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Abstract

Oil-based mud (OBM) cuttings are a waste generated during the process of oil well drilling. The drilled rocks are removed from deep within the drilled well and pumped to the surface. These ‘cuttings’ are a mixture of rocks, mud, water, and oil. Most drilling companies store this waste in open yards without specific treatment. The environmental regulations in Oman specify that cutting storage should involve isolation to prevent contamination of the surface and underground water. This has made OBM cutting waste an environmental problem with an associated cost for oil companies. OBM cuttings, being rich in calcium oxide, silicon oxide, and aluminum oxide, may be a suitable raw material in cement manufacture. Furthermore, the oil content may help to reduce fuel use during the calcination and clinkerisation process. In this research, OBM cutting waste was analysed and used as a constituent of raw meal in cement clinker production. Raw meal mixtures were prepared with increasing OBM content. The impact of adding OBM to the resultant clinker was investigated using analytical techniques such as XRF, XRD, SEM-EDX, and DSC-TGA, and burnability test.

OBM cuttings contain dolomite, which increases the rate of carbonate dissociation and, hence, contributes to lowering the calcination temperature. However, it also leads to a higher free lime content in the resultant clinker, which is a result of the presence of trace elements, such as barium. Clinker can be prepared by simply heating OBM cuttings at 1200 °C without any additives, with the resulting clinker containing belite and a very low free lime content and no alite. Clinker prepared using 12% and 55% OBM cuttings had very similar microstructures, chemical composition and properties to clinker prepared from the limestone normally used in cement production. However, the addition of OBM cutting to the raw meal led to acceptable higher free lime content in the resultant clinker. There are many reasons for this, including the role of trace elements, especially barium, in destabilizing alite, as demonstrated in this study.

The hydration behaviour of the prepared cement was studied by many techniques such as ICC, STA and mechanical properties. XRD plus SEM-EDX analysis of polished cross-sections enabled study of the major hydrate phases. SEM and optical microscopy of the clinker was undertaken to understand if there were any significant changes to the main phases which may influence the cement hydration behaviour. The degree of hydration was obtained and the main hydrated products such as C-S-H and CH were identified. Hydration behaviour was normal with no significant changes observed and no significant differences between the reference sample and industrial cement. Thus, OBM cuttings could be used in the manufacture of Portland cement clinker, providing a cost-effective, environmentally-friendly way to manage OBM cuttings derived from the oil drilling sector.
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Chapter 1

Introduction
Chapter 1: Introduction

Worldwide cement production was 4.1 billion tonnes in 2017 rising from 1.5 billion tonnes 20 years earlier\(^1,2\). The vast majority of this cement is produced in Asia, specifically China followed by India, with this region accounting for over 80% of global production. Furthermore, growth is predicted rise by at least 4% in 2019\(^3\).

Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries consist of six countries namely: the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, State of Kuwait, Kingdom of Bahrain, State of Qatar, United Arab Emirates, and Sultanate of Oman. In the GCC region, the cement sector has grown sharply as a reflection of increasing construction activity in the region. The value of current and planned construction projects in the GCC region is approximately 2.41 Trillion USD\(^4,5\).

The Sultanate of Oman, which has a population of approximately 4 million people\(^6\), announced a 116 billion USD construction project in 2013\(^4\). The sustained economic activities within the GCC, including considerable infrastructure investment are an indication of the size of the cement needed in the GCC region and specifically, in Oman.

Therefore, there is a need to increase cement production capacity, but, it needs to be done within the context of increasing environmental awareness. The cement industry contributes about 7% to global GHG emissions\(^7,8,9\). Furthermore, producing one tonne of cement requires the consumption of approximately 1.6 tonnes of raw materials. Thus, there is a need to increase the use of waste materials and industrial by-products.

There has been much research into how the cement industry can utilise such materials and much of the research is implemented successfully in the cement industry. However, the recycling concept is still not widely implemented in the GCC region. Most cement plants in the region are operated with virgin raw materials and fuel. Only a few of the region’s cement plants use alternative fuels because fuels such as natural gas, diesel, and petcoke are cheap and widely subsidised by the government. However, when the price of crude oil fell in 2013, some governments, such as that of Oman, began raising the price of natural gas. For example, the price of 1 mmBtu of natural gas was fixed at 1.5 USD from 1985 to 2014 and then doubled to 3 USD in 2017\(^10,11\), which led to a reappraisal of energy and raw material use in the GCC’s cement industry.
Several types of industrial waste are not yet utilised, for example, Oil-Based Mud (OBM) cuttings\textsuperscript{12–19}. OBM cuttings are produced during the oil well drilling process and contain oil, heavy metals, organic matter and soils. Drilling a single well can produce thousands of cubic metres of OBM cuttings\textsuperscript{20}. OBM is classified as a hazardous material in Oman\textsuperscript{21}, with special storage specifications enforced by the environmental authority\textsuperscript{7}.

OBM, also known as drilling fluid mud\textsuperscript{12,13,22}, is defined as the carrier of rock cuttings from the ground during the drilling process and comes in many forms. The fluid mud’s main role is lifting the cuttings to the surface during the drilling process, allowing the drilling operation to go deeper into the earth \textsuperscript{23–25}. Once the cuttings are collected at the surface, this mixture of drilling fluid and earth cuttings undergoes a segregation process to remove the cuttings so the fluid can be reused in the drilling process. This segregation step is repeated until the fluid can no longer be treated and is discarded. The discarded fluid is known as fluid-based mud cuttings, the composition of which depends on the type of fluid used. The type of selected fluid depends on the geological formation of the underground rocks. In many cases, water-based Mud\textsuperscript{26} is used with the addition of oil to enhance the properties of the drilling fluid and optimise the drilling process. This fluid is known as oil-based fluid or oil-based mud. The mud discarded from this process is known as OBM cuttings and is collected in mud waste pits. The OBM cuttings are contaminated with oil, which makes them a potentially hazardous waste that should not be released into the environment.

OBM cuttings have several characteristics that could be utilised in the cement industry\textsuperscript{27}. The cuttings all contain calcium, silica, and alumina, which are essential in cement manufacturing. Also, the oil content gives the cuttings a calorific value and could help reduce fuel demand. From the perspective of the oil industry, using cuttings in cement manufacturing will provide an environmentally friendly waste management solution for this potentially hazardous waste. This is a welcome solution given the estimated 115,000 tonnes of OBM cuttings stored across Oman \textsuperscript{28}. The reported production rate and disposal of OBM cuttings are in the range of 300-500 tonnes per day and are expected to grow in coming years\textsuperscript{29}.

The objective of this study is to establish the effect of using OBM cuttings as raw material in cement manufacture, examining the impact on clinker and subsequent cement performance. The study provides knowledge of the primary factors that may
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disturb or enhance the operation and performance of burning during the course of clinker preparation. Moreover, this study provides momentum for the oil drilling and cement manufacturing sectors to seize a recycling opportunity and maximise the utilisation of resources and by-products, such as OBM cuttings.

The scale of a cement plant means that this study has focussed on laboratory-scale production rather than on-site studies at a cement plant scale i.e. typically 60–150 tonnes per hour. A laboratory investigation allowed for clinker preparation using different mix ratios. The obtained clinker was tested via XRD, XRF, SEM-EDX, and free lime determination, as well as ground with gypsum using a tube ball mill to produce cement. The cement was tested according to appropriate standards (i.e., mechanical, physical, and chemical testing).
Chapter 2
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2.1 Industrial Hazardous waste in Oman

The annual rates of hazardous waste generation in the Sultanate of Oman, including industrial waste, healthcare waste, lubricant waste oil, lead acids batteries, Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE), and mineral waste, for 2012–2020 are presented in Figure 1. Different industrial waste materials are generated in Oman, however, although Oman has many environmental regulations, recycling of industrial wastes is not practiced in economic scale\(^{30}\).

The forecast reveals that mineral waste generation is expected to increase sharply to more than 200,000 tonnes per year due to the high expectation of planned oil and gas exploration projects by Oman Government in the coming years\(^{31}\). Oman's economy is highly dependent on the oil and gas sector which comprises about 88% of the country GPA. The second large sector is the industrial sector, at 11% of the GPA\(^{32}\). Thus, as a result of the industrial activities, more waste generated.

The pie chart reveals that the four major waste types comprise 86% of Oman’s total hazardous industrial waste generation. Each year, 115,000 tonnes of ‘mineral waste’ (33%), 98,000 tonnes of ‘oil-waste–liquid and solid’ (28%) 45,000 tonnes of ‘waste electrical and electronic equipment’ (WEEE) (13%), and 43,000 tonnes of ‘fly ash dust from miscellaneous filter sources’ (12%) are produced. Industrial mineral waste is the major waste source in Oman, with approximately 117,000 tonnes per year produced by petroleum companies, of which the major content is OBM cutting waste, consisting of drilling cuttings, natural sands, and clay contaminated with oil products. It is produced as a result of oil well drilling. Currently, OBM cuttings are stored at a site with a special storage arrangement without any further treatment or recycling.
Figure 1 Industrial hazardous waste generation in Oman.

Pie chart (top) showing total annual industrial hazardous waste generation in Oman, in tonnes per year. Graph (bottom) showing OBM cuttings produced from 2012 to 2017 and the estimated amount of OBM cuttings that will be produced from 2018 to 2020 in Oman.
2.2 OBM waste generated from Oil Drilling Process

Various types of drilling fluid muds are produced by petroleum and oil drilling companies, and they are used as a carrier of rock cuttings from the ground during the drilling process. The main role of this fluid mud, as summarised in Figure 2, is lifting cuttings to the surface for disposal during the drilling process, which allows the drilling operation to go deeper. Once the cuttings are collected at the surface, this mixture of drilling fluid and earth cuttings undergoes a segregation process to remove the cuttings and allow the fluid to be reused in the drilling process. This segregation step is repeated until the fluid can no more be treated and can be discarded. At this stage, the fluid is known as fluid-based mud cuttings, the composition of which depends on the type. Several types of drilling fluid are used, and in some types, the mixture is used along with clay water, seawater or brine. In this case, it is known as water-based mud (WBM) or water-fluid mud (WFM). The type of fluid depends on the geological formation of the underground rocks. In many cases, WBM is used with the addition of oil to enhance the properties of the drilling fluid and optimise the drilling process. This fluid is known as oil-based fluid or oil-based mud. The disposed mud from this process is known as OBM cuttings, which are collected in a mud waste pit as depicted in Figure 3. OBM cuttings are contaminated with oil, making them a hazardous waste that should not be released into the environment without treatment and purification.\textsuperscript{33–36}

- Act as a carrier of cuttings from the hole that permits their separation at the surface.
- Maintain the stability of the well bore.
- Is non-damaging to the producing formation.
- Is cool and cleans the bit.
- Prevents the inflow of fluids from the well bore.
- Be non-hazardous to the environment and personal.
- Reduce friction between the drill pipe and well bore or casing.
- Form a thin, low-permeable filter cake.

\textbf{Figure 2} The major functions of OBM during the oil-drilling process.\textsuperscript{37}
Breuer et al.\textsuperscript{38} reviewed the potential accumulation of OBM cuttings produced in the Northern and Central North Sea as a result of drilling operations in this area. The review emphasises that hydrocarbon concentrations in the drilling cuttings remain relatively unchanged over time, and the oil content of the cuttings is about 1%. Caen et al.\textsuperscript{12} describe the replacement of OBM, including synthetic-based fluids and water-based muds. Approximately 5–10% of the wells drilled worldwide use oil muds. However, new drilling fluids have been formulated to replace OBM, including polyalphaolefines, glycols, glycerines, and glucosides. These fluids have the characterisation of oil-based mud, but less handling is required when compared to water-based mud due to their biodegradability. Nevertheless, these fluids have some
limitations depending on the well’s conditions and type of formation faced during the drilling process.

Al-Ansary et al.\textsuperscript{12} conducted an experiment involving the pre-treatment of drilling cuttings using a stabilisation/solidification method before the discarded cuttings were sent to landfill or re-used in construction projects; the 92/2 and 2000/3 Oslo and Paris Commission Decisions prohibit drill cuttings containing more than 1% oil from being discharged at sea. Samples of drilling cuttings were collected from the North Sea, where an estimated 50,000–80,000 tonnes of drilling cuttings are produced annually. The researchers prepared several samples of drill cuttings mixed with binders, including Portland cement, lime and blast-furnace slag, microsilica, and magnesium oxide cement, to remove the oil content and reduce leachability. The leachability results showed a decrease in release of the synthetic drill cuttings to produce a stable, inert hazardous waste which was found to meet the United Kingdom’s specifications for non-hazardous landfills. Furthermore, the 30% blast-furnace slag with Portland cement binder successfully reduced the leached oil concentration.

Khanpour, et al.\textsuperscript{39} studied an extraction method using supercritical CO\textsubscript{2} to remove the oil from OBM. It was found that the best conditions for removing the oil were at a temperature of 333 K, pressure of 180 bars, flow rate lower than 0.1 cm\textsuperscript{3}/s, and static time of 110 min. SEM and XRD testing confirmed the successful removal of contaminants from the drilling mud without significant crystalline modification.

Eldridge, R.B.\textsuperscript{33} conducted pilot-plant experiments and separated oil from OBM using HFC 134a, which is the commercial name for 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane, and propane for supercritical solvent extraction. The objective of the trial was to reuse the contaminated OBM in the drilling operation and provide an economical, environmentally friendly recycling solution. The results reveal that the technique is technically sound and economically viable.

Hou et al.\textsuperscript{40} and Jiang et al.\textsuperscript{41} successfully used a separation technique to recover oil from OBM cuttings using an oil recovery agent consisting of a demulsifier compound (15% of the demulsifier AE136 + 15% of the demulsifier AP113) (30%), a coagulant, such as CaCl\textsubscript{2} or AlCl\textsubscript{3} (1.5%), and the flocculant PAM at a concentration of 0.1% (2%). The rate of recovery was found to be greater than 90%, producing recovered oil
that met China’s diesel fuel quality standard specifications\textsuperscript{42} (i.e., GB252-2000 and GB/T 19147-2003).

Amani et al.\textsuperscript{43} conducted a comparative study of OBM and WBM produced by drilling oil wells using high pressure and high-temperature conditions. OBM and WBM both have several characteristics that meet the requirements for high pressure and high temperature well drilling. This establishes engineering guidelines that could be used to decide the most suitable mud for a drilling operation. High temperature and high-pressure conditions arise when the drilling depth is greater than 4,000 metres, where the temperature is about 150 °C and the pressure is 69 MPa. These conditions can impact the rheological properties of the mud. Amani et al. suggest using OBM for HPHT drilling conditions with temperatures up to 205 °C based on the laboratory experiment conducted.

Young et al.\textsuperscript{36} used the rotary retort distillation technique for the removal of oil from oil-based mud (OBM) to facilitate onsite disposal of the waste in offshore oil rigs after reducing the oil in the cuttings to environmentally acceptable and safe limits. The treatment process was established to process 15 t/h of oil-based cuttings. The resultant products were tested for toxicity and metal leachates. The energy consumption for an offshore rotary retort distillation unit was estimated; moreover, the reliability, minimum environmental impact, wear rate, and safety of the operations were analysed. To determine the required specifications for improved operation, a bed temperature of 427 °C using natural gas fuel was calculated based on the plot of the weight ratio of the solids (in percentage) versus the bed temperature of the cuttings. A two-stage vapour-recovery system was used to recover the evaporated hydrocarbons by means of purging with nitrogen sweep gas to ensure oxygen-free atmosphere. Heavier oil and particulates were collected in the first condenser, while light oils and water were collected during the second stage. The treated solid waste was disposed of directly to the ocean floor after ensuring that it met the environmental requirements. The author concluded that this rotary retort distillation process provided a mature and highly dependable method with lower energy use for the treatment of OBM waste\textsuperscript{36}.

Abbe et al.\textsuperscript{44} used a vitrification and sintering/crystallisation process to convert dried drill cuttings into amorphous glass. A mixture of dried drill cuttings, sodium, and calcium oxide in a weight ratio of 8:1:1 was blended and thermally treated at
approximately 1300 °C for 5 h, and subsequently cooled to obtain an intermediate amorphous solid. This amorphous solids were further treated thermally at approximately 750 to 800°C to produce glass-ceramic. The drill cutting waste used contained 60% to 80% solid rocks, 8% organic matter, 6% minerals, and clay with used drilling liquids. This vitrification process immobilised the waste owing to the melting process favouring the entrainment of potentially hazardous components, thereby preventing these from leaching out. The authors tested the properties of the produced glass-ceramic for its potential use by studying the hardness, fracture strength, fracture toughness, and stability towards leaching. It was concluded that the glass-ceramic had almost zero porosity, with attractive mechanical properties for possible applications as building material.

Oreshkin et al. investigated the disposal of drilling waste sludge to produce building materials. The mineral composition of the studied drilling sludge mainly consisted of quartz and minor quantities of carbonates including calcite, aragonite, dolomite, and aragonite. The average particle size was in the range of 20 to 30 mm and constituted 50% to 60% of the total weight. The introduction of sorbents and cement along with drilling sludge rendered harmless building material that could be used in the production of brick and small building products. The cement, sorbent, and drilling sludge mixed with water could support the system with a high pH (up to 12). Furthermore, the heavy metal ions from the drilling sludge passed into insoluble compounds, leading to the binding and neutralisation of environmentally toxic heavy metals following subsequent curing. This disposal technique enables ecological improvement and can aid in the restoration of the natural environment.

Hou et al. used coagulants and flocculants for oil recovery to enable useful recycling and the safe disposal of oil-based drilling fluids containing oil, heavy metals, and organic pollutants. A recovery rate of over 90% was achieved, and the quality of the recycled oil met the requirement of –10# diesel. In the experiment, the authors used compound demulsifier mixtures that were mainly composed of polyoxyethylene polyoxypropylene ethyleredi-amines (AE) and polyoxypropylene polyoxyethylene polyoxypropylene- five ethylenes six amines (AP) demulsifiers, along with industrial coagulants and flocculants. The composition of the oil-recovering agent formula recommended by the author was 30% demulsifier compound, 1.5% coagulant, and 2% flocculant. The residual sludge was used in the construction of a well-site.
cofferdam and the roads of the well site. The concentrations of the oil, chemical oxygen demand (COD), and heavy metals were tested in the processed mud and confirmed to be within the accepted range. The water used for the treatment process was tested after the operation and was found to meet the requirements of general emission levels for sewage.

Nahmad et al. used a combination of chemical, physical, and biological processes to treat non-aqueous fluids such as OBM and synthetic-based muds. Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) of up to 22% were used for the testing, in which the TPH was reduced to less than 1% as per the country regulations for disposal. The team named the process Free-RAD© and demonstrated it as an economically superior process for dealing with wastes. By using highly reactive free radicals, the complex hydrocarbons and organic compounds could be decomposed into lighter molecules such as CO₂ and H₂O. The steps involved in the process comprised hydrocarbon decomposition into CO₂ and H₂O by introducing free radicals, followed by the addition of UV-receptive minerals to promote rapid photodecomposition, and finally, the addition of organic compost to promote bioaugmentation. The authors concluded that the final threshold period for this decontamination process was 22 weeks, and conducted final tests as a remediation process to be used for bearing crops.

Gogan et al. studied the properties of carbonate OBM cuttings (COBMC) as activated mineral powder when used as an asphaltic concrete mix for road construction following thermal treatment at 340 °C (Gogan et al., 2014). The physicochemical properties such as the water content, hydrocarbons, ash, pH, density, flash point, and COD were determined for the thermally treated COBMC, and based on the results, their suitability for the project was confirmed. According to the specified density and strength of the asphaltic concrete mix, the mineral powder COBMC was mixed with bitumen in varying proportions, and the team confirmed 7 wt.% of COBMC as optimal for use. Using the mix, a motor road was laid with a layer of 5 cm thick and 6 m wide by means of conventional methods. After one month of regular inspection, the team recommend the use of COBMC as a mineral component for road construction, which may provide a solution to critical environmental problems.

A case report by Helmy and Kardena discussed significant problems faced by Indonesian oil and gas industries regarding environmental management systems.
towards effective and responsible waste handling, disposal, and the minimisation of waste generation to reduce potential harm to health and environmental problems. Among the generated wastes, crude oil-contaminated soil, bottom sludge, abandoned sludge pits, burial oil sludge, and produced water were considered as the most abundant by these authors. Bioremediation and co-processing techniques applied for treating oil sludge and produced water included the common practice of gravity-based separation and discharge into water bodies. The oil sludge types were characterised as oil sludge and oil-contaminated soil, with the standard practice for treatment identified as landfarming bioremediation. Laboratory-level data were studied for the oily sludge with oil contents up to 320 g TPH/kg soil using different treatment steps such as soil washing, biodegradation, and biosurfactant treatments. A significant TPH reduction was achieved, with the highest removal efficiency reaching 85%. The authors concluded that the lack of established waste management facilities restricts proper waste disposal, which can be addressed by constructing the necessary facilities. Although this approach is expensive for oil and gas industries in the short term, it can minimise long-term liabilities.

Mostavi et al. investigated the use of drill cuttings as a partial replacement for cement in concrete structures. The study considered this approach to be not only cost-effective, but also as offering the potential to reduce environmental impacts caused by waste. Laboratory-level studies were carried out by the team based on the compressive strengths of concrete samples and the chemical compositions of the drill cuttings used. The results indicated that the replacement of 5% of cement with drill cuttings reduced the concrete compressive strength by 10%. A further increase in the drill cuttings in the cement concrete by 10%, 15%, and 20% resulted in a strength reduction of 20%. Moreover, the effects of additives such as fly ash and silica fume in this cement mix were studied, and it was concluded that these materials had a significant influence on the compressive strength. The drill cutting particle size distribution was studied, and it was found that the maximum grain size was less than 6 mm, while the coefficients of uniformity and curvature were 8.63 and 1.22, respectively, which classified the particles as fine aggregates in accordance with ASTM C330 for cement concrete mixes. The test specimens used in the study were obtained from five separate batches of concrete, including one control sample and four different combinations containing drill cuttings, fly ash, silica fume, and a mixture of...
silica fume and fly ash. Crushed limestone passed at 100% in No. 3/8-inch sieves was used as the coarse aggregate for the study. The authors concluded the optimal mix proportion was 20% drill cuttings with 7.5% silica fume and fly ash, which could increase the compressive strength by 40%.49

Shon et al.50 used modified drilling waste material (MDWM) with cement in the laboratory as the base-course material in roadway construction. The production of the MDWM from drilling waste mud (DWM) involved various steps. In the first step, water was separated from the drilling mud, following which centrifuges were used for the additional removal of oil contaminants. The second step involved the stabilisation and solidification processes using cement as a binder, which reduced the free movement and minimised the mobility of the pollutants in the waste. The preferred aggregate-to-dried DWM ratio for producing the MDWM was 3:1, with 12% of cement kiln dust added to the mixture. The laboratory-level investigation, in which the MDWM mixture was treated with 3% cement, demonstrated that the material satisfied the requirements for Class M base, with 7-day compressive strength and a minimum of 1225 kPa50.

Drilling waste from oil companies that contains toxic polyaromatic hydrocarbons and that was treated using the thermal desorption technique was studied by Piazza et al. (2017)51. The drill cuttings treatment using this technique was investigated and recommended as the most effective, economical, and environmentally friendly. The indirect thermal desorption treatment was not only found to be safer, minimising the pollution compared to direct heating, but also allowed for recovery without destroying hydrocarbons owing to controlled heating, and the recovered oil was reused for producing fresh OBM. The recovery of hydrocarbons and recycling could reduce the stress on the environment and avoidable economic loss. Approximately 20,000 bbl of oil was recovered using the process, and the endeavour was selected for the Six Sigma Green Belt project51.

Benlamoudi and Abdul Kadir 52 studied the role of petroleum sludge (PS) as a setting retarder in cement, replacing conventional gypsum. The CaO and SO$_3$ percentages of the PS used in the study were 25.05% and 38.41%, respectively, which aided in delaying the flash setting of the cement. Four different samples were prepared by the team with PS percentages of 0%, 1%, 3.5% and 5%, along with gypsum and cement clinker. Prior to the trial study, the PS collected from the oil drilling field was burned in an industrial kiln to eliminate the organic hydrocarbons and then grinded to pass
through a 1 mm diameter sieve. As per the guidelines for using inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy for the leaching behaviour of heavy metals from PS, the results did not exceed the regulatory limits for cement, except for the lead, which exhibited 149.02 ppm for the maximum percentage of cement produced against the statutory limit of 75 ppm. The study concluded that the addition of 5% PS to cement could achieve effective results, and can be used as a replacement for gypsum in cement grinding.

Shon and Estakhri used MDWMs and seashells as a base material for road construction, and compared the performance with conventional gravel base-course material. Experiments were carried out on field-cored samples using non-destructive tests including ground penetration radar, falling weight deflectometry, dynamic cone penetration, and rusting tests to evaluate the performance. For the cored samples, further properties such as the moisture content, dry and wet density, stiffness and seismic modulus, unconfined compressive strength, and modulus of rupture were evaluated. Using the MDWM waste materials, 91.44 m of roadway was paved for the study, and based on the field performance, the author recommended the materials for use as embankments, subbase materials, patching materials, base materials for low-volume roadways, shoulders, and bases for maintenance activities.

In their research, Ayati et al. investigated the technical feasibility of waste drilling oil cuttings into lightweight aggregate (LWA) for construction work. Pre-treated drill cuttings were pelletised with the addition of 25 ± 2 wt.% water and formed into spherical pellets of 7 and 14 mm in size. Owing to the high concentration of chloride, the author recommended washing the pre-treated oil drillings prior to the heat treatment process to reduce the leaching effects. The green pellets were then subjected to thermal treatment at temperatures between 1160 and 1190 °C in a muffle furnace at a rate of 10 °C/min. The produced LWAs were tested for physical properties including their water absorption capacity and compressive strength, and a mineralogical study was conducted using optical microscopy and X-ray diffractometry. The results were compared with the properties of existing commercial products, demonstrating a particle density of 1.29 g/cm³, water absorption of 3.6%, and compressive strength of 4.4 MPa. The mineralogical study of the LWA confirmed that the main composition following thermal treatment was CaMgSi₂O₆. The authors
concluded that an industrial-scale study would be required for commercial production\textsuperscript{54}.

2.3 Shifting from WBM to OBM in Oman

In Oman, oil production declined gradually from 970,000 barrels per day in 2000 to 710,000 barrels per day in 2007\textsuperscript{55,56} due to many technical issues encountered during the well drilling process. The vertical depth of the well was about 4,500–4,800 metres, where tight sandstone and dolomitic limestone with thick mudstone were encountered, which caused the bore hole to become unstable\textsuperscript{57–60}. Furthermore, drilling these complex formations takes longer, slowing the production rate. After intensive studies, the drilling companies implemented several measures to improve the situation, including switching from WBM to OBM because this type of geological formation and drilling conditions require a higher mud weight to maintain the overbalanced drilling\textsuperscript{59–61}. As a result, the drilling time was reduced by 25 days, which saved about 1.25 million USD per well\textsuperscript{57}.
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**Figure 4** Crude oil production in Oman from 1980 to 2018  
(*USEIA and BP\textsuperscript{55,56}*)

2.4 Waste as raw materials for clinker production

Bernardo et al.\textsuperscript{62} tested the burnability of kiln feed using OBM cuttings as additives, partially replacing limestone and clay in the kiln feed. The test was conducted at the
plant scale by preparing two types of kiln feed mixtures and making comparisons to clinker produced without OBM cuttings. The kiln was run for 10 days for each mixture. The researchers successfully achieved a replacement of 30% of limestone (mixture 1) and 44% of clay (mixture 2). The burnability indices (BI*) were 10.7 (mixture 1), 44.2 (mixture 2), and 19.8 (the reference mixture). According to Bernardo and et al., BI values lower than 60 indicate very satisfactory burning behaviour. The phase composition of the prepared clinker, calculated according to the Bogue formulae, was found to be within the range expected for conventional clinker phase composition. In addition, the mechanical, physical, and chemical parameters of Portland cement were also found within the specified range in the European standard for cement: EN197-1:2011. The Bogue formulae calculate approximate clinker contents based on chemical analysis. Other methods, such as X-ray diffraction, provide more precise results. Nevertheless, Bogue formulae afford fast, easy estimates which may be used in the preparation of the mix in clinker preparation. Most cement plants depend highly on this calculation.

The testing of cement properties (mechanical, physical and chemical) are necessary to ascertain cement quality, with testing in accordance with standards such as ASTM and BS/EN, as presented in Chapter 7 Table 15. During the trial test, the pollutant concentration in the exhaust gas for waste-based clinkers was measured and compared to the calculated limiting value according to the formula stated in Table 1.
Table 1: Pollutant concentration in exhaust gas* from waste-based clinker62 in mg/Nm³.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Measured value</th>
<th>Limiting value</th>
<th>Mixture 1</th>
<th>Measured value</th>
<th>Limiting value</th>
<th>Mixture 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>27.46</td>
<td>21.40</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>87.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>79.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>98.06</td>
<td>88.00</td>
<td>98.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd+Tl</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb+As+Pb+Cr+Co+</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu+Mn+Ni+V+Sn</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pollutant Concentration According to 62

\[ C = \frac{A_{\text{waste}}C_{\text{waste}} + A_{\text{process}}C_{\text{process}}}{A_{\text{waste}} + A_{\text{process}}} \]

\[ A_{\text{waste}} : \text{% mass flow rate of the waste} \]
\[ C_{\text{waste}} : \text{Pollutant maximum allowable concentration in the exhaust gas when waste alone is used (}A_{\text{waste}}=100%; A_{\text{process}}=0%)\]
\[ A_{\text{process}} : \text{% mass flow rate of the reference} \]
\[ C_{\text{process}} : \text{Pollutant concentration in the exhaust gas measured when no waste is used (}A_{\text{waste}}=0%; A_{\text{process}}\text{=100%}) \]

*BI: the burnability Index, \( BI = \frac{A+B+2C+3D}{\sqrt{A-B}} \), where A,B,C, & D are the free lime content in % wt/wt. in raw meal burnt at 1350, 1400, 1450 & 1500 °C respectively. A low BI value indicate good burnability62

†The fuel used in the cement plant of the study is natural gas only.

Abdul-Waha et al.7 studied the impact of adding OBM on CO₂ emissions in a cement plant using only natural gas as fuel. The main objective of the study was to determine the impact of replacing limestone used in cement manufacturing on carbon dioxide gases emissions. The study was completed in three parts. First, the amount of CO₂ emitted from the operation of a known cement plant in Oman was calculated using data collected from the plant in 2013, including calcination, fossil fuel combustion, power generation, and emissions from activities related to limestone transportation by heavy vehicles. Next, limestone was replaced with OBM at different percentages (0–5%), and the resultant CO₂ was calculated for each OBM percentage. Finally, the CO₂ emissions were forecast for each kiln in the plant and CO₂ dispersion to the surroundings were projected using an advanced integrated modeling system comprised of the California PUFF-Weather Research and Forecasting model (WRF/CALPUFF)*63–65.

*WRF/CALPUFF is a type of simulator software designed and produced by Exponent® and has the ability to predict and forecast the air concentrations of various pollutants emitted during the operation of an industry based on specific input parameters.
Table 2 Total CO₂ emissions from plant sources after the addition of OBM\textsuperscript{7}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OBM %</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>1%</th>
<th>2%</th>
<th>3%</th>
<th>4%</th>
<th>5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CO₂ emissions per tonne cement (Kg/ tonne)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcination</td>
<td>442.84</td>
<td>437.47</td>
<td>432.11</td>
<td>426.74</td>
<td>421.38</td>
<td>416.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power plant</td>
<td>47.29</td>
<td>47.29</td>
<td>47.29</td>
<td>47.29</td>
<td>47.29</td>
<td>47.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicular</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total*</td>
<td>673.67</td>
<td>668.30</td>
<td>662.94</td>
<td>657.57</td>
<td>652.21</td>
<td>646.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*The CO₂ emissions are lower than in many reported studies because the cement plant uses only natural gas as fuel.

CO₂ emissions before and after the OBM cutting addition are shown in Table 2 and Table 3. The total amount of CO₂ emitted was 673.67 Kg CO₂/tonne of produced cement. The major source of CO₂ emissions (442.84 Kg CO₂ /tonne cement, 65.74% of other sources) was the calcination step of the raw meal which consists of approximately 82.54% limestone. The CO₂ emitted due to calcination decreased as the OBM percentage increased (Table 2).

The lowest CO₂ emissions were at 5% OBM with 416.01 Kg CO₂ per tonne cement. The result obtained from the modelled CO₂ concentrations in the calcination step using the WRF/CALPUFF simulator showed a decrease in CO₂ emissions as a result of adding OBM cuttings (Figure 5). They concluded that due to high CO₂ emissions from the cement manufacturing process, mainly from the calcination reaction, adding OBM to raw materials in the cement plant could be a viable way to safely dispose of OBM cuttings without reducing cement quality\textsuperscript{7}.

Table 3 Calculation of CO₂ emissions from cement-plant sources before and after the addition of OBM\textsuperscript{7}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OBM cutting</th>
<th>Limestone consumption (T per year)</th>
<th>Clinker production (T per year)</th>
<th>CO₂ from raw meal (T per year)</th>
<th>CO₂ per tonne of clinker (Kg per T)</th>
<th>CO₂ per tonne of cement (Kg per T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2,542,301\textsuperscript{i}</td>
<td>1,875,901</td>
<td>889,805</td>
<td>474.33</td>
<td>442.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2,511,502</td>
<td>1,875,901</td>
<td>879,026</td>
<td>468.59</td>
<td>437.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2,480,702</td>
<td>1,875,901</td>
<td>868,246</td>
<td>462.84</td>
<td>432.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2,449,903</td>
<td>1,875,901</td>
<td>857,466</td>
<td>457.10</td>
<td>426.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2,419,103</td>
<td>1,875,901</td>
<td>846,686</td>
<td>451.35</td>
<td>421.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2,388,304</td>
<td>1,875,901</td>
<td>835,906</td>
<td>445.60</td>
<td>416.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{i}Loss on ignition at 950 °C is 35%.

\textsuperscript{ii}This figure is the actual limestone consumption during 2013 collected from Oman Cement Company.
Figure 5 CO$_2$ emission concentrations calculated by atmospheric modelling\textsuperscript{7}.

*(Using the WRF/CALPUFF modelling system)*
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3.1 The impact of OBM cutting generated in Oman

Currently, the options for treating OBM cutting waste are very limited, and it is often simply stored in a lined pit, awaiting further treatment. Because OBM cuttings are classified as a hazardous waste, the Government of Oman is keen to find a suitable waste management solution. Fortunately, the mineralogical composition of OBM cutting waste and the presence of organic residues from the crude oil make it potentially useful in cement clinker production. In fact, the challenges of cement manufacturing in Oman is the raw materials’ chemistry, specifically, the availability of raw materials rich in silica. The limestone is high-grade, which means its silica content is very low. However, raw meal must be approximately 14% silica, and effective raw meal preparation in the cement factory requires silica additives. The most common silica-containing raw material used in most cement plants is sand. Quartz is another option, widely used in many countries, including Oman. However, the quartz (quartzophillite rock) reserves in Oman are very limited and have very high free silica content, and making it reactive requires increased energy consumption. It may also lead to operational problems during pyroprocessing due to the higher concentrations of alkalis and chloride compared to other raw materials.

When OBM cuttings, are analysed, they are found to contain significant quantities of calcium oxide (CaO) and silicon dioxide (SiO₂). They are also saturated with oil and residual crude oil. The presence of these inorganic constituents makes drilling waste a suitable material for cement manufacturing as they are also the basic oxides required in the cement industry, where the cement clinker is made from raw materials of limestone (CaCO₃) that is rich in calcium oxide (CaO). Hence, theoretically, OBM cutting waste can be used as an alternative to lime-based materials for clinker production.

3.1.1 Economic impact

As predicted by Be‘ah Oman⁶⁶, it is projected that oil and gas exploration in Oman will increase sharply in the coming years, at least until 2030⁶⁷,⁶⁸. This will cause more OBM to be produced, which will lead to more OBM cutting generation. Handling OBM cutting is very expensive, requiring special isolated pits. The cost of one pit is about
260,000 USD for the storage of about 10,000 tonnes of OBM cuttings\textsuperscript{29}. Treating of OBM cutting to remove the contaminated oil is also very expensive. The cost of this treatment is in the range of 150–200 USD per tonne, which is too expensive compared to disposal in cement manufacture, which only costs 8 USD per tonne\textsuperscript{10}. To date, there are no disposal facilities or treatment plants for hazardous materials in Oman.

### 3.1.2 Environmental impact

The emission of greenhouse gases (GHG) is one of the major serious environmental pressures on industry to improve operational processes. In Oman, the major source of GHG is from the oil and natural gases activities, mainly the result of energy extraction, oil drilling, and electricity generation\textsuperscript{69,70}.

The fast growth of oil and gas activities, rapid industrial growth, and construction development in Oman have contributed significantly to increased GHG emissions as shown in Figures 6 and 7. Estimated GHG emissions due to natural gas consumption or related activities are in the range of 50–400 million m\textsuperscript{3}. However, because of a rapid increase in activity involving natural gas, emissions have also increased, beginning in 1989 and increasing progressively until 2018. Correspondingly, GHG emissions associated with oil production and consumption have increased annually as can be seen in Figure 6. Consequently, the increase in GHG emissions has impacted daily life in Oman. Furthermore, climate change, which is causing more severe heat waves, and poorer air quality due to population density are hazardous to the population’s general health \textsuperscript{70}.

![Figure 6 CO\textsubscript{2} emissions from energy consumption in Oman\textsuperscript{55,56}](image)
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3.2 Possible solutions for disposal of OBM cutting in cement industries

The disposal of such a large quantity of this solid drilling waste is expensive as it requires a lot of land and causes a number of serious environmental problems. The waste can easily contaminate soil and groundwater when the hydrocarbons and other chemicals leach into the earth. One promising strategy to reduce the threat of hazardous waste and diminish its accumulation in disposal sites is utilising it in other industries. From the viewpoint of recycling, this can be considered a sustainable approach to waste management and may reduce the amount sent to final disposal.

The experimental findings of this project are anticipated to play a primary role in recycling drilling waste and eliminate the cost of its disposal and, thus, avoid the contamination of soil and groundwater in Oman. Therefore, specific attention is paid to the use of OBM cutting waste in cement manufacturing as additional raw materials. Furthermore, this will result in reducing the consumption of a considerable amount of natural resources, such as limestone and natural mineral additives, in Oman. It will be a change towards diverting drilling waste from landfills and nil waste disposals, as well as a chance to develop cement manufacturing processes that are more sustainable and less damaging to the environment.
Different types of waste materials can replace primary raw materials in the cement industry. This will contribute to economic goals in reducing cost, reducing environmental impact and saving natural resources. The economic aspect is reflected in reducing the need for additional construction of costly new engineering storage areas that have high environmental regulation standards. Other costs are also reduced, such as handling, transportation and environmental monitoring. The accumulation of OBM cuttings with increasing oil exploration and production will lead to increased requirements for constructing new storage facilities. In general, industrial waste may be accepted as fuel; additional raw materials must add value to the cement manufacturing by generating caloric value as fuel to replace expensive energy or as feedstock to replace the raw materials in the cement industry.

### 3.3 Use of OBM cuttings as raw materials in clinker manufacturing

Utilising this drilling waste as a partial substitution for limestone in cement manufacturing will reduce the consumption of limestone considerably, thus reducing abiotic depletion. Due to the chemical composition of OBM cutting waste, it could, theoretically, be used to replace limestone (Table 4) and supplement some of the principal elements in the process of clinker phase formation, such as calcium, silicon, and aluminum. The calorific value of OBM cutting waste also makes it a valuable component in reducing the thermal energy requirement of clinkerisation, which reduces the amount of fuel used during clinker manufacturing.

**Table 4** The chemical composition of limestone, quartzo-philite, and OBM cuttings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>Limestone*</th>
<th>Quartzo-philite*</th>
<th>OBM Cutting*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wt./Wt. %</td>
<td>Wt./Wt. %</td>
<td>Wt./Wt. %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>5.94 ±1.69</td>
<td>74.25 ±4.84</td>
<td>19.00 ±15.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.62 ±0.19</td>
<td>5.49 ±0.97</td>
<td>3.66 ±1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.51 ±0.18</td>
<td>5.53 ±1.15</td>
<td>1.67 ±0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>50.86 ±0.95</td>
<td>4.01 ±0.95</td>
<td>34.06 ±10.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.48 ±0.14</td>
<td>4.09 ±1.67</td>
<td>2.94 ±1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>0.13 ±0.10</td>
<td>0.03 ±0.01</td>
<td>1.92 ±0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.05 ±0.01</td>
<td>1.22 ±0.22</td>
<td>0.89 ±0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.07 ±0.04</td>
<td>0.68 ±0.16</td>
<td>0.61 ±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI @950</td>
<td>39.51 ±0.93</td>
<td>3.99 ±1.96</td>
<td>34.07 ±1.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Average 10 samples, Mn₂O₃ 0.02%, TiO₂ 0.04±0.01%
* Average 13 samples, Mn₂O₃ 0.19 ±0.08%, TiO₂ 0.51±0.11%
* Average 20 samples, 5.96±3.23 % moisture.
The formation of the major clinker phases, such as alite and belite, are controlled by the heat conditions, as well as the raw meal chemicals and their physical properties. In this study, the raw meal is prepared with different percentages of OBM to investigate the effect on clinker quality after replacing raw meal with OBM. Using drilling waste to replace a major raw material in cement production is an ideal solution, especially since the consumption of limestone is high. For example, the cement plant in Oman has been designed for the consumption of approximately 13,000 tonnes of raw materials per day. If 1% of the raw material is replaced by drilling waste, then the rate of consumption of this waste is approximately 130 tonnes per day, or about 39,000 tonnes per year, assuming 300 operational days per year.

The main objective of the proposed research is to study the effect of using drilling waste as partial replacements of natural raw materials in a cement plant. The study focuses on the use of drilling waste (OBM cuttings) to replace a portion of the raw materials used in cement manufacturing. Figure 8 shows the steps involved in producing OBM and demonstrates the proposed recycling process in the manufacture of different types of cement. In this work, OBM cuttings will be mixed, at different proportions, with the raw materials used to produce cement. The effects of this addition can be studied by designing and conducting laboratory experiments that will help detect how cement performance is impacted by adding this type of waste during the manufacturing process.

This research is based on manufacturing cement in the lab. Drilling waste will be added, at varying proportions, that are proportional with the operations and conditions of the cement plant in Oman. The influence of this waste addition will be investigated to examine its effects on cement products and determine how much OBM cutting waste can be added to the raw materials used in the cement industry without affecting the product’s quality. Figure 8 shows different process activities involved in operating an oil drilling rig, as well as the generation and handling of OBM cutting and the cement and concrete manufacturing process. Three different areas have been identified after OBM cutting generation to recycle the waste and facilitate a proper environment for processing OBM cuttings and, subsequently, convert it to a product as presented in Figure 8.
Figure 8 A schematic of the OBM and OBM cuttings disposal cycle as raw material in cement manufacturing.\textsuperscript{74}
After OBM cuttings are generated by an oil well rig, they are transferred to an engineered landfill\textsuperscript{20,16}. The landfill is open, exposed to direct sunlight, and is typically located in the desert. OBM cuttings are wet because they contain a significant amount of oil and water, as shown in Figure 9. At this stage, storage is used to dry OBM cuttings and remove the water content by means of the sun and high temperatures in the desert. Another option, to accelerate the drying process, is using a drying unit where heat is applied to the wet OBM cuttings. This process produces vapor and could recover the oil content from OBM cuttings.

Dry OBM cuttings could be shipped to a cement factory to produce cement clinker. In the cement factory, OBM cuttings are added to limestone, as a replacement, at a level that produces raw meal within an acceptable range of quality. The first step, after mixing them with the raw materials, is grinding. Two common types of raw mill grinding are used in cement technology: ball mill grinding and vertical roller mill grinding\textsuperscript{75,76}. Ball mill grinding employs dry raw materials. If the raw materials contain moisture above 3%, hot dry gas is applied during the grinding step. The maximum water content of the raw materials in ball mill grinding is 3–8\%\textsuperscript{77}. However, in the vertical roller mill, grinding can occur even when the moisture level is high (up to 20\%\textsuperscript{78,79}). If OBM cuttings are shipped to a cement plant that has a vertical mill, the drying process is eliminated or reduced to conserve time and energy. After the grinding step, the produced powder, known as raw meal, is homogenised in a homo silo and then preheated and calcined in a preheater tower. Next, the calcined raw meal is burned in a rotary kiln at 1450 °C and then cooled to yield the clinker. In the final step, the clinker is ground with 5\% gypsum to produce the final product, Portland cement.

There are many types of cement with different applications in construction\textsuperscript{80,79}. For example, ordinary Portland cement (OPC) and sulfate-resistant cement (SRC) are used in the construction industry by mixing cement with different aggregates, additives, and water as shown in Figure 8 (v). Buildings are also recycled in cement manufacturing; many studies have demonstrated the successful use of raw materials derived from demolished buildings in the cement industry\textsuperscript{81,82,83,84}. 
Figure 9 Storage sites for OBM cuttings.

Another type of cement, known as Oil Well Cement (OWC)\textsuperscript{85,86–88}, is a special cement\textsuperscript{89} used for oil well construction. It has different physical properties and chemical content compared to construction cement. It also has greater compressive
strength and a longer setting time to meet specific requirements, such as being pumped deep in an oil well while drilling and finalising the oil rig. OWC quality plays a major role in the oil rig process. The American Petroleum Institute (API) has established international specifications and standards for producing OWC suitable for the construction of durable casing that supports oil and gas extraction. Linking all the steps—beginning with the process of generating OBM cuttings in the oil and gas sector and ending where OBM cuttings are generated—helps provide a full, closed-cycle process for recycling the hazardous waste and eliminating the generation of by-products. Additionally, the closed cycle provides effective environmental and economic solutions where the three different sectors (oil and gas, the cement industry, and the concrete sector) are integrated so that an output from one sector becomes an input for another sector.

3.4 Chemistry of the cement manufacturing process

The Portland cement clinker may be defined as follows, "at least two-thirds of it consist of the two calcium silicates, namely tri- and di-calcium silicate, which are richest in CaO and can react when mixed with water and harden reasonably rapidly. It is, therefore, a hydraulic substance." Cement is made by mixing four raw materials, specifically, limestone (80%), quartzophyllite (12%), iron ore (5%), and bauxite or kaolin (3%). The raw materials are crushed and homogenised using a mixed-bed method, transferred to a raw mill, and ground to a fine powder to produce raw meal. The raw meal is stored in a homogenising silo in which it is homogenised using air blowers. Figure 10 shows the process schematically.

Next, the raw meal is introduced to a heating tower known as preheater tower, which consists of multistage cyclones. The meal is introduced to the top preheater and flows into the bottom by gravity against a hot gas flow from the bottom, coming from the rotary kiln. The meal is heated gradually through the heat exchange process. In the preheater, the calcination of CaCO₃ occurs, and CO₂ gas is released at about 650 °C by the exothermic reaction producing CaO. The calcined meal is taken to the rotary kiln and classified into different zones according to the temperature profile of the rotary kiln. The first zone is in the range of 900–1100 °C, where the liquid phase is formed,
followed by the transition and burning zones, where some other clinker phases, such as belite (dicalcium Silicate, C$_2$S) and alite (tricalcium silicate, C$_3$S), are formed at about 1400 °C. The output from the rotary kiln falls into the cooler, where the material is cooled using air blowers to reduce the temperature of the burned raw meal from 1400 °C to about 200 °C within one h. The product is called Portland cement clinker, and it is stored in large silos. In the next step, the clinker is mixed with 5% gypsum (CaSO$_4$.2H$_2$O) and ground in a cement ball mill. The particle size of the resultant cement is classified according to the Blaine results. The coarser material is returned to the mill for further grinding, and the finer material is transferred to the cement storage silos.

![Cement manufacturing process](image)

**Figure 10** Cement manufacturing process
Table 5  Major chemical phases in clinker and cement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinker Phase</th>
<th>Approximate Chemical Formula</th>
<th>Cement Industry Abbreviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alite</td>
<td>3CaO·SiO₂</td>
<td>C₃S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belite</td>
<td>2CaO·SiO₂</td>
<td>C₂S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminate</td>
<td>3CaO·Al₂O₃</td>
<td>C₃A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrite</td>
<td>4CaO·Al₂O₃·Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>C₄AF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Lime</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>CaO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11 describes the chain of reactions in the pyro-process and illustrates the stages of clinker phase formation, beginning with the raw materials. The first four steps mainly concern calcination of the raw meal associated with the release of CO₂ and the production of reactive lime CaO. At about 1000 °C, which is normally at the first rotary kiln portion, C₃A is produced, along with a small amount of C₂S. As the material proceeds along the kiln, the temperature rises, and at about 1280 °C, the iron and alumina form the liquid phase, which facilitates the conditions for further C₂S formation and helps the material flow smoothly inside the kiln. The liquid phase is also important because it establishes a stable coating inside the kiln, which protects the kiln shell in the higher temperature zone. Finally, the C₂S combines with unreacted lime CaO at a high temperature of 1450 °C to form the major clinker phase C₃S.
Figure 11 Estimated clinker chemical reactions$^{91,92}$
3.5 Common cement types used in Oman

3.5.1 Ordinary Portland cement (OPC) and sulfate-resisting cement (SRC)
OPC and SRC are two types of construction cement commonly used in Oman. Although both cement types undergo the same manufacturing steps, SRC clinker is prepared using raw materials with a lower alumina content to maintain a $\text{C}_3\text{A}$ level below 5% and meet the ASTM standard for this type of cement. This can be achieved by reducing the alumina modulus (AM) while the raw meal is mixed.

3.5.2 Oil Well Cement (OWC)
OWC is defined as being based on coarsely ground sulfate-resisting Portland cement clinker with one or more retarders (gypsum or lignosulfonates) to give it a long enough thickening time to pump cement out at high temperatures and pressures. The amount and type of retarder used are dependent on the oil well’s depth. This type of cement is used to construct a hard layer between the oil well casing and the surrounding geological formation to prevent the well bore from collapsing. The well bore is deep, possibly reaching 6,000 metres, with very high pressure (200 MPa) and temperatures (up to 205 °C). There are a number of significant roles for OWC in the process of oil well production. It protects oil-producing zones from salt water flow coming from underground water, prevents collapses, and provides stability to the bore hole. Moreover, it protects the well by, for example, preventing corrosion, reducing groundwater contamination, having a strong bond, and acting as a secondary casing.

Cement slurry designed for well cementing is a function of various factors that should be optimised for successful well operation. These factors include the well bore geometry, casing type, formation geography, and specification of the drilling mud used.

OWC is manufactured the same as conventional types of cement, OPC and SRC. However, the quality of the raw materials, in term of chemical composition, is controlled to produce the raw meal and specific clinker design.
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4.1 Overview of experimental programme

This investigation, which was planned as described in Figure 12, can be divided into five major steps:

(1) collecting the raw materials,
(2) preparing the raw meal (Rm),
(3) preparing and characterising the clinker (Ck),
(4) preparing the cement (Cm), and
(5) characterising the hydrated cement (HCm).

These steps were defined as such because they follow the same material life cycle experienced in the cement industry and cement applications. Appropriate analyses and characterisations were performed at each step. Some of the techniques used, for example, XRF, XRD, and SEM, were repeated in more than one stage, but the operating conditions and sample preparation procedures remained the same. All of the samples were not investigated at each stage; instead, the focus gradually progressed through each stage (see Figure 12).

Because this project has a strong industrial focus, the focus was on practical samples that could be made by the cement industry, from an economic standpoint and with a chemical composition that meets cement quality specifications. Samples with different amounts of OBM cuttings were chosen to observe the changes that occurred with increasing OBM content.
Figure 12 A schematic diagram showing the overall stages of sample preparation and the testing methods used at each stage.
4.2 Raw materials

The raw materials used in the investigation were limestone, quartz-phylite, iron ore, kaolin and oil-based mud cutting (OBM cutting). All raw materials used in this investigation apart from kaolin were sampled from Oman Cement whose source is the quarry next to the factory. Kaolin was sourced from a quarry located 400 km away from cement plant. All raw materials were sampled after the initial homogenization stage (blending beds) where the raw materials went through all the steps of quarrying, crushing and stacking (see Figure 13).

The stacking underwent the usual industrial quality control procedures to ensure consistent homogenization of the raw materials before the grinding stage. As a result, the particle size of the collected samples was below 25 mm. All raw materials were characterized by XRF and XRD when they were received. The OBM cutting was also characterized by ICO-OES for the heavy and trace metals, plus moisture and organic content determination. For further investigation of OBM cutting, thermogravimetric analysis and XRD were performed.

![Diagram illustrating the sampling points (black points) for the raw materials obtained from the cement industry](image)

4.2.1 Limestone (Ls)

Limestone is a major component in cement production due to its CaCO₃ content which is essential for the formation of the principal clinker component, alite. Oman is rich in high-grade limestone, with a CaCO₃ content above 95%. This is significant for the cement industry since the higher the CaCO₃ content, the more flexible can be the mix-
design to meet the targeted specifications of cement e.g. chemical and physical properties.

4.2.2 Quartzo-phillite (QPh)
An additional raw material essential for cement making is one with a high silica (SiO₂) content - quartz is one such material. The silica is important for the kiln reactions since it will be combined with CaO to form different clinker phases. The Quartzo-phillite (QPh) materials located near the factory in Oman has a silica content which varies from 75% to 85% SiO₂.

4.2.3 Iron ore and kaolin
Iron ore and kaolin are additive materials used to correct the chemistry of raw mix. Furthermore, a certain amount of Al₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃ are needed to enhance the reaction in the kiln, by forming the flux (melting phase) during clinkerization and phase formation in the kiln. Iron ore is available in commercial quantities next to the factory with medium grade (Fe₂O₃ content range 40 – 45% wt/wt.). Kaolin is transported by trucks to the factory and crushed in the same way as the other raw materials. It is an essential source of Al₂O₃, ranging from 32% to 36% wt/wt. This low content of Al₂O₃ compared with bauxite would normally not be favored. However, because bauxite is not available in Oman, and is therefore more expensive, kaolin is used as a replacement. In fact, the shift from imported bauxite to kaolin has been shown to be advantageous in this application economically and also chemically because of its high silica content (40 – 47% wt./wt.)

4.2.4 Oil-Based Mud cutting
The OBM cutting was obtained from a drilling company in Oman (Petroleum Development of Oman, PDO). The cuttings were sampled from the PDO storage yard of OBM cutting storage facility in Qaran Al-Alam (Qran Al-Alam oil field, oil field no. 52, operated by Halliburton© Oman) as shown in Figure 9 and Figure 14.
Figure 14  The oil well drilling operation diagram

(Qran Al-Alam oil field, oil field no. 52, operated by Halliburton© Oman)
4.3 Mix design and samples preparation

Samples were prepared from dried OBM cutting blended with a number of raw materials used in the preparation of raw meal, namely; limestone, quartzo-phillite, iron ore and kaolin. The chemical composition of these materials is given in Table 6. These compositions of the blends were calculated to give the same phase composition as a real Portland cement clinker. Using the Bogue’s calculation, the amount of C$_3$S, C$_2$S, C$_3$A and C$_4$AF in raw meal after the heating process were calculated.$^{99,100}$

4.3.1 Mix design

The aim of the study was to investigate the effect of adding OBM cutting on clinker composition and cement performance. The aim was to maximize the OBM content e.g. 10% and above. The mix design was cautiously made considering the following points:

- Maximum OBM cutting that could be added to produce clinker meeting standard cement specification chemistry and physical properties.
- Preparing raw meal with lower burnability, or at least the same as the industrial raw meal, and so does not required extra fuel to burn considering the overall heavy metal content.
- Use minimum additives in the raw mix design such as iron ore and kaolin. This is will contribute on reducing the cost of production as those materials are 30 to 50% more expensive than limestone.
- Use minimum quartzo-phillite (QPh) which is the source of free silica (quartz). Quartz is hard to burn$^{101}$ and needs a higher temperature compared with silica coming from other raw materials such as kaolin (clay).
- Maintain the heavy metal content in the prepared cement.

For raw material selection for preparation of the right chemistry of the raw mix for the clinker production process, a mix calculation was required to get the optimal portion of OBM cutting used together with the raw materials. For this, an Excel sheet was used, as shown in Figure 15. The composition of the clinker was calculated to provide the same phases as the main phases of a real Portland clinker. Using the Bogue’s calculation, see below, the theoretical amount of C$_3$S, C$_2$S, C$_3$A and C$_4$AF in raw meal after the heating process was calculated$^{102,90}$.

The theoretical calculation shows OBM cutting could be added to a maximum 55% to produce clinker with the correct OPC specification. The advantage of this mix (RM55%
as stated in Table 7) is that it was prepared using only 4 raw materials namely; limestone, kaolin, iron ore and OBM cutting. There was zero quartzo-phillite in the mix and silica supply could be provided by other raw materials.

Bogue’s equations:

\[
C_3S \, (\%) = 4.071 \cdot (\text{CaO}) - 7.602 \cdot (\text{SiO}_2) - 6.718 \cdot (\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3) - 1.43 \cdot (\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3) \quad \text{Equation 1}
\]

\[
C_2S \, (\%) = 2.87 \cdot (\text{SiO}_2) - 0.754 \cdot (C_3S) \quad \text{Equation 2}
\]

\[
C_3A \, (\%) = 2.65 \cdot (\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3) - 1.692 \cdot (\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3) \quad \text{Equation 3}
\]

\[
C_4AF \, (\%) = 3.043 \cdot (\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3) \quad \text{Equation 4}
\]

To ensure the clinker quality, the following composition parameters were controlled. Typically, the lime saturation factor (LSF) was defined as 0.92 to 0.96, the silica ratio was set to 2.35 to 2.6 and the alumina modului (AM) was set to 0.69 – 1.25 depending on the type of clinker to be produced (OPC has AM greater than 1.00, SRC has AM 0.85 and OWC has AM less than 0.69)\textsuperscript{103}. 
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\[
LSF = \frac{CaO}{2.8 \cdot SiO_2 + 1.18 \cdot Al_2O_3 + 0.65 \cdot Fe_2O_3}
\]  
\text{Equation 5}

\[
SM = \frac{SiO_2}{Al_2O_3 + Fe_2O_3}
\]  
\text{Equation 6}

\[
AM = \frac{Al_2O_3}{Fe_2O_3}
\]  
\text{Equation 7}
Figure 16 Theoretical $C_3S$ and $C_2S$ contents as a function of OBM cuttings content in raw meal.

Note:
The two figures show theoretical predicted $C_3S$ and $C_2S$ contents derived from Bogue’s equations. The two graphs were established by changing the % OBM cutting in the raw mix. The two grey shadows highlight the % range acceptable and practically possible to achieve. This range is the target in cement manufacture known to produce clinker meeting the necessary standard specifications and in accordance with Oman Cement Company’s operating quality control setpoints.
Table 6 Chemical composition of the raw materials used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Limestone</th>
<th>QPH</th>
<th>Kaolin</th>
<th>Iron Ore</th>
<th>OBM cutting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main &amp; minor oxides (% wt./wt.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>76.30</td>
<td>41.28</td>
<td>18.28</td>
<td>20.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>33.27</td>
<td>10.32</td>
<td>4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>54.00</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>52.25</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>31.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI @ 950 °C</td>
<td>41.59</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>32.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trace oxides (mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>37000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>7600</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn₂O₃</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 shows the chemical composition of the raw materials used in this study. The trace BaO content in OBM cutting is high compared to that in other raw materials. This could be because of the oil content. Also it is noticed that the P₂O₅ content is high in OBM cutting. In addition, kaolin shows high concentrations of Cr₂O₃ and MnO.

4.3.2 Raw meal samples (Rm)

Five raw meal mixtures were prepared by mixing different ratios of raw materials according to theoretical mix-design calculations. Raw meal samples were prepared with OBM cutting contents from zero (as a control sample) to 55%. A final sample was prepared from 100% OBM, with no raw materials added. The samples prepared are referred to as RmRef., Rm12, Rm55 and Rm100% respectively. In addition, one sample of raw meal was obtained direct from a cement plant. This sample contained no OBM cutting and was identified as RmInd and later CkInd. Selected raw meal mixtures are given in Table 7.

The raw meals were prepared and homogenized by grinding the mixture in laboratory drum mill (model TNS-50, Siebtechnik). The material fed into the drum mill was
pulverized by the freely moving grinding media (steel balls ranging in size from 5–50 mm). As well as size reduction, the grinding process also aided material homogenization. The machine has a volume of 55 dm$^3$, runs at 50 rpm, and has a grinding media weight capacity of 92 kg.

In addition to the aforementioned prepared raw meal, and to study the thermal behaviour and understand the formation of clinker phases with temperature as a function of OBM cutting content, fourteen additional raw meal mixtures (Table 7) were prepared by mixing different ratios of raw materials according to the theoretical mix-design calculation, increasing OBM cutting contents, from zero percent (as control sample) up to 55%. A final sample was used comprising 100% OBM, with no raw materials added.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw meal</th>
<th>Limestone</th>
<th>Quarzo-phillite</th>
<th>Kaolin</th>
<th>Iron</th>
<th>OBM cutting</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RmRef-0%</td>
<td>80.90</td>
<td>11.35</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm0.5%</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>12.70</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm1%</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>12.70</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm2%</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm3%</td>
<td>79.10</td>
<td>10.15</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm5%</td>
<td>77.25</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm7%</td>
<td>76.60</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm10%</td>
<td>74.40</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm12%</td>
<td>72.60</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm15%</td>
<td>70.40</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm20%</td>
<td>67.00</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm30%</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm55%</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm100%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3 Clinker samples (Ck)
Nodules 5-8 mm in diameter were prepared from the raw meal by adding water. After drying overnight at 120 °C, the dried raw meals specimens were fired using a platinum
dish in a static air high-temperature furnace (Carbolite, model RHF 16/3). The clinker was prepared according to Al-Dhamri and Melghit (Figure 17). The heating rate was set at 10 °C/min. The sintering temperature was kept at 1450 °C for 45 minutes. Immediately after, the samples were cooled to below 100 °C using an air blower.

![Diagram](image-url)

**Figure 17** The preparation steps of the Portland clinker and Portland cement in the laboratory

* (after Al-Dhamri and Melghitt)*

The heating time of lab clinker preparation was based on the procedure followed for clinker preparation in the Oman Cement Company laboratory, which is established with accordance with the best optimum heating temperature for obtaining complete clinker formation.
4.3.4 Cement samples (Cm)

Cement was prepared by grinding the clinker with gypsum. The grinding time varied according to the clinker composition. The known cement standards such as Cement Omani Standard\textsuperscript{105} (OS 7/2001), as well as British and European standards do not specify fineness. However, the ASTM (ASTM C 150-99a Type I) does specify the minimum requirement\textsuperscript{106}. However, the cement was ground so that the Blaine fineness fell within a specified range of 320 ± 50 cm\textsuperscript{2}/g, as followed by the cement industry in Oman. All samples were ground to fit the Blaine fineness close to this value.

The ratio of clinker to gypsum was rounded 6%, as shown in Table 8. The 6\% added gypsum was calculated using Equation 8, which is the difference between the desired % SO\textsubscript{3} content of the cement and the % SO\textsubscript{3} in the clinker used divided by the % SO\textsubscript{3} content in the gypsum added. The grinding was carried out using laboratory tube ball mill (NETZSCH, D-8672, 3Kg grinding capacity). The milling of different clinker samples was performed batch wise under identical conditions, which are shown in Table 8.

\begin{table}[ht]
\centering
\caption{The cement grinding condition}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll}
\hline
Sample ID & Cumulative grinding time & & & & & \\
 & Time (Minutes) & Blaine cm\textsuperscript{2}/g & Final Blaine cm\textsuperscript{2}/g & Particle size 45 \(\mu\)m & 90 \(\mu\)m & Gypsum added \\
\hline
Cm\textsubscript{Ind.}-0\%* & - & - & 318 & 14.9\% & 1.5\% & 5.57 ± 6.00 \\
Cm\textsubscript{Ref.}-0\% & 21 & 280 & 335 & 13.3\% & 1.4\% & 6.02 ± 6.00 \\
 & 29 & 303 & 335 & & & \\
 & 34 & 335 & & & & \\
Cm-12\% & 15 & 216 & 329 & 14.6\% & 1.3\% & 5.97 ± 6.00 \\
 & 25 & 296 & 329 & & & \\
 & 30 & 329 & & & & \\
Cm-55\% & 15 & 227 & 324 & 14.1\% & 1.6\% & 6.07 ± 6.00 \\
 & 20 & 256 & 324 & & & \\
 & 30 & 324 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

*This sample was sampled from the cement plant.

The percentage gypsum required for desired SO\textsubscript{3} in cement was calculated according to the following formula\textsuperscript{107}:
\[
x = \frac{a - c}{b} \times 100 \tag{Equation 8}
\]

Where:

\[
\begin{align*}
x & = \% \text{ gypsum to be added to clinker} \\
a & = \text{ desired } \% \text{ SO}_3 \text{ in cement (2.60\%)} \\
b & = \% \text{ SO}_3 \text{ in gypsum (41.86\%)} \\
c & = \% \text{ SO}_3 \text{ in clinker} \\
& \quad (0.08\%, 0.10\% & 0.06\%, \text{ Ck}_{\text{Ref.}}, \text{ Ck}-12\% & \text{ Ck}-55\% \text{ respectively})
\end{align*}
\]

4.3.5 Hydrated cement samples (HCm)

The reactivity of the cement powders was investigated by preparing pastes with water/cement ratios of 0.45 to 0.55. The samples were cast in polyethylene vials where they underwent curing for 2, 7 and 28 days in a water bath at a temperature of 20°C. After the desired hydration time, the hydrated cement samples were cut into ½ mm discs using a rotary saw. Hydration was stopped by immersion in isopropanol\textsuperscript{108} for 24 hours. The powdered samples were then collected and stored under vacuum until they were taken for analysis.

The ½ mm disks were used for SEM analysis (Figure 18), as described in section 4.3.4. The powdered portion of the sample was ground further for XRD and DSC-TGA analysis.
Hydrated cement after 7 days curing, cut by saw to \( \frac{1}{2} \) mm disk, and the remainder ground for hydration stopping.

Figure 18 Hydrated cement samples and isopropanol hydration stopping
4.4 Characterization and testing techniques

4.4.1 XRF and XRD
A small portion of this sample was weighed into a platinum crucible and lithium metaborate / tetraborate flux added and mixed. The mixture was melted on a fusion machine to get a solution which automatically poured to a platinum moulded where it was cooled slowly to form a glass bead. The resultant glass bead was used for analysis by X-Ray fluorescence (XRF).

XRF analysis was carried out using a PANalytical Axios Fast spectrometer to determine the composition of the main oxides. XRF was performed with fixed detector channels working at Argon and Methane gases with 9:1 ratio consecutively. The radiation tube is Rhodium (SST-mAX) having power of 50 kV and 40 mA. The samples were analysed at vacuum pressure of less than 10 Pascals.

For powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), the samples were analyzed at room temperature using a CubiX3 PANalytical diffractometer, with Cu Kα radiation operating at 45kV and 40 mA. The measurements were carried out by step wise scanning method (2θ range from 10° to 65°) with scanning rate of 0.021° per second and a step time of 14 seconds with full run lasting for 50 minutes. The crystalline phases were identified and refined using Rietveld refinement available with the HighScore© program from PANalytical.

4.4.2 Loss on ignition (LOI) and Free lime test
LOI was determined in an oxidizing atmosphere by igniting a known mass of the sample at 950 °C in a platinum crucible in an electrical muffle furnace109.

The free lime test measures the quantity of residual CaO after heating the raw meal to the clinkerisation temperature (1450 °C). However, it is also an indication of the burnability of the raw meal when measured at different temperatures - between 1100° C and 1550° C. For example, hard burning raw meal has higher free lime content when compared with another raw meal sample of different composition110. The free lime content in any sample, either clinker or heated raw meal above 1100° C, could be measured by XRD. Another method is by titration using the ethylene glycol testing method, which is used in cement industries111. In this method, the percentage of free lime is obtained by refluxing a known quantity of ground clinker (about 1 g) in an
alcoholic solution of ammonium acetate under slow heating to boiling temperature. The mixture is filtered and titrated with 0.04 M EDTA to the endpoint\textsuperscript{112,113}.

4.4.3 Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP-OES)

The raw materials (limestone, quartz-phillite, iron ore, kaolin and OBM cutting) and the prepared clinker samples were tested for determination of the trace and heavy elements. A small portion of the sample was weighed into a digestion vessel, to which concentrated nitric acid, followed by concentrated hydrochloric acid was then carefully added. The mixture was heated at 110 °C for 90 minutes to digest the sample and, after cooling, diluted with deionized water. The mixture was filtered to separate any remaining solid material, and the supernatant liquid decanted off for analysis. The digested sample was analysed for metals using an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrophotometer (ICP-OES) analytical instrument (Agilent 5110 SVDV) with nebulizer flow of 0.70 L/min, plasma flow 12.0 L/min, Stabilization time 6 seconds and RF power 1.20 kW.

The sample was sprayed into an argon plasma at approximately 10000 °C, at which temperature of the sample atoms and ions emit light at particular wavelengths dependent on the element and the intensity of which is proportional to the concentration. Quantification was achieved by comparison of the emission signal for each element with prepared metal standards. The concentration of the metals was reported in mg/kg.

4.4.4 SEM-EDX

The prepared samples such as heated RMs (section 4.3.2), Clinker (CK section 4.3.3), and hydrated cement (HCM section 4.3.5) were analysed by SEM-EDX. The aim of the SEM analysis was different in each case. The SEM for RMs and clinker samples was to identify the formation temperature of various clinker phases by scanning the samples that had been burned at different temperatures from 1180 °C up to 1500 °C. Also, the method was used to detect the presence of any trace elements.

SEM of the hydrated cement however was performed to observe the hydration of the cement at different time periods (2, 7 and 28 days).

Polished section specimens were prepared by impregnating samples in epoxy resin (mixing ratio 25:3) which was hardened at 40°C for 48 hours. The specimens were ground on a rotating wheel with 10 Newton load and 90 RPM using silicon carbide
paper (SiC) 600, 1200 and 2500 µm. Next, the specimens were polished using diamond paste to 0.25 µm roughness. As a final point before investigation by SEM, the specimens were exposed to carbon coating to prevent charging of the sample. The polished sections of the samples were studied in backscattered scanning electron (BSE) mode using Carl Zeiss EVO MA15 SEM. The back scattered images of the area of interest were obtained at a beam voltage of 15 kV with a working distance of 8 mm, while 20 kV was used for the elemental maps.

4.4.5 DSC-TGA
The differential scanning calorimetry & thermogravimetric analysis (DSC-TGA) of the raw meals (RM\textsubscript{ind}, RM\textsubscript{Ref}, ..... RM100\%) was performed using DSC-TGA Universal V4.5A TA Instrument (Simultaneous DSC-TGA). The samples were manually ground to fine particles and 35 – 36 mg were analyzed using an alumina crucible. The heating rate was 10 °C/min, under normal atmospheric condition from 20º C to 1450 ºC.

4.4.6 Burnability test
The term burnability describes the nature of the raw meal and the ease with which the principal clinker phases are formed at temperatures of 1450 or 1500 °C. It is an indication of the mass transfer properties of the constituents of the raw meal. Burnability is a function of time and temperature and it is measured by determining the free lime content after heating the raw meal for time (t) at temperature (T).

\[
\text{CaO}_f \% = f (t,T)
\]

Burnability can be determined in a number of ways. Firstly, the free lime content can be determined with a constant burning time and variable temperatures. Here, rising free lime contents equate to low burnability. Alternatively, it can be defined by the time taken at constant temperature to achieve a free lime content below 1.5 - 2.0%. Increasing times correspond to low burnability. The former method was used in this study. The burnability test was conducted for the samples RM\textsubscript{ind}, RM\textsubscript{Ref}, Rm12\%, Rm55% and Rm100% by sintering them in laboratory furnace for 45 minutes at five different temperatures (1300, 1350, 1400, 1450 and 1500 ºC). After sintering, the samples were cooled, ground and analyzed by the standard ethylene glycol testing method to determine the free lime content.
4.4.7 Petrographic analysis

Clinker samples were also investigated by optical microscopy. There are various approaches to sampling clinker for examination by optical microscopy, and these vary according to the purpose of the examination, such as studying kiln operation, identifying problems, improving fuel consumption etc. One well known method of sampling is that of Hofmänner (1973) who recommends sampling in intervals of five minutes or less, taking three 2-kg samples, mixing, and quartering down to 500 g. However, this step was skipped, as there was insufficient clinker produced in this study, and it was judged sufficient to apply the following steps. The clinker sample was crushed to 5-mm particles and quartered until an adequate amount remained for resin encapsulation in a 25-mm-diameter. Particles were then randomly select from the clinker subsequently exposed upon polishing. Each clinker nodule surfaces was first flattened by coarse grinding, before the flattened nodule was placed in a plastic vial, resting on its flattened surface. The vial was filled with 1:5 araldite mix (one part hardener – HY951 and five parts resin CY-230). Next, the vial was placed in a desiccator and evacuated, by means of a vacuum pump, for 30-40 minutes. Finally, it was put in an oven at 50 ºC for 10 minutes and allowed to cool at room temperature. The sample was ready for coarse grinding after breaking the plastic vial.

An Ecomat III polisher and grinder, along with automat lapping oil and 120 to 200 mesh carborundum powder were used for coarse grinding on a diamond grinding disc – 70 μm. The final grinding was done by using 600 to 1500 mesh carborundum powder on a glass disc. The polishing was done on Metadi II polisher using 9, 6 and 3 micron diamond polishing compound on a diamond grinding disc. Polished clinker samples were etched to distinguish alite from belite using HF-vapour by cooling the sample to 10 ºC below etching temperature prior to etching. For viewing the aluminate phase, samples were etched using 10% NaOH solution. Polished clinker sections were examined using a reflected light microscope (Olympus BX 60).

4.4.8 Degree of hydration (DoH)

The ½ mm disks prepared in section 4.3.5 were used to study the degree of hydration by SEM-EDS analysis. The polished specimens were prepared using resin impregnation method as described in section 4.4.4. The degree of hydration was measured by acquiring 30 images at 400x magnification with a working distance of 8 mm and an acceleration voltage of 15 KeV using SEM Carl Zeiss EVO MA15.
maps were collected using Oxford Xmax SDD detector with 80 mm\(^2\) detector. The grey-level histogram analysis was done using ImageJ software.

![SEM Micrograph of hydrated cement.](image)

**Figure 19** SEM Micrograph of hydrated cement.

(a) Cured for 7 days, and its (b) associated grey level histogram

### 4.4.9 Physical and mechanical testing

The specific gravity of cement was measured according to the Standard test method for density of cement: ASTM C188-16\(^{123}\). The empty dry Le Chatelier flask was filled to the mark between 0 and 1 mL with kerosene (recorded as \(V_i\)). Then, about 64 g of dry cement sample was placed into the flask. The flask was half-filled with kerosene and the mixture was stirred with a glass rod. The mixture was being continually stirred, adding more kerosene until the flask was filled (recorded as \(V_f\)). For each sample, the
measurement was repeated four times. The density of cement was calculated according to the following equation:

\[ P = \frac{M}{V_d} \quad \text{Equation 9} \]

where:

- \( P \) = Density of cement, g/cm\(^3\)
- \( M \) = Mass of cement, g
- \( V_d \) = Displaced volume of liquid, cm\(^3\)

\[ V_d = V_f - V_i \]

where \( V_f \) is final volume and \( V_i \) is initial volume

The surface area of prepared cement samples was measured, as fineness by air permeability (Blaine method), by obtaining the time taken for a known amount of air to flow through a compressed cement sample bed of specified dimensions and porosity. The test was carried under standard conditions at 20\(^\circ\)C and humidity not exceeding 65\%, the specific surface of the sample was correlated to \( t^{1/2} \) where ‘\( t \)’ was the time taken for a known air volume to flow through the pressed cement bed\(^{124}\).

The setting time and the soundness of the cement pastes (cement-water ratio being 500 g: 125 ml) were determined according to the European Standard EN 196-3. Vicat apparatus was used to determine the setting time by noting the penetration of a needle into cement paste until it reached the specified depth. The soundness is determined using Le Chatelier apparatus by noting the volume expansion of cement paste as indicated by the relative movement of two needles\(^{125}\).

The compressive strength development of the various cement samples (\( Cm_{\text{indt}}, Cm_{\text{Ref.}}, Cm-12\% \) and \( Cm-55\% \)) was conducted using mortar samples according to the European Standard for Portland cement testing. The cement mortars were prepared by mixing cement, standard sand and distilled water with the ratio of 1:3:0.5 respectively. The standard sand used was supplied by Normensand, conforming to ISO 679, EN 196-1 Germany. The cement mortars were prepared by mechanical mixing and then compacted in a standard steel mold (dimensions 40 mm x 40 mm x 160 mm). The specimens in the mold were stored in a moist atmosphere overnight. Demolded specimens were stored in a water bath for periods of 2, 7 and 28 days at 98\% relative humidity and 20\(^\circ\)C ± 2. After each period, the specimens were tested for compressive strength using the compressive machine (made ELE, model ADR-1500) with a lab temperature of 22\(^\circ\)C ± 2\(^\circ\)C and lab humidity of 55\% ± 3\%. Each strength result was an average of three measured data\(^{126}\).
4.4.10 Isothermal Conduction Calorimetry

Isothermal conduction calorimetry (50), ICC, was used to study the hydration process of cement by measuring the heat given off by the hydrating cement as a function of time. The heat given off by a sample is compared to a reference sample. The reference used had the same thermal properties of the sample. Quartz has close specific heat capacity to cement (quartz = 0.8 J.g\(^{-1}\).K\(^{-1}\), cement = 0.75 J.g\(^{-1}\).K\(^{-1}\)). Six grams of dry cement sample were mixed with three ml of distilled water, keeping the water to cement ratio of 0.5. The mixing was performed outside the calorimeter. The mix was placed in a 20 mL disposable polyethylene ampoule and the measurement was performed using TAM Air with eight channel isothermal heat flow calorimeter. The container was placed in a chamber which was then placed in water bath at constant temperature of 20\(^{\circ}\)C. All samples were prepared after each other and then loaded into ATM Air at the same time. The determination testing was set for measuring heat of hydration over 28 days. The data obtained was used to establish two type of graphs, first heat of flow rate evolved from the cement hydration against the time. And second, graph that showing total heat resulted from the hydration against the time\(^{127}\).

![Figure 20 Overview of the calorimeter setup showing two sets of samples: one containing 9g of sample paste and the reference sample containing 9g of a quartz analogue.](image-url)
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5.1 What is in OBM?

OBM is a fluid prepared to be used in the oil drilling process. There are several types of OBM, and the specific type used depends on different factors, such as the well’s depth, geological formation, and ground composition. The ground and geological conditions are the basic principles for decision-making regarding the specific type of fluid to be used. Some areas, where the shell is an active type that reacts with water and unlike condition are formed, which cause the damage to the well during the drilling process. In critical conditions, oil is used to replace the water in the fluid. The major components of OBM are oil, water, mud, and an additive. It is used because it facilitates drilling underground where the temperature and pressure are excessively high.

The basic components of OBM:

1. Basic fluids, such as oil or diesel.
2. Mud, a solid part of the fluid, which consists of water, clay, and sand.
3. Additives, which control the properties of OBM, such as weight (specific gravity or density), viscosity, fluid loss, and chemical reactivities.

Diesel is banned from use in Oman by law to meet the environmental rules and regulations agreed to in the 1980s regarding the use of oil containing aromatic compounds, such as oil-based drilling fluids. Diesel is 5–10% polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) so falls under the hazardous waste category and has a highly toxic impact. Low-toxicity oil synthetics are formulated and known as nonaqueous drilling fluids. The reported lethal concentration (LC$_{50}$) for the aquatic toxicity of nonaqueous-based fluids is 1560 – 7131 mg/L, tested on an amphipod species called Corophium volutator. Diesel-based OBM’s LC$_{50}$ is 840 mg/L when tested on the same species and, according to the United States’ Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), its drops to 639 mg/L when tested on Leptocheirus plumulosus, another amphipod species.

A few examples of base oils used in Oman in the preparation of OBM and their toxicity are as follows:
- Mineral oil of alkanes (paraffin) is oil that has carbon-hydrogen single bonds with a carbon chain link of C10 to C22. This type of oil has lower toxicity and higher viscosity, but it is costly.

- Mineral oil of Alkenes (olefins) is oil that has a double bond between carbon and hydrogen and a carbon chain length of C15 to C18 (linear, iso or blends). This type of oil is moderately viscous and moderately cost\(^\text{134}\). It is also less toxic than diesel-based OBM.

The mud in OBM is comprised of inorganic colloids, which are active clay ingredients, and has different particles sizes depending on its design. In Oman, the particle size used is fine, in the range of 44–74 µm in sieve size 325\(^\text{135}\) with a large surface area. The mud’s properties are important when selecting the type of OBM. It plays a major role in stabilising the well during the drilling process, which depends largely on the interaction between OBM and the exposed shale formation. The colloids in the mud can be classified into two categories: (1) clay minerals and (2) organic colloids. Clays consist of a heterogeneous blend of very fine minerals, such as quartz, feldspars, calcite, and pyrites\(^\text{136}\), and have a mica-type structure in which the flakes are composed of small crystal platelets stacked together and facing each other to form a unit layer. Each unit layer consists of an octahedral sheet and one or two sheets of silica tetrahedra\(^\text{137}\) as shown in Figure 21.

![Figure 21 Schematic mineral structure of clay](image)

\((\text{Grim}^{137} \text{ and Darley et al.}^{136})\)
Most drilling companies in Oman use Barite as an additive to control the properties of OBM, such as its weight (specific gravity or density), viscosity, fluid loss, and chemical reactivities. Barite (\(\text{BaSO}_4\)) is chemically inert and insoluble. Adding Barite gives OBM a specific gravity of 4.2–4.5 g/cm\(^3\), meeting the API’s specifications\(^{138}\), so its role is a weighting agent used to increase the density of OBM as desired based on the well conditions. OBM’s density is important because well bore fluid must have an adequate density to convey the cuttings to the surface, and it contributes to the stability of the bore hole\(^{139}\) by increasing the pressure exercised by the well bore fluid against the surface of the hole\(^{140}\). In addition, higher density results in a higher penetration rate during the drilling process\(^{141}\). Other additives are used very infrequently, such as calcium carbonate, hematite, ilmenite, and manganese tetroxide. However, barite is widely used because it is the most effective and economically feasible.

5.2 What is in OBM cuttings?

OBM cuttings are a mixture of OBM and the drilling cuttings produced during the oil well drilling process. The variation in chemical analysis of OBM cuttings is very high compared to regular raw material obtained from a specific quarry. This is due to the nature of the oil drilling location. OBM cutting analyses obtained from different literature are summarised in Tables 9 and 10.

The chemical analysis of the major and minor oxides show some consistency on oxides important to the cement industry. The concentrations of SiO\(_2\) and calcium carbonate (as CaO) fall within the cement raw materials specification as additional additives rather than major constituents.

The XRD analysis of the OBM cuttings obtained and shown in Figure 22 concludes that the OBM contains mainly calcite (CaCO\(_3\)), dolomite (CaMg(CO\(_3\))\(_2\)), quartz (SiO\(_2\)), iron oxide (Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) and Barite (BaSO\(_3\)).

In the XRD and chemical composition, most analyses show that the OBM cuttings are a heterogeneous mixed material composed of a few major types: gravel, limestone, clay and shale. The gravel and limestone are cuttings from the oil drilling process that contaminate the circulated material and become part of the OBM cutting. Limestone (calcite) and clay are added during the preparation of the OBM. Shale is of low CaO content (below 40%) and high SiO\(_2\) content (above 20%)\(^{76}\). The alumina content in
OBM cuttings is probably coming from the clay that used in OBM preparation. The major mineral in clay is alumina in the form of aluminium silicates. In some cases, as shown in Table 9, this content is above 10%. Alumina is present in many forms, such as bauxite, gibbsite and kaolinite. However, the OBM cutting used in this study has a lower alumina content (4.47% wt.).

![Graph showing X-ray diffraction pattern of OBM cutting](image)

**Figure 22** X-ray diffraction pattern of OBM cutting

The XRD (Figure 22) and chemical analysis also showed the presence of Barium in the OBM cuttings in a form of barite (barium sulphate, BaSO₄). The major source of barite is the preparation of the OBM used during the oil well drilling process. It is used as an additive (known in drilling engineering as weight material or a weighting agent) and has a major role in the drilling process. The weight material is added in the preparation of OBM to develop a mud system that yields good well stability. Density, or mass per unit volume, is one factor controlled by this material during the well engineer’s mix design. The wellbore OBM must have adequate density to transfer the cuttings to the surface during the drilling process. Besides increasing density, the weight material...
also creates sufficient hydrostatic pressure in the hole and minimizes OBM slurry loss by forming a thick filter cake on the walls of the well.

Example of economic weight material are powdered minerals of barite, calcite or hematite. Barite is an inert and insoluble chemical compound with a specific gravity of 4.2–4.4 and hardness 2.3–3.5. It is the most economic material widely used as weighting material in the well drilling process\(^{140,143}\).

OBM cuttings also contain heavy and trace metals from several sources in very low concentrations. These are mainly from the additives used or from the cutting and the crude oil. PDO specifies the maximum permissible metal concentration in by-products (drilling waste) generated as a result of oil drilling operations. These include contaminated sludge, soil, WBM cuttings and OBM cuttings\(^{144,145}\). The metal concentration must be within the specified limits in order for the material to be disposed of in engineered landfills or other facilities subject to approval from the environmental authority in Oman. When comparing the limits of the PDO and API guidelines, few metals are not specified by PDO, such as As and Ba; only the metal Se is not specified in API. The limits given in the PDO specifications and API guidelines are similar. The OBM cuttings obtained in this study have metal contents that fall below the limits specified by PDO.

The trace element content (also known as potentially toxic elements, PTE\(^{146}\)) in OBM cuttings is reported in Table 10 and presented in Figure 24. Because barite is a common minerals in the OBM used, BaO levels were high in the OBM cuttings. These were much higher than when compared with other results reported for OBM cuttings in the literature\(^{44,52,54}\) as could be seen in Table 10. Other trace elements could result from contamination by the oil used to replace the water base in the preparation of the OBM slurry before pumping the mud in the drilling hole.

API has developed criteria for drilling waste based on well-developed scientific information\(^{147}\), stated in Table 10. Trace metals in the studied OBM cuttings were much lower than the limits specified by the API guidelines\(^{147}\). The concentrations of As, Cd, Cu and Ni are 9.8, 1, 18 and 3.3 mg/Kg respectively, which indicates that the OBM used meets the environmental requirement for use of oil-based fluid, and thus reflects lower trace elements that threaten the environment. In addition, the highest concentrated trace element is barium, which still shows a lower concentration than the
stated limits of API at only 5,500 mg/Kg, against the 180,000 mg/Kg maximum limit from API. Other elements of environmental concern, zinc and lead, are 107.6 and 32.8 mg/Kg, respectively. This was similar to the conclusion of many studies of OBM cutting, which reported 102.4 mg/Kg (Ayati et al. 54) and 125 mg/Kg (Abbe et al. 44) for zinc and 38.5 mg/Kg (Ayati et al. 54) for lead. In addition, P₂O₅ is known to retard cement and potentially, in combination with SO₃, to stabilize belite 148.

**Table 9** OBM cuttings major and minor chemical composition from different sources (%wt.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>54</th>
<th>52</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>71</th>
<th>44</th>
<th>This study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>44.85</td>
<td>41.18</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>17.64</td>
<td>47.60</td>
<td>20.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>14.19</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>13.54</td>
<td>4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>17.51</td>
<td>14.95</td>
<td>25.05</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>28.17</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>31.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38.41</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>17.32</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>19.35</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td>32.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCV*</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Net caloric value in kcal/kg OBM cutting

**Table 10** OBM cuttings trace & heavy elements analysis (mg/Kg, dry solid) 44,52,54

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>54</th>
<th>52</th>
<th>44</th>
<th>This study</th>
<th>PDO specification 145</th>
<th>API guideline 147</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>NS*</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>32.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>149.02</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>102.4</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>107.6</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS: not specified
Figure 23 The chemical composition (major oxides) of OBM cuttings (average of 20 samples)

Figure 24 The trace and heavy elements content of OBM cuttings (average of 20 samples)
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6.1 Clinker phase formation

XRD patterns reveal changes in clinker phase composition as a function of the OBM cutting content. The main phases in all the investigated clinkers are reported in Figure 25, namely alite (C₃S), belite (C₂S), tricalcium aluminate (C₃A) and ferrite (C₄AF). The concentrations of alite and belite versus OBM cutting content are reported in Figure 26. This figure shows the results from both the Rietveld refinement of the XRD patterns and theoretical calculations based on Bogue equations Table 11.

Figure 25 XRD patterns of the prepared clinkers.

There were no discernible changes in the alite or belite polymorph with OBM cutting content (Figure 25). However, the levels of alite and belite formed were dependent on the OBM cutting content (Figure 26). This result was not predicted by the Bogue
calculations, but the Rietveld refinement data showed a gradually decreasing alite content (and corresponding increasing belite content) with increasing OBM cutting content. Indeed, it is noteworthy that the Bogue calculations underestimated the alite content and overestimated the belite content at OBM cutting content up to 5%.

While the industrial clinker showed the highest levels of alite, adding OBM cuttings to the reference raw meal led to increased alite content. It was not until the OBM cutting level was over 15% that the alite content dropped below that observed for Ck$_{ref}$. These differences could be explained while exploring the other parameters, such as the influence of trace element content, raw material characterisations, and OBM cuttings’ behaviour. However, the reference clinker sintering conditions were likely different to those of the industrial clinker produced in a real cement kiln, for example the absence of mixing under lab conditions.

**Figure 26** Clinker phase contents derived from Rietveld analysis and Bogue calculations.

*Rietveld analysis (thick, black line)*

*Bogue calculations (grey, dotted line)*
### Table 11 Chemical oxide and clinker phase composition of the prepared clinker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ck_{ind.}</th>
<th>Ck_{Ref.}</th>
<th>Ck-12%</th>
<th>Ck-55%</th>
<th>Ck-100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Major oxides (% wt./wt.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>22.19</td>
<td>22.58</td>
<td>21.79</td>
<td>21.88</td>
<td>32.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>65.45</td>
<td>65.32</td>
<td>65.87</td>
<td>65.68</td>
<td>51.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>7.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI @950 °C</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minor oxides (% wt./wt.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trace oxides (×100 mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinker Module factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSF</td>
<td>92.53</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>94.33</td>
<td>94.22</td>
<td>48.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinker main phases (%) by Bogue^{49,93}</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃S</td>
<td>64.66</td>
<td>52.06</td>
<td>60.97</td>
<td>61.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂S</td>
<td>14.93</td>
<td>25.55</td>
<td>16.57</td>
<td>16.21</td>
<td>&lt;36.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃A</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>14.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₄AF</td>
<td>12.39</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>11.62</td>
<td>11.81</td>
<td>11.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2 \textit{CaCO}_3 \textit{decomposition}

Thermal analyses of various raw meal samples showed that the calcination temperature decreased with OBM cutting content increases. Calcite decomposition occurred at 817 °C when no OBM was in the sample, falling consistently with increasing OBM cutting content until the OBM cutting alone (Rm100%) showed decomposition at 763 °C. The greater than 50 °C decomposition temperature difference between limestone and OBM cuttings is of interest. To understand why the addition of OBM cutting reduces the calcination temperature, it is important to study the nature of the calcite in OBM cuttings and compare it with that in the limestone.

Many studies\textsuperscript{150–154} have reported limestone reactivity and linked it to the calcite structure. Different grain sizes\textsuperscript{155} and impurities within the limestone can cause variations in the limestone’s textural and mineralogical properties and, subsequently, influence the calcination temperature\textsuperscript{156}. This may also influence both the crystallisation temperature in different phases of the clinker formation and free lime content in the produced clinker\textsuperscript{117,157}. It has also been reported that the presence of dolomite in limestone helps speed up the calcite decomposition rate\textsuperscript{157}.

In 1962, Dunham\textsuperscript{158} established a systematic classification scheme for carbonate sedimentary rocks. Initially known as the ‘Dunham Classification’, it was later modified by Embry and Kloven\textsuperscript{159–161} to include coarse-grained limestone and became known as the ‘modified Dunham Classification’. It has become the most commonly used classification in petrographic thin sections for identifying and distinguishing different types of limestone based on the grain-size, ratio, shape and microstructure.

The Dunham classification divides limestone into six sub-classes based on the presence or absence of mud supporting the carbonate grains, the grain content, and nature of the matrix during deposition. Limestone may be further defined by two sub-groups: (1) grain-supported limestone and (2) mud-supported limestone. This division depends on the percentage of the grains (known as allochems) or mud matrix (known as orthochems). Grain-supported limestone is characterised by a texture with little or no lime mud but an abundant framework of grains that support each other, while the mud-supported limestone consists of grains floating in a muddy, mainly calcitic matrix\textsuperscript{162}.
Analyses of petrographic thin sections of the limestone used here shows calcite crystals of a depositional texture, with no regular shape and unevenly distributed without common direction, (Figure 27). The grains are coarse (>3mm) and compact with no void space between calcite crystals, appearing flat and with some fractures. This limestone can be classified as crystalline limestone according to the Dunham classification\textsuperscript{158}.

The OBM cuttings, meanwhile, show different petrography, with the calcite being mud-supported with loosely packed grains and high porosity. The clay grains are mostly present as developed clusters and immersed in oil. Lath-shaped plagioclase grains with sharp grain margins are also present as shown in Figure 27 (c) \& (d). The calcite show round sub-millimetre grains, which are highly brittle and fragile in nature. Later XRD analyses of OBM cuttings show the presence of dolomite. Therefore, the OBM cuttings’ classification can be considered be a mix between two or three types of limestone, falling between mudstone and Wake stone. However, the classification is certainly different from the limestone used in this research.

These differences help explain the lower decomposition temperature of OBM cuttings compared to limestone. First, OBM cuttings contain dolomite, while none is present in the limestone. Dolomite in limestone lowers the decomposition temperature of the calcination process. Marinoni\textsuperscript{163} showed that limestone decomposition starts with the rapid dissociation of dolomite in the first few min, followed by calcite decomposition. Dolomite dissociation occurs in a single step without a calcite intermediate phase. This suggests that the presence of dolomite reduces the calcination activation energy\textsuperscript{117,157,163}. Marinoni\textsuperscript{157} proposed that limestone dissociation starts with dolomite decomposition, resulting in the formation of grain cracks due to the CaMg(CO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} structure, increasing the surface area, allowing CO\textsubscript{2} diffusion\textsuperscript{157,153,164}.

The lower decomposition temperature in OBM cuttings may also be related to the calcite texture therein, which differs from the calcite in limestone as explained above. Finally, OBM cuttings are more porous than limestone, allowing more surface area for heat transfer. As seen petrographically, the calcite grains float in the mud, with larger spaces between grains than in the limestone (Figures 28 [c] \& [d]).

Similar results were obtained by Marinoni et al\textsuperscript{157} and Galimberti et al\textsuperscript{117} when studying the thermal decomposition and burnability of limestone used to manufacture industrial
cement clinker. Using different limestone sources revealed that the texture of mud-supported limestone had a strong impact on the calcite decomposition temperature and its decomposition rate. According to the Dunham calcite classification\textsuperscript{160,161}, raw meal where the limestone is of grain-supported origin is more reactive.

- **Limestone a. & b.**
  Grain size range 30 to 1275 microns, content of crystals of calcite which is depositional texture has no regular shape and unevenly distributed without common direction. The grains are coarse and compacted. There is no void space between the calcite crystal of the limestone and appears flat showing some fractures.

- **OBM cutting c. & d.**
  Grain size range 2 to 275 microns, majority 75 microns. The morphology and grain size of clay minerals indicate that these grains are very loosely packed with high porosity. These grains are very sticky and light weight. The clay grains are mostly present as clusters developed in association with oil. Lath shaped plagioclase grains with sharp grain margins are also present. Globular calcite is mostly present as small clusters. Calcite grains are highly brittle and fragile in nature.

**Figure 27** Limestone and OBM cutting petrography analysis

The calcite grain size also has an effect on both the rate of decomposition and the temperature at which decomposition occurs. Coarser grains show higher decomposition temperatures and lower rates of decomposition\textsuperscript{91}, as illustrated in Table 12.
The effect of particle size was confirmed by grinding the limestone for 5 min and then obtaining two fractions: one passing a 212-micron sieve and one passing a 63-micron sieve. These two fractions were compared against ground OBM cuttings passing through a 212-micron sieve. The particle size distributions of the three resultant materials are comparable to materials used in a cement plant and are shown in Figure 28, along with thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the three samples. The finer limestone decomposed at a lower temperature than the standard limestone, with OBM cuttings decomposing at an even lower temperature. Thus, the lower decomposition temperature of OBM cuttings may be explained by the finer calcite grains (Figures 28 [c] & [d]) and the presence of dolomite. The calculated activation energies ($E_a$) of CaCO$_3$ decomposition for limestone and OBM cutting are 154.43 J.mol$^{-1}$ and 181.46 J.mol$^{-1}$ respectively (Figure 29), confirming the observations made by Chatterjee et al.$^{91}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystallinity</th>
<th>Grain size, mm</th>
<th>Relative rate of dissociation</th>
<th>Relative dissociation temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very coarse grained</td>
<td>&gt; 1.00</td>
<td>Lowest</td>
<td>Highest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse grained</td>
<td>1.00 – 0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium grained</td>
<td>0.5 – 0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine grained</td>
<td>0.25 – 0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very fine grained</td>
<td>0.10 – 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcrystalline</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>Highest</td>
<td>Lowest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12: The effect of calcite grain size on the dissociation of limestone (according to Chatterjee$^{91}$)
Figure 28 Particle-size distribution (top) and decomposition temperature (below) of fine-limestone, limestone, and OBM cutting.
6.3 Burnability

Figure 30 shows the burnability data obtained in this study. Figure 30 (a) shows the data obtained from cement industry raw meal (Rm_{Ind}) and the reference raw meal prepared in the laboratory (Rm_{Ref}). The free lime content for both mixes fell with increasing temperature. However, the free lime content of Rm_{Ind} was always greater than that of Rm_{Ref}, with the difference between the two decreasing with increasing temperature, until the difference was minimal at 1400 °C and above. The higher free lime content for Rm_{Ind} could be due to its higher LSF, i.e. 92.53 compared to 90.50 for Rm_{Ref}. Thus, there is more CaO to be consumed during clinkering. This is supported by the convergence of the two data sets with increasing temperature. Both mixes showing similar burnability behavior validates the use of the reference raw meal in comparisons with raw meal prepared using OBM cutting.

Figure 30 (b) shows the burnability results for Rm_{Ref} plus raw meal prepared using 12%, 55% and 100% OBM cuttings. Despite the falling free lime contents with increasing temperature, there was an increase in free lime with increasing OBM cutting content, suggesting harder burnability. However, this did not apply to the sample...
prepared from 100% OBM cutting. This sample showed very easy burning behaviour (Figure 30 c). The free lime dropped significantly, even at 1300 °C (0.2% free lime), then showing only 0.02% free lime content when heating at 1500 °C. However, as shown by XRD analysis (Figure 31), when burned at 1200 °C, the 100% OBM cutting showed belite formation and a very low free lime content. Higher temperatures still led to no alite formation due to there being no CaO remaining, as shown in Figure 31. This was confirmed by SEM-EDX analysis (Figure 32), where no alite was observed. The absence of alite can easily be understood in terms of the LSF, which at 48.45 was considerably lower than for all of the other samples.

The consumption of calcium oxide to form C₂S at temperatures below 1300 °C explains the low free lime concentrations in the Ck-100. While Clk-55 contains more calcium oxide (e.g. higher LSF factor), part of it reacts to form C₂S while some remains to react with C₂S to form C₃S at higher temperatures (above 1450 °C). This thermodynamic chemical reaction is reversible, meaning that when the temperature drops, the C₃S decomposes to free lime and C₂S, especially if the cooling process is slow, resulting in increased the free lime content in the clinker burned at a higher temperature if the clinker is not quenched (rapid cooling).

Figures 34 to 36 show the SEM analysis of raw meal burned at different temperatures to study clinker phase formation at each temperature (e.g. 1200 to 1500 °C). The observation of each SEM images are presented within the figure. Also the EDX images of corresponding SEM images are shown in Figures 37 to 41.
Figure 30 The burnability tests of the raw meal at different burning temperatures.

Top: reference sample vs. industrial raw meal
Bottom: reference sample vs. raw meals with 12%, 55% and 100% OBM cuttings
**Figure 31** XRD pattern of the different raw meal samples burned at different temperatures. The arrows show the free lime peak at $2\theta = 37.36^\circ$, the highest intensity when calcined at 1450 $^\circ$C is for the raw meal prepared with 55% OBM cutting.

**Figure 32** 100% OBM cuttings clinker. Left: Clinker sample (100% OBM cutting) after burning at 1450 $^\circ$C in platinum crucible for 30 minutes. Right: SEM image analysis of the same clinker sample.
Photograph 1: 1350 °C
- The EDX analysis shows Ca content of lime grains in the range from 41- 51%.
- While there is evidence of silicate phase formation, the phases are still poorly defined. The coexistence of free lime with silicate phases indicate the potential for further reaction at higher temperature.
- EDX analysis of the liquid phase shows the following chemical composition: Ca: 34.63%, Si:2.80%, Al:9.12%, Fe:11.88%, Mg:1.34%.

Photograph 2: 1400 °C
- There is evidence of belite grains, visible as rounded grains.
- The liquid phase shows a higher Mg content (10.75%) than at 1350 °C (1.34%).
- No free lime was observed.

Photograph 3: 1450 °C
- Alite crystals are visible, with typical hexagonal morphologies and Ca/Si ratio of 4.96.
- Neither free lime nor belite was observed.

Photograph 4: 1500 °C
- Alite grains were visible with typical hexagonal morphologies.
- Smaller, round belite nodules were visible within the alite grains.

Figure 33 The SEM analysis of Rm_{Ref} burned at four different temperatures.
Photograph 1: 1350 °C
- Early formation of alite phases at lower temperature 1350 °C.
- Free lime grains, the EDX analysis shows Ca content in the range 44-47%.
- Present of alite grain and free lime grain without present of belite indicate that at higher temperature no further alite formation expected.

Photograph 2: 1400 °C
- Belite formation at 1400 °C, which are shown rounded grains. The Ca/Si ratio is 3.51.
- Alite formed are smaller grains compared to belite.
- No free lime observed.
- Trace elements observed in the LP are: Mo, Zr, Ni, Co, Mn, Cr, and Ti

Photograph 3: 1450 °C
- Formation of alite crystals, associated with free lime. This is indicating hard burning clinker. The free lime will remain unreacted due to no belite grains are present near the free lime.
- Alite crystals shows hexagonal-shaped grains.

Photograph 4: 1500 °C
- Formation of alite crystals.
- Alite crystals shows hexagonal-shaped grains.
- No belite grains observed however, still free lime is appearing with alite.

Figure 34 The SEM analysis of Rm-12 burned at four different temperatures.
Photograph 1: 1350 °C
- Alite formation at lower temperatures than in the other samples.
- Free lime was still observed, but no belite was present. This suggests that there is no potential for further alite formation and that any resultant clinker will have a high free lime content.
- MgO clusters were present. These may lower the melting point of the liquid phase and increase its quantity.

Photograph 2: 1400 °C
- High liquid phase content compared to samples containing lower OBM cutting content.
- Belite grains were visible within alite grains, so despite there still being some free lime present, there would be little chance of further alite formation since the belite and free lime would not be in intimate contact.

Photograph 3: 1450 °C
- There was a high liquid phase content compared to samples with lower OBM cutting contents.
- There was a high free lime content, but no belite was in close proximity.
- BaO was detected within liquid phase by EDX.

Photograph 4: 1500 °C
- Alite grains clearly visible.
- Free lime also visible, but with no belite in close proximity, suggesting difficulties burning the mix.
- The free lime had MgO content of 0.32%.

**Figure 35** The SEM analysis of Rm-55 burned at four different temperatures.
Figure 36 EDX analysis for Rm\textsubscript{ind} showing clinker microstructure development with increasing temperature.

Figure 37 EDX analysis for Rm\textsubscript{ref} showing clinker microstructure development with increasing temperature.
**Figure 38** EDX analysis for Rm-12 showing clinker microstructure development with increasing temperature.

**Figure 39** EDX analysis for Rm-55 showing clinker microstructure development with increasing temperature.
Figure 40 EDX analysis for Rm-100 showing clinker microstructure development with increasing temperature.

6.4 The XRD and TGA

XRD and TGA were used to measure clinker phase composition and obtain information on the changes in clinkerization during the heating process. Thermal analysis (Figure 41) showed that all of the changes occurring during the heating process, including CaCO$_3$ decomposition, belite formation, liquid phase and alite formation (liquid phase sintering) shifted to lower temperatures as the OBM cutting content of the raw meal increased.

The effect of OBM cutting on CaCO$_3$ decomposition temperature was described earlier, but the effect on other phases is described below. At higher temperature (above 1338 °C$^{165}$ the liquid phase develops. This comprises mainly Al$_2$O$_3$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$ bearing phases. These are essential fluxes, lowering the energy required for completing the clinkerization process. When melting commences, the liquid content can increase significantly, up to 15 – 25% $^{165}$. The presence of other minor oxides such as SO$_3$, MgO and alkalis can have an influence by lowering the energy required to form the flux. In this study RMI$\text{Ind}$ showed the presence of a liquid phase from 1334
°C, very close to temperature of liquid phase reported in the literature. However, RMRef showed temperature of formation of the liquid phase from 1331 °C which also close to industrial sample. However, with increasing OBM cutting content, the liquid phase formed at ever lower temperatures, decreasing to 1320 °C with 55% OBM cutting and 1263 °C when 100% OBM cutting was clinkerised. This could be attributed to the present of minor oxides from the OBM cutting, as shown in Figure 42. Additionally, periclase was found in the liquid phase when 100% OBM was used, with 10.75% MgO determined in the liquid phase (Figure 33).

Figure 41 Temperatures of calcite decomposition and major phase formation with increasing OBM cuttings content.

The temperatures were determined by DTA. The trend lines are moving averages.
The decreasing temperature for the onset of liquid phase formation with increasing OBM cutting content also influenced the sintering temperature. The role of the liquid phase at this stage is very important and critical to the clinkerization mechanism. The liquid wets the solid grains, forming an interpenetrating film\textsuperscript{165}. This has two main functions: 1) its surface tension pulls the solid grains together, serving to form clinker nodules. 2) It also eases transport of the main oxides in the liquid phase during the sintering stage and aids formation of alite and belite. The lower temperature for the onset of liquid phase formation, due to the presence of the minor oxides, impacts also on the sintering temperature, which were too close for Rm\textsubscript{Ref} and Rm12 at 1434 °C and 1431 °C respectively. However, the sintering temperature falling to 1424 °C for Rm55. The liquid phase (flux) plays a major role in facilitating the chemical reactions to form the major clinker phases such as C\textsubscript{2}S and C\textsubscript{3}S. Sufficient liquid phase is required to wet reactants and thereafter the rate of formation of desired clinker phases is limited largely by liquid phase diffusion\textsuperscript{165}.

The clinker sample made with 100% OBM cutting, CK100%, showed formation of belite at 1200 °C, as indicated by XRD and SEM-EDX analysis. At higher temperatures, no further new phases were formed. The CK-100% at 1450 °C showed
belite grains swimming in a high melt content (Figure 32), a result of the high concentration of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ % in the mix.

### 6.5 The Effect of Barium on Clinkerization

As shown above, the incorporation of OBM cutting had a slight, yet noticeable effect on clinker composition. Initial elemental analysis of the raw materials showed that a number of trace elements were present in the OBM cuttings (Table 6), while the presence of some trace elements plays a major role on clinker phase formation. This was thus investigated further, with particular focus on the barium content which was present in high quantities in the OBM cuttings and subsequently in clinkers prepared with higher levels of OBM cuttings.

In Rm$_{\text{Ref}}$, the belite and liquid phase formation temperature was 1284 and 1331 °C respectively, but these fell upon incorporation of OBM cutting. SEM-EDX and ICP analysis (Table 13) both showed an increase in barium content with increasing OBM cutting content. Furthermore, SEM-EDX analysis revealed the distribution of barium through the clinker phases. The highest barium concentration was found in the liquid phase.

**Table 13**  BaO content of each phase in each experimental clinker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ck$_{\text{Ind}}$</th>
<th>Ck$_{\text{Ref.}}$</th>
<th>Ck12</th>
<th>Ck55</th>
<th>Ck100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid phases</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>14.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*no alite observed in Ck100.

The effect of barium on phase composition is related to the free lime content. An increased free lime content indicates reduced burnability and incomplete formation of the main clinker phases. This is due either to alite formation being discouraged or decomposition to CaO and belite being promoted. As stated earlier, the addition of OBM cuttings decreased burnability and the free lime contents increased (Figure 43). With the OBM cuttings containing 0.85 wt% BaO, the barium content of the clinker...
increases with increasing OBM cutting content. It has repeatedly been shown that BaO has a negative influence on alite formation, thus increasing the free lime content. Kolovos et al.\textsuperscript{168,174} studied the effect of raw meal BaO content on the reactivity of the CaO-SiO\textsubscript{2}-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} system. They noticed that the addition of 1\% BaO to the raw meal then sintering at 1200 °C and 1450 °C led to an increase in free lime compared with the reference sample. This BaO was then shown, by SEM analysis, to concentrate in the melting phase of the clinker.

Other studies\textsuperscript{175,176,177,168,148} have also reported on the impact of barium on clinkerisation reactions. These mostly confirm that the free lime content increases with barium content, and that barium is mainly concentrated in the melting phase. Furthermore, Zezulova et al.\textsuperscript{175}, in addition to showing high BaO contents in the melting phase, also reported higher concentrations of BaO in belite than alite. This is possibly caused by the crystal lattices of alite and belite, with the belite structure being more accommodating of foreign ions\textsuperscript{175,178}.

![Figure 43 The free lime content for each clinker sample](image)

### 6.6 Clinker morphology

Clinker morphology and chemical composition was then studied by SEM/BSE imaging and EDX analysis. Below 1250 °C, clinkerization proceeded through solid-solid reactions, in the absence of the liquid phases. These reactions occurred at the original phase boundary between the solids\textsuperscript{179}, leading to the formation of belite, see Figure 44 A1. This happens through diffusion of the CaO on the SiO\textsubscript{2} surface as could be
seen in the SEM-EDX in the reference clinker sample Ck_{Ref.} and the industrial sample Ck_{Ind} which are shown in Figure 44 A1 and B1. EDX analysis revealed a cluster of SiO$_2$ surrounded by the CaO. This led to belite formation, which is known to form between 900 °C and 1250 °C$^{180,181}$ with the precise formation temperature defined by a number of factors, such as minor compounds$^{166,168,170,173,182,183}$, particle sizes$^{184}$ and retention time$^{104}$.

The raw meal containing 12% OBM cutting showed some formation of alite at 1350 °C (Figure 44 A1). Free lime was present in clusters, but belite was not observed. The co-existence of alite and free lime without belite suggest that no further alite could be formed, irrespective of temperature, because no belite is available to react with any free lime. Figure 45 shows the SEM images with EDX mapping for Ck100% heated at three different temperatures; 1300, 1350 and 1400 °C. At all temperatures, belite was the dominant phase, showing rounded to regular edges. XRD patterns from Ck100% heated to 1000 °C showed formation predominantly of belite, plus free lime (Figure 46). However, upon heating to 1300 °C there was no evidence of free lime in either the XRD patterns nor the SEM images. The same was observed when the temperature was raised further, to 1350°C. While temperatures above 1400 °C would normally be expected to yield alite, the lack of free lime in the Ck100% sample meant that alite formation was not expected. XRD analysis confirmed the absence of alite. Finally, EDX mapping revealed the concentration of magnesium and barium in the liquid phase, at all temperatures (Figure 47 B1 & B2), with the formation of C$_3$A and ferrite.
Figure 44 SEM-EDX microstructural analysis of clinker samples burned at 1180 °C. 
a) CaO, b) SiO₂, c) Fe₂O₃. Formation of belite by solid-solid reactions.
Figure 45 SEM-EDX microstructural analysis of clinker samples burned from 100% OBM.
Figure 46 XRD of OBM cutting when heated to different temperatures.

C: Calcite
D: Dolomite
FL: Free lime
Q: Quartz,
RT: Room-temperature
Figure 47  SEM-EDX microstructural analysis of clinker samples prepared by adding 12% and 55% OBM cutting.
6.7 Petrography Study of the Clinker

The clinker samples were also analysed by optical microscopy. Table 14 summarises the data obtained from the petrographic thin sections. As observed by optical microscopy, increased OBM cutting content led to an increased liquid phase content and a slight reduction in alite content. Changes were also seen in both maximum and average grain sizes. With increasing OBM cutting content there was a clear decrease in belite grain size, while conversely free lime grains increased in size. There was also a slight reduction in the size of the C₄AF grains with increasing OBM cutting content.

The granulometric composition analysis is clearly indicate that the raw mix of all the samples is rich in Al and Fe component. These two components mainly participate in the early stages of phase formation consuming the calcium Aluminate component. Hence, in C₃S phase amount, very slight variation could develop in all the three samples.

**Table 14** The granulometric composition analysis of the prepared clinker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>C₃S</th>
<th>C₂S</th>
<th>CaO free lime</th>
<th>C₃A</th>
<th>C₄AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CKₜref.</td>
<td>Ckt12</td>
<td>Ckt55</td>
<td>CKₜref.</td>
<td>Ckt12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃S</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂S</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO free lime</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃A</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₄AF</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The clinker phases in CKₜref. were moderately developed and homogeneously distributed. Porosity was high and the majority of alite grains were hexagonal to pseudo hexagonal in shape, with sharp grain boundaries. Many polygonal alite grains were also present, with numerous inclusions present in larger alite grains. Most of these inclusions were globular belite grains.

Sub-micron alite grains were often present on the edges of alite phenocrysts in the reference clinker sample CKₜref. (Figure 48 [a]) with quite large variations in alite grain size (Figures 49 [b], [c] and [d]). Fused alite grains were also observed (Figure 48 [b]) while the formation of sub-micron belite grains and C₃A grains of various shapes and sizes was seen (Figure 48 [b]).
Ck12 showed moderate development of the various clinker phases, with an inhomogeneous distribution and a high porosity. There was also a marked increase in the amount of liquid phase present compared to Ck\textsubscript{Ref}. The majority of the alite grains were pseudo hexagonal with rough profiles (Figure 49 [a]). Fine grained polygonal belite grains were commonly observed on the edges of alite grains (Figure 49 [b]) present in various polymorphic forms as small clusters and surrounded by liquid phase. Many polygonal alite grains\textsuperscript{185} also developed in the clinker (Figure 49 [e]) with no change in alite grain size.

Sub-micro sized grains of both alite and belite developed on the edges of alite phenocrysts (Figure 49 [c]). Most of the belite grains were well-developed (Figure 49 [e]) with smooth grain edges. In several instances, liquid phase (Figure 49 [d] and [e]) remained on the edges of rounded belite grains. There was considerable variation in belite grain size.
The phases in Ck55 were moderately developed and inhomogeneously distributed, with the majority of the alite grains being hexagonal to pseudo hexagonal\(^{180,186–188}\), with sharp grain boundaries (Figures 51 [a], [b] and [c]). Numerous polygonal alite grains\(^{189}\) had also developed. In addition, numerous globular\(^{190}\) belite inclusions (Figure 50 [d]) were present in alite phenocrysts.

It could also be seen that the transformation of belite into alite did not reach equilibrium (Figures 51 [e] and [f]). There were also many fused alite grains in all the nodules. This indicates a large variation in carbonate composition in the raw mix\(^{119}\). Significantly, the percentage of fused alite grains increased with increasing OBM cutting content. This supports the observations made earlier regarding the nature of the carbonate in the limestone and OBM cutting.

**Figure 49** Photomicrographs of clinker Ck12
Figure 50 Photomicrographs of clinker Ck55
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Chapter 7: The effect of OBM cutting on cement properties and hydration

The previous chapter showed that Portland cement clinker could be produced with OBM cutting included within the raw meal. However, it is also important to understand the cement hydration behaviour of the resultant clinker.

To obtain comparable results, the cement clinker was ground to a similar fineness and blended with the same quality and quantity of gypsum. This allowed an understanding as to whether OBM cutting ratio had an impact on cement hydration.

Cement hydration was studied by many techniques such as isothermal conduction calorimetry (ICC) and simultaneous thermal analysis (STA). The mechanical strength was determined and compared with the standard specification implemented in Oman (OS7/2001). Also, the physical testing such as setting time, Blaine, soundness and density were measured. Using XRD complemented with SEM-EDX analyses of polished cross-sections, the major hydrate phases were studied. The SEM of the clinker was also observed to understand if there were any significant changes to the microstructure of the main phases, which may influence the cement hydration behaviour. The degree of hydration (DoH) was obtained by applying grey level segmentation based on the histogram of BSE images to quantify elements in polished section of cement samples using SEM-BSE analytical technique. Finally, the main hydrated products such as C-S-H and CH were identified and correlated to other findings, if any.

7.1 Physical and mechanical properties

Oman has its own cement standard\textsuperscript{105} (OS 7/2001) which specify only one type of cement, namely that used for general construction purposes and specified in many other standards such as BS/EN\textsuperscript{191} (197-1 for type I) and ASTM\textsuperscript{106,192} (C 150 type I). The cement types are defined by their chemical composition and physical/mechanical performance which are presented in

Table 15.
**Table 15** Summary for the OPC standards: Oman Standard\textsuperscript{105}, BS EN\textsuperscript{191} and ASTM\textsuperscript{192}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Test</th>
<th>OS 7/2001</th>
<th>BS EN 197-1-2011 CEM I 42.5N</th>
<th>ASTM C150/150m-18 Type I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemical Analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$ %</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$ %</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$ %</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO %</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO %</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O %</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O %</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR %</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI %</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_3$ %</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl %</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalies %</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_3$S %</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_2$S %</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_3$A %</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_4$AF %</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C$_3$A+C$_4$AF %</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSF</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Physical Tests** | | | |
| --- | N/mm$^2$ | N/mm$^2$ | MPa |
| Blaine M$^2$/Kg | -- | -- | -- | 280 |
| Air content volume % | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Soundness 10 mm | -- | 10 mm | -- | 0.80 % | -- |
| Setting time in Minutes | Initial | -- | 60 | -- | 45.00 |
| | Final | 600 | -- | -- | 375 |
| Heat of Hydration in KJ/Kg (7days) | -- | -- | -- | -- |

| Compressive Strength | N/mm$^2$ |
| 2/3 days* | -- 10.00 |
| 2 days | -- 10.00 |
| 3 days | -- 12.00 |
| 7 days | -- -- |
| 28 days | -- 42.50 |
| 62.50 | 42.50 |

*MaO% content in Portland clinker used for manufacturing cement
* grinded without limestone addition
* grinded with limestone addition
The chemical composition limits in OS are similar to those in BS/EN standard except regarding MgO, insoluble residue (IR), and C₃A content. In addition, OS specifies the range of cement LSF and AM factors. The OS has more restrictions when compared to BS/EN. For example, the highest allowed IR and C₃A in the cement are 1.5% and 9.00% respectively. Prescription of low IR value in OS compared to BS/EN limits the ratio of additives such as limestone during cement grinding process to below 3%. In contrast, 5% of additives (such as limestone) could be added during clinker grinding in BS/EN standard. In addition, IR is essential parameter to control the quality of gypsum. The lower quality (purity) of gypsum the higher % of IR which impacts the strength of cement and setting time. Also, it may require high quality of clinker in term of C₃S content and low free lime content to keep the IR result within allowable values and maintain 28 day strength above 42.5 N/mm². Since the OBM cutting is added as part of the raw materials, it has no effect on the ratio of clinker and additives used during the grinding and production of cement. The cement obtained using the OBM cutting is meeting the standard requirement and fulfilling the chemical and physical/mechanical limits used in all samples obtained (e.g. 12 and 55%) as shown in Table 16.

The soundness (expansion test) of the prepared cement increased as OBM cutting content increased (Table 16). Higher expansion could be due to several reasons, such as i) high free lime content in clinker (above 2%) or ii) high MgO content in cement (above 3%)¹⁴⁹. The free lime contents in all samples were kept below 2% (Figure 43), thus this is probably not a reason for higher expansion results. Therefore, the significant contribution for the expansion may be attributed to the presence of a high concentration of MgO (periclase) in cement prepared with higher OBM cutting contents in the raw meal. The prepared cement showed MgO levels below the maximum limits in standards (OS 7/2001, BS EN 197-1 and ASTM C 150-99a Type I).

The MgO that is not combined in clinker phases appears as periclase¹⁹³–¹⁹⁵. Most specifications limits MgO in cement in the range 3-6 %¹⁶². In some standards, including European ones, periclase (MgO) is limited to 5% maximum because of its potential expansive reactions with aggregates¹⁶³. Higher contents in cement lead to long-term expansion. This is because of the slow hydration companied with expansion in concrete at late ages according to the following chemical reaction¹⁴⁹, ¹⁹⁷.

$$MgO \text{ (periclase)} + H_2O \rightarrow Mg(OH)_2 \text{ (brucite)}$$
The source of MgO in the cement is likely the clinker as a result of its high concentration in the raw materials. Limestone can contain magnesium in many forms, such as dolomite (CaMg(CO$_3$)$_2$). Alternatively, it may be found as magnesite (MgCO$_3$). In addition, other sources could be from the grinding media during the grinding process in a ball mill, or MgO contamination from added additives during cement grinding such as limestone and gypsum. Since all parameters were similar during testing and sample preparation, the only difference is the OBM cutting % in each sample. The MgO % in the as-received OBM cutting is 2.22%, which is the highest of all the raw materials, as shown in Table 6. The magnesium was present as dolomite in the OBM cutting found, as seen from the XRD analysis presented in Figure 22. The MgO content in the clinker samples increased, as shown in the previous chapter in Figure 42.

Hence, higher soundness in the cement sample of 55 % OBM cutting is more likely due to the higher content of MgO coming from OBM cutting. The SEM-EDX analysis also confirms the presence of MgO in cements prepared with 30% and above OBM cutting (Figure 51). The energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) confirmed the presence of periclase, as shown in the spectra c & f in Figure 51. Seen in the figure as black and grey polygonal and circular clusters shape with clear borders.

According to the literature, this could be explained because Mg$^{2+}$ can replace Ca$^{2+}$ in major clinker phases such as C$_3$S and C$_2$S when MgO concentration in the raw meal is up to 1.5%$^{198}$. Also, it has been reported that C$_2$S, C$_3$A, and C$_4$AF can incorporate in its crystal lattice up to 0.5, 2 and 4.4% MgO respectively$^{149,199}$. At equilibrium, the solubilities of MgO in the liquid phase is much higher than the concentrations of MgO replacement, which is reported in the range of 5 - 5.5%. The highest quantity of MgO that can be incorporated within clinker phases is dependent on the quantity of liquid phase formed, and the clinkerization temperature. The higher the clinkerization temperature, the more MgO is dissolved in the liquid phase and hence the higher amount of MgO crystallising as periclase at the cooling stage$^{114}$. Therefore, periclase is formed during the clinker burning process in the thermal decomposition reaction of magnesium-containing carbonates such as dolomite (CaMgCO$_3$) and magnesite (MgCO$_3$). This partly dissolves in the liquid phase as temperature increases and some remains within clinker phases such as C$_3$S and C$_2$S. In fact, the SEM EDX shows MgO largely within the liquid phase as shown in Figure 51 d. Particles of MgO grains are
within the liquid phase, in agreement with the literature\textsuperscript{198,149,199}. The fineness of the raw meal influences the distribution of MgO between the liquid phases and clinker phases of the raw meal\textsuperscript{166–169}.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure51.png}
\caption{SEM images and EDS spectra of clinker samples containing 30\% (a, b & d) and 55\% (d, e & f) OBM cuttings.}
\end{figure}

The black and dark grey particles represent MgO.
Blaine (or fineness) and particle size was purposely kept consistent during clinker lab grinding to remain within the industry standard and meet the OS, i.e. falling in the range 319 – 329 m²/Kg. This helped to ensure that any differences were due to changes in hydration chemistry.

Setting time results are presented in Table 16 and show no significant change between the samples with OBM cutting and the reference sample. The initial setting time is 137 minutes for the reference sample and 126 minutes and 131 minutes for the 12% and 55% OBM cutting, respectively. The results therefore meet the OS specification.

Density is obtained for the purpose to be used in the calculation of the hydration degree. It is in fact, not a strictly a quality parameter in cement manufacturing. The density of Portland cement is ranging between 3.10 – 3.25 g/mL. The cement prepared has the density as shown in Table 16.

**Table 16** Physical test results for the prepared cement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Test</th>
<th>Cm\text{Ind}</th>
<th>Cm\text{Ref}</th>
<th>Cm-12%</th>
<th>Cm-55%</th>
<th>OS*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Setting Time</strong> (±0.02)</td>
<td>Initial, min: 183</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>45 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final, min:</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>600 max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soundness Lechat</strong> (±0.01) mm</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>10 max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blaine Fineness</strong> (Blaine Air Permeability) m²/Kg</td>
<td>319 ±1.03</td>
<td>325 ±1.87</td>
<td>329 ±0.84</td>
<td>324 ±0.75</td>
<td>225 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Particle size</strong></td>
<td>&lt; 45 micron 14.6</td>
<td>13.30</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>16.60</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 90 micron</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Density</strong> by Le Chatelier (±0.008) g / mL</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*OS: Omani Standard for Portland cement production*¹⁰⁵
An indirect measure of cement hydration is the development of compressive strength, where standards stipulate mortar test specimens arranged, cured and tested according to the testing standard. There are three factors responsible for developing the strength. Namely formation of hydrated phases, non-hydrated residual and porosity. Compressive strengths were measured on mortars cured at 20 °C in a distilled water chamber.

Compressive strength increased with hydration for 2, 7 and 28 days, as shown in Figure 52. At two days, the two reference samples (Cm_{ind} and Cm_{Ref}) showed slightly lower strengths than the OBM cutting cement ones (12% and 55%). By 7 days, the strengths of all samples increased and the OBM-containing remained slightly stronger than the reference samples. At 28 days, Cm_{t-12%} was the strongest, with no significant difference between others. With alite being the principal phase responsible for later-age strength, given the minimal difference in alite contents Table 11 between the samples, the similarity in performance is not surprising.

![Compressive strength development over time of the prepared cement.](image)

It is possible that the slight variations in Blaine fineness could explain the slight differences in strength. Fineness plays a dominant role in compressive strength development, with higher fineness leading to higher strength due to cement grains having more surface area exposed during hydration\(^{203}\).
There was a linear correlation between 28 day compressive strength and Blaine fineness, with an $R^2$ of 0.9795 as shown in Figure 53. Thus, the slight difference in strength may be explained by variations in cement fineness only and the incorporation of OBM cutting within the clinker does not appear to affect strength development. The cement sample with 12% and 55% OBM cutting shows 28 day compressive strength that conforming to the Omani standard and other international cement standar such as EN/BS.

![Figure 53 Blaine fineness vs compressive strength.](image)

There is a wide range of factors that affect the compressive strength of cement, especially in the early period, including the fineness of cement, the ratio of gypsum (calcium sulfate), the chemical composition, and the water-to-cement ratio. It is noted that the reference sample $C_{m\text{Ref}}$ is the least in compressive strength compared to other samples, with the exception of the industrial sample $C_{m\text{Ind}}$. There is no apparent reason for this difference in strength results. Still, it is noted that the results of the examination are very close, and this difference in results may be the result of laboratory errors.
### 7.2 Hydration and microstructure

The major microstructure of hardened cement paste could be summarised as comprising five main features\(^{197}\) as mentioned below and explained chemically by the subsequent equations.

- Calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) comprising about 50% of the paste.
- Calcium hydroxide, Ca(OH)\(_2\) (known also as portlandite) is the second product formed during hydration, comprising about 12% of the paste.
- Monosulfate hydrates and its derivatives, comprising about 13%.
- Pores contributing about 20% of the paste volume.
- Anhydrous clinker phases which constitute about 5%.

\[
3\text{CaO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2 + 5.3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 1.7\text{CaO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 1.3\text{Ca(OH)}_2 \quad \text{Equation 10}
\]

\[
2\text{CaO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2 + 4.3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 1.7\text{CaO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 0.3\text{Ca(OH)}_2 \quad \text{Equation 11}
\]

The composition calcium-silicate-hydrate (C-S-H) is assumed to be 1.7CaO.\text{SiO}_2.4\text{H}_2\text{O} in Equation 10 and Equation 11, however, the ratio of Ca/Si varies between 0.83 – 2.00 depend on many factors such as water ratio\(^{204}\).

Ettringite is a calcium sulphoaluminate hydrate complex compound, 3CaO.\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3.3\text{CaSO}_4.32\text{H}_2\text{O}, which has composition of hexagonal prismatic shape. The octahedral column is consist of [Al(OH)]\(_6\)\(^{3-}\) linked to [Ca\(_6\).\text{Al}_2(OH)\(_{12}\)]\(^{6+}\).[3SO\(_4^{2-}\).26H\(_2\)O]\(^{6-}\) while in between the columns are linked by water and sulphate. The water between the column is lost at above 100 °C while the water from the dihydroxylation of aluminium hydroxide is lost at temperature range from 200 – 400 °C\(^{205}\).

Figures 54 to 57 show the SEM images of Portland cement samples hydrated for 2, 7 and 28 days. Respective XRD plots are shown in Figures 58 to 61. The micrographs show a combination of the features described above. Anhydrous material appears white while pores are black. The hydrate phases appear grey. After two days the pastes are dominated by anhydrous material and pores, although hydrates could be seen. The most commonly observed hydrates are portlandite (CH), with a light grey colour, and outer product C-S-H, with a dark grey colour. At later ages, namely seven days hydration, the inner product C-S-H further increased in presence and encircled the hydrated larger grains. With increasing hydration, the total pore is reduced. Eventually, after 28 days hydration, all investigated cement samples showed similar
microstructures, as illustrated by the SEM images and XRD plots. The major clinker phases are consumed, with corresponding increases in different hydration products. XRD showed that ettringite reduced over time, with no observation of monocarbonate nor monosulfate formation. It is expected to observe no formation of monocarbonate (AFm) in all hydrated cement samples including reference and industrial sample because the monocarbonate results from the hydration of C₃A with CaCO₃ from limestone that added in the cement during the grinding. However, in this research, the cement prepared has no limestone added. Therefore, the hydration process will not expose to CaCO₃, hence no monocarbonate formed expected during the hydration process.

The amount of ettringite in hydrated cement is depend on the ratio of gypsum to C₃A content. At low ratio (3/2), AFt is formed at early hydration and then convert to monosulfate phase (AFm). When gypsum to C₃A ratio is high, the monosulfate is unlikely to be formed.

There was no significant effect on the crystal phases formed upon hydration at 2, 7 and 28 days, despite the greater potential of magnesite (MgCO₃) formation which is observed in samples Cm-12% and Cm-55% as shown in Figure 60 and Figure 61. In all investigated samples the AFm (Ettringite) is formed which is visible at 2 days hydration, while no formation observed in all investigated cement sample for Aft (monocarboaluminate nor monosulfate) at all hydration ages. The formation of AFt is highly depend on the C₃A content in cement. With high C₃A content in cement, the monocarboaluminate appears at early age of hydration, probably is visible on 7 days of hydration.

The analysis by optical microscopy for the clinker phases analysis (Table 14) shows an increase in C₃A content as the OBM cutting increase. The C₃A content in CkRef., Ck12 and Ck55 are 12, 16 and 17% respectively.
**Figure 54** SEM image of Cmt\textsubscript{ind} hydrated for 2, 7 and 28 days
Figure 55 SEM image of Cmt_{Ref} hydrated for 2, 7 and 28 days
Figure 56 SEM image of Cmt12% hydrated for 2, 7 and 28 days
Figure 57 SEM image of Cmt55% hydrated for 2, 7 and 28 days
**Figure 58** XRD analysis of anhydrous C₃₅Ind and up to 28 days.

*W/C ratio 0.45*

**Figure 59** SEM and XRD analysis of anhydrous C₃₅Ref. and up to 28 days.

*W/C ratio 0.45*
Figure 60 SEM and XRD analysis of anhydrous Cm-12% and up to 28 days.

W/C ratio 0.45

Figure 61 SEM and XRD analysis of anhydrous Cm-55% and up to 28 days.

W/C ratio 0.45
Figures 63 and 64 further highlight depletion of the clinker phases during hydration and formation of new crystalline hydrate phases. In all samples AFm phases were not detected. The XRD patterns for all hydrated cement samples showed similar behaviour. Ettringite (AFT) and C₄AF were observed with the intensity of reflections from the latter diminishing with time. Between 2 to 28 days the intensity of the main clinker phase reflections decrease with growth in reflections due to hydrates. The amount of AFT formed depends on the ratio of sulphates (added during grinding) and the C₃A content of the clinker. At high ratio of C₃A to CaSO₃·2H₂O, the AFT is unlikely to convert to AFm. In this investigation, the % of gypsum added during the cement grinding of all samples are fixed at about 6% as mentioned in Table 8 in Section 4.3.4. The XRD results is confirming that AFm is not observed in all ages of cement hydration. The rate of reaction between calcium sulfate and C₃A depends on the C₃A polymorph and concentration (C₃A cubic, orthorhombic or monoclinic modification). However, all polymorphs undergo the same reaction. The initial hydration reaction is that between C₃A and calcium sulfate in the presence of water which releases significant heat according to the reaction below:

\[
C₃A + 3CaSO₃·2H₂O + 26H₂O \rightarrow 3CaO·Al₂O₃·3CaSO₄·32H₂O \tag{12}
\]

After all the calcium sulfate has been consumed, any unreacted C₃A will react with the AFT and be converted to AFm:

\[
2C₃A + CaO·Al₂O₃·3CaSO₄·32H₂O + 4H₂O \rightarrow 3CaO·Al₂O₃·CaSO₄·12H₂O \tag{13}
\]

As seen from Table 11, the C₃A content calculated according to Bogue formula in clinker samples Ref, 12% and 55% are 7.94, 7.77 and 6.74 % respectively. Connecting the results obtained from XRD of hydrated cement and the C₃A content in clinker which showed no indication of AFm formation in the hydrated cement in all samples, therefore, the C₃A seems to be consumed to produce the AFT only. It is possibly that there is no C₃A left after the formation of AFT and hence no additional heat released after the first hydration reaction completed. This is could be an explanation for the similarity of the heat rate shown for the all samples.

The hydration reaction of C₃S is associated with formation of calcium hydroxide (CH) which precipitates in the form of portlandite and is dispersed within the hardened
The XRD patterns for the hydrated cement (Figure 63) clearly indicates the formation of CH in all samples, with growing intensity as the time passes.

Figure 62 XRD Patterns from 8 to 14° 2θ showing ettringite formation and the C₄AF peak.

Figure 63 XRD Patterns from 31 to 35° 2θ.

7.3 Thermal Analysis (STA)

Figure 64 shows the DTG of hydrated cement for 28 days. The results obtained show two dehydroxylation reactions at around 465 °C. Brucite (Mg(OH)₂) and portlandite (Ca(OH)₂) decomposes to oxide and water at about 420 and 460 °C respectively. The decomposition temperature seen in all hydrated cement samples could be
recognised to decomposition of portlandite. However, the small shoulder adjust to the portlandite peak at 460 °C is unlikely to be brucite. The XRD patterns of the cement at all hydrated ages dose not show any present of formation of brucite. If this was brucite, than would expect to observe a more prevalent peak with increasing OBM cutting content in the DTA analysis at 420 °C as per the literature\textsuperscript{115}. It is more likely that it is microcrystalline formed during hydration.
Figure 64 DTA plots from 20 to 950 °C for 2, 7 and 28 day pastes.
7.4 Heat of hydration by ICC

As soon as water comes into contact with cement, hydration starts and heat is released according to the reaction occurring. Different clinker phases release different amount of heat during their hydration\textsuperscript{197,213}. The most heat evolved is upon hydration of C\textsubscript{3}S. Cement goes through five stages during the hydration, as shown in in Figure 65. There is an initial reaction lasting a few minutes (0-15 minutes) in which the calcium ions dissolve in water. This is followed by an induction period lasting from 15 minutes to 4 hours where the overall rate of hydration slows down there is minimal heat released. There then follows the acceleration period (4-8 hours) where the main exothermic peak occurs as a result of alite hydration and formation of calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) and portlandite (CH). Finally, there is a deceleration period (8-24 hours) where hydration continues, but slowly.

![Diagram showing the stages of cement hydration](image)

**Figure 65** An example of different stages of cement hydration based on heat evolution.
Isothermal calorimetry was conducted to determine the hydration kinetics. Figure 66 and Table 17 show the heat evolution over 21 days for each sample. There was generally no significant difference in the time to onset of the acceleration period (Figure 66). All the results showed one main peak, associated with alite hydration to form calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) and portlandite (CH). The highest peak is for the cement containing 55% OBM cutting; appearing after 11.02 hours. The second highest peak is for Cm-12%; after 11.63 hours. Followed by CmRef. and CmInd. at 11.88 and 12.12 hours respectively. Then, the heat rate slowed down gradually and reached very low values within few days.

It has been reported in the literature\textsuperscript{214}, but not seen in this investigation, that a shoulder can appear on the tailing edge of the main peak, due to AFt formation. A second shoulder can also appear due to conversion of AFt to AFm\textsuperscript{213}.

In terms of total heat evolution, there were very little difference between the four cement samples. However, during the first 12 hours of hydration there were slight differences in heat evolution, there being a more intense peak, at shorter time with increasing OBM cutting content. Compared to CmRef, relative heat release from samples Cm-12% and Cm-55% were 116% and 129% respectively.

Over the next 24 hours, all samples showed a decrease in heat evolution, in line with the literature\textsuperscript{215} as the hydration reaction entered the deceleration stage. The upper part Figure 66 shows the total heat evolved for the 4 samples investigated. The total heat released was in the range 247 to 256 J/g at 21 days with maximum 3% difference with the industrial sample. There is no much to compare, since all results shows very close values from each other and comparing with the reference and industrial cement. It is difficult to draw conclusions from the ICC analysis due to the large convergence of results. And it seems that all the cement samples give very similar results, without any clear differences in the heat released upon hydration. Thus, it may be concluded that the inclusion of OBM cuttings in cement raw meal gives properties very close to the reference cement (CmRef) and industrial cement (CmInd).
Table 17 The main heat results from ICC test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cement paste</th>
<th>Age of hydration peak</th>
<th>Intensity of hydration peak</th>
<th>Total heat evolved (after 21 days of hydration)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measured* (hrs)</td>
<td>Relative value (%)</td>
<td>Measured* (mW/g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CmRef.</td>
<td>11.88 ±1.47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.71 ±1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CmInd</td>
<td>12.12 ±2.03</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.67 ±2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm-12%</td>
<td>11.63 ±1.30</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.98 ±1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm-55%</td>
<td>11.02 ±1.40</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>2.2 ±1.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Measurement of three independent samples, Measurement error is standard division (SD).
Figure 66 Isothermal Calorimetry results showing rate of heat evolution and total cumulative heat to 21 days
7.5 Degree of hydration (DoH)

Degree of hydration (DoH) can be defined as the fraction of Portland cement that has fully reacted with water\textsuperscript{216}. The volume segment of a specified phase is identical to the average surface portion in a 2D microstructure if the number of sections analysed is large enough to be statistically representative\textsuperscript{217}. It is therefore possible to apply grey level segmentation based on the histogram of BSE images to quantify features in polished section of cement samples\textsuperscript{114}.

Figure 67 shows the degree of hydration (DoH) calculated by measuring the variation in area of the anhydrous component over time\textsuperscript{218}. The standard error (SE) is used to estimate the error in measurement rather than the standard deviation (SD) among the images which are reported in Appendix 10. The SE calculated according to the following equation.

\[
SE = \frac{SD}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (n = \text{number of images measured})
\]

At all ages up to 28 days, the Cm\textsubscript{ind} samples exhibited a higher degree of hydration, as seen in Figure 67. Except for at 2 days where hydration was lower than the reference sample. This is expected due to that the industrial cement is prepared under different conditions than the laboratory cement (including better homogenisation, consistence quality, using grinding aids etc). Further, the DoH of Cm-12\% and Cm-55\% after 2 days curing was found respectively 62.03 and 59.30 \% which are lower when compared with the reference sample (66.03\%). The Cm-55\% showed a marginally lower degree of hydration at all ages than the other samples, while the reference sample showed the highest degree of hydration at all ages. The cement with OBM cutting generally showed slightly lower degrees of hydration than the reference cement. The compressive strength and CH content are demonstrated in Figures 70 and 71. The degree of hydration, based on the compressive strength and CH results, did not improve in cement that prepared using OBM cutting. Although, compressive strength of Cm-12\% at 28 days increase when compared with other results, still, all DoH results at 28 days fall within 5\% difference range considering the standard errors is less than 1\%. Additionally, as demonstrated earlier in section 5.3 that alite content in clinker decreasing as the OBM cuttings content increasing (Figure 26). In parallel, the DoH in hydrated cement is decreasing as the OBM cutting increases. This is likely
have a direct impact of degree of hydration of the prepared cement suggesting that continued clinker phase hydration was minimal as the OBM cutting increases.

**Figure 67** Degree of hydration obtained by SEM BSE vs time
Chapter 8

Impact of using OBM cuttings in cement industries
Chapter 8: Impact of using OBM cutting in cement industry

8.1 Recycling in non-cement industry

In March 2017, a treatment concept was introduced in Oman that utilized OBM cuttings to a small degree. The OBM cuttings are treated in the Aero Thermal Dissolution Unit (ATDU). The treatment recovers the oil from OBM cuttings by heating the OBM cuttings to about 400°C under atmospheric conditions, as illustrated in Figure 68. This treatment creates three main products: oil, water and solid OBM cuttings. The recovered oil is reused in the OBM preparation plant. About 200 Ltr. can be used in the OBM preparation. The ATDU process can recover just under 1 wt% of OBM cuttings as oil. This is because the optimum temperature balance between water evaporation and oil recovery is approximately 400 °C. The water produced from the recycling process is stored in an open lagoon. The majority of solid OBM cuttings, with moisture below 0.01%, is stored in a special landfill without further utilization or treatment. However, this method of treatment using the ATDU does not completely solve the problem. The by-product of the treatment process remains the same; it requires special handling and storing in an engineered storage yard that can protect the ground from any spillage. It is especially harmful to the environment because the material is extremely fine and difficult to handle, as can be seen in Figure 69. It needs a closed handling system to prevent dust emissions. Figure 70 shows the quantities of OBM cuttings treated in the ATDU for a period of two years with the recovered oil quantities. The recovered oil is used again to prepare fresh OBM for drilling operations.

There are some difficulties for treating the OBM cutting using this unit, which include:

- The effort of recycling is too high compared to the output. The recovered oil is only 1% under ideal operating conditions.
- The operating cost is too high compared with the economic value of the output.
- The by-product from this treatment is still considered a hazardous material that must be handled in accordance with the regulations regarding environmental and health conditions.
- The gas emissions caused by heating to a low temperature (400°C), when compared to the burning temperature in a cement kiln, are not enough to break
down all the hydrocarbons to \( \text{CO}_2 \) and water. The exhaust gases are expected to have higher unburned hydrocarbon contents.

**Figure 68** Aero Thermal Dissolution Unit (ATDU) for thermal treatment of OBM cuttings.
Figure 69 Two types of OBM cuttings collected from storage yard.
(a) Treated OBM cuttings in ATDU, and (b) untreated OBM cuttings

The cost of separating and recycling the oil is summarised in Table 18. In option one, the OBM cutting is treated by the ATDU oil recovery method. In option two, it is used as raw material in cement clinker manufacturing. The third option combines options one and two so that the raw OBM cutting from drilling operations goes directly to the ATDU unit for oil recovery. The output of the OBM cutting from the ATDU is then recycled as raw material through the cement manufacturing process. The cost of option one is about 195 OMR per tonne of OBM cutting to recover 1% of oil for each tonne of treated OBM cutting. This includes the cost of transporting materials from the drilling field to the treatment plant. The recovered oil also has costs of handling, transporting and mixing with fresh oil.

After considering all these costs, when comparing the feasibility of recycling OBM cuttings by the ATDU or utilizing it as raw material in cement manufacturing, the latter is clearly more feasible and requires less effort. However, the OBM cutting produced from the ATDU is attractive for further study as a raw material in cement manufacturing and an additive in cement grinding. The OBM cutting contain clay that comes from the ground during the drilling process; if thermally treated, this clay could be converted to material that has pozzolanic behaviour in cement hydration.
Table 18 Cost calculation for OBM cuttings recycling options
(S. Al-Aghbari, personal communications, May 7th 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Option 1</th>
<th>Option 2</th>
<th>Options 1+2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oil recovery</td>
<td></td>
<td>As raw material in clinker production</td>
<td>1) Oil recovery As raw material in cement production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital investment, OMR</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>175,000</td>
<td>425,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation and Maintenance, OMR/T</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation OMR/T</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage, OMR/T</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of oil recovered*, OMR/T</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage of treated OBM cuttings, OMR/T</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handling &amp; feeding of OBM cuttings</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental monitoring</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>195 OMR/T</td>
<td>30 OMR/T</td>
<td>125 OMR/T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Each 1 T OBM cuttings give 1% oil which is about 1 Kg oil. The price of 1Kg oil is 10 OMR. Therefore, the saving from oil recovery is 10 OMR for recycling of each tonne of OBM cuttings. The currency 1 OMR is equal to 2.6 USD at the time of calculation.
Figure 70 Quantities of treated OBM cuttings using ATDU and oil recovered\textsuperscript{29}
8.2 Oman Cement Company case

The Oman Cement Company produces 2.6 million tonnes of cement annually. It requires about 2.47 million tonnes of clinker (5% additives + 95% clinker). About 4.1 million tonnes of raw materials required annually for clinker consumption. Furthermore, an extension of this factory to a new location\textsuperscript{219} in Oman will require even more raw materials. The present factory has three production kilns line. Kiln 1 and 2 is producing 2,700 and 2,000 tonne per day clinker respectively. Kiln 3 is producing 4,000 tonne per day equivalent to 1.2 million tonne annually (considering 300 days operation annually). The annual requirement of raw materials for kiln line 3 is about 2 million tonne. The volume of OBM cuttings expected to be produced by 2020 (as shown in Table 19) is double the present production rate which is sufficient to be 100 % consumed by cement industry in Oman if 12% of OBM cutting is used to replace raw materials.

Table 19 The estimated OBM cutting production and the rate of growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Production, ×1000 T</th>
<th>Rate growing, ×1000 TPY</th>
<th>% growing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018*</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019*</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020*</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>109.33</td>
<td>18.94</td>
<td>15.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Estimated quantities

Three important aspects of handling OBM cuttings must be considered when deciding whether to use them in cement manufacture. First, they must be transported from the generation site at the oil well field to the cement factory. Although internationally and, according to their OBM Safety Data Sheet, OBM cuttings are not regulated as a dangerous good. However, in Oman, the Ministry of Environment and Climate Affairs does classify them as a dangerous material. Hence, all aspects of handling OBM cuttings must be performed in accordance with the procedures required for dangerous substances. To ensure OBM cuttings are transported safely and avoid spilling them on the ground during transportation, the truck must (1) have an open top and a
container that is sealed without any gaps to avoid leaching and (2) carry no more than 30 tonnes of OBM cuttings at any given time. Handling the cuttings after they arrive at the cement factory is also regulated to avoid environmental problems, such as soil and water pollution. Once the truck arrives at the cement plant, the OBM cuttings are unloaded onto a concreted area adjacent to the raw material feeding point.

There are several suggestions regarding feeding point of OBM cuttings in cement plant. First, OBM cuttings should be mixed with limestone before they are sent to the crusher (mixed with limestone and then combined with other raw materials, such as quartzo-phillite, iron ore, and kaolin) as shown Figure 71. After being mixed, ground, and homogenised, the OBM cuttings become raw meal that is (1) introduced to the heating system at the pre-heater tower from the top and (2) calcined at about 850 °C at the stage before entering the kiln. As the raw meal is falling by gravity into the pre-heater tower, a flow of hot gases is directed against the flow of the material. These gases are directed to the baghouse for filtration and to remove dust, and then they are released as exhaust from the chimney Figure 72. The gases are mainly CO₂ produced during the calcination process and fuel burning. At the top of the pre-heater tower, hydrocarbons from the OBM cuttings are initially exposed to temperatures in the range of 280–350 °C. However, this temperature range is not hot enough for the complete combustion of the hydrocarbons, which may lead to an increase in the amount of unburned compounds, such as hydrocarbons, NOx, and SOx, released into the atmosphere²²⁰. However, the concentration of unburned compounds depends on the kiln system’s many operational conditions and the chemistry of the raw materials.

The second suggestion (introducing OBM cutting at the calciner) is an extension of the first and has several advantages and disadvantages. For example, a higher percentage of OBM cuttings could be utilised because the hydrocarbons can undergo complete combustion at higher temperatures in the calciner. The release of unburned compounds is expected to be reduced because the exhaust gases take a longer path⁷⁹. However, this step requires a special conveyor system and feeding system that can control the amount of OBM cuttings introduced to the calciner integrated with the quality control system. This option is expected to limit the amount of OBM cuttings that can be utilised. In addition, technical consideration to be taken during the kiln operation. Possible variations in several parameters during the feeding of OBM cutting through the calciner include moisture content, flame shape changing and calciner rise.
in carbon monoxide\textsuperscript{221}. The arrangement for feeding through the calciner is illustrated in Figure 73.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{cement_kiln_diagram}
\caption{Main source of emissions from the cement kiln system.}
\end{figure}
Figure 72 Proposed feeding points of OBM cutting.

Figure 73 Arrangement for feeding OBM cutting at the calciner.

(after Mouayed M.⁴²²)

8.3 Impact of introducing OBM cutting to Oman Cement Company as additional raw material

The Oman Cement Company plant is located very close to high-quality limestone containing 90–95% pure CaCO₃ with sufficient reserves to produce cement clinker for at least 100 years. Furthermore, the plant is adjacent to two additional raw materials,
iron ore and quartzo-phillite (QPh). However, QPh has two limitations. First, it has a high free silica content, which makes it difficult to grind and so increases the cost. Second, the reserve of QPh is very small and insufficient for future production needs, which will require the company to search for an alternative substitute sources. In addition, while its purity can be considered a positive, the limestone in Oman Cement Company quarry is deficient in alumina ($\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ content in limestone 0.62 %wt. ±0.19, [Appendix 15B]), requiring the company to use an expensive alumina source material as a corrective raw material, such as bauxite or kaolin. Bauxite does not occur naturally in Oman, so is imported. However, kaolin is available in limited quantities, but the reserves are located far from the plant. The cost of kaolin (mining and transportation) is ten times the cost of limestone, which makes the raw material costly.

Introducing alternative material to the Oman Cement Company plant, such as OBM cuttings, may provide material that supports the raw material currently being used. The chemical composition and characteristics of OBM cuttings are an advantage and could replace QPh. The Rm-55% sample, which contains 55% OBM cuttings, would be ideal for cement manufacturing. It has zero QPh and minimum kaolin consumption (0.50% kaolin). The reference sample of the raw meal contains 4.70% kaolin, which means OBM cuttings have almost 9-times less kaolin. The industrial raw meal sample contains about 5.65% kaolin. Furthermore, the iron ore % needed in the raw mix to prepare the Rm-55% also dropped to 1.50% (Table 7)

Table 7. This approach should be viewed favourably by the cement plant as it would save the company operational and raw material costs. The cement prepared from raw material that contains the highest percentage of OBM cuttings (i.e., 55%) meets the clinker manufacturing requirements. Furthermore, the calcination temperature required to decompose $\text{CaCO}_3$ decreases as the OBM cuttings are added and, thus, reduces fuel consumption. In fact, 60% of fuel consumption in the clinkerisation process occurs during calcination. Thus, less fuel would be needed, which would have a direct impact on decreasing the plant’s $\text{CO}_2$ emissions and lowering the production cost. However, looking considering the quantity of OBM cutting produced from the oil filed, seeing the oil operation growth in coming years, the amount of OBM cutting is not sufficient to sustain cement production using OBM cutting at 55% level. Consequently, 12% could be a better choice.
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9.1 Introduction

OBM cuttings are wastes from the oil drilling process and are polluted with oil, making them a potentially hazardous waste that should not be released into the environment. OBM cuttings have numerous characteristics that could be utilised in the cement industry. The cuttings all contain oxides which are essential in cement manufacture. Utilization of cuttings in cement manufacture will provide an environmentally friendly waste management solution for this potentially hazardous waste.

The objective of this study was to create background knowledge concerning the effect of using OBM cuttings as raw material in cement manufacture. This study provides momentum for the oil and cement sectors to seize a recycling opportunity and maximise the utilisation of resources and by-products, such as OBM cuttings.

Clinker was prepared in a laboratory with different OBM cutting contents. The resultant clinker was tested by XRD, XRF, SEM-EDX, and for free lime. The clinkers were ground with gypsum using a tube ball mill to produce cement. The cement was tested according to appropriate standards (i.e., mechanical, physical, and chemical testing) and, in addition, the hydration behaviour was investigated by (ICC) and (STA).

9.2 OBM cutting

XRD analysis of the OBM cuttings found that the OBM contains mainly calcite (CaCO₃), dolomite (CaMg(CO₃)₂), quartz (SiO₂), iron oxide (Fe₂O₃) and barite (BaSO₃).

In addition, the analyses showed that the OBM cuttings are a heterogeneous mixed material composed of a few major types: gravel, limestone, clay and shale. The gravel and limestone are cuttings from the oil drilling process that contaminate the recirculated material and become part of the OBM cutting. Limestone (calcite) and clay are added during the preparation of the OBM. Shale is of low CaO content (below 40%) and high SiO₂ content (above 20%). The alumina content in OBM cuttings probably arises from the clay used in OBM preparation. Clay is a major source of aluminium in the form of aluminosilicates.
Analysis also showed the presence of barium in the OBM cuttings as barite (barium sulphate, \( \text{BaSO}_4 \)). The major source of barite is the preparation of the OBM used during the oil well drilling process. OBM cuttings likewise contain heavy and trace metals from several sources in very low concentrations. These are mainly from the use of additives or from the cutting and the crude oil. The OBM cuttings obtained in this study have metal contents that fall below the limits specified by Petroleum Development Oman (PDO).

9.3 The effect of OBM cutting on the clinkerization process

The main phases in all the investigated clinkers were alite (\( C_3S \)), belite (\( C_2S \)), tricalcium aluminate (\( C_3A \)) and ferrite (\( C_4AF \)). There were no noticeable changes in the alite or belite polymorph with changes in OBM cutting content. However, the levels of alite and belite formed were dependent on the OBM cutting content. This result was not predicted by the Bogue calculations, but the Rietveld refinement data showed a gradually decreasing alite content and corresponding increasing belite content with increasing OBM cutting content.

Thermal analyses of various raw meal samples showed that the calcination temperature (\( \text{CaCO}_3 \) decomposition temperature) decreased with increasing OBM cutting content. Calcite decomposition occurred at 817 °C when no OBM was present, falling consistently with increasing OBM cutting content until the OBM cutting alone (Rm100%) showed decomposition at 763 °C.

Analyses of petrographic thin sections of the limestone used here shows calcite crystals of a depositional texture, with no regular shape and unevenly distributed without common direction. The grains are coarse (>3mm) and compact with no void space between calcite crystals, appearing flat and with some fractures. This limestone can be classified as crystalline limestone according to the Dunham classification\textsuperscript{158}.

The OBM cuttings, meanwhile, show different petrography, with the calcite being mud-supported with loosely packed grains and high porosity. The clay grains are mostly present as developed clusters and immersed in oil. Lath-shaped plagioclase grains with sharp grain margins are also present. The calcite show round sub-millimetre grains, which are highly brittle and fragile in nature. XRD analyses of OBM cuttings show the presence of dolomite. Therefore, the OBM cuttings’ classification can be considered be a mix between two or three types of limestone, falling between
mudstone and Wake stone. However, the classification is certainly different from the limestone used in this research.

Literature showed that limestone decomposition starts with the rapid dissociation of dolomite in the first few min, followed by calcite decomposition. Dolomite dissociation occurs in a single step without a calcite intermediate phase. This suggests that the presence of dolomite reduces the calcination activation energy. It is proposed that limestone dissociation starts with dolomite decomposition, resulting in the formation of grain cracks due to the CaMg(CO$_3$)$_2$ structure, growing the surface area, allowing CO$_2$ diffusion.

The lower decomposition temperature in OBM cuttings may also be related to the calcite texture therein, which differs from the calcite in limestone as explained above. Finally, OBM cuttings are more porous than limestone, allowing more surface area for heat transfer. As seen petrographically, the calcite grains float in the mud, with larger spaces between grains than in the limestone.

The calcite grain size also has an effect on both the rate of decomposition and the temperature at which decomposition occurs. Coarser grains show higher decomposition temperatures and lower rates of decomposition.

The burnability of raw meal was investigated. The results show that the free lime content for raw meal obtained from industrial (Rm$_{\text{Ind}}$) and the one prepared in lab (Rm$_{\text{Ref.}}$) mixes fell with increasing temperature. However, the free lime content of Rm$_{\text{Ind}}$ was always greater than that of Rm$_{\text{Ref.}}$, with the difference between the two decreasing with increasing temperature, until the difference was minimal at 1400 °C and above. The higher free lime content for Rm$_{\text{Ind}}$ could be due to its higher LSF, i.e. 92.53 compared to 90.50 for Rm$_{\text{Ref.}}$. Thus, there is more CaO to be consumed during clinkering. This is supported by the convergence of the two data sets with increasing temperature. Both mixes showing similar burnability behaviour validates the use of the reference raw meal in comparisons with raw meal prepared using OBM cutting.

The burnability results for Rm$_{\text{Ref.}}$ plus raw meal prepared using 12%, 55% and 100% OBM cuttings showed that, despite the falling free lime contents with increasing temperature, there was an increase in free lime with increasing OBM cutting content, suggesting harder burnability. However, this did not apply to the sample prepared from 100% OBM cutting. This sample showed very easy burning behaviour. The free lime
dropped significantly, even at 1300 °C (0.2% free lime), then showing only 0.02% free lime content when heating at 1500 °C. However, when burned at 1200 °C, the 100% OBM cutting showed belite formation and a very low free lime content. Higher temperatures still led to no alite formation due to there being no CaO remaining. Instead, belite grains were within a high melt content, a result of the high concentration of \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) and \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) % in the mix. The absence of alite can easily be understood in terms of the LSF, which at 48.45 was considerably lower than for all of the other samples.

In Rm_{Ref}, the belite and liquid phase formation temperature was 1284 and 1331 °C respectively, but these fell upon incorporation of OBM cutting. SEM-EDX and ICP analysis both showed an increase in barium content with increasing OBM cutting content. Furthermore, SEM-EDX analysis revealed the distribution of barium through the clinker phases, with the highest concentration found in the liquid phase.

The effect of barium on phase composition is related to the free lime content. An increased free lime content indicates reduced burnability and incomplete formation of the main clinker phases. This is possibly due to alite formation being destabilized. The addition of OBM cutting decreased burnability and the free lime contents increased. With the OBM cutting containing 0.85 wt% BaO, the barium content of the clinker increases with increasing OBM cutting content. BaO has a negative influence on alite formation, thus increasing the free lime content. This is in line with many studies\(^\text{175,176,177,168,148}\) showing that the free lime content increases with barium content, and that barium is mainly concentrated in the melting phase.

### 9.4 The effect of OBM cutting on the cement properties and hydration

Cement hydration was studied by a number of techniques. The mechanical strength was determined and compared with the standard specification implemented in Oman (OS7/2001). Also, the physical testing such as setting time, Blaine, soundness and density were measured. Using XRD complemented by SEM-EDX analyses of polished cross-sections, the major hydrate phases were studied. SEM was also used to understand if there were any significant changes in the microstructure.

The chemical composition limits in OS are similar to those in BS/EN standard except regarding MgO, insoluble residue (IR), and C\(_3\)A content. In addition, OS specifies the
range of cement LSF and AM factors. The cement produced using the OBM cutting meets the standard requirement and fulfils the chemical and physical/mechanical limits.

The soundness of the prepared cement increased as OBM cutting content increased. Higher expansion could be due to several reasons, such as i) high free lime content in clinker (above 2%) or ii) high MgO content in cement (above 3%). The free lime contents in all samples were kept below 2%. The significant contribution for the expansion may be attributed to the presence of a high concentration of MgO (periclase) in cement prepared with higher OBM cutting contents in the raw meal.

Hence, higher soundness in the cement sample of 55 % OBM cutting is more likely due to the higher content of MgO coming from OBM cutting. The SEM-EDX analysis also confirms the presence of MgO in cements prepared with 30% and above OBM cutting. Setting time results show no significant change between the samples with OBM cutting and the reference sample.

Compressive strength results increased with hydration for 2, 7 and 28 days. At two days, the two reference samples (Cm_Ind and Cm_Ref) showed slightly lower strengths than the samples prepared with OBM cutting (12% and 55%). By 7 days, the strengths of all samples increased and the OBM-containing samples remained slightly stronger than the reference samples. At 28 days, Cmt-12% was the strongest, with no significant difference between others. With alite being the principal phase responsible for later-age strength, given the minimal difference in alite contents between the samples, the similarity in performance is not surprising. It is possible that the slight variations in Blaine fineness could explain the slight differences in strength. Fineness plays a dominant role in compressive strength development, with higher fineness leading to higher strength due to cement grains having more surface area exposed during hydration.

There was no significant effect on the crystal phases formed upon hydration, despite the greater potential of magnesite (MgCO_3) formation. In all investigated samples AFm (ettringite) was present at 2 days hydration, while no AFm formation was observed in any samples. The formation of AFt is highly dependent on the C_3A content in cement. With high C_3A contents, the monocarboaluminate appears at early age of hydration, often within 7 days of hydration\textsuperscript{209}. 
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The optical microscopy analysis showed an increase in C₃A content as the OBM cutting increased. The C₃A content in CkRef, Ck12 and Ck55 are 12, 16 and 17% respectively. However, AFm phases were not detected. The XRD patterns for all hydrated cement samples showed similar behaviour. Ettringite (AFt) and C₄AF were observed with the intensity of reflections from the latter diminishing with time. Between 2 to 28 days the intensity of the main clinker phase reflections decreased with growth of reflections due to hydrates. The amount of AFt formed depends on the ratio of sulphates (added during grinding) and the C₃A content of the clinker. At high ratio of C₃A to CaSO₃.2H₂O, the AFt is unlikely to convert to AFm. In this investigation, the % of gypsum added during the cement grinding was fixed at about 6% for all samples.

The C₃A content calculated according to Bogue formula in clinker samples Ref, 12% and 55% are 7.94, 7.77 and 6.74 % respectively, with no change in polymorph (which is known to affect the rate of hydration). Therefore, the absence of AFm can be explained by the increasing sulphate to aluminate ratio in the cement. Furthermore, the absence of the AFt → AFm conversion means that no additional heat is released after the first hydration reaction is completed. This is could be an explanation for the similarity of the heat rate shown for the all samples.

The hydration reaction of C₃S is associated with formation of calcium hydroxide (CH) which precipitates in the form of portlandite and is dispersed within the hardened paste. The XRD patterns for the hydrated cement clearly indicates the formation of CH in all samples, with growing intensity as the time passes.

Isothermal calorimetry (ICC) was conducted to determine the hydration kinetics. There was generally no significant difference in the time to onset of the acceleration period. All the results showed one main peak, associated with alite hydration to form calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) and portlandite (CH). The highest peak is for the cement containing 55% OBM cutting; appearing after 11.02 hours. The second highest peak is for Cm-12%; after 11.63 hours. Followed by CmRef and CmInd at 11.88 and 12.12 hours respectively. Then, the heat rate slowed down gradually and reached very low values within few days.

The total heat released was in the range 247 to 256 J/g at 21 days with maximum 3% difference with the industrial sample. This confirms the similarity in behaviour of the various cements.
At 7 and 28 days, the $C_{\text{ind}}$ samples exhibited a higher degree of hydration (DoH), but at 2 days hydration was lower than the reference sample. This is likely due to variation in cement preparation, e.g. the use of industrial grinding with grinding aids. Additionally, the DoH of Cm-12% and Cm-55% after 2 days curing were found respectively 62.03 and 59.30 % which are lower when compared with the reference sample (66.03%). The Cm-55% showed a marginally lower degree of hydration at all ages than the other samples, while the reference sample showed the highest degree of hydration at all ages.

9.5 Impact of using OBM cutting in cement industries

Three important aspects of handling OBM cuttings must be considered when deciding whether to use them in cement manufacture.

i- They must be transported from the generation site at the oil well field to the cement factory. Although internationally and, according to their OBM Safety Data Sheet, OBM cuttings are not regulated as a dangerous good. However, in Oman, the Ministry of Environment and Climate Affairs does classify them as a dangerous material. Hence, all aspects of managing OBM cuttings must be performed in accordance with the procedures required for dangerous substances.

ii- The truck must (1) have an open top and a container that is sealed without any gaps to avoid leaching and (2) carry no more than 30 tonnes of OBM cuttings at any given time.

iii- Once the truck arrives at the cement plant, the OBM cuttings are unloaded onto a concreted area adjacent to the raw material feeding point.

There are several suggestions regarding feeding point of OBM cuttings in cement plant as following:

- OBM cuttings should be mixed with limestone before they are sent to the crusher. After being mixed, ground, and homogenised, the OBM cuttings become raw meal that is (1) introduced to the heating system at the pre-heater tower from the top and (2) calcined at about 850 °C at the stage before entering the kiln.
The second suggestion (introducing OBM cutting at the calciner) is an extension of the first and has several advantages and disadvantages. For example, a higher percentage of OBM cuttings could be utilised because the hydrocarbons can undergo complete combustion at higher temperatures in the calciner. The release of unburned compounds is expected to be reduced because the exhaust gases take a longer path. This option is expected to limit the amount of OBM cuttings that can be utilised. In addition, technical consideration to be taken during the kiln operation. Possible variations in several parameters during the feeding of OBM cutting through the calciner include moisture content, flame shape changing and calciner rise in carbon monoxide.
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10.1 Conclusions

The hydration behaviour of the cement prepared with and without OBM cutting has been studied by many techniques such as ICC, STA and mechanical properties. Using XRD complemented with SEM-EDX analyses of polished sections, the major hydrate phases were studied. The SEM and optical microscopy of the clinker was also used to understand if there are any significant changes to the microstructure and main phases, which may influence hydration. The degree of hydration was obtained and the main hydration products such as C-S-H and CH were identified.

After heating and burning the raw meal of different OBM cutting ratios, and Portland cement clinker obtained, the clinker phases formed in all samples having the required composition of main clinker phases that essential to complete the hydration process stages in like to normal cement. To obtained comparable results, the cement clinker ground at similar fixed condition, physical parameters and gypsum quality and quantity. This allow to understand if OBM cutting ratio has impact on the cement behaviour hydration. The technique adopted in this study for the investigation, is to understand whether OBM cutting has any substantial impact that could interrupt manufacturing of cement using OBM cutting as an additional raw material. The results obtained show that the hydration behaviour as normal with no significant change observed and no distinguished change comparing to the reference sample and industrial cement. The progress of hydration of cement prepared for the ones with OBM cutting and comparing to the reference and industrial cement are following similar hydration behaviour.

Clinker prepared using OBM waste had very similar properties to clinker prepared from the limestone normally used in cement production. These results demonstrate that OBM cutting could be recycled in the manufacture of Portland cement clinker. This could present an opportunity for re-use of OBM cutting waste and solve an environmental problem. This will also reduce the cost of cement production. The main result in this study are as follows:
- The addition of OBM cutting lowers the calcination temperature. This is because the calcite in OBM cutting has a smaller grain size than that in the limestone.

- Furthermore, the OBM cutting contained some dolomite (CaMg(CO₃)₂). This increases the rate of carbonate dissociation and so also contributed to the lowering of the calcination temperature.

- However, the addition of OBM cutting to the raw meal led to a higher free lime content in the resultant clinker. There are many reasons for this, but the role of trace elements, especially barium, in destabilizing alite has been demonstrated here.

- While it would likely have no commercial potential, clinker could be prepared by just heating OBM cutting at 1200 °C, without any addition. The XRD and SEM-EDX analysis of the resultant clinker showed formation of belite with a very low free lime content and no alite formation.

- There are several benefits that could be gained from the successful recycling of OBM cuttings in cement manufacture. The OBM cuttings provide a source of fuel. They also reduce the consumption of natural resources. In oil drilling operations, the reuse of OBM cuttings in cement production provides an environmentally-friendly waste management solution for large quantities of OBM cuttings, reducing landfill by effective recycling of waste, without the need for any pre-treatment.

- The inclusion of ca. 12% OBM cuttings in raw meal for cement manufacture has no significant effect on clinker composition. Thus, this provides a cost-effective, environmentally-friendly route for the management of OBM cuttings derived from the oil industry.

- Introducing alternative material to the Oman Cement Company plant, such as OBM cuttings, may provide material that supports the raw material currently being used.

10.2 Further work

The results of this thesis can help to improve the quality control of the cement production using OBM cutting as an additional raw material. The lower burnability behaviour of the raw meal that contain OBM cutting could be further explored to
produce clinker with less fuel consumption. However, the impurities present in OBM cutting could be additional studied to explore the method and ways for preparing the raw meal that fit to be used in cement manufacturing. A pilot investigation at cement kiln plant is essential to study the actual characteristic of the clinker produced using OBM cuttings and likewise to measure the main stack gaseous emissions, i.e. carbon monoxide (CO), sulphur oxides (SOx), nitrogen oxides (NOx), hydrocarbons (H/C), hydrogen sulphide (H2S), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), particulate matter (PMs) and substances of environmental concern (e.g. Hg, Cd, Pb, etc).

The pilot investigation could extend to test the produced clinker and cement. Similarly, it is worth comparing the differences between the clinker and cement prepared in the laboratory with that produced from a real-kiln. This would highlight potential differences between the different scales of production, for example in the duration of the clinkering process.

Furthermore, it would be worth studying the potential of using OBM cuttings for other purposes in the cement industry such as to replace additives (e.g. pozzlana and fly ash). Also, to study thermal treatment of OBM cuttings and observe the effect on it is properties.
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## Appendices

### Appendix 1
Percentage mixing of the raw materials for preparation of raw meal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw meal</th>
<th>Limestone</th>
<th>Quartzo-phillite</th>
<th>Kaolin</th>
<th>Iron</th>
<th>OBM cuttings</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RmRef.-0%</td>
<td>80.90</td>
<td>11.35</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm½%</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>12.70</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm1%</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>12.70</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm2%</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm3%</td>
<td>79.10</td>
<td>10.15</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm5%</td>
<td>77.25</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm7%</td>
<td>76.60</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm10%</td>
<td>74.40</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm12%</td>
<td>72.60</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm15%</td>
<td>70.40</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm20%</td>
<td>67.00</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm30%</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm55%</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm100%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2
The clinker prepared chemical analysis and calculated mineral composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OBM cuttings</th>
<th>CKind</th>
<th>CKRef</th>
<th>CK½</th>
<th>CK1</th>
<th>CK2</th>
<th>CK3</th>
<th>CK5</th>
<th>CK7</th>
<th>CK10</th>
<th>CK12</th>
<th>CK15</th>
<th>CK20</th>
<th>CK30</th>
<th>CK55</th>
<th>CK100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>23.50</td>
<td>24.49</td>
<td>24.67</td>
<td>24.49</td>
<td>23.81</td>
<td>23.50</td>
<td>23.50</td>
<td>22.90</td>
<td>23.27</td>
<td>23.03</td>
<td>23.33</td>
<td>22.46</td>
<td>22.43</td>
<td>22.24</td>
<td>34.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>71.08</td>
<td>70.40</td>
<td>70.91</td>
<td>71.08</td>
<td>71.08</td>
<td>71.08</td>
<td>70.86</td>
<td>69.93</td>
<td>69.57</td>
<td>69.07</td>
<td>68.07</td>
<td>66.01</td>
<td>52.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>57.52</td>
<td>56.75</td>
<td>57.02</td>
<td>57.18</td>
<td>57.52</td>
<td>57.52</td>
<td>57.99</td>
<td>57.98</td>
<td>57.98</td>
<td>58.35</td>
<td>58.61</td>
<td>59.54</td>
<td>53.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSF</td>
<td>93.71</td>
<td>89.92</td>
<td>89.63</td>
<td>90.82</td>
<td>92.66</td>
<td>93.71</td>
<td>93.71</td>
<td>96.11</td>
<td>94.58</td>
<td>93.89</td>
<td>92.62</td>
<td>94.46</td>
<td>93.50</td>
<td>92.65</td>
<td>48.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculated mineral composition using Bogue equations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C₃S</th>
<th>C₂S</th>
<th>C₂A</th>
<th>C₄AF</th>
<th>LP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64.56</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>29.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56.23</td>
<td>27.80</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>14.52</td>
<td>28.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55.65</td>
<td>28.73</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>14.58</td>
<td>29.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59.47</td>
<td>25.36</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>28.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62.21</td>
<td>21.32</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>29.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64.56</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>29.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64.56</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>29.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60.77</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>14.24</td>
<td>28.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66.87</td>
<td>16.27</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>14.35</td>
<td>28.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62.84</td>
<td>17.83</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>14.41</td>
<td>29.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60.02</td>
<td>21.60</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>29.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61.73</td>
<td>17.83</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>30.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58.47</td>
<td>20.21</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>14.56</td>
<td>30.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53.92</td>
<td>23.08</td>
<td>&lt;36.63</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td>28.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 3
Free lime content in % (wt./wt.) repeated test of the prepared clinker samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>analysis 1</th>
<th>analysis 2</th>
<th>analysis 3</th>
<th>analysis 4</th>
<th>analysis 5</th>
<th>analysis 6</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;kind.&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;Ref.&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ck -12%</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ck -55%</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 4
Burnability test for Raw mix prepared with OBM cuttings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>°C*</th>
<th>RM_{ind}-0%</th>
<th>RM_{Rel}-0%</th>
<th>RM12%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Record-1</td>
<td>Record-2</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>11.88 ±0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.01 ±0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.15 ±0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1450</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.63 ±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.19 ±0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*heating the raw meal for 45 minutes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>°C*</th>
<th>RM55%</th>
<th>RM100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Record-1</td>
<td>Record-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>9.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>6.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>5.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1450</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*heating the raw meal for 45 minutes
## Appendix 5
Calculations of activation energy of decomposition of limestone and OBM cuttings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C/min)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C/min)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>1/Temperature (K)</th>
<th>1/Temperature x10³</th>
<th>ln K in °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limestone</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>278.15</td>
<td>765.60</td>
<td>1038.75</td>
<td>0.000962696</td>
<td>0.962695548</td>
<td>1.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>283.15</td>
<td>804.14</td>
<td>1077.29</td>
<td>0.000928255</td>
<td>0.928255159</td>
<td>2.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>288.15</td>
<td>829.50</td>
<td>1102.65</td>
<td>0.000906906</td>
<td>0.906906090</td>
<td>2.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>293.15</td>
<td>853.96</td>
<td>1127.11</td>
<td>0.000887225</td>
<td>0.887224849</td>
<td>2.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OBM cuttings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>278.15</td>
<td>730.30</td>
<td>1003.45</td>
<td>0.000996562</td>
<td>0.996561862</td>
<td>1.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>283.15</td>
<td>763.84</td>
<td>1036.99</td>
<td>0.000964329</td>
<td>0.964329454</td>
<td>2.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>288.15</td>
<td>776.79</td>
<td>1049.94</td>
<td>0.000952435</td>
<td>0.952435377</td>
<td>2.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>293.15</td>
<td>800.75</td>
<td>1127.11</td>
<td>0.003660992</td>
<td>3.660992129</td>
<td>2.996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 6
TGA of OBM cuttings and limestone in four different heating rates (5, 10, 15 and 20 °C/min.)
Top graph: OMB cuttings and below graph: limestone
Appendix 7
Particle size distribution for the cement samples

More particle sizes in the range 100 – 200 \( \mu m \) in the order \( C_{\text{Ref.}} > C_{\text{m-12}} > C_{\text{m-55}} \).
Appendix 8
Cement density measurement

Standard test method for density of Cement: ASTM C188-16

### Sample weight = 64 grams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Vi</th>
<th>Vf</th>
<th>Vd</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>20.700</td>
<td>20.400</td>
<td>3.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>20.900</td>
<td>20.500</td>
<td>3.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>21.200</td>
<td>20.400</td>
<td>3.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>20.400</td>
<td>20.400</td>
<td>3.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.133</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample weight = 64 grams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Vi</th>
<th>Vf</th>
<th>Vd</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>21.200</td>
<td>20.400</td>
<td>3.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>20.400</td>
<td>20.400</td>
<td>3.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>21.000</td>
<td>20.400</td>
<td>3.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>20.800</td>
<td>20.500</td>
<td>3.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.133</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CmRef. (zero OBM cutting)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Vi</th>
<th>Vf</th>
<th>Vd</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>20.800</td>
<td>20.500</td>
<td>3.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>20.900</td>
<td>20.500</td>
<td>3.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>21.400</td>
<td>20.500</td>
<td>3.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>20.900</td>
<td>20.600</td>
<td>3.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ave</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.118</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cm12**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Vi</th>
<th>Vf</th>
<th>Vd</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>21.000</td>
<td>20.600</td>
<td>3.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>20.800</td>
<td>20.600</td>
<td>3.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>21.000</td>
<td>20.600</td>
<td>3.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>20.700</td>
<td>20.500</td>
<td>3.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.111</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cm55**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Vi</th>
<th>Vf</th>
<th>Vd</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>21.000</td>
<td>20.600</td>
<td>3.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>20.800</td>
<td>20.600</td>
<td>3.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>21.000</td>
<td>20.600</td>
<td>3.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>20.700</td>
<td>20.500</td>
<td>3.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.111</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Density, g/ml**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CmInd. 0%</th>
<th>CmRef. -0%</th>
<th>Cm12%</th>
<th>Cm55%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CmInd.</td>
<td>3.133 ±0.008</td>
<td>3.133 ±0.008</td>
<td>3.118 ±0.008</td>
<td>3.111 ±0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CmRef.</td>
<td>3.133 ±0.008</td>
<td>3.133 ±0.008</td>
<td>3.118 ±0.008</td>
<td>3.111 ±0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm12</td>
<td>3.118 ±0.008</td>
<td>3.118 ±0.008</td>
<td>3.118 ±0.008</td>
<td>3.118 ±0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm55</td>
<td>3.111 ±0.008</td>
<td>3.111 ±0.008</td>
<td>3.111 ±0.008</td>
<td>3.111 ±0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Le Chatelier flask
Appendix 9
Cement Blaine test measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blaine Fineness, m²/Kg</th>
<th>Analysis 1</th>
<th>Analysis 2</th>
<th>Analysis 3</th>
<th>Analysis 4</th>
<th>Analysis 5</th>
<th>Analysis 6</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cm&lt;sub&gt;ind.-0%&lt;/sub&gt; Industrial</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>319 ±1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm&lt;sub&gt;Ref.-0%&lt;/sub&gt; Zero OBM</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>325 ±1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm12% 12 % OBM</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>329 ±0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm55% 55 % OBM</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>324 ±0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 10
Compressive strength measurement for the cement sample obtained from cement industry Cm_ind

Table 10A For 2 days strength

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.50</td>
<td>±0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive strength*, N/mm²</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>19.38</td>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>19.38</td>
<td>19.69</td>
<td>±0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10B For 7 days strength

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>50.50</td>
<td>±0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive strength*, N/mm²</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>35.63</td>
<td>33.75</td>
<td>33.75</td>
<td>31.88</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>31.56</td>
<td>±0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10C For 28 days strength

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.67</td>
<td>±0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive strength*, N/mm²</td>
<td>46.88</td>
<td>46.25</td>
<td>46.88</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td>46.88</td>
<td>47.29</td>
<td>±0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Compressive strength calculated as per the equation below:

\[
\text{Compressive strength} = \frac{\text{maximum load applied to the cube (N)}}{\text{cube surface area (1.6mm}^2)}
\]
Appendix 11
STA analysis of hydrated cement
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Appendix 12
XRD analysis of hydrated cement samples for 2, 7 and 28 days.

(Water ratio 0.45)
Appendix 13
Raw mix design of the prepared raw meal

![Bar chart depicting raw mix design of the prepared raw meal. The chart shows the percentage of different materials in the mix, categorized by OBM cutting % and raw materials. The materials include Limestone, Quartz-philite, Kaolin, Iron, and OBM cutting. Each bar represents a specific raw material or mixture, with the percentage values indicated at the top of the bars.]}
Appendix 14A
Clinker preparation in lab

Platinum container:
- Dimensions: 12.5 cm x 12.5 cm x 5 cm.
- Thickness: 3 mm.
- Capacity: approximately 1250 gram of raw meal.
Appendix 14B
Clinker preparation in lab

The prepared clinker after burning in the furnace and placed in a tray for cooling under ambient lab temperature.

Safety procurement: Must ware:

- safety google that suitable for watching material at 1450 °C.
- Heat resistance long sleeve gloves.
- Safety shoes.
Appendix 14C
Clinker preparation in lab (continued)
**Appendix 15**
Chemical analysis of raw materials

**Appendix 15A**: Chemical analysis of Quartzo-phillite %wt./wt, (repeated 13 independent samples)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Analysis 1</th>
<th>Analysis 2</th>
<th>Analysis 3</th>
<th>Analysis 4</th>
<th>Analysis 5</th>
<th>Analysis 6</th>
<th>Analysis 7</th>
<th>Analysis 8</th>
<th>Analysis 9</th>
<th>Analysis 10</th>
<th>Analysis 11</th>
<th>Analysis 12</th>
<th>Analysis 13</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>81.97</td>
<td>74.50</td>
<td>68.64</td>
<td>71.68</td>
<td>81.23</td>
<td>69.66</td>
<td>82.01</td>
<td>74.08</td>
<td>71.27</td>
<td>74.43</td>
<td>75.83</td>
<td>71.63</td>
<td>68.30</td>
<td>74.25 ±4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>5.49 ±0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>5.53 ±1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>4.01 ±0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03 ±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.22 ±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.68 ±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO₃</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.19 ±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.51 ±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI @950</td>
<td>1.104</td>
<td>3.419</td>
<td>6.344</td>
<td>4.624</td>
<td>1.716</td>
<td>5.623</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>4.565</td>
<td>5.946</td>
<td>4.239</td>
<td>3.059</td>
<td>5.052</td>
<td>5.866</td>
<td>3.99 ±1.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 15B: Chemical analysis of limestone %wt./wt, (repeated 10 independent samples)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Analysis 1</th>
<th>Analysis 2</th>
<th>Analysis 3</th>
<th>Analysis 4</th>
<th>Analysis 5</th>
<th>Analysis 6</th>
<th>Analysis 7</th>
<th>Analysis 8</th>
<th>Analysis 9</th>
<th>Analysis 10</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>5.94 ± 1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>50.97</td>
<td>50.10</td>
<td>52.05</td>
<td>51.55</td>
<td>49.05</td>
<td>50.70</td>
<td>50.84</td>
<td>49.97</td>
<td>51.92</td>
<td>51.47</td>
<td>50.86 ± 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO₃</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI@950 °C</td>
<td>42.18</td>
<td>40.48</td>
<td>42.72</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>39.96</td>
<td>42.61</td>
<td>40.86</td>
<td>40.09</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>40.75</td>
<td>41.295 ± 1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Appendix 15C**: Chemical analysis of OBM cutting %wt./wt, (repeated 20 independent samples)

|       | Analysis 1 | Analysis 2 | Analysis 3 | Analysis 4 | Analysis 5 | Analysis 6 | Analysis 7 | Analysis 8 | Analysis 9 | Analysis 10 | Analysis 11 | Analysis 12 | Analysis 13 | Analysis 14 | Analysis 15 | Analysis 16 |
|-------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| SiO₂  | 9.75       | 15.71      | 1.2        | 42.85      | 21.46      | 12.56      | 18.99      | 25.74      | 57.42      | 54.84      | 10.91      | 11.12      | 10.84      | 12.16      | 11.94      | 11.62      |
| Al₂O₃ | 2.45       | 4.58       | 0.57       | 3.17       | 7.65       | 4.56       | 6.25       | 8.83       | 4.02       | 4.27       | 2.59       | 2.51       | 2.52       | 2.59       | 2.65       | 2.6        |
| Fe₂O₃ | 1.42       | 1.8        | 0.72       | 1.13       | 1.45       | 1.02       | 1.69       | 3.56       | 1.52       | 1.67       | 1.62       | 1.71       | 1.68       | 1.65       | 1.91       | 1.81       |
| CaO   | 38.74      | 34.00      | 47.18      | 30.65      | 41.56      | 32.35      | 25.19      | 7.19       | 7.08       | 39.11      | 37.92      | 39.14      | 39.81      | 39.05      | 38.92      |           |
| MgO   | 3.45       | 4.4        | 1.89       | 1.42       | 1.99       | 1.25       | 3.25       | 2.19       | 1.01       | 1.37       | 3.81       | 3.65       | 3.55       | 3.62       | 3.69       |           |
| SO₃   | 2.19       | 1.34       | 2.17       | 0.45       | 0.86       | 2.15       | 1.85       | 2.32       | 0.18       | 0.24       | 2.42       | 2.52       | 2.66       | 2.41       | 2.25       | 2.32       |
| Na₂O  | 0.86       | 0.82       | 0.98       | 0.89       | 0.78       | 0.87       | 0.9        | 0.88       | 2.3        | 1.22       | 0.81       | 0.77       | 0.69       | 0.65       | 0.74       | 0.78       |
| K₂O   | 0.72       | 0.26       | 0.26       | 0.24       | 0.42       | 0.46       | 0.39       | 0.53       | 0.93       | 0.91       | 0.76       | 0.74       | 0.55       | 0.65       | 0.59       | 0.59       |
| LOI@950 °C | 39.48  | 36.4       | 44.15      | 23.5       | 28.85      | 34.56      | 33.29      | 30.03      | 22.06      | 22.91      | 37.24      | 37.92      | 37.65      | 36.76      | 36.51      | 36.75      |
| H₂O   | 4.35       | 1.78       | 15.6       | 9.35       | 4.99       | 6.25       | 5.55       | 5.24       | 0.90       | 1.04       | 7.40       | 7.12       | 9.80       | 6.50       | 5.20       | 6.80       |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Analysis 17</th>
<th>Analysis 18</th>
<th>Analysis 19</th>
<th>Analysis 20</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>12.49</td>
<td>12.35</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>13.12</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>38.61</td>
<td>38.95</td>
<td>39.42</td>
<td>37.12</td>
<td>34.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI@950 °C</td>
<td>36.01</td>
<td>35.95</td>
<td>34.29</td>
<td>37.04</td>
<td>34.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>5.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix 15D: Chemical analysis of iron ore %wt./wt, (repeated 11 independent samples)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Analysis 1</th>
<th>Analysis 2</th>
<th>Analysis 3</th>
<th>Analysis 4</th>
<th>Analysis 5</th>
<th>Analysis 6</th>
<th>Analysis 7</th>
<th>Analysis 8</th>
<th>Analysis 9</th>
<th>Analysis 10</th>
<th>Analysis 11</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>25.91</td>
<td>28.44</td>
<td>19.54</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td>15.89</td>
<td>10.21</td>
<td>19.43</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>24.39</td>
<td>11.61</td>
<td>18.03 ±6.16</td>
<td>±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>6.79 ±0.80</td>
<td>±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>47.33</td>
<td>43.44</td>
<td>50.82</td>
<td>51.76</td>
<td>54.24</td>
<td>51.21</td>
<td>57.14</td>
<td>53.97</td>
<td>59.29</td>
<td>47.44</td>
<td>53.35</td>
<td>51.82 ±4.56</td>
<td>±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.83 ±0.48</td>
<td>±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.88 ±0.53</td>
<td>±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.12 ±0.06</td>
<td>±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.37 ±0.05</td>
<td>±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.21 ±0.08</td>
<td>±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO₃</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15 ±0.00</td>
<td>±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.15 ±0.02</td>
<td>±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI@950 °C</td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td>15.07</td>
<td>16.72</td>
<td>18.72</td>
<td>22.75</td>
<td>22.47</td>
<td>22.24</td>
<td>16.18</td>
<td>17.83</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>18.66 ±3.84</td>
<td>±0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Appendix 15E:** Chemical analysis of kaolin %wt./wt, (repeated 13 independent samples)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Analysis 1</th>
<th>Analysis 2</th>
<th>Analysis 3</th>
<th>Analysis 4</th>
<th>Analysis 5</th>
<th>Analysis 6</th>
<th>Analysis 7</th>
<th>Analysis 8</th>
<th>Analysis 9</th>
<th>Analysis 10</th>
<th>Analysis 11</th>
<th>Analysis 12</th>
<th>Analysis 13</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>42.10</td>
<td>40.77</td>
<td>42.66</td>
<td>41.355</td>
<td>42.138</td>
<td>42.439</td>
<td>42.728</td>
<td>42.332</td>
<td>41.805</td>
<td>42.501</td>
<td>42.997</td>
<td>42.684</td>
<td>42.541</td>
<td>42.24 ±0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>33.81</td>
<td>32.60</td>
<td>32.11</td>
<td>33.078</td>
<td>33.53</td>
<td>32.788</td>
<td>34.074</td>
<td>33.671</td>
<td>33.05</td>
<td>32.275</td>
<td>32.491</td>
<td>33.256</td>
<td>32.19</td>
<td>33.00 ±0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.102</td>
<td>2.202</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>2.213</td>
<td>1.507</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>1.302</td>
<td>2.507</td>
<td>1.271</td>
<td>1.568</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>1.73 ±0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>0.51 ±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.10 ±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.35 ±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.19 ±0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 16
Agreement between Oman Cement Company and OBM cuttings generator company in Oman

Agreement has been sign between Petroleum Development Oman, PDO (OBM cuttings generator) and Oman Cement Company, OCC (OBM cuttings disposer) for conducting a plant pilot trail after considering the results and endorsement obtained in this thesis study.
(Source: https://www.omandaily.om/?p=708518)

Photograph:
Front left: Musalam Al-Mandhry – Production Chemistry Manager, PDO
Front right: Hilal Al Dhamri – General Manager Manufacturing, OCC
Back from left: Said Al Adwai, Nasser Al-Alwai, Dr. Sultan Al-Shethani, Salim Al-Hajri, Shwket Bahat, Nasser Al Amri.
Appendix 17
Photos for the visit to oil drilling field (Qaran Al-Alam, Oman)

Oil drilling filed visit and collection of information about the OBM cuttings at each generation step.
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The use of oil-based mud cuttings as an alternative raw material to produce high sulfate-resistant oil well cement
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ABSTRACT

Oil-based mud (OBM) is used during the oil well drilling processes to cool drilling pits and remove the cuttings. As a result of these processes, the oil-based mud (OBM) cuttings are produced. The composition of the OBM cuttings depends on the geological conditions of the boreholes and the OBM used during the drilling operation. In this study, the OBM cuttings were used as an alternative material to produce a special cement known as oil-well cement (OWC). Raw meal mixtures were prepared with various percentages of OBM cuttings (5, 11, 13, 15, 18, and 20%). Then they were sintered up to a temperature of 1450 °C, and the resulting cement clinker was ground to produce highly sulfate resistant OWC. The burnability of the raw meal was studied to explore the effect of OBM cuttings on raw meal behaviour during the clinkerization process. The results of the study indicated a decrease in the decarbonation temperature and an increase in the rate of clinkerisation as the OBM cuttings increased. The produced cement was tested per American Petroleum Institute’s testing procedure for OWC. Also, the cement hydration for 2, 7 and 28 days was carried out to study the behavior of the produced OWC.

1. Introduction

Amongst the world’s major industries, the petroleum and gas industry plays a vital role in fulfilling global energy demand, with oil and gas providing, respectively, for 31% and 23% of the total global energy supply in 2018 (The International Energy Agency, 2019). The Sultanate of Oman contributed around 1% of the world’s total oil production for 2018, producing on average 978,000 barrels per day with a drop of 0.8% from 2017 (BP Statistical Review of World Energy, 2019). The production of oil and gas results in the co-production of waste materials, some of which are environmentally hazardous. The predominant waste is drill cuttings, comprising soil cuttings mixed with oil-based drilling fluids, generally referred to as oil-based (OBM) cuttings (Siddique et al., 2017). These OBM cuttings are considered potentially hazardous for the surrounding environment due to the presence of hydrocarbons and their chemical composition (Davies et al., 1984). The inorganic chemical composition of these OBM cuttings is predominantly defined by the geology around the well (Abdul-Wahab et al., 2016) while the cuttings may also contain 6-17 wt% of diesel oil, which adheres to the cuttings (Dow et al., 1990). Discharge-related pollutants, like hydrocarbons and heavy metals within the cuttings, may have both acute and chronic toxicological effects through post-sedimentary migration of contaminants within the sediment or leakage into the areas surrounding the drilling site (Ali et al., 2013). Waste cuttings can also spread by air, although this type of dissemination is greatly influenced by the cuttings’ particle size, which can range from 2 to 275 μm (Al-Dhamri et al., 2019).

In Oman, most oil exploration sites are on top of limestone deposits (Al-Dhamri et al., 2019). The OBM cuttings from Oman’s PDO sites located at Qarn-Alam and Fahud contain calcium as calcium carbonate, which is the main raw material for cement production. So, along with the calcitic content of the oil and drilling fluids, this calcium-rich waste material could be utilized as a raw material in cement production. Furthermore, as the cement manufacturing process involves a high-temperature pyro-process, the addition of hazardous industrial wastes like OBM cuttings is a viable and safe way to dispose of such material (Van Oss and Padovani, 2002). This study focuses on the utilization of these
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ABSTRACT

Oil-Based Mud (OBM) cutting is a waste that is generated by the oil drilling companies during the crude oil drilling. Furthermore, OBM cutting is classified as a hazardous waste because it contains industrial lubricant oil, heavy metals and some organic compounds. In Oman, the options for the treatment of OBM cutting waste are very limited, and they are often simply stored in open storage facilities which are not in line with the environmental regulations in Oman. The mineralogical composition of OBM cutting, including the presence of organic residues from the crude oil and some additives used in cement clinker production, is a complex mixture of calcium, silica and alumina that is of interest to the cement manufacturing industry. The presence of trace elements could be of importance in enhancing the reaction of clinkerization.

In this study, OBM cutting has been used as a raw material in the preparation of the cement clinker. It is calcined at 650 °C and then burned at a temperature of 1450 °C in a laboratory furnace to produce Portland cement clinker. Clinker samples were then prepared by using different levels of OBM cutting waste (from zero to 55% weight by weight). XRD has been used to examine the mineralogy, while SEM-EDX has been used to determine the trace elements from the OBM present in clinker phases. Furthermore, the raw meal was characterized using differential thermal analysis to study the effect of present of OBM minerals in clinker chemistry.
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Abstract

Oil-Based Mud (OBM) cutting waste, generated by the petroleum and drilling companies during the process of crude oil drilling, is a common waste generated across many of the Arabian Gulf countries. Currently the options for the treatment of OBM cutting waste are very limited, and they are often simply stored in a lined pit, awaiting further treatment. In addition, OBM cutting is classified as a hazardous waste, and therefore the Government of Oman is keen to find a suitable waste management solution for this industrial waste. However, the mineralogical composition of OBM cutting, plus the presence of organic residues from the crude oil make it a possible candidate to be used during cement clinker production.

In this study, OBM cutting has been added, as received, to the raw meal of a cement plant. It is calcined at 650 °C and burned gradually up to a temperature of 1450 °C in a laboratory furnace to produce Portland cement clinker. Eleven clinker samples were then prepared by using different levels of OBM cutting waste (zero: reference sample, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 5.0, 7.0, 15.0 and 30.0 %). The potential for incorporation in clinker has then been assessed by a range of techniques. Powder X-ray Diffraction (XRD) has been used to examine the mineralogy, while Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) has been used to examine the clinker microstructure to determine the fractionation of trace elements from the OBM in each clinker phase. The free lime in the prepared samples was found to fall within a range of 2.0% to 2.88%. The XRD pattern showed some slight differences in the phases formed in the clinker as the OBM content increased. However, the main clinker phases were found to be formed in all clinker samples. The initial results concluded that OBM cutting waste may be successfully used during the production of Portland cement clinker. Subsequent work is recommended to examine the hydration behavior of each phase within the clinker to further examine the effects of OBM addition.
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ABSTRACT

Oil-Based Mud (OBM) cutting waste is generated during the process of oil well drilling. The drilled rocks are removed from deep within the drilled well and pumped to the surface. The portion removed, known as “Cutting”, is a mixture of rocks, mud, water and oil. Most drilling companies store this waste in open yards with no specific treatment solution. The environmental regulations in Oman specify that storage should involve isolation, to prevent penetration of the contamination to surface and underground water. This has made the OBM waste an environmental problem, with an associated cost for oil companies. OBM chemical analysis shows an interesting composition that may be used in cement manufacture. It has high calcium, silicon and aluminum contents, which are the major oxides in cement manufacture. Also the oil contents are useful for reducing the fuel used during the calcining and clinkerization process. In this research, the OBM waste has been analysed and used as a constituent of the raw meal for cement clinker production. The impact of OBM addition on the resultant clinker has also been investigated.

1. INTRODUCTION

Oil-Based Mud cutting, OBM, is a waste generated during the process of drilling an oil well. The drilled rocks are removed from deep within the drilled well and pumped to the surface in circulation. The portion removed, known as “Cutting”, is a mixture of rocks, mud, water and oil. Most drilling companies store this waste in open yards with no specific treatment solution [1]. The environmental regulations in Oman specify that the storage should involve isolation to prevent penetration of the contamination to underground water. This has made the OBM waste an environmental problem with an associated cost for oil field companies in Oman. There is about 150,000 tons of OBM stored in specially constructed yards, with costly monitoring programs [2].

Valorisation of this waste material offers financial as well as environmental benefits. OBM chemical analysis shows a composition which may be applicable for use in the manufacture of cement. The mineral components are rich in calcium, silica and alumina, which are the major oxides used in cement manufacture. Also the oil contents are useful for reducing the fuel used during the calcining and clinkerization processes.

There are three stages to the storage of OBM waste produced from the oil drilling operation, based on the oil and water content. During the initial stage, immediately after extraction from the ground, the material is fluid and has a high moisture content. These wastes are transported direct to the storage yard (Fig. 1).

Figure 1. The storage facility of OBM cutting waste. The figure shows OBM three days after extraction during the oil drilling operation. The high oil content of the OBM is clearly evident.
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Introduction
Oil-Based Mud (OBM) cutting waste, generated by the petroleum and drilling companies during the process of crude oil drilling, is a common waste generated across many of the Arabian Gulf countries. Currently the option for the treatment of OBM cutting waste is very limited, and they are often simply stored in a landfill pit, awaiting further treatment. In addition, OBM cutting is classified as a hazardous waste, and therefore the Government of Oman is keen to find a suitable waste management solution for this industrial waste. However, the mineralogical composition of OBM cutting, plus the presence of organic residues from the crude oil makes it a possible candidate to be used during cement clinker production. In this study, OBM cutting has been added, as received, to the raw meal of a cement plant. It is calcined at 950°C and burned gradually up to a temperature of 1450°C in a laboratory furnace to produce Portland cement clinker. Eleven clinker samples were then prepared by using different levels of OBM cutting waste (0.1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 15, 19, 21, and 23.9 %). The potential for incorporation in clinker has first been assessed by a range of techniques. Powder X-ray Diffraction (XRD) has been used to examine the mineralogy, while Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) has been used to examine the clinker microstructure and determine the transformation of trace elements from the OBM in each clinker phase. The free lime in the prepared samples was found to fall within a range of 2.0% to 2.86%. The XRD patterns showed some slight differences in the phases formed in the clinker as the OBM content increased; however, the main clinker phases were found to be formed in all concrete samples. The initial results concluded that OBM cutting waste may be successfully used during the production of Portland cement clinker. Subsequent work is recommended to examine the hydration behaviour of each phase within the clinker to further examine the effects of OBM addition.

Results
In all samples, the results obtained, although the free lime contained is affected by the OBM added, are still showing minor clinker phases as C3S, C2S, C3A, and C4AF as indicated in Figure 1. The two lime contents were determined in relatively high concentrations which are shown in Table 2. Specifically, the free lime concentration in reference sample and sample number 6 (10.71 %) is the highest. In fact, it is noticed that the clinker prepared in the laboratory is higher in free lime compared with the clinker prepared in rotary kiln. The clinker prepared in the laboratory is, therefore, unlike the industrial movement in many ways.

Figure 1 is showing the microstructure for the prepared clinker samples analyzed using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). The ball crystals appeared round shape with sizes range from 24.89 μm to 31.7 μm (Figure 1). It can be observed that the sizes of the ball crystals relatively bigger than those in samples 6, 8, and 11 (Figure 1). It is also observed that the ball phases in clinker sample 6 are showing angular ball shape with extensively high concentration of liquid phases compared to the other samples. Furthermore, Figure 2 shows the ball crystals in higher concentrations compared with other samples, specifically, clinker samples 6 and 11 (Figure 1 and 1d).

Two samples 3 and 4 are the least lime content of 1.26 and 2.5 % respectively. Thus suggesting that all unaltered C3S are consumed during the clinkerization reaction to form the alite which may attributed to the high burning and optimized raw meal chemistry in these two samples. However, there are variation in the free lime content between the clinker samples, especially the reference sample 6 and sample 3, they are the highest.

According to Table 3, the ball phase modification type could be obtained by the XRD spectra indicates that the range between 51 to 53%. The monoclinic polymorph M1 is indicated by obtaining two similar intensities in this range. However, higher intensity of the first peak indicates the presence of the monoclinic polymorph M1, as shown in Figure 3a. Thus, the XRD patterns of sample 2, 3, 5, and 6 are indicating that these samples have a combination of both polymorphs M1 and M2. Where, on the other hand, samples 1, 7 and 8 are purely monoclinic Alabe M1.

Conclusions
- The clinker produced using the OBM did not reveal significant differences in form of clinker phases formation.
- The free lime decreases with increasing the % of OBM up to 7% OBM addition. However, the free lime increases in the range 3 – 7 % OBM followed decrease free lime concentration at higher % of OBM.
- The Ball size is in the range of 24.89 μm to 31.7 μm.
- The addition of OBM % is likely to control the alite polymorph type.
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Oil-Based Mud cutting, OBM, is a waste generated during the process of drilling an oil well. The drilled rocks are removed from deep within the drilled well and pumped to the surface in circulation. The portion removed, known as “Cuttings”, is a mixture of rocks, mud, water and oil. Most drilling companies store this waste in open yards with no specific treatment solution. The environmental regulations in Oman specify that the storage should involve isolation to prevent penetration of the contaminant to underground water. This has made the OBM waste an environmental problem with an associated cost for oil field companies in Oman. There is about 150,000 tons of OBM stored in specially constructed yards, with costly monitoring programs. Valorization of this waste material offers financial as well as environmental benefits. OBM chemical analysis shows a composition which may be applicable for use in the manufacture of cement. The mineral components are rich in calcium, silica and alumina; which are the major oxides used in cement manufacture. Also the oil contents are useful for reducing the fuel used during the calcination and clinkerization processes.

There are three stages to the storage of OBM waste produced from the oil drilling operation, based on the oil and water content. During the initial stage, immediately after extraction from the mud, the material is fluid and has a high moisture content. These wastes are transported directly to the storage yard (Fig. 1).

The chemical composition of the OBM and lime stone is shown in Table 1. The composition of the OBM is such that it shows potential for clinker production. Firstly, it is rich in SiO2 and CaO, the main oxides needed for clinkerization. Secondly, the high organic content, arising from the oil, make the addition of OBM to raw materials beneficial in term of fuel consumption.

Table 2 shows the chemical composition of the clinker prepared using OBM waste.

Table 1. Chemical composition of the OBM and the Limestone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>OBM</th>
<th>Limestone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>21.06</td>
<td>21.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe2O3</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>50.14</td>
<td>50.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Lime</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phase analysis of the prepared clinker, calculated using the Bagge equations, are shown in Table 3. The addition of OBM waste led to an increase in the Ca5Si5O12 content, from 52.33% in the absence of OBM to almost 62% with 2% OBM added to the mix. The increased Ca5Si5O12 content came about with a reduction in both the C3S and free lime contents (Fig. 4). There was no noticeable change in the C2S and C4AF contents.

Table 2. The chemical composition of the clinker prepared using OBM waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>0% OBM</th>
<th>1% OBM</th>
<th>2% OBM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>21.06</td>
<td>21.78</td>
<td>21.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe2O3</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>50.14</td>
<td>50.30</td>
<td>50.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Lime</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 1-3: The storage facility of OBM cutting waste.

CONCLUSIONS
The addition of OBM waste as part of the raw mix appears to have a positive impact on the production of Portland cement clinker. These results demonstrate that the OBM waste could be recycled in the manufacture of Portland cement clinker. This could be a solution for disposing of the OBM waste; thus solving an environmental problem. Also, this will reduce the cost of cement production.

FUTURE WORKS
Further work will look more deeply into the effects of OBM on clinker formation and subsequent reactivity. Work will investigate whether trace element in OBM can enhance the burnability of raw meal and act as mineralizer. Work will then look at the hydration behavior of the clinker prepared with OBM waste, and ultimately on the performance of concrete prepared from clinker with OBM mixed in the raw materials.

Table 1. Chemical composition of the OBM and the Limestone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>OBM</th>
<th>Limestone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>21.06</td>
<td>21.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe2O3</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>50.14</td>
<td>50.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Lime</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 1-3: The storage facility of OBM cutting waste.

CONCLUSIONS
The addition of OBM waste as part of the raw mix appears to have a positive impact on the production of Portland cement clinker. These results demonstrate that the OBM waste could be recycled in the manufacture of Portland cement clinker. This could be a solution for disposing of the OBM waste; thus solving an environmental problem. Also, this will reduce the cost of cement production.

FUTURE WORKS
Further work will look more deeply into the effects of OBM on clinker formation and subsequent reactivity. Work will investigate whether trace element in OBM can enhance the burnability of raw meal and act as mineralizer. Work will then look at the hydration behavior of the clinker prepared with OBM waste, and ultimately on the performance of concrete prepared from clinker with OBM mixed in the raw materials.
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Figure 1. OBM three days after extraction during the oil drilling operation.

Figure 2. OBM cutting waste after storage for three to six months.

Figure 3. OBM cutting waste after storage for one year.

Table 3. Phase analysis of the different clinker samples, calculated using the Bagge equations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>0% OBM</th>
<th>1% OBM</th>
<th>2% OBM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C3S</td>
<td>52.33</td>
<td>56.35</td>
<td>61.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2S</td>
<td>25.64</td>
<td>19.93</td>
<td>15.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4AF</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cα</td>
<td>13.36</td>
<td>13.27</td>
<td>13.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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