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#### Abstract

On a global scale, the mass production of organic semiconductors for electronic applications in flexible display technology, among others, is linked to both research and consumer demand. To overcome challenges, the fabrication of the organic electronic devices has moved from high-cost smallmolecule vapour-phase deposition type techniques, to relatively low-cost solution processing of the organic thin film transistors (OTFTs).

Initial studies in this work focused on the modelling, prediction and synthesis of two-dimensional planar structures. These studies probed the isomeric structures of pentacene. Quantum mechanics/density functional theory calculations found that at least five out of a total of 12 isomers (inclusive) are both air-stable and have the same order of magnitude for the hole and electron rates of charge transfer as that of pentacene; suggesting that isomeric candidates of the initial target structure are worthy of thorough investigation.

A second study on planar structures focused on novel and rationally designed molecules, six isomers inclusive, in an attempt to develop a challenging but high-performing seven fused-ring heteroacene. The study gave the interesting result that one structure is suitable for not just OTFTs, but also non-linear optics, inferring that highly functional optoelectronic switches are possible from a single OTFT.

A small library of rod-like structures were probed to contrast with the nature of the structures investigated so far. These contain linear components that separate the planar aromatic components in the molecules. It was discovered that these structures are highly flexible and soluble in common organic solvents, showing promise for applications as solution processed OTFTs.

In summary, key discoveries of the study include (i) world-class candidates could be out-competed by their own isomers; (ii) new heteroacenes conceived could have bifunctional outputs for two separate fields in organic electronics; (iii) novel molecular rods were synthesised and found to have excellent solubility in common organic solvents, thereby enabling large-scale fabrication of flexible display technologies.
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Chapter 1
Introduction

Organic electronics constitutes a broad spectrum of interdisciplinary fields spanning those of chemistry, physics and engineering, which utilise molecular materials to impart optoelectronic phenomena for applications in technology, from solar cells for energy conversion to flexible display screens in smart technology ${ }^{1-3}$ (Figure 1). Conventionally, electronics were based on inorganic materials that suffered from brittleness, synthetic limitations and high processing temperatures ${ }^{4,5}$. These drawbacks were overcome with organic materials that enable flexibility and charge transfer. Moreover, the organic structures opened up a vast number of opportunities for physical property tuning and enhancement of the devices via synthetic and structural manipulation of the active optoelectronic component. These components, although diverse and tailored to their applications, all have one common requirement: the ability to conduct charge. Charge transfer is achieved with electronic devices called transistors ${ }^{6,7}$ (Figure 2) that are made of semiconducting materials. Small-molecule organic semiconductors (OSCs), are discrete and atomically precise materials that are routinely developed for the next generation of smart technology. It is clear that organic semiconductors are integral to numerous material-related technologies and improvements in the specific field of organic semiconductors also develops those of all other charge-transfer related fields ${ }^{8}$.

Organic electronics has been under intense investigations since the


Figure 1. A selection of technology applications ${ }^{8}$ which include (top left to bottom right) smart paper e-readers, mobile phones, tablets and wearable devices.


Figure 2. A flexible transistor substrate showing multiple electrodes ready for organic semiconductor deposition.
first organic transistor was reported during the mid-1980s, and many molecular materials have been both experimentally and theoretically developed ${ }^{9}$. This level of scientific study has yielded a multitude of chemical structures, not all of which are high performing ${ }^{10}$. The ability to vary the molecular size, shape and solubility gave significant early improvements, but the greatest leap occurred when the structures were derivatised with the addition of heterocyclic units that were mixed with the conventional benzene-based aromatics ${ }^{8}$. These derivatives included the variation of the heteroatoms other than sulfur or nitrogen in the heterocycles, such as oxygen, selenium, and silicon; their functionalisation with solubilising alkyl chains for large-scale manufacture; halogen substitution such as fluorine for electron stability and enhanced single-crystal packing in the solid state; and the nature of the bonding array, such as oligomeric or fused-ring aromatics ${ }^{11}$.

These vast developments have proved beneficial in the development of organic electronics to meet the growing demand, yet there are still limitations in 'design rules' to date. For example, structure-property relationships based on molecular dimensions do no always correlate, evidenced famously with the planar 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) and buckminsterfullerene $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ for electron charge transfer; both are high performing but the former has nitrile units while the latter has no heteroatoms and is spherical. Couple this apparent dichotomy with the numerous structures synthesised to date, the fundamental physical characteristics of high-performing organic semiconducting candidates are becoming increasingly difficult to rationalise, especially when there are different interpretations of the charge transfer mechanisms. An integrated approach is needed to overcome the challenges and this turns the
investigations to the field of theoretical computational chemistry and the process of virtual screening.

Computational investigations overcome the relatively slow rate of iterative experimental synthesis and testing, as libraries of chemical structures can be in silico designed and screened for both their stability and charge transfer properties. Developments in quantum mechanics has led to easier handling and deployment of the theories, such as density functional theory (DFT). Combining DFT virtual screening with synthetic investigations cuts resources and provides a powerful approach to the advancement of the next generation of high-performing organic semiconducting candidates for organic electronic technology.

Interestingly, out of all the fields of organic electronics, organic semiconductors are investigated the least (Figure 3), even though it is the most common component. Solar cells and photovoltaics originated in the literature during the 1970s, owing in part to the research on conventional inorganic materials. From the 1980's, nonlinear optics, organic electronics and organic semiconducting phenomena were investigated, but at a much lesser volume. By the mid-1990's, organic light emitting diodes emerged and later in


Figure 3. The number of publications per year on Scopus (papers, reviews, conference proceedings, and book chapters) containing the given search term, in the title, abstract or key words.
the 2000's, research increased with the organic thin film transistors and the addition of an applied field gave rise to the organic field effect transistor. Up until 2016, solar cell research is the highest published and fastest growing field in electronic devices, being inorganic or organic-based, followed by photovoltaic and non-linear optics. The least published fields in organic electronics are organic field-effect transistors and organic thin-film transistors, suggesting that this area, primarily focusing on charge transport (hole or electron), is still emerging and expected to grow with the advent of thinner and more flexible consumer technology.

Chapter 2
Literature Review

### 2.1 Organic Semiconductor Discoveries

The earliest work that can be contributed to semiconductor properties begins with inorganic semiconductors and dates back to Faraday ${ }^{12}$ in 1833 when he noted that silver sulfide exhibited a negative temperature coefficient. Since then, there has been successive breakthroughs with photovoltaics but the first amplifier was invented by de Forest as a thermionic triode valve in 1906 and was called the Audion ${ }^{13}$. The Professor of physics at Leipzig, Lilienfeld, was the first to file a patent for conceptual devices described as a field effect transistor. The first was filed in $1926{ }^{14}$ but not granted until 1930, however, there was scepticism if they would have functioned as a practical device. The first published experimental evidence was reported by Hilsch and Pohl in 1938, which inspired Brattain at AT\&T Bell Laboratories to "put a grid in the semiconductor diode and eureka the result would be an active triode with amplifying possibilities" ${ }^{\prime 15}$, developing the first transistor in $1947^{16}$. The device was a point contact type due to the physical contact of the components and consisted of a germanium semiconductor, as illustrated in Figure 4.


Figure 4. The First transistor from AT \& T Bell Laboratories ${ }^{16}$.

The word transistor is derived from the words transfer and resistor; whereby a transistor is a device which amplifies the current or switches the electrical potential applied between the source and drain electrodes acting as an on/off switch. The device is considered to be bipolar because its conduction is thought to consist of the recombination of electrons and holes in the electronic states of a material. Field effect transistors are unipolar as they consist of only one type of charge carrier and control the flow of current between the source and drain electrodes by an electric field which is created by a gate electrode. The connection between the source and drain electrodes is called the channel and if consisting of organic semiconducting material, then
the device is called an organic field effect transistor (OFET). Throughout the 1950's, devices became known collectively as discrete which are electronic units such as resistors, capacitors, diodes or transistors and are fabricated on a single electronic circuit to make multi-composite devices such as radios and computers. In 1956, Bardeen, Brattain and Shockley shared the Nobel Prize in physics ${ }^{17}$ for "their researches on semiconductors and their discovery of the transistor effect".

Since the discovery of OFET device ${ }^{18}$ in the 1980s, they have attracted much attention. OFETs consist of an organic semiconducting layer, a gate insulator layer, and three terminals (drain, source, and gate electrodes). OFETs are not only essential components for the next generation of cheap and flexible organic circuits in display technology, but they also provide an important insight into the charge transport of m-conjugated systems. They act as tools for the exploration of the structure-property relationships of $\pi$-conjugated systems, such as the charge transfer field-effect mobility ( $\mu$, the drift velocity of carriers under unit electric field) ${ }^{4,19-23}$.

### 2.2 Organic Semiconducting Devices

### 2.2.1 Organic Field Effect Transistors (OFETs)

The purpose of a field effect transistor (FET) is to modulate or control the flow of electric current. This is achieved with a device consisting of several layers, stacked in a sandwich-like array and includes source/drain electrodes, a semiconducting layer, an insulating layer and a gate electrode as illustrated in Figure 5, showing four common FET architectures ${ }^{10}$. In a p-type semiconductor, the holes (positive charge) are the majority of charge carriers in the electronic band structure and are of low density with respect to any given plane in the bulk in the absence of any applied fields; n-type semiconductors have an excess of electrons (negative charge). Once a negative voltage is applied to the gate generating an electric field, the holes are polarised or attracted towards the semiconductor/insulator interface and here their density becomes significant enough to promote the electrical conduction from the source to the drain electrodes. Conversely, when a positive voltage is applied to the gate electrode the holes or charge carriers are reduced and the effect may be possible to be extended throughout the thickness of the semiconductor layer. Therefore, the gate electrode modulates the carrier density in the semiconductor, and can also be monitored with the source and drain electrodes, yielding a triple-electrode device for the control and measurement of current.


Figure 5. Four common FET device architectures, A bottom gate bottom contact, B top gate bottom contact, C bottom gate top contact and D top gate top contact; the components are semiconductor $\square$, source/drain $\square$, insulator $\mathbf{N}$, gate $\square$, reference point = semiconductor.

Metals are conductors as they have partially filled conduction bands and electrons can be easily promoted from the highest occupied level (Fermi level) into the next available unoccupied level. Semiconductors on the other hand, exist as two types, intrinsic and extrinsic. Intrinsic inorganic semiconductors are electrical insulators at $T=0 \mathrm{~K}$, but may conduct if the band gap is small enough for the electrons to be thermally promoted from the valence band into the conduction band. Extrinsic inorganic semiconductors generally require the addition of a dopant which provides localised energy levels that are closest to either the valence (p-type) or conduction (n-type) bands as illustrated in Figure 6.


Figure 6. Energy bands of a metal and two inorganic semiconductor types ( p - and n -type).

Figure 6 can be modified for small-molecule OSCs if the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level of the valence and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) level of the conduction bands are considered. For example, under the conditions that a HOMO level of a semiconductor is close to the Fermi level of a metal, which provides a low energy difference or barrier, and with a negative gate voltage applied, then holes are easily injected and the semiconductor is p-type. The device operates as a conducting channel forms at the semiconductor-metal interface and charge-carriers can be driven from source to drain by applying a second bias potential. If electron injection is easier than hole injection, where the LUMO
level is closer to the Fermi level than the HOMO level, then the semiconductor is $n$-type.

Figure 7 shows the broadening of the HOMO and LUMO levels in the solid state, representing a more realistic picture of an OTFT. In the solid state, there are many molecules and thus many contributions to the FMOs, each one being of slightly different energy due to the different molecular arrangement sin the solid state, minor lattice defects and impurities. One consequence, is that the LUMO has one node less than the HOMO, and gives rise to fewer LUMO levels in the solid state. One assumption is that due to the greater number of HOMO states, organic molecules will be more biased towards p-type behaviour, but this is not strictly true for all OSCs ${ }^{24}$.


Figure 7. FMO bonding and antibonding arrangements of a single organic molecule (or gas phase), the HOMO and LUMO level splittings of two organic molecules and the valence and conduction bands of many organic molecules in the solid state.

### 2.3 Charge Transfer Mechanisms

The study of hole and electron charge transport dates back more than 60 years ${ }^{25-27}$ when the nature of photo and electronic behaviour in organic semiconductors were first studied ${ }^{28-30}$. Purity was recognised early on as an important factor, which led to studies on high-purity organic crystals ${ }^{31-36}$ particularly by industry such as Xerox, Kodak and IBM among others ${ }^{37-40}$. The theoretical work by Kenkre and co-workers ${ }^{41-43}$ described electron-phonon interactions (phonons are lattice vibrations of the solid-state material) in highly ordered systems, while Scher, Lax and Montroll focused on disordered materials ${ }^{44,45}$. To date, there is no definitive or exact model that yet exists for a wide temperature range and satisfies all device architectures and molecular structures ${ }^{46}$. Hence, a general overview of the two most important types of charge transfer mechanisms are briefly discussed.

### 2.3.1 Multiple Trapping and Release (MTR)

This model assumes the charge transport is dependent on the gate voltage bias, such that in the presence of a small bias, traps are localised and the mobility is small. With a large bias, these traps are filled to the point of saturation, until they are released by a thermally-activated process ${ }^{21,47}$. In practice, the bias is constant, but the effect takes time to permeate through the dielectric and thus the effect of the bias on the charges in the semiconducting layer is increased with time until the saturation regime is occurred; upon which the charge transfer mobility is measured.

### 2.3.2 Polaron Hopping

A number of early theories were successfully applied such as band theory ${ }^{48}$ and the polaron effective mass approach ${ }^{49}$, but these were restricted to specific cases. Generalised approaches were developed on the electronic coupling over a range of parameters such as temperature and included the density matrix approach ${ }^{50}$ or more recently the dynamical mean-field theory ${ }^{51}$. The consensus now is that the overall charge transfer mobility is a combination of two components, the tunnelling (coherent transfer) which dominates at low temperatures and hopping (incoherent transfer) contributions, which dominates at high temperatures; high temperature as
described in charge transfer models is generally any temperature above cryogenic conditions, i.e. room temperature.

In this model, charge being either an electron or a hole, is transported through a material by polaron hopping between localised states ${ }^{21}$; where a polaron is the deformation of the solid-state molecular lattice around the electron or hole. These localised charge states are on the molecules, and thus the charge hops from one molecule to another, sometimes being trapped in between molecules on crystallographic defects or impurities that are left over from synthesis. The activation energy required to propagate the charge beyond the trap gives rise to the thermal-activated nature of the polaron hopping model at elevated temperatures.

The small polaron theory ${ }^{52}$ combines the above concepts and includes the contribution of the binding energy between the polaron and phonon interactions. Considering the polaron energy is half of the reorganisation energy, this theory is simplified into the exact equation of an older classical charge transfer model called Marcus Theory ${ }^{53}$. Its simplicity enables the three key charge transfer properties, namely the internal reorganisation energy, the transfer integral and the rate of charge transfer, to be routinely calculated ${ }^{54}$ for both the hole and electron charges and aid experimental investigations ${ }^{55,56}$ for the in silico design of high-performing organic semiconductors.

### 2.3.3 Summary

The observation of low-performing OSCs with high transfer integrals ( $100-200 \mathrm{meV}$ ) is found to only be due to its purity, which is now considered to be the most significant factor in charge transfer. The origin of the charge carrier trap states is more useful for practical OSC devices. It is commonly thought that impurities arising from the synthesis have a band gap which is too large to reside within that of the OSC and have lower HOMO levels or higher LUMO levels which cannot therefore act as trap sites. Thus, the presence of impurities will only act as a scattering centre for the delocalised carriers, rather than be charge traps; the net charge-transfer mobility will inevitably decrease but the underlying mechanistic factors is of importance to improve the field of OSCs.

Oxygen doping is found to be one of the greatest contributors to defects in the solid state, due to the presence of epoxides and ethers formed with the exposure of the OSCs to air. The oxygenated defects tend to impart a negative charge and act as traps for the hole, but in other cases as p-dopants thus improving some OSCs such as picene. The presence of oxygen is one of the most important factors for both understanding the mechanism of charge transport and measuring the charge mobility.

Recent models such as the extended polaronic band theory ${ }^{57}$ based on localised dynamic disorder are still being debated in the literature ${ }^{46}$, it is therefore acceptable to use the classical Marcus Theory for comparison between similar molecular systems, particularly with regards to properties such as reorganisation energies and transfer integrals. The charge transport phenomena in OFETs was also found to be a combination of the MTR and polaron hopping with oligothiophenes ${ }^{55}$, and thus it is clear that more work needs to be done on charge transfer mechanisms. It is still worth noting the progress of developing theories in the literature, but for immediate practical purposes, classical theories are suitable for studies such as virtual screenings a priori to experimental investigations.

### 2.4 Molecular Classes of Small Organic Semiconductors

Small molecules have been widely studied for semiconductors because they are easy to purify and readily form crystalline films for electronic devices ${ }^{10}$. The small molecules are aromatic hydrocarbons in nature and consist of multiple fused rings called acenes or with heteroatom derivatives called heteroacenes. Their conjugation is typically linear, such that the rings are bonded together giving ladder-like molecules. They commonly consist of, but not limited to, a central core with several aromatic fused rings both sides and capped with aromatic or alkyl groups. The purpose of both the molecular structure and the terminal groups are to facilitate close packing in the solid state, leading to crystallinity and thus better semiconductor properties.

A rich diversity of molecular structures are currently available and new ones are routinely synthesised from rational design to improve the physical properties such as charge transport. This leads to numerous derivatives in the literature and eventually to wide structural classes of semiconducting molecules, some of which have been briefly highlighted in this section. The acenes, for example, consist of two to seven fused phenyl units and selected structures are illustrated in Figure 8. Charge-carrier mobility usually increases with the number of fused aromatic rings on the molecule, due to greater $\pi-\pi$ interactions obtainable in the crystalline state. One significant disadvantage of the acenes is their poor solubility in common organic solvents resulting in both purification and fabrication difficulties, due to their hydrophobic, fused rings. Therefore, derivatisation with alkyl or hetero substituents are advantageous for improvement of both chemical and physical properties. These substituents can be joined to the centre or peri positions such as the symmetric acenes or acenequinones (1), or towards the end of the molecules like the halogenoacenes (2) and asymmetric quinones (3). Both halogen and carbonyl substitution can alter the electronic and electrostatic interactions between the molecules, thus affording different packing arrays. Alternatives to linear, rod-like structures include fused-ring clusters such as pyrenes (4), or propeller-like molecules which have naphthyl cores with alkyl side groups (5). Other combinations exist with perylenes (6), where halogen substituents and derivatised terminal groups structures such as succinates and succinamides.

$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$
Symmetric Acenes and
acenequinones (1)

$R=$ alkyl, aryl
Asymmetric acenequinones (3)

$R=$ anthryl, thio, thienothio, alkyl
Naphthyls (5)

$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$
Halogenoacenes (2)

$R=$ aryl, thio
Pyrenes (4)

$R=H$, succinate, succinimide $X=H$, F, CI, Br

Perylenes (6)

Figure 8. Class types for fused-ring acene-based small molecules.

If heteroatoms are substituted in place of carbon atoms in the fused rings, the result are a series of molecules generally called heteroacenes. They are a mixture of both phenyl rings and five membered heterocycles, such as indoles, furans and thiophenes. These can be arranged in a number of ways, where two common configurations include the heterocycle either at the centre (benzodi[hetero]phenes, 7) or on the edges (dibenzo[hetero]phenes ${ }^{58}$, 8); a third variant is to combine these to give the heterocycle both at the centre and at the edges which are separated by phenyl rings (di(benzothieno)thiophenes, 9), as illustrated in Figure 9.


Z = S, Se;
R = aryl, alkyl
Benzodithiophenes


Z = S, Si, CR2;
R = alkyl, alkyne
Dibenzothiophenes
(8)


Z = S, Si, CR2;
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{alkyl}$
(7)

Figure 9. Heteroacenes containing phenyl and thiophene units.

Another type of heteroacene consists only of five-membered units, such as thienothiophenes (10) and dithioenothiophenes (11), but could also include other element such as silicone, carbon and nitrogen-based derivatives ${ }^{59}$ (Figure 10). The solubility of these compounds is determined by the length and nature of the R group.


$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CR} 2, \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Te}, \mathrm{Si} ; \\
\mathrm{R}=\text { alkyl, thio, styryl }
\end{gathered}
$$

Thioenothiophenes (10)


Z = S, Se; Y = CR2, Si, NR2;
R = alkyl, thio, styryl, ketophenyl
Dithioenethiophenes (11)

Figure 10. Five-membered heteroacenes.

A specific type of derivatives at the peri positions include alkyne functional groups and terminally capped with trialkylsilyl, alkyl or aryl groups which stabilises the alkyne and improves solubility (12, Figure 11). The change of positioning form peripheral to peri may alter the close packing motifs of the molecules in the crystalline state from herringbone to brick-weave or slipped-stack; this change in lamellar packing was reported with the exchange of ethyl ${ }^{60}$ for propy ${ }^{61,62}$ groups. In addition to improvement to molecular packing and thus mobility, the silyl groups also impart solubility giving good solution processing possibilities.


$$
\text { R = H, SiMe } 3 \text {, alkyl, aryl }
$$

Figure 11. Peri-alkyne acenes (12).

While some heteroacenes can display intrinsic $n$-type characteristics such as indoles and thiazoles, from the nitrogen substitution in the rings, p-types can be tuned to perform as n-types, from substituting the peripheral C-H units for specific halogens such as fluorine. In general, heteroatoms tend to stabilise the structure towards electron charge transfer due to their tendency to retain excess electron charge from their electronegative strength. Hence, any conventional p-type organic semiconducting structure can be turned into an n-type via substitution with either nitrogen or fluorine, either directly into the rings or around the peripheral C-H positions, including the alkyl chains. It is therefore reasonable to consider the investigations of $p$-types primarily with the knowledge that $n$-type behaviour can be tuned at a later date. Specific examples of p - and n -types are given in section 2.5.

### 2.4.1 Leading Examples of Organic Semiconductors

Picene (14) was investigated by Okamoto et al. ${ }^{63}$ due to the parent isomer, pentacene ${ }^{64,65}$ (13), having high charge transfer physical properties but low air-stability. Low HOMO-LUMO gaps $\left(E_{g}\right)$ may lead to oxidation and decompose in the presence of light. For example, 13 has an $E_{g}$ gap of 1.8 eV in comparison to 14 with 3.3 eV . Under an atmosphere of oxygen, an OFET device of the bottom-gate top-contact type with 13 was observed to have a mobility in the single crystal and thin-film states of 40.0 and $5.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ respectively; the thin film mobility of 14 is $1.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.



Figure 12. Pentacene (13) and Picene (14).

A tetraphenyl-substituted acene (rubrene, 15) ${ }^{66,67}$ was found to have the second highest mobility ( $24.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) after pentacene. Rubrene was the first high-performance OSC that broke the accepted design rule of planarity, as the peripheral phenyl rings have significant steric repulsion and hence stick out perpendicular both to the core surface and molecular axis. The reason for the high mobility, turns out to be due to the molecules stacking not just in a cofacial array between the core unit, but also in a lateral array with $\pi-\pi$ interactions between the paddle-like phenyl rings, forming three-dimensional charge transfer capability. The issue is that rubrene is highly insoluble, and requires vacuum processing multiple times to remove impurities prior to OTFT fabrication.


Figure 13. Structure of rubrene (15).

Mullen et al. ${ }^{68}$ reported the synthesis of the hexyl derivative of dithienobenzodithiophene (DTBDT-Hexyl, 16, Figure 14) in a two-step route giving product in a total yield of $86 \%$. The shifted co-facial crystal structure from $\pi-\pi$ and S-S interactions promotes 2D charge mobility. It was initially fabricated into a semiconducting thin film as part of a bottom-gate, top-contact OFET device from spin coating in chloroform; the observed mobility reached $1.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Using the same type of OFET architecture, new devices were fabricated via dip-coating in toluene reaching a charge mobility of $1.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

Uemura et al. ${ }^{69,70}$ reported the structures for derivatised benzothienobenzothiophenes (BTBTs, 17); these molecules consist of a thienothiophene core fused either side with phenyl units and capped with alkyl derivatives. Two synthetic routes were reported, either starting with the core BTBT and derivatised via Friedel-Crafts acylation and Wolff-Kishner reduction, or Sonogashira coupling of diiodo-BTBT and catalytic hydrogenation, with an average total yield of $70 \%$ for each pair of steps. Out of those reported, the mobilities of the alkyl derivatives $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{23}, \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{25}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{27}$ range between $0.73-1.76,0.44-1.71$ and $1.20-2.75 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ respectively. Similarly, Takimiya et al. ${ }^{71}$ also synthesised phenyl derivatives using a substituted stillbene starting material. The final step between the diiodo-BTBT and phenyl derivative was accomplished with a Suzuki-Miyaura ${ }^{72}$ coupling affording target product in a 52 \% yield for that step. The DPh-BTBT obtained mobilities between 0.93-1.2 for hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) and $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for octyltrichlorosilane (OTS) treated $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ only at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These are exemplary molecular architecture semiconductor components for OFET devices with desired physical properties.

It is rational to continue the conjugation of a successful system such as with BTBTs, which were extended to form dinaphthothienothiophene (DNTT) molecules (18) by Takimiya et al. ${ }^{73}$. DNTT is synthesised in a three-step route affording target product in total yield of $39.4 \%$. The lowest mobility value obtained in this specific study is $0.73 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ on HMDS modified $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ substrates at room temperature and highest value of $2.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~S}^{-1}$ on OTS at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; single crystals gave a mobility of $8.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~S}^{-1}$. The DNTT molecules are air-stable and have better charge transfer properties than BTBT molecules.

Developing these types of molecular architectures further, a type of molecule called Dibenzodithienothiophene (DBDTT, 19) was synthesised by


DTBDT-Hexyl (16)


DNTT (18)


BBTT (20)

( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{n+2} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{n}=5-14$, Ph)
BTBT (17)


DBDTT (19)


DBTTA (21)

Figure 14. Molecules with thienothiophene and dithienothiophene cores.

Hu et el..$^{74}$, which gave single crystal mobility of $1.80 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~S}^{-1}$, but no thin film due to poor solubility. BBTT (20) and DBTTA (21) have hole charge transfer mobilities of $<0.01$ and $0.15 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ respectively ${ }^{75}$, showing the significant increase in mobility by an order of magnitude between the oligomeric and a fused-ring cores of these heteroacenes.

Neckers et al. ${ }^{76}$ synthesised curved benzo[b]thiophene analogues of pentacene with five fused-rings each (Figure 15). The route to these two compounds involved condensation, reduction and recrystallisation steps and were column-chromatography free, which allowed for fast upscaling; the purification method in some steps involved recrystallisation. 22 and 23 are highly stable given their helicene-like nature and should have interesting optoelectronic properties in future studies.


22


23

Figure 15. Benzo[b]thiophene analogues of pentacene.

In addition, a series of trans-dithienothiophene derivatives investigated by Wang, Hu et al. ${ }^{77}$ has uncovered one particular species 24 (Figure 16), which consists of an oligomeric alkene bond at the centre which bridges two thiophene-based fused-ring segments and are capped with phenyl rings; this structure has a relatively high mobility range between $1.5-2.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} .24$ is synthesised in a three-step route, starting with the Suzuki coupling of 2-dithienothiophene to phenyl boronic acid. A Vilsmeier-Haack reaction adds an aldehyde group to the available 2' position which is subsequently self-condensed in a McMurray reaction using titanium tetrachloride/zinc in tetrahydrofuran affording the product in a total yield of 50.1 \%. Wang suggests that its exceptional charge mobility is due to strong intermolecular interactions arising from the phenyl units and facilitates a closer packing array in the crystalline state compared to other alkyl derivatives investigated.


24

Figure 16. Trans-1,2-(dithienothiophene)ethene (24).

Figure 17 shows a derivatised all-thiophene heteroacene, septa-thiophene (25), although many linear variations of different number of rings have also been synthesised ${ }^{78}$; the long alkyl chains ensures solubility in common organic solvents. This linear structure is similar to pentacene, except the thiophene heterocycles are fused back-to-back in an alternating manner down the length of the structure. Although no mobility data is available, these are important structures with high thermal stability, in excess of $380^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the single crystal packing is a m-stack arrangement, rather than herringbone


Figure 17. Derivatised septa-thiophene (25); $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21}$.
as observed with pentacene. The two alkyl chains ensure excellent solubility for thin-film formation and potential OFET characterisation.

Anthony et al. ${ }^{79}$ synthesised a mixed heteroacene of phenyl and thiophene rings, with silyl-derivatised peri alkyne substituents (26), as well as a fluorine derivative at the thiophene's 2-positions (27). The drop-cast films of 26 yielded devices with charge-mobilities up to $1.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Figure 18). Unfortunately, it suffers from charge-injection barriers between electrode interfaces and photo-bleaches in several minutes upon radiation exposure. On the other hand, it is synthesised in a single step from anthradithiophenequinone in $91 \%$ yield ${ }^{80}$ and relatively low reaction conditions. This is due to substitution at the 5 and 5 ' positions of the thiophene rings and electronic changes induced due to the electron-withdrawing fluorine. Interestingly, unlike iso-propylsilyl derivatives, the ethylsilyl fluorinated anthradithiophene does form crystalline thin-films; with an average charge-transfer mobility of $0.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Selected p-type structures and properties are collected together and presented in Table 1.
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27

Figure 18. Alkyne-anthradithiophenes derivatives.

Table 1. FMO energies and hole mobilities of selected high-performance ( $\mu>1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) p-type organic semiconductor structures measured either as a single crystal (SC) or as a thin film (TF); (-) designates no value available, due to either no data or instability of the structure.
Entry

Marks and Facchetti et al. ${ }^{81}$ synthesised a particular n-type semiconductor 28 containing keto groups and fluorinated alkyl chains flanking four thiophene units bonded at the 2 and 5-positions, as shown in Figure 19, in a four-step synthesis. It has a mobility of $0.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but one year later this was improved ${ }^{82}$ to $1.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Interestingly, the later report is a significant variation between a number of silica-treated substrates, showing that pre-treatment or selectively choosing the substrate is critical to achieving the best possible device performance.

Yamashita et al. ${ }^{83}$ synthesised 29, also shown in Figure 19, which consists of a bi-fused thiazole capped with thiophene derivatives, in $18 \%$ yield from corresponding aldehyde and dithiooximide starting reagents. Yamashita reported its mobility in 2005 to be of only $0.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and in 2007 a mobility of $1.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ was observed for thin-film semiconductor OFET devices. The change is due to the silica dielectric being pre-treated with long alkyl chains which suppresses the electron trap sites. These two examples by Marks and Yamashita show that not only is the molecular structure critical for desirable properties, but the substrate's surface of the dielectric is also significant for good interaction and thus high charge mobilities. Fortunately, the substrate treatment is a type of device fabrication and is not fundamental to the molecular structures; although important, this can be removed from structural design considerations.

Cylohexyl-naphthalene diimide structures (30) synthesised by Shukla et al. ${ }^{84}$ exhibited high n-type mobilities of $6.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in air, which is a significant improvement over 28 and 29, especially as it has no fluorine substituents. Moreover, these high mobilities were reached as OTFTs before single crystal measurements, which have yet to be completed.


28


29


30

Figure 19. Some specific $n$-type small molecules with $\mu>1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

Table 2. FMO energies and electron mobilities of selected high-performance ( $\mu>1 / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) n-type organic semiconductor structures measured either as a single crystal (SC) or as a thin film (TF).

| Entry | Structure | $\begin{gathered} \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{H}} / \\ \mathrm{eV} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{L}} / \\ \mathrm{eV} \end{gathered}$ | $E_{g} / \mathrm{eV}$ | $\mu \mathrm{sc} /$ | $\mu \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 |  | -5.64 | $\begin{aligned} & -2.68 / \\ & -2.82 \end{aligned}$ | 2.96 | - | 1.20 |
| 29 |  | -6.36 | -3.96 | 2.40 | - | 1.70 |
| 30 |  | -6.97 | -3.71 | 3.26 | - | 6.0 |

### 2.5 Challenges

### 2.5.1 Solubility

As discussed above, organic electronics need to satisfy a number of practical requirements of devices, such as the stability and fabrication of the semiconducting thin film. This is non-trivial because the ideal molecules are inherently insoluble due to their extended $\pi$-conjugation. Techniques such as vapour deposition have been extensively used, however, it is high cost and slow production ${ }^{5}$. An answer to this problem is to use solution-process techniques whereby the semiconductor is rendered soluble in organic solvents through derivatisation during its synthesis, such as alkylated thiophenes ${ }^{85}$ and acenes ${ }^{86}$. Another form of solution processing is the generation of the final stage of the organic semiconductor using thermal treatment or photoirradiation, and have been achieved with linear acenes ${ }^{87}$. However, their physical properties such as electrical conductance significantly change according to the process used, due to their close packing arrays or relative alignment towards one another in the crystalline state once processed.

Overall, there are few examples of organic semiconductors that can be solution-processed and maintain relatively high charge transfer mobilities, and include those of the benzothienobenzothiophene (BTBT) derivatives ${ }^{69}$ (17) and silyl-alkyne substituted heteroacene analogues of tips-pentacene ${ }^{60}(27)$; their structures are reillustrated in Figure 20. These exemplary structures highlight the nature of the bonding array for high-performing candidates with thiophene heterocycles both in the core and peripheral, with alkyl, fluorine and TES derivatives.


R = alkyl
BTBT (17)

(27)

Figure 20. Exemplary structures (17 and 27) for solution-processed OTFTs.

### 2.5.2 Air-Stability

Ambient processing conditions are ideal for large scale manufacture of organic electronics but not all OSCs are suitable, such as with pentacene, and thus their air-stabilities are an important factor. While there is no specific value that governs air-stability, one measure is to compare the HOMO-LUMO gaps of identified targets against known OSCs. With an increasing number of experimental investigations ${ }^{5}$, basic structure-property comparisons can be deduced. For example, Figure 21 shows the increasing benzothiophene homologues with two phenyl rings added each time. It is clear the HOMO-LUMO gap decreases with heteroacene length. Although the dianthracenethienothiophene (DATT) ${ }^{88}$ (31) was found to be air stable with an exceptional single crystal hole mobility (12.3-16.0 $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), the gap is approaching that of pentacene, and thus it can be considered that there is a limit to the length of a fused ring target and thus the charge transfer mobility obtainable with small molecule OSCs. However, it is interesting to note that the gap of DNTT is much greater than that of pentacene, even though DNTT has an extra fused ring; this is probably due to the stabilising nature of the fused TT units in the core. Thus, air-stability remains a challenging problem in organic electronics.


Figure 21. Decreasing HOMO-LUMO gap (in eV) with increasing heteroacene length.

### 2.5.3 Molecular Packing and Charge Transfer

While most types of conjugated small molecules lead to a stacked motif 10,79 from $\pi-\pi$ interactions, it is known that aryl terminal groups facilitate edge-face (Figure 22 A ) interactions from hydrogen bonding of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ and m-surface of fused rings; together these lead to a 'herringbone' type packing. On the other hand, alkyl terminal groups block the edge-face interaction and which leads the molecules to be stacked closer together and thus giving either 'brick-weave' (Figure 22 B) or 'slipped-stacking' (Figure 22 C) motifs. In small molecules, the charge carriers move between the molecules in the direction perpendicular to the molecular face and hence parallel to the $z$ axis of the $\pi$ orbitals. Therefore, the molecules' faces which stack closer together in the crystalline state tend to facilitate greater charge-carrier mobility and are highly desirable for practical semiconducting device applications. This understanding is vital to improve the organic semiconductor charge-transfer properties and thus the overall solid-state device operations. However, morphology predictions are not exact theories and crystal engineering has to date failed to outline any principles for the design of high-performing structures other than planarity.


Figure 22. Organic semiconductor crystalline packing motifs of (A) edge-face, (B) brick-weave and (C) slipped-stack ${ }^{8}$.

### 2.5.4 Resources

The nature of experimental investigations for the synthesis of novel structures tends to generate high quantities of chemical waste and consume large amounts of time. Once a number of structures are synthesised, they are fabricated into OFET devices and their charge transfer mobilities are
measured; for reliable data and to ascertain the greatest mobility, each structure is fabricated into five or more separate devices for each substrate conditions, potentially generating in excess of 20 devices for only four conditions, which may include variations in surface treatment, temperature, deposition flow rate, length of channel between electrodes and device architecture. The highest performing structures are identified and derivatised to generate a new library for synthesis and testing. This iterative process requires significant quantities of chemical resources for synthesis as well as the access to OFET fabrication facilities. It is clear this costly process needs development to cut resources. The potential solution arises with theoretical computational chemistry, where multiple structures have their physical properties virtually screened and compared to existing experimental observations (or use very high accuracy theories in the absence of experimental data). Computational chemistry approaches are now more easily accessible and reliable due to advances in theory and simplification of the software. The other advance is computational power, which has significantly improved over the last few decades, to the extent that virtual screening in the pharmaceutical industry is now relatively common, but is yet to be fully realised in the fields of organic electronics materials chemistry; this approach is explored further in Section 2.6.

### 2.6 Computational Chemistry for Materials

Although a relatively recent technology, modern computational methods, especially in chemistry, have a rich history decorated with Nobel Prize awards. In 1954, Linus Pauling was granted the prize in chemistry for his research into the nature of the chemical bond and its application to the elucidation of the structure of complex substances. Robert Mulliken won the award in 1966 for his fundamental work concerning chemical bonds and the electronics structure of molecules by the molecular orbital method; now commonly used for atomic charge analysis. The award in 1971 was given to Gerhard Herzberg for his contributions to the knowledge of electronic structure and geometry of molecules, particularly free radicals. In 1981, Kenichi Fukui and Roald Hoffmann received the award for their theories concerning the course of chemical reactions. The Fukui index, is now a commonly computed 'local descriptor' parameter regarding the reactivity of a substituent on a molecule towards electrophilic or nucleophilic attack. In 1986, Dudley Herschbach, Yuan Lee and John Polanyl received the award for their contributions concerning the dynamics of chemical elementary processes. In 1992, Rudolph Marcus received the award for his contributions to the theory of electron transfer reactions in chemical systems. Marcus theory is now the staple theory of choice for classical charge-transfer systems, due to its intuitive nature and easy applicability. With the award of 1998 Nobel Prize in chemistry being awarded to Walter Kohn for his development of density functional theory and John Pople for his development of computational methods in quantum chemistry, the foundations of computer simulation were made famous and accessible to experimentalists. In 2013, the prize was awarded to Martin Karplus, Michael Levitt and Arieh Warshel for their work on multiscale models for complex chemical systems, including electronic structure, dynamics and macromolecular structures such as DNA and enzymes.

These many awards clearly show that the fundamental investigations in physical chemistry were not single arbitrary discoveries, but a continuous and dynamic field that helped to develop and cement future computational modelling techniques. These techniques enable (1) the investigation of fundamental questions and phenomena currently unavailable to exploration by experimentalists and (2) its predictive nature enables a fast-track screening approach to help rationally-design structures based on iterative computational calculations, benchmarked against known experimental observations. These
calculations aid the development of high-performing structures, for a multitude of fields from drug-discovery to material chemistry.

The current approach to molecular modelling involves the construction of the targets in question in a molecular editing program and subsequent calculation of properties by running a certain algorithm. These algorithms are conveniently wrapped up into functionals which are specified in the calculation input as 'key words' (commands), and the raw data is received upon completion. The data is either read as given or viewed back in an editor to give direct structure-property relationships. These relationships help to formulate design rules for the creation of new targets, thus leading to libraries of candidates for investigation. These libraries can be iteratively screened through computational methods to accelerate the discovery of highperforming materials. The only drawback is that computation power is limited to (1) the number of processors, (2) the type/architecture of processors and (3) the arrangement of processors to give maximum calculation power. All three are beyond the scope of this work, but are useful to grasp the current limitations in computation, which will hopefully one day be exceeded.

### 2.6.1 Computational Theories

### 2.6.1.1 Molecular Mechanics (MM)

Molecular mechanics (MM) are methods that uses classical mechanics to model the structures and interactions of molecular systems ${ }^{89}$. However, these interactions are limited to dynamics, potential energy surfaces and conformational changes, and do not include properties of interest for organic electronics such as ionisation energies or charge transfer. MM methods are nevertheless hugely useful to generate a classical molecular structure before quantum mechanics calculations are performed, thereby minimising computational time for accurate methods. MM can be combined with QM giving MM/QM approaches for investigations which look at the charge transfer between a donor and acceptor system ${ }^{90}$; although the QM and MM calculations are still performed individually for the bulk and interfaces respectively.

The potential energy of a system is determined with MM methods from the application of a specific force field, which is a mathematical function used to determine the geometry and energy of the chemical bond strength (and therefor length) between two or more atoms. There are a number of different types of force fields, and include 'all-atom', which provides mathematical terms for every atom type; 'united’ treats carbon and hydrogen atoms as one interaction centre; 'course-grained' treats whole molecules crudely and focuses on intermolecular interactions of large systems, commonly deployed in biological calculations such as for enzymes and proteins.

These types of force fields are routinely developed and are sometimes specific to certain types of molecules i.e. macromolecules and proteins, or generalised for a wide range of molecular structures and all atoms in the periodic table. Examples include the Assisted Model Building Energy Refinement (AMBER) ${ }^{91}$, for proteins, the Merck Molecular Force Field (MMFF) ${ }^{92}$ for a broad range of molecules; and the Universal Force Field (UFF) ${ }^{93}$, a general force field with parameters for all elements in the periodic table and applicable to a broad range of small and macroscopic molecules.

### 2.6.1.2 Semi-Empirical Methods

Semi-empirical methods are based in quantum mechanics, namely the Hartree Fock (HF) formalism ${ }^{94}$, and makes approximations from empirical
data. HF-based methods approximates the wave function and the energy of a 'many body system', such as atoms and molecules; HF wavefunctions are derived from the Schrodinger equation ${ }^{95}$, but it is not necessary here to detail explicitly. Although semi-empirical type methods are not used for high accuracy calculations, they are useful for making initial calculations and determining basic properties for later more accurate calculations with other theories.

Specific methods include the intermediate neglect of differential overlap (INDO), which can be used for the calculation of electronic band structure of semiconductors ${ }^{96}$, as well as ground and excited states using the modified neglect of diatomic overlap (MNDO) method ${ }^{97,98}$.

### 2.6.1.3 Ab Initio Methods

'Ab initio' means 'from the beginning', and are invoked with computational functions that are formulated from first principles ${ }^{99,100}$. Ab initio methods are commonly described in conjunction with their theoretical levels, which is a combination of how the electrons are treated with how many electrons and orbitals are considered (i.e. first, second, third etc. row of elements in the periodic table). The number of electrons and orbitals are designated with a basis set, which dictates to the theory the specific operation to be included in the calculation. For example, low-accuracy basis sets just describe the first row of the periodic table, while higher accuracies include other rows. However, as the number of rows increase, so does the type of orbital to be considered, such as $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}$ etc. The basis sets can artificially increase accuracy by adding in extra orbitals and extra orbital interactions. This occurs by stretching (polarisation) and spreading (diffusion) the orbitals which has the effect of increasing the data size for each calculation; the time for the calculation increases to the power of the number of atoms. Therefore, higher accuracy calculations take longer to perform but have the advantage of reliable data.

Common basis sets known as the split-valence Pople basis sets, and include a number of different Gaussian functions. Small basis sets include $3-21 \mathrm{G}$ which include elements up to xenon, but do not account for all the electronic interactions, while 6-311G includes elements up to krypton but do include a greater proportion of interactions. Adding d-orbital polarisation (d) and diffusion (+) functions transforms a given basis set into $6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$, which is one of the most common basis sets used in ab initio methods. However,
even this basis set is applicable to small or planar systems and not necessarily suitable for non-planar conjugated structures and transition states. Larger basis sets that are described as 'complete' include $6-311++G(d, p)$. Other basis sets are available for similar accuracies but faster computation and include those of the correlation-consistent basis sets, such as valence double-zeta (VDZ) and valence triple-zeta (VTZ), although these take considerably longer to converge and thus more computational expensive in terms of time. In the theoretical level, the theory is given first, and then the basis set. Examples with post-HF and DFT methods discussed below include MP2/6-31+G(d) and B3LYP/6-31+G(d).

### 2.6.1.3.1 Post-HF Methods

These are a set of methods which aims to improve on the HF methods, by adding electron correlation terms into the functions which is a relatively more accurate description of the repulsion energies between electrons; whereas repulsion energies are only averaged in HF methods.

One type of post-HF method is the Møller-Plesset (MP) perturbation theory ${ }^{101}$, which is a special case of the original Raylrigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory ${ }^{102}$. Briefly, the MP theory is based on one 'exact' function and an expanding series of perturbed functions, known as orders; the higher the order, the greater the accuracy. The overall function is denominated as MPn, where $\mathrm{n}=2,3,4,5 \ldots$ etc. Although MPn methods are an improvement over HF methods, they suffer from poor convergence at high orders and spin-contamination errors, which relate to the mixing of degenerate energy levels, or those that are similar in energy such as the HOMO and HOMO-1 levels.

Another type is known as 'chemically accurate', where its mathematical formulation takes into account electron correlation to a greater extent than MP methods and have less than one $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ of error in comparison to experimental observations. These include 'coupled cluster'103 and 'quadratic configuration interaction'104 and operate with both a single and a double excitation of the system, resulting in the coupled-cluster singles and doubles (CCSD) and quadratic configuration interaction singles and doubles (QCISD) methods, respectively. These are computationally expensive but are a highly accurate description of any given atomic and molecular system. In practice, only single-point calculations are performed with these methods on
pre-optimised molecular structures using other theories. An example is with calculation of the thermodynamic energy of reaction $\left(\Delta U_{r}\right)$, which may be of interest where experimental conditions are performed at either very low (cryogenic) or high (closed reactor) energies and thus difficult to measure.

### 2.6.1.3.2 Monte Carlo

Monte Carlo methods ${ }^{105,106}$ are numerous and varied, but all focus on an iterative random sampling procedure to obtain statistical results. The accuracy depends strongly on the initial wavefunction estimate and is also extensively time consuming.

### 2.6.1.3.3 Density Functional Theory (DFT)

Density Functional Theory (DFT) incorporates a wide umbrella of methods, all of which use functionals ${ }^{107}$ (functions of functions) to calculate the total electron density of a many-body system, such as molecules, which contains all the structural and physical properties. The functionals are mathematical operations that deal with the exchange correlation between a homogenous gas phase and local density approximations and now includes ground states of single molecules (gas phase), intermolecular interactions, and long-range corrections for ionic and partially-charged species. These functionals are divided between the pure (exact HF exchange) and hybrid (HF exchange with DFT-based exchange-correlation). The hybrid functionals use various percentages of HF exchange depending on the nature or property the functional is developed for. Each functional, pure or hybrid, is developed for a set of structures, bonding arrangements, intermolecular interactions or property calculations with respect to experimental observations, and in that sense, the specific functional is customisable depending on the requirement or system under investigation. From the perspective of applying DFT for materials discovery, the functionals can be selected by the user with all the added interactions for a given system and deployed within a given software. For example, while the pure functional Becke Lee Young Par (BLYP) may be useful for optimised neutral structures, ionisation energies are better modelled with (LC-BLYP). With two or more molecules, intermolecular interactions can be accounted for with Grimme's dispersion terms with either first, (D1), second (D2) and third (D3) order terms and with additional Becke-Johnson damping
(D3BJ); adding this gives LC-BLYP-D3BJ. It is clear that the customisable nature of DFT has clear advantages over other methods described previously.

In addition, a number of functionals have been written to include solution states through solvation 'polarisable continuum models' (PCMs); these put a cage like bubble around the molecule and apply the dielectric for the solvent of choice. Continuum models include the solvation quantum mechanical charge density (SMD) ${ }^{108}$, isodensity $\mathrm{PCM}(I P C M)^{109}$, self-consistent isodensity PCM (SCIPCM) ${ }^{109}$ and conductor PCM (CPCM) ${ }^{110,111}$. The default in ab initio software such as Gaussian 09 is the integral equation formalism variant PCM (IEFPCM) ${ }^{112,113}$. These solvation models are routinely used to determine solvation-state excitations and reaction mechanisms.

Excited state functionals are also developed, known as time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT), and are used to probe both singlet and triplet excited states. Such calculations give an insight into the absorption or emission of ultra-violet, fluorescent and phosphorescent processes.

Overall, the principle disadvantage with DFT include its non-exact approximative nature; however, the ability to approximate the total density and extract all useful structure and property information is considered conversely to be its guiding advantage. Other advantages include low computational cost with regards to both power and time, extensive functional parametisation for every molecular geometry and interaction which includes excited states and long-range order, wide implementation in computational packages that are licensed to academic institutions such as Gaussian, limited spin contaminations due to the extra steps of exchange correlation, and numerous physical properties can be calculated.

### 2.7 Summary

A brief history of the discoveries of semiconducting behaviour and devices were reviewed, followed by common device architectures, energy conduction characteristics and charge transfer mechanisms. General molecular classes of small organic semiconductors were then illustrated, followed by more detailed discussion on specific and leading examples. It was found that although some of the highest-performing structures were acenes, these were unstable in air and requires vapour deposition under inert atmosphere, which is difficult for scaling up the production of organic electronics devices. The next leading examples were found to be the mixed class of heteroacenes, which are widely derivatised and soluble in common organic solvents, ensuring mobility measurements as a thin film and thus upscaling capability; stability was also excellent and some examples exceeded $380^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A selection of the most important challenges were discussed, such as stability, molecular packing for high charge transfer and overall resource issues. Due to the issues of reiterative synthetic chemistry, computational chemistry and virtual screening approaches were discussed to fast-track leading candidate discovery.

Overall, these literature insights have generated a deep level of knowledge and understanding of organic electronics and more specifically, structure-property relationships for organic semiconducting materials with applications in thin-film transistors. With these in mind, an informed project plan can be instigated to proceed with a novel and innovative research scope for the development of the next generation of OSCs across the broad spectrum of organic electronic applications.

Chapter 3
Project Plan

### 3.1 Aims

Rationally design, computationally model and synthesise high-performing candidates for organic optoelectronics, concerned with but not limited to, organic semiconductors for thin-film transistors, and could include optics and nonlinear optic applications. These structures will be soluble in common organic solvents for solution-processing into organic thin-film devices, such as thin-film transistors (TFTs); the molecular targets and their synthesis will be designed to be inherently soluble from their retrosynthetic strategies. Computational modelling will enable the designed structures to be virtually screened and will highlight those with superior properties out of a pool of possible candidates; such structures will be selected for synthetic investigations. This process reduces the time and chemical resources in the pursuit of high-performing organic semiconducting candidates.

### 3.2 Objectives

1. Explore the structural diversity of both low and high-performing candidates.
2. Identify molecular structures with appropriate functional groups that satisfy (i) solubility of the final targets in common organic solvents for solution processing; (ii) close packing of the structures in the solid phase; (iii) relatively high physical properties and identify ideal values for comparison.
3. Design two different libraries of molecular targets to investigate, focusing on structural arrangements such as their oligomeric or fused-ring nature. Where possible, diversify in terms of both heterocyclic units and heteroatomic derivatives. This ensures diversity for structure-property investigations and thus the subsequent selection of high-performing candidates.
4. Calculate fundamental physical properties that are intrinsic only to the target structures and not extrinsic to the device type or fabrication procedures. This focuses the research on the properties of the molecular structures rather than the performance of the electronic devices. Relevant physical properties for investigation include the hole mobility and stability, which can be determined form the calculation of the HOMO-LUMO energies and differences ( $E_{g}$ ), vertical and adiabatic ionisation potentials and electron affinities, internal reorganisation energies, transfer integrals with different close-packing intermolecular distances, rates of charge
transfer, band gap (ground-1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ excited state $\mathrm{S}_{0}-\mathrm{S}_{1}$ ) and linear polarisabilities and nonlinear hyperpolarisabilities. Compare all theoretical values with experimental observations where possible and compare to theoretical values in the literature.
5. Investigate reaction mechanisms and synthetic conditions that are relevant in the synthesis of the molecular fragments towards the target molecules. This will develop and broaden the investigations where necessary to deepen understanding and overcome any issues that may arise.
6. Conceive novel routes and synthetic conditions which may be attractive to industry, considering the highest total yield, simplicity of reaction, air and light stable intermediates, total reaction time and the commercial availability of relatively cheap materials where possible.
7. Generate and rationalise a retrosynthetically-designed forward route and synthesise the target compounds of both libraries, with full theoretical and experimental characterisation to fully elucidate their structures and their charge transfer properties. The characterisation techniques may include instruments such as NMR spectroscopy for region-positioning of the substituents through chemical shifts and coupling constants, namely with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, distortionless enhancement by polarisation transfer (DEPT), correlation spectroscopy (COSY) and heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence (HMQC); Fourier-transformed infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy for vibrational analysis and confirmation of the synthesised functional groups and transition states; ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy for absorption with regards to optical stability, excited states and optoelectronic light-scavenging applications; mass spectrometry for compound identification pre- and post-purification (column chromatography/recrystallisation) with molecular weight, complementing the NMR data; elemental analysis for purity and X-ray single-crystal structures for absolute structure determination and solid-state analysis.

Chapter 4

## Experimental

### 4.1 Theoretical and Computational Methods

### 4.1.1 General Procedures

The molecules were constructed and energy levels visualised in Avogadro (version 1.1.1) ${ }^{114}$ and auto-optimised using UFF force field with four steps per update until $\delta \mathrm{E}=0$. All energies, properties and structures of any state were calculated with quantum mechanics/density functional theory (DFT) using Gaussian 09 (version D.01) ${ }^{115}$ with various basis sets and functionals, but normally the Becke 3-parameter density functional with the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional (B3LYP) ${ }^{116}$ and the complete $6-311++G(d, p)$ basis set ${ }^{117-119}$ unless specified. All subsequent single-point DFT calculations for the charge transfer properties were performed in Gaussian 09 starting with the optimised structure at the same level of theory. Calculations were performed on a high-performance computer (HPC) in Leeds, the Advanced Research Computing node 2 (ARC2), which uses CentOS 5 Linux operating system and a 100 TB file system.

Marcus Theory ${ }^{44}$ (Equation 1) was used to describe the charge transfer for organic semiconductor calculations, where the transfer is as a self-exchange between a neutral and a charged molecule. Two key parameters, the internal hole reorganisation energy $\left(\lambda_{h}\right)^{45}$, which is intrinsic only to the extent of relaxation between the neutral and cationic surfaces and upon which, the electron delocalisation of a molecular geometry plays a key part, and the hole transfer integral ( $\mathrm{th}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ), which is the electronic coupling between the molecules in relation to the intermolecular separations between them. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is Boltzmann's constant, T is temperature ( 298.15 K used here) and $\hbar$ is the reduced Planck's constant. Ideally, internal reorganisation energies should be very small ${ }^{46}$, ca. 0.1 eV .

A vertically ionised or reduced state is the immediate nature of a molecule which has gained or lost an electron and an adiabatic state is where the vertical state has subsequently relaxed to a minimum on its potential energy surface. The energy processes of electron-exchange, excitation and

$$
k_{c t}=\left(\frac{t^{2}}{\hbar}\right)\left(\frac{\pi}{\lambda_{ \pm} k_{B} T}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{\frac{\lambda_{ \pm}}{4 k_{B} T}}
$$

Equation 1. Marcus Theory which describes charge-transfer between two adjacent molecules.


Figure 23. The ionisation energy (IE) and electron affinity ( $E A$ ) pathways between the neutral (black), cation (green) and anion (blue) potential energy surfaces for the calculation of the hole $\left(\lambda_{h}\right)$ and electron $\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ reorganisation energies, according to Marcus Theory.
relaxation are illustrated in Figure 23.
The internal hole reorganisation energy ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{h}}$ ) is comprised of two relaxation terms, the energy of the cationic ( $\lambda_{1}$ ) and neutral ( $\lambda_{2}$ ) surfaces, as defined in Equation 2.

$$
\lambda_{\mathrm{h}}=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}
$$

Equation 2. The internal hole reorganisation energy as a sum of the reorganisation energy components of the neutral and cation states.

The cationic reorganisation energy $\lambda_{1}$ is determined from the difference between the vertical and adiabatic ionisation energies calculated via the vertical, single-point geometry of the cation ( $\mathrm{M}^{+} \mathrm{sP}$ ) and the optimised cation structure ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$OPT), (Equation 3).

$$
\lambda_{1}=E\left(M_{S P}^{+}\right)-E\left(M_{o p t}^{+}\right)
$$

Equation 3. The calculation of the individual reorganisation energy term for the cation state.

Addition of an electron to the minimised cationic structure returns the molecule back to its neutral potential energy surface and is performed with a vertical electron affinity of the cation, $E A_{v^{+}}$; the difference between this state and the minimum of the neutral molecule is $\lambda_{2}$ (Equation 4).

$$
\lambda_{2}=E\left(M_{S P}\right)-E\left(M_{O P T}\right)
$$

Equation 4. The calculation of the individual reorganisation energy term for the neutral state.

Similarly, the internal electron reorganisation energy $\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}$, is calculated according to Equation 5, which is the difference of the relaxations between the anionic ( $\lambda_{3}$ ) and the neutral ( $\lambda_{4}$ ) surfaces. These terms are the differences between (i) the optimised anion ( $M_{\text {орт }}$ ) and a point on the anion surface, $M^{-}$ SP ( $\lambda_{3}$, Equation 6) and the optimised neutral structure and a point on its surface, Msp', ( $\lambda_{4}$, Equation 7).

$$
\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}=\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}
$$

Equation 5. The electron reorganisation as the sum of the individual reorganisation energies from the anionic ( $\lambda_{3}$ ) and neutral surfaces $\left(\lambda_{4}\right)$.

$$
\lambda_{3}=E\left(M_{S P}^{-}\right)-E\left(M_{o p t}^{-}\right)
$$

Equation 6. The single point and optimised energy contributions to the anion reorganisation energy ( $\lambda_{3}$ ).

$$
\lambda_{4}=E\left(M_{S P}{ }^{\prime}\right)-E\left(M_{O P T}\right)
$$

Equation 7. The single point and optimised energy contributions to the neutral reorganisation energy $\left(\lambda_{4}\right)$.

The hole transfer integral $t_{h}$ is an important component in Marcus Theory and can be estimated from the 'splitting-in-dimer' method ${ }^{36,42,47,48}$
(Equation 5), comprising of two cofacial molecules separated by a distance $d$ ( $\AA$ ) and determined from calculating half the energy difference between the HOMO and HOMO-1 levels of the dimer. The transfer integral decreases with increasing $d$, so a range of separations are appropriate to determine the extent of the electronic coupling interaction. Although a separation between $d=3.3-$ $3.8 \AA$ was found to be suitable for OSCs such as pentacene ${ }^{48}$, circum(oligo)acenes ${ }^{36}$, and substituted acenes ${ }^{43}$, it is reasonable to assume that not all of the other isomers in this study, some being non-planar, will have an identical packing arrangement, and thus three integrals were calculated at $3.0,3.5$ and $4.0 \AA$.

$$
t_{h}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Phi_{H}-\Phi_{H-1}\right)
$$

Equation 8. The hole transfer integral determined from half of the difference between the HOMO and HOMO-1 states.

Substituting values for $\lambda_{\mathrm{h}}$ and $t_{\mathrm{h}}$ into Equation 1, enables Кст to be $^{\text {to }}$ determined at any given separation $d$.

### 4.1.2 Reaction Mechanism Calculations for the Synthon Molecular Fragment

All calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09 software package as described in the general procedures, at various levels; although the basis set $6-311++G(d, p)$ was used for the majority of calculations. The addition of diffuse functions in the basis set was helpful to describe the long-range dipoledipole interactions in the initial steps of the reaction. CCSD level of theory was too computationally expensive for the exploration of the global potential energy landscape (Gibbs energies).

Initial calculations found the long-range correction to DFT, Coulombattenuating method (CAM-B3LYP), made relatively little or no difference to the recovered activation energies or energy profiles and all subsequent calculations were performed at the B3LYP level. Dispersion functionals later applied included Grimme's D2 term, Becke-Johnson damping and wB97XD. Stationary points on the potential energy surface (PES) were obtained using the synchronous transit-guided quasi-Newton (STQN) method and the QST3 keyword; that is by specifying an intermediate molecule presumed to be close to the transition state (TS) in addition to the previously optimised structures of the reactants and products. The stationary nature of the TSs was in each case confirmed by subsequent frequency and intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) path following calculations. The IRC calculations were performed in vacuum.

Thermodynamic quantities (Gibbs free energies) were calculated at 1 atm and 298 K from frequency calculations and then corrected to account for the difference between the standard state in the gas phase and solution (1 atm vs 1 M ) by adding $R T \ln (24.46)$. The solution phase was investigated using a variety of methods ranging from continuum models such as the integral equation formalism polarisable continuum model (IEFPCM), a variant (SMD) and the conductor polarisable continuum model (CPCM), to the calculation of explicit solvent molecules imbedded in a continuum.

### 4.1.3 Organic Semiconductor Calculations

### 4.1.3.1 Charge Transfer for Pentacene's Isomers

For the benchmarking section, a molecule of pentacene was individually optimised with each functional, and found to be a minimum with frequency calculations, i.e. no imaginary frequencies were observed - see Appendix B1; the vibrational frequencies of pentacene correlate well with the literature ${ }^{120,121}$.

Several DFT functionals were screened against the experimental values of pentacene and probed some charge-transfer interactions; these functionals include pure (BLYP, PBE) and hybrid (B3LYP, wB97XD, PBE0, B3P86, BHandH, BHandHLYP) with long-range corrections (LC and CAM) and Grimme's dispersion (D3). The properties include the HOMO, LUMO, Eg, first vertical ionisation energy (IEv), reorganisation energy, transfer integral and rate of charge transfer. Both pure and hybrid functionals were included, along with long-range correction (LC/CAM) and Grimme's third order dispersion (D3) factor. The ionisation energy was probed with Koopmans Theorem ${ }^{122-124}(\mathrm{KT})$, outer valence Green's function ${ }^{125-127}$ (OVGF), and a variant, the third-order electron propagator ${ }^{128-131}$ (P3).

Although the $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ and $6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ basis sets give satisfactory values to experimental observations ${ }^{132-135}$, more-complete basis sets such as of $6-311 \mathrm{G}$ to $6-311++\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ are also prevalent throughout the literature ${ }^{117-}$ 119,136 for higher accuracy where needed. We also deploy the $6-311++G(d, p)$ basis set, owing to the unknown structures and charge transfer properties of the isomers of pentacene, particularly with regards to the dimer separations of the non-planar isomers, which do not have experimental observations for comparison.

### 4.1.3.2 Fused-Ring and Oligo-Fused Heteroacenes

All calculations to generate the optimised geometries and to determine the charge transfers were performed as described in the General Procedures 4.1.1 in Gaussian 09. Other than DFT, the electron propagator theories (EPT), were deployed to probe the vertical ionisation energies and include the Koopman's Theorem (KT), the Outer valence Green's Function (OVGF) and the third order pole (P3), which is a variant of OVGF; specified in the route section of the calculation script as the OVGF and OVGF=P3 keywords; KT values are automatically generated by both OVGF. For all EPT calculations, the same complete basis was used, such as the $6-311++G(d, p)$; the theoretical level would thus be OVGF/6-311++G(d,p), as an example. Nonlinear optic (NLO) parameters were obtained from the direct current second harmonic generation (DCSHG) option, using the POLAR keyword and specifying DCSHG as the option, such as POLAR=DCSHG; a tighter grid of data points surrounding the molecule in the calculation is necessary for the DCSHG option and fulfilled with the addition of the int=UltraFine keyword. The hyperpolarisabilities were calculated at the frequency of 1064 nm (0.0428 Har energy).

### 4.2 Instruments

### 4.2.1 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker DPX300 or a Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer using an internal deuterium lock. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield from and coupling constants are given in hertz (Hz). Trimethylsilane (TMS) is defined as 0 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. When describing ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data the following abbreviations are used; $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{p}=$ quintet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet.

### 4.2.2 Mass Spectrometry (MS)

Positive electron impact (EI+) analysis was run on a Waters GCT Premier spectrometer. Positive and negative electrospray ionisation (ESI+/-) was performed on a Bruker Daltronics microTOF spectrometer.

### 4.2.3 Infrared (IR)

Spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer FTIR spectrometer and the samples were analysed neat.

### 4.2.4 Ultra Violet - Visible Absorption Spectroscopy (UV-Vis)

The samples were run as $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ solutions in chloroform or as a thin film on quartz, at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a Shimadzu UV-3100 spectrometer, and analysed using the program UV Probe 2.3.

### 4.2.5 Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)

Samples were run on TA Instruments DSC Q20 at a temperature gradient of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ under nitrogen (flow $=50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ); calibrated with indium and analysed using the program TA Universal Analysis 2000.

### 4.2.6 Thermo-Gravimetric Analysis (TGA)

Samples were run on TA Instruments TGA G50 at a temperature gradient of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ under nitrogen (flow $=40 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ for balance purge and 40 mL $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$ for sample purge); analysed with program TA Universal Analysis 2000.

### 4.2.7 Single Crystal X-Ray Crystallography

For single-crystal structures, a suitable single crystal was selected and immersed in an inert oil. The crystal was then mounted on a nylon loop and attached to a goniometer head on a Rigaku SuperNova X-ray diffractometer fitted with an Atlas area detector and a kappa-geometry 4-circle goniometer, using graphite monochromated Mo-Ka $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) or Cu-Ka ( $\lambda=1.54184 \AA$ ) radiation. The crystal was cooled to 120 K by an Oxford Cryostream ${ }^{137}$ low temperature device. The full data set was recorded and the images processed using CrysAlisPro ${ }^{138}$ software. Structure solution was achieved through the use of SHELXS ${ }^{139}$, SHELXT ${ }^{140}$ or Superflip ${ }^{141}$ programs and the structural model defined by full matrix least squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ using SHELXL-97 ${ }^{141}$ or SHELXL-2014 ${ }^{142}$. Molecular graphics were plotted using Mercury ${ }^{143}$; editing of CIFs and construction of tables and bond lengths and angles was achieved using Olex2. Unless otherwise stated, hydrogen atoms were placed using idealised geometric positions (with free rotation for methyl groups), allowed to move in a "riding model" along with the atoms to which they are attached, and refined isotropically.

### 4.3 Synthesis

### 4.3.1 General Synthetic Procedures

Unless stated otherwise, all reactions performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen, in flame dried glassware, having been flushed with dry nitrogen and evacuated three times prior to charging.

### 4.3.2 Fused-Ring Heteroacenes

### 4.3.2.1 Synthon Molecular Fragment

### 4.3.2.1.1 Target 44

## 2,5-Dibromo-3-thiophene Methanol (120)


$N$-bromosuccinimide ( $13.1 \mathrm{~g}, 73.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 3-thiophene methanol ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 40 mL ) according to the general procedures. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h giving a yellow liquid. The liquid was filtered over Celite (in DCM) and washed with $10 \%$ sodium hydroxide ( 50 mL ) solution. The organic layer was separated, dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, gravity filtered and evaporated giving a brown liquid. The liquid was purified via flash column chromatography (1:9, ethyl acetate: petroleum ether) giving a white solid ( $5.40 \mathrm{~g}, 19.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), 2.04 (s, OH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 141.4,130.5,111.5,109.3,59.3$.

2-bromo-5-trimethylsilylethynylthiophene-3-methnol (122)


Tetrakistriphenylphosphinepalladium(0) ( $0.107 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 0.0929 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), copper(I) iodide ( $0.0071 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0372 \mathrm{~mol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and diisopropylamine
$(1.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a stirred solution of 2,5-dibromothiophene methanol $(0.500 \mathrm{~g}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran ( 12.6 mL ). The reaction mixture was degassed for ten minutes then trimethylsilylacetylene ( 0.183 g , $1.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature then filtered and concentrated in vacuo giving a crude yellow crystalline solid ( 0.645 g ). The crude product was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR to not be the desired molecular target and was therefore not further characterised.

2-Hexylthiophene (70)

$n$-Butyllithium in hexane ( $23.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 37.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}, 1.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of thiophene $(3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 35.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran ( 100 mL ) and stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 minutes, then at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes. 1-lodohexane ( $5.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 37.5 \mathrm{~mol}, 1.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the reaction stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes, then allowed to warm to room temperature for 1 hour. The reaction was quenched with deionised water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ then poured into water ( 100 mL ). The mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the organic layers collected, dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo giving a yellow oil $(5.11 \mathrm{~g}$, $30.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ), which was determined to be pure enough to use in the next step; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 145.9,126.6,123.9,122.8,31.6$, 31.5, 30.4, 29.9, 22.6, 14.1 .

2-Bromo-5-hexylthiophene (103)

$N$-bromosuccinimide ( $1.95 \mathrm{~g}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.04 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 2-hexylthiophene ( $1.77 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in chloroform:acetic acid ( $3: 2,50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and stirred over 72 hours at room temperature. The mixture was
extracted with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), washed with potassium carbonate ( $5 \%, 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and deionised water ( 50 mL ). The organic layers were combined, dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo, giving a brown liquid. The crude product was filtered over silica (in petroleum ether) giving a pale yellow liquid ( $2.237 \mathrm{~g}, 9.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6,2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.62(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(125 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ : $147.7,129.2,124.3,108.6,31.5,31.4,30.3,28.6,22.6,14.08, \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ (EI+) calc. 247.1940, found 246.0078.

3-Bromo-5-hexylthiophene (45)

$n$-Butyl lithium ( $0.76 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$, in hexane 1.6 M ) was added to a solution of diisopropylamine ( $0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran $(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. 2-Bromo-5-hexylthiophene ( $0.250 \mathrm{~g}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred for 17 h . The reaction was quenched with deionised water ( 2 mL ), and allowed to stir at room temperature for 30 minutes. The mixture was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with brine. The organic layers were collected, dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo giving the crude product as a brown liquid. The residue was filtered over silica (in hexane) affording a pure yellow oil ( $0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 0.444 \mathrm{mmol}, 44 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : 7.04 (s, 1H), 6.74, (s, 1H), 2.81 (t, J = $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.64(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 147.1,126.8$, 119.9, 108.9, 31.5, 31.3, 30.0, 28.7, 22.6, 14.0.

## 3-Bromo-2-formyl-5-hexylthiophene (64)

## Method 1


$n$-Butyllithium ( $9.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane) was added to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylamine ( $2.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 1 h then 3-bromo-5hexylthiophene ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then 1 -formylpiperidine ( $1.89 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred for 15 min and allowed to warm to room temperature for 17 h then quenched with water ( 10 mL ). The mixture was extracted with diethyl ether, dried over sodium sulfate and the solvent removed in vacuo affording the crude product. The crude was purified over silica in petroleum ether ( $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and chloroform (1:1) giving an orange oil, ( $1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 4.72 \mathrm{mmol}$, 39 \%); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 9.91$ (s, 1H), 6.88 (s, 1H), 2.86 (t, J=7.7, 2H), 1.71 ( $\mathrm{q}, \quad 2 \mathrm{H}, \quad J=7.35, \quad 7.49$ ), $1.37(\mathrm{~m}, \quad 6 \mathrm{H}), \quad 0.92(\mathrm{t}, \quad J=6.9, \quad 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 182.7,157.1,134.5,129.3,120.3,31.4,30.9,30.8$, 26.6, 22.5, 14.0; m/z (El+, RT) calc. 275.2040, found 274.0020; micro, calc. C 48.01, H 5.49, S 11.65, Br, 29.03, found C 46.80, H 5.40, S 11.60, Br 29.20.

Method 2

$n$-Butyllithium ( $18.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 29.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane) was added to a stirred solution of diisopropylamine ( $5.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 120 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 15 min , then allowed to warm to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . 2-Bromo-5-hexylthiophene ( $6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 24.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the reaction at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 min , then allowed to warm to room temperature for 15 h . The mixture was quenched with 1-formylpiperidine $(3.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 34.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 15 min , then stirred at room temperature for 30 min . The mixture was extracted with diethyl ether
( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 4$ ), dried over sodium sulfate and the solvent removed giving a crude brown oil. The crude was purified over silica in petroleum ether $\left(40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and dichloromethane (1:1) affording an orange oil, ( $5.92 \mathrm{~g}, 21.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $9.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7), 1.71(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.35,7.49), 1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):$ 182.7, 157.1, 134.5, 129.3, 120.3, 31.4, 30.9, 30.8, 26.6, 22.5, 14.0; m/z (El+, RT) calc. 275.2040, found 274.0020.

### 4.3.2.1.2 Target 45

3-Hex-1-yne-5-hexylthiophene (127)


Tetrakistriphenylphosphinepalladium (0) ( $0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 0.329 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), copper iodide ( $0.063 \mathrm{~g}, 0.329 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylamine ( 4 mL ) was added to a mixture of 3-bromo-5-hexylthiophene ( $1.63 \mathrm{~g}, 6.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 40 mL ). The mixture was degassed with nitrogen for 30 min , then charged with 1-hexyne ( $0.91 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h . The mixture was purified via flash column chromatography (silica, petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, dichloromethane ( $9: 1$ )) affording the target product as a light orange oil ( $1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 6.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.11$ (s, 1H), 6.73 (s, 1H), $2.5(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6), 2.37(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0), 1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 145.4,126.8,125.3$, 122.3, 89.1, 76.1, 31.6, 31.4, 29.9, 28.7, 22.6, 22.0, 19.1, 14.1, 13.6.

2-Tris( $n$-butyl)stannyl-3-hex-1-yne-5-hexylthiophene (128)


$n$-Butyllithium in hexane ( $2.5 \mathrm{M}, 0.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a mixture of 3 -Hex-1-yne-5-hexylthiophene $(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; the mixture was left to stir at this temperature for 30 min .

Tributylstannyl chloride ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 2.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 30 min , then allowed to warm to room temperature and stir for 17 h . The mixture was poured onto water ( 100 mL ) and extracted with dichloromethane ( $100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were collected together and washed with brine, dried with sodium sulfate and the solvent removed in vacuo giving a pale orange oil $(1.24 \mathrm{~g}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6$ ), $2.36(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1), 1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.3$ ), 0.88 ( $\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 150.8,138.5,1130.3$, 128.5, 87.9, 78.8, 31.6, 31.4, 29.9, 28.7, 22.6, 22.0, 19.1, 14.1, 13.6.

2-Pinicolboryl-3-hex-1-yne-5-hexylthiophene (129)

$n$-Butyllithium in hexane ( $2.5 \mathrm{M}, 0.94 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of 3-Hex-1-yne-5-hexylthiophene $(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 2.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 30 min , then 2 -isopropoxy-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane $(0.48 \mathrm{~g}, 2.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min then allowed to warm to room temperature and stir for 3 hours. The mixture was poured onto water ( 20 mL ), and extracted with dichloromethane ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were collected together and washed with brine, dried with sodium sulfate and evaporated in vacuo affording the crude product as an orange oil ( $0.65 \mathrm{~g}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 81.2 \%$ ) which was confirmed with NMR and no purification was performed due to decomposition; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76$ (t, 2H, $J=7.6$ ), $2.42(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6), 1.85$ (m, 4H), $1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 150.8,138.5,1130.3,128.5,87.9,78.8,31.6,31.4$, 29.9, 28.7, 22.6, 22.0, 19.1, 14.1, 13.6.

2-Pinicolboryl-3-bromo-5-hexylthiophene (130)


2-Bromo-5-hexylthiophene ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of $n$-butyllithium in hexane ( $2.5 \mathrm{M}, 1.94 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), diisopropylamine ( 0.77 mL ) and tetrahydrofuran ( 20 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen over 30 min . The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stir for 24 h , then quenched with 2-isopropoxy-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2dioxaborolane ( $1.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was poured onto water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were collected together and dried with sodium sulfate and evaporated in vacuo affording a brown residue. The crude product was not observed in NMR and attempts at purification with flash column chromatography were unsuccessful.

2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane)-5-hexylthiophene (126)
i) $n$-BuLi, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$;
ii) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{PrO}$-BPin,

$n$-Butyllithium in hexane ( $2.5 \mathrm{M}, 5.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of 2-bromo-5-hexylthiophene ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 12.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 40 mL ). The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 1.5 h , then 2-ispropoxy-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $2.93 \mathrm{~g}, 15.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, stir for 17 h , then poured onto water ( 10 mL ). The aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane ( $100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were collected together, washed with brine, dried with sodium sulfate and the solvent evaporated in vacuo affording a brown oil. The crude product was purified (silica, petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, dichloromethane (1:1)) giving the product as a yellow oil ( $2.64 \mathrm{~g}, 8.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 74 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.47$ (d, 1H, J = 3.4), 6.86 (d, 1H, J = 3.4), 2.85 (t, 2H, J=7.6), 1.68 (p, 2H, J=7.5),
$1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.6)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 153.8$, 137.4, 125.8, 83.9, 31.7, 31.6, 30.2, 28.8, 24.8, 22.6, 14.1.

### 4.3.2.2 Core Molecular Fragment

Literature Route ${ }^{144}$

## 2,3,4,5-Tetrabromothiophene (112)



Bromine ( $13.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 266 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to thiophene ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 59.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in chloroform ( 14 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then allowed to warm to room temperature and continued to stir for 22 h . Chloroform ( 15 mL ) was added and the mixture refluxed at $82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , then quenched with a saturated solution of sodium thiosulfate ( 100 mL ). The mixture was filtered giving a crude pale cream crystalline powder; the filtrate was extracted with diethyl ether ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ) giving more crude. The total crude product was recrystallised from a chloroform/ethanol mixture giving white crystals ( $15.2 \mathrm{~g}, 34.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 58.2 \%$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : 117.0, 110.3.

3,4-Dibromo-2,5-diformylthiophene (113)

$n$-Butyl lithium ( $22 \mathrm{~mL}, 55.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane) was added to a stirred solution of 2,3,4,5-tetrabromothiophene ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 20 min . The mixture was allowed to warm to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 25 min , then cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched with 1-formylpiperidine ( $6.66 \mathrm{~mL}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 3 h then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight for 13 h . Water ( 20 mL ) was added and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether
( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), dried over sodium sulfate and the solvent removed affording a dark crude brown oil with solid residues. The crude product was purified (silica, petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and dichloromethane, $5: 1$ ) giving a deep orange oil ( $4.16 \mathrm{~g}, 14.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $9.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 182.6, 151.3, 134.6, 123.7, 114.7.

Dithienothiophenyl-diethanoate (114)


A solution of 3,4-dibromo-2,5-diformylthiophene (12) ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 13.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dimethylformamide $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of ethyl-2-mercaptoacetate ( $2.94 \mathrm{~mL}, 26.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate $(4.83 \mathrm{~g}, 34.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dimethylformamide ( 30 mL ) under nitrogen at room temperature. The mixture was allowed to stir 3 days, then poured onto water $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with dichloromethane ( $150 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were collected together and washed with brine ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), dried with sodium sulfate then concentrated under reduced pressure giving an off-white precipitate. The precipitate was filtered and washed with cold ethanol ( 20 mL ) affording a crude white powder ( $3.82 \mathrm{~g}, 84$ \%).

Bis(2-bromo)dithienothiophene (54)


A solution of lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( $0.94 \mathrm{~g}, 22.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a stirred solution of the diester (114) ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 5.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 30 mL ) under nitrogen. The mixture was refluxed for 3 h , then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and N -bromosuccinimide ( $5.96 \mathrm{~g}, 33.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture stirred for 30 min , then at room temperature for 17 h . The mixture was filtered and washed with water giving a precipitate. The precipitate was filtered and recrystallised from hexane giving a white powder ( $1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%)^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 7.28 (s, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): 123.2, 112.4;
micro, calc. C 27.13, H 0.57, S 27.17, Br 45.13, found C 27.10, H 0.60, S 26.60, Br 45.45.

### 4.3.2.2.2 Method Development for DTT <br> Double-Cyclisation Route

2,5-Di(trimethylsilylalkynyl)-3,4-dibromothiophene (115)


A mixture of tetrabromothiophene ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrahydrofuran $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, tetrakistriphenylphosphinepalladium(0) ( $0.289 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 0.250 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), copper (I) iodide ( $0.0476 \mathrm{~g}, 0.250 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diisopropylamine ( 4 mL ) was bubbled with nitrogen for 20 min at room temperature. Trimethylsilylacetylene $(1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture stirred for 17 h under nitrogen at room temperature. Trimethylsilylacetylene ( 1 mL ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 4 h at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was filtered and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified with silica (flash chromatography, petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) affording a cream powder, ( $1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 4.37 \mathrm{mmol}$, $87 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 0.08$ (s, 9H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 121.5$, 119.9, 106.0, 95.4.

One-Pot General Procedure (Reaction Flask Conditions)


A solution of 3,3'-dibromo-2,2'-bithiophene ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.309 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), copper (I) iodide ( $0.0294 \mathrm{~g}, 0.155 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $0.201 \mathrm{~g}, 0.617 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium sulfide nonahydrate ( $0.0282 \mathrm{~g}, 0.371 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dimethylsulfoxide $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred under nitrogen at $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h . The mixture was poured onto water and extracted with dichloromethane ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were collected together, dried over sodium sulfate and the solvent
removed affording a crude powder. The crude was purified with flash column chromatography (silica, petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : dichloromethane 9:1) and gave yellow crystals (2-99 \%).

## One-Pot General Procedure (Microwave Conditions)



A solution of 3,3'-dibromo-2,2'-bithiophene ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.309 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), copper (I) iodide ( $0.0294 \mathrm{~g}, 0.155 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $0.201 \mathrm{~g}, 0.617 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous sodium sulfide ( $0.0282 \mathrm{~g}, 0.371 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dimethylsulfoxide $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred in a sealed microwave reactor under nitrogen at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . Once cool, the flask was removed and the mixture was poured onto water and extracted with dichloromethane ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were collected together, dried over sodium sulfate and the solvent removed affording a crude powder. The crude was purified with flash column chromatography (silica, petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : dichloromethane 9:1) and gave yellow crystals, (96 \%).

## Synthesis of DTT using Copper (I) lodide and Thiourea



A solution of 3,3'-dibromo-2,2'-bithiophene ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.309 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), copper (I) iodide ( $0.0294 \mathrm{~g}, 0.155 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), cesium carbonate ( $0.201 \mathrm{~g}, 0.617 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and thiourea ( $0.0282 \mathrm{~g}, 0.371 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in anhydrous dimethylsulfoxide ( 2 mL ) was stirred under nitrogen at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight for 20 h . The mixture was poured onto water and extracted with dichloromethane ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were collected together, dried over sodium sulfate and the solvent removed affording yellow crystals (2 \%).

## Synthesis of DTT using Copper (II) Oxide and Sodium Sulfide



A solution of 3,3'-dibromo-2,2'-bithiophene ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.309 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), nano copper (II) oxide ( $0.0123 \mathrm{~g}, 0.155 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( 0.0854 g , 0.618 mmol ) and sodium sulfide ( $0.288 \mathrm{~g}, 0.371 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous dimethylformamide ( 2 mL ) was stirred under nitrogen at $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over night for 20 h . The mixture was poured onto water and extracted with dichloromethane $(25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layers were collected, washed with brine and dried over sodium sulfate. The solvent was removed in vacuo affording yellow crystals (1 \%).

## Synthesis of DTT using Copper (I) Iodide and Sodium Sulfide



A solution of 3,3 '-dibromo-2,2'-bithiophene ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.309 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), copper (I) iodide ( $0.0588 \mathrm{~g}, 0.309 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $0.0854 \mathrm{~g}, 0.618 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium sulfide ( $0.288 \mathrm{~g}, 0.371 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous dimethyl formamide $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 66 h . The mixture was poured onto water and extracted with dichloromethane ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 4$ ). The organic layers were collected, washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and the solvent removed affording crude pale yellow crystals (8 \%).

### 4.3.2.3 Condensate Intermediates

### 4.3.2.3.1 Target 44

Bis[3-bromo-2-hydroxymethine-5-hexylthiophene]-2,2'-dithieno[2,3-b:3',2'd]thiophene (116)

$n$-Butyl lithium ( 10.7 mL , $17.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane) was added dropwise to a solution of $2,2^{\prime}$-dibromodithienothiophene ( $2.75 \mathrm{~g}, 7.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 150 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h , the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 min . The mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of 3-bromo-2-formyl-5-hexylthiophene ( 4.81 g , $17.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 10 mL ) was added. After 1.5 h , the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature for 1 h then quenched with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether, dried over sodium sulfate and the solvent removed affording a crude brown oil, ( 7.01 g ), and was used without further purification; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}): 7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7), 1.71(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.35,7.49)$, 1.37 (m, 6H), 0.92 (t, 3H, J=6.9); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}): 149.5,147.2$, $141.2,140.6,131.5,127.5,119.2 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{El}+, 250-320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ calc. 746.7080 , found 747.9758.

Bis[3-bromo-2-methylene-5-hexylthiophene]-2,2'-dithieno[2,3-b:3',2'd]thiophene (117)


Zinc(II) iodide ( $9.58 \mathrm{~g}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium cyanoborohydride ( 8.28 g , 131.8 mmol ) was added to a solution of bis[3-bromo-2-hydroxy-5-hexylthiophene]-2,2'-dithienothiophene ( $7.01 \mathrm{~g}, 9.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloroethane $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature over night for 17 h under nitrogen, then quenched with water ( 20 mL ). The mixture was filtered over celite, washed with brine, extracted with dichloromethane ( 25 mL $x 2$ ) and the solvent removed giving the crude as a viscous brown residue. The residue was purified (silica, dichloromethane) affording a deep orange residue ( $4.29 \mathrm{~g}, 5.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.6(\mathrm{p}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5) 1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 0.91 (t, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 144.9,142.6,139.7,134.0,130.0,126.6$, $118.9,108.4,31.5,31.1,30.7,30.2,28.7,22.5,14.0 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{El}+, 250-320{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ calc. 714.7100 , found 711.9655 ; micro, calc. C 50.41 , H 4.79, S 22.43 , Br 22.36, found C 50.25, H 4.85, S 22.40, Br 22.50.

Bis[3-formyl-2-methylene-5-hexylthiophene]-2,2'-dithieno[2,3-b:3',2'd]thiophene (118)

Method A


A solution of bis[3-bromo-2-methylene-5-hexylthiophene]-2,2'-dithieno[2,3-b:3',2'-d]thiophene ( $3.03 \mathrm{~g}, 8.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethylether ( 40 mL ) and tetrahydrofuran ( 8 mL ) was added via cannula to a solution of $n$-butyllithium ( 1.6 M in hexane, $11.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethylether ( 20 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. After $1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 1$-formylpiperidine ( 2.15 mL ) was added and the mixture was allowed to stir for another 1.5 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before being quenched with water ( 10 mL ). The aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were collected together and washed with brine, dried with sodium sulfate and the solvent evaporated in vacuo affording a dark green residue. The crude product could not be observed in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and purification (silica, petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and dichloromethane, 9:1) did not isolate any identifiable structure.

Method B


A solution of bis[3-bromo-2-methylene-5-hexylthiophene]-2,2'-dithieno[2,3-b:3',2'-d]thiophene ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylsilane ( 0.179 g , 1.54 mmol ) and dimethylformamide ( 35 mL ) was deoxygenated with nitrogen for 10 min , then added to a mixture of N -formylsaccharin $(0.443 \mathrm{~g}$, 2.10 mmol ), palladium acetate $(0.00942 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 0.0420 \mathrm{mmol})$, 1,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane ( $0.0224 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0525 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium carbonate ( $0.171 \mathrm{~g}, 1.609 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min , then at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h . TLC revealed consumption of starting material. The mixture was poured onto water ( 50 mL ) and the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane $(50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layers were collected together, dried with sodium sulfate and the
solvent removed in vacuo affording a brown residue. The residue was purified (silica, petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and dichloromethane, 9:1) and afforded a pale yellow solid; no product was observable in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$.

### 4.3.2.3.2 Target 45

Bis[3-hex-2-yne-5-hexylthiophene]-2,2'-dithieno[2,3-b:3',2'-d]thiophene (89)
Method 1a (Suzuki)


Tetrakistriphenylphosphine (0) ( $0.045 \mathrm{~g}, 0.039 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 54 ( $0.279 \mathrm{~g}, 0.786 \mathrm{mmol})$ and an aqueous solution of potassium carbonate ( $2 \mathrm{M}, 4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to a solution of 128 ( $0.65 \mathrm{~g}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dioxane $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was degassed with nitrogen for 20 min , then stirred at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h . TLC revealed only starting reagents. The mixture was poured onto water ( 100 mL ) and the aqueous phase was extracted with chloroform ( $150 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were collected together and washed with brine ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), dried with sodium sulfate and the solvent evaporated in vacuo giving a brown powder. The crude product could not be identified according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$.

Method 1b (Stille)


Tetrakistriphenylphosphine (0) ( $0.126 \mathrm{~g}, 0.109 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 54 ( 0.386 g , $1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $129(0.586 \mathrm{~g}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was degassed for 10 min then stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . TLC revealed only starting reagents. The mixture was poured onto water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous phase was extracted with chloroform ( $150 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were collected together and washed with brine ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), dried with sodium sulfate and the solvent evaporated in vacuo giving a brown powder. The crude product could not be identified according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$.

## Method 2

Bis[5-hexylthiophene]-2,2'-dithieno[2,3-b:3',2'-d]thiophene (93)


126 ( $0.91 \mathrm{~g}, 3.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrakistriphenylphosphinepalladium (0) ( 0.108 g , 0.093 mmol ), and an aqueous solution of potassium carbonate ( $2 \mathrm{M}, 4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to a solution of compound $54(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was deoxygenated with nitrogen for 15 min , then stirred at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 22 h . The mixture was poured onto water ( 100 mL ) and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether ( $150 \mathrm{~mL} \times 4$ ). The organic layers were collected together, washed with brine, dried with sodium sulfate and the solvent evaporated in vacuo affording a red residue. The crude was purified (silica, petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and dichloromethane, 9:1) and gave an orange solid ( $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.473 \mathrm{mmol}, 15.2 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.26$ (s, 1 H ), 7.03 (d, 1H, J=3.5), 6.71 (d, 1H, J=3.5), 2.81 (t, 2H, J=7.6), 1.71 (p, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5), 1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.8) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 147.4$, 145.2, 142.9, 139.9, 135.6, 127.8, 127.7, 126.2, 31.5, 31.1, 30.7, 30.2, 28.7, 22.5, 14.0.

Bis[3-bromo-5-hexylthiophene]-2,2'-dithieno[2,3-b:3',2'-d]thiophene (91)


A solution of bis[5-hexylthiophene]-2,2'-dithieno[2,3-b:3',2'-d]thiophene ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.189 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in chloroform ( 50 mL ) and acetic acid ( 25 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then charged with 1 equivalent of N -bromosuccinimide ( $0.034 \mathrm{~g}, 0.191 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then allowed to stir at room temperature for 17 h . A second equivalent of N -bromosuccinimide ( $0.034 \mathrm{~g}, 0.191 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then the mixture was allowed to warm back to room temperature and stir for 8 h . The mixture was poured onto water ( 200 mL ) and extracted with chloroform $(150 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ then washed with $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ potassium carbonate $(50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$.

The organic layers were collected together, dried with sodium sulfate and the solvent evaporated in vacuo giving a brown powder. The crude product was purified (silica, petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and dichloromethane, $9: 1$ ) affording the product as a yellow powder ( $0.061 \mathrm{~g}, 0.088 \mathrm{mmol}, 46.5 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6), 1.67(\mathrm{p}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5)$, $1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 145.5,141.4,135.7$, 130.7, 129.5, 128.9, 119.2, 107.2, 31.5, 31.1, 30.1, 28.7 22.6, 14.1.

Sonogashira Coupling to 89


Tetrakistriphenylphosphine ( 0 ) ( $0.005 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 0.0044 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), copper iodide ( $0.0005 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diisopropylamine ( 2 mL ) was added to a solution of 91 ( $0.061 \mathrm{~g}, 0.089 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran. The mixture was degassed with nitrogen for 15 min and 1-hexyne ( $0.022 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.196 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 17 h . The mixture was immediately purified (silica, petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and dichloromethane, 9:1) affording the product as a yellow powder ( 0.0509 g , $0.0739 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7$ ), 2.27 (t, 2H, 7.0), 1.44 (m, 10H), 0.90 (t, 6H, J=7.2); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 145.4, 141.4, 135.7, 130.6, 129.5, 129.9, 119.1, 107.1, $77.5,65.3,31.5,31.1,30.4,30.1,28.7,22.6,21.9,18.9,14.1,13.5$.

### 4.3.2.3.2 Target 45

Method 1


A mixture of $89(0.086 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 0.125 \mathrm{mmol})$, indium chloride $(0.0028 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.012 mmol ) and toluene ( 12 mL ) was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 24 h . TLC revealed only starting reagents. The solvent was removed in vacuo and
the crude was purified (silica, petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and dichloromethane, 9:1) and gave a yellow solid, although no product could be observed in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR.

## Method 2



A mixture of $89(0.0852 \mathrm{~g}, 0.124 \mathrm{mmol})$, platinum chloride $(0.0033 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.0124 mmol ) and toluene ( 10 mL ) was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 24 h . TLC revealed only starting reagents. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude was purified (silica, petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and dichloromethane, 9:1) and gave a yellow solid, although no product could be observed in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR.

Method 3


A mixture of $89(0.0613 \mathrm{~g}, 0.089 \mathrm{mmol})$, DBU and n-methyl-2-pyrrolidone $(17 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h under nitrogen. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude was purified (silica, petroleum ether $40-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and dichloromethane, 9:1) and gave a yellow solid, although no product could be observed in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$.

### 4.3.3 Oligo-Fused Heteroacenes

### 4.3.3.1 General Synthetic Procedures



Under nitrogen a flame-dried flask was evacuated and backfilled three times, which was then charged with a solution of 2,2'-dibromodithieno[2,3-b:3',2'd]thiophene ( 1 eq.), copper iodide ( 0.05 eq.) and tetrakistriphenylphosphine palladium ( 0 ) ( 0.05 eq.) in tetrahydrofuran ( 20 mL ) and diisopropylamine $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was bubbled with nitrogen for 15 min . The selected alkyne (2.1 eq.) was added dropwise and the mixture stirred for 17 h at room temperature. The mixture was filtered and the solvent evaporated giving a crude residue which was purified with flash column chromatography ( $40 \%$ dichloromethane in petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) then recrystallised from hexane giving yellow crystals (61-67 \%).

### 4.3.3.2 Compounds

Bis(2-cyclopropylethynyl)dithienothiophene (151)


151
Pale cream needles ( $0.182 \mathrm{~g}, 68$ \%); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.25$ (s, 1H), 1.51 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=3.2,5.1,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.892(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 140.5$, 129.9, 124.3, 124.0, 99.0, 68.9, 8.4, 0.01; IR (C三C, neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2212.8; m/z (ES+) calc. 324.0101, found 325.0174; micro calc. C 66.63, H 3.73, S 29.65; found: C 66.50, H 3.65, S 29.40; m.p. $142.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Bis（2－triisopropylsilylethynyl）dithienothiophene（152）


Yellow needles（ $0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ）；${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.39$（s，1H）， 1.15 （s，3H）， 1.13 （ $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,18 \mathrm{H}$ ）；${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 141.6,131.0$ ， 125．7，124．5，99．5，98．1，18．7，11．3；m／z（ES＋）calc．557．2216，found 559．1307；IR（C三C，neat， $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ）：2137．6；micro calc．C 64．69，H 7．96，S 17．27； found C 64．30，H 8．00，S 17．50；m．p． $103.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ．

Bis（5－hexylthiophenylethynyl）dithienothiophene（153）


Orange fibrous powder（ $0.74 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$ ）；${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.41$（s， 1 H ）， $7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{p}, J=7.3$ ， $7.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 149.5$ ， $141.8,132.7,131.4,125.0,124.5,124.2,119.6,88.84,85.9,31.5,31.5,30.3$ ， 28．7，22．6，14．1；IR（C三C，neat， $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ）：2136．9；m／z（ES＋）calc．576．1108， found：577．1102；micro calc．C 66．62，H 5．59，S 27．79；found：C 66．40，H 5．50， S 27．60；m．p． $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ．

Bis（2－pyridylethynyl）dithienothiophene（154）


Yellow powder（ $0.56,61 \%$ ）；${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ ： 8.79 （s，1H）， 8.58 （dd，$J=1.4,4.8,1 \mathrm{H}$ ）， 7.84 （dt，$J=3.6,4.8,1 \mathrm{H}$ ）， 7.51 （s，1H）， 7.32 （dd，$J=4.9$ ， 7.81 H ）；${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 152.1,149.0,142.1,138.3,131.8$ ， 125．9，123．6，123．1，119．81，91．7，86．2；IR（C三C，neat， $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ）：2199．9；m／z （ES＋）：calc．398．0006，found：399．0081；micro calc．C 66．3，H 2．53，N 7．03，S 24．14；found C 66．7，H 2．60，N 7．21，S 24．5；m．p． $149.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ．

Bis（phenylethynyl）dithienothiophene（155）


155
Yellow crystals（0．71 g， 65 \％）；${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$（ $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ）： 7.54 （m，2H）， 7.45 （s，1H）， 7.37 （m，3H）；${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 144.0,141.6,131.4,128.6$ ， 124．9，119．7，95．3，82．5，36．0，31．7，31．2，28．9，22．6，14．1；IR（C三C，neat， $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ）：2191．35；m／z（ES＋）：calc．396．5400，found：397．5476；micro calc．C 72．69，H 3．05，S 24．25；found C 72．81，H 3．04，S 24．51；m．p． $187.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ．

Bis（4－hexylphenylethynyl）dithienothiophene（156）


Yellow crystals（ $0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 67$ \％）；${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.44$（d，J＝8．1，2H）， 7.40 （s，1H）， 7.15 （d，J＝8．1，2H）， 2.61 （t，J＝7．5，2H）， 1.61 （p，J＝7．2，6．8，2H）， $1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.6,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 144.0,141.6$ ， 131．4，131．2，128．6，124．9，124．5，119．7，95．3，82．5，36．0，31．7，31．2，28．9， 22．6，14．1；IR（C三C，neat， $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ）：2197．8；m／z（ES＋）calc．564．1979，found 565．2092；micro calc．C 76．55，H 6．42，S 17．03；found C 76．3，H 6．40，S 17．3； m．p． $132.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ．

Bis（N，N－dimethylanylethynyl）dithienothiophene（157）


Yellow powder（ $0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 63$ \％）；${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ ： 7.41 （d，J＝8．8，2H）， 7.36 （ $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ）， 6.66 （d，J＝8．8，2H）， 3.01 （s，6H）；${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ ： 150．4，141．3，132．7，130．8，125．1，124．0，111．8，109．1，96．4，81．2，40．2；IR （C三C，neat， $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ）：2185．8；m／z（ES＋）calc．482．0945，found 483．0981；micro calc．C 69．67，H 4．59，N 5．80，S 19.93 ；found C 69．45，H 4．60，N 5．60，S 19．15；m．p． $260.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ．

Bis(Anisylethynyl)dithienothiophene (158)


Yellow powder ( $0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.48$ (d, J=8.6, 2H), 7.41 (s, 1H), 6.90 (d, J=8.6, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : 160.1, 141.6, 133.1, 131.1, 124.7, 124.5, 114.6, 114.1, 95.0, 81.8, 55.4; IR (C三C, neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2198.5; m/z (ES+): calc. 456.0312, found 457.0392; micro calc. C 68.39 , H 3.53 , S 21.07 ; found C 68.8 , H 3.70, S 21.3; m.p. $206.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Bis(Anisylethynyl)dithienothiophene (159)


Yellow powder ( $0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.70$ (m, 2H), 7.52 (m, 2H), $7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,4 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~m}$, 8 H ), 0.77 (t, J=7.0, 6H), 0.63 (m, 4H); ${ }^{33} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 151.1$, 150.9, 142.0, 141.8, 140.3, 130.6, 127.7, 126.9, 125.8, 125.0, 124.5, 122.9, 120.6, 120.1, 119.8, 96.3, 83.1, 55.2, 40.4, 31.5, 29.7, 23.7, 22.6, 14.0; IR (C三C, neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2195.6; m/z (ES+): calc. 909.4060, found 910.4101; micro calc. (\%) C 81.89, H 7.54, S 10.58; found C 81.92, H 3.69, S 22.1; m.p. $179.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Trimethylsilylethynyl-5-hexylthiophene (163)


163
A solution of 2-bromo-5-hexylthiophene ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 8.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrakistriphenylphosphine palladium ( 0 ) ( $0.468 \mathrm{~g}, 0.405 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and copper ( I ) iodide ( $0.0309 \mathrm{~g}, 0.162 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 56 mL ) was bubbled with nitrogen for 10 min . Diisopropylamine ( $8.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 57.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the solution was bubbled for 15 min . Trimethylsilylacetylene $(1.72 \mathrm{~mL}$, 12.1 mmol ) was added and the mixture stirred for 1 h at room temperature under nitrogen overnight for 17 h . The solids were filtered off and the solvent removed affording a dark brown crystalline residue. The residue was purified
over a silica plug ( $100 \%$ petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) giving an orange oil ( $1.93 \mathrm{~g}, 7.30 \mathrm{~mol}, 90 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6,1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 0.69 (t, $J=6.8,3 H$ ), 0.04 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : 148.5, 132.8, 124.0, 120.5, 98.2, 97.8, 31.6, 31.6, 30.2, 28.7, 22.6, 14.1, 0.01.

4-Trimethylsilylethynylhexylbenzene (164)
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A solution of 4-bromohexylbenzene ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 20.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrakistriphenylphosphine palladium (0) ( $0.59 \mathrm{~g}, 0.511 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and copper ( I ) iodide ( $0.0780 \mathrm{~g}, 0.410 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was bubbled with nitrogen for 10 min . Diisopropylamine ( 20 mL ) was added and the solution was bubbled for 15 min . Trimethylsilylacetylene ( $3.05 \mathrm{~g}, 13.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture stirred for 18 h at room temperature under nitrogen overnight. The solids were filtered off and the solvent removed affording a dark brown crystalline residue. The crude was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) giving a clear oil ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.18$ (d, J=8.3, 2H), 6.88 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.36 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 143.6$, $141.78,131.8,131.2,130.1,128.3,105.4,93.2,35.9,35.3,31.6,31.3,31.1$, 28.8, 22.6, 14.0, 0.01.

2-Trimethylsilylethynyl-9,9-dihexylfluorene (165)
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A solution of 2-bromo-9,9-dihexylfluorene ( $1.29 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 3.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrakistriphenyl phosphine palladium ( 0 ) ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.156 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and copper ( I ) iodide ( $0.0297 \mathrm{~g}, 0.156 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 40 mL ) was bubbled with nitrogen for 10 min . Diisopropylamine ( 5 mL ) was added and the solution was bubbled for 15 min . Trimethylsilane ( $0.337 \mathrm{~g}, 3.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture stirred for 17 h at room temperature under nitrogen overnight. The solids were filtered off and the solvent removed affording a dark brown
crystalline residue. The crude was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) giving a clear oil ( $0.99 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.9,4 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.76(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,6 \mathrm{H}), 0.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.28$ (s, 9H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 151.0,150.5,141.6,140.3,131.0,127.4$, $126.8,126.2,122.8,121.1,119.9,119.4,106.2,93.7,55.0,40.3,31.4,29.6$, 23.6, 22.5, 13.9, -0.01.

2-Ethynyl-5-hexylthiophene (166)


A 2 M solution of tetrabutylammonium fluoride ( $4.54 \mathrm{~g}, 14.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 17.2 mL ) was added dropwise to a solution of 2-trimethylsilylethynyl-5-hexylthiophene (163) (1.9 g, 7.19 mol ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 10 mL ) in air at room temperature. After 1 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, then extracted with diethyl ether ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), washed with brine ad dried over sodium sulfate. The solvent was removed affording crude black semicrystalline residue. The crude was purified over a silica plug ( $100 \%$ hexane) giving an orange oil ( $1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 6.08 \mathrm{mmol}$, $84.6 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6,1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.03 (s, 1H), 2.57 (t, J=7.5, 2H), 1.45 (p, J=7.3, 2H), 1.10 (m, 6H), 0.69 (t, $J=6.8,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 148.5,132.8,124.0$, 120.5, 98.2, 97.8, 31.6, 31.6, 30.2, 28.7, 22.6, 14.1.

4-Ethynylhexylbenzene (160)


4-Trimethylsilylethynylhexylbenzene (164) (5.00, 19.3 mmol ) was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran ( 50 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Tetrabutylammonium fluoride $(12.6 \mathrm{~g}, 48.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in portions and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stir for 1 h . The mixture was poured onto water $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with diethyl ether ( $50 \mathrm{ml} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were collected together, washed with brine ( $50 \mathrm{ml} \times 2$ ) and dried over magnesium
sulfate. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified with flash column chromatography (petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) affording a clear oil ( $3.02 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2$, 2 H ), 7.04 (d, J=8.3, 1H), 6.96 (d, J=8.5, 1H), 3.67 (m, 2H), $2.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51$ (m, 6H), $0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 141.8,132.0,131.2$, $130.2,119.2,98.4,83.9,35.3,33.2,31.7,28.8,22.6,14.1$.

2-Ethynyl-9,9-dihexylfluorene (167)


165
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2-Trimethylsilylethynyl-9,9-dihexylfluorene (165) ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran ( 50 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Tetrabutylamonium fluoride ( $4.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}, 4.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in portions and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stir for 1 h . The mixture was poured onto water ( 250 mL ) and extracted with diethyl ether ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were collected together, washed with brine ( $50 \mathrm{ml} \times 2$ ) and dried over sodium sulfate. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified with flash column chromatography (petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) affording a clear oil ( $0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.68$ (m, 1H), $7.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.4,4 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.76(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,6 \mathrm{H}), 0.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 151.1,150.7,141.9,140.3,131.1,127.6,126.9$, $126.5,122.9,120.1,120.1,119.6,84.8,76.9,55.1,40.3,31.5,29.7,23.7$, 22.6, 14.0.

### 4.3.3.2 Molecular Rod Modification Example - Black Dye

Leeds Black 154 (174)


A mixture of 2,2'-dihexylthienylalkynyl-DTT ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.173 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $7,7,8,8$ tetracyanoquinodimethane ( $0.106 \mathrm{~g}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylformamide $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h in air. Once cool, the mixture was poured onto water ( 50 mL ) and the aqueous phase extracted with ethyl acetate ( $150 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were collected together and washed with brine, dried and evaporated giving a dark crude residue. The crude was purified (silica, ethyl acetate) affording a dark green/turquoise solid ( 0.0391 g , $0.0397 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.96$ (dd, $\left.J=9.4,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.80$ (d, $J=4.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8,9.8,1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.01 (dd, $J=1.9,9.6,1 H$ ), 6.98 (d, $J=4.2,1 H$ ).

## Chapter 5

## Results and Discussion

5.1 Charge Transfer for Acenes: The case for Air-Stable and Alternative Isomeric Candidates of Pentacene

### 5.1.1 Background

There has been great progress in the fields of materials chemistry and engineering in the last few decades for high-performing small-molecule charge-transfer materials ${ }^{145-148}$ as the active component in optoelectronic devices which include light emitting diodes, photovoltaics and flexible display screens ${ }^{11,149-152}$. Charge-transfer materials need to overcome a number of diverse issues and further satisfy the increasing demand of greater performance, driven both by commercial growth and scientific inquest. Such macroscopic issues include industrial-scale device fabrication, the ease of processing each individual component layer, and overall device performance. While these are highly important, there are a number of microscopic issues at the molecular level which have yet to be addressed, and include air stability for ambient condition processing and efficient charge transfer across the active layer. Thus, suitable molecular structures are needed to bring together all the above concepts and provide the next generation of candidates for highperforming properties and device outputs.

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) have been extensively investigated as organic semiconductors (OSCs) for the active layer in organic electronic devices. These are found to satisfy a large number of the above issues, yet there are few which have both air-stability and high charge-transfer properties. One line of inquiry is the investigation of the charge transfer mechanisms ${ }^{24,46,153}$ for the improvement of organic-based devices. These investigations tend to focus on single-crystal OSC devices, where charge transfer mobility can reach as high as $35 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with a PAH molecule called pentacene ${ }^{154-158}$. Pentacene (1, Figure 24) is comprised of five fused benzene rings in a linear array of only carbon and hydrogen atoms.
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Figure 24. Structures of pentacene (1) and picene (2).

Single crystals of OSCs are commonly used because of their close-packing continuum of the organic molecules in the solid phase. On the other hand, the OSCs applied to organic electronics are in a thin-film state
and do not necessarily obey the same charge transport conduction mechanisms ${ }^{47}$, even though they can still reach high transport mobilities, such as $3.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with a thin-film transistor of pentacene ${ }^{4,156}$. For this reason, more fundamental investigations, based solely on their molecular structures and not on the device architecture, are needed.

It was found that pentacene gave different values for the same charge-transfer and optoelectronic properties, depending on the applied experimental conditions. It was soon evident that pentacene is unstable in air ${ }^{148}$ due to its small HOMO-LUMO gap ( $E_{g}=1.8 \mathrm{eV}$ ), so is easily oxidised and difficult to operate under ambient conditions. Picene, an isomer of pentacene (2, Figure 24), is surprising in the sense that its charge transport benefits from oxygen doping ${ }^{63,159,160,}$ which is a well-known p-type doping phenomenon ${ }^{161-163}$ with other OSCs. This suggests that picene with $E_{g}=4.21 \mathrm{eV}$ has a superior stability over pentacene, imparted only from its molecular structure.

The stability can be explained with the aromatic sextet rule by Clar ${ }^{164}$, which was described as being an important factor in the characterisation of PAHs; aromatic sextets are six localised $\pi$-electrons in a single six-membered carbon structure, such as benzene. Clar's rule is that a molecular structure with a given number of sextets is more stable than other resonance structures which have fewer sextets. This is illustrated with pentacene in Figure 25, which shows three possible Kekulé resonance structures in its closed shell form, each one having a $\pi$-sextet. If each resonance structure has the same number of sextets (i.e. one in a closed shell of pentacene), then a superposition of aromaticity is designated with an arrow, which shows the Clar structure and the rings it can migrate over.


Figure 25. Kekulé resonance structures and the Clar structure of pentacene.

However, re-evaluation of Clar's rule suggests that pentacene may be more stable in an open shell singlet state ${ }^{165}$, as shown in Figure 26. Two m-sextets are formed with two single radicals in the central ring at the peri positions. This explains why upon exposure to air, these two carbons readily oxidise into carbonyls or peroxides, disrupting the conjugation and thereby reducing the charge carrier mobility.


Figure 26. The Clar structure of pentacene in open shell state, having two $\pi$-sextets and two unpaired electrons localised on the central ring.

Applying Clar's rule to picene gives just one single Kekulé resonance structure, which has the greatest number of $\pi$-sextets (three); one and two $\pi$-sextet resonances are available but these are less stable than three. As no more than three $\pi$-sextets are possible, there is no possibility of generating the open shell singlet state, which explains why picene is less susceptible to oxygen than pentacene.


Figure 27. Application of Clar's rule to picene generates one closed-shell Kekulé resonance structure.

Although structure-property relationships were further explored through both experimental observations and theoretical calculations ${ }^{160,166}$, the studies, however, focused on the charge-transfer properties of just these two isomers alone. There are twelve known isomers of (and including) pentacene ${ }^{167-169}$, which have the formula $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{14}$. It is thus reasonable to continue this long line of investigation and probe the properties of the other isomers.

So far, their structures have only been explored with regards to their size ${ }^{167}$ and aromatic nature ${ }^{168,169}$. To date, there are no known studies on the fundamental electronic and optical properties of the isomers of pentacene, even though there are reports of some being successfully synthesised, but not
subjected to charge-transfer investigations ${ }^{170,171}$. The identification and characterisation of these isomeric structures is paramount to develop this set of $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{PAHs}$ and more importantly, determine which isomers are best suited for acene-based small-molecule technology.

This can be investigated with computational chemistry, where electronic and optical properties can be adequately modelled using a combination of molecular and quantum mechanics. Generally, a range of theories are tested and compared with experimental observations where possible or other theoretical calculations in the literature, then one is selected and applied to a wider range of structures. This approach has huge merit for materials chemistry and particularly small-molecule organic electronic devices, as large numbers of structures can be effectively screened for the active layer prior to any synthetic experiments undertaken and subsequently minimises both the resources and the time used in synthetic endeavours, as structures predicted to have high-performing properties can be specifically targeted out of a pool of potential candidates.

It is thus appropriate to deploy different theories which include density functional theory (DFT), Koopmans Theorem (KT) and Green's Function to calculate the physical properties of these isomers and identify suitable isomers as alternative candidates for the improvement of (1) their stability relative to pentacene, and (2) high charge-transfer properties for organic electronics. Their stability is investigated first by predicting the HOMO-LUMO gaps and ionisation energies of the twelve isomers and then probe their charge-transfer properties through the Marcus Theory, which involves the calculation of their internal reorganisation energies and using the 'splitting-indimer' method to calculate the transfer integrals across three intermolecular separations ( $d=3.0,3.5$ and $4.0 \AA$ ); these parameters enable the rates of charge transfer to be determined and thus a deep understanding of the suitability of the isomers for organic electronic applications in comparison to pentacene. The overall purpose is to theoretically evaluate the properties of pentacene's isomers and determine if virtual screening is a worthy pursuit, prior to synthetic investigations, as a method of uncovering new and high-performing candidates and in turn highlight those which may have improved properties.

### 5.1.2 Virtual Screening of Pentacene's Properties

Different DFT functionals were screened against pentacene's FMO experimental properties, with other theories such as KT, OVGF and P3 deployed for comparison of the vertical ionisation energy. The charge-transfer properties, such as the internal reorganisation energy, transfer integral and rate of charge transfer, were then calculated and compared to experimental and theoretical literature values. Table 3 shows the hybrid functionals B3LYP and PBEO, which gave the closest HOMO, LUMO and $E_{g}$ energies in comparison to the experimental energies ${ }^{10,172}$ of $-5.00 \mathrm{eV},-3.20 \mathrm{eV}$ and 1.80 eV respectively, although the B3P86, BHandH and BHandHLYP functionals were off by more than 0.5 eV . While the pure functionals BLYP and PBEPBE proved exceptionally poor, the worst performing functionals were those of the long-range corrected or range-separated hybrids, namely LC-BLYP, LC- $\omega P B E$, CAM-B3LYP and $\omega B 97 X D$, with differences to experiment between 1-2 eV.

In stark contrast, the long-range corrected functionals gave some of the closest values to the experimental first vertical ionisation energy ${ }^{173}$ of 6.59 eV , with LC-BLYP and LC- $\omega$ PBE proving the most accurate, except for CAM-B3LYP which has a difference of 0.76 eV . Interestingly, the $\omega$ B97XD gave a close under estimation of 6.42 eV , while the hybrid B3P86 over estimates at 6.84 eV . The widely-used Koopmans' Theorem underperformed by 0.53 eV , similar to the BLYP functional. The outer valence Green's function gave relatively similar values to the hybrid functionals B3LYP and PBE0, while the third order electron propagator, P3, was the most accurate, slightly beating LC-BLYP, at 6.51 eV .

Table 4 shows the calculated internal hole reorganisation energies $\left(\lambda_{h}\right)$, hole transfer integral ( $t_{\mathrm{h}}$ ) and the rate of charge transfer ( $K_{\mathrm{CT}}$ ) for each functional; Grimme's third-order dispersion (D3) term were added for comparison of the intermolecular interactions. The lowest reorganisation energy were found with BLYP and PBEPBE ( 61 meV ), followed closely by B3P86 ( 94 meV ) and B3LYP ( 97 meV ), which correlate well with both the experimental value ${ }^{173}$ of 59 meV and theoretical calculations ${ }^{135,174,175}$. PBE0 gave a similar energy, 106 meV , while other hybrid functionals such as $\omega B 97 X D$, BHandH and BHandHLYP are relatively higher at 171, 159 and 176 meV respectively. The largest reorganisation energies for pentacene were obtained with the long-range corrected functionals, including LC-BLYP (291 meV), CAM-B3LYP (189 meV) and LC- $\omega$ PBE ( 265 meV ).

The spacing of $d=3.3-3.8 \AA$ are reported in the literature ${ }^{132,174,176}$ to be suitable for the transfer integrals of OSCs such as pentacene, although $d$ was set to 3.0, 3.5 and $4.0 \AA$ to determine how the functionals affect the electronic coupling over specific $0.5 \AA$ differences of intermolecular separations. The first trend is the depreciation of both the transfer integral and the rate constant with increasing dimer spacings, across the range of functionals used, in agreement with the literature ${ }^{48}$. This is natural, as the intermolecular distances increase, i.e. the molecules in the dimer become further separated, their electronic coupling decreases and thus charge transfer would diminish. With each $0.5 \AA$ increment, the hole transfer integrals decrease exponentially ${ }^{174}$

Table 3. HOMO, LUMO, $E_{g}$ and vertical ionisation potential ( $I E_{\mathrm{v}}$ ) of pentacene, in electron volts $(\mathrm{eV})$ with various functionals.

| Functional | HOMO | LUMO | $E_{g}$ | $I E_{v}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLYP | -4.26 | -3.12 | 1.15 | 5.99 |
| LC-BLYP | -7.16 | -0.75 | 6.41 | 6.65 |
| B3LYP | -4.94 | -2.75 | 2.19 | 6.23 |
| CAM-B3LYP | -6.04 | -1.70 | 4.33 | 5.83 |
| LC-wPBE | -7.12 | -0.89 | 6.23 | 6.65 |
| PBEPBE | -4.45 | -3.31 | 1.15 | 6.18 |
| PBE0 | -5.09 | -2.64 | 2.45 | 6.27 |
| wB97XD | -6.57 | -1.14 | 5.43 | 6.42 |
| B3P86 | -5.55 | -3.36 | 2.19 | 6.84 |
| BHandH | -5.57 | -1.77 | 3.80 | 6.17 |
| BHandHLYP | -5.59 | -1.75 | 3.84 | 6.16 |
| KT |  |  |  | 6.06 |
| OVGF |  |  |  | 6.25 |
| P3 |  |  | 1.80 | 6.59 |
| Experimental | -5.00 | -3.20 |  |  |

for the BLYP functionals, from 416 meV at $3.0 \AA$ through 315 meV at $3.5 \AA$ to 144 meV at $4.0 \AA$. Interestingly, there is little interaction of the Grimme's thirdorder dispersion across the dimer separations $d=3.0-4.0$. The wB97XD, B3P86, BHandH and BHandHLYP functionals have exceedingly high hole transfer integrals for $d=3.0 \AA$ at $804,633,764$ and 745 meV respectively, similar to the long-range functionals such as LC-BLYP ( 826 meV ), CAMB3LYP ( 790 meV ) and LC-wPBE ( 806 meV ). The majority of the hybrid functionals give relatively lower hole transfer integrals around 345-350 A. Moreover, the integrals at $4.0 \AA$ range between 140 and 190 meV for the uncorrected pure and hybrid functionals; interestingly that of B3LYP has excellent correlation with thin-film studies ${ }^{177}$.

The charge-transfer rate constants are of the order $0.014-1.963 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the dimer distances $d=3.0-4.0 \AA$ and functionals investigated in agreement with the literature ${ }^{132,174}$. The rates calculated at the $3.0 \AA$ spacing were found to be relatively lower with long-range corrected functionals such as LC-BLYP ( $K_{C T}=0.199 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) and CAM-B3LYP $\left(K \subset T=0.610 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ than uncorrected pure BLYP $\left(K с T=1.04 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ and hybrid B3LYP ( $К с т=1.30 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) respectively; this trend is reproducible with increasing $d$. The transfer integrals for long-range functionals approximately halve with each $0.5 \AA$ angstrom increment, inferring a linear dependency, but the uncorrected pure and hybrid functionals show exponential decreases in accordance with the literature ${ }^{174}$. Having screened pentacene's properties with various functionals (Table 3), it is apparent that neither dispersion nor long-range functionals are appropriate for further investigations but rather the best performing one was B3LYP and therefore selected for the calculation of the electronic and optical properties of the remaining isomers.

Table 4. Internal hole reorganisation energy ( $\lambda_{h} / \mathrm{meV}$ ), hole transfer integral ( $\mathrm{th}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{meV}$ ) and the rate of charge transfer ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{CT}} \times 10^{15} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) for each functional at $d=3.0,3.5$ and $4.0 \AA$ dimer spacings for pentacene

|  | $d=3.0 \AA$ |  |  |  | $d=3.5 \AA$ |  | $d=4.0 \AA$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Functional | $\lambda_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $t_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $K_{\text {CT }}$ | $t_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $K_{C T}$ | $t_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $K_{C T}$ |  |
| BLYP | 61 | 416 | 1.04 | 315 | 0.595 | 144 | 0.124 |  |
| BLYP-D3 | 61 | 418 | 1.04 | 315 | 0.594 | 144 | 0.124 |  |
| LC-BLYP | 291 | 826 | 0.199 | 419 | 0.051 | 200 | 0.012 |  |
| B3LYP | 97 | 624 | 1.30 | 345 | 0.397 | 159 | 0.084 |  |
| B3LYP-D3 | 97 | 625 | 1.30 | 345 | 0.397 | 159 | 0.084 |  |
| CAM-B3LYP | 189 | 790 | 0.610 | 381 | 0.142 | 180 | 0.032 |  |
| CAM-B3LYP-D3 | 169 | 791 | 0.786 | 381 | 0.183 | 180 | 0.041 |  |
| LC-wPBE | 265 | 806 | 0.256 | 399 | 0.063 | 185 | 0.014 |  |
| LC-wPBE-D3 | 265 | 806 | 0.256 | 399 | 0.063 | 185 | 0.014 |  |
| PBEPBE | 61 | 413 | 1.02 | 315 | 0.592 | 142 | 0.120 |  |
| PBEPBE-D3 | 61 | 414 | 1.03 | 315 | 0.592 | 141 | 0.120 |  |
| PBE0 | 106 | 654 | 1.25 | 351 | 0.362 | 160 | 0.075 |  |
| PBE0-D3 | 106 | 655 | 1.25 | 351 | 0.362 | 160 | 0.075 |  |
| wB97XD | 171 | 804 | 0.791 | 383 | 0.179 | 181 | 0.040 |  |
| B3P86 | 94 | 633 | 1.40 | 345 | 0.416 | 156 | 0.085 |  |
| BHandH | 159 | 764 | 0.832 | 404 | 0.233 | 190 | 0.051 |  |
| BHandHLYP | 176 | 745 | 0.638 | 176 | 0.176 | 183 | 0.039 |  |

### 5.1.3 Charge-Transfer Calculations for Pentacene's Isomers

The twelve known isomers of pentacene are illustrated in Figure 28, with selected bond lengths and angles. Their shapes vary from linear (pentacene, isomer 13) to phenacene-like (picene, isomer 14). Isomers benzo[g]chrysene 32 and dibenzo[a,c]anthracene 37 have a phenacene-like backbone with a phenyl ring appended in a sec and tert arrangement, respectively. The remaining isomers 33-36 and 38-41 have an end-on-end linear-array of phenyl rings, which includes the nonplanar structures benzo[c]chrysene 40 and dibenzo[ $c, c^{\prime}$ ]phenanthrene 41. Isomer 41 is unusual, as it is a primitive or a 'half' helicene, making it a distinctive non-planar structure; there are four nonplanar structures in total, namely isomers 33, 36, 40 and 41, as illustrated in Figure 29. 33 has a saddle-horse type structure, 36 and 40 have out-of-plane phenyl rings and 41 is half helical.

It is important to note the arrangements of the phenyl rings and the separation between the adjacent C-H units ${ }^{178}$. For example, pentacene has a zig-zag motif imparted by a 1,3 C-H arrangement, whereas picene has a chair motif from a $1,4 \mathrm{C}$-H arrangement. Structures which have $1,5(33,40)$ or 1,6 (41) arrangements are found, as expected, to be non-planar, due to steric hindrance.


Pentacene
13


Benzo[b]
chrysene 33


Naphtho[c]
phenanthrene
36


Benzo[a]
tetracene
39


Picene
14


Dibenzo[a, $h$ ]
anthracene
34


Dibenzo[a,c]
anthracene
37


Benzo[c]
chrysene 40


Benzo[g] chrysene 32


Dibenzo[ $\left.d, d^{\prime}\right]$ phenanthrene 35


Dibenzo[a, $f]$ anthracene 38


Dibenzo[ $\left.c, c^{\prime}\right]$ phenanthrene 41

Figure 28. Structures of the twelve isomers with selected angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and bond lengths $(\AA)$.
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Figure 29. Structures of the four non-planar isomers.

The HOMO energy level of pentacene $(-4.94 \mathrm{eV})$ is the highest out of all the isomers studied (Table 5); the relatively high HOMO energy is a known issue, which is considered to contribute to its instability ${ }^{160,166}$. By rearranging just one of the terminal rings, isomer 39 shows a relatively similar HOMO energy at -5.29 eV but significant improvement in stability, as evidenced by an increase in both the $E_{g}$ and the optical gap energies, by more than 0.6 and 0.69 eV respectively; however 39 is the second least stable after pentacene out of all the isomers.

Other than picene, the remaining planar isomers 33-35 and 37 and 38 also have significantly improved stability and deeper HOMO levels than pentacene. Isomer 38 is one of the most stable planar structures ( $E_{g}=3.89 \mathrm{eV}$ ) excluding picene; followed closely by isomers 34 and 37 , which have the same gap ( $E_{\mathrm{g}}=3.85 \mathrm{eV}$ ). The FMO energies of the non-planar 32, 40 and 41 isomers are relatively similar to those of picene (14), with their deep HOMO levels centred at -5.85 eV , the LUMO at 1.70 eV and the $E_{g}$ at 4.2 eV ; 36 is the exception with values $-5.52,-2.10$ and 3.42 eV respectively, but not far from ideal. The HOMO-1 states for isomers 13 to 34 and 36 to 39 are relatively

Table 5. HOMO, LUMO, $E_{g}$, optical gap ( $\mathrm{S}_{0}-\mathrm{S}_{1}$ ) with the oscillator strength in parenthesis of the optimised isomers at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level, in electron volts (eV).

| Entry | $\mathrm{H}-1$ | H | L | $\mathrm{L}+1$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{0}-\mathrm{S}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | -6.22 | -4.94 | -2.75 | -1.33 | 2.19 | $1.90(0.04)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | -6.12 | -5.85 | -1.64 | -1.59 | 4.21 | $3.55(0.006)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | -6.21 | -5.80 | -1.75 | -1.38 | 4.05 | $3.60(0.02)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | -6.32 | -5.55 | -2.07 | -1.32 | 3.48 | $3.10(0.07)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | -6.12 | -5.72 | -1.87 | -1.56 | 3.85 | $3.36(0.02)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | -5.82 | -5.66 | -1.92 | -1.90 | 3.75 | $3.11(0.002)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 6}$ | -6.17 | -5.52 | -2.10 | -1.36 | 3.42 | $3.02(0.04)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 7}$ | -6.25 | -5.76 | -1.91 | -1.32 | 3.85 | $3.43(0.02)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 8}$ | -6.04 | -5.76 | -1.87 | -1.56 | 3.89 | $3.38(0.0004)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 9}$ | -6.28 | -5.29 | -2.37 | -1.32 | 2.92 | $2.59(0.04)$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | -5.95 | -5.88 | -1.67 | -1.67 | 4.21 | $3.43(0.002)$ |
| $\mathbf{4 1}$ | -5.97 | -5.84 | -1.72 | -1.69 | 4.12 | $3.35(0.001)$ |

similar, focusing around -6.20 eV , suggesting this is a common and stable lower FMO energy; although no distinct trend is observed with the LUMO+1 states. The FMO levels are illustrated in Figure 30 and clearly shows the trends in stability between the planar and non-planar isomers, which correlate well with the literature ${ }^{177,179-181}$.

These trends are reflected in the optical gap ( $\mathrm{S}_{0}-\mathrm{S}_{1} / \mathrm{eV}$ ), determined from TD-DFT calculations. The excitation wavelengths of $34,37,40$ and 41 are nearly doubled in comparison to pentacene (3.35-3.43 eV). 33 and 35 have moderate stability ( $3.10-3.11 \mathrm{eV}$ ), while the lowest is with isomer 39 at 2.59 eV . Interestingly, the non-planar isomer $\mathbf{3}$ is the most stable, more than picene by 0.05 eV .


Figure 30. FMO energy diagram of $\mathrm{HOMO}(\mathrm{H})$, LUMO (L) and $E_{g}(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}$ separation drawn as bars) for all isomers with energies in electron volts (eV).

So far, the twelve isomers and their various optical properties have been probed, leading to trends in stabilities. Now, their ionisation energies are investigated with three different types of theories (two DFT, KT and Green's function with the P3 variant). The data in Table 3 implies that long-range functionals such as LC-BLYP overestimates, while pure BLYP underestimates, B3LYP is moderate and similar to that of OVGF, KT is poor and P3 is the most accurate with respect to the experimental value. With this understanding, these functionals are applied to calculate the vertical ionisation energies that are expected to bracket the experimental values.

Table 6 shows the vertical ionisation energy of the twelve isomers, and lists the pole strengths in parenthesis for OVGF and P3. All of the isomers 14 to 41 show relatively higher vertical ionisation energies than pentacene, with values reaching 7.24 eV with $\mathbf{4 0}$, a difference of 1.11 eV . All reproduce the trend that pentacene has the lowest ionisation energy, followed closely by isomers 39 and then 33. Except for pentacene, KT and OVGF give similar values for each isomer, which are on average 0.15 eV greater than the B3LYP functional; the LC-BLYP functional consistently gives the largest IEvs, and has
a difference to B3LYP between 0.4 and 0.6 eV . The variation between the theories and the isomers are illustrated in Figure 31.

In general, the P3 functional gives values that are 0.2 eV greater than the commonly used OVGF functional. The $I E_{v}$ for picene with P3 is 7.58 eV , which is in excellent agreement with the experimental value at 7.51 eV and suggests that P3 should be given more attention in future studies, particularly due to its level of accuracy over OVGF. The P3 values for isomers 32 (7.54 eV ) and $41(7.59 \mathrm{eV})$ are remarkably similar to that of picene, while those of 34, 37 and 38 are similar to each other at 7.44 eV . Both isomers 33 and 35 have relatively low ionisation energies (P3) with 7.24 eV and 7.3 eV respectively. Isomer 40 has the greatest $I E_{\mathrm{v}}$, only slightly larger than picene's theoretical value ${ }^{177}$ by 0.06 eV , but significantly greater than pentacene with a difference of 1.11 eV . Isomer 39 is the second lowest at 6.94 eV , after pentacene, but the difference between them is a stabilising 0.43 eV .

Table 6. $1^{\text {st }}$ Vertical ionisation energy (IEv) in electron volts (eV), calculated with B3LYP, LC-BLYP, Koopmans' Theorem (KT), Outer Valence Green's Function (OVGF) and the Third Order Electron Propagator (P3) with their associated pole strengths (PS).

| Entry | B3LYP | LC-BLYP | KT | OVGF | P3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 6.23 | 6.65 | 6.06 | $6.25(0.87)$ | $6.51(0.85)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 7.20 | 7.82 | 7.42 | $7.37(0.88)$ | $7.58(0.86)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 7.16 | 7.67 | 7.31 | $7.28(0.88)$ | $7.54(0.87)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 6.88 | 7.44 | 6.98 | $7.01(0.88)$ | $7.24(0.86)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | 7.07 | 7.65 | 7.24 | $7.20(0.88)$ | $7.42(0.86)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 6.99 | 7.57 | 7.16 | $7.14(0.87)$ | $7.35(0.86)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 6}$ | 6.87 | 7.40 | 7.03 | $6.98(0.88)$ | $7.29(0.86)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 7}$ | 7.12 | 7.71 | 7.29 | $7.25(0.88)$ | $7.48(0.86)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 8}$ | 7.10 | 7.71 | 7.28 | $7.23(0.88)$ | $7.46(0.86)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 9}$ | 6.62 | 7.11 | 6.64 | $6.71(0.87)$ | $6.94(0.86)$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 7.24 | 7.85 | 7.43 | $7.39(0.88)$ | $7.62(0.86)$ |
| $\mathbf{4 1}$ | 7.21 | 7.79 | 7.38 | $7.35(0.88)$ | $7.59(0.87)$ |



Figure 31. Vertical ionisation energies (IEv) in electron volts (eV) calculated using different theories (LC-BLYP, B3LYP, KT, OVGF, P3) for all isomers.

The investigations now focus on (1) the structural relaxation ability of the isomers by calculating their internal hole reorganisation energies, (2) their electronic coupling transfer integrals and (3) their rates of charge transfer, for each dimer separation $d=3.0,3.5$ and $4.0 \AA$, as illustrated in Table 7. The internal hole reorganisation energies are overall relatively low, with isomers 33 and 36 to 40 being exceptional between 115 and 143 meV (Figure 7). Relatively high values are observed with 32 ( 188 meV ), 34 ( 171 meV ) and 35 ( 181 meV ), which are similar to that of picene ( 188 meV ); isomer 41 has the highest hole reorganisation energy with 212 meV .

Except for pentacene, the hole transfer integrals of the remaining isomers are largely unchanged between the $d=3.0$ and $3.5 \AA$ separation. The greatest difference is between the $d=3.5$ and $4.0 \AA$ separation, where the hole transfer integrals are approximately halved. At $d=3.0 \AA$, pentacene has the largest transfer integral at 624 meV , with relatively high values for isomers $33(383 \mathrm{meV}), 36(284 \mathrm{meV}), 37(242 \mathrm{meV})$ and $39(486 \mathrm{meV})$. At $d=3.5 \AA$, these values for the same isomers remain largely unchanged with differences of $<20 \mathrm{meV}$. At $d=4.0 \AA$, the integral for 36 has decreased by 165 meV , although remarkably, those of isomers 37 and 39 are the same as pentacene

Table 7. Hole reorganisation energy ( $\lambda_{h} / \mathrm{meV}$ ) for each isomer and the hole transfer integral ( $t_{\text {h }} / \mathrm{meV}$ ) with the rate of charge transfer ( $\mathrm{Kct}^{\mathrm{C}} \times 10^{14} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) for each intermolecular separation of $d=3.0,3.5$ and $4.0 \AA$.

|  | $d=3.0 \AA$ |  |  |  | $d=3.5 \AA$ |  | $d=4.0 \AA$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | $\lambda_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $t_{\mathrm{h}}$ | KСT | $t_{\mathrm{h}}$ | KCT | $t_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $K$ KCT |  |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 97 | 624 | 13.022 | 345 | 3.968 | 159 | 0.841 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 188 | 147 | 0.213 | 144 | 0.206 | 141 | 0.197 |  |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 188 | 194 | 0.373 | 201 | 0.400 | 120 | 0.142 |  |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 122 | 383 | 3.433 | 353 | 2.918 | 164 | 0.632 |  |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | 171 | 205 | 0.516 | 205 | 0.513 | 162 | 0.323 |  |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 181 | 83 | 0.075 | 82 | 0.072 | 80 | 0.070 |  |
| $\mathbf{3 6}$ | 133 | 284 | 1.627 | 292 | 1.715 | 127 | 0.326 |  |
| $\mathbf{3 7}$ | 127 | 242 | 1.277 | 244 | 1.296 | 159 | 0.552 |  |
| $\mathbf{3 8}$ | 133 | 139 | 0.386 | 139 | 0.390 | 140 | 0.392 |  |
| $\mathbf{3 9}$ | 115 | 486 | 6.082 | 346 | 3.087 | 159 | 0.653 |  |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 143 | 20 | 0.007 | 18 | 0.006 | 23 | 0.009 |  |
| $\mathbf{4 1}$ | 212 | 159 | 0.212 | 40 | 0.012 | 3 | 0.000 |  |

at 159 meV , with those of isomers 33 and 34 being even greater at 164 and 162 meV respectively. The relatively strong electronic coupling at this separation may be due to the isomers 34, 34, 37 and 39 being both planar and have linear anthracene segments in their structures, with the exceptions being 35 and 38 .

The rates of charge transfer at $d=3.0 \AA$ for $33,36,37$ and 39 are exceptional with $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ст }}=3.43,1.63,1.28$ and $6.08 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ respectively, in comparison to that of pentacene's $\left(13.0 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$; at $d=3.5 \AA$, their rates are of the same order of magnitude at $K_{\text {ст }}=2.92,1.72,1.30$ and $3.09 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ respectively; those for $d=4.0 \AA$ are significantly lower. It is clear these isomers out-perform all other isomers, except for pentacene, with increasing dimer separation. The non-planar isomer 32 has a transfer integral and rate constant of 301 meV and $0.400 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ respectively, which is unexpected given its distorted structure. Those of the other two non-planar isomers 40 and 41 are much less so, with rates up to three orders of magnitude less. The


Figure 32. Internal hole reorganisation energy $\left(\lambda_{h}\right)$ in millielectron volts (meV) for each isomer.


Figure 33. The rate of charge transfer (Кст) versus the hole transfer integral $\left(t_{\text {n }}\right)$ in electron volts ( meV ) for each intermolecular separation ( $d=3.0,3.5$, $4.0 \AA$, decreasing separation with increasing transfer integral) for all isomers.
alternative contenders with regards to rates of charge transfer are easily identified from Figure 33, which shows the high-performing values of pentacene at each dimer separation (decreasing from 4.0 to $3.0 \AA$ with increasing $t_{\mathrm{h}}$ ) and those of isomers 33, 36, 37 and 39 being of the same order of magnitude.

### 5.1.4 Summary

The fundamental electronic and optical properties of pentacene's isomers were probed, for both their stability and charge transfer in organic electronic applications. Several pure and hybrid functionals were screened for pentacene's FMOs and charge-transfer properties, and the common B3LYP was found to perform the best in comparison to experimental values. Subsequently, the non-planar isomers 32, 40 and 41 were found to have a relatively similar HOMO to that of picene, while their air stability is nearly two-fold to that of pentacene.

The third order electron propagator (P3) functional gives the most accurate first vertical ionisation energy, in comparison to the experimental values of pentacene and picene, and should be used over all other theories for excellent estimates of similar acenes. The isomer 40 was found to have the greatest increase in vertical ionisation in comparison to pentacene using P3, by 1.11 eV .

The internal hole reorganisation energies of picene and isomer 32 are identical at 0.188 eV , with those of isomers $33,36,37$, and 39 are less than 0.133 eV . The hole transfer integrals and the rates of charge transfer at three intermolecular separations were evaluated for all isomers and it is no surprise that 33, 36, 37, and 39 have the same order of magnitude as those of pentacene at $3.5 \AA$, suggesting strong interactions in the solid phase for these molecules. The rates of charge transfer are also exceptional for isomers 33, 36, 37 and 39. Combining these observations with their air stabilities, isomer 37 is the best all-round alternative candidate to pentacene, followed closely by the other three depending on the specific property of interest. Overall, these observations show that the isomers of pentacene deserve attention as potential air-stable and high-performing alternative candidates for organic electronic applications.
5.2 Design, Modelling and Synthesis of Fused-Ring Heteroacenes

### 5.2.1 Design and Modelling

Since the discovery of conducting polyacetylene ${ }^{182}$, there has been a surge in research of $\pi$-conjugated systems, particularly concerning the development of high-performing materials through the rational design of the molecular structures. m-conjugated systems allow charge to be delocalised over the whole molecule, thereby imparting stability of the unpaired electron towards oxidative or reductive environments. Applications of $\pi$-conjugated systems include radio-frequency identification (RFID) tags ${ }^{183}$, flexible displays ${ }^{184}$, electronic papers ${ }^{185}$ and sensors ${ }^{186}$. Yet, limitations still exist in terms of the underlying structure-property relationships for charge transfer. In addition to electronic properties, favourable optical responses are becoming increasingly sought after in materials chemistry. Nonlinear optical (NLO) investigations have led to a number of diagnostic applications, including the direct visualisation of carrier motion ${ }^{187}$, the nucleation and growth of nanoparticles ${ }^{188}$, second-harmonic generation of Langmuir-Blodgett films ${ }^{189}$, surface structure and dynamics ${ }^{190}$, protein detection ${ }^{191}$ and more recently, optical switches ${ }^{192}$. Organic semiconducting ${ }^{10}$ and NLO phenomena ${ }^{192}$ are commonplace throughout the literature and yet there are no studies on the suitability of candidates for both fields.

Advances in the field of organic electronics tend towards specialisation, which may promote singular device functions and output responses. This is at odds with circuitry miniaturisation or downscaling devices to the molecular level where multi-response relays and outputs are highly desirable ${ }^{193}$. The rational design of multi-response systems to date tends to include multiple electron withdrawing or donating groups (EWGs or EDGs) on the molecular backbone, and are thus subject to chemical and environmental stability concerns. It is common practice, in the development of organic electronic materials, to design and synthesise a single molecular target. The performance of the synthesised material is characterised by fabrication and testing of an organic electronic device. Although this iterative synthetic/device fabrication and characterisation approach is capable of eventually arriving at a suitable candidate, it does have the disadvantage of high demand on time and resources, having to rely on costly device fabrication/testing exercise for each molecule synthesised. To increase the likelihood of arriving at a highperforming candidate without proportionally increasing the demand on time and resources, an alternative approach should be deployed to increase the number of structures investigated for a faster throughput of research. Thus,
a theoretical approach that virtually screens a number of structures a priori to any experimental undertaking can be adopted to save both time and resources.

To date, synthetic iteration has yielded a multitude of fused-ring compounds, of which only a small number ${ }^{10}$ have charge carrier mobilities greater than $1.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, such as dibenzodithienothiophen (DBDTT, 19) ${ }^{10,194,195}$ in Figure 34, with selected physical properties. DBDTT has a sufficiently stable optical gap ( 3.46 eV ), permitting its application under ambient conditions. The internal hole reorganisation energy ${ }^{196}$ of DBDTT is 0.117 eV , which is near ideal ( 0.1 eV ) for organic semiconductors ${ }^{54,197}$. It is therefore of great interest to increase the number of fused rings on any highperforming structure to further improve its properties. Dibenzopentathiophene (B5TB, 42) ${ }^{198,199}$ is a heterocyclic homologue of DBDTT, which has two more thiophene rings in the core of the molecule, although its optical gap is lower. Disappointingly, B5TB has lower air-stability than DBDTT with a HOMO-LUMO gap ( $E_{g}$ ) of 2.98 eV and relatively lower charge-transfer mobility by two orders of magnitude at $0.04 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which could be expected from its inferior reorganisation energy ( 0.240 eV ), prompting the possibility that some isomers of the same formula of B5TB could yield better stability and charge-transfer properties.


Figure 34. High-performing p-type organic semiconductors, DBDTT (19) and B5TB (42), with their experimentally-derived FMO optical gaps ( $E_{g}$ ) from the literature and their internal hole reorganisation energies $\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$.

Being a seven-membered compound with mixed heterocycles, B5TB can be isomerised to give the same core as DBDTT but with one thiophene unit fused to the terminal edge of each phenyl ring, as illustrated in Figure 35. As there are three faces and two possible orientations, there are six symmetric
isomers of B5TB, or virtual m-extended analogues of DBDTT, and as a result, six novel isomeric structures with DBDTT at its core can be created, called dithienodibenzodithienothiophene (DTBDTT). DTT-based heterocycles are of significant interest to organic electronics as once part of the core, it imparts high thermal stability ${ }^{200}$ while the fused phenyl spacers extend the $\pi$-conjugation and permit better $\pi-\pi$ stacking with adjacent molecules. Moreover, terminal thiophenes with internal phenyl fused-units have high thermal and photostability. These understandings give merit to the purpose of isomerising B5TB for improved properties. The benefit of virtually screening these isomers will (1) determine which one should be investigated synthetically and (2) aid the future development of structure-based design rules for heteroacenes.


Figure 35. The process of generating the virtual isomeric library of heteroacenes through either $\pi$-extension of DBDTT or isomerisation of B5TB.

The structures of the six DTBDTT isomers from the m-expansion or isomerisation are illustrated in Figure 36. Together these diverse structures are theoretically screened with DFT to probe their properties and compare them to their parent isomer B5TB. They consist of the central DBDTT core with the thiophene heterocycles fused to the terminal phenyl edges in various arrangements, which in turn generates varying molecular shapes and sizes.







Figure 36. Structures of the six hepta-heteroacene DTBDTT isomers with solubilising hexyl chains $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)$ in the virtual isomeric library.

Figure 37 shows that the isomer lengths vary between 13 and $17 \AA$. The isomers 43-45 have the terminal thiophene anti to the central thiophene in the DTT core, with those of 46-48 being syn. The most curved and smallest structures are 43 and 46 , with only 13.0 and $13.6 \AA$ in length; the most linear are 44 and 47 , with lengths up to $16.9 \AA$, while 45 and 48 are phenacene-like and less than 16 Å.

Optical properties are of significant interest for organic electronics. Figure 38 shows the HOMO energy levels of 43 and 44 are almost identical in energy ca. -5.62 eV , with isomer 45 being exceptionally close at -5.60 eV ; all isomers have remarkably similar HOMO energies to the experimentally determined value of -5.64 eV for B5TB. Both 43 and 47 have low LUMO energy levels of -2.03 eV and -2.08 eV respectively, similar to that of B5TB
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Figure 37. Structures of the isomeric virtual pool of symmetric fused-ring systems 43-48 based on the parent B5TB, with selected geometric parameters and hexyl chains omitted to reduce the computational cost.
( -2.66 eV theoretical and -2.08 eV experimental), whereas those of the others are higher between -1.72 and -1.95 eV .

Excluding 43 and 47 , the optical gaps $\left(E_{g}\right)$ of the remaining candidates are relatively similar for their calculated values. If the theoretical value of $E_{g}$ overestimates by 0.58 eV in comparison to the experimental B5TB, due to uncertainty in the LUMO state, then both 44 and 48 would still be more optically stable by as much as 0.3 eV than B5TB; suggesting that DTT does indeed stabilise the fused-ring structures.

The FMO energies and orbitals are illustrated in Table 8. The HOMO orbitals, in general, appear to align as expected across the double bonds of the thiophene units, forming a ladder-like array across the breadth of the molecules. This phenomenon is most noticeable in isomers 44, 45, 47 and 48.

43, 44 and 46 are interesting with regards to the lack of orbital density on particular carbon atoms of the thiophene unit, noticeably at the connecting and fused carbon atoms with the phenyl ring for 43 and 46 , but on the terminal carbon of the thiophene with isomer 44. This occurs evidently from the greater overlap with those of the phenyl ring for these isomers, where the molecular orbitals shared three fused atoms between both the thiophene and the phenyl ring; isomer 48 is a very close contender due to little orbital density on the terminal thiophene carbon.

In the LUMO state, the orbitals of 43 and 46 show the same phenomena, with 44 being the exception. Those which have orbitals in the same phase across the carbon atoms in a linear array, have a relatively stabilised LUMO energy, such as $43(2.03 \mathrm{eV})$ and $5(-2.08 \mathrm{eV})$, while those of 44 and 6 have a discontinuity at the peripheral thiophene carbons. The central orbitals around the DTT unit remain largely unchanged in both the HOMO and LUMO states.

It was understood from the ionisation calculations of pentacene's isomers (Section 5.1) that the DFT methods (B3LYP, LC-BLYP) bracketed the experimental values. However, the EPT methods gave the most accurate and particularly, the third order pole (P3). Table 9 and Table 10 show the ionisation and electron affinities for both DFT (B3LYP only), and EPT methods. The ionisation energy for should be relatively large ca. 5.0 eV such as with pentacene ${ }^{10}$ or 5.81 eV with fluorinated acenes ${ }^{201}$. 43-48 all show exceptional stability, as high as 7.2 eV with the vertical ionisation of 48, followed closely by that of 44 with 7.07 eV .

Table 8. Frontier molecular orbitals of the neutral isomers and their energies in electron volts $(\mathrm{eV})$.

| Entry | $\Psi_{\text {H }}$ | $\psi_{\mathrm{L}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | $500$ |  |
|  | -5.62 | -2.03 |
| 44 | $010080$ | $2909:$ |
|  | -5.62 | -1.91 |
| 45 | $05 \cos 30$ |  |
|  | -5.60 | -1.95 |
| 46 | $80$ | $8$ |
|  | -5.66 | -1.86 |
| 47 | 90008 |  |
|  | -5.56 | -2.08 |
| 48 | efloute | goge |
|  | -5.67 | -1.72 |



Figure 38. FMO diagram for the calculated and experimental values for parent B5TB (42) and isomers 43-48, with the work function of gold.

Table 9. Calculated vertical ionisation energies (IEv) in electron volts (eV) of B5TB (42) and isomers 43-48 using DFT (B3LYP) and EPT (KT, OVGF, P3).

|  | DFT |  |  | EPT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | B3LYP |  | KT | OVGF | P3 |  |
| $\mathbf{4 2}$ | 6.79 |  | 7.15 | $6.72(0.88)$ | $7.39(0.86)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4 3}$ | 6.85 |  | 7.25 | $6.81(0.88)$ | $7.43(0.87)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4 4}$ | 7.07 |  | 7.30 | $6.77(0.88)$ | $7.38(0.86)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 6.82 |  | 7.19 | $6.73(0.88)$ | $7.41(0.86)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4 6}$ | 6.89 |  | 7.28 | $6.85(0.88)$ | $7.43(0.87)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 6.75 |  | 7.17 | $6.76(0.88)$ | $7.35(0.86)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4 8}$ | 7.25 |  | 7.33 | $6.82(0.88)$ | $7.45(0.86)$ |  |

Table 10. Calculated vertical electron affinities ( $E A v$ ) in electron volts (eV) of B5TB (42) and isomers 43-48 using DFT (B3LYP) and EPT (KT, OVGF, P3).

|  | DFT |  |  | EPT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | B3LYP |  | KT | OVGF | P3 |  |
| $\mathbf{4 2}$ | 0.903 |  | 0.94 | $0.018(0.90)$ | $0.029(0.88)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4 3}$ | 0.830 |  | 0.97 | $0.67(0.98)$ | $0.61(0.98)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4 4}$ | 0.716 |  | 1.22 | $0.21(0.90)$ | $0.13(0.89)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.774 |  | 1.09 | $0.14(0.90)$ | $0.097(0.88)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4 6}$ | 0.535 |  | 1.00 | $0.705(0.98)$ | $0.65(0.98)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 0.915 |  | 0.98 | $0.038(0.89)$ | $0.082(0.88)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4 8}$ | 0.526 |  | 1.05 | $0.73(0.98)$ | $0.68(0.98)$ |  |

The hole ( $\lambda_{h}$ ) and electron ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}$ ) reorganisation energies were calculated for isomers 43-48 and presented in Table 11 and Table 12, along with the isomer B5TB (42) for comparison. Although the origin of small reorganisation energies are not known, Troisi reports ${ }^{57}$ that the most significant relaxation contributions arise from C-C vibrational modes, ca. 800-1200 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, while Zhu
et al. reported $1500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with reorganisation-frequency coupling calculations ${ }^{202}$. The internal hole reorganisation energy for $44(84.2 \mathrm{meV})$ is relatively lower than those of tetracene ( 114 meV ) and rubrene ${ }^{203}$ ( 159 meV ) and significantly lower than the calculated value for 42 ( 240 meV ). Isomer 48 also has an ideal hole reorganisation energy ( 101 meV ), while 44 and 45 are modest with 195 meV and 198 meV respectively (Figure 39). Isomers 43, 45, and the parent 42 in contrast, are not suitable for p-type organic semiconductor applications. It is known for n-types that the lower and upper limits ${ }^{16}$ for electron affinity is 3.0 and 4.0 eV respectively, indicating that all the isomers and the parent 42 are not suitable for $n$-type devices. Interestingly, the $\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}$ energies of isomers 44 and 47 are not far from ideal with values comparable to n-type nitrogen substituted acenes ${ }^{54}$.

In general, the hole transfer integrals ( $t_{\text {n }}$ ) increase with intermolecular separation $d$, suggesting that the solid state packing of these structures may be wider than $4.0 \AA$ as a maximum $t_{n}$ was not reached, although the differences in $t_{n}$ between 3.5-4.0 $\AA$ is less than those at 3.0-3.5 $\AA$, suggesting the ideal intermolecular separation is just over $4.0 \AA 8$. 42 has the largest integral at $d=3.0 \AA(113 \mathrm{meV})$, but relatively similar to isomers 45 ( 137 meV ), $47(135 \mathrm{meV})$ and $48(138 \mathrm{meV})$ at $d=4.0 \AA$. Interestingly, isomers 43 and 46 have greater integrals than 42 for $d=4.0 \AA$ at 152 meV and 156 meV respectively. The rates of charge transfer increase with $d$, and the largest rate was found for isomer 48 at $d=4.0 \AA\left(0.602 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, followed closely by 44 $\left(0.395 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, as illustrated in Figure 40 (Top). Moreover, the order of magnitude for all isomers including the parent isomer 42, is of the same order as the previously studied pentacene's isomers $\left(10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, suggesting these fused-ring heteroacenes have significant potential as high-performing organic semiconductors.

The electron transfer integral is greatest with 42 at $d=3.0 \AA$ ( 538 meV ), followed closely by isomers 47 ( 491 meV ) and 45 ( 448 meV ). At $d=4.0 \AA$, all integrals between isomers $43-48$ and 42 range between 169 meV and 178 meV (Figure 40 Bottom), further suggesting that $d=4.0 \AA$ is the common intermolecular separations in the solid state for these structures.

Table 11. Hole reorganisation energy ( $\lambda_{h} / \mathrm{meV}$ ) for each isomer and the hole transfer integral ( $t_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{meV}$ ) with the rate of charge transfer ( $К \mathrm{CT} \times 10^{14} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) for each intermolecular separation of $d=3.0,3.5$ and $4.0 \AA$.

|  | $d=3.0 \AA$ |  |  |  | $d=3.5 \AA$ |  | $d=4.0 \AA$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | $\lambda_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $t_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $K_{\mathrm{CTh}}$ | $t_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $K_{\mathrm{CTh}}$ | $t_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $K_{\mathrm{CTh}}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4 2}$ | 240 | 113 | 0.067 | 197 | 0.204 | 134 | 0.095 |  |
| $\mathbf{4 3}$ | 213 | 101 | 0.075 | 163 | 0.194 | 152 | 0.168 |  |
| $\mathbf{4 4}$ | 84 | 38 | 0.057 | 76 | 0.238 | 99 | 0.395 |  |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 240 | 93 | 0.046 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 137 | 0.100 |  |
| $\mathbf{4 6}$ | 195 | 78 | 0.055 | 130 | 0.153 | 156 | 0.221 |  |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 198 | 51 | 0.023 | 106 | 0.098 | 135 | 0.160 |  |
| $\mathbf{4 8}$ | 101 | 54 | 0.090 | 108 | 0.366 | 138 | 0.602 |  |

Table 12. Electron reorganisation energy ( $\lambda_{h} / \mathrm{meV}$ ) for each isomer and the electron transfer integral ( $t_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{meV}$ ) with the rate of charge transfer $\left(K_{\text {ст }} \times 10^{14} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right.$ ) for each intermolecular separation of $d=3.0,3.5$ and $4.0 \AA$.

|  | $d=3.0 \AA$ |  |  |  | $d=3.5 \AA$ |  | $d=4.0 \AA$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | $\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}$ | $t_{\mathrm{e}}$ | Kсте | $t_{\mathrm{e}}$ | Kсте $^{2}$ | $t_{\mathrm{e}}$ | Kсте |  |
| 42 | 248 | 538 | 1.393 | 366 | 0.643 | 175 | 0.148 |  |
| 43 | 238 | 417 | 0.940 | 361 | 0.705 | 171 | 0.158 |  |
| 44 | 214 | 322 | 0.748 | 316 | 0.721 | 177 | 0.225 |  |
| 45 | 230 | 448 | 1.193 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 178 | 0.189 |  |
| 46 | 357 | 389 | 0.210 | 359 | 0.178 | 169 | 0.039 |  |
| 47 | 220 | 491 | 1.613 | 363 | 0.884 | 173 | 0.201 |  |
| 48 | 230 | 199 | 0.235 | 189 | 0.212 | 173 | 0.178 |  |



Figure 39. Internal hole $\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$ and electron $\left(\lambda_{e}\right)$ reorganisation energies in millielectron volts ( meV ) for each isomer.


Figure 40. The rate of hole (Top) and electron (Bottom) charge transfer rate versus the hole and electron transfer integral ( $t_{\mathrm{h}}$ ) in millielectron volts (meV) for each intermolecular separation ( $d=3.0,3.5,4.0 \AA$, decreasing separation with increasing transfer integral) for all isomers.

TD-DFT calculations are also of critical importance to ensure that an incident frequency does not interfere with molecular excitations for NLO second harmonic generation later in this study. 48 has the largest band gap ( $\mathrm{S}_{0}-\mathrm{S}_{1}$ ) of 3.57 eV and 47 has the smallest ( 3.19 eV ), second only to 42 ( 3.11 eV ). Figure 41 shows the calculated UV-Vis spectra with normalised intensities for the neutral isomers in vacuum. All isomers have an intense absorption between 370-390 nm, corresponding to the $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ band; some additional signals at higher energy centred at 304 nm , relating to $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ or vibrational states. The decreasing intensities of this second band may originate from the frontier molecular orbital (FMO) phase overlap connecting the phenyl spacer and thiophene end-capping units (Table 8).


Figure 41. Simulated UV-Vis absorption spectra with normalised intensities of the vertically excited states for the neutral structures 43-48.

Next, the nonlinear optic properties of the isomers are investigated, to see if these can be combined in a device application and compliment their electronic properties. What follows is a brief introduction to nonlinear optics, bearing in mind that in-depth discussion can be found elsewhere ${ }^{204}$. Nonlinear optics have two prominent fields of investigation, the electro-optic Pockels
effect $\beta(-w ; w, 0)$ and the second harmonic generation (SHG) $\beta(-2 w ; w, w)$; their applications which include the use of single finite electric fields have been given earlier.

Negative hyperpolarisabilities would suggest that the dipole vectors of the ground and excited states are antiparallel ${ }^{205}$ and could promote splitting of the incident beam ${ }^{206}$. Moreover, two species co-crystallised with opposite hyperpolarisability signs constructively reinforce their antiparallel alignment for ideal electro-optic crystals ${ }^{207}$. To date, there has been a great deal of attention on the NLO properties of neutral molecules ${ }^{208}$, Zwitter ions ${ }^{207,209}$ and some on diradicals ${ }^{210}$, but little on ground-state singularly-charged radical organic semiconductor systems. The significance is that organic molecules which loose or gain an electron to form a singularly charged radical cation or anion state do not have a closed-shell structure and can be thus subject to optical exploitation. Application of a frequency during such states provide NLO responses, such as first-order hyperpolarisability properties.

Table 13 and Table 14 show the NLO first-order hyperpolarizabilities of the optimised singularly-charged isomers (radical anions: A, cations: C) $1 \mathrm{~A}-6 \mathrm{C}$ and the parent 42 for the electro-optic Pockels effect $\beta(-\mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{w} 0)$ and second harmonic generation (SHG) $\beta(-2 \mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w})$ respectively. The three largest contributory components ( $\beta_{z z z}, \beta_{z x x}, \beta_{z y y}$ ) to the total hyperpolarizability parallel to the molecular $z$ axis $\left(\beta_{\text {II }}\right)$ increase in the general order of $\beta_{z x x}<\beta_{z z z}<\beta_{z y y}$ for both the Pockels effect and SHG. The electric dipole of the molecular structure $\left(\mu^{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ modulates the total hyperpolarizability $\beta_{\|}$and thus the net effect is called the hyperpolarisability product $\mu^{e} \beta$ II, which is experimentally measurable ${ }^{34}$ and calculated at the frequency 1064 nm , in line with the wavelength of Nd-YAG lasers used in the experiments.

The $\beta_{\|}$term shows no distinctive correlation between the anions and cations each isomer. The cationic forms of 43,44 and 46 exhibit large negative hyperpolarisability products, up to $-8138 \times 10^{-48}$ esu. The cation of B5TB is even smaller ( $54.1 \times 10^{-48} \mathrm{esu}$ ), comparable to 6 C . The anions of $45-48$ have relatively low products, while those of 1 A and 42 A are modest at 761 and $503 \times 10^{-48}$ esu respectively.

Both anions and cations are found to possess positive and negative SHG hyperpolarisabilities, with outstanding values for $1 \mathrm{~A}\left(-3016 \times 10^{-48} \mathrm{esu}\right)$ and 4C ( $-2488 \times 10^{-48} \mathrm{esu}$ ), and good values for $1 \mathrm{C}\left(-484 \times 10^{-48} \mathrm{esu}\right), 2 \mathrm{C}$ $\left(-487 \times 10^{-48} \mathrm{esu}\right)$ and $3 \mathrm{~A}\left(-844 \times 10^{-48} \mathrm{esu}\right) .47$ and 48 have very low
hyperpolarisabilities and hence not suitable for NLO applications. 42 follows those of isomer 48, in respect to both the cation and anion magnitudes, considerably lower than the other isomers 44-46, suggesting these DTBDTT isomers have superior NLO properties.

In addition, these theoretical results are of considerable significance in comparison to the asymmetric DTT-based structures synthesised by Kim and co-workers ${ }^{211}$, which have electron donating and withdrawing groups, giving $\mu^{\mathrm{e}} \beta_{\|}$values between -3000 to $5000 \times 10^{-48}$ esu. Hyperpolarisabilities of these symmetric fused-ring organic structures 43-48 in their singularly charged radical states are found to exceed rationally-designed asymmetric molecular materials with push-pull electron groups.

Table 13. The NLO first-order hyperpolarisabilities $\beta_{\mathrm{ijk}}\left(\times 10^{-30} \mathrm{esu}\right)$ the total hyperpolarisability parallel to the molecular $z$ axis $\left(\beta_{\|}\right)$, the molecular electric dipole $\mu^{e}$ (Debye) and the net hyperpolarisability product $\mu^{\mathrm{e}} \beta_{\| I}\left(\times 10^{-48} \mathrm{esu}\right)$ for the frequency-dependent ( 1064 nm ) electro-optic Pockels effect $\beta(-w ; w, 0)$ of the optimised radical anionic $(A)$ and radical cationic $(C)$ isomers 42-48.

| Entry | $\beta_{z z z}$ | $\beta_{z x x}$ | $\beta_{z y y}$ | $\beta_{\\|}$ | $\mu^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\mu^{\mathrm{e}} \beta_{\\|}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 A | 20.1 | -9.36 | 20400 | 7850 | 0.0641 | 503 |
| 42 C | 1.01 | -0.0992 | -13.0 | -41.0 | 1.32 | 54.1 |
| 43 A | 86.0 | -7.34 | -555 | 892 | 0.853 | 761 |
| 43 C | -21.6 | 0.150 | -1020 | -1360 | 1.19 | -1620 |
| 44 A | 0.302 | -137 | 131 | 3270 | 0.999 | 3270 |
| 44 C | -42.5 | 0.007 | -10200 | -4130 | 1.97 | -8140 |
| 45 A | 17.1 | -8.38 | -1270 | 87.3 | 1.37 | 120 |
| 45 C | 1.45 | -0.376 | -84.9 | 89.5 | 2.03 | 182 |
| 46 A | 5.79 | -8.38 | -77.1 | 112 | 1.89 | 212 |
| 46 C | -17.4 | 0.213 | -1800 | -12200 | 0.352 | -4290 |
| 47 A | -0.947 | -6.06 | -135 | 57.0 | 1.09 | 62.1 |
| 47 C | 9.54 | 0.173 | -175 | -65.5 | 0.277 | -18.1 |
| 48 A | -6.00 | 27.0 | 19.9 | 6.31 | 1.47 | 9.28 |
| 48 C | 87.2 | -0.0308 | 535 | 260 | 0.348 | 90.5 |

Table 14. The NLO first-order hyperpolarisabilities $\beta_{\mathrm{ijk}}\left(\times 10^{-30} \mathrm{esu}\right)$ the total hyperpolarisability parallel to the molecular $z$ axis $\left(\beta_{\|}\right)$, the molecular electric dipole $\mu^{e}$ (Debye) and the net hyperpolarisability product $\mu^{\mathrm{e}} \beta \|\left(\times 10^{-48}\right.$ esu) for the frequency-dependent (1064 nm) second harmonic generation (SHG) $\beta(-2 \mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w})$ of optimized radical anionic (A) and radical cationic (C) isomers 42-48.

| Entry | $\beta_{z z z}$ | $\beta_{z x x}$ | $\beta_{z y y}$ | $\beta_{\\|}$ | $\mu^{e}$ | $\mu^{e} \beta_{\\|}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 A | -22.2 | -28.1 | -13100 | -2820 | 0.064 | -181 |
| 42 C | 1.85 | -0.0702 | -81.1 | -7.700 | 1.32 | 10.2 |
| 43 A | 398 | 51.4 | -15800 | -3540 | 0.853 | -3020 |
| 43 C | 19.4 | 0.128 | -1990 | -407 | 1.19 | -484 |
| 44 A | 14.4 | -335 | 181 | 82.5 | 0.999 | 82.4 |
| 44 C | 15.0 | 0.227 | -1130 | -247 | 1.97 | -487 |
| 45 A | -0.366 | -20.8 | -323 | -616 | 1.37 | -844 |
| 45 C | 5.81 | -0.407 | 180 | 29.1 | 2.03 | 59.1 |
| 46 A | 5.25 | -18.9 | -278 | -77.4 | 1.89 | -146. |
| 46 C | 6.14 | 0.120 | -37500 | -7070 | 0.352 | -2490 |
| 47 A | -5.98 | -10.6 | -626 | -291 | 1.09 | -317 |
| 47 C | 2.21 | 0.237 | 180 | 19.2 | 0.277 | 5.31 |
| 48 A | -16.2 | 226 | -411 | -97.2 | 1.47 | -143 |
| 48 C | -55.9 | -0.088 | 32.2 | -55.3 | 0.35 | -19.2 |

### 5.2.1.1 Summary

A small isomeric virtual pool of extended fused-ring heteroacene DTBDTT structures based on the parent isomer of B5TB were designed and then virtually screened for their optoelectronic properties prior to their synthesis. The regioposition of the end-capping thiophene is shown to have a strong effect on both the electronic and the optical properties of the molecule. Isomer candidates 44 and 48 have exceptional internal hole reorganisation and ionisation energies while candidates 43-46 show excellent NLO properties for both electro-optic and second harmonic generation. From these preliminary calculations, it is clear that 44 is worthy of investigation in both fields of organic semiconducting and nonlinear optics, with superior properties to the parent 42. These findings highlight the merit of a theoretical screening approach to predict the fundamental properties of molecular structures, improve those of the parent structures, and aid the rational design of multi-functional optoelectronics.

### 5.2.2 Synthetic Strategy

The six isomeric candidates designed and modelled in section 5.2.1 should have the same chemical properties but different physical properties, such as air stability and charge transfer. It is therefore of great interest to discover their electronic and optical properties for high-performance thin-film transistors in molecular electronics. The physical properties of 44 and 45 were predicted from the virtual screening to be excellent and thus selected for experimental investigations; here 44 is probed while 45 is studied in section 5.2.3.

### 5.2.2.1 Retrosynthesis of Target 44

The approaches considered for the synthesis of the target isomers are categorised as divergent, convergent or hybrid, being a mixture of the two. Examples of the different approaches are illustrated in Figure 42, and show that neither convergence nor divergence are appropriate for the target isomers, due to (1) potential insolubilities of some intermediates; (2) low yields from relatively low reactivity of the terminal substituent positions; (3) instability under ambient conditions and during purification processes; (4) potential poor regioselectivity at the different substituent sites during each synthetic transformation to the final product. Thus, the hybrid approach was considered most appropriate, which involves the synthesis of two individual components, a core and a synthon molecular fragment. These fragments are identified,


Figure 42. The convergent, divergent and hybrid approaches to isomer 44 with the core, synthon, solubilising groups and the target site for the synthetic transformations identified; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}, \mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y}=$ functional groups.
along with the aromatic phenyl unit that fuses the core and synthon units together. This phenyl ring is the subject of the retrosynthesis until the core and synthons are obtained.

Chemistries for the formation of $\mathbf{4 4}$ could include benzannulation ${ }^{212}$ or the pentacene method ${ }^{213}$. However, while benzannulations may be known, thiobenzannulations are not. In addition, neither the annulation nor the pentacene method would be able to ensure regioselectivity of the thiophene ring. Thus, the synthetic strategies for 44 must focus on some form of symmetric double cycloisomerisation. These can be achieved with DielsAlder ${ }^{214}$, Sigmatropic rearrangements ${ }^{215}$ or acid-catalysed cyclation with Amberlyst 15 ${ }^{76,216}$ (Scheme 1). The Diels-Alder retrosynthesis requires the saturation of two bonds and the repositioning of one, giving intermediate 49. Subsequent disconnections give an electron poor (vinyl-synthon, 50) and an electron rich unit (DTT, 51) and may be a regiospecific reaction in the forwards direction owing only to the vinyl reactivity at the 2-position of the synthon over the 3-position.

[O] $\uparrow$
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Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic Diels-Alder (Top) and Sigmatropic rearrangements (Bottom) with subsequent oxidation giving isomer 44; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

The retrosynthetic Sigmatropic rearrangement saturates one double bond giving 52 and subsequent disconnections afford an intermediate 53, where the synthons and core remain covalently tethered together. 53 can be divided into the synthon 50 and dibromo-DTT 54 from cut $i$. , which can be formed via a regioselective Heck reaction, as illustrated in Scheme 2. Cut ii. is the condensation between a vinyl intermediate 55 , through lithium-hydrogen exchange at its 2-position, and a diformylated-DTT 56. Both vinyl synthons 50 and 55 may be challenging to make due to their terminal alkene carbons. In addition, the Diels-Alder and Sigmatropic routes (Scheme 1) require the oxidation of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and CH units, which may prove problematic due to the uneven stoichiometry of oxidant ${ }^{217}$ needed per double bond and the sulfur centres may in turn be oxidised. This is without considering the potential of DTT to undergo such rearrangements as the aromaticity of DTT would have to be broken in both cases.

In contrast, the acid-catalysed retrosynthetic cycloisomerisation appears to have multiple routes for investigation, identified as the four steps A-D; step A is shown in Scheme 3. The first step A intermediate 57 consists of the DTT core unit covalently bound to the thiophene synthon with a methylene bridging unit and appended carbonyl derivative, namely an aldehyde (CHO).


Scheme 2. Retrosynthesis of 53 with two divisions, a Heck (cut i.) and a condensation (cut ii.); $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.


Scheme 3. Retrosynthetic step A of target 44, giving the first step A intermediate 57; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

For a rational retrosynthesis of 57, both the reactivity and the position of the substituents must be taken carefully into consideration. Any C-C bond disconnections either side of the methylene bridge would result in a condensation reaction in the forward direction (Scheme 4). Interestingly, cut $i$. gives the core fragment 54 as generated from a literature procedure ${ }^{144}$, but the synthon 58 has both an aldehyde at the 3-position and a methylene bromide at the 2-position, which may be competitive towards nucleophilic attack of the double-lithiated 54. Cut ii. faces the same problem in reverse, where lithitation of the 2-position of 59 may attack its aldehyde, and in addition, the methylene bromide species 60 has no known synthetic preparation.

An alternative approach is to retrosynthetically reduce the oxidation state of the aldehyde group in 57 to eliminate the problem of the competing electrophilic groups towards nucleophilic attack. This is achieved with a functional group interconversion (FGI), where the aldehyde is converted into


Scheme 4. Retrosynthesis of 57, through the condensation of molecular fragments generated from cuts $i$. and ii.; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.


Scheme 5. Retrosynthetic function group interconversion of 57 through (1) lithium-halogen exchange and quench with electrophile transfer agent such as DMF, or (2) palladium-catalysed carbonylation ${ }^{218} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.
an aryl-halide forming 61, as illustrated in Scheme 5. There are two known possibilities for the forward synthetic direction, either (1) lithiation and quench with a carbonyl transfer agent such as DMF, or a palladium-catalysed 'carbonylation', which reductively inserts carbon monoxide and hydrogen.

The methylene position of 61 cannot be afforded easily and would still give the methylene-halide synthetic issue outline with Scheme 4. Therefore, a change in the oxidation state of the methylene bridge giving 62, enables further retrosynthetic cuts at this site. While it is unreasonable to design a reaction's conditions involving oxidation in the forward direction due to the sulfur centres, it is entirely fine to do so retrosynthetically as the resulting forward conditions would be reduction, as illustrated in Scheme 6.

Scheme 7 shows the two possible cuts to the oxidised intermediate 62. The first cut generates the core fragment with carbonyl substituents at the 2-position; this structure is only synthetically afforded from the core fragment 54 generated from cut ii. In contrast, the bifunctional synthon 64 is easily


Scheme 6. Retrosynthesis of the intermediate 61, via methylene oxidation (reduction with borohydride in the forward synthesis) giving a second $A$ intermediate 62; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Na}$, Li .


Scheme 7. Retrosynthesis of intermediate 62, with two cuts either side of the methyleneoxy unit; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.
afforded in two synthetic steps from hexylthiophene via a halogen dance reaction ${ }^{219,220}$, and subsequently quenched with DMF, unlike that of cut $i$. (63) which needs polybromination.

In pursuit of alternatives, the remaining retrosynthetic steps of the phenyl ring are explored. Step B is the cleavage of the C-C bonds of target 44 between the 3-position of the thiophene synthon and the C-H unit at the phenyl target ring, as illustrated in Scheme 8. This may make the cycloisomerisation relatively easier due to the increase of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ reactivity at the 3-position of the resulting intermediate 65 rather than the DTT core as in Step A, Scheme 3 , but could however, generate higher reactivity of the methylene bridge.

With the retrosynthetic understanding developed from step $A$, the aldehyde group of intermediate 65 is converted into a bromide substituent 66 (Scheme 9).


Scheme 8. Retrosynthetic step B of 44; R = $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.



Scheme 9. Retrosynthetic function group interconversion of 65 through either (1) lithium-halogen exchange and quench with electrophile transfer agent such as DMF, or (2) palladium-catalysed carbonylation ${ }^{218} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

The retrosynthetic methylene reduction of 66 affords the intermediate 67 as shown in Scheme 10, with the bromine substituent on the DTT core and the C-H on the synthon, with the bridge oxidised to the methyleneoxy unit.

The first retrosynthetic cut of 67 (Scheme 11) gives the mono-formylated synthon 68 and a tetrabrominated-DTT (69), which needs one more step in the forward synthetic direction to the known literature preparation for dibrominated-DTT 54, and the second cut gives hexylthiophene $\mathbf{7 0}$ and a bifunctional-DTT 71, which requires additional steps after the formation of 54 .


Scheme 10. Retrosynthesis of intermediate 66 via methylene oxidation (reduction in forwards synthesis with the carbon centre identified in green);

$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Li} .
$$



Scheme 11. Retrosynthesis of intermediate 67 with two cuts either side of the methyleneoxy bridging unit; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

Retrosynthetic step C of target 44 (Scheme 12) puts the methylene bridge in between the 3-positions of the synthon and the core units, with the aldehydes at the 2-position of DTT (72). The C-H of the 2 -position of the synthon should be relatively more reactive than its 3-position as in steps A and $B$. However, inserting the methylene bridge into the 3-positions to afford the intermediate $\mathbf{7 2}$ may be more challenging.

The bromine substituent on the 2-position of 73 after an FGI may ensure greater reactivity than at the 3-position (Scheme 13).


Scheme 12. Retrosynthetic step $C$ of target 44; $R=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.


73

Scheme 13. Retrosynthetic functional group interconversion of 72.

The retrosynthetic reduction of 73 to $\mathbf{7 4}$ may generate a relatively more stable methyleneoxy bridge due to its position at both 3 -positions of the synthon and core and the hydrogen-bonding between the OH and the central S atom in DTT, forming two non-covalent intramolecular 6-membered rings (Scheme 14).

Cut i. of 74 would, under normal considerations, generate the tetrabromo-DTT core 69 and the aldehyde functional groups at the 3-position of the synthon 59 (Scheme 15). However, the 3-position is required to be more reactive than the 2 -position of the core in this strategy and thus requires a more reactive halide towards lithiation, such as iodine; the resulting [2-bromo3 -iodol2-DTT (75) is challenging to synthesise. Cut ii. gives the bifunctional core fragment 77 which, as described above for its isomer 71 , requires several steps post the literature preparation of 54 to synthesise.


Scheme 14. Retrosynthesis of intermediate 73 via methylene oxidation (reduction in forwards synthesis); $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Na}$, Li.
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Scheme 15. Retrosynthesis of intermediate $\mathbf{7 4}$ with two cuts either side of the methyleneoxy bridging unit; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

The retrosynthetic step D in Scheme 16 gives intermediate 78 from C-C bond cleavage between the 2-position of DTT and the C-H of the methylene carbon. The benefit of this route is the increase in reactivity of both the C-H and CHO of 78 for acid-catalysed cycloisomerisation in the forward direction. The resulting methylene bridge is situated between the 3-positions of the thiophene and DTT units; its presence at this site may be challenging due to a decrease in reactivity.

A functional group interconversion of 78 to 79 (Scheme 17) should be readily achieved in the forward direction and the methylene bridge at the 3-position may be advantageous.


Scheme 16. Retrosynthetic step D of target 44 affording intermediate 78; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.


Scheme 17 Retrosynthetic functional group interconversion (FGI) of the aldehyde on the intermediate 78 giving a bromine substituent on the intermediate 79; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

Formation of $\mathbf{8 0}$ from the reduction of 79 should proceed with relative ease in the forward direction due to no carbonyls at the 2-position but the methylene bridge would be relatively less reactive than step $A$ and may require suitably stronger reducing agents; i.e. lithium borohydride over sodium borohydride (Scheme 18). The stability of the intermediate 80 may be relatively enhanced from possible hydrogen-bonding of the hydroxyl units to the central sulfur atom of the DTT core, forming two six-membered non-covalent intramolecular rings. In contrast, intermediates from steps A and B can only form five-membered rings.

The synthon from cut $i$. in Scheme 19 takes five steps, in contrast to two with that of cut ii., which only needs double bromination from hexylthiophene. Both derivatised core units, however, will be challenging to synthesise, due to the substituents at the 3-positions.


Scheme 18. Retrosynthesis of intermediate 79 via methylene oxidation (reduction in forwards synthesis); $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Li}$.
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Scheme 19. Retrosynthesis of intermediate 80 with two cuts either side of the methyleneoxy bridging unit; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

Table 15 collects all the synthon and core molecular fragments from all four steps A-D. Here, the difficulty of the forward synthetic direction can be qualified from their complexity and foreseeable difficulty of these fragments. It is important to note that the core has less propensity for derivatisation than the thiophene synthons due partly for the need of double functionalisation over mono, but also partly to its high aromaticity, which significantly reduces its reactivity owing to its highly stable nature. Considering both the type and position of the functional groups, Steps C and D afford the most challenging fragments, while $A$ and $B$ give the simplest. Step A cut ii. also affords the dibromo-DTT (54) fragment which has a known synthetic procedure ${ }^{144}$. Overall, step A appears to be the most rational route to begin synthetic investigations.

Table 15. Collected synthon and core molecular fragments from the two cuts (i., ii.) of each four retrosynthetic steps (A-D); $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.
Step

### 5.2.2.2 Retrosynthesis of Target 45

The hybrid approach was selected for the retrosynthesis of 45, as discussed with isomer 44. The structure of 45 is a slight variant of 44, where the 2 -positions of the thiophene and the DTT core are directly C-C bonded, while their 3-postions are connected via an ethylene bridge and form half of the phenyl target ring. The core, synthon, solubilising groups and target ring formation are identified in Figure 43.


Figure 43. Target 45 with the core, synthon, solubilising groups and target ring identified, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

Scheme 20 illustrates a conventional ring formation of target 45 through Diels-Alder and Sigmatropic rearrangements. Unfortunately, the subsequent intermediates $(\mathbf{8 5}, \mathbf{8 7})$ would need oxidation of the newly formed bonds with unequal stoichiometries. Oxidation of the sulfur atoms may be avoided with specialist oxidants ${ }^{217}$ but these cyclisations may not necessarily be clean, especially as they require the breaking of the strong aromaticity of DTT. Alternative cyclisations include the emerging and fast developing field of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, as detailed in steps A-D of Scheme 20.
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Scheme 20. Retrosynthesis of target 45 yielding sigmatropic and Diels-Alder chemistries gives rise to oxidation issues, $R=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

The retrosynthetic cut A in Scheme 21 of 45 generates intermediate 89, which consists of the core unit covalently bound at the 2-position to that of the synthon, which itself has an alkyne derivative at the 3-position and a hexyl ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ ) unit at the 5 -position. Cycloisomerisation with a metal or base forms the target phenyl ring and thus 45, without the subsequent need for oxidation or reduction steps.

The intermediate 89 can be readily synthesised from two possible pairs of molecular fragments, as seen in Scheme 22. Retrosynthetic cut i. and ii. gives Suzuki and Sonogashira products, respectively. The literature-derived


Scheme 21. Retrosynthetic step A1 of target 45, formed from the metalcatalysed cycloisomerisation of intermediate 89 (A1); M = Pt, Ir, Au, X = CI, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$.


Cut ii. 'Sonogashira'



Scheme 22. Retrosynthesis of intermediate 89 giving Suzuki (cut i.) and Sonogashira (cut ii.) reagents, affording intermediate 91 (A2); $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{BPin}$ or $\mathrm{SnMe}_{3}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$.
$\mathrm{Br}_{2}-\mathrm{DTT}$ (54) is afforded with cut $i$. , although a highly derivatised synthon (90) is generated. Cut ii. gives the intermediate 91, which consists of the synthon and DTT bound at their respective 2-positions with bromine substituents at the synthon's 3-position.

The retrosynthetic division of 91 (cut i.) gives 54 and a similar synthon (92) to previous structures seen with isomer 2's strategies, except that a C-C cross-coupling unit ' $X$ ' such as BPin or $\mathrm{SnBu}_{3}$ is required at the 2-position and its presence with a bromine substituent on the same structure (92) may be unstable (Scheme 23). Cut ii. is a debromination giving the 'all-thiophene' intermediate 93, which is a known species ${ }^{221}$.


Scheme 23. Retrosynthetic cuts of 91 (A2) giving Suzuki products through cut 1 , or a functional group interconversion via a double reduction at the 3 -positions of the synthons giving the intermediate 93 (A3); X = BPin or
$\mathrm{SnBu}_{3}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.


Scheme 24. Retrosynthetic division of intermediate 93 (A3), giving Suzuki reagents; $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{BPin}$ or $\mathrm{SnBu}_{3}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

Intermediate 90 can be subdivided into its constituent synthon (94) and core (54) molecular fragments, as illustrated in Scheme 24, combined in a Suzuki reaction in the forward direction. These fragments are relatively simple and readily affordable, so suitable for synthetic investigations.

The retrosynthetic cut B divides the vinyl part of the phenyl ring giving to functional groups, possibly two aldehydes, which are known to undergo a McMurry coupling ${ }^{222}$ in the forward direction with titanium tetrachloride (Scheme 25). The resulting intermediate 95 has four aldehydes across its backbone, which may hinder its stability.

The retrosynthetic division of 95 (Scheme 26) gives Suzuki products with only one single cut available between the 2 and 2 ' positions of the synthon and the core respectively. Both the afforded synthon (96) and the core (77) are bifunctional, which may be challenging to synthesise in the forward direction due to the carbonyls at the 3-positions, especially 96 which has a cross coupling group.


Scheme 25. Retrosynthesis of target 45 via step B, from the oxidative cleavage of the vinyl carbons at the two 3-positions, giving the McMurry intermediate 95; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.


Scheme 26. Retrosynthesis of intermediate 95 via a Suzuki reaction giving the corresponding core and synthon molecular fragments, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{BPin}$ or SnBu3, $R=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

The intermediate 97 (Scheme 27) generated from the retrosynthetic step C via cleavage of C-C bond between the 3-position of the synthon and the vinyl unit of the phenyl ring, consists of the linear thiophene backbone with two alkyne substituents on the 3-positions of the DTT core. The reactivity of the synthon's C-H at the 3-position is expected to differ to that of the core's in step A, where the structure may readily undergo cycloisomerisation but achieving the Sonogashira at the 3 -position of the core may be more challenging.

The intermediate 97 can be divided by two cuts into molecular fragments, giving Suzuki (cut i.) and Sonogashira (cut ii.) reagents (Scheme 28). The resulting core (98) from cut $i$. will need several steps to synthesise while the synthons 94 are readily affordable. The Sonogashira reagents include intermediate 99 , which has bromine substituents at the 3 -positions of the core, can be further divided as shown in.


Scheme 27. Retrosynthesis of target 45 through step B, giving intermediate 97 (C1) in a reverse cycloisomerisation; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$.


Scheme 28. Retrosynthesis of 97 (C1) yielding two cuts and affording Suzuki (cut i.) and Sonogashira (cut ii.) reagents; cut ii. gives intermediate 99 (C2) ; $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{BPin}$ or $\mathrm{SnBu}_{3}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$.

Scheme 29 shows the retrosynthesis of 99 through two cuts, giving Suzuki (cut i.) reagents and a FGI (cut ii.) affords the intermediate 93. Although the tetrabrominated DTT core fragment (69) is relatively simple, it needs an extra step after the formation of 54. Cut ii. however, gives 93 as before through a FGI , although the bromine substitution is required to be regioselective to the 3-position of the DTT. 93 can be divided as illustrated above in Scheme 26.

Lastly, the retrosynthetic step D affords the intermediate 100 (Scheme 30 ), containing coupling agents on the synthon and bromine reagents on the



Scheme 29. Retrosynthesis of intermediate 99, affording Suzuki reagents (cut i.) and intermediate 93 (cut ii.) through a double FGI; X = BPin or SnBuz, $R=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.


Scheme 30. Retrosynthesis of target 45, via a Suzuki reaction affording intermediate 100; $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{BPin}$ or $\mathrm{SnBu}_{3}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.
core components; their close proximity may destabilise the structure. The alternative intermediate (not shown) is to lithiate the 2-positions of the synthon and core and couple together ${ }^{223}$ with $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$, however, tetralithiated species would be extremely insoluble, even if stable.

Nevertheless, the retrosynthesis of 100 (Scheme 31) gives three cuts, two Heck reactions ${ }^{224}$ (cuts i. and iii.) and one McMurry reaction ${ }^{222}$ (cut ii.). All of the resulting synthon and core units are bifunctional and thus challenging to synthesise in the forward direction, especially the synthons which have carbon or bromine substituents at the 3-positions but cross-coupling groups at the 2-positions and would thus be unstable on the single structure. While 75 and 75 have been described above, the fragment 102 is an unknown structure and potential unstable owing to its vinyl and bromine substituents.
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Scheme 31. Retrosynthesis of intermediate 100 through three cuts, affording Heck reagents (cuts i. and iii.) and McMurry reagents (cut ii.); $X=B P i n$ or $\mathrm{SnBu}_{3}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

The core and synthon molecular fragments from all cuts and steps are collected together and presented in Table 16. Not all cuts generate fragments, but rather intermediates that need further division, resulting in several steps, such as A1, A2 and A3, for example. The dibromo-DTT (54) fragment is prevalent throughout all step A cuts, while its bifunctional derivatives are present with steps B-D. The fragments from both steps $B$ and $D$ may be unstable or relatively difficult to synthesise. The synthons of $A$ and $C$ steps are relatively simple and thus suitable for experimental investigations.

Table 16. Synthon and core molecular fragments from cuts i.-iii. and steps $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{BPin}$ or $\mathrm{SnBu}_{3}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$.


### 5.2.2.3 Summary

Considering the well-established approaches of divergence or convergence towards a synthetic target, a hybrid approach was considered, as a combination of the two, to have the most stable and synthetically viable structures with regards to the reaction steps, conditions and coupling techniques. Out of three possible retrosynthetic strategies considered with regards to the hybrid approach, which involved the pentacene method, thiobenzannulation and cycloisomerisation, only the latter option was deemed appropriate for the target 44 . Of which, three cycloisomerisation chemistries were probed: Diels-Alder, Sigmatropic rearrangement and acid-catalysed cylcoisomerisation. Due to the potential synthetic challenges in generating the required starting fragments, the reactivity of the groups and unequal stoichiometric oxidation issues, the acid-catalysed cycloisomerisation was considered to be the best route in terms of reaction conditions and stability with regards to the resulting derivatives.

The hybrid approach was therefore applied through cycloisomerisation to 44 and the structure was broken down into its constituent parts, such as the core and the synthon molecular fragments, with the phenyl ring identified to be the focus of the synthetic investigations. These fragments were collected together and those which were the most synthetically viable from relatively low-cost commercial starting materials were highlighted as preferential for the forward synthetic investigations.

The hybrid approach was also considered to offer the best variation, in both structural derivatives and synthetic conditions for isomer 45. For similar reasons as described with 44, the phenyl ring was identified as the target site for the retrosynthetic strategy to focus on. The conventional techniques such as Diels-Alder and Sigmatropic rearrangements were applied to isomer 3 and deemed unfeasible. The pentacene method and annulation would not give the target isomer nor be regioselective. Thus, a C-H activated cycloisomerisation catalysed by transition metal or organic base was the focus of the strategy. Retrosynthetic cuts generated the synthon and core molecular fragment derivatives. Each structure and associated forward conditions were scrutinised for stability, reactivity and ease of synthesis, which resulted in the steps A and C with their corresponding molecular fragments selected for forward synthetic investigations of 45.

The synthon and core molecular fragments identified from the retrosynthetic strategies are relatively similar for both isomers and small enough to not undergo further structural division, but rather, an evaluation of their synthetic background, structural nature and reaction conditions. These considerations are met by deploying computational chemistry to gain a deeper understanding for the mechanism of the synthesis of the synthon fragment in section 5.2.3, while reaction conditions are developed for the synthesis of the core fragment in section 5.2.4.

### 5.2.3 Modelling the Mechanism of the Synthon Molecular Fragment

The thiophene synthon (64) identified from the synthetic strategy for target 44 is shown in Figure 44; the bromine and aldehyde groups can be repositioned to give synthon 81, which could be used for the synthesis of target 45 . Both structures have the solubilising hexyl chain at the 5-position, with the bromine and aldehyde substituents differing between the 2- and 3 -positions. The aldehyde functional group purpose is to add a carbon atom to form one of the methylene units of the phenyl ring formed between the thiophene synthon and the DTT core; that of the bromine is to be converted into an aldehyde group for the same purpose.
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Figure 44. Two synthons, showing the bromine and aldehyde (CHO) functional groups at the 2-and 3-positions of the thiophene, with the hexyl chain $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)$ at the 5 -position.

### 5.2.3.1 Background

Halogen dance (HD) reactions are intermolecular rearrangements of halogen substituents on aromatic rings. The reaction class is a widely useful tool in the synthesis of materials for a range of applications from organic semiconductors to chiral drug scaffolds ${ }^{225-229}$. HD reactions have been observed for diverse substrates including thiophene ${ }^{230,231}$, phenyl ${ }^{232,233}$, furan ${ }^{234,235}$, thiazole ${ }^{236}$, oxazole ${ }^{237}$ and pyridine ${ }^{238}$ units.

Scheme 32 illustrates the HD reaction with 2-bromo-5-hexylthiophene (1) and LDA affording 3-bromo-2-formyl-5-hexylthiophene (2) in $88 \%$ yield ${ }^{239}$. The reaction shows the repositioning of the bromine atom on the thiophene ring and the addition of a formyl transfer agent, 1-formylpiperidine, immediately quenching the lithium salt and yielding 64. This scheme illustrates how the HD reaction can generate a useful multi-functional product in high yield from a mono-functional starting reagent.


Scheme 32. The Synthesis of the HD mediated-3-bromo-2-formyl-5hexylthiophene product.

The base which led to the discovery of the HD reaction (in 1951) was sodium acetylide in liquid ammonia ${ }^{240}$. The generality of the reaction was confirmed two years later by the same research group using sodium amide ${ }^{231}$. For these reactions mixtures of polybrominated species were observed in the products. Subsequent work using bases such as potassium anilide ${ }^{230}$ and lithium diisopropylamine (LDA) ${ }^{239}$ has, however, shown that the conditions of the HD reaction can be adjusted to produce a relatively clean product.

The conditions of the reaction are typically low temperatures $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for a short time ( 30 min ), after which the mixture is allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. These conditions are 'promotive' of an HD reaction ${ }^{219}$, but give little indication as to the likely mechanism.

Proposed mechanisms have been difficult to test because the multiple intermediates are highly reactive and hard to observe directly. However, infrared absorption spectroscopy has been used to monitor organolithium intermediates in cryogenic reactors ${ }^{241}$. Very fast rates were observed for the lithiation of 2- and 3-bromothiphene by LDA in THF at $-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in which a steady state was reached within ca. a minute. The relatively faster reaction of the 3-bromo compound was attributed to a stronger inductive effect of the bromine substituent compared to 2-bromothiophene (no reaction was observed for thiophene at $-86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ although metalation was observed at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR absorption cannot be applied to follow the subsequent steps of the HD as the spectral profiles of the species under investigation overlap.

### 5.2.3.2 Evaluation of the Four-Centre Model

The mechanism behind the HD reaction is generally believed to consist of a cascade of deprotonation (metal-hydrogen exchange) and metal-halogen exchange reactions ${ }^{219}$. Scheme 33 shows the possible first steps, as they might apply to the synthesis of 3-bromo-2-formyl-5-hexylthiophene. In the model we only consider the lithiation and bromination possibilities for the 2, 3 and 4 positions around thiophene because in the "real" chemistry the 5 position is blocked by alkyl chains or other derivatives (Scheme 33). The proton abstraction of 2-bromothiophene (103) at the 3 (104) or 4 positions (105), or lithium halogen exchange at the 2 position (106), are labelled as steps $1 \mathrm{a}, 1 \mathrm{~b}$ and 1 c respectively.

The reactivity of the protons and bromine substituent was evaluated by considering the Gibbs energies of their respective anions in THF using the default polarisable continuum model. The Gibbs free energy of the anion deprotonated at position 3 (step 1a) is found to be lower than the other two positions, and hence the proton at this position would be preferentially abstracted. This conclusion is confirmed with atomic charge analysis.


Scheme 33. Lithiation of 2-bromothiophene (103); the possible products of each of the reactions $(\mathbf{1 0 4}, \mathbf{1 0 5}$, and 106) are the associated reactions of step 1a, 1b and 1c respectively.

Table 17. Internal and free Gibbs energies in THF ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Anion | U / a.u. | $\Delta G /$ a.u. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ | -3126.067706 | -3126.055707 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | -3126.062513 | -3126.050591 |
| [a] Calculated using IEFPCM |  |  |

Atomic charges were calculated and shown in Table 18. All the methods except for MK show the $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ atom is relatively more positive than $\mathrm{H}^{4}$ and thus in the model HD reaction the $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ atom would be expected to be attacked by the lithium amide preferentially. All methods show that the $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ atom is more positive than the bromine atom ( Br ) thus the bromine is unlikely to be attacked.

Table 18. Atomic charges (eV) with various methods

| Atom | Mulliken | MK | NBO | AIM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | -0.18 | 0.10 | 0.45 | 0.25 |
| $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ | -0.078 | -0.21 | 0.35 | -0.26 |
| $\mathrm{C}^{3}$ | 0.16 | 0.083 | 0.28 | 0.030 |
| $\mathrm{C}^{4}$ | -0.56 | -0.25 | -0.24 | 0.013 |
| $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ | 0.13 | -0.18 | -0.39 | -0.20 |
| $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ | 0.19 | 0.099 | 0.23 | 0.055 |
| $\mathrm{H}^{4}$ | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.044 |
| $\mathrm{H}^{5}$ | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.071 |
| Br | -0.051 | 0.0047 | 0.13 | -0.011 |

While it is known that reagents like alkyl lithium aggregate in ether-based solvents, there is uncertainty with regards to the degree ${ }^{242}$. pKa values and lithium equilibria have been investigated by Streitwieser ${ }^{243}$ who found that solvation continuum models were inadequate for the study of lithiated species (in THF), which are believed to exist as tetramers at low temperatures in solution. On the other hand NMR studies ${ }^{244}$ find the binding of explicit solvent molecules to lithiated reagents is under kinetic rather than thermodynamic control. It is also known that there are large interaction energies associated with ethers which may determine the degree of aggregation ${ }^{245}$.

Due to the uncertainty in the literature over the role of solvent, the lithiation possibilities were calculated in vacuum and those of the first step are summarised in Table 19 (see Appendix B for Cartesian structures); the singular imaginary frequencies for the transition states correlate well with those of phenyl lithium-proton stretches ${ }^{246}$. Both the barrier and the Gibbs reaction energies of 1a were the smallest ( 9.81 and $22.51 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) while 1c is the largest ( 110.11 and $115.53 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ).

Once the transition states for the three possibilities of the first step of the dance were located, the reaction energy profiles along their IRCs were calculated. Due to the deep dipole-dipole wells in both the entrance and exit channels the reaction was unable to be followed to completion in either direction. The IRC paths 1a-c are referenced to the sum of the energy of the

Table 19. Transition states, frequencies, activation energies and Gibbs reaction energies for steps 1a-c.

| Step | TS ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | Vibration / $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{Ua}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}} G / \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a |  | 1325i | 9.81 | 22.51 |
| 1b |  | 1366i | 30.26 | 51.05 |
| 1c | $8^{9} 0^{\circ}$ | $229.1 i$ | 110.11 | 115.53 |

individually optimised reagents, shown as the filled triangle (labelled 1a OR) on the left-hand side of Figure 45. The sum of energies of the individually optimised products for the three reactions is shown on the right-hand side of the diagram.

The striking difference between the lithium-hydrogen exchange reactions and the lithium-halogen exchange reaction is the nature of their transition states. Both reaction steps 1a and 1b have tight transition states, while 1c is relatively loose. All three reactions exhibit deep wells in both entrance and exit channels. These are due to dipole-dipole interactions between the reactants and products. For both lithium-halogen exchange reactions the well on the product side is significantly more stable than that on


Figure 45. Minimum energy path along the forward and reverse intrinsic reaction coordinates of steps 1a-c, relative to the energy of the starting materials; the optimised reagents (OR) and optimised products (OP) are shown as single data points (green triangles). The potential energy wells in the entrance and exit channels are due to strong dipole-dipole interactions between the reactants and products; 1a has deeper potential energy wells than 1 b or 1 c due to a dipole alignment at the 3 position of thiophene.
the reactant side, so despite the fact that reactions 1a and 1b are both predicted to be endothermic ( $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}} \cup_{\mathrm{g}}$ of +20.4 and $+49.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ respectively) the complex on the product side is predicted to be thermodynamically favoured.

Both lithium-hydrogen exchange reactions 1a and 1b have modest barriers in the forward direction, $9.81 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $30.26 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ respectively. At $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, reaction 1 a is expected to be kinetically favoured over 1 b by a factor of order $2.3 \times 10^{5}$. However, the reaction rate at low temperature may also be enhanced by quantum mechanical tunnelling through the narrow barrier between the entrance and exit channel complexes. Thus the most likely product of the first step of the dance is a lithium-proton exchange reaction, probably favouring the production of 3-lithio-2-bromothiophene (104) over 4-lithio-2-bromothiophene (105).

The reaction of step 2 is now investigated, which involves the lithium-halogen exchange between 103 and 104, as shown in Scheme 34. While 106 was found to be kinetically and thermodynamically unfavourable from the reaction of 103 and lithium amide, 106 has now to be produced from the forward reaction of step 2.

Species 106 and 63 must then undergo a transmetallation between the thiophene partners (Scheme 35) to produce the target lithium species 107. To achieve this, the lithium and bromine atoms of 106 and 63 exchange places thereby reforming the starting reagent 103; the cooperative exchange of substituents is called a "do-si-do" reaction. The reformation of 103 suggests the overall mechanism is autocatalytic or pseudo-clock type.


Scheme 34. Reaction step 2, the generation of the 2,3-dibromothiophene 63; the colours indicate the substrate transformation.


Scheme 35. Reaction step 3, the "do-si-do"; the colours indicate the substrate transformation.

It is also possible for reagent 104 and product 63 species from step 2 to cross couple and undergo their own lithium-halogen exchange to furnish an alternative or bromide catalysis, also giving 107 (Scheme 36). If this were the case, it must be an additional step (step 4), only possible once some 2,3-dibromothiophene (63) has been formed from step 2 . Since 63 is both a reagent and product it could also be influential in the HD as an auto catalyst.


Scheme 36. Bromide catalysis (step 4), generating major lithium species 107; the colours indicate the substrate transformation.

The four-centre type transition states were found for the lithium-halogen exchange steps 2, 3 and 4 via the STQN method and their stationary nature was confirmed with frequency and IRC calculations (see Figure 46) and summarised in Table 20. Step 2 is only slightly endothermic ( $11.05 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) but is predicted to proceed over a substantial barrier of $202.2 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Steps 3 and 4 have similarly high barriers but are exothermic (-49.3 and $-38.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ respectively). The transmetallation of lithium between the two thiophenes in the transition states appears to occur by a cradle-like structure, as illustrated in Figure 47.


Step 2


## Step 4

Figure 46. Minimum energy path along the forward and reverse intrinsic reaction coordinates of steps 2,3 and 4 (four-centre type); referenced to the optimised starting reagents (OR).

Table 20. Transition states (four-centre type), frequencies, activation energies and Gibbs reaction energies for steps 2-4.

| Step | TS $\ddagger$ | Vibration / $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{Ua}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\cos x^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ | 265.0 i | 202.2 | 11.05 |
| 3 | $5^{\circ} 0^{\circ g}$ | $266.2 i$ | 175.6 | -49.29 |
| 4 | $x^{2} 0-y^{2}$ | $272.5 i$ | 193.1 | -38.25 |



Figure 47. The four-centre geometry of the lithium-halogen exchange transition state 3; the lithium atom is directly bound to both carbanions.

The relatively large energy barriers in the reverse direction and the deeper energy wells on the product side of steps 3 and 4 essentially traps the target lithium species 107. The build-up of 107 over time ensures 64 is produced as the major product upon quenching with an electrophile.

Thus far, the rearrangement of the lithium, proton and bromine atoms on a thiophene substrate are studied, linking the suspected steps in the halogen-dance mechanism through four-centre type lithium-bromine exchange steps. Yet the activation energies presented from these studies suggest each reaction step to be improbable, even at room temperature. Therefore, the model could be improved by (1) long range correction to the potentials, swapping B3LYP for LC- $\omega$ PBE ${ }^{247}$ and LC-BLYP, (2) dispersioncorrected functionals ${ }^{248}$, and (3) explicit and continuum solvation models ${ }^{249}$ of THF.

Long-range corrected functionals gave four-centre type transition states with single imaginary frequencies, but only at the lower basis set of $6-31+G(d)$. Grimme's dispersion-corrected functionals resulted in transition states that gave the expected reagents and products at the

B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level. The resulting activation energies were reduced by $30-40 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, in comparison to the non-dispersion corrected functionals (activation energies are shown in Table 21 and Table 22). The overall result, however, is that while the barriers are somewhat lower when dispersion terms are considered, the resultant barrier heights are still far too high to be consistent with experiment.

Post-HF calculations of the transition states proved to be computationally challenging, but the thermodynamics was successfully investigated at the 'chemically accurate' MP2 and CCSD levels of theory (Table 23). CCSD gives almost identical endo- and exothermicities to the B3LYP-D3BJ and D2 functionals (i.e. to within chemical accuracy of ca. $3 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ).

Table 21. Activation energies $\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ for steps $1 \mathrm{a}-4$ at various theory levels, in vacuum with no Grimme's dispersion terms; lithium-proton exchanges and the lithium-halogen (four-centre type) exchange steps; [-] no activation energy available due to the transition state being unobtainable after multiple attempts.

| Step | HF/ <br> $6-31 G(d)$ | B3LYP/ <br> $6-31+G(d)$ | B3LYP/ <br> $6-311++G(d, p)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a | 15.40 | -4.896 | 9.810 |
| 1b | 63.25 | 22.93 | 30.26 |
| 1c | 162.1 | 98.29 | 110.1 |
| 2 | 298.4 | 180.5 | 202.2 |
| 3 | - | 165.0 | 175.6 |
| 4 | 299.2 | 173.9 | 193.1 |

Table 22. Activation energies $\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ for steps $1 \mathrm{a}-4$ at various theory levels, in vacuum with Grimme's dispersion terms; lithium-proton exchanges and the lithium-halogen (four-centre type) exchange steps.

| B3LYP-D2/ <br> $6-311++G(d, p)$ | B3LYP-D3/ <br> $6-311++G(d, p)$ | B3LYP-D3BJ/ <br> $6-311++G(d, p)$ | wB97XD/ <br> $6-311++G(d, p)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -27.33 | 0.5300 | -6.14 | -2.100 |
| 1.260 | 21.92 | 17.02 | 19.46 |
| 85.99 | 98.33 | 95.60 | 116.2 |
| 163.0 | 177.0 | 174.8 | 230.8 |
| 140.8 | 146.6 | 146.4 | 217.6 |
| 151.1 | 158.0 | 160.3 | 221.5 |

Table 23. Internal energies of reaction ( $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) for steps 1a-4 at the MP2 and CCSD theory levels, in vacuum; lithium-proton exchanges and the lithium-halogen (four-centre type) exchange steps.

| Step | MP2/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-311++G(d, p)$ | $C C S D /$ <br> $6-311++G(d, p)$ |  |
| 1 a | 12.92 | 11.68 |
| 1 b | 39.90 | 40.93 |
| 1c | 134.5 | 120.9 |
| 2 | 4.120 | 8.640 |
| 3 | -44.06 | -47.48 |
| 4 | -39.95 | -38.84 |

Even though dispersion-corrected functionals give a significant improvement, the activation energies for steps 3 and 4 remain high. An attempt to improve the calculations using an explicit solvation model, using steps 1a and 2 as suitable test-case transition states (Table 24) was conducted. An explicit THF molecule coordinated to the lithium atom (calculated in vacuum) increases the barrier heights by $\sim 9$ and $\sim 21 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ respectively. This suggests, that adding electron density to the lithium atom weakens the lithium-carbon bonds and destabilises the transition state. Although Streightweiser ${ }^{243}$ suggests explicit solvation models to be the most appropriate, continuum models were also investigated, namely the default Integral Equation Formalism Polarisable Continuum Model (IEFPCM) ${ }^{249}$ in G09, Truhlar's and co-workers solvation model incorporating electron density (SMD) ${ }^{108}$ which is a variant of IEFPCM and the Conductor Polarisable Continuum Model (CPCM) ${ }^{110}$. It was found that all of these models increased the barriers by varying degrees (of order $10-25 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ).

Table 24 Activation energies ( kJ mol-1) considering explicit and continuum solvation models at the B3LYP-D2/6-311++G(d,p) level.

| Step | THF $^{\text {a }}$ | IEFPCM $^{\text {b }}$ | SMD $^{\text {c }}$ | CPCM $^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 a | 18.59 | 26.15 | 18.47 | 25.96 |
| 2 | 222.9 | 215.5 | 195.5 | 215.1 |

[a] Explicit THF molecule, [b] the default Integral Equation Formalism Polarisable Continuum Model (IEFPCM) in G09, [c] solvation model incorporating electron density (SMD), [d] Conductor Polarisable Continuum Model (CPCM); [a] is at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) and [b-d] is B3LYP-D2/6-311++G(d,p) levels

### 5.2.3.3 Evidence for an Sn2 Model

It was clear that solvation models (explicit and continuum) do not explain how the reaction can proceed smoothly in THF because the predicted activation barriers are relatively large and kinetically unfavourable. This suggests that an alternative lithium-halogen exchange mechanism needs investigating to locate reasonable energy barriers for the HD reaction. Multiple attempts at locating a 'bromate' type transition state were not successful as they also resulted in the formation of bithiophene products and the release of lithium bromide - clearly incorrect.

Alternatively, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ type transition states were investigated. Such transition states were found for all the lithium-halogen exchange steps 2-4, with a single imaginary frequency each (Table 25) and confirmed with IRC calculations. The $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ transition states show the lithium coordinated in between the bromine atoms (Figure 48) and the sulfur of the thiophene (step 3). The barrier for step 2 is reduced from ca. 200 to $45 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, and steps 3 and 4 become barrierless ( -12.22 and $-20.89 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ), where 4 was the most favourable reaction.

Table 25. Transition states ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ type), frequencies and activation energies for steps 2, 3 and 4.

| Step | TS $\ddagger$ | Vibration / $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{Ua}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $28^{2}-2$ | 112.7i | 44.93 |
| 3 |  | 143.4i | -12.22 |
| 4 |  | 72.35i | -20.89 |



Figure 48. The $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ geometry of the lithium-halogen exchange transition state 3; the lithium atom coordinates between the bromine atoms (and partially to sulfur with state 3 ).
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Figure 49. Minimum energy path along the forward and reverse intrinsic reaction coordinates of steps 2-4 (SN2 type), referenced to the optimised starting reagents (OR).

The overall Gibbs energy profile for the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ mechanism is illustrated in Figure 50 and Figure 51 for steps $2-3$ and 4 respectively. Figure 50 suggests that step 2, proceeding via an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ type transition state, is the rate determining step in the halogen dance reaction. As shown in the supporting information, the minimum energy paths also all exhibit deep wells on both sides of the transition state for all the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N} 2}$ type reactions. Reactions 3 and 4 show 'submerged' transition states which lie below the energies of the optimised starting materials, but flanked on either side by deep attractive wells due to the dipole-dipole interactions between both the reactant and product species. This suggests that the entire reaction sequence from the initial lithiation step to the halogen exchange occurs between the same sets of molecules which are strongly confined by the electrostatic forces between them.

A plausible mechanism, similar to that proposed in the literature ${ }^{239}$, for the model halogen dance reaction is outlined in Scheme 37. One proton abstraction at the 3 position and two lithium-halogen exchanges can afford the target lithium species (107) in just three steps. Step 2 is predicted to be the rate limiting step with a barrier of ca. $45 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Steps 3 and 4 are predicted


Figure 50. The relative Gibbs energy profile for the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ mechanism steps 2 and 3 . For clarity, the wells in the entrance and exit channels are not shown.


Figure 51. The relative Gibbs energy profile for the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ mechanism step 4. For clarity, the wells in the entrance and exit channels are not shown.


Scheme 37. A plausible mechanism for the model HD reaction; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ experimentally and $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ in model.
to be barrierless with submerged transition states (i.e. exhibit negative barriers with potential wells in the entrance and exit channels). This conclusion is consistent with the two key requirements for the HD reaction originally outlined by Frohlich ${ }^{239}$, that (a) the HD reaction is promoted by base and (b) the formation of 2,3-dibromothiophene is needed.

### 5.2.3.4 Summary

DFT was deployed to investigate the potential energy landscape and overall reaction mechanism of a model HD reaction for the formation of the thiophene synthon. Two types of transition state were located for the lithium-halogen exchanges, which is central to the overall HD mechanism; the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ type was favoured over the four-centre type. The four-centre transition state was found to lead to activation barriers which are inconsistent with experimental observation that the reaction proceeds smoothly and quite rapidly at room temperature after the initial low temperature lithiation of the halogenated substrate. The $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ lithium-bromide transition states are barrierless, except the second which is the limiting step.

The rate determining step is the reaction of the substrate with lithiated product to generate a 2,3-dibromothiophene via an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ type transition state. The 2,3-dibromothiophene subsequently reacts with lithiated product via two barrierless paths, connected by submerged $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ type transition states, leading to exchange of the halogen and lithium substituents. Although the overall driving force was thermodynamics, the kinetic factors tightly control the reaction path through temperature. While dibromothiophenes may act to catalyse the reaction, the reformation of 2-bromothiophene suggests the HD reaction of 5-alkyl-2-bromothiophene is a pseudo-clock type.

This investigation has proved highly useful and generated practical understanding with regards to experimental conditions. It has also developed more in-depth knowledge of the lithium-bromide exchange which is highly deployed for synthetic functional group interconversions.

### 5.2.4 Method Development for the Core Molecular Fragment

The fused-thiophene core molecular fragment is widely used as a constituent in high-performing, flexible and smart organic electronic technology such organic thin-film transistors ${ }^{250}$ and organic light emitting diodes ${ }^{251}$, even though it retails at a relatively high commercial cost. In addition, several methods, as outlined below, have been developed for its synthesis and yet the reagents as well as the intermediates are relatively unstable and thus the synthetic procedures face (1) low-scale production due to the degradation of the starting materials, which require in-house preparations with immediate use; and (2) some methods use lithiation chemistry, which is efficient for synthetic transformations, but gives scaling issues due to the cryogenic nature of the reaction and highly reactive nature of the lithiation reagents. These issues warrant an investigation into an alternative route to this highly useful molecular fragment.

### 5.2.4.1 Background

There are four well-published routes in the literature to date. The first synthesis of dithienothiophene was published by Stoyanovich et al..$^{42}$ in 1965, however limited spectroscopic characterisation was reported. Jong ${ }^{43}$ and Janssen ${ }^{44}$ reported an improved route for the synthesis of DTT in 1970, with an adaption of the procedure for sulfur insertion using freshly prepared bis(phenylsulfonyl)sulfide. Lithiation of 3-bromothiophene (108) swaps the bromine group for lithium and turns the 3 position into a reactive carbanion. Insertion of sulfur by bis(phenylsulfonyl)sulfide gives intermediate 109 and ring closure between the 2 and 2 ' positions is afforded by lithiation and copper chloride giving DTT (51) in overall yield of $35 \%$. A disadvantage is the use of the odorous and toxic bis(phenylsulfonyl)sulfide, which is normally prepared fresh due to its relatively high commercial cost and long-term storage instability.

Stoyanovich, 1965; Jong and Janssen, 1970

i. $n$-BuLi, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | i. $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ii. $\left(\mathrm{PHSO}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{rt}$ |$\quad 79 \%$ ii. $\left(\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{rt}$



ii. $\mathrm{S}_{8}$
iii. TsCl



Scheme 38. Synthetic routes to DTT.

In 2002, two very different and alternative routes were published. The approach by Hellberg et al. ${ }^{223}$ used 2,3-dibromothiophene (110) as the starting reagent. Regioselective lithiation of the 2 -bromo position using $n$-butyl lithium and oxidative coupling gave the 3,3'-dibromo-2,2'-bithiophene (111) in $79 \%$ yield and DTT 55.3 \% total yield after purification. This method is similar to the previous method by Jong and Janssen, whereby the order of the steps has been reversed and affording $20 \%$ more of the total yield than the previous method.

The second alternative route was developed by Holmes et al..$^{252}$, due to challenges with scaling up. This approach builds the two external thiophenes around the central ring. Dilithiation of tetrabromothiophene (112) and quenching with 1 -formylpiperidine gives 3,4-dibromothiophene-2,6--dicarboxylic acid (113). Reaction with ethylmercaptoacetate in potassium carbonate base and DMF gave 114. Hydrolysis with 1 M lithium hydroxide in THF affords the corresponding carboxylic acid and an in situ reduction of the carboxylic groups with copper in quinoline gave DTT in $47 \%$ overall yield. The advantages of this route are that all intermediates can be purified by
crystallisation, synthesised on a 5-10 g scale and the initial derivatised groups at the 2 and 3 positions can be used to introduce further functionalities. However, its main disadvantage is the long reaction time scale, with four reaction steps and three days for the second step. Another significant difficulty, is the homo-polymerisation of 113 upon quenching the dilithiated species with DMF, which occurs readily as one lithiated thiophene anion can attack any newly formed carbonyl; to overcome this, very high stirring speeds and fast addition of s single aliquot of DMF was added which ensures scaling up to industrial production is highly challenging.

In 2009 Chen and Marks ${ }^{253}$ reported a revision of the one-pot synthesis of DTT. Insertion of sulfur between two 3-bromothiophene molecules giving a sulfide intermediate which undergoes subsequent ring-closure with a lithiating reagent and copper (II) chloride giving DTT in 36 \% yield. The advantages of this route include relatively cheap reaction conditions, no need for the preparation of fresh reagents and a one-pot reaction. However, the disadvantages are purification and difficult work-up. These may be due to poor lithiation selectivity generating numerous by-products, but this disadvantage also occurs with the previous routes.

Overall, the most common factor is the lithiation chemistries, while the biggest difference lies with the type and use of the sulfur reagent. Interestingly, the approaches to date involve the thiophene as a nucleophile and the sulfur reagent as an electrophile. It is possible to reverse these affinities such that the sulfur is a nucleophile and the thiophene is an electrophile. This novel approach is developed in section 5.2.4.2 and avoids the use of cryogenic temperatures and lithiated reagents.

### 5.2.4.2 Method Development for DTT

Considering the four literature routes to the DTT core molecular fragment, there is significant scope for method development, using relatively low-cost and commercially available reagents which are stable under ambient conditions. Initial attempts aimed at generating a novel multistep route to DTT via a divergent approach as illustrated in Scheme 39; the key step is a double sulfide insertion with subsequent cyclisation.

Previous thioether and fused thiophene products synthesised through homo- or cross-coupling which use the copper/sulfide system were focused on substrates with iodine substituents ${ }^{254}$, which are normally favoured due to their higher reactivity than bromine. However, this chemistry is developed using bromine substituents due to their relatively cheaper cost and commercial availability. Motivation for this investigation was further realised from a comprehensive review of DTT synthesis ${ }^{255}$, where up until now, the thiophene substrate has been used as a nucleophile through dilithiation and the sulfur inserted as an electrophile. Upon inversing the chemistry ${ }^{256-260}$, such that the bithiophene substrate becomes the electrophile and the sulfur becomes the nucleophile, an alternative method to DTT can be considered. The present procedure also avoids the use of lithiation and sulfur tosyl/anhydrides for the ring-closing step, in comparison to other routes.

Starting with tetrabromothiophene 112, a double regioselective Sonogashira reaction successfully gave the bis(2-trimethylsilylalkynyl-3bromo)thiophene (115) in $87 \%$ yield. The next step involved the addition of the nucleophilic reagent, sodium sulfide nonahydrate, in DMSO for 18 h at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; although this only gave DTT in trace amounts.


Scheme 39. Initial convergent approach with novel conditions for the synthesis of DTT, involving a double sulfide insertion and cyclisation.

The method was adapted to a convergent approach, which involves a single insertion of a sulfur atom and cyclisation to the 3,3-dibromo-2,2'-bithiophene substrate (111); the central thiophene is formed, fused in between the adjacent two thiophene rings, as illustrated in Scheme 40.

Table 26 shows the selected entries which led to the optimised conditions. Entry 1 shows the sulfur insertion with thiourea and cesium carbonate as base, but only giving trace amounts of DTT product after 20 h at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Entry 2 specifies sodium sulfide nonahydrate and carbonate as the sulfur reagent and base respectively, while the solvent and catalyst was DMF and $\mathrm{C}^{1 \mathrm{O}} \mathrm{O}$, again giving similar yields. Entries 3 and 4 combine different conditions from those of 1 and 2, but give no observable DTT in the absence of base. Increasing the reaction time and varying the ratio of the sulfur reagent


Scheme 40. Synthesis of unsubstituted DTT through a C-S coupling and ring closing 'one pot' step.

Table 26. Selected entries for the C-S ring closing conditions; a) microwave reaction, b) with 3,3'-diiodo-2.2'-bithiophene, *trace amounts.


| Entry | 1a:1b:1c | 'S' | Base | Solvent | CuX | t/h | T/ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1:1.2 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ 2 | thiourea | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | Cul | 20 | 130 | $t^{*}$ |
| 2 | 1:1.2:2 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMF | Cu'O | 20 | 130 | $t^{*}$ |
| 3 | 1:1.2:0 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | Cul | 24 | 130 | 0 |
| 4 | 1:3.6:0 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | Cul | 24 | 130 | 0 |
| 5 | 1:1.2:2 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | Cul | 65 | 130 | 15 |
| 6 | 1:3.6:2 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | Cul | 72 | 130 | 23 |
| 7 | 1:3.6:4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | Cul | 24 | 130 | 33 |
| 8 | 1:3.6:4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | Cul | 48 | 130 | 39 |
| 9 | 1:3.6:4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | Cul | 72 | 130 | 42 |
| 10 | 1:3.6:4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | Diglyme | Cul | 72 | 130 | 0 |
| 11 | 1:3.6:4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | Toluene | Cul | 72 | 130 | $t^{*}$ |
| 12 | 1:3.6:4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMF | Cul | 72 | 130 | 20 |
| 13 | 1:3.6:4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMAc | Cul | 72 | 130 | 41 |
| 14 | 1:3.6:4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DMSO/ } \\ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1) \end{gathered}$ | Cul | 72 | 130 | 0 |
| 15 | 1:3.6:4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | Cul | 72 | 60 | 0 |
| 16 | 1:3.6:4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | Cul | 72 | 90 | 17 |
| 17 | 1:3.6:4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{HK}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMSO | Cul | 72 | 130 | 24 |
| 18 | 1:3.6:4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | KOH | DMSO | Cul | 72 | 130 | 28 |
| 19 | 1:3.6:4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{Net}_{3}$ | DMSO | Cul | 72 | 130 | 31 |
| 20 | 1:3.6:4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | KOAc | DMSO | Cul | 72 | 130 | 27 |
| 21 | 1:3.6:4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | Cul | 72 | 130 | 0 |
| $22^{\text {a }}$ | 1:1.2:2 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | Cul | 3 | 130 | 96 |
| $23^{\text {a,b }}$ | $1{ }^{1} 3.6: 4$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | Cul | 3 | 130 | 97 |

and base to the bithiophene substrate, gave noticeable product in 15-42 \% yields between 20 and 72 h (Entries 5-9).

Lower boiling point solvents were briefly screened to determine if polarity has a significant effect. A small selection of solvents with different polaroty (determined by dielectric constant) such as diglyme, toluene, DMF, DMAc and water mixtures were investigated (Entries 10-14); only DMF and DMAc gave product formation but in low yields.

Lower temperatures such as $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were investigated but gave no observable target product and only oligomeric mixtures observed from the oil-like products (Entries 15 and 16). Next, the effect of base was probed, where bases such as hydrogen dipotassium phosphate and potassium hydroxide gave lower yields (Entries 17 and 18), while triethylamine and potassium acetate gave 31 \% and 27 \% yields respectively and caesium carbonate afforded zero yield (entries 18, 19 and 20). Lower equivalents and a significantly reduced reaction time was observed with microwave synthesis (entry 21), affording near quantitative yields of the target DTT (96 \%); the iodo substituent gave similar yields (97\%).

The successful formation of DTT after purification for each condition was inferred from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$, with a typical spectrum shown in Figure 52. Two doublet signals are observed, one for a proton at the 2 position (a) (7.32 ppm) and the other at the 3 position (b) ( 7.39 ppm ). The structure of DTT was confirmed from its single-crystal x-ray structure obtained with the microwave conditions, as illustrated in Figure 53. DTT was found to have close (<4 Å) intermolecular separations, which is ideal for the core component in organic semiconductors.



Figure 52. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR Spectrum of DTT (51).



Figure 53. Single crystal x-ray structure of DTT, showing the close intermolecular distances between adjacent DTT molecules.

Scheme 41 shows a plausible mechanism for the sulfide insertion into the $3,3^{\prime}$-dibromo-2,2'-bithiophene substrate. Copper is coordinated to one of the thiophene-bromine bonds and thus makes nucleophilic attack more likely at the 3 position. Although minimised by the anhydrous solvents, the addition of sulfide must generate a thiol in the presence of the hydrate adducts and thus the requirement of a base. The mechanism is plausible but needs further investigations considering (1) the effect of absolute anhydrous conditions; (2) fine tuning the conditions to understand the cause of the side product formation and how this could be reduced to improve the yields for other solvents.


Scheme 41. A plausible mechanism for the formation of DTT with the novel sulfide insertion conditions; including a stage for potential side product generation (as homo-coupled polythiophene-thioethers).

### 5.2.4.4 Summary

In summary, a one-pot route was developed for the synthesis of DTT using relatively mild conditions and stable starting reagents, which were selected for their commercial availability and relatively low-cost. The conditions developed are absent of lithiation chemistry and cryogenic temperatures and thus have a greater potential for scaling up. The reactions times are significantly reduced, to under 1 h , which is an advantage for high throughput production.

### 5.2.5 Forward Synthesis

### 5.2.5.1 Target 44

The synthetic strategy devised in section 5.2.2 for target 44 is investigated here, with the focus on the synthesis of the final target from the core and synthon molecular fragments. Scheme 42 shows the retrosynthetically-designed forward route towards 44, starting with a regioselective lithiation of the DTT core (54) and subsequent reaction with the derivatised thiophene synthon 64 giving the condensate intermediate (116). The hydroxy groups are reductively removed then the 3,3 '-bromine substituents on 117 are exchanged for an aldehyde functionality (118) and the subsequent acid-catalysed (Amberlyst-15) cycloisomerisation gives the target molecule 44.


[H]



Scheme 42. Retrosynthetically-designed forward synthesis of 44, starting with the molecular fragments that were identified from the synthetic strategy; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

### 5.2.5.1.1 Synthon Molecular Fragment

The two synthons outlined in Section 5.2.3 (Figure 44) were synthetically investigated. Compound 81 was first probed due to the difficulty of positioning the aldehyde and bromine groups at the 3- and 2-positions respectively. Scheme 43 shows a potential route to 81 via a lower oxidation approach of a primary alcohol. The first step is dibromination of thiophene-3-methanol (119) giving 2,5-dibromothiophene-3-methanol (120). Once this initial intermediate is formed, substitution at the 5 position gives 121 via a selective Sonogashira coupling and subsequent oxidation could afford 81.


Scheme 43. A potential route to 48 via a lower oxidation state.

Initial efforts were successful with the addition of NBS to 119 in THF after 16 h at room temperature (Scheme 44) which gave the dibromo product (120) in $57 \%$ yield.


Scheme 44. Synthesis of 2,5-dibromothiophene methanol (50).

An attempt to synthesise 121 was made via a Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction between 120 and trimethylsilylacetylene (Scheme 45), however this was not successful; only multiple unidentifiable impurities were observed. This may be due to poor regioselectivity of the five position of the thiophene ring. An alternative approach is to perform the HD reaction with 2-bromo-5-hexylthiophene and quench with water, giving 3-bromo-5-hexylthiophene. However, the lithiation-bromine exchange would


Scheme 45. Unsuccessful regioselective Sonogashira reaction of 2,5-dibromothiophene-3-methanol (120).
be out-competed by the available proton at the 2-position. Thus, the synthon 64 with the substituents in the opposite positions was investigated.

The synthesis of the formyl functionality at the 2 position (123) with bromine at the 3-position of a thiophene is easily afforded through regioselective lithiation of 2,3-dibromthiophene (110) giving the desired product (Scheme 46). This is because the bromine substituent at the 2 -position is more reactive towards 1 equivalent of $n$-butyl lithium than the 3-position; another option is to use LDA with 3-bromothiophene.


Scheme 46. Synthesis of 2-formyl-3-bromothiophene (123).

The overall issue with Scheme 46, is that 123 does not have a solubilising alkyl chain and thus the synthesis needs to be carefully reconsidered from the synthetic strategy section 5.2.2. This was deployed and illustrated in (Scheme 47), which shows the pathways followed to synthesise the thiophene synthons.

These structures were challenging because of their asymmetric nature with three different functional groups, resulting in a careful consideration of the design of the reaction route. The efforts for the synthesis of the synthon 64 were initially focused on the preparation of 3-bromo-5-hexylthiophene ${ }^{55}$ (76) which was successfully formed in $73 \%$ yield, from the isomerisation of
bromine from the 2 to the 3-position via a halogen-dance reaction (HDR) ${ }^{220}$ (Scheme 47); the HDR is vital for the synthesis of the synthon, such that the kinetics and thermodynamics of the reaction mechanism was investigated in Chapter 5.2.3. Proton abstraction at the 2-position of 76 and quenching with a carbonyl transfer agent such as 1-formylpiperidine ${ }^{51}$ afforded 64 in $55 \%$ yield and $37 \%$ total yield. One possibility for the low yield is a proton abstraction at the 4 position rather than the intended 2-position by the LDA; another reason could be a lithium-halogen exchange and quench at the 3 -position. A second option is to consider the previous chemistry presented in Scheme 46 with 2,3-dibromothiophene (110) but undergo a HDR initially to give 125, then alkylation affording 76 in two steps.

The key intermediate 76 is particularly useful as it can afford the asymmetric three-functional thiophene (64) with two of the groups, bromine and formyl, in the desired regiopositions. The advantages for the first option is a relatively low-cost starting material (124) and one high-yielding HDR; the disadvantage is four steps to the target synthon. The advantage for the second option starting with 110 is only three steps to 76 , however the disadvantages include a low-yielding HDR and the selective alkylation of a lithium-halogen exchange at the 2-position of 125 resulting in the removal of butylbromide in situ; this may lead to loss of product through a secondary HD reaction. Thus, the first route starting with thiophene appears to be the most desirable and robust towards 64.


Scheme 47. An alternative strategy to the target thiophene synthon (64).

It was determined that the isolation of 76 was not needed, because 64 could be directly trapped from the active lithium salt (107) with an electrophile other than water. For example, quenching the HDR with 1 -formylpiperidine gave 64 in $88 \%$ yield and $81 \%$ total yield. Although the literature presents examples of trapping lithium salts with different electrophiles after halogen dance reactions, there are only few examples of the direct formation of an aldehyde ${ }^{56}$. Nevertheless, the route illustrated in Scheme 48 shows the novel synthon can be formed in two steps starting from 2-n-hexylthiophene with significantly improved total yield.

The stacked proton spectra in Figure 54 show how the signals in the aromatic and aldehyde regions can be used to verify the product formation of 70, 103 and 64. The first spectrum shows three peaks, two are doublets ( $7.07 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}} ; 6.76 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) and the third a multiplet ( $6.89 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ). Upon bromination of the 2 position, the spectrum of 103 is simpler with two doublet signals ( $6.83 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}} ; 6.52 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ). Halogen dance and formylation gives 64 with two singlet signals as a thiophene proton (6.88ppm, $\mathrm{Ha}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) and an aldehyde proton ( $9.90 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ).


Scheme 48. Synthesis of the target synthon (64).
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Figure 54. Stacked ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ Spectra of 70, 103 and 64 showing the aldehyde and thiophene protons only.

A clear indication of the formyl group in 64 can be seen with the infrared spectrum in Figure 55. A sharp peak for the aldehyde carbonyl group is observed at $1698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and expected hydrogen bonding as a broad stretch between $3560-3080 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Other signals are observed for single bonds to hydrogen ( CH, alkyl) at $2927 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, aromatic double bonds $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ at $1439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and a broad peak thought to consist of aromatic bromide ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ ) and aromatic sulfide (C-S) stretches at $1037 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Figure 55. Infrared spectrum of neat 64 at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 5.2.5.1.2 Core Molecular Fragment

The successful method development of DTT in Section 5.2.4.2 enabled its use in synthetic developments of the target isomer. However, the DTT fragment requires the additional step of double bromination, and production on the small scale due to microwave conditions; although the conditions developed are a huge improvement over the other routes with regards to time, reagent stability and lithiation chemistries involved. Therefore, the longer but large-scale route by Holmes et al. ${ }^{252}$ (reproduced in Scheme 49) was deployed for the target 44 synthesis.


Scheme 49. Holmes route to DTT- $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ (54).

### 5.2.5.1.3 Condensate Intermediates

The synthesis of the target 44 was continued (Scheme 50) utilising the core 54 and synthon molecular fragments 64, for the condensate (116) to be investigated ${ }^{41,69}$. The carbanions from the dilithiation of DTT-Br2 (54) via lithium-halogen exchange reacts with the aldehyde of the synthon forming hydroxy lithium salts; quenching with water gives $\mathbf{2 8}$. This compound exists as an oily residue where solvent and excess synthon was observed to still be present which could not be removed under strong vacuum or with freeze-drying using liquid nitrogen. Purification with flash column chromatography using either silica or alumina resulted in product decomposition; even once the column was deacidified with base such as triethylamine. This could be explained from the oxy groups of the silica promoting dehydration of the product leading to unstable cations. Indeed, the procedure ${ }^{41,69}$ for the synthesis of similar analogues do not purify this type of intermediate, but instead use the crude directly in the next reaction, whereby the yield is stated over both the reaction steps. Surprisingly, $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ was unable to be purified over alumina, only yielding significant breakdown of product. Although there is no certain explanation, one reason may be the strong electrostatic interactions or coordination between the hydroxyl groups of the intermediate and the aluminium metal centres thereby polarising the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond and thus activating that particular carbon site (11) enabling reactivity.

Intermediate 116 was confirmed from the inspection of the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ (Figure 56) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (Figure 57) spectra. First, the proton spectrum clearly shows three singlet peaks for the aromatic protons a (7.00 ppm) b ( 6.14 ppm ) and c ( 6.48 ppm ). Apart from residual solvent such as dichloromethane (DCM), diethyl ether ( $\dagger$ ) and water signals, there are only two unidentified peaks designated with * possibly arising from impurities; no signals are observed for the labile OH protons.


Scheme 50. Synthesis of the condensate intermediate 116.



Figure 56. Crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{1 1 6}$, in deuterated methanol.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum (Figure 57) of 116 shows signals in the alkyl region ( $0-50 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) for the hexyl chain with carbon atoms 1-6, a signal for the methoxy carbon 11 at 68.9 ppm , and signals for the thiophene and DTT segments between 107-150 ppm with carbons 7,8-15. The signals for 8 and 13 were easily assigned from inspection of the HMQC spectrum (Figure 58), while 9 is characteristic for thiophene bromides; the remaining signals were assigned from comparison of starting materials.


Figure 57. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of condensate (116), in deuterated deuterated methanol.


Figure 58. White-wash stacked HMQC spectrum of condensate 116, showing the aromatic and methine protons only, in deuterated chloroform.

Interestingly, the expected $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ signal for 116 of 745.9686 was observed only between $250-320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a low error of 14.5 ppm (a) as illustrated in Figure 59. The most intense peak with $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ at 748 ppm is considered to be the condensate $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ and other lower $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ signals were observed, most probably for a mono (730) and double dehydration (712) in a step-wise formation, with extended conjugation in the dehydrate to satisfy valency; these possible structures are shown in Figure 60.


Figure 59. Time of Flight Positive Electron Impact Mass Spectrum (TOF MS $\mathrm{El}+$ ) of a) the condensate (116), b) monohydrate cation and c) dehydrate cation.
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Figure 60. Illustration of possible structures from the MS data.

As a result of the instability, crude $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ was used in the next step without purification. The reduced intermediate (117) was synthesised (Scheme 51) from a relatively weak reducing agent, sodium cyanoborohydride. 117 was clearly identified from the signal at 4.32 ppm in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum corresponding to the methylene groups (Figure 61).


Scheme 51. Synthesis of the reduced intermediate (117).



Figure 61. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of the condensate (117), showing aromatic and methylene protons only, in deuterated chloroform.

The product was confirmed with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR, HMQC spectra and mass-spectrometry. Furthermore, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ DEPT 135 spectra clearly shows the CH signal for the condensate and the disappearance of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ signal for the dehydrate, as illustrated in Figure 62. The signal arising from carbon 11 on 116 at 69.1 ppm not only has a significant chemical shift from the C-O ether/hydroxy region to 30.7 ppm in the C-C alkyl-aromatic region on 117, but also changes phase indicating the addition of a proton to that carbon centre.
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Figure 62. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ DEPT 135 spectra of condensate (116) and dehydrate (117), in deuterated chloroform.

The next step involved the conversion of the bromine substituent to the aldehyde functional group, as illustrated in Scheme 52. The first condition attempted (Method A), involved a lithium-halogen exchange and quench with 1 -formylpiperidine. This was unsuccessful and no identifiable products were isolated. Observations include (1) the immediate change in colour of the reaction mixture from colourless/pale yellow to pink and then to dark purple, upon the addition of the $n$-butyl lithium; (2) the subsequent colour change from purple to green upon quenching with 1 -formylpiperidine. These observations are not consistent with lithium-halogen exchanges ${ }^{220}$ which are not immediate and do not normally result in bright colours. One possibility could be a lithium-proton exchange with one of the methylene protons, generating the pink and purple colours, followed by quenching with the 1 -formylpiperidine, as illustrated in Scheme 53. The reactivity of the methylene protons could not have been easily predicted. Moreover, the potential products produced during the reaction could include oligomer/polymer structures, due to impartial quenching and self-condensation. It is unlikely that this would occur of double lithiation occurred at the 3-position of thiophene, as thiophene does not lend itself to bimolecular nuclear substitution.


Scheme 52. Synthesis of the final intermediate 118 towards the target 44 via method $A$.


Scheme 53. Possible methylene lithiation reaction and self-condensation.

Method B (Scheme 54) consisted of a palladium-catalysed carbonylation. This involved the oxidative insertion of carbon monoxide from a carbonyl-transfer agent (e.g. DMF) and the reductive elimination of the final intermediate. Unfortunately, only the debrominated species was isolated, suggesting that the double palladium insertion occurred, even though the carbon monoxide could not be inserted. Several experiments were run with varied equivalents of the N -formyl saccharide, the reaction time and the reaction temperature; all of which gave the same debrominated product. These observations show that metal insertion at the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ sites are viable and could be suitable towards Grignard chemistry in future investigations.


Scheme 54. Synthesis of the final intermediate 118 towards the target 44 via method B.

### 5.2.5.1.4 Summary

The synthon, core and intermediates were fully characterised for both isolated and crude compounds. The route to the synthon was developed and simplified through the use of a HDR. The retrosynthetically-designed forward synthesis was successful until the penultimate step, where the dehydrate intermediate could not undergo a functional group interconversion between bromine and an aldehyde substituent. Unforeseen stability issues arose with the condensate intermediate, which was used as a crude in the next step to generate the dehydrate. The double lithiation of the penultimate step and may be due to the reactivity of the methylene protons.

An alternative condition using palladium-catalysed carbonylation resulted in the debromination of the dehydrate intermediate but no carbonyl insertion. This suggests (1) there is reactivity at the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ sites towards transition metal catalysis and (2) double metal insertion is synthetically viable, thus prompting the possibility of Grignard chemistry in future investigations. One alternative route, a slight variant of the designed route, also has potential for the synthesis of the target in future work. The majority of issues are considered to arise from the high stability and aromaticity of the DTT core, which were unforeseen but may be overcome. Overall, the synthesis of 44 is ongoing but shows promise, with different chemistries, to form the novel fused-ring heteroacene in only five steps.

### 5.2.5.2 Target 45

The total forward synthesis for 45, based on the A3 retrosynthetic strategy, is illustrated in Scheme 55, following the hybrid approach. The borylated synthon (126) is readily achieved from hexyl thiophene and the dibromo-DTT (54) core unit from literature preparations. These are combined and the subsequent intermediates ( $93^{261}, \mathbf{9 1}$, and 89) undergo an FGI, Sonogashira then a metalor base-catalysed cycloisomerisation respectively, giving the target 45. The inclusion of solubilising units, such as alkanes, ensure high solubility of both the final target and the intermediates throughout both the synthesis and characterisation. The greatest challenges in this proposed total synthesis are the regioselective double bromination ( FGI ) of 93 and the cycloisomerisation of 89 , which may need optimising. Finally, Scheme 55 shows a relatively simple forward route that was retrosynthetically designed to give the target in moderate to high yields and few synthetic steps.


$\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPH}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Cul}$,
$\mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{HN}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{2}$



Scheme 55. Synthesis of 45; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{13}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ir}, \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Au}$,

$$
\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl} .
$$

### 5.2.5.2.1 Synthon Molecular Fragment

Scheme 56 shows the synthesis of the thiophene synthon molecular fragment 127 towards the target 45 through a simple and facile route, as devised in the synthetic strategy (section 5.2.4.1). The hexyl chain ensures solubility in the final target 44, with added solubility imparted from the $n$-butyl chain in the ethynyl unit of 127. A monobromination of $n$-hexylthiophene (70) gives 2-bromo-5-hexylthiophene (103) in $82 \%$ yield. Standard HDR of 103 to rearrange the bromine substituent to the 3-position gives 76 in $81 \%$ yield as previously discussed. Subsequent Sonogashira with $n$-Hex-1-yne affords the target thiophene synthon (127) in 95 \% yield.

The next stage involves the functionalisation of the synthon fragment with a C-C transfer group for coupling to 54 , such as with boron and tin. Scheme 57 shows the synthesis of the derivatised synthons through standard Stille coupling reaction ${ }^{262}$ (Method A) and Suzuki ${ }^{263}$ (Method B) towards 2-(tri-butylstannyl)-3-hexynyl-5-hexylthiophene (128) and 2-(Boropinacol)-3-hexynyl-5-hexylthiophene (129) respectively. Only the crude products were isolable, as both the borolated and stanylated synthons were unstable on silica and alumina during flash column chromatography purification. This could be due to the electron density being withdrawn into the alkyne bond making the functional group labile.
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Scheme 56. Synthesis of the alkyne derivatised synthon 127.


129
Scheme 57. Attempted synthesis to synthon molecular fragments derivatised with tin (128) and boron (129) coupling groups.

### 5.2.5.2.2 Core Molecular Fragment

The DTT- $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ (54) route by Holmes et al. ${ }^{144}$ is suitable for the synthesis of the core molecular fragment of target 45.

### 5.2.5.2.3 Condensate Intermediates

Unfortunately attempts to use the crude to synthesise intermediate 89 were unsuccessful (Scheme 58); any unreacted boryl or stannyl reagents could have interfered with the reaction.


Scheme 58. Unsuccessful Suzuki and Sonogashira synthesis of the intermediate 89 via the crude synthons $128(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{BPin})$ and $129\left(\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{Bu})_{3}\right)$.

Considering the instability of the functionalised synthons 128 and 129, an alternative route was investigated (Scheme 59), which consisted of the borylation of a pre-brominated thiophene. 2-bromo-5-hexylthiophene underwent a HDR and the resulting lithium salt at the 2-position was quenched with isopropxy-boropinacol to give the functionalised fragment 130. Although unsuccessful, the reason is most likely due to the lithiated thiophene being a poor nucleophile towards boroesters, as well as esters in general, owing to only the protonated product (76) being isolated.

The synthetic strategy was re-addressed and a regioselective double bromination route was adopted. The resulting synthon is the borylated thiophene 126, synthesised from hexylthiophene in 74 \% yield (Scheme 60). Suzuki coupling with 54 gave the linear all-thiophene intermediate 93, isolated on alumina rather than silica due to its instability, in $69 \%$ yield. The regioselective double bromination via sequential addition of two
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Scheme 59. Attempted synthesis of derivatised synthon 130.
one-equivalent aliquots of NBS gave the substituted intermediate 91 in $47 \%$ yield; found to be stable on silica and in air. Successful double Sonogashira to 91 with $n$-Hex-2-yne gave 89 in $81 \%$ yield and found to be highly stable.

The final step is the C-H activated ring-closing cyclisation to give the target 45. The first type of condition attempted was metal catalysed (Method A), using platinum ${ }^{264}$ and iridium chlorides ${ }^{265,266}$ in toluene at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. There was no consumption of 89 with various reaction times, nor the formation of 45 with either catalyst, suggesting alternative conditions are needed. Thus, basecatalysed cyclisation with DBU was attempted, as reported for similar fused-ring thiophene substrates ${ }^{264,267}$. Unfortunately, this was unsuccessful and resulted in de-ethynylation of intermediate 89 rather than ring-closure. These observations infer the C-H unit of DTT is extremely stable towards cyclisation, particularly with substituted thiophenes at the 2-positions.
i) $n$-BuLi, THF,
$-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 18 h ;
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Scheme 60. Attempted synthesis of 45 from intermediate 89, with two additional $n$-butyl solubilising chains on the phenyl ring via metal chlorides $M X_{n}$ (Pt and Ir ) and organic base (DBU) catalysis.

### 5.2.5.2.4 Summary

The synthon molecular fragment to target 45 proved challenging, owing only to the functionalised group being highly unstable during purification; no desired intermediate was observed when the synthon was used as crude. However, a regioselective double-bromination followed by a double Sonogashira successfully gave the derivatised substrate as the final intermediate and found to be highly stable. This substrate proved too stable unfortunately, particularly with regards to the subsequent C-H activation and intramolecular cyclisation under two metal-catalysed and one base-catalysed conditions.

### 5.2.6 Alternative Routes

One option for future synthetic investigations of 44 is to utilise the Vilsmeier-Haack (VH) reaction, requiring a minor re-design of the overall forward synthetic route, to incorporate a simpler synthesis of the thiophene synthon. The VH conditions generates the carbonyl from DMF in situ as an electrophile, and activates the C-H of an aromatic ring for substitution. Such conditions have been reported for both the 2 - and the 3 -positions of a thiophene substrate ${ }^{268}$, but there is still the possibility for the C-H methylene units to participate in the reaction.
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Scheme 61. Alternative route to target 44, utilising the Vilsmeier-Haack reaction $(V-H)$.

Conditions for the synthesis of 45 could be investigated with H and methyl-terminated alkynes in future work, accompanied by other catalyst such as gold chlorides ${ }^{269}$ (Method C). Other options could include a double-bromination of the C-H units of DTT, with ring-closure by palladium catalysis (Method D) or ICI-induced cyclisation ${ }^{270}$ with the removal of the resulting iodine substituents on $\mathbf{b}$ by lithiation and quench with water giving isomer 3 (Method E), as shown in Scheme 62.
Method C
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Scheme 62. Three potential conditions for the cyclisation of 89-type derivatives and the final synthetic step of target 45.
5.3 Design, Modelling and Synthesis of Oligo-Fused Heteroacenes

### 5.3.1 Design and Modelling

As outlined in the project plan (Chapter 3), a second type of structure was designed that has similarities with the fused-ring heteroacenes (Section 5.2) in terms of its small molecular nature and its constituent molecular fragments, but structural differences in terms of the way the fragments are bonded together. This structural variation was achieved by the incorporation of unsaturated 'oligomeric' bonds such as alkynes between the aromatic rings, i.e. spacer units which allow rotation and stretches. Not only does this permit a high degree of solubility in common organic solvents, due to the increased level of entropy, but also a simplification of the synthetic routes.

Through the combination of the core, synthon and spacer units, a great number of structural variations can be achieved. The diversity is further increased with the consideration of the number of reactive sites at the core and synthon units. Table 27 illustrates three generic molecular shapes, with selected examples from the literature. The entries increase in structural terms with the number of derivatives; designated by the motif as linear, trigonal and tetragonal. Interestingly, the linear motif gives rise to more than one type of molecular packing in the solid state of thin film, namely variations between slipped-stack and herringbone. The trigonal and tetragonal structures uniquely give discotic packing, which is columnar in nature. The molecular shapes can thus be broken down into molecular fragments, such as the core (A), spacer (B), and synthon (C) units. The identification and understanding of these unit combinations greatly enhances retrosynthetic strategies and forward synthetic investigations. The corresponding examples are found to have charge transfer, with that for the linear structure 137 having greater mobility ${ }^{271}\left(0.044 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ than either the trigonal ${ }^{272}$ (138, $0.001 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) or tetragonal ${ }^{273}\left(\mathbf{1 3 9}, 0.012 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$.

Table 27. The motif, packing array and the general molecular shape of selected structures with their observed mobilities $\left(\mu \mathrm{TF} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$.

| Motif | Packing | Shape | Example | $\mu \mathrm{TF}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Linear | Herringbone, <br> Slipped Stack | -0-a-( - - - - | $\left.\Delta x-1 y_{17}-0\right\rangle$ | 0.044 |
| Trigonal | Discotic |  |  | 0.001 |
| Tetragonal | Discotic |  | $50,10 x_{0}$ | 0.012 |

Moreover, the alkyne spacer unit has been realised as not just a structural component in the final targets, but also as an intrinsic functional group due to its propensity for conversion through transformations such as carboxylation ${ }^{274}$, hydro-amination ${ }^{275}$ and addition to electrophiles ${ }^{276}$. Electron-rich alkyne substrates enable the construction of drug scaffolds ${ }^{277,278}$ normally unavailable from conventional synthetic approaches and a number of catalytic systems have been developed ${ }^{279-282}$ to synthesise polycyclic heterocycles from alkynes as enhanced fluorescence probes. Single alkynes can furnish heterocycles such as triazoles ${ }^{283,284}$, furans ${ }^{285}$ and oxazoles ${ }^{286}$ while two alkynes in close array can undergo domino reactions to afford polyfused aromatics ${ }^{287}$, thereby yielding complex products from simple building blocks.

A careful selection of the core, capping and fine-tuning units for alkyne molecular substrates ${ }^{288}$ is critical for the improvement of physical properties. By structural and heteroatom variation of the derivative, the properties can be fine-tuned for a given application, or used to selectively control the reactivity of the alkyne bond towards further functionalisation. For example, the functionalised products presented in Figure 63 are structurally diverse but are all derived from the same rod-like substrate. The resulting donor-acceptor


Figure 63. Modification and application of alkyne substrates; [A] core, [B] end-capping and $[\mathrm{C}]$ fine-tuning derivative units.
structures ${ }^{276,289}$ with strongly-conjugated organic substituents, have a number of applications other than medicinal scaffolds and include optoelectronics, photovoltaics, and photonics; partly due to their electron-rich structures but also partly due to their structural diversity, facilitating both nonlinear ${ }^{290}$ optical (NLO) phenomena and charge-transfer properties. Indeed, their rod-like alkyne substrates have a tendency to promote charge transfer and such intrinsic organic semiconductor behaviour is realised as molecular wires ${ }^{291}$ and transistors in organic electronics.

Some leading examples of molecular rods are shown in Figure 64, which have thiophene as the central core unit sandwiched between two alkyne derivatives. These structures present the thiophene-based homologous series of diethynyl molecular rods. Naturally, the monothiophenyl molecular rods have been extensively explored in the literature, from alkyl ${ }^{292}$ (140) and silyl ${ }^{292}$ groups (141) through aromatic ${ }^{292-294}$ (143), heteroatomic aromatic substituents such as pirydyl ${ }^{295}$ (142), anisyl ${ }^{296}$ (144) and methoxy ${ }^{297}$ (145), to bulky aromatic pyrene ${ }^{298}$ (146) units. Due to the challenging multi-step synthesis of the next fused-ring homologue, thienothiophene (TT), a limited number of structures are reported and include tertiary-butyl (147),
Homologue

147148
149
150


Figure 64. Leading examples of heterocyclic $\pi$-extended molecular rods with ethylene spacer units and fused-ring thiophenyl ( $T$ and TT) cores, and novel derivatives with the DTT core.
trimethylsilane ${ }^{299}$ (148), pheny ${ }^{294}$ (149) and phenylthioacetate ${ }^{300}$ (150). Following this trend, the next homologue in the series is dithienothiophene (DTT), which has yet to be fully explored in the literature and is the focus of the present study. To date, there are only a few reports on DTT alkyne derivatives ${ }^{255,277,301,302}$ that present a thorough characterisation of a range of structurally diverse units. These include metalated alkynes such as iron ${ }^{301}$, gold ${ }^{299}$, platinum ${ }^{303}$ and ferrocene ${ }^{301}$, while DFT modeling ${ }^{304}$ was reported for structures yet to be prepared in the literature, for applications such as molecular wires.

The DTT units are known to be highly stable ${ }^{10}$ and impart closer intermolecular interactions in the solid state that facilitate greater physical properties for electronic device applications. Interestingly, there are no reports on heteroatoms (silicon, nitrogen, oxygen) located at the periphery of the DTT-alkyne moieties.

The FMO energy levels for the HOMO and LUMO states are presented in Figure 65 and include the work function of a gold electrode, one of the most commonly used ${ }^{73,305-307}(-5.1 \mathrm{eV})$. The silyl 152 and pyridyl compounds 154 have the lowest HOMO levels at -5.68 and -5.69 eV respectively, whereas the methoxy derivative 158 has the highest ( -4.49 eV ). The smallest optical


Figure 65. FMO plots (eV) of compounds 151-159 and the work function of a gold electrode.
energy gaps $E_{g}$ are with 153 and 157 at 2.89 and 2.90 eV , while the largest is with the cyclopropyl 151 ( 3.55 eV ) and silyl 152 compounds ( 3.48 eV ); the pyridyl 154 and phenyl-based compounds 156-158 all have similar gaps between $3.04-3.08 \mathrm{eV}$. The relatively close energies between the HOMO levels and the work functions for all compounds makes them suitable for OTFT applications ${ }^{308-311}$, while their relatively large HOMO-LUMO gap makes them air-stable for ambient processing conditions in organic electronic device fabrication.

The FMOs are plotted in Table 28. Relatively little orbital density is observed on the derivatives of compounds 151 and 152, yet significant greater density is observed on the ethynyl bonds than on the other compounds. Compound 153 has orbitals across all carbon atoms in the thiophene unit, yet 154 and 155 lack orbitals on two atoms of their six-membered ring derivatives. In contrast, orbitals are present on all atoms of the phenyl unit and the methyl, methoxy and dimethylamine units, showing the HOMO state can be $\pi$-extended across and beyond the aromatic derivatives. Except for 151 and 152, all LUMO states for compounds 153-159 show extensive orbitals of similar pattern across the breadth of the structures.

Table 28 Frontier molecular orbital plots of the highest occupied and lowest unoccupied with their energies in electron volts (eV).

| Entry | $\Psi_{\text {H }}$ | $\Psi_{\llcorner }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 151 | golille | geséson |
|  | -5.49 | -1.94 |
| 152 |  | goget |
|  | -5.68 | -2.20 |
| 153 | golyole | ocogect. |
|  | $-5.23$ | -2.34 |
| 154 | Oosiley | ogogec |
|  | -5.69 | -2.62 |
| 155 | deajeo | ogegeg |
|  | -5.46 | -2.38 |
| 156 |  | gegent. |
|  | $-5.34$ | -2.27 |
| 157 | yodrodedie | Hegecoy |
|  | -4.81 | -1.91 |
| 158 |  | gogect. |
|  | -5.19 | -2.15 |
| 159 | \%NodODegk |  |
|  | -5.27 | -2.38 |

### 5.3.1.1 Summary of the Design and Modelling

A second type of linear structure for experimental investigation is rationally-designed to diversify the molecular targets from fused-ring structures to include an oligomeric bonding unit which imparts close-packing and charge transfer properties, namely an alkyne unit. The resulting structures complement investigations into optoelectronics by providing a greater degree of structural and heteroatomic variation, which gives rise to different physical properties such as solubility, thermal stability and charge transfer. Their linear molecular shapes are to enable cooperative herring-bone type packing interactions to facilitate relatively high charge-transfer properties.

A novel molecular library was conceived as a rational progression and the next analogue in the fused-thiophene series, utilising DTT as the core and other derivatised moieties for the synthons. The library consists of components which vary the electronic and optical properties of the ethynylDTT fragments through heteroatomic structural diversity of the synthon, and could potentially give rise to both p - and n -type organic semiconductors. In summary, a second type of structure was conceived with candidates designed for soluble and air-stable thin-film organic transistors.

### 5.3.2 Synthetic Strategy

### 5.3.2.1 General Reterosynthesis

The retrosynthesis of the oligo-fused heterocyclic molecular rods via a hybrid approach is comprised of two cuts, both affording Sonogashira reagents. Scheme 63 shows the general retrosynthesis of one particular target (66) which has a phenyl based synthon. Cut $i$. gives the dibromo-DTT molecular fragment (12) and a derivatised alkyne (70), while cut ii. gives a diethynyl-DTT structure (71) which may be unstable, and a brominated phenyl ring (72). A large majority of the molecular fragments identified with the first cut of the retrosynthesis are commercially available, or can be readily synthesised, suggesting they are stable and can fast-track experimental investigations, which is a significant advantage.


Scheme 63. General retrosynthesis of target 156, giving Sonogashira reagents from both cuts; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

### 5.3.2.2 Summary of the Synthetic Strategy

Although the retrosynthesis is relatively simple, one strategy was revealed to result in the synthesis of the potentially unstable core fragment diethynyl-DTT 161; this can now be avoided to ensure stability and solubility throughout synthesis to utilise 54 as identified for the fused-ring structures in the section 5.2. Sonogashira chemistry was identified to be suitable for the target structures, with the most stable synthons containing the ethynyl unit. Such synthons are either synthetically or commercially viable, which ensures the ease of the target synthesis.

### 5.3.3 Forward Synthesis

The hybrid synthetic strategy adopted for these molecular rods start with the synthesis of the synthon units and then C-C coupling to the core DTT fragment (Scheme 64). Raithby et al. ${ }^{299}$ also found isolated diethynyl-DTT to be unstable (cut ii. of the General Retrosynthesis 5.3.2.1) and thus unsuitable for this study. Dibromo-DTT (54) was synthesised according to the latest route reported by Holmes et al., which is a facile, high-yielding three-step route starting from commercially available tetrabromothiophene ${ }^{144}$.

Except for compounds 153, 156 and 159, the derivatised alkynes were used as bought at high-purity grades (98-99+ \%); these were synthesised via a Sonogashira coupling ${ }^{312}$ of a brominated substrate with trimethylsilylacetylene, followed by deprotection with TBAF affording the H-terminated alkyne in high yield. Subsequent addition to 54 using a second Sonogashira reaction gave the target products in exemplary yields of 61-67 \%, compared to the TT analogues ${ }^{294,299,300}$. The alkyne derivatives were chosen for their structural and heteroatom variation, while also designed to ensure good solubility in common organic solvents, an important factor in solution-processed thin-film transistors ${ }^{10,46}$.

Single-crystal X-ray structures of the individual molecules and the unit cell packing for compounds 152, 155, 156, 157 and 158 are presented in Figure 66; compounds 151, 153, 154 and 159 did not crystallise. Compound
A


| $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{1 6 3 )}$ | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{1 6 6 )}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ (164) | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ (160) | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{FI}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{1 6 5}) \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{FI}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{1 6 7})$

B


Scheme 64. Facile synthesis of symmetric dithienothiophenyl ethynyl derivatives under mild Sonogashira conditions using readily available alkynes.

152 crystallised in a monoclinic cell and was solved in the $I 2 /$ a space group, with half a molecule in the asymmetric unit. There is an in-plane bending distortion between the TIPS groups and the DTT core of the single molecular structure. The isopropyl units lock the molecules together into an ideal brick-weave type motif.

The phenyl derivative, compound 155, crystallised in an orthorhombic cell and solved in the $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ space group. The unit cell is remarkably different from all other crystallised compounds in Figure 66, due to the layers stacking perpendicular to each other. The phenyl-hexyl derivative 156, crystallised in a triclinic unit cell and the $P-1$ space group. The hexyl units stack cooperatively, varying significantly from the unit cell of compound 155. The phenyl rings of 6 have a herringbone type arrangement along the crystallographic $a$-axis, with the adjacent molecules bound through S-S (3.400 $\AA$ A) and $S-\pi(3.213 \AA)$ interactions. The aniline derivative 157 was crystallised as yellow-green plates in a monoclinic unit cell in the $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ space group with one molecule in the asymmetric unit from the slow diffusion of hexane into dichloromethane, which remained trapped in the single-crystal in between the layers and located in Figure 3 on the edges of the unit cell.

The methoxy derivative 158 was crystallised from the same conditions as 157 as yellow-green plates in the orthorhombic space group Cmc21 with half a molecule in the asymmetric unit. Interestingly, both 157 and 158 show remarkable structural distortions, where 157 displays an inflection of curvature across the whole molecule, while 8 exhibits a large out-of-plane bend of $34.7^{\circ}$ (Figure 67). This phenomenon suggests these structures have a relatively high degree of flexibility, rather than rigid scaffolds, in the solid state.
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Figure 66. Single crystal X-ray ORTEP plots with thermal ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ level of the single molecules and their packing in the unit cell; hydrogen atoms removed for clarity.

The distances between the adjacent molecules and layers were compared to those in a single-crystal structure of pure DTT. Figure 68 shows several intermolecular distances between the sulfur atoms of the thiophene rings. The sulfur or carbon atoms of the each thiophene in DTT has intermolecular distances with the corresponding ring or to the adjacent



Figure 67. Single crystal X-ray ORTEP plots with thermal ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ level showing an inflection of curvature across 157 and a large out-of-plane bending distortion with 158.
molecule of 3.54-3.75 Å. In comparison, all four of the single-crystal structures presented in this work have very similar intermolecular distances, regardless of the large out-of-plane bends, twisted phenyl rings or solvent incorporation. These distances correlate well with the literature for TT analogues ${ }^{299}$, suggesting these could be ideal candidates for organic semiconductors.

Selected structural parameters from the single-crystal data are presented in Table 29; DFT $^{313}$ calculations were performed for all compounds and the Cartesian coordinates are available in the Appendix B2. The DFT values show exceptional correlation to the single-crystal bond lengths ( $<0.02 \AA$ ) and angles $\left(<3^{\circ}\right)$ and can thus be used with confidence for a reliable structural prediction. The table shows $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 6$ bond length is less than the C3-C4 bond in DTT, suggesting significant aromaticity concentrated in the central thiophene ring. This is corroborated with the differences between the C-S bonds, such that S5-C6 < S5-C4. The alkyne bonds are noticeably longer for the single-crystal structures 152 and 157 than for 156 and 158, possibly owing to the strength in their electron donating power and thus slightly weakening the triple bond; the corresponding DFT calculations for the alkyne bond lengths correlate well, in comparison to the single-crystal data. The bond angle C4-S5-C6 is $91^{\circ}$ for the terminal thiophene, reproduced across all structures and 111-112 ${ }^{\circ}$ for the C=C-C intra-ring angles. The angle C7-C4-S5 between the alkyne bond and
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Figure 68. Single crystal packing of a pure DTT molecule (reproduced from Section 5.2.4.2) and the compounds 152, 156, 157 and 158 , showing the intermolecular distances between the sulfur atoms of the central and outer thiophene rings between the adjacent molecules; presented as single crystal X-ray ORTEP plots with thermal ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ level.
thiophene ring varies between $117-119^{\circ}$, due to the different structural distortion phenomena.

The optical properties of the compounds were probed using UV-Vis spectroscopy (Figure 69) and clearly shows the diversity of their electronic structure. In general, the optical-gap decreases with increasing aromaticity of the derivative, where the silyl 152 has the largest ( 338 nm ) and the dimethylamine derivative 157 has the smallest ( 468 nm ). The split in the absorption peaks of 151 and 152 is not reproduced by the other compounds and although uncommon in the literature, the phenomenon is present for some oligo-fused thiophenes ${ }^{311}$. The pyridyl derivative 154 has an absorption curve that is highly similar to that of compounds 155 and 158, suggesting there is
little difference in terms of electron density or donating and withdrawing power amongst these derivatives. Except for 152, there is relatively little absorption in the 200-300 nm region, although low-intensity peaks are centred on 300 nm , while other peaks at lower wavelengths centred on 250 nm arising from deep energy levels.

Thermal decomposition profiles were investigated with thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) as seen in Figure 70. The profiles for all compounds exhibited two thermal decomposition temperatures, with the second onset being around $381^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for all. This reproducible temperature suggests the origin is the decomposition of the DTT core unit. All compounds show relatively good thermal stability, with the first and lowest decomposition onset of 153 at $89.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed closely by 152 and 154 at 121.1 and $149.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively. Interestingly, compound 5 decomposes at $252.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereas compound 156 decomposes at $381.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, being a $128.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ difference. This suggests strong electron donation highly stabilises the alkyne bond and overall structure.

Table 29. Selected bond lengths ( $A ̊$ ) and angles ( $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) from single crystal structures.


| Bond <br> Length | $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1-C2 | 1.744 | 1.745 | 1.749 | 1.744 | 1.759 |
| S5-C6, | 1.720 | 1.719 | 1.721 | 1.724 | 1.738 |
| C6-C6' | 1.418 | 1.418 | 1.411 | 1.413 | 1.415 |
| C2-C6 | 1.384 | 1.386 | 1.388 | 1.391 | 1.395 |
| C2-C3 | 1.418 | 1.417 | 1.410 | 1.415 | 1.411 |
| C3-C4 | 1.367 | 1.376 | 1.374 | 1.373 | 1.382 |
| C4-C7 | 1.424 | 1.417 | 1.410 | 1.417 | 1.399 |
| C4-S5 | 1.751 | 1.747 | 1.756 | 1.752 | 1.777 |
| C7-C8 | 1.208 | 1.201 | 1.206 | 1.201 | 1.214 |
| Angle |  |  |  |  |  |
| C4-S5-C6 | 90.9 | 91.0 | 91.1 | 91.1 | 90.0 |
| S5-C6-C2 | 111.4 | 111.3 | 110.9 | 110.9 | 111.1 |
| C2-C6-C6 | 112.4 | 112.5 | 11.7 | 11.3 | 112.6 |
| C6-C2-S1 | 112.4 | 112.6 | 112.0 | 112.6 | 112.4 |
| C2-C3-C4 | 111.2 | 110.9 | 111.2 | 111.0 | 112.1 |
| C7-C4-S5 | 117.2 | 117.3 | 111.3 | 118.0 | 120.0 |



Figure 69. Normalised UV-Vis spectra in chloroform at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 70. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) of the sample weight (\%) under nitrogen between $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 5.3.3.1 Summary of Forward Synthesis

In summary, electron rich molecular rods containing a DTT core and capped with a structural variety of derivatised alkynes were synthesised and fully characterised, including optical, electronic, thermal and DFT analysis. It was found that all have excellent solubility in common organic solvents and show ideal packing in the solid state, while compound 156 and 159 are thermally stable up to $381^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These high stabilities and solid-state interactions are suitable for charge-transfer applications in OTFTs.

### 5.3.4 Synthetic Modification Example for Optoelectronics

The successful synthesis and characterisation of the oligo-fused heterocyclic molecular rods in section 5.3.3 enabled the generation of a highly stable small library of compounds for charge transfer applications in solution-processed OTFTs. Compound 153 was selected and developed further with investigations into its use as light-scavenging structure, by synthetic conversion into a strongly absorbing chromophore; such applications include dye sensitized solar cells. There is a growing interest in black dyes and pigments ${ }^{314,315}$ as there are few chromophores that give a truly black colour and these can be split into inorganic and organic structures. To date, the inorganic complexes are based either on chromium ${ }^{316,317}$ (168) or ruthenium ${ }^{314,318}$ (169), as illustrated in Figure 71A. These consist of a metal centre and various derivatised aromatic ligands. Due to toxicity concerns, there has been a shift towards metal-free or purely organic structures, which acquire their black colour from strong electron-donating or withdrawing groups.

There are two common types of organic chromophores, as shown in Figure 71B and include i) the azo species Acid Black $1^{319}$ (170) and substituted amino-arylazothiazoles ${ }^{320}$ (171) and ii) the indoline-thiazole Indoline Dye $4^{321}$ (172) and phenoxazine-thiazole ${ }^{322}$ TH304 (173); these structures are strongly inter-related. The Acid Black-based dyes are widely used throughout industrial colouration and solar cell technology, while the least designed and worst performing are the amino-arylazothiazoles, in strong contrast to the rationally-designed Indoline Dye 4. Acid Black 1 has a typical diazo-based dye structure with electron donating $\mathrm{NH}_{2} / \mathrm{OH}$ groups and $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ withdrawing groups, while the substituted amino-arylazothiazoles mixes azo and thiazole units. The Indoline Dye 4 utilises electron-rich phenyl units for the donating group with the highly conjugated thiazoline units for the withdrawing group. The TH304 is only a slight variant of Indoline Dye 4, with a different electron-donating octyl-phenoxazine unit. These typical structures and their many derivatives are widely reported for the design of stronglyabsorbing chromophores.
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Figure 71. Selected black pigments and their structures, a) conventional inorganic, b) typical organic and c) novel organic chromophore.

Many derivatives of both the inorganic and organic structures in Figure 71 A and B, include sulfate or acidic groups that have a second role of binding to a given substrate. Their polar nature and acidity enables the colorants to be water soluble, and unfortunately, extremely hygroscopic once in the solid state or thin-film. While water solubility is desirable for eluent processing in dyeing textiles or thin-film formation on a substrate, it has significant disadvantages in organic electronic device applications. It is highly desirable for structures to operate on substrates or in devices such as dye-sensitised solar cells without them being sensitive to environment variables. The
challenge is to synthesise a strongly-conjugated chromophore that absorbs light across hundreds of nanometers and be soluble in the solvent of choice. In stark contrast to the structures presented in Figure 1, the pigment synthesised in this study, designated as Leeds Black 154 or (LB154, Figure 71C, 174), has a unique chromophore, comprised of dithienothiophenyl (DTT), 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ), and two hexylthiophenes which facilitate excellent solubility in common organic solvents and optoelectronic properties. Herein, we report a bifunctional smart material consisting of a water-repellent organic black pigment with a novel and strongly-absorbing panchromatic chromophore.

### 5.3.4.1 Synthesis and Characterisation

Scheme 65 shows the synthesis of 174. Compound 153 was designed for organic transistor applications ${ }^{323}$ but its electron-rich nature and high stability is perfectly suited to electron-poor adducts such as nitriles. Following the extensive work by Diederich ${ }^{289}$ and Shojii ${ }^{276,324,325}$ on single and double alkyne cycloadditions with nitrile adducts via a ring-opening of tetracyanocyclobutene intermediates, TCNQ was added in a similar fashion to the electron-rich molecular rod and afford the target material in moderate yield (45 \%).

The chromophore absorption is strong enough to absorb all incident light in solution, as illustrated in Figure 72A, which shows total opacity of the pigment in acetonitrile. Figure 72 B and C ) shows the excellent thin-film formation on both glass and paper substrates respectively.


Scheme 65. Synthesis of 174 from molecular rod 156.


Figure 72. The strong absorption of the compound 174 as $A$ ) total opacity in acetonitrile solution ( 10.6 mg in 10 mL ) and examples of the pigment on B ) glass and C) paper showing excellent thin-film formation.

The unique chromophore of LB154 ensures a strong 600 nm absorption across the visible and ultraviolet regions between 200 and 800 nm (Figure 73). The broad lower intensity peak at longer wavelengths ( 650 nm ) is considered to arise from a TCNQ excitation while the sharp intense peak at lower wavelengths ( 250 nm ) and broad shoulder absorption up to 400 nm is due to the DTT absorption unit. This is confirmed with the comparison of the UV-Vis absorption spectrum of 153, which shows the most intense peak centred at 400 nm and contains vibrational modes at 250 nm . Moreover, 174 still retains absorption at its lowest intensity around 500 nm , ensuring the structure does not yield any colour in this region.


Figure 73. UV-Vis absorption spectra of pure 156 and 174 in chloroform at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed to probe the energy gap of the highest occupied and lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (HOMO and LUMO respectively) and elucidate orbital contributions (Figure 74). 174's strong optical HOMO energy level is localised to one of the TCNQ adducts. The LUMO energy level spreads across the breadth of the backbone of the structure. The overlap of the low energy HOMO/LUMO orbital densities may be a large contributing factor for the strong panchromatic absorption. Moreover, the HOMO-LUMO gap is 2.04 eV , which is greater than that of pentacene ${ }^{148}$, by 0.204 eV , proving 174 has strong air stability for processing under ambient conditions.


Figure 74. HOMO-LUMO orbital plots and associated energies of 174 in eV .
The overlapping of the low energy HOMO and LUMO orbital densities across the structure and low energy gap suggest significant suitability for both light scavenging and charge-transfer applications. The hexyl units were omitted for computational time.

Figure 75 shows the reflectance spectra of dyed paper, and shows an inflection at 560 nm , correlating well with the UV-Vis absorption. It is important to note that the reflectance does not achieve higher than $14.1 \%$ at 700 nm , clearly indicating the strength of the chromophore. The K/S strength value was found to be 4.283 and the colour of the sample was measured in the CIELAB colour space, giving $35.75,-0.22$ and -1.56 for $L^{*}$, $a^{*}$ and $b^{*}$ respectively, in agreement with the literature ${ }^{326}$.


Figure 75. The reflectance spectrum of dyed paper with 174 showing an inflection at 560 nm .

Figure 76 illustrates the thermal gravimetric profile of 174, and shows the first decomposition onset at $101.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a second at $370.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Even at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the weight still remains at $96.7 \%$, showing good thermal stability. The first onset could be due to the removal of the outer hexyl and nitrile units from the structure, followed by the slow decomposition of the highly conjugated core of the molecule, which may explain the long and gradual weight loss, with the second onset due to the final decomposition of the core DTT unit.


Figure 76. Thermal gravimetric profile of 174 operated under nitrogen as sample weight (\%) between 25 and $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; first decomposition onset at $101.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and second at $370.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 5.3.4.2 Summary of the Synthetic Modification Example

In summary, a novel black organic pigment has been synthesised that contains a unique donor-acceptor chromophore. The material was found to be panchromatic and absorbs over a 600 nm window between 200 and 800 nm . The thin-films form excellent adhesion to both glass and paper substrates and in acetonitrile the solution is rendered completely opaque, with complete solvation in other common organic solvents. These results suggest the solution-processable and air-stable structure will have wide-ranging applications in organic optoelectronics. Furthermore, this example illustrates the propensity for an alkyne spacer unit between two electron rich aromatic groups to be synthetically converted and transform the organic electronic substrate to an organic optoelectronic structure, thereby bridging these two fields.

Chapter 6
Conclusions

In conclusion, a wide range of phenomena for two target structure types and their associated properties were thoroughly investigated, including the mechanisms of formation and condition optimisation towards their molecular fragments. These structures were rationally designed and their charge transfer properties were calculated. Overall, the approach of the virtual screening followed by synthetic investigations was advantageous in the pursuit of novel and high-performing organic semiconductors, with some candidates selected and probed for organic electronic applications, while others were found to be relatively lower performing and thus not pursued, thereby saving both time and resources. These results suggest that the solution-processable and air-stable fused-ring and oligo-fused heteroacene structures will have wide-ranging applications in organic optoelectronics. The multi-functional fused-ring target 44 has the theoretical suitability for use in both the electronic and optical fields, and a potential device which incorporates both. Finally, the electron rich DTT-based molecular rods have strong potential as organic semiconductors for organic electronic applications. The following sections concludes the findings in more detail with respect to each section, but begins by outlying the theoretical methodology used.

### 6.1 Theoretical Methodology

Exceptionally high levels of accuracy for all structures and property calculations was ensured from deploying a mixed methodology. This comprised of optimising the structures from (1) molecular mechanics and (2) quantum mechanics, through DFT; all subsequent property calculations were performed with DFT. The level of theory deployed was selected to be appropriate for the atoms in the molecular structures investigated, and as structures such as the thiophene synthon include bromine, a row two element, the appropriate complete basis set called $6-311 \mathrm{G}$ was chosen. Due to the varied properties investigated, both polarisation and diffuse functions were included to yield the $6-311++G(d, p)$ basis set that is ideal for organic semiconductor calculations. This is in contrast to the commonly used $6-31+G(d)$ basis set, as a number of challenging structures were investigated, many of which are either novel or have unknown crystal structures and thus small and incomplete basis sets are inappropriate. In addition, all calculations were compared to either experimental observations or 'chemically accurate' theories such as CCSD, which was deployed in the synthon reaction
mechanism to confirm the exergonic nature of the las two steps in absence of experimental values.

From a wide choice of DFT functional to choose from, a number of which were screened against the experimentally observed properties of pentacene, one of the most famous acene p-type organic semiconductor. It was found that the B3LYP functional proved to be the best across all properties investigated and thus used for subsequent calculations for both acenes and heteroacenes.

To perform the high-accuracy calculations with efficiency and in parallel, the latest computational chemistry software called Gaussian 09 was used, and operated on a HPC computer system, enabling multiple calculations to run continuously until completion.

With regards to the semiconductor properties, FMOs such as the HOMO, LUMO and their energy differences ( $E_{\mathrm{g}}$ ) and Marcus Theory were probed for air-stability and charge transfer, respectively. Those of charge transfer include the ionisation energy, electron affinity, internal reorganisation energy, the transfer integral and the rate of charge transfer. Theories other than that of Marcus for the calculation of the ionisation energy include the Koopman's Theorem (KT), the Outer Valence Green's Function (OVGF), and a variant, the third-order electron propagator (P3).

For the molecular synthon fragment studies, location for the transition state structures proved key, and acquired through the synchronous transit-guided quasi-Newton (STQN) method. Once located, the transition states were confirmed by performing intrinsic reaction coordinate calculations (IRCs) in both the forwards and reverse direction, which gave the starting reagents and products. The difference between the optimised reagents and products gave the reaction step energies, and confirmed with the chemically accurate CCSD theory as described above.

Numerous solvation methods were deployed but none gave satisfactory results; these calculations were hindered by the lack of correlation in the literature with regards to aggregation or dispersion. Hence, all calculations for structures and properties of both the molecular fragments and the organic semiconductors were performed in vacuum rather than solution or solid state. Reliability of the results was determined by comparison to experimental results or theoretical calculations in the literature.

### 6.2 Pentacene's Isomers

Through DFT, it was found that at least four of pentacene's eleven known isomers are highly suited as potential organic semiconductors and alternative candidates to pentacene itself. Their air-stabilities are on average higher, due to their $E_{g}$ being 1-2 eV greater than pentacene. Interestingly, those four isomers, namely benzo[b]chrysene, naphtha[c]phenanthrene, dibenzo[a,c]anthracene and benzo[a]tetracene, are relatively less stable than the remaining isomers, yet have the highest rates of charge transfer of the order 1.3-2.9 $\times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the $3.5 \AA$ intermolecular spacing. This is significant considering (1) that of pentacene is $4.0 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and (2) isomer dibenzo[a,c]anthracene is a non-planar structure and thus breaks the rule-of-thumb of planarity for high charge transfer candidates.

The remarkable differences in air-stability between pentacene and picene and the similarities between four other isomers to picene suggests these are worth of experimental investigations. Moreover, the rate of charge-transfer of these isomers tend to out-perform picene by an order of magnitude. Such structures have yet to be experimentally investigated and the calculations give merit to a theoretical approach which minimises the time and resources used to produce high-performing organic semiconducting materials. In addition, the third-order electron propagator (P3) was found to give the most accurate ionisation energies and should thus be used over other theories, including DFT, KT and pure OVGF.

### 6.3 Fused-Ring Heteroacenes

From the understandings generated from the studies on pentacene's isomers, investigations into heteroacenes were conducted for dual-purpose device applications, such as charge transfer and nonlinear optics. These began by comparing the charge transfer properties of two well-known structures. It was evident that the larger heterocyclic homologue, B5TB, with two more thiophenes in the core, performs relatively worse than the smaller homologue. This is in contrast with the general rule that the charge transfer properties increase with conjugation. It was clear that this rule does not necessarily apply to homologues, but could still work for the B5TB chemical formula if it was re-arranged to give a new structure. In fact, isomerisation of B5TB generated
six fused-ring isomers, which could also be generated from the heterocyclic $\pi$-expansion of DBDTT.

In an attempt to determine if these new six structures could indeed have improved properties, their air-stabilities and charge transfer properties were investigated with DFT. Although somewhat expected, the isomers did not show suitability for n-type devices, but did show remarkable application for p-type devices, owing to (1) air-stability, with all six isomers showering equal or greater stability than B5TB; (2) relatively high vertical ionisation energies; (3) ideal internal hole reorganisation energies, < 0.1 eV ; (4) relatively good transfer integrals ( $t_{h} \sim 100 \mathrm{meV}$ ) with associated rates of hole charge transfer between $0.02-0.168 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which is the same order of magnitude as pentacene (13).

The calculated UV-Vis spectra revealed interesting line shapes that correlated with the HOMO levels of the isomers, and suggested that although all gave a broad absorption between ca. 200 and 450 nm, the isomers 2 and 3 gave the most intense absorption centred on 300 and 400 nm respectively. It would therefore be of great interest to synthesise and compare the optical properties of these structures. In addition, their NLO properties were probed. The first-order hyperpolarisabilities were calculated at the experimentally applied frequency of 1064 nm for (1) the Pockels effect, which has applications in two-photon microscopy and quantum key security by the polarisation of light in Pockel cell devices, and (2) second harmonic generation with applications in optical switches. It was found that one isomer (2) gave exceptional hyperpolarisabilities for both effects and had excellent charge transfer properties, thus inferring that the structure would be suitable as a multi-response material for both electronic and NLO applications. There is the potential for the NLO outputs to be generated by the ionised form of 44 in a typical OTFT device and thus enhancing electronic miniaturisation.

Having theoretically characterised the properties of the six isomers, two were selected and underwent retrosynthesis to determine the molecular fragments to start in the forward direction. It was considered that both the divergent and convergent routes would give rise to challenging reactive conditions or unstable structures and thus a hybrid approach was deemed the most appropriate in terms of stability. The phenyl ring was selected for synthetic manipulation due to the availability of known chemistries. Ring-formation conditions for 44 such as Diels-Alder reactions and Sigmatropic rearrangements gave rise to potentially unstable starting
reagents and intermediates that would be challenging to oxidise in the final step. An acid-catalysed route was realised to give molecular fragments of differing functional groups and thus a small library of fragments were generated. Th retrosynthesis of 45 suggested that C-H activated cyclisation via a transition metal catalysis or organic base was preferential. Considering these fragments and their associated forward conditions, a retrosynthetically-designed forward route was selected for both 44 and 45.

The synthon molecular fragment was first investigated and it was immediately observed that the Halogen Dance reaction was key to the success with this structure. Initial efforts found the reaction to give the target synthon in modest yield but after realising there was little evidence in the literature to support the reported reaction mechanism, a DFT study was undertaken with a high level of theory to give a deeper understanding into this highly useful reaction. Among numerous discoveries, it was found that the first step needed to be cryogenically cooled for a short period of time (i.e. 1-2 h) then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight; this insight led to higher yields in excess of $88 \%$ for the synthon. These conditions were finally understood from the discovery that the lithiation of the other positions on the thiophene ring are highly sensitive to temperature, and need to be carefully controlled; the remaining reaction steps are exergonic and occur readily after the first successful lithiation step.

Next, the DTT core molecular fragment was investigated. There are four well-known routes to DTT in the literature, but all of which involve lithiation chemistry and some include unstable reagents and intermediates. Thus, there was significant room for improvement, by developing alternative conditions that were relatively cheap, commercially available, stable with long shelf lives, and did not involve lithiation chemistry. This was achieved once it was realised that the four literature routes to date insert the sulfur atoms as electrophiles, being attacked by the lithiated anions as the nucleophiles. Reversing this chemistry generated the new conditions such that the sulfur became the nucleophile and the thiophene substrate the electrophile. Multiple conditions were probed and it was found that sodium sulfate, potassium carbonate and copper iodide gave the best yields, although these were on average below $50 \%$. Application of microwave synthesis in sealed vials gave significant improvement, to near-quantitative yields (96-97 \%); the product formation was confirmed from NMR and single-crystal structures.

The synthon and core molecular fragments were synthesised in the forward direction towards 44, using the understandings from the DFT work and conditions developed, and then these fragments were combined and gave the condensed intermediate towards the target isomer. It was found this intermediate was challenging to purify, but was successfully used as crude in the next step and gave the reduced structure; this intermediate was well characterised. Unfortunately, the penultimate step, of converting the bromine into a carbonyl substituent, was not successful; neither the lithiation and quench nor the palladium-catalysed carbonylation gave the desired intermediate. It was considered that this intermediate was too stable, especially the bromine substituent, and the DTT core unexpectedly increased the reactivity of the methylene protons; alternative conditions were suggested for future work. 45 was then investigated, and it was found that the functionalisation of the 3-ethynylthiophene synthon proved unstable during purification, probably owing to the withdrawing nature of the alkyne bond which made the stannyl and boryl cross-coupling groups labile. Nevertheless, the synthetic route was re-addressed to add the ethynyl units to the thiophene backbone of the target. The all-thiophene linear substrate was successfully synthesised and the subsequent steps of (1) double bromination via one-equivalent aliquot additions and (2) double Sonogashira gave the final intermediate. Once more, this structure proved too stable for all attempted cyclisation conditions, as recommended widely in the literature; alternative conditions and structural modifications were considered for future work.

### 6.4 Oligo-Fused Heteroacenes

As outlined in the project plan, a second type of structure was investigated, to compliment the organic electronic device applications of interest but to also contrast in terms of molecular structure; this second type of structure may induce varied physical properties. The structure consisted of oligomeric bonds and fused-ring segments, such that the overall structure would impart greater solubility not just from the alkyl chains, but also from its greater degree of entropy from potential rotation and stretches of the oligomeric bonds. Single and double bonds were immediately ruled out due to their extensive coverage in the literature, which highlighted alkyne bonds as a potential point of exploration, and did indeed have a gap in the literature, especially for the incorporation of DTT fragments. The molecular shape of the targets were
considered to be linear, owing to the poorly performing nature of the trigonal and tetragonal shapes giving rise to discotic packing and thus poor mobilities.

Once the bonding nature and the linear shape was determined, a small library of molecular rod-like compounds was designed from the consideration of (1) the derivative structure such as alkyl or aromatic unit; (2) the type of aromatic, i.e. thiophene, piridyl, phenyl, and fluoryl; (3) alkyl and heteroatomic substituents. The DFT modelling revealed their HOMO levels to bracket the work function of a gold electrode, and their $E_{g}$ to be as stable as the fused-ring heteroacene isomers, with energy gaps between $2.89-3.55 \mathrm{eV}$.

Their retrosynthesis proved to be relatively simple, with a single disconnection utilising Sonogashira conditions in the forward direction; one instability issue highlighted was the double ethynyl-substituent on the DTT fragment from one retrosynthetic cut, which enabled this route to be avoided. The forward synthesis involved the derivatisation of some alkynes and the direct addition of others which were commercially available. The total number of synthetic steps did not exceed three and the relatively high-yielding Sonogashira conditions meant the route was efficient and desirable for the production of novel organic semiconductors.

The single crystals of five of the compounds, namely the silyl, phenyl, hexyl-phenyl, dimethylamine-phenyl and the methoxy-phenyl derivatives were obtained. The TIPS derivative gave a highly regular packing motif, while the phenyl derivative gave interpenetrating layers where the molecules were perpendicular to each other; such an arrangement is not ideal for organic semiconductors due to the low probability of charge transfer from one molecule to another in the perpendicular direction, especially under the influence of an applied field in an OFET type device. Interestingly, the remaining hexyl-phenyl, dimethlamin-phenyl and methoxy-phenyl derivatives gave the desired interlayer slipped-stack array in the solid state. These observations suggest derivatised alkynes may have a greater propensity for charge transfer due to electrostatic and $\pi-\pi$ interactions between the derivatised groups. Moreover, all of the derivatives regardless of their bulkyl or linear nature, proved to pack with similar or better intermolecular distances between the DTT cores (3.5-3.7 Å), in comparison to the crystal structure of pure and unmodified DTT. These distances are ideal for the packing of organic semiconductors and suggest high-performance in the solid state.

These compounds exhibited good thermal stability, with some (thiophenyl, hexyl-phenyl, and fluoryl) having stabilities as high as $381^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Their excellent solubility, high thermal stability and ideal solid state packing suggests suitability for operation in organic transistor devices.

In addition, one compound was selected and synthetically modified to show the interconvertive nature of the alkyne bond and thus transform the potential organic semiconductor into a strongly absorbing chromophore for light-scavenging applications, such as dye-sensitised solar cells. A strong electrophile such as 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) was added in DMF and gave a novel pigment, considered to be only the fifth organic black pigment known, and the first to consist of an unconventional panchromatic chromophore spanning 600 nm that does not contain a diazo or indoline-based substrate. The synthesised air-stable compound is also hydrophobic and thus ideal for operation under ambient conditions in optoelectronic applications such as solar cells.

Chapter 7
Future Work

Due to the success of the DFT work deployed for modelling the structures and properties of both acenes and heteroacenes, a greater structural diversity could be probed in the future, considering non-planar three-dimensional structures such as Mobiüs-type molecules, short nanotubes and fullerene-like structures, for the development of the next generation of candidates for optoelectronic applications. Reaction mechanisms for ring-closing cyclisations could be explored, catalysed by both, acid, transition metal and organic base. Charge transfer calculations on the oligo-fused heterocycle single crystals would give a deep and highly accurate understanding of the process in the solid state for these structures.

The synthesis of the fused-ring heterocycle isomers is to continue, with emphasis on the alternative routes and conditions as described in the Section 5.2.6. Other options include the potential use of a convergent or divergent approach with retrosynthetic strategies and DFT modelling to deduce a suitable forward route.

The successful synthesis and characterisation of a diverse library of oligo-fused heterocycles has provided insight into which alkyne derivatives provide the most thermally stable structures and a selected example was shown to readily undergo synthetic modification of the alkyne into a panchromatic chromophore for light-scavenging applications. These observations could be developed by extending the length of the compounds with additional oligo-fused units to determine how their length affects their stability, solubility and charge-transfer properties.

The focus of the work to date is on symmetric targets, and thus one novel approach would be to design, model and synthesise asymmetric organic semiconductor candidates. Such structures may have unique alignments in the solid state and thus additional applications in organic magnetic materials and memory devices. These could be comprised of a mixture of the fused and oligomeric bonding nature investigated and yield a diverse library of new materials for optoelectronic applications.
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Appendix A
Single-Crystal X-Ray Structure Refinement Data

Table 30. Crystal data and structure refinement for the core molecular fragment 51.


| Identification code | LJ171214 Mo |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 196.29 |
| Temperature/K | 120.01(11) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | P21/c |
| a/Å | 10.2883(12) |
| b/Å | 3.9439(4) |
| c/Å | 19.3432(18) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90.00 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 102.647(10) |
| $\mathrm{V}^{\circ}$ | 90.00 |
| Volume/A ${ }^{3}$ | 765.84(14) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.702 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.883 |
| F(000) | 400.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.36 \times 0.13 \times 0.07$ |
| Radiation | MoKa ( $\lambda=0.71073$ ) |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 6.54 to 56.52 |
| Index ranges | $-13 \leq h \leq 12,-5 \leq k \leq 5,-17 \leq 1 \leq 25$ |
| Reflections collected | 4494 |
| Independent reflections | 1897 [R $\left.\mathrm{Rint}=0.0708, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0807\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 1897/0/100 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.229 |
| Final R indexes [l>=2 $\sigma(1)$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1067, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2699$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1174, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2749$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 1.28/-0.76 |

Table 31. Crystal data and structure refinement for the oligo-fused heteroacene compound 152.


| Identification code | LJ48iii_Mo |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 557.01 |
| Temperature/K | 120.03(10) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | 12/a |
| a/Å | 21.1832(17) |
| b/Å | 6.8954(3) |
| c/Å | 23.3507(15) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90.00 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 113.436(9) |
| $\mathrm{V}^{1}$ | 90.00 |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 3129.4(4) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.182 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.331 |
| F(000) | 1200.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.21 \times 0.16 \times 0.13$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoKa}(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 6.2 to 52.74 |
| Index ranges | $-26 \leq h \leq 22,-8 \leq k \leq 8,-29 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 29$ |
| Reflections collected | 11120 |
| Independent reflections | 3191 [Rint $=0.0482$, R ${ }_{\text {sigma }}=0.0476$ ] |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 3191/0/165 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.044 |
| Final R indexes [l>=2 $\sigma(1)$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0371, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0792$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0464, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0840$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.33/-0.25 |

Table 32. Crystal data and structure refinement for the oligo-fused heteroacene compound 155.


| Identification code | LJ236_Cu_c |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 396.52 |
| Temperature/K | 120.00(10) |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic |
| Space group | P 212121 |
| a/Å | 5.13090(6) |
| b/Å | 17.0690(2) |
| c/Å | 20.4378(3) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\mathrm{V}^{1}$ | 90 |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 1789.93(4) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.471 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 3.816 |
| F(000) | 816.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.34 \times 0.12 \times 0.09$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuKa}(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 6.746 to 147.904 |
| Index ranges | $-6 \leq h \leq 6,-20 \leq k \leq 21,-24 \leq 1 \leq 21$ |
| Reflections collected | 17205 |
| Independent reflections | 3555 [Rint $\left.=0.0409, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0254\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 3555/0/244 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.067 |
| Final R indexes [l>=2 $\sigma(1)]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0243, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0619$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0256, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0630$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.22/-0.20 |
| Flack parameter | -0.003(7) |

Table 33. Crystal data and structure refinement for the oligo-fused heteroacene compound 156.


| Identification code | MG054_d_Cu |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 564.83 |
| Temperature/K | 99.9(4) |
| Crystal system | triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| a/Å | 11.58459(13) |
| b/Å | 15.8530(2) |
| $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{A}$ | 19.0367(2) |
| $\mathrm{a} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 100.6239(11) |
| B/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 102.3467(10) |
| $y ? /{ }^{\circ}$ | 110.7141(12) |
| Volume/Å3 | 3062.41(7) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcmg }} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | 1.225 |
| $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{mm}-1$ | 2.373 |
| F(000) | 1200 |
| Crystal size/mm3 | $0.18 \times 0.16 \times 0.1$ |
| Radiation | CuKa ( $\lambda=1.54184$ ) |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection | 6.22 to $133.18^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $\begin{gathered} -13=\mathrm{h}=13,-18=\mathrm{k}=18,-22=\mathrm{l} \\ =22 \end{gathered}$ |
| Reflections collected | 58533 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{gathered} 10441 \text { [Rint }=0.0318, \text { Rsigma }= \\ 0.0199] \end{gathered}$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 10441/0/707 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F2 | 1.028 |
| Final R indexes [l>=2s ( l ]] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0324, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0887$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $R 1=0.0405, w R 2=0.0968$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.28/-0.25 |

Table 34. Crystal data and structure refinement for the oligo-fused heteroacene compound 158.


| Identification code | LJ168ii_Mo |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 456.57 |
| Temperature/K | 120.01(10) |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic |
| Space group | Cmc21 |
| $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{A}$ | 49.998(2) |
| b/Å | 7.3008(4) |
| c/Å | 5.9467(4) |
| a/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| B/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\mathrm{Y} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/Å3 | 2170.7(2) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.397 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}-1$ | 0.363 |
| F(000) | 944 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.29 \times 0.25 \times 0.05$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoKa}(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 6.91 to 62.368 |
| Index ranges | $-56=h=70,-9=k=10,-8=\mathrm{l}=$ <br> 7 |
| Reflections collected | 7607 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{gathered} 3016\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0313, R_{\text {sigma }}=\right. \\ 0.0387] \end{gathered}$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 3016/1/143 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F2 | 1.064 |
| Final R indexes [l>=2s ( l ]] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0345, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0832$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $R 1=0.0365, w R 2=0.0845$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.34/-0.34 |
| Flack parameter | 0.06(4) |

Table 35. Crystal data and structure refinement for the oligo-fused heteroacene compound 157.


| Identification code | LJ164ii_Cu |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{28.4} \mathrm{H}_{22.8} \mathrm{Cl}_{0.8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 516.63 |
| Temperature/K | 120.01(10) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | P21/c |
| a/Å | 19.2742(3) |
| b/Å | 5.92056(9) |
| c/Å | 22.4913(3) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 99.3220(13) |
| $\mathrm{V}^{1}$ | 90 |
| Volume/A ${ }^{3}$ | 2532.67(6) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.355 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 3.603 |
| F(000) | 1075.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.19 \times 0.11 \times 0.09$ |
| Radiation | CuKa ( $\lambda=1.54184$ ) |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 7.968 to 148.634 |
| Index ranges | $-23 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 16,-7 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 7,-27 \leq 1 \leq 28$ |
| Reflections collected | 18715 |
| Independent reflections | $5100\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0441, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0378\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 5100/2/320 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.039 |
| Final R indexes [l>=2 $\sigma$ ( I ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0532, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1422$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0584, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1474$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.88/-0.90 |

## Appendix B1

Molecular Modelling - Energies

## Charge Transfer Modelling for Acenes

Table 36. Optimised and single-point energies of the neutral, anionic and cationic isomers, in Hartree (atomic energy units); calculated at the B3LYP/6$311++G(d, p)$ level.

| Entry | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{MOPT})$ | $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} \mathrm{OPT}\right)$ | $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} \mathrm{SP}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | -846.9971 | -846.7698 | -844.3460 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | -847.0235 | -846.7623 | -847.0200 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | -847.0117 | -846.7519 | -847.0082 |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | -847.0189 | -846.7683 | -847.0166 |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | -847.0237 | -846.7669 | -847.0205 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | -847.0175 | -846.7640 | -847.0142 |
| $\mathbf{3 6}$ | -847.0028 | -846.7498 | -847.0007 |
| $\mathbf{3 7}$ | -847.0094 | -846.7595 | -847.0070 |
| $\mathbf{3 8}$ | -847.0196 | -846.7601 | -847.0172 |
| $\mathbf{3 9}$ | -847.0233 | -846.7647 | -847.0209 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | -847.0127 | -846.7714 | -847.0106 |
| $\mathbf{4 1}$ | -847.0137 | -846.7506 | -847.0112 |

Table 37. Reorganisation energies and vertical ionization and electron affinities, in electron volts; calculated at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level.

| Entry | $\lambda_{1}$ | $\lambda_{2}$ | $I E_{\mathrm{v}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 0.049 | 0.05 | 6.23 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 0.09 | 0.10 | 7.20 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 0.09 | 0.09 | 7.16 |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 0.06 | 0.06 | 6.88 |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | 0.08 | 0.09 | 7.07 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 0.09 | 0.09 | 6.99 |
| $\mathbf{3 6}$ | 0.06 | 0.06 | 6.94 |
| $\mathbf{3 7}$ | 0.07 | 0.07 | 6.87 |
| $\mathbf{3 8}$ | 0.06 | 0.06 | 7.12 |
| $\mathbf{3 9}$ | 0.07 | 0.07 | 7.10 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.06 | 0.06 | 6.62 |
| $\mathbf{4 1}$ | 0.08 | 0.07 | 7.24 |

## Frequency Data

Table 38. Frequency Data of Optimized Pentacene (13) at different theoretical levels.

| BLYP/6-311++G(d,p) |  | BLYP-D3/6-311++G(d,p) |  | LC-BLYP/6-311++G(d,p) |  | B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequencies } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Intensity ( $\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequencies } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequencies (cm } \\ \text { 1) } \end{gathered}$ | Intensity ( $\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequencies (cm } \\ \text { 1) } \end{gathered}$ | Intensity <br> ( $\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) |
| 37.1504 | 0.405104 | 36.7728 | 0.403913 | 38.727 | 0.437084 | 38.3943 | 0.463931 |
| 68.0469 | 0 | 67.4176 | 0 | 74.0331 | 0 | 71.2587 | 0 |
| 98.0992 | 0 | 97.2381 | 0 | 105.24 | 0 | 101.929 | 0 |
| 116.119 | 0.946125 | 117.606 | 0.951891 | 121.746 | 1.11344 | 119.1 | 1.15668 |
| 142.437 | 0 | 141.332 | 0 | 151.797 | 0 | 148.079 | 0 |
| 186.861 | 1.28581 | 185.62 | 1.28716 | 199.44 | 1.2156 | 193.78 | 1.42459 |
| 231.411 | 0 | 230.01 | 0 | 244.419 | 0 | 238.12 | 0 |
| 232.154 | 0 | 235.64 | 0 | 248.22 | 0 | 239.006 | 0 |
| 255.943 | 0 | 256.833 | 0 | 269.98 | 0 | 263.194 | 0 |
| 285.327 | 0 | 283.816 | 0 | 296.584 | 0 | 294.901 | 0 |
| 335.905 | 0 | 334.361 | 0 | 361.334 | 0 | 348.506 | 0 |
| 352.953 | 0.246005 | 359.704 | 0.247983 | 370.754 | 0.0538951 | 361.752 | 0.212916 |
| 367.371 | 0.00148196 | 365.604 | 0.00211861 | 394.479 | 0.0918644 | 381.123 | 0.00375474 |
| 442.421 | 0 | 448.204 | 0 | 465.895 | 0 | 453.994 | 0 |
| 454.877 | 30.1833 | 453.641 | 29.7521 | 486.651 | 47.1186 | 472.413 | 39.3274 |
| 457.486 | 0 | 456.193 |  | 487.803 | 0 | 473.798 | 0 |
| 460.828 | 0 | 459.136 | 0 | 501.29 | 7.851 | 479.465 | 6.13812 |
| 462.348 | 8.05096 | 460.813 | 8.67941 | 502.31 | 0.0189361 | 480.168 | 0 |
| 477.284 | 10.3485 | 478.906 | 10.5457 | 509.003 | 0 | 490.185 | 10.5833 |
| 499.323 | 0 | 504.807 | 0 | 525.436 | 0 | 512.562 | 0 |
| 509.234 | 0 | 508.146 | 0 | 536.113 | 0 | 523.746 | 0 |
| 534.454 | 0 | 533.265 | 0 | 564.16 | 0 | 550.502 | 0 |
| 564.706 | 1.99927 | 565.007 | 2.05283 | 588.138 | 5.02399 | 579.057 | 3.12196 |
| 600.697 | 0 | 600.83 | 0 | 626.193 | 0 | 615.668 | 0 |
| 623.004 | 4.89714 | 622.391 | 4.77397 | 649.818 | 5.07876 | 638.496 | 5.61179 |
| 629.809 | 0 | 629.181 | 0 | 661.074 | 0 | 646.107 | 0 |
| 685.182 | 0 | 684.281 | 0 | 741.413 | 0 | 709.682 | 0 |
| 705.263 | 0 | 706.3 | 0 | 743.957 | 3.8619 | 724.765 | 0 |
| 720.532 | 88.1594 | 719.182 | 88.1788 | 744.139 | 0 | 743.723 | 3.29136 |
| 720.926 | 2.51594 | 720.958 | 2.39604 | 773.256 | 93.5886 | 747.441 | 97.5764 |
| 724.337 | 0 | 723.291 | 0 | 778.84 | 0 | 748.578 | 0 |
| 725.65 | 0 | 724.353 | 0 | 783.747 | 0 | 752.81 | 0 |
| 734.66 | 0 | 734.044 | 0 | 796.066 | 0 | 761.404 | 0 |
| 745.77 | 0 | 744.675 | 0 | 800.699 | 0 | 768.324 | 0 |
| 748.873 | 0 | 747.718 | 0 | 810.656 | 0 | 772.257 | 0 |
| 768.668 | 0 | 774.139 | 0 | 824.971 | 0 | 794.54 | 0 |
| 811.506 | 0 | 810.139 | 0 | 858.793 | 0.165472 | 837.049 | 0.18597 |
| 813.051 | 17.6234 | 811.883 | 17.6871 | 871.288 | 0 | 841.031 | 0 |
| 813.397 | 0.181328 | 815.351 | 0.215251 | 875.759 | 0 | 846.653 | 0 |
| 817.378 | 0 | 816.195 | 0 | 899.121 | 18.263 | 847.82 | 19.5533 |
| 840.979 | 0 | 839.995 | 0 | 920.166 | 0 | 872.993 | 0 |
| 857.822 | 0 | 856.812 | 0 | 924.008 | 0.199557 | 888.463 | 0.432789 |
| 858.854 | 0.529483 | 863.559 | 0 | 943.44 | 3.56494 | 895.375 | 0 |
| 864.328 | 0 | 872.989 | 0.753907 | 950.921 |  | 898.782 | 0 |
| 877.75 | 0 | 877.089 | 0 | 955.051 | 0 | 912.97 | 0 |
| 889.825 | 88.7107 | 888.921 | 88.4665 | 957.126 | 0 | 921.79 | 2.59596 |
| 896.708 | 1.75655 | 896.93 | 1.79097 | 981.351 | ${ }^{0}$ | 929.919 | 100 |
| 910.91 | 0 | 912.243 | 0 | 989.106 | 100 | 936.08 | 0 |
| 933.705 | 0 | 932.763 | 0 | 1005.7 | 4.08097 | 978.25 | 0 |
| 934.685 | 10.1655 | 933.754 | 10.2683 | 1034.62 | 0 | 979.091 | 9.93438 |
| 949.06 | 0 | 948.334 | 0 | 1043.44 | 0 | 992.117 | 0 |
| 949.493 | 0 | 948.774 | 0 | 1043.88 | 6.68202 | 992.275 | 0 |
| 989.647 | 13.2357 | 992.771 | 13.0349 | 1054.56 |  | 1015.31 | 12.5398 |
| 991.289 | 0 | 994.278 | 0 | 1054.62 | 0 | 1017.99 | 0 |
| 1107.94 | 7.54116 | 1111.07 | 7.02001 | 1062.08 | 8.05056 | 1133.9 | 1.18738 |
| 1123.31 | 0 | 1127.5 | 0 | 1176.46 | 12.7607 | 1142.6 | 9.45918 |
| 1125.96 | 0.610462 | 1129.85 | 0.562914 | 1187.67 | 0 | 1157.25 | 0 |
| 1154.66 | 0 | 1166.52 | 0 | 1200.91 | 0 | 1184.38 | 0 |
| 1157.32 | 0.236055 | 1168.54 11737 | 0.253597 | 1205.22 | 0.0777837 | 1187.93 | 0.165209 |
| 1167.98 | 0 | 1173.7 | 0 | 1218.6 | 0 | 1199.66 | 0 |
| 1175.98 | 0 27582 | 1183.55 | 0 | 1227.71 | 0 | 1206.79 | 0 |
| 1177.58 | 2.75782 | 1185.08 | 2.72887 | 1229.58 | 4.22838 | 1209.62 | 3.20578 |
| 1205.13 | 0 | 1210.67 | 0 | 1268.61 | 0 | 1241.12 | 0 |
| 1213.52 | 1.17202 | 1220.71 | 1.27508 | 1277.03 | 6.6491 | 1250.03 | 1.73955 |
| 1257.31 | 0 | 1262.27 | 0 | 1317.08 | 0.0254424 | 1294.63 | 0.00419647 |
| 1259.47 | 0.00698638 | 1269.94 | 3.6081 | 1322.89 | 0 | 1294.79 | 0 |
| 1274.58 | ${ }^{0}$ | 1277.38 | 17.415 | 1329.54 | 13.4058 | 1312.17 | 0 |
| 1275.2 1288.2 | 20.475 0 | 1289.63 1291.76 | 0 | 1349.3 1365.15 | $\stackrel{0}{11.6853}$ | 1317.33 1331.66 | 20.729 0 |
| 1331.62 | 2.17001 | 1332.6 | 1.92137 | 1376.52 | 11.685 | 1331.66 1358.11 | 12.9669 |
| 1335.81 | 13.8526 | 1341.75 | 14.9926 | 1414.48 | 2.14551 | 1374.81 | 2.60954 |
| 1359.38 | 0 | 1360.96 | 0 | 1442.4 |  | 1409.55 | 0 |
| 1359.46 | 1.33503 | 1361.35 | 1.59213 | 1461.39 | 0 | 1416.03 | 1.18064 |
| 1369.96 | 6.3448 | 1374.89 | 4.98996 | 1483.4 | ${ }_{0}^{2.31865}$ | 1417.93 1425.99 | 0 7.14737 |
| 1373.26 | 0 | 1375.81 | 0 | 1487.13 | 0.00631203 0 | 1425.99 1432.67 | 7.14737 0 |
| 1378.61 1427.78 | 0 0.597759 | 1380.06 1427.99 | $\stackrel{0}{0} 0$ | 1528.48 1529.89 | 0 0.532639 | 1432.67 1475.46 | 0 0.0670332 |
| 1431.48 | 0.00127025 | 1436.31 | 0.0281775 | 1532.35 | 0 | 1475.93 | 0.526768 |
| 1447.22 | 0 | 1449.76 | 0 | 1536.53 | 0.194119 | 1491.4 | 0 |
| 1484.48 | 2.10745 | 1487.26 | 2.11395 | 1626.05 | 1.97518 | 1540.22 | 2.75145 |
| 1489.16 1512.05 | 0 | 1490.5 1513.23 | 0 | 1661.74 1673.82 | 0 | 1555.95 1573.65 | 0 |
| 1512.57 | 3.01229 | 1513.47 | 2.99359 | 1675.65 | 11.4302 | 1576.14 | 4.49674 |
| 1528.83 | 0 | 1530.33 | 0 | 1688.08 | 0 | 1590.75 | 0 |
| 1558.1 | 0 | 1561.7 | 0 | 1736.88 | 0.190332 | 1631.66 | 0 |
| 1574.09 | 0.152113 | 1575.65 | 0.266521 | 1752.47 | 0 | 1638.65 | 0.260954 |
| 1598.28 | 7.04534 | 1600.03 | 6.96778 | 1781.16 | 0 | 1668.88 | 0 |
| 1599.94 | 0 3.1443 | 1601.05 3068.76 | ${ }_{2}^{0}$ | 1787.96 3212.51 | 8.48454 0.850571 | 1670.21 315386 | 8.76986 |
| 3071.67 3073.36 | 3.1443 0 | 3068.76 3070.5 | 2.92008 0 | 3212.51 3213.95 | 0.850571 0 | 3153.86 3155.46 | 2.35754 0 |
| 3073.45 | 0 | 3070.69 | 0 | 3214.04 | 0 | 3155.53 | 0 |
| 3075.45 | 4.17256 | 3072.75 | 4.25417 | 3215.67 | 2.19931 | 3157.46 | 4.00454 |
| 3076.52 | 14.1207 | 3073.9 | 18.3342 | 3215.77 | 3.24157 | 3158 | 3.30439 |
| 3077.93 | 0 | 3075.52 | 0 | 3216.52 | 0 | 3159.08 | 0 |
| 3078.53 | 57.4814 | 3076.05 3076.79 | 53.9415 | 3217.32 3218.6 | 10.5755 | 3160.14 3161.01 | 49.5445 |
| 3079.36 3082.33 | $\stackrel{0}{4.33304}$ | 3076.79 3080.13 | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | 3218.6 3220.94 | $\stackrel{0}{4.46562}$ | 3161.01 3163.53 | ${ }_{4.65787}^{0}$ |
| 3083 | 0 | 3080.76 | 0 | 3221.44 | 0 | 3164.28 | 0 |
| 3095.43 | 0 | 3094.07 | 0 | 3235.03 | 0 | 3176.72 | 0 |
| 3095.46 | 76.3245 | 3094.1 | 76.0123 | 3235.04 | 26.2615 | 3176.74 | 66.664 |
| 3107.63 3107.8 | 100 | 3106.96 3107.12 | 100 | 3245.46 3245.53 | 25.3653 | 3188.5 3188.64 | 82.9821 |

Table 1. Continued.

| B3LYP-D3/6-311++G(d,p) |  | CAM-B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) |  | CAM-B3LYP-D3/6-311++G(d,p) |  | LC-wPBE/6-311++G(d,p) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)}{\text { Frequencies }}$ | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequencies } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequencies } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequencies } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| 38.0366 | 0.458777 | 38.3446 | 0.449923 | 38.0936 | 0.450974 | 37.8683 | 0.445701 |
| 70.8952 | 0 | 72.8995 | 0 | 72.6652 | 0 | 72.7766 | 0 |
| 101.055 | 0 | 103.298 | 0 | 102.775 | 0 | 103.053 | 0 |
| 120.484 | 1.15055 | 120.186 | 1.16744 | 121.255 | 1.1734 | 119.047 | 1.12161 |
| 147.228 | 0 | 150.423 | 0 | 149.986 | 0 | 149.125 | 0 |
| 192.711 | 1.41507 | 196.29 | 1.32938 | 195.59 | 1.33727 | 195.389 | 1.20833 |
| 239.392 | 0 | 240.695 | 0 | 242.518 | 0 | 238.917 | 0 |
| 241.446 | 0 | 243.121 | 0 | 243.238 | 0 | 242.129 | 0 |
| 263.972 | 0 | 266.106 | 0 | 266.754 | 0 | 266.324 | 0 |
| 293.031 | 0 | 295.876 | 0 | 295.078 | 0 | 290.642 | 0 |
| 347.594 | 0 | 354.727 | 0 | 354.028 | 0 | 355.887 | 0 |
| 368.222 | 0.209361 | 365.362 | 0.128416 | 370.301 | 0.126555 | 362.05 | 0.0722947 |
| 379.836 | 0.00098497 | 386.501 | 0.0278356 | 385.761 | 0.0259121 | 383.898 | 0.0720958 |
| 459.887 | 0 | 459.104 | 0 | 463.791 | 0 | 454.991 | 0 |
| 470.353 | 39.5298 | 479.664 | 45.6082 | 479.035 | 45.7568 | 478.066 | 47.693 |
| 472.471 | 0 | 480.261 | 0 | 479.625 | 0 | 478.771 | 0 |
| 478.292 | 4.79659 | 488.635 | 0.707067 | 487.886 | 0.721185 | 490.702 | 0.0257556 |
| 478.633 | 0 | 492.213 | 0 | 491.376 | 0 | 493.568 | 8.16274 |
| 491.644 | 10.6529 | 494.909 | 8.9995 | 496.092 | 9.11597 | 497.601 | 0 |
| 517.644 | 0 | 518.341 | 0 | 522.004 | 0 | 513.921 | 0 |
| 524.388 | 0 | 529.99 | 0 | 529.505 | 0 | 524.841 | 0 |
| 550.009 | 0 | 557.917 | 0 | 557.371 | 0 | 555.816 | 0 |
| 579.413 | 3.14589 | 583.506 | 4.03389 | 583.771 | 4.08063 | 578.399 | 4.59683 |
| 615.986 | 0 | 620.249 | 0 | 620.588 |  | 614.467 | 0 |
| 638.293 | 5.44196 | 643.522 | 5.3342 | 643.458 | 5.25642 | 636.83 | 4.90769 |
| 645.99 | 0 | 652.665 | 0 | 652.679 | 0 | 646.444 | 0 |
| 708.686 | 0 | 724.357 | 0 | 723.925 | 0 | 726.872 | 0 |
| 725.615 | 0 | 732.216 | 0 | 732.868 | 0 | 729.745 | 0 |
| 743.67 | 3.14611 | 750.033 | 3.62091 | 749.874 | 3.5455 | 744.304 | 3.51549 |
| 746.448 | 97.4271 | 758.824 | 94.5332 | 758.312 | 94.7649 | 764.099 | 92.0788 |
| 748.791 | 0 | 762.854 | 0 | 762.378 | 0 | 766.734 | 0 |
| 751.746 | 0 | 764.432 | 0 | 763.914 | 0 | 770.07 | 0 |
| 760.721 | 0 | 777.421 | 0 | 776.901 | 0 | 786.454 | 0 |
| 769.85 | 0 | 781.975 | 0 | 781.453 | 0 | 787.213 | 0 |
| 773.793 | 0 | 787.095 | 0 | 786.535 | 0 | 793.72 | 0 |
| 799.498 | 0 | 807.556 | 0 | 811.227 | 0 | 817.376 | 0 |
| 838.768 | 0.21538 | 846.691 | 0.17167 | 848.045 | 0.195999 | 844.843 | 0.148766 |
| 840.324 | 0 | 854.451 | 0 | 854.085 | 0 | 861.847 | 0 |
| 846.195 | 0 | 859.566 | 0 | 859.226 | 0 | 865.657 | 0 |
| 847.234 | 19.2665 | 868.472 | 19.127 | 868.136 | 19.1171 | 883.436 | 18.9849 |
| 873.095 | 0 | 891.903 | 0 | 891.634 | 0 | 903.432 | 0 |
| 894.639 | 0 | 904.007 | 0.299362 | 913.949 | 0.410758 | 914.135 | 0.217083 |
| 898.87 | 0 | 918.366 | 0 | 918.042 | 0 | 926.597 | 3.20394 |
| 901.572 | 0.611448 | 921.885 | 0 | 921.679 | 0 | 934.367 | 0 |
| 914.145 | 0 | 931.669 | 3.06482 | 931.815 | 3.11235 | 934.994 | 0 |
| 921.993 | 2.61324 | 939.212 | 0 | 939.039 | 0 | 939.801 | 0 |
| 928.592 | 100 | 945.638 | 0 | 946.59 | 0 | 954.489 | 0 |
| 937.371 | 0 | 954.577 | 100 | 954.265 | 100 | 971.572 | 100 |
| 977.359 | 0 | 1006.96 | 0 | 1006.64 | 0 | 1009.24 | 6.86293 |
| 978.129 | 9.56625 | 1007.55 | 7.58702 | 1007.23 | 7.63121 | 1026.82 | 0 |
| 991.431 | 0 | 1018.19 | 9.89842 | 1019.42 |  | 1027.25 | 5.74062 |
| 991.808 | 0 | 1019.57 | 0 | 1019.42 | 0 | 1029.25 | 0 |
| 1018.18 | 12.2791 | 1019.57 | 0 | 1020.46 | 9.88763 | 1035.16 | 0 |
| 1020.63 | 0 | 1025.12 | 0 | 1027.03 | 0 | 1035.24 | 0 |
| 1136.99 | 1.08237 | 1099.02 | 2.61603 | 1100.58 | 2.50176 | 1064.46 | 5.82027 |
| 1145.69 | 8.8377 | 1157.65 | 10.5414 | 1160.06 | 10.1162 | 1163.57 | 12.225 |
| 1161.43 | 0 | 1171.16 | 0 | 1174.46 | 0 | 1174.82 | 0 |
| 1195.17 | 0 | 1191.73 | 0 | 1199.76 | 0 | 1189.82 | 0 |
| 1198.64 | 0.174887 | 1195.96 | 0.107617 | 1204.29 | 0.113599 | 1194.38 | 0.063146 |
| 1205.74 | 0 | 1209.45 | 0 | 1214.37 | 0 | 1206.79 | 0 |
| 1214.47 | 0 | 1214.8 | 0 | 1220.99 | 0 | 1216.95 | 0 |
| 1216.94 | 3.20684 | 1219.23 | 3.77178 | 1224.88 | 3.88059 | 1217.82 | 4.37 |
| 1246.51 | 0 | 1253.45 | 0 | 1257.74 | 0 | 1256.63 | 0 |
| 1256.81 | 1.90143 | 1262.09 | 2.79298 | 1267.07 | 2.99844 | 1267.07 | 4.80616 |
| 1300.02 | 0 | 1304.95 | 0.00703652 | 1313.21 | 0 | 1302.24 | 0.00616544 |
| 1305.67 | 0.621079 | 1308.04 | 0 | 1313.53 | 0.0605306 | 1307.8 | 0 |
| 1318.49 | 20.3661 | 1327.46 | 0 | 1335.83 | , | 1322.22 | 12.8635 |
| 1325.64 | 0 | 1338.03 | 16.4884 | 1338.51 | 16.7027 | 1332.21 | 0 |
| 1334.97 | 0 | 1342.15 | 12.1326 | 1347.72 | 12.2188 | 1345.27 | 12.1216 |
| 1364.45 | 13.2527 | 1351.47 | 0 | 1353.8 | 0 | 1365.35 | 0 |
| 1375.47 | 2.37772 | 1393.63 | 2.40618 | 1393.93 | 2.29996 | 1397.96 | 2.19838 |
| 1410.82 | 0 | 1429.35 | 0 | 1430.1 | 0 | 1427.31 | 0 |
| 1416.88 | 1.87298 | 1430.21 | 0 | 1431.54 | 0 | 1451.16 | 0 |
| 1419.13 | 0 | 1447.3 | 0.474862 | 1447.83 | 0.788349 | 1469.64 | 1.95782 |
| 1430.45 | 6.12203 | 1456.45 | 4.65642 | 1460.64 | 4.41407 | 1479.75 | 0.00755763 |
| 1434.13 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 1474.75 | 0 | 1475.47 | 0 | 1514.78 | 0 |
| 1476.16 | 0.50135 | 1495.23 | 0.492867 | 1496.36 | 0.337375 | 1516.28 | 0.777442 |
| 1478.74 | 0.00196994 | 1500.5 | 0.293671 | 1500.8 | 0.285033 | 1517.35 | 0.165075 |
| 1493.37 | 0 | 1507.65 | 0 | 1508.96 | 0 | 1518.56 | 0 |
| 1542.32 | 2.72815 | 1574.44 | 2.66725 | 1575.7 | 2.67568 | 1607.77 | 2.03579 |
| 1556.68 | 0 | 1601.31 | 0 | 1601.8 | 0 | 1642.47 | 0 |
| 1574.01 | 0 | 1614.65 | 0 | 1614.53 | 0 | 1655.28 | 0 |
| 1576.43 | 4.42941 | 1617.87 | 6.79262 | 1617.93 | 6.79788 | 1657.13 | 10.5473 |
| 1591.85 1634.31 | 0 | 1631.6 1680.58 | $\stackrel{0}{0} 0$ | 1632.35 1681.24 | $\stackrel{0}{0} 0$ | 1666.27 1715.97 | $\stackrel{0}{0} 0$ |
| 1639.72 | 0.369036 | 1688.9 | 0 | 1690.56 | 0 | 1734.22 | 0 |
| 1669.48 | 0 | 1717.15 | 0 | 1717.48 | 0 | 1760.76 | 0 |
| 1671.34 | 8.63513 | 1722.42 | 8.61818 | 1723.14 | 8.61038 | 1767.64 | 7.24966 |
| 3151.47 | 2.16223 | 3179.05 | 1.69446 | 3177.05 | 1.63174 | 3211.63 | 1.37042 |
| 3153.11 | 0 | 3180.55 | 0 | 3178.53 | 0 | 3212.59 | 0 |
| 3153.26 | 0 4.01682 | 3180.58 3182.44 | 0 3.72273 | 3178.66 3180.5 | 0 3.76896 | 3212.97 321365 | 0 0.754371 |
| 3155.21 3155.79 | 4.01682 3.96713 | 3182.44 3182.68 | 3.72273 2.22251 | 3180.5 <br> 3180.85 | 3.76896 2.74202 | 3213.65 3214.27 | 0.754371 0 |
| 3156.94 | 0 | 3183.63 | 0 | 3181.85 | 0 | 3214.42 | 4.38492 |
| 3157.98 | 48.6831 | 3184.68 | 31.0168 | 3182.85 | 30.7118 | 3215.19 | 21.8136 |
| 3158.85 | 0.000109441 | 3185.72 | 0 | 3183.84 | 0 | 3216.87 | 0 |
| 3161.57 | 4.57508 | 3188.1 | 4.01858 | 3186.38 | 4.01938 | 3219.01 | 3.16555 |
| 3162.28 | 0 | 3188.79 | 0 | 3187.04 | 0 | 3219.43 | 0 |
| 3175.44 | 0 | 3201.6 | 0 | 3200.44 | 0 | 3233.32 | 0 |
| 3175.47 | 65.9755 | 3201.62 | 49.3971 | 3200.47 | 49.3641 | 3233.33 | 36.9285 |
| 3187.71 3187.85 | 82.2532 0 | 3212.93 3213.05 | 57.2086 0 | 3212.17 3212.28 | 57.2625 | 3244.14 3244.24 | 43.7597 0 |

Table 1. Continued.

| LC-wPBE-D3/6-311++G(d,p) |  | PBEPBE/6-311++G(d,p) |  | PBEPBE-D3/6-311++G(d,p) |  | PBE0/6-311++G(d,p) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequencies } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Intensity (Km } \\ \left.\mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Frequencies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Intensity (Km } \\ \left.\mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 35.2764 | 0.635559 | 37.8166 | 0.478999 | 73.2909 | 0.391576 | 38.8832 | 0.474951 |
| 38.6571 | 0 | 67.7759 | 0 | 113.394 | 0 | 71.4794 | 0 |
| 103.893 | 0 | 98.3415 | 0 | 136.374 | 1.33182 | 102.432 | 0 |
| 116.857 | 1.66548 | 115.162 | 1.05982 | 140.056 | 0 | 118.471 | 1.15941 |
| 145.213 | 0 | 142.165 | 0 | 178.736 | 0 | 148.486 | 0 |
| 197.789 | 1.12658 | 186.814 | 1.47486 | 233.884 | 1.20758 | 194.626 | 1.41649 |
| 239.118 |  | 229.709 | 0 | 253.78 | 0 | 236.444 |  |
| 240.281 | 0 | 229.768 | 0 | 261.457 | 0 | 239.764 | 0 |
| 268.488 | 0 | 257.586 | 0 | 265.934 | 0 | 265.334 | 0 |
| 315.009 | 0 | 285.405 | 0 | 335.884 | 0 | 295.35 | 0 |
| 348.754 | 0 | 336.466 | 0 | 372.818 | 0 | 351.07 | 0 |
| 367.953 | 0.0295493 | 348.445 | 0.26865 | 377.751 | 0.0888849 | 358.481 | 0.195187 |
| 391.561 | 0.0470785 | 366.964 | 0.000346348 | 411.375 | $9.66 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 382.27 | 0.0101409 |
| 464.108 | 0 | 436.823 | 0 | 464.887 | 0 | 449.974 | 0 |
| 483.177 | 37.5228 | 452.745 | 33.4145 | 486.293 | 8.03925 | 471.8 | 40.5684 |
| 483.796 | 0 | 455.398 | 0 | 506.769 | 0 | 473.759 | 0 |
| 487.189 | 0 | 460.488 | 0 | 508.049 | 36.0697 | 480.282 | 6.22152 |
| 491.922 | 11.1106 | 460.772 | 12.4523 | 511.04 | 0 | 482.466 | , |
| 495.543 | 8.61767 | 478.336 | 11.5276 | 512.792 | 4.25594 | 492.33 | 10.2197 |
| 519.057 | 0 | 493.981 | 0 | 520.784 | 0 | 509.044 | 0 |
| 535.606 | 0 | 504.525 | 0 | 557.29 | 0 | 522.581 | 0 |
| 566.504 | 0 | 532.751 | 0 | 577.74 | 4.97069 | 551.513 | 0 |
| 584.078 | 4.85419 | 562.599 | 2.05742 | 582.94 | 0 | 578.442 | 3.13261 |
| 619.51 | 0 | 597.056 | 0 | 612.863 | 0 | 613.847 | 0 |
| 642.352 | 4.85499 | 617.516 | 5.43338 | 637.292 | 4.61283 | 635.072 | 5.42718 |
| 647.613 | 0 | 622.912 | 0 | 644.437 | 0 | 641.556 | 0 |
| 723.438 | 0 | 681.18 | 0 | 704.799 | 1.49442 | 708.54 | 0 |
| 733.77 | 0 | 705.203 | 0 | 723.977 |  | 726.725 | 0 |
| 762.416 | 91.3706 | 718.383 | 95.1986 | 738.783 | 0 | 748.58 | 0 |
| 762.99 | 2.13075 | 720.011 | 0 | 756.83 | 0 | 750.029 | 93.4504 |
| 765.077 | 0 | 724.359 | 0 | 779.434 | 0 | 755.097 | 3.5302 |
| 769.109 | 0 | 731.641 | 3.17982 | 800.297 | 94.8848 | 756.104 | 0 |
| 786.171 | 0 | 739.763 | 0 | 800.634 | 0 | 768.178 | 0 |
| 788.742 | 0 | 742.187 | 0 | 800.943 | 0 | 771.144 | 0 |
| 788.81 | 0 | 744.473 | 0 | 805.251 | 0 | 772.564 | 0 |
| 832.608 | 0 | 779.977 | 0 | 806.257 | 0 | 806.833 | 0 |
| 854.031 | 0.0958598 | 807.87 | ${ }^{0}$ | 840.652 | 0.107821 | 838.969 | 0.156714 |
| 860.912 | 0 | 808.745 | 21.8178 | 893.75 | 0 | 842.956 | 0 |
| 867.195 | 0 | 812.314 | 0.177099 | 895.036 | 2.67882 | 849.009 | 0 |
| 871.191 | 19.8402 | 814.432 | 0 | 897.691 | 0 | 849.857 | 20.673 |
| 897.538 | 0 | 835.481 | 0 | 903.54 | 19.0867 | 873.454 | 0 |
| 924.862 | 3.58478 | 854.046 | 0 | 910.967 | 0.492248 | 897.755 | 0 |
| 926.068 | 2.17543 | 857.089 | 0 | 920.082 | 3.89296 | 897.942 | 0.293564 |
| 926.599 | 0 | 866.149 | 0.402456 | 927.119 | 0 | 898.019 | 0 |
| 929.977 | 0 | 868.767 | 0 | 936.583 | 0 | 911.091 | 0 |
| 941.377 | 0 | 887.189 | 100 | 952.717 | 0 | 920.794 | 2.49519 |
| 948.897 | 0 | 892.084 | 1.7606 | 954.786 | 0 | 933.163 | 100 |
| 955.054 | 1.46634 | 905.012 | 0 | 971.634 | 0 | 934.136 | 0 |
| 964.928 | 100 | 931.821 | 0 | 988.508 | 100 | 983.54 | 0 |
| 1018.99 | 0 | 932.899 | 11.2584 | 1036.22 | 0 | 984.342 | 8.78258 |
| 1019.54 | 7.47446 | 946.288 | 0 | 1040.45 | 0 | 995.471 | 0 |
| 1032.58 |  | 946.577 | 0 | 1040.95 | 7.39609 | 995.624 | 0 |
| 1032.65 | 0 | 1001.97 | 15.4541 | 1041.21 | 11.2571 | 1028.67 | 12.5434 |
| 1058.97 | 0 | 1003.22 | 0 | 1051.91 | 0 | 1031.12 | 0 |
| 1064.1 | 13.8122 | 1111.79 | 10.2463 | 1051.98 | 0 | 1142.65 | 1.7823 |
| 1167.02 | 15.422 | 1123.19 | 0 | 1153.02 | 12.1762 | 1150.14 | 10.8774 |
| 1175.67 | 0 | 1131.79 | 1.42083 | 1164.76 |  | 1161.56 | 0 |
| 1189.42 | 0 | 1146.26 | 0 | 1184.57 | 0 | 1181.29 | 0 |
| 1204.87 | 0.000200334 | 1150.86 | 0.518598 | 1205.79 | 0.037293 | 1186.11 | 0.186614 |
| 1216.34 | 0 | 1160.46 | 0 | 1218.3 | 0 | 1197.03 | 0 |
| 1225.7 | 0.996962 | 1172.13 | 3.27414 | 1218.72 | 0 | 1209.13 | 3.2313 |
| 1225.95 | 0 | 1175.85 | 0 | 1225.95 | 1.59838 | 1211.15 | 0 |
| 1285.33 | 0 | 1212.72 | 0 | 1259.34 | 0 | 1251.36 | 0 |
| 1287.32 | 0.058798 | 1221.39 | 1.04412 | 1266.52 | 3.3013 | 1261.2 | 1.44817 |
| 1305.1 | 18.9335 | 1249.71 | 0.185873 | 1305.14 | 0 | 1291.63 | 0.0497638 |
| 1311.82 | 0.356394 | 1252.8 | 0 | 1306.05 | 14.771 | 1295.6 | 0 |
| 1321.24 | 0 | 1266.28 | 0 | 1307.92 | 0.12898 | 1312.55 | 0 |
| 1327.52 | 0 | 1279.44 | 22.6521 | 1324.7 | 0 | 1326.55 | 19.8887 |
| 1347.61 | 16.4805 | 1305.12 | 0 | 1325.63 | 16.465 | 1351.21 | 0 |
| 1364.84 | 0 | 1331.57 | 5.13391 | 1340.42 | 0 | 1372.16 | 14.0228 |
| 1390.23 | 7.51353 | 1358.26 | 14.5351 | 1377.22 | 0 | 1380.21 | 4.38643 |
| 1442.79 | 0 | 1372.73 | 0 | 1381.5 | 1.91586 | 1419.08 | 0 |
| 1445.8 | 0 | 1390.52 | 2.73834 | 1407.67 | 0.170041 | 1444.81 | 0 |
| 1483.4 | 1.58444 | 1396.13 | 0 | 1433.1 | 0 | 1447.63 | 3.95423 |
| 1486.57 | 0.314224 | 1396.67 | 6.97648 | 1438.97 | 4.71244 | 1454.98 | 2.65212 |
| 1513.29 | 0 | 1402.8 | 0 | 1442.49 | 0 | 1467.18 | 0 |
| 1518.57 | 0.457863 | 1432.42 | 0.316215 | 1489.31 | 1.0785 | 1487.68 | 0.784302 |
| 1524.47 | 3.81476 | 1437.64 | 0.731948 | 1489.85 | 0.0785472 | 1491.96 | 0.500983 |
| 1540.13 | 0 | 1451.18 | 0 | 1512.33 | 0 | 1502.19 | 0 |
| 1578.29 | 1.64755 | 1500.64 | 2.99914 | 1553.8 | 0.796872 | 1563.37 | 3.2266 |
| 1604.03 | 0 | 1513.39 1534.78 | 0 | 1564.83 1593.54 | 0 | 1586.26 1602.96 | 0 |
| 1618.46 1659.44 | $\stackrel{0}{0} 4.96408$ | 1534.78 1536.76 | 0 3.7349 | 1593.54 1593.63 | 0 | 1602.96 1606.11 | ${ }_{5.08301}^{0}$ |
| 1659.77 | 0 | 1545.71 | 0 | 1593.88 | 6.29305 | 1614.43 | 0 |
| 1700.29 | 1.27603 | 1585.75 | 0 | 1648.78 | 1.97749 | 1665.2 | 0.48896 |
| 1718.8 | 0 | 1594.28 | 0.554156 | 1677.23 | 0 | 1666.44 | 0 |
| 1731.93 | 0 | 1621.95 | 0 | 1687.89 | 0 | 1698.1 | 0 |
| 1740.73 | 9.63406 | 1622.81 | 7.22862 | 1699.12 | 8.94017 | 1702.14 | 8.34411 |
| 3212.88 | 0.265242 | 3087.16 | 4.99225 | 3136.23 | 1.90677 | 3179.96 | 2.63508 |
| 3214.19 | 0 | 3088.24 | 0 | 3137.33 | 0 | 3181.14 | 0 |
| 3216.18 | 0 | 3088.82 | 0 | 3137.47 | 0 | 3181.52 | 0 |
| 3217.16 3217.78 | 17.0979 4.46114 | 3090.23 3090.73 | 5.31032 44.0691 | 3138.81 3139.62 | 4.33594 15.091 | 3182.99 3183.29 | 4.36782 6.91811 |
| 3218.6 | 1.28494 | 3093.25 | 0 | 3141.36 | 2.82596 | 3184.06 | ${ }^{6}$ |
| 3218.63 |  | 3093.27 | 19.1254 | 3141.41 |  | 3184.5 | 31.3375 |
| 3219.5 | 0 | 3093.37 | 0 | 3141.78 | 0 | 3185.96 | 0 |
| 3225.22 | 1.46975 | 3097.57 | 4.93083 | 3145.92 | 5.06566 | 3188.75 | 4.44048 |
| 3225.39 | 0 | 3097.83 | 0 | 3146.16 | 0 | 3189.13 | 0 |
| 3237.21 | 0 | 3111.77 | 0 | 3159.61 | 0 | 3202.77 | 0 |
| 3237.23 | 34.8881 | 3111.79 | 62.3362 | 3159.62 | 33.1356 | 3202.78 | 49.4098 |
| 3248.24 3248.35 | 45.6013 0 | 3124.04 3124.17 | 81.806 0 | 3172.23 3172.32 | 38.5766 | 3214.54 3214.65 | 61.3218 0 |

Table 1. Continued.

| PBEO-D3/6-311++G(d,p) |  | $\omega \mathrm{B97XD} / 6-311++\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ |  | B3P86/6-311++G(d,p) |  | BHandH/6-311++G(d,p) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequencies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Frequencies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Intensity ( $\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\underset{\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)}{\text { Frequen }}$ | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| 38.6504 | 0.475821 | 35.7703 | 0.463403 | 38.6646 | 0.479944 | 39.6048 | 0.479351 |
| 71.262 | 0 | 63.541 | 0 | 71.4821 | 0 | 75.0237 | 0 |
| 101.941 | 0 | 100.606 | 0 | 101.905 | 0 | 106.087 | 0 |
| 119.229 | 1.16286 | 117.589 | 1.05944 | 118.519 | 1.12983 | 122.018 | 1.2015 |
| 148.079 | 0 | 145.107 | 0 | 148.288 | 0 | 154.694 | 0 |
| 193.982 | 1.42307 | 193.029 | 1.30691 | 193.703 | 1.42022 | 202.061 | 1.44094 |
| 238.302 | 0 | 238.971 | 0 | 236.354 | 0 | 243.226 | 0 |
| 239.198 | 0 | 238.996 | 0 | 239.191 | 0 | 249.13 | 0 |
| 265.809 | 0 | 265.3 | 0 | 264.478 | 0 | 273.732 | 0 |
| 294.633 | 0 | 290.939 | 0 | 294.696 | 0 | 305.346 | 0 |
| 350.426 | 0 | 350.941 | 0 | 349.823 | 0 | 364.413 | 0 |
| 362.18 | 0.195101 | 364.497 | 0.110936 | 358.305 | 0.198996 | 368.243 | 0.117108 |
| 381.534 | 0.00900146 | 378.541 | 0.0377573 | 381.175 | 0.00625506 | 399.645 | 0.0334995 |
| 453.835 | 0 | 458.263 | 0 | 449.847 | 0 | 462.652 | 0 |
| 471.222 | 40.4928 | 468.42 | 46.1809 | 471.352 | 39.2303 | 488.264 | 46.2827 |
| 473.187 | 0 | 469.405 | 0 | 472.945 | 0 | 489.977 |  |
| 479.603 | 6.42359 | 477.376 | 1.34661 | 479.038 | 6.54566 | 499.7 | 1.84201 |
| 481.692 | 0 | 483.023 | 0 | 480.462 | 0 | 504.377 | 0 |
| 493.204 | 10.3194 | 493.59 | 8.18175 | 491.265 | 10.35 | 507.312 | 9.01948 |
| 511.574 | 0 | 516.316 | 0 | 509.031 | 0 | 523.895 | 0 |
| 522.154 | 0 | 525.144 | 0 | 521.575 | 0 | 539.713 | 0 |
| 551.04 | 0 | 553.449 | 0 | 550.007 | 0 | 569.439 | 0 |
| 578.715 | 3.16307 | 580.514 | 3.71598 | 578.309 | 2.94847 | 594.479 | 4.24016 |
| 614.144 | 0 | 617.967 | 0 | 613.864 | 0 | 631.343 | 0 |
| 635.082 | 5.3679 | 640.514 | 4.72511 | 635.443 | 5.48686 | 653.292 | 5.18328 |
| 641.617 | 0 | 648.494 | 0 | 641.972 | 0 | 660.977 | 0 |
| 708.165 | 0 | 715.348 | 0 | 707.136 | 0 | 734.442 | 0 |
| 727.246 | 0 | 729.007 | 0 | 725.565 | 0 | 748.926 | 0 |
| 748.19 | 0 | 745.337 | 85.6884 | 746.864 | 0 | 773.12 | 92.2706 |
| 749.557 | 93.5701 | 748.284 | 3.56291 | 747.505 | 94.5293 | 773.519 | 0 |
| 755.236 | 3.46839 | 752.389 | 0 | 752.045 | 3.51397 | 777.276 | 3.84292 |
| 755.647 | 0 | 752.834 | 0 | 753.298 | 0 | 779.273 | 0 |
| 767.779 | 0 | 771.331 | 0 | 766.512 | 0 | 791.776 | 0 |
| 770.73 | 0 | 774.574 | 0 | 768.801 | 0 | 794.843 | 0 |
| 772.369 | 0 | 775.855 | 0 | 769.478 | 0 | 801.197 | 0 |
| 809.789 | 0 | 806.419 | 0 | 802.552 | 0 | 833.279 | 0 |
| 839.974 | 0.173435 | 839.653 | 0 | 837.352 | 0.158709 | 865.565 | 0.141836 |
| 842.543 | 0 | 843.193 | 0.156187 | 840.918 | 0 | 866.905 | 0 |
| 848.658 | 0 | 846.003 | 0 | 846.536 | 20.2604 | 873.482 | 0 |
| 849.465 | 20.706 | 849.695 | 21.1734 | 846.688 | 0 | 882.734 | 20.1241 |
| 873.138 | 0 | 874.941 | 0 | 871.132 | 0 | 903.904 | 0 |
| 897.482 | 0 | 900.468 | 0 | 893.099 | 0.309573 | 925.056 | 0.177482 |
| 897.666 | 0 | 903.425 | 0 | 894.154 | 0 | 933.216 | 0 |
| 905.598 | 0.368955 | 905.273 | 0.309454 | 895.388 | 0 | 933.738 | 0 |
| 910.833 | 0 | 919.512 | 0 | 908.733 | 0 | 949.465 | 3.16491 |
| 921.011 | 2.52397 | 926.147 | 2.66705 | 919.915 | 2.35837 | 950.199 | 0 |
| 932.833 | 100 | 936.611 | 100 | 929.011 | 100 | 962.742 | 0 |
| 934.933 | 0 | 940.426 | 0 | 933.362 | 0 | 971.432 | 100 |
| 983.241 | 0 | 992.603 | 0 | 978.09 | 0 | 1025.13 | 0 |
| 984.043 | 8.81002 | 993.059 | 5.00956 | 978.986 | 9.63248 | 1025.85 | 8.2615 |
| 995.261 | 0 | 1006.47 | 0 | 990.747 | 0 | 1037.28 | 0 |
| 995.414 | 0 | 1006.49 | 0 | 990.946 | 0 | 1037.3 | 0 |
| 1030.24 | 12.5176 | 1017.44 | 9.55707 | 1024.92 | 12.7077 | 1050.58 | 10.5631 |
| 1032.62 | 0 | 1023.53 | 0 | 1027.14 | 0 | 1055.35 | 0 |
| 1144.86 | 1.72242 | 1096.82 | 1.96581 | 1143.85 | 1.78693 | 1141.86 | 3.61001 |
| 1152.18 | 10.5412 | 1155.93 | 9.09396 | 1146.63 | 10.4684 | 1181.9 | 13.442 |
| 1164.26 | 0 | 1170.14 | 0 | 1158.65 | 0 | 1190.6 | 0 |
| 1187.16 | 0 | 1193.61 | 0 | 1180.49 | 0 | 1201.15 | 0 |
| 1192.1 | 0.163596 | 1199.32 | 0.076877 | 1184.81 | 0.200162 | 1206.71 | 0.180841 |
| 1200.57 | 0 | 1201.62 | 0 | 1195.2 | 0 | 1218.37 | 0 |
| 1213.12 | 3.32332 | 1210.92 | 0 | 1207.03 | 3.31964 | 1232.91 | 2.94114 |
| 1215.88 | 0 | 1213.01 | 4.47181 | 1208.08 |  | 1234.93 | 0 |
| 1254.42 | 0 | 1247.81 | 0 | 1247.32 | 0 | 1283.96 | 0 |
| 1265.08 | 1.52993 | 1257.6 | 2.32392 | 1256.52 | 1.44131 | 1293 | 2.23597 |
| 1297.94 | 0.0265857 | 1298.19 | 0.000194625 | 1289.29 | 0.0481322 | 1319.38 | 0.0173563 |
| 1300.49 | 0 | 1300.9 | 0 | 1292.75 | 0 | 1323.73 | 0 |
| 1317.61 | 0 | 1321.37 | 0 | 1308.84 | 0 | 1353.55 | 0 |
| 1326.98 | 20.1318 | 1329.98 | 16.0664 | 1322.22 | 20.3573 | 1373.81 | 16.9456 |
| 1353.09 | 0 | 1336.77 | 11.1467 | 1344.6 | 0 | 1383.14 | 17.0806 |
| 1375.24 | 14.1704 | 1347.73 | 0 | 1371.98 | 13.6516 | 1395.09 | 0 |
| 1380.44 | 4.30866 | 1387.78 | 2.59562 | 1376.52 | 4.13756 | 1421.32 | 5.3853 |
| 1419.44 | 0 | 1423.87 | 0 | 1416.6 | 0 | 1455.37 | 0 |
| 1445.53 | 0 | 1431.6 | 0 | 1435.8 | 0 | 1490.07 | 3.48357 |
| 1450.83 | 4.30803 | 1449.07 | 0.483449 | 1439.98 | 2.85931 | 1496.2 | 0 |
| 1455.13 | 2.38005 | 1454.14 | 3.27905 | 1443.97 | 4.50407 | 1514.52 | 1.03568 |
| 1467.61 | 0 | 1474.72 | 0 | 1455.2 | 0 | 1533.84 | 0 |
| 1488.25 | 0.646325 | 1491.49 | 0.710382 | 1480.78 | 0.397886 | 1543.11 | 1.07385 |
| 1492.22 | 0.493091 | 1494 | 0.279093 | 1485.53 | 0.57907 | 1547.21 | 0 |
| 1503 1564.23 | 0 3.23969 | 1502.54 1569.86 | 0 2.33657 | 1497.05 1553.99 | 0 3.20047 | 1547.49 1626.76 | 0.408806 3.65107 |
| 1586.64 | 3.2309 0 | 1597.78 | 2.33657 0 | 1574.79 | ${ }_{0} 3$ | 1658.19 | 3.65107 0 |
| 1602.86 | 0 | 1612.76 | 0 | 1591.84 | 0 | 1671.82 | 0 |
| 1606.12 | 5.09179 | 1615.52 | 6.79086 | 1594.85 | 4.55464 | 1674.85 | 7.12251 |
| 1615.02 | 0 | 1626.21 | 0 | 1604.51 | 0 | 1682.87 | 0 |
| 1665.73 | 0.555892 | 1676.41 | 0.177304 | 1652.57 | 0 <br> 0 | 1735.24 | 0.830302 |
| 1667.81 | 0 | 1693.07 | 0 | 1654.54 | 0.487895 | 1747.68 | 0 |
| 1698.42 1702.77 | ${ }_{8.31169}^{0}$ | 1716.38 1722.61 | 0 7.45823 | 1686.27 1689 | 0 8.43278 | 1772.84 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |
| 1702.77 3178.67 | 8.5135 | 3185.16 | 2.94877 | 1689.29 3170.82 | 8.43278 2.8979 | 1780.67 3230.83 | 9.77159 1.5085 |
| 3179.9 | 0 | 3186.14 | 0 | 3171.92 | 0 | 3231.96 | 0 |
| 3180.21 | 0 | 3186.57 | 0 | 3172.43 | 0 | 3232.46 | 0 |
| 3181.74 | 4.34603 | 3187.87 | 5.15747 | 3173.78 | 4.43972 | 3233.64 | 3.82501 |
| 3182.04 | 6.42034 | 3188.15 | 23.0136 | 3173.98 | 6.91524 | 3234.18 | 12.5302 |
| 3182.83 | 0 | 3189.28 | 0 | 3174.72 | 0 | 3236.03 | 2.42633 |
| 3183.31 | 31.9427 0 | 3189.34 3190.66 | 16.8005 0 | 3175.16 3176.66 | 34.5579 | 3236.07 3236.3 | 0 |
| 3184.75 3187.61 | $\stackrel{0}{4.45467}$ | 3190.66 3193.88 | $\stackrel{0}{3.40108}$ | 3176.66 3179.3 | $\stackrel{0}{4.46495}$ | 3236.3 3240.37 | 0 4.721 |
| 3188 |  | 3194.2 |  | 3179.68 |  | 3240.57 | 0 |
| 3202 | 0 | 3208.87 | 0 | 3192.96 | 0 | 3255.26 | 0 |
| 3202.02 | 49.5003 | 3208.89 | 52.1684 | 3192.98 | 51.6658 | 3255.27 | 25.7574 |
| 3214 3214.11 | 61.4104 0 | 3220.96 3221.1 | 66.3616 0 | 3204.63 3204.75 | 64.6729 0 | 3266.64 3266.7 | 25.6249 0 |

Table 1. Continued.

| BHandHLYP/6-311++G(d,p) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Frequencies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| 39.6133 | 0.459799 |
| 74.8324 | 0 |
| 106.328 | 0 |
| 122.691 | 1.25385 |
| 154.281 | 0 |
| 201.942 | 1.36875 |
| 245.523 | 0 |
| 248.938 | 0 |
| 271.568 | 0 |
| 304.73 | 0 |
| 362.871 | 0 |
| 372.706 | 0.118382 |
| 398.065 | 0.0260639 |
| 468.344 | 0 |
| 491.767 | 45.4693 |
| 492.582 | 0 |
| 501.269 | 0.982769 |
| 504.88 | 0 |
| 505.311 | 9.21409 |
| 528.81 | 0 |
| 541.881 | 0 |
| 569.573 | 0 |
| 595.346 | 4.85833 |
| 633.774 | 0 |
| 657.341 | 5.6309 |
| 666.598 | 0 |
| 739.234 | 0 |
| 747.784 | 0 |
| 763.541 | 3.82195 |
| 778.44 | 0 |
| 781.515 | 95.6486 |
| 786.924 | 0 |
| 791.996 | 0 |
| 798.193 | 0 |
| 803.658 | 0 |
| 822.211 | 0 |
| 866.129 | 0.16096 |
| 878.092 | 0 |
| 883.147 | 0 |
| 893.656 | 18.3875 |
| 914.771 | 0 |
| 922.032 | 0.309683 |
| 943.528 | 0 |
| 943.742 | 0 |
| 953.635 | 3.85915 |
| 959.897 | 0 |
| 968.356 | 0 |
| 980.06 | 100 |
| 1035 | 0 |
| 1035.56 | 7.22349 |
| 1038.26 | 9.03881 |
| 1045.5 |  |
| 1046.15 | 0 |
| 1046.17 | 0 |
| 1121.96 | 1.65645 |
| 1184.15 | 10.3539 |
| 1199.47 | 0 |
| 1222.8 | 0 |
| 1227.46 | 0.0868466 |
| 1240.18 | 0 |
| 1245.86 | 0 |
| 1250.44 1281.8 | 3.16816 0 |
| 1292.57 | 2.47846 |
| 1340.83 | 0.10157 |
| 1342.12 | 0 |
| 1362.72 | 0 |
| 1368.51 | 16.8674 |
| 1377.54 | 11.0836 |
| 1380.22 | 0 |
| 1428.78 | 1.60691 |
| 1468.77 | 0 |
| 1477.45 | 0.607827 |
| 1492.1 | 5.19428 |
| 1505.73 | 0 |
| 1533.08 | 0.343904 |
| 1534.07 1546.35 | 0.259048 0 |
| 1609.47 | 2.71652 |
| 1637.11 | 0 |
| 1649.2 | 0 |
| 1652.84 | 7.33311 |
| 1666.25 1716.3 | 0 0.20105 |
| 1719.85 | 0 |
| 1752.82 | 0 |
| 1757.41 | 10.0893 |
| 3252.38 | 1.57329 |
| 3253.57 | 0 |
| 3254.03 3255.08 | 0 0.0686416 |
| 3256.04 | 0.0686416 2.97407 |
| 3256.64 | 0 |
| 3259.1 | 30.8404 |
| 3259.19 | 0 |
| 3261.05 3262.45 | 4.42042 0 |
| 3274.01 | 0 |
| 3274.04 | 54.3073 |
| 3285.18 3285.32 | 64.1896 0 |

Table 39. Frequency data of the optimized structures 13, 14 and 32-41 at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) theoretical level.

| 13 |  | 14 |  | 32 |  | 33 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Intensity ( $\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Intensity ( $\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) |
| 38.3943 | 0.463931 | 39.5743 | 0.285068 | 50.3736 | 0.093913 | 36.3091 | 0.183864 |
| 71.2587 | 0 | 47.2907 | 0 | 63.5323 | 0.216785 | 59.967 | 0.146481 |
| 101.929 | 0 | 104.316 | 0.0460272 | 95.3874 | 1.08744 | 108.675 | 0.213945 |
| 119.1 | 1.15668 | 109.074 | 0 | 118.786 | 0.209613 | 116.457 | 0.261355 |
| 148.079 |  | 141.372 | 0.391578 | 137.422 | 1.50125 | 129.333 | 0.373504 |
| 193.78 | 1.42459 | 184.146 | 0 | 188.514 | 2.32401 | 197.57 | 3.21985 |
| 238.12 | 0 | 210.427 | 3.22919 | 217.037 | 0.401498 | 218.951 | 0.0918774 |
| 239.006 | 0 | 252.371 | 6.7786 | 255.601 | 0.621666 | 246.257 | 0.124356 |
| 263.194 | 0 | 260.447 | 0.0750544 | 273.727 | 1.18487 | 268.038 | 1.66022 |
| 294.901 | 0 | 282.364 | 0.00589796 | 293.765 | 0.653061 | 285.369 | 0.540911 |
| 348.506 | 0 | 313.503 | 0.000231292 | 340.027 | 0.24642 | 320.804 | 0.72957 |
| 361.752 | 0.212916 | 393.323 | 0.000115646 | 370.624 | 0.700964 | 392.731 | 0.00839212 |
| 381.123 | 0.00375474 | 421.395 | 10.8093 | 399.305 | 2.66123 | 406.392 | 0.962261 |
| 453.994 | 0 | 426.783 | 0.445585 | 426.22 | 5.25128 | 430.999 | 5.73073 |
| 472.413 | 39.3274 | 472.937 | 0.000462585 | 427.844 | 0.576604 | 452.787 | 4.513 |
| 473.798 | 0 | 476.327 | 1.13912 | 452.045 | 7.37366 | 476.563 | 15.6044 |
| 479.465 | 6.13812 | 487.623 | 13.8595 | 473.619 | 0.884595 | 489.711 | 4.06735 |
| 480.168 | 0 | 506.37 | 0.0141088 | 496.597 | 2.32225 | 496.463 | 1.53292 |
| 490.185 | 10.5833 | 527.11 | 0.00821088 | 541.494 | 1.75688 | 510.762 | 6.55752 |
| 512.562 | 0 | 528.374 | 7.56269 | 542.676 | 1.06268 | 526.652 | 1.05795 |
| 523.746 | 0 | 535.04 | 9.81397 | 555.552 | 3.87736 | 547.149 | 1.62524 |
| 550.502 | 0 | 555.694 | 0.000346939 | 576.161 | 0.33289 | 557.77 | 0.0525325 |
| 579.057 | 3.12196 | 561.019 | 4.85425 | 591.518 | 20.0929 | 577.897 | 2.00986 |
| 615.668 | 0 | 594.461 | 0.000115646 | 604.951 | 1.36661 | 589.176 | 0.433557 |
| 638.496 | 5.61179 | 595.595 | 0.335837 | 633.564 | 6.21761 | 632.05 | 3.86147 |
| 646.107 | 0 | 655.038 | 0.047415 | 638.339 | 5.80718 | 648.452 | 1.69957 |
| 709.682 | 0 | 656.343 | 0.251646 | 678.255 | 7.31818 | 658.877 | 0.315631 |
| 724.765 | O | 686.597 | 17.1864 | 691.679 | 0.402446 | 703.246 | 13.9428 |
| 743.723 | 3.29136 | 711.669 | 0.000693877 | 724.94 | 10.148 | 726.208 | 0.367183 |
| 747.441 | 97.5764 | 742.448 | 0.207354 | 733.814 | 29.2344 | 733.778 | 7.27554 |
| 748.578 | 0 | 747.156 | 10.382 | 755.001 | 27.7401 | 753.193 | 100 |
| 752.81 | 0 | 760.995 | 0.000115646 | 761.999 | 12.6496 | 761.582 | 4.82602 |
| 761.404 | 0 | 761.463 | 100 | 771.376 | 100 | 769.419 | 4.49218 |
| 768.324 | 0 | 789.601 | 0.000115646 | 774.389 | 49.8245 | 786.11 | 0.0137326 |
| 772.257 | 0 | 800.497 | 0.80617 | 786.839 | 6.12342 | 795.533 | 0.673768 |
| 794.54 | 0 | 816.162 | 78.8337 | 802.791 | 4.0637 | 817.086 | 0.45688 |
| 837.049 | 0.18597 | 822.648 | 0 | 827.788 | 47.6714 | 821.467 | 1.44028 |
| 841.031 | 0 | 824.96 | 46.5142 | 847.275 | 0.491622 | 825.564 | 47.0715 |
| 846.653 | 0 | 868.303 | 15.3754 | 866.47 | 0.516386 | 853.626 | 0.0971089 |
| 847.82 | 19.5533 | 869.371 | 0.0172313 | 874.858 | 1.17946 | 871.9 | 5.95895 |
| 872.993 | 0 | 870.432 | 11.7106 | 884.607 | 3.78345 | 878.847 | 3.00961 |
| 888.463 | 0.432789 | 876.115 | 0.647503 | 891.652 | 3.32336 | 895.112 | 30.8059 |
| 895.375 | 0 | 892.689 | 0.196367 | 957.464 | 0.476602 | 896.305 | 3.583 |
| 898.782 | 0 | 924.846 | 0.00994558 | 965.883 | 2.20425 | 904.37 | 27.1932 |
| 912.97 | 0 | 950.156 | 0.00115646 | 970.518 | 0.355895 | 937.862 | 0.895124 |
| 921.79 | 2.59596 | 960.38 | 2.56353 | 974.699 | 1.58962 | 960.1 | 1.84801 |
| 929.919 | 100 | 961.484 | 0.000809524 | 980.401 | 0.694604 | 971.134 | 1.26895 |
| 936.08 | 0 | 972.767 | 0.000462585 | 989.591 | 0.0740207 | 973.011 | 2.70041 |
| 978.25 | 0 | 979.684 | 0.675605 | 993.124 | 0.160897 | 979.796 | 0.321952 |
| 979.091 | 9.93438 | 989.984 | 0.161211 | 1012.63 | 1.49719 | 991.535 | 0.102776 |
| 992.117 |  | 990.563 | 0.000346939 | 1019.99 | 1.68732 | 991.885 | 0.00893707 |
| 992.275 | 0 | 1051.99 | 12.9489 | 1049.96 | 3.24027 | 1024.15 | 2.32495 |
| 1015.31 | 12.5398 | 1055.73 | 1.76025 | 1064.8 | 9.93908 | 1031.77 | 4.49861 |
| 1017.99 | 0 | 1069.03 | 2.23521 | 1072.7 | 10.1996 | 1055.93 | 7.97448 |
| 1133.9 | 1.18738 | 1095.75 | 1.14582 | 1087.34 | 1.62805 | 1096.54 | 0.238903 |
| 1142.6 | 9.45918 | 1155.3 | 3.48616 | 1129.15 | 0.253186 | 1155.27 | 4.34385 |
| 1157.25 |  | 1167.61 | 1.71561 | 1144.21 | 3.88467 | 1168.29 | 1.7169 |
| 1184.38 | 0 | 1176.93 | 0.0597891 | 1166.87 | 0.835744 | 1169.43 | 0.848912 |
| 1187.93 | 0.165209 | 1180.62 | 0.187 | 1178.22 | 0.380523 | 1182.56 | 1.44933 |
| 1199.66 | 0 | 1192.15 | 2.29535 | 1182.23 | 0.6149 | 1196.73 | 0.616113 |
| 1206.79 | 0 | 1210.52 | 1.72429 | 1192.44 | 0.337762 | 1198.55 | 0.180158 |
| 1209.62 | 3.20578 | 1217.93 | 0.238578 | 1200.74 | 0.393379 | 1219.62 | 3.35173 |
| 1241.12 | 0 | 1240.08 | 0.451599 | 1228.23 | 2.73877 | 1238.34 | 3.8498 |
| 1250.03 | 1.73955 | 1255.55 | 3.64424 | 1245.46 | 2.09017 | 1251.43 | 5.94304 |
| 1294.63 | 0.00419647 | 1265.79 | 0.0371224 | 1263.08 | 9.01307 | 1282.53 | 12.098 |
| 1294.79 | 0 | 1286.59 | 0.863993 | 1272.37 | 5.09985 | 1287.09 | 0.0430505 |
| 1312.17 | 0 | 1290.18 | 18.4501 | 1280.86 | 1.38745 | 1295.8 | 2.46707 |
| 1317.33 | 20.729 | 1296.9 | 9.98686 | 1322.51 | 0.29067 | 1309.89 | 2.4869 |
| 1331.66 | 0 | 1347.73 | 0.313748 | 1328.9 | 0.141275 | 1353.2 | 0.337865 |
| 1358.11 | 12.9669 | 1362.72 | 0.867116 | 1342.3 | 1.87772 | 1356.17 | 1.18885 |
| 1374.81 | 2.60954 | 1380.22 | 0.286109 | 1361.71 | 0.475925 | 1384.35 | 6.74759 |
| 1409.55 | 0 | 1380.78 | 0.0514626 | 1372.24 | 1.16471 | 1385.64 | 1.15234 |
| 1416.03 | 1.18064 | 1399.04 | 0.169653 | 1393.15 | 1.87569 | 1400.52 | 1.01468 |
| 1417.93 | 0 | 1423.28 | 0.290503 | 1402.2 | 9.68386 | 1417.99 | 0.247731 |
| 1425.99 | 7.14737 | 1456.07 | 3.28505 | 1451.05 | 13.5218 | 1452.51 | 4.46297 |
| 1432.67 | 0 | 1462.19 | 7.43571 | 1465.89 | 14.6864 | 1456.56 | 2.25846 |
| 1475.46 | 0.0670332 | 1467.98 | 0.474265 | 1470.47 | 1.42507 | 1464.03 | 2.11939 |
| 1475.93 | 0.526768 | 1481.04 | 10.5757 | 1480.69 | 7.52495 | 1479.82 | 0.832237 |
| 1491.4 | 0 | 1511.53 | 14.8092 | 1508.96 | 9.31213 | 1509.39 | 0.841937 |
| 1540.22 | 2.75145 | 1543.03 | 0.872551 | 1524.49 | 17.4428 | 1518.99 | 4.72259 |
| 1555.95 | - | 1553.37 | 0.767891 | 1547.38 | 2.87463 | 1552.66 | 6.94443 |
| 1573.65 | 0 | 1565.77 | 3.10013 | 1571.45 | 3.22457 | 1582.96 | 6.3827 |
| 1576.14 | 4.49674 | 1607.35 | 0.438762 | 1602.7 | 0.458604 | 1594.09 | 0.352142 |
| 1590.75 | 0 | 1624.77 | 2.35641 | 1611.12 | 0.0659015 | 1624.22 | 0.778833 |
| 1631.66 | 0 | 1641.79 | 0.884697 | 1637.14 | 2.81428 | 1637.27 | 4.67496 |
| 1638.65 | 0.260954 | 1649.01 | 2.41377 | 1642.19 | 1.41208 | 1652.93 | 3.24797 |
| 1668.88 | 0 | 1653.57 | 0.0113333 | 1644.95 | 0.570109 | 1656.22 | 0.251328 |
| 1670.21 | 8.76986 | 1659.27 | 2.27083 | 1653.85 | 1.01667 | 1665.91 | 1.80213 |
| 3153.86 | 2.35754 | 3159.08 | 0.575571 | 3159.55 | 0.897857 | 3155.81 | 4.48455 |
| 3155.46 | 0 | 3159.32 3163.52 | 0.264136 4.94133 | 3166.05 | 3.90618 0.801914 | 3158.32 315975 | 5.43199 |
| 3155.53 3157.46 | ${ }_{4}{ }^{0} 00454$ | 3163.52 3164.56 | 4.94133 14.5794 | 3166.61 3167.55 | 0.801914 6.04548 | 3159.75 3162.85 | 0.782102 0.57655 |
| 3158 | 3.30439 | 3170.38 | 0.453449 | 3170.43 | 23.7956 | 3164.71 | 15.0337 |
| 3159.08 | 0 | 3170.57 | 35.0007 | 3180.44 | 41.5783 | 3165.5 | 2.8712 |
| 3160.14 | 49.5445 | 3184.95 | 60.219 | 3182.83 | 17.7006 37.7457 | 3170.87 | 19.5016 |
| 3161.01 | 0 | 3185.91 | 0.552442 | 3186.15 | 37.7457 | 3176.03 | 29.0481 |
| 3163.53 | 4.65787 | 3190.94 | 13.1314 | 3195.19 | 3.26381 | 3185.81 | 37.8062 |
| 3164.28 | 0 | 3199.69 | 5.39883 | 3201.34 | 1.48853 | 3186.36 | 0.311925 |
| 3176.72 3176.74 | ${ }_{66.664}^{0}$ | 3204.17 3209.18 | 0.158667 2.00739 | 3209.62 3211.07 | 14.2726 24.8419 | 3188.42 3197.6 | 30.4059 3.00405 |
| 3188.5 | 82.9821 | 3217.88 | 30.061 | 3219.47 | 24.2675 | 31909.6 3209 | 3.00405 15.9633 |
| 3188.64 | 0 | 3223.69 | 19.7336 | 3223.72 | 6.77513 | 3215.72 | 20.5805 |

Table 2. Continued.

| 34 |  | 35 |  | 36 |  | 37 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequencies } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequencies } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequencies } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequencies } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| 33.9811 | 0.215373 | 46.9727 | 0.45125 | 56.6394 | 0.750376 | 38.9534 | 0 |
| 54.9778 | 0.32887 | 51.1992 | 0 | 63.3405 | 0.0364295 | 42.6283 | 0.0198015 |
| 103.957 | 0 | 108.294 | 0 | 90.1627 | 0.293936 | 82.4277 | 1.23347 |
| 126.613 | 0.316439 | 113.858 | 0.0977526 | 121.485 | 2.9865 | 90.228 | 0 |
| 130.796 | 0 | 126.006 | 1.62478 | 153.693 | 0.12161 | 155.187 | 0.137935 |
| 183.652 | 1.24346 | 214.262 | 0 | 194.853 | 2.17059 | 167.021 | 0.20064 |
| 214.352 | 6.33487 | 221.785 | 0.229942 | 216.629 | 0.832521 | 220.494 | 0 |
| 214.443 | 0 | 256.289 | 0.535406 | 253.824 | 1.45807 | 246.207 | 0.0806311 |
| 277.717 | 0 | 277.509 | 0.950036 | 287.799 | 1.35146 | 287.129 | 0 |
| 323.839 | 0 | 291.709 | 0.471197 | 308.289 | 0.601265 | 310.94 | 0.428357 |
| 331.062 | 0 | 313.467 | 0 | 320.515 | 3.74974 | 319.661 | 0.46031 |
| 395.472 | 0 | 398.026 | 0.668886 | 399.259 | 1.63879 | 336.782 | 0.153237 |
| 403.498 | 4.62553 | 403.557 | 0 | 403.215 | 2.51631 | 411.479 | 0.198015 |
| 432.054 | 0 | 415.57 | 2.37851 | 415.062 | 3.15669 | 422.305 | 1.39668 |
| 437.604 | 17.1731 | 418.354 | 2.08456 | 437.774 | 5.52032 | 433.553 | 0.617296 |
| 460.609 | 0 | 468.82 | 0.042773 | 471.898 | 2.53578 | 451.61 | 0 |
| 490.884 | 8.09893 | 469.831 | 0 | 482.177 | 24.346 | 457.716 | 0.0455284 |
| 505.58 | 0 | 481.465 | 31.3023 | 502.954 | 4.64101 | 476.318 | 10.664 |
| 519.476 | 0.594776 | 532.355 | 0.776265 | 522.071 | 4.53047 | 550.164 | 0 |
| 529.102 | 1.31345 | 538.942 | 0.0288792 | 529.818 | 10.2913 | 550.963 | 0.0776308 |
| 540.399 | 18.1911 | 551.812 | 0 | 537.867 | 0.396974 | 557.976 | 4.51474 |
| 551.399 | 0 | 561.369 | 6.84526 | 569.706 | 5.38692 | 566.511 | 7.50E-05 |
| 561.087 | 0 | 568.776 | 0 | 587.427 | 10.7647 | 587.957 | 8.317 |
| 578.709 | 0 | 628.107 | 1.6213 | 600.117 | 4.10617 | 605.982 | 0.253294 |
| 638.11 |  | 635.76 | 5.34384 | 636.016 | 3.66545 | 634.403 | 3.21234 |
| 662.419 | 16.1969 | 645.871 | 0.0120082 | 650.461 | 14.268 | 642.306 | 0.247744 |
| 676.41 | 12.2941 | 666.568 | 4.6562 | 660.47 | 23.3163 | 693.297 | 0.207616 |
| 720.13 | 0 | 675.039 | 2.65351 | 712.943 | 1.15664 | 695.41 | 0 |
| 734.763 | 0 | 746.993 | 0.248004 | 717.272 | 5.70675 | 714.262 | 0.457459 |
| 751.357 | 2.03956 | 752.457 | 100 | 743.393 | 2.70185 | 725.795 | 30.334 |
| 754.738 | 93.4938 | 756.269 | 0 | 754.953 | 100 | 754.768 | 0.397755 |
| 755.27 | 0 | 761.12 | 0 | 760.996 | 48.9409 | 761.888 | 0 |
| 765.755 | 0 | 771.13 | 1.07766 | 769.862 | 0.756626 | 765.778 | 0.000150011 |
| 781.951 | 5.67213 | 775.456 | 0 | 789.121 | 1.16789 | 769.131 | 100 |
| 785.024 | 0.609639 | 788.266 | 0.0264974 | 802.617 | 7.68287 | 777.849 | 0.0541541 |
| 785.995 | 0 | 808.615 | 0.0101226 | 804.862 | 17.2413 | 779.811 | 0 |
| 817.375 | 100 | 813.181 | 0.182306 | 817.37 | 13.1928 | 825.534 | 0.0217516 |
| 821.641 | 0 | 851.465 | 0 | 841.359 | 4.94405 | 853.151 | 0 |
| 873.787 | 0 | 855.059 | 0.0062522 | 843.659 | 55.6557 | 860.461 | 0 |
| 873.845 | 4.61877 | 858.858 | 7.02151 | 856.832 | 17.599 | 862.134 | 0.758982 |
| 890.249 | 9.7545 | 890.883 | , | 879.926 | 1.2836 | 897.138 | 0 |
| 893.661 | 0 | 898.928 | 0 | 901.521 | 59.8047 | 898.442 | 25.5026 |
| 895.009 | 0 | 901.894 | 21.1765 | 916.387 | 9.67024 | 902.044 | 0.846289 |
| 902.731 | 65.7474 | 906.538 | 72.0765 | 925.352 | 21.705 | 949.768 | 0 |
| 944.56 |  | 911.402 | 0.564484 | 949.388 | 3.16133 | 959.918 | 0.757557 |
| 959.404 | 0 | 922.409 | 0.317373 | 968.289 | 0.963953 | 972.522 | 2.6015 |
| 961.183 | 7.26487 | 969.63 | 0 | 971.83 | 5.33513 | 974.105 | 0.163812 |
| 982.146 | 0.00081069 | 970.292 | 7.29443 | 979.505 | 0.546621 | 983.469 | 0 |
| 982.495 | 0 | 985.663 | 0 | 983.404 | 1.07824 | 987.941 | 0.0600045 |
| 990.116 | 0 | 990.045 | 0.00704613 | 990.742 | 0.0217863 | 990.907 | $7.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 990.629 | 0.00202673 | 990.269 | 0 | 1003.62 | 1.33718 | 1019.84 | 1.94947 |
| 1041.16 | 7.47051 | 991.233 | 0.0845535 | 1022.73 | 1.79201 | 1032.14 | 1.56657 |
| 1060.54 | 0 | 1034.9 | 2.36531 | 1031.61 | 9.22416 | 1045.57 | 2.86469 |
| 1063.97 | 13.222 | 1035.26 | 7.90972 | 1064.93 | 10.1653 | 1076.01 | 5.9508 |
| 1126.37 | 0 | 1114.35 | 0.0311617 | 1109.75 | 0.75734 | 1084.9 | 2.24252 |
| 1131.5 | 5.82089 | 1156.49 | 0.300999 | 1156.56 | 1.97148 | 1130.71 | 0.60192 |
| 1171.83 | 1.6353 | 1166.02 | 4.29129 | 1162.4 | 2.19523 | 1151.54 | 0.124809 |
| 1174.05 | 0 | 1169.22 | 3.51403 | 1171.88 | 11.6017 | 1161.4 | 2.64035 |
| 1185.04 | 0.149302 | 1179.44 | 0.981198 | 1180.75 | 8.37414 | 1178.03 | 0.753507 |
| 1186.77 | 0 | 1197.27 | 0.549201 | 1184.02 | 0.529478 | 1192.53 | 0.648424 |
| 1206.61 | 5.3515 | 1199.45 | 6.38637 | 1195.24 | 0.360545 | 1200.37 | 0.851464 |
| 1225.34 | 0 | 1215.85 | 0.975045 | 1214.5 | 5.16191 | 1222.62 | 0.916494 |
| 1236.47 | 9.04447 | 1240.13 | 0.831741 | 1228.57 | 0.696803 | 1229.47 | 0.718254 |
| 1241.45 | 0 | 1259.45 | 4.63645 | 1235.35 | 1.97309 | 1257.46 | 3.6167 |
| 1247.15 | 13.2547 | 1278.96 | 0.0924928 | 1256.54 | 13.2735 | 1265.37 | 0.86519 |
| 1284.26 |  | 1294.07 | 3.69018 | 1284.95 | 1.40236 | 1279.61 | 2.75203 |
| 1309.65 | 0 | 1294.62 | 1.68859 | 1291.68 | 1.31718 | 1312.24 | 1.80704 |
| 1315.26 | 0 | 1313.38 | 2.52132 | 1312.46 | 7.29375 | 1322.49 | 0.0103508 |
| 1323.13 | 2.97767 | 1354.24 | 1.19834 | 1353.84 | 2.28452 | 1339.41 | 0.932095 |
| 1352.25 | 5.48418 | 1356.27 | 0.782021 | 1355.29 | 0.506977 | 1347.1 | 0.662975 |
| 1366.25 | 0 | 1371.09 | 6.71754 | 1374.8 | 9.34166 | 1352.66 | 0.0956322 |
| 1374.13 | 4.05264 | 1396.94 | 4.94132 | 1382.61 | 5.80461 | 1374.07 | 2.13339 |
| 1403.16 | 0.35238 | 1409.56 | 2.63604 | 1401.33 | 3.19365 | 1381.09 | 1.73691 |
| 1442.11 | 0 | 1414.41 | 0.0811793 | 1417.78 | 0.594301 | 1419.59 | 0.276246 |
| 1457.4 | 6.03005 | 1439.03 | 0.974251 | 1444.52 | 4.29064 | 1460.31 | 15.3113 |
| 1460.86 1464.41 | 0 2.39208 | 1452.92 1473.26 | 0.0484297 0.533719 | 1448.04 1453.06 | 11.8305 0.496977 | 1466.32 1479.7 | 4.51376 2.25317 |
| 1485.75 | 36.6129 | 1476.19 | 4.92097 | 1478.46 | 2.19041 | 1484.86 | 4.59582 |
| 1497.49 | 0 | 1509.46 | 0.0326504 | 1507.34 | 1.49736 | 1506.89 | 0.33445 |
| 1532.9 | 0 | 1512.38 | 0.797205 | 1521.2 | 2.61453 | 1526.94 | 12.5049 |
| 1541.02 | 18.2096 | 1541.4 | 28.4504 | 1539.88 | 13.993 | 1543.71 | 13.4994 |
| 1586.13 | 4.73362 | 1593.23 | 2.2758 | 1575.63 | 9.22344 | 1595.81 | 0.709028 |
| 1595.92 | 0 | 1596.55 | 0.016871 | 1590.33 | 1.80147 | 1602.79 | 0.012976 |
| 1633.22 | 0 | 1620.77 | 1.81899 | 1626.85 | 1.66254 | 1614.71 | 0.209641 |
| 1645.48 | 1.52842 | 1641.6 | 0.46435 | 1628.53 | 10.2124 | 1635.12 | 0.929545 |
| 1651.58 | 0 | 1651.53 | 0.00516054 | 1644.8 | 1.49861 | 1643.4 | 0.378478 |
| 1656.83 | 0 | 1664.88 | 1.20022 | 1662.79 | 1.53647 | 1647.5 | 0.000975073 |
| 3156.43 | 0 | 3155.06 | 8.28753 | 3157.49 | 3.17615 | 3162.35 | 0.0363027 |
| 3159.61 | 0 | 3157.31 | 0.528162 | 3158 | 10.3106 | 3167.45 | 0.489562 |
| 3159.63 | 8.77572 | 3157.7 | 9.65984 | 3159.69 | 6.34677 | 3168.11 | 0.86219 |
| 3168.79 | 15.3268 | 3158.66 | 2.17884 | 3161.29 | 1.85219 | 3175.75 | 15.1173 |
| 3169.13 | 0 | 3162.41 | 2.18162 | 3162.29 | 2.1563 | 3181.46 | 26.1149 |
| 3175.33 | 0 | 3162.45 | 1.93461 | 3169.69 | 17.1924 | 3182.9 | 14.2332 |
| 3175.62 | 69.8315 | 3174.63 | 0.380788 | 3175.48 | 40.2358 | 3188.21 | 19.6935 |
| 3177.8 | 19.6818 | 3175.48 | 13.9839 | 3177.19 | 28.4084 | 3190.28 | 0.0198015 |
| 3179.14 | 0 | 3176.12 | 55.212 | 3179.38 | 82.6137 | 3191.86 | 0.717204 |
| 3186.37 3186.72 | 65.0286 0 | 3176.17 3187.98 | 13.5002 1.93659 | 3186.6 3187.9 | 54.8944 47.5173 | 3202.76 3212.78 | 0.0159762 22.5275 |
| 3197.56 | 54.7994 | 3188.12 | 54.5734 | 3205.67 | 3.20579 | 3213.27 | 5.06558 |
| 3198.09 | 0 | 3190.09 | 14.8023 | 3226.05 | 5.23102 | 3221.14 | 16.6197 |

Table 2. Continued.

| 38 |  | 39 |  | 40 |  | 41 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Frequencies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Intensity ( $\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Intensity ( $\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) |
| 41.8745 | 0 | 45.4699 | 0.631878 | 45.8323 | 0.266821 | 64.546 | 0.0483532 |
| 54.3537 | 0.641557 | 60.2029 | 0.023372 | 71.2575 | 0.0175635 | 71.3969 | 0.251463 |
| 106.268 | 0 | 104.769 | 0.111573 | 98.3848 | 0.255031 | 90.1026 | 0.170088 |
| 115.65 | 0.200094 | 120.675 | 0.469387 | 114.317 | 0.575746 | 120.248 | 1.96348 |
| 129.055 | 2.36055 | 142.695 | 0.486777 | 154.542 | 1.12695 | 168.318 | 0.0725953 |
| 184.579 | 0.376623 | 189.92 | 0.0392315 | 196.111 | 1.78546 | 173.209 | 2.52917 |
| 213.886 | 0 | 225.14 | 2.94862 | 227.276 | 1.01567 | 228.59 | 2.87262 |
| 251.079 | 0.159518 | 233.716 | 0.859754 | 245.488 | 2.45696 | 243.821 | 0.224207 |
| 284.45 | 5.78502 | 293.388 | 0.0155813 | 263.136 | 1.92813 | 273.85 | 0.778106 |
| 304.898 | 0.959616 | 300.592 | 2.63978 | 310.594 | 0.804311 | 311.766 | 2.08561 |
| 310.785 | 0 | 325.686 | 0.0528652 | 315.255 | 1.8722 | 342.562 | 0.00694504 |
| 407.965 | 0.134837 | 381.876 | 0.542424 | 408.649 | 1.04828 | 398.473 | 2.68956 |
| 414.285 | 0.0133861 | 395.89 | 0.342511 | 415.235 | 3.66536 | 419.118 | 3.31829 |
| 416.166 | 0 | 428.605 | 7.93687 | 425.469 | 5.16643 | 420.215 | 1.89219 |
| 432.803 | 6.67562 | 456.904 | 5.14865 | 438.779 | 6.61771 | 449.382 | 0.000262077 |
| 441.9 | 23.1783 | 472.32 | 21.2013 | 471.92 | 4.82695 | 470.154 | 3.29562 |
| 501.306 | 3.06639 | 480.235 | 5.69052 | 498.013 | 0.216656 | 476.254 | 0.000393115 |
| 526.021 | 2.27424 | 482.862 | 1.69544 | 505.294 | 8.66349 | 494.791 | 0.0349873 |
| 528.77 | 0 | 517.854 | 2.01847 | 527.77 | 2.63512 | 524.437 | 21.4025 |
| 529.615 | 0.501003 | 529.45 | 1.66177 | 529.598 | 0.0447508 | 536.546 | 5.89896 |
| 534.35 | 0.0909139 | 547.47 | 1.74594 | 549.234 | 1.19408 | 544.632 | 0.718222 |
| 566.721 | 7.64123 | 549.001 | 4.08383 | 570.638 | 0.812492 | 550.967 | 14.9107 |
| 570.311 | 0 | 564.51 | 4.62222 | 575.042 | 7.93485 | 574.632 | 0.00930373 |
| 579.576 | 0.0097607 | 599.213 | 5.6044 | 589.289 | 1.53115 | 608.959 | 0.69149 |
| 605.933 | 14.6367 | 639.074 | 1.29826 | 605.16 | 0.58958 | 634.284 | 17.081 |
| 663.1 | 3.40857 | 646.676 | 5.11679 | 658.835 | 8.513 | 657.662 | 20.7489 |
| 676.62 | 0 | 684.812 | 6.02718 | 667.923 | 7.17601 | 678.287 | 2.45566 |
| 720.315 | 15.354 | 723.665 | 1.40009 | 689.497 | 3.39047 | 690.926 | 0.135232 |
| 729.967 | 0.651457 | 742.422 | 1.28532 | 727.391 | 13.6751 | 723.236 | 0.00877957 |
| 734.867 | 1.01455 | 751.929 | 100 | 734.536 | 12.3732 | 734.098 | 2.66401 |
| 754.149 | 98.2259 | 752.809 | 1.22995 | 757.491 | 5.98746 | 752.156 | 49.9982 |
| 757.264 | 0 | 757.592 | 10.6622 | 758.629 | 100 | 764.004 | 13.2632 |
| 779.085 | 4.19208 | 767.182 | 0.536164 | 773.335 | 2.50953 | 776.751 | 21.6911 |
| 783.096 | 3.59166 | 773.339 | 0.209235 | 798.833 | 4.14534 | 784.605 | 23.7739 |
| 788.758 | 0.0263539 | 784.521 | 2.13909 | 800.912 | 20.6156 | 797.434 | 3.15357 |
| 789.942 | 0 | 799.247 | 1.49469 | 809.932 | 10.4684 | 802.598 | 19.5311 |
| 815.119 | 100 | 816.339 | 61.8131 | 818.209 | 6.43028 | 813.853 | 1.46802 |
| 827.371 | - | 847.091 | 0.415826 | 843.512 | 7.40036 | 837.89 | 11.9518 |
| 872.301 | 5.47059 | 848.496 | 2.33608 | 849.65 | 76.418 | 855.432 | 100 |
| 874.51 | 0 | 869.604 | 1.86906 | 874.17 | 2.08681 | 865.938 | 1.18433 |
| 875.784 | 1.86959 | 876.118 | 0.728704 | 877.544 | 2.22022 | 881.567 | 0.444744 |
| 890.06 | 4.72892 | 884.483 | 1.70393 | 886.859 | 14.0325 | 882.216 | 18.323 |
| 897.811 | 5.25725 | 890.549 | 5.15922 | 892.247 | 1.65626 | 937.071 | 1.42295 |
| 909.527 | 60.7888 | 908.552 | 0.117834 | 961.387 | 2.44313 | 949.331 | 1.56958 |
| 959.779 | 0 | 921.037 | 95.6826 | 966.356 | 1.06151 | 965.269 | 0.0461255 |
| 960.368 | 7.22013 | 930.06 | 2.22131 | 972.778 | 0.871317 | 970.007 | 3.49388 |
| 977.497 | 2.0259 | 961.374 | 5.0048 | 981.687 | 0.0623143 | 971.644 | 0.0883199 |
| 982.641 | 0 | 976.041 | 5.28429 | 989.031 | 0.748012 | 980.757 | 1.95719 |
| 984.225 | 0.14404 | 984.611 | 0.0375621 | 991.58 | 0.57334 | 983.229 | 0.608673 |
| 989.842 | 0 | 991.501 | 0.0289367 | 1011.87 | 1.70282 | 997.535 | 0.27767 |
| 990.821 | 0.00139439 | 992.42 | 0.00584299 | 1012.55 | 0.870836 | 999.243 | 0.380142 |
| 1017.84 | 1.17937 | 1001.8 | 2.20656 | 1017.63 | 0.15747 | 1045.74 | 5.31741 |
| 1059.07 | 8.75381 | 1023.66 | 7.72722 | 1056.98 | 10.3187 | 1057.25 | 7.80124 |
| 1066.8 | 8.42529 | 1064.74 | 7.88219 | 1064.68 | 4.67129 | 1082.01 | 2.3258 |
| 1125.56 | 3.03362 | 1126.66 | 2.87726 | 1105.76 | 1.03781 | 1093.9 | 0.0712849 |
| 1132.4 | 1.61512 | 1149.48 | 6.27579 | 1148.01 | 1.88122 | 1150.45 | 6.87231 |
| 1168.15 | 1.22831 | 1157.65 | 1.54519 | 1169.81 | 0.671142 | 1165.94 | 0.660696 |
| 1178.83 | 1.22748 | 1172.54 | 2.53628 | 1171.92 | 0.529551 | 1171.28 | 5.58407 |
| 1181.8 | 0.0483852 | 1188.02 | 0.294097 | 1180.75 | 1.20105 | 1179 | 0.750981 |
| 1189.37 | 0.0108762 | 1189.53 | 1.76069 | 1186.58 | 4.50335 | 1182.68 | 0.00380011 |
| 1197.48 | 6.74311 | 1207.85 | 0.655806 | 1198.66 | 1.67515 | 1184.25 | 4.90647 |
| 1223.81 | 0.048664 | 1220.82 | 0.158735 | 1210.04 | 0.255874 | 1207.17 | 2.57556 |
| 1237.9 | 5.02132 | 1232.77 | 0.811758 | 1236.28 | 1.27468 | 1224.29 | 1.28496 |
| 1247.3 | 14.2747 | 1254.78 | 5.5187 | 1243.39 | 0.418516 | 1233.38 | 0.992878 |
| 1259.51 | 0.780856 | 1270.58 | 2.69501 | 1254.02 | 3.33466 | 1238.33 | 1.46449 |
| 1289.06 | 0.454848 | 1297.86 | 6.23948 | 1259.1 | 17.3397 | 1248.4 | 6.86877 |
| 1292.17 | 0.821014 | 1306.63 | 0.828453 | 1286.27 | 5.12589 | 1285.45 | 7.31719 |
| 1309.97 | 4.36233 | 1316.75 | 1.98592 | 1305.21 | 0.41214 | 1297.01 | 1.33672 |
| 1329.58 | 0.192286 | 1325.36 | 2.20434 | 1344.95 | 1.99165 | 1342.85 | 9.07454 |
| 1352.97 | 1.81382 | ${ }^{1350.49}$ | 6.12262 | 1356.28 | 0.80383 | 1356.2 | 0.00117935 |
| 1362.91 | 3.97539 | 1373.51 | 8.1845 | 1374.14 | 0.620978 | 1364.41 | 0.984754 |
| 1376.38 | 3.03056 | 1381.88 | 0.777674 | 1375.86 | 1.12442 | 1373.94 | 1.69616 |
| 1409.99 | 1.40638 | 1415.36 | 0.038675 | 1392.75 | 1.17014 | 1396.97 | 1.74818 |
| 1444.28 | 0.0376484 | 1424 | 6.24045 | 1416.72 | 0.663804 | 1411.43 | 2.06084 |
| 1454.22 | 2.98789 | 1443.62 | 0.164995 | 1447.92 | 1.99598 | 1446.67 | 0.258146 |
| 1455.86 | 4.4011 | 1448.67 | 1.96631 | 1454.95 | 4.12718 | 1447.32 | 0.533326 |
| 1464.36 | 1.37096 | 1469.87 | 3.93651 | 1463.7 | 2.57004 | 1464.58 | 7.17016 |
| 1482.34 | 24.9369 | 1485.31 | 12.5808 | 1478.68 | 12.0279 | 1470.66 | 9.4539 |
| 1506.88 | 1.16459 | 1497.56 | 1.74079 | 1515.66 | 3.85976 | 1512.87 | 3.80575 |
| 1523.79 | 18.2878 | 1524.92 | 14.0477 | 1526.11 | 6.27257 | 1524.47 | 5.1827 |
| 1547.08 | 14.4489 | 1560.58 | 4.71641 | 1548.66 | 8.02712 | 1544.86 | 0.0572638 |
| 1586.88 | 4.81704 | 1583.34 | 1.63729 | 1561.46 | 1.18373 | 1552.56 | 5.86593 |
| 1597.61 | 0.030537 | 1589.95 | 4.56588 | 1596.29 | 0.195243 | 1588.22 | 0.102472 |
| 1631.39 | 0.120754 | 1612.84 | 0.293123 | 1626.45 | 0.994502 | 1620.22 | 0.247401 |
| 1645.59 | 0.468653 | 1639.17 | 0.106009 | 1640.87 | 1.34698 | 1643.53 | 0.0078623 |
| 1648.04 | 0.149199 | 1653.86 | 0.0741503 | 1644.3 | 1.80663 | 1645.3 | 2.66218 |
| 1657.6 | 0.018127 | 1657.73 | 0.0477178 | 1652.34 | 0.970082 | 1652.52 | 1.10885 |
| 1662.84 | 1.72332 | 1669.1 | 4.84815 | 1658.79 | 0.671382 | 1657.82 | 0.798548 |
| 3154.94 | 5.1694 | 3152.54 | 1.46687 | 3157.74 | 0.903798 | 3157.54 | 0.677075 |
| 3157.07 | 0.767051 | 3153.71 | 11.2358 | 3159.39 | 0.583324 | 3157.96 | 2.64763 |
| 3158.32 | 12.3884 | 3155.4 | 1.62546 | 3160.24 | 2.42352 | 3159.82 | 6.62111 |
| 3160.08 | 8.18072 | 3157.33 | 1.9414 | 3164.14 | 10.5789 | 3160.33 | 0.70669 |
| 3160.43 | 0.436443 | 3158.16 | 25.4301 | 3165.54 | 4.33024 | 3162.25 | 0.360618 |
| 3169.82 | 10.3454 | 3159.69 | 3.57855 | 3170.04 | 20.557 | 3170.87 | 22.3017 |
| 3170.13 | 4.17033 | 3162.41 | 2.72089 | 3170.46 | 10.8989 | 3171.01 | 0.720187 |
| 3176.61 | 25.6231 | 3169.15 | 7.32725 | 3177.77 | 41.5137 | 3176.92 | 1.75316 |
| 3177.16 | 65.008 | 3175.32 | 37.1045 | 3185.11 | 32.6586 | 3177.76 | 58.3678 |
| 3184.05 | 0.147387 | 3175.71 | 47.7332 | 3186.38 | 34.7385 | 3180.59 | 55.2067 |
| 3185.03 | 79.7631 | 3178.84 | 17.1362 | 3194.89 | 6.18872 | 3186.25 | 36.6487 |
| 3193.34 | 27.0364 | 3186.9 | 29.6676 | 3211.38 | 22.5888 | 3186.49 | 30.0297 |
| 3196.36 3222.49 | 1.02473 11.8184 | 3188.01 3199.27 | 48.3083 22.6502 | 3212.84 3228.8 | 2.02942 5.74543 | 3216.21 3216.88 | 5.99461 3.07862 |

## Fused-Ring Heteroacenes

Table 40. Optimised and single-point energies of the neutral, anionic and cationic isomers, in Hartree (atomic energy units).

| Isomer | E(Mopt) | $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}{ }_{\text {OPT }}\right)$ | $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} \mathrm{sp}^{\text {) }}\right.$ | E(M-Opt) | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{sp})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | -2758.221 | -2757.974 | -2757.970 | -2758.256 | -2758.252 |
| 44 | -2758.217 | -2757.958 | -2757.957 | -2758.247 | -2758.243 |
| 45 | -2758.219 | -2757.973 | -2757.968 | -2758.252 | -2758.247 |
| 46 | -2758.220 | -2757.971 | -2757.967 | -2758.248 | -2758.240 |
| 47 | -2758.218 | -2757.973 | -2757.970 | -2758.255 | -2758.251 |
| 48 | -2758.221 | -2757.956 | -2757.954 | -2758.244 | -2758.240 |

Table 41. Reorganisation energies and vertical ionization and electron affinities, in electron volts.

| Isomer | $\lambda_{1}$ | $\lambda_{2}$ | $\lambda_{3}$ | $\lambda_{4}$ | $I E_{\mathrm{V}}$ | $E A_{\mathrm{v}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 3}$ | 0.1065 | 0.1060 | 0.1196 | 0.1184 | 6.845 | 0.830 |
| $\mathbf{4 4}$ | 0.0433 | 0.0408 | 0.1094 | 0.1049 | 7.071 | 0.716 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.1197 | 0.1206 | 0.1157 | 0.1147 | 6.816 | 0.774 |
| $\mathbf{4 6}$ | 0.0983 | 0.0966 | 0.1306 | 0.2264 | 6.889 | 0.535 |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 0.0992 | 0.0987 | 0.1095 | 0.1104 | 6.748 | 0.915 |
| $\mathbf{4 8}$ | 0.0509 | 0.0500 | 0.1177 | 0.1123 | 7.246 | 0.526 |

Table 42. Frequency data of fused-ring heteroacene isomers 1-6 optimised at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p).

|  |  |  <br> 44 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Intensity ( $\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequencies } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Intensity ( $\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Intensity $\left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| 33.163 | 0.12396 | 22.5026 | 0.106814 | 25.5388 | 0.816309 |
| 40.8766 | 0 | 57.0173 | 0 | 51.7489 | 0 |
| 59.4599 | 0.0898259 | 59.8861 | 0.263828 | 61.9131 | 0.501095 |
| 82.2506 | 0 | 68.8166 | 0 | 73.3614 | 0 |
| 86.7811 | 0.286074 | 102.976 | 0.546406 | 95.6041 | 0.00317939 |
| 136.54 | 0.0566331 | 131.631 | 1.29156 | 136.986 | 1.33126 |
| 142.359 | 0 | 146.066 | 0 | 142.844 | 0 |
| 145.097 | 0.0828109 | 162.734 | 0.0306046 | 150.432 | 0.0247539 |
| 199.143 | 0.679426 | 184.53 | 0.626244 | 183.506 | 0.0244132 |
| 199.453 | 0.000941033 | 206.007 | 0 | 205.946 | 0 |
| 219.767 | 5.45149 | 219.561 | 1.46225 | 207.095 | 1.62001 |
| 245.475 | 1.81098 | 266.277 | 0.311247 | 238.734 | 0 |
| 264.264 | 0.000256645 | 273.035 | 0 | 249.214 | 0.35348 |
| 285.079 | 0.0400367 | 277.311 | 0.0210482 | 255.49 | 9.50287 |
| 303.754 | 0.267168 | 284.464 | 11.8703 | 284.137 | 2.20729 |
| 309.593 | 0 | 311.66 | 0.874952 | 295.102 | 0.0024981 |
| 333.625 | 7.30986 | 340.711 | 0 | 321.602 | 0 |
| 342.493 | 4.04242 | 366.382 | 1.72039 | 387.959 | 1.04443 |
| 357.996 | 0.000256645 | 377.498 | 0.0277014 | 389.242 | 0.140007 |
| 428.982 | 0.662658 | 409.571 | 0 | 415.992 | 0.201551 |
| 449.405 | 1.73373 | 412.792 | 17.1753 | 467.554 | 0.279219 |
| 449.501 | 0.00111213 | 423.586 | 0.808057 | 471.947 | 0.909647 |
| 465.245 | 2.02237 | 460.79 | 0.198264 | 478.443 | 0 |
| 485.038 | 0.384113 | 482.666 | 5.51959 | 491.928 | 0 |
| 489.161 | 3.09711 | 484.499 | 0 | 493.486 | 0.00306584 |
| 497.4 | 5.95939 | 491.194 | 0.745518 | 496.531 | 5.87927 |
| 527.776 | 0 | 499.162 | 0.970153 | 519.898 | 0.645417 |
| 539.387 | 0.215325 | 536.311 | 4.08226 | 535.737 | 4.11777 |
| 550.367 | 0.265029 | 554.655 | 1.09293 | 543.959 | 0.215745 |
| 567.367 | 1.20375 | 560.257 | 0.924669 | 544.181 | 0.0185086 |
| 588.247 | 0 | 599.366 | 0 | 584.323 | 0 |
| 606.467 | 0.163141 | 602.965 | 6.4451 | 588.902 | 7.45965 |
| 609.049 | 0.435014 | 639.527 | 2.53945 | 597.838 | 0.566273 |
| 611.96 | 0.236199 | 640.162 | 0 | 621.522 | 0 |
| 619.927 | 0.000598839 | 650.605 | 36.1077 | 623.837 | 34.6574 |
| 621.01 | 0.0621082 | 686.332 | 0.346449 | 662.159 | 1.69257 |
| 677.307 | 0.000256645 | 688.01 | 6.3043 | 678.515 | 0 |
| 686.364 | 52.1272 | 690.701 | 0 | 683.805 | 7.47067 |
| 696.046 | 1.0525 | 698.399 | 29.3362 | 684.093 | 43.4177 |
| 732.473 | 5.98001 | 720.734 | 44.7649 | 712.847 | 0.775545 |
| 738.095 | 0.482322 | 745.886 | 0 | 738.798 | 0 |
| 738.684 | 0.00273755 | 746.038 | 55.1135 | 741.06 | 23.4149 |
| 741.407 | 53.0837 | 751.565 | 0.664712 | 753.862 | 1.43516 |
| 785.35 | 42.2112 | 758.072 | 2.99308 | 797.582 | 0.121612 |
| 785.424 | 0.043202 | 768.45 | 1.72849 | 799.24 | 11.5191 |
| 806.131 | 15.3511 | 824.15 | 66.1385 | 816.023 | 0 |
| 807.47 | 9.96511 | 825.619 | 12.8993 | 818.049 | 100 |
| 860.325 | 100 | 858.422 | 0 | 820.845 | 64.4455 |
| 862.523 | 6.51084 | 858.645 | 22.8459 | 821.852 | 31.5139 |
| 882.588 | $8.55 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 869.334 | , | 894.09 | 6.8198 |
| 883.005 | 0.474452 | 871.483 | 44.1773 | 894.106 | 0 |
| 922.747 | 14.7218 | 898.33 | 0.206127 | 938.289 | 2.40635 |
| 923.551 | 2.76792 | 901.43 | 4.2821 | 940.959 | 14.7927 |
| 941.449 | 0.00102658 | 902.597 | 0 | 952.426 | 17.2189 |
| 941.461 | 0.000171097 | 902.706 | 14.83 | 956.199 | 0 |
| 944.118 | 0.483092 | 945.733 | 84.7829 | 956.821 | 0.754879 |
| 1026.15 | 12.3026 | 1028.87 | 0.00193547 | 1043 | 0.756696 |
| 1047.78 | 25.0624 | 1044.57 | 3.17913 | 1050.2 | 31.7122 |
| 1113.04 | 0.0518424 | 1090.72 | 14.4876 | 1096.26 | 1.63909 |
| 1113.38 | 7.62382 | 1091.87 | 0.34282 | 1098.67 | 0.317939 |
| 1163.27 | 5.13701 | 1114.69 | 4.16718 | 1132.26 | 0.0183951 |
| 1163.38 | 3.80545 | 1115.54 | 8.75811 | 1133.87 | 45.1029 |
| 1170.8 | 32.1371 | 1194.7 | 0.943903 | 1160.54 | 1.17728 |
| 1171.83 | 0.177 | 1196.64 | 10.5771 | 1160.77 | 0.200302 |
| 1220.1 | 5.68615 | 1263.95 | 0.0430641 | 1235.3 | 1.10336 |
| 1221.63 | 23.3675 | 1264.52 | 0.998338 | 1235.37 | 3.66414 |
| 1291.53 | 0.101717 | 1303.75 | 47.4702 | 1310.36 | 0.75011 |
| 1292.04 | 3.31252 | 1306.09 | 33.0177 | 1324.42 | 57.2643 |
| 1323.2 | 5.37433 | 1318.47 | 30.334 | 1325.64 | 16.1942 |
| 1347.94 | 31.9042 | 1326.01 | 28.9445 | 1356.96 | 4.73877 |
| 1350.63 | 1.11076 | 1333.77 | 3.44295 | 1365.87 | 0.583419 |
| 1374.71 | 0.767969 | 1343.11 | 12.4382 | 1379.01 | 0.0197577 |
| 1390.29 | 43.0148 | 1367.15 | 20.043 | 1397.86 | 73.3225 |
| 1418.44 | 35.5121 | 1431.84 | 11.4926 | 1399.2 | 10.6764 |
| 1424.59 | 31.273 | 1434.34 | 3.76001 | 1405.56 | 12.0814 |
| 1447.22 | 4.13285 | 1457.46 | 100 | 1427.42 | 31.8828 |
| 1450.14 | 23.7169 | 1461.4 | 7.74308 | 1439.56 | 7.47544 |
| 1471.1 1477.67 | 0.668561 1.37006 | 1489.61 1495.29 | 11.7985 3.24421 | 1469.48 1477.51 | 16.458 4.02432 |
| 1526.69 | 36.1077 | 1518.84 | 4.72254 | 1532.42 | 2.46165 |
| 1527.26 | 1.19332 | 1519.83 | 5.41955 | 1534.13 | 23.0915 |
| 1570.83 | 2.04812 | 1575.8 | 6.08269 | 1583.28 | 0.0704009 |
| 1571.72 | 0.135167 | 1577.55 | 12.0663 | 1585.74 | 0.602949 |
| 1604.49 | 0.0163398 | 1628.64 | 0.0407658 | 1612.8 | 3.16475 |
| 1604.95 | 9.32974 | 1630.92 | 0.018024 | 1614.97 | 13.0255 |
| 3179.76 | 1.03924 | 3174.41 | 1.99196 | 3171.44 | 8.14606 |
| 3179.88 | 0.612955 | 3174.42 | 6.63877 | 3171.46 | 0.427969 |
| 3194.94 | 6.01029 | 3186.14 | 1.7493 | 3187.72 | 3.07992 |
| 3195.01 | 8.12445 | 3186.16 | 2.5755 | 3187.78 | 21.1057 |
| 3208.32 | 0.169472 | 3200.71 | 7.92441 | 3201.02 | 21.8299 |
| 3208.77 | 2.4466 | 3200.73 | 6.54333 | 3201.06 | 0.541973 |
| 3241.79 3241.83 | 1.72774 0.924522 | 3239.66 3239.66 | 1.80603 <br> 1.37878 | 3242.34 3242.34 | 0.377099 1.87891 |

Table 5. Continued.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequencies } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Intensity } \\ \left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequencies } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Intensity } \\ \left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Frequencies } \\ & \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Intensity } \\ \left(\mathrm{Km} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 34.4858 | 1.15349 | 24.7757 | 0.818351 | 22.0829 | 0.16411 |
| 37.8296 | 0 | 50.6138 | 0 | 56.9907 | 0 |
| 59.5428 | 0.553795 | 58.4774 | 0.509072 | 60.5265 | 0.181061 |
| 80.1245 | 0 | 75.3998 | 0 | 68.2184 | 0 |
| 85.8929 | 0.197814 | 98.5354 | 0.109184 | 101.821 | 0.22319 |
| 133.171 | 0.0190817 | 133.931 | 2.4022 | 133.477 | 0.00166187 |
| 138.65 | 0.742916 | 141.433 | 0 | 148.041 | 0 |
| 145.666 | 0 | 156.899 | 1.05892 | 154.664 | 0.799943 |
| 195.496 | 0.295767 | 188.765 | 0.398831 | 186.428 | 0.0206072 |
| 196.29 | 0 | 206.388 | 0 | 203.324 | 0 |
| 219.239 | 6.53359 | 219.071 | 0.362436 | 206.769 | 1.89869 |
| 248.95 | 1.90446 | 277.915 | 1.40338 | 234.066 | 0 |
| 259.689 | 0 | 278.823 | 3.87309 | 252.232 | 6.85215 |
| 267.223 | 1.58283 | 279.989 | 0 | 255.335 | 0.00108022 |
| 297.834 | 0.540755 | 311.499 | 0.336009 | 302.195 | 2.82535 |
| 304.944 | 7.11198 | 313.31 | 0.791471 | 302.374 | 0.0278364 |
| 306.928 | 0 | 335.109 | 3.40947 | 326.122 | 0 |
| 380.079 | 0.691925 | 337.645 | 0 | 372.56 | 6.78909 |
| 381.627 | 1.67357 | 344.118 | 0.248873 | 393.551 | 0.202832 |
| 428.289 | 0.454358 | 409.226 | . | 412.025 | 0.146993 |
| 466.622 | 0.501108 | 410.637 | 19.5613 | 447.723 | 0 |
| 469.946 | 0.00519447 | 421.298 | 0.0385089 | 448.89 | 2.60956 |
| 477.098 | 0 | 469.283 | 1.80176 | 459.35 | 0.428514 |
| 489.728 | 0.54436 | 482.744 | 11.2537 | 470.627 | 4.0509 |
| 493.026 | 0.00540649 | 488.337 | 0 | 486.001 | 0.0824289 |
| 494.677 | 2.0851 | 524.679 | 0.00739974 | 506.758 | 1.86869 |
| 495.02 | 0 0.317181 | 529.519 540.22 | 0.310487 1.50879 | 522.926 546.881 | 0 2.14207 |
| 520.098 | 0.317181 2.09581 | 540.22 555.315 | 1.50879 9.75951 | 546.881 561.68 | 2.14207 0.26108 |
| 533.319 | 2.09581 7.79203 | 555.315 559.13 | 9.75951 0.0934784 | 561.68 562.39 | 0.26108 0.055008 |
| 544.032 590.967 | 7.79203 0 | 567.087 | 2.50897 | 562.39 580.489 | 0.055008 |
| 624.022 | 6.09609 | 601.309 | 2.508 | 598.169 | 0.0013295 |
| 624.53 | 0.0022262 | 609.611 | 5.66805 | 599.116 | 12.4677 |
| 628.164 | 1.4288 | 638.392 | 0 | 622.247 | 2.44295 |
| 628.995 | 31.8168 | 648.634 | 38.3468 | 622.779 | 0 |
| 631.422 | 0.000318029 | 681.501 | 8.06482 | 649.741 | 1.5497 |
| 678.991 | 0 | 684.431 | 3.17585 | 686.692 | 0 |
| 682.585 | 0.170782 | 686.497 |  | 691.923 | 50.6881 |
| 683.375 | 42.2236 | 693.877 | 45.8271 | 698.006 | 0.832599 |
| 707.941 | 9.1727 | 727.148 | 25.4953 | 732.149 | 0.114005 |
| 735.98 | 6.03354 | 740.696 | 6.23557 | 744.543 | 0 |
| 737.182 | 0.000212019 | 746.022 | 0 | 745.256 | 48.8804 |
| 738.715 | 11.9698 | 746.463 | 65.262 | 761.932 | 1.0503 |
| 807.689 | 0.00402837 | 774.541 | 47.2682 | 796.132 | 0 |
| 808.255 | 100 | 796.744 | 25.0865 | 799.018 | 43.5626 |
| 810.127 | 1.61527 | 824.509 | 24.6623 | 801.871 | 7.55554 |
| 810.89 | 13.3174 | 827.21 | 45.4577 | 802.035 | 0.244212 |
| 842.271 | 31.8714 | 857.464 | 0 | 841.8 | 100 |
| 845.398 | 24.9643 | 859.547 | 23.2314 | 845.849 | 0.570604 |
| 896.076 | 6.56656 | 867.856 | 0 | 883.238 | 1.55792 |
| 896.092 | 0.0313789 | 868.283 | 62.7699 | 884.646 | 4.82159 |
| 906.122 | 11.4225 | 899.152 |  | 891.344 | 0 |
| 915.177 | 7.92433 | 899.242 | 21.1838 | 891.473 | 0.861266 |
| 948.898 | 0.196118 | 902.94 | 24.2616 | 948.298 | 0 |
| 949.094 | 0.00010601 | 905.985 | 1.78077 | 948.43 | 0.0955577 |
| 967.385 | 9.83855 | 940.089 | 17.8174 | 965.845 | 24.0765 |
| 1056.92 | 1.35226 | 1027.11 | 11.6877 | 1090.41 | 0.031077 |
| 1063.62 | 10.6347 | 1049.11 | 54.9649 | 1096.75 | 2.04618 |
| 1115.25 | 5.56689 | 1070.77 | 37.1473 | 1103.84 | 2.45874 |
| 1115.45 | 3.31036 | 1071.38 | 30.6108 | 1105.92 | 0.790803 |
| 1121.86 | 4.58237 | 1105.93 | 3.03903 | 1113.99 | 5.48061 |
| 1125.49 | 0.471319 | 1106.08 | 26.2123 | 1114.54 | 23.4052 |
| 1166.82 | 0.526868 | 1214.55 | 12.3185 | 1173.02 | 6.05346 |
| 1167.3 | 8.4247 | 1215.31 | 9.82595 | 1173.6 | 2.58122 |
| 1230.27 | 0.904051 | 1263.74 | 0.0815482 | 1222.87 | 28.3152 |
| 1231.22 | 1.55527 | 1264.92 | 0.0211421 | 1223.16 | 12.0991 |
| 1295.24 | 57.6907 | 1300.31 | 34.3265 | 1310.91 | 1.07922 |
| 1296.89 | 0.980802 | 1300.54 | 16.8216 | 1323.31 | 20.4169 |
| 1332.77 | 48.8917 | 1321.5 | 7.42179 | 1324.34 | 22.9994 |
| 1341.83 | 0 | 1342.06 | 36.1204 | 1343.45 | 5.73612 |
| 1343.73 | 16.5205 6.07934 | 1344.52 1348.31 | 0.644684 11.6085 | 1344.84 1364.53 | 8.08152 0.067389 |
| 1377.85 1379.67 | 6.07934 1.48414 | 1348.31 1362.96 | 11.6085 60.3875 | 1364.53 1395.38 | 0.067389 23.0843 |
| 1404.38 | 0.798041 | 1404 | 0.270166 | 1416.8 | 11.9655 |
| 1432.92 | 0.875216 | 1419.03 | 3.87218 | 1420.65 | 14.2205 |
| 1442.74 | 47.7093 | 1459.37 | 100 | 1436.15 | 71.4637 |
| 1452.73 | 63.9828 | 1474.06 | 6.51948 | 1445.2 | 5.41114 |
| 1467.84 | 0.755001 | 1486.37 | 82.6112 | 1479.27 | 7.93245 |
| 1472.58 | 0.644857 | 1490.86 | 3.7047 | 1487.38 | 2.72996 |
| 1533.52 | 0.217744 | 1525.89 | 1.02177 | 1534.14 | 0.45419 |
| 1533.55 | 0.0530048 | 1526.97 | 10.2825 | 1534.26 | 0.423445 |
| 1581.43 | 19.0098 | 1571.04 | 1.43102 | 1573.59 | 2.11565 |
| 1582.06 | 0.285272 | 1572.55 | 1.20299 | 1576.54 | 1.35343 |
| 1609.12 | 11.1437 | 1625.23 | 1.13881 | 1610.66 | 0.272963 |
| 1609.53 | 3.68288 | 1627.94 | 36.443 | 1612.64 | 1.5723 |
| 3174.66 | 0.674646 | 3178.71 | 9.74456 | 3176.09 | 1.01191 |
| 3174.68 | 0.148096 | 3178.74 | 9.45808 | 3176.11 | 0.173417 |
| 3190.98 | 18.1649 | 3181.85 | 1.65377 | 3192.7 | 1.73782 |
| 3191.01 | 8.16836 | 3181.88 | 0.979183 | 3192.74 | 10.865 |
| 3200.97 | 12.9322 | 3199.47 | 30.0422 | 3203.82 | 0.853289 |
| 3200.99 | 2.06687 | 3199.51 | 1.01724 | 3203.83 | 1.38185 |
| 3241.28 | 1.29512 | 3238.69 | 0.955322 | 3241.66 | 1.65323 |
| 3241.29 | 0.6562 | 3238.7 | 3.46429 | 3241.66 | 0.0939789 |

## Oligo-Fused Heteroacenes

Table 43. Frequency data of oligo-fused heteroacene compounds 1-9 optimised at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p).

|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aid } \\ & 153 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 44. Continued


Table 45．Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frouemines | ， | Frauemes |  | Fioueverices（mm） |  |
|  | ， |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \％om | 边 |
| cin |  | （entire | －0．129562 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0．0．53095 |  | 込 |
|  |  |  | 0 0．142377 |  | \％ |
|  |  |  | － 0.5071789 |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{0.857388}$ |  | 0．000411279 |  |  |
|  | （oin |  | －0．3007 |  | \％ |
|  |  |  | （entiole |  | 边 |
|  | （ointisi3 |  | 0．0610084 | cick |  |
|  | ， | cose |  | cum | 込 |
| coile |  |  | 0．00702029 |  | 边 |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{0}}^{0.657394}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0} 0.1023248$ |  | 边 |
|  |  |  | （0．0049799 | （\％） |  |
| cois |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {a }}$ | coit | ${ }_{\text {cosem }}$ | \％iw | 边 |
|  |  | cisem |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | coin |  | 边 |
| cosit |  |  |  |  |  |
| cois |  | cosise | ${ }^{0.223372}$ |  |  |
| cism | coile | cois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 边 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| coicle |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | coin | \％ |  |
| coin |  | cien | coind | 朗 |  |
| cile |  |  |  | \％ |  |
| coicle |  | cose | coin |  |  |
|  | coin | cis | （0．01682 | \％ |  |
| cise |  | coicle | coicle |  |  |
| coicle |  | （102029 | coile |  | 込 |
|  |  |  |  | \％ | 边 |
|  |  |  | coile |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {che }}$ |  | \％ |  |
| （10） |  |  | cole |  | \％ |
|  |  | ${ }^{12082}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | （10003 |  |  |
|  |  |  | cile |  |  |
|  | coin |  |  |  | 景 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 边 |
|  |  |  |  | \％ |  |
|  | coiole |  |  | \％ |  |
|  | 0.182959 |  |  | \％ | \％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | comem |  | come |  |  |
|  | coile |  |  |  | 退 |
|  | （enter |  | cill |  |  |
| cois |  |  |  | 造 |  |
|  |  | cose |  | 込 |  |
|  | （0．3773 | cois |  |  | \％om |
|  | （inceat |  |  |  |  |
| （1aser |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 遃 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{0.75015}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （tame |  |  |  |  |
|  | － |  |  |  |  |

Appendix B2
Molecular Modelling - Cartesian Coordinates

## Mechanism Modelling for the Synthon Molecular Fragment

Table 46. Located (STQN) Transition states for steps 1a-c of the four-centre mechanism, calculated at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) theoretical level.

| 1 a | 1 b | 1 C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-2.2978530000 3.9794070000 0.4463450000 | C -4.5936780000 2.99760900000 .9286940000 | C -2.4222900000 3.44402100000 .0245620000 |
| C - 3.05367400002 .85679700000 .2628690000 | C -3.6928230000 3.54015000000 .0451090000 | C - $2.92689600002 .1934030000-0.1797160000$ |
| C - 0.91803400003 .86557500000 .0468790000 | C -2.3648890000 3.4965950000 0.5996680000 | C-1.0261980000 3.4247770000 0.3626080000 |
| C -0.7272270000 $2.6127620000-0.4380770000$ | C-2.3067040000 2.92920600001 .8402600000 | C - 0.47726000002 .15824300000 .4440100000 |
| S -2.1272180000 1.5610250000-0.4346230000 | S -3.8652660000 2.41472200002 .4127970000 | S -1.6897200000 0.98398600000 .0492310000 |
| H-2.7095830000 4.88601100000 .8752400000 | $\mathrm{Br}-0.75703200002 .66968400002 .9069120000$ | H -0.4803170000 4.32049400000 .6572650000 |
| H-4.0967870000 2.69667700000 .4952400000 | H -4.0234230000 3.8703120000-1.2947860000 | H -3.0178720000 $4.3461870000-0.0456000000$ |
| H-0.0013360000 4.89103300000 .2398800000 | H-1.4791570000 3.85826700000 .0912540000 | H -3.9385710000 1.9117370000-0.4323610000 |
| Br 0.9478740000 1.9308590000-1.1536570000 | H-5.6578440000 2.84154600000 .8004870000 | Br 1.72648800001 .99422900000 .3149390000 |
| H 0.63854500006 .74451300000 .0282380000 | H-3.7833040000 4.7545980000-3.0355750000 | H 4.2660810000 2.89167700000 .2751090000 |
| Li 0.9242540000 4.5018410000-1.2604180000 | N -4.5081860000 4.5725210000-2.3458530000 | Li 1.0504770000 3.5007190000-1.1913140000 |
| N 1.0086110000 5.7983700000 0.0744290000 | Li -4.6256800000 5.3519900000-0.6585530000 | N 3.6870900000 $2.5688750000-0.5013270000$ |
| H 1.67985600005 .79906800000 .8386640000 | H -5.2435450000 4.0760410000-2.8425850000 | H $4.14772800001 .7238930000-0.8420160000$ |

Table 47. Located (STQN) Transition states for steps 2-4 of the four-centre mechanism, calculated at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) theoretical level.

| 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{Br}-0.4887910000 & 0.2948370000 & 1.7020910000 \\ \mathrm{Br} 3.7076060000 & -0.2659520000 \\ & 1.3361440000\end{array}$ S $3.11463600000 .1007110000-1.7781030000$ S - 0.18456000002 .92381000004 .4026110000 C $1.62728300000 .8308330000-2.3191900000$ C $0.81675100001 .1909820000-1.2825670000$ C $1.39063300000 .9116560000-0.0035960000$ C $2.59064400000 .2916260000-0.1146630000$ C 0.26816600002 .61554400002 .7505270000 C -0.15408600003 .66311300001 .9443820000 C - 0.78820800004 .50835400004 .0136620000 Li 1.72795900001 .51215400001 .9528420000 H $1.45297900000 .9446350000-3.3796040000$ H - $0.15895400001 .6377210000-1.4201970000$ H-0.0847730000 3.63587100000 .8633430000 H-1.1288980000 5.17485500004 .7930300000 $\mathrm{H}-1.00212000005 .695805000022155170000$ |  |  |

Table 48. Located (STQN) Transition states for steps 2-4 of the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ mechanism, calculated at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) theoretical level.

| 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{Br} 0.5612530000 & 2.3155540000 \\ \mathrm{Br} 0.4943170000 & -1.6949710000 \\ 1.0308570000\end{array}$ <br> S 1.8690140000-1.7538510000-1.8286650000 <br> S 0.85697800004 .55359700003 .2667980000 <br> C $2.2529870000-0.2967110000-2.6993590000$ <br> C $1.89468700000 .8221580000-2.0001070000$ <br> C $1.28643300000 .5767090000-0.7192690000$ <br> C $1.2253890000-0.7543150000-0.5320630000$ <br> C - 0.17117300003 .61063900002 .2245420000 <br> C -1.4835400000 3.74696700002 .5977050000 <br> C - 0.49770300005 .13025700004 .1955810000 <br> C-1.6709960000 4.61747300003 .7194980000 <br> Li 0.35485900000 .53078500002 .1832220000 <br> H $2.7185410000-0.3667790000-3.6731510000$ <br> H $2.06018700001 .8203860000-2.3906080000$ <br> H -2.2982180000 3.25978500002 .0736900000 <br> H -0.34985300005 .81132300005 .0212710000 | $\mathrm{Br} 0.3587460000-1.9039740000$ <br> $\mathrm{Br}-0.51230100001 .8222720000$ <br> -0.25038700000 S -3.4053710000-1.7860810000 0.3644500000 S $3.1122680000-1.6483230000-0.9644090000$ C - $4.4091660000-0.4113470000-0.0358540000$ C - $3.63239800000 .6945150000-0.2239650000$ C - $1.9064640000-0.91272800000 .2991000000$ C - $2.25678800000 .3569310000-0.0247360000$ C $3.3038830000-2.48812000001 .4585880000$ C $2.3613890000-2.21061900000 .5143450000$ C $4.6441680000-2.26002700000 .9974220000$ C $4.7117900000-1.7767910000-0.2755910000$ Li $0.71752600000 .0126620000-0.7526150000$ H $-5.4851400000-0.4886700000-0.1050340000$ H $-3.99968800001 .6789390000-0.4815380000$H $3.0593530000-2.82602700002 .4575770000$ H $5.5246730000-2.45100500001 .5989700000$H $5.5854620000-1.5483880000-0.8672780000$ | S -4.1710200000 -1.8330090000 -1.4443680000 <br> H -3.9305490000 -2.4155180000 -3.8195790000 <br> C -3.3529360000 -2.1520800000 -2.9443870000 <br> C -2.6179750000 -1.5338590000 -0.6852240000 <br> Br -2.5807300000 -1.0691360000 <br> C -1.9983570000 -2.0219860000 -2.8259820000 <br> C -1.5509330000 -1.6671490000 -1.5101340000 <br> H -1.3195160000 -2.1772550000 -3.6559890000 <br> Li -0.6151300000 0.3210970000 0.2843130000 <br> Br 0.5616270000 -1.2832630000 -1.0154470000 <br> Br 1.3934000000 1.6321530000 1.1954620000 <br> C 2.5752110000 -0.5805930000 -0.3456510000 <br> C 2.8160120000 0.4904770000 0.4527440000 <br> S 4.1152960000 -1.2649320000 -0.7501300000 <br> C 4.1742250000 0.8046060000 0.7665560000 <br> H 4.4878100000 1.6304260000 1.3908180000 <br> C 5.0169290000 -0.0855790000 0.1676210000 <br> H 6.0960780000 -0.1155860000 0.2144820000 |

## Charge Transfer Modelling for Acenes

Table 49. Ground-state optimised isomers 13, 14 and 32-41 at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) theoretical level.
田

Table 50. Ground-state optimised isomers 13, 14 and 32-41 at the LC-BLYP/6-311++G(d,p) theoretical level.
田

Table 51. Optimized cation isomers 13, 14 and 32-41 at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) theoretical level.

| 13 | 14 | 32 | 33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C 0.0000000000-6.104333 | C $0.6956040000-1.645745$ | C $1.9164600000-2.3781710000-0.7346170000$ | C $1.8367700000-1.38553800000 .0000000000$ |
| C 0.0000000000-6.1043330000-0. |  |  |  |
| C 0.0000000000-4.9219960000-1.408986 | 368 | . 07690300000.121125 | c -0.4881610000-0.5984270000 0.0000000000 |
| C 0.0000000000-4.9219960000 1.408986 | 6336800000000 | C $0.5531700000-0.0007840000-0.0841780000$ | C |
| C 0.00000000000-3.6770770000 0.7227670000 | C-0.73128500000 0.777362000000 .00000000000 | C-0.17755800000-1.23000600000-0.2810080000 | C 1.4168510000 1.0112390000 0.00000000000 |
| C 0.00000000000-3.3770770000-0.7227670000 | C 0.73128500000 .777362000000 .0000000000 | C 0.5441810000-2.3772890000 -0.6817690000 |  |
| C 0.00000000000-2.4543540000-1.4078510000 | 028760000-0.4742650000 0.0000 | 707190000 1.2367100000-0.1643590000 | 00 |
| C 0.0000000000-2.4543540000 1.40785100 | 4719800001.97478500000 .0000000000 | C -1.6092940000-1.2503760000-0.0686780000 | C 1.90967400002 .32296000000 .0000000000 |
| C 0.00000000000-1.227267700000 0.72644990000 | C-3.5997740000 0.7724820000 0.00000000000 | C - $2.30553330000-0.03276500000 .1606190000$ | C 1.05227300003 .43839000000 .0000000000 |
| C 0.00000000000-1.2272670000-0.7264490000 | C-2.8548590000 1.9717570000 0.00000000000 | C-1.5930270000 1.23678600000-0.0068620000 | C - 0.36217900003 .20700800000 .0000000000 |
| C 0.000000000000 0.00000000000-1.4093420000 | C-3.6700480000-1.65134900000 0.00000000000 | C 0.4802740000 $2.459448800000-0.4921760000$ | C-1.2365330000 4.3171300000 0.00000000000 |
| C 0.00000000000 .00000000001 .4093420000 | C -5.01822400000 .79869100000 .0000000000 | C $-0.21407100003 .6495600000-0.5562120000$ | C 1.53709500004 .76788300000 .0000000000 0.65526000005 .83357600000 .000000000 |
| C $0.000000000001 .2272670000-0.7264490000$ | C -5.0576840000 -1.6021360000 0.00000000000 | C -2.2649690000 $2.4624790000-0.0601310000$ | C - 0.733445000005 .607323000000 .00000000000 |
| C $0.000000000002 .4543540000-1.4078510000$ | C 1.47119800001 .97478500000 .0000000000 | C-2.3201690000-2.4709000000 0.0010930000 | C-1.87713800000 -0.90000700000 0.0000000000 |
| C 0.00000000002 .45435400001 .4078510000 | C 2.85485900001 .97175700000 .0000000000 | C -3.6682900000-0.0894510000 0.4813000000 | C - $0.0201920000-3.058603000000 .0000000000$ |
| C 0.00000000000 3.6770770000 0.7227670000 | C 3.59977400000 .77248200000 .0000000000 | C -3.6695480000-2.4990540000 0.3025070000 | C - $1.4240930000-3.301702000000 .0000000000$ |
| C 0.00000000000 3.6770770000 -0.7227670000 | C $2.9028760000-0.474265000000 .0000000000$ | C-4.3447770000-1.3021870000 0.5520850000 | C-2.3192280000-2.19448200000 0.0000000000 |
| c 0.0000000000 $4.9219960000-1.4089860000$ | C 3.6700480000-1.6513490000 0.0000010000 | C 2.72859800000 .93033100000 .7707390000 | C-1.9140690000-4.6240060000 0.0000000000 |
| C 0.0000000000 6.1043330000-0.7089710000 | C 5.0576840000-1.6021360000 0.0000010000 | C 4.0697770000-1.3404690000-0.1370550000 | C 0.8415140000-4.1761940000 0.0000000000 |
| C 0.00000000006 .10433300000 .7089710000 | C 5.7396420000-0.3743760000 0.0000010000 | C $4.7870850000-0.30084300000 .4475460000$ | C 0.3397280000-5.4677750000 0.0000000000 |
| C 0.00000000000 4.92199600001 .4089860000 | C 5.018224000000.79869910000 0.0000000000 | C 4.10877100000 .819525000000 .9269150000 | C - 1.0428140000-5.6978310000 0.0000000000 |
| H 0.0000000000-7.0485890000-1.2401420000 | H-3.3861530000 2.91733600000 .0000010000 | H $0.0118350000-3.2719030000-0.9718680000$ | H 3.37345300000 .09345300000 .0000000000 |
| H 0.0000000000-4.9248630000-2.4930440000 | 77009300002.93210300000 .0000010000 | 3842800002.49896500000 .0627310000 | H-1.9216000000 1.748800000000 .0000000000 |
| H $0.0000000000-4.92486300002 .4930440000$ | H-3.1945850000-2.6228990000 0.0000000000 | H-2.1485630000 $4.5821520000-0.3593860000$ | H2.9833990000 2.47997800000 .0000000000 |
|  | H-5.6206120000-2.5281100000 0.0000000000 | H1.5265930000 $2.4463180000-0.7610630000$ | H-2.3078520000 4.1502410000 0.0000000000 |
| H $0.0000000000-2.4564960000-2.4928990000$ | H-5.5237270000 1.75774800000 .0000000000 | H $0.29757600004 .5652960000-0.8250950000$ | H2.6071670000 4.94205400000 .0000000000 |
| H $0.0000000000-2.45649600002 .4928990000$ | H-6.8223750000-0.3545630000 0.0000000000 | H-5.3977560000-1.3140260000 0.8069100000 | H $2.5598780000-2.18873900000 .0000000000$ |
| H $0.00000000000 .0000000000-2.4945150000$ | H 1.1956600000-2.6046540000-0.0000020000 | H-4.2187630000 0.81834800000 .6865800000 | H-3.3857090000-2.3918110000 0.0000000000 |
| H 0.000000000000 .000000000002 .4945150000 | H-1.1956600000-2.6046540000-0.00000020000 | H-1.8040810000-3.4096440000-0.1458070000 | H-2.6027440000-0.1043750000 0.0000000000 |
| H $0.000000000002 .4564960000-2.4928990000$ | H 0.97009300002 .93210300000 .0000000000 | H-4.1922310000-3.4455910000 0.3624390000 | H 1.03279700006 .84889600000 .0000000000 |
| H 0.00000000002 .45649600002 .4928990000 | H3.3861530000 2.91733600000 .0000000000 | H2.4426290000-3.2653560000-1.0694080000 | H-1.4129150000 6.4511220000 0.0000000000 |
| H $0.000000000004 .9248630000-2.4930440000$ | H3.1945850000-2.6228990000 0.0000010000 | H2.2201000000 1.77711700001 .2105550000 | H-1.4246830000-6.7114720000 0.0000000000 |
| H $0.0000000000007 .04858990000-1.2401420000$ | .6206120000-2.5281100000 0.00000010000 | H4.6514230000 1.6041040000 1.4402470000 | H-2.9857980000-4.7874060000 0.00000000000 |
| H 0.00000000007 .04858900001 .2401420000 | 5000-0.3545630000 0.0000010000 | H 4.5833620000-2.2343540000-0.4728780000 | H 1.9150900000-4.0469510000 0.0000000000 |
| H 0.00000000004 .92486300002 .4930440000 | H5.5237270000 1.75774800000 .0000000000 | H $5.8616610000-0.37828100000 .5592960000$ | H 1.0255180000-6.3064780000 0.0000000000 |
| 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 |
| C 2.2788860000-2.9479460000 0.0000000000 | C 0.000000000000 .69075600002 .7168180000 | 62460000 0.0000000000 | $000$ |
| C 2.3404570000-1.5691560000 0.00000000000 |  |  |  |
| C 1.0422490000-3.3386070000 0.0000000000 | C 0.0000000000000 .73443800000 .2410250000 | C 4.76681100002 .52572700000 .00000000000 | C-5.138768000000.7005580000 0.0000010000 |
| C - $0.18498100000-2.897299000000 .0000000000$ | C 0.00000000000-0.6907560000 2.7168180000 | C 3.512042000003 .11449400000 .00000000000 | C-3.9390390000 1.4034220000 0.0000040000 |
| C - $0.11888150000-1.440500000000 .00000000000$ | C 0.00000000000-1.4250440000 1.5089080000 | C 2.35214000002 .30962300000 .00000000000 | C-2.70903300000.7130740000 0.0000020000 |
| C 1.1604370000-0.7931080000 0.0000000000 | C 0.00000000000-0.73443800000 0.2410250000 | C 2.47744500000 .88924700000 .0000000000 | C-2.7090330000-0.7130740000-0.0000030000 |
| C 1.241751000000.6231040000 0.0000000000 | C 0.00000000000-1.5006070000-0.9088090000 | C 1.315648000000.0829740000 0.00000000000 | C - 1.4672890000-1.3837850000-0.0000030000 |
| C 0.118815000001 .440500000000 .0000000000 | C 0.0000000000 -2.8353590000 1.5479400000 | C 0.000000000000 .579164000000 .0000000000 | C - $0.2345650000-0.71609100000000000010000$ |
| C-1.1604370000 0.79310800000 .0000000000 | C 0.0000000000-3.6023970000 0.3816780000 | c- 0.09402200002 .02811600000 .0000000000 | C - 0.23456500000 .71609100000 .0000030000 |
| C - 1.2417510000-0.6231040000 0.0000000000 | C 0.0000000000-2.9191380000-0.8824230000 | C 1.0492380000 2.836051000000 .0000000000 | C-1.4672890000 1.383785000000 .0000050000 |
| C 0.18498100002 .89729900000 .0000000000 | C 0.0000000000-3.6869950000-2.0656550000 | C -1.2265260000-0.2272070000 0.0000000000 | C 1.0212820000-1.4480080000 0.0000010000 |
| C -2.3404570000 1.56915600000 .0000000000 | C 0.0000000000-5.027302000000.4072710000 | C -1.3531960000 2.67514700000 .0000000000 | C 1.0212820000 1.4480080000 0.0000030000 |
| C -2.2788860000 2.94794600000 .0000000000 | C 0.0000000000-5.7438630000-0.7658990000 | C -2.4975890000 1.9338490000 0.0000000000 | C $2.25972800000 .7252370000-0.0000030000$ |
| C -1.0422490000 3.63860700000 .0000000000 | C 0.0000000000-5.0694240000-2.0070610000 | C-2.4700040000 0.5183950000 0.0000000000 | C 2.2597280000-0.7252370000-0.0000020000 |
| C 1.3896120000 3.61971300000 .0000000000 | C 0.0000000000 $1.5006070000-0.9088090000$ | C-1.3437230000-1.6899340000 0.0000000000 | C 1.0615330000 -2.8610440000 0.0000050000 |
| C 1.3906160000 5.005233000000.0000000000 | C 0.000000000002 .835359000001 .5479400000 | C -2.6569660000-2.2823010000 0.00000000000 | C 2.2546770000-3.54693880000 0.00000050000 |
| C 0.1849810000 5.73233200000 .0000000000 | C 0.00000000003 .60239700000 .3816780000 | C -3.8316050000-1.4753120000 0.0000000000 | C 3.4714560000-2.8395670000 0.0000000000 |
| C-1.0127500000 5.057324000000.00000000000 | C 0.00000000000 $2.91913880000-0.88242350000$ | C - $3.73830250000-0.124864000000 .00000000000$ | C 3.46766330000-1.46428000000-0.00000030000 |
| C -1.3896120000-3.6197130000 0.0000000000 | C 0.0000000000 $3.6869950000-2.0656550000$ | C - $0.2738790000-2.6269780000000000000000$ | C 1.0615330000 2.86104400000 .0000070000 |
| C 1.0127500000-5.0573240000 0.0000000000 | C 0.0000000000 5.0273020000 0.4072710000 | C -2.8304160000-3.6804360000 0.0000000000 | C 3.4676630000 1.4642800000-0.0000090000 |
| C -1.3906160000-5.0052330000 0.00000000000 | C 0.0000000000 5.7438630000-0.7658900000 | C-1.7529250000-4.5419270000 0.0000000000 | C 2.25467700003 .54693800000 .0000030000 |
| C -0.1849810000-5.7323320000 0.0000000000 | C 0.0000000000 5.0694240000-2.0070610000 | C - $0.4660740000-3.996345000000 .0000000000$ | C 3.4714560000 $2.8395670000-0.0000060000$ |
| H 2.23385400001 .05696600000 .0000000000 | H $0.0000000000-1.228840000003 .6581230000$ | H5.881524000000.6785670000 0.0000000000 | H-1.4950850000-2.4648230000-0.00000080000 |
| H-2.2338540000-1.0569660000 0.0000000000 | H $0.0000000000-1.0277110000-1.8833320000$ | H5.6550090000 3.14588900000 .0000000000 | H-1.4950850000 2.464823000000 .0000080000 |
| H-3.3031220000 1.07089600000 .0000000000 | H $0.0000000000-3.32992500002 .5136900000$ | H 3.8769480000-0.7622540000 0.0000000000 | H $4.41757990000-0.95121500000-0.0000060000$ |
| H-3.1968360000 3.55545100000 .0000000000 | H0.0000000000-3.1844460000-3.02633040000 | H3.4129730000 4.194119900000 .0000000000 | H $4.41143440000-3.3780400000-0.00000010000$ |
| H-1.95178900000 5.59899110000 0.00000000000 | 00000000 -5.5361140000 1.3646780000 | H1.5122500000-0.9698040000 0.00000000000 | H $0.1463200000-3.43540500000 .0000110000$ |
| H 0.206294000006 .815081000000 .0000000000 | H 0.000000000001 .22884000003 .6581230000 | H. 0.915586600003 .91287900000 .0000000000 $H-1.39312600003 ~$ | H $2.2564190000-4.63020100000 .0000080000$ |
| H2.3410630000 3.1043911000000 .00000000000 | H $0.0000000000001 .02771110000-1.88333200000$ |  | $H-3.9438330000-2.48771400000-0.0000100000$ $H-6.0787060000-12389940000-0.000070000$ |
| H2.3352510000 5.5363410000 -0.00000010000 | H $0.00000000000-6.88266800000-0.7442800000$ | H-3.4638790000 2.4239890000 0.0000000000 | H-6.07870600000-1.2389940000 -0.0000070000 |
| H3.3031220000-1. 07089600000000000000000 | H $0.00000000000-5.6447770000-2.9253890000$ | H-4.62724600000 0.493441000000 .00000000000 | H-6.0787060000 1.23899400000 0.0000020000 |
| H3.1968360000-3.5554510000 0.0000000000 | 0.00000000003 .32992500002 .5136900000 | H-4.7986360000-1.9647700000 0.0000000000 | H-3.9438330000 2.48777140000 0.0000080000 |
| H 1.95178900000-5.59891100000 0.0000000000 | . 000000000005.53611400001 .3646780000 | H $0.399966000000-4.647867000000 .00000000000$ | H4.4114340000 $3.37884100000-0.00000100000$ |
| H-0.2062940000-6.8150810000 0.0000000000 | . $00000000006.8266800000-0.7442800000$ | H $0.7513190000-2.31923400000 .0000000000$ | H 4.417579000000.9512150000-0.0000160000 |
| H-2.3410630000-3.1043910000 0.0000000000 $H-23352510000$ | H0.0000000000 5.6447770000 -29253890000 | H-3.8414630000-4.0710910000 0.0000000000 | H 0.14632000003 .43540500000 .0000140000 |
| H-2.3352510000-5.5363410000-0.0000010000 | H0.0000000000 5.6447770000-2.9253890000 | H-1.9001280000-5.6149490000 0.0000000000 | H2.2564190000 4.63020100000 .00000700 |
| 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 |
| C - 3.72136700000 .29537800000 .0000010000 | C-4.0952370000-0.1181210000 0.0000000000 | C-3.3836960000-2.4633770000-0.6082250000 | C-2.9376230000-0.3281590000 0.2152350000 |
| C-2.5061400000-0.4782580000 0.0000000000 | C - 3.76880500001 .27078500000 .0000000000 | C-4.6362410000-1.8910910000 -0.2951830000 | C-3.46278800000.8850610000 0.7286410000 |
| C -3.6581770000 1.71145200000 .0000010000 | C-2.3966980000 1.68041700000 .0000000000 | C - $4.7186730000-0.556036000000 .0351970000$ | C-2.7127290000 2.0440060000 0.7087360000 |
| C -2.4548110000 2.37435400000 .0000010000 | C -3.1287570000-1.0837120000 0.0000000000 | C -2.2337250000-1.7175400000-0.5151530000 | C - 1.36309200000 .03333600000 .3103180000 |
| C-1.2320750000 1.65092700000 .0000000000 | C -1.7486370000-0.7307630000 0.0000000000 | C 5.1310260000 0.4449100000-0.5101580000 | C - 0.71025200000 .7797000000000295690000 |
| C-1.2388370000 0.22297500000 .0000000000 | 1.36388300000 .65856700000 .0000000000 | C 5.3355530000-0.8766660000-0.0918300000 | C -1.5734240000 -0.3806480000-0.2077580000 |
| C 0.0000000000 -0.4424120000 0.0000000000 | c 0.0000000000 0.9610340000 0.00000000000 | C 4.2540810000-1.63318200000 0.3130750000 | C-0.6482120000 3.2686390000 0.1973510000 |
| C 1.2388370000 0.2229757500000.0000000000 | C - $0.7629760000-1.72907200000 .0000000000$ | C $3.85687400001 .0090310000-0.5119420000$ | C $0.71025200000 .7797000000-0.0295680000$ |
| C 1.23207500001 .65092700000 .0000000000 |  | C -3.67144000001 .65351500000 .3175740000 | C $1.36309200002 .0333360000-0.3103180000$ C $0.64821100003 .2686390000-0.1973510000$ |
| C C . $250614000000-0.478258000000 .00000000000$ | ${ }_{C} 2.36266$ | C-2.5 |  |
| C $2.454881100002 .3743540000-0.0000010000$ | C 1.5986940000-2.4175890000 0.0000000000 | C -2.2654310000 -0.3552930000 -0.0943030000 | C 2.7127290000 2.0440060000-0.7087360000 |
| C 3.6581770000 $1.71145220000-0.00000010000$ | C $2.96784200000-2.09417550000000000000000$ | C - $-1.1386660000002 .77274600000-0.0017500000$ | C $2.937623300000-0.32815900000-0.2152350000$ |
| C 3.7213670000 0.2953780000 0.0000000000 | C 3 35595530000-0.7079990000 0.0000000000 | C-1.2669320000 1.87314700000 .1183560000 | C 3.462788000000.8850610000-0.7286420000 |
| C $2.62130000000-1.885451000000 .00000010000$ | C 4.73789990000-0.38558990000 0.0000000000 | C -1.097162000000.4617450000 0.06222660000 | C 1.17595440000-1.49448800000 0.9653020000 |
| C 3.8565420000-2.4980560000 0.0000010000 | C 3.9734420000-3.09239770000 0.0000000000 | C 1.1456970000 2.1893500000-0.1518410000 | C 3.7903720000-1.4383610000-0.0049590000 |
| C 5.0464130000-1.7356260000 0.00000000000 | C 5.30855550000-2.741821000000.0000000000 | C $0.53558720000-1.352160000000 .63357500000$ | C 3.34617990000-2.5474810000000.6888450000 |
| C 4.9767980000-0.3649450000 0.00000000000 | C 5.6917220000-1.384407700000 0.0000000000 | C $0.26332470000-0.05717500000 .16038880000$ | C 2.04394990000-2.5546066000 1.2037730000 |
| C - $2.6213130000000-1.88544100000-0.0000010000$ | C-2.1775380000 3.063393000000 .0000000000 | C 1.3859480000 0.815484400000-0.0729730000 | C-1.1759540000-1.49448900000-0.9653010000 |
| C-4.9767980000--0.36494500000 0.0000010000 | C-4.7921350000 2.2454610000000000000000 | C 2.7401910000 0.2695520000--0.1005470000 | C-3.7903720000-1.4383610000 0.00499580000 |
| C -5.0464130000-1.7356260000 0.00000000000 | C-4.4838460000 3.5876161600000 .00000000000 | C $2.9473160000-1.083188000000 .3051680000$ | C-3.3461790000-2.5474810000 -0.6888450000 |
| C-3.8565420000-2.4.48805600000-0.0000010000 | C-3.1362690000 3.9995282000000 .0000000000 | ${ }_{\text {C }} 1.83244500000-1.84803300000 .7085510000$ | C-2.0439490000-2.5546060000-1.2037730000 |
| H-4.5858230000 2.27259590000000000020000 | H-3.40094400000-2.1327450000 0.00000000000 | H-5.5350080000-2.2.99276600000-0.35955190000 | H 4.494848000000.9136410000-1.0604650000 |
| H-2.4266300000 3.45789000000 .0000010000 | H 0.326433000001 .99319700000 .0000000000 | H-5.6832540000-0.0965920000 0.2178090000 | H3.1621480000 $2.9850830000-1.0038290000$ |
| H $0.000000000000-1.522082000000 .00000000000$ | H-1.0729450000-2.7689640000 0.00000000000 | H-3.3337150000-3.3.490497500000-0.94991640000 | H-1.1800260000 4.194521000000 .3812320000 $H 1.18002600004 .1945210000$ -0.381232000 |
| H 0.00000000000 <br> H 2.4266300000 <br> 3.45147200000000000000000000000 <br> 0.0000000000 |  | H-1.2991950000-2.2.1618650000-0.8254970000 <br> H-0.2804620000 3.7780010000 <br> 0.0000660000 | H 1.1800260000 $4.1945210000-0.3812320000$ <br> H $0.1841330000-1.5287670000$ <br> 1.3940300000 |
| H $4.5858230000{ }^{2} 2.2725990000-0.0000010000$ | H 6.7440120000-1.1267970000 0.0000000000 | H $1.968218000002 .8834590000-0.2589100000$ | H $1.7052890000-3.39221800001 .8021480000$ |
| H5.8807430000 0.2331050000-0.0000010000 | H5.0375490000 0.6563240000 0.0000000000 | H6.3327100000-1.2987570000-0.0901100000 | H 4.8120380000 -1.3873130000-0.3641520000 |
| H6.0068200000-2.2364340000 0.00000000000 | H3.6815680000-4.1364810000 0.0000000000 | H 4.3897570000-2.6582680000 0.6390870000 | H4.0076810000-3.3869460000 0.8635060000 |
| H 1.7378670000-2.5094670000 0.0000010000 | H $6.0704150000-3.51194600000 .0000000000$ | H $5.97371700001 .0405100000-0.8408750000$ | H-4.4948480000 0.91364100001 .0604640000 |
| H $3.9166360000-3.57999100000 .0000010000$ <br> H-1.7378670000-2.5094670000 -0.0000020000 | H-5.1422560000-0.4004540000 0.0000000000 <br> H -5.82594600001 .91943100000 .0000000000 | H $3.74590000002 .0268330000-0.8622410000$ H $1.9917780000-2.85408400001 .0815640000$ | H-3.1621480000 2.98508200001 .0038290000 H - $4.8120380000-1.38731300000 .3641510000$ |
| H-3.9166360000-3.5799910000 -0.0000020000 | H-5.2728470000 4.32982800000 .0000000000 | H-2.6550810000 3.5248390000 0.3516750000 | H-4.0076810000-3.3869460000-0.8635060000 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | H-1.0956190000 3.4186220000 0.0000000000 | H-0.2721540000-1.9677910000 1.0012070000 |  |

## Fused-Ring Heteroacenes

Table 52. Ground-state optimized isomers 43-46 at the B3LYP/ $6-311++G(d, p)$ theoretical level.

| 43 | 44 | 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 46 | 47 | 48 |
|  |  |  |

Table 53. Optimized cation isomers 43-46 at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) theoretical level.

| 43 | 44 | 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S 0.00000000000-5.8966250000 2.0188270000 | S $0.00000000000-0.19598100007 .1803680000$ | 350000-5.4575630000 |
| S 0.00000000005 .89662500002 .0188270000 | S $0.0000000000-0.1959810000-7.1803680000$ | S 0.0000000000-1.9371350000 5.4575630000 |
| S 0.00000000000000000000000000 .9607810000 | S - 0.0000000100001 .516371000000 .00000000000 | S 0.000000000002 .270290000000 .0000000000 |
| S 0.0000000000-1.9243560000-2.8246950000 | S -0.0000010000-2.2146500000-1.9441500000 | S 0.0000000000-1.5160540000-1.9336320000 |
| S $0.00000000001 .9243560000-2.8246950000$ | S-0.0000010000-2.2146500000 1.9441500000 | S $0.0000000000-1.516054000001 .9336320000$ |
| C 0.0000000000-3.3112110000 2.2537000000 | C 0.0000030000 1.53659100007 .2415080000 | C -0.0000010000-1.0505990000 -6.9494780000 |
| C 0.00000000000-4.4667220000 2.9873750000 | C 0.000001000002 .128493300006 .0108290000 | C 0.00000000000 0.3061110000-6.78828250000 |
| C 0.000000000004 .46672200002 .9873750000 | C 0.0000030000 1.5365910000-7.2415080000 | C -0.0000010000-1.0505990000 6.9494780000 |
| C 0.000000000003 .31121100002 | C 0.0000010000 2.1284930000-6.0108290000 | C 0.00000000000 0.30611100006 .7828250000 |
| C 0.0000000000-4.9397150000 0.5553300000 | C 0.0000010000-0.1601450000-5.4254260000 | C $0.000000000002 .0077320000-4.8699930000$ |
| C 0.00000000000-5.4333120000-0.7535170000 | C 0.00000000000 1.17994900000-4.9411430000 | C 0.00000000000 0.69377180000-5.4141400000 |
| C 0.00000000000-4.5441590000-1.8294900000 | C 0.00000010000-1.2564030000-4.5734180000 | C 0.00000000000-0.4374250000-4.5500370000 |
| C $0.00000000000-3.557543000000 .8512370000$ | C - $0.000000100001 .4205820000-3.5570120000$ | C 0.00000000000 2.1951890000-3.5133960000 |
| C 0.000000000004 .93971500000 .5553300000 | C 0.0000000000 1.1799490000 4.9411430000 | c 0.00000000000 2.00773200004 .8699030000 |
| C $0.00000000005 .4333120000-0.7535170000$ | C 0.0000010000-0.1601450000 5.4254260000 | C 0.0000000000000 .69371800005 .4141400000 |
| C $0.00000000004 .5441590000-1.8294900000$ | C $0.0000010000-1.25640300004 .5734180000$ | C $0.0000000000-0.43742500000 .5500370000$ |
| c 0.00000000003 .55754300000 .8512370000 | C -0.0000010000 1.4205820000 3.55770120000 | C 0.000000000002 .19518900003 .5133960000 |
| C 0.0000000000-3.1777020000-1.57 |  |  |
| C 0.0000000000-2.6456830000-0.2470940000 | C -0.0000010000 0.3397390000-2.6798160000 | C 0.0000000000 1.0708520000-2.6411770000 |
| C 0.0000000000-1.2401790000-0.2831970000 | c -0.0000010000 0.2962450000-1.2426250000 | C 0.0000000000 1.0246810000-1.2353610000 |
| C 0.0000000000-0.6957130000-1.5894220000 | c -0.0000010000-0.9872720000-0.7123470000 | C 0.0000000000-0.0.2823840000-0.6950260000 |
| C 0.0000000000 0.6957130000-1.5894220000 | c-0.0000010000-0.9872720000 0.7123470000 | C 0.0000000000-0.282384000000.6950260000 |
| C $0.00000000001 .2401790000-0.2831970000$ | C -0.0000010000 0.29624500001 .2426250000 | C 0.00000000001 .02468100001 .2353610000 |
| C 0.00000000000 2.6446830000-0.2470940000 | C - 0.0000001000000 .33973900002 .67981660000 | C 0.0000000000001 .070852000002 .64117770000 |
| C 0.0000000000 3.1777020000-1.5755880000 | C $0.0000000000-0.9901860000$ 3.2029910000 | c 0.0000000000-0.2562280000 3.17579490000 |
| H $0.00000000000-4.55864600004 .0635390000$ | H0.0000040000 2.02607600008 .2044840000 | H-0.0000010000-1.5990350000 -7.8802620000 |
| H $0.00000000000-2.332435000002 .7127140000$ | H 0.0000001000003 .200903000005 .8688250000 | H-0.00000100000 1.00462220000-7.7.6086850000 |
| H 0.000000000002 .332435000002 .7127140000 | H0.00000400000 2.0266760000-8.20448440000 | H-0.00000010000-1.5990350000 7.8802620000 |
| H 0.0000000000004 .558646000004 .0635390000 | H $0.000001000003 .20090300000-5.8688250000$ | H0.0000000000 2.858185000005 .5408090000 |
| H $0.00000000000-6.5005350000-0.9405870000$ | H 0.00000010000-2.2719790000-4.9505260000 | H-0.0000010000 1.0046220000 7.60868550000 |
| H $0.0000000000006 .5005350000-0.9405870000$ | H-0.0000010000 2.4385870000-3.1844290000 |  |
| H $0.0000000000-4.9223780000-2.8440280000$ H $0.00000000004 .9223780000-2.8440280000$ | H $0.0000010000-2.27197900004 .9505260000$ H -0.00000100002 .43858700003 .1844290000 | H 0.0000000000 3.1950540000-3.0966240000 H 0.00000000003 .19505400003 .0966240000 |
| 46 | 47 | 48 |
| S $0.00000000000-3.22812000002 .4929030000$ | S 0.000000000000 .000000000001 .3258110000 | S-0.0000010000 0.0575230000-7.1280620000 |
| S 0.000000000003 .22812000002 .4929030000 | S 0.00000000006 .14579300002 .2109520000 | s-0.0000010000 0.0575230000 7.1280620000 |
| S 0.0000000000000 .0000000000000 .9229040000 |  |  |
| S $0.00000000000-1.9273220000-2.8662880000$ | S 0.00000000000-1.9296150000-2.4600040000 | S 0.00000000000-1.6206230000-1.9553960000 |
| S $0.000000000001 .9273220000-2.8662880000$ | S 0.0000000000 1.9296150000-2.4600040000 | S $0.0000000000-1.62062300001 .9553960000$ |
| C 0.0000000000-4.9315910000 2.8689900000 | C 0. | C 0.0000000000-1.8379290000-5.3454990000 |
| C 0.0000000000-5.7147210000 1.7603930000 | C 0.0000000000-2.6444480000 0.1235210000 | C 0.0000010000-1.6171000000-6.6957970000 |
| C 0.00000000000 <br> C 0.000000000 <br> 5.71715972100000 <br> 2.86899900000 | C 0.0000000000-1.2344350000 0.0784070000 <br> C 0.0000000000-0.6977900000-1.2270930000 | C 0.0000000000-1.8379290000 5.3454990000 <br> C 0.0000010000-1.61710000006.6957970000 |
| C Co.00000000000 -4.9523460000 0.54342880000 | C $0.000000000000000 .69779000000-1.2279930000$ | C $0.00000000000001 .8216180000-4.9317890000$ |
| C 0.0000000000-5.4282230000-0.7849410000 | C 0.000000000001 .23443500000070784070000 | C 0.0000000000 0.5218230000-5.4361120000 |
| C 0.00000000000-4.5477170000-1.8633680000 | C 0.00000000000 2.6444480000 0.1235210000 | C 0.00000000000-0.6248980000-4.5979590000 |
| C 0.00000000000-3.5635920000 0.7893270000 | C 0.00000000000 3.1898190000-1.2052960000 | C 0.00000000000 2.0214350000-3.5516150000 |
| C 0.000000000004 .952346000000 .5434280000 | C 0.00000000000-3.5071970000 1.2402250000 | C 0.000000000001 .82161800004 .9317890000 |
| C $0.000000000005 .4282230000-0.7849410000$ | C 0.0000000000-4.54592 10000-1.43446610000 | C 0.00000000000 .521823300005 .4361120000 |
| C 0.0000000000 4.54777170000-1.8633680000 | C 0.0000000000 -5.4121040000-0.3185410000 | C 0.0000000000-0.6248880000 4.59799590000 |
| C 0.00000000000 3.5635920000 0.7893270000 | C 0.00000000000-4.8670130000 1.0038890000 | C 0.00000000002 .02143500003 .5516150000 |
| C 0.00000000000-3.1788450000-1.6165120000 | C 0.000000000003 .507197000001 .2402250000 | C 0.00000000000-0.3946080000-3.2048740000 |
| C $0.00000000000-2.6421050000-0.2 .2864280000$ <br> $C 0.0000000000-1.2362520000 ~$ | C $0.0000000000004 .5459210000-1.4345610000$ <br> $C 0.00000000004 .8670130000$ | C $0.00000000000 .9227680000-2.6799460000$ |
| C 0.0000000000-1.2362520000-0.3236950000 <br> C $0.0000000000-0.6952380000-1.6309100000$ | C 0.00000000004 .86701300001 .0038890000 C $0.00000000005 .4121040000-0.3185410000$ | C 0.0000000000 0.8783620000-1.2412790000 <br> C 0.0000000000-0.4050550000-0.7114420000 |
| C 0.00000000000 0.6952380000-1.6309100000 | C 0.000000000007 .34909100000 .9632600000 | C 0.0000000000-0.4050550000 0.7114420000 |
| C 0.0000000000 1.2362520000-0.3236950000 | C 0.0000000000-7.3490910000 0.9632600000 | c 0.0000000000 0.87836200001 .2412790000 |
| C $0.0000000000002 .64210500000-0.2864280000$ | C 0.00000000000 6.8353420000-0.30388410000 | C 0.000000000000 .92276800002 .6799460000 |
| C 0.00000000000 3.1788450000-1.6165120000 | C 0.00000000000-6.8353420000-0.3038410000 | C 0.00000000000-0.3946080000 3.2048740000 |
| H $0.0000000000-5.2354960000$ 3.9049040000 | H 0.0000000000-7.4508310000-1.1932830000 | H0.0000000000-2.8290200000 4.9120350000 |
| H $0.00000000000-6.79582100001 .7942540000$ | H $0.00000000000-8.39254200001 .2438170000$ | H 0.0000010000 -2.3621340000 7.4780280000 |
| H H 0.00000000006 .79582100001 .7942540000 | H $0.00000000007 .4508312000-1.19388330000$ 0.000000000 8.39254200001 .2438170000 | H $0.0000010000-2.3621340000-7.4780280000$ $H 0.0000000000-2.8290200000-4.9120350000$ |
| H $0.00000000000-6.4964770000-0.9686810000$ | H 0.00000000003 .10608400002 .2469200000 | H 0.000000000002 .67408700005 .5999520000 |
| H $0.000000000006 .4964770000-0.96868810000$ | H $0.0000000000004 .95249110000-2.43893330000$ | H $0.0000000000002 .67448770000-5.599995220000$ |
| $\begin{array}{rl}\text { H } 0.0000000000-4.9278550000 & -2.8772580000 \\ H \\ 0.0000000000 & 4.9278550000 \\ -2.8772580000\end{array}$ | H $0.0000000000-3.10608400002 .2469200000$ H $0.0000000000-4.9524910000-2.4389330000$ | H $0.00000000003 .0309300000-3.1583670000$ $H$ $H$ |

Table 54. Optimized anion isomers $43-46$ at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) theoretical level.

| 43 | 44 | 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S 0.0000000000-5.9161690000 2.0409270000 | S 0.0000000000-0.1585910000 7.2010270000 | S 0.0000000000-1.9494500000-5.4901970000 |
| S 0.00000000005 .91616900002 .0409270000 | S $0.0000000000-0.1585910000-7.2010270000$ | S $0.00000000000-1.94945000005 .4901970000$ |
| S 0.000000000000 .00000000000 .9481830000 | S 0.00000000001 .51542500000 .000000 | so |
| S $0.00000000000-1.9482460000-2.8270240000$ | S 0.0000000000-2.2597510000-1.9571040000 | S $0.0000000000-1.4973950000-1.9531860000$ |
| S $0.00000000001 .9482460000-2.8270240000$ | S 0.0000000000-2.2597510000 1.9571040000 | S 0.0000000000-1.4973950000 1.9531860000 |
| C 0.00000000000-3.3287560000 2.2419300000 | C 0.0000000000001 .59185200007 .2542620000 | C -0.0000010000-1.0728740000-7.0227480000 |
| C 0.0000000000-4.4536020000 3.0119300000 | C 0.000000000002 .15053200006 .0157340000 | C 0.0000000000 0.2786950000-6.8450290000 |
| C 0.00000000004 .45360200003 .0119300000 | C 0.0000000000 $1.5918520000-7.2542620000$ | C -0.0000010000-1.0728740000 7.0227480000 |
| C 0.00000000000 3.328756000002 .2419300000 | C 0.00000000000 2.1505320000-6.0157340000 | C 0.0000000000000 .278695000006 .84502990000 |
| C 0.00000000000-4.9782960000 0.5475220000 | C 0.00000000000-0.13668880000-5.4403320000 | C 0.00000000000 1.9906660000 -4.9247220000 |
| c 0.0000000000-5.4940600000-0.7525520000 | C 0.0000000000 1.1881320000-4.9419530000 | c 0.0000000000 0.6857820000-5.4756220000 |
| C 0.0000000000-4.5866030000-1.8255630000 | C 0.0000000000-1.2538590000-4.5829540000 | C 0.0000000000-0.4314970000-4.5870260000 |
| C 0.00000000000-3.591711000000.8348750000 | C 0.00000000000 1.4207140000-3.5548930000 | C 0.00000000000 2.1857270000-3.5611140000 |
| C 0.00000000004 .97829600000 .5475220000 | C 0.0000000000 1.1881320000 4.9419530000 | C 0.00000000001 .99066600004 .9247220000 |
| C 0.00000000000 5.4940600000 -0.7525520000 | C $0.00000000000-0.13668800005 .4403320000$ | C 0.000000000000 .68578200005 .4756220000 |
| c 0.0000000000 $4.5866030000-1.8255630000$ | C $0.0000000000-1.25385900000 .5829540000$ | C 0.0000000000-0.4314970000 4.5870260000 |
| C 0.000000000003 .59171100000 .8348750000 | C 0.00000000001 .42071400003 .5548930000 | C 0.00000000002 .18572700003 .5611140000 |
| C 0.00000000000-3.32152220000-1.5786690000 | C 0.00000000000-1.0081120000-3.22333690000 | C $0.000000000000-0.24568500000-3.2165800000$ |
| C 0.00000000000-2.6679390000-0.2594750000 | C 0.00000000000 0.3200520000-2.6679610000 | C 0.00000000000 $1.0772250000-2.6651610000$ |
| c 0.0000000000-1.2617420000-0.2964930000 | C $0.000000000000 .2658160000-1.2578120000$ | C 0.0000000000 1.0350770000-1.2586240000 |
| C 0.0000000000-0.6953180000-1.5872050000 | C 0.0000000000-1.0238350000-0.6950910000 | C 0.0000000000-0.2548480000-0.69660100000 |
| C 0.00000000000 0.6953180000-1.5872050000 | C 0.00000000000-1.0238350000 0.6950910000 | C 0.00000000000-0.2548480000 0.6960100000 |
| c 0.0000000000 1.2617420000-0.2964930000 | c 0.00000000000 .26581600001 .2578120000 | c 0.00000000001 .03507700001 .2586240000 |
| c 0.0000000000 2.6679390000-0.2594750000 | C 0.0000000000 0.3200520000 2.6679610000 | C 0.00000000001 .07722500002 .6651610000 |
| c 0.0000000000 3.2215220000-1.5786090000 | C 0.0000000000-1.0081120000 3.2233690000 | C $0.0000000000-0.24568500003 .2165800000$ |
| H $0.00000000000-4.528220000004 .0883530000$ | H 0.000000000002 .09209800008 .2111300000 | H-0.0000010000-1.6298170000-7.9469010000 |
| H $0.0000000000-2.33242200002 .6649390000$ | H 0.00000000003 .22143500005 .8508130000 | H $0.00000000000 .9805300000-7.6711720000$ |
| H 0.00000000002 .33242200002 .6649390000 | H $0.00000000002 .0920980000-8.2111300000$ | H-0.0000010000-1.6298170000 7.9469010000 |
| H 0.00000000000 .52822000004 .0883530000 | H 0.0000000000 3.2214350000-5.8508130000 | H 0.00000000002 .84669700005 .5928590000 |
| H0.00000000000-6.5616200000-0.9346790000 | H 0.00000000000-2.2651760000-4.9726310000 | H0.00000000000 0.9805300000 7.6711720000 |
| H $0.000000000006 .5616200000-0.9346790000$ | H $0.0000000000002 .4340860000-3.1696920000$ | H $0.0000000000002 .8466970000-5.5928590000$ |
| H $0.00000000000-4.9580380000-2.8446900000$ | H $0.0000000000-2.265176000004 .9726310000$ | H $0.000000000003 .1918960000-3.1557840000$ |
| H 0.0000000000 4.9580380000-2.8446900000 | H 0.000000000002 .43408600003 .1696920000 | H 0.00000000003 .19189600003 .1557840000 |
| 46 | 47 | 48 |
| $000-3.2710$ | 000000000.00000000001 .3329 | $.00000000000 .0546080000-7.1525580000$ |
| S 0.00000000003 .27103300002 .491095000 | S 0.00000000006 .21179700002 .1938990000 |  |
| S $0.00000000000-1.9447470000-2.8558140000$ | S 0.00000000000-1.9525810000-2.4438330000 | S 0.00000000000-1.6478950000-1. 1.9594710000 |
| S $0.000000000001 .9447470000-2.8558140000$ | S $0.00000000001 .9525810000-2.4438330000$ | S $0.00000000000-1.64789500001 .9594710000$ |
| C 0.0000000000-4.9759190000 2.8690520000 | C 0.0000000000-3.2246810000-1.1924310000 | C 0.0000000000-1.8329090000-5.3538660000 |
| C $0.0000000000-5.7554550000{ }^{1.7555110000}$ | C 0.00000000000-2.6657380000 0.1351080000 | C - $0.00000010000-1.6407450000-6.6967760000$ |
| C 0.000000000004 .97591900002 .8690520000 | C $0.0000000000-1.25760400000 .0852420000$ | C 0.0000000000-1.8329090000 5.3538660000 |
| C 0.00000000000 5.7554550000 1.7555110000 | C 0.00000000000-0.69966480000-1.2038580000 | C -0.0000010000-1.6407450000 6.6967760000 |
| C 0.00000000000-5.0061980000 0.5266070000 | C 0.00000000000 0.6956480000-1.2038580000 | C 0.00000000000 $1.8384050000-4.9349850000$ |
| c 0.0000000000-5.5010060000-0.8005050000 | C 0.000000000001 .2576040000008082420000 | c 0.0000000000 0.5389020000-5.4505890000 |
| c 0.0000000000-4.5905240000-1.8623130000 | C 0.00000000002 .66573800000 .1351080000 | C 0.0000000000-0.6069720000-4.5995250000 |
| C 0.00000000000-3.6165490000 0.7681950000 | C 0.0000000000 3.2246810000-1.1924310000 | C 0.00000000000 $2.0343970000-3.5617080000$ |
| C 0.00000000000 5.0061980000 0.5266070000 | C 0.00000000000-3.5522560000 1.2401280000 | C 0.0000000000001 .83840500004 .9349850000 |
| C 0.0000000000 5.5010060000-0.8005050000 | C 0.0000000000-4.5799930000-1.4305580000 | C 0.000000000000 .53890200005 .4505890000 |
| C 0.0000000000 $4.5905240000-1.8623130000$ | C 0.0000000000-5.4680570000-0.3234530000 | C 0.0000000000-0.6069720000 4.5995250000 |
| C 0.00000000000 3.616549000000 .7681950000 | C 0.0000000000-4.9181900000 0.9894620000 | C 0.000000000002 .03439700003 .5617080000 |
| C 0.0000000000-3.2242560000-1.6128010000 | C 0.000000000003 .55225600001 .2401280000 | C 0.0000000000-0.39425200000-3.2205700000 |
| C 0.0000000000-2.6677290000-0.2897670000 | C 0.0000000000 4.5799930000-1.4305580000 | C $0.0000000000000 .9215020000-2.6674120000$ |
| C 0.0000000000-1.2624690000 -0.3171860000 | C 0.0000000000 4.91819000000 .9894620000 | C 0.00000000000 0.8777910000-1.2588910000 |
| ${ }^{\text {C }} 00.000000000000-0.6936890000-1.6095620000$ |  | C 0.0000000000-0.4136110000-0.6952710000 |
| C $0.00000000000 .6936890000-1.6095620000$ C $0.00000000001 .2624690000-0.3171860000$ | C 0.00000000007 .44413100000 .9358590000 C $0.0000000000-7.44413100000 .9358590000$ | C $0.0000000000-0.41361100000 .6952710000$ C 0.00000000000 .87779100001 .2588910000 |
| C 0.00000000000 2.6677290000 -0.2897670000 | C $0.000000000006 .8976370000-0.3137530000$ | C 0.000000000000 .92150200002 .6674120000 |
| C 0.0000000000 3.2242560000-1.6128010000 | C 0.0000000000-6.8976370000-0.3137530000 | C 0.0000000000-0.3942520000 3.2205700000 |
| H0.00000000000-5.28873400000 3.9026030000 | H 0.00000000000-7.49665330000-1.21758660000 | H $0.000000000000-2.8157866000004 .89990630000$ |
| H0.0000000000-6.8385620000 1.7895300000 | H0.0000000000 -8.4867680000 1.21349930000 | H-0.0000010000-2.3939670000 7.4707560000 |
| H 0.000000000005 .28873400003 .9026030000 | H 0.0000000000 7.4960530000-1.2175860000 | H-0.0000010000-2.3939670000 -7.4707560000 |
| H 0.00000000006 .83856200001 .7895300000 | H 0.00000000008 .48676800001 .2134930000 | H 0.0000000000-2.8157860000-4.8990630000 |
| H $0.00000000000-6.5688870000-0.9857450000$ | H 0.000000000003 .16390700002 .2517730000 | H 0.000000000002 .69431200005 .6017850000 |
| H $0.00000000006 .5686870000-0.9857450000$ | H $0.00000000004 .9713780000-2.4424780000$ | H $0.00000000002 .6943120000-5.6017850000$ |
| H0.00000000000-4.95181100000-2.88569440000 |  | H0.0000000000 3.0411040000-3.1594520000 |
| 0.0000000000 4.9518110000-2.8856940000 | H $0.0000000000-4.9713780000-2.4424780000$ | H 0.00000000003 .04110400003 .1594520000 |

## Oligo－Fused Heteroacenes

Table 55．Ground－state optimised structures 151－159 at the B3LYP／6－311＋＋G（d，p）theoretical level．

| 151 |  |  | 152 |  |  | 153 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ide |  | （emm | \％ivek | ， | ， | \％iew | \％ | 2．ww w |
|  | \％ | \％aw |  | \％aw | \％aw wiw | \％dewewz | \％aw |  |
|  |  | \％emm |  | \％ewem |  | \％aizemz | ¢ewimiz | \％2wem |
| \％idem | \％\％ | \％ew |  | \％wewiz | \％\％＂\％ |  | ， | S\％\％ |
| 边 | dew | \％ow | \％iek | \％ow ${ }^{\text {an }}$ | 2ew |  | ${ }^{\text {\％owamem }}$ | 2ew |
|  |  | \％imim | \％ | \％ | ？\％umb | \％\％\％\％ | ：${ }^{2}$ \％${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \％＂w |
|  | ， | \％ewim |  | \％awamiz | ：u＊ |  | \％\％\％\％ | \％ |
| 2um | 边 |  |  | \％uewziz | \％wem | \％ataw miz | \％aze | \％＂wiow |
| 哏之 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％owe |
|  |  | \％ |  | \％owiz |  |  | \％i？ | \％azw |
|  |  |  |  |  | ，\％ |  | ） | \％e\％w |
|  |  |  | \％a＂um |  | 23＊＊ |  |  |  |
| 154 |  |  | 155 |  |  | 156 |  |  |
| \％ek | 込 | 2． | ， |  | （2em | ， |  |  |
|  |  | \％ | \％ew | ，\％ | ＂w | \％idewize | ，ewemiz |  |
|  | \％mizum | \％ew | \％idemm | ， | \％\％＂m | \％idew | \％） |  |
| \％2ak | ，oze | \％ew | \％ata | \％ax | （\％ew | \％aw wew | ，2e\％\％ |  |
| a | ＊＊ | \％ | 边 | \％ez | \％ |  | \％2mis |  |
|  | \％im | \％ | 边 | \％\％＂mom | \％ew |  | \％ |  |
|  | ＂3m | \％ |  |  | \％ewzo |  | \％eme |  |
|  | \％ | ＊＊ | 边 | ：\％\％\％ | \％eme | \％amemid | \％ |  |
| \％ | \％\％ | \％om | \％ |  | \％umum | \％idew | ， | \％ |
| \％\％＂w | 2mam | \％ | \％ | ， | \％2miz |  | \％＂wim | 2\％ |
| Midum | \％eem | \％imem | em | \％ewiziz | \％wem |  | 3tam | ！ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％＂w |
|  |  |  |  | ，eweme | \％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 157 |  |  |  |  |  | 158 |  |  | 159 |  |  |
| S．an？ | ， | \％am |  |  |  | \％ixam | 込 | \％れw | \％ | ， | \％ |
|  | \％ | 3 \％ |  |  | \％ |  | ，2＂w miz |  |
|  |  | am | \％atazu | ：＂wiz | ）ew w |  | ，kemizum | ）emw |
|  | 1em | \％ | \％item | \％＂wim | \％ |  | \％amemm |  |
|  | ）2mem | \％\％ |  | ，\％ezemiz | ） | ¢atewam | \％ow | \％owe |
|  | \％ame | \％ow |  | ，uews | ）\％＂\％ | \％idnamum | ，2um |  |
|  | ）2\％ | \％ | \％ | \％iezemiz |  | \％mem |  |  |
|  | \％ewem | \％ | \％2\％ |  | \％mom | ciaw | \％ |  |
|  | \％\％＂w | \％ |  | \％\％\％ | \％ | citumiz | mom |  |
|  | ，\％ew | \％＂\％ | \％ | \％ex wix | \％＂w | \％ | \％＂wiz | ，\％w |
|  | \％uek | \％ |  | \％ive | \％izum |  |  | \％aw |
|  | 2\％ | \％ |  | ？mim | \％um | \％itumemiz | ＂m |  |
|  | \％ | \％ |  |  | \％amem | \％iducum | \％emm |  |
|  | \％\％ | ＂ |  | ，1azami |  | citakew | ，2mw | ）w |
|  | 遃＂ | \％amum |  | \％emid |  | Huabam | ， |  |
|  |  | ，aw |  |  |  |  | 边 | Samw |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ，wem |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ，umbe |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％＂wid |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2emem |  |

