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This thesis describes a theoretical and experimental investigation of a novel ultrasonic fuel injector valve constructed from a tapered metal horn whose wide end is bonded to a quartz crystal. Fuel enters sideways at the longitudinal antinode and passes along a central hole to a ball valve at the tip. When the crystal is driven by an oscillatory pulse, it excites longitudinal vibrations, bouncing the ball from its seat and releasing fuel.

The ball motion without any liquid resistance has been studied theoretically through a computer program. Parameters such as the maximum gap between the ball and the seat, the frequency, amplitude and initial condition of the tip motion, and the time of impact have been studied, and compared with measurements of ball motion in a large vibrating model.

The injector tip motion has been measured using a laser technique. The measurements have been made with and without fuel flow. The effects of the fuel supply pressure and pulse width on the tip motion have been studied. Using this data, the effect of the actual (non-ideal) motion of the tip on the behaviour of the ball was studied theoretically.

A model of the valve was designed so that flow forces on the ball and the flow rates through the varicus passages could be measured. Using this data, the ball motion with fluid resistance was computed under various conditions. This in turn permitted the fluid flow from the injector valve to be predicted.

Five scale models of new valve geometries were designed and installed on an improved test rig. By this means, the effect of valve geometry on flow force and the flow rates within the valve were studied. The behaviour of actual injector flow during flow pulses was studied using laser anemometry.

The main findings of this investigation were as follows. The ball moves with a random frequency. An increase in the maximum gap between the ball and the seat caused, in general, a decrease in the frequency spectrum of the ball. Tip motion was found to build up in 5 ms to the steady state. Increasing the supply pressure caused a reduction in the amplitude of the tip motion. A decay of 1.5 ms in the tip motion was observed after switching off the injector. The effect of various geometrical changes in the design of the tip and its passageways on ball force and flow rates has been demonstrated. Ball motion bujlt up in $1.5-2 \mathrm{~ms}$, and decayed in 3 ms . Ths liquid resistance was found to have a significant influence on the ball motion. The flow rate built up to the steady state in 2-3 ms and decayed in 2 ms . The total flow was found to have linear characteristics after $1.5-2 \mathrm{~ms}$ from switching on the injector.

Of particular significance, it was predicted theoretically and measured experimentally that the flow rate was independent of supply pressures over 40 psi.
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## NOTATICNS

a Acceleration of the tip at any instance.
A Ratio of the tip velocity at any instance to the maximum velocity of the tip.
$A_{\text {cs }}$ Area of the measuring cross section.
$A_{m t}$ Area of the tip inlet of the model.
$A_{r} \quad$ Projected area of the uppar half of the ball.
Avt Area of the top inlet of the valve.
Ao Ratio of the initial velocity of the ball to the maximum velocity of the tip.
$A_{01}$ Ratio of the velocity of the ball after the collision to the maximum velocity of the tip.
$A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ and $A_{4} \quad$ Cross sectional areas between the ball and the lower wall of the valve at sections 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively.
$A_{1-2}, A_{2-3}, A_{3-4}$ and $A_{4-5} \quad$ Projected areas of the lower half of the ball between sections 1 and 2, 2 and 3, 3 and 4 and 4 and 5 respectively.

B Constant.
Bo Ratio of the initial position of the ball from a fixed datum to the maximum gap between the ball and the seat.
$B_{o g} \quad$ Ratio of the position of the ball from a fixed datum at the instance of the reversal in the direction due to the gravity to the maximum gap between the ball and the seat.
$c_{0} \quad$ Velocity of the sound.
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Dm}} \quad$ Coefficient of drag of the model.
$C_{D v} \quad$ Coefficient of drag of the valve.
$d_{m t} \quad$ Dianeter of the top inlet of the model.
$d_{v t} \quad$ Diamster of the top inlet of the valve.
D Ratio of the maximum amplitude of the tip to the maximum gap between the ball and the seat.
$D_{m} \quad$ Diameter of the ball of the model.
$D_{v} \quad$ Diameter of the ball of the valve.
E Young's modulus.
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ Euler's number of the valve.
$f$ Frequency of the tip (c/s).
$f_{D} \quad$ Doppler shift frequency.
$f_{\mathrm{Dm}} \quad$ Maximum instantaneous Doppler frequency.
Fe Measured net force on the ball.
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{g}} \quad$ Gravity force on the ball.
$F_{1}$ Flow force on the lower half of the ball.
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{mb}} \quad$ Buoyancy force on the ball of the model.
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{mf}}$ Flow force on the ball of the model.
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{u}} \quad$ Flow force on the upper half of the ball.
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vb}}$ Buoyancy force on the ball of the valve.
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}} \quad$ Flow force on the ball of the valve.
$F_{\text {vo }} \quad$ Flow force on the ball of the valve when it is on the seat.
g Gravitational acceleration.
$\mathrm{g}_{1} \quad$ Acceleration of the ball due to the fluid and gravity forces.
$G_{m} \quad$ Ratio of the parameter of damped vibration to the tip frequency.

K Spring constant.
L. Fundamental variable of length.
$L_{o} \quad$ Fundamental dimension of length.
m Mass of the ball.
M Mass of the ball plus the added mass.
n Natural frequency of the spring-mass system.
N Number of the tip cycles at any instance.
$N_{m} \quad$ Maximum number of the tip cycles.
$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}} \quad$ Atmospheric pressure.
$p_{\text {mo }}$ Static pressure at the outlet of the model.
$p_{\text {mo }}^{*} \quad$ Piezomatric pressure at the outlet of the model.
$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{mt}}$ Static pressure at the top inlet of the model.
$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{w}} \quad$ Pulse width.
$p_{\text {vo }}$ Static pressure at the outlet of the valve.
$p_{\text {vo }}^{*}$ Piezometric pressure at the outlet of the valve.
$p_{v t}$ Static pressure at the top inlet of the valve.
$\mathrm{p}_{1}^{*}, \mathrm{p}_{2}^{*}, \mathrm{p}_{3}^{*}, \mathrm{p}_{4}^{*}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{6}^{*} \quad$ Piezometric pressures at sections 1,2 , 3,4 and 6 respectively.
$P_{m t}$ Supply pressure at the top inlet of the model.
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{v}}$ Supply pressure to the valve.
$P_{v t}$ Supply pressure at the top inlet of the valve.
Qut Flow rate at the top inlet of the model.
$Q_{v L}$ Instantaneous flow rate of the valve.
$Q_{\mathrm{vN}}$ Total flow for each increment of time.
Qvs Side inlets flow rate of the valve.
Qvt Top inlet flow rate of the valve.
Q ${ }_{\mathrm{VT}}$ Total flow rate of the valve.
r Radius of the ball.
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}} \quad$ Reynolds number.
$R_{\text {em }}$ Reynolds number of the model.
$R_{\text {ev }}$ Roynolds number of the valve.

Reynolds number of the valve based on the relative velocity betwesn the ball and the flow at the top inlat. collisions.
Velocity of the ball after the collision.
Relative velocity between the ball and the flow at the top
inlet.
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad$ Tip velocity before the collision.
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ib}}$ Ball velocity before the collision. Time at any instance between two successive collisions. Number of the tip cycles in which the ball makes one cycle. Fundamental dimension of time.
Velocity of the tip after the collision.
Initial velocity of the ball.
Flow velocity at the outlet of the model.

| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{mt}}$ | Flow velocity at the top inlet of the model. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}$ | Maximum velocity of the tip |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{vL}}$ | Flow velocity at the test section. |
| v vo | Flow velocity at the outlet of the valve. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{vt}}$ | Flow velocity at the top inlet of the valve. |
| ${ }^{W}{ }_{d}$ | Damped natural frequency. |
| $\mathrm{w}_{0}$ | Frequency of the tip ( $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ ). |
| W | Tip cycles (in radian) at any instance. |
| $W_{G}$ | Tip cycles (in radian) in which the velocity of the ball becomes zero due to the gravity force. |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | Ratio of the tip frequency to the damped natural frequency. |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Injector tip natural frequency. |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | Number of the tip cycles (in radian) in which the separation of the ball from the soat occurs. |
| $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ | Tip cycles (in radian) at any instance between two successive collisions. |
| y | Gap between the ball and the seat. |
| $y_{\text {max }}$ | Maximum value of y . |
| $y_{\text {min }}$ | Minimum value of y . |
| $y_{0}$ | Initial position of the ball from a fixed daturn. |
| $y_{\text {Og }}$ | Position of the ball from a fixed datum at the instance of the reversal in its direction due to the gravity force. |
| $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{W}}$ | Maximum gap between the ball and the seat. |
| $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | Maximum amplitude of the tip. |
| $y_{1}$ | Position of the tip from a fixed datum. |
| $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | Position of the ball from a fixed datum. |
| y | Argument of the polynomial. |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | Ratio of the position of the tip from a fixed datum to the maximum gap between the ball and the seat. |

Y Ratio of the gap between the ball and the seat to the maximum gap between the ball and the seat.
$z_{\text {mo }}$ Height of the outlet of the model from the datum.
$z_{m t}$ Height of the top inlet of the model from the datum.
$z^{z}$ vo Height of the outlet of the valve from the datum.
$z_{v t}$ Height of the top inlet of the valve from the datum.
Z Ratio of the gravitational acceleration to the maximum acceleration of the tip.

乙 Time of impact.
$\sigma$ Poisson's ratio.
$\lambda$ Beam wave length.
$\theta$ Beam intersection angle.
$\delta$ Initial phase angle of the steady state motion of the tip.
$\gamma$ Parameter of damped vibration.
$\beta$ Initial phase angle of the free vibration of the tip.
$\propto$ Parameter of damped vibration.
$\triangle W \quad$ Increment of $W$.
$\nu_{m}$ Kinematic viscosity of the liquid in the model.
$\nu_{v}$ Kinematic viscosity of the liquid in the valve.
$\rho_{m}$ Density of the liquid in the model.
$\rho_{v}$ Density of the liquid in the valve.

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 GENERAL

The ultrasonic fuel metering valve has been designed by Plessay Company - U.K. The metering valve consists of a ball valve in the tip of an ultrasonic oscillator (horn). When the horn is oscillating, the ball is caused to bounce off its seat releasing fluid. The valve has been designed to provide precisely motered quantities of fuel, suitable for distribution and combustion when signalled by a controlling source. The metering valve provides an uncomplicated, cost effective response to increasing demands for fuel economy and low pollution combustion. For this reason, the ultrasonic fuel metering valve has become an object of extensive studies.

### 1.2 THE NEED FOR THE INVESTIGATION

It was found by The Plessey Company that the flow per pulse for small pulse widths (such as 1 ms ) fluctuated (1). This indicated that the flow on each stroke of the engine would be different. This phenomenon is most undesirable. In order to determine the reason for this phenomanon, parameters which affect the behaviour of the valve should be studied. This would hopefully give an improved understanding of the injector and lead to better performance. A search through the literature revealed that very little is known about the parameters which affect the behaviour of the valve.

It is therefore considered that a theoretical and experimental study is needed.

### 1.3 SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF THE PRESENT INV ESTIGATION

The general purpose of this investigation is to study:

1. The motion of the ball in various injector valves.
2. The consequent fuel flow, with a view to improving performance.

The ball motion without any liquid resistance has been studied theoretically through a computer program. Parameters such as the maximum amplitude of the injector tip, the maximum gap betwoen the ball and the seat, the frequency of the tip, the initial conditions of the tip motion, and the time of impact have been studied.

The ball motion without any liquid resistance was measured in a large model of the injector valve. The purpose of these measurements was to check the results of the theoretical analysis of the ball motion, and to get an understanding of the ball motion between two vibrating walls.

The ultrasonic fuel injector was set up in the laboratory for measuring the amplitude of the vibration of the tip and the total flow rate from the injector.

In an attempt to find the effect of the tip motion on the behaviour of the valve, the tip motion has been measured by using a laser technique. The measurements have been made with and without fuel flow. The effects of the supply pressure and the pulse width on the tip motion have been studied.

The effect of the non-ideal motion of the tip on the behaviour of the ball has been studied theoretically by using the laser data in the previous theoretical analysis of the ball motion.

A large scale model of the injector valve has been designed, and a rig has been set up in an attempt to measure the force of the fluid on the ball and the flow rate in the model. The purpose of doing these measurements was to permit calculation of the forces of the fluid on the ball and the flow rate in the valve of the injector.

In an attempt to find the effect of the liquid resistance on the ball motion, the ball motion with the fluid resistance has been studied theoretically through a computer program. Consequently the fluid flow from the injector valve was predicted theoretically through a computer program.

Five scale models of different geometries of the valve were designed and a new test rig was set up for measuring the force of the fluid on the ball and the flow rate in these models. The fluid force on the ball and the flow rate were calculated in the corresponding injector valves. The aim of this study was an attempt to find the effect of the geometry of the valve on the fluid force on the ball and the flow rate in the valve.

To study the behaviour of the actual injector flow during the pulse, the flow rate to the injector has been measured by using the laser technique.

## ULTRASONIC FUEL INJECTOR

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter is devoted to getting an idea about the ultrasonic fuel injector. The need for the ultrasonic fuel injector, background development, description of the injector and the recent studies on the injector are given below.

### 2.2 THE NEED FOR THE ULTRASONIC NUEL INJECTOR

The need for an improvement over the conventional carburettor has become apparent in recent years in the automotive industry. The emphasis on reduction of exhaust emissions of a harmful nature first showed up the deficiencies of this device. Recently the rising cost of petroleum products and the need to conserve resources has increased the momentum of the search for improved combustion in spark engines. In order to accomplish improvement in combustion, it is necessary to meter the fuel accurately to the cylinder, to prepare the fuel by fine atomisation and to achieve a high response rate from the injectors. A new technological approach to the metering of fuel is now needed to meet the new requirements. Such an approach is offered by the Plessey system. The basis of the Plessey fuel system is the ultrasonic fuel injector (2).

The ultrasonic fuel injector is a transducer designed to have a minimum of mechanical complexity, be producible at low cost and provide that vital link between the control system and the fuel supply. It has only one moving part, which is non-sliding and low
mass, is simple to manufacture, uses low cost, wide tolerance components and has excellent response characteristics. Durability has been proven on life tests with injectors operating for over 4000 hours and still performing within manufacturing specification. In addition to the obvious advantages over the better known electro-magnetic valves, such as: inherent simplicity, good fuel preparation, long life and low cost, it also offers unique operational characteristics beneficial to the system designer. The non existence of sliding parts and the small surface area of the ball also make the injector suitable for use with the more viscous fuels such as diesel (3). In a single point system, the ultrasonic fuel injector is positioned to inject fuel into the manifold at a point so that all the cylinders are supplied with an air/fuel mixture created at this point (fig. (2.1)) (2).

### 2.3 BACKGROUND DEVETOPMENT

Plessey commenced research into the use of ultrascnics as a means of fuel management more than 12 years ago. Early work was concerned mainly with ultrasonic atomisation of fuels for improved combustion, particularly liquid fuels heavier than gasolene. Some experience was gained in mixture preparation for operating low compression ratio spark ignition engines on kerosine and diesel fuel. Improved light up of small gas turbines was successfully demonstrated, as was a device for re-igniting aero engines following high altitude flame out. The next stage of development introduced a means of flow control in addition to the capabilities. A solid state metering valve termed an Electro Fluidic Divider was


FIG. 2.1 ULTRASONIC FUEL INJECTOR IN
A SINGLE POINT SYSTEM
developed and comprised a small sharp edged metered orifice, situated in the tip of an ultrasonic velocity transformer. In its quiescent state fuel passed through the orifice and emerged in a coherent stream where it was wholly collected by a small capillary collector tube facing the orifice a short distance from it. This fuel was then returned to some convenient part of the fuel pumping system. When the fluidic divider was activated, cavitation created on the back of the orifice caused a disintegration of the fuel stream, the majority of which by-passed the collector tube in the form of a fine spray. Thus by controlling the excitation period and repetition frequency the fuel could be both metered and atomised. Further multi-fuel research was carried out using this device and some considerable success was achieved operating twostroke outboard engines on kerosene, and a small Wankel engine on kerosene and diesel fuel. At about this time several automotive companies expressed their interest in the fluidic divider for volume automotive fuel control systems. There were two main disadvantages with this design when used with gasolene. Firstly, a possibility of fuel vapourisation from the jet during the activated state, especially at high temperature and low ambient pressure. Secondly, the problem of continuously cycling the fuel. Its main attractions, however, were that it was truly solid state and inexpensive to produce. The prospect of a high volume market for the injector in the automotive industry led to an increase in development activity, which has culminated in the present ball valve injector design. This injector is now passing from its development stage to the production engineering phase (3).

### 2.4 DESCRTPTION OF THE INJECTOR

### 2.4.1 General

The injector (which is shown in fig. (2.2)) comprises a steel body (see fig. (2.3)), shaped as a velocity transformer with a length equal to one half wave length at approximately 60 KHz . A piezoelectric ceramic disc is bonded to the low amplitude end and a metering ball valve located at the high amplitude end of the body. Fuel is introduced at or near the vibration nodal plane and the injector is also mounted in this plane. The injector is vibrated at its resonant frequency by an electronic drive oscillator. In its non activated state the ball is held against its seat by the fuel pressure. When commanded by the control unit the drive oscillator output excites the injector body into resonance and the valve seat vibrations shake the ball from its closed position, allowing atomised fuel to pass from the valve. When the oscillator is switched off vibrations cease and the ball returns, under the action of fuel pressure, to its seat.

### 2.4.2 Injector Ball Valve

The metering valve itself (fig. (2.4)) is an important component of the injector and consists of three main parts:
(1) A valve seat.
(2) A steel ball of 2 mm diameter.
(3) A ball location housing.

Current designs enable this valve to be manufactured and subjected to certain performance and quality control criteria which can be related to its metering accuracy in the finally assembled


FIG. 2.2 ULTRASONIC VALVE
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FIG. 2.4 BALL VALVE ASSBMBLY
injector. This enables the valve to be rejected at an early low cost state before any significant value is added (3).

The main metering orifice is not the exit orifice, but the varying orifice between ball and seat. Thus, if the ball movement during a pulse is predictablo within certain finite limits, the metering accuracy is not affected by contamination as it is continually cleaned by ball contact over a defined area of the seat (3).

The ball location housing is designed to control the very complex ball movements and fluid dynamics within the valve (3).

### 2.4.3 In,joctor Body

The piezo ceramic disc is bonded to the rear face at the low amplitude end of the injector body which is shaped to give sufficient amplification of the piezo disc vibrations for correct valve operation. The injector must be mounted close to its vibration node because of the dynamic nature of its operation and care must be exercised when mounting to avoid undue damping of the vibrations. By careful design, a simple, reliable mounting arrangement was developed which provides a reliable means of introducing fuel (fig. (2.5)), thereby eliminating the need for a conventional rubber hose with all its inherent problams of rubber deterioration, poor sealing, etc. (3).

### 2.4.4 Drive Circuits

Drive to the injector is supplied from a power oscillator, the injector forming one part of the resonant loop thereby controlling the drive frequency at the resonant frequency of the injector. The
response of the oscillator is excellent and resonant frequency and maximum drive volts are achieved within 3 cycles of oscillation after switch-on. Power requirements for the injector when operating at maximum duty cycle can be from 8 to 15 watts, depending on the maximum flow rate of the injector (3).

### 2.5 RECENT STUDIES ON THE INJECTOR

The recent studies on the injector have been done only by the Plessey Company Limited.

Grubb, Milsom and Abram (1) have examined the tip motion under a microscope. It was found that although the tip motion was detectable, the amplitude was too small to allow accurate measurement by this method.

Grubb, Milsom and Abram. (1) have also measured the tip motion by a capacitive measuring technique. The injector was filled with fuel, but without atomization. It was deduced that the amplitude of vibration of the tip was 0.0026 mm . Also it was found that the motion of the tip was built up to the final amplitude over several milliseconds.

The motion of the ball was studied theoretically through a computer program by (1). It was assumed that the ball was bouncing between the top wall and the seat of the injector valve without any fluid resistance. The coefficient of restitution for the ball-wall collisions was taken to be unity, and the tip motion was assumed to be sinusoidal. The maximum amplitude of the tip motion, the frequency of the tip and the maximum gap between the ball and the seat were $0.0025 \mathrm{~mm}, 60 \mathrm{KHz}$ and 0.25 mm respectively.

It was found that the ball was moving in a random motion. Martin and Sumal (3) have found that the injector had linear characteristics for fuel flow versus pulse width, with only a slight change in the slope at about 0.8 ms pulse width (fig. (2.6)). It was also found in (3) that the flow versus the supply pressure characteristics of the injector were unique (fig. (2.7)). Their explanation of the results was that when the supply pressure increased, the flow rate increased as a function of the square root of the pressure drop across the exit orifice. But at the same time the force on the ball increased by the increase of the supply pressure, and hence the ball movement decreased. This meant that the area between the ball and the seat was a function of the reciprocal of the square root of the pressure drop across this orifice.


FIG. 2.5 SCHEMATIC VIEN OF FLOW THROUGH FUEL SUPFLY ARRANGEMEUT


FIG. 2. 6 FUEL FLOW vs FULSE WIDTH FOR TWO TYFICAL INJECTCRS

1. FUEL VELOCITY
2. EFFECTIVE CRIFICE AREA
3. NET FLOW FROM ULTRASONIC INJECTOR


FIG. 2.7 EFFECT OF FUUL PRESSURE ON FLOW

## Chapter Three

## THEORBTJCAL ANALYSIS OT THS BALL MOTION <br> WITHOUT FLUID RESISTANCE

### 3.1 INTRODUCTTON

Since when the ball collides at the exit orifice of the valve, it closes the valve, the flow rate from the injector will be affected by the motion of the ball. The motion of the ball can thus be considered of importance in the design of the ultrasonic fuel injector.

The only research which has been done on this problem was by Grubb, Milsom and Abram (1). It was assumed by them that the ball was bouncing between the upper and lower walls of the valve without any fluid resistance. The transient or stochastic nature of the starting process and the time of impact were not considered. It was found that the ball was moving. in random frequency.

In this chapter the ball motion has been studied theoretically using a computer program. Parameters such as the maximum amplitude of the injector tip, the frequency of the injector tip, the maximum gap between the ball and the seat, the time of impact and the starting condition of the injector tip were studied.

### 3.2 THEORY

### 3.2.1 Injector Tip Motion

### 3.2.1.1 Equation of Motion of the Injector Tip

The injector tip was assumed moving sinusoidly. The following equation represented the motion of the tip from a fixed datum which was assumed as the lina passing through the seat at $t=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=y_{x} \sin \left(w_{0} t+s\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{x}$ represents maximum amplitude of the tip, $w_{0}$ frequency of the tip, $t$ time at any instance arter switching on the injector, and $s$ initial phase angle of the tip.

### 3.2.1.2. Starting Conditionsof the Tip Motion

Two starting conditions have been assumed:

1. The tip was moving down at $t=0$, i.e. $s=\pi$
2. The tip was moving up at $t=o$ i.e. $s=o$.

### 3.2.2 Motion of the Ball

3.2.2.1 Bcuation of Motion of the Ball

The ball was assumed to move in one dimension, fig. (3.1). The motion of the ball was assumed without any fluid resistance. The forces which were assumed acting on the ball were the collision and the gravity forces.

The following euqation represented the motion of the ball from the same datum as the tip:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}=-g t_{1 / 2}^{2}+v_{0} t_{1}+y_{0} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{2}$ represents the position of the ball from a fixed datum, E gravity accelcration, $t_{1}$ time at any instance between two succossive collisions, $v_{0}$ initial ball velocity and $y_{0}$ initial position of the ball from a fixed datum.

### 3.2.2.2 Starting Conditions of the Ball Motion

Two starting conditions have been assumed:

1. At $t=0, t_{1}=0, y_{0}=y_{1}$, and the ball was moving down with $v_{o}$ equal to the maximum velocity of the tip.


FIG. 3.1 THEORETICAL MODEL OF THE INJEGTOR VALVE
2. At $t=0, t_{1}=0, y_{0}=y_{1}$, and the ball was moving up wi.th $v_{o}$ equal to zero.

### 3.2.2.3 Equation of the Gan between the Ball and the Seat

 The gap between the ball and the seat was found by subtracting eqn. (3.1) from eqn. (3.2):$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}_{2}-\mathrm{y}_{1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y=-g t_{1 / 2}^{2}+v_{0} t_{1}+y_{0}-y_{x} \sin \left(w_{0} t+s\right)$

### 3.2.2.4 Collision between the Ball and the Lialls of the Valve

The collision between the ball and the seat occurred when $y=0$, and the collision between the ball and the upper wall occurred when $y=y_{w}$. When the collision occurred, $t_{1}, y_{0}$ and $v_{o}$ changed and became as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{1}=t_{c o}, \\
& y_{o}=y_{1}, \\
& v_{o}=v_{o 1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t_{\text {co }}$ represents the time between two successive impacts and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o} 1}$ the velocity of the ball after the collision.

Since the material of the ball and the walls of the valve ware the same (steel), the coefficient of restitution was assumed to be unity. Since the mass of the injector horn was large compared to the mass of the ball, the velocity of the tip was assumed unaffected by the collision with the ball.

From (4) the velocity ( $v_{01}$ ) of the ball after the collision
was:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{o 1}=v_{f}+v_{i}-v_{i b} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the time of impact was not considered in the analysis:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{f}=v_{i}=v \text { at the instance of the impact, } \\
& \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
v_{i b}=v_{b} \text { at the instance of the impact, }
$$

where $v_{f}$ represents the velocity of the tip after the collision, $v_{i}$ the velocity of the tip before the collision, $v$ the velocity of the tip at any instance between two successive collisions, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ib}}$ the velocity of the ball before the collision and $v_{b}$ the velocity of the ball at any instance between two successive collisions.

## Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{o 1}=2 v_{f}-v_{i b} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$v_{f}$ was found from the derivative of $y_{1}$ with respect to $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{f}=y_{x} \quad w_{o} \quad \cos \left(w_{0} t+s\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$v_{b}$ was found from the derivative of $y_{2}$ with respect to $t_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{b}=-g t_{1}+v_{o} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the instance of the impact $t_{1}=t_{c o}$ and hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i b}=-g t_{c o}+v_{0} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting eqn. (3.7) and eqn. (3.9) in eqn. (3.6) we obtained:

$$
v_{o 1}=2 y_{x} w_{0} \cos \left(w_{0} t+s\right)+g t_{c o}-v_{o} \text { (3.10) }
$$

### 3.2.2.5 Equation of the Gap After the Reversal in the Direction of the Ball Due to Gravity

found by equating eqn. (3.8) to zero:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{g}=\frac{v_{0}}{g} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation of the gap after the reversal in the direction of the ball due to gravity was found in a similar way to that in which eqn. (3.4) has been found:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=-g t_{1 / 2}^{2}+y_{o g}-y_{x} \sin \left(w_{o t}+s\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{1}$ represents $t_{g}$ plus the time at any moment between the instance of the reversal in the direction and the instance of the following impact, and $y_{o g}$ the position of the ball from a fixed datum at the instance of the reversal in the direction.

### 3.2.2.6 Steady State Motion of the Ball

Since the flow rate from the injector should be constant during the pulse width, and since the main metering orifice was not the exit orifice, but the varying gap between the ball and the seat, (3), the time of the ball cycle was considered of importance in controlling the flow rate from the injector. The cycle of the ball was considered as the movement of the ball between two successive impacts with the seat. The flow rate from the injector would be constant during the pulse width when the period of the ball cycle was constant during the pulse and this motion of the ball was considered as the steady state motion.

### 3.2.3 Dimensional Analysis

Dimensional analysis has been used in this analysis because it does yield information about the form of mathematical relations
connecting the relevant variables and suggests the most effective way of grouping the variables together.

It could be seen from eqn. (3.4) that the gap between the ball and the seat was affected by the following variables:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{0}, y_{x}, y_{w}, t, s, g, t_{1}, v_{0}, y_{0} \\
& \text { i.e. } y=\emptyset\left(w_{0}, y_{x}, y_{w}, t, s, g, t_{1}, v_{0}, y_{0}\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The group method was used in applying the dimensional analysis as follows:

Using the mass, length and time system, the dimensional matrix was:

|  | $y$ | $w_{0}$ | $y_{x}$ | $y_{w}$ | $t$ | $s$ | $g$ | $t_{1}$ | $v_{0}$ | $y_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $T$ | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 0 |

The variables included dimensions of length and time. So that two fundamental variables were used to include these dimensions. Selecting $y_{x}$ and $w_{0}$, the fundamental dimensions of length and time were expressed in terms of these:-

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{\left[\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}\right]=\left[\mathrm{I}_{0}\right]} & \text { giving } & {\left[\mathrm{L}_{0}\right]=\left[\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}\right],} \\
{\left[\mathrm{W}_{0}\right]=\left[\mathrm{T}_{0}^{-1}\right]} & \text { giving } & {\left[\mathrm{T}_{0}\right]=\left[\mathrm{W}_{0}^{-1}\right]}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { Group } \pi_{1} \cdot[\mathrm{y}]=\left[\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]=\left[\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}\right]
$$

$$
\text { Whence } \mathrm{y}=\pi_{1} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}} \text {. }
$$

$$
\text { Group } \pi_{2} \cdot\left[y_{w}\right]=L_{o}=\left[y_{x}\right]
$$

$$
\text { Whence } y_{w}=\pi_{2} y_{x} \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{2}=\frac{y_{w}}{y_{x}}
$$

Group $\pi / 3 \cdot[t]=\left[T_{0}\right]=\mathrm{w}_{0}^{-1}$.
Whence $\quad t=\pi_{3} \mathrm{w}_{0}^{-1} \quad \pi_{3}=t \mathrm{w}_{0}$

Group $\pi_{4} \cdot[\mathrm{~s}]=[0]$.
Whence $s=\pi_{4}$ and $\pi_{4}=s$.
Group $\pi / 5 \cdot[\mathrm{~g}]=\left[\mathrm{L}_{0} \mathrm{~T}_{0}^{-2}\right]=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}$.
Whence $\mathrm{g}=\pi_{5} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{w}_{0}^{2}$ and $\pi_{5}=\frac{\mathrm{g}}{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{w}_{0}^{2}}$.
Group $\pi_{6} \cdot\left[\mathrm{t}_{1}\right]=\left[\mathrm{T}_{0}\right]=\left[\mathrm{w}_{0}^{-1}\right]$.
Whence $t_{1}=\pi_{6} \mathrm{w}_{0}^{-1}$ and $\pi_{6}=t_{1} \mathrm{w}_{0}$.
Group $\Pi_{7} \cdot\left[\mathrm{v}_{0}\right]=\left[\mathrm{L}_{0} \mathrm{~T}_{0}^{-1}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}\left.\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}} \cdot \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{o}}\right] .\end{array}\right.$
Whence $\quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}=\pi_{7} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\quad \pi / 7=\frac{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{o}}}$.
Group $\pi_{8} \cdot\left[\mathrm{y}_{0}\right]=\left[\mathrm{L}_{0}\right]=\left[\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}\right]$.
Whence $\mathrm{y}_{0}=\Pi_{8} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad$ and $\quad \pi_{8}=\frac{\mathrm{y}_{0}}{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}}$.

$$
\frac{y}{y_{x}}=\Psi\left(\frac{y_{w}}{y_{x}}, t w_{0}, s, \frac{g}{y_{x} w_{0}^{2}}, t_{1} w_{0}, \frac{v_{0}}{y_{x} w_{0}}, \frac{y_{0}}{y_{x}}\right) \text {, (3.14) }
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y}{y_{w}}=\Psi\left(\frac{y_{x}}{y_{w}}, t w_{0}, s, \frac{g}{y_{x} w_{0} z}, t_{1} w_{0}, \frac{v_{0}}{y_{x} w_{0}}, \frac{y_{0}}{y_{w}}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $\frac{y}{y_{w}}=Y, \quad \frac{y_{x}}{y_{w}}=D, t w_{0}=w, \frac{g}{y_{x} w_{0}^{2}}=z$,

$$
t_{1} w_{0}=w_{1}, \frac{v_{0}}{y_{x} w_{0}}=A_{0}, \frac{y_{0}}{y_{w}}=B_{0},
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=W\left(D, W, s, Z, W_{1}, A_{0}, B_{0}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the same dimensionless groups are used in eqn. (3.4) it becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=D\left(-Z W_{1}^{2} / 2+A_{0} W_{1}+B_{0}\right)-D \sin (s+W) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $Y=0$, the collision was with the lower walls and when $Y=1$, the collision was with the upper wall. Ban. (3.10), for the velocity of the ball after collision, becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{01}=2 \cos (W+s)+\left(2 W_{1}-A_{0}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From eqn. (3.11), the ball velocity becomes zero in WG radians due to the gravity $f$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
W G=\frac{A_{0}}{Z} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqn. (3.12), for the gap after the reversal in the direction of the ball due to gravity, becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=D\left(-z W_{1}^{2} / 2+B_{o g}\right)-D \sin (W+s) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2.4 Time of Impact

Since the shape of the upper and lower walls of the valve look like a cone, and the ball collided with the walls of the valve all round, the time of impact was a complicated problem. To simplify the problem, impact was assumed between the ball and a plane surface (of a massive body at one point). This time of impact was probably longer than that of the actual case. The time of impact of this assumption was calculated and compared with the time of the cycle of the ball to find if the time of impact was important or not.

Many investigators have studied the problem of the time of impact with a plane surface of a massive body of the same material.
S. C. Hunter ( 5 ) has calculated the absorption of vibrational enerey in the form of slastic waves generated by a transient localized force acting normally to a free surface of a simi-infinite solid in terms of Fourier components of the force. He has applied this result to the Hartzian collision of a small body with a plane surface of a massive specimen. He has concluded that for impact velocities which were small compared to the propagation velocity of elastic waves in the specimen, a negligible proportion of the original kinetic energy of the small body was transferred to the specimen during the collision. Hunter considered this result as conforming the validity of the Hertz theory (see Love (6)). for the detail of this theory) for collisions between a small and a massive body. It has been stated by Hunter that this analysis became applicable only when the thickness of the massive body was sufficient to prevent the first reflected elastic wave returning to
the contact area before the impact terminated, and also

$$
\left(\frac{v_{i b}-v_{i}}{c_{0}}\right)^{1 / 5} \ll 1
$$

Goodier, Jashrnan and Ripperger (7) have measured the time of impact between a steel ball with a steel block. It was their observation that the duration of contact given by Hertz agreed well with their measurements provided that the height through which the ball was dropped was small. Lifshitz and Kolsky (8) have measured the time of impact between a steel ball with steel block. They have observed that the time of impact had the values predicted by the Hertzian treatment for elastic deformations even when the velocities of impact were as much as eight times the velocity first required to injitiate plastic yield.

One of the premises on which Hertz's mathematical theory of collision of elastic bodies was based was that the strains produced in the immediate neighbourhood of the region of contact are determined by the pressure subsisting at any instant between the bodies, and were practically the same as under static conditions. Raman (9) has shown that this premise was valid even when the impinging bodies did not move as rigid bodies, and the impact caused to conversion of part of the translational kinetic energy into energy of elastic wave-motion in the solid. Based on a series of experiments on the transverse impact of a solid sphere on an infinitely extended elastic plate of finite thickness, he calculated the coefficient of restitution, assuming that this coefficient was a function of the elastic constants and densities of the materials, the diameter of the sphere, the thickness of the plate, and the velocity of impact.

Raman stated that when the velocity of impact was not very large and the thickness of the plate was not much smaller than the dianeter of the impingion sphere, the time of impact predicted by Hertz's theory agreed well with the time of impact necessary in the calculation of the coefficient of restitution.

From the literature cited above the Hertz theory could provide excellent evaluation of the time of impact between the ball and the walls of the valve. In this analysis Hertz theory was used and from Hunter ( 5 ) the equation of the time of impact was:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{c}=2.94\left[\frac{15}{8} m\left(\frac{1-\sigma^{2}}{s}\right)\right]^{2 / 5} r^{-1 / 5}\left(v_{i b}-v_{i}\right)^{-1 / 5}, \tag{array}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ represents the mass of the ball, $\sigma$ Poisson's ratio, $\mathbb{E}$ Young's modulus, $r$ radius of the ball, $v_{i b}$ velocity of the ball before the collision and $v_{i}$ velocity of the tip before the collision.

The two conditions which have been stated by Hunter, for the application of Hertz theory to this problem were also applied in this analysis:

2) The mass of the horn of the injector was very large compared to the mass of the ball, and the horn was long enough to prevent the first reflected elastic weve returning to the contact area before the impact terminated, i.e. the time of impact was smaller than the time which the first elastic reflected wave took to come back to the . contact area again.

When the time of impact was included, eqn. (3.1) becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=-g t_{1}^{2} / 2+v_{0} t_{1}+y_{0}-y_{x}\left[\sin w_{0}(t+\tau)+s\right], \\
& \text { eqn. (3.7) becomes: } \\
& v_{f}=y_{x} w_{0} \cos \left[w_{0}(t+\tau)+s\right],  \tag{3.23}\\
& \text { and eqn. (3.9) becomes: } \\
& v_{o 1}=y_{x} w_{0} \cos \left[w_{0}(t+\tau)+s\right]+y_{x} w_{0} \cos \left(w_{0} t+s\right)+g t_{c o}-v_{0} \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.3 COMPUTVR PROGFIMS

### 3.3.1 Computer Program Without the Time of Impact

Fig. (3.2) shows the flow chart of the computer program. Fortran language was used on the ICL 1906 computer at Sheffield University.

The value of $Y$ was calculated for each increment $\Delta W$ and this process was continued until $Y$ became $\leqslant 0$, i.e., the collision was with the lower wall, or $Y$ became 1 , i.e., the collision was with the upper wall. The value of $W$ for which $Y$ was equal 0 , or $Y$ equal 1 was found by using CO5ACF subroutine which was stored in the library of the computer. This subroutine was based on bisection method. The computer program is shown in appendix (2).


FIG. 3.2 FLOW CILART OF THE COMPUTER PROGRAM WITICUT THE TIME OF IMPACT

### 3.3.1.1 Input Data to the Computer Program

Table (3.1) shows the input data to the computer program. The ultrasonic fuel injector has been designed with the following parameters (1):-

$$
y_{x}=0.0025 \mathrm{~mm}, y_{w}=0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \text { and } \mathrm{f}=60 \mathrm{kHz}
$$

In this analysis the following ranges of the parameters were used:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}=0.001-0.008 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{w}}=0.1-0.8 \mathrm{~mm} \text { and } \\
& \mathrm{f}=40 \mathrm{KHz}-80 \mathrm{KHz} .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to these ranges, the ranges of the dimensionless groups were chosen:

$$
D=0.1-0.005 \quad \text { and } \quad Z=1 \times 10^{-6}-5 \times 10^{-4}
$$

s was set up to 0 when the tip was moving up at $t=0$, and it was set up to $\pi$ when the tip was moving down at $t=0$. It could be seen from the paramsters that the acceleration of the tip was very high compared to the gravity acceleration for very small increment of time, so that the separation of the ball from the tip was assumed to occur at $t=0$.

According to the starting conditions which were mentioned in Section (3.2.1.2), the valves of $A_{0}$ and $B_{0}$ were as follows: $A_{0}=1$ and $B_{0}=0$, when the ball was moving up at $t=0$. $A_{0}=0$ and $B_{0}=0$, when the ball was moving down at $t=0$. $\Delta W$ was set up to 0.4 rad which was very small compared to the period of the tip motion, and could save a lot of computing time.

| $s$ <br> $(\mathrm{rad})$ | $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{0}$ | D | $z$ | W <br> $(\mathrm{rad})$ | $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ <br> $(\mathrm{rad})$ | W <br> $(\mathrm{rad})$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{cycle})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi$ | 0 | 0 | $0.1-0.005$ | $1 \times 10^{-6}-5 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 1000 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | $0.1-0.005$ | $1 \times 10^{-6}-5 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 1000 |

TABEE (3.1). INPUT DATA TO THE COMPUTSR PROGRAM WITHOUT THE TIME OF IMPACT

### 3.3.2 Computer Procram With the Time of Impact

Fig. (3.3) shows the flow chart of this cimputor program. The computer program of Section (3.3.1) was modified by feeding $w_{0}$, $\sigma, E, m, r$ and the time of impact euqation to the computer program. The input data to the computer program is shown in Table (3.2). The computer program is shown in appendix (3).

### 3.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

### 3.4.1 The Ball Motion Without the Time of Impact

.2.3.4.1.1 The Tip Moving Down at $t=0$.
Figs. (3.4-3.7) show plots of the period (T) of the ball. cycle versus the tip cycles ( N ), for $D$ in the range $0.005-0.1$ and $Z=5 \times 10^{-4}$. These figs. show that the ball was not moving in a steady state. Some times the ball was moving with repeatable periods, but this repeatability disappeared again, figs. (3.6, 3.7). The reason for unsteady state motion was because the impact was with improper phase.

Also it can be seen from these figs. that when $D$ was increased, $T$ decreased. This is bocause the increase in $D$ can be viewed as a decrease in the maximum gap $\left(y_{W}\right)$ between the ball and the walls of the valve, and hence $T$ is expscted to decreass. Alternatively an increase in $D$ might be due to the increase in the maximum amplitude $\left(y_{x}\right)$ of the tip while keeping $y_{w}$ constant, but since $Z$ was constant, this increase would decrease the frequency of the tip $\left(w_{0}\right)$, but the maximum velocity of the tip increases. The velocity $\left(v_{01}\right)$ of the ball after the collision was dependent on the instantaneous velocity (v) of the tip, i.e., on the magnitude


FIG: 3.3 FLON CHART OF THE CCMPUTAK PROGRIM WITH THA TIMS OF IMPACT


FIG. 3.4 PERIOD OF THE BALL CYCLE (IN TERM OF THS TIP CYCLES) NUMBER OF THE TIP CYCLES RELATIONSHIP


FIG. 3.5 partod of the ball cycle (in thrm of the tip cycles)NUMBER OF THE TIP CYCLES RELATIONSHIP

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{s} \\ (\mathrm{rad}) \end{gathered}$ | $A_{0}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | D | 2 | $\Delta{ }_{(\mathrm{rad})}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{1} \\ (\mathrm{rad}) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{W}}{(\mathrm{rad})}$ | $\begin{gathered} N_{m} \\ (\operatorname{cycle}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{w}_{0} \\ (\mathrm{rad}) \end{gathered}$ | $\sigma$ | $\begin{gathered} E \\ \left(N / r^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{kg})}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{mm})}{\mathrm{r}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi$ | 0 | 0 | 0.1-0.005 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \times 10^{-6}- \\ & 5 \times 10^{-4} \end{aligned}$ | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \times 10^{5}- \\ 5 \times 10^{5} \end{gathered}$ | 0.3 | $212 \times 10^{9}$ | $3 \times 10^{-5}$ | 1 |

TABLE (3.2). INPUT DATA TO THE COMPUTER PROGRAM WITH THE TIME OF IMPACT
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FIG. 3.7 PERTOD OF THE BALL CYCLE (IN TERM OF THS TIP CYCLES) -
NUMBER OF THE TIP CYCLES RILATIONSHIP
of the velocity and the phase angle with which the ball hit the walls of the valve, and on the velocity ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ib}}$ ) of the ball before the collision. So the decrease in the period (T) when $D$ was increased might not be due to the increase in $y_{x}$ or due to the decrease in $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{o}}$.

These figs. show also that there was a more orderly pattern with increasing D.

Similar results were obtained for $Z$ in the range $1 \times 10^{-6}-5 \times 10^{-4}$.

Figs. (3.8-3.12) show the relationship between the ratio ( $A_{01}$ ) of the ball velocity ( $v_{01}$ ) after the collision with the lower wall of the valve to the maximum velocity of the tip, versus the tip cycles (N), for $D$ range $0.001-0.1$ and $Z=5 \times 10^{-4}$. It can be seen from these figs. that $A_{01}$ was changing randomly with the increase of $D$. An increase of $D$ can arise due to the decrease of the maximum gap $\left(y_{w}\right)$ between the ball and the walls which would change the phase angles in which the ball hit the walls of the valve. Also an increase in $D$ can arise from an increase in the maximum velocity of the tip with constant $Z$ and this would change the phase angles with which the ball hit the walls of the valve. Similar results were obtained for $Z$ in the range $1 \times 10^{-6}-5 \times 10^{-4}$.

Figs. (3.13-3.18) show plots of the period (T) versus the tip cycles (N), for $D=0.01$ and $Z$ range $1 \times 10^{-6}-5 \times 10^{-4}$. These figs show that when $Z$ was increased for constant $D$, the periods (T) were changed randomly. The explanation of this is that an increase in $Z$ can be due to a decrease of the tip frequency ( $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) whilst keeping the maximum
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fig. 3.13 period of the ball cycle (in term of the tip cicles) numbir of the tip cycles relationship
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amplitude $\left(y_{x}\right)$ of the tip and the maximum gap $\left(y_{W}\right)$ between the ball and the walls of the valve constant. This would decrease the maximum velocity of the tip. Also an increase in $Z$ can be due to a decrease in $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}$ whilst keeping $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{O}}$ constant and decreasing $y_{w}$. The decrease in the maximum velocity of the tip would changa the phase angles with which the ball hit the walls of the valve.

Similar results were obtained for $D$ in the range $0.005-0.1$.
The relationship between the ratio (Y) of the gap (y) between the ball and the lower wall of the valve to the maximum gap $\left(y_{w}\right)$ versus the tip cycles (N) for $D=0.005$ and $Z=5 \times 10^{-4}$ is shown in fig. (3.19). This fig. shows that the effect of gravity could not reverse the direction of the ball. Also this fig. shows that the ball could reach the top wall from the first cycle. Similar results were obtained for $D$ in the range $0.005-0.1$ and $Z$ in the range $1 \times 10^{-6}-5 \times 10^{-4}$.

### 3.4.1.2 The Tip Moving Up at $t=0$.

Fig. (3.20) shows plot of the period (T) of the ball cycle versus the tip cycles (N), for $D=0.05$ and $Z=5 \times 10^{-4}$. It can be seen from this plot that the ball was also moving in random motion, and the ball moved some times in repeatabls periods, but this repeatability disappeared again. It can be deduced from the results that the change in the initial condition of the tip could change the motion of the ball, but the effects of $D$ and $Z$ remained the same (see fig. (3.6)). Similar results were obtained for $D$ in the range $0.005-0.1$ and $Z$ in the range $1 \times 10^{-6}-5 \times 10^{-4}$.
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### 3.4.2 The Time of Impact

The relationship betwoen the time ( $\bar{\tau}$ ) of impact and the time ( $t$ ) is shown in fig. (3.21), for $w_{0}=5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{rad}, \mathrm{D}=0.01$ and $Z=5 \times 10^{-6}$. This fig. shows that the time $(\Sigma)$ of impact was loss than $10 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~s}$.

Similar results were obtained for $w_{o}$ range
$2.5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{rad}-5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{rad}, \mathrm{D}$ range $0.005-0.1$ and 2 range $1 \times 10^{-6}-5 \times 10^{-4}$. Since the length of the injector horn was 30 mm , the elastic wave took $12 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~s}$ to return to the contact area, and hence the impact terminated before the first elastic wave returned to the contact area. This is one of the limits of applying fiertz theory of impact. Fig. (3.22) shows plot of the ratio $\left(\frac{v_{i b}-v_{i}}{c_{0}}\right)^{1 / 5}$ of the relative velocity before the impact to the sonic velocity in the steel raised to the power one-fifth versus the time ( $t$ ), for $w_{0}=2.5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{rad}, D=0.01$ and $Z=2 \times 10^{-5}$. This fig. shows that the maximum value of $\left(\frac{v_{i b}-v_{i}}{c_{0}}\right)^{1 / 5}$ was 0.36 . Similar results were obtained for $w_{o}$ in the range $2.5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{rad}$ $-5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{rad}, \mathrm{D}$ in the range $0.005-0.1$ and $Z$ in the range $1 \times 10^{-6}-5 \times 10^{-4}$. Hunter ( 5 ) has found that when the ratio was equal 0.17 the experimental value of the coafficient of restitution was significantly lower than the theorstical estimate by Hertz theory. From this it can be deduced that the values of $\left(\frac{v_{i b}-v_{i}}{c_{0}}\right)^{1 / 5}$ were not satisfying the limits of Hertz theory, and hence Hertz theory was not used in predicting the time of irapact.
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Since the velocity of impact was very high and the ball collided with the walls of the valve all round, the time of impact was expected to be very small compared to the period of the ball cycle. Therefore the time of impact was not considered in this analysis.

# MEASURING THE MOTION OF A BALL IN A LARGE MODEL <br> OF THE INJECTOR TIP WITHOUT FLUID RESISTANCE 

### 4.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter is devoted to measuring the ball motion in a large model of the injector valve without any liquid resistance. The purpose of this measurement was to check the results of the theoretical analysis of the ball motion which was described in Chapter 3, and to get an understanding of the ball motion between two vibrating walls. Details concerning the test apparatus and procedure, and the test results and discussions are given below.

### 4.2 TEST APPARATUS AND PRCCEDURE

Fig. (4.1) shows the layout of the test apparatus. Fig. (4.2) shows the photograph of the test apparatus. A ball is fixed inside an LVDT transducer which is itself vibrated.

Ball movement is measured by LVDT output.
LVDT body movement is measured by a proximity transducer.
The linear variable differential transformer (LVDT) (Schaevitz Engineering, type $100 \mathrm{M} . S . L$.$) served as a model of the valve of the$ injector. A 3 mm . steel ball was put in the hole of the LVDT transducer, and the two ends of the hole were blocked by two 3 mm . steel bars. The gap in which the ball moved was controlled by the distance between the ends of these bars inside the transducer. The lower bar was fixed inside the transducer and the ball rested on the bar before the test was started. The upper bar was marked with 1 mm . marks and was a tight fit into the bore of the transducer. Before starting the test the upper bar was fixed in one position inside the


FIG. 4.1 LAYOUT OF THE APPARATUS


transducer, and hence the maximum gap in which the ball moved was fixed. The LVDT was connected to the oscillator/demodulator (R.D.P. Electronics Ltd., type D7). The power was supplied to the transducer by the oscillator section. The output signal (which was an amplitude-modulated wave) was restored to the form of the original displacement by the demodulator. The output of the oscillator/demodulator (which was fed to the storage oscilloscope), represented the motion of the ball. The period of the ball cycle was measured from this signal. The LVDT transducer was secured to the driving spindle of a vibration generator (Goodmans, type V. 50 Mk. 1). The vibration generator was connected to a power amplifier (Goodmans, type 50 VA ) which amplified the driving current from an oscillator (Farnell Sine-Square, type LFM2). The oscillator was connected to the power amplifier through a special electronic switch and an oscilloscope. The amplified oscilloscope input signal was available as an output which was connected to the power amplifier. This not only provided gain but permitted the output signal to be phase inverted relative to the input. The electronic switch received the continuous signal from the Farnell oscillator and gave no output until the input signal was rising through zero. Thus, in combination with the oscilloscope amplifier, this permitted the initial phase of the oscillation to be controlled to either or $180^{\circ}$ on a sine wave. The frequency and the amplitude of the oscillator signal were controlled by the manual controls of the oscillator. The amplitude and frequency of the oscillatory motion of the LVDT transducer was controlled by the oscillator signal. The motion was measured by a proximity vibration pick-up (Southern Instruments Ltd, type G211A.199) which was connected to the inductive transducer
oscillator (Southern Instruments Ltd., type M.1822). The output of this transducer was fed to F.M unit (type M. 1800) whose output was fed to the storage oscilloscope, representing the motion of the LVDT transducer. The maximum amplitude of the LVDT body was measured from this signal.

Before starting the test the output signal of the F.M. Unit on the oscilloscope was calibrated by turning the dial of the proximity vibration pick-up by the screw at the top of the transducer, and measuring the change in the voltage on the oscilloscope. Also before starting the test the initial phase of the oscillation, the frequency and the amplitude of the LVDT transducer were fixed. To start the test the electronic switch was turned on.

Since it was difficult to compare the theoretical results of Chapter 3 with the experimental results of this Chapter when the ball was moving in random motion, the measurements were done when the ball was moving in steady state motion. Therefore if the ball was not moving in steady state, the electronic switch was turned off, the frequency and the amplitude of the LVDT transducer were then changed. The electronic switch was turned on again. This process was repeated until the ball moved in a steady state motion. If the steady state motion of the ball was not obtained, the electronic switch was turned off and the gap in which the ball moved was changed. The electronic switch was turned on again. This process was repeated until the ball moved in steady state motion. When the steady state condition was obtained, the following readings were taken:

1. The frequency of the LVDT transducer body.
2. The maximum amplitude of the LVDT transducer body.
3. The period of the ball cycle.

### 4.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Figs. (4.3-4.8) show photographs of the ball motion signal and LVDT transducer motion signal on the oscilloscope for different values of maximum amplitude of the LVDT transducer, frequency of LVDT transducer, initial phase and the gap in which the ball was moving. Figs. (4.3-4.5) show that the ball was moving in steady state motion. The reason for the steady state motion is because the ball was colliding with the upper and lower walls in proper phase. It can be seen from these figs. that the time of impact was very small compared to the period of the cycle, because the relative velocity before the collision was very high.

Figs. (4.6-4.7) show that the ball had double impacts with the lower wall. Multiple impact' phenomenon also have been found by M. A. Veluswami ( 10 ) who studied the motion of a ball between two oscillated plates. This phenomenon is due to a rapid change in the phase at impact when the wall catches up with the ball after the first impact and strikes it again. It can be seen from these figs. that the time of impact was significant compared to figs. (4.3-4.5). M. A. Veluswami ( 10 ) has also found that when the multiple impacts occurred, the time of impact increased. He has explained this result as due to the low velocity of impact. This agrees with Hertzian impact theory and the observation that low ball velocity is a prerequisite for double impact.

Fig. (4.8) shows that the time of impact was significant compared to the period of the ball cycle. It can be seen from Figs. (4.3-4.8) that the frequency of the ball was approximately the same as the excitation frequency. M. A. Veluswami ( 10 ) has found that the ball was moving at the excitation frequency in

BALL MOTION
$0.5 \mathrm{~mm} /$ Div - $5 \mathrm{~ms} /$ Div

## LVDT MOTION

$0.6 \mathrm{~mm} /$ Div $-5 \mathrm{~ms} / \operatorname{Div}$


FIG. 4.3 - PHOTOGRAPH OF THE BALL MOTION SIGNAL AND OF THE LDVT MOTION SIGNAL
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FIG. 4.5 - PHOTOGRAPH OF THE BALL MOTION SIGINAL AND OF THE
LVDT MOTION SIGNAL
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FIG. 4.6-PHOTOGRAPH OF THE BALL MOTION SIGNAL AND OF THE LVDT MOTION SIGNAL,
$f=114 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad y_{x}=0.163 \mathrm{mn}, \quad y_{w}=0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$.
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FIG. 4.8 - photograph of the ball motion signal and of the LVDT MOTION SIGNAL
$\mathrm{f}=98 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{w}}=0.45 \mathrm{~mm}$.

| D | Z | Period <br> (actual <br> experimental) <br> (sec) | Impact Time <br> (sec) | Period <br> (modified <br> experimental) <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | Period <br> (theoretical) <br> (sec) | Initial Phase <br> (experimental) | Initial Phase <br> (theoretical) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.18 | 0.1379 | $8 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0 | $8 \times 10^{-3}$ | $7.2 \times 10^{-3}$ | up | up |
| 0.41 | 0.1172 | $8.3 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.8 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4 \times 10^{-3}$ | up | up |
| 0.54 | 0.032 | $7.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0 | $7.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.8 \times 10^{-3}$ | down | down |
| 0.637 | 0.027 | $5 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0 | $5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.7 \times 10^{-3}$ | down | down |
| 1.086 | 0.0237 | $5 \times 10^{-3}$ | 2.7 | $2.3 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ | up | down |
| 1.225 | 0.0527 | $9.6 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.4 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.2 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5 \times 10^{-3}$ | down |  |

TABLE 4.1 - COMPARISON BETWEZN THE EXPERIMENTAL AND THE THEORETICAL RZSULTS
steady state. The experimental values of the maximum amplitude of the LDVT transducer, the frequency of the LVDT transducer and the maximum gap in which the ball moved for each initial phase angle were fed into the computer program (which was described in Chapter 3) as D and $Z$ parameters (also defined in Chapter 3). The results of the computer program were checked with the experimental results.

Table (4.1) shows comparison between the values of the period of the ball cycle theoretically and experimentally for different values of $D$ and $Z$ for each initial phase angle. Since the time of impact was not considered in the analysis of Chapter 3, it was subtracted from the experimental value of the period of the ball cycle. The time of impact is also shown on the table.

## Chapter Five

MEASUREMENT OF THE AMPIITUDE OF THE ULTRASONIC FUEL INJECTOR TIP BY LASER ANEMOMETRY

### 5.1 INTRODUCTION

In the theoretical analysis of the ball motion which has been described in Chapter 3, the injector tip was assumed moving sinusoidally. To predict the equation of the injector tip motion and to find the effects of the fluid flow from the injector and the inertia of the injector body on the tip motion, the tip motion has been measured.

Grubb, Milsom and Abram (1) have measured the amplitude of the tip by using a microscope. Their results were not accurate because the amplitude of the tip was too small and the frequency was too high to allow accurate measurement by this method. Also, they could only measure steady-state amplitudes and not transients.

A capacitive measuring technique was also used by (1). The principle on which this method operated was the variation in capacitance of a parallel plate capacitor as the plate separation was changed. The injector was examined without atomisation but it was filled with the fuel. The amplitude of the tip was deduced to be $2.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mm}$, and the motion could be seen to build up slowly to the final amplitude when the injector was energised, and this build up was seen to take place over several milliseconds.

In this investigation a laser technique has been employed in measuring the tip motion to get accurate results. The measurement has been done with and without atomisation, and the effects of the supply pressure and the pulse width on tip motion has been studied.

The details of test apparatus, test program, test procedure, results and discussions are given below.

### 5.2 TEST APPARATUS

The test apparatus consisted of injector equipment and laser equipments.

Fig. (5.1) shows the photograph for the apparatus.

### 5.2.1 Injector Equipment

Fig. (5.2) shows the layout of the injector equipments. The injector (type $E \times 4$ ) which is described in Chapter 2 was fixad rigidly above a small tank which was filled with kerosins. The kerosine was delivered from the tank to the injector by a pump (Lucas) of 120 psi . maximum pressure. The supply pressure of the kerosine to the injector was controlled by a regulator (Norgren) and measured by pressure gauge (Hamworthy Engineering). A filter (Fram, type C1191) was used in filtering the kerosine before it was delivered to the injector. The pulse width during which the injector was switched on, was controlled by an oscillator (Farnell Sine-Square, type LFM2) and was of $50 \%$ duty cycle. The frequency of the oscillator, which was equal $\frac{1}{2 \text { pulse width }}$ was read on a Counter-Timer (Racal, type SA535).

### 5.2.2 Laser Equipments

Fig. (5.3) shows the layout of the laser equipment. The laser anemometer (DISA, type 55L) is a system for scientific measurements of local flow velocity. The DISA laser Doppler Anemometer possesses advantages in flow measurement, and its performance data ensures a




FIG. 5.2 LAYOUT OF THE INJECTOR EQUIPMENT

high order of accuracy in velocity measurements (11).
The detail of the laser equipment was described in (11). The instrument comprised 5 mW He-Ne laser, integrated optical unit, high voltage supply, photomultiplier mounted on optical bench, and electronic frequency tracker for continuous tracking of the Doppler frequency. The laser equipment was set up in such a way that the beam was directed on the tip of the injector. The optical unit (type 55L01) was operated in differential Doppler mode on backward scattered light. In this mode two beams of equal intensity were intersected on the tip of the injector. The beam intersection angle $(\theta)$ was set up to 4.77 degrees. The optical unit comprised beam splitter, mirror, Bragg cells and lens.

The optical bench constituted a rigid base for mounting of the laser head, optical unit, and photomultiplier. The photomultiplier (type 55L10) was used to detect the Doppler shifted light scattered from the point of the measurement. The photomultiplier comprised PM tube, shutter, pin-hole, view finder, tube and objective lens. High voltage supply (type 55L15) was used to supply a continuously adjustable DC voltage for the photomultiplier. Voltage indication was provided by a front-panel meter. Another front-panel meter read PM-tube anode current.

The signal processor (type 55L20) improved the signal-to-noise ratio of the Doppler signal and converted the frequency ( $f_{D}$ ) into an analog voltage. It comprised preamplifier, frequency tracker unit, and meter unit. The preamplifier had level selectors and a frontpanel meter to indicate signal level. The anode current of the high voltage supply was connected to the input of the preamplifier.

The frequency tracker had controls for tuning (manual and automatic), IF level, set level, threshold level, IF bandwidth, Doppler frequency range, and the dropout detector which had OUT/IN switch and a front-panel-meter which read relative duration of signal dropouts (0 to 100\%).

The meter unit indicated Doppler frequency, corresponding to the injector tip velocity. The two output sockets are in parallel and carry the instantaneous analog output voltage.

### 5.3 TEST PROGRAM

In each test the maximum instantaneous Doppler frequency was measured for each pulse width and for each supply pressure. The tests with atomisation were done for pulse width range $1-6 \mathrm{~ms}$, and for supply pressure range 5-60 psi. One test was done for pulse width $0.5-50 \mathrm{~ms}$, and for 40 psi . pressure supply. The test without atomisation was done for $1-6 \mathrm{~ms}$ pulse width.

### 5.4 TEST PROCEDURE

The supply pressure to the injector was fixed to a specific value. The pulse width in which the injector was switched on was also fixed to specific value.

The shift frequency was fixed to 7.5 MHz . The SET LEVEL knob of the preamplifier was adjusted until the PREAMP LEVEL meter was set at the red marker (90).

The TUNING selector switch was set to MANUAL, and the TUNING knob was turned fully clockwise. The SET LEVEL knob of the frequency tracker was set to the middle position. The ADJUST

THRESHOLD knob was also set to the middle position. The IF BANDWIDTH selector was set at $2 \%$ of FREQUENCY RANGE. The FREQUENCY RANGE selector was set to 15 MHz .

The DROP-OU'T DETECTOR was switched to IN. The TUNNEL knob was turned slowly counter-clockwise until the IF LEVEL meter indicated a peak showing that the filter was tuned in to the signal. The DOPPLER FREQUENCY meter showed the corresponding Doppler frequency of the signal. The SET LEVEL of the frequency tracker was adjusted until the IF LEVEL meter was set at the red marker (90) indicating that the signal was of good quality. The TUNING selector switch was set to AUTOMATIC. The frequency tracker then automatically was locked on to the Doppler signal frequency. The IF BANDWIDTH selector was changed from $2 \%$ until the signal on the oscilloscope which represented the Doppler frequency remained constant. It was found that the difference between $4 \%$ and $8 \%$ was very small, so that the BANDWIDTH selector was set to $4 \%$.

The analog output voltage was set to 10 volts. The time knob of the oscilloscope was adjusted until the sinusoidal signal appeared on the oscilloscope. A typical signal is shown in fig. (5.5). This shows clearly the transient motion of the tip in response to a square-wave drive to the crystal drive circuit. Only for longer pulse widths the tip velocity (and amplitude) will reach a steady state. Its peak above the zero line represented maximum instantaneous Doppler frequency which was proportional (for constant tip frequency) to the instantaneous maximum amplitude of the tip. This value of the maximum instantaneous Doppler frequency was recorded and then the pulse width was changed to another value.

The same procedure was repeated again until the data for the required pulse width range was obtained. The supply pressure then was changed to another value, and the same procedure was repeated again until the data for the required supply pressure range was obtained. The maximum instantaneous Doppler frequency without atomisation was measured by switching off the pump which supplied the kerosine to the injector, and changing the pulse width for the required range. Photographs of the signal on the oscilloscope were taken during the test.

### 5.5 MEASUREMENT ANALYSIS OF THE LASER OUTPUT

The maximum velocity of the injector tip was calculated from the following formula (11):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{DM}} \lambda}{2 \sin \theta / 2} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{T}$ represents maximum velocity of the injector tip, $f_{D M}$ maximum instantaneous Doppler frequency, $\lambda$ beam. wave length and $\theta$ beam intersection angle.

The maximum amplitude of the injector tip $\left(y_{x}\right)$ was calculated from the following equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{T} & =2 \pi \mathrm{f} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}  \tag{5.2}\\
\text { i.e. } \quad y_{\mathrm{x}} & =\frac{V_{\mathrm{T}}}{2 \pi \mathrm{f}} \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f$ represents frequency of the injector, $y_{x}$ maximum amplitude of the injector tip.

### 5.6 TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSICNS

Fig. (5.4) shows the relationship between the maximum amplitude of the injector tip $\left(y_{x}\right)$ with the pulse width $\left(p_{w}\right)$ for supply pressure range 5-60 psi. This fig. also shows the relationship without atomisation. It can be seen from this fig. that the maximum amplitude of the tip built up to the steady state value after 5 ms . This is due to the inertia effect of the ultrasonic injector body. Also this fig. shows that for each pulse width the increase in the supply pressure reduced the magnitude of the maximum amplitude. This is because the increase in the supply pressure would increase the flow per pulse, i.e., the damping factor would increase and so that the maximum amplitude would decrease. For this reason it can be seen from this fig, that the decrease in the maximum amplitude due to the increase of the fluid pressure increased when the pulse width was increased.

This fig. shows that there was a large difference between the maximum amplitude at 5 psi (which is near enough to zero) and the maximum amplitude without atomisation. This is because without atomisation the channels of the injector were empty of fluid, but in the case of 5 psi the channels were full of fluid and a small flow was very influential on the value of the maximum amplitude.

This fig. also shows that the maximum amplitude was not affected by the increase of the supply pressure above 40 psi . This is probably because the flow per pulse was not affected by the increase of the supply pressure above 40 psi. The damping effect of the fluid flow on the maximum amplitude of the injector tip was very clear when the maximum amplitude with atomisation was compared with the maximum
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amplitude without atomisation.
Fig. (5.5) shows a photograph of the signal of the tip motion without atomisation. This photograph shows the build up in the tip motion when the injector was on and the decay in the motion when the injector was off. The decay in the motion was 2 ms .

Figs. (5.6-5.7) shows photographs of the signal of the tip motion with atomisation for 1 ms pulse width and 40 psi supply pressure and for 3 ms pulse width and 60 psi supply pressure respectively. These photographs show that the decay in the motion of the tip was approximately 1.5 ms . The difference in the time of the decay between the case without atomisation and the case with atomisation is due to the damping effect of the fluid.

Figs. (5.8-5.9) show photographs of the expanded signals of the tip motion at maximum amplitude with atomisation, for 3 ms pulse width and for 5 psi and 60 psi supply pressure respectively. Fig. (5.10) shows a photograph of the expanded signal of the tip motion without atomisation for 3 ms pulse width. The damping effect of the fluid on the maximum amplitude of the tip was shown clearly from comparing these photographs. It can be seen from these photographs that the injector was moving at 60 KHz frequency. Fig. (5.11) represents a plot between the maximum amplitude of the tip versus the pulse width for 40 psi supply pressure. It can be seen from this fig. that when the pulse width was less than 0.5 ms the maximum amplitude fluctuated. This is probably because the injector was switched on while the tip motion was decaying. When the electrical signal was in phase with this decaying motion of the injector tip, the maximum amplitude increased, and if it was not in
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phase (or even in anti-phase) with the motion of the injector tip, the maximum amplitude decreased. Also these fluctuations might arise because the pulse width was very small, so that the crystal drive circuit could not follow the electrical signal. When the pulse Width was increased more than 5 ms the maximum amplitude of the tip increased continuously to a constant maximum amplitude after 5 ms .

## Chapter Six

## THBORETICAL AMALYSIS OF THE BALL MOTION

## WITEOUT RLUID RESISTANCB BY USING LABER DATA

### 6.1 INTRODUCTION

Since the motion of the injector tip has been measured in Chapter 5, the ball motion in the injector valve could be predicted by using this experimental data in the theoretical analysis which was described in Chapter 3.

This chapter describes such a theoretical analysis. The details of theory, computer program and results and discussions are given below.

### 6.2 THEORY

### 6.2.1 Injector Tip Motion

6.2.1.1 Equations of the Injector Tip Motion

From the results of the tip motion measurements by using laser technique, it was found that the tip was moving in a transient to steady state resonance.

The following equation represented the motion of the tip from a fixed datum which was assumed to be the line passing through the seat of the ball at $t=0$ (12)

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=B e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\beta\right)+y_{x} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\delta\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where -

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{d}=\left(w_{n}^{2}-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \delta=\frac{\gamma w_{0}}{w_{n}^{2}-w_{0}^{2}} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ represents a constant, $\quad \gamma$ parameter of damped vibration, $t$ time at any instance during the pulse, $w_{d}$ damped natural frequency, $\beta$ initial phase angle of the free motion, $y_{x}$ maximum amplitude of the tip, $w_{o}$ frequency of the tip, $\delta$ initial phase angle of the steady-state motion, $W_{n}$ tip natural frequency.

At resonance:

$$
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{o}}
$$

and hence

$$
\delta=\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

By substituting the value of $\delta$ in eqn. (6.1), it becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=B e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\beta\right)+y_{x} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was as sumed that $y_{1}=0$ at $t=0$. For this conditions, eqn. (6.4) becomes:

$$
0=\mathrm{B} \cos (\beta),
$$

therefore

$$
\beta=\frac{\pi}{2} .
$$

By substituting the value of $\beta$ in eqn. (6.4) it becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=B e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)+y_{x} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation of velocity of the tip was obtained from the derivative of $y_{1}$ with respect to $t$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d y_{1}}{d t}=v=-\frac{\gamma}{2} B e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)-B e^{-\gamma t / 2} \\
w_{d} \sin \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)-y_{x} w_{0} \sin \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also it was assumed that $\frac{d y_{1}}{d t}=0$ at $t=0$. For this condition, eqn. (6.6) becomes:

$$
o=-B w_{d}+y_{x} w_{0}
$$

Therefore:

$$
B=y_{w} \frac{w_{0}}{w_{d}}
$$

By substituting the value of $B$ in eqns. (6.5) and (6.6) we have respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{1}= & \frac{w_{0}}{w_{d}} y_{x} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)+y_{x} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)  \tag{6.7}\\
v= & -\frac{\gamma w_{0}}{2 w_{d}} y_{x} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)-y_{x} w_{0} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \sin \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\
& \quad-y_{x} w_{0} \sin \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \tag{6.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The equation of the acceleration of the injector tip was obtained from the derivative of $v$ with respect to $t$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d v}{d t}= & a=\frac{\gamma^{2} w_{0}}{4 w_{d}} y_{x} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)+\frac{\gamma}{2} y_{x} w_{0} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \\
& \sin \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)+\frac{\gamma}{2} y_{x} w_{0} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \sin \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)-y_{x} w_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$e^{-\gamma t / 2} w_{d} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)-y_{x} w_{0}^{2} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$.

### 6.2.1.2 Starting Condition of the Injector Tip Motion

To control the starting condition of the injector tip motion, the initial phase angle (s) was added to the equations of motion. Hence eqns. (6.7), (6.8) and (6.9) become respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{1}= & \frac{w_{0}}{w_{d}} y_{x} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)+y_{x} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right),  \tag{6.10}\\
v= & -\frac{\gamma w_{0}}{2 w_{d}} y_{x} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{x} w_{0} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \\
& \sin \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{x} w_{0} \sin \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right), \tag{6.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
a= & \frac{\gamma^{2} w_{0}}{4 w_{d}} y_{x} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)+\frac{\gamma}{2} y_{x} w_{0} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \\
& \sin \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)+\frac{\gamma}{2} y_{x} w_{0} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \sin \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right) \\
& -y_{x} w_{0} e^{-\gamma t / 2} w_{d} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{x} w_{0}^{2} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Two starting conditions were assumed:

1. The tip was moving down at $t=0$, i.e., $s=\pi$.
2. The tip was moving up at $t=0$, i.s., $s=0$.

### 6.2.2 Motion of the Ball

6.2.2.1 Equations of the Ball Motion

The assumptions about the ball motion which were made in Chapter 3, were also assumed in this analysis except for the starting condition of the ball.

Eqn. (3.2) was used to compute the position of the ball from the same datum as the tip.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}=g t_{1}^{2} / 2+v_{o} t_{1}+y_{0} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$g$ represents gravity of accelerations, $t_{1}$ time at any instance between two successive impacts, $v_{0}$ initial ball velocity and $y_{0}$ initial position of the ball.

Eqn. (3.8) was used to compute the velocity of the ball at any instance between two successive collisions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{b}=-g t_{1}+v_{0} . \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.2.2.2 Starting Conditions of the Ball Motion

The assumed starting conditions of the ball motion was that the ball separated from the seat with the same velocity as the injector tip when the injector tip was moving up with negative acceleration less than the gravitational acceleration, or the ball separated with the same velocity as the injector tip when the injector tip was moving down with positive acceleration greater than the gravitational acceleration, i.e. separation occurred when:
a $>\mathrm{g}$ (when the tip was moving down),
or
a $<-\mathrm{g}$ (when the tip was moving up).

### 6.2.2.3 Equation of the Gap Between the Ball and the Seat

Equation (3.3) was used to compute the gap between the ball and the seat:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=y_{2}-y_{1}  \tag{6.16}\\
& \text { i.e., } \\
& y=g_{1}^{2} / 2+v_{0} t_{1}+y_{0}-\frac{w_{0}}{w_{d}} y_{x} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right) \\
&  \tag{6.17}\\
& -y_{x} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)
\end{align*}
$$

### 6.2.2.4 Collision Between the Ball and the Walls of the Valve

The assumptions made in Chapter 3 concerning the collision between the ball and the walls of the valve (see section (3.2.2.4)) were also assumed in this analysis. The time of impact was assumed to be negligible. Eqn. (3.6) was used to compute the velocity of the ball after the collision $\left(v_{o 1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{01}=2 v_{f}-v_{i b} \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
v_{f}=v_{i}=v \text { at the time of impact, }
$$

and

$$
v_{i v}=v_{b} \text { at the time of impact. }
$$

By substituting eq. (6.11) for $v$ and eq. (6.14) for $v_{b}$ in eqn. (6.18) we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{o 1}= & -\frac{\gamma w_{0}}{w_{d}} y_{x} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-2 y_{x} \\
& w_{0} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \sin \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-2 y_{x} w_{0} \\
& \sin \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)+g t_{1}-v_{0} \tag{6.19}
\end{align*}
$$

### 6.2.3 Dimensional Expressions

The dimensionless groups derived in Chapter 3 can be used to obtain dimensionless form of eqns. (6.10), (6.11), (6.15), $(6.17)$ and (6.19), respectively:
$y_{1}=D W_{m} \cos \left(W / W_{m}+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right) e^{-W G_{m} / 2}+D \cos \left(W-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)$.
where

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{1}= & \frac{y_{1}}{y_{W}}, D=\frac{y_{x}}{y_{W}}, W_{m}=\frac{W_{0}}{W_{d}}, W=W_{o} t \text { and } G_{m}=\frac{\gamma}{W_{0}} \\
& A=G_{m}^{2} W_{m} \cos \left(W / W_{m}+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right) e^{-W G_{m} / 2+2 G_{m}} \\
& \sin \left(W / W_{m}+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right) e^{-W G_{m} / 2}-\cos \left(W / W_{m}+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right) \\
& e^{-W G_{m} / 2} W_{m}^{-1}-\cos \left(W-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right) \tag{6.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A=\frac{v}{y_{x} W_{0}}$.
$Y=D\left(-Z W_{1 / 2}^{2}+A_{0} W_{1}+B_{0}-W_{m} \cos \left(W / W_{m}+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.e^{-W G_{m} / 2}-\cos \left(W-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)\right) \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y=\frac{y}{y_{w}}, \quad Z=\frac{g}{y_{x} \cdot w_{0}^{2}}, \quad A_{0}=\frac{v_{0}}{y_{x} \cdot w_{0}^{2}}, \quad W_{1}=t_{1} w_{0}$
and $\quad B_{0}=\frac{y_{0}}{y_{w}}$.
$A_{01}=-2 G_{m} W_{m} \cos \left(W / W_{m}+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right) e^{-W G_{m} / 2-2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \left(W / W_{m}+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right) e^{-W G_{m} / 2}-2 \sin \left(W-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)+Z W_{1}-A_{0} . \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $A_{01}=\frac{v_{01}}{y_{x} \cdot b_{0}}$.

$$
A>z
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A<-2 \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A=\frac{v}{y_{x} \cdot w_{0}}
$$

### 6.2.4 Checking of Equation (6.20)

Eqn. (6.20) has been checked by substituting the values of $G_{m}$ and $W_{m}$ which was computed from the laser results, and plotting $y_{1} / y_{x}$ versus the pulse width, (see fig. (6.1)). It can be seen from this fig. that the injector tip built up to a steady state motion after approximately 5 ms . It can be deduced from this fig. that eqn. (6.20) represented well the motion of the injector tip.
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### 6.3 COMPUTLR PROGRAM

Fig. (6.2) shows the flow chart of the computer program. Fortran language was used in the 1906 Computer at Sheffield University. The flow chart was the same as the flow chart of Chapter 3 (fig. (3.2)) except for the separation problem.

The subroutine C05ACF was used to compute the value ( $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) of $W$ at which separation occurred. The subroutine was based on the bisection method.

The computer program is given in Appendix (4).

| $s$ | $D$ | $Z$ | $D_{W}$ | $W$ | $N_{m}$ | $G_{m}$ | $W_{m}$ | $W_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $0.001-0.1$ | $1 \times 10^{-6}$ <br> $5 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.00112 | 1 | 0 |
| $\pi$ | $0.001-0.1$ | $1 \times 10^{-6}$ <br> $5 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.00112 | 1 | 0 |

TABLE (6.1) INPUT DATA TO THE CCMPUTER PROGRAM

### 6.3.1 Input Data to the Computer Program

The input data to the computer program is shown in Table (6.1).
It can be seen from the laser results (which were described in Chapter 5) that the range of the maximum amplitude of the injector tip $\left(y_{x}\right)$ was $4.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mm}-7.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mm}$, when the tip was moving at a frequency of 60 KHz . In this analysis the maximum gap $\left(y_{w}\right)$ between the ball and the walls of the cavity was chosen in the range of $0.1 \mathrm{~mm}-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$. According to these ranges, the ranges of the dimensionless groups $D$ and $Z$ were respectively:

$$
0.001-0.1 \quad \text { and } \quad 1 \times 10^{-6}-5 \times 10^{-4}
$$
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### 6.4 RESULTS AND DTSCUSSTOIS

### 6.4.1 The Tip Moving Down at $t=0$

Figs. (6.3-6.7) show plots of the period (T) of the ball cycle versus the tip cycles ( N ), for $D$ in the range $0.001-0.1$ and $Z=5 \times 10^{-4}$. These figs. show that the ball was not in steady state motion. As mentioned in Chapter 3 (see section (3.4.1.1)), the reason for the unsteady state motion was that the impact occurred with improper phass.

These figs. also show that sometimes the ball was moving with repeatable periods, but this repeatability disappeared again, Figs. (6.6, 6.7).

It can be seen from these figs. that when $D$ was increased, Tincreased. Also, as mentioned in Chapter 3, (see section (3.4.1.1)), the reason for this phenomenon was because the increase in $D$ can be viewed as a decrease in the maximum gap $\left(y_{w}\right)$ between the ball and the walls of the valves and hence $T$ is expected to decrease.

These figs. also show that there was a more orderly pattern with increasing $D$.

Similar results were obtained for 2 in the range
$1 \times 10^{-6}-5 \times 10^{-4}$. The effect. of increasing $Z$ while keeping $D$ constant is shown in figs. (6.8-6.12). These figs. show the T-N relationship for $D=0.01$ and $Z$ in the range $1 \times 10^{-6}-1 \times 10^{-4}$. These plots show that when 2 was increased for constant $D$, the periods ( $T$ ) were changed randomly.

Similar results were obtained for $D$ in the range $0.001-0.1$. Figs. (6.13-6.17) show the relationship between the ratio ( $A_{01}$ )
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of the ball velocity ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ol}}$ ) after the collision with the lower wall of the valve to the maximum velocity of the tip, versus the tip cycles (N), for a D range of $0.001-0.1$ and $Z=5 \times 10^{-4}$. As found in Chapter 3 (see section (3.4.1.1)), $A_{01}$ was changing randomly with the increase of D. It can be seen from fig. (6.14) that $A_{01}$ increased with increasing $N$. Comparing this fig. with fig. (6.4), it can be seen that the period of the ball cycle was inversely proportional to the velocity of the ball after the collision with the lower wall, and then inversely proportional to the number of the tip cycles. The increase of the velocity at the ball after the collision is an attractive phenomenon. Thj.s phenomenon is good for responss (i.e., the ball comes back to the seat as soon as the injector is switched off) but might be bad for wear.

Similar results were obtained for a $Z$ range of
$1 \times 10^{-6}-5 \times 10^{-4}$. Fig. (6.18) is a plot of the ratio (Y) of the gap (y) betwoon the ball and the lower wall of the valve to the maximum gap $\left(y_{W}\right)$ versus the tip cycles (N) for $D=0.005$ and $Z=5 \times 10^{-4}$. This plot shows that the ball could not reach the top wall of the valve in the first cycle. This is because at the instance of separation of the ball from the tip, the velocity of the tip was very small (the tip motion built up in 5 ms ).

It can be seen from this fig. that the time of the first cycle was significant compared to that of the remaining cycles.
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### 6.4.2 The Tip Moving Up at $t=0$

Fig. (6.19) is a plot of the period ( $T$ ) of the ball cycla versus the tip cycles ( $N$ ), for $D=0.05$ and $Z=5 \times 10^{-4}$. This plot shows that the ball was again moving in unsteady state motion. It can be deduced from this plot that a change in the initial condition of the tip could change the motion of the ball, but the general features of the results remained the same, (see fig. (6.5)).

Similar results were obtained for D range 0.001-0.1 and 2 range $1 \times 10^{-6}-5 \times 10^{-4}$.
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### 7.1 INTRODUCTION

Measuring the flow force on the ball, the total flow rate and the inlet ports flow rates of the injector valve for each position of the ball is important in understanding the behaviour of the injector. The theoretical analysis of the ball motion which was described in Chapter 3 and in Chapter 6 was carried out without any fluid resistance. Knowledge of the fluid resistance is important in studying the actual behaviour of the ball.

Since the dimensions of the injector valve were too small to take these measurements accurately on the valve itself, a scale model to the injector valve should be constructed and the results of the measurements should be scaled to the injector valve.

This chapter describes the measurements of the flow force on the ball, the total flow rate and the inlet ports flow rates for each position of the ball, in a scale model of the injector valve.

The details of test apparatus, procedure and program, analysis of the results and discussions are given below.

### 7.2 TEST APPARATUS

Fig. (7.1) shows a photograph of the test apparatus used, while the layout is given in fig. (7.2). The test apparatus consisted of a model for the injector valve, instruments for measuring the static pressure at each inlet to the model, the flow rate at each inlet to the model and the liquid forces on the ball,



a supply tank, pipes and valves.
Fig. (7.3) shows a photograph of the model, and the layout is given in fig. (7.4).

The model was made geometrically similar to the injector valve and the scale factor was 20.6:1. The boundaries of the model were made from Perspex and from two parts. The upper part contained the top inlet and the lower part contained the two side inlets. The two parts were connected by screws. The ball of the model was made from a hard wood.

Since the forces which acted on the flow of the injector valve were viscous, pressure and inertia forces, Reynoldsnumbers (Re) of the two flows were kept the same to satisfy the condition of the dynamic similarity. By using the equality of Re of the two flows, it was found that with the scale factor 20.6 , the water was a suitable fluid for measuring the flow velocities in the model corresponding to the range of the flow velocities in the injector valve (when the fluid of the injector valve was Gasoline of 0.718 specific gravity).

Each inlet of the model was connected to the supply tank by a Brass pipe.

Since the supply pressure at each side inlet of the injector valve was equal, this condition was also applied to the model by making the size and the length of the pipes connecting the side inlets to the supply tank equal, i.e., the loss in the total head due to the friction was the same in each pipe.

The flow rate for each inlet was measured by a rotameter (Rotameter Manufacturing Co.) placed between the inlet and the supply tank, and controlled by a valve (Gate Valve) placed between the
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FIG. 7.4 LAYOUT OF THE MODEL
rotameter and the supply tank. The water was delivered to the supply tank by a pump from another large tank. The level of the water in the supply tank was kept constant during the measurements by an overflow pipe placed in the supply tank.

A pressure tap was placed at each inlet of the model for measuring the static pressure by a vertical manometer. Another pressure tap was placed at the outlet of the model for measuring the static pressure by a vertical manometer. A small piece of plastic pipe was connected to the outlet of the model to avoid the entrance of the air to the model during the measurements.

The ball was connected to the force transducer (Pye Ether, type VF1) by a strong string passing through fine tube. The other end of the ball was connected to a small piece of a brass bar passing through a guide to keep the centre of the ball always on the vertical centre line of the model.

The force transducer was fixed rigidly on a plate which could be positioned anywhere along the length of a screw bar. This was achieved by means of two nuts and a spring.

The electric power was supplied to the force transducer by power supply (Farnell, type E30/2). The output of the force transducer was read on galvanometer (W. G. Pye \& Co. Ltd.).

### 7.3 TEST PROGRAM

In each test the flow force on the ball, the flow rates of the inlets and the static pressure at each inlet and at the outlet were measured. The range of the total flow rate from the model was $0-40 \frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}}$. These measurements were done for one position of the
ball in the model. When the measurements were done, the position of the ball was then changed and the test was repeated.

### 7.4 TEST PROCEDURE

Before starting the test the Rotameters were calibrated by collecting the weighing water. Also the force transducer was calibrated by putting weights on the ball which was connected by a wire to the force transducer and reading the corresponding voltage on the galvanometer. To start the test the ball was fixed at the upper wall of the model. The position of the force transducer was read by a ruler fixed on a screw bar. This position of the transducer was considered as the zero position of the ball. The ball was then moved 1 mm from the upper wall of the model. At this position the indicator of the Galvanometer was fixed at the zero number on the scale. This position of the indicator represented zero fluid forces on the ball.

The valves were then opened until all the air escaped from the model and from the pipes.

Since the supply (total) pressure at each inlet of the injector valve was equal, and the flow rate from each side inlet was equal, these conditions were also applied for the model. The flow rate from each side inlet of the model was kept identical for each flow rate from the top inlet of the model. The flow velocity of each inlet of the model was then calculated. By measuring the static pressure at each inlet, the supply pressure at each inlet of the model was calculated. When the supply pressure at each inlet of the model was not the same, the flow rates were changed and the
procedure was repeated again until the supply pressure at each inlst of the modsl became identical. Then the readings from the Rotameter of each inlet, from the manometer at each inlet, from the manometer at the outlet and from the Galvanometer were taken. The flow rates of each inlet of the model were then changed and the same procedure was repeated again.

The ball was then positioned at 2 mm from the upper wall of the model. The same procedure was repeated until the measurements were taken for all the positions of the ball in the model.

### 7.5 MEAGURING ANALYSIS OF THE EXPERIMENTAL DATA

In the following analysis it is assumed that the ball of the injector valve was in a similar position of that of the model, but with zero velocity.

The flow rate from the top inlet of the injector valve was calculated from the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{e v}=R_{e m}, \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,


Where $R_{e v}$ represents the Reynoldsnumber of the injector valve, $R_{e m}$ the Reynoldsnumber of the model, $V_{v t}$ flow velocity at the top inlst of the valve, $D_{v}$ diameter of the ball of the valve, $\nu_{v}$ kinematic viscosity of the liquid in the valve, $V_{m t}$ flow velocity at the top inlet of the model, $D_{m}$ diameter of the ball of the model
and $\nu_{\mathrm{m}}$ kinematic viscosity of the liquid in the model. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{v t}=v_{m t} \frac{D_{m}}{D_{v}} \frac{V_{v}}{V_{m}} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{v t}=A_{v t} \quad V_{v t}, \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{m t}=A_{m t} \quad V_{m t} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{v t}$ represents flow rate at the top inlet of the valve, At area of the top inlet of the valve, $Q_{m t}$ flow rate at the top inlet of the model and $A_{\text {mt }}$ area of the top inlet of the model. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{v t}=Q_{m t} \quad \frac{A_{v t}}{A_{m t}} \quad \frac{D_{m}}{D_{v}} \frac{\nu_{v}}{\nu_{m}} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{v t}=\pi \frac{d_{v t}^{2}}{4} \\
& A_{m t}=\pi \frac{d_{m t}^{2}}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d_{v t}$ represents the diameter of the top inlet of the valve - and $d_{m t}$ the diameter of the top inlet of the model.

And

$$
\frac{d_{v t}}{d_{m t}}=\frac{D_{m}}{D_{v}}
$$

## Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{v t}=Q_{m t} \frac{d_{v t}}{d_{m t}} \frac{\nu_{v}}{\nu_{m}} \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the same procedure the side inlets flow rate (Q) was calculated.

Since the Euler number for pressure loss must be identical for valve and model at the same Reynolds number, the supply pressure ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{vt}}$ ) at the top inlet of the valve can be found as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{v t}-p_{v o}^{*}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{v} v_{v o}^{2}}=\frac{P_{m t}-p_{m o}^{*}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{m} v_{m o}^{2}} \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{v t}=p_{v t}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{v} v_{v t}^{2}+\rho_{v} g z_{v t},  \tag{7.8}\\
& p_{v o}^{*}=p_{v o}+\rho_{v} g z_{v o}, \quad\left(p_{v o}=p_{a}=0\right),  \tag{7.9}\\
& P_{m t}=p_{m t}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{m} v_{m t}^{2}+\rho_{m} g z_{m t} \tag{7.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mathrm{mo}}^{*}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{mo}}+\rho_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~g} z_{\mathrm{mo}} \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P_{v t}$ represents supply pressure at the top inlet of the valve, $p_{\text {vo }}^{*}$ piezometric pressure at the outlet of the valve, $P_{m t}$ supply pressure at the top inlet of the model, $p_{\text {mo }}^{*}$ piezometric pressure at the outlet of the model, $V_{v o}$ velocity at the outlet of the
valve, $V_{m o}$ velocity at the outlet of the model, $p_{v t}$ static pressure at the top inlet of the valve, $g$ gravitational acceleration, $z_{v t}$ height of the top inlet of the valve from the datum, $p_{\text {vo }}$ static pressure at the outlet of the valve, $p_{a}$ atmospheric pressure, $z_{\text {vo }}$ height of the outlet of the valve from the datum, $p_{m t}$ static pressure at the top inlet of the model, $z_{m t}$ height of the top inlet of the model from the datum, $p_{m o}$ static pressure at the outlet of the model and $z_{\text {mo }}$ height of the outlet of the model from the datum.

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{v t}=P_{m t}-p_{m o}^{*} \frac{\rho_{v}}{\rho_{m}}\left(\frac{v_{v o}}{V_{m o}}\right)^{2}+p_{v o}^{*} \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the energy of the flow at the outlet of the injector valve was not used again for the valve and dissipated in the atmosphere, the pressure at the outlet of the valve was assumed static, and the same assumption was made for the model.

Since the flow force coefficient must be identical for valve and model at the same Reynolds number, the flow force ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ ) on the ball of the valve can be found as follows:-

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{v f}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{v} D_{v}^{2} v_{v t}^{2}}=\frac{F_{m f}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{m} D_{m}^{2} v_{m t}^{2}} \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{v f}=F_{m f} \quad \frac{\rho_{v}}{\rho_{m}}\left(\frac{D_{v}}{D_{m}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{V_{v t}}{V_{m t}}\right)^{2} \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{v f}$ represents flow force on the ball of the valve and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{mf}}$ flow force on the ball of the model.

The force transducer measured the net forces $\left(F_{e}\right)$ on the ball, i.e., the flow force (positive in downward direction) minus the buoyancy force ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{mb}}$ ) (negative in upward direction)

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{e}=F_{m f}-F_{m b} \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
F_{\mathrm{mf}}=F_{\mathrm{e}}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{mb}}
$$

### 7.6 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Figs. (7.5-7.9) show the scaled relationship between the total flow rate from the injector valve $\left(Q_{V T}\right)$, the side inlets flow rate $\left(Q_{v s}\right)$ and the top inlst flow rate ( $Q_{v t}$ ) with the supply pressure $\left(P_{v}\right)$ of range 5-6 60 psi for various positions of the ball in the injector valve. These figs. show that when $p_{v}$ was increased, $Q_{V T}, Q_{v s}$ and $Q_{v t .}$ increased very nearly as the square root of pressure.

Figs. (7.10-7.12) represent plots of $Q_{V T}, Q_{v s}$ and $Q_{V t}$ versus the position ( $y$ ) of the ball from the seat, for $P_{v}$ range 20-60 psi. These figs. show that when the ball was lifted from the seat for $0.14 \mathrm{~mm}, Q_{v T}, Q_{v s}$ and $Q_{v t}$ increased. This is because in this region the resistance $\left(R_{4}\right)$ due to the area under the ball (see fig. (7.13)) was the main variable resistance to the flow. When the ball was lifted from the seat, $R_{4}$ increased and hence the flow rates increased.
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FIG. 7.13 ELECTRICAL ANALOGY

These figs. also show that when the ball was lifted until $y=0.32 \mathrm{~mm}, Q_{\mathrm{VT}}, Q_{\mathrm{vs}}$ and $Q_{\mathrm{Vt}}$ remained approximately constant. This is because when the ball was lifted the resistance $\left(R_{4}\right)$ due to the area under the ball and the resistance $\left(R_{2}\right)$ due to the area above the ball were approximately negligible. Hence $Q_{v s}$ was proportional to the side inlsts areas and $Q_{v t}$ was also proportional to the top inlet area.

Also it can be seen from these figs. that when the ball was lifted until $y=0.47 \mathrm{~mm}, Q_{\text {vt }}$ decreased and became equal to zero at $y=0.47 \mathrm{~mm}$. This is because when the ball was lifted $R_{2}$ increased, and hence $Q_{v t}$ decreased and becams equal to zero when $R_{2}$ became equal infinity at $y=0.47 \mathrm{~mm}$. Also it can be seen in this region that when the ball was lifted $Q_{v s}$ increased. The reason for this is that since the resistance $\left(R_{3}\right)$ due to the area of the side inlets was in parallel with $R_{1}+R_{2}$ and hence the ratio of $Q_{v s}$ to $Q_{v t}$ was proportional to the ratio of $R_{2}+R_{1}$ to $R_{3}$. Also these figs. show that in this region $Q_{V T}$ decreased when the ball was lifted. This is because the increase in $R_{2}$ when the ball was lifted caused an increase in the total resistance of the parallel circuit which was slightly significant compared to the resistance $\left(R_{5}\right)$ due to the area of the outlet.

It can be seen from these figs. that when $y$ was in $0.14-0.32 \mathrm{~mm}$ range, $Q_{v t}$ was greater than $Q_{v s}$ (even $R_{1}$ was greater than $R_{3}$ ). This is probably because the stagnation of the side inlets flow on the ball caused an increase in the exit pressure of these inlets, and hence the pressure drop across these inlets reduced and caused the decrease in $Q_{v s}$. The stagnation effect was
also expected on $Q_{v t}$, but the flow from the top inlet escaped sideways where there were no side inlets.

Fig. (7.14) shows plots of the flow force ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ ) versus $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{v}}$ for various positions of the ball in the valve, and for pressure range 5-60 psi. This fig. shows that $F_{v f}$ increased when $P_{v}$ was increased. This is because when $P_{v}$ was increased, the static pressure at the upper half of the ball increased which caused the increase of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$.

Fig. (7.15) shows the relationship between $F_{v f}$ with $y$, for $P_{v}$ range $20-60 \mathrm{psi}$. This fig. shows that when the ball was lifted from the seat until $y=0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$, the flow force on the ball ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ ) increased. This is because when the ball was on the seat, $F_{v f}$ was totally due to $P_{v}$ force, but when the ball was lifted from the seat until $y=0.08 \mathrm{~mm}, F_{v f}$ was due to $P_{v}$ force on the upper half of the ball and due to the static pressure force on the lower half of the ball which decreased in this region due to the increase of velocity there.

When the ball was lifted more until $y=0.32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ decreased. This is probably because in this region the static pressure force at the upper half of the ball decreased due to the increase in the flow velocity at the upper half of the ball when $Q_{v t}$ increased. Also the pressure above the ball will be less than $P_{v}$ due to losses in $\mathrm{R}_{1}$.

When the ball was lifted more until $y=0.47 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ increased. This is probably because at this region Qvt decreased due to the increase in $R_{2}$, and hence the flow velocity at the upper half of the ball decreased and caused an increase in the static pressure.
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(which increased to $P_{v}$ when $Q_{v f}$ became equal to zero) at the upper half of the ball.

It was found from the calculations of the buoyancy and the gravity forces on the ball that the net force on the ball was always in downward direction. This would prevent the ball from staying stationary at any position between the upper and lower walls of the valve.

Chapter Eight
THEORETICAL STUDY OF THE BALL MOTION WITH LIQUID RESISTANCE, AND OF FLUID FLCN FROM THE INJ $\mathcal{C C T O R}$ VALVE

### 8.1 INTRODUCTION

In the theoretical analysis of the ball motion which was described in Chapter 3, it was assumed that the ball was moving without any fluid resistance. In this chapter the ball motion with fluid resistance was studied theoratically through a computer program. Consequently the fluid flow from the injector valve was also studied theoretically through a computer program.

Theory, computer programs and results and discussions are given below.

### 8.2 THEORY

### 8.2.1 Introduction

To simplify the analysis it was assumed that the ball was moving so slowly that it could be considered to have zero velocity relative to the flow velocity of the top inlet. This assumption would be examined from the results of the analysis. If the assumption was found to be wrong, the ball velocity would be modified in the analysis.
8.2.2 Analysis with Assumption of Zero Velocity of the Ball

Relative to the Flow Velocity of the Top Inlet
8.2.2.1 Motion of the Injactor Tip

### 8.2.2.1.1 Equations of Motion of the Injector Tip

Eqn. (6.10) of the motion of the injector tip was used in this analysis:
$y_{1}=y_{x} \frac{w_{0}}{w_{d}} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)+y_{x} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)$ (8.1)
where $y_{1}$ represents the position of the injector tip from a fixed datum, $y_{x}$ maximum amplitude of the tip, $w_{o}$ frequency of the tip in radian, $w_{d}$ damped natural frequency in radians, $\gamma$ parameter of damped vibration, $t$ time at any instance during the tip motion and $s$ initial phase angle.

### 8.2.2.1.2 Assumptions on the Motion of the Injector Tip

The assumptions which were made on the tip motion in Chapter 6, were also assumed in this analysis.

It was found from the results of Chapter 6 that the effect of varying the initial condition of the injector tip had not any influence on the feature of the results. Hence the effect of varying the initial condition was not considered in this analysis. It was assumed in this analysis that the tip was moving up at $t=o$ i.e., $s=o$.

### 8.2.2.2 Motion of the Ball

### 8.2.2.2.1 Equation of Motion of the Ball

The following forces were assumed acting on the ball:

1. Flow force.
2. Buoyancy force.
3. Gravity force.
4. Inertia force.

Hence the equation of the forces acting on the ball was:
$M \ddot{y}_{2}=F_{v f}+F_{v b}-F_{g}$,
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{y}_{2}=-F_{v f} / M+F_{v b} / M-F_{g} / M, \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{y}_{2}=\frac{d^{2} y_{2}}{d t_{1}^{2}} \\
& M=m+\frac{4}{3}\left(\frac{D_{v}}{2}\right)^{3} \rho_{v} \\
& F_{b}=\frac{4}{3}\left(\frac{D_{v}}{2}\right)^{3} \rho_{v} g
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
F_{g}=m g
$$

where $M$ represents mass ( $m$ ) of the ball plus the added mass, $\ddot{y}_{2}$ acceleration of the ball, $F_{v f}$ flow force on the ball, $F_{v b}$ buoyancy force on the ball of the valve, $F_{g}$ gravity force on the ball, $t_{1}$ time at any instance between two successive impacts, $D_{v}$ diameter of the ball and $\rho_{v}$ density of the liquid.
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ for various gaps (y) between the ball and the seat, for specific supply pressure was found from the measurements of Chapter 7. The equations of $F_{v f}$ versus the gap ( $y$ ) between the ball and the seat for specific supply pressures were represented in polynomials of Chebyshev-series form. The coefficients of each polynomial were computed by feeding the experimental data points of $F_{v f}-y$ to a subroutine stored in the library of the computer. The degree of the polynomial which has given best curve fitting for the data points was found by plotting the polynomial of each
degree. The polynomial for each degree was evaluated by feeding the coefficients of the polynomial of each degree to another subroutine stored in the library of the computer.

It was found that the polynomial at degree 4 has given best curve fitting to the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}-\mathrm{y}$ data points.

Fig. (8.1) shows plot of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}-\mathrm{y}$ polynomial equation of degree 4 for 40 psi supply pressure. $F_{v f}-y$ equations was written in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\mathrm{vf}}= & 0.5 a_{0}+a_{1} \bar{y}+a_{2}\left[2(\bar{y})^{2}-1\right]+a_{3}\left[4(\bar{y})^{3}-3 \bar{y}\right] \div a_{4} \\
& {\left[8(\bar{y})^{4}-8(\bar{y})^{2}+1\right] . } \tag{8.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}=\frac{2 y-y_{\max }-y_{\min }}{y_{\max }-y_{\min }} \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{2}-y_{1} \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{y}$ represents the argument of the polynomial, $y$ gap between the ball and the seat, $y_{\max }$ maximum value of $y, y_{\text {min }}$ minimum value of $y, y_{2}$ position of the ball from a fixed datum and $y_{1}$ position of the tip from a fixed datum.

By substituting eqn. (8.1) in eqn. (8.5), we have
$y=y_{2}-y_{x} \frac{w_{0}}{w_{d}} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{x} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)$
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By substituting eqn. (8.6) in eqn. (8.4) we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{y}= & \frac{1}{y_{\max }-y_{\min }}\left[2 y_{2}-2 y_{x} \frac{w_{0}}{w_{d}} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)\right. \\
& \left.-2 y_{x} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{\max }-y_{\min }\right] \tag{8.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By substituting eqn. (8.7) into eqn. (8.3), and then
substituting this result into eqn. (8.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{y}_{2}= & -\frac{1}{M}\left[0.5 a_{0}+a_{1}\left[\frac { 1 } { y _ { \operatorname { m a x } } - y _ { \operatorname { m i n } } } \left[2 y_{2}-2 y_{x} \frac{w_{0}}{w_{d}} e^{-\gamma t / 2}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-2 y_{x} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{\max }-y_{\min }\right] \\
& +a_{2}\left[2 \left[\frac { 1 } { y _ { \operatorname { m a x } } - y _ { \operatorname { m i n } } } \left[2 y_{2}-2 y_{x} \frac{w_{0}}{w_{d}} e^{-\gamma t / 2}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-2 y_{x} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{\max }-y_{\min }\right]\right]^{2} \\
& -1]+a_{3}\left[4 \left[\frac { 1 } { y _ { \operatorname { m a x } } - y _ { \operatorname { m i n } } } \left[2 y_{2}-2 y_{x} \frac{w_{0}}{w_{d}} e^{-\gamma t / 2}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-2 y_{x} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{\max }-y_{\min }\right]\right]^{3} \\
& -3\left[\frac { 1 } { y _ { \operatorname { m a x } } - y _ { \operatorname { m i n } } } \left[2 y_{2}-2 y_{x} \frac{w_{0}}{w_{d}} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)\right.\right. \\
- & \left.\left.\left.2 y_{x} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{\max }-y_{\min }\right]\right]\right]+a_{4}[ \\
& 8\left[\frac { 1 } { y _ { \operatorname { m a x } } - y _ { \operatorname { m i n } } } \left[2 y_{2}-2 y_{x} \frac{w_{0}}{w_{d}} e^{-8 t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)\right.\right. \\
- & \left.\left.2 y_{x} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{\max }-y_{\min }\right]\right]-8
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac { 1 } { y _ { \operatorname { m a x } } - y _ { \operatorname { m i n } } } \left[2 y_{2}-2 y_{x} \frac{w_{0}}{w_{d}} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-2 y_{x}\right.\right.} \\
& \left.\left.\left.\cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{\max }-y_{\min }\right]\right]^{2}+1\right]+F_{v b} / M-F_{g} / M \tag{8.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The value of $y_{2}$ and the velocity of the ball ( $v_{b}$ ) for each increment of time between two successive impacts were computed by using Merson's form of the Runge-Kutta method through a subroutine stored in the library of the computer.

### 8.2.2.2.2 Starting Condition of the Ball Motion

The starting condition of the ball motion was assumed that the ball separated from the seat with the same velocity as the injector tip when the injector tip was moving upward with negative accoleration (a) less than the accoleration $\left(g_{1}\right)$ of the ball due to the fluia and gravity forces, or the ball separated when the injector tip was moving downward with positive acceleration greater than the acceleration $\left(g_{1}\right)$ of the ball due to the fluid and gravity forces, i.e., the separation occurred when:
a $<-\mathrm{g}_{1}$ (when the tip was moving up)
or
a $\quad>\mathrm{g}_{1}$ (when the tip was moving down)
where $a$ was computed from eqn. (6.12):
$a=y_{x} \frac{\gamma^{2} w_{o}}{4 w_{d}} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)+\frac{\gamma}{2} y_{x} w_{o} e^{-\gamma t / 2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sin \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)+\frac{\gamma}{2} y_{x} w_{0} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \sin \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right) \\
& -y_{x} w_{0} e^{-\gamma t / 2} w_{d} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{x} w_{0}^{2} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right) \tag{8.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
g_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vo}}}{\mathrm{~m}}+\mathrm{g}
$$

where $F_{\text {vo }}$ represents flow force on the ball when it was on the seat.

### 8.2.2.2.3 Equation of the Gap Between the Ball and the Seat Eqn (8.5) was used for computing the gap (y) between the

 ball and the seat:$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{2}-y_{1} \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $y_{2}$ was computed from eqn. (8.8) and the value of $y_{1}$ was computed from eqn. (8.1).

### 8.2.2.2.4 Collision Between the Ball and the Walls of the Valve

The assumptions of the collision between the ball and the walls of the valve which were described in Chapter 3 (see section (3.2.2.4)) were also assumed in this analysis.

Eqn. (3.6) was used for computing the velocity of the ball
after the collision $\left(v_{01}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{o 1}=2 v_{f}-v_{i b} \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
v_{f}=v_{i}=v \text { at instance of the impact, }
$$

and

$$
v_{i b}=v_{b} \text { at instance of the impact, }
$$

where $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{f}}$ represents velocity of the tip after the impact, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}$ velocity of the tip before the impact, $v$ velocity of the tip at any instance, $v_{i b}$ velocity of the ball before the impact and $v_{b}$ velocity of the ball at any instance between two successive collisions.

Eqn. (6.11) was used for computing v:

$$
\begin{align*}
& v=-y_{x} \frac{\gamma w_{0}}{2 w_{d}} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{x} w_{0} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \\
& \sin \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{x} w_{0} \sin \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right) \tag{8.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Eqn. (3.8) was used for computing $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{b}=-g t_{1}+v_{0} \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{o}$ represents initial ball velocity.

### 8.2.3 Analysis with Assumption of Finite Velocity of the Ball

Relative to the Flow Velocity of the Top Inlet

### 8.2.3.1 Motion of the Injector Tip

### 8.2.3.1.1 Equation of Motion of the Injector Tip

Eqn. (6.10) of the motion of the injector tip was used in this analysis:
$y_{1}=y_{x} \frac{w_{0}}{w_{d}} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)+y_{x} \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)$
(8.14a)

The same assumptions which were made on the tip motion in Chapter 6, were also made in this analysis. The effect of varying the initial condition of the injector tip motion was not considered in this analysis. It was assumed in this analysis that the tip was moving up at $t=o$, i.e., $s=0$.

### 8.2.3.1.2 Equation of the Decay in the Motion of the

## Injector Tip

It was found from the laser measurements of the injector tip motion that the motion decayed for approximately 1.5 ms . The decay in the motion was represented by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=y_{x} e^{-\alpha t / 2} \cos \left(w_{0} t\right) \tag{8.14b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ represents parameter of damped vibration. This equation was chacked by plotting $\frac{y}{1} / y_{x}$ versus $t$ (fig. (8.2)). The value of $\propto$ was obtained from the laser measurements. It can be seen from this fig, that the injector tip motion decayed for approximately 1.5 ms . It can be deduced from this fig. that eqn. (8.14b) represented well the decay in the motion of the injector tip.

### 8.2.3.2 The Relationship Between the Ball Velocity, the Pressure Drop Across the Valve of the Injector, and Flow Force on the Ball

Because the area of the three inlets (the two side inlets and the top inlet) of the injector valve were $\gg$ the area of the outlet or the area of the gap under the ball of the injector valve,
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therefore the pressure drop across the three inlets was very small compared to the pressure drop across the outlet or the gap under the ball. Therefore if the top inlet was restricted due to the upward velocity of the ball and consequently the flow reduced from this inlet, the extra flow would come through the other two inlets with a very slightly higher pressure drop (but still very small). Hence the flow through the outlet or the gap would be the same approximately, i.e. unaffected by the restriction of one inlet hole. Thus, due to the upward ball velocity it could be assumed that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{v}=\frac{P_{v t}-p_{v o}^{*}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{v} v_{v o}^{2}}=\varnothing R_{e v}\left(\frac{v_{v o} D_{v}}{v_{v}}\right) \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{V}$ represents Euler number of the valve, $P_{v t}$ supply pressure at the top inlet, $p_{\text {vo }}^{*}$ piezometric pressure at the outlet of the valve, $V_{v o}$ velocity of the flow at the outlet of the valve, $R_{e v}$ Reynolds number based on the zero velocity of the ball of the valve and $V_{\mathrm{v}}$ kinematic viscosity of the liquid in the valve. But the flow force on the ball of the injector valve was affected by the relative velocity between the ball and the flow of the top inlet. Thus, it could be assumed that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{D v}=\frac{F_{v f}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{v} D_{v}^{2} v_{r}^{2}}=\phi \bar{R}_{e v}\left(\frac{\dot{v}_{r} D_{v}}{\nu_{v}}\right) \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{D v}$ represents coefficient of drag of the valve, $v_{r}$ relative velocity between the ball and the top inlet flow of the valve, $\bar{R}_{e v}$ Reynolds number of the valve based on the relative velocity between the ball and the flow of the top inlet.

It was assumed that the flow force on the ball was due to the flow of the top inlet.

Since the velocity of the ball of the model was zero, hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Dm}}=\frac{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{mt}}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{mt}}^{2}}=\emptyset \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{em}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{mt}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\nu_{\mathrm{m}}}\right) \tag{8.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{D m}$ represents coefficient of drag of the model, $F_{m t}$ flow force on the ball of the model, $\rho_{m}$ density of the liquid of the model, $D_{m}$ diameter of the ball of the model, $V_{m t}$ velocity of the flow at the top inlet of the model, $R_{e m}$ Reynolds number of the model and $V_{m}$ kinematic viscosity of the liquid in the model.

To apply the dynamic similarity between the model and the injector valve, the Reynolds number of the two systems should be identical:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{ev}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{em}} \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{D v}=C_{D m} \tag{8.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 8.2.3.3 Motion of the Ball

### 8.2.3.3.1 Equation of the Ball Motion

The following forces were also assumed acting on the ball:

1. Flow force.
2. Buoyancy force.
3. Gravity force.
4. Inertia force.

Eqn. (8.2) of the acceleration of the ball was also used here:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{y}_{2}=-F_{v / M}+F_{v b} / M-F_{g / M} \tag{8.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was assumed that $F_{v f}$ was constant for small increment of time.

By integrating eqn. (8.19), we have:
$y_{2}=\frac{1}{2} t_{1}^{2}\left(-F_{v f} / M+F_{v b} / M-F_{g} / M\right)+v_{0} t+y_{o}$ (8.21)
where $y_{0}$ represents initial position of the ball from a fixed datum.

The velocity $\left(v_{b}\right)$ of the ball was found from the derivative of eqn. (8.20) with respect to $t_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{b}=t_{1}\left(-F_{v f / M}+F_{v b / M}-F_{g / M}\right)+v_{0} . \tag{8.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 8.2.3.3.2 Starting Conditions of the Ball Motion

The same assumption of the starting conditionsof the ball motion of the analysis of zero ball velocity relative to the flow velocity of the top inlet, (see section (8.2.2.2.2)), was also assumed here, i.e., the separation of the ball from the seat occurred when:
a $<-g_{1}$ (when the tip was moving up),
or
a $\quad>\mathrm{g}_{1} \quad$ (when the tip was moving down)
where
a was computed from eqn. (6.12):

$$
\begin{align*}
a= & y_{x} \frac{\gamma^{2} w_{0}}{4 w_{d}} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)+\frac{\gamma}{2} y_{x} w_{o} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \\
& \sin \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)+\frac{\gamma}{2} y_{x} w_{0} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \sin \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right) \\
& -y_{x} w_{0} e^{-\gamma t / 2} w_{d} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{x} w_{0}^{2} \\
& \cos \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right) \tag{8.23}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{g}_{1}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vo}} / \mathrm{m}+\mathrm{g}
$$

8.2.3.3.3 Equation of the Gap Between the Ball and the Seat

Eqn. (8.5) was used for computing the gap between the ball and the seat ( $y$ ) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{2}-y_{1} \tag{8.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $y_{2}$ was computed from eqn. (8.21), and the value of $y_{1}$ was computed from eqn. (8.14a) or (8.14b).

### 8.2.3.3.4 The Collision Between the Ball and the Walls of the Valve

The assumptions of the collision between the ball and the walls of the valve which were described in Chapter 3, were also assumed in this analysis. Eqn. (3.6) was used for computing the velocity of the ball after the collision $\left(v_{o 1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{o 1}=2 v_{f}-v_{i b} \tag{8.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
v_{f}=v_{i}=v \text { at the time of impact, }
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{i b}=v_{b} \text { at the time of impact. } \\
& \text { Eqn. (6.11) was used for computing } v: \\
v= & -y_{x} \frac{\gamma w_{0}}{2 w_{d}} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \cos \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{x} w_{0} e^{-\gamma t / 2} \\
& \sin \left(w_{d} t+\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right)-y_{x} w_{o} \sin \left(w_{0} t-\frac{\pi}{2}+s\right) \tag{8.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Eqn. (3.8) was used for computing $v_{b}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{b}=-g t_{1}+v_{0} \tag{8.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 8.2.3.3.5 Evaluating of $y$ for Each Increment of Time

The following procedure was used for evaluating $y$ for each increment of time:
a) From the results of Chapter 7, the equation of

$$
C_{D m}\left(\frac{F_{m f}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{m} D_{m}^{2} V_{m t}^{2}}\right)-R_{e m}\left(\frac{V_{m t} D_{m}}{V_{m}}\right) \text { for different gaps }
$$

between the ball and the seat in the model was evaluated. The method of evaluating $C_{D m}-R_{e m}$ eqn. was the same as the method of evaluating $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}-\mathrm{y}$ eqn. (which was described in section (8.2.2.2.1)).

$$
\text { Since } \quad C_{D v}=C_{D m} \text { (eqn. (8.18)), and } \bar{R}_{\mathrm{ev}}=R_{e m} \text { (eqn. (8.19)), }
$$ hence eqn. $C_{D v}-R_{e v}$ for different scaled gaps between the ball and seat in the model was obtained.

b) Also from the results of Chapter 7, the equation of $Q_{v t}-y$ for specific supply pressure was evaluated. The method of evaluating $Q_{v t}-y$ eqn. was the same as the method of evaluating $F_{v f}-y$ eqn. (see section (8.2.2.2.1)). Fig. (8.3) shows a plot of $Q_{v t}-y$ for supply pressure 40 psi.
c) For the first increment of time after the separation of the ball from the seat, the values $y_{2}$ and $v_{b}$ were calculated from eqns. (8.21) and (8.27) respectively. The flow force on the ball was assumed equal to the supply pressure force ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vo}}$ ) when the ball was on the seat. It was assumed that $v_{0}=v$ and $y_{0}=y_{1}$ at the moment of the separation. The value of $y$ was then computed.
d) The value of $Q_{v t}$ was computed by substituting the value of $y$ in $Q_{v t}-y$ eqn. The value of $V_{v t}$ was then computed.
e) The value of the relative velocity $\left(v_{r}\right)$ between the ball and the flow of the top inlet was then computed.
f) The value of $\bar{R}_{e v}$ was then computed.
g) By substituting the value of $\bar{R}_{e v}$ in $C_{D v}-\bar{R}_{e v}$ eqn. of the different gaps between the ball and the seat, $C_{D v}-y$ points were obtained. The value of $C_{D v}$ was then computed by interpolation for the value of $y$. The interpolation was done by using a subroutine stored in the library of the computer.
h) The value of $F_{V f}$ was then computed.
i) The same procedure was repeated again for the next increment of
time. The value of $F_{v f}$ was assumed constant during the increment.
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### 8.2.3.4 Computing the Flow from the Injector Valve

8.2.3.4.1 Computing the Total Flow Rate for Each Increment
of Time

The following procedure was done for computing the total flow rate for each increment of time:
a) From the results of Chapter 7, the equation of $Q_{v T}-y$ for specific supply pressure was evaluated. The method of evaluating $Q_{v T}-y$ eqn. was the same as the method of evaluating $F_{v f}-y$ eqn. (which was described in section (8.2.2.2.1)). Fig. (8.4) shows a plot of $Q_{\mathrm{VT}}-y$ for supply pressure 40 psi .
b) The value of $Q_{V T}$ for each increment of time was computed by substituting the value of $y$ (which was computed in the same procedure of section (8.2.3.3.5)) in eqn. $Q_{v T}-y$.

### 8.2.3.4.2 Computing the Total Flow for Each Increment of Tine

The total flow ( $Q_{V N}$ ) for each increment of time was computed by multiplying the value of $Q_{\mathrm{vT}}$ (which was computed by the same procedure as section (8.2.3.4.1)) by the value of the increment of time.

### 8.3 COMPUTER PROGRAMS

Fortran language was used in 1906 Computer - Sheffield University.

### 8.3.1 Computer Programs of the First Analysis

### 8.3.1.1 Computer Program for Evaluating the Degree of the Polynomial

Fig. (8.5) shows the flow chart of the computer program. Subroutine EO2ADF was used for computing the coefficients of the
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FIG. 8.5 FLOW CHART OF THE COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR EVALUATING THE DEGREE OF THE POLYNOMIAL
$F_{v f}-y$ equation. This subroutine computed weighted least-squares polynomial approximations to the data points. Subroutine BO2AEF was used for evaluating the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}-\mathrm{y}$ equation for each degree. This subroutine evaluated the polynomial from its Chebyshev-series representation. The computer program is shown in Appendix 5.

### 8.3.3.1.2 Computer Program of the Ball Motion

Fig. (8.6) shows the flow chart of the computer program.
The input data to the computer program is shown on Table (8.1). Subroutine EO2ADF was used for computing the coefficients of the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}-\mathrm{y}$ equation. This subroutine computed weighted least-square polynomial approximations to the data points. Subroutine CO5ACF was used to find the value of time in which the ball soparated from the seat. Bisection method was used. Subroutine DO2ABF was used for evaluating the value of $y_{2}$ at each increment of time ( $d t$ ). Merson's form of Runge-Kutta method was used. The time (tco) between two successive collisions was computed by interpolation at $y=0$, and at $y=y_{w}$ (the conditions of the collision). Also the velocity of the ball before the collision was computed by the interpolation at $y=0$, or at $y=y_{w}$.

The computer program is shown in appendix (6).
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| Supply <br> Pressure <br> $(\mathrm{psi})$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{w}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\mathrm{w}_{0}$ <br> $(\mathrm{rad})$ | s <br> rad | dt <br> $(\mathrm{s})$ | $\mathrm{g}_{1}$ <br> $\mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ | t <br> $(\mathrm{s})$ | tm <br> $(\mathrm{s})$ | $\gamma$ <br> $(\mathrm{rad})$ | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{d}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{rad})$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}-\mathrm{y}$ <br> data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | $4.65 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.47 | $3.76 \times 10^{5}$ | 0 | $1 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.6 \times 10^{6}$ | 0 | $5 \times 10^{-3}$ | 414 | $3.76 \times 10^{5}$ | Fron <br> Chapter <br> 7 |
| 40 | $4.23 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.47 | $3.76 \times 10^{5}$ | 0 | $1 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{7}$ | 0 | $5 \times 10^{-3}$ | 414 | $3.76 \times 10^{5}$ | From <br> Chapter <br> 7 |
| 60 | $4.23 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.47 | $3.76 \times 10^{5}$ | 0 | $1 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{7}$ | 0 | $5 \times 10^{-3}$ | 414 | $3.76 \times 10^{5}$ | From <br> Chapter <br> 7 |

TABLE 8.1 INPUT DATA TO THE COMPUTER PROGRAM

### 8.3.2 Computer Programs of the Second Analysis

### 8.3.2.1 Computer Program of the Ball Motion

The flow chart of the computer program is shown in fig. (8.7). The input data to the computer program is shown in table (8.2). Subroutine EO2ADF was used for computing the coefficients of the $C_{D m}-R_{e m}$ eqn. for the different gaps between the ball and the seat in the model.

Subroutine EO2ADF was used for computing the coefficients of the $Q_{v t}-y$ eqn. This subroutine computed weighted least-square polynomial approximation to the data points.

Subroutine CO5ACF was used to compute the time ( $t$ ) in which the ball separated from the seat. Bisection method was used.

Subroutine EO2AEF was used for evaluating $C_{D v}$ from $C_{D v}-\bar{R}_{e v}$ eqn. This subroutine evaluated the polynomial from its Chebyshev-series representation.

Subroutine E01ADF was used to interpolate at a given value of $y$ from $C_{D v}-y$ points. Aitken's technique of successive linear interpolation was used. The time ( $t c o$ ) between two successive collisions was computed by the interpolation at $y=0$, or at $y=y_{w}$ (the condition of the collision). Also the velocity of the ball before the collision was computed by the interpolation at $y=0$, or at $y=y_{w}$.

The computer program is shown in appendix (7).
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Supply } \\ & \text { Pressure } \\ & \text { (psi) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{W}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{o}} \\ (\mathrm{rad}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{s} \\ (\mathrm{rad}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{dt} \\ & (\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{g}_{1} \\ \left(\mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} t \\ (\mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{tm} \\ & (\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \gamma \\ (\mathrm{rad}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{d}} \\ (\mathrm{rad}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{v m}-y \\ & \text { data } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D} m}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{em}} \\ & \text { data } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vo}} \\ & \text { (N) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \alpha \\ (\mathrm{rad}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | $4.65 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.47 | $3.76 \times 10^{5}$ | 0 | $1 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.6 \times 10^{6}$ | 0 | $5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.14 \times 10^{2}$ | $3.76 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Chapter } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { Chapter } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 0.221 | $1.3 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 40 | $4.23 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.47 | $3.76 \times 10^{5}$ | 0 | $1 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{7}$ | 0 | $5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.14 \times 10^{2}$ | $3.76 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Chapter } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { Chapter } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 0.442 | $1.3 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 60 | $4.23 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.47 | $3.76 \times 10^{5}$ | 0 | $1 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{7}$ | 0 | $5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.14 \times 10^{2}$ | $3.76 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Chapter } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Chapter } \end{aligned}$ $7$ | 0.663 | $1.3 \times 10^{3}$ |

table 8.2 INPUT DATA TO THE COMPUTER PROGRAM OF THE BALL MOTION

### 8.3.2.2 Computer Program of the Flow From the Injector Valve <br> Fig. (8.8) shows the flow chart of the computer program.

 The flow chart was mainly the same of section (8.3.2.1).$Q_{\mathrm{VT}}-\mathrm{y}$ data points were obtained from Chapter 7. Subroutine E01ADF was used to interpolate at a given value of $y$ from $Q_{V T}-y$ points. Aitken's technique of successive linear interpolation was used. The computer program is shown in Appendix 8.

### 8.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSICNS

8.4.1 Analysis with the Assumption of Zero Velocity of the Ball

Relative to the Flow Velocity of the Top Inlet
Figs. (8.9-8.11) shows the relationship between the velocity of the ball $\left(v_{b}\right)$ with the time ( $t$ ) for injector tip frequency (f) 60 KHz , maximum amplitude ( $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ) of the injector tip, 0.00465 mm , 0.00423 mm and 0.00423 mm respectively, maximum gap $\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$ between the ball and the seat 0.47 mm and supply pressure $p_{\mathrm{v}} 20 \mathrm{psi}$, 40 psi and 60 psi respectively. These figs. show that when the ball left the seat, its velocity decreased with the time between the two walls of the injector valve. The decrease in the velocity was due to the effect of the fluid forces on the motion of the ball. The shape of the decrease of the velocity with the time was similar to the shape of the $F_{\mathrm{vf}}-\mathrm{y}$ curve. This similarity has given support to the accuracy of the computer program. Also when the ball left the upper wall of the injector valve, its velocity increased (in the negative direction) with the time between the two walls of the injector valve. The shape of the decrease of the velocity with the time was also similar to the shape of the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ - y curve.
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FIG. 8.9 ball velocity - TIME ReLationship


FIG. 8. 10 BALL VELOCITY - TIME RELATIONSHIP


FIG. 8. 11 BALL VELOCITY - TIME-RBLATIONSHIP

It can be seen from these figs. that the velocity of the ball was significant compared with the flow velocity at the top inlet (the flow velocity at the top inlet was of order $12 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ ). Hence the assumption of zero velocity of the ball relative to the flow velocity of the top inlet was not accurate.

### 8.4.2 Analysis with the Assumption of Finite Velocity of the Ball Relative to the Flow Velocity of the Top Inlet

### 8.4.2.1 Motion of the Ball

Figs. (8.12 - 8.14) show the relationship between the ratio (Y) of the gap between the ball and the seat to the maximum gap between the ball and the seat with the time ( $t$ ), for injector tip frequency ( $f$ ) 60 KHz , maximum amplitude of the injector tip ( $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ) $0.00465 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.00423 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 0.00423 mm respectively, maximum gap between the ball and the seat $\left(y_{w}\right) 0.47 \mathrm{~mm}$ and supply pressure $\left(p_{v}\right)$ $20 \mathrm{psi}, 40$ pso and 60 psi respectively.

Fig. (8.12) shows that the ball could reach the upper wall of the injector valve after 0.0008 s from switching on the injector. The ball could reach the upper wall often after 0.0015 s . The reason of the build up in the motion of the ball was due to the effect of the build up in the motion of the injector tip (from the laser results, the tip motion built up in 5 ms ) and the effect of the fluid forces on the ball.

Also it can be seen from this fig. that the fluid forces on the ball forced the ball to come back to the seat many times after the build up of the motion of the ball. This is because in these cases the ball had low velocities after the collision with the seat.
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Fig. (8.13) shows that the ball could reach the uppse wall of the valve after 0.0011 s from switching on the injector. The ball could reach the upper wall often after 0.0015 s .

Fig. (8.14) shows that the ball could reach the upper wall of the injector valve after 0.002 s from switching on the injector valve.

It can be seen from these figs. that when the supply pressure was increased from 20 psi to 40 psi , the time of the build up in the motion of the ball was identical. But when the supply pressure was increased from 40 psi to 60 psi , the tims of the build up was greater. This is because the increase in the supply pressure could decrease the amplitude of the ball, but in the same time the increase in the supply pressure might change the phase in which the ball hit the seat.

The relationship between the velocity $\left(v_{b}\right)$ of the ball with $t$, for $f=60 \mathrm{KHz}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}=0.00423 \mathrm{~mm}, y_{w}=0.47 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $P_{v}=40 \mathrm{psi}$ is shown in fig. (8.15). This fig. shows how the velocity of the ball changes due to the effect of the fluid force on the ball. This fig. also shows that the shape of the change in the velocity of the ball due to the effect of the fluid force was similar to the $F_{v f}-y$ curve. This similarity has given support to the accuracy of the computer program. Similar ball velocity-time relationship was obtained for supply pressure 20 psi and 60 psi. Fig. (8.16) shows the relationship between the time of each ball cycle ( $t c$ ) with $t$, for $f=60 \mathrm{KHz}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}=0.00423 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{w}}=0.47 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{v}}=40 \mathrm{psi}$. This fig. shows that the ball was moving in random frequency.

The effect of the decay in the motion of the injector tip after
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FIG. 8.16 TIME OF THE BALL CYCle - TIME RELATIONSHIP


Switching off the injector on the ball motion is shown in fig. (8.17). This fig. shows the relationship betweon the ratio (Y) of the gap between the ball and the seat to the maximum gap between the ball and the seat with $t$, for $f=60 \mathrm{KHz}, y_{x}=0.00423 \mathrm{~mm}, y_{w}=0.47 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $P_{v}=40$ psi. It can be seen from this fig. that the ball could reach the upper wall of the injector valve often in the first millisecond after switching off the injector (the injector was switched off at $t=5 \mathrm{~ms}$ ). The motion of the ball then decayed to the zero in approximately 2 ms . This fig. shows that the time of decay in the ball motion was 1.5 ms more than the time of decay in the tip motion, due to the continuity in the motion of the ball.

### 8.4.2.2 Flow from the Injector Valve

### 8.4.2.2.1 Flow Rate

Figs. (8.18-8.20) show total flow rate ( $Q_{V T}$ ) from the injector valve - time ( $t$ ) relationship, for $f=\mathrm{KHz}$, $y_{x}=0.00465 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.00423 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 0.00423 mm respectively; $y_{W}=0.47$ and $P_{v}=20 \mathrm{psi}, 40 \mathrm{psi}$, and 60 psi respectively. These figs. show that the total flow rate has built up to $80 \%$ of the maximum value after approximately 0.5 ms from switching on the injector. This is because the total flow rate built up to the maximum value after $30 \%$ of the maximum gap between the ball and the seat. The effect of the decay in the ball motion after switching off the injector on the total flow rate is shown in Fig. (8.21). This fig. represents the relationship between the total flow rate
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from the injector valve with the time for $f=60 \mathrm{KHz}$, $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}=0.00423 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{v}}=40 \mathrm{psi}$. This fig. shows that the total flow rate could reach the maximum value after the first millisecond after switching off the injector (the injector was switched off at $t=5 \mathrm{~ms}$ ). The total flow rate was then delayed to zero for approximately two millisoconds.

### 8.4.2.2.2 Total Flow

Fig. (8.22) shows the total flow ( $\varepsilon_{V N}$ ) - time ( $t$ ) relationship for $f=60 \mathrm{KHz}, y_{x}=0.00465 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.00423 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 0.00423 mm respectively, $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{w}}=0.47 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{v}}=20 \mathrm{psi}, 40 \mathrm{psi}$ and 60 psi respectively. This fig. shows that for supply pressure 20 psi the change of the total flow with time (total flow rate) became constant after approximately 1.5 ms from switching on the injector. This is because the total flow rate built up to the maximum value in 1.5 ms (see fig. (8.18)). Also this is because the total flow rate built up to the maximum value in a very small gap between the ball and the seat. For the same reason this fig. shows that the total. flow rate of supply pressure 40 psi and 60 psi became constant after 1.5 ms and 2 ms respectively (see figs. (8.19) and (8.20)). Comparing these plots shows that the total 1 _ow was identical in the rime range $0-0.5 \mathrm{~ms}$. The reason for this is because when the supply pressure increased the total flow rate increased but in the mean time the amplitude of the ball was reduced due to the effect of the increase of the flow force. The effect of the increase of the supply pressure on the ball amplitude was important in this time range because the velocity of
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the ball after the collision with the seat was very small. Also this fig. show that when the supply pressure increased from 20 psi to 40 psi, the total flow rate increased. The explanation of this is because the increase in the flow rate due to the increase of the supply pressure was greater than the decrease in the total flow rate due to the decrease in the amplitude of the ball due to the increase of the supply pressure. It can be seen from the fig. that when the supply pressure increased from 40 psi to 60 psi , the total flow rate remained almost identical. This is because the increase of the flow rate due to the increase of the supply pressure was approximately identical with the decrease in the flow rate due to the decrease in the ball amplitude due to the increase of the supply pressure. Experimental evidence of this theoretically predicted phenomenon is included in Chapter 11. Also this fig . show that the average flow rate (total flow/time) was approximately equal to $60 \%$ of the peak flow rate (which is shown in figs. (8.18-8.20)) for pressures below the pressure at which the flow is the maximum. It can also be seen from this fig. that the total flow was fluctuating due to the random frequency of the ball.

Chapter Nine

## MODEL TESTS OF FLOW RATES AND BALL FLOW FORCE FOR DIFFERENT GEOMETRIES OF THE INJ ECTOR VALVE

### 9.1 INTRCDUCTION

Studying the effect of the geometry of the injector valve on the flow force on the ball and on the flow rates should give better understanding of the behaviour of the injector and lead to its improvement.

In this chapter the flow force on the ball, the total flow rate and the inlet flow rates for each position of the ball were measured in five scale models of different geometries of the injector valve.

The final section is concerned with estimating theoretically the flow rate and the flow force on the ball at small lift in all the models using a simple theory. These estimates are compared with the measurements.

The details of the test apparatus, test procodure, test program, analysis of the experimental data and results and discussions are given below.

### 9.2 TEST APPARATUS

Fig. (9.1) shows a photograph of the test apparatus used, while the layout is given in fig. (9.2).

The apparatus consisted of a model of the injector valve, a perspex tank, instruments for measuring the static pressure at the top inlet and at the outlet of the model, the flow rate from the model and the flow force on the ball.


FIG. 9.1 APPARATUS FOR MEASURING FLOW FORCE AND FLOW RATES



1. Pipe from the Pump.
2. Overflow Pipe.
3. Perspex Tank.
4. Model.
5. Ball.
6. Outlet Pipa.
7. Gate Valve.
8. Vertical Manomster.
9. Multitube Manometer.
10. String.
11. Force Transducer.
12. Pover Supply.
13. Galvanometer.
14. Rotameter.

Five enlarged models ( $A, B, C, D$, and $E$ ) of different geometries of the injector valve were designed. Fig. (9.3) shows a photograph of the models, while the main dimensions of each model are given in table (9.1). The main dimensions of the model which is described in Chapter 7 are also given in this table. The model was made geometrically similar to the injector valve and the scale factor was 20.6. The boundaries of the models were made from perspex and in two parts. The upper part contained the top inlet and the lower part contained the outlet and the side inlets, or were without any side inlets (open all round). The two parts were connected by screws. The model was screwed to the bottom of the tank. The tank was made from perspex and was of dimensions $65 \mathrm{~cm} \times 61 \mathrm{~cm} \times 58 \mathrm{~cm}$. The height of the tank was designed to give a suitable pressure head. The water was supplied to the tank by a pump. The level of the water in the tank was kept constant during the test by fixing an overflow pipe in the tank. The static pressure at the top inlet of the model was measured by a multitube manometer. The static pressure at the outlet of the model was measured by a vertical manometer. The total flow rate from the model was measured by a rotameter (Rotameter Manufacturing Co.) and controlled by a gate valve.

The ball was connected to the force transducer (Pye Ether, type UF1) by a strong wire. The other end of the ball was connected to a small piece of a brass bar passing through a guide to kaep the centre of the ball always on the vertical centre line of the model. The force transducer was fixed rigidly on a plate which could be positioned anywhere along the length of a screw bar. This was achieved by means of two nuts. The electric power was supplied to




the force transducer by a power supply (Farnell, type E 30/2). The output of the force transducer was read on a galvanometer (W. G. Pye \& Co. Ltd.).

### 9.3 TEST PROGKAM

In each test the flow forces on the ball, the total flow rate, the static pressure at the top inlet and the static pressure at the outlet were measured. These measurements were done for different positions of the ball in the model, and for a corresponding supply pressure of the injector valve. The test Reynolds numbers were those corresponding to $20 \mathrm{psi}, 40 \mathrm{psi}$ and 60 psi supply pressure to the injector valve.

### 9.4 TEST PROCEDURE

Before starting the test, the rotameter was calibrated by a woighing method. Also top inlet flow was calibrated by a weighing method. The force transducer was also calibrated by putting weights on the ball which was connected by a wire to the force transducer, and reading the corresponding voltage on the galvanometer.

To start the test the ball was fixed at the upper wall of the model. The position of the force transducer was read by means of a rule fixed on a bar. The position of the transducer was considered as the zero position of the ball. The ball was then moved down 1 mon from the upper wall of the model. At this position the indicator of the galvanometer was fixed at zero on the scale. This position of the indicator represented zero fluid forces on the ball. The water was then delivered to the tank by switching on the pump. : against the multitude manometer

After getting the constant level of the water in the tank, the static pressure at the outlet of the model was then fixed during the test by using the valve. The value of the static pressure at this point was calculated by equating Euler number between the top inlet and the outlet of this injector valve and that of the model.

$$
\frac{p_{v t}-p_{v o}^{*}}{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{vo}}^{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{mt}}-p_{\mathrm{mo}}^{*}}{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{mo}}^{2}}
$$

where $P_{\text {vt }}$ represents supply pressure at the top inlet of the valve, $p_{\text {vo }}^{*}$ piezometric pressure at the outlet of the valve, $\rho_{v}$ density of the liquid in the valve, $V_{v o}$ flow velocity at the outlet of the valve, $P_{m t}$ supply pressure at the top inlet of the model, $p_{m o}^{*}$ piezometric pressure at the outlet of the model, $\quad \rho_{\mathrm{m}}$ density of the liquid in the model and $V_{\text {mo }}$ flow velocity at the outlet of the model.

The static pressure at the top inlet was measured by the multitubs manometer. This measurement was done to calculate the flow rate at the top inlet from the calibration of the static pressure versus the flow rate at the top inlet.

The following readings were then taken:

1. The galvanometer reading.
2. The rotameter reading.
3. The multitube manometer reading.

The ball was then lowered in 1 mm steps and the procedure was repeated at 0.5 mm from the seat.

The same procedure was repeated for each test.

### 9.5 MEASURING ANALYSIS OF THE EXP GRTMZNTAL DATA

The measuring analysis of the experimental data was the same as that of Chapter 7 (see section (7.5)).

Eqn. (7.6) was used to calculate the flow rate $\left(Q_{v t}\right)$ at the top inlet of the valve.

$$
-
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{v t}=Q_{m t} \frac{d_{v t}}{d_{m t}} \frac{\nu_{v}}{\nu_{m}} \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{m t}$ represents the flow rate at the top inlet of the model, $d_{v t}$ diameter of the top inlat of the valve, $d_{m t}$ diameter of the top inlet of the model, $\nu_{v} \quad$ kinematic viscosity of the liquid in the valve and $V_{m}$ kinematic viscosity of the liquid in the model.

By the same procedure the total flow rate $\left(Q_{\mathrm{VT}}\right)$ from the valve was calculated. The side inlats flow rate ( $Q_{S}$ ) was calculated by subtracting the top inlet flow rate from the total flow rate.

Eqn. (7.14) was used to calculate the flow force on the ball. of the valve:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{v f}=F_{m f} \frac{\rho_{v}}{\rho_{m}}\left(\frac{D_{v}}{D_{m}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{v_{v t}}{V_{m t}}\right)^{2} \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{v f}$ represents the flow force on the ball of the valve, $F_{m f}$ flow force on the ball of the model, $D_{v}$ diameter of the ball of the valve, $D_{m}$ diameter of the ball in the model, $V_{v t}$ flow velocity at the top inlet of the valve and $V_{m t}$ flow velocity at the top inlet of the model.

Eqn. (7.15) was used to calculate the flow force on the ball.
of the model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{mf}}=F_{\theta}+F_{m b} \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{e}$ represents the measured flow force on the ball of the model and $F_{\text {rab }}$ the buoyancy force on the ball of the model.

### 9.6 TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

### 9.6.1 Model A

Fig. (9.4) shows the relationship between the flow force ( $F_{\mathrm{vf}}$ ) on the ball of the injector valve and the position of the ball from the seat ( $y$ ), for supply pressure $20 \mathrm{psi}, 40 \mathrm{psi}$, and 60 psi. It can be seen from these figs. that the shape of the graphs is the same as the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v} . \mathrm{f}}-\mathrm{y}$ graphs which are discussed in Chapter 7 (see fig. (7.15)).

Figs. (9.5-9.7) show plots of the total flow rate from the injector valve $\left(Q_{V T}\right)$, the side inlets flow rates $\left(Q_{V S}\right)$ and the top inlets flow rate ( $Q_{v t}$ ) versus $y$, for $20 \mathrm{psi}, 40 \mathrm{psi}$, and 60 psi respectively. These figs. show that the shape of the $Q_{v s}-y$ and $Q_{v t}-y$ plots.is respectively the same as the $Q_{v s}-y$ and $Q_{v t}-y$ plots which are discussed in Chapter 7 (see section (7.6)). These figs. also show that when $Q_{v t}$ decreased due to the decrease in the area above the ball, $Q_{V T}$ remained constant. This is because the total resistance which was composed of the resistance $\left(R_{1}\right)$ (see fig. (7.13)) due to the area above the ball plus the resistance $\left(R_{2}\right)$ due to the area of the top inlet in parallel with the resistance $\left(R_{3}\right)$ due to the area of the side inlets was very small compared to the resistance $\left(R_{5}\right)$ due to the area of the outlat (the resistance $\left(R_{4}\right)$ due to the arsa under the
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ball was approximately negligible in this region). Hence the increase in the total resistance due to the increase of $R_{2}$ could not affect the total flow rate. These figs. show that when $P_{v}$ was increased, $Q_{v T}, Q_{v s}$ and $Q_{v t}$ increased as nearly the square root of pressure.

### 9.6.2 Model B

Fig. (9.8) shows the relationship between the flow force ( $\mathrm{Fff}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ ) on the ball of the injector valve and the position ( $y$ ) of the ball from the seat, for supply pressure $20 \mathrm{psi}, 40 \mathrm{psi}$ and 60 psi . It can be seen from these figs. that the shape of the graphs is the same as the $F_{v}-y$ graph of model $A($ see section (9.6.1)). These figs. show that the maximum value of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ was smaller than the maximum value of $F_{v f}$ of the model A. This is because in model $B$ the resistance $\left(R_{5}\right)$ due to the area of the outlet of the injector valve was very large compared to the resistance $\left(R_{4}\right)$ due to the area under the ball. Hence the value of $y$ in which $R_{4}$ would become negligible was smaller than that of model $A$.

Also it can be seen from this fig. that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ reduced to a minimum at lower value of $y$, and its value here was smaller than $F_{v f}$ of model A. This is because $R_{5}$ was very large compared to the other resistances in the injector valve.

Flgs. (9.9-9.11) show plots of the total flow rate $\left(Q_{V T}\right)$ from the injector valve and the top ( $Q_{v t}$ ) and side ( $Q_{v s}$ ) inlet flows versus $y$, for $20 \mathrm{psi}, 40 \mathrm{psi}$ and 60 psi . The shape of the plots is the same as those of model A (sea section (9.6.1)) but the flow rates were smaller because $R_{5}$ was very large compared to the other rosistances in this injector valve.
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These figs. show that the ratio of $Q_{v s}$ to $Q_{V t}$ at $y=0.225 \mathrm{~mm}$ (approximate middla position) was almost the same as for model A at the same value of y . This is because at this position in both the models the resistances $\left(\mathrm{R}_{4}\right)$ due to the area under the ball and the resistanco $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ due to the area above the ball were almost negligible. Hence $Q_{v s}$ and $Q_{v t}$ were respectively proportional to the side and the top inlets areas which were the same in both the models.

Also, it can be seon from this fig. that $Q_{v T}$ built up to its maximum value at smaller value of $y$ compared to $Q_{V T}$ of model A. This occurs because in model $B, R_{5}$ was very large and soon becams larger than $R_{4}$ at small lift. Hence it could be considered the main controller of the flow rates.

### 9.6.3 Model C

Fig. (9.12) shows the relationship between the flow force ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ ) on the ball and the position ( y ) of the ball from the seat, for supply pressure $20 \mathrm{psi}, 40 \mathrm{psi}$ and 60 psi. The general shape is the same as those of models $A$ and $B$. It can be sesn that the flow force $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}\right)$ on the ball did not stay constant when its value reached a minimum (as in models A and B ). This is because in model $C$ the resistance $\left(R_{3}\right)$ due to the area of the side inlets was significant compared to the resistance $\left(R_{2}\right)$ due to the area above the ball, while it was very small compared to $R_{2}$ in models in $A$ and $B$. Hence the increase in $R_{2}$ caused an increase in the static pressure on the upper half of the ball. Figs. (9.13-9.15) show plots of the flow rates versus $y$ for
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$20 \mathrm{psi}, 40 \mathrm{psi}$ and 60 psi . The shapes of the $Q_{v s}-y$ and the $Q_{v t}-y$ plots are respectively the same as those of models $A$ and B. These figs. show that $Q_{v T}$ decreased when the ball was lifted from $y=0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $\mathrm{y}=0.45 \mathrm{mr}$. This is because the increase in $R_{2}$ when the ball was lifted caused an increase in the total resistance of the parallel circuit which was slightly significant compared to the resistance $\left(R_{5}\right)$ due to the area of the outlet. It can be seen from these figs. that the maximum value of $Q_{v T}$ was slightly smaller than the maximum value of $Q_{v T}$ of model A. This is because the increase in $R_{3}$ slightly increased the resistance of the parallel circuit.

### 9.6.4 Model D

Fig. (9.16) shows the relationship between the flow force $\left(F_{v f}\right)$ on the ball of the injector valve and the position (y) of the ball from the seat, for supply pressure 20 psi and 40 psi . It can be seen from these figs. that the value of $y$ for maximum $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ was greater than that of models $A, B$ and $C$. This occurs because in model $D$ the resistance $\left(R_{3}\right)$ due to the area of the side inlets was very small compared to the resistances $\left(R_{2}\right)$ due to the area above the ball and the resistance $\left(R_{1}\right)$ due to the area of the top inlet compared with models $A, B$ and $C$. Hence when the ball was lifted in this region, the flow force on the upper half of the ball was due to the supply pressure and the flow force on the lower half of the ball was due to the static pressure which was decreased when the ball was lifted from the seat.

Also these figs. show that $F_{v f}$ did not increase again as
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in models $A, B$ and $C$. This is probably also because $R_{3}$ was very small compared to $R_{1}+R_{2}$. Hence for any value of $y$, the flow force on the upper half of the ball was due to the supply pressure. For the same reason it can be sean from this fig. that the value of y for which $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ fell to a minimum was greater than that of models $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C .

Figs. (9.17-9.18) show plots of the total flow rate ( $Q_{\mathrm{vT}}$ ) from the injector valve, the side inlets flow rates $\left(Q_{v s}\right)$ and the top inlet flow rate $\left(Q_{v t}\right)$ versus $y$, for 20 psi and 40 psi. These figs. show there was little or no flow rate from the top inlet of the injector valve because $R_{3}$ was so small compared to $R_{1}+R_{2}$.

It can also be seen from this fig. that the maximum value or $Q_{\text {VT }}$ was greater compared to models $A$ and $C$ because the total resistances of the parallel circuit was smaller due both to the low $R_{3}$ and also because the diameter of the cavity of the valva was larger. Thus the ball did not obstruct the side inlets.

### 9.6.5 Model E

Fig. (9.19) shows the relationship between the flow force ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ ) on the ball of the injector valve and the gap ( $y$ ) between the ball and the seat, for supply pressure 20 psi and 40 psi. These figs. show that the shape of the graphs is the same as the $F_{v f}-y$ graphs of model D (see section (9.6.4)). It can be seen from this fig. that the maximum value of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ was approximately the same as the maximum value of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ of model $D$ because in both models the resistance $\left(R_{3}\right)$ due to the area of the side inlets was almost negligible, i.e., the flow rate was not affected by the further


FIG. 9.17 FLON RATBS - POSITICN OF THE BALL FROM THE SEAT RELATIONSHIP


FIG. 9.18 FLON Rates - position of tha ball from the seat relationsity


FIG. 9.19 FLON FORCE - POSITION OF THE BALL FROM THE SEAT RELATIONSHIP
decrease of $\mathrm{R}_{3}$.
Figs. (9.20-9.21) show plots of the total flow rate ( $Q_{V T}$ ) from the injector valve, the side inlets flow rates $\left(Q_{v s}\right)$ and the top inlet flow rates $\left(Q_{v t}\right)$ versus $y$, for 20 psi and 40 psi . These figs. show that the shape of these graphs is the same as the $Q_{v T}-y, Q_{v s}-y$ and $Q_{v t}-y$ graphs of model $D$ (see section (9.6.4)). It can be seen from these figs. that the maximum value of $Q_{V T}$ was approximately the same as the maximum value of $Q^{Q} T$ of model $D$. This is again bscause $R_{3}$ was almost negligible, i.e., the flow rate was not affected by the decrease of $R_{3}$.
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### 9.7 PREDICTION OF THE FLOW FORCB ON THE BALL AND THE TOTAL

FLOW RATE AT SMALL CPZNING

### 9.7.1 Introduction

This section is devoted to predicting the flow force on the ball of the injector valve and the total flow rate from the injector valve. These predictions were done where the position of the ball was 1 mm from the seat.

The purpose of this investigation was to get a better understanding of the results of the models of the injector valve (which were described in Chapters 7 and 9).

The theory of the investigation and the results and the discussions are given below.

### 9.7.2 Theory

### 9.7.2.1 Prediction of the Total Flow Rate from the Injector Valve

The total flow rate was calculated where the position of the ball was 1 mm from the seat. It was assumed that the liquid was ideal (so that no energy was dissipated by friction) and of constant density. The flow was assumed steady. It was assumed that the conditions over the cross sectional area ( $A_{1}$ ) between the ball and the seat (see fig. (9.20) were uniform, and the streamlines were straight and parallel. To calculate the flow rate, Bernoulli's equation was applied between sections 1 and 6 (see fig. (9.20)). At section 6, it was assumed that the flow velocity was zero and the pressure was the supply pressure. At section 1 it was assumed that the pressure was atmospheric, and the separation of the flow
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from the ball occurred at this section.
From Bernoulli's equation, the total flow rate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{v T}=A_{1} \sqrt{\frac{2}{p_{v}}\left(p_{6}^{*}-p_{1}^{*}\right)} \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}$ was calculated from the design drawing of the injector valve, $\rho_{v}$ represents the density of the liquid of the valve, $p_{6}^{*}$ piezometric pressure at section 6 and $p_{1}^{*}$ piezometric pressure at section 1.

### 9.7.2.2 Prediction of the Flow Force on the Ball of the Injector Valve

The flow force on the ball was calculated where the position of the ball was 1 mm from the seat.

The flow force on the upper half of the ball was assumed to be due to the supply pressure.

The flow force on the lower half of the ball was calculated by calculating the static pressure over several cross sectional areas between the ball and the lower wall of the injector valve. It was assumed that the static pressure acted on each small surface area of the lower half of the ball. It was assumed that the conditions over the cross sectional areas were uniform and that the streamlines there were straight and parallel.

The static pressure was calculated over three sectional areas between the ball and the lower wall of the injector valve $\left(A_{2}, A_{3}\right.$ and $A_{4}$ ) (see fig (9.20)). By applying Bernoulli's equation between sections 6 and 2 , the static pressure at $A_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2}^{*}=p_{6}^{*}-\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{v}}}{2} \frac{Q_{\mathrm{vT}}}{A_{2}}{ }^{2} \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also eqn. (9.6) was used to calculate the atatic pressure over $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{4}$.

It was assumed that the static pressure over $A_{1}$ was atmospheric pressure. The separation of the flow from the ball was assumed to occur at section 1. Also it was assumed that at the surface area of the ball between sections 4 and 5, the static pressure was the supply pressure.

The flow force on the lower half of the ball was calculated from the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=p_{2}^{*} A_{1-2}+p_{3}^{*} A_{2-3}+p_{4}^{*} A_{3-4}+P_{v} A_{4-5} . \tag{9.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1-2}, A_{2-3}, A_{3-4}$ and $A_{4-5}$ were the projected areas between sections 1 and 2, 2 and 3,3 and 4 and 4 and 5 respectively.

The flow force on the upper half of the ball was calculated from the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{u}=P_{v} A_{r} \tag{9.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{r}$ was the projected area of the upper half of the ball. The net flow force on the ball was calculated from the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{v f}=F_{u}-F_{1} . \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 9.7.3 Results and Discussions

Table (9.2) shows the calculated values of the flow force ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ ) on the ball of the injector valve for each of six models, and the flow rate $\left(Q_{\mathrm{VT}}\right)$ from each valve, for supply pressure 40 psi. Model F is described in Chapter 7 and models $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{E}$ are described in Chapter 9. The table also shows the corresponding experimental values. It can be seen from this table that the calculated values of $Q_{V T}$, except for model $B$, were less than the corresponding experimental values. This is probably because the separation of the flow did not occur until after section 1 (the minimum area). Hence the static pressure at this section was less than atmospheric pressure and the flow velocity was greater than the flow velocity obtained by the assumption of atmospheric pressure. The lower static pressure would also cause a higher flow force on the ball. This is probably the reason why the calculated values of the flow force on the ball were less than the corresponding experimental values. The reason why the calculated value of $Q_{V T}$ of model $B$ was greater than the corresponding experimental value was probably because the outlet area of this model was smaller than the minimum cross sectional area ( $A_{1}$ ). This would make the pressure over $A_{1}$ greater than atmospheric pressure.

| Model | $Q_{V T}$ <br> Experimental <br> $(\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{m})$ | $Q_{\mathrm{vT}}$ <br> Theoretical <br> $(\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Vf}}$ <br> Experimental <br> $(\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{vf}}$ <br> Theoretical <br> $(\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.179 | 0.145 |
| B | 0.117 | 0.2 | 0.142 | 0.126 |
| C | 0.28 | 0.272 | 0.163 | 0.132 |
| D | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.138 | 0.109 |
| E | 0.34 | 0.3 | 0.142 | 0.12 |
| F | 0.32 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.109 |

TABLE 9.2 COMPARISON BETWEEN THE EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETTCAL RESULTS

### 10.1 INTRODUCTION

The flow rate delivered from the injector valve is considered as the fundamental variable in the design of the injector. Hence the study of factors which affect these flow rate characteristics is central to the injector design.

It is very difficult (if not impossible) to measure directly the motion of the ball of the injector valve. Studying the behaviour of the flow itself might give a better understanding to the motion of the ball. In any case, it is the flow, and not the ball motion, which is ultimately to be controlled.

In this investigation a laser technique was employed to measure the flow rate - time relationship. This technique was used to obtain accurate information on this pulsatile flow probably unobtainable by more conventional techniques.

The details of test apparatus, test program, test procedure and results and discussions are given below.

### 10.2 TEST APPARATUS

The test apparatus comprised a special injector equipments set-up and laser anemometry equipments.

Fig. (10.1) shows a photograph for the apparatus.


FIG. 10.1 apparatus for measuring flow rate


### 10.2.1 Injector Bquipments

Fig. (10.2) shows a layout of the injector equipments, while the layout of the injector valve is shown in fig. (10.3). The injector was fixed rigidly at the top of a tank. The tank was filled with kerosine. The kerosine was delivered from the tank to the injector by a pump (Lucas) of 120 psi maximum pressure. The supply pressure of the kerosine to the injector was controlled by a neadle valve. The neodle valve was set up on a tee junction. One outlet of the junction was connected to the tank, and the other was connected to the test section. By this arrangement the supply pressure to the injector was fixed. Also there was continuous flow (much greater than required by the injector) through the needle valve. Thus the change in pump flow when the injector was actuated was negligible. Also, the tee junction was placed close to the injector and test section, so that this length was the minimum possible. Thus only the fluid in this short line was accelerated when the injector was actuated., and the acceleration time was small.

A calibrated pressure transducer (SE, type $180 / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{M} / 1 / \mathrm{BB}-200$ PSI-D) was used for measuring the supply pressure. The signal from the pressure transducer was fed to the signal processing equipment (SE, type 429 P ) which was connected to a digital voltmeter (Dalton, type 1051). To get an adequate amount of scattered light, the presence of particles in the flow was important. Hence the kerosine was not filtered, and Titanium Oxide particles were added.

The pulse width of any duty cycle was controlled by a double pulse generator (Magard Ltd., Type 5002 C). The test section (see fig. (10.4)) was made from a Perspex. The dimensions of the cross


FIG. 10.2 LAYOUT OF THE INJECTOR EQUIPMENT


FIG. 10:3 LAYOUT OF THE INJECTOR VALVE


section perpendicular to the direction of the flow were $0.6 \times 0.9 \mathrm{~mm}$. The test section was put near enough from the injector valve to allow the pressure waves to reach the test section in a negligible time. The pump was connected to the test section by a plastic tube. The test section was connected to the injector by a brass pipe of which the rigidity was adequate to avoid significant change in its cross section due to the increase in the supply pressure when the injector valve was closed instantaneously.

### 10.2.2 Laser Equipment

Fig. (10.5) shows a layout of the laser equipments. The laser equipment which was used for measuring the tip motion was also used here (see section (5.2.2)). The optical unit was operated in differential Doppler mode on forward scattered light. In this mode two beams of equal intensity were intersected at the flow in the test section. The beams were frequency shifted by $\pm 3.75 \mathrm{MHz}$ by the Bragg Cells. By this means zero velocity corresponds to a doppler frequency of 7.5 MHz and thus negative as well as positive velocities can be measured. The scattered light was picked up from the same direction of the two incident beams. The beam intersection angle was set up to 9.53 degrees.

### 10.3 TEST PROGRAM

In each test the maximum instantaneous Doppler frequency was measured for each pulse width of a specific pulse width range and for a specific supply pressure. The tests were conducted for a pulse width range $1-6 \mathrm{~ms}$ and supply pressure range $10-100 \mathrm{psi}$. The


FIG. 10.5 LAYOUT OF THE LASER EQUIPMENTS
time interval between injection pulses was $6 \mathrm{~ms}(166.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Thus the mark-space ratio varied from $1 / 5$ to $\infty$.

### 10.4 TEST PROCEDURE

The injection frequency was set to 166.6 Hz , and the pulse width and supply pressure were adjusted.

The procedure used for obtained the laser signal of the tip motion was also used here (see section (5.4)). When the laser signal (which represented the instantaneous velocity of the flow) was obtained on the oscilloscope, the injection frequency was reduced. This would obtain the signal of the zero value of the flow on the oscilloscope. The injection frequency was then reset to 166.6 Hz . The instantaneous Doppler frequency representing velocity was displayed on the storage oscilloscope and photographed. The maximum valus was recorded. The pulse width was then increased to the other value, and the supply pressure was readjusted to the original value when the flow rate increased due to the increase of the pulse width. The procedure was repeated until the data for the required pulse width range was covered. The supply pressure was then changed to the other value, and the test was repeated until the data for the required supply pressure range was obtained.

### 10.5 MEASUREMINT ANALYSIS OF THS LASER OUTPUT

The instantaneous flow velocity at the test section was calculated from the following standard formula of doppler anemometry

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{v L}=\frac{f_{D_{i}} \lambda}{2 \sin \theta / 2} \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{D}$. is the doppler shift frequency, $\lambda$ the wavelength of the laser light and $\theta$ the angle of intersection of the beams. The instantaneous total flow rate from the injector valve was then calculated from

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\mathrm{VL}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{VL}} A_{\mathrm{CS}} \tag{10.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{c s}$ is the area of the measuring cross-section.

### 10.6 TEST RESUI,TS AND DISCUSSIONS

Fig. (10.6) shows the relationship bstween the maximum flow rate with the pulse width, for supply pressure range $10-100 \mathrm{psi}$ and injection frequency $=166.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$. This fig. shows that when the pulse width was increased from 1 ms to 3 ms , the maximum flow rate increased due to the increase in the amplitude of the tip motion. The maximum flow rate remained constant as the pulse width was increased further. The reason for this is because the valocity of the tip was high enough at this range, and hence the ball spent more of its time at the larger gaps where it did not influence flow rate.

This fig. also shows that the maximum flow rate increased with the supply pressure. Fig. (10.7) shows a plot of the flow rate versus the pulse width, for supply pressure range 10-100 psi and injection frequancy $=166.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$. These measurements have been carried out by a weighing method. The aim of these measurements was to find the relationship between the average flow rate with the pulse width, and also to compare this relationship with the maximum flow rate - pulse width relationship which is shown in Fig. (10.6).


FIG.10-6 MAXIMUM FLOW RATE - PULSE WIDTH RELATIONSHIP FOR VARIOUS SUPPLY PRESSURES


FIG.10-7 FLOW RATE - PULSE WIDTH RELATIONSHIP FOR VARIOUS SUPPLY PRESSURES

At 3 ms pulse width, it can be seen that the flow rate increased with increasing the supply pressure in the range $10-40 \mathrm{psi}$, and then remained approximately constant in the range $40-100$ psi. This is probably because in the supply pressure range $10-40$ psi the supply pressure was small and hence the effect of the increase in the supply pressure on the gaps was less than that on the flow rate itself. When the supply pressure was increased in the range 40-100 psi, the supply pressure was large, and the effect of the increase in the supply pressure on the gaps was approximately identical with that on the flow rate itself. The flow rate did not decrease when the supply pressure increased in the range $40-100 \mathrm{psi}$, as in 1 ms and 2 ms pulse widths. This is because the ball was moving in larger gaps.

Also this fig. shows that when the pulse width was increased from 3 ms to 6 ms , the flow rate remained the same. The reason for this is also because the ball spent more of its time at the larger gaps where it did not influence flow rate.

Fig. (10.8) shows a photograph of the laser signal for 4 ms pulse width, 166.6 Hz injection frequency and 40 psi supply pressure. The signal of the pulses is also shown on the photograph. This fig. shows that the flow rate built up to the steady state value in approximately 2.5 ms . This fig. also shows that after the injector was switched off, there was still flow because the ball continued bouncing, due to the decay in the motion of the tip. It can also be seen from this fig. that the injector was switched on when the flow was still decaying. Hence the tip might try to stop the motion
$0.09^{1 / \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{Div}}$
$2 \mathrm{~ms} / \mathrm{Div}$


PULSES SIGNAL

LASER SIGNAL
ZERO FLOW VELOCITY

FIG. 10. 8 - PHOTOGRAPH OF THE LASER SIGNAL AND OF THE PULSES SIGNAL $\mathrm{PW}=4 \mathrm{~ms}$, INJECTION FREQUENCY $=166.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{v}}=40 \mathrm{psi}$.
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FIG. 10. 9 - PHOTOGRAPH OF THE LASER SIGNAL AND OF THE PULSES SIGNAL $\mathrm{PW}=1 \mathrm{~ms}$, INJECTION FREQUENCY $=166.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{v}}=40 \mathrm{psi}$.
of the ball. Also this fig. shows that there was slight reverse flow, probably due to the reflections of waves after closing the valve.

The effect of the pulse wicith on the flow rate is indicated in fig. (10.9). This shows a photograph of the laser signal for 1 ms pulse width, 166.6 Hz injection frequency and 40 psi supply pressure. The signal of the pulses is also shown on the photographs. This fig. shows that the flow discharged from the injector valve after the injection pulse, i.e., phase shifted by about 1 ms . The fluctuation in the signal about the zero line was probably due to the wave reflections after switching off the valve. The effect of the supply pressure on the flow rate is indicated in fig. (10.10). This fig. shows a photograph of the laser signal for 3 ms pulse wiath, 166.6 Hz injection frequency and 10 psi supply pressure. This fig. shows that when the injector was switched on flow build up was delayed. This is probably because when the injector was switched on there was reverso flow.

Fig. (10.11) shows a photograph of the laser signal for 100 psi supply pressure, 20 Hz injection frequency and 10 ms pulse width. The pressure transducer signal is also shown on the photograph. This fig. shows that after switching off the injector there was slight flow in the direction of the supply tank. The flow then increased and then decayed to the zero value. The negative flow rate (flow in the direction of the supply tank) and the increase in the flow rate again, were probably due to the wave reflections after closing the valve. It can be soen from this fig. that the signal of the pressure transducer represented well the
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FIG. 10. 10 - PHOTOGRAPH OF THE LASER SIGNAL AND OF THE PULSES SIGNAL $\mathrm{PW}=3 \mathrm{~ms}$, INJECTION FREQUENCY $=166.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}=10 \mathrm{psi}$.
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FIG. 10.11 - PHOTOGRAPH OF THE LASER SIGNAL AND OF THE PULSES SIGNAL $\mathrm{PW}=10 \mathrm{~ms}$, INJECTION FREQUENCY $=20 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{v}}=100 \mathrm{psi}$.
change of the flow rate with the time at the test section.
Fig. (10.12) shows a photograph of the laser signal for 100 psi supply pressure, 166.6 injection frequency and 4 ms pulse width. This photograph was taken during the build up of the flow. This fig. shows that the frequency of flow rate was of order 10 KHz . Hence the frequency of the ball was of order 10 KHz .


FIG.10.12 - PHOTOGRAPH OF THE LASER SIGNAL AND OF THE PULSES SIGNAL $\mathrm{PW}=4 \mathrm{~ms}$, INJECTION FREQUENCY $=166.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{v}}=100 \mathrm{psi}$.

## GINERAL DISCUSSTON

This chapter contains a short discussion and comments on the results reported in the different sections of this thesis.

The ball motion without liquid resistance and with the assumption of sinusoical motion of the tip was investigated and the results are given in chapter 3. The period of the ball cycle plots (figs. (3.4-3.7)) indicated that the ball was moving with a random frequency. This means that the flow per cycle fluctuated.

These results also showed that sometimes the ball spent more than 1 ms in ono cycle (although the injector was moving at a frequency of 60 KHz ). Sometimes the ball spent $1.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~ms}$ to complete one cycle. This indicates that the fluctuations in the flow per cycle were very large. Flow is also affected if randon part-cycles occur as these may produce any flow quantity depending on their amplitude and time. The fluctuations in the flow per cycle during the flow pulse might have no effect upon the employment of the injector, but it is undesirable from the design point of view. The injector can be designed by controlling the motion of the ball, i.e., making the ball move in a steady state frequency, and in full amplitude, i.e., the flow per cycle is in a steady state. This will provide a linear flow-time relationship. This design is needed only when the flow rate is changing with the gap between the ball and the seat. When the flow rate becomes in a steady state as soon as the ball leaves the seat, the flow-time relationship will be linear.

Slow ball cycle is undesirable for fast response of the
injector. Also it is desirable to make the total number of the ball cycles in a pulse very large, so that the flow during a single ball cycle is negligible.

The best injector valve can be defined as the valve which possesses the following 'Ideal Requirements':

1. The ball leaves the seat as soon as the injector is switched on.
2. The time of impact between the ball and the seat is very small.
3. The ball closes the valve as soon as the injector is switched off.
4. The flow rate becomes in a steady state as soon as the ball leaves the seat.
5. The geometry of the valve provides a suitable flow rate. It can be deduced from this definition that the ball motion could not affect the total flow rate if the second and fourth Ideal Requirements could be fulfilled.

It has been shown from the effect of the parameter D (ratio of the maximum amplitude of the injector tip to the maximum gap between the ball and the seat) on the ball motion (figs. (3.4 - 3.7)) that the period of the ball decreased when $D$ increased and the parameter $Z$ (ratio of the gravitational acceleration to the maximum acceleration of the injector tip) was kept constant. These results indicated that the frequency of the ball could be increased by reducing the maximum gap between the ball and the seat. To moet the third Ideal Requirement, the frequency of the ball should be high enough, i.e., the ball should return to its seat within a very short time. But a very high frequency may not be desirable if it makes the ball continue in the motion after the injector is switched off or causes
wear on the walls of the valve.
The results have also shown that the change in the maximum amplitude of the tip and also the frequency of the tip while keeping the maximum gap between the ball and the seat would change the period of the ball randomly. It was found also that when the ball was moving at the same frequency as the injector tip, the possibility of a steady state motion was higher.

The effect of changing the initial condition of the tip was found to change the motion of the ball, but the effect of the other parameters on the ball motion remajned the same. It was concluded from the results of computing the time of impact that this was very small. This result meets the second Ideal Requirement (very small time of impact).

The ball motion was studied experimentally in a large model of the injector valve without any liquid resistance and the results are given in Chapter 4. The results of the measurements have shown the multiple impacts phenomenon. If this phenomenon occurs with the ball motion of the injector valve, this will increase the time of impact. The multiple impacts phenomenon has also been found from the results of Chapter 3.

It was also found fror the measurements that the frequency of the ball was approximately identical with the excitation frequency in steady state. This probably means that the approach in the design of the injector valve with steady state motion was to make the ball move in the same frequency of the injector tip. But side effects, such as the wear on the walls of the valve and the possibility of the continuity of the motion of the ball after
switching off the injector, should be taken into consideration. The results of measurements of the ball motion were in very good agreement with the corresponding results of the computer program of Chapter 3. This indicates that the results of the theoretical analysis of the ball motion without any fluid resistance were accurate. The injector tip motion was measured using laser anemometry, and the results are shown in Chapter 5. The effect of the pulse wjdth and the supply pressura on the tip motion is shown in fig. ( 5.4 ). It was found that the pulse wiath had a significant effect on the tip motion. The tip motion built up in 5 ms to the steady state. This phenomenon must have a significant effect on the performance of the injector valve. It was found that the effect of the supply pressure on the tip motion was to reduce its amplitude. It was also found from the photographs of the signal of the tip motion that the tip motion took 1.5 ms to decay after switching off the injector. If the injector drive was switched on again in less than 1.5 ms , the phase of the electrical signal relative to the injector motion would be important. Therefore, variations in the ball motion due to this phase difference could be expected. This motion would affect the flow per pulse.

The flow force on the ball, the total flow rate and the inlet flow rates for each position of the ball were increased in a scale model of a general design of the injector valve. The results of the measurements were scaled to the injector valve and are given in Chapter 7. The plots of the total flow rate, the siae inlets flow rates and the top inlet flow rate are shown in figs. (7.10-7.12). The total flow rate plots have shown that the total flow rate
became steady when the gap was approximately $30 \%$ of the maximum gap. It can thus be deduced that the ball position influenced the total flow rate only when the gap was approximately less than $30 \%$ of the maximum gap between the ball and the seat. The change in the total flow rate with the gap between the ball and the seat was caused by change in the resistance due to the area under the ball. This indicates that the fourth Ideal Requirement of the best injector valve could be met by controlling this resistance. The effect of this resistance on the flow rate can be reduced by increasing the resistance due to the area of the outlet, i.e., by reducing the area of the outlet (compare fig. ( 9.6 ) with fig. (9.10)). The resistance due to the area under the ball can be reduced by increasing the wall angle (the angle between the lower wall and horizontal line).

The plots of the flow force on the ball of the injector valve are presented in fig. (7.15). These plots have shown that the flow force on the ball increased when the ball was lifted from the seat until the gap was $17 \%$ of the maximum gap. (This increase in the flow force occurs because, due to the wall angle, the surface of minimum flow area is below the horizontal diameter of the ball. Pressures below this diameter therefore fall below supply pressure for small ball lifts.) The increase in the flow force is contradictory to the first Ideal Requirement (fast ball lift). Hence increasing the seat angle could provide a reduction in the flow force as soon as the ball leaves the seat. But increasing the seat angle increases the projected area (cross-section through the contact perimeter) of the ball, and hence the pressure force on the
seated ball increases.
These plots have also shown that the flow force then decreased until the gap was approximately $\frac{2}{3}$ of the maximum gap where the flow force on the ball is desirable. This will permit the ball to move faster, especially in the gap where the total flow rate is not in steady state. Also the increase in the flow force when the ball nears the top inlet is preferable. This could force the ball to come back to the seat in a suitable short time. This meets with the third Ideal Requirement (the ball closes the valve as soon as the injector is switched off). The forces due to the gravity and buoyancy were found very small and hence the flow force plots represented the net force on the ball.

The bell motion was studied theoretically with the fluid resistance and the results are presented in Chapter 8. The ball motion results (figs. (8.12-8.14)) have shown that the ball motion built up in $1.5-2 \mathrm{~ms}$. The flow resistance was found to have a significant influence on the ball motion. This was concluded clearly by comparing these results with the results of the theoretical analysis of the ball motion which were presented in Chapters 3 and 6. The decay in the ball motion (which is shown in fig. (8.17)) indicated that the ball could not come back as soon as the injector was switched off. This behaviour contradicts the third Ideal Requirement.

It can be concluded from the plots of the time of the ball cycle (fig. ( 8.16 )) that the frequency of the ball was also random and the flow force had significant effect on the spectrum of the frequency. The total flow rate plots (figs. (8.18-8.20)) have
also shown build up in the total flow rate in $1.5-2 \mathrm{~ms}$. It was found that the total flow rate built up to $80 \%$ of the steady state value of the total flow rate in 0.5 ms . This indicated that the effect of the build up in the flow rate on the performance of the injector was very significant only when the pulse width was less than 0.5 ms .

The decay in the flow rate is shown in fig. (8.21). This fig. has shown that the decay in the flow rate was approximately in 3 ms . It can be deduced from this result that when the time in which the injector was switched off was less than 3 ms , the injector would be switched on whilst there was flow from the injector. This phenomenon is undesirable.

The flow plots (fig. ( 8.22 )) indicated that the flow had linear characteristics after 1.5-2 ms from switching on the injector. The linear characteristics of the total flow after 0.8 ms was also found by Martin and Sumal (3). The msthod of their investigation was not mentioned in their paper. Hence the reason of the difference in the results could not be known. These plots have also indicated that the effect of the increase in the supply pressure had no significant effect on the flow when the supply pressure was higher than 40 psi. This is probably the reason why the maximum amplitude of the tip was not afrected by the increase of the supply pressure above 40 psi , (fig. ( 5.4 )). It was found by (3) that the total flow rate from the injector valve was constant with changing the supply pressure. Also the method of the investigation of (3) was not mentioned in the paper.

It was found from the measurements of the flow rate per pulse
width (fig. (10.7)), that the flow rate was in a steady state after 2-- 3 ms from switching on the injector, i.e., the flow had linear characteristics after 2-3 ms. It was also found from these measurements that the flow rate was not affected by the increase of the supply pressure above 40 psi . Although these measurements have been done with injector valve of geometry different from that of Chapter 7 (the theoretical analysis was based on the data of Chapter 7), these theoretical and experimental results were in agreement. This is probably because the change in the geometry changed the flow rates but did not significantly change the flow force on the ball. Also the effect of the tip motion on the ball remained the same. Hence the ball motion was not significantly affected by the change in the geometry of the valve, i.e., the build up in the flow rate and the effect of the supply pressure remained the same.

It was found from these plots that the mean flow rate during the pulse was approximately $60 \%$ of the peak value. It can be concluded from this that if the peak flow rate could be estimated then the flow rate at any time during the pulse could be estimated. The effect of the geometry of the injector valve on the flow force on the ball and on the flow rates were studied by making five scale models of different geometries of the injector valve. The flow rates plots of model A (figs. ( $9.5-9.7$ )) indicated that the increase of the number of the side inlets from 2 to 5 would cause an increase of $10 \%$ of the total flow rate. This was found by comparing model A with model C. It can be deduced from this that the increase in the area of the side inlets had no significant
influence on the maximum value of the total flow rate. Also the flow rates plots of model A indicated that the effect of the increase of the area of the side inlets would prevent the decrease in total flow rate when the ball was near the top inlet. This phenomenon meets with the fourth Ideal Requirement (the flow rate becomes in a steady state as soon as the ball leaves the seat). The plots of the flow rates of model B (figs. (9.9-9.11)) indicated that the decrease in the area of the outlet caused a significant decrease in the flow rate from the valve. It can be concluded that the outlet area could be considered as a controller of the total flow rate. Also the plots have shown that the gap in which the total flow rate built up to the steady state was smaller than that of model A. This indicated that the reduction in the outlet area caused the total flow rate to reach a steady state almost as soon as the ball left the seat. This satisfies the fourth Ideal Requirement. Hence to remove the effect of the ball motion on the flow rate from the injector valve, the outlet area should be reduced to a suitable value. This would however cause the total flow rate for a given pressure to reduce and also might be undesirable due to the difficulty of accurately manufacturing this hole.

The effect of the cavity diameter of the injector valve on the total flow rate was found by comparing the plots of the flow rates of model C (figs. (9.13-9.15)) with that of Chapter 7 (figs. (7.10-7.12)). It was found that the decrease in the cavity diameter caused the total flow rate to decrease because the gap between the ball and the side inlets would be smaller. Also the flow area between the ball and the side walls of the valve would
bo smaller. The increased resistance due to this area would be complicated and might cause a reduction in the flow rates. The effect of this resistance could be made negligible by increasing the area of the side inlets.

The effect of the area of the side inlets on the flow rate of the top inlet was found from the plots of the flow rates of model $D$ and $E$ (figs. $(9.17,18,20,21)$ ). These results indicated that the increase in the area of the side inlets restricted the flow rate from the top inlet.

The plots of the flow force of model A (fig. (9.4))
indicated that the increase in the side inlets area would cause the flow force to stay constant for 0.1 mm at a minimum and to increase not sharply as in the case of models $C$ and that of Chapter 7. The sharp increase of the flow force might force the ball to come back to the seat before reaching the top inlet. Hence the time in which the flow rate was in a steady state was reduced. This is contradictory to the fourth Ideal Requirement (the flow rate becomes in a steady state as soon as the ball leaves the seat).

Another effect of the side inlets area on the flow force was found from the plots of the flow force of models D and E. These results indicated that the increase in the side inlets area could cause the flow force to stay constant at a minimum until the ball was lifted to the top inlet. This phenomenon is contradictory to the third Ideal Requirement. (The ball comes back to the seat as soon as the injector is switched off.)

The decrease of the outlet area of the valve was found to cause a decrease in the values of the flow force. This was found

$$
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from the plots of the flow force of model $B$ (figs. (9.8)). It was also found from these plots that $F_{V T}$ decaysd to a minimum at smaller value of the gap betwoen the ball and the seat. This might prevent the ball coming back to the seat before reaching the top inlet. The flow forcs and the total flow rate were calculated where the ball was at 1 mm from the seat and the results are given in chapter 9 (table (9.2)). The results were in agreement with the experimental results.

The total flow rate-time relationship was studied experimentally by using laser techniques and the results are given In Chapter 10. The results indicated that there was approximately 2- 3 ms build-up in the total flow rate and the flow continusd for approximately 2 ms after switching off the injector.

The photograph of the pressure transducer signal (fig. (10.11)) has indicated that measuring the pressure using electronic pressure transducer might be considered as an alternative way of msasuring the total flow rate-time characteristics.

## CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER WORK

### 12.1 CONCLUSIONS

The main conclusions of this investigation are the following:

1. The ball was movirig with a random frequency when the effect of the liquid resistance was assumed negligible.
2. The increase in the maximum gap between the ball and the seat caused, in general, a decrease in the frequency of the ball.
3. The change in the maximum amplitude of the tip and also the frequency of the tip changed the frequency of the ball randomly. 4. When the ball was moving with the frequency of the injector tip, the possibility of the steady state motion was found higher.
4. The effect of the initial condition of the tip motion on the ball motion was found to change the ball motion, but the effect of the parameters such as the maximum amplitude of the tip, the frequency of the tip and the maximum gap between the ball and the seat remained the same.
5. Measurements of the ball motion in a large model of the injector valve without any liquid resistance were in agreement with the theoretical results of the ball motion without any liquid resistance. Multiple impact phenomena have been observed.
6. The time of impact was deduced to be very small compared to the time of the ball cycle.
7. The tip motion was found to build up in 5 ms to the steady state.
8. The increase of the supply pressure caused reduction of the amplitude of the tip motion.
9. A dacay of 1.5 ms in the tip motion was observed after switching off the injector.
10. The resistance due to the area under the ball was found, in general, to prevent the flow rate to be in a steady state as soon as the ball left the seat.
11. The resistances due to the area above the ball and the area of the top inlet was found to cause a reduction in the total flow rate when the ball was near the top inlet. The existance of this reduction was found to depend on the ratio of the resistance due to the area of the side inlats to the resistances due to the area above the ball and the area of the top inlat.
12. The increase from two to five side inlets had no significant influence on the total flow rate. But the increase in the area of the side inlets caused a decrease in the flow rate of the top inlet. The value of the flow rate of the top inlet was found to be zero when the valve was opened completely around the side.
13. The area of the outlet of the injector valve was found to be an important controller of the total flow rate and the build-up of the total flow rate to the steady state. The decrease in the area of the outlot caused the total flow rate to decrease and the gap in which the total flow rate built up to the steady state to decrease also.
14. The diamster of the cavity of the valve was found to affect the total flow rate. The decrease in the cavity diameter caused the total flow rate to decrease.
15. The seat angle had influence on the flow force on the ball. It was concluded that the increase in the seat angle could provide a reduction in the flow force as soon as the ball leaves the seat.
16. In general the flow force incraased when the ball was lifted
from the seat of the valve and then decreased until the ball was near the top inlet where the flow force increased again.
17. The area of the side inlets affected the flow force on the ball. The increase in the area of the side inlets caused the flow force to stay constant at a minimum, and prevented the flow force increasing sharply after it reached a minimum value. Also the increase in the side inlets area could provent the flow force on the ball increasing again when the ball was lifted near the top inlet.
18. The outlst of the valve had influenced the flow force on the ball. The decrease in the area of the valve caused a decrease in the values of the flow force.
19. The ball motion was building up in $1.5-2 \mathrm{~ms}$ dependent on the supply pressure. It can be concluded that this is the reason for the fluctuation in the total flow rate per pulse at short pulse widths (1). The liquid resistance was found to have a significant influence on the ball motion. The ball motion has decayed for approximatoly 3 ms after switching off the injector. The ball was moving in a random frequency sven with the existence of the liquid resistance.
20. The flow rate was found to build up to the steady state in 2- 3 ms . The flow rate decayed for approximately 2 ms . It was estimated that the average flow rate was approximately $60 \%$ of the maximum value during the pulss.
21. The total flow was found to have linear characteristics after
$1.5-2 \mathrm{~ms}$ from switching on the injector.
22. The supply pressure was found theoretically and experimentally
to have no significant influence on the total flow when the supply pressure was higher than 40 psi .
23. Computations of the flow force on the ball and the flow rate were in agreement with the experimental results. It can be concluded that Bernoulli's equation could be used in estimating the pressure and the flow rate at some positions in the valve.
24. It can be concluded from this investigation that paramoters such as the gap betweon the ball and the seat, the frequency of the ball, the inertia of the horn of the injector, the area under the ball, the area above the ball, the area of the top inlet, the area of the side inlets, the area of the outlet, the diameter of the cavity, the supply pressure and the liquid resistance on the ball should be taken into consideration in the design of the ultrasonic fuel injector.

### 12.2 SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDISS

Amongst the most important suggestions for consideration for further studies are the following:

1. In the measurements oit the flow force on the ball, the total flow rate, the side inlets flow rate and the top inlet flow rate; by using a scale model of the injector valve, it was assumed that the flow passing the ball was in steady state. In fact the flow might be affected by the vibration of the tip. Hence studying the effect of the vibration of the flow on the ball motion is considered necessary.
2. Studying the wave action in the pipe betwesn the valve which controls the supply pressure and the injector valve is considered
useful. By this study the total flow rate could be computed, and the effect of the wave action due to the instantaneous closure of the valve on the total flow rate would be known. The method of characteristics might bo useful for this purpose.
3. Computing the pressure distribution on the ball might be useful to get better understanding of the flow force on the ball. The ideal fluid approach could be useful.
4. Making the ultrasonic fuel injactor of valves of the different geometries which were described in Chapter 9 , and measuring the total flow rate by using laser technique. This would enable us to find the effect of changing the geometry on the ball motion. 5. Studying the motion of the ball by making a model of the ultrasonic injector with the valve made of perspex. A very high speed camera could be used for this study.
5. Studying alternative design of the injector valve such as a valve with the ball fixed to a spring which is fixed to the wall of the valve. This study has been done theoretically and a computer program has been established (see Appandix 1). A modification to the theory is needed.
6. Measuring the flow force and the flow rates in a scale model of the valve of the ultrasonic fuel injector of type $\operatorname{Ex} 4$ (flow rate-time and flow- pulse width relationships of this injector were measured and the results are presented in Chapter 10). The results of these measurements could be used as an input data to the computer program of the fluid flow (which is described in Chapter 8). Comparison between these theoretical results with the experimental results (which are presented in Chapter 10) could be made.

## APPENDIX 1

THEORETICAL ANALYSIS ON ALTERINATIVE DESIGN

## OF THE INJECTOR VALVE

Since one of the best characteristics of the injector valve is that the ball comes back to the seat as soon as the injector is switched off, this could be achieved by fixing the ball to a spring (see fig. (A1.1)). It is assumed that the forces which could affect the ball are the forces due to the collision with the walls of the cavity, the spring force and the inertia force.

Hence the equation of motion


FIG.Al.l. will be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \dot{\mathrm{y}}_{2}+K\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)=0 \tag{A1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ represents mass of the ball, $y_{2}$ position of the ball from a fixed datum, $K$ spring constant and $y_{1}$ position of the tip from a fixed datum.

Adding $-\mathrm{m} \ddot{y}_{1}$ to the two sides:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(\ddot{y}_{2}-\ddot{y}_{1}\right)+k\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)=-m \ddot{y}_{1} \tag{A1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

letting $\mathrm{y}_{2}-\mathrm{y}_{1}=\mathrm{y}$ and dividing by m :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{y}+n^{2} y=-\ddot{y}_{1} \tag{A1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ represents natural frequency of the ball-spring system.
The tip is assumed moving sinusoidally:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=y_{x} \sin \left(w_{0} t\right) \tag{A1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{X}$ maximum amplitude of the tip and $w_{o}$ frequency of the tip.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{y}_{1}=y_{x} w_{0}^{2} \sin \left(w_{0} t\right) \tag{A1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqn. (A1.3) becomes:
$\ddot{y}+n^{2} y=y_{x} w_{0}^{2} \sin \left(w_{0} t\right)$

Taking Laplace transform:
$S^{2} Y-s y_{i}-\dot{y}_{i}+n^{2} Y=y_{X} w_{0}^{3} / S^{2}+w_{0}^{2}$
where $y_{i}$ represents initial gap between the ball and the seat and $\dot{y}_{i}$ initial relative velocity between the ball and the tip, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S^{2}+n^{2}\right) Y=S y_{i}+\dot{y}_{i}+y_{x} w_{o}^{3} / s^{2}+w_{0}^{2} \tag{A1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming $y_{i}=0$ and $\dot{y}_{i}=0:$
$Y=y_{x} w_{0}^{3}\left(S^{2}+w_{0}^{2}\right)\left(S^{2}+n^{2}\right)$

Transforming eqn. (A1.9) to $t$ domain:
$y=\frac{y_{x} w_{0}^{3}}{n^{2}-w_{0}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{w_{0}} \sin \left(w_{0} t\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sin (n t)\right]$

Hence the position of the ball from a fixed datum is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}=\frac{y_{x} w_{0}^{3}}{n^{2}-w_{0}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{w_{0}} \sin \left(w_{0} t\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sin (n t)\right]+y_{x} \sin \left(w_{0} t\right) \tag{A1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is only valid before the first impact.
From (13), equation of motion due to sudden impulse is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}=\frac{\text { impulse }}{m \mathrm{n}} \sin (\mathrm{nt}) \tag{A1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { impulse }=m\left(v_{o 1}-v_{i b}\right) \tag{A1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{01}$ represents velocity of the ball after the collision and $v_{i b}$ velocity of the ball before the collision.

Eqn. (3.6) is used to find $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o1}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{01}=2 v_{f}-v_{i b} \tag{A1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The velocity of the ball was found by taking the derivative of $y_{2}$ with respect to $t$ :
$v_{b}=\frac{y_{x} w_{0}^{3}}{n^{2}-w_{0}^{2}}\left[\cos \left(w_{0} t\right)+\cos (n t)+y_{x} w_{0} \cos \left(w_{0} t\right)\right]$
$v_{i v}=v_{b}$ at the instance of the impact.
Substituting eqn. (A1.13) in eqn. (A1.12), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}=\frac{v_{01}-v_{i b}}{n} \sin (n t) \tag{A1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

When one impulse of short duration follows another, it will initiate harmonic vibration produced by the first impulse. This independence stems from the fact that the equation of vibration for such a system is linear, and that the principle of superposition will apply (13).

Rewriting eqn. (A1.16) for the time $t$, the position of the ball due to the impulse in a sequence will be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}=\frac{v_{o 1}-v_{i b}}{n} \sin \left(n\left(t-t_{i}\right)\right) \tag{A1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{i}$ is the time at which the impulse was applied.
Hence the equation of the position of the ball at any instance will be:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{2}= & \frac{y_{x} w_{0}^{3}}{n^{2}-w_{0}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{w_{0}} \sin \left(w_{0} t\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sin (n t)+y_{x} \sin \left(w_{0} t\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{v_{01}-v_{i b}}{n} \sin \left(n\left(t-t_{i}\right)\right) \tag{A1.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation of the gap between the ball and the seat is:

$$
y=y_{2}-y_{1}
$$

i.e.,
$y=\frac{y_{x} w_{0}^{3}}{n^{2}-w_{0}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{w_{0}} \sin \left(w_{0} t\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sin (n t)\right]+\frac{v_{01}-v_{i b}}{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \left(\dot{n}\left(t-t_{i}\right)\right) \tag{A1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the dimensional analysis, eqn. (A1.19) will be:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{y}{y_{w}}= & \frac{y_{x}}{y_{w}}\left[\sin \left(w_{0} t\right)-\left(\frac{w_{0}}{n}\right) \sin \left(w_{0} t\left(\frac{n}{w_{0}}\right)\right)\right] \\
\left(\frac{n}{w_{0}}\right)^{2}-1 & \left(\frac{v_{01}-v_{i b}}{y_{x} \cdot w_{0}}\right)  \tag{A1.20}\\
& \left(\frac{y_{x}}{y_{w}}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{w_{0}}{n}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\left(w_{0} t-w_{0} t_{i}\right)}{\left(\frac{w_{0}}{n}\right)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The computer program is shown in Appendix (A1.1).

## APPMDIX A1.1

## LIBRARY(SURGROUPNAGF)

## 1. TRRARY (SURGROUPNAGG)

IIRRARY(SURGROUPGMOS)
LIBRARY (SURGROUPGHOS)
PROGRAM(HUSSAIN)
OITPAT $3=1 . P O$
TRACE 2
FND
MASTFR MAIN
FXTERNAL F3
DIMFNSION H(1000)
COMMON D,A,H,I,VO,R
$0!!=0.000$
WMAX=1000.
$\eta=0.9$
$\mathrm{Q}=10$.
$v 0=0.0001000001$
$\mathrm{FT} A=0$.
$F 口 S=0$.
YFAII=1
$W=0$
$1=0$
$N=1$
CALL DAPFD(1)
CALL MAP(0.,1000.,0..5.)
CALL CTRMAC(10)
CALL $\triangle X E S: 1(0.1) 0001,0.00001)$
CALL POINP(0, 0,)
CALI. PAPIEN(1000.)
CALL BORDE?
$\prime^{\prime}=W+D W$
! F (N-UMAX) ?,27,27
$V=(S Y N(W!)-n+S I N(R * W)) /(R * * 2,-1)+V 0 * \cos (R * W)$
IF (Y.FO. O. ) GOTO 3
IF (T.FO.O)GOTO 3
กO $2 \mathrm{~J}=1$. I
$Y=Y+n * A * S Y(W * R-R * H(J))$
CONTTNUE
IF (Y.FQ. O.)GOTO 6
IF (N.GT.1)GO TO 9
IF(Y.IT.O.) GO TO 4
$9 \quad A 1=H-n W$
R1 $=W$
CALI COSASF (A1, R1,FPS,ETA,F3,X, YFAIL)
IF (IFAIL.FO.O) GO TO 35
$N=N+1$
IF(Y.LT.O.)CALL FFRROR
GO $\mathrm{O} \cap 1$
A1 $=\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{D}!1+0 \cdot 00.0001$
n1=W
IFAII=1

```
IF(IFAIL.EQ.1)X=B1
```

```
IF(IFAIL.EQ.1)X=B1
```

CA1I COSACF(A1,R1,EPS,ETA,F3,X,IFAIL)
IFA1I=9
$\omega=x$
WRITF(3,15)X,A1,B1


$$
x 5=W-x 1
$$

$$
\times 1=1.1
$$

$$
b=w
$$

$$
\text { CALL JOIV } W, \times 5)
$$

```
\(\mathrm{Vq}=(\cos (\mathrm{N})-\cos (R * W)) /(R * * 2 .-q)-.R * V 0 * \operatorname{SIN}(R * W)+\operatorname{Cos}(W)\)
    if(I.EO.0)GO TO 10
    DO \(11 \mathrm{~J}=1.1\)
```

$V 1=V \uparrow+A * \operatorname{COS}(R * W-R * H(J))$
continue.
$v 2=2 . * \cos (w)$
$A=V 2 \ldots v 1$
$1=1+1$
H(I) = い
$\mathrm{N}=1$
$F \cap=0$.
riorn 1
CALI GREND
srop
FND
REAL FUNCTION F3(W)
REAI W
nIMENSION H(1000)
COMMON D.A.H,I,VORR
$Y=(\operatorname{SiN}(W)-n * \operatorname{SIN}(W * R)) /(R * * 2 .-1)+.V 0 * \operatorname{COS}(R * W)$
IF(I.FQ.O)GO TO 22
Dก $21 \mathrm{~J}=1$, ?
$Y=Y * D * A * S I N(W * R-R * H(J))$
$F 3=Y$
RFTURN
FND
FINISH

## APPFIDIX 2

LIRRARV（SURGROUPGHOS）
LIGRARY（SURGROUPGHOS）
LIRRARY（SUOGROUPNAGF）
L．ITRARY（SURGFOUPNAGG）
LIBRARY（SURGROUPNAGH）
PROGRAM（HUSG）
INPUT $7=$ CQO
OUTBUT z＝LOO
TRACE 0
FNO
MAGTFR MAIN
FXTERNAL F3，F4，F5，F6，F7，F8
COMMON $Z, D, S, Y 3, A 1, B 1, W 1, P, W X Y, A, 8, W X 2$
$z=0.0005$
$S=4.0 * A T A N(1.0)$
UMAX $=6300$ ．
$D!d=0.4$
$D=0.1$
$\mathrm{J}=$ ）
いG $=0$ 。
$D=0+1 . G$
$\mathrm{Si}=0$ 。
CAIL PAPER（1）
CAIL MAP（0．．1000．，0．，30．）
CALI GPINFO（IINK PFN $7 L-3$ IN HOIDFR 1，BLACK INK，PLEASEI，42） CALL PSPACE（0．1，0．6，0．1，0．5）
C．ALL CSPACF（0．，0．65，0．，0．55）
CALL CTRMAG（10）
CALL AXFSSI（0．00001，0．00001）
CAIL POYNT（O．，O．）
CALL DAPLFV（1000．0）
CAlI BORDER
$T S_{1}=S T N(S)$
$T G A=S\{N(S+D \omega)$
WOTTE 3.610 ：TG，TGA
FOPMAT（／1X，＇TG＝1，E15．7，TGA＝1，E15．7／）
$\mathrm{I}=0$
$\mathrm{F} 0=0$ ．
$\omega=0$ 。
$W=U+D W$
$W D=W$
$Y イ=-2 * 0 * W * * 2.12$.
$Y Z=0 * S Y N(S+W)$
$Y=v 1-Y 2+p$
JF（Y．EQ．O）GOTO 5
$F\{=Y$
B3 $=W$
IF（F9．GT．O．AND．FO．LT．O）GO TO 4
IF（F1．LT．O．AND．FO．GT．O）GO TO 4
FO：Y
A $3=1 \mathrm{~N}$
4 GOTOK
ETA＝？

```
EPS=0.
        IFAIL=0.
    CAIL COSACF(AB,B3,EPS,ETA,F3,X,IFAIL)
```

    \(w \times 1=x\)
        GOTO 100
    \(W \times 1=W\)
    
$H=$ ? . * COS (S $+4 \times 1)$
$A=H-T$
$A R=H / 2 .-T$
$B=S Y\left(\varsigma^{+} \quad\right.$ UX1)
$\operatorname{IF}(A B . I T .0) A B=A B *(-1)$
$\mathrm{F} 0=0$.
$W D=W \times 1$
$Y=0$.
X5:WX9-S 1
$W D=W P /(2 * S)$
$\times 5=\times 5 /(2 * S)$
IE(XS.LT.O.)CALL FFRROR
$S 1=W \times 9$
CALL JOIN(NP,X5)
$W=0$.
$W=W+D W$
W? $=k+W \times 9$
$Y 1=D *(-2 * W * * 2.12 .+A * W+B)$
$Y$ P = $\quad \mathrm{H} * \mathrm{SYN}(\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{W})$
$Y=Y 1-Y ?+P$
IF(Y.IT. O) GO TO 60
$W=0$
$W=\omega+D W$
$W ?=1 \times 1+1.1$
$Y 1=0 *(-Z * W * * 2.12 .+A * W+B)$
$Y 2=0 * S Y N(S+W 2)$
$Y=Y\{-Y ?+D$
$W D=W X 9+W$
1F(W2-1MAX)51,37,37
IF(Y-4)9,27,27
$W H 2=A / 7$
If(WN2.1T.0.)WN2 $=-6 N 2$

1F(W2-1.1×2)52,11,11
IF(Y.FQ.D) GO TO 46
F1=Y
B6: W
IF (F1.GT. O. AND.FO.LT. O) GO TO 45
IF (F1.IT.O.AND.FO.GT.O) GO TO \&5
$\mathrm{FO}=\mathrm{Y}$
$A G=W$
6OTO 10
$B 6=W$
$A G=W-0 W+0.0000001$

```
    Y9=0*(-7*A6**2./2.*A*A6 +B)
    V?=n*SPN(S+4X1+A6)
```



```
        WRTYE(3,7) Y
        FORMAT(/4X,'Y=',E15.7/)
        CALI. COSACF(AG,BG,EPS,ETA,FG,X,IFAILS
    WRITE(3,111) AG,R6,X
    FORMAT(/1X,'NG=1,E15.7,2X,'BG=1,E15.7,3X,'X=1,E15.7/)
        WNG=X
        GO YO 47
        WNK=W
        WXG:=WNG+6X9
        OG=WZ*WNG + A
        HG=?.* Cos( S +WXG)
    AG=H6-06
    AB=HC/?.006
        YF(AR . LT.0)AB=AB*(~1)
    RGESIN(S+WXG)
    A=AK
        B=86
        W\times9:=W\times6
        60 TO 62
        W=0.
        FO=0.
    Y3=Y1
        W=W+DW
        W3=W+UX?
        IF(W3-WMAX) 300,37,37
        v^=Y3~D*T*W**2./2.
        Y?=0*SIN(S+W3)
        V =Y1-v2
        IF(Y.LT.O.)GO TO 301
        W=0.
        w=w+nw
        w3=w+H\times2
        IF(U3-1,MAX)50.37.37
        Yq=v3-n*Z*W**?./2.
        Y2=0*SIN(S+W3)
        Y=Y1-Y2+P
        IF(Y-1)3,27,27
        IF(Y.FO.O)GO TO 16
        Fq=Y
        B4=1.1
        IF(F1.GT.O.AND.FO.IT.O) GO TO }4
        IF(F1.IT.O.AND.FO.GT.O) GO TO 13
        FO=Y
        AL=W
        WP=W+1,1\times?
        GO ro 1?
            B4=W
        A4=0.0000001
```

            CA.II COSACF(AG,BG,EPS,ETA,F4,X,IFAIL)
        URITF(3.206)X
    ```
206
    FORMAT(/9 X,1\times2=',E15.7/)
    WN3=X
    G0 T0 17
    LN3=x
    W\times3=wx + +wN3
    1F(WX3-WMAX)88,37,37
    W\1=0\3
    T=-2*NN3
    60 10 20
        IF(Y.FQ.1.)GO TO 210
        B7=W
        AT=W-DW
            CAII. COSACF(AT,G7,EPS,ETA,F7,X,IFAIL)
        w=x
        WN2= W
    Y={.
    Wp=WX1+WN2
    WY2=WN?+UN1
    G=-2*WN2+A
        H2=2.* cos(s+ Wx? )
        A1=H7-0
    AR=H2/2.-O
        B1=SIN(S+W\times2)+(1./D)
    IF(AB.I.T.0)AR=AB*(-1)
w=0.
            FO=0.
            W=W+nu
            W3=W+WX2
            IF(N3-WMAX)302,37,37
            v1=0*(-7*W**2./2.+A1*W*B9)
            Y2=D*SIN(S+W3)
            Y=Y1-v2
            If(Y.IT.O.)GO TO 303
        W=0.
    W=W+DN
    W3=w+w\times2
    IF(W3-1,MAX)53.37.37
    Y1=D*(-2*W**2.12.*A1*W+81)
    Y2=D*STN(S+W3)
    Y= Y1-Y 2+P
    WD=N+WX2
    IF(Y-1) 80.81,81
        IF(Y.FQ.1.)GO TO 211
        B8=W
        AR=W-nW+0.0000001
        CALI. COSACF(A8,B8,EPS,ETA,F8,X,IFAIL)
        w=x
        WN2=W
    W\?=W\?*UN?
    O=-2**WN2+A1
        H2=2.*COS(S+WX2)
    A1=H2-8
    A3=H21?.-O
    B1=SIN(S+W\times2)+(1./D)
```

```
    \(\operatorname{IF}(A B .17 .0) A B:=A B *(-1)\)
        \(\mathrm{r}=\) 亿
    \(W D=W X\) ?
    GO T0 93
    IF(Y.FQ.O) GO TO 72
    \(F 1=Y\)
    B \(5=W\)
    IF(FQ.GT. O.AND.FO.IT.0) GO TO 73
    IF(F1.1T.O.AND.FO.GT.0) GO 7073
    \(\mathrm{F} 0=\mathrm{Y}\)
    \(A S=\mathrm{N}\)
    GO TO 29
        B \(5=\mathrm{w}\)
        \(A 5=0.0000001\)
```

73 CAIL COSACF (AS, B5,EDS,ETA,F5,X,IFAIL)
WNS=X
GO TO 74
WN3=W
$W \times 3=\omega \times 2+15 N 3$
1F(WX3-WMAX)36,37,37
W×1=Wx?
$T=-2 * W N 3+A 1$
GO TO 20
CALL FRAME
CAIL GQEND
STOP
ENT
REAL FUNCTION F3(W)
RFAI. W
COMMON Z,D,G,Y3,A9,B9,W1,P ,WX1, A,B,WX2
$Y 1=-n * 7 * W * * ? .12$.
$Y$ Y=D*SIN(S* W)
F3=Y1-Y2 +p
RETURN
END
REAL FINCTION F\&(W)
RFAL W
COMMON T,D,G,Y3,A1,B9,W1, P ,WX1, A,B,WX2
Y $\uparrow=\mathrm{Y} 3-n * Z * \omega * * 2.12$.
$Y 2=0 * S Y N(S+U+W X 2)$
F4=Y1-Y2+o
RETURN
FNA
REAL FUNCTION FS(W)
RFAL W
COMMON Z,D,S,Y3,A1,B1,W1,D,WX1, A,B,WX2
Y $1=\mathrm{D} *(-2 * H * * 2 . / 2 .+A 1 * W * 81)$
$Y ?=0 * \subset 1 N(s+b+w \times 2)$
F5=Y1-Y2 +P
RFTURN
END
REAL FIINCTION FG(W)
RFAL W
COMMON Z,D,S,Y3,A1,B1,H1,P ,WX1, A,B,WX?
Y Y: D* (-2*W** 2. 1 2.*A*W*B)

```
Y2=0*STN(S+W+WX1)
    FG=Y1-Y2
    RETURN
        FND
        RFAL FUNCTION F7(W)
        RFAL U
        COMYON 2,D,S,Y3,A1,B1,W1,P,WX1,A,B,WX2
Y{=n*(-7*W**2.12.+A*W+B)
    Y ? = D*SIN(S+w+wN1)
    F7=Y{-Y2-1.
    RFTURN
    FND
    RFAL FUNCTION F8(W)
    REAL W
    COMMON 7, П,S,Y3,A1,R1,W9,P,WX1,A,B,WX?
    Y1=D* (-2*W**2./2. +A1*W*B1)
    V =D*SIN(S+WI+WX2)
    FR=Y1-Y2m1
    RFTURN
    END
```

FINISH

## APPFNDIX 3

```
    IIRGARV(S!J3rDOH?GHOG)
```




```
    IMRRARY(SU!OFOUNNAGG)
        I IRPADY(SHIOROIPNAGH)
    p:OGRAM(HISG)
    INO(IT ?=CRO
n|TD|T {=1.OO
    Tgarf n
    ENO
PASTRO MAl!!
    FVTFQNAL FG,F4,F5,FK,F7,F8
    COMMON Z,D,C,Y3,A1,B1,U1,P,WX1,A,B,WX2
    z=0.000005
        s=4.0*ATAN(1.0)
    WHAX=G300.
    DU=0.4
            vx=0.0000:7784
            \square99=500000.
            n=0.01
    J=0
    UG=0.
    0}=\textrm{i}+\textrm{W}=(\textrm{F
    S9=n.
    C.AII PAPEQ(1)
    CAII MAP(n.,n.n1,0.,0.0n001)
        CAll Cristag(10)
            CALI GPYNEO('INK PEV PL-3 IN HOLDER 1,BLACK PEN,PLEASE',G2)
            CALI DSN,OE(0.1,0.6,0.1,0.5)
            CAIL CSDACE(0.,0.65,0.,0.55)
RAII. pOINIT(O.,O.)
            CALL AXES
    CA1 PAPIF!!1000.0)
CAII RODDFO
    Tr, = CTN(S)
    Tr,A=SIN(S+D!!)
    WD17F(3.619)TG,rGA
    FORHAT(/1X,'TG=',E15.7.'TGA=1,E15.7/)
    I=?
FO=0.
    W=0.
    W:こ!+DW
    Wr=1,
    Y1=-Z*D*N**?./2.
    Y7=r*STN(S* U)
    Y=v1-v?+p
JF(ソ.巨O.O)r@ TO 5
F1=V
    B3:= W
IF(F1.fT.O.SNO.FO.IT.O) GO TO 4
    IF(F1.IT.n.AND.FO.GT.O) OO TO 4.
FO=v
A S=6
```

    GO TO K
    FTA=0.
    FPG=0.
        「F\!l=0.
        CAII COSACF(AB,B3,EOS,ETA,F3,X,IFAIL)
    ```
    W. \(\times 1=x\)
        rin Tn 100
    い \(\mathrm{X} 1=\mathrm{w}\)
        \(\mathrm{T}=-\mathrm{z}\) * \(\mathrm{H} \times 9\)
    \(H=\) ?.* \(\cos (5+1+\times 1)\)
        \(A=H-Y\)
        \(A B=H / 2 .-T\)
    \(R=S I N(S+\quad \| \times 1)\)
    \(J F(A R . I, T . \cap) A R=A B *(-1)\)
    \(F 0=0\).
        Wr: \(=0.7000067+\sqrt{1} * * 0.8 * Y X * *(-0.2) * A B * *(-0.2)\)
            \(A=C \cap S(S+11 \times 9)+C \cap S(S+W \times 1+W C) m T\)
            \(R=S(N(S+\omega \times 1+W C)\)
            \(1.1 \times 9=1.2 \times 9+1.2 C\)
            TC=WC./W1
            \(4 r=!!y 1\)
            \(V=0\).
            X5 \(5=W \times 9-S 9\)
            WO=WP/ (W9)
            \(\times 5=\times 5 /(1.11)\)
            PF(X5.IT.O.)CAIL FFRROR
    \(S_{1} 1=W \times 1\)
            \(\times 5=T C / \times 5\)
        CAIL JOIN(WP,TC)
    \(\omega=0\).
    \(V=\omega+D W\)
    \(\omega 7=1,1+1,1 \times 9\)
    \(Y 1=\Gamma+(-7+1++7 \cdot 12+A+B+B)\)
    \(\forall 7=n+5 \uparrow N(S+\quad \| ?)\)
    \(Y=Y १-Y \geqslant+p\)
    IF(Y.1T.O) fOTO 60
    \(u=0\)
    \(1!=1+D!\)
    \(W ?=u \times 1+W\)
    \(Y 1=0 *(-7 * 1 *+2 . / 2 .+A * 1+3)\)
    Y?:n* \(\mathrm{CYN}(5+\mathrm{H}\) )

    \(1 \cdot p=6 \times 1+1 \cdot\)
    Jf(1:!2-114A<)51.37,3?
    IF (Y-1) 9, 27, 37
        \(W N ?=A / 7\)
            IF (WN?. 1T. O. )WM2=जはN2
    जv \(=\) ज以? \(+4 \times 9\)
    1F(W2-11Y?)5?,11.11
    IF(Y.FO.O) (OO T'ク 6
    F1=Y
    FR=W
```

    JF(F1.g.T.O.AND.FO.LT.O) GOO TO 4.5
    IFMCG.1T.0.ANO.EO.for.0) GO Y0 4.5
    FO=Y
    At=!
GO ro 10
ロ人こ!
AB=U-D!1+0.n\00001
V1=f*(-)+Ah**?.!?.+A*AK +B)
y?=f.+ctu(S+!K{+AK)
Y=`1-y?
W2TTF(3.7) Y
FORMAT(/9Y.'Y=',E15.7/)
(AII COSACF(AA,BG,EDS.ETA,FG,X,IFAIL)
WPITF(3,111) M, B6,X

```

```

    V"G=X
    con T0 4.7
    4 6 \quad V N G = 1 . 4
47. WVG=VNG+WX9
CR=-7*NNA +A
H(6=?.*CnS( S+'JX6)
AB=HROOA
AR=ツんノつ.-26
IF(AB.LT.D)AB=AB*(-1)
R(=G)N(S+WXG)
l=AK
F=BC

```

```

            T=0.6
    60 10 62
    1,=0.
            F0:0.
        Y3=:Y1
            u=u+nv
            Mr=い+!\?
            !F(U3-WMAX) 300,37.37
            v1=Y3-n*7+!1**2,/2.
            YZ=D*SIN(S*!US)
            v=Y1-v2
            If(Y.IT.n.)G0 T0 301
            W=0.
    N=D+DN
    W3=1,4+14%2.
JF(1,3-1MMAY)50,37,37
v9=v3-n*7*4**?./?,
y)=n+c:N(S+1:z)
Y=Y1-Y? \& P
IF(y-1)3,>7,27
IF(Y.FO.O)GO YO 16
F1= Y
B4=4
IF(F1.f,T.0.NND.FO.IT.0) fon TO 13
JF(F1.1T.O.AND.FO.(GT.0) GO TO 13
F0=Y

```

```

UP=U+UX?

```

301
\[
\begin{gathered}
U=0 . \\
W=!+D w
\end{gathered}
\]
\[
v z=w+1 / 1 \times 2
\]
\[
J F(W 3-1, M A X) 53,37,37
\]
\[
Y 1=n *(-7 * W * * 2 \cdot / 2 \cdot * A 1 * W+E 1)
\]
\[
V>=0 * C \operatorname{IN}(S+h 3)
\]
\[
Y=Y 1-Y 2+P
\]
\(W D=W+W Y\) ?
IF(Y-1) 80.81.81
IF(Y.FQ.1.)GO TO 211

```

        A&=4-Nい+0.0000001
        CALL COSACF(AB,R&,FPS,ETA,FB,X,IFAIL)
        u=x
        WN2=W
    u:x = Wx \ 4 WN?
    n=-7*vN2**1
        H2=?.*COC(S+W\times2)
    A1=H2-0
    AR=H2/?.-0
    R1=STN(S+NY ?)+(1.1D)
    JF(AB.I.T.0)AB=AB*(-1)
        y=1.
    HD=WX?
    GO TO 93
    IFIY.FO.O) GO TO 72
    F1=Y
    B S = W
    IF(F1.GT.O.AND.FO.IT.O) GO TO 73
    IF(F1.IT.O.AND.FO.GT.O). GO TO 73
    FO=Y
    AS=W
    GO T0 29
        B5=W
        A5=0.00000001
    T3 CAII COSACF(AS,ES,EPS,ETA,FS,X,IFAIL)
6!NT=X
GOT TO 74
W!N3=W
! < 3 =W W ? +WN ?
IF(WXZ-WMAX) 36,37,37
(f) \ {=W\3
T=-7*WN 3+A1
GO TO 20
CAIL FRAMF
CAIL GOEND
STOP
END
REAL FUNCTION FS(W)
RFAI. I!
COMMON Z,D,C,Y3,A1,B1,W1,P,WX1,A,B,WX2
Y{=-0* 2*W**?.12.
YP=0*STN(S+ W)
FT=V1-Y?+\rho
RETURN
FND
REAL FIINCTION F4(W)
RFAL W
CONMON Z,D,G,Y3,A1,B1,W1,P,WX1,A,B,WX2
V1=`3-n*7*!4**?./?.
Y?=D*CTN(S+W+WX2)
FL=Y1-v2+O
RFTURN
FNT

```
```

    RFAL FUNCTION FS(W)
    RFAL W
    COMMON 2,D,S,Y3,A1,B1,W1,D ,WX1, A,B,WX2
    Y1=D*(-7*V**?./2.+A1*U+B1)
    Y P=0*S!N(S*W+W\times2)
    FS=Y१-Y P + P
RFTURN
FN
QEAL. FINCTION FG(W)
PFAL 1,%
COMMON Z,D,S,Y3,A1,BíW1,P,WX1,A,B,WX2
Y1=n* (-7*1,1** ?./?. + A*W*B)
Y?=n*CTN(S+W+!X<br>)
FK=Y1-Y2
RFTURN
END
RFAL FUNCTION FT(W)
RFAL U
COMMON 7,D,S,Y3,A1,B1,W1,P,WX1,A,B,WX2
Y\=D*(-7*W** 2.12.+A*W+B)
VP=D*SIN(S+W+NXY)
F7=Y1-Y2-1.
OFTIIRN
FNO
QFAL FUNCTION F8(W)
QEAL N
\thereforeOYMON Z,D,S,Y3,A1,B1,W1,P,WX1,A,B,WK2
Y1=n*(-7*!!**2.12.+A1*W+81)
V =0*SIN(S+W+W\times2)
FR=Y1-Y2-1
RFTIIRN
FNO

```

\section*{APPFNDIX 4}
```

    ITMRARY(SUEGPOUPGHOS)
    ```


```

    LTGRARV(SURGQOUFNAGG)
        1/82AOY(SUFGROUPNAGH)
    D!OGQAM(HUSS)
    INOUT P=CRO
    OUTOUT }3=1P
TMACE 1
ENN
MASTER MATM
FY:F?AML F3,F4,F5,FK,F?,FR,FO,F1O,F11,E9?
COMMON Z,D,S,Y3,A9,R1,W1,P ,WX1, A,B,W\?,WM,GM,S{,W7,WX
7=0.0005
S?=4.0)*ATAN(1.0)
S=S 3
IFAII=0
WMAX=6300.
DW=0.I
D=0.1
Wu=1.
s1=c3/?.
GM=!.0022
J=0
UG=0.
D=n+!.jG
CAILL PAPER(1)
CAIL M^P(0.,1000.,n,,30,)
CALI PSDACE(0.1,0.6,0.1,0.5)
C.11L CSPACE(0.,0.65,0.,0.55)
CAlL CTRMAG(10)
CALL AXES
CALL GPINFG(IINK PEN 7L-3 IN HOLDER 1,BLACK INK,PLFASF',42)
CAIL POTNT(T..0.)
CALL PAPIFN(1000.0)
C9II BORDER
TG=SIN(S)
W7= = D,!
TGA=n*WM*COS(W7/WN+S+S1)/EXP(N7*GM)+D+COS(W7+S-S1)
WRTTE(3,G10)TG,TGA
FOSMAT(/1X,'YG=',E15.7,'TGA=',E15.7/)
ルT=?.
1.10=1,7/(2.*53)
x 5=0).
CALI JOIN(!P,X5)
*7=07+0.017
Y0=)+1M*COS(1.N/WM+S+S1)/Exp(W7*G4)+n*COS(W7+S-S1)

```


```

9 - (NS(U7/W%+S+Sq)/(LNN*!xp(W7*GM))-C0S(47+S-S1)
WQTTF(3,500)Y0,YA

```

```

    I!(YA.LT.O.AMO.YO.GT.f.)gO TO 50?
    Ir(YA.GT.O.CNO.YO.1T.0.)OO T0 505
    ```
```

                GO TO SOA
    IF(YA.LT. (-7)) GO TO 50.3
    GO TO 501
    \(I f A I I=0\)
    \(\mathrm{RO}=1,17\)
    AO=ごフー0.017
    \(F 口 S=0\).
    FTA=0.
    C.IL COSACF (AO, RQ,FPS,ETA,FQ,X,IFAIL)
    WミITF \((3,5,4) A ?, B 9, X\)
    ```

```

    H \(\mathrm{H} 1=\mathrm{x}\)
    S11 = x
    \(\omega 7=x\)
        \(A=-G * 1 M * C \cap C(U 7 / U M+S+S 1) / E \times D(W 7 * G M)-S I N(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) /\)
    $1 \mathrm{FXP}(W 7 * G M)-S I N(W 7+S-S 1)$
$R=W M * C O S(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E X P(W 7 * G M)+\operatorname{COS}(W 7+S-S 1)$
Gค $\mathrm{r} \cap \mathrm{G}$ ?
1-(YA.GT.Z)GO TO 506
GO TO S01
IFAYL $=0$
B10 0.17
ヘ10=は7-0.017
$F P S=0$.
FT $A=0$.
CAIL COSACT(A1O, B1O, EPS,ETA,F10,X,IFAIL)
WPITF 3,507$)$ M10,610.

```

```

        GO TO 1000
    \(k ?=x\)
    \(B=W: M * \operatorname{COS}(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E X P(W 7 * G: 1)+\operatorname{COS}(W+S-S 1)\)
    \(W X=X\)
    \(W=0\).
        GOTOK
    \(I=0\)
    $F 0=0$.
$\mathrm{N}=0$ 。
$\because \times 1=0$.
$A=1$.
$\mathrm{B}=0$.
GO $O \cap 62$
$U=1+D W$
WP:W
$Y 1=-2 * n * 4 * * 2 . / 2 .+B * D$
$1 \cdot 9=!+6 x$
$V 7=0 * 1 / M * \cos (W 7 / W M+S+S!) / E X P(W 7+G M)+0 * C O S(U 7+S-S 1)$
$Y=v 1-Y \geqslant+0$
IF(V.E日.0)GOro. 5
F1=Y
4.3 $=\mathrm{w}$
IF(F1.GT.O.AND.FO.LT.O) GOTO 4

```
```

            IF(F1.LT.O.AAD.FO.GT.O) GO TO&
        FO=v
        A };=
        GO :0 6
        ETA=0.
        EPS=0.
        IFAII=0.
        CAIL COSACF(AB,GB,FPS,FTA,F3,X,IFAILS
        W\times1 = x +wx
        GO TO 100
        W=Wx+W
        T=-7*N< {
            w7=w\times1
        H=2.*(-GM*!M*COS(W7/W*+S+S1)/EXP(N7*GN)-SIN(W7/WM+S+S1)/
    1 CXD(W7*GM)-SIN(W7+S-S1))

```
        \(A=U-T\)
        \(A R:=H / 7 .-T\)
    14 \(\quad B=1 / M * C O S(N 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E \times P(117 * G M)+\operatorname{COS}(147+S-51)\)
    IF (AB. LT. 0\() A B=A B *(-1)\)
    \(F()=0\).
        . \(\mu \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m} \times 1\)
        \(y=0\).
        X \(5=5 \times 1-511\)

        \(x 5=\times 5 /(2 * \leqslant 3)\)
        IF(X5.LT.O.)CALL FERROR
        \(511=4 \times 1\)
            CALL JOIN(WP, X5)
        \(W=0\).
            URITF (3, 508) WP, X5

        \(\omega=11+0 \|\)
        \(w_{?}=!1+!\times 1\)
        \(Y 1=0 *(-2 * W+* 2.12 .+A * W+B)\)
            \(117=17\)

        \(y=v 1-v \geqslant+D\)
        IF (Y.IT.O) GO TO \(\quad\) O
```

        N:= 0
    ```
        N:= 0
        U=4+0.1.
```

        U=4+0.1.
    ```


```

        v1=n+(--7*1.1**2.1?.+A*(1+9)
    ```
        v1=n+(--7*1.1**2.1?.+A*(1+9)
            W7=117
            W7=117
                YZ=n*WM*\operatorname{cos}(W7/UM+S*S1)/EXD(1,7*GM)+D*\operatorname{cos}(W7+5-S1)
23, Y=Y9-V?+D
        WD=WX1+W
        IF(H2-.MMAX)51,37,37
        IF(Y-1)9,27,27
        WH?=A/7
            IF(NN?.LT.0.)WN2=-WN2.
        Wx?=6&?+!.4x9
        IF(|2-|x2)52,11,11
        IF(Y.FO.O) OO YO 46
        F1=Y
        B6=1)
        IF(FQ.gT.N.MNO.FO.!T.N) GOO TO 45
        IF(C9.1T.O.AND.FO.GT.O) GO TO &5
        FO=Y
        AG=W
            GO TO 10
            BR=U
            R==1-nW+O.000001
        Y9 = D* (-2*AG* +2.12. * A*AG + 8)
            U7=U\times1+AS
```



```
        Y=y{- y ?
        URITE(3,7) Y
        FORMAT(/1X,'Y=',E15.7/)
            IFAI|=1
        CAIL COSACF(AG,RG,FDS,ETA,F6,X,IFAIL)
        WPITE(3,111) AG,RG,X
            F\capRMAT(/1X,'AG=',E15.7, こX,'BG=',E15,7,3X,'X=1,F15.7/)
            1!(IFAIL.EO.1)GOTO.510
            Wn!今=x
            O0) Y0 4.7
            W:% = = 
            1.47=4\times1
            ND=47/(?.*G?)
                < 5=0.
            CALL 101N(!P,*5)
                W.7 = .17 +HK
```




```
    1 + ). +GM*STN(1%/MA+S+S1)/EXP(W7*GM)
    1-COミ(!T/WM+S+S1):(W4*FXr(U7*GM))-\operatorname{cos(w7+S-S1)}
        VV=-GM*WM*COS(W7/UM+S*S1)/EXp(U7*GM) =SIN(W7/WM+S*S1)/
    9
                FXO(.17*G:O)-SiN(:N7+S~ST)
            IF(Yn.GY.0.AH!n.Yy.gT.G.)GO TO 512
            TF(YO.LT.O. A&O.YV.IT.C.)GO TO 513
```


## （G）TO 511

IF（YA．（T．（－7））（GO TO 51.4
HK＝1）． 017
ज口 TO 511
$I F A T L=0$
$811=1,17$
A11＝แ7－0．017
（11．COSACF（A＠1，R11，EPS，ETA，F11，X，IFAIL）
WOTTF（3，515）A11，B11，X

$w \times 1=\omega 7$
¢ $11=1.17$
$A=-G M * W N * C \cap S(1, T / W N+S+31) / E X P(W 7 * G: 1)-S I N(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) /$
$1 \quad E \times P(1: 7 * G N)-S I N(W 7+S-S 1)$
$R=U M * \operatorname{CoS}(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E X P(W 7 * G M)+\operatorname{Cos}(W 7+S-S 1)$
GO TO 62
IF（YA．GT．Z）GO TO 516
$H K=0.017$
GO TO 511
IFAII $=0$ R12 $=1.17$

A1つ＝い7－0．017
FT $A=0$ ．
CAI．I CO5ACF（A12，R12，FPS，ETA，F12，X，IFAIL）
WRITE（3，517）A12，B12，X
 $H=0$ ．
$R=\operatorname{li} * \operatorname{COS}(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E X P(W 7 * G M)+C O S(M 7+S-S\{ )$
$\omega X=1.17$
TFATL＝0
$F 0=0$
GOTO

IFAII＝0
$W \times K=1.1 N K+W \times 1$
OR＝－7＊＇小N $+A$
リフ＝！ 56
$H 6=2 . *(-G M+W M * C O S(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E X P(W 7 * G M)-S I N(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) /$
$1 F \times P(\omega 7 * G M)-S I N(W 7+S-S 1))$

```
\(A 6=145-76\)
AR=Hち/2.-06
    \(I F(A B \cdot L T . \cap) A B=A B+(-1)\)
        \(\omega 7=\omega \times 6\)
    \(R K=W M+C O S(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E X P(W 7 * G M)+C O S(W 7+S-S 1)\)
```

$A=A B$
$E=86$
1. $\times 1=1.1 \times 6$
Gก T0 62
$10=1!$.
$\mathrm{F} 0=0$.

```
Y 3:= Y1
        H=w+n!
```

```
        \(w 3=w+\omega \times ?\)
        1c(リスーNMAK) 300.37.37
```



```
        \(1.17=1.13\)
        \(Y 2=0+16 M * \operatorname{Cos}(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E X P(117 * G M)+0 * \operatorname{Cos}(W 7+S-S 1)\)
        \(y=Y 1-y 2\)
        le(y.1T.0.)GO TO 301
        \(t=0\).
        \(\omega=\omega+D .4\)
        \(113=11+1.1 \times ?\)
        IF ( \(3-W \cdot(A X) 50,37,37\)
        \(\mathrm{Y} 1=\mathrm{Y} 3-\mathrm{n} * 2 * 11 *+2 . / 2\).
        \(W 7=1.3\)
                \(Y 2=D * W M * \cos (W 7 / W!+S+S 1) / E x P(W 7 * G M)+D * \operatorname{Cos}(W 7+S-S 1)\)
```

            \(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{V} 1-\mathrm{Y})+\mathrm{p}\)
            \(1 F(Y-1) 3,27,27\)
            IF (Y.FO.O)GO TO 16
        F \(1=\mathrm{V}\)
        \(B L_{4}=1\).
            IF (F1.GT. O. AND.FO.L.T. O) GO TO 13
            IF(F1.IT.O.AND.FO.GT.O) GO TO 13
            \(F 0=Y\)
            \(44=11\)
        \(W D=W+W \times ?\).
            G! TO 17
        \(R i_{5}=W\)
        \(14=0.0000001\)
    13 CAIL COSACF (A4, B4, ERSGETA,F4,X,IFAIL)
W:ITF(3,2)6)X
FO3MAT (/1X,'Y2=',E15.7/)
UN $3=X$
60 TO 17
Wvで x
$4 \times 3=W \times 2+w n 3$
15 (WX3-WMAX) 88, 37,37
いソイ=! $3 \times 3$
$T=-2 * W * 3$
G1) TO 20
IF(Y.EQ.1.)GOTO 210
$B 7=1$
ふアニ! - DW
CAIL COSACF(AT,R7,FPS,ETA,F7,X,IFAIL)
$\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{x}$
$\because: 2=W$
$Y=1$.
$K D=6 \times 1+11 N ?$
$W X P=i, N>+b \times 1$
$0=-7 * 1 ; N_{2}+A$
$47=1 \times 7$


$\therefore 1=47-0$
$A R=H 2 / P-0$
$R 1=W M * \cos (U 7 / M M+S+S 1) / E x p(W 7 * G M)+\cos (1 / 7+S-51)+(1 . / n)$
$\operatorname{IE}(A P-1 T .0) A B=A B \div(-1)$
リ二の。
$F 0=0$.
$11=\omega+n!$
$\because 3=4+4 \times 2$
$302 \quad \mathrm{~F}=(\mathrm{W}-W M A \%) 302,37,37$
$Y_{1}=D *(-2 * W * * 2.12 . * A 1 * W+B 1)$
$47=1.13$
$V ?=0 * 1 M * C O S(W 7!W M+S+S 1) / E \times D(W 7 * G M)+0 * C \cap S(W 7+S-S 1)$
$Y=Y 1-Y 2$
If(Y.IT.0.)GO TO 303
$29 \quad \begin{aligned} & W=0 . \\ & 4=1++U \\ & W 3=1+W \times 2\end{aligned}$
29 $\quad \begin{aligned} & W=0 . \\ & 4=++N \\ & W 3=1+W \times 2\end{aligned}$
29 $\quad \begin{aligned} & W=0 \\ & 4=+i v \\ & W 3=1+W \times 2\end{aligned}$
IF (113-1MAX)53.37.37
$Y 1=1) *(-2 * V * * 2 . / 2 .+A 1 * W+81)$
$\mathrm{V} 7=\mathrm{w} 3$
$Y ?=0 * 1 . M * \cos (W 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E \operatorname{CP}(W 7 * G M)+D * \operatorname{Cos}(W 7+S \cdots S 1)$
$\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Y} 9-\mathrm{y}$ ? +p
$u n=u+u \times 2$
If(Y-1) 80.81.81
TF(Y.FQ.1.) FOTO211
$B R=W$
$A 2=1-0 w+0.0000001$
(:ALL COSACF(AR,B8,EPS,ETA,FR,X,IFAIL)
$w=x$
Wン2 $2=\omega$
Wv $2=\omega \times 2+U N 2$
$0=-7+6 \times 2+A 1$
$1.17=1 \times 2$

1 EXF(:17*GM)-SIN(W7+S-S1))

```
    A1= \(\mathrm{H} 2-0\)
    \(A 9=H 2 / 2 .-0\)
```



```
    - IF (AQ.IT.0) \(A B=A B *(-1)\)
        \(v=1\).
    \(1,0=1,1 \times 2\)
    G) 1093
    IF (Y.FO. O) GOTO 7 ?
    \(\mathrm{F} 1=\mathrm{Y}\)
ES:
    1F (F1. GT. O. AND.FO.IT.O) GO TO 73
    JF(F1.IT. O.AND.FO.GT.O) ©O TO 73
```



CAL COSACF(AS, B5,EDS,ETA,F5,X,IFAIL)
い. $v=x$
(C) TO 74
w $43=6$
$W \times 3=4 \times ?+W N 3$
1F (wx
い× $9=\omega \times 3$
$T=-7+1013+11$
G.) TO 20

CAIL FRAME
CALL GQEND
STOP
FNT
RFAL FHNCTION FS(W)
PrAL !
COMMON 2, D, С, Y 3, A1, B1,W1, P,WX1, A,B,WX2,WM,GM, S1,W7,WX
$Y 1=-0 * ? *!1 * * 2.12 .+B * D$
$Y 2=0 * 1 M * \operatorname{Cos}((U+W X) / W M+S+S 1) / E x p((W+U X) * G M)+D * \operatorname{CoS}((W+W X)+S-S q)$
$F 3=Y 1-Y 2+p$
REP:IRN
END
HFAI FINCTION Fit(N)
RGAL IJ
COAMON Z, П, $, ~ Y S, A 1, B 1, W 1, P, W X 1, A, B, W Y 2, W M, G M, S 1, W 7, W X$ $Y$ Y = Y 3 $-D * 7+1 * * 2 . / 2$.

```
        YZ = 0*WM* CuS((W+WX2)/WM+S+S1)/EXP((W+WX2)*GM)+D*\operatorname{cos}((W+W\times2)+SmS1)
```

$F 4=Y 1-Y 2+P$
RFTURN
END
FEAL FUNCTION FS(U)
CEAL W
COMMON Z, D, S,Y3,A1,P1,U1,P,WX1, A,B,WX2,WM,GM,S1,W7,WX
$\forall 1=0 *(-7 * W *+2.12++A 1 * b+81)$


```
F5=v1-v % +p
    RFTURN
END
    RFAI FUNCTION FS(W)
    - QFAL W
```



```
    Y1=0+(-2*:1x+2.12.+A* N+R)
```


$F 6=v 1 \ldots v 2$
RFTURN
F!n
RFAL FUNCTIOA FT(1)

```
    RFAL W
    COMMON 7, ח, ,,V3,A1, 31,H1,P,UX1,A,R,UX2,UM,GM,S1,W7
    v{=n*(-7*!!**?./2.*A*W+B)
    Y?=9*!N*COG((!+WX1)/W!+S+S1)/EXD((W+WY1)*GM)+D*rns((1+!MY1)+S-S1)
    F7=Y1-Y2-1.
    RFTURN
    FVD
    RFAI FUNCTION E8(!.I)
    RFAL!'
    COMMON 7, ח, ؟,Y3,A1,B1,W1,P,WX1,A,B,WX2,WM,GM,S{,W7
    Yq=D*(-7*li**2./2.+A1*(j+B1)
    Y?=0*WM*COs((W+WX2)/WM+S+Sq)/EXP((W+WX?)*GM) + D* COS((W+WX2)+S*S{)
    FR=Y1-Y2-1
    RFTIIRN
    FND
    RFAL FUNCTIOH FO(W)
        RFAI W
```



```
        FO=GM** 2.*LM* COS(N/NM+S+S1)/EXP(W*GM)
1+?.*GM*SIN(N/WM+S+S1)/EXP(W*GM)
1-Cos(W/WM+S+S1)/(UM+5XP(W*GM))
1-cos(1.+5-51)
1+7
        RETIIRN
        FND
        RFAL FUONCTION F1O(N)
        REAI W
        COMMON %,N,S,V3,A1,R1,W1,P,WX1,A,R,WXP,HM,GM,S9,W7
        F10=r,M** * *W!1*COC(H/UM+S+S1)/EXP(U*GM)
1 +?.*GM*STN(W/WM+S+S1)/FXP(W*GM)
1-rns(W/NM+S+S1)/(WM*FXP(W*GM))
1-\operatorname{Cos}(w+S-s1)-2
        RETURN
            FNT
    RFAI. FUNCTION F11(U)
                    OEAL I
        CONMON 7, ח, S,Y3,A1,B1,W1,P,WX1,A,B,UX2,WM,GM,S1,W7
        F11 = 0,N**2.*iMM* COS(1,/WM+S+S1)/EXD(W*GM)
1 + 2.*GM*SIN(W/WN+S+S1)/EXP(U*GM)
1-Coc(1!/MM+s+c{)/(VM*FXP(U*GM))
1-ROS(1!+S-S1)+Z
        RFTURN
        F\O
        RFAL FIJNCTION F12(W)
            REAI W
```



```
            F1>=GM**).*NN*COC(H/WM+S+SQ)/F\P(N*GM)
1+?.*GN*SIN(W/WM+S+S1)/EXP(W*GII)
```


$9 \quad-\operatorname{coc}(1 N+G-S 1)-z$
RETIRN
FND

## APPWNDIX 5

```
I.TRQADY (SUSGRCUPNAGF)
I. TRZAOY(GHRGROI.PNAGG)
    II3RARY(SHEGR(UPYAFH)
I TBRARY(SURGROLFGHOS)
I.TRQARY(GJRGROLPGHOS)
POOGRAM(KJSS)
NITPPUT 3=LFO
T:ACF 2
F:O
MASTFR MAIN
DIMENSION WORK1(3,9), LCRK2(2,9),A(9,9),S(9),X(10),Y(10)
    ,4(10).41(10)
M=9
KP1US1=9
NROWS=9
NPLUS9=9
On 1 I= 1.M
W(I)=1.
x(1)=0.0
x(2)=0.79?
x(3) =2.83
v(4)=4.416
X(5)=5.4.7
x(6) = K. 53
x(7)=?.58
x(8)=3.64
X(9)=0.47*20.637
Y(1)=1).00.74
v(2)=0.147
Y(3)=0.114
v(4)=0.074
v(5)=0.04
v(K)=0.026
v(7)=0.0276
Y(8)=0.0395
Y(9)=0.051
XッAX=X(9)/20.637
    XMYN=X(1)/20.637
DO 9 i=1,M
    x(1)=x(1)/20.637
JFAII =0
CALL EORADF(M,KPLUS1,NROWS,X,Y,W,WORK1,WORKZ,A,S,IFAII)
DO 2 IP| 11S1=1,KPLUS1
    I={D!US1-1
    UPTYF(3,4)I
    WPITF(3.5)(JPLUS1,A(IPLUS1,JFLUS^),JPLUS1=1,IPLUS1)
    WRITF(3,6)S(IPLUS1)
    CONYTNUF
    FORMAT(/7F DFGPFF, IL//RH I COFFF/)
    FORMAT(1X,13,F?0.5)
    FORMAY(/1GH R.M.S RESJDUAL=, EZI.:3)
```

```
DO7 IPIUS1=1,KFLUS1
    0010 JPIUS1=1,IDLUS1
    A1(.10L!S1)=A(IFLUS1,JFLUS{)
NPLUS1=JPIUS1
    MO R I=1. R
    YCAD=(2.*X(I)-XMAX-XNIN )/(XMAX-XAIIN)
    CALI EOZAFF(IPLUS1,AA,XCAD,P,IFAIL)
    Y(I) =P
        WRITF(3,11)(Y(1),I=1;14)
            F\capRMAT(/1X,'Y=1,E15.7/)
```

CALL DAPEQ(1)
CAIL MAD(O.0.n.47, - 0.n15.0.146)
CALI GDINFO('JNK PEN $7 L-3$ IN HOLDER 1, BLACK PEN,PLEASE', 4?)
CAIL DSPACF (0.1,0.6,0.1,0.5)
CALL CSPACE (0.,0.65,0.,0.55)
CALL AXES
CALI CIIRVFO(X,Y,1,M).
CALI FRAMF
CONTINUE

## CALI GREN

```
STOP
FND
    FINISH
```


## APPMNDIX 6

```
I.TBRARY(SURGROUPGHOS)
(TROAQV(SU?GROUPGHOS)
    IIR?ADV(S"RGZO|DNAGF)
IYRRARY(SURGROUPNAGG)
ITROAEY(SUROROUPNAGH)
DROGRAM(HUSS)
0|TP|T 3=1PO
TQACE O
FYD
MASTFR MAYN
DIMENSION WORK1(3,9),WORK2(2.9),A(9,9),X(10),Y(10)
    ,Y1(5),G(5),Y0(5),E(5),A1(5),B1(5),C1(5),D1(5),SS(10),
W(10), AY(8000),BY(8000),TY(8000),WXY(8000),AYY(8000)
,GG(5),GGG(5)
```

COMMON N, TM, YW, RM, A, XMAX,XMIN,D,WM,FREO,S,S1,GM,XX,G1,BFI
EXTERNAI FQ,FqO,DERIVE

```
CALL PAPER(1)
CALL MAP(0.,0.006,0.,40.)
CALL GPINFO('INK PFN 7L-3 IN HOLDER 1,BLACK PEN,PLFASE',42)
CALL FSPAC,5(0.1,0.625.0.1,0.5)
CALL CSDACF(0.,0.625,0.,0.5)
    CALI BORDER
CALIL NAP(0.,0.006,-20.,20.)
    CALI POINT(0.,O.)
M=9
KOLUS9:9
NonWS=9
NPLUS1=9
WMAX=2262.
n\cap 1 I=1,M
W(i)=1.
x(1)=0.0
x(2)=0.792
x(3)=2.83
x(4)=4.416
x(5)=5.47
x(6)=6.53
x(7)=7.58
x(8)=8.64
    x ( 9 ) = 0 . 4 7 * 2 0 . 6 3 7
v(1)=0.0094
V(2)=n.147
v(3)=0.114
v(4):0.074
v(5)=0.04
V(6)=0.026
v(7)=0.0こ76
```

```
    \(Y(8)=0.0395\)
\(V(9)=0.052\)
\(x: A x=0.47\)
\(x M 1 N=x(1) / 20.637\)
00 \(9 \quad i=1, M\)
    \(x(1)=x(I) / 20.637\)
\(I F A I I=0\)
```

    CALI EAZADF (M, KPLUS1,NROWS,X,Y,W,WORK1,WORK2,A,SS,IFAII)
    $N=5$
$T M=0.0000 .365$
$0 \mathrm{n}=0.91$
$Y W=0.47$
FDEO=376999.11
$\varsigma 3=4.0 * A T A N(1.0)$
$c=0$.
IFAII = 0
$D \because=0.4$
$n=0.00423 / \mathrm{V}$
G1=0.0219708
$\omega M=1$.
¢1 = $53 / 2$
$\mathrm{r} \therefore=0.0022$
TGOSTN(S)
BFI $=0.000029504$
$\omega 7=D W$
$T r_{1} A=0 * W N * C \cap S(W 7 / U M+S+S 1) / E X P(W 7 * G M)+D * C O S(W 7+S-S 1)$
WRITF 3,10 )TG,TGA
FARMAT (/1X,'TG=',E15.7.4X,'TGA=',E15.7/)
$\omega T=0.0$
$\omega 7=\omega 7+0.017$
$Y n=0 * W M * \operatorname{Cos}(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E \times P(W 7 * G M)+D * \operatorname{COs}(W 7+S-S 1)$
$v \Delta=G M * * 2 . * 1 N * C O S(17 / J M+S+S q) / E X P(W 7 * G M)$
$+7 . * G M * S I N(1.7 / L M+S+S 1) / E X P(1.7 * G M)$
$1-\operatorname{COS}\left(1,17 / W^{\prime} N^{\prime}+S+S 1\right) /(11 N * F X P(W 7 * G N))=C O S(1,7+S-S 1)$
IF (YA.LT. O.AND.YD.GT. O.)GO TO 12
IF (YA.GT.O.AND.YD.LT.O.) GO TO 28
GO TO 11
IF (YA.LT.-G1)GOTO 13
GO ro 11
$B 9=1.4$
$A 9=1.17-0.017$
$F D S=0.0$
$F T A=0.0$
IFATI $=0$
CALI CCSACF (AO, BO,FDS,FTA,FO,XK,IFAII.)
WRITF (3, 14) A.G, 89, x6
FOMMAT (/1 X, 'AQ $=1, F 15.7,3 X$, RG $=1, F 15.7,3 X, 1 \times 6=1, F 15.7 /)$
以 $0=\times 6$

```
    S11=xh
    W7=X6
    xX=xG/FREO
    WX1= XG
    v}=0\mathrm{ .
        A2=-GM*WN*COS(W7/WM+S*S1)/EXP(W7*GN)-SIN(W7/WM+S+S{)/EXP(W7*GM)
4-SPN(WT+S-S1)
    IF(A?.LT.O.)GOTO 27
    VF=A?
        GO TO 15
    IF(VA.GT.G1)GO TO 29
GO TO 11
B10=47
N10=W7-0.017
FPS=0.0
FTA=0.0
IFAII=0
CALL COSARE(A1C,B9O,EFS,EYA,F{O,X7,IFAIL)
WOITF(3,30)A10,F1N,X7
FORMAT(/1X,'A10=1,E95.7,3X,'B10=1,E15,7,3X,'X7=1,E15.7/)
W0= x?
@11:x \
w7=x7
WX1= x7
XX=X7/FRFO
YV=0.0
A P= -GM*WM*COS(N7/WM+S+S1)/EXP(W7*GM) - SIN(W7/WM+S+Sq)/EXP(H7*GM)
1-SIN(NT+S-S1)
VF=A>
IF(A?.GT.O.)GO TO 27
GO TO 15
        FO=0.
    V1(1)=YD*V4
    Vq(ク)=VF*n*YW*FRFO
v11= v4(1)
v12=v1(2)
    G(1)=0.000001
        G(2)=0.000001
    H=0.0000005
        DT=0.000001
        K=1
r=0
        AN=2
        JFAIL=0
        T=0.
x 1 = T
    W\times9=WX9/FRFO
VI=Y9(2)/1חOO.
CALL .JOGN(LXX1,VL)
```

```
            T=T+OT
            CALI DOZAKF(X1,Y1,G,K,NN,IFAII,DT,H,DERIVE,VO,F,A1,B1,C1,D1)
YR=V1(1)/YW
    WY1=UXO +T*FFEO
IF(WX9-WMF.x)26,27,27
```

$1.7=1.1 \times 9$
$Y \Gamma=D * W H * C O S(W 7 / K M+S+S q) / E X P(W 7 * G M)+D * \operatorname{CoS}(W 7+S m S q)$
$V V=Y R-Y D$
$\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{I}+1$
$A Y(I)=Y 1(1)$
$B Y(I)=Y$ (2)
$T V(T)=T$
WYY(T) $=W \times 1$
$A \vee Y(1)=Y Y$
IF (DT.EO. 0.000000001$) 4=0.0000000005$
IF (YY-0.) 18,45,19
$19 \quad \operatorname{IF}(Y Y-1) 16.46,$.
18 if(I.FO.1)GOTO 35
Gก TO 36
$Y 9(1)=Y 11$
$\mathrm{v} 9(2)=\mathrm{V} 12$
DT = 0.000000001
$\mathrm{H}=0.0000000005$
$T=0$
$\mathrm{xq}=T$
$G G(1)=0.000001$
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{Z})=0.000001$
$T=T+D T$
CAIL DODARF(X1,Y1,GG,K,NN,IFAIL,DT,H, DERIVE,YO,F,A1,B1,C1, D1)
$Y P=Y 1(1) / Y W$
$W \times 1=\omega \times 0+T \times F R E Q$
if (!1X1-WMAX) 37,27,27
$\omega 7=\omega \times 1$
$Y D=\cap * 1.10 * C \cap S(1.17 / W M+S+S 1) / E \times P(W 7 * G M)+D * \operatorname{COS}(W 7+S-S 1)$
$Y Y=Y R-Y D$
IF (YV-0.) $38,38,40$
$B Y(T)=Y 1(2)$
$T V(I): T$
WYY(Y) $=\omega \times 1$
$A \vee Y(T)=Y Y$
$H=0.0000000005$
GO TO 16
WYM=WX1
RVN=V1(2)
GO TO 39

```
1.1YM= L.Y }
PYM=Y^(2)
    r.0 TO 30
    WXM=WXY(I)-((AYY(I)-0.)* (WXY(I)~WXY(I-1))/(AYY(I)-AYY(I-1)))
```

$T V \|^{\prime}=\left(1 \cdot Y R^{2}-11 Y \cap\right) / F P E O$
$R \vee P=R Y(I)=((R Y(I)=R Y(I-1)) *(T Y(I)=T Y M) /(T Y(I)-T Y(I-1)))$
$W 7=\| \times M$
$Y \cap=0 * 1.4 M * C O S(1 / 7 / W M+S+S\{ ) / E X P(W 7 * G M)+D * C \cap S(W 7+S=S 1)$
$A 7=-G: 4 * 1 \cdot 1$ * $C O S(H 7 / i N+S+S 1) / E X P(W 7 * G M)-S I N(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E X P(W 7 * G * 1)$
$1-S J M(W 7+S-S i)$
$V O=P V M /(F R F()+D * \gamma(1)$
$U F=2 . * A ?-\because \cap$
WXY $1=$ WIXM
$Y Y=0$.
$W Y O=W X M$
$X Y=1.1 \times M / F R F O$
GのTO 15
$1.1 \times B=6 \times 9$
$B Y A=Y 1(?)$
GOTO 47
IF(I.FO.1)GOTO 60
Gn TO 61
$y 1(1)=y 11$
$\because 1(7)=Y 12$
DT: ( $1.0(1000 \cap \cap \cap 1$
$\mathrm{H}=0.0 \cap 00000005$
$T=0$.
$x 1=T$
$\operatorname{GGG}(1)=0.600001$
$G G G(2)=0.000001$

```
\(T=T+D T\)
CAIL NOZABF (X1, Yq, GGG,K,NN,IFAIL,DT,H,DERIVE,YD,F,A1,31,C1, D1)
\(V F=v イ(1) / V 1\),
\(1, r 9=\omega \times 0+r \times \& Q E\)
Jf(1.1×1-W14: \(62,27.27\)
\(1: 7=1.1 \times 9\)
```



```
\(v v=Y R \sim Y D\)
Ir (yy-1.) 66, 63,63
BV(I) \(=\mathrm{V} 1(\lambda)\)
\(T V(Y)=\gamma\)
\(W Y Y(Y)=W \times 1\)
\(\rightarrow Y Y(T)=Y Y\)
\(W=0.0000000005\)
GOTO 16
```

WXM＝WY9
PYP：＝y1（2）
GO TO 47
WXM＝WソY（I）－（（AYY（I）－1．）＊（WXY（I）－WXY（I～1））／（AYY（I）－AYY（I－1））） TVR＝（1！XN－：$\because n)$ ！FREO f．$\left.Y^{\prime} M=R Y(I)-(B Y(I)-R Y(I-1)) \star(T Y(I)-T Y M) /(T Y(I)-T Y(I-1))\right)$
い7＝！ $9 M$
$V n=0 * 1 M * \operatorname{Cos}(W \vec{i} / V M+S+S \uparrow) / E X D(17 * G M)+D * C n S(W 7+S-S 1)$

$1-5!N(1,7+S-59)$
$\forall n=Y n+1$ ．
$V G=R Y M /(F \cup F O * D * Y W)$
$V F=? . * \Lambda 2-V 0$
$\because \times 1=\omega \times M$
$Y Y=1$ ．
$\omega \times 0=6 \times M$
$x \times=\| X N / F R F O$
GO TO 15
CAII GREND
STOP

FAD

PEAI FINCTIGA FO（W）
OFR：い
OIMENSION A $(9,9)$
COMNON N，YM，YU，RM，A，XMAX，XMIN，D，WM，FREQ，S，SI，GH，XX，GI，BFI
$E Q=(+\cdots * 2 .+11 M * \operatorname{COS}(1 / / 4 \cdot 1+S+S \Upsilon) / E \times P(W * G M)$


$1-\operatorname{COS}(11+S-S T)+G 1$
DFTIJRI!
ENT
FEAL FI!ICTION FqO(W)
DFAL U
ATME』STO:1 (9, (9)
COMMON H, YM, UH, RM, A, XMAX,XMIU,D, JM,FREO,S,ST,GM,XX,GY,BEI



$-\cos (1.1+5-5 \cdot)-(9)$
RFTURN
END

QFAL F9, V1.) 1
OTNFNSIOV F१ (?), ソイ (?), ^(9, 9)

f1(1): :Yイ? ?)
$51(2)=-4,44827 ? * 1000 . *(0.5+A(H, 1) / T M$



```
!(yNAX-v:!(1))'MM
+A(N,3)+().+({(2.+(Y1(1)-(YU*N*UM*COS(FREQ+(X1 +YX)/WMA+S+S1)
```



```
((XMAX - XMi:O))**2) - . )/TM
```



```
!&XP(FRFQ*(Yq+YY) <GM)+YW*D*COS(FqEQ*(XT+XX)*S-S^)))-XMAX-XMIN)
((yMAY-y,1!1)) - x 3)
```





```
+A(N.5)*(8.*(C(2.*(Yq(1)-(YM*D*WM*COS(FREQ*(X1+XX)/4M+S+S1)
/FYP(FRFO*(Xq+X%)*(GM)*YW*D*COS(FREQ*(Yq+XX)+S-S1)))-XMAX-XM&N)
/(YMAX-X:11N))*+&)
```



```
/FXP(FRFO*(Xq+KX)*GM)*YN* O*COS(FREQ* (Xq + XX) +S-Sq)))-XIAAX-XM\N)
/(XMAX-XM[N))**2))+1.)/TM) =RM* 9800.+BFI*1000./YM
PETIIRN
FND
```

F.JNISH

## APPWIDIX 7

```
I YPPARY (GURGqOI!PNAGF)
I regasy(SJQCROUPNaGG)
    ||BDA?\because(GURGROIPNAGH)
ItERARY(S!Pa(iROUPGHOS)
I|BRAQY(S.JPGROUPGHOS)
prgGRAM(H|SS)
OHTDUT 3=1DO
TNPリア 9 =C!20
「:PUT 2=c:1^
TMPUT 10=C口?
I!P!|Y 4=CRS
TNPIT 5=CR&
|MP|T 6=0:5
INPUT 7=cizo
INP|T 8=c,Q7
INPUT 9=CR8
TKACE O
FND
MASTFR MATN
DIMENSIOU WOOK1(3,10),WORK?(2,10),A(10,10),X(10),Y(10)
    ,Y{(5), f(5),YO(5),F(5),A1(5),B1(5),C1(5),01(5),SS(10),
```



```
,CG(5),GGC(5),PE(20),FR(20),WORKKG(3,G),WORKK?(?, (), AA1(6,6)
    ,FAAG(10),WOUKK3(3,7),WORKY4(2,9),AA?(0,9),AAA)(10)
```



```
,J\RKY7(3,10),WOR:KR(2,10), AA4(10,10),AAA4(10)
,\mp@code{(IRKKG(3,O),!!\capRK:1\cap(2,O),AA5(9,9),AAA5(10)}
,!(IRKO19(3,0),!ORXK12(?,9), AAG(9,9),AAAK(10),WORKK13(3,10)
,!(!RKK14(?,10),AA?(10,10),AAA?(10)
, UORK&:15(3, {0),W0R:VK15(2,10),AAB(10.10),AAA8(10)
,M(ORKV17(3,6),WOR<<18(2,6), АAO(5,6),AAAQ(10)
.^A(1\cap),pr:(1i), XH(1i)),lWW(15), तDD(15)
COMMOM N,TM,YM,RM,FI,XMAX,XM1N,D,WM,FREQ,S,S1,GM,XX,G1,RFI
```

EXTERN: F ? F F1D
$x \& x=0$.
$K ソ ッ=0$
$N=5$
CAIL. VAPFP(1)
CALL. MAP(0..0.008,0.,1.)
CA1L PSPACF (0.1,0. (25, 0.1,0.5)
C.a11 (SPAC: (0., 0.675, 0, 0.55)
CALI. GPJNEO('INK PFN ?L-3 IN HOLDER 1, BLACK PEN,PLFASE', 4? )
CAIS CTRHAG(7)
CA11. AYFSST(0.001.0.1)005)
CAll POROFR
$\mathrm{H}=90$
vロ11151=90
NROWS: 10
NP111くイ: 10
$\operatorname{Han}=3016$.
nก $1 \quad 1=1 . \therefore$

1

```
\(4(1)=1\).
\(x(1)=0 . n\)
\(v(7)=0.70\) ？
\(x(3)=1.77\)
\(x(4)=2.23\)
\(x(5)=4.496\)
\(x(x)=5.47\)
\(x(7)=6.53\)
\(y(8)=7.5 .3\)
\(x(9)=8.64\)
\(x(10)=0.47 * 20.637\)
\(\because(1)=0.0\)
\(V(2)=0.147\)
\(V(3)=0.764\)
\(v(1)=\).
\(v(5)=0.37\)
\(v(6)=0.4 .11\)
\(v(7)=0.40 j\)
\(Y(8)=0.305\)
\(Y(0)=0.2 .23\)
\(Y(10)=0.0\)
\(x: 1(1)=0.0\)
\(v f(2)=1.77\)
\(x+(3)=\) ？． 83
Y \(+(4)=4.1 .1\) i
\(x+(5)=5.6\) ？
\(x+(6)=6.53\)
\(x+7)=7.53\)
\(x+8)=8.6 \%\)
\(X H(9)=0.47 * 20.637\)
\(\checkmark \because A X=0.47\)
\(x \leadsto I N=x(1) / 70.637\)
nin \(9 \quad i=1,14\)
```

CAI．I EO2 ANF（M，KP！US1，NROWS，X，Y，W，WORK1，WORK2，A，SS，IFAIL） กの 1 ก K $i=1.8$
$A(I)=A(3, i)$
$\mathrm{M}=6$
$\because ロ$ US $1=1$ ．
はマOWく＝6
（1） $70 \quad l=1, i 1$
$\begin{array}{ll}70 & \text { aEAR（1，フ१）RF（I），F？（I）}\end{array}$
FOHMAT（F？： $10, F 20.10$ ）

in $07 \boldsymbol{l}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
＾A $1(1)=A \wedge 1(\because, 1)$
$v=9$
VD1US $1=0$
$\because 2 \cap W=$ の
かへ 7 し $!=1,11$
$\because=10$
KOLUS $1=10$
NROUS $=10$
in $78 \quad 1=1.14$
RFAD(10, PO)FE(I),FR(I)

CAIL EOZADF (M, KPLUS1, NROWS,RE,FR, W, NORKK5,WORKKG,AA3,SS,IFAIL)
DO 8 ก $=1.11$
$A A A B(1)=A A B(N, I)$
$M=10$
$\because O 1 U S 1=10$
H20:ज5=10
D0 8.7 $\mathrm{I}=1 . \mathrm{M}$
FFAD(4.83)DF(I),FR(I)
FORMAT(c? 10.10.E20.10)

Dก $84 \quad \mathrm{I}=1$. H
$A M A G(T)=A, 14(N, I)$
M::9
KDI.US $1=$ ?
vkrus=9
no 8h $\quad \mathrm{I}=1 . \mathrm{M}$
2FAO(5.87, O: (1),FQ(1)
FORMAT(F?O 10.E2J.10)

Dn R8 $\mathrm{I}=1 . \mathrm{H}$
AAAS(I) $=145(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{I})$
$M=9$
x.plus $1=9$

NROWS $=9$
no $90 \quad 1=1 . \mathrm{M}$
DEAD(G, 91) PE(I),FR(I)
FORMAT(F2n. (1).(2n.1n)
CALL EORA)F(H, KPIIS1, WROUS,RE,FR,W,WORKK11, WORKK12,AAG,SS,IFAIL)
กロ $97 \mathrm{I}=1$, M
$A A \wedge G(T)=A A B(M, I)$
$M=10$
KPllls 1=10
MROUS=10
nก $049=1,4$
OFAD(7.Oら) UF (I),FR(I)
FORMAT(F?:11).F20.10)

a) a o $\quad t=1$ :
$\operatorname{AAC}(T)=\operatorname{AAP}(N, T)$

## 98

    AヘA9 (T) =Aム口 (N, I)
    \(r!4=0.0007365\)
    \(\mathrm{DM}=0.01\)
    FIF \(=0.4421532+1000\).
    \(A D=0.5281\)
    กク=?
    \(v リ=0.5757988\)
    \(R: 1 A X=70000\).
    R:ll \(N=0\).
    \(H H=0.000100718\)
    \(Y N=0.47\)
    FRFO \(=376091.11\)
    \(\leftarrow 3=4.0 * A \operatorname{TA}(1.0)\)
    \(S=0\) 。
    IFAJI \(=0\)
    \(n: 1=0.4\)
        \(G G M=0.0 n 7\)
    \(0=0.00423 / v 14\)
    \(G 1=0.0219708\)
    \(4!1=1\).
    \(91=53 / 2\).
    \(\mathrm{G}: 1=0.0021\)
    \(T G=S I M(S)\)
    BFT=0.000029504
    U7=011
    \(T \mathrm{C} A=0 * 61 \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{C} \cap \mathrm{C}(1.17 / 111+S+\hat{S} 1) / E \times P(1.17 * G: 1)+D * C O C(N 7+S \cdot 51)\)
    \(\omega 7=0.0\) -
    \(w 7=1.57+0.917\)
    \(Y D=0 *(1 M * C O S(17 / W M+S+31) / E X P(W 7 * G M)+n * \cos (W 7+S-S 1)\)
    \(Y A=r_{1} M * * ? .4 P 4 * C O S(17 / J A+S+S \uparrow) / E X P(1 N 7 * G 4)\)
    
$1-\cos (17 / 1 \cdots+s+ร 1) /(1.14 * F X P(17 * G M))-\cos (17+S-S 1)$
fF(YA.LT. O AMD.YD.GT.O.)GO TO 12
IF(YA.GT.O.AND.YD.IT.O.)GO TO 28
GO TO 11
$1 ?$
IF(YA.LT.-rí)GOTO13
rorn 11

```
R \(0: 117\)
A):W7-0.011
\(F \cdot \Gamma=0.0\)
\(\operatorname{FrA}=0.0\)
    ? FATL=0
    CALL COJACF (A?, B), EDS, ETA,F9,X6, IFAII.)
    !l?TF (3, 14) A9, 89, X6
```



```
    1. \(P 0=x 6\)
\(W 7=X 6\)
い×イ=×6
\(Y ソ=0\).
```

    \(A 2=-G M * S H * C O S(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E X P(W 7 * G M)-S I N(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E X P(W 7 * G M)\)
        - STA (1.17+S-S1)
    IF (A?.I.T.0.) GO TO 27
    $v n=0 * 1 M * C \cap S(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E X P(W 7 * G i 1)+D * C O S(W 7+S \sim S 1)$
$V F=A ?$
GO 01015
IF(VA.GT. (;1)GO TO 29
Gก TO 11
$310=17$
A10=W7-0.017
$F 口 O=0.0$
FTA $=0.0$
PFAII=0
CAIL COSACF(A10,B10,EPS,ETA,F10,X7,IFAIL)
UnJTF (3.30)A10, B1才, X7
FORMAT(/1X.'A10=1, F15.7,3X, B10 =1, ع15.7, 3x, 'x7=1, E15.7/)
$1,1 \times 0=\times 7$
$177=\times 7$
$: 1 \times 9=x 7$
$Y Y=0.0$

$1-\operatorname{STN}(!\rangle+5-\mathrm{C} 1)$

VF=A?
JF(Aつ.GT.O.) GOTO ? ? 7
GO TO 15
$F \cap=\dot{0}$.
ソ1(1)=Yi*Y!
$Y 1(2)=V 5 * n * Y W+F R E R$
Y11=Y1 (1)
Ү隹: = (2)
$F I=F I F$
nT=n.0)0n01
$1=0$
JFAIL=)
$r=0$ 。
$16 \quad$ WX9＝（WX1＋X 8 X ）／FRF
「イLL JOIf（JXイ，ッソ）

```
            \(r=T+D T\)
            \(\forall 1(1)=0.5 * T * * ? *(\sim F I / T M-9800 . * R M+1\) OOn.*BFI/TM)
    \(1+\vee 1(?) * T \therefore ソ 1(1)\)
    \(V_{1}(2)=T *(-F I / F 4-0300 . * 2 M+1000 . * 3 F I / T 11)+Y 1(2)\)
    \(\mathrm{YB}=\mathrm{Yq}(1) / \mathrm{Y} 1\)
        \(1.1 \times 9=W \times(1)+T+F P E Q\)
```




IF(KNN.FQ.1)GO TO 153
ソロD=VD/D
IF(YDD.GE.0.O9.OR.YDD.LE.-0.99)GO TO 151
G: TO 15\%
151
人MOKNH+1
$\because 8 x=\omega \times 0$
$\because \times 0=0$
$T=D T$
H×イ=U×0+r*FREQ
u7=Ux 1
$\mathrm{Yi}=\mathrm{D} * \cos (47 / W: i) / E x p(W 7 * G G 1)$
154
$V Y=Y R-Y D$

AY(1) $=\mathrm{Y} 1$ (1)
P.Y(I) $=\mathrm{Y}$ ( $(?)$
$T V(I)=T$
WXY(T) $=\mathbf{W K} 1$
AYY(T) =YY
If(yv-0.)18.45,19
19

ril in 3a
$\mathrm{v} 1(1)=\mathrm{Y} 19$
$\mathrm{v} 1(2)=\mathrm{v} 1$ ?
$D T=0.0000100001$
$r=0$
$T=T+D T$
$\mathrm{V} 1(1)=0.5 * T * * 2 *(-F I / T 4-9300 . * R 4+1000 . * B F I / T M)$

$V_{1}(2)=T *\left(\cdot F_{1} / T!-0800 . * 2 M+1000 . * i 3 F I / T M\right)+V 1(2)$
$\mathrm{VB}=\mathrm{F} /(1) / \%$



```
37 W7=\omega\times9
IF(KNN.EO.T)GOTO {57
Yn:=0*W!|*CO:(W7/W.1+S+S1)/EXP(W7*O|)+?*COS(W7+S-S1)
    VDO=YO/D
IF(YDO.GF.N.99.OR.YDN.I.E.-0.99)GO TO 156
GO TO 15%
    KNK=K小N.41
    x&x=1:x0
    wx0=0
    T=0T
157 UN1=W\timesO+T+FREQ
    157=\omegax1
    vn=D*C\cap与{!7/リリ)!F!P(!!7*GGM)
```

158
40
1f（YY－0．）38，38，40
RY（T）$=\mathrm{V}$（ $(?)$
ry（I）$=T$
WXY（I）$=$ WX 1
$A \cup Y(I)=Y Y$
GO Tn 919
bxM＝WX1
PYM＝Y（？）
GO 0039

45 WXM $=1,1 \times 1$
RYM＝Y1（？）
GO TO 30
$W X M=W X Y(I)-((A Y Y(I)-0) *.(W X Y(1)-W X Y(I-1)) /(A Y Y(I)-A Y Y(I-1)))$

TYM $=(W X: 4-1 \times 0) / F R E Q$

$\square 7=U \times M$

1 －sim（147＋S．0ヶ1）


$V(0)=\square Y R /: ? ? E \cap \rightarrow n * Y(1)$
$V E=2+A 2-\%(0$
UX1 $=W \times M$
$v y=0$ ．
$1190=15 \times M$
（i）in 15

```
4 6
T=T+ nT
    V1(1)=0.5*T**?.*(-FI/TM-9800.*RM+1000.*8FI/TM)
1 +Y\( ) * Y +Y\(1)
```



```
    YR=Y1(1)/Y|
    |<\=WX0+Y* %?EQ
    If(WX1-W!AX)62,27,27
    |7:= W\1
    IF(KN:EP.1)GO TO 161
    Vn=0*LM*CO\because(!J7/WM+S+S1)/EXD(W7*GM)+D*COS(W7+S-S1)
    Y\capn=vn/D
    JF(YDO.GE.0.99.OR.YDD.LT.-0.99)GO TO 160
        GO TO 1K?
    KMN=KNH+1
    yOx=い人0
    !!\0=0
    T=OT
    WM1:=WY0+T*FREQ
    W7=wX\
    YO=D*C0¢(U7/WM)/EXP(U7*GGM)
```

$V V=Y R-Y D$
IF（YV－1．）64，63，63
$B:(I)=Y 1(2)$
$r \because(I)=T$
WYY（T）$=\| \times 1$
$A \because Y(T)=Y Y$
ค．）TO 116
$W: A=W \times 1$
RソM＝Y1（2）
（G）TO 4.7
$W X M=1, I X Y(T)-((A Y Y(I)-1) *.(W X Y(I)-W X Y(I-1)) /(A Y Y(I)-A Y Y(I-1)))$

$R \cup M=\Omega Y(I)-((8 Y(I)-B Y(I \sim 1)) \star(T Y(I)-T Y M) /(T Y(I)-T Y(I-1)))$
$U 7=!1 \times M$

```
    vn=0*!M*COC(W7/WM+S+S1)/EXP(W7*GM)+n*COS(W7+S-S1)
        YF(KON,FO.1)Y'=n*COS(N7/WM)/EXP(OT*GGN)
```



$\Gamma=(K N 甘, F D .1) A Z=-G G M * C O G(W 7 / W H) / E X P(W 7 * G G M)-1.1 W N+S I N$

$y \cap=Y \cap+1$ ．
（1）：＝RY「A／（FПFO＊D＊Y！J）



```
Yッ=1.
ッN(6)=!xM
    (%) TO 15
```

116

```
AX=YY*YU
XCAP=(2.*AX-XMAX-XMIN)/(XMAX-XHIN)
IFAII=0
CAIL FOZAEF(8,AA,XCAD,PR,IFAIL)
```

$F R=P R 凶 1$ Kh大人 .666
$\because=P R / A R$
Vfi=-リr-V1(2)
1F(VRI.LT.!).)VRI=-VRI
RU=VRI*DD/VV
IF(RD.GT. $\because$ OOO日) GO TO 27
PCAP=(2.*RP-RMAX-RMIN)/(RMAX-RMIN)
C.ALL EDZAEP(N,AAAq, RCAP,DF,IFAIL)
$\mathrm{PFI}(1)=\mathrm{PF}$
CAIL FOPAFF(N, AAAZ,RCIP,PF,IFAIL)
DEf() $=D F$
CAIL EORAFE (N, MAAB,RCAD, PF,IFAII.)
PFI (3) = PF
CAIL EOZAEF (N, AAAL, RCA), PF,IFAIL)
RFT(14)=DF
CAIL EORA: : (N,AAAS,RCA: DF, IFAIL)
$\mathrm{FFI}(5):=\mathrm{DF}$
CALL FOZAEF(N, AAAB,RCAP, PF,IFAIL)
PFI (G) =PF
CALI EO2AFF(N, AAAT, RCAP,PF,IFAIL)
PfI(7)=0F
CALI EOJAFF (N,AAAR,RCAP,PF,IFAIL)
DFJ(R)=0p
CALI EOTAEF (N,AAAG,RCAP, PF,IFAIL)
PFI(9) $=P F$
$H 2=9-1$
$r r_{i}=15$
$X A A=A X+20.037$
CAIL. FOYADF (N?, XAA, XH, PFI, WWH, DDD,IG,VAL)
fy=Val*(ソRI**2.)*(DD**2.)*HH
for 16
HIITF(3.119)RR
FODMAT(1×,'RR=',E1'.7)
CALL GREAD
:TOP
FNO

```
        RFAL F:H!STION FO(W)
        DFAL I/
    COMMON N,TM,VM,PM,FI,XMAX,YMIN,D,MS,GRFO,S,SI,GM,XX,G1,BFI
```



```
        \therefore?.*r,M*SIH(U/HN+S+S{)/EXP(U*GM)
```



```
            -coS(N+C-S1)+G1
            RFTURN
            ENO
            REAL FIJMCTION 51O(W)
        R!NL :'
        COHMON N,TM,YW,RM,FI,XGAX,XMIN,D,WM,FREO,S,SI,GM,XX,G1,BFI
        FT0=GM**?.*WM*COS(!!/UT+S+S1)/EXP(U*GM)
q +?.*GM*ST:I(N/NM+S*ST)/EXP(!N*GM)
1-COS(U/WM*S*S{)/(NN*EXP(W*GM))
1-cos(U+S-59)-51
    RFTURN
    FNO
```

    EINTSH
    
## APPFNDIX 8

library（smbgrolipuaga）
I．TPRARY（GllBGROIIDNAGG）

I YFRARY（SHBGROUPGHOS）
IMPRARY（SUMGROUPGUNS）
piograbliduss）
OUTPIIT 3al．！0
THPUT $1=\mathrm{cra} 0$
l！iplly ？＝CR1
INP！T 10＝cr2
INPUY $4=C R 3$
INRUT $5=0.26$
INPUT 6＝CRS
INPUT 7＝r．RG
INPUT 8＝CRT
INPUT 9＝CRA
TPACE 0
F日D
mastat main

，v1（5），G（5），Y0（5），E（5），A1（5），B1（5），C1（5），D1（5），SS（10），
W（90），AY（2000），EY（2000），TY（2000），WXY（？000），AYY（2000）

，AMA1（10），VORKK3（3，9），WORKKL（2，9），AA2（0，9），AAA2（10）

，UORKYZ（3，10），MORKKR（？，10），AA4（10，10），AAA4（10）

－HORKK11（3．0），WORKK12（2，9），AAS（9．9），AAAS（10），NORKK13（3，10）
，पORKK14（？，10），AA？（10．10），AAAT（10）
，HORKए15（3．10），VORKK1（2．10），AAB（10．10），AAAR（10）

， 1 A（10），PFY（10），XH（10），WWW（15），DDD（15），RRR（15），ZZZ（15）
－ $\mathrm{Y} F(15)$
COMMNS H，TM，YM，RM，FI，XMAX，XMIN，D，OM，FREQ，S，S1，GN，XX，G1，BFI EXTERMAI F9，F10
$x 8 x=0$ ．
$\mathrm{KNN}=0$
$N=5$
CAIL PAPFR（1）
CALL MAP（0．，0．008．0．1．1．）

CALL PSDACE（0．1，0．625．0．1，0．5）
CALL CSDACE（0．，0．675，0．，0．55）
call ctrangel（10）
Call a MESS！（0．001，0．0005）
CALI BORDED
$M=10$
KrLusi＝10
NPOUS＝10
$N: 1 . U S 1=10$
いリAX：＝3016．
DO 1 I $=1,4$

```
\(\|(1)=1\).
\(x(1)=0.0\)
\(x(2)=0.797\)
\(x(3)=1.77\)
\(x(4)=7.83\)
\(x(5)=4.416\)
\(y(6)=5.47\)
\(x(7)=K .53\)
\(x(8)=7.58\)
\(x(9)=8.64\)
    \(r(10)=0.47 * 20.637\)
\(v(1)=0.0\)
\(V(2)=0.447\)
\(v(3)=0.2 .64\)
\(Y(4)=0.35 \%\)
\(Y(5)=0.37\)
\(V(6)=0.491\)
\(v(7)=0.405\)
\(Y()=0.305\)
    \(v(9)=0.273\)
\(V(90)=0.0\)
\(V F(1)=0\).
\(V+(7)=0.3\)
\(\operatorname{Vr}(3)=0.54\)
\(\operatorname{Vr}(l)=0.71\)
\(v F(5)=0.720\)
\(Y F(6)=0.758\)
\(V F(7)=0.776\)
\(Y F(8)=0.776\)
\(Y F(Q)=0.76\)
YF \((10)=0.76\)
\(x+(1)=0.0\)
\(x \|(2)=1.77\)
\(x H(3)=2.83\)
\(y H(4)=4.416\)
\(y+1(5)=5.47\)
\(x y(6)=6.53\)
\(x+(7)=7.58\)
\(x+\left(s_{i}\right)=8.66\)
\(x . h(0)=0.47 \times 20.637\)
\(x \operatorname{nn} x=0.47\)
\(x^{\wedge 1} 1 N=x(1) / 20.637\)
DO \(9 \quad I=1, M\)
    \(x(p)=x(1) / 20.637\)
\(I F A \Gamma I=0\)
```

    CALI EOZARF(H, KPLUS1, NROWS, X,V,W,WORK1,WOQK2, A,SS,IFAIL)
    NO $10 \% 1=1.8$
$\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I})$
$\mathrm{N}=6$
K0111S9 = 6
HROWS:6

```
    DO 70 I=1.M
    DFAD(1,71):FF(T),FD(I)
    F(FMAT(Fว0.10,F?O.an)
```



```
    rn 7) I=1.!!
    AAA1(T)=AA!(1,I)
    N=S
    KP!US9=9
    NEOHE=9
    DO 7h I=1,M
    PFAO(7,75)DF(I),FR(1)
    FOFMAY(E?O.10,E20.10)
    CAIL FO2ADF(M,KPLUSY,NROWS,RF,FR,W,WORKKZ,WORKK4,AA2,SS,IFAIL)
    N! 76 I=1,N
    fAA己(I)=AA?(N,I)
```

    \(M=10\)
    KRIUS1=10
    Nロロいく=10
    DO \(78 \quad \mathrm{I}=1 \mathrm{M}\)
    FFAD(90,70)PE(I),FR(I)
    FOFMAT(E2!.10, ह20.10)
    CAII FOZADF(M,KPLUS1, HROUS,RE,FR,W,WORKKS,NCRKKG,AAB,SS,IFAIL)
    (n) \(80 \quad I=1, N\)
        ^AAZ(I): :^^3 (N, I)
    \(M=10\)
    \(K P L U S 1=10\)
    No ous =10
    MO R \(\mathrm{I}=1 \mathrm{M}\)
    FFAD(4.83) WF(I),FR(1)
    FORMAT (E20.10,E20.10)
    CAIL FOZAMF (M, KPLUS1, MROWS,RF,FR,H,WORKK7,NORKKE,AA4,SS,IFAIL)
    กロ 3ん \(I=1, N\)
    \(A A A L(T)=A A G(N, I)\)
    \(M=9\)
    V.pIUS \(9=9\)
    MROUS = 9
        no \(86 \quad \mathrm{I}=\) ? M
    pFAn(5,8?) !F(I), FR(I)
    

$\cap \cap$ 8R $\mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~A}$
AAAS(T) =AAS(N, I)
$\mathrm{k}=$ ?
Kロ1.US1 = 9
NROWS = 9
$0 \cap 90 \quad 1=1, M$
RFAD(G,Qq) $二 厶(J), F R(T)$
FOAMAT(F?日. 1n, r2n.1n)
CAILEOZAUT (M, KPLUSP, HRONS,RF,FR,W,WORKK11, WORKF1?, AAG, SS, IFATL)
Dत \& $1=1.1$.
92
94
95
96
$F P E O=376901.11$
$s 3=4.0 * \operatorname{ATAN}(1.0)$
$s=0$.
IFA $11=0$
$D u=0.4$
rorm=0.007
$0=0.00423 /$ バい
$\mathrm{Gq=0} 0219708$
$1!\cdot=1$.
$\mathrm{c} 1=53 / 2$.
Gr = = noll
TCOSN: (S)
BFI=0.0r0020504
$\because 7=0 \mathrm{Cu}$

$\omega 7=0$. 0
$19 \quad 17=67+0.097$

```
    YD=0*WA* CGS(W7/WM+S+SA)/EXP(W7*GM)+0*COS(W7+S-S1).
```





```
    JF(YA.LT.O.AND.YD.GT.O.)GO TO & 2
    IF(YA.GT.O.AND.YN.IT.O.)GO TO 28
    GO TO 11
    IF(V^.l.T.-(.1)GO ro 13
    GO TO 11
    B9=67%
    A9:=k7-0.017
    FDS=0.0
    FTA=0.0
        IFAIL=0
    CAI.I COSACF(AO,EO,EPS,ETA,F9,XG,IFAIL)
    WEITF(3,14)A9,89,Y6
    F\capKMAY(/qX,'^9=1,E15.7,3X,'B9=',E15.7,3X,'X6=1,E15.7/)
    \omega*O=xG
    W7=X6
    4\times1=x6
    YY=0.
        A2=-GN*WM+COS(W7/WM+S+S1)/EXP(W7*GM)-SIN(W7/W4+S+S1)/EXP(W7*GM)
1
        -STN(U7+!-S1)
    1F(A?.LT.O.)GO TO 27
    Yn=D*UM*COC(W7/WM+S+Sq)/EXP(W7*GM)+D*CDG(W7+S-Sq)
    VF=A?
        GO TO 15
    IF(VA,GT.G1)GU TO 29
    GO TO 11
    R10=67
    A90=W7-0.017
    FPS=0.0
    FTA=0.0
    1FF[1=0
    CAIL CO5ACF(A10,R1O,EPS,ETA,F10,X7,IFAIL)
    WD!TF(3,30)A10,B10,X7
    F!FMAT(/1%,'A1(N=1, F15,7,3X,'R10=1,E15.7,3X,'X7=1,E15.7/)
    L: : = = % 
    W7=x7
    6\1=x7
    YY=0.0
```



```
1 - !JN(:N+S-s ()
```



```
    VF:=A ?
    1&(Aつ.GT.O.)GO TO 27
    GO TO 15
```

```
        \(F O=0\).
        \(\vee 1(1)=Y n * v 1\)
```



```
        \(v i 1=v 1(1)\)
        Y12 \(=\mathrm{Y} 1\) (2)
    FI=FYF
        DT=0.000001
    \(\mathrm{I}=0\)
        IFAIL=0
        \(T=0\).
```

$16 \quad W \times 1=(W X\{+\times 8 X) / F R F Q$
CALL JOIN(LX1.OT)
$T=T+D T$
V4(1)=0.5*T**2.*(-FI;TM-9800.*RM+1000.*RFI/TM)
$1+Y q(7) * T+Y q(1)$

$Y B=Y$ (1) /Yı.
$W \times 1=W^{\prime} \times O * T * R E Q$
IF(いX1-いいム) 26.27,27
$W 7=\omega \times 1$
$Y D=D * \omega M * C O S(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E X P(\omega 7 * G M)+D * C O S(W 7+S-S 1)$
IF (KNN.EG.1)GO TO 153
$Y D D=Y O / D$
IF (YAD.GF.O.OG.OR.YDD.LE. -0.99 )GO TO 151
COO TO 154
$K N N=K N N+1$
$x 8 x=u \times 0$
WYO $=0$
$T=D T$
153 WK1=WXO+T*FREQ
$\omega^{\prime} \cdot=\omega \times 9$
$Y 月=0 * \cos (1 / 7 / W M) / E X D(W 7 * G G M)$
$154 \quad Y Y=Y B-Y D$
$\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{I}+1$
AY（I）$=\mathrm{y}$ १（1）
$P Y(I)=Y 1(2)$
$T Y(T)=T$
bYY（I）$=W Y q$
AVY（I）$=\mathrm{YY}$
IF（YV－0．）18，45， 19

19 TF（YY－1．）116．4t．21
18 IF（I．fQ．i）GOTO 35
GO TO 36
$\mathrm{Y} 1(1)=0.5 * T * * 2 . *(-F I / T M-9800 . * R M+1000 . * 2 \mathrm{FI} / T M)$
$+v 1(2) * T+Y \uparrow(1)$
Y1 (2) $=T *(-F I / T M-9800 . * F M+1000 . * R F I / T M)+Y 1(2)$
$Y E=Y$ (1) /Y:
W×1=W×0 $+T * F F F O$
IF (WX1-WMAX) 37.27.27
い7 = い× 9
IF(KNN.EO.1)GOTO 157
$Y n=D * W M * \cos (L .7 / W M+S+S 1) / E X P(W 7 * G M)+D * \operatorname{Cos}(W 7+S-S 1)$
$Y \cap \cap=Y \cap / D$
IF(YOM.GE.O.G9.OR. YOD.LE. -0.09 ) GOTO 156
GO Tn 158
$K R N=K N N+1$
$x 8 x=\omega \times 0$
w. $0=0$
$T=D T$
WX1=WXC+T*FREQ
$w 7=\omega \times 1$
$Y D=D * \operatorname{Cos}(1: 7 / W M) / E X D(67 * G G N)$
158
WXM=WX1
BYN=Y1(2)
GO TO 39
WXM=WXY(I)-((AYY(I)-0.)* (WXY(I)-GXY(I-1))/(AYY(I)-AVY(I-1)))
TYM $=(14 X M-1 X P) / F R E O$
RYN=RY(T)-((EY(I)-EY(I-1))*(TY(I)-TYM)/(TY(I)-TY(I-1)))
$\omega 7=1 / \times 4$


$17=-G N *!M+C(S S(1 \cdot 7 / L M+S+S 1) / E \times P(W 7+G M)-S I N(W 7 / W M+S+S 1) / E X P(W 7 * G M)$
$1-\operatorname{SN}(17+S-r q)$
IF(KNN.FO.1) AZ=-GGF*COS (W7/KM)/FXD(W7*GGM)-1./UM*SIN
$1(L 7 / W \times 9) / E\rangle F(W 7 \times G G M)$ $v 0=R Y M /(F P E Q * D * Y(1)$
$V F=2 . * A 2 \sim V C$
$\omega \times 1=W X M$
$Y Y=0$.
$W \times O=W \times M$
$Q T=0$.
GOTO 15
$W X_{M}=W \times 1$
RYM=y1 (2)
GO TO 47
21
IF (I.EO.1) GO TO 60
GO TO 61
$v 1(1)=Y 11$
$\mathrm{V} 1(2)=\mathrm{Y} 12$
$\mathrm{Dr}=0.000000001$
$r=0$.

## $T=T+n T$

V1(1) $=0.5 * T * * 2 . *(-F I / T M-9800 . * R M+1000 . * R F I / T M)$
$1+\mathrm{Y}$ ( $(2) * T+\mathrm{Y} 1(1)$
V1(2):T*(-FI/TN-9800.*RM+1000.*BFI/TM)+Y1(2)
$V_{R}=Y_{1}(1) / Y_{W}$
$W \times 1=W X O+T+F R E Q$
If(Lix9-WMAX)62.27.27
$W_{T}=W \times 9$
IF(KNN.FQ.1)GO TO 161
$Y_{n}=R * 1 \cdot M * \cos (W 7 / K M+S+S 1) / E X P(W 7 * G M)+D * \cos (W 7+S-S 1)$
$Y D D=Y D / D$
IF(YON.GF.O.99.OR.YOD.LT. -0.99 GO TO 160
GO Tn 162
$K M N=K N N+1$
$x 8 x=4 \times 0$
$\omega \times C=0$
$T=D T$
WX1 $=W \times O+T * F R E O$
$\because 7=\omega \times 1$
$Y D=D * \operatorname{Cos}(1.7 / U N) / E \times P(V 7 * G G M)$

| 162 | $Y Y=Y R-Y D$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | IF (YY-1.) 6 , 63,63 |
| 64 | $B Y(1)=Y 1(2)$ |
|  | TV(I) $=T$ |
|  | $U X Y(T)=U \mathrm{UP}$ |
|  | $\operatorname{RYY}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{YY}$ |
|  | GO TO 116 |
| 63 | $\omega \times M=\omega \times 1$ |
|  | RYM $=$ Y 1 (2) |
|  | \& 0 T047 |

```
WXM=WXY(I)-((AYY(1)-1.) +(WXY(I) -WXY(I-1))/(AYY(I) - AVY(I-1)))
        TYM=(UYM-LY(!)/FREO
        RYN=RY(1)-((RY(1)\cdotsRY(I-1))*(TY(I)-TYM)/(TY(I)-TY(I-1)))
いつ="yм
```



```
            IF(KNN.FO.1)YN=O*COS(V7/WP1)/EXP(U7*GGM)
```



```
1-cIN(U7+S-S1)
    IF(KNN.EO.1)AZ=-GGM+COS(WT/UM)/EXP(W7*GOM)-1./WM*SJN
9 (17/UN)/FYF(47+GGM)
    Vn=Yn+1.
    Vn=RYM/(FRFQ*D*YU')
    VF=2.*A2-VG
    W\times1=6XM
    Yy=1.
    WKO= WXM
        OT=VF(10)
    GO TO 45
```

$A X=Y Y * Y W$
$X C A P=(2 . * A X-X M A X-X M I N) /(X M A X-X H I N)$
IFAII $=0$
CALL FO2AFF(8,AA, XCAD;PF;IFAIL)
$\| J=10-1$
$1 N K=15$
C:LLECAATF(NJ,AX,X,YF, RER,ZZZ,IMK,OT)
$P R=P R * 166 E 6.666$
$V R=D R / A R$
VRI $=-V R-Y 1(?)$
1F(VRT.IT.O.)VFI=-VFI
FR=VRT*PD/VV
IF(RQ.GT. 70000)GO TO 27
RCAP=(2.*FB-RMAX-RMIN)/(RMAX-RMIN)
CAIL GOTAEF(N,AAA1, RCAP,PF,IFAIL)
FFI(1)=PF
CAIL EOZAEF (N,AAAZ,RCAP,PF,IFAIL)
FSI( $)=P F$
CALL FOPAEF (N,AAAB,FCAP,PF,IFAIL)
$\cap F 1(3)=0 F$
CAIL FORAEF(N,AAAA,RCAP,PF,IFAIL)
PF: (4) = PF
CAIL EOZAEF(N,AAAS,RCAP,FF,IFAIL)
PFI(5) $=P F$
CAII EOPAFF(N,AAAK,FCAP,PF,IFAIL)
DFI $(G)=P F$
CAII ERZAFI (N, AAAT,RCAF, PF,IFAIL)
$P F I(7)=P F$
CAII FAZAFF(N,AAAR,RCAF,PF,IFAIL)
DFI(R) $=P$ F
CAII ENDAFF (N,AAAO,RCAF,FFIIFAIL)
PFI( 9$)=p$ :
$v 2=9-1$
$P=15$
$X A A=A X * 20.637$
CALL EOIAUF (NZ, XAA,XH,FFI,WWH,ODD,IG,VAL)
$F I=V A L *(V F\} * * 2) *(r) * * 2) * H$.
GOTO 16

27
WRITF (3,110)RR
119
FORMAT(1X, 'FR = ', E15.7)
CAl CRFNA

STOD

FND
REAL FUNCTION FQ(W)
QFAL $W$

COMMDN N, TM,YW, RM,FI,XI:AX,XHIN,D,WM,FREQ,S,S1,GM,XX,G1,BFI
$F O=G \% * * 2 . * V M * C \cap S(W / W N+S+S q) / E \times P(W * G M)$

$1-C \cap S(W / W N+S+S 1) /\left(W_{1} \uparrow+F \times P(W *(G M))\right.$
$-\Gamma \cap S(b+C \cdots S \uparrow)+G 1$
RETURM
END
PEAI. FINCTION FYO(W)
RFAL W
COMMON N, TM, YW, RM,FT, XNAX,XNIN, D, WM,FREQ, S, S1,GM,XX, G1, BFI


$1-r(1 S(d / G N+S+S 1) /(b N+E X P(L+G N))$

1. $-\cos (\omega+s-51)-61$

RFTURN
FND

FINYSH
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