon	John Weyland	Y
Bedford	William Henry Whitbread	Y
Dublin	Colonel Henry White	Y
Leitrim	Samuel White	Y
Bridgnorth	Thomas Whitmore	N
Bridgnorth	William Wolryche Whitmore	Y
Stockbridge	George Wilbraham	Y
Boston	John Wilks	Y
Dorchester	Robert Williams	N
Great Marlow	Owen Williams	N
Great Marlow	Thomas Peers Williams	N
Winchelsea	John Williams	A
Soutwark	Sir Robert T. Wilson	Y
Maidstone	Henry Winchester	N
Grimsby	Charles Wood	Y
London	Matthew Wood	Y
Preston	John Wood	Y
Breconshire	Colonel Thomas Wood	Y
Monmouth	Marquis of Worcester	N
Bossiney	Charles Stuart Wortley	N ⁴
Hull	William Battie Wrightson	Y
Staffordshire	Sir John Wrottesley	Py
Salisbury	Wadham Wyndham	N
Denbighshire	Sir Watkin W. Wynn	Y
Montgomeryshire	Charles W.W. Wynn	Y ⁵

¹ Only *The Times* gives him as paired; *Hansard*, *The Spectator*, *The Standard*, the *Morning Chronicle* and the *Morning Advertiser* all give him as A.

² Though *The Times*, *Standard* and *Morning Chronicle* give him as A, *Hansard* and *The Spectator* give him as N. Crucially, the *Morning Advertiser*, although initially giving him as A corrected this (26 March 1831) to N: had he really been absent it is unlikely this correction would have been given, especially as the correction and the relevant edition of *The Spectator* both appeared after the relevant editions of *The Times*, *The Standard* and the *Morning Chronicle*.

³ *The Times* is isolated in giving him as A, though additionally *Mirror of Parliament* does not mention him at all (either in its lists of those who voted or its lists of those who did not vote).

⁴ Several sources give the MP as being J. Stuart Wortley, but they are wrong.

Caernarvonshire	Charles W.G. Wynne	Ab ¹
Sligo	John Wynne	N
Reigate	Sir Joesph Sydney Yorke	N
Evesham	<i>No eligible MP</i>	
Durham	<i>No eligible MP</i>	
Evesham	<i>No eligible MP</i>	
Nairnshire/Cromartyshire	<i>No eligible MP²</i>	
Londonderry	<i>No eligible MP³</i>	
Clare	<i>No eligible MP⁴</i>	
Colchester	<i>No eligible MP</i>	

In total, there are 301 MPs who clearly voted yes and 209 who clearly voted no. There are two who may have been A or N, one who might have been Y or N and one who might have been A or Y. However, in terms of the total numbers this does not matter. If the A or Y MP abstained, then the Y or N MP must have voted Y (to make up the right number of votes) and both of the A or N must have voted N (again, to make up the right number of votes). However, if the A or Y MP had voted yes, then the Y or N MP must have voted N (otherwise there would be too many yes votes), and so one of the A or N MPs voted N and the other was absent. In other words, of these unclear MPs only one could have been absent, and all the others voted.

This, then, gives a total of 603 voting, four tellers,⁵ one Speaker,⁶ sixteen paired, two definite abstentions, seven definitely ill, seven vacant seats and eighteen absent. However, as *Blackwood's Magazine* says that there were twenty paired,⁷ which is also the implication of another source,⁸ it is possible that four of

(..continued)

⁵ *The Standard*, the *Morning Chronicle* and the *Morning Advertiser* give him as N. However, *The Standard* talks of a Mr C. Wynn (along with Calcraft) switching to support the bill at the last moment, and Strachey and Fulford, *Grenville Memoirs*, Volume 2, p.133 mention "the Wynnes" switching at last minute. Given that Sir Watkin W. Wynn did vote in favour, and assuming Grenville's records to be more reliable than a typesetter's fingers, this then requires one of Charles W.W. Wynn and Charles W.G. Wynne to have voted yes. As no sources state Charles W.G. Wynne voted yes, it is most likely that Charles W.W. Wynn voted yes. The error on the part of *The Standard*, the *Morning Chronicle* and the *Morning Advertiser* can be put down to them being undone by the expectation that his vote would be no (on which there is no disagreement) not being realised. Four sources give Charles W.G. Wynne as having abstained, against two giving him as Y, so I have favoured the former.

¹ See previous footnote.

² The *Morning Chronicle* lists G. Pryce Campbell as Nairnshire's MP and absent, but then also includes this (one member) constituency as being "unrepresented" at the time of the vote.

³ Sir Robert Alexander Ferguson was elected in 1830, but then had his election voided due to his being mayor at the time. He was reelected in a by-election on 3 March 1831, but appears not to have taken the oath by the time of this vote.

⁴ Maurice O'Connell was elected in a by-election on 3 March 1831, but appears not to have taken the oath by the time of this vote.

⁵ Each side had to appoint two MPs to count the number voting that way; they are not included in voting figure totals. However, when the Commons was in a committee of the whole House in the early nineteenth century and earlier there was only one teller on each side: Hatsell, *Precedents Of Proceedings*, Volume 2, p.213. In 1835 a Commons committee set rules on voting, which included having four tellers on all occasions.

⁶ Speakers traditionally only voted when their casting vote was required to decide a matter. There was no provision for a deputy speaker - who might also avoid voting to maintain impartiality - until the Deputy Speaker Act 1855 (18&19 Vict. c.84). When the Commons was in a committee of the whole House the Speaker did not chair, but the new chair was similarly restricted in voting, though the Speaker did then sometimes participate in the proceedings. The Speaker was then "considered as a private Member and has a voice accordingly," though the usual impediments to MPs abstaining, which could drive them to hiding in unlikely places, did not apply to the Speaker, who could abstain without hindrance: Hatsell, *Precedents Of Proceedings*, Volume 2, p.231 n3. Abercomby (Speaker 1835-9) was the first nineteenth century Speaker to not intervene in any committee stages, and this then became the norm (though Speaker Denison did subsequently once participate).

⁷ May 1831, Volume 29, p.753.

⁸ *No Party Man, Pros and Cons Of Lord John Russell's Bill*, James Ridgway, London, 1831, p.36.

those I have listed as absent were, in reality, also paired. Counting the number paired is, though, a rather uncertain business. A further conflicting piece of evidence is that of the *Gloucester Journal*,¹ which gave twelve paired. More intriguingly, but also lacking any supporting evidence, it lists another seven as, “invalid Members who did not vote, in consequence, it was said, of the Ministers’ confidence in their numbers, but who were in attendance in an adjacent room.” Given the widespread uncertainty as to the result, and the lack of corroborating evidence, this story is not credible. The *Gloucester Journal* also says an MP was locked out.

¹ 26 March 1831.

Appendix 7: Fate of Yorkshire MPs 1800-50

The following tables give the fate of incumbent Yorkshire MPs at elections in 1800-50. The following abbreviations are used:

REL	Incumbent re-elected for that constituency.
DS	Incumbent did not stand at the general election.
RET	Incumbent stood down, forcing a by-election.
DEF	Incumbent stood, but was defeated.
RIP	Incumbent had died.
VOID	Incumbent's election was voided on petition.
ABOL	Incumbent's seat was abolished by the 1832 legislation.
NEW	There was no incumbent as the seat was newly created.

Polled contests are marked with a * and non-polled contests are marked with a +.

Year	Aldborough	Beverley	Boroughbridge	Bradford	Halifax	Hedon	Huddersfield	Hull	Knareborough	Leeds	Malton	Northallerton	Pontefract
1800													
1801													
1802 GE	REL, DS	REL, DEF *	REL, DS			REL, DS *		REL, DS *	REL, REL		REL, REL	REL, REL	REL, DS
1803													
1804									RIP				
1804									RET				
1805									RET *		RET		
1806									REL				
1806 GE	DS, DS	REL, DEF *	DS, DS			REL, DS +		REL, DEF *	REL, DS		REL, DS	REL, REL	REL, DS *
1807 GE	REL, REL	REL, REL *	REL, REL			REL, REL * ¹		REL, DS	REL, REL +		DEF, DS *	REL, REL	REL, DEF *
1808											VOID *		
1809													
1810													
1811													
1812 GE	REL, DS	REL, DS *	REL, REL			REL, REL		REL, DS *	REL, REL		DS, DS	REL, REL	REL, DEF *
1812													RET *
1813						RIP							
1814	RET											RIP	

¹ There was a by-election pending at the time of the general election, because one of the MPs had been appointed to government office.

Year	Richmond	Ripon	Scarborough	Sheffield	Thirsk	Wakefield	Whitby	York	Yorkshire	East Riding	North Riding	West Riding
1800												
1801					RET							
1802 GE	REL, DS	REL, REL	REL, DS *		REL, REL			REL, DS	REL, REL			
1803												
1804												
1805					RIP							
1806	RET											
1806 GE	REL, REL	REL, DS	REL, DS		DS, DS			REL, REL	REL, DS +			
1807 GE	REL, REL	DS, DS	REL, REL		REL, DS			REL, DEF *	REL, DS *			
1808	RET (?)											
1809												
1810	RIP	RET	RET									
1811								RIP				
1812	RET											
1812 GE	REL, DS	REL, REL	REL, REL		REL, REL			REL, REL	REL, DS +			
1813		RET										
1814												
1815					RET							
1816												
1817												

Appendix 8: Electoral legislation 1800-67

“Ireland” indicates that the act only affected Ireland. Similarly for “Scotland.” Where only a constituency’s name is given, the legislation only affected that constituency.

20 Jun 1801	41 Geo.III c.52	Clarification following Union
23 Jun 1801	41 Geo.III c.62	Ireland
23 Jun 1801	41 Geo.III c.63	Clarification of who could be MP
23 Jun 1801	41 Geo.III c.64	Person in holy orders could not be MP
2 Jul 1801	41 Geo.III c.101	Clarifications following from Act of Union with Ireland
3 Jun 1802	42 Geo.III c.62	Commissioners for oaths
22 Jun 1802	42 Geo.III c.63	Free postage for MPs
22 Jun 1802	42 Geo.III c.84	Controverted elections. Valid until 1804
26 Jun 1802	42 Geo.III c.106	Ireland
26 Jun 1802	42 Geo.III c.116	Land tax registration and voting
24 Mar 1803	43 Geo.III c.25	Ireland
17 May 1803	43 Geo.III c.45	Judicial jurisdiction in Nottingham
4 Jul 1803	43 Geo.III c.74	Administration of bribery oath
29 Jun 1804	44 Geo.III c.60	Aylesbury
27 Jun 1805	45 Geo.III c.59	Ireland
6 Jan 1807	47 Geo.III c.1	Controverted elections, including making 42 Geo.III c.84 perpetual
19 Feb 1807	47 Geo.III c.14	Ireland
19 Jun 1809	49 Geo.III c.118	Curwen's Act, re. sale of seats
15 Jun 1811	51 Geo.III c.77	Ireland
15 Jun 1811	51 Geo.III c.84	City of London
26 Jun 1811	51 Geo.III c.99	Land tax registration and voting
9 Jul 1811	51 Geo.III c.126	Westminster. Valid until 1813

23 Jul 1812	52 Geo.III c.144	Bankrupt Mps
21 May 1813	53 Geo.III c.49	Vote splitting and wills
22 Jun 1813	53 Geo.III c.71	Handling of petitions
2 Jul 1813	53 Geo.III c.89	Writs to be sent by post
20 Jul 1813	53 Geo.III c.152	51 Geo.III c.126 extended until 1819
6 Dec 1813	54 Geo.III c.16	Ireland
7 Jun 1815	55 Geo.III c.72	Glamorganshire
14 Jun 1815	55 Geo.III c.98	Ireland
12 Jul 1817	57 Geo.III c.131	Ireland
12 Feb 1819	59 Geo.III c.2	51 Geo.III c.126 extended until 1819
14 Jun 1819	59 Geo.III c.37	MPs' qualifications
22 Jun 1819	59 Geo.III c.47	Indemnify Barnstaple witnesses
24 Dec 1819	60 Geo.III & 1 Geo.IV c.7	Ireland
28 Feb 1820	60 Geo.III & 1 Geo.IV c.11	Ireland
6 Apr 1821	1&2 Geo.IV c.21	Indemnify Grampond witnesses
28 May 1821	1&2 Geo.IV c.44	Ireland
8 Jun 1821	1&2 Geo.IV c.47	Grampond disfranchised. Seats to Yorkshire
23 Jun 1821	1&2 Geo.IV c.58	Ireland
8 Jul 1823	4 Geo.IV c.55	Ireland
26 May 1826	7 Geo.IV c.55	More booths allowed in Yorkshire
21 Jun 1827	7&8 Geo.IV c.37	Election employees/marks of distinction banned
18 Apr 1828	9 Geo.IV c.12	Indemnify Penryn witnesses
23 May 1828	9 Geo.IV c.22	Controverted elections
15 Jul 1828	9 Geo.IV c.59	Borough elections. More booths, polling cut to 8 days
13 Apr 1829	10 Geo.IV c.8	Ireland
24 Jun 1829	10 Geo.IV c.62	Office holders in East Indies cannot be MPs

23 Jul 1830	11 Geo.IV & 1 Will.IV c.74	East Retford
7 Jun 1832	2 Will.IV c.45	Lots (England and Wales)
11 Jul 1832	2&3 Will.IV c.64	Boundaries (England and Wales)
17 Jul 1832	2&3 Will.IV c.65	Lots (Scotland)
1 Aug 1832	2&3 Will.IV c.69	Curbs on electoral use of corporate property
7 Aug 1832	2&3 Will.IV c.88	Lots (Ireland)
7 Aug 1832	2&3 Will.IV c.89	Boundaries (Ireland)
18 Jun 1833	3&4 Will.IV c.20	Indemnify Stafford witnesses
22 May 1834	4&5 Will.IV c.17	Indemnify Warwick witnesses
22 May 1834	4&5 Will.IV c.18	Indemnify Liverpool witnesses
15 Aug 1834	4&5 Will.IV c.88	Scotland
25 Aug 1835	5&6 Will.IV c.36	Borough polling for one day
9 Sep 1835	5&6 Will.IV c.78	Scotland
19 May 1836	6&7 Will.IV c.10	Indemnify Stafford witnesses
20 Aug 1836	6&7 Will.IV c.101	Cover up for registration mistakes
20 Aug 1836	6&7 Will.IV c.102	More county polling places allowed
27 Jul 1838	1&2 Vict. c.48	MPs' qualifications
17 Aug 1839	2&3 Vict. c.38	Controverted elections. Valid until next Parliament
4 Aug 1840	3&4 Vict. c.47	Returning officers can be reelected
7 Aug 1840	3&4 Vict. c.81	Notice of borough elections
22 Jun 1841	4&5 Vict. c.57	Prevention of bribery
22 Jun 1841	4&5 Vict. c.58	Controverted elections. Minor improvements to 2&3 Vict. c.38. Valid until next Parliament
18 Jun 1842	5&6 Vict. c.31	Indemnify Harwich etc. witnesses
18 Jun 1842	5&6 Vict. c.52	Indemnify Sudbury witnesses
30 Jul 1842	5&6 Vict. c.73	Extend 4&5 Vict. c.58 to 1843
30 Jul 1842	5&6 Vict c.74	Ireland

10 Aug 1842	5&6 Vict c.102	Prevention bribery and treating; restrict withdrawal of petitions
11 Apr 1843	6&7 Vict. c.11	Indemnify Sudbury witnesses
31 May 1843	6&7 Vict. c.18	Graham's Act re. registration
4 Jul 1843	6&7 Vict. c.28	Ireland
10 Aug 1843	6&7 Vict. c.47	Extend 4&5 Vict. c.58 until 1844
24 Aug 1843	6&7 Vict. c.97	Commissioners for Sudbury
29 Jul 1844	7&8 Vict. c.53	Sudbury disfranchised
9 Aug 1844	7&8 Vict. c.103	Minor (any?) improvements to 4&5 Vict. c.58
18 Jun 1846	9&10 Vict. c.19	Ireland
16 Jul 1846	9&10 Vict. c.30	Ireland
7 Aug 1846	9&10 Vict. c.44	Chester
23 Apr 1847	10&11 Vict. c.21	Stationing of soldiers during elections
23 Jun 1847	10&11 Vict. c.52	Scottish peers
23 Jul 1847	10&11 Vict. c.81	Ireland
5 May 1848	11&12 Vict. c.18	Controverted elections
30 Jun 1848	11&12 Vict. c.24	Great Yarmouth freemen disfranchised
31 Aug 1848	11&12 Vict. c.90	Six month rate/tax arrears allowed
4 Sep 1848	11&12 Vict. c.98	Small changes to 7&8 Vict. c.103
14 Aug 1850	13&14 Vict. c.68	Ireland
14 Aug 1850	12&14 Vict. c.69	Ireland
3 Jul 1851	14&15 Vict. c.14	Clay's Act re. compounding
7 Aug 1851	14&15 Vict. c.87	Scottish peers
8 Aug 1851	14&15 Vict. c.106	Commissioners to investigate St. Albans
3 May 1852	15&16 Vict. c.9	St. Albans disfranchised
30 Jun 1852	15&16 Vict. c.35	Scottish peers
30 Jun 1852	15&16 Vict. c.57	Procedure for appointing commissioners to investigate a constituency
18 Mar 1853	16&17 Vict. c.15	One day county polling

14 Jun 1853	16&17 Vict. c.28	Scotland
4 Aug 1853	16&17 Vict. c.58	Ireland
15 Aug 1853	16&17 Vict c.68	Limit time before polling
2 Jun 1854	17&18 Vict. c.17	Ireland
31 Jul 1854	17&18 Vict. c.57	Returning officers, lack of
10 Aug 1854	17&18 Vict. c.102	Corrupt Practices Prevention Act
25 May 1855	18&19 Vict. c.24	Scotland
21 Jul 1856	19&20 Vict. c.58	Scotland
29 Jul 1856	19&20 Vict. c.84	Corrupt Practices Prevention Act continued to 1857
10 Aug 1857	20&21 Vict. c.33	Ireland
25 Aug 1857	20&21 Vict. c.68	Ireland
28 Jun 1858	21&22 Vict. c.26	MPs' qualifications abolished
2 Aug 1858	21&22 Vict. c.87	Corrupt Practices Prevention Act amended and continued until 1859
2 Aug 1858	21&22 Vict. c.110	Issuing of writs
8 Aug 1859	22&23 Vict. c.5	MPs can hold diplomatic pensions
13 Aug 1859	22&23 Vict. c.48	Corrupt Practices Prevention Act continued to 1860
20 Aug 1860	23&24 Vict. c.99	Corrupt Practices Prevention Act continued to 1861
1 Aug 1861	24&25 Vict. c.53	Absentee University voting allowed
1 Aug 1861	24&25 Vict. c.56	Dublin revising barristers
1 Aug 1861	24&25 Vict. c.60	Ireland
6 Aug 1861	24&25 Vict. c.83	Scotland
6 Aug 1861	24&25 Vict. c.112	Seats to Yorkshire, Lancashire and Birkenhead
6 Aug 1861	24&25 Vict c.122	Corrupt Practices Prevention Act continued to 1862
29 Jul 1862	25&26 Vict. c.62	Ireland
7 Aug 1862	25&26 Vict. c.92	Ireland
7 Aug 1862	25&26 Vict. c.95	Polling places in New Shoreham, Cricklade, Aylesbury and East Retford
7 Aug 1862	25&26 Vict. c.109	Corrupt Practices Prevention Act continued to 1863

8 Jun 1863	26&27 Vict. c.20	Elections sooner during a recess
8 Jun 1863	26&27 Vict. c.29	Corrupt Practices Prevention Act amended and continued until 1868
23 Jun 1864	27&28 Vict. c.22	Ireland
30 Jun 1864	27&28 Vict. c.34	Vacation of seats by under-secretaries
7 Apr 1865	28&29 Vict. c.8	Minor changes to 11&12 Vict. .c98
2 Jun 1865	28&29 Vict. c.36	Graham's Act amended
5 Jul 1865	28&29 Vict. c.92	Scotland
30 Apr 1866	29&30 Vict. c.19	Uniform oath for new MPs
30 Apr 1866	29&30 Vict. c.20	Indemnify William Forsyth
30 Jul 1866	29&30 Vict. c.54	Qualification of revising barristers
30 Jul 1866	29&30 Vict. c.55	Postmaster General can be MP
15 Aug 1867	30&31 Vict. c.102	Second Reform Act
7 Dec 1867	31&32 Vict. c.6	Great Yarmouth, Lancaster, Reigate and Totnes disfranchised

Appendix 9: Yorkshire electoral data 1800-50

This Appendix lists detailed election results for all Yorkshire constituencies in 1800-50. It also has information on the size of the electorate. For ease of reading the following abbreviations are used:

Acland	J. Acland, <i>The Imperial Poll Book Of All Elections From The Passing Of The Reform Act In 1832 To The End Of 1864; To Which Is Prefixed An Argument Upon Representation As It Is And As It Should Be; With Statistical Tables In Illustration Of Alternative Systems For Securing Electoral And Representative Equality, And For The Reduction If Not Prevention Of Bribery And Corruption</i> , James Acland, Brighton, [1865].
Bean	Bean, <i>The Parliamentary Representation of the Six Northern Counties</i> .
Craig	Craig, <i>British Parliamentary Election Results 1832-1885</i> .
Crosby	Crosby, <i>Crosby's Parliamentary Record</i> .
Dod	Dod, <i>Electoral Facts</i> .
Knapp	J.W. Knapp and E. Ombler, <i>Cases Of Controverted Elections In The Twelfth Parliament Of The United Kingdom, Being The Second Parliament Since The Passing Of Acts For The Amendment Of The Representation Of The People</i> , S. Sweet, London, 1837.
Lawson-Tancred	Lawson-Tancred, <i>Records Of A Yorkshire Manor</i> .
Lewis	W.G. Lewis, <i>A Peep At The Commons</i> , 6th edition, T. Dolby, London, 1820.
McCalmont	McCalmont, <i>Parliamentary Poll Book</i> .
Markham	Markham, <i>Nineteenth-Century Parliamentary Elections</i> .
Markham2	Markham, <i>The 1820 Parliamentary Election At Hedon</i> .
Martin	Martin, "Electoral Statistics."
Mosse	R.B. Mosse, <i>The Parliamentary Guide: A Concise Biography Of The Members Of Both Houses Of Parliament, Their Connexions, Etc.</i> , corrected to February 5 1838, A.H. Baily, London, 1838.
Park	G.R. Park, <i>Parliamentary Representation of Yorkshire: From the earliest representative Parliament on record in the reign of King Edward I, to the dissolution of the twenty-second Parliament, in the reign of Queen Victoria</i> , Charles Henry Barnwell, Hull, 1886.
Park2	G.R. Park, <i>The History Of The Ancient Borough Of Hedon In The Seigniorship Of Holderness, And East Riding of the County of York</i> , W.G.B. Page, Hull, 1895
Picture of Parliament	<i>The Picture of Parliament; Or, A History Of The General Election Of 1802. Containing The Most Remarkable Speeches Delivered on the Hustings, or otherwise published; The Names Of All The Candidates; The State of the Poll at the Close of each Election; The Number of Voters, And The Decisions of the House of Commons on the Right of Election, in each Borough. To Which Is Added, An Alphabetical List Of The Elected Members, Serving as an Index to the Work</i> , V. Griffiths, London, 1802.

Rankin	Rankin, <i>Present State Of Representation</i> .
Returns	<i>Returns Of The Last Two General Elections, In 1841 And 1847, With The Final State Of The Polls At Each, The Politics Of The Candidates. The Changes Effected By Deaths, Resignations, And The Decisions Of Election Committees; Alphabetic List Of Members, Summary Table Of Elections, &c., Since The Passing Of The Reform Act; Gains And Losses, The Population Of English Boroughs Returning Reformers, Contrasted With That Of Boroughs Returning Tories; And A List Of The Russell Administration</i> , Smith, Rogers and Co., Liverpool, 1847.
Seymour	Seymour, <i>Electoral Reform</i> .
Smith	Smith, <i>The Parliaments of England</i> .
Smith2	Smith, "Fitzwilliam and Malton."
Throne	Thorne, <i>History of Parliament</i> .
Turbeville	Turbeville and Beckwith, "Leeds and Parliamentary Reform."
Wilson	J. Wilson, <i>A Biographical Index To The Present House Of Commons. Including The Constitution of the Counties, Cities, and Boroughs, an Account of Ex-Members, unsuccessful Candidates, &c., corrected to February 1808</i> , Thomas Goddard, London, [1808].

Notes

1. *Not voted* means those people did not vote and do not fall into any of the other categories listed.
2. If no figure is given in the *Declaration* (or *Decl.*) column then it is the same as in the *Total* column.
3. For the period after 1832 *electorate* is simply the number of register entries for that year. Here *year* refers to the twelve month period beginning in the November (or December after 1843) of that year.
4. Dates given are dates of polls.
5. The references given are only a flavour of the sources used in my determining which figures I believe to be accurate, but in each case they are the most relevant. Although many sources do cover the same ground, as they frequently disagree with each other I do not feel there is any difficulty in, for example, attaching more weight to a figure because both Bean and Craig agree on that figure.
6. In the absence of other evidence I prefer figures that come with a detailed breakdown, for example total voting figures that are accompanied by details of how votes were cast. However, this is not a fail-safe assumption, as some simple maths not infrequently shows that detailed breakdowns are erroneous. For example, the Yorkshire East Riding 1837 poll book gives the number of votes for each candidate in each of eight geographical areas, along with the number who voted in each and the number of plumps that each received. This looks impressive, but some simple simultaneous equations show that in seven of the eight geographical areas at least one of these three sets of figures is wrong, i.e. there is no way that, given the number alleged to have voted, the candidates could have received the number of votes and plumps claimed.
7. £10 indicates electors qualified under the £10 franchise; *multiple* indicates multiple entries.
8. Electorate figures for 1831 are approximate in that the sources for them not infrequently refer to periods such as "before Reform" and "before 1832" rather than 1831 precisely.

*Aldborough*¹

Electorate

1802	57 [Picture of Parliament]
1808	about 60
1820	64 [Lewis; Lawson-Tranced gives about 64 "for many years"]
1831	80 [1831-2 (92) XXXVI. 77 were resident: 1831 (134) XVI]

Aldborough 1820

Antrobus	40
Fynes	40
Pringle	7
Bryant	7
Number voted	47

Notes: Park erroneously gives Fynes 20. 1831 (134) XVI gives 54 polled. 59 Pringle-Bryant split votes were rejected.

Beverley

Electorate

1800	about 1300
1808	over 1200 [Wilson]
1820	about 1400 [Lewis]
1831	1500 [1831-2 (92) XXXVI]
1832	1011 (865 freemen, 146 £10) [1833 (189) XXVII and Bean]
1833	1020 [1834 (591) IX]
1834	1042 (3 multiple) [Mosse, poll book]
1835	1061 or 1063 [1840 (579) XXXIX or 1836 (248) XLIII]
1836	1062 (869, 193; 2 multiple) [Electoral register, poll book]
1837	1062 (876, 186) [1837-8 (329) XLIV and 1840 (379) XLI]
1838	1041 (858, 183) [Electoral register]
1839	1273 (855, 148; 219) [Electoral register, poll book, 1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1840	1284 (211 multiple) [Poll books]
1842	1345 (890, 455; 223) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1844	1367 (904, 463) [electoral register]
1845	1248 (903, 345) [electoral register]
1846	1357 (909, 448 or 449; 189, including 10 treble, or 206-7 multiple) [1847 (751) XLVI, electoral register, poll book and Smith]

¹ Not to be confused with Aldeburgh (Suffolk) which is also sometimes spelt Aldborough.

1847 1373 (908, 465; 204 multiple) [1849 (16) XLV]
 1848 1181 [1850 (345) XLVI]
 1849 1187 [1850 (345) XLVI]
 1850 1393 [1852 (8) XLII]
 1851 1393 (885, 508) [Electoral register]

Beverley 1802 5 July

	Wharton	Burton	Morrit	Total	Declaration
Wharton	177 (175)	375 (381)	172 (172)	724 (728)	735
Burton	375 (381)	110 (110)	187 (190)	672 (685)	690
Morrit	172 (172)	187 (190)	250 (250)	609 (612)	626

Number voted 1271 (1299)

Notes: Figures in breakdown are either from Bean or, if in brackets, from my poll book tally. My tally excludes twelve votes which were either Wharton or Wharton-Morrit and nine which were either Burton or Burton-Morrit. Smith gives 1296 voting. Picture of Parliament gives the total votes for the candidates as, respectively, 736, 691, 603. Crosby also gives Wharton 736.

Beverley 1806 31 October

	Wharton	Vyse	Burton	Total	Declaration
Wharton	84	387	170	641	
Vyse	387	78	150	615	609
Burton	170	150	98	418	420

Number voted 967

Notes: From poll book tally. Bean gives 1263 voting, which is clearly wrong. The poll book, though listing 967 as voting says only says 964 voted.

Beverley 1807 8 May

	Vyse	Wharton	Staples	Total	Declaration
Vyse	220	559	223	1002	1010
Wharton	559	136	31	726	739
Staples	223	31	17	271	279

Number voted 1186

Notes: Bean, Park, Smith give 1203 voting. Crosby gives Vyse 1012.

Beverley 1812 8 October

	Wharton	Forbes	Beverley	Total	Declaration
Wharton	148	358	294	800	804
Forbes	358	188	177	723	731
Beverley	294	177	116	587	591

Number voted 1281

Notes: Bean, Crosby. Markham erroneously gives Beverley 592. Smith give 1289 voting. A poll book also gives 1289 voted.

Beverley 1818 7 June

	Wharton	Burton	Welles	Beverley	Total	Decl.
Wharton	229	362	73	151	815	826
	(228)	(367)	(73)	(153)	(821)	
Burton	362	129	73	48	612	669
	(367)	(172)	(77)	(49)	(665)	
Welles	73	73	119	9	274	279
	(73)	(77)	(121)	(9)	(280)	
Beverley	151	48	9	29	237	238
	(153)	(49)	(9)	(30)	(241)	

Number voted 1283 (1279)

Notes: Bean and (in brackets) my poll book tally. Bean, Smith and poll book breakdown give 1283 voting, although poll book only lists 1279.

Beverley 1820 8 March

	Fox	Wharton	Burton	Total
Fox	581	434	23	1038
Wharton	434	192	31	657
Burton	23	31	17	71

Number voted 1278

Notes: Partial poll book tally confirming Bean. Park erroneously gives Wharton 659.

Beverley 1826 9 June

	Stewart	Batley	Wharton	Total	Decl.
Stewart	178 (179)	499 (494)	350 (350)	1027 (1023)	1030
Batley	499 (494)	108 (107)	51 (49)	658 (650)	
Wharton	350 (350)	51 (49)	187 (183)	588 (582)	

Number voted

1372-3

Notes: Johnson poll book tally and (in brackets) Smith. It lists plumps as Stewart 179, Batley 108 and Wharton 181. Other poll books give 179, 107 and 183 respectively.

Beverley 1830 31 July

	Burton	Sykes	Cure	Total	Declaration
Burton	103 (93)	463 (456)	504 (502)	1070	1065
Sykes	463	201 (197)	76 (77)	740	739
Cure	504	76	78 (69)	658	657

Number voted

1425 (1420)

62 London outvoters, 870 resident voters.

Notes: 1830-1 (204) X and poll book tally. Figures from Smith in brackets. Poll book lists plumps as Burton 93, Sykes 197 and Cure 68. It and Bean say 1420 voted.

Beverley 1831 30 April

	Marshall	Burton	Winn	Total	Declaration
Marshall	328 (327)	349 (338)	57 (54)	734	
Burton	349	179 (180)	173 (171)	701	705
Winn	57	173	118 (116)	348	349

Number voted

1204

Notes: Poll book tally. It says 1204 voted and lists plumps as Marshall 327, Burton 180 and Winn 116. Figures from Smith in brackets.

Beverley 1832 10 December

	Langdale	Burton	Winn	Total	Declaration
Langdale	149 (149)	294	68	511	516
	141/8	237/57	55/13	433/78	
Burton	64 (62)	136	494	490	
	237/57	55/9	119/17	411/83	
Winn	68	136	259 (258)	463	464
	55/13	119/17	225/34	399/64	
Number voted				970	
				832/138	

(Burgress/£10ers)

Number on register 1011

Notes: (Smith). Poll book tally, which gives 970 (832/138) voting and Smith, Bean and 1833 (189) XXVII. Poll book breakdown, as do Markham and Smith, gives the total as 971 (833/138). Lists plumps as Langdale 149, Burton 62 and Winn 258. Bean gives 972 voting. Mosse gives 971 voting. 1833 (189) XXVII and 1834 (159) IX give 972 voting.

Beverley 1835 5 January

	Hogg	Burton	Sykes	Total	Declaration
Hogg	322	170 (168)	31 (30)	523	
	266/56	132/38	25/6	423/100	
Burton	170	186 (189)	139 (138)	495	497
	132/38	170/16	113/26	415/80	
Sykes	31	139	146 (142)	316	314
	25/6	113/26	128/18	266/50	
Number voted				994	
				834/160	

Number on register 1042

Not voted 36

Dead 9

Multiple entries 3

994

Notes: Ramsden poll book tally and, in brackets Smith and Mosse. Some sources give Hogg 322 plumps, Burton 189 and Sykes 142. Both poll books and Bean give 994 voting.

Beverley 1837 25 July

	Hogg	Fox	Clay	Rennie	Total	Decl.
Hogg	11	564 (565)	36	9	620	622
	9/2	457/107	26/10	8/1	500/120	
Fox	564	6	8 (7)	2	580	582
	457/107	5/1	7/1	2/0	471/109	.
Clay	36	8	2 (1)	335 (334)	381	380
	26/10	7/1	1/1	285/50	319/62	
Rennie	9	2	335	0	346	347
	8/1	2/0	285/50	0/0	295/51	

Number voted 973 (976)
800/173

Number on register 1062
 Not voted 53
 Dead 31
 Multiple entries 2

976

Notes: Poll book tally and electoral register, with figures from Smith in brackets. Poll book says 976 voted, Bean 975. Mosse gives Hogg 623. Crosby and Acland give Hogg 622. 1837-8 (329) XLIV gives 802/173 voting.

Beverley 1840 24 January

Fox 556 (448/108)
 Murray 410 (348/62)

Number voted 966 (796/170)

Number on register 1273
 Multiple entries 219

1054
 Not voted 82 (55/27)
 Dead 5
 Tendered 1

966

Notes: Poll book tally, Smith. Craig erroneously gives 1272 on register. Register itself confirms 1273.

Beverley 1841 30 June

	Towneley	Hogg	Fox	Total
Towneley	470	50	11	531
Hogg	50	3	476	529
Fox	11	476	2	489

Number voted 1012

Number on register	1284
Not voted	44 (20/24)
Dead	17 (13/4)
Multiple entries	211

	1012

Notes: Reconciled poll books. Kemp has one more not having voted than Ramsden, but as Ramsden does not list them as voting I assume they did not vote. Kemp gives multiple entries as 211, against Ramsden's 213. But, Ramsden for Booth 4 gives both Hogg and Fox one less vote and one less person having voted in total. Assuming Kemp's figures for total number of votes cast for each candidate (531, 529, 489) are correct (and they are the same as Bean, though this may have been Bean's source; also Crosby and Returns give Fox 488 and Acland gives 489), then the simplest reconciliation is that Ramsden has omitted one Hogg-Fox split. If this is so, then the number of multiple entries must be 211 to make the numbers tally.

Beverley 1847 30 July

	Towneley	Fox	Goldsmid	Total	After tendering
Towneley	316/315	77/78	150/149	543/542	546/545
Fox	77/78	397	68	542/543	542/543
Goldsmid	150/149	68	39/40	257	256
Number voted				1047	1050

(Johnson/Kemp)

Causes of tendering: three votes were initially wrongly rejected as it was believed these people had already voted. They had in fact not voted, but been recorded as voting since three people with similar register entries were wrongly recorded as being them. The other change was the result of a personation being uncovered (Goldsmid-Fox split replaced with Towneley plump).

Number on register	1357
Not voted	72 (49/23)
Dead	28 (23/5) (or 27: Smith)
Multiple entries:	
Freemen twice	1} or 199 (i.e. 189 including 10 treble)
Freeman and £10	205} [1847 (751) XLVI]
Tendered	4

	1047

Notes: (Un)reconciled poll books and electoral register. While Johnson gives 50 freemen as having not voted, Kemp says it was 53 though only lists 52. For Kemp's own detailed breakdown to add up correctly, it should be 52. Kemp's 52 include three tenders and has as dead one person - Mr. Hodgson - who Johnson has as a non-voting freeman. As I count tenders separate category, and assuming that Mr. Hodgson was dead,¹ this gives the correct total as 49.

Kemp lists 25 £10ers as not voting, against Johnson's 22. Of his three extra names one (Mr. Pickard) has been crossed out by hand and did vote; one (Mr. Fewson) Johnson gives as dead; and one (Mr. Eldon) Johnson does not list as voting. Therefore, making the same assumption about deaths as before and so counting Fewson as dead, the correct total is 23.

Kemp lists 23 dead freemen, against Johnson's 22. Given the assumption about Mr. Hodgson the correct total is 23. Kemp lists four dead £10ers, against Johnson's five. Given the assumption about Mr. Fewson the correct total is five.

Both say the register had 1357 entries and that 1 freeman was on the register twice as a freeman. Johnson says there were "4 good votes" tendered - the three mentioned above plus one where a personated vote was replaced. Kemp lists six, which include these four.

Johnson says 206 freemen were on the register also as £10ers, Kemp 205. Given the above figures, and assuming the number voting (excluding tenders) is 1047 - on which both poll books agree - this means the sum of tendered and freemen also on the register as £10ers is 209. The most obvious way to get 209 is to take Johnson's 205 and Kemp's 4 tenders.

McCalmont confuses matters further by giving the following figures: Towneley 540, Fox 539, Goldsmid 259. Park and Craig give Towneley 543, Fox 542 and Goldsmid 257. Returns gives 543, 542 and 252. 1852 (8) XLII gives 1048 voting. 1849 (16) XLV gives 1047 voting.

Boroughbridge

Electorate

1802	65 [Picture of Parliament]
1808	50-70 [Wilson]
1820	74 or 65 [Lewis or Lawson-Tranced]

¹ This is the type of assumption that frequently needs to be made. The justification is that if one source gives someone as dead and another is silent on the matter, I find it more credible that the latter was unaware of their death, than that the former erroneously thought a living person was dead.

1830 65 [Lawson-Tranced]
1831 65 [1831-2 (92) XXXVI]

Boroughbridge 1818

Lawson 37
Mundy 33
Murdoch 25

Number voted 70

Notes: Bean and 1830-1 (204) X.

Boroughbridge 1820

Spooner 37
Lawson 36
Mundy 28
Dawkins 28

Number voted 65

Notes: Bean.

Boroughbridge 1830

Wetherell 38
Attwood 38
Lawson 20
Lawson 20

Number voted 58

Notes: Bean.

Bradford

Electorate

1832 1139 [Bean and 1834 (591) IX]
1833 1166 [1834 (591) IX]
1834 1225 (28 multiple entries) [Poll book, 1836 (199) XLIII and others, Park wrongly gives 1226]
1835 1300 [1840 (579) XXXIX]
1836 1347 (48 multiple) [Poll book and 1837-8 (329) XLIV; various wrong figures in other sources]

1837 1348 [1837-8 (329) XLIV]
 1838 1248
 1839 1524 (58 or 59 multiple) [1840 (579) XXXIX or 1844 (11) XXXVIII]
 1840 1397 (55 multiple) [Poll book]
 1842 1722 (100 multiple) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
 1846 2170 or 2083 (99 multiple) [1847 (751) XLVI or poll book]
 1847 1966 (95 multiple) [1849 (16) XLV]
 1848 1928 [1850 (345) XLVI]
 1849 2117 [1850 (345) XLVI]
 1850 2454 [1852 (8) XLII]

Bradford 1832 17 December

	Lister	Hardy	Banks	Total
Lister	224	280	146	650
Hardy	280	49	142	471
Banks	146	142	114	402
Number voted				955

Number on register 1139

Notes: Bean. Park, Mosse and 1833 (189) XXVII give 967 voting, but Bean has the advantage of an internally consistent breakdown. 1834 (591) IX gives 969 voting.

Bradford 1835 12 January

	Hardy	Lister	Hadfield	Total
Hardy	413	186	12	611
	293/3	116/15	7/1	416/19 (607)
	40/71	24/30	4/3	68/104
Lister	186	25	378	589
	116/15	15/3	250/333	81/51 (593)
	24/30	2/10	24/71	50/111
Hadfield	12	378	2	392 (396)
	7/1	250/33	3/0	260/34
	4/3	24/71	0/0	28/74
Number voted				1016 (1018)
				684/55
				94/185

(Bradford/Manningham/Bowling/Horton. Note that these numbers do not tally with totals)

Number on register	1225	
Number claimants voted	8	

	1233	
Not voted	160	(1 blank marked as neither voting nor not)
Multiple entries	28	(29/5/3/19)
Dead	8	
Removed	9	
Rejected	7	
Disqualified	3	

	1018	

Notes: (Un)reconciled poll book breakdown, tally 1833 (199) XLIII and Bean. Poll book breakdown and tally agree on 1225 on register, 8 claimants voted, 28 multiple entries. Breakdown gives a total of 192 called "Not voted" but including dead, removed, rejected and disqualified. This gives 1013 voting. However, the tally gives a total for these of 187. This gives 1018 voting. The breakdown's figures for voting actually tally to 1015 and the tally's to 1018. One name has a blank entry - if they voted, rather than not voted as the tally counted them, this would give 1019 as voting.

The breakdown's voting figures do give the right number of votes for each candidate, but with the above problem of having 1015 voting, which is not a figure that appears anywhere else. The tally figures, although consistent with the tally figures for not voted etc. (as they have to be unless there is an error in counting) do not give any candidate the right number of total votes. Bean gives a different breakdown to either of these, which gives the right totals but with 1016 voting. His figures have been used in the above table, with the township breakdowns from the tally. The only difference between them appears to be that where Bean has a Lister-Hadfield split the poll breakdown has a Lister plump and a Hadfield plump. But, Bean in another place gives 1013 voting.

Park gives 1226 registered and 1013 voting. Smith gives 1225 and 1013. Mosse gives Lister 24 plump and 584 votes, and Hadfield 1, 388. Crosby and Acland gives 589, 392. Mosse gives 1011 voted. 1836 (199) XLIII gives 1013 voting, including eight claimants.

Bradford 1837 25 July

	Lister	Busfeild	Hardy	Bus. Jr.	Total
Lister	6	601	28	0	635
	0/1	373/50	16/3	0/0	389/54
	2/3	38/140	3/6	0/0	43/149
Busfeild	601	6	9	5	621
	373/50	4/1	7/1	4/0	388/52
	38/140	0/1	0/1	0/1	38/143
Hardy	28	9	29	377	443
	16/3	7/1	20/4	271/32	314/40
	3/6	0/1	1/4	18/56	22/67
Busfeild	0	5	377	1	383
Jr.	0/0	4/0	271/32	0/1	275/33
	0/0	0/1	18/56	0/0	18/57

Number voted 1062
695/93
62/212

(Bradford/Bowling/Manningham/Horton)

Number on revising

barristers' list	1347	
Multiple entries	48	(20/6/6/15) Only totals 47
Dead	24	(17/1/2/4)
Removed	38	(28/1/1/8)
Rejected	24	(21/1/2/0)
Not voted	153	
Voted twice	2	(1/0/0/1)

	1062	

Notes: Poll book tally of three townships, fourth by implication. Smith, Bean and 1837-8 (329) XLIV give 1060 (i.e. 1062 - 2 for the two who voted twice) voting from a register with 1348 entries. Mosse erroneously gives Lister 637 and Busfeild 622, 1075 voting and 1436 on register.

Bradford 1841 (July) 1 July

	Hardy	Lister	Busfeild	Total
Hardy	557	23	32	612
	348/22	10/2	19/2	377/26
	68/119	0/11	3/7	71/137
Lister	23	21	496	540
	10/2	4/9	306/293	20/40
	0/11	1/7	37/124	38/142
Busfeild	32	496	8	536
	19/2	306/293	4/0	329/31
	3/7	37/124	2/2	42/133

Number voted 1137
691/64
111/270

(Bradford/Manningham/Bowling/Horton)

(Town breakdown excludes 1 Hardy-Busfeild cast in an unknown town)

Number on register 1398
Omission #1340 1 (Register numbers go up to 1398, but the
---- number 1340 was omitted, and hence there
was no entry for that number).
1397
Not voted 76
Multiple entries 55 (48/10/9/43)
Dead 25 (13/5/1/6)
Removed 81 (56/2/1/22)
Rejected 23 (13/1/0/9)

1137

Among votes accepted: one removed and personated (Busfield-Lister), seventeen removed (Busfeild-Lister), two removed (Hardy), one Hardy and one Busfield-Lister cast in name of same person under different register entries.

Notes: Adjusted Taylor tally. The Taylor tally does not quite give the right figures, but if we assume that one person against whom he has no entry (and hence was counted as not voted in the tally) actually voted Hardy-Busfeild the numbers come out right. This adjustment has been included in the above figures. This adjusted tally checks with the breakdown given in Dale. Both differ from the breakdown in Taylor, but that does not give the right voting totals nor is it consistent with the tallying of Taylor. In order to make his figures square Taylor has fudged the issue of the error in the register, simply saying, 1398 + 1 for an error. But, as this only

Bradford 1847 31 July

	Busfeild	Thompson	Wickham	Hardy	Total	Decl.
Bus.	14	874	45	7	940	937
	13/1	645/53	40/3	5/2	703/59	
	0/0	65/111	2/0	0/0	67/111	
Thom.	874	18	22	14	928	926
	645/53	18/0	17/1	13/0	693/54	
	65/111	0/0	4/0	1/0	70/111	
Wick.	45	22	8	783	858	860
	40/3	17/1	8/0	489/43	554/47	
	2/0	4/0	0/0	96/155	102/155	
Hardy	7	14	783	4	808	812
	5/2	13/0	489/43	2/1	509/46	
	0/0	1/0	96/155	1/0	98/155	

Number voted

1789
1250/104
169/266

(Bradford/Manningham/Bowling/Horton)

Number on register	2083	(1279/122/198/484) or 2170
Multiple entries	99	(44/8/10/37)
Dead	46	(32/3/2/9)
Not voted	149	(34/15/27/73)

	1789	

Notes: Tally of three townships, fourth by implication. Smith breakdown of multiple, dead and not voted used to fill in some missing gaps. Bean gives 1789 voting and a register of 1871. For some of his register totals he seems to have deducted multiple entries. If this were the case - rather than this being another example of him being wrong - it requires 212 multiple entries in total, rather than the 99 indicated above. In addition, it would mean, if 46 are still dead, only 36 not voting, although considerably more than that number were found in the tally. 1852 (8) XLII gives 1787 voting.

Halifax

Electorate

- 1832 536 (Halifax 497, Northowram 16, Southowram 23; 5 multiple)
[Electoral register, Bean, Martin and 1833 (183) XXVII]
- 1833 630 [1834 (591) IX]
- 1834 648 (607, 25, 16) [Stansfield MSS STA 189]

- 1835 757 (706, 33, 18; 8 multiple) [Electoral register and 1836 (248) XLIII]
 1836 970 (902, 28, 25; 27) [Poll book and 1837-8 (329) XLIV; STA 189 gives 955]
 1837 901 (848, 37, 16) [STA 189]
 1838 913 (855, 37, 21) [Electoral register]
 1839 910 (37 multiple) [1840 (579) XXXIX: 836 £10 plus 37 multiples, i.e. 836 + 37 + 37 register entries. STA 189 gives 873, i.e. 836 + 37. 1844 (11) XXXVIII gives 867, but also claims there were 1064 £10 and 32 multiples. It is unclear how the latter two figures can be reconciled with the former figure]
 1840 899 (38) [Poll book]
 1842 1140 or 1014 (38) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
 1846 1022 (12 or 13) [Poll book or 1847 (751) XLVI]
 1847 1037 (14) [1849 (16) XLV]
 1848 1016 [1850 (345) XLVI]
 1849 1084 [1850 (345) XLVI]
 1850 1073 [1852 (8) XLII]

Halifax 1832 14 December

	Briggs	Wood	Stocks	Wortley	Total
Briggs	4	150	69	19	242
Wood	150	6	31	48	235
Stocks	69	31	59	27	186
Wortley	19	48	27	80	174

Number voted 493

Number on register 536

Multiple entries 5

531

Not voted 38

Notes: 1833 (183) XXVII and Bean. Also gives 492 voting as does 1834 (591) IX.

Halifax 1835 8 January

	Wood	Wortley	Protheroe	Total
Wood	5	56	275	336
	4/1/0	55/1/0	256/9/10	315/11/10
Wortley	56	233	19	308
	55/1/0	224/5/4	16/1/2	295/7/6
Protheroe	275	19	13	307
	256/9/10	16/1/2	13/0/0	285/10/12
Number voted				601
				568/17/16

(Halifax/Northowram/Southowram)

Number on register	648	
Not voted	40	
Votes rejected	7	(3 by Returning Officer, 2 removed, 1 would say who voting for, 1 as said could not safely vote against one of the candidates.)

	601	

Notes: Tally of two townships, third by implication. This is consistent with Bean. There were 6 tenders.

Halifax 1837 27 July

	Protheroe	Wood	Wortley	Total
Protheroe	12	466	18	496
	12/0/0	437/16/13	15/2/1	464/18/14
Wood	466	7	14	487
	437/16/13	7/0/0	14/0/0	458/16/13
Wortley	18	14	276	308
	15/2/1	14/0/0	260/12/4	289/14/5
Number voted				793
				745/30/18

(Halifax/Northowram/Southowram)

Number on register	970	
Not voted	70	(Only 68 listed as such)
Cannot vote	4	(4/0/0) (3 Excise and 1 tax collector)
Multiple entry	27	(18/4/5)
Dead	18	(17/0/1)
Removed	49	(44/4/1)
Tendered	9	

	793	

Notes: Tally of two townships, third by implication. Consistent with Bean. Mosse gives Wood 486. Crosby and Acland give him 487. I am assuming in this calculation that all those who tendered were on the register; this might not have been the case, but anyway makes no difference to my turnout or majority calculations.

Halifax 1841 3 July

	Protheroe	Wood	Sinclair	Total
Protheroe	11	369	29	409
	11/0/0	334/24/11	22/3/4	367/27/15
Wood	369	4	10	383
	334/24/11	4/0/0	9/0/1	347/24/12
Sinclair	29	10	281	320
	22/3/4	9/0/1	270/11/0	301/14/5
Number voted				704
				650/38/16

(Halifax/Northowram/Southowram)

Number on register	899	
Not voted	70	(70/0/0)
Multiple entry	38	(33/2/3)
Removed	71	(64/6/1)
Dead	11	(11/0/0)
Rejected	5	(5/0/0)

	704	

Notes: Tally of two townships, third by implication. Returns gives Protheroe 411, Wood 384 and Sinclair 319.

Halifax 1847 30 July

	Edwards	Wood	Miall	Jones	Total
Edwards	108	370	19	14	511
	106/2/0	343/16/11	16/2/1	11/2/1	476/22/13
Wood	370	60	59	18	507
	343/16/11	56/3/1	56/3/0	16/1/1	471/23/13
Miall	19	59	26	245	349
	16/2/1	56/3/0	26/0/0	223/15/7	321/20/8
Jones	14	18	245	3	280
	11/2/1	16/1/1	223/15/7	3/0/0	253/18/9

Number voted

921-2

856/22/44

(Halifax/Northowram/Southowram)

Number on register	1022
Not voted	60
Dead	28
Multiple entries	13 (or 12)

	921 (or 922)

Notes: Tally of two townships from Nicholson and Wilson, third by implication from its breakdown of votes. 1847 (751) XLVI gives 12 multiple.

Nicholson and Wilson list 59 not voted, against Hartley's 61. Hartley excludes Mr. Fletcher, who is down as voting, and includes Mr. Butcliffe - who Nicholson and Wilson has as dead, Mr. Fawcett and Mr. Heavysedge, neither of whom are down in either poll book as voting. Assuming that if one poll book says a person is dead they are, this gives the true figure as 60.

Nicholson and Wilson list 29 dead, against Hartley's 27. The latter excludes Mr. Butcliffe and Mr. Fawthorpe. Given the above assumption about Mr. Butcliffe, and as Mr. Fawthorpe is down as voting, this gives the true figure as 28.

Both poll books agree on 13 multiple entries, with 1022 on the register. However, putting these numbers together gives 921 voting - one less than the voting figure breakdowns. Although Bean does give the register total as 1023 the most likely explanation is that the voting figure breakdowns given in Nicholson and Wilson are wrong, and hence the figures for the third township (Halifax in this case) derived from the difference between them and the tallying for the other townships are wrong. 1852 (8) XLII gives 925 voting. 1849 (16) XLV gives 921 voting.

Ernest Charles Jones was also nominated.

Hedon¹

Electorate

1831 410 [1831-2 (92) XXXVI]

Hedon 1802

	Johnstone	Savile	Mestaer	Jackson	Total
Johnstone	0	27	11	77	115
Savile	27	15	66	0	108
Mestaer	11	66	7	0	84
Jackson	77	0	0	0	77

Number voted 203

Notes: Poll book tally

Hedon 1807 8 May

	Johnstone	Browne	Duncombe	Slingsby	Total
Johnstone	1				157
Browne		1			145
Duncombe			33		66
Slingsby				0 (?)	10

Number voted 200

Notes: Bean. Markham2 gives 206 voted. Park2 and Markham give 156, 145, 66, 10, 206 (62 resident, 144 non-resident). Slingsby proposed on morning of election.

Hedon 1818 18 June

Turton	209
Farrand	174
Browne	72

Number voted 259

Notes: Bean. Browne proposed on morning of election without his consent.

¹ Sometimes spelt Heydon.

Hedon 1820 8 March

	Baille	Farrand	Shaw	Total
Baille	103	73	11	187
	43/60	21/52	2/9	66/121
Farrand	73	1	108	182
	21/52	0/1	7/101	28/154
Shaw	11	108	2	121
	2/9	7/101	0/2	9/112
Number voted				298
				73/225

(Electors from Hedon/elsewhere)

Notes: Poll book tally and Markham2.

Hedon 1826 12 June

	Baille	Villiers	Farrand	Total
Baille	35	120	62	217
Villiers	120	4	58	182
Farrand	62	58	52	172
Number voted				331

Source: Poll book tally. Park gives 331 voting, 78 resident. Markham also gives 78 resident. Markham2 gives Farrand 173. 1830-1 (204) X and 1831-2 (92) XXXVI give 331 voting. Bean gives 351 voting (77 resident, 274 non-resident).

*Huddersfield**Electorate*

1832	608 [Smith and 1834 (591) IX]
1833	604 [Electoral register and poll book]
1834	671 [1836 (199) XLIII, Bean and Craig. Smith gives 746]
1835	778 [1840 (579) XXXIX]
1836	800 [Bean, Smith, 1837-8 (329) XLIV]
1837	826 [1837-8 (329) XLIV]
1839	865 [1840 (579) XXXIX]
1840	1003 [Bean]
1842	963 [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1846	1142 [Smith and 1847 (751) XLVI]
1847	1142 [1849 (16) XLV]

1848 1214 [1850 (345) XLVI]
 1849 1019 [1850 (345) XLVI]
 1850 1001 [1852 (8) XLII]

Multiple entries: probably zero all the time since the constituency was comprised of only one township, the 1833 register was not divided into separate lists but arranged in one long alphabetical order and, it being a borough newly created in 1832, there were no ancient rights electors.

Huddersfield 1832 14 December

Fenton 263
 Wood 152

Number voted 415

Number on register 608

Notes: Bean and Smith.

Huddersfield 1834 9 January

Blackburne 233-4
 Sadler 145-7
 Wood 108-9
 Ramsden 1

Number voted 490

Number on register 604

Notes: Bean, Crosby, Acland, poll book and electoral register.

Huddersfield 1835 9 January

Blackburne 241
 Johnstone 109

Number voted 350

Number on register 671

Notes: Bean, Craig, 1836 (199) XLIII.

Huddersfield 1837 (May) 8 May

Ellice	340
Oastler	290
Wallace	1

Number voted 631

Number on register 800

Notes: Poll book and Smith. Some sources give 630 voting, and do not record the Wallace vote. Crosby is alone in giving Oastler 290 votes. Poll book marks 5 Ellice votes as pledged for Oastler, 1 Ellice vote as not being qualified, and, of 37 "neutrals" (did not vote), 2 as "favourable," 1 "absent but favourable," 1 pledged for Oastler, 1 would have voted for Oastler but in London, 3 "neutralised by Sir John's [Ramsden] agents" and 2 "run away". Also, two more were noted as being well known Conservatives who voted for Ellice. Note that even if it is assumed that all these "should" really have voted for Oastler, it still gives a result of Ellice 332 (340 - 5 - 1 - 2) against Oastler 307 (290 + 5 + 2 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 3 + 2 + 2).

Huddersfield 1837 (July) 29 July

Stansfield	323
Oastler	301

Number voted 624

Number on register 800

Notes: Bean.

Huddersfield 1847 31 July

Stansfield	525
Cheetham	488

Number voted 1013

Number on register 1142

Dead 27

Not voted 102

1013

Notes: Smith. Park and Craig agree on 488 for Cheetham. McCalmont and Bean give 483, but Bean also gives 1013 voting. Poll book only lists 522 and 475 votes. Poll book claims there were 52 who pledged for

Cheetham, but voted for Stansfield. It goes on to say that if they had not defected, Cheetham would have won by 73. This is not consistent either with the poll book's figures (522 - 52 against 475 + 52 gives a majority of 57), nor with Smith's figures.

Hull¹

Electorate

1808	about 1700 [Wilson]	
1831	2229 [Rankin, possibly unreliable]	
1832	3863 (1513 freemen, 2350 £10) [1833 (183) XXVII and Acland]	
1833	3980 [1834 (591) IX]	
1834	4244 (506 multiple) [1836 (19) XLIII, Craig, poll books, Bean. Smith gives 4110]	
1835	4275 (1671, 2504; 265 freemen and £10, about 30 multiple £10) [1840 (579) XXXIX]	
1836	4222 (1594 or 1705, 2626) [1837-8 (329) XLIV or 1840 (379) XLI]	
1837	about 4200 (1546 or 1549, ?; about 650 freemen and £10) [1837-8 (329) XLIV or 1840 (379) XLI]	
1838	about 4200 (1521,?) [1840 (379) XLI]	
1839	4767 (1943-5, 2822; 277 freemen and £10, about 30 multiple £10)	[1844 (11) XXXVIII or 1840 (379) XLI]
1840	4767 or 4862 [Poll book or Craig]	
1842	5063 (2372, 2861; 408 freemen and £10, about 20 multiple £10)	[1844 (11) XXXVIII. N.B. Numbers are internally inconsistent]
1846	5192 (574 multiple) [Bean, Craig and 1854 (1703) XXII] or 5200 (2078, 3112 or 3509 plus 429 multiple)	[1847 (751) XLVI. NB Numbers are internally inconsistent]
1847	5766 (2617, 3149; 574 multiple) [1849 (16) XLV]	
1848	5481 [1850 (345) XLVI]	
1849	5298 [1850 (345) XLVI]	
1850	5041 [1852 (8) XLII]	
1851	5221 (1834, 3387; 340 freemen and £10, 203 multiple £10) [1854 [1703] XXII]	

¹ Also known as Kingston-upon-Hull.

Hull 1802 5-6 July

	Thornton	Staniforth	Denison	Bell	Total	Decl.
Thornton	153	772	341	0	1266	
Staniforth	772	147	280	0	1199	1183
Denison	341	280	143	3	767	
Bell	0	0	3	0	3	

Number voted 1839

Notes: Poll book. 22 non-burgesses voted. Bell proposed himself on second day. Wrightson proposed by Denison's friends, Green proposed by Staniforth supporters. Both withdrew by agreement.

Hull 1806 30-1 October

	Staniforth	Denison	Thornton	Total
Staniforth	109	630	394	1133
Denison	630	184	248	1062
Thornton	394	248	91	733

Number voted 1656

Notes: Bean. Crosby gives vote totals as 1113, 1162, 733.

Hull 1812 5-6 October

	Staniforth	Denys	Mahon	Total
Staniforth	455	761	230	1446
Denys	761	91	53	905
Mahon	230	53	81	364

Number voted 1671

Notes: Poll book and Bean

Hull 1818 17-9 June

	Mitchell	Graham	Staniforth	Total	After scrutiny
Mitchell	252	582	490	1324	1155
Graham	582	273	219	1074	931
Staniforth	490	219	327	1036	927

Number voted 2143

Notes: Reconciled poll book and Bean. Poll book gives Mitchell 252, Graham 272 and Staniforth 327. Bean gives the same, with 582 Mitchell-Graham splits, 219 Graham-Staniforth splits and 490 Mitchell-Staniforth. However, this gives total votes of Mitchell 1324, Graham 1073 and Staniforth 1036 with 2142 voting. Hence, it has been assumed that one Graham plump has been omitted. Park and Smith give the vote totals as being 1323, 1074 and 1036, with Staniforth after scrutiny being 827. Markham gives 2142 voting. Crosby also gives Mitchell 1323.

Hull 1826 9-10 June

	O'Neil	Sykes	Villiers	Total
O'Neil	295	495	747	1537
Sykes	495	453	190	1138
Villiers	747	190	118	1055
Number voted				2298-9

Notes: Poll book, Bean, Smith, 1830-1 (204) X and 1830-1 (338) X. Assuming Bean is right about there being 118 Villiers plumps (poll book claims 108), and given that the poll book breakdown and Bean agree on other figures, it is likely that all of Bean's figures are correct. This only leaves the problem of these figures totalling 2298, while according to the poll book 2299 voted.

Hull 1830 29-30 July

	Schonswar	Wrightson	Burke	Total	Declaration
Schonswar	269	843	452	1564	1564
Wrightson	843	182	178	1203	1213
Burke	452	178	240	870	869
Number voted					2164

Notes: Bean. Also says 2174 voted, as do 1830-1 (338) X, Smith and Markham. Park gives Burke 860.

Hull 1832 11-12 December

	Hill	Hutt	Carruthers	Acland	Total	Decl.
Hill	579	671	401	5	1656	1674
Hutt	671	195	308	428	1602	1610
Carruthers	401	308	687	8	1404	1429
Acland	5	428	8	1	442	433
Number voted						3283

Number on register 3863

Notes: Bean and 1833 (183) XXVII. Also gives 3305 voting, as do Park, Smith, Mosse, 1833 (189) XXVII and 1834 (591) IX. Smith gives 418 Hutt-Acland splits. Poll book gives plumps as 579, 195, 687 and 1, with 3305 voting and 139 tendered.

Hull 1835 (January) 6-7 January

	Carruthers	Hutt	Hill	Total
Carruthers	1411	291	134	1836
				1038/798
Hutt	291	35	1210	1536
				1089/447
Hill	134	1210	27	1371
				1005/366
Number voted				3108
				1964/1037

(Householders/burgesses)

Number on register	4244	
Multiple entries	506	

	3738	
Not voted	630	(of which poll book only gives 310/192)

	3108	

Notes: Poll books, Smith and Bean. Goddard and Brown's voting figure breakdown totals to 3096 but they say that 3108 voted. Smith breakdown is given as this gives correct voting totals. The householder/burgess breakdown is from poll books, and does not tally with Smith. Bean says 3107 voted. Stephenson says 3105. The breakdown of those voted and not voted into householders and burgesses is from Stephenson. Mosse gives plumps as 1414, 28, 29 and 3144 voting. 1836 (199) XLIII gives 3107 voting.

Hull 1835 (June) 19-20 June

Thompson	1428	985/443
Mildmay	1423	765/658
Number voted	2851	1750/1101

(Householders/burgesses)

Number on register	4110
Tendered	7 (5 Mildmay tenders, 2 Thompson tenders)
Not voted	? (?/192)

Notes: Poll book, Smith and Bean. A petition resulted in 10 votes being struck off for having lost their qualifications.¹ There were at least 10 removed.

Hull 1837 24 July

	Wilberforce	James	Hutt	Wood	Total	Decl.	Scrutiny
Wilb.	12	1422	61	12	1507	1514	1430
James	1422	5	32	34	1493	1505	1432
Hutt	61	32	12	1382	1487	1497	1498
Wood	12	34	1382	2	1430		1430
Number voted					2974	2990	

Number on register 4222

Notes: Poll book and Bean. Both give 2990 voting, as does 1837-8 (329) XLIV. There were 19 tenders.

Hull 1841 30 June

	Hanmer	James	Clay	Thompson	Total	Decl.
Hanmer	10	1783	42	8	1843	
					830/1013	
James	1783	3	27	14	1827	1830
					812/1018	
Clay	42	27	73	1619	1761	
					1102/662	
Thomp.	8	14	1619	4	1645	
					1020/625	
Number voted					3583	

(Householders/burgesses)

Number on register 4767

¹ Knapp and Ombler, *Controverted Elections*, p.430.

Notes: Poll book gives Clay's total as both 1764 and 1761, Bean and Park also give 1764. McCalmont, Smith, Craig and Acland give 1761. Crosby is erroneous in giving 1861. Returns gives Hanmer 1844 and Thompson 1646. There were 21 tenders. One register entry had two votes cast in respect of it.

Hull 1847 29 July

	Baines	Clay	Brown	Total	
Baines	187 (183)	965	1020	2172	(2168)
				1315/857	(1311/?)
Clay	965	765	405	2135	
				1259/876	
Brown	1020	405	278 (280)	1703	(1705)
				747/956	(749/?)
Number voted				3620	(3618)

(Householders/burgesses)

Number on register	5192	
Multiple entries	574	

	4618	
Not voted	1000	(648/352)

	3618	

Notes: Poll book (Bean and Returns). McCalmont, Park and Craig agree with Bean's voting totals. 1854 {1703} XXII and 1849 (16) XLV give 3618 voted. 1852 (8) XLII gives 3619 voting.

Knaresborough

Electorate

1808	84 [Wilson; later 88 - MD6798/1-6]
1820	84 [Lewis]
1831	88 [Rankin 1832 p.62; Thorne gives about 96 for pre-1832; Seymour gives 28 for pre-1832]
1832	278 [1833 (189) XVII]
1833	260 [1834 (591) IX]
1834	264 [Bean, Mosse]
1835	262 [1840 (579) XXXIX]
1836	271 [Bean]
1837	227 [1837-8 (329) XLIV]
1839	242 [1840 (579) XXXIX]
1840	240 or 241 [Bean or Craig]

1842 245 [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
 1846 242 [Craig and 1847 (751) XLVI]
 1847 228 [1849 (16) XLV]
 1848 221 [1850 (345) XLVI]
 1849 230 [1850 (345) XLVI]
 1850 217 [1852 (8) XLII]

Knarborough 1805

Ponsonby 67
 Belasye 0 (125 rejected)

 Number voted 67

Notes: Bean

Knarborough 1830

Henry, Lord Waterpark 20
 John Entwistle 2 (23 rejected)

Notes: Park

Knarborough 1832 13 December

	Richards	Rotch	Rich	Lawson	Total
Richards	3	95	61	28	187
Rotch	95	11	5	5	116
Rich	61	5	9	21	96
Lawson	28	5	21	22	76
Number voted					260

Number on register 278

Notes: Bean, 1833 (189) XVII. Crosby erroneously gives Rotch 171.

Knarborough 1835 8 January

	Lawson	Richards	Rich	Lewin	Total
Lawson	6	86	69	18	179
Richards	86	18	30	0	134
Rich	69	30	10	2	111
Lewin	18	0	2	0	20

Number voted 239

Number on register 264

Notes: Bean and Mosse.

Knarborough 1837 24 July

	Rich	Langdale	Lawson	Total
Rich	7	107	58	172
Langdale	107	4	13	124
Lawson	58	13	47	118

Number voted 236

Number on register 271

Notes: Bean. *Leeds Mercury*, Supplement, 29 July 1837, gives 53 Rich-Lawson splits.

Knarborough 1841 29 June

	Lawson	Ferrand	Sturgeon	Total
Lawson	1	122	27	150
Ferrand	122	0	0	122
Sturgeon	27	0	58	85

Number voted 208

Number on register 240

Notes: Bean. Park gives Ferrand 150.

Knarborough 1847 29 August

	Lascelles	Westhead	Lawson	Total
Lascelles	2	103	53	158
Westhead	103	1	24	128
Lawson	53	24	37	114

Number voted 220

Number on register 242

Notes: Bean and Craig.

*Leeds**Electorate*

1832	4172 [Register went up to 4171, but two entries were numbered 2724]
1833	5062 [Bean and 1834 (591) IX]
1834	4774 (572 multiple) [Bean, Mosse and 1836 (199) XLIII]
1835	5052 [1840 (579) XXXIX]
1836	5579 (1019 multiple) [Mosse, Bean, 1837-8 (329) XLIV and 1840 (379) XLI]
1837	5894 (1095) [1837-8 (329) XLIV and 1840 (379) XLI]
1838	5975 (1177) [1840 (379) XLI]
1839	6182 (1210) [1840 (579) XXXIX and 1840 (379) XLI]
1840	6334 (1331) [Bean and poll book, though many sources give 6316]
1842	6298 (1216) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1846	6300 [Bean, Craig and 1847 (751) XLVI. Latter also gives 6246]
1847	6015 (1050) [1849 (16) XLV]
1848	5874 [1850 (345) XLVI]
1849	6151 [1850 (345) XLVI]
1850	5618 [1852 (8) XLII]

Leeds 1832 14 December

	Marshall	Macaulay	Sadler	Total
Marshall	38	1855	118 (118)	2012 (2011)
Macaulay	1855	39	89 (89)	1984 (1983)
Sadler	118	89	1380	1596 (1587)

Number voted 3519

Number on register 4172

Notes: Bean. Poll book gives voting totals as 2011, 1983, 1587; gives Returning Officer's totals as 2012, 1984, 1596. 1834 (591) IX, poll book, Smith, Turbeville give 3548 voting.

Leeds 1834 17 February

Baines	1951
Beckett	1917
Bower	24

Number voted 3892

Number on register 5062

Notes: Bean

Leeds 1835 9 January

	Beckett	Baines	Brougham	Tempest	Total
Beckett	1791	128	18	4	1941
Baines	128	45	1630	0	1803
Brougham	18	1630	17	0	1665
Tempest	4	0	0	0	4

Number voted 3633

Number on register 4774

Multiple entries 572

Dead 200

Not voted 369

3633

Notes: Bean, Mosse and 1836 (199) XLIII. Tempest retired before the poll.

Leeds 1837 28 July

	Baines	Molesworth	Beckett	Total
Baines	90	1856	82	2028
Molesworth	1856	14	10	1880
Beckett	82	10	1667	1759

Number voted 3719

Malton¹

Electorate

1807	500 [Smith2]
1808	about 260 [Wilson]
1820	about 400 [Lewis]
1830	625 [1830-1 (204) X]
1831	809 [1831-2 (92) XXXVI] ²
1832	667 (292 scot and lot, 375 £10) [1833 (189) XXVII]
1833	631 [1834 (591) IX]
1834	616 [1836 (199) XLIII]
1835	623 (239, 384) [1840 (579) XXXIX]
1836	603 (227, 376) [Bean, 1837-8 (329) XLIV and 1840 (379) XLI]
1837	592 [1840 (379) XLI]
1838	570 [1840 (379) XLI]
1839	558 (169, 389) [1844 (11) XXXVIII and 1840 (579) XXXIX]
1840	572 [Bean]
1842	557 (138, 419) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1846	535 (94, 441)
1847	551 (89, 462) [1849 (16) XLV] ³
1848	511 [1850 (345) XLVI]
1849	522 [1850 (345) XLVI]
1850	521 [1852 (8) XLII]

Malton 1807

Dundas	253
Headley	241
Cooke	197
Leatham	138
Number voted	456

Notes: Bean and Smith

¹ Not to be confused with Malden. Sometimes called New Malton, as Old Malton only joined the constituency in 1832.

² See the discussion on p.22.

³ This is one of several cases where I give the figure from 1849 (16) XLV as being for the electoral register 1847/8, whilst Bean gives the same figure as being for the electoral register 1846/7. Where other evidence is available it is clear that the bulk, though not all, of the returns in 1849 (16) XLV are for 1847/8 rather than 1846/7.

Malton 1808

Cooke	319
Bower	89

Number voted	408
--------------	-----

Notes: Park, Smith. Bean gives Bower 82 and 401 voting.

Northallerton¹***Electorate***

1802	180 [Picture of Parliament]
1808	about 200 [Wilson]
1820	about 200 [Lewis]
1831	198-208 [1831-2 (92) XXXVI; Rankin (1832): 200]
1832	257 (158 Northallerton, 25 Romney, 74 Brompton; 25 multiple) [1833 (189) XXVII]
1833	243 (excluding multiples?) [1834 (591) IX]
1834	261 (160, 21, 80) [1836 (199) XLIII]
1835	272 (168, 18, 86) [1836 (248) XLIII]
1836	289 (177, 30, 82; 11 multiple) [1837-8 (329) XLIV]
1837	281 (169, 28, 84; 10) [1837-8 (329) XLIV]
1839	315 (27 freemen, 288 £10; 17 multiple) [1840 (579) XXXIX and 1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1840	270 or 281 [Bean or Craig and Smith]
1842	319 (24, 295; 16) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1846	275 (7, 268; 16) [1847 (751) XLVI]
1847	291 (15, 276; 8) [1849 (16) XLV]
1848	269 [1850 (345) XLVI]
1849	282 [1850 (345) XLVI]
1850	282 [1852 (8) XLII]

Northallerton 1832 10 December

Boss	108
------	-----

Wrightson	97
-----------	----

Number voted	205
--------------	-----

Tendered	4
----------	---

¹ Sometimes called North Allerton.

Number on register	257
Multiple entries	25

	232
Not voted	27

Notes: Bean, 1833 (189) XXVII. Many erroneous figures in other sources.

Northallerton 1841 30 June

Wrightson	128-9
Lascelles	114

Number voted 242-3

Number on register 270-81

Notes: Bean, Park and Smith give Wrightson 129. Bean, Smith and others give 281 on register. Returns gives Wrightson 128.

*Pontefract*¹

Electorate

1808	about 620 [Wilson]
1820	650 [Lewis]
1831	820 [1831-2 (92) XXXVI. Rankin (1832) implies 806]
1832	956 (538 scot and lot, 418 £10) [1833 (189) XXVII]
1833	918 (516, 402) [1834 (591) IX and 1835 (116) XXV]
1834	862 [Bean]
1835	815 (434, 381) [1840 (579) XXXIX]
1836	795 (411, 384) [Mosse, Bean and 1837-8 (329) XLIV]
1837	795 (411, 384) [1837-8 (329) XLIV]
1839	722 (348, 374) [1840 (579) XXXIX]
1840	712 or 713 [Bean and Craig or Smith]
1842	665 (309, 356) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1846	685 (305, 380; 10 multiple) [Bean, Smith and 1847 (751) XLVI]
1847	685 (259, 426; 7) [1849 (16) XLV]
1848	650 [1850 (345) XLVI]
1849	674 [1850 (345) XLVI]
1850	675 [1852 (8) XLII]

¹ Sometimes called Pomfret.

Pontefract 1806

Milnes	484
Smyth	371
Savile	324

Notes: Bean

Pontefract 1807

Savile	487
Milnes	353
Smyth	344

Notes: Bean. Park gives Smyth 324.

Pontefract 1812 (October)

Milnes	421
Lascelles	336
Savile	311
Hodgson	175

Notes: Bean

Pontefract 1812 (December)

Savile	356
E.L. Hodgson	268
T. Hodgson	7

Number voted 631

Notes: Bean and Park

Pontefract 1818

Savile	365
Houldsworth	364
Balfour	60

Notes: Bean. Balfour retired after the first day.

Pontefract 1820

Houldsworth	629
Savile	416
Duncombe	376

Notes: Bean

Pontefract 1826

Starkie	527
Houldsworth	475
Hardy	415

Notes: Bean

Pontefract 1830

Smith	529
Jerningham	413
Torrens	337

Number voted	699
--------------	-----

Notes: Bean

Pontefract 1835 6 January

Gully	509
Pollington	498
Raphael	478

Number voted	828
--------------	-----

Number on register	862
--------------------	-----

Notes: Bean

Pontefract 1837 25 July

	Milnes	Stanley	Smith	Gompertz	Total
Milnes	247	242	18	0	507
Stanley	242	67	94	0	403
Smith	18	94	11	0	123
Gompertz	0	0	0	0	0

Number voted 679

Number on register 795

Notes: Bean, Mosse. 1837-8 (329) XLIV gives 681 (365 scot and lot, 316 £10) voting.

Pontefract 1841 29 June

	Pollington	Milnes	Gully	Total
Pollington	5	363	96	464
Milnes	363	11	59	433
Gully	96	59	98	253

Number voted 632

Number on register 712-3

Notes: Bean, Smith.

Pontefract 1847 30 July

	Martin	Milnes	Preston	Total
Martin	26	172	217	415
Milnes	172	93	100	365
Preston	217	100	29	346

Number voted 637

Number on register 685

Multiple entries 10

Dead 16

Not voted 22

637

Notes: Bean, Smith and 1852 (8) XLII.

Richmond

Electorate

1802	270 [Picture of Parliament]
1808	270 [Wilson]
1831	272 or 273 [1831-2 (92) XXXVI or 1831 (134) XVI]
1832	273 [Acland, Dod, Mosse and Park]
1833	250 [1834 (591) IX]
1834	278 [1836 (199) XLIII]
1835	287 (20 freemen , 267 £10; at least 5 multiple) [1836 (248) XLIII]
1836	272 or 276 (21, 255; 4) or (21, 251) [Bean or 1837-8 (329) XLIV or 1840 (379) XLI]
1837	287 or 285 (16, 271; 3) or (17, 268) [1837-8 (329) XLIV or 1840 (379) XLI]
1838	280 or 293 (17, 276) [Bean or 1840 (379) XLI]
1839	290 (15, 275; 1) [1840 (579) XXXIX and 1844 (11) XXXVIII] or 289 (15, 274) [1840 (379) XLI]
1840	276 [Bean]
1842	267 (14, 253; 5) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1846	283 (14, 269; 2) [1847 (751) XLVI]
1847	265 (14, 251) [1849 (16) XLV]
1848	274 [1850 (345) XLVI]
1849	262 [1850 (345) XLVI]
1850	255 [1852 (8) XLII]

Richmond 1839 12 March

Dundas	162
Stapleton	80
Number voted	242
Number on register	280-93

Notes: Bean and 1840 (379) XLI.

Ripon

Electorate

1802	200 [Picture of Parliament]
1806	178 [Vyner MSS 5645, 5666]
1807	177.5 (<i>sic</i>) [Vyner MSS 5645, 5666]
1808	146 [Wilson]
1816	146 [Park]
1820	270 [Lewis]

1831 43 or 178 [1831-2 (126) XXXVI or 1830-1 (338) X]
 1832 341 (42 burgage, 299 scot and lot or £10) [Poll book, 1833 (189) XXVII and 1834 (591) IX]
 1833 373 [1834 (591) IX]
 1834 383 [Bean]
 1835 430 (37 burgage, 393 £10; 16 multiple) [1836 (248) XLIII, 1837-8 (329) XLIV and
 1840 (579) XXXIX]
 1836 432 (11, 421; 8) [1837-8 (329) XLIV]
 1837 412 (8, 404; 7) [1837-8 (329) XLIV]
 1839 389 (7, 382; 6) [1840 (579) and 1844 (11) XXXVIII]
 1840 373 [Bean]
 1842 346 (6, 340; 5 multiple) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
 1846 373 (5, 278, 44 other; 23 multiple) [1847 (751) XLVI]
 1847 361 (5, 277, 84; 14) [1849 (16) XLV. NB: these numbers are internally inconsistent.]
 1848 376 [1850 (345) XLVI]
 1849 365 [1850 (345) XLVI]
 1850 354 [1852 (8) XLII]

Ripon 1832 10 December

	Staveley	Crompton	Dalbiac	Markham	Total
Staveley	0	167	1	0	168
Crompton	167	0	1	0	168
Dalbiac	1	1	2	158	162
Markham	0	0	158	1	159

Number voted 330

Number on register	341	
Not voted	10	"remained neuter"
Dead	1	

	330	

Notes: Poll book tally and 1833 (189) XXVII. There were ten votes tendered by people who were not registered (they had all been struck off by the revising barrister).

Ripon 1835 8 January

	Dalbiac	Pemberton	Staveley	Total
Dalbiac	1	233	12	246
Pemberton	233	1	1	235
Staveley	12	1	112	125

Number voted 360

Number on register 383

Notes: Bean

Scarborough

Electorate

1800-31 44 [Lewis, Picture of Parliament, Wilson, municipal histories]

1832 431 (3 burgesses, 428 £10) [Bean, 1833 (189) XXVII and 1834 (591) IX; Mosse 424, Acland 432]

1833 418 [1834 (591) IX and 1835 (116) XXV]

1834 412 [Poll book]

1835 514 (32 freemen, 482 £10; 26 multiple) [1840 (579) XXXIX. 1836 (248) XLIII gives 452]

1836 514 (32, 482; 26 multiple) [1837-8 (329) XLIV, 1840 (379) XLI and poll book]

1837 536 (28, 508; 22 multiple) [1837-8 (329) XLIV and 1840 (379) XLI]

1838 ??? (26,???,???) [1840 (379) XLI]

1839 579 (26, 553; 20) [1840 (579) XXXIX, 1844 (11) XXXVIII and 1840 (379) XLI]

1840 564 [Bean]

1842 552 (24, 528; 15 multiple) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]

1846 682 (20, 662; 12 multiple) [1847 (751) XLVI]

1847 756 (20, 736; 14 multiple) [1849 (16) XLV]

1848 761 [1850 (345) XLVI]

1849 739 [1850 (345) XLVI]

1850 743 [1852 (8) XLII]

(Note: there were 11 multiple entries in 1851: 1852 (8) XLII).

Scarborough 1802

	Phipps	Manners	Woodall	Total
Phipps	0	26	7	33
Manners	26	0	0	26
Woodall	7	0	0	7

Number voted 33

Notes: Bean and Picture of Parliament.

Scarborough 1832 15 December

	Johnstone	Cayley	Trench	Total
Johnstone	11	229	55	285
Cayley	229	10	26	265
Trench	55	26	64	145
Number voted				395

Number on register 431

Notes: Bean, but with Cayley's 255 changed to 265. This is what Park gives and makes the numbers consistent. Craig, Park and Smith give a variety of other figures. However, all of them result in inconsistencies or are wildly at variance with other suggestions given for that number. Acland and Crosby give Cayley 255. Mosse gives 386 voting. 1833 (189) XXVII gives 385 voting. 1834 (591) IX gives 405 voting.

Scarborough 1835 8 January

	Trench	Johnstone	Cayley	Total
Trench	68	75	33	176
	7/16	3/9	0/6	10/31
	11/8	12/2	3/1	26/11
Johnstone	75	2	84	161
	3/9	0/0	0/14	3/23
	12/2	0/0	0/0	12/2
Cayley	33	84	5	122
	0/6	0/14	0/1	0/21
	3/1	0/0	0/0	3/1
Number voted				267
				10/46
				26/11

(Corporation member/1st time with franchise, or 1st time voted/Corporation tenant/1st time and corporation tenant)

Corporation members, or tenants of them, all voted for Trench in some manner or other:

	Trench	Johnstone	Cayley
Trench	26	17	4
Johnstone	17	0	0
Cayley	4	0	0

Number on register	412	
Not voted	141	(11 from home; 1 rejected)
Dead	4	

	267	(includes 4 objected but accepted)

Notes: Poll book tallies. Mosse gives 128 Trench plumps (which is the basis of the ingenious arguments in Gash, *Politics In The Age Of Peel*, p.211. However, I prefer the poll book evidence).

Scarborough 1837 26 July

	Trench	Style	Johnstone	Total
Trench	80	45	99	224
	71/3/6	38/0/7	88/0/11	197/3/24
Style	45	105	61	211
	38/0/7	98/1/6	58/0/3	194/1/16
Johnstone	99	61	33	193
	88/0/11	58/0/3	30/0/3	176/0/17

Number voted	423
	383/4/36
(Scarborough/freemen/Falsgrave)	3/397/23
(Freemen/ £10/ multiple)	

Number on register	514
Not voted:	
From home	12
Too sick	2
Other	6
Dead/disqualified	45

	423

Notes: 1837-8 (329) XLIV and poll book tally, which is consistent with the handwritten amendments to the totals in poll book. Park, Mosse, Crosby and Acland give the voting totals as 225, 211, 192.

Scarborough 1841 1 July

	Johnstone	Trench	Phipps	Total
Johnstone	45	157	94	296
Trench	157	71	25	253
Phipps	94	25	118	237

Number voted 510

Number on register 564

Notes: Bean

Sheffield***Electorate***

- 1832 3508 (200 multiple)
 [1833 (189) XXVII; various other figures given but this is most popular, and when multiple entries are subtracted it gives another popular figure]
- 1833 3464 (excluding multiples?) [1834 (591) IX]
- 1834 3587 [Poll book]
- 1835 3903 [1840 (579) XXXIX. Bean and Craig give 3093]
- 1836 4028 [Bean and 1837-8 (329) XLIV]
- 1839 4451 [1840 (579) XXXIX]
- 1840 4347 or 4451 [Bean and Craig or Smith]
- 1842 4199 [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
- 1846 4934 [Craig and 1847 (751) XLVI]
- 1847 4995 [1849 (16) XLV]
- 1848 5127 [1850 (345) XLVI]
- 1849 4918 [1850 (345) XLVI]
- 1850 5244 [1852 (8) XLII]

Sheffield 1832 15 December

	Parker	Buckingham	Ward	Bailey	Total
Parker	372	318	349	476	1515
Buckingham	318	499	560-3	118-211	498
Ward	349	560-3	142-5	156	1210
Bailey	476	118-211	56	60-3	813

Number voted 3056

Number on register	3508
Multiple entries	200

Notes: Bean, Smith, 1833 (189) XXVII and 1834 (591) IX.

Sheffield 1835 12 January

	Parker	Buckingham	Bailey	Total
Parker	206	351	1050	1607
Buckingham	351	995	208	1554
Bailey	1050	208	176	1434

Number voted	2986
--------------	------

Number on register	3587
Not voted or multiple entry	601

	2986

Notes: Poll book. Mosse gives plumps as 163, 900 and 86, with 2872 voting.

Sheffield 1836 2 August

Parker	414
Bell	0

Number voted	414
--------------	-----

Number on register	3903
--------------------	------

Notes: Bean, Craig and 1840 (579) XXXIX Bell retired before the poll.

Sheffield 1837 29 July

Parker	2186
Ward	1976
Thorneley	655

Number voted	2700
--------------	------

Number on register	4028
--------------------	------

Notes: Bean.

Sheffield 1841 2 July

Parker	1849
Ward	1805
Urquhart	503
Sheppard	457

Number voted 2401

Number on register 4347 or 4451

Notes: Acland and Bean. Crosby gives the voting totals as 1853, 1812, 509, 460. Returns gives 1847, 1805, 504 and 459. Marsden did not go to the poll.

Sheffield 1847 29 July

Parker	1125
Ward	1110
Clark	326

Number voted 1439

Number on register 4934

Notes: Bean, Craig and 1847 (751) XLVI.

Thirsk

Electorate

1808	about 50 [Wilson]
1820	50 [Lewis; 1833 (189) XXVII: about 50 pre-1832 electors, though none claimed registration rights]
1831	50 [1831 (134) XVI and 1831-2 (92) XXXVI]
1832	254 [1833 (189) XXVII]
1833	282 [1834 (591) IX]
1834	267 [1836 (199) XLIII]
1835	292 [1840 (579) XXXIX]
1836	302 [Bean and 1837-8 (329) XLIV]
1839	327 or 324 [1840 (579) XXXIX or 1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1840	328 [Bean]
1842	326 [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1846	331 or 332 [Bean or 1847 (751) XLVI]
1847	331 [1849 (16) XLV]
1848	338 [1850 (345) XLVI]

1849 345 [1850 (345) XLVI]

1850 340 [1852 (8) XLII]

Wakefield

Electorate

1832 722 [Acland, Mosse, Park and 1834 (591) IX]

1833 720 [1834 (91) IX]

1834 617 [Bean]

1835 672 [1840 (579) XXXIX]

1836 713 [Bean and 1837-8 (329) XLIV; Craig - 702]

1837 733 [1837-8 (329) XLIV]

1839 809 (72 multiple) [1840 (579) XXXIX]

or 716 [1844 (11) XXXVIII]

1840 837 [Poll book]

1846 780 (98) [Craig, Smith and 1847 (751) XLVI]

1847 829 (671 £10, 61 others; 122 multiple) [Bean and 1849 (16) XLV. NB These numbers are internally inconsistent]

1848 748 [1850 (345) XLVI]

1849 731 [1850 (345) XLVI]

1850 849 [1852 (8) XLII]

Wakefield 1835 7 January

Gaskell 277

Lascelles 220

Number voted 497

Number on register 617

Notes: Bean, Crosby, Park and Smith give the vote totals as 278 and 221. Craig gives 277, 220. There were 2 tenders.

Wakefield 1837 27 July

Lascelles 307

Gaskell 281

Number voted 588

Number on register 713

Notes: Bean.

Wakefield 1841 3 July

Holdsworth	328
Lascelles	300

Number voted	628
--------------	-----

Number on register	837
--------------------	-----

Not voted	56
-----------	----

Multiple entry	87
----------------	----

Lost qualification	51
--------------------	----

Rejected	4
----------	---

Dead	11
------	----

628

Notes: Poll book

Wakefield 1847 30 July

Sanders	392
---------	-----

Alexander	258
-----------	-----

Number voted	650
--------------	-----

Number on register	780
--------------------	-----

Notes: Craig, Smith and 1847 (751) XLVI.

Whitby

Electorate

1832 422 [Acland, Bean and 1832 (591) IX]

1833 300 [1834 (591) IX]

1834 432 [1836 (199) XLIII]

1835 431 (34 multiple) [1840 (579) XXXIX]

1836 458 [Bean and 1837-8 (329) XLIV]

1837 464 [1837-8 (329) XLIV]

1839 445 (33) [1840 (579) XXXIX and 1844 (11) XXXVIII]

1840 424 [Bean]

1842 439 (27) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]

1846 403 [1847 (751) XLVI]

1847 426 [1849 (16) XLV]

1848 443 [1850 (345) XLVI]
 1849 448 [1850 (345) XLVI]
 1850 445 [1852 (8) XLII]

Whitby 1832 13 December

Chapman 217

Moorsom 139

Number voted 356

Number on register 422

Notes: Bean and Acland.

York

Electorate

1808 about 3000 [Wilson]
 1820 2-3,000 [Lewis]
 1832 2873 (2342 freemen, 531 non-freemen) [Electoral register]
 1833 2890 [Craig and 1834 (591) IX]
 1834 2890 [Craig, Bean and 1836 (199) XLIII. Smith gives 2860]
 1835 2928 (2295, 633) or (2294, 634) [Electoral register or 1840 (579) XXXIX]
 1836 2829 (2160 or 2159, 669) [Electoral register and 1837-8 (329) XLIV or 1840 (379) XLI]
 1837 2864 (2085, 779) [Electoral register. 1837-8 (329) XLIV and 1840 (379) XLI gives only slightly different numbers. The former also claims that "101 occupiers who are freemen are registered as freemen only." This is wrong, as the registers contain freemen and £10 entries for people with the same name and abode.]
 1838 ???? (2204,????) [1840 (379) XLI]
 1839 3326 (2473, 853) or (2497, 829) [Electoral register or 1840 (579) XXXIX, 1840 (379) XLI and 1844 (11) XXXVIII]
 1840 3507 (2524, 983) [Electoral register]
 1841 3588 (2587, 1001) [Electoral register]
 1842 3671 (2632, 1039) or (2618, 1053) [Electoral register or 1844 (11) XXXVIII]
 1843 3774 (2686, 1088) [Electoral register]
 1844 3856 (2587, 1269) [Electoral register]
 1845 3920 (2613, 1307) [Electoral register]
 1846 4249 (2682, 1163 plus 202 multiple) [1847 (751) XLVI]
 1847 4178 (2712, 1466) or (3132, 1046) [Electoral register or 1849 (16) XLV]
 1848 4287 (2688, 1599) [Electoral register]
 1849 4289 (2677, 1612) [Electoral register]
 1850 4099 [1852 (8) XLII]

York 1807

	Milner	Sykes	Dundas	Total	Declaration
Milner	16	574	864	1454	
Sykes	574	634	93	1301	1316
Dundas	864	93	14	971	967
Number voted				2195	2238

Notes: Poll book and Bean. There were ten tenders, of whom three were allowed later to vote, and one voted subject to proof. Two Roman Catholic electors were rejected for refusing to take an oath. Five freemen were rejected for having purchased their freedom within twelve months of the election, and sixteen for also being in receipt of parish relief.

York 1818

	Dundas	Sykes	Cooke	Total
Dundas	48	361	1037	1446
Sykes	361	902	13	1276
Cooke	1037	13	5	1055
Number voted				2369

Notes: Poll book breakdown and partial tally, plus Bean.

York 1820

	Dundas	Wyvill	Howden	Total	Declaration
Dundas	9	1520	118	1647	
Wyvill	1520	3	12	1535	1527
Howden	118	12	1072	1202	1201
Number voted				2722 or 2734	

Notes: Poll book and Bean.

York 1830

	Bayntum	Dundas	Petre	Total
Bayntum	1706	170	52	1928
Dundas	170	57	1680	1907
Petre	52	1680	60	1792
Number voted				3725

Notes: Poll book and Bean. Park gives Petre 1793.

York 1832 11 December

	Petre	Bayntum	Lowther	Dundas	Total	Decl.
Petre	61	741	75	628	1505	
Bayntum	741	173	124	100	1138	1140
Lowther	75	124	600	85	884	
Dundas	628	100	85	58	871	872

Number voted 2645

Number on register 2873

Notes: Electoral register and Bean. Also gives 2655 voting, as does 1833 (189) XXVII. Park gives Bayntun 1141. Smith, McCalmont give 1140. Mosse gives 2562 voting. Smith gives 262 voting.

York 1833 11 November

Dundas	1337
Lowther	846

Number voted 2183

Number on register 2890

Notes: Bean and Craig.

York 1835 8 January

	Lowther	Dundas	Barkley	Total	Declaration
Lowther	1230	253	15	1498	1499
Dundas	253	144	903	1300	1301
Barkley	15	903	0	918	919

Number voted 2545

Number on register 2890

Notes: Bean. Howcroft voted for all candidates, for which reason the number voting is sometimes given as 2546.

York 1837 26 July

	Lowther	Dundas	Atcherley	Total
Lowther	32	275	1154	1461
Dundas	275	981	20	1276
Atcherley	1154	20	6	1180

Number voted 2468

Number on register 2829

Notes: Electoral register and Bean.

York 1841 30 June

	Lowther	Yorke	Atcherley	Total
Lowther	11	186	1428	1625
Yorke	186	1340	26	1552
Atcherley	1428	26	2	1456

Number voted 2993

Number on register 3507

Notes: Electoral register and Bean. Also gives 2994 voting. Smith erroneously gives the register total as being 3326 (this is actually the 1839 register total).

York 1848 24 May

Milner	1505
Vincent	860
Wilkins	57

Number voted 2422

Number on register 4178

Notes: Electoral register and Bean.

Yorkshire

Yorkshire 1807 20 May - 5 June

	Wilberforce	Fitzwilliam	Lascelles	Fawkes	Total
Wilberforce	1173	1753	8880	0	11806
Fitzwilliam	1753	9108	314	2	11177
Lascelles	8880	314	1795	0	10989
Fawkes	0	2	0	0	2
Number voted					22009

Notes: Smith and Bean. Also gives two other voting totals: 23007 voting and 25120, of which 2064 were rejected (i.e. 23056 actually voted). *York Herald*, 20 June 1807, gives 24215, of which 1852 rejected (giving 22363). Smith and Bean give Fitzwilliam 9049 plumps and Lascelles 1808 plumps.

Yorkshire 1830 5-6 August

Morpeth	1464
Brougham	1295
Duncombe	1123
Bethell	1064
Stapylton	94

Notes: Bean

Yorkshire 1830

Johnstone	361
Strickland	104
Number voted	465

Notes: Bean

Yorkshire North Riding

Electorate

1832	9539 [Bean and 1834 (591) IX]
1833	9607 [1834 (591) IX]
1834	9545 [Bean]
1835	11834 (6414 freeholders, 534 copyholders, 219 leaseholders, 4447 £50, 75 trustees/mortgagees,

11 offices plus¹ 67 multiple) [1840 (579) XXXIX]²

1836	11738 (6429 freeholders, 477 copyholders, 226 leaseholders, 4418 £50, 188 others) [1837-8 (329) XLIV]
1837	11716 (7448, 489, 208, 4390, 173) [1837-8 (329) XLIV. These figures are internally inconsistent.]
1839	11971 (6577 freeholders, 539 copyholders, 181 leaseholders, 4507 £50, 41 trustees/mortgagees, 6 offices, plus 60 multiple) [1840 (579) XXXIX]
or	11914 (6710, 496, 183, 4481, 18, 4 plus 11) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1840	11361 [Bean]
or	11929 (6711, 476, 212, 4446, 30, 6 plus 24) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1841	12567 (7098, 217, 497, 4621, 52, 10 plus 36) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1842	12148 (6954, 501, 192, 4413, 24, 0 plus 32) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1845	11897 [1846 (284) XXXIII]
1846	11953 (6609, 526, 199, 4447, 23, 0 plus 72) [1847 (751) XLVI]
1848	11603 [1850 (345) XLVI]
1849	11391 [1850 (345) XLVI]
1850	11318 [1852 (8) XLII]

Yorkshire North Riding 1832 17 December

	Duncombe	Cayley	Ramsden	Stapylton	Total
Duncombe	3179	1148	426	132	4885
Cayley	1148	950	1049	140	3287
Ramsden	426	1049	1227	193	2894
Stapylton	132	140	193	137	601
Number voted					8581

Number on register 9539

Notes: Bean, with 1 Ramsden-Stapylton split added. This gives a number voted that agrees with Bean elsewhere, along with Park and Smith. However, Crosby and Acland gives 2895, 602. 1833 (189) XXVII gives 8487 voting. 1834 (591) IX gives 8598 voting.

¹ Note the *plus*: in this case, due to the nature of the sources, multiple entries are not also recorded under the freeholders, copyholders etc. breakdown. For example, a multiple entry that was comprised of a freeholder and a copyholder register entry does not add one to each of these totals in the breakdown; it simply adds one to the number of multiple entries.

² Here, as elsewhere, the source gives the total as the sum of the various breakdown figures. However, I have added the multiple number to this total to get the number I believe to be the electorate as, e.g., a double entry should be counted twice when working out the register total. But, multiple entries *may* have already been included in the other totals.

Yorkshire North Riding 1835 14 January

	Duncombe	Cayley	Walker	Total	Declaration
Duncombe	245	813	3598	4656	
Cayley	813	3469	307	4589	4490
Walker	3598	307	36	3941	3841
Number voted					8468

Number on register 9545

Notes: Bean. Also gives 8396 voting, as do 1836 (199) XLIII, Smith and Mosse.

Yorkshire East Riding

Electorate

1832	5559 [Acland, 1833 (189) XXVII and 1834 (591) IX]
1833	5086 [1834 (591) IX]
1834	5138 or 5140 [Electoral register]
1835	7965 [1836 (190) XLIII]
1836	7180 (4152, 573, 34, 2421) [1837-8 (329) XLIV]
1839	7540 (4192 freeholders, 608 copyholders, 31 leaseholders, 2485 £50, 14 trustees/mortgagees, 122 office plus 44 multiples) [1840 (579) XXXIX]
1840	7640 [Bean]
1842	7692 (4476, 593, 36, 2512, 12, 25 plus 19) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
1843	7608 [Electoral register]
1844	7620 [Electoral register]
1845	7577 [1846 (284) XXXIII]
1846	7778 (4528, 590, 35, 2507, 9, 32, 1 other plus 38 multiples) [1847 (751) XLVI]
1848	7476 [1850 (345) XLVI]
1849	7341 [Electoral register and 1850 (345) XLVI]
1850	7449 [1852 (8) XLII]

Note: The electoral registers examined were alphabetic within townships, and appeared to contain multiple entries only in respect of people with qualifying property in more than one township.

Yorkshire East Riding 1837 7-8 August

	Bethell	Broadley	Thompson	Total	Declaration
Bethell	138	3022	427	3587	3592
Broadley	3022	71	161	3254	3257
Thompson	427	161	2363	2951	2985

Number voted 6277 or 6182

(3468 freeholders, 507 copyholders, 31 leaseholders, 2271 £50)

Number on register 7180

Notes: Bean and 1837-8 (329) XLIV. Also gives 6204 voting. Mosse gives 3612, 3280 and 2986. Crosby gives 3587, 3256 and 2984, Acland agrees with Bean's declaration figures. Poll book gives 6204 voting.

Yorkshire West Riding***Electorate***

- 1832 18056 [Electoral register]
- 1833 18087 [1834 (591) IX]
- 1834 18011 or 18061 or 18063 [Mosse or Bean and Craig or poll book]
- 1835 29456 [1836 (190) XLIII]
- 1836 29346 (19831 freeholders, 1334 copyholders, 1003 leaseholders, 6187 £50, 991 others, including 16 pew-owners, 47 "incumbents" and 55 Vicars) [1837-8 (329) XLIV]
- 1837 29076 (19670, 1349, 1011, 6023, 1023, including 24, 41 and 70) [1837-8 (329) XLIV]
- 1839 34640 (20839 freeholders, 1491 copyholders, 947 leaseholders, 6225 £50, 78 trustees/mortgagees, 105 offices, some others; approximately 4518 multiple) [1840 (579) XXIX]
or 30122 (21014, 1647, 949, 6240, 78, 105) [1844 (11) XXXVIII. NB 30122 + 4518 = 34640]
- 1840 31215 or 30998 [Bean, Craig or Bean, 1841 poll book and 1861 (190) L]
- 1842 33863 (23806, 1808, 1176, 6774, 64, 153; approximately 2032) [1844 (11) XXXVIII]
- 1845 36084 [1846 (284) XXXIII and 1861 (190) L]
- 1846 36165 (26610, 1774, 1212, 6297, 68, 204) [1847 (751) XLVI]
- 1848 35280 [Bean and 1850 (345) XLVI]
- 1849 36750 [1850 (345) XLVI]
- 1850 37060 [1861 (190) L and 1852 (8) XLII]

Yorkshire West Riding 1835 (May) 6 May

Morpeth	9066
Wortley	6259
Mexborough	1
Milton	1
Hammerton	1
Firth	1
Marsden	1

Number voted 15330

Tendered 36

Voted yet not registered 3

Two votes for same

register entry 3

Number on register 18011-63

Notes: Poll book and Bean. Some sources ignore the five single votes and give 15325 voting.

	Promised to Morpeth in canvass	Actually received	Difference
Barnsley	508	491	-17
Bradford	1601	1553	-48
Dent	63	68	+5
Doncaster	506	506	0
Halifax	1138	1108	-30
Huddersfield	1138	1072	+34
Keighley	288	26	-262
Knaresborough	329	285	-44
Leeds	923	872	-51
Pateley Bridge	217	27	-190
Settle	328	277	-51
Sheffield	774	716	-58
Skipton	464	417	-47
Snaith	162	193	+31
Wakefield	1031	962	-69
	-----	-----	-----
	6253	9467	9066

Notes: Sheffield District poll book.

Yorkshire West Riding 1837 7 August

	Morpeth	Strickland	Wortley	Wood	Hobson	Total	Decl.
Morpeth	309	11816	451	0	0	12576	
Stri.	11816	98	98	0	0	12012	11892
Wortley	451	98	10936	0	0	11485	11489
Wood	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Hobson	0	0	0	0	1	1	

Number voted 23740 or 23710 or 23708
(15761 freeholders, 1062 copyholders, 844 leaseholders, 5321 £50 and 752 other)

Number on register 29346

Notes: Poll book, 1837-8 (329) XLIV, Mosse and Bean. Also gives 23708 voting, as does Smith.

Yorkshire West Riding 1841 July

	Wortley	Denison	Milton	Morpeth	Total	Decl.
Wortley	263	12647	145	110	13165	
Denison	12647	40	23	47	12757	12780
Milton	145	23	121	11791	12080	
Morpeth	110	47	11791	76	12024	12031

Number voted 25263

Number on register 31215

Voted yet not registered 18

Notes: Poll book, Bean and Craig. Bean also gives 25273 voting, as does Park. Smith gives 57 Morpeth-Denison splits. G.J. Harney and L. Pitkethly scored zero votes.

Yorkshire West Riding 1848 11 December

Denison	14743
Eardley	11795

Number voted 26538

Number on register	35280
Multiple entries	Over 2300

Notes: Poll book and Bean.

Bibliography

General Parliamentary Records

- P. Cockton, *Subject Catalogue of the House of Commons Parliamentary Papers 1801-1900*, Chadwyck-Healey, Cambridge, 1988, 5 volumes
- A General Index to the Sessional Papers Printed by Order of the House of Lords Or Presented by Special Command: 1801 to 1859 (Session 1)*, Oceana Publishing Inc., Dobbs Ferry, New York, 1976, xiv + 992 + 12
- A General Index to the Sessional Papers Printed by Order of the House of Lords Or Presented by Special Command: 1859 to 1870*, Oceana Publishing Inc., Dobbs Ferry, New York, 1976, xv + 368
- Hansard's Analytical Parliamentary Digest; Or, Copious Reference To All The Recorded Proceedings and Debates In Both Houses, From The Earliest Period To The Present Time, Part 2* [only part published, 1803-30], Baldwin and Cradock, London, 1832, 2 volumes

Parliamentary Papers

A number in round brackets signifies a bill or House of Commons paper. Curled brackets signify a command paper.

- Report From The Committee Of The Whole House, Respecting The Interference of Peers, &c. in Elections, 1801-2* (2) II
- Report from The Select Committee On The Shop Windows Duty* [with minutes of evidence], 1819 (528) II
- Report From The Select Committee on County Election Polls, 1826-7* (349) IV
- A Bill For the Regulation of Parish Vestries, and for Lighting and Watching Towns in England and Wales, 1830* (390) II
- Report From The Select Committee Appointed To Inquire Into The General Operation And Effect Of The Laws And Usages Under Which Select And Other Vestries Are Constituted In England And Wales* [with minutes of evidence], 1830 (25) IV
- Report From The Select Committee Appointed To Inquire Into The General Operation And Effect Of The Laws And Usages Under Which Select And Other Vestries Are Constituted In England And Wales* [with minutes of evidence], 1830 (215) IV
- A Bill To amend the Representation of the People in England and Wales, [14 March 1831], 1830-1* (247) II
- Reform Of Parliament: The Bill as proposed to be amended in the Committee by Lord John Russell, 1830-1* (O.37) II
- Accounts of the Population (taken from the Census of 1821) of each City, Borough, and Town in England and Wales, now returning Members to Parliament, of each not now returning Members; and, of the Population in each County in England, Wales and Scotland, and of each Royal Burgh in Scotland, 1830-1* (201) X
- Accounts of the Number of Houses in each City, Borough and County in England, Wales and of each County, Royal Burgh and Town in Scotland now returning Members to Parliament and of those not returning Members to Parliament distinguishing the Number of Houses Assessed to the Inhabitant Household Duty, from £10 to £19 inclusive, and from £20 to £39 inclusive; and at £40 and upwards, 1830-1* (202) X
- A Statement of the Number of Houses, in each City, Borough, and Town in England and Wales sending Members to Parliament, and the greatest Number of Electors polled at any Election within the same at any period during the last Thirty Years, so far as the same can be ascertained from the Returning Officers, 1830-1* (204) X
- Returns of the Population, &c. of each City, Borough and Town in England and Wales, now returning Members to Parliament; and of each City and Royal Burgh in Scotland, 1830-1* (216) X
- Copy of Circular Letter issued from the Home Office to the Returning Officers of all Cities, Boroughs and Towns in England and Wales, respecting the Limits, Houses and Population of the said Cities, Boroughs and Towns; together with the Statements received from the Returning Officers in Reply to such Circular Letter, 1830-1* (338) X
- A Corrected Return Of the Population of each City and Borough in England from the Population Returns of 1821; distinguishing in each case whether the Population given be that of the Borough, or of the Borough and Parish together; and distinguishing the Boroughs mentioned in Schedules (A.) and (B.) of the Bill to amend the Representation Of The People in England and Wales, 1830-1* (339) X

- Return, from the Population Returns of 1821, of the Population of the within-mentioned Places, not now returning Members to Parliament; distinguishing the numbers in respect of the Borough, and of the Parishes and the Townships or Hamlets in each Place, 1830-1 (352) X*
- Additional Supplement To Copies of any Memorials addressed to The Principal Secretary of State for the Home Department, from the Corporation or Inhabitants of any Borough, respecting the Population of the said Borough, 1830-1 (382) X*
- A Bill to amend the Representation of the People in England and Wales, [25 June 1831], 1831 (22) III*
- A Bill (In Committee) To amend the Representation of the People in England and Wales, [August 1831], 1831 (O.29) III*
- Clause 18. Of The Reform Bill (England) As proposed to be amended, [August 1831], 1831 (O.31) III*
- A Bill (As Amended By The Committee) To amend the Representation of the People in England and Wales, [7 September 1831], 1831 (232) III*
- A Bill (As Amended On The Report) To amend the Representation of the People in England and Wales, [15 September 1831], 1831 (244) III*
- Copies of all Applications (not already laid before Parliament) made to His Majesty's Government, that any City, Town or District should be continued in, or admitted to, the Right of sending Members to Parliament, 1831 (64) XVI*
- Copies Of Further Information Touching the Amount of Population, and the Number and Value of Houses in Towns or Districts now sending Members to Parliament, or proposed in the Reform Bill to send Members in future, 1831 (68) XVI*
- Return Of the Population (according to the last Census), of the Parish or Parishes in which any City, Borough, or Cinque Port, in England and Wales, now sending Members to Parliament, is wholly or partially situate; distinguishing, in cases where the Limits of the Parish and the Town are not the same, the Population of each respectively; so far as the said Return can at present be furnished, 1831 (110) XVI*
- A Return Of the Number of Resident Electors in each of the Boroughs named in Schedule (B.) of the Reform of Parliament (England) Bill, 1831 (134) XVI*
- An Account Of the Number of Freeholders who have exercised the Right Of Voting, in the several Counties in England and Wales, for Knights of the Shire, since 1811, as have appeared upon the Poll Books, 1831 (149) XVI*
- A Return Of the Number of Freeholders Resident in the several Cities and Towns, being Counties within themselves, in England and Wales, 1831 (150) XVI*
- A Bill To amend the Representation of the People in England and Wales, [12 December 1831], 1831-2 (11) III*
- A Bill (As Amended By The Committee) to amend the Representation of the People in England and Wales, [10 March 1832], 1831-2 (265) III*
- A Bill (As Amended On Further Consideration Of The Report) to amend the Representation of the People in England and Wales, [14 March 1832], 1831-2 (277) III*
- Reform of Parliament (England) Bill: Amendments Made by the Lords To the Bill, intituled, An Act to amend the Representation of the People in England and Wales, 1831-2 (507) III*
- Return of the Number of Officers of each Rank on the Effective Strength of the Army, who are Members of The Commons House of Parliament, 1831-2 (676) XVII*
- A Return from all the Boroughs (120) enumerated in the Paper (II) ordered to be printed on the 15th of December, of the following Particulars: the Parish in which such Place may be wholly or partly situate, the Amount of Population, and the Number of Houses, in each Parish, and each present Borough, and each proposed Borough respectively, according to the Census of 1821 and 1831; distinguishing the Number of Houses rated under £10, or at and above £10, Annual Value; with the estimated Extent in Acres of every such Parish, Borough, or proposed Borough, distinguishing in Boroughs the parts built from those not built on; together with the present Number of Electors in the present Borough, and a Summary of what the Returning Officer considers the Right of Voting for such Boroughs;- so far as the said Particulars can be furnished by the Returning Officers, 1831-2 (92) XXXVI*
- A Return of the Number of Voters Polled at the last contested Election for every Borough now returning Members to Parliament, and the probable Number of Voters at this time;- also, A Return of the Number of Freemen in every Corporate Town which now returns Members to Parliament, whether the Right of Voting be in the Freemen or not;- also, A Return of the Number of Persons admitted to their Freedom in those Cities and Boroughs in England which send Members to Parliament in each Year, since 1800 to the present time;- also, the Amount of Fee raised upon every admission, and how appropriated:- so far as the same Returns can be obtained, 1831-2 (112) XXXVI*
- A Return from all the Boroughs (120) enumerated in the Paper (II) ordered to be printed on the 15th of December, of the following Particulars: the Parish in which such Place may be wholly or partly situate, the Amount of Population, and the Number of Houses, in each Parish, and each present Borough, and each proposed Borough respectively, according to the Census of 1821 and 1831; distinguishing the Number of Houses rated under £10, or at and above £10, Annual Value; with the estimated Extent in Acres of every such Parish, Borough, or proposed Borough, distinguishing in Boroughs the parts built*

from those not built on; together with the present Number of Electors in the present Borough, and a Summary of what the Returning Officer considers the Right of Voting for such Boroughs;- so far as the said Particulars can be furnished by the Returning Officers: Boroughs of Bletchingley and Ripon, 1831-2 (126) XXXVI

- A Return of the Proportions to the Rent or actual Value at which the Parochial Assessments are made in each Parish or Township within the Limits of the several Places intended hereafter to send Representatives To Parliament; distinguishing those adopted in case of Assessment of Landlords, under the Authority of the Act 59 Geo. III. c.12, from those in other cases; also specifying the Period at which the last General Valuation or Assessment was effected therein; and stating, as far as it may be practicable, whether such Rates are equally and fairly apportioned, or otherwise, according to the Value of Property in such Town or Parish, 1831-2 (444) XXXVI*
- A Bill For preventing Bribery and Corruption in the Election of Members to serve in Parliament for the Borough of Hertford, in the County of Hertford, 1833 (611) II*
- Report from the Select Committee on Municipal Corporations; With the Minutes of Evidence taken before them [and index], 1833 (344) XIII*
- Returns of the Number of Electors Enrolled in the Registers in each County, Division of County, City, Borough or Place, as entitled to Vote under the 2 Will. IV. c.45, at the last General Election in Great Britain; distinguishing, as far as possible, the Number of Freemen and Scot and Lot Voters in each City or Borough; also, the Number of Voters who have polled at each Election:- And, Copies of Accounts of Expenses incurred and made by the Returning Officer or other Officer, at each Election in Great Britain (under 2 Will. IV. c.45, s.71), and Charged to the Candidates; stating the Number of Candidates at each Election, the Number of Booths or Polling Places, and the Amount paid or payable by each Candidate, or by the Electors proposing a Candidate, in respect of each Election, 1833 (189) XXVII*
- A Bill For preventing Bribery and Corruption in the Election of Members to serve in Parliament for the Borough of Hertford, in the County of Hertford, 1834 (11) II*
- A Bill For preventing Bribery and Corruption in the Election of Members to serve in Parliament for the Borough of Hertford, in the County of Hertford, As Amended By The Committee, 1834 (138) II*
- Report from the Select Committee on Election Expenses; With the Minutes of Evidence and an Appendix, 1834 (591) IX*
- Report from His Majesty's Commissioners for inquiring into the Administration and practical Operation of the Poor Laws, with an index, 1834 (44) XXVII*
- Report from His Majesty's Commissioners for inquiring into the State of the Poor Laws in England and Wales:- Appendix (A.) - Assistant Commissioners' Reports, Part I, with an index, 1834 (44) XXXVIII*
- Report from the Select Committee on Bribery at Elections; Together with the Minutes of Evidence, Appendix and Index, 1835 (547) VIII*
- First Report of the Commissioners appointed to inquire into the Municipal Corporations of England and Wales, 1835 (116) XXIII*
- Appendix to the First Report of the Commissioners, Parts I-IV, Government, 1835 (116) XXV*
- Electors For Counties: Return of the Number of Persons Qualified to Vote for Members Of Parliament, in England, Wales, and Scotland, between 1st November 1835 and 1st November 1836, 1836 (190) XLIII*
- Return of Electors Registered as qualified to vote at the last General Election in Great Britain, &c. [including number of voters], 1836 (199) XLIII*
- A Return of the Total Number of Days in each Year during which the whole of the Barristers appointed to revise the Lists of Voters for the Counties, Cities and Boroughs in England and Wales, were employed in the performance of their duty. Also, a Return of the Number of Barristers so appointed in each Year. Also, a Return of the Total Cost of such Revisions in each Year, 1836 (240) XLIII*
- A Return of the Total Number of Persons Qualified to Vote for Members to serve in Parliament, in each of the Cities and Boroughs of England and Wales;- also, a Return of the Total Number of Persons Qualified to Vote for Councillors, in each of the Cities, Boroughs and Towns of England and Wales, under the Municipal Reform Act; such Returns made from the last Registration; and distinguishing the Number of Persons in each List qualified to Vote either for Members of Parliament or Councillors, 1836 (248) XLIII*
- A Return of the Amount of the several Fees demanded from a Member on taking his Seat in this House, at a General Election, and when returned upon a New Writ upon a vacancy; by what Authority charged, and to what purposes applied, 1837 (48) XXXIX*
- Report from the Select Committee on Controverted Elections, 1837-8 (44) X*
- Report from the Select Committee on Controverted Election Fees; With the Minutes of Evidence, and Appendix, 1837-8 (50) X*
- Report from the Select Committee on Election Petition Recognizances; Together with the Minutes of Evidence, 1837-8 (441) X*
- A Return of the Total Number of Days in each Year during which the whole of the Barristers appointed to revise the Lists of Voters for the Counties, Cities and Boroughs in England and Wales, were employed in*

- the performance of their duty:- Of the Number of Barristers appointed in each Year:- and, of the Total Cost of such Revision in each Year.- (In continuation of the last Return to an Order of the House, made in 1836), 1837-8 (397) XXXVII*
- A Return, in Alphabetical Order, from every County, City, and Borough in England and Wales, of the Number of Electors Registered in each, for 1836 and 1837; classifying them under their respective Qualifications. Return of the Number of Electors who actually Polled in every County, City, and Borough of England and Wales at the late General Election; classifying them under their respective Qualifications. Return of the Number of Freemen in each City and Borough in England and Wales on the Register of 1837 as entitled to Vote in the Election of Members to serve in Parliament; specifying the Number of such Freemen who are entitled to Vote by virtue of any other Qualification for Members of Parliament; and the number of such Freemen who are not Municipal Electors. And a Return of the Total Number of Municipal Electors on the Register in each City and Borough returning a Member to serve in Parliament, in England and Wales, after the revision of 1837; stating the Number of such Electors who are not also qualified to Vote for Members to serve in Parliament, and of those who are so qualified as Freemen only, 1837-8 (329) XLIV*
- Return of the Names of Persons in the Employ of the Post Office in Great Britain and Ireland, against whom any Complaints have been made for having voted at the late Election of Members of Parliament; and of those who have been dismissed for having voted, 1837-8 (77) XLV*
- Report from the Select Committee on Controverted Elections, With the Minutes of Evidence, and Appendix, 1840 (138) IX*
- Report From Select Committee On Freemen Of Cities And Boroughs; With The Minutes Of Evidence, Appendix, And Index, 1840 (465) XI*
- A Return of the Names of Barristers appointed to revise the Lists of Electors in England and Wales, in the Years 1835, 1836, 1837, 1838 and 1839; the Names of the Places or Districts to which the Revising Barristers were respectively appointed; the Number of Days employed in each Place or District, so far as appears by their Accounts; the Amounts of Fees received, and the Amount of Expenses incurred by them respectively, 1840 (120) XXIX*
- A Return of the Number of Electors on the Register for each County of England and Wales, for the Year 1839-40; and distinguishing, in Classes, the Number registered as Freeholders, Copyholders, Leaseholders, occupying Tenants at 50l. a year Rent and upwards, or as holding Qualifications of a similar nature; together with an Abstract of the Numbers of each Class, showing the Increase or Decrease in Numbers under each separate Head, since the Returns of the Registered Voters at the General Election in 1835 (as given in Parliamentary Papers, Nos. 199 and 227 of 1836) and A Return of the Number of Electors on the Register for each City, Town, or Borough in England and Wales, for the Year 1839-40; and distinguishing, in Classes, the Number registered in each Place as 10l. occupiers and the Number registered under the Old Qualifications reserved by the Reform Act, specifying what such Qualifications are in each; and whether the Party possessed the old and the new Franchise; together with an Abstract of the Numbers of each Class, and of the whole, showing (as above) the Increase and Decrease since 1835 ..., 1840 (579) XXXIX*
- A Return of the Number of Freemen or Burgesses in each of the Boroughs of England and Wales, entitled to vote in the Election of Members to serve in Parliament for such Boroughs, under the several Registrations of the Years 1836, 1837, 1838, and 1839, 1840 (379) XLI*
- A Return of the Names of the Persons appointed to be Revising Barristers for the several Counties and Boroughs in England and Wales, in the Summer of 1840, arranged according to Circuits, and stating the Name of the Senior Judge on each Circuit, 1840 (Sess.1) (11) XIII*
- Report from the Select Committee on Election Proceedings; With the Minutes of Evidence, and Appendix, 1842 (458) V*
- A Return of the Names of Barristers appointed to revise the Lists of Electors in England and Wales, in the Years 1840 and 1841; the Names of the Places or Districts to which the Revising Barristers were respectively appointed; the Number of Days employed in each Place or District, so far as appears by their Accounts; the Amounts of Fees received, and the Amount of Expenses incurred by them respectively, in continuation of the Return prepared in 1840;- Also, of the Aggregate Amount paid in each Year to such Revising Barristers from the Year 1835 inclusive;- and, The Aggregate Number of Days in each Year for which such Revising Barristers were Paid from the Year 1835 inclusive, 1842 (151) XXVI*
- Abstract Return of the Number of Divisions of the House Of Commons in the last Session: stating Subject of Division, Date, Numbers divided, &c., 1843 (55) XLIV*
- Report from the Select Committee on Controverted Elections; With the Minutes of Evidence, 1844 (373) XIV*
- A Return of the Number of Electors on the Register for each County in England and Wales, for the Year 1842-3; distinguishing, in Classes, the Number registered as Freeholders, Copyholders, Leaseholders, Occupying Tenants at £50 per Annum Rent and upwards, or as holding Qualifications of a similar Nature; together with an Abstract of the Number of each Class, showing the Increase or Decrease in Numbers under each separate head, since the Return made to the House in the Session of 1840, with a*

- Summary of the foregoing Returns; Also, A Return of the Number of Electors on the Register for each City, Town, or Borough in England and Wales, for the Year 1842-3; distinguishing, in Classes, the Number registered in each Place as £10 Occupiers, and the Number registered under the old Qualifications reserved by the Reform Act, specifying what such Qualifications are in each, and whether the Part possessed the old and the new Franchise; together with an Abstract of the Number of each Class, and of the Whole, showing, as above, the Increase or Decrease since the last Return, with a Summary of the foregoing Returns; ... , 1844 (11) XXXVIII*
- ... Return of the Number of Divisions in the Session of 1844 Stating the Subject of the Division, and the Number of Members in the Majority and Minority, Tellers included; also, the Aggregate Number in the House on each Division; Distinguishing also, the Divisions on Public Bills from Private; and also, the Number of Divisions before and after Midnight ... [and other statistics], 1844 (628) XXXVIII*
- ... Return of the Number of Divisions in the Session of 1845 ... , 1845 (659) XXVI*
- Return of the Number of Persons now on the Register of Electors for each County or Division of a County in England, Wales and Scotland:- Also, Return of the Number of Polling Districts into which each County or Division of a County is divided:- Also, Return of the Number of Persons who voted at any Contested Election (since the Year 1840), in each County or Division of a County, distinguishing the Number of Persons who voted on the First and Second Days respectively, 1846 (284) XXXIII*
- ... Return of the Number of Divisions in the Session of 1846, Return of the Number of [private] Bills introduced, and of [private] Acts passed , since the Year 1838 ... , 1846 (723-II) XXXIII*
- Return of the Number of Houses of Annual Value of £10 and up, in every City and Borough in England and Wales, returning a Member or Members to serve in Parliament. A Return of the Number of Persons occupying such Houses in every such City or Borough, who by reason of the Non-payment of Assessed Taxes within the Period required, are omitted from the Lists of Voters made out by the Overseers on and after the 20th day of July 1846, 1847 (243) XLVI*
- ... Return of the Number of Divisions in the Session of 1847 ... , 1847 (746) XLVI*
- Return, in a Tabular Form, for the Year 1846, of the Number of Electors on the Registers of each County, City, Town and Borough in England, Wales, and Scotland, returning Members to Parliament, exhibiting the several Qualifications, and distinguishing those who are required from those who are not required to pay Rates and Taxes, to entitle them to be placed on the Registers. Tabular Return of the several Qualifications of Electors in the Counties, Cities, Towns and Boroughs of England, Wales and Scotland, which returned Members to Parliament previous to the passing of the Reform Act, distinguishing the Qualifications for which the payment of Rates and Taxes was required from those for which such Payment was not required. The £.10 Voters in each Borough to be classed according to the Annual Value at which they are rated in the Parish Books, distinguishing those rated at £.10 and not exceeding £.15; £.15 and not exceeding £.20; £.20 and not exceeding £.25; £.25 and not exceeding £.30; £.30 and not exceeding £.40; £.40 and not exceeding £.50, and classing all those rated at a higher Value than £.50 according to a Scale ascending £.20 at each Step, 1847 (751) XLVI*
- ... Return of the Number of Divisions in the Session of 1847-8 ... , 1847-8 (740) LI*
- Return, exhibiting the Names of all Cities and Boroughs in Great Britain; showing the Number of Electors on the Register of 1847; distinguishing those Registered as Householders and those Registered under other Qualifications; the Number Polled at the last General Election; the Number of Members returned; the Number of all Houses Rated to the Relief of the Poor, and the Population of each City or Borough at the last Census; such Returns to be given alphabetically, in Groups of all such Cities and Boroughs as contain 200 and not exceeding 250 Electors, and so on, in Groups increasing 50 Electors in each Group, 1849 (16) XLV*
- ... Return of the Number of Divisions in the Session of 1849 ... , 1849 (616) XLV*
- Return of the Number of Parliamentary Electors of Great Britain and Ireland, according to the Register of 1848 and 1849, and 1849 and 1850, 1850 (345) XLVI*
- An Account of the Number of County Electors in each County, Division of a County, or Riding, in England and Wales, Registered for Property situated within the limits of any Borough, distinguishing the Total Number Registered in each Constituency, and also distinguishing the Number of County Electors Registered for Property in each Borough situated within the same, 1852 (4) XLII*
- Return of the Number of Electors in every County or Division of a County in Great Britain, according to the Register of Electors in 1850, and as they shall appear in the Register of Electors for 1851, distinguishing the different Qualifications under which they are Registered, with the Population of each County and Division of a County according to the Census of 1851, the Number of Electors Polled at the last General Election, and the Number of Members Returned for each such County or Division of a County; And, of the Names of all Cities and Boroughs in Great Britain, showing the Number of Electors on the Register of 1850, and the Number which may be upon the Register of 1851, distinguishing those Registered as Householders and those Registered under other Qualifications, the Number Polled at the last General Election, the Number of Members Returned, the Number of all Houses Rated to the Relief of the Poor, and the Population of each City or Borough at the last Census; such Returns to be given*

- alphabetically in Groups of all such Cities or Boroughs as shall contain Two Hundred and not exceeding Two Hundred and Fifty Electors, and so on, in Groups increasing Fifty Electors in each Group, 1852 (8) XLII*
- Report from the Select Committee on Election Petition Recognizances; Together with the Proceedings of the Committee, and Minutes of Evidence, 1852-3 (775) XXXIV*
- Report Of The Commissioners Appointed under Her Majesty's Royal Sign Manual To Inquire Into The Existence Of Corrupt Practices In The Borough Of Kingston-Upon-Hull; Together With The Minutes Of Evidence, Parts I & II, 1854 {1703} and {1703-1} XXII*
- Report from the Select Committee on the Corrupt Practices Prevention Act (1854), &c.; Together with the Proceedings of the Committee, Minutes of Evidence, Appendix, and Index, 1860 (329) X*
- Report from the Select Committee of the House of Lords on the Elective Franchise in Counties and Boroughs &c.; Together with the Proceedings of the Committee, Minutes of Evidence, Appendix, and Index, 1860 (455) XII*
- A Return of the Number of Electors in all the Cities and Parliamentary Boroughs in England and Wales, in the Years 1815, 1830, 1832-3 and 1859-60, with the Total Number in each Year, and showing, for the two latter Periods, the Comparative Increase or Diminution, and the Number of Members; also, the Qualification in each City and Borough prior to the passing of the Reform Bill, 1860 (129) LV*
- Return of the Number of Electors on the Register of the West Riding of Yorkshire for the Years commencing 1st December 1835, 1840, 1845, 1850, 1855, 1860; the Number of Polling Places, and of Parishes, or Townships, having separate lists of Voters; the Population according to the Census of 1851, exclusive and inclusive of Borough Towns; the length from North to South, and the width from East to West between the extreme points; the Number of Square Miles and Acres in the whole Riding; also, the Names of the several Wapentakes, with the Number of Townships and Electors in each Wapentake, for the Year 1860-61; the Amount of Population according to the Census of 1851; and the Number of Square Miles in each Wapentake, 1861 (190) L*
- Returns of the Number of Parishes in each Parliamentary City and Borough in England and Wales: Of the Number and Names of such Parishes in each such City and Borough in which the Composition of Poor Rates under any Local or General Act, or otherwise, exists, or has been adopted or allowed; specifying such Act, and the limit of Rateable Value to which such Composition Applies, 1862 (33) XLIX Part 1*
- A Return relative to the Condition of the People in England and Wales, under the following Heads ... Number of registered Parliamentary Electors in Boroughs in 1832 and 1864; ... Aggregate Number of County and Borough Electors registered in 1832 and 1864, 1865 (195) XLVII*
- A List, in Alphabetical Order, of the Boroughs in England and Wales previous to the Reform Bill of 1832, and stating the Nature of the Suffrage existing in each Borough, 1867 (82) LVI*
- Returns showing in respect of the several Parliamentary Boroughs in England and Wales, the Number of Boroughs in which the Small Tenements Rating Act (13 & 14 Vict. c.99), the 59 Geo. 3, c.12, or any local Act for Rating the Owners instead of the Occupiers, is in force in all or any of the Parishes, distinguishing those Boroughs in which the Small Tenements Rating Act is wholly from those in which it is partly in force, and distinguishing in like manner those Boroughs in which there is a Local Rating Act: The Number of Male Occupiers within the Limits of Value prescribed by those Acts respectively (showing separately the Number at and over a Gross Estimated Rental of £10): And, the Number of Male Occupiers not within such Limits, and the Total Number of Male Occupiers within each Borough, 1867 (136) LVI*
- Returns of the Parliamentary Boroughs or Parts of Boroughs under Local Acts for the Composition of Rates: Of the Number of Male Occupiers at £10 and upwards whose Rates are Compounded for in each of them: And of the Number of such Persons Registered and Unregistered respectively, 1867 (305) LVI*
- Returns of the Rates of Mortality in the Several Counties of England and Wales, in the Two Decennial Periods 1841-50, and 1851-60; also in the Years 1855 and 1865, 1867 (445) LVI*
- Report from the Select Committee on Parliamentary and Municipal Elections; Together with the Proceedings of the committee, Minutes of Evidence, and Appendix [and index], 1868-9 (352) VIII*
- Return of the Number of Days on which The House Sat in each Month of each Session from 1831-2 to 1881, inclusive, stating the Number of Hours Occupied, and the Number of Hours after Midnight; also, Table of Quinquennial Periods showing, - (1), The Average Number of Hours of Sitting Annually; (2), The Average Number of Hours of Sitting after Midnight Annually; and (3), The Average Length of Daily Sittings during such Quinquennial Periods, 1881 (445) LXXIV*
- Report From The Joint Select Committee Of The House Of Lords And The House Of Commons, On The Cost And Method Of The Publication Of The Debates And Proceedings In Parliament; Together With The Proceedings Of The Committee, Minutes Of Evidence And Appendix, 1888 (284) X*

Poll Books

Note: Where a name is appended in brackets after a year this indicates that more than one poll book was produced for that election, and that I have only consulted the one named. Where "various" is given, I have consulted all of the different ones produced.

Aylesbury 1848
Banbury 1835, 1837, 1841
Barnstaple 1847
Bath 1837 (Driffield), 1841 (Gibbs)
Bedford 1835, 1841
Beverley 1802, 1806, 1807, 1812, 1818, 1820, 1826 (various), 1830, 1831, 1832, 1835 (various), 1837, 1840, 1841 (various) and 1847 (various)
Bolton 1832, 1837, 1849
Boroughbridge 1818
Boston 1812, 1826
Bradford 1835, 1837, 1841 (various) and 1847
Bridgwater 1802, 1806, 1807, 1818 (various), 1826, 1831 (various), 1837
Brighton 1837
Bristol 1837
Buckingham 1832
Bury St Edmonds 1832, 1837, 1847 (Tyson)
Cambridgeshire 1830
Canterbury 1818, 1830, 1837 (Prentice), 1841
Carlisle 1847, 1848
Chester 1818 (Sheriff election), 1837
Cirencester 1848
Coventry 1837 (Edwards)
Derby 1832
Derbyshire South 1832 (Shore)
Dover 1826
Durham 1843
East Riding 1837
Essex 1830
Grantham 1820 (July), 1830
Grimsby 1832 (various)
Halifax 1835, 1837, 1841 and 1847 (various)
Hastings 1847
Hedon 1802, 1820 and 1826
Hereford 1818 (Wright)
Huddersfield 1834, 1837, 1847
Hull 1802, 1812, 1818, 1826, 1832, 1835 (various), Acland's 1832 and 1835 poll book, 1837, 1841, 1847
Huntingdonshire 1830
Ipswich 1831, 1842
Isle of Wight 1835
Kent East 1832
Kidderminster 1849
Kings Lynn 1826
Leicester 1847
Leicestershire 1830 (Cockshaw)
Leominster 1802, 1831
Lewes 1802, 1812, 1818, 1830, 1835 (various)
Lincolnshire 1807, 1818
Liverpool 1812 (Wright & Cruickshank), 1830 (various), 1832
Maidstone 1826 (various), 1830 (various)
Maldon 1847
Newark 1829, 1830 (various), 1831, 1840
Newport 1847
Norfolk 1806 (various)
Northampton 1835 (Freeman), 1837 (Cordeux)
Northamptonshire 1806, 1831 (which includes poll books for 1702-1831)
Oxford 1802, 1806

Poole 1835 (Lankester), 1841, 1850
Reading 1802, 1812, 1826, 1841, 1847, 1849
Ripon 1832
Rochester 1802, 1806, 1807, 1830, 1847
Sandwich 1831
Scarborough 1835 (various) and 1837
Sheffield 1832 and 1835
Shrewbury 1837
Sudbury 1841
Suffolk 1830
Sussex East 1832
Totnes 1830
Wakefield 1841
Warwick 1837
Westmoreland 1818
Whitehaven 1832
Wigan 1845
Winchester 1841 (various)
Worcester 1841
York 1807 (various), 1818, 1820, 1830 and 1832
Yorkshire East Riding 1837 (various)
Yorkshire West Riding 1835 (various), 1837, 1841 and 1848

Electoral Registers

Beverley 1836, 1838, 1839, 1844, 1845, 1846, 1851
East Riding 1834, 1843, 1844, 1849
Halifax 1832, 1835, 1838
Huddersfield 1833
Westminster 1851
West Riding 1832
York 1832, 1835, 1836, 1837, 1839, 1840, 1841, 1842, 1843, 1844, 1845, 1847, 1848, 1849

Poor Rate Books

York:
All Saints, North Street 1839, 1840, 1841, 1842
Saint Giles 1839, 1841, 1843, 1844
Saint John, Micklegate 1839, 1840, 1841, 1842, 1843, 1844, 1845, 1847, 1848
Saint Maurice 1839, 1848, 1849

Newspapers, periodicals etc.

Annual Register
Blackburn Standard
Blackwood's Edinburgh Magazine
Bolton Chronicle and South Lancashire Advertiser
Bradford and Wakefield Observer
Edinburgh Review
Fortnightly Review
Gloucester Journal
Hull Advertiser
Leeds Mercury
London and Westminster Review
Maidstone Gazette and Kentish Courier
Maidstone Journal and Kentish Advertiser
Morning Advertiser
Morning Chronicle
Quarterly Review
The Spectator

The Standard
The Times
York Herald

The following only lists those archive sources which I found pertinent to this thesis. For example, a large number of other family papers were consulted, whose electoral contents were either nil or unenlightening. Those poll books, electoral registers and other publications which I found in record offices and are listed elsewhere in the Bibliography are not repeated here.

Beverley Reference Library

Collection of Parliamentary elections posters etc.
Collection of Municipal elections and politics posters etc.

Bradford Archives

Bradford election posters 75D77
Bradford election broadsheets DB3 C50
Bradford Parliamentary election papers 1835 MM55/11
Bradford and Shipley election and political papers DB13
Busfeild Ferrand MSS 51D79
W.S. Nicholls Correspondence 67D78

Brynmor Jones Library, Hull University

Hotham MSS DDHO 8/2, 8/4, 8/5, 8/17, 20/49
T.P. Thompson MSS DTH 3/8, 3/15, 3/19, 3/29

Doncaster Archives

Clark MSS DDCL 1/1, 1/4
Warde Aldam MSS DDWA Slip 253, Slip 359, Slip 725, Slip 736, Slip 737, M79/1, P/1, P/5, P/11-19, P/24-5,
Warde Aldam MSS DZ ML/55

Halifax Archives

Armytage MSS KMA 338
Halifax Antiquarian Society MSS HAS 426, B/9, B/20
Stansfeld MSS STA 187, 189, 192
Stansfeld MSS STN 267, 269, 271, 274, 275

Halifax Central Library

Newspaper Cuttings Book (J. Lister)

Huddersfield Archives

Collection of posters KC174/1-110, 186

Leeds Archives

Stapelton MSS 5/1, 5/5-6
Vyner MSS Box 33, Parcel 242 (5645, 5666, 5793)

North Yorkshire County Council Record Office

Ripon Charters, 2 James 1, 2 James 2

Portsmouth City Records Office

CE 3/52

Public Record Office

PRO: T72

Sheffield Archives

Bagshawe MSS Bag C 762(2)
Miscellaneous Documents MD 1859, 6798
Oakes MSS OD 1304
Wentworth Woodhouse Muniments WWM E, F, G, H
Wharncliffe Muniments WHM 516, 612a, 633a, P1

West Yorkshire Archaeological Society

Miscellaneous Documents MD 145/120
Osborne (Duke of Leeds) MSS DD 5/11/1/15
Slingsby MSS DD 56/B1
Miscellaneous Documents MD 145/120

Wilberforce House, Hull

Letters of William Wilberforce

York City Archives

Gray MSS ACC5-6
1837 York election ACC93/1-19
Letter describing 1830 York election ACC24/J183
Freeman rolls 1743-1847 D4-5 (D25 contains some corrections)
Boundary Commission reports for York 1832, 1837 ACC 292

Theses

- N. Arnold, *The Press in Social Context: A Study of York and Hull 1815-1855*, York MPhil, 1987, 271
A.C. Bennett, *The General Election Of 1837 In The East Riding Of Yorkshire*, Hull Special Subject
Dissertation, 1989, 66
D.H. Close, *The General Elections of 1835 and 1837 in England and Wales*, Oxford DPhil, 1964, viii + 547
S.C.E. Cordery, *Voice of the West Riding: Joshua Hobson in Huddersfield and Leeds, 1831-1845*, York MA,
1984, 128
J.K. Glynn, *The Private Member Of Parliament 1833-68*, University of London PhD, 1949, 2 volumes
R.J. Malden, *Freemen and Apprentices of York 1720-1820*, York MPhil, 1985, vi + 188
J.C. Mitchell, *Electoral Change and the Party System in England, 1832-1868*, Yale PhD, 1976, xiii + 426
A.J. Peacock, *York In The Age Of Reform*, York DPhil, 1973, iv + 714
R.K.V. Radice, *Identification, Interests and Influence: Voting Behaviour in Four English Constituencies in the
Decade After The Great Reform Act*, Durham PhD, 1992, xvii + 635
C.M. Schonhardt, *A model of trade policy liberalization: Looking inside the British 'Hegemon' of the
nineteenth century*, University of California at Los Angeles PhD, 1991, viii + 220
J. Vernon, *Politics and the People: A Study in English Political Culture and Communication, 1808-68*,
Manchester PhD, 1991, 654

House of Commons Factsheets

- "Early Day Motions," *Factsheet No 30*, revised edition, Public Information Office, House of Commons, 1992, 12
- "Public Petitioning in the House of Commons," *Factsheet No 32*, revised edition, Public Information Office, House of Commons, 1991, 8
- "The Chiltern Hundreds," *Factsheet No 34*, revised edition, Public Information Office, House of Commons, 1987, 4
- "The House of Commons Post Office," *Factsheet No 60*, Public Information Office, House of Commons, 1992, 13

Articles

- "The Anglo-American Conference Of Historians, 1931," *Bulletin of the Institute of Historical Research*, Volume 9 1931, p.84-107
- "Miscellaneous," *Journal Of The Statistical Society Of London*, Volume 1, 1839, p.121-8
- "Miscellaneous," *Journal Of The Statistical Society Of London*, Volume 6, 1843, p.271-2
- "Miscellaneous," *Journal Of The Statistical Society Of London*, Volume 29, 1866, p.160
- A. Aspinall, "English Party Organization In The Early Nineteenth Century," *English Historical Review*, Volume 41, 1926, p.389-411
- A. Aspinall, "The Cabinet Council," *Proceedings of the British Academy*, Volume 38, 1952, p.145-252
- W.O. Aydelotte, "The Country Gentlemen and the Repeal of the Corn Laws," *English Historical Review*, Volume 82, 1967, p.47-60
- Y. Barzel and E. Silberberg, "Is The Act Of Voting Rational?," *Public Choice*, Volume 19, 1973, p.51-8
- S.W. Baskerville, "'Preferred Linkage' and the Analysis of Voter Behaviour in Eighteenth Century England," *History and Computing*, Volume 1 Number 2, 1989, p.112-20
- S.W. Baskerville, P. Adman and K.F. Beedham, "Manuscript Poll Books And English County Elections In The First Age Of Party: A Reconsideration Of The Provenance And Purpose," *Archives*, Volume 19 Number 86, 1991, p.384-403
- D. Beales, "The Electorate before and after 1832: the Right to Vote, and the Opportunity," *Parliamentary History*, Volume 11 Part 1, 1992, p.139-50
- N. Beck, "The Paradox of Minimax Regret," *American Political Science Review*, Volume 69, 1975, p.918
- L. Benson, "An Approach to the Scientific Study of Past Public Opinion," *Public Opinion Quarterly*, Volume 31, 1967/8, p.522-67
- R. Brent, "New Whigs in Old Bottles," *Parliamentary History*, Volume 11 Part 1, 1992, p.151-6
- P.D. Brett, "The Newcastle Election of 1830," *Northern History*, Volume 24, 1988, p.101-23
- T. Bromund, "'A Complete Fool's Paradise': The Attack on the Fitzwilliam Interest in Peterborough, 1852," *Parliamentary History*, Volume 12 Part 1, 1993, p.47-67
- W.L. Burn, "Electoral Corruption In The Nineteenth Century," *Parliamentary Affairs*, Volume 4, 1950, p.437-42
- D. Cannadine, "From 'Feudal' Lords to Figureheads: Urban landowners and aristocratic influence in nineteenth-century towns," *Urban History Yearbook*, 1978, p.23-35
- J.A. Cannon, "Short Guides to Records, 2. Poll Books," *History*, Volume 47, 1962, p.166-9
- J.C.D. Clark, "A General Theory of Party, Opposition and Government, 1688-1832," *Historical Journal*, Volume 23, 1980, p.295-325
- G. Kitson Clark, "Hunger and Politics in 1842," *Journal of Modern History*, Volume 25, 1953, p.355-74
- D. Close, "The Rise of the Conservatives in the Age of Reform," *Bulletin of the Institute of Historical Research*, Volume 45, 1972, p.89-103
- G.W. Cox, "Strategic Electoral Choice in Multi-Member Districts: Approval Voting in Practice?," *American Journal of Political Science*, Volume 28 Number 4, 1984, p.722-38
- G.W. Cox, "Closeness and Turnout: a Methodological Note," *Journal of Politics*, Volume 50 Number 3, 1988, p.768-75
- G.W. Cox, "The Origin of Whip Votes in the House of Commons," *Parliamentary History*, Volume 11 Part 2, 1992, p.278-85
- G.W. Cox and J.W. Ingram, "Suffrage Expansion and Legislative Behaviour in Nineteenth-Century Britain," *Social Science History*, Volume 16, 1992, p.539-60
- I. Darlington, "Short Guides to Records, 1. Rate Books," *History*, Volume 47, 1962, p.42-5
- R.W. Davis, "The Mid-Nineteenth Century Electoral Structure," *Albion*, Volume 8, 1976, p.142-53
- R.W. Davis, "Deference and Aristocracy in the Time of the Great Reform Act," *American Historical Review*, Volume 81, 1976, p.532-9
- R.W. Davis, "Yes," *Journal of British Studies*, Volume 15 Number 2, 1976, p.159-61
- R.W. Davis, "Rebuttal," *Journal of British Studies*, Volume 17 Number 1, 1977, p.143-4

- R.W. Davis, "The Politics of the Confessional State, 1760-1832," *Parliamentary History*, Volume 9 Part 1, 1990, p.38-49
- M. Drake, "The Mid-Victorian Voter," *Journal of Interdisciplinary History*, Volume 1, 1971, p.473-490
- J.P.D. Dunbabin, "Parliamentary Elections in Great Britain 1868-1900: A Psephological Note," *English Historical Review*, Volume 81, 1966, p.82-99
- J.P.D. Dunbabin, "British elections in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, a regional approach," *English Historical Review*, Volume 95, 1980, p.241-67
- D. Eastwood, "Toryism, Reform, and Political Culture in Oxfordshire, 1826-1837," *Parliamentary History*, Volume 7 Part 1, 1988, p.98-121
- H.A. Ellis, "Aristocratical Influence and Electoral Independence: The Whig Model of Parliamentary Reform 1792-1832," *Journal of Modern History*, on demand supplement, Volume 51 Number 4, 1979, p.1251-76
- J.A. Ferejohn and M.P. Fiorina, "The Paradox of Not Voting: A Decision Theoretic Analysis," *American Political Science Review*, Volume 68, 1974, p.525-36
- J.A. Ferejohn and M.P. Fiorina, "Closeness Counts Only in Horseshoes and Dancing," *American Political Science Review*, Volume 69, 1975, p.920-5
- W. Ferguson, "The Reform Act (Scotland) of 1832: intention and effect," *Scottish Historical Review*, Volume 45, 1966, p.105-14
- R.T. Fieldhouse, "Parliamentary Representation In The Borough Of Richmond," *Yorkshire Archaeological Journal*, Volume 44, 1972, p.207-216
- J.R. Fisher, "Issues and Influence: two by-elections in South Nottinghamshire in the mid-nineteenth century," *Historical Journal*, Volume 24, 1981, p.155-65
- A.S. Foord, "The Waning of 'The Influence of the Crown'," *English Historical Review*, Volume 62, 1947, p.484-507
- C.B. Foster, "The Performance of Rational Voter Models in Recent Presidential Elections," *American Political Science Review*, Volume 78, 1984, p.678-90
- D. Foster, "The Politics Of Uncontested Elections: North Lancashire 1832-1865". *Northern History*, Volume 13, 1977, p.232-47
- D. Fraser, "The Fruits Of Reform: Leeds Politics In The Eighteen-Thirties," *Northern History*, Volume 7, 1972, p.89-111
- P. Fraser, "The Growth of Ministerial Control in the Nineteenth-Century House of Commons," *English Historical Review*, Volume 75, 1960, p.444-63
- P. Fraser, "Public Petitioning and Parliament Before 1832," *History*, Volume 46, 1961, p.195-211
- N. Gash, "F.R. Bonham: Conservative 'Political Secretary', 1832-47," *English Historical Review*, Volume 63, 1948, p.502-22
- N. Gash, "The Organization of the Conservative Party, 1832-1846: Part 1: The Parliamentary Organization," *Parliamentary History*, Volume 1, 1982, p.137-59
- N. Gash, "The Organization of the Conservative Party, 1832-1846: Part 2: The Electoral Organization," *Parliamentary History*, Volume 2, 1983, p.131-52
- E. George, "Fox's Martyrs: The General Election of 1784," *Transactions of The Royal Historical Society*, 4th series, Volume 21, 1939, p.133-68
- A. Glazer and B. Grofman, "A positive correlation between turnout and plurality does not refute the rational voter model," *Quality & Quantity*, Volume 26, 1992, p.85-93
- B. Grofman, "Models of voter turnout: a brief idiosyncratic review - A comment," *Public Choice*, Volume 41, 1983, p.55-61
- H.J. Hanham, "Politics and Community Life in Victorian and Edwardian Britain," *Folk Life*, Volume 4, 1965, p.5-14
- [J. Hannay], "Electioneering," *Quarterly Review*, Volume 102, 1857, p.32-59
- A. Hawkins, "'Parliamentary Government' and Victorian Political Parties, c.1830-c.1880," *English Historical Review*, Volume 104, 1989, p.638-69
- A. Heesom, "'Legitimate' versus 'Illegitimate' Influences: Aristocratic Electioneering in Mid-Victorian Britain," *Parliamentary History*, Volume 7 Part 2, 1988, p.282-305
- E.P. Hennock, "The Sociological Premises of the First Reform Act: A Critical Note," *Victorian Studies*, Volume 14, 1971, p.321-7
- G. Himmelfarb, "The Politics of Democracy: the English Reform Act of 1867," *Journal of British Studies*, Volume 6 Number 1, 1966, p.97-138
- M.J. Hinich, "Voting as an act of contribution," *Public Choice*, Volume 36, 1981, p.135-40
- K.T. Hoppen, "The Franchise and Electoral Politics in England and Ireland 1832-1885," *History*, Volume 70 Number 229, 1985, p.202-17
- E. Jaggard, "Cornwall Politics 1826-1832: Another Face of Reform?," *Journal of British Studies*, Volume 22, 1983, p.80-97

- E. Jaggard, "The 1841 British General Election: A Reconsideration," *Australian Journal of Politics and History*, Volume 30, 1984, p.99-114
- R.D. Jessop, "Civility and Traditionalism in English Political Culture," *British Journal of Political Science*, Volume 1, 1971, p.1-24
- H.D. Jordan, "The Political Methods of the Anti-Corn Law League," *Political Science Quarterly*, Volume 42, 1927, p.58-76
- M.B. Katz, "Occupational Classification in History," *Journal of Interdisciplinary History*, Volume 3, 1972, p.63-88
- B. Kemp, "The General Election of 1841," *History*, Volume 37, 1952, p.146-57
- J. Lambert, "Parliamentary Franchises, Past and Present," *The Nineteenth Century*, Volume 26, 1889, p.942-62
- P. Langford, "Property and 'Virtual Representation' in Eighteenth-Century England," *Historical Journal*, Volume 31 Number 1, 1988, p.83-115
- C. Leys, "Petitioning in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries," *Political Studies*, Volume 3, 1955, p.45-64
- A.L. Lowell, "The Influence Of Party Upon Legislation In England And America," *Annual Report Of The American Historical Association*, Volume 1, 1901, p.319-542
- I. McLean, "Rational Choice and the Victorian Voter," *Political Studies*, Volume 40 Number 3, 1992, p.496-515
- J.B. Martin, "Electoral Statistics: a Review of the Working of our Representative System from 1832 to 1881, in view of Prospective Changes therein," *Statistical Society Journal*, Volume 47, 1884, p.75-115
- J. Milton-Smith, "Earl Grey's Cabinet and the Objects of Parliamentary Reform," *Historical Journal*, Volume 15 Number 1, 1972, p.55-74
- J.C. Mitchell, "Electoral Strategy Under Open Voting: Evidence From England 1832-1880," *Public Choice*, Volume 28, 1976, p.17-35
- L.G. Mitchell, "Foxite Politics and the Great Reform Bill," *English Historical Review*, Volume 108, 1993, p.338-64
- J. Money, "Constituencies and Communities: Voters, Rioters And Politics In Georgian England," *Canadian Journal Of History*, Volume 19, 1984, p.387-98
- D.C. Moore, "The Other Face of Reform," *Victorian Studies*, Volume 5, 1961, p.7-34
- D.C. Moore, "The Corn Laws and High Farming," *Economic History Review*, 2nd series, Volume 18, 1965, p.544-61
- D.C. Moore, "Concession or Cure: the Sociological Premises of the First Reform Act," *Historical Journal*, Volume 9 Number 1, 1966, p.39-59
- D.C. Moore, "Political Morality in Mid-Nineteenth Century England: Concepts, Norms, Violations," *Victorian Studies*, Volume 13, 1969, p.5-36
- D.C. Moore, "The Sociological Premises of the First Reform Act: A Reply," *Victorian Studies*, Volume 14, 1971, p.328-37
- D.C. Moore, "The Matter of the Missing Contests: Towards a Theory of the Mid-19th Century British Political System," *Albion*, Volume 6, 1974, p.93-119
- D.C. Moore, "Is 'The Other Face of Reform' in Bucks an 'Hallucination'?", *Journal of British Studies*, Volume 15 Number 2, 1976, p.150-8
- D.C. Moore, "Some Thoughts on Thoroughness and Carefulness Suggested by Comparing the Reports of the Aylesbury Meeting of 24 February 1830 in The Times and the Bucks Gazette," *Journal of British Studies*, Volume 17 Number 1, 1977, p.141-2
- W. Newmarch, "On the Electoral Statistics of the Counties and Boroughs in England and Wales during the Twenty-five years from the Reform Act of 1832 to the present time," *Journal of the Statistical Society*, Volume 20, 1857, p.169-232 and p.314-40
- T.J. Nossiter, "Voting Behaviour 1832-72," *Political Studies*, Volume 18, 1970, p.380-9
- F. O'Gorman, "Electoral Deference in 'Unreformed' England: 1760-1832" *Journal of Modern History*, Volume 56, 1984, p.391-429
- F. O'Gorman, "Party Politics in the Early Nineteenth Century (1812-32)," *English Historical Review*, Volume 102, 1987, p.63-84
- F. O'Gorman, "Campaign Rituals and Ceremonies: The Social Meaning of Elections in England 1780-1860," *Past and Present*, 1992, p.79-115
- F. O'Gorman, "Reply: The Electorate Before and After 1832," *Parliamentary History*, Volume 12 Part 2, 1993, p.171-83
- M. O'Neill and G. Martin, "A Backbencher on Parliamentary Reform 1831-1832," *Historical Journal*, Volume 23, 1980, p.539-63
- J.H. Park, "England's Controversy Over The Secret Ballot," *Political Science Quarterly*, Volume 46 Number 1, 1931, p.51-86
- J.P. Parry, "Constituencies, Elections and Members of Parliament, 1790-1820," *Parliamentary History*, Volume 7 Part 1, 1988, p.146-60
- A.J. Peacock, "Chartism in York," *York History*, Number 3, p.118-146

- R. Pearson, "Knowing One's Place: Perceptions Of Community In The Industrial Suburbs Of Leeds, 1790-1890," *Journal of Social History*, Volume 27 Number 2, 1993, p.221-44
- J.A. Phillips, "The Structure of Electoral Politics in Unreformed England," *Journal of British Studies*, Volume 19 Number 1, 1979, p.76-100
- J.A. Phillips, "Popular Politics in Unreformed England," *Journal of Modern History*, Volume 52, 1980, p.599-625
- J.A. Phillips, "The Many Faces of Reform: The Electorate and the Great Reform Act," *Parliamentary History*, Volume 1, 1982, p.115-35
- J.A. Phillips, "Partisan Behaviour in Adversity: Voters in Lewes During the Reform Era," *Parliamentary History*, Volume 6 Part 2, 1987, p.262-79
- J.A. Phillips and C. Wetherell, "The Great Reform Bill of 1832 and the Rise of Partisanship," *Journal of Modern History*, Volume 63 Number 4, 1991, p.621-46
- J.A. Phillips and C. Wetherell, "Probability and Political Behaviour: A Case Study of the Municipal Corporations Act of 1835," *History and Computing*, Volume 5 Number 3, 1993, p.135-153
- J.G.A. Pocock, "The Classical Theory of Deference," *American Historical Review*, Volume 81, 1976, p.516-23
- E. Porritt, "Barriers Against Democracy In The British Electoral System," *Political Science Quarterly*, Volume 26 Number 1, 1911, p.1-31
- R. Quinault, "Westminster And The Victorian Constitution," *Transactions of the Royal Historical Society*, 6th series, Volume 2, 1992, p.79-104
- D. Richter, "The Role of Mob Riot in Victorian Elections 1865-1885," *Victorian Studies*, Volume 15, 1971, p.19-284
- W.H. Riker and P.C. Ordeshook, "A Theory Of The Calculus Of Voting," *American Political Science Review*, Volume 62, 1968, p.25-42
- J. Roper, "Party and Democracy in Nineteenth-Century Britain," *Parliaments, Estates and Representation*, Volume 3 Part 1, 1983, p.23-33
- W.J. Rorabaugh, "Politics And The Architectural Competition For The Houses Of Parliament, 1834-47," *Victorian Studies*, Volume 18 Number 2, 1973, p.155-75
- V. Rowe, "The Hertford Borough Bill of 1834," *Parliamentary History*, Volume 11 Part 1, 1992, p.88-107
- W.D. Rubinstein, "The End of 'Old Corruption' in Britain," *Past & Present*, Number 101, 1983, p.55-86
- J.J. Sack, "The House of Lords and Parliamentary Patronage in Great Britain, 1802-1832," *Historical Journal*, Volume 23, 1980, p.913-37
- P. Searby, "Chartists and freemen in Coventry, 1838-1860," *Social History (Hull)*, Volume 2, 1977, p.761-84
- C. Schonhardt-Bailey, "Specific Factors, Capital Markets, Portfolio Diversification, and Free Trade: Domestic Determinants of the Repeal of the Corn Laws," *World Politics*, Volume 43 Number 4, 1991, p.545-69
- E.A. Smith, "Earl Fitzwilliam and Malton: a Proprietary Borough in the Early Nineteenth Century," *English Historical Review*, Volume 80, 1965, p.51-69
- E.A. Smith, "The Yorkshire Elections Of 1806 And 1807," *Northern History*, Volume 2, 1967, p.62-90
- E.A. Smith, "The Election Agent in English Politics, 1734-1832," *English Historical Review*, Volume 84, 1969, p.12-35
- R.W. Smith, "Political Organisation and Canvassing: Yorkshire Elections before the Reform Bill," *American Historical Review*, Volume 74 Number 5, 1969, p.1538-60
- W.A. Speck, "Will the Real Eighteenth Century Stand Up?," *Historical Journal*, Volume 34 Number 1, 1991, p.201-6
- D. Spring, "Walter Bagehot and Deference," *American Historical Review*, Volume 81 Number 3, 1976, p.524-31
- D. Spring, "Earl Fitzwilliam and the Corn Laws," *American Historical Review*, Volume 59, 1953, p.287-304
- S.V. Stephens, "The Paradox of Not Voting: Comment," *American Political Science Review*, Volume, 69, 1975, p.914-5
- G.S. Strom, "On the Apparent Paradox of Participation: A New Proposal," *American Political Science Review*, Volume 69, 1975, p.908-13
- J.A. Thomas, "The System of Registration and the Development of Party Organization," *History*, Volume 35, 1950, p.81-98
- F.M.L. Thompson, "The End Of A Great Estate," *Economic History Review*, 2nd series, Volume 8 Number 1, 1955, p.36-52
- A.S. Turbeville and F. Beckwith, "Leeds and Parliamentary Reform, 1820-1832," *Thoresby Miscellany*, Volume 12, 1954, p.1-88
- D. Wahrman, "Virtual Representation: Parliamentary Reporting and Languages of Class," *Past and Present*, 1992, p.83-113
- J.T. Ward, "The Earls Fitzwilliam and the Wentworth Woodhouse Estate," *Yorkshire Bulletin of Economic and Social Research*, Volume 12 Number 1, 1960, p.19-27
- J.T. Ward, "West Riding Landowners and the Corn Laws," *English Historical Review*, Volume 81, 1966, p.256-72

- E.A. Wasson, "The Spirit of Reform, 1832 and 1867," *Albion*, Volume 12 Number 2, 1980, p.164-74
- E.A. Wasson, "The Great Whigs and Parliamentary Reform, 1809-1830," *Journal of British Studies*, Volume 24, 1985, p.434-64
- J.E. Williams, "Paternalism in Local Government in the Nineteenth Century," *Public Administration*, Volume 33, 1955, p.439-46
- S.F. Woolley, "The Personnel of the Parliament of 1833," *English Historical Review*, Volume LIII, 1938, p.240-62
- D.G. Wright, "A Radical Borough: Parliamentary Politics In Bradford 1832-41," *Northern History*, Volume 4, 1969, p.132-66
- "A. Wuffle," "Should You Brush Your Teeth on November 6, 1984: A Rational Choice Perspective," *PS*, Volume 17, 1984, p.577-81

Specifically cited articles in books

- A. Aspinall, "The Reporting And Publishing Of The House Of Commons' Debates 1771-1834," p.227-57 in R. Pares and A.J.P. Taylor, *Essays presented to Sir Lewis Namier*, Macmillan, London, 1956, viii + 542
- W.O. Aydelotte, "A Data Archive for Modern British History," p.333-60 in V.R. Lowin and J.M. Price (eds), *The Dimensions Of The Past: Materials, Problems, and Opportunities for Quantitative Work in History*, Yale University Press, London, 1972, 568
- D.E.D. Beales, "Parliamentary Parties and the 'Independent' Member, 1810-1860," p.1-19 in R. Robson (ed), *Ideas and Institutions of Victorian Britain: Essays in Honour of George Kitson-Clark*, G. Bell and Sons, London, 1967, viii + 343
- A. Elliott, "Municipal Elections in Bradford, 1847-57," p.24-34 in J.A. Jowitt and R.K.S. Taylor (eds), *Nineteenth Century Bradford Elections*, Bradford Centre Occasional Papers No.1, University of Leeds, 1979, 87
- A. Elliott, "Municipal government in Bradford in the mid-nineteenth century," p.112-61 in D. Fraser (ed), *Municipal reform and the industrial city*, Leicester University Press, Leicester, 1982, x + 165
- S.E. Finer, "Patronage and the Public Service: Jeffersonian Bureaucracy and the British Tradition," p.106-25 in A.J. Heidenheimer, *Political Corruption: Readings In Comparative Analysis*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1970, ix + 582
- N. Gash, "English Reform And French Revolution In The General Election Of 1830," p.258-88 in R. Pares and A.J.P. Taylor, *Essays presented to Sir Lewis Namier*, Macmillan, London, 1956, viii + 542
- D.E. Ginter, "Unofficial Division Lists of the British House of Commons 1761-1830: A New Database and its Implications," p.11-31 in J.A. Phillips (ed), *Computing Parliamentary History: George III To Victoria*, Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 1994, v + 146
- A. Jowitt, "Dissenters, Voluntaryism and Liberal Unity: The 1847 Election," p.7-23 in J.A. Jowitt and R.K.S. Taylor (eds), *Nineteenth Century Bradford Elections*, Bradford Centre Occasional Papers No.1, University of Leeds, 1979, 87
- B. Kemp, "The Stewardship Of The Chiltern Hundreds," p.204-26 in R. Pares and A.J.P. Taylor, *Essays presented to Sir Lewis Namier*, Macmillan, London, 1956, viii + 542
- J.P. King, "Socioeconomic Development and the Incidence of English Corrupt Campaign Practices," p.379-90 in A.J. Heidenheimer, *Political Corruption: Readings In Comparative Analysis*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1970, ix + 582
- C. Leys, "What is the Problem about Corruption?," p.31-7 in A.J. Heidenheimer, *Political Corruption: Readings In Comparative Analysis*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1970, ix + 582
- D.C. Moore, "Social Structure, Political Structure, and Public Opinion in Mid-Victorian England," p.20-57 in R. Robson (ed), *Ideas and Institutions of Victorian Britain: Essays in Honour of George Kitson-Clark*, G. Bell and Sons, London, 1967, viii + 343
- T.J. Nossiter, "Aspects of Electoral Behaviour in English Constituencies 1832-1868," in E. Allardt and S. Rokkan (eds), *Studies of Political Sociology*, Collier-Macmillan, London, 1970, p.160-89
- J.A. Phillips and C. Wetherell, "Parliamentary Parties and Municipal Politics," p.48-85 in J.A. Phillips (ed), *Computing Parliamentary History: George III To Victoria*, Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 1994, v + 146
- C. Schonhardt-Bailey, "Linking Constituency Interests to Legislative Voting Behaviour: The Role of District Economic and Electoral Composition in the Repeal of the Corn Laws," p.86-118 in J.A. Phillips (ed), *Computing Parliamentary History: George III To Victoria*, Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 1994, v + 146
- D.R. Watson, "The British Parliamentary System and the Growth of Constitutional Government in Western Europe" p.101-27 in C.J. Bartlett (ed), *Britain Pre-eminent: Studies of British world influence in the nineteenth century*, Macmillan, London, 1969, 200

Books

- J. Acland, *The Imperial Poll Book Of All Elections From The Passing Of The Reform Act In 1832 To The End Of 1864; To Which Is Prefixed An Argument Upon Representation As It Is And As It Should Be; With Statistical Tables In Illustration Of Alternative Systems For Securing Electoral And Representative Equality, And For The Reduction If Not Prevention Of Bribery And Corruption*, James Acland, Brighton, [1865], 32 + [180]
- The Advantages Of Reform, As Proposed By The Present Ministers*, 7th edition, Roake and Varty, London, 1831, 33
- The Advantages Of Reform, As Proposed By The Present Ministers*, 9th edition, Roake and Varty, London, 1831, 33
- K.J. Allison, 'Hull Gent. Seeks Country Residence' 1750-1850, East Yorkshire Local History Society, Beverley, 1981, 65
- Marquess of Anglesey, *One-Leg: The Life and Letters of Henry William Paget, First Marquess of Anglesey K.G. (1768-1854)*, Jonathan Cape, London, 1961, 428
- T.C. Anstey, 'Notes Upon The Representation Of The People Act, 1867'. (30 & 31 VICT. C.102.) With Appendices Concerning The Ancient Rights, The Rights Conferred By The 2 & 3 Will. IV. C.45, Population, Rental, Rating And The Operation Of The Repealed Enactments As To Compound Householders, William Ridgway, London, 1867, xi + 172 + cxliv
- A. Aspinall, *Lord Brougham And The Whig Party*, Manchester University Press, Manchester, 1927, xx + 322
- W.O. Aydelotte (ed), *The History of Parliamentary Behaviour*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1977, xiv + 321
- J.B. Baker, *The History Of Scarborough, From The Earliest Date*, Longmans, Green and Co., London, 1882, xiii + 527
- F. Bamford and Duke of Wellington (eds), *The Journal Of Mrs. Arbuthnot*, Macmillan, London, 1950, 2 volumes
- T.C. Banfield, *Statistical Companion To The Pocket Book*, Longman, Brown, Green and Longmans, London, 1852, 143
- T.C. Banfield, *Statistical Companion To The Pocket Book*, Longman, Brown, Green and Longmans, London, 1854, 144
- T.C. Banfield and C.R. Weld, *Statistical Companion To The Pocket Book*, Longman, Brown, Green and Longmans, London, 1848, vi + 144
- A Barrister [J.T. Coleridge], *Notes On The Reform Bill*, Roake and Varty, London, [April] 1832, 70
- C.J. Bartlett (ed), *Britain Pre-eminent: Studies of British world influence in the nineteenth century*, Macmillan, London, 1969, 200
- J.O Baylen and N.J. Gossman (eds), *Biographical Dictionary of Modern British Radicals: Volume 2 1830-1870*, Harvester Press, Brighton, 1984, 556
- W.W. Bean, *The Parliamentary Representation of the Six Northern Counties of England: From 1603 to the General Election of 1886*, W.W. Bean, Hull, 1890, xix + 1208
- A. Beattie (ed), *English Party Politics: Volume 1 1600-1906*, Weidenfeld and Nicolson, London, 1970, xxx + 219
- M. Bentley, *Politics Without Democracy 1815-1914: Perception and Preoccupation in British Government*, Fontana, London, 1984, 446
- G.F. Berkley, *My Life And Recollections*, Hurst and Blackett, London, 1865-6, 4 volumes
- Beverley Election 1830: A Collection of All the Placards, Squibs, &c. Issued During The Above Election*, G. Scaum, Beverley, [1830?], 50
- J. Bohstedt, *Riots and Community Politics in England and Wales 1790-1810*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge Mass., 1983, viii + 310
- J.M. Bourne, *Patronage and Society in Nineteenth-Century England*, Edward Arnold, London, 1986, ix + 198
- R. Brent, *Liberal Anglican Politics: Whiggery, Religion, and Reform*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1987, 340
- P. Brett, *The Rise and Fall of the York Whig Club*, University of York, York, 1989, 32
- M. Brock, *The Great Reform Act*, Hutchinson, London, 1973, 411
- R. Brook, *The Story of Huddersfield*, MacGibbon and Kee, London, 1968, xviii + 394
- Lord Broughton [J.C. Hobhouse], *Recollections Of A Long Life: With Additional Extracts From His Private Diaries, edited by his daughter Lady Dorchester*, John Murray, London, 1910, 4 [????] volumes
- S.E. Brydges, *Expositions on the Parliamentary Reform Bill: April 1831*, Genoa, 1831, 53
- J.K. Buckley, *Joseph Parkes Of Birmingham And The Part Which He Played In Radical Reform Movements From 1825 To 1845*, Methuen, London, 1926, xiv + 207
- D. Butler, *British General Elections since 1945*, Blackwell, Oxford, 1989, viii + 133
- J.R.M. Butler, *The Passing Of The Great Reform Bill*, new impression (original edition 1914), Frank Cass, London, 1964, xiii + 454

- G. Butt, *Suggestions As To The Conduct And Management Of A County Contested Election, Addressed To Candidates For The House Of Commons, Their Friends, Committee-Men, And Agents; And To The Several Important Persons Who May Be Engaged Or Interested In County Contests With An Appendix Of The Statutes On County Elections, Including Those Of The Present Session, Systematically Abridged; With The Practical Forms, Adapted To Immediate Use, For The Purpose Of Facilitating The Business Of The Canvas And The Polling*, James Duncan, London, 1826, xvi + 151 + lxxxiii
- H. Butterfield, *The Whig Interpretation Of History*, original edition 1931, W.W. Norton, New York, 1965, vi + 132
- S. Buxton, *Finance And Politics: An Historical Study 1789-1885*, original edition 1888, Augustus M. Kelly, New York, 1966, 2 volumes
- P. Campbell, *French Electoral Systems and Elections since 1789*, 2nd edition, Faber and Faber, London, 1965, 155
- J.A. Cannon, *Parliamentary Reform 1640-1832*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973, xiv + 333
- J. Cannon, *Aristocratic Century: The peerage of eighteenth century England*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984, x + 193
- S. Chadwick, 'A Bold and Faithful Journalist': *Joshua Hobson 1810-1876*, Kirklees Libraries and Museums Service, Huddersfield, 1976, 82
- J.D. Chambers, *The New Bills For The Registration Of Electors Critically Examined, With A View To The Principles On Which They Should Be Founded, And The Evils And Defects They Propose To Remedy*, Saunders and Benning, London, 1836, 59
- J.D. Chambers, *A Complete Dictionary Of The Law and Practice of Elections Of Members Of Parliament. And Of Election Petitions And Committees For England, Scotland, and Ireland. Compiled From The Journals, Reports, Treatises, Minutes, And Statutes. From The Earliest Period To The Present Time*, Saunders and Benning, London, 1837, vi + 719 + xxix
- G. Kitson Clark, *The Making of Victorian England: Being the Ford Lectures Delivered Before the University of Oxford*, Methuen, London, 1962, xiii + 312
- G. Kitson Clark, *Peel And The Conservative Party: A Study In Party Politics 1832-1841*, 2nd edition, Archon Books, Connecticut, 1964, xxvii + 515
- G. Kitson Clark, *The Critical Historian*, Heinemann, London, 1967, x + 214
- F. Clifford, *A History Of Private Bill Legislation*, original edition 1885-7, Frank Cass and Co., London, 1968, 2 volumes
- Lord Colchester (ed), *A Political Diary 1828-30 By Edward Law, Lord Ellenborough*, Richard Bentley and Son, London, 1881, 2 volumes
- L. Colley, *Britons: Forging The Nation 1707-1837*, Yale University Press, New Haven, 1992, x + 429
- U. Corbett, *An Inquiry Into The Elective Franchise Of The Freeholders Of, And The Rights Of Election For, The Corporate Counties In England And Wales: Also, A Report Of The Proceedings Of The Warwickshire Election Committee, in 1821*, J. and W.T. Clarke, London, 1826, xiii + 45 + 440
- U. Corbett and E.R. Daniell, *Reports Of Cases Of Controverted Elections, In The Sixth Parliament Of The United Kingdom*, J. and W.T. Clarke, London, 1821, xiv + 288
- R. Coupland, *Wilberforce*, 2nd edition, Collins, London, 1945, 447
- E.W. Cox, *The Law And Practice Of Registration And Elections, Comprising The Registration Of Electors' Act, Incorporating The Reform Act & The Recent Statutes, All The Decisions Of The Court Of Common Pleas Upon Appeals To The Present Time, With Instructions For The Management Of Elections In Counties, Cities, And Boroughs, And Instructions To Returning Officers, With Precedents Of Books, Forms, &c. And An Introduction And Copious Index*, 5th edition, Law Times, London, 1847, xxxvii + 205
- E.W. Cox and S.G. Grady, *The New Law And Practice Of Registration And Elections; Comprising The Representation Of The People Act 1867, The Registration Act 1868, The Corrupt Practices Act 1868; Incorporating The Reform Act and the Subsequent Statutes, The Decisions Of The Court Of Common Pleas Upon Appeals To The Present Time; With Instructions For The Management Of Elections In Counties, Cities, And Boroughs, For The Management Of Registration, And For Returning Officers, With Precedents Of Books, Forms, Etc., And The Law Of Election Petitions*, 10th edition, Law Times and Horace Cox, London, 1868, [8] + clxxxv + 438
- G.W. Cox, *The Efficient Secret: The Cabinet and the development of political parties in Victorian England*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987, xiv + 187
- H. Cox, *A History Of The Reform Bills Of 1866 And 1867*, Longmans, Green and Co., London, 1868, ix + 302
- F.W.S. Craig, *British Parliamentary Election Results 1832-1885*, Macmillan, London, 1977, xvii + 692
- F.W.S. Craig, *Chronology of British Parliamentary By-Elections 1833-1987*, Parliamentary Research Services, Chichester, 1987, xvi + 383
- F.W.S. Craig, *British Electoral Facts 1832-1987*, 5th edition, Parliamentary Research Services, Dartmouth, 1989, xx + 210

- V. Cromwell, B. Keith-Lucas, C. O'Leary and K.C. Wheare, *Aspects of Government in Nineteenth-Century Britain*, with an introduction by P. and G. Ford, Irish University Press, Dublin, 1978, 138
- G. Crosby, *Crosby's Parliamentary Record Of Elections In Great Britain And Ireland: With Select Bibliographical Notices And Speeches Of Distinguished Statesmen, &c.*, George Crosby, Leeds, 1849, 2 volumes
- E.D. Cuming (ed), *Squire Osbaldeston: His Autobiography*, The Bodley Head, London, 1926, iv + 260
- R.A. Dahl, *Polyarchy: Participation and Opposition*, Yale University Press, London, 1971, ix + 257
- R.W. Davis, *Political Change And Continuity 1760-1885: A Buckinghamshire Study*, David and Charles, Newton Abbot, 1972, 262
- D. Denver and G. Hands (eds), *Issues and Controversies in British Electoral Behaviour*, Harvester Wheatsheaf, London, 1992, x + 390
- H.T. Dickinson, *Caricatures and the Constitution, 1760-1832*, Chadwyck-Healey, Cambridge, 1986, 345
- C.R. Dod, *Electoral Facts From 1832 To 1853 Impartially Stated: Constituting A Complete Political Gazetteer*, original edition 1853, edited with an introduction and bibliographical guide to electoral sources 1832-55 by H.J. Hanham, Harvester Press, Brighton, 1972, cxliv + 388
- S. Dowell, *A History Of Taxation And Taxes In England From the Earliest Times To The Present Day*, 1st edition 1888, 3rd edition with a new introduction by A.R. Ileric, Frank Cass and Co., London, 1965, 4 volumes
- A. Downs, *An Economic Theory of Democracy*, Harper and Row, New York, 1957, x + 310
- C. Driver, *Tory Radical: The Life Of Richard Oastler*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1946, ix + 597
- D. Duman, *The Judicial Bench In England 1727-1875: The Reshaping of a Professional Elite*, Royal Historical Society, London, 1982, x + 208
- D. Duman, *The English and Colonial Bars in the Nineteenth Century*, Croom Helm, London, 1983, 228
- T.H. Duncombe (ed), *The Life And Correspondence Of Thomas Slingsby Duncombe, Late M.P. For Finsbury*, Hurst and Blackett, London, 1868, 2 volumes
- J.B. Edge, *On The Management Of A Parliamentary Election: A Practical Guide, With All The Necessary Forms, Instructions, Notices, Circulars, &c., Required In The Formation Of Committees, And In The Carvass, Nomination, And Election*, Central Press, London, 1874, 174
- N.C. Edsall, *Richard Cobden, Independent Radical*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge Mass., 1986, xiv + 465
- Enquiry Into The Inconveniences of Public, And the Advantages of Private Elections, With the Method of a Ballot*, A Baldwin, London, 1701, iv + 34
- B.S. Escott, *Would Reform In Parliament Be A Benefit To The Country?*, 2nd edition, J. Hatchard and Son, London, 1831, 60
- E.J. Evans, *The Forging Of The Modern State: Early industrial Britain 1783-1870*, Longman, London, 1983, xiii + 457
- E.J. Evans, *The Great Reform Act of 1832*, Routledge, London, 1983, vii + 52
- First Annual Report Of The Poor Law Commissioners For England And Wales*, W. Clowes and Son, London, 1835, vii + 415
- B. Fladeland, *Abolitionists and Working-Class Problems in the Age of Industrialisation*, Macmillan, London, 1984, xiv + 232
- J.S. Fletcher, *The Making Of Modern Yorkshire 1750-1914*, George Allen and Unwin, London, 1918, 328
- P. and G. Ford (eds), *Luke Graves Hansard, His Diary 1814-1841: A Case Study in the Reform of Patronage*, edited with an introduction by P. and G. Ford, Basil Blackwell, Oxford, 1962, liv + 225
- G. Forwood, *Extension of Suffrage. An Examination Of The Proposition In The Reform Bill, By Which the Right of Voting for Members of Parliament Is To Be Extended To Cities & Boroughs*, Worrall and Taylor, Liverpool, 1831, 16
- J. Foster, *Members of Parliament: England 1529-1881 ABBOT - ANG*, [London], [1882], 48
- Franquet De Franqueville, *Le Gouvernement Et Le Parlement Britanniques*, Paris, 1887, 3 volumes
- D. Fraser (ed), *Municipal reform and the industrial city*, Leicester University Press, Leicester, 1982, x + 165
- R. Furneaux, *William Wilberforce*, Hamish Hamilton, London, 1974, xv + 506
- J. Garrard, *The Functions Of Nineteenth Century Political Parties*, Occasional Papers in Politics and Contemporary History Number 1, University of Salford, Salford, 1987, 37
- N. Gash, *Politics in the Age of Peel: A Study in the Technique of Parliamentary Representation 1830-1850*, Longmans, London, 1953, xxi + 496
- N. Gash, *Sir Robert Peel: The Life of Sir Robert Peel after 1830*, new edition with revisions, Longman, London, 1986, xxvi + 745
- N. Gash, *Pillars of Government and Other Essays on State and Society c.1770-c.1880*, Edward Arnold, London, 1986, xii + 202
- J. Gibson and C. Rogers, *Poll Books c.1696-1872: A Directory to Holdings in Great Britain*, 2nd edition, Federation of Family History Societies, Birmingham, 1990, 60

- D.E. Ginter, *A Measure of Wealth: The English Land Tax in Historical Analysis*, Hambledon Press, London, 1992, xxvi + 711
- A. Gooder (ed), *The Parliamentary Representation Of The County Of York 1258-1832*, Yorkshire Archaeological Society, Wakefield, 1935-8, 2 volumes
- S.G. Grady, *A Condensed Commentary On The Registration Of Voters' Act, 6 Vict. Cap. XVIII, Plainly And Practically Elucidating The Law Of Registration, Being More Particularly Designed For The Use Of Clerks Of The Peace, Overseers, & Others Engaged In Carrying Into Operation The Provisions Of The Act; With An Appendix*, Maxwell and Son, London, 1843, xv + 211
- M.M. Green (ed), *Miss Lister of Shibden Hall: Selected Letters 1800-1840*, Book Guild, Lewes, 1992, 210
- J. Grego, *A History Of Parliamentary Elections And Electioneering From The Stuarts To Queen Victoria*, new edition, Chatto and Windus, London, 1892, xxvii + 403
- Earl Grey, *Parliamentary Government Considered With Reference To Reform: A New Edition, Containing Suggestions For The Improvement Of Our Representation System, And An Examination Of The Reform Bills Of 1859 And 1861*, John Murray, London, 1864, xxiii + 360
- H. Grey (ed), *The Reform Act, 1832: The Correspondence Of The Late Earl Grey With His Majesty King William IV And With Sir Herbert Taylor From November 1830 To June 1832*, John Murray, London, 1867, 2 volumes
- B. Grofman and A. Lijphart (eds), *Electoral Laws and Their Political Consequences*, Agathon Press, New York, 1986, xiv + 335
- H. Grote, *The Personal Life Of George Grote*, 2nd edition, John Murray, London, 1873, xv + 336
- W.B. Gwyn, *Democracy and the Cost of Politics in Britain*, Athlone Press, London, 1962, vii + 256
- S.C. Hall, *Retrospect Of A Long Life: From 1815 To 1883*, Richard Bentley & Son, London, 1883, 2 volumes
- J. Hamburger, *Intellectuals In Politics: John Stuart Mill And The Philosophic Radicals*, Yale University Press, London, 1965, xi + 308
- Handbook of the Court; The Peerage; And The House Of Commons*, fifteenth edition, P.S. King, London, 1865, xvi + 328
- H.J. Hanham, *Elections and Party Management: Politics in the time of Disraeli and Gladstone*, Longmans, London, 1959, xvii + 468
- H.J. Hanham, *The Reformed Electoral System in Great Britain, 1832-1914*, Historical Association, London, revised edition 1971, 39
- B. Harrison, *Drink and the Victorians*, Faber and Faber, London, 1971, 510
- J. Hart, *Proportional Representation: Critics of the British Electoral System 1820-1945*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1992, viii + 312
- J. Hatsell, *Precedents Of Proceedings In The House Of Commons; Under Separate Titles, With Observations*, 3rd edition (Volumes 1 & 2), 2nd edition (Volume 3) and 1st edition (Volume 4), T. Payne, London, 1796, 4 volumes
- F. Hawes, *Henry Brougham*, St Martins Press, New York, 1956, 326
- A.J. Heidenheimer, *Political Corruption: Readings In Comparative Analysis*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1970, ix + 582
- L.M. Helmore, *Corrupt and Illegal Practices: A General Study and a Case Study of an Election Petition*, Routledge and Kegan Paul, London, 1967, x + 118
- E.P. Hennock, *Fit and Proper Persons: Ideal and Reality in Nineteenth-Century Urban Government*, Edward Arnold, London, 1973, xix + 395
- S. Heywood, *A Digest Of The Law Respecting County Elections. Containing The Duty And Authority Of the High Sheriff, From The Receipt Of The Writ To The Return Thereof; And The Mode Of Proceeding At County Elections, Whether Determined By The View, The Poll, Or The Scrutiny. Together With, The Qualifications, And Personal And Other Disqualifications, Of The Voters*, Joseph Johnson, London, 1790, xxviii + 455
- S. Heywood, *A Digest of so much of the Law respecting Borough Elections, As Concerns Cities and Boroughs In General, Their Representation, And Returning Officers; The Carriage and Delivery of the Writ; The History, From, Conveyance, and Delivery of the Precept; The Duty of the Returning Officer, previous to the Election; The Form and Effect of Decisions and Last Determinations; The Right of Electors for Boroughs in general, And of Burgage Tenants, Freeholders, Leaseholders, and Copyholders in particular*, J. Johnson, London, 1797, xx + 422
- F.J. Hext, *Letters On Reform In Parliament, Addressed To Sir R.R. Vyvyan, Bart. M.P. And To The Magistracy And Gentry Of Cornwall, Dates from March 11th to April 12th 1831, And Originally Published In The Royal Cornwall Gazette, With The Signature Y.Z. Together With Views of a Modification of the Reform Bill, abstracted from the Letter to that Gentleman, and reduced to the form of Resolutions, as for submission to a County Meeting*, T.R. Gillet Jr., Truro, 1831, 45
- B.W. Hill, *British Parliamentary Parties 1742-1832: From the Fall of Walpole to the First Reform Act*, George Allen and Unwin, London, 1985, xii + 272

- J.H. Hinton, *Memoir Of William Knibb, Missionary in Jamaica*, Houlston and Stoneman, London, 1847, x + 562
- P. Hollis (ed), *Pressure from Without in early Victorian England*, Edward Arnold, London, 1974, ix + 334
- G.J. Holyoake, *Sixty Years Of An Agitator's Life*, 3rd edition, T. Fisher Unwin, London, 1906, x + 307 + x + 320
- G. Howard, *Castle Howard*, 2nd edition, Castle Howard Estate, 1974, 36
- P. Howarth, *Questions In The House: The Records Of A Unique British Institution*, The Bodley Head, London, 1956, 220
- E.M. Howse, *Saints in Politics: The Clapham Sect and the Growth of Freedom*, George Allen and Unwin, London, 1952, xv + 215
- J. Humphrey, *Wentworth Castle: A Short History*, English Life Publications, Derby, 1982, 16
- S. Hyland, *Curiosities from Parliament*, Allan Wingate, London, 1955, xii + 211
- C.J. Davison Ingledeu, *The History and Antiquities Of North Allerton, In The County Of York*, Bell and Daldy, London, 1858, xi + 400
- R.W. Jeffrey (ed), *Dyott's Diary 1781-1845: A Selection From The Journal Of William Dyott, Sometime General In The British Army And Aide-De-Camp To His Majesty King George III*, Archibald Constable, London, 1907, 2 volumes
- G.H. Jennings, *An Anecdotal History Of The British Parliament, From The Earliest Periods to the Present Time. With Notices Of Eminent Parliamentary Men, And Examples Of Their Oratory*, Horace Cox, London, 1880, xv + 530
- L.J. Jennings (ed), *The Croker Papers: The Correspondence And Diaries Of The Late Right Honourable John Wilson Croker, LL.D., F.R.S., Secretary To The Admiralty From 1809 To 1830*, John Murray, London, 1884, 3 volumes
- W.I. Jennings, *Parliament*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1939, xiii + 548
- P. Johnson (ed), *The Oxford Book Of British Political Anecdotes*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986, xviii + 270
- W. Johnston, *England As It Is, Political, Social, And Industrial, In The Middle Of The Nineteenth Century*, John Murray, London, 1851, 2 volumes
- J.A. Jowitt and R.K.S. Taylor (eds), *Nineteenth Century Bradford Elections*, Bradford Centre Occasional Papers No.1, University of Leeds, 1979, 87
- W.A. Jowitt, *The Dictionary of English Law*, Sweet and Maxwell, London, 1959, 2 volumes
- P. Joyce, *Work, Society and Politics: The Culture of the Factory in later Victorian England*, Harvester, Brighton, 1980, xxv + 356
- G.P. Judd, *Members of Parliament 1734-1832*, reprint of 1955 edition, Archon Books, Hamden Connecticut, 1972, vii + 389
- B. Keith-Lucas, *The English Local Government Franchise: A Short History*, Blackwell, Oxford, 1952, 258
- V.O. Key, *Southern Politics In State And Nation*, Caravelle edition, Vintage Books, New York, 1963 (original edition 1949), xxiv + 675 + xiv
- B.L. Kinzer, *The Ballot Question in Nineteenth-Century English Politics*, Garland Publishing, London, 1982, iv + 302
- M.A. Kishlansky, *Parliamentary Selection: Social and Political Choice in Early Modern England*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986, xiii + 258
- J.W. Knapp and E. Ombler, *Cases Of Controverted Elections In The Twelfth Parliament Of The United Kingdom, Being The Second Parliament Since The Passing Of Acts For The Amendment Of The Representation Of The People*, S. Sweet, London, 1837, x + 497
- J. Knott, *Popular Opposition to the 1834 Poor Law*, Croom Helm, London, 1986, 284
- A.D. Kriegel (ed), *The Holland House Diaries 1831-40: The diary of Henry Richard Vassall Fox, third Lord Holland, with extracts from the diary of Dr. John Allen*, Routledge & Kegan Paul, London, 1977, lxiv + 513
- The Late Elections. An Impartial Statement Of All Proceedings Connected With The Progress And Result Of The Late Elections: To Which Is Affixed A Table, Noting Every Change Of Members, And The Closing Numbers Of The Contested Polls Whenever They Could Be Obtained*, Pinnock and Maunder, London, 1818, viii + 551
- T. Lawson-Tancred, *Records Of A Yorkshire Manor*, Edward Arnold, London, 1937, xii + 384
- Leeds Intelligencer Editor, *An Historical Account Of The Late Election For The County Of York, Containing An Authentic Exposition Of The Whole Of The Proceedings Connected With That Event*, Robinson and Hernaman, Leeds, 1826, viii + 264
- J.G. Lemaistre, *How Will It Work? Or, Conjectures As To The Probable Effects Of 'An Act of Parliament to amend the Representation of the People'. (2d. W.4. chap.45) Passed June 7, 1832*, G.A. Williams, Cheltenham, 1832, 40
- D. Le Marchant, *Memoir Of John Charles, Viscount Althorp, Third Earl Spencer*, Richard Bentley and Son, London, 1876, xx + 594

- Letter To A Noble Lord Who Voted For The Second Reading Of The Reform Bill, On The Amendments Which It May Be Expedient To Make In The Committee*, John Murray, London, 1832, 73
- A Letter To H. Warburton, Esq. M.P. On His Proposed Alterations In The Registration Of Voters*, James Ridgway and Sons, London, 1836, 19
- W.G. Lewis, *A Peep At The Commons*, 6th edition, T. Dolby, London, 1820, 24
- N. Longmate, *The Waterdrinkers: A History Of Temperance*, Hamish Hamilton, London, 1968, xiii + 322
- V.R. Lowin and J.M. Price (eds), *The Dimensions Of The Past: Materials, Problems, and Opportunities for Quantitative Work in History*, Yale University Press, London, 1972, 568
- F.H. McCalmont, *The Parliamentary Poll Book of All Elections 1832-1918*, 8th edition with introduction and additional material by J. Vincent and M. Stenton, Harvester, Brighton, 1971, xxvii + 416 + 364 + 104
- T.B. Macaulay, *The History of England from the Accession of James II*, original edition 1849, Macmillan and Co., London, 1913, 6 volumes.
- N. McCord, *The Anti-Corn Law League 1838-1846*, George Allen & Unwin, London, 1958, 226
- M. Macdonagh, *The Reporters' Gallery*, Hodder and Stoughton, London, 1913, xii + 452
- A. Macfarlane, *Reconstructing Historical Communities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1977, xii + 222
- J.F. McLennan, *Memoir Of Thomas Drummond, R.E., F.R.A.S., Under Secretary To The Lord Lieutenant Of Ireland, 1835 To 1840*, Edmonston and Douglas, Edinburgh, 1867, vii + 475
- Lord Mahon and E. Cardwell (eds), *Memoirs By The Right Honourable Sir Robert Peel, Bart., M.P., &c.*, John Murray, London, 1856-7, xii + 366 + iv + 357
- F.W. Maitland, *The Constitutional History Of England*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1908, xxviii + 547
- J. Markham, *The 1820 Parliamentary Election at Hedon: A study of electioneering in a Yorkshire borough before the passing of the Reform Act*, J. Markham, Beverley, [1971], 64
- J. Markham, *Nineteenth-Century Parliamentary Elections In East Yorkshire*, East Yorkshire Local History Society, Beverley, 1982, 52
- J. Markham (ed), *The Diary Of An Honourable Member: The Journal of Henry Broadley MP 1 January 1840 to 17 March 1842 - The everyday working life of an East Riding MP*, Humberside Leisure Services, Hull, 1987, viii + 187
- H.F. Marks, *A Hundred Years of British Food & Farming: A Statistical Survey*, edited by D.K. Britton, Taylor and Francis, London, 1989, xiv + 275
- T. Martin, *A Life Of Lord Lyndhurst: From Letters And Papers In Possession Of His Family*, John Murray, London, 1883, xi + 532
- T. Erskine May, *A Treatise On The Law, Privileges, Proceedings And Usage Of Parliament*, 1st edition, Charles Knight, London, 1844, xiv + 496
- T. Erskine May, *On The Consolidation Of The Election Laws*, Butterworths, London, 1850, 23
- T. Erskine May, *A Treatise On The Law, Privileges, Proceedings And Usage Of Parliament*, 2nd edition, Butterworths, London, 1851, xiv + 644
- T. Erskine May, *The Constitutional History of England Since The Accession Of George The Third, 1760-1860, With A New Supplementary Chapter, 1861-71*, 9th edition, Longmans, Green and Co., London, 1889, 3 volumes
- H. Maxwell (ed), *The Creevy Papers: A Selection From The Correspondence & Diaries Of The Late Thomas Creevy, M.P., Born 1768 - Died 1838*, John Murray, London, 1905, xxviii + 713
- A Member of Lincoln's Inn, *A Cursory View Of Errors On The Subject Of Representation, And The Principles And Means by Which A Just And Safe Representation Of The People Can Be Effected*, Roake and Varty, London, 1831, 23
- A Member of Lincoln's Inn, *Supplement To The Cursory View Of Errors On The Subject Of Representation. With An Appendix, Containing A Mode For Managing Representation By Classification*, Roake and Varty, London, 1831, 27
- H.A. Merewether and A.J. Stephens, *The History Of The Boroughs And Municipal Corporations Of The United Kingdom, From The Earliest To The Present Time: With An Examination Of Records, Charters, And Other Documents, Illustrative Of Their Constitution And Powers*, Stevens and Sons, London, 1835, 3 volumes
- D. Miles, *Francis Place 1771-1854: The Life Of A Remarkable Radical*, Harvester Press, Brighton, 1988, xi + 303
- G.E. Mingay, *Rural Life in Victorian England*, Heinemann, London, 1977, 212
- W.N. Molesworth, *The History Of The Reform Bill Of 1832*, Chapman and Hall, London, 1865, xii + 354
- D.C. Moore, *The Politics of Deference: A study of the mid-nineteenth century English Political System*, Harvester, Hassocks, 1976, 529
- R.B. Mosse, *The Parliamentary Guide: A Concise Biography Of The Members Of Both Houses Of Parliament, Their Connexions, Etc.*, corrected to February 5 1838, A.H. Baily, London, 1838, xvi + 264

- E.M. Mowbray (ed), *Seventy Years At Westminster, With Other Letters And Notes Of The Late Right Honble. Sir John Mowbray, Bart., M.P.*, William Blackwood and Sons, Edinburgh, 1900, vi + 350
- L. Namier, *The Structure Of Politics At The Accession Of George III*, 2nd edition, original edition 1928, Macmillan, London, 1957, xvii + 514
- L. Namier, *Crossroads of Power: Essays On Eighteenth-Century England*, Hamish Hamilton, London, 1962, viii + 234
- L. Namier and J. Brooke, *The House Of Commons 1754-1790*, H.M.S.O., London, 1964, 3 volumes
- The National Trust, *Nostell Priory*, revised edition, The National Trust, London, 1982, 37
- C.W. New, *The Life Of Henry Brougham To 1830*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1961, xi + 458
- H.G. Nicholas, *To The Hustings: Election Scenes From English Fiction*, Cassell, London, 1956, xviii + 342
- S. Noiret (ed), *Political Strategies and Electoral Reforms: Origins of Voting Systems in Europe in the 19th and 20th Centuries*, Nomos, Baden-Baden, 1990, 529
- No Party Man, *Pros And Cons Of Lord John Russell's Bill*, James Ridgway, London, 1831, 36
- T.J. Nossiter, A.H. Hanson and S. Rokkan (eds), *Imagination and Precision in the Social Sciences: Essays in memory of Peter Nettl*, Faber and Faber, London, 1972, 464
- T.J. Nossiter, *Influence, Opinion and Political Idioms in Reformed England: Case Studies from the North-East 1832-74*, Harvester, Hassocks, 1975, xii + 255
- R.B. O'Brien, *Coercion Or Redress, A Chapter from the Melbourne Administration, With A Sketch Of The Political Career Of Thomas Drummond, (Under-Secretary at Dublin Castle under the Viceroyalty of the Marquis of Normanby.)*, Abel Heywood and Son, Manchester, 1881, 28
- F. O'Gorman, *Voters, Patrons and Parties: The Unreformed Electoral System of Hanoverian England 1734-1832*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1989, xiv + 445
- T.H.B. Oldfield, *A Complete History, Political And Personal, Of The Boroughs Of Great Britain; Together with the Cinque Ports, To which is now first added The History of the Original Constitution of Parliaments, From The Time Of The Ancient Britons To The Present Day. With A State Of The Representations, And An Account Of Contested Elections, &c. &c.*, new edition, B. Crosby, London, 1805, 3 volumes
- C. O'Leary, *The Elimination of Corrupt Practices in British Elections 1868-1911*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1962, 253
- R.J. Olney, *Lincolnshire Politics 1832-1885*, Oxford University Press, London, 1973, xiv + 284
- M. Olson, *The Logic Of Collective Action: Public Goods and the Theory of Groups*, 2nd edition, Harvard University Press, Cambridge Mass., 1971, x + 186
- One Of No Party [J. Grant], *Random Recollections Of The House Of Commons, From The Year 1830 To The Close Of 1835, Including Personal Sketches Of The Leading Members Of All Parties*, Smith, Elder and Co. Cornhill, London, 1836, ix + 382
- One Who Thinks Aloud, *The Language Of The Walls: And A Voice From The Shop Windows. Or, The Mirror Of Commercial Roguery*, William Tweedie, London, 1855, vii + 448
- G. Ostler, *Hedon: A Hedon Bibliography; Sources of Local History Information about Hedon*, Local History Archives Unit, Hull College of Further Education, Hull, 1990, no pagination
- M. Ostrogorski, *Democracy and the Organisation of Political Parties*, translated by F. Clarke, Macmillan, London, 1902, 2 volumes
- H. Owen, *Manual For Overseers, Assistant Overseers, Collectors Of Poor Rates And Vestry Clerks, As To Their Powers, Duties and Responsibilities*, Knight and Co., London, 1882, viii + 286
- Oxford English Dictionary*, CD-Rom version, 2nd edition, 1992
- F. Palgrave, *Conciliatory Reform. A Letter, Addressed To The Right Hon. Thomas Spring Rice, M.P., &c. &c. On The Means Of Reconciling Parliamentary Reform To The Interests And Opinions Of The Different Orders Of The Community: Together With The Draft Of A Bill, Founded On The Ministerial Bill, But Adapted More Closely To The Principles And Precedents Of The Constitution*, J. Hatchard & Son, London, [1831], 48
- R. Pares and A.J.P. Taylor, *Essays presented to Sir Lewis Namier*, Macmillan, London, 1956, viii + 542
- G.R. Park, *Parliamentary Representation of Yorkshire: From the earliest representative Parliament on record in the reign of King Edward I, to the dissolution of the twenty-second Parliament, in the reign of Queen Victoria*, Charles Henry Barnwell, Hull, 1886, xxiii + 331
- G.R. Park, *The History Of The Ancient Borough Of Hedon In The Seigniorship Of Holderness, And East Riding of the County of York*, W.G.B. Page, Hull, 1895, ix + 315
- J.J. Park, *Conservative Reform. A Letter Addressed To Sir William Betham, Ulster King Of Arms And Keeper Of The Records In The Tower Of His Majesty's Castle Of Dublin*, London, 1831, 15
- C.S. Parker, *Life And Letters Of Sir James Graham, Second Baronet Of Netherby, P.C., G.C.B., 1752-1861*, John Murray, London, 1907, 2 volumes
- The Patriot's Proposal To The People of England Concerning The Ballot, The best way of choosing their Representatives in Parliament*, London, 1705, 11
- A. Paul, *The History Of Reform: A Record Of The Struggle For The Representation Of The People In Parliament*, George Routledge and Sons, London, 1854, viii + 248

- G. Peel (ed), *The Private Letters of Sir Robert Peel*, John Murray, London, 1920, xi + 296
- J.H. Philbin, *Parliamentary Representation, 1832: England and Wales*, Yale University Press, New Haven, Connecticut, 1965, xxxv + 416
- J.A. Phillips, *Electoral Behaviour in Unreformed England: Plumpers, splitters and straights*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1982, xix + 353
- J.A. Phillips, *The Great Reform Bill in the Boroughs: English Electoral Behaviour, 1818-1841*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1992, xii + 337
- J.A. Phillips (ed), *Computing Parliamentary History: George III To Victoria*, Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 1994, v + 146
- P.A. Pickering, *Remarks On Treating And Other Matters Relating To The Election Of Members Of Parliament And On Some Recent Decisions Of Committees Of The House Of Commons*, James Ridgway, London, 1849, iv + 145
- The Picture of Parliament; Or, A History Of The General Election Of 1802. Containing The Most Remarkable Speeches Delivered on the Hustings, or otherwise published; The Names Of All The Candidates; The State of the Poll at the Close of each Election; The Number of Voters, And The Decisions of the House of Commons on the Right of Election, in each Borough. To Which Is Added, An Alphabetical List Of The Elected Members, Serving as an Index to the Work*, V. Griffiths, London, 1802, 208
- F.F. Piven and R.A. Cloward (eds), *Why Americans don't vote*, updated edition, Pantheon Books, New York, 1989, xiii + xxv + 325
- E. Porritt and A.G. Porritt, *The Unreformed House Of Commons: Parliamentary Representation Before 1832*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1903, 2 volumes
- M.H. Port (ed), *The Houses Of Parliament*, Yale University Press, London, 1976, xxi + 347
- H. Porter, *Hanging In Judgement: Religion and the Death Penalty in England*, SCM Press, London, 1993, viii + 292
- J. Prest, *Politics In The Age of Cobden*, Macmillan, London, 1977, 165
- G. Price, *Complete Election Guide. The Reform Act, 2 Wm.IV. Chap.XLV, Dissected, Arranged, And Illustrated By A Commentary On Its Various Provisions, With Reference To The General Law and Practice of Elections, Directing Electors, Candidates, And Officers, In The Prosecution And Exercise Of Their Rights, And The Performance Of Their Duties. With The Boundary Act, 2 & 3 W.4, c.64*, James Ridgway, London, 1832, xvii + lxxxvii + 294
- J.L. Pritchard, *Sir George Cayley: The Inventor of the Aeroplane*, Max Parrish, London, 1961, xxii + 277
- Publicus Severus [J. Dillon], *Horae Icenae; Being The Lubrications Of A Winter Evening, On The Result Of The General Election, 1835, Part One*, [1835], viii + 75
- M. Pugh, *The Evolution of the British Electoral System*, Historical Association, London, 1988, 32
- The Question Of Reform Considered; With Hints For A Plan*, James Ridgway, London, 1831, 142
- M.H. Rankin, *Present State Of Representation In England And Wales; Being An Alphabetical Arrangement Of All The Counties, Cities, And Boroughs, Sending Members To Parliament: With An Appendix, Containing A Summary Of The Representation In England And Wales, The Reform, And Boundary Acts, And A Copious Index*, Baldwin and Cradock, London, 1832, xxiii + 248
- B.M. Ratcliffe and W.H. Chaloner (eds), *A French Sociologist Looks At Britain: Gustave d'Eichthal and British society in 1828*, Manchester University Press, Manchester, 1977, 169
- Rational And Efficient Reform In The Representation Of The Commons House Of Parliament, Consisting Of Plans, By Which That Great Desideration May Be Accomplished With Safety To The Existing Institutions Of The State, And To The Full Enjoyment Of Political Right By Every Man In The Country Who Pays Taxes*, Charles Henry Cook, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, 1831, 16
- The Real Character And Tendency Of The Proposed Reform*, Roake and Varty, London, [1831], 36
- Reform: Not A New Constitution*, James Fraser, London, 1831, 65
- S.J. Reid, *Life And Letters Of The First Earl Durham 1792-1840*, Longmans, Green and Co., London, 1906, 2 volumes
- Report of Committee on defects of Parliamentary and Municipal registration under the 2nd William 4 C45 & 5&6 William 4 C76; Presented to Sub Committee of Leeds Registration Association*, Leeds, 1841, 40 + 8
- Returns Of The Last Two General Elections, In 1841 And 1847, With The Final State Of The Polls At Each, The Politics Of The Candidates. The Changes Effected By Deaths, Resignations, And The Decisions Of Election Committees; Alphabetic List Of Members, Summary Table Of Elections, &c., Since The Passing Of The Reform Act; Gains And Losses, The Population Of English Boroughs Returning Reformers, Contrasted With That Of Boroughs Returning Tories; And A List Of The Russell Administration*, Smith, Rogers and Co., Liverpool, 1847, 59
- P. Riddell, *Honest Opportunism: The Rise of the Career Politician*, Hamish Hamilton, London, 1993, xv + 304
- R. Robson (ed), *Ideas and Institutions of Victorian Britain: Essays in honour of George Kitson Clark*, G. Bell and Sons, London, 1967, viii + 343

- Romilly's sons (eds), *Memoirs Of The Life Of Sir Samuel Romilly, Written By Himself; With A Selection From His Correspondence*, John Murray, London, 1840, 3 volumes
- John Earl Russell, *Recollections And Suggestions 1813-1873*, Longmans, Green, and Co., London, 1875, x + 475
- Lord John Russell, *Letter To The Electors Of Stroud, On The Principles Of The Reform Act*, 2nd edition, James Ridgway, London, 1839, 44
- R. Russell (ed), *Early Correspondence of Lord John Russell 1805-40*, T. Fisher Unwin, London, 1913, 2 volumes
- L.C. Sanders (ed), *Lord Melbourne's Papers*, Longmans, Green and Co., London, 1889, xvi + 534 papers
- A. Savidge, *The Foundation And Early Years Of Queen Anne's Bounty*, S.P.C.K., London, 1955, vii + 159
- J. Scarlett, *Substance Of The Speech Of Sir James Scarlett, On The Motion For The Second Reading Of The Bill For Reform, In The House Of Commons, With A Letter To Lord Viscount Milton*, John Murray, London, 1831, 32
- H. Schroeder, *The Annals Of Yorkshire, From The Earliest Period To 1852*, George Crosby, Leeds, 1852, 2 volumes
- J. Seaton, *English Constituency Histories 1265-1832: A Guide to printed sources*, House of Commons Library Documents Number 15, H.M.S.O., London, 1986, xvii + 143
- P. Seyd and P. Whiteley, *Labour's Grass Roots: The Politics of Party Membership*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1992, xi + 275
- C. Seymour, *Electoral Reform in England and Wales: The Development and Operation of the Parliamentary Franchise 1832-1885*, original edition 1915, David and Charles, Newton Abbot, 1970, xix + 564
- J.J. Sheahan, *History Of The Town And Port Of Kingston-Upon-Hull*, 2nd edition, John Green, Beverley, 1866, 795
- L.L. Shiman, *Crusade against Drink in Victorian England*, Macmillan, London, 1988, x + 309
- J. Sims (ed), *A Handlist of British Parliamentary poll books*, University of Leicester, Leicester, 1984, xviii + 260
- J. Sinclair, *Thoughts On Parliamentary Reform, With Tables Exhibiting The Original Amount And Progressive Increase Of The Number Of National Representatives; Together With Some Observations On The Present State Of The Representation Of Scotland, And The Improvements Of Which It Is Susceptible*, Bell and Bradfute, Edinburgh, 1831, 31 + 5
- Sixty-Eight Reasons For Opposing The Reform Bill Now Pending In Parliament*, J.G. and F. Rivington, London, [March] 1832, 41
- E.A. Smith, *Lord Grey 1764-1845*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990, xi + 338
- E.A. Smith, *Reform or Revolution? A Diary of Reform in England, 1830-2*, Alan Sutton, Stroud, 1992, ix + 165
- F.B. Smith, *The Making of the Second Reform Bill*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1966, 297
- H.S. Smith, *The Parliaments of England From 1715 to 1847*, compilation of 3 volumes published 1844-50, Political Reference Publications, Chichester, 1973, xii + 772
- C.C. Southey (ed), *The Life & Correspondence of the late Robert Southey*, Longman, Brown, Green and Longmans, London, 1849-50, 6 volumes
- Speeches And Addresses Of The Candidates For The Representation Of The County Of York In The Year 1826; Forming A Strictly Impartial And Authentic Documental Memorial Of The First Election Of Four Knights Of The Shire To Represent That County In Parliament, With The Proceedings At The Election Dinners At York*, Edward Baines, Leeds, 1826, iv + 194
- D. Spring, *The English Landed Estate In The Nineteenth Century: Its Administration*, John Hopkins Press, Baltimore Mary., 1963, vi + 216
- A Statement Of The Arguments Against Reform, Brought Forward In The Late Debates; With The Answers That Were Given To Them By The Speakers Themselves; By The Speakers On The Other Side; Or By Other Speakers On The Same Side*, James Ridgway, London, 1831, 49
- The State of the Law Of Controverted Elections Examined*, Henry Renshaw, London, 1836, 48
- M. Stenton (ed), *Who's Who of British Members of Parliament Volume 1 1832-1885: A Biographical Dictionary of the House of Commons*, Harvester Press, Hassocks, 1976, xvi + 444
- L. Stephen and S. Lee (eds), *The Dictionary of National Biography: From the Earliest Times to 1900*, Oxford University Press edition, Oxford University Press, London, 1921-2, 22 volumes
- A.J. Stephens, *A Practical Treatise On The Law Of Elections; With Directions For Candidates, Electors, Agents, Returning Officers, Overseers, Claimants, And Objectors; And An Appendix, Containing The Statutes For England, Scotland, And Ireland, With Notes; The Boundary Act; And Forms Of Indentures, Precepts, &c. &c.*, first volume, Shaw and Sons, London, 1840, 820 + clii
- M. Stewart, *An Examination Of The Principles And Tendency Of The Ministerial Plan Of Reform*, John Stark, Edinburgh, 1831, 157
- R. Stewart, *Henry Brougham 1778-1868: His Public Career*, Bodley Head, London, 1986, 406
- A.M.W. Stirling, *Annals Of A Yorkshire House: From The Papers Of A Macaroni & His Kindred*, Bodley Head, London, 1911, 2 volumes

- L. Stone, *Road to Divorce: England 1530-1987*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1990, xxvii + 460
- L. Strachey and R. Fulford (eds), *The Grenville Memoirs*, Macmillan, London, 1938, 8 volumes
- D.M. Stuart, *Dearest Bess: The Life and Times of Lady Elizabeth Foster, afterwards Duchess Of Devonshire, from Her Unpublished Journals and Correspondence*, Methuen and Co., London, 1955, xii + 266
- D. Sutherland, *The Mad Hatters: Great Sporting Eccentrics of the Nineteenth Century*, Robert Hale, London, 1987, 208
- S. Sutherland, *Irrationality: The Enemy Within*, Constable, London, 1992, ix + 357
- J. Symons, *High Street, Hull, Some Years Since, And Biographical Sketches, Interspersed With Historical Accounts Of: The Dock Company - The Town, ancient and modern - Siege, Hospitals - Guilds, &c.*, J.W. Leng, Hull, 1862, 183
- P.D.G. Thomas, *The House Of Commons In The Eighteenth Century*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1971, ix + 382
- C. Thomson, *The Book Of Ripon: An Historical Anthology*, Barracuda Books, Chesham, 1978, 124
- R.G. Thorne, *The History of Parliament: The House of Commons 1790-1820*, Secker and Warburg, London, 1986, 5 volumes
- P.M. Tillott (ed), *The Victoria History of the Counties of England: A History of Yorkshire - The City of York*, Oxford University Press, London, 1961, xix + 577
- W.M. Torrens, *The Life And Times Of The Right Honourable Sir James R.G. Graham, Bart., G.C.B., M.P.*, Saunders, Otley, and Co., London, 1863, 2 volumes
- W.M. Torrens, *Memoirs Of The Right Honourable William Second Viscount Melbourne*, Macmillan and Co., London, 1878, 2 volumes
- G.M. Trevelyan, *Lord Grey Of The Reform Bill: Being The Life Of Charles, Second Earl Grey*, 2nd edition, Longmans, Green and Co., London, 1929, xi + 413
- J.C. Trewin, *Printer To The House: The Story of Hansard*, Methuen, London, 1952, xv + 272
- H. Twiss, *Conservative Reform, Being Outlines of a Counterplan, Enclosed In A Letter To Lord Lyndhurst*, S. Sweet, London, 1832, 36
- Two Letters Addressed To Earl Grey, Upon The Substance And Tendency Of The Reform Bill, As Introduced Into The House Of Commons By Lord John Russell*, Roake and Varty, London, 1831, 35
- Two Letters To The Right Honourable Lord John Russell On The Classification Of Boroughs*, Roake and Varty, London, [January and February] 1832, 28
- G.S. Veitch, *The Genesis of Parliamentary Reform*, with introduction by R. Muir, Constable, London, 1913, xxxi + 397
- J. Vernon, *Politics And The People: A study in English political culture, c.1815-1867*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993, xviii + 429
- J.R. Vincent, *Pollbooks: How Victorians Voted*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1967, 194
- J. Wade, *The Black Book: An Exposition Of Abuses In Church And State, Courts Of Law, Municipal Corporations, And Public Companies; With A Precis Of The House Of Commons*, new edition, Effingham Wilson, London, 1835, xxxii + 683
- J. Wade, *Appendix To The Black Book: An Exposition Of The Principles And Practices Of The Reform Ministry And Parliament: The Church And The Dissenters; Catastrophe Of The House Of Lords; And Prospects Of Tory Misrule: With Tables Of Ecclesiastical And Election Statistics, And Corrections Of Former Editions Of The Black Book*, 6th edition, Effingham Wilson, London, 1835, xii + 132
- S.H. Walpole, *The Electorate and the Legislature*, 2nd edition, Macmillan and Co., London, 1892, viii + 163
- J. Walsh, *Observations On The Ministerial Plan Of Reform*, James Ridgway, London, 1831, 83
- J. Walsh, *The Practical Results Of The Reform Act Of 1832*, John Murray, London, 1860, iv + 159
- J.K. Walton, *The Second Reform Act*, Methuen, London, 1987, vii + 52
- J.T. Ward, *East Yorkshire Landed Estates In The Nineteenth Century*, East Yorkshire Local History Society, York, 1967, 75
- W.R. Ward, *The English Land Tax In The Eighteenth Century*, Oxford University Press, London, 1953, 188
- E.A. Wasson, *Whig Renaissance: Lord Althorp and the Whig Party 1782-1845*, Garland Publishing, London, 1987, viii + 439
- W. Watson, *An Historical Account Of The Ancient Town And Port Of Wisbech, In The Isle Of Ely, In The County Of Cambridge, And Of The Circumjacent Towns And Villages, The Drainage Of The Great Level Of The Fens, The Origin Of The Royal Franchise Of The Isle Of Ely &c.*, H. and J. Leach, Wisbech, 1827, xxvi + 700
- S. and B. Webb, *English Local Government From The Revolution To The Municipal Corporations Act: The Parish And The County*, 2nd impression, Volume 1, Longmans, Green and Co., London, 1924, xxv + 664
- C.R. Weld, *Statistical Companion To The Pocket Book*, John W. Parker, London, 1843, viii + 55
- C.R. Weld, *Statistical Companion To The Pocket Book*, John W. Parker, London, 1844, viii + 60
- The Wellesley Papers: The Life And Correspondence of Richard Colley Wellesley, Marquess Wellesley 1760-1842, Governor-General Of India 1790-1805, Secretary of State For Foreign Affairs 1809-1812 And*

- Lord Lieutenant Of Ireland 1821-1827, 1833-1834. Including Hitherto Unpublished Correspondence With ...*, Herbert Jenkins, London, 1914, 2 volumes
- P. Whiteley, P. Seyd & J. Richardson, *True Blues: The Politics of Conservative Party Membership*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994, xiii + 303
- W. Wilberforce, *A Letter To The Gentlemen, Clergy And Freeholders Of Yorkshire; Occasioned By The Late Election For That County*, Luke Hansard, London, 1807, 37
- W. Wilberforce, *The Law and Practice of Election Committees, in a Letter to the Electors of Hull*, James Fraser, London, 1839, 65
- E.N. Williams, *The Eighteenth Century Constitution 1688 - 1815: Documents And Commentary*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1960, xvi + 464
- O. Williams, *Lamb's Friend the Census-Taker: Life And Letters Of John Rickman*, Constable, London, 1920, xi + 330
- [B. Wilson], *The Struggles Of An Old Chartist; What he knows, and the part he has taken in various movements*, John Nicholson, Halifax, 1887, 40
- F.M.G. Wilson, *A Strong Supporting Cast: The Shaw Lefevres 1789-1836*, Althone Press, London, 1993, xiv + 409
- J. Wilson, *A Biographical Index To The Present House Of Commons. Including The Constitution of the Counties, Cities, and Boroughs, an Account of Ex-Members, unsuccessful Candidates, &c.*, corrected to February 1808, Thomas Goddard, London, [1808], xii + 718
- J.Q. Wilson, *Political Organisations*, Basic Books Inc., New York, 1973, 359
- The Windham Papers: The Life and Correspondence Of The Rt. Hon. William Windham, 1750-1810, A Member Of Pitt's First Cabinet And The Ministry Of 'All Talents', Including Hitherto Unpublished Letters ... With An Introduction By The Rt. Hon. The Earl Of Rosebery K.G., K.T.*, Herbert Jenkins, London, 1913, 2 volumes
- H.E. Witmer, *The Property Qualifications of Members of Parliament*, Columbia University Press, New York, 1943, 245
- J. Wolstenholme, *An Account Of The Manner Of Proceedings At The Contested Election For Yorkshire, In 1807, Chiefly Relating To The Office Of Sheriff*, J. Wolstenholme, York, 1818, 40
- J. Woods, *A Bibliography of Parliamentary Debates of Great Britain*, H.M.S.O., London, 1956, 62
- D.G. Wright, *Democracy and Reform 1815-1885*, Longman, Harlow, 1970, viii + 160
- Yorkshire Contested Election Part First. Containing All The Songs, Epigrams, &c. Issued During The Contest Betwixt William Wilberforce, Esq., Lord Viscount Milton, And The Honourable Henry Lascelles. And which terminated on the Fifth of June 1807 In The Two Former Gentlemen Being Declared Duly Elected*, Edward Baines, Leeds, 1807, 47
- Yorkshire Election: A Collection Of The Speeches, Addresses, And Squibs, Produced By All Parties During The Late And Contested Election For The County Of York Betwixt William Wilberforce, Esq., Lord Viscount Milton, And The Honourable Henry Lascelles, which terminated on the Fifth of June, 1807, when the two former Gentlemen were declared duly Elected, To Which Is Added A Brief History Of The Election Including An Impartial Narrative Of The Causes Which Lead To The Dissolution Of Parliament, The Ground Taken By Each Candidate At The Commencement Of The Election, And The Progress Of The Contest*, Edward Baines, Leeds, 1807, 108
- R.E. Zegger, *John Cam Hobhouse: A Political Life, 1819-1852*, University of Missouri Press, Columbia, 1973, vi + 312