Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Eukaryotic cell cycle

All eukaryotic cells are capable of self-replication in a set of events termed the cell cycle. Non-dividing cells are considered to be in a resting phase termed G0. Once a cell is required to duplicate itself, it enters the cell cycle. The cell cycle is composed of four phases: the first growth phase (G1), DNA synthesis (S), the second growth phase (G2), and mitosis (M), where the newly replicated chromosomes are segregated into two daughter cells (Alberts et al., 2009). Cell cycle progression is driven by sequential activation of cyclins and their cognate kinases (cyclin-dependent kinases - Cdns) in a precise order (Woo and Poon, 2003). Therefore, cell cycle progression comprises a series of critical events that involve complex regulatory mechanisms to ensure duplication and segregation of the genome.
1.2 DNA damage

DNA contains the vital genetic information in all living cells. Therefore, its integrity and stability are essential to life. However, it is inevitably vulnerable to damage due to a wide range of endogenous and exogenous agents (Kaufmann and Paules, 1996; Clancy, 2008). Exogenous agents, such as ultra-violet (UV) radiation cause the formation of cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPD) and 6-4 photoproducts (PPs) which distort the DNA double helix. This restricts the binding of regulatory proteins to the affected DNA with an impact on replication and transcription (Sinha and Hader, 2002). CPDs and 6-4 PPs are both repaired through a process known as nucleotide excision repair (NER) (Branze and Foiani, 2008).

Moreover, ionizing radiation, including gamma rays and X-rays introduce highly damaging and mutagenic double-strand DNA breaks which also interfere with replication and transcription. Double-strand breaks (DSB) are repaired through one of two mechanisms: non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) or homologous recombination repair (HRR) (Branze and Foiani, 2008). Many chemical agents also damage DNA. For example, chemotherapeutic alkylating agents such as cyclophosphamide and temozolomide result in C·G→T·A transitions that
cause mutations at methylated CpGs dinucleotides during replication (Helleday et al., 2014).

Endogenous agents, free radical species such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) or nitrogen oxide species are generated as by-products of normal cellular metabolism (Hussain et al., 2003). They induce base oxidation and DNA breaks which cause DNA mismatches and replication fork collapse, again resulting in DNA strand breaks (Sancar et al., 2004).

Under attack from endogenous and exogenous agents, cells must be capable of preventing loss or transmission of incorrect genetic information, as errors can and will cause developmental abnormalities, and can result in tumorigenesis.

1.3 Replication stress

Replication stress is defined as the slowing or stalling of replication fork progression and/or DNA synthesis (Zeman and Cimprich, 2014). Replication stress results in loss of genomic integrity arising from a failure to replicate specific regions of DNA, as well as DNA damage resulting from replication fork abandonment.

Replication stress can be generated by obstacles such as a limited supply of replication components (Zeman and Cimprich, 2014).
However, both endogenous (such as spontaneous or enzymatic conversions) or exogenous sources (such as ultra-violet (UV) radiation) also constantly pose a threat to chromosomal stability, resulting in replication progression arrest (Mazouzi et al., 2014). Experimentally, replication stress can be induced by chemical agents such as hydroxyurea (HU). HU inhibits ribonucleotide reductase, the enzyme responsible for catalysing the formation of deoxyribonucleotides from ribonucleotides, which limits the cellular nucleotide pool used in the DNA synthesis (Elledge et al., 1992).

Replication stress usually results in the formation of excess single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) after replication forks have stalled/slowed while the replicative helicase continues to unwind the parental DNA. In the case of destabilised stalled forks, the replication fork can collapse, which results in the formation of double-stranded breaks (DSB) and a DNA damage response (Pacek and Walter, 2004; Zeman and Cimprich, 2014).
1.4 Cell cycle checkpoint

Cell cycle checkpoints are surveillance mechanisms to ensure that later events in a cell cycle are not initiated if earlier stages are not completed. In effect they operate to create additional time within a cell cycle phase to enable relevant cellular responses to be completed.

DNA damage and replication stress causes cell cycle arrest via G1/S, intra-S and G2/M phase checkpoints. The nature of the checkpoint effector response depends on the point in the cell cycle at which the checkpoint is activated (Segurado and Tercero, 2009) (Figure 1.1). Importantly, the core of the cell-cycle control system comprises a series of cyclin-Cdk complexes. Cyclin-dependent kinases (Cdks) are serine/threonine protein kinases the enzymatic activity of which is regulated both by post-translational modification, principally phosphorylation, as well as by association with a cognate cyclin. During the cell cycle, the level of any specific Cdk protein normally remains relatively constant, whereas the levels of the relevant cyclin binding partner changes. Therefore, formation of each cyclin-Cdk complex is essential for bringing about the appropriate phase transition during cell cycle progression (Figure 1.2).
Figure 1.1 DNA damage-responsive cell cycle checkpoint.

In eukaryotic cells, interphase checkpoints may become activated by the presence of DNA damage at discrete points within the cycle, namely at the G1/S transition, during S phase and the G2/M transition (Kaufmann and Paules, 1996). The first checkpoint operates in late G1 (G1/S checkpoint) to ensure the absence of DNA damage before the cell proceeds into S phase. The intra-S phase checkpoint operates to resolve DNA damage and/or DNA replication errors as they arise within S phase, if necessary slowing the rate of initiation of new replicons (sites of replication initiation), and stabilising stalled replication forks. The third, G2/M checkpoints ensures the absence of any unresolved DNA damage that would prevent successful DNA segregation during
mitosis. If DNA damage is encountered at any point in the cell cycle, the checkpoint machinery overrides the normal cell-cycle system controlling progression. For example, if DNA damage is detected S phase, the G2/M checkpoint will arrest the cell cycle at the G2/M transition until the problem is resolved (Albert et al., 2008).

Figure 1.2 Cyclin-Cdk complexes of the cell-cycle control system (figure modified from Alberts et al., 2008; Hochegger et al., 2008). Cell-cycle progression is regulated by the sequential activation of cyclin-Cdk complexes, followed by the destruction of the cyclin component. This ensures transition from one phase to another while ensuring directionality of the cycle. The accumulation of specific cyclin-Cdk complexes bring about the transitions at each of the four phases of cell
cycle. Cyclin D-Cdk4 or Cdk6 is the cyclin/Cdk pair controlling G1 progression past the restriction point. Cyclin E-Cdk2 is required to initiate S phase, whereas cyclin A-Cdk1 or Cdk2 is responsible for the beginning of S phase until mitosis. Cyclin B-Cdk1 triggers the early events of mitosis. Each cyclin-Cdk complex phosphorylates a different set of substrate proteins to bring about distinct structural and functional changes required for each cell cycle phase.

1.4.1 G1/S phase checkpoint

In eukaryotic cells, the transition from G1 into S phase involves passage through the restriction point, after which point the cells are committed to complete one round of replication or, in appropriate circumstances, undergo apoptosis. If unfavourable conditions such as DNA damage, arising either from ionising radiation or UV, or nutrient limitation, the G1/S phase checkpoint arrests cell cycle in G1 phase. G1 arrest is largely dependent on the presence of a functional p53. In the case of DNA damage arising in G1, stabilisation of p53 principally occurs as a consequence of its phosphorylation by members of the PIKK family of protein kinases (ATR, ATM, Chk1 and Chk2) depending on the nature of the genotoxic stress (Appella and Anderson, 2001). p53 acts as a transcription factor to induce the expression of p21Cip1,
which is a member of the CKI family of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors.

Under normal circumstances, the restriction point is traversed as a consequence of a cyclin E-Cdk2 mediated phosphorylation of Rb, which releases the S phase specific transcription factor E2F, thus allowing the transcription of S phase genes (Bartek and Lukas, 2001). However, in response to DNA damage, p53-mediated accumulation of p21Cip1 results in the latter binding to, as well as, inhibiting and activating the degradation of cyclin D1, (Agami and Bernards, 2000). The degradation of cyclin D1 leads to a release of p21Cip1 from Cdk4 and its subsequent binding to, and inhibition of, Cdk2 associated with cyclin E (Poon et al., 1996). This in turn results in the retinoblastoma gene product Rb remaining in a hypophosphorylated state, in which it remains bound to and inhibitory for, the S phase specific transcription factor E2F.

1.4.2 Intra-S phase checkpoint

This checkpoint operates in cells that are actively undertaking DNA replication. To avoid confusion, I have used this term to define checkpoint responses to genotoxic stress induced DSB and replication arrest during DNA synthesis. The key components of this checkpoint include the ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) signalling cascade,
the single stranded DNA binding protein, replication protein A (RPA),
the ataxia-telangiectasia and RAD3 related (ATR) kinase and ATR-interacting protein (ATRIP) complex, the mediator protein claspin, and
RAD17 together with the RAD9-RAD1-HUS1 (9-1-1) complex (Bartek et al., 2004 ; Merrick et al., 2004) (Figure 1.3). Targets of the intra-S
phase checkpoint include unfired replication origins (thus ensuring that
additional replication stress may be avoided), as well as stalled or
arrested replication forks (Figure 1.4) Cells lack a functional of intra-S
phase checkpoint fail to suppress late origin firing either in response to
replication stress or ionising radiation induced DNA damage.
In addition, cells fail to stabilise arrested replication forks giving rise to
elevated levels of replication fork abandonment (Karnani and Dutta,
2011).
Figure 1.3 The components of checkpoint sensors involved with ATM and ATR-directed cellular activities (diagram was redrawn from Kastan and Bartek, 2004).

This diagram shows multiple proteins (MDC1, 53BP1, MRN, BRCA1) known to be involved in sensing double strand DNA breaks resulting in conversion of ATM dimers to monomers which are active as kinases. The detection of excess single-stranded DNA induced by replication fork arrest requires Claspin, RAD17 and the 9-1-1 complex for ATR activation. Each pathway leads to cell cycle arrest as well as the specific activation of relevant DNA repair pathways via selective substrate phosphorylation.
Figure 1.4 Intra-S phase checkpoint (diagram was modified from Kastan and Bartek, 2004).

The signal pathways ATM/ATR-Chk2/Chk1 also control the checkpoint network in response to DNA damage and replication arrest within S phase. There are two branches of this checkpoint. One of these operates through the faster-operating Cdc25A pathway (Kastan and Bartek, 2004). The ATR/Chk1 modulates the phosphorylation of Cdc25A to maintain the appropriate abundance of Cdc25 in the normal function of unperturbed cells (Bartek et al., 2004). In response to DNA damage and replication arrest, the activity of the Chk1 increases, leading directly to effective down-regulation of Cdc25A and consequently to the inhibition of cyclin E-Cdk2 complexes (Bartek et al., 2004; Kastan and
Bartek, 2004). Inhibition of Cdk2 activity prevents the loading of Cdc45 onto chromatin which blocks the initiation of new origin firing (Bartek et al., 2004 ; Kastan and Bartek, 2004). The second intra-S phase checkpoint branch involves ATM-mediated phosphorylation of NBS1 (Nijmegen breakage syndrome 1) (component of MRN complex), and a cohesin protein SMC1 (structure maintenance of chromosome) to prevent replication origin firing (Zhang et al., 2006 ; Saito et al., 2013).

1.4.3 G2/M phase checkpoint

This checkpoint ensures that cells do not initiate mitosis before genome duplication is complete and any arising DNA damage repaired. Failure of this checkpoint results in mitotic catastrophe in which cells that have incompletely replicated DNA attempt mitosis (Bartek et al., 2004). The key target of this checkpoint is the activity of cyclin B-cyclin dependent kinase 1 (Cdk1) complex (Nurse, 1990).
1.5 DNA damage response (DDR)

Interphase checkpoints respond to DNA damage that considered a elements of the cellular DNA damage response (DDR). In theory, there are three component types that comprise interphase checkpoints (Figure 1.5). In reality, the pathways are proving to be more complex (Zhou and Elledge, 2000; McGowan and Russell, 2004; Polo and Jackson, 2011) with some components having multiple roles within the theoretical framework. The component types are:

1. Sensors that detect aberrant DNA structures
2. Transducers or mediators capable of creating and amplifying a checkpoint signal
3. Effectors or targets that are modified by transducers thus leading to cell cycle arrest, transcriptional induction, DNA repair, senescence, histone mRNA decay or apoptosis.
Figure 1.5 Schematic outlining the principles of an integrated cellular response to DNA damage or replication stress (figure was modified from Zhou and Elledge, 2000).

Both DNA damage or replication stress generate structures that recruit sensors (such as MDC1, 53BP1, BRCA1), transducers (such as ATM/ATR) and effectors (such as Chk1 and Chk2). The precise combination of activated protein species determines the effectors outcomes of the cell as shown in blue boxes. Importantly, while all of the 4 left-most responses are part of a survival response, prolonged activation of checkpoint signalling results in apoptosis.
The pathway by which DNA replication stress induced histone induced histone mRNA decay is still unknown. However, the published observation by Kaygun and Marzluff (2005a) and Smythe group (2007) proposed that members of the phosphatidylinositol 3’-kinase-like kinase (PIKK) family (ATM, ATR and DNA-PK) are involved in the regulation of histone mRNA decay during replication stress (Figure 1.6).

**Figure 1.6** The proposed model for the coordinated regulation of DNA damage and replication arrest-induced histone mRNA decay (figure was redrawn from Muller et al., 2007).

Replication stress activates ATR and Chk1 results in stalled and slowed replication fork via a pathway involving homologous recombination (HR). However, when a replication fork encounters a DNA damage
complex and checkpoint failure, replication fork collapse may occur, generating DNA double-strand breaks. The system that operates to repair such breaks involves ATM-dependent homologous recombination-induced replication restart or via non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) mediated by DNA-PK. The regulation of histone mRNA decay induced by replication stress via ATM/ATR and DNA-PK ensures that there is enough histone production meets the requirement for supply for newly synthesised DNA. Consistent with this model, histone mRNA is destabilised during replication stress. Moreover, the DNA/RNA helicase Upf1, known to be involved in histone mRNA decay (Kaygun and Marzluff, 2005a) may act as an effector of ATR/DNA-PK signalling during the process of histone mRNA decay.

1.5.1 DNA damage sensors

These are proteins that detect the abnormal/aberrant DNA structures and initiate the DDR. In addition to the proteins discussed above, sensors include the PIKKs protein kinases ATM and ATR may also be considered as sensors in addition to their effector role which involves in the C-terminal kinase domain only. These are central components of the checkpoint mechanism that signal the presence of damaged DNA and stalled replication forks respectively.
PIKKs phosphorylate multiple substrates that regulate cell cycle progression, and activate DNA repair pathways (Abraham, 2001). Moreover, the PIKK phosphorylate and activate a pair of downstream checkpoint kinases, Chk1 (in response to ATR) and (Chk2 in response to ATM), that further amplify the initial DDR signalling and diversify the cellular response.

1.5.2 Sensing and signalling by ATM

ATM is required for the cellular response to DNA double strand breaks (DSBs) in response to agents such as ionizing radiation (IR). Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1 (MRN) mediator complex acts as a primary damage sensor and then recruits and activates ATM (Lee and Paull, 2005 ; Berkovich et al., 2007). Phosphorylation of the specific histone H2AX by ATM occurs at DSBs enabling additional mediator protein binding to the DSB-flanking chromatin such as mediator of DNA damage checkpoint (MDC1), p53-binding protein1 (53BP1) and breast cancer type 1 susceptibility protein (BRCA1) (Lee and Paull, 2005 ; Lavin, 2007). In addition to phosphorylation of downstream targets, ATM undergoes autophosphorylation. Moreover, autophosphorylation of ATM occurs in response not only to DSBs but also other forms of chromatin-associated stress. For example, cells exposed to mildly hypotonic buffers
or to chromatin-modifying drugs do not acquire DSBs but nonetheless such treatments lead to rapid autophosphorylation of ATM, suggesting that a change in chromatin structure also stimulates ATM phosphorylation (Bakkenist et al., 2003). The ability of ATM to phosphorylate the downstream kinase Chk2, depends on certain proteins and the region around the damage (Bakkenist et al., 2003). The actual strength and duration of the damage response signal depend on ATM and the continuing presence of damaged DNA (McGowan and Russell, 2004).

1.5.3 Sensing and signalling by ATR

ATR is the principle initiator of the signalling aspect of the DDR in response to stalled replication forks, which arise when cells are exposed to replication inhibitors such as hydroxyurea (HU) or aphidicolin (Feijoo et al., 2002). However, it is also needed for the response to DNA damage, for example, it is required for IR-induced G2 arrest (Cortez et al., 2001). ATR and its molecular partner ATRIP co-function in the activation of downstream checkpoint kinases, mediators and several proteins involved in repair. Both ATR and ATRIP are DNA binding proteins associated with the single-strand binding protein complex, replication protein A (RPA), and do so at sites of
damage or stalled replication forks containing a region of ssDNA (Zou and Elledge, 2003). The ssDNA-RPA complex also recruits and activates the Rad17 clamp loader which then loads the PCNA-related 911 (Rad9-Rad1-Hus1) complex onto DNA (Yang and Zou, 2006). ATR phosphorylates Rad17 and 911, which activates the cascade of downstream responses (Yang and Zou, 2006). In addition, topoisomerase-binding protein1 (TopBP1) also has a role in DNA replication and checkpoint signalling. TopBP1 also binds to the 911 complex and stimulates ATR kinase activity (Kumagai et al., 2006). Claspin is also a mediator protein which coordinates with ATR to effect the efficient activation of Chk1 (Lee et al., 2003). It is noted that ATR activation in response to DSBs appears to require ATM (Myers and Cortez, 2006).

1.5.4 Transducers or mediators

Transducers are a group of proteins that act directly downstream of the ATM and ATR kinases. They recruit additional substrates to assemble complexes and signal to downstream effectors. To date, multiple transducers such as MDC1, 53BP1, Claspin and BRCA1 (Harper and Elledge, 2007) have been identified (Figure 1.3) Phosphorylation of H2AX on Ser139 by ATM, ATR or DNA-PK
directly recruits MDC1 (Rogakou et al., 1998). MDC1 and H2AX allow the recruitment of many additional factors to sites of damage leading to the generation of IR-induced foci (IRIF). 53BP1 has a role in recombination which coordinates with MDC1 phosphorylation to facilitate the ubiquitination of H2AX at sites of DSBs (Harper and Elledge, 2007).

1.5.5 Effectors

They are the downstream targets regulated by protein kinases to function in cellular processes such as cell cycle arrest, DNA repair, transcription, histone mRNA decay, and apoptosis, thereby preventing genome instability (Zhou and Elledge, 2000). Identified ATM/Chk2 and ATR/Chk1 substrates are not restricted to transducers but also a wide range of proteins involved in multiple DNA repair pathways such as mismatch repair, homologous recombination (HR) and global excision repair (Matsuoka et al., 2007). For example, Chk1 phosphorylation of Rad51 is important for homologous recombination (HR). Thus, checkpoint signalling components have roles in the direct regulation of genomic stability beyond their function in controlling cell cycle. Latterly, a wide range of cellular pathways have been identified to participate in the DDR, including nonsense-mediated decay (NMD),
RNA splicing, spindle checkpoints and histone mRNA decay which point to a much broader role for the DDR in cellular biochemistry and physiology (Figure 1.7) (Harper and Elledge, 2007).

**Figure 1.7** DDR impacts on multiple elements of biochemistry and physiology (figure was redrawn from Harper and Elledge, 2007)

This diagram shows known and newly emerging connections between DDR surveillance systems and normal aging involving cell cycle, DNA repair, apoptosis and senescence. However, histone mRNA decay may be an additional pathway linked to the DDR. This is suggested on the basis of the findings that many DDR response components were found associated with the histone RNA processing machinery. In addition, this study identified changes in the phosphorylation state of a key histone mRNA decay component in response to replication stress. The
identification of the relevant kinase may provide new physiological insights into the links between the DDR and events requires to avoid genetic diseases and cancer, while ensuring healthy aging, and development.

1.6 Two major repair pathways of DSBs

1.6.1 Homologous recombination (HR)

HR occurs in S and G2 phases to allow accurate repair of post-replicative DSBs and involves the use of a sister chromatid to provide the templating information to repair DSBs (San Filippo et al., 2008; Moynahan and Jasin, 2010). The MRN complex acts as a primary damage sensor which then recruits and activates ATM. Phosphorylation of H2AX by ATM occurs around DSBs which initiates the sequential recruitment of a series of factors starting with MDC1. This triggers the recruitment of chromatin remodelling and modification complexes, which allow the association of downstream factors, such as 53BP1 and BRCA1 (Chapman and Jackson, 2008; Sypcher et al., 2008). 5’-to-3’ nucleolytic processing of DNA ends (resection) is undertaken by the MRN complex (Rupnik et al., 2010) together with other factors such as CtIP, RECQ family helicases and the nucleases Exo1 and Dna2 (Zou and Elledge, 2003; Bernstein and Rothstein, 2009). The resulting ssDNA
overhangs are then coated by the ssDNA-binding complex replication protein A (RPA), which consequently recruits ATR. The recombination factors RAD51 and RAD52, ATR kinase and its interacting partners ATRIP, and the DNA-clamp proteins RAD17 and RAD9 then load around the DSBs, (Bekker-Jensen, 2006). Finally, repairing interstrand cross-links takes place. This is especially found at the sites of stalled DNA replication. Then it is the actions of DNA polymerases and DNA end ligation by ligase I following DNA helicases and enzymes for cleavage and repaired DNA molecule.

1.6.2 Non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ)

This system operates at the sites of DNA damage throughout the cell cycle, without the need for a DNA template to ensure accurate re-establishment of genomic integrity. It functions by inducing the direct ligation of broken DNA ends. DNA ends are bound by the Ku70/Ku80 heterodimer, which recruits and activates the DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunits (DNA-PKcs) to form the DNA-PK holoenzyme (Gottlieb and Jackson, 1993).

DNA-PKcs is thought to participate in end bridging during mammalian NHEJ. End processing involves removal of damaged or mismatched nucleotides by nuclease s and resynthesis by DNA
polymerases where appropriate. This step is not necessary if the ends have compatibility and have 3' hydroxyl and 5' phosphate termini. DNA ligase IV and its cofactor XRCC4 perform the ligation step of repair. XLF is also required for NHEJ. While the precise role of this protein remains unclear, it interacts with the XRCC4/DNA ligase IV complex and likely participates in the ligation step.

### 1.6.3 Single-strand break repair (SSBR)

Mostly, SSBs are sensed by poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP1) which then bind to DNA breaks triggering poly-(ADP-ribosyl)ation of nuclear proteins (Zhou and Elledge, 2000). Downstream of SSB sensing, XRCC1 protein is activated and promotes end-processing, gap filling and ligation (Polo and Jackson, 2011). One of the consequences of this is that it leads to a block in DNA replication and transcription.

After I review cellular responses to SSBs and DSBs in eukaryotic cells, next I will explain how cellular responses to DNA breaks are relevant in a physiological context.
1.7 Responses to DNA breaks in a physiological context

When DNA breaks occur, several protein factors and complexes are involved in signalling, the nature, and implications of the aberration, as well as to the relevant repair system. For example, ATM has been reported to mediate local inhibition of both RNA polymerase I- and II-dependent transcription at sites of DNA breaks in human cells (Kruhlak et al., 2007; Shanbhag et al., 2010). However, some proteins such as those involved in the transcription machinery are excluded from DDR signalling. Therefore, protein dynamics at DNA breaks during DNA damage and replication arrest operate in both directions: recruited to or dissociating from the sites of DNA breaks (Figure 1.8) (Polo and Jackson, 2011).

The physiological substrate for the DDR machinery in the cell nucleus is chromatin: DNA condensed, protected, and regulated by histone proteins. Although, chromatin acts as a physical barrier to the detection and repair of DNA lesions, there are modifications of chromatin organization that occur in the DDR such as DNA methylation (Kulis and Esteller, 2010), incorporation of histone variants (γ-H2AX) (Bernstein and Hake, 2006), histone post-translational modifications (Kouzarides, 2007) and nucleosome remodelling complexes (Clapier and Cairns, 2009). As a consequence, there are chromatin-associated proteins
which are mobilized to and from sites of DNA breaks, for example, histone deactylase, HDAC1 and HDAC2, which are recruited to damaged chromatin in response to DNA breaks (Miller et al., 2010) (for review, see Polo and Jackson, 2011).

**Figure 1.8** Protein dynamics respond to DNA breaks (picture was redrawn from Polo and Jackson, 2011).

DNA damage checkpoint and repair factors and modulators of chromatin are recruited (red arrows) to DNA breaks (SSB and DSB), while transcription machineries are excluded from DDR foci (green arrow), and the dynamics of structural chromatin components operate in both (pink arrow).
1.8 Histones

Histones are basic proteins which are essential for the assembly of chromatin. Newly replicated DNA is wrapped around an octamer of histone molecules to facilitate packaging into chromatin to structure chromosomes in the nucleus during S phase of the cell cycle in all eukaryotes. Unsurprisingly, histones are essential for viability and regulate access to the genetic information contained within the DNA. There are four cores of histone types—H2A, H2B, H3 and H4 with linker histone H1. The structure of histones of H3 and H4 are highly conserved between animal and plant kingdoms ((Maxson et al., 1983; Osley, 1991; Sarma and Reinberg, 2005). In metazoans, there are multiple copies of histone genes, however, they are categorised into two classes of histone genes.

1. Replication-dependent histone genes

These genes encode for the major histone proteins (called replication-dependent histones or canonical histones or histones): H2A, H2B, H3, H4 and H1. The canonical histone genes are clustered in tandemly repeated gene sets, with the repeat unit containing one copy of each of the five histone genes (Marzluff et al., 2008). They are expressed during S phase of the cell cycle to package the newly synthesised DNA into chromosome in nucleus (Kamakaka and Biggins, 2005). Table 1 is a
2. Replication-independent histone genes

These genes are known as orphan genes which encode for histone variants. These genes are not restricted in their expression to the S phase but are expressed throughout the cell cycle (Kamakaka and Biggins, 2005; Skene and Henikoff, 2013). Therefore, histone variants are expressed and incorporated into chromatin throughout the cell cycle in a replication-independent manner or with special functions/or in a tissue-specific manner (Sarma and Reinberg, 2005). Histone variants can be classified into homomorphous and heteromorphous families depending on the extent of their amino acid sequence which differs from the main canonical histones (Ausio, 2006). Homomorphous variants involve only a few amino acid changes (i.e. H2A.1 and H2A.2; H3.1, H3.2 and H3.3) (Ausio, 2006). Heteromorphous variants involve large changes of the histone molecule (i.e. H2A.X, H2A.Z, macroH2A (mH2A), H2A Barr body-deficient (H2A.Bbd) and centromeric protein A (CENP-A)) (Ausio, 2006). The classification data of histone variants specific features can be found in HistoneDB 2.0 - Variants database (Draizen et al., 2016).
The canonical histone genes lack introns and as expressed contain a unique 3’ end of the mRNA which, instead of a poly(A) tail, contains a 26 nucleotide sequence (includes the 5 nucleotides before the stem-loop, the 16 nucleotide stem-loop and the 4-5 nucleotides after the stem-loop) that forms a hairpin structure recognized by stem-loop binding protein (Dominski and Marzluff, 1999; Whitfield et al., 2000; Marzluff et al., 2008). In mammals, there are approximately 75 distinct canonical histone mRNAs (Marzluff et al., 2002). By contrast, histone variant genes are typically found in single or low copy number. The variant genes contain introns and the transcripts are often polyadenylated (Kamakaka and Biggins, 2005).
Table 1.1 List of human histone genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histones</th>
<th>Genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>H1F0, H1FNT, H1FOO, H1FX, HIST1H1A, HIST1H1B, HIST1H1C, HIST1H1D, HIST1H1E, HIST1H1T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2A</td>
<td>H2AFB1, H2AFB2, H2AFB3, H2AFJ, H2AFV, H2AFX, H2AFY, H2AFY2, H2AFZ, HIST1H2AA, HIST1H2AB, HIST1H2AC, HIST1H2AD, HIST1H2AE, HIST1H2AG, HIST1H2AI, HIST1H2AJ, HIST1H2AK, HIST1H2AL, HIST1H2AM, HIST2H2AA3, HIST2H2AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2B</td>
<td>H2BFM, H2BFS, H2BFWT, HIST1H2BA, HIST1H2BB, HIST1H2BC, HIST1H2BD, HIST1H2BE, HIST1H2BF, HIST1H2BG, HIST1H2BH, HIST1H2BI, HIST1H2BJ, HIST1H2BK, HIST1H2BL, HIST1H2BM, HIST1H2BN, HIST1H2BO, HIST2H2BE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>HIST1H3A, HIST1H3B, HIST1H3C, HIST1H3D, HIST1H3E, HIST1H3F, HIST1H3G, HIST1H3H, HIST1H3I, HIST1H3J, HIST2H3C, HIST3H3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>HIST1H4A, HIST1H4B, HIST1H4C, HIST1H4D, HIST1H4E, HIST1H4F, HIST1H4G, HIST1H4H, HIST1H4I, HIST1H4J, HIST1H4K, HIST1H4L, HIST4H4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Histones form complexes with DNA in an invariant 1:1 mass ratio termed nucleosomes. The nucleosome basic structure consists of an octameric core of 4 types of histones (H2A, H2B, H3 and H4) which are presented in equimolar quantities, together with a linker histone H1 which is present at half the stoichiometry of each core histone - around which 147 bp of DNA is wrapped (for review, see Maxson et al., 1983; Luger 2003; Khorasanizadeh 2004; Sarma and Reinberg, 2005). These histones are assembled into the nucleosome during S phase to compact a newly synthesised DNA into the nucleus (Fransz and de Jong H, 2011). However, histone variants deposition occurs outside of S phase (Kamakaka and Biggins, 2005). Histone variants also forms an octameric core and together with the linker histone, assemble into chromatin. However, the actual variant histones that are assembled and inserted into DNA vary from canonical histones depending on their functional requirements (Kamakaka and Biggins, 2005; Ausio, 2006). Core histone variants are the variants of histones H2A, H2B, H3 and H4 (i.e. H2A.1, H2A.2, H3.1, H3.2, H2A.Z, H2A.X, macroH2A, H3.3, CENP-A). The linker histone variants are also variants of histone H1 (i.e. often referred to as histone H1 microheterogeneity) (Brown, 2001; Ausio, 2006). The main function associated with variants histones is modulation of the chromatin function via post-translational modification.
of histone variants, or via alteration of the position and structure of the nucleosomes in order to facilitate various cellular processes (Iizuka and Smith 2003; Kamakaka and Biggins, 2005). For example, there are one to two of H2A.X molecules every ten nucleosomes distributed throughout the genome (Ausio, 2006). Upon DSB damage, phosphorylation of H2AX plays a key role in DDR which is required for the assembly of DNA repair proteins at the sites containing damaged chromatin and for activation of checkpoint proteins to arrest the cell cycle progression (Podhorecka et al., 2010).

In my study, I have focused on replication-dependent histones which are vital proteins during DNA replication. Therefore, DNA synthesis is tightly coordinated with histone synthesis either under normal conditions or in circumstances of DNA damage. Accordingly, the regulation of histone genes is required to co-ordinate histone production with ongoing DNA replication. Inhibition of DNA synthesis results in a rapid repression of histone gene expression and down regulation of histone mRNA levels (Zhao, 2004).

Cells operate a surveillance mechanism called histone mRNA decay (HD). HD is regulated to prevent harmful effects of inappropriate levels of histone accumulation. Excess histone accumulation leads to
increased DNA damage and genomic instability which are associated eventually with malignancy (Osley, 1991; Muller and Schumperli, 1997; Dominski and Marzluff, 1999).

1.9 Histone gene regulation during the cell cycle

As indicated previously, histone production is largely restricted within S phase coupled to the period of DNA synthesis. Increased histone synthesis in S phase is a consequence of the regulatory mechanisms controlling histone gene expression at both transcriptional and posttranscriptional levels. The transcription of histone genes occur within the nucleus in the histone locus body (HLB), a subnuclear organelle containing factors required for histone pre-mRNA processing (Ma et al., 2015).

The expression of multiple histone genes on S phase entry is regulated by phosphorylation of nuclear protein ataxia-telangiectasia locus (NPAT or p220) by cyclin E/cdk2 (Ma et al., 2000; Zhao et al., 2000; Zhao, 2004). After cyclin E/cdk2 activity has reached its peak in early S phase, it decreases due to the degradation of the cyclin E component, preventing further activation of NPAT until cyclin E re-accumulates in the next cell cycle.
Histone gene transcription increases three to fivefold as cells enter S phase (late G1) and decreases by the end of S phase or when DNA replication arrested (Nelson et al., 2002). The half-life of histone gene transcripts has been estimated as 40-60 min., but it dramatically decreases to ~10 min. at the end of S phase, and DNA replication is arrested (Ewen, 2000).

1.10 Histone mRNAs

The rate of histone synthesis is largely regulated by the level of histone mRNAs. Histone mRNAs are encoded by replication-dependent histone genes which lack introns and end in a conserved 26 nucleotide sequence structure (Dominski and Marzluff, 1999). Endonucleolytic cleavage of pre-mRNA is the only process that produces unique mature replication-dependent histone mRNAs with no poly (A) tails, ending in a conserved stem-loop structure in their 3’ untranslated (UTR) region (Sanchez and Marzluff, 2002) (Figure 1.9). It is likely that the stem-loop operates as the functional homologue of a poly (A) tails for nuclear export, translation, and stability of mRNA.

The sole protein that binds, in a highly specific interaction, to the conserved 16 nucleotide sequence of stem-loop histone mRNA, is the histone binding protein/stem-loop binding protein (HBP/SLBP)
Importantly, HBP/SLBP is involved in every steps of histone mRNA metabolism: histone transcription, pre-mRNA processing (Dominski et al., 1999), nucleo-cytoplasmic transport (Sullivan et al., 2009), translation (Sanchez and Marzluff, 2002) and histone mRNA degradation (Townley-Tilson et al., 2006).

At the beginning of S-phase, the level of histone mRNA rapidly increases with half-life of approximately 40 min. By the end of S-phase it decreases to a half-life of ~10 min (Harris et al., 1991). The coupling of histone synthesis with DNA replication regulated via steady state histone mRNA concentrations occurs via the regulation of HBP/SLBP (hereinafter referred to as SLBP).

**Mature histone mRNA**

![Figure 1.9](image)

**Figure 1.9** Structure of histone mRNA

Histone mRNA has short 5’ and 3’ UTRs. At the 3’ UTR, it contains 30-50 nucleotide sequence with the conserved 26 nucleotide sequence that includes the 5 nucleotides before the stem-loop, the 16 nucleotides stem-loop and the 4-5 nucleotides after the stem-loop.
1.11 Histone binding protein (HBP) or Stem-loop binding protein (SLBP)

1.11.1 Human SLBP

The human SLBP (hSLBP) gene (NM_006527) is composed of eight exons on the short (p) arm of chromosome 4 at region 1 band 6. It encodes a protein of 270 amino acids (Q14493) with molecular mass 31 kDa (Martin et al., 1997). This is in contradiction to the estimated molecular mass obtained by SDS-PAGE involved mobility shift assay (Wang et al., 1996). Two bands of 40 and 45 kDa are observed in both nuclear and polyribosome enriched fractions (Wang et al., 1996). It is possible that there are two forms of SLBP that could result either from post-translational modification or from proteolysis. This is consistent with the observations associated with mobility shift analyses in which doublets are regularly observed (Wang et al., 1996).

The protein SLBP consists of three important regions: N-terminal TAD domain, the central RNA-binding domain (RBD)/L-motif and C-terminal domain (Martin et al., 2000; Jaeger et al., 2004; Jaeger et al., 2005) (Figure 1.10).
Two important domains are the RNA binding domain (RBD) at C-terminus and translation activation domain (TAD) at N-terminus.

The N-terminal region contains a short segment of ~14 amino acid residues. This transactivation domain (TAD) at amino acids 68-81 is essential for the activation of histone mRNA translation, and includes a highly conserved motif, DWX3VEE (Cakmakci et al., 2008). The TAD is located near known N-terminal phosphorylation sites (von Moeller et al., 2013). Importantly, SLIP1 or MIF4G (SLBP-binding protein 1 or middle domain of initiation factor 4G) interacts with this highly conserved motif (Cakmakci et al., 2008). The activation of SLIP1 interacts with SLBP may form a bridge to cooperates, either directly or indirectly, with the eIF3 or eIF4G translation initiation complex (Gorgoni et al., 2005; Cakmakci et al., 2008; Neusiedler et al., 2012; von Moeller et al., 2013).
The RNA binding domain (RBD) of SLBP (amino acid 125-197) is the only region of SLBP conserved among diverse metazoans (Caenorhabditis elegans, Drosophila, and vertebrates) (Wang et al., 1996; Pettitt et al., 2002). Previous structural studies found that the RBD region of SLBP folds on binding the histone RNA stem-loop with a highly stable complex and the SLBP-histone mRNA complex functions as an integral unit (Zhang et al., 2012; Thapar, 2014). The first stem loop binding site involves Glu129-Val158 and second binding site Arg180 and Pro200 which are bound to the stem-loop structure of histone mRNA, regulated by threonine phosphorylation and proline isomerization in a conserved TPNK sequence that lies between the two binding sites (Zhang et al., 2012). The phosphorylation of the motif TPNK of both the human and Drosophila SLBP RBD is proposed to increase the stability and proper folding of the SLBP-histone mRNA complex (Zhang et al., 2014). However, the C-terminal region is flexible in the protein:RNA complex and does not contact the RNA (Zhang et al., 2014). This is consistent with in solution NMR studies, which found that SLBP RBD is intrinsically disordered in the absence of RNA in solution (Zhang et al., 2012; Thapar, 2014). Importantly, SLBP is natively unfolded in the free state (Thapar et al., 2004). The RBD and
the C-terminal regions of SLBP are involved in 3’ end processing (Dominski and Marzluff, 2001).

The crystal structure of hSLBP in complex with other proteins has been determined by Tan and colleagues (2013) who reported that SLBP RBD forms a ternary complex with histone mRNA stem-loop and 3’-5’ exonuclease (3’hExo/Eri-1) also binding to histone mRNA stem-loop which is required for histone mRNA degradation. However, there is no direct contact between SLBP and 3’hExo in the ternary complex. It is likely that both proteins help each other to induce structural changes in the loop so as to bind with other proteins (Tan et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014).

SLBP is an RNA binding protein that is regulated within the cell cycle by both translational and post-translational mechanisms (Whitfield et al., 2000; Zheng et al., 2003). SLBP is synthesized just before the entry into S phase and is imported into the nucleus by the importin α-importin β transport factors (Erkmann et al., 2005). SLBP is present both in nucleus, and in the cytoplasm on the polyribosomes (Martin et al., 1997; Whitfield et al., 2004; Hanson et al., 1996). SLBP binds to the stem loop at the 3’ end of histone mRNA which coordinates the histone biosynthesis and cell cycle (Marzluff, 1992).
The disruption of the interaction between the SLBP-histone mRNA complex by stem-loop mutations causes mRNA retention in the nucleus, and a decrease in processing efficiency both in vivo and in vitro (Sun et al., 1992; Pandey et al., 1994; Williams et al., 1994; Dominski et al., 1999). Therefore, the formation of the SLBP-histone mRNA complex plays a pivotal role in maintaining cell-cycle regulation of histone levels in eukaryotic cells.

Taken together, the data obtained from structural and functional analysis have shown that SLBP is a key protein binding to histone mRNA stem-loop for histone mRNA metabolism including histone transcription, pre-mRNA processing, nucleo-cytoplasmic transport, translation and histone mRNA degradation.

1.11.2 SLBP and replication-dependent histone mRNA metabolism

1.11.2.1 Transcription

The initiation of histone gene expression is activated by the phosphorylation of transcription factor p220NPAT (NPAT) by cyclin E/Cdk2 prior to entry into S phase, as well as the initiation of DNA replication by cyclin E/cdk2 activating cdc6 (Ma et al., 2000; Zhao et al., 2000; Ye et al., 2003; Koseoglu et al., 2010; Lunn et al., 2010).
Cyclin E/Cdk2 is an essential cyclin-dependent kinase that coordinates multiple aspects of the transition from G1 to S phase. These aspects include activation of E2F1, which is a major S phase transcription factor and required for expression of many S phase genes expression. The components of replication-dependent histone gene expression are regulated in histone locus body (HLB) by concentrating the required protein complexes and RNA components at the histone gene locus (Nizami et al., 2010; Morimoto and Boerkoel, 2013).

1.11.2.2 Pre-mRNA processing

The regulatory properties at the histone mRNA 3’ end impact the rate of histone protein synthesis, histone stoichiometry, and the timing of histone synthesis during the cell cycle. At late G1, the histone mRNA processing efficiency increases 10-fold (Harris et al., 1991). Changes in 3’ end affect histone mRNA metabolism including mRNA processing, localization or translation, that lead to alterations in histone protein abundance. Therefore, eukaryotic cells must tightly regulate the balance between the amounts of newly synthesised DNA and the rates of histone protein synthesis so as to regulate proper chromatin assembly during the cell cycle (Marzluff and Duronio, 2002; Marzluff et al., 2008). Taken together, posttranscriptional regulation of histone gene expression
is a critical element in coupling to DNA replication and involves pre-mRNA processing, translation and mRNA stability control.

Formation of the histone mRNA 3’ end required two cis-acting elements in the pre-mRNA. One is the 26 nucleotide stem-loop sequence in the 3’ untranslated region (3’UTR) of histone mRNA, which remains part of the mature mRNA after pre-mRNA processing. The second element is a purine-rich downstream element (HDE) located 3’ to the cleavage site, which is cleaved during the processing (Marzluff, 1992). Each of these sequences recruits factors that produce the single endonucleotopic cleavage between stem-loop and HDE required for mature histone mRNAs (Dominski and Marzluff, 2007) (Figure 1.11).

The trans-acting factors are the small ribonucleoprotein particle (U7 snRNP), SLBP and a heat-labile processing factor (HLF) (Schaufele et al., 1986; Gick et al., 1987; Bond et al., 1991; Wang et al., 1996; Martin et al., 1997; Walther et al., 1998). The small ribonucleoprotein particle (U7 snRNP), containing U7 snRNA and a heptameric ring of Sm, Lsm10 and Lsm11 proteins, interacts with the histone pre-mRNA by hybridisation via base pairing between the HDE and the 5’ end of U7 snRNA (Bond et al., 1991; Dominski et al., 1999; Pillai et al., 2001; Azzouz et al., 2005; Pillai et al., 2003). HLF and novel zinc finger protein (hZFP100) interacts with the U7 snRNP (Dominski et al., 2002;
Azzouz et al., 2005; Wagner et al., 2006) and SLBP binds to stem-loop histone mRNAs (Wang et al., 1996; Martin et al., 1997).

Importantly, histone genes lack introns. Therefore, the processing of histone pre-mRNA requires only endonucleolytic cleavage which occurs at the end of a conserved ACCCA sequence following the stem-loop (Gick et al., 1986). Following processing, the downstream cleavage product is degraded by 5’-3’ exonuclease activity (Walther et al., 1998). The N-terminal region of Lsm11 interacts with the N-terminal region of FLICE-associated huge protein (FLASH) which recruits the histone pre-mRNA cleavage complex (HCC) including CPSF73 (and its homologue CPSF100) and the scaffolding protein symplekin (a key component of HLF) to form the cleavage complex involved in histone mRNA 3’ end processing (Dominski et al., 2005; Kolev and Steitz, 2005; Sullivan et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2009). Recently, the splicesomal U2 snRNP has been identified in the processing of the intronless histone mRNAs by stimulating U7-dependent histone pre-mRNA processing (Friend et al., 2007). After processing, only SLBP remains bound to the stem-loop (Dominski et al., 1995) (Figure 1.12).
**Figure 1.11** Structure of histone pre-mRNA

The structure of histone pre-mRNA contains the conserved stem-loop sequence, the cleavage site and HDE. For the formation of a mature histone mRNA, it requires only endonucleolytic cleavage is required. This occurs at the cleavage site in the 3’ untranslated region between two conserved cis-acting elements, the highly conserved hairpin structure and the purine-rich spacer element in order to form a mature histone mRNA.
Figure 1.12 Histone mRNA metabolism

Histone mRNAs are up-regulated at the G1/S boundary as a result of phosphorylation of NPAT by CyclinE/Cdk2. A cleavage complex containing CPSF73, CPSF100, symplekin and CSTF64 is recruited to cleave the pre-mRNA. Within this complex, endonucleolytic cleavage by CPSF73 as directed by the U7 snRNP produces the mature histone mRNA. The U7 snRNP contains a heptameric ring of five Sm proteins and two U7 snRNP-specific Sm-like proteins, LSM10 and LSM11. LSM11 contacts ZFP100 and FLASH, which also interacts with the
SLBP-stem-loop complex. Export from the nucleus to the cytoplasm occurs in a TAP dependent manner.

1.11.2.3 Export

SLBP is imported into the nucleus by the importin α- importin β-transport factors (Erkmann et al., 2005). The mature histone mRNP with bound SLBP is rapidly exported to the cytoplasm via the canonical mRNA transport factor TAP (Erkmann et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2003). However, it was reported that nuclear cap binding complex (CBC) (two main subunits CBC20 and CBC80) links to the 5’end of intronless transcripts connecting SLBP for mRNA export (Muller-McNicoll and Neugebauer, 2013).

1.11.2.4 Translation

Accumulation of histone mRNA is a result of an increase in the rate of histone gene transcription and the efficiency of histone pre-mRNA processing. SLBP is also required for the regulation of histone mRNA translation and is found on polysomes in the presence of an intact stem-loop (Sanchez and Marzluff, 2002; Gorgoni et al., 2005). The protein accompanies the mature mRNA to the cytoplasm as a component of the histone messenger ribonucleoprotein particle (mRNP), where
it plays a role in translation of the mRNA (Sanchez and Mazluff, 2002).

Histone mRNA stem-loop is necessary for translation efficiency as well as the stability of the mRNA, and like the poly (A) tails which use to stimulate translation in vivo (Gallie et al., 1996). This function is mediated by SLBP, which stimulates cap-dependent translation initiation factors: the CBP80/20-dependent translation initiation factor (CTIF), CBC with translation initiation factors, eIF4F, eIF4E eIF4G and eIF3 (Ling et al., 2002 ; Lejeune et al., 2004). The cap structure of histone mRNAs is bound by either CBC or eIF4E (Maquat et al., 2010 ; Choe et al., 2013). Moreover, the CTIF, which is localised in cytoplasmic side of nuclear envelope, binds to CBP80 in the mRNA being exported (Kim et al., 2009). The CBP80-CTIF complex then recruits eIF3 which, in turn, recruits the small subunit of ribosome (40S) to initiate the first round of translation in the cytoplasm (Ishigaki et al., 2001).

Recently, SLBP Interacting Protein 1 (SLIP1) has been shown to be a histone mRNA-specific translation initiation factor (Cakmakci et al., 2008). The SLBP-SLIP1 complex assembles on the 3’UTR region of histone mRNA to regulate its translation by bridging the 5’ and 3’ ends of histone mRNA bound by eIF4E/eIF4G and SLBP leading histone mRNA to a closed-loop configuration (Ling et al., 2002 ; Gorgoni et al., 2005 ; Nicholson and Muller, 2008 ; Neusiedler et al., 2012).
The resultant multicomponent complex includes the SLBP-SLIP1-histone mRNA ternary complex is exported to the cytoplasm where it stimulates translation of histone mRNAs. In the cytoplasm, SLBP is an inactive unphosphorylated form due to the formation of an SLBP-SLIP1 heterotetramer complex that cannot bind other histone mRNAs. It is thought that the assembly of the SLBP-SLIP1 complex facilitates SLIP1’s protection of SLBP from proteolytic degradation machinery in the cell (Bansal et al., 2013). At the end of S phase, SLBP needs to be in an inactive form that involves removal of SLBP from the histone 3’UTR. This is achieved by dephosphorylation at T171 in the highly conserved TPNK sequence by phosphatase PP2A acting in concert with the prolyl isomerase Pin1 and, resulting in dissociating of the SLBP-SLIP1 heterotetramer to the SLBP-SLIP1 heterodimer (Krishnan et al., 2012). SLBP dissociates from the histone mRNA prior to histone mRNA degradation in the cytoplasm by the exosome-mediated degradation. The phosphorylation of Thr171 is required for efficient import of SLBP into the nucleus (Krishnan et al., 2012). SLBP is eventually phosphorylated at Thr60 and Thr61 by cyclin A/Cdk1 to trigger SLBP degradation by the ubiquitin proteasome system at the end of the S phase as well as histone mRNAs are degraded (Zheng et al., 2003; Koseoglu et al., 2008; Krishnan et al., 2012). The control of SLBP polyubiquitination is
required Pin1 which may regulate SLBP phosphorylation at the N-terminus at Ser20 and Ser23 (Krishnan et al., 2012).

### 1.12 Regulation of histone mRNA during the mammalian cell cycle

Cell cycle progression is driven by a class of protein kinases, the cyclin-dependent kinases (Cdks), which are involved at different stages of cell cycle transition. The initiation of DNA replication requires the activation of Cyclin E/cdk2 (Sanchez and Dynlacht, 2005). Cyclin A/Cdk2 activity is required for continued progression through S phase (Yam et al., 2002). The progression through mitosis requires the activation of Cyclin B/cdk1 which induce nuclear envelope breakdown resulting in chromosome condensation (Hara et al., 2012).

The replication of DNA is coupled to histone synthesis in order to provide enough histones to newly replicated DNA. When DNA replication is complete, the accumulation of histone protein must stop quickly. The biosynthesis of histone is started with the up-regulation of histone gene transcription. This is activated by the phosphorylation of the transcription factor p220\textsuperscript{NPAT} (NPAT) by cyclin E/Cdk2 prior to entry into S phase, as well as the initiation of DNA replication by cyclin E/cdk2 activating cdc6 (Ma et al., 2000; Zhao et al., 2000; Ye et al., 2000).
2003; Koseoglu et al., 2010). The level of histone mRNA concentration is rapidly increased at the beginning of S phase with half-life approximately 40 min and fall down at the end of S phase with a short half-life 10 min (Harris et al., 1991) (Figure 1.13).

The function of SLBP results in the formation of mature histone mRNAs. It is unsurprising therefore that cell cycle controls signal to regulate SLBP levels appropriately. SLBP is kept low in G1 phase to limit histone mRNA processing and histone mRNA production (Whitfield et al., 2000; Zheng et al., 2003) which is controlled by simultaneous synthesis and degradation at G1-phase involving two mechanisms:

1. low translational efficiency of SLBP mRNA (Whitfield et al., 2000)
2. proteasome mediated degradation (independent of S/G2 degradation mechanism) of SLBP in G1-phase (Djakbarova et al., 2013).

At late G1/beginning of S phase, the levels of SLBP increase 10- to 20-fold after induction of cyclin E (Marzluff and Duronio, 2002; Marzluff et al., 2008). This is proposed to be the main reason for the increase in transcription of replication dependent histone genes, increase in histone mRNA processing efficiency and finally accumulation of
histone mRNAs in S-phase (Harris et al., 1991; Whitfield et al., 2000; Zheng et al., 2003; Marzluff et al., 2008). However, the level of SLBP mRNA does not significantly change throughout the cell cycle (Whitfield et al., 2002). At the end of S phase, SLBP is rapidly degraded as it is targeted for proteasomal destruction following phosphorylation of Thr61 by cyclin A/cdk1. This primes the phosphorylation of Thr60 by CK2 to stop histone mRNA processing, resulting in a rapid decrease in histone mRNA levels (Whitfield et al., 2000; Zheng et al., 2003; Koseoglu et al., 2008; Koseoglu et al., 2010).
Figure 1.13 Schematic diagram for the regulation of histone mRNA and SLBP throughout cell cycle (picture was redrawn from Marzluff et al., 2008).

The changes in levels of histone mRNA, SLBP and SLBP mRNA during the cell cycle. CyclinE/Cdk2 activation leads to phosphorylation of NPAT and likely translation of SLBP mRNA, resulting in histone mRNA accumulation. At the end of S phase, histone mRNA and SLBP are degraded. However, under DNA synthesis inhibition, only histone mRNA decay happens but SLBP is still stable.
1.13 Histone mRNA decay

Histone mRNA decay is the key regulation step operated by a system to monitor histone accumulation and eventually promote the degradation of histone mRNA at the end of S phase. Therefore, histone mRNA decay is likely to be a surveillance mechanism during S phase of cell cycle.

Most cellular mRNA decay is effected by a multi-protein complex termed the exosome, which brings about 3’-5’ exonucleolytic degradation. Histone mRNA decay is a translation-dependent process and requires 3’ oligouridylation, decapping of the mRNA and both 5’-3’ and 3’-5’ nucleases that include exosome components (Mullen and Marzluff, 2008).

Histone mRNA decay also occurs when DNA synthesis is inhibited, resulting in a rapid repression of histone gene expression (Marzluff et al., 2008) and S phase arrest (Nelson et al., 2002). Histone gene expression appears to be one target of the intra S phase checkpoint. In support of this notion, replication stress-induced histone mRNA decay is blocked in the presence of inhibitors of checkpoint signalling (Kaygun and Mazluff, 2005a ; Muller et al., 2007). However, replication stress does not induce histone mRNA decay via destabilisation and proteolytic destruction of SLBP, because the protein SLBP remains to be detected.
after prolonged periods of replication stress (Kaygun and Mazluff, 2005c).

To date, there are two models have been proposed to explain how histone mRNA degradation occurs under DNA damage and replication stress.

The first model suggests the binding of Up-fameshift protein 1 (Upf1) to histone mRNP or the ribosome is the critical step in triggering histone mRNA degradation (Kaygun and Marzluff, 2005b). The phosphorylation of Upf1 and/or SLBP by specific replication stress or double-strand breaks activated transducer Ataxia telangiectasis and Rad3 related (ATR) or DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK) (Muller et al., 2007; Kaygun and Marzluff, 2005a), results in (1). Upf1 binds to histone mRNP via SLBP or (2). Upf1 binds to the stalled ribosome due to the alteration of histone mRNP and modification of SLBP and/or translation release factors (eRFs).

Moreover, there are two ways to initiate histone mRNA degradation

(1). Upf1 binds to the decapping complex (Dcp1/Dcp2) and the Xrn1 5’-3’ exoribonuclease, which are involved in degrading mRNA from its 5’ end (Kaygun and Marzluff, 2005b).
(2). The recruitment of Upf1 stimulates the specific recruitment of the terminal uridylyl transferases (TUTases) which oligouridylylate to 3’ mRNA end. The Lsm 1-7 complex binds this tails and recruits decapping complex (Dcp1/Dcp2) to remove the 5’ cap of the mRNA (Coller et al., 2001). Then mRNA is degraded from 5’- 3’ by the Xrn1 exoribonuclease or degraded from 3’ - 5’ exonuclease by exosome components (Mitchell et al., 1997). Moreover, recent data finds that 3’hExo selectively degrades oligouridylated histone mRNAs (Dominski et al., 2003 ; Mullen and Marzluff, 2008 ; Hoefig et al., 2013).

The second model (Figure 1.14) proposes that direct interacting of cap-associated initiation proteins (CBC or CBP80/20) with SLBP is the critical step in triggering histone mRNA decay (Choe et al., 2013). In eukaryotic translation, CBC-bound mRNAs are precursors of eIF4E-bound mRNAs in cytoplasm (Lejeune et al., 2002 ; Maquat et al., 2010). In the nucleus, the cap structure of newly synthesised mRNAs-bound CBC is exported from the nucleus to cytoplasm. During or after mRNA export with the action of importin α/β in a translation-independent manner, the CBC is replaced by eIF4E in cytoplasm (Sato and Maquat, 2009). The pioneer round of translation of CBC-bound mRNAs begins with the cap-bound CBC recruits CTIF by direct interaction, then CBP80-CTIF at the 5’end of mRNA recruits the eIF3
complex and the small subunit of ribosome (40S) is recruited to that complex (Ishigaki et al., 2001; Kim et al., 2009; Maquat et al., 2010). However, the recruitment of CTIF towards the 5’ end of mRNA by CBC may occur in the nucleus or during mRNA export.

Under replication stress, the replication-dependent histone mRNP switch from an actively translating mode to an mRNA degrading mode. SLBP is likely to interact with CBP80/20-dependent translation (CT) complex as a CT initiation factor (CTIF)-interacting protein, but not with the eIF4E-dependent translation (ET) complex. The translational function of SLBP normally requires eIF4E, eIF4G and eIF3 (Ling et al., 2002). When cells encounter DNA damage or replication stress, remodelling of histone mRNP to CT complex results in weak interaction of SLBP-eIF4GI/II or SLIP1-eIF4GI/II. The role of the 3’hExo may only decrease the stimulation of translation but it is not involved in histone mRNA degradation in mammalian cells (Mullen and Marzluff, 2008) or Drosophila (Kupsco et al. 2006).

Moreover, there is the competition between CTIF and Upf1 for SLBP binding which Upf1 interacts with SLBP more strongly upon the inhibition of DNA synthesis, promoting the release of CTIF and eIF3 from SLBP containing histone mRNP (Choe et al., 2014). In addition, hyperphosphorylation of Upf1 recruits PNRC2 and SMG5 to trigger
decapping and then the histone mRNA degradation by 5’-to-3’ degradation (Choe et al., 2014).

However, the molecular details and signalling pathway which cause the remodelling of CBP80/20-bound histone mRNP to trigger histone mRNA degradation are currently unknown.
Figure 1.14 Proposed model of histone mRNA degradation and possible pathways for initiate histone mRNA degradation under DNA damage and replication stress.

Histone mRNA degradation normally occurs on (A) mRNA undergoing eIF4E-dependent translation, but under DNA damage and replication arrest, translation largely occurs would be replaced by CBP80/20 (B) CBP80/20-dependent translation. (C) The initiation of histone
mRNA degradation involved the recruitment of a Upf1 and oligouridylation of the 3’ end of histone mRNA. Lsm1-7 binds to the oligo (U) tail, promoted by Lsm4 binding to the SLBP and 3’hExo. (D) Subsequently the histone mRNA can be degraded both directions, 3’ to 5’ or 5’ to 3’ degradation.

1.14 Connection between histone mRNA degradation and the cell cycle checkpoints

Histone mRNA degradation is a regulatory step to ensure proper histone mRNA levels at the end of S phase and after the inhibition of DNA synthesis.

Muller and colleagues (2007) reported that the phosphorylation activity of ATR and DNA-PK but not ATM is required for histone mRNA degradation after DNA replication arrested.

Phosphorylation of Upf1 is thought to be a crucial step in histone mRNA degradation through the interaction with SLBP. The serine/glutamine motifs (SQ motifs) and threonine/glutamine motifs (TQ motifs) of Upf1 are phosphorylated by phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase-related protein kinase (PIKK) family (Yamashita et al., 2001). However, the relative important of this pathway is unknown.
1.15 SLBP phosphorylation and known functions (Figure 1.15)

The expression of histone gene begins at Histone Locus Body (HLB) which contains factors required for processing histone mRNAs (Nizami et al., 2010). Phosphorylation of T171 is necessary for recruitment of SLBP to the stem-loop to the site of histone pre-mRNA processing in the nucleus. During S phase, SLBP remains phosphorylated at T171 and accompanies the histone mRNP to the cytoplasm for histone mRNA translation. At the end of S phase, dephosphorylation of SLBP in its RNA binding domain by Pin1 and a phosphatase remove SLBP from the 3’ UTR, resulting in histone mRNA decay via exosome-mediated mRNA degradation and subsequent ubiquitination proteasomal degradation of SLBP. The degradation of SLBP at the end of S phase is mediated by phosphorylation at Thr60 and Thr61 by cyclinA/Cdk1 (Zheng et al., 2003). The released SLBP in the cytoplasm may return to the nucleus for recycling or degradation. Additionally, the phosphorylation of Ser 20 and Ser 23 may be control SLBP polyubiquitination by proteasomal degradation acting as a phosphodegron (by exposing amino acids, Ser 20 and Ser 23, this phosphorylation may reveal important portions of SLBP sequence that participates in, regulation of SLBP degradation rates) during S phase.
Importantly, the kinases that phosphorylate SLBP at Ser 20, Ser 23 and Thr 171 remain unknown (Krishnan et al., 2012).

**Figure 1.15** The model between SLBP and histone mRNA decay during S phase and at the end of S-phase (figure was redrawn from Krishnan et al., 2012).
1.16 Mass spectrometry (MS)-based quantitative proteomics using stable isotope labelling by amino acids in cell culture (SILAC)

Mass spectrometry (MS) has increasingly become the method of choice for study of complex protein samples especially MS-based quantitative proteomics for analysis of protein-protein interactions, post-translational modification (PTM) and protein profiling etc. (Aebersold and Mann, 2003; Dephoure et al., 2012).

Applying proteomics to protein interactions has advantages compared to two-hybrid and chip-based approaches. The protein or modified protein itself can serve as a bait to isolate its binding partners as multicomponent complexes in the native environment and cellular location using affinity-purification approach followed by MS (Aebersold and Mann, 2003; Dunham et al., 2012). However, the purification step is important to allow specific isolation of the protein of interest and its partners and the concomitant reduction in complexity is less challenging for MS analysis (Dunham et al., 2012).

So far, MS-based quantitative proteomics using SILAC develop the study of stable or transient protein interactions and its partners using accurate quantification of SILAC analysed by MaxQuant computational
platform (Cox et al., 2009). The stable-isotope ratios distinguish between the protein composition of two or more protein complexes (Bantscheff et al., 2007; Ong and Mann, 2007; Boulon, 2012). For example, in the case of a sample containing a complex and a control, the method can distinguish between true complex and nonspecific components or in the case of complexes isolated from cells at different conditions/states, the method can identify the dynamic changes in the composition of protein complexes at that conditions/states (Aebersold and Mann, 2003; Park et al., 2012).

There have been many studies looking for RNA-RNA and RNA-protein interactions in different biological targets such as RNA nuclear exosome complex (Lubas et al., 2011), mRNA export (Gebhardt et al., 2015) and nucleolar dynamics under stress conditions. However, the study of histone mRNA degradation focusing on SLBP under DNA damage and replication arrest has not previously been elucidated.
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1.18 Aim

When cells are exposed to replication stress, one cellular response is the rapid induction of histone mRNA decay, the purpose of which is to ensure the co-ordination of histone protein production with the synthesis of newly produced DNA. The precise mechanism by which replication stress signals to the histone mRNA homeostatic machinery is not well understood. The aim of this work is to establish a cell model for the analysis of SLBP, a key protein component of histone mRNA homeostasis, and to use mass spectrometry approaches to investigate SLBP status including post-translational modifications and interacting components, to identify and characterize molecular events and interacting proteins associated with SLBP-mediated histone mRNA degradation as a consequence of replication stress.
Chapter 2

Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

All of the formulation of the solutions, the sequences of primers, the characteristics of the plasmids and the antibodies used in the study are described in the corresponding Appendices. (Appendix A: Solution recipes, Appendix B: List of antibodies, Appendix C: List of oligonucleotides, Appendix D: List of plasmids, Appendix E: Flag-tagged SLBP protein sequence, Appendix F: Flag-tagged SLBP cDNA sequence, Appendix G: HA-tagged SLBP cDNA sequence).

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Molecular Biology Techniques

2.2.1.1 cDNA synthesis

The human SLBP cDNA was generated by Omniscript reverse transcription (QIAGEN) of HeLa cell total RNA. The specific PCR product of SLBP was amplified from SLBP cDNA using the Phusion®
high-fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB) following amplification conditions.

The cycling parameters were as follow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>98 °C</td>
<td>30 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>98 °C</td>
<td>10 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50-60 °C</td>
<td>15 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72 °C</td>
<td>15 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>72 °C</td>
<td>5 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.1.2 Plasmid construction

For untagged expression, the relevant SLBP PCR product was directionally cloned into pCI-neo following digestion with EcoRI/NotI. For the Flag-tagged vector, pCMV-Tag 2A, the relevant SLBP PCR product and parent plasmid was digested with EcoRI/XhoI. The using of standard cloning procedures was performed as described in section 2.22 and verified by DNA sequencing.
2.2.1.3 pcDNA/FRT/TO/CAT-Flag-SLBP construction

A single N-terminal flag was added by PCR to pcDNA/FRT/TO/CAT-Flag-SLBP using the Phusion High-fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB) (see appendix D and F). The digestion was performed with XmalI/NotI.

2.2.1.4 Introduction of siRNA resistance mutation into SLBP

Two silent mutations (A327G and A330C) (Figure 2.1) conferring resistance to a specific SLBP siRNA were generated using the Quickchange mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) to generate Flag-SLBPres (see mutagenesis section for conditions).

**Figure 2.1** Schematic representation of the Flag-SLBPres. The SLBP-siRNA target sequence is indicated by capital letters. Red bold/italic letters are mutations.
2.2.1.5 pcDNA/FRT/TO/CAT-Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup> construction

A single N-terminal flag was added by PCR to pcDNA/FRT/TO/CAT-Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup> using the Phusion High-fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB) (see appendix D and F). The digestion was performed with AfII/XhoI.

2.2.1.6 Alanine and glutamic acid substitution of SLBP S182 generation

The appropriate primers were used to amplify S182A and S182E. Alanine and glutamic substitution mutagenesis was performed using the Quickchange mutagenesis kit (Stratagene).

2.2.1.7 DNA digestion with restriction enzymes

DNA digestion was performed by using miniprep DNA or maxiprep DNA (QIAGEN). Analytical digests were performed in a maximum volume 60 µl using 10 unit of restriction enzyme per microgram of DNA, appropriate enzyme buffer and milliQ H<sub>2</sub>O. 10% of total digest reaction mix was used to confirm digest by agarose gel electrophoresis.
2.2.1.8 DNA ligation

The parental plasmid and the digested DNA were ligated using T4 DNA ligase (NEB). The amount of the digested vector and DNA were varied from 1:1 to 6:1 ratios. The reaction was incubated overnight at room temperature. Control reactions including plasmid-only ligation reactions and T4 DNA ligase-free reactions were also set up.

2.2.1.9 Electrophoretic analysis of DNA

The electrophoresis apparatus was prepared and the electrophoresis tank was filled with 1x TAE buffer (see appendix A) to cover the agarose gel. The appropriate amount of agarose was transferred to a flask with 100 ml of 1x TAE. The slurry was heated until the agarose dissolved and allowed to cool to 60 °C before adding ethidium bromide to a final concentration of 1 µg/ml. The agarose was poured into the gel mould and then the comb was positioned. After the gel was completely set, the comb was removed and the gel mould was moved in the electrophoresis tank. The DNA samples were mixed with 1x loading buffer and loaded into the wells using a pipette. The molecular weight marker set DNA hyperladder I (NEB) was also loaded into the wells as reference. The tank lid was closed and the electric current was applied across the gel (typically 100 V, 400 mA for 45-60
min) so that the DNA migrates toward the anode. After electrophoresis, the gel was examined under UV light at 312 nm, photographed and analysed with Uvitech UviProchemi camera system.

2.2.1.10 Purification of DNA (QIAquick PCR purification kit) from reaction mixtures

DNA was purified using a kit from Qiagen according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The kit was used to purify DNA fragments generated by PCR or following other enzymatic reactions. Briefly, 5x volumes of Qiagen buffer PB were added to 1 volume of the solution to be purified and mixed. The sample was added to a spin column placed in a collection tube and centrifuged for 1 min at 13,000 rpm to bind DNA. The flow-through was discarded and the column washed with 0.75 ml Qiagen buffer PE by centrifugation for 1 min at 13,000 rpm. Then the flow-through was discarded again. The column was placed back in the same collection tube and re-centrifuged to remove traces of the washing buffer. The column was then placed in a clean 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and 50 µl of Qiagen buffer EB was added and allowed to stand for 1 min. DNA was eluted by centrifuge at 13,000 rpm for 1 min DNA was stored at -20 °C.
2.2.1.11 DNA extraction and purification from agarose gels

(QIAquick gel extraction kit)

DNA was extracted from agarose gel and purified using a kit from Qiagen according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For agarose gel extraction, SYBR Safe (Invitrogen) were used to stain DNA gel that can be visualised by blue-light. A gel slice containing the DNA was excised with a clean, sharp scalpel and weighed. The buffer QG 3 volumes were added to 1 volume of weighed agarose gel and incubated for 10 min at 50 °C. After the gel slice had dissolved, 1 volume of isopropanol was added and the solution was mixed. The solution was transferred to a QIAquick column and centrifuged for 1 min at 13,000 rpm. The flow-through was discarded and added 0.75 ml Qiagen buffer PE to the column. The column was centrifuged for 1 min and re-centrifuged to remove residual wash buffer. DNA was eluted in either 50 µl (for plasmids) or 30 µl (PCR product) of Qiagen buffer EB into a clean 1.5 ml eppendorf tube by centrifugation for 1 min at 13,000 rpm.
2.2.1.12 Site-directed mutagenesis

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the QuickChange kit (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each reaction contained 10x Pfu ultra buffer (5µl), double-stranded DNA template (10 ng), 5’primer (125 ng), 3’primer (125 ng), dNTP (1 µL of 100 mM mix (25 mM each of dATP, dGTP, dCTP, dTTP)), Quicksolution (3 µl) in a final volume of 49 µl. 1 µl of Pfu ultra HF DNA polymerase (Stratagene) was added to the reaction and mixed gently. The cycling parameters were as follow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95 °C</td>
<td>2 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>95 °C</td>
<td>30 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60 °C</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>68 °C</td>
<td>3 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>68 °C</td>
<td>5 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subsequently, the template plasmid was digested by adding 1 µl of DpnI restriction enzyme and incubating at 37 °C for 1 h. The remaining DNA (mutated) was then transformed into XL-10 Gold ultra-competent *E. coli* cells, as described in section 2.2.2.3 below.
2.2.1.13 DNA sequencing

All DNA sequencing reactions were performed by staff of the Genetic Core facility at the Medical school, University of Sheffield using Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA Analyser. The manufacturer’s standard protocol was 10 µl of 100 ng/µl DNA and 10 µl of 1 pmol/µl primers per sequence reaction. DNA sequences were verified by the visual inspection of raw sequence data using the 4peak program and analysed using the DNA Strider program and BLAST online software.

2.2.2 Bacterial techniques

2.2.2.1 Antibiotic solutions and agar plates preparation

The antibiotics were routinely used. Stock solutions of antibiotics and following concentrations in LB (Luria-Bertani) liquid medium and LB agar plates were prepared as described. Kanamycin stock solution was 10 mg/ml and used at 50 µg/ml. Ampicillin stock solution was 100 mg/ml and used at 100 µg/ml. The Antibiotic agar plates were generated by adding the appropriate amount of stock antibiotic after partial cooling of the media, and once set, agar plates were stored at 4 °C.
2.2.2.2 Transformation of competent bacteria with plasmid DNA

2.2.2.2.1 Routine cloning

DH5α competent cells were produced in Smythe Lab by D.Sutton using the described method (Sambrook and Russel, 2001). Cells were thawed on ice and 10% of each ligation reaction added to 20 µl cells and gently swirled to mix. The cells were incubated on ice for 30 min. Bacterial cells and DNA mixtures were heat shocked at 42 °C for 30 sec and then placed on ice for 5 min. 200 µl of pre-warmed super optimal broth with catabolite repression (SOC) media was added and cells were incubated at 37 °C for 1 h with shaking at 225 rpm. The cells were then plated on the appropriate antibiotic agar medium and incubated at 37 °C overnight. Control transformations lacking, added DNA, or inserted were also performed in parallel.

2.2.2.2.2 Cloning following site-directed mutagenesis

XL-10 gold ultra competent cells (obtained from Agilent Technologies) were thawed slowly on ice. 45 µl of competent cells were placed in pre-chilled 14 ml BD Falcon polypropylene round bottom tubes. 2 µl of β-mercaptoethanol was added and the cells were incubated on ice and swirled gently every 2 min for 10 min. 2 µl of Dpn1-treated
DNA was added and incubated on ice for a further 30 min. The cells were heat shocked at 42 °C for 30 sec and then placed on ice for 2 min. 500 µl of pre-warmed NZY+ broth was added and incubated at 37 °C at 225 rpm for 1 h. 200 µl of the transformation was plated on the appropriate antibiotic agar medium and incubated overnight at 37 °C.

**2.2.2.3 Isolation of plasmid DNA from bacteria using QIAquick spin miniprep kit**

DNA was purified using a kit from QIAGEN according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A single bacterial colony was transferred into 4 ml of LB media containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin and incubated overnight at 37 °C with shaking at 225 rpm. 1.5 ml of this culture was transferred to an eppendorf tube and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 1 min. The media was removed and the process repeated to give a bacterial pellet representative of 3 ml of culture. The pellet was then resuspended in 250 µl of Qiagen buffer P1, 250 µl of Qiagen buffer P2 was added and mixed by inversion until the solution became viscous and slightly clear. 350 µl of Qiagen buffer N3 was added and the sample inverted until the solution became cloudy. The sample was centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min and the resulting supernatant was applied to a QIAprep spin column placed in a collection tube. After centrifugation for 1 min at
13,000 rpm, the flow-through was discarded. The column was washed by adding 750 µl of Qiagen buffer PE and centrifuging at 13,000 rpm for 1 min. The flow-through was discarded and QIAprep column was re-centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for an additional 1 min to remove residual wash buffer. Finally, the column was transferred to a clean 1.5 ml eppendorf tube, 50 µl of Qiagen buffer EB was added and let it stand for 1 min. prior to elution of the DNA by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 1 min. DNA obtained by this method was firstly used for DNA sequencing, transfection, molecular biology experiment. DNA was stored at -20 ºC.

2.2.2.4 Isolation of plasmid DNA from bacteria using a PureLink® HiPure Maxiprep kit

DNA was purified using a kit from Invitrogen according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A single bacterial colony was transferred into 3 ml of LB media containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin and incubated at 37 ºC in an orbital shaker rotating at 225 rpm for 6 h. This culture was then transferred to 500 ml of LB media containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin and incubated at 37 ºC overnight in an orbital shaker rotating at 225 rpm. The culture was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min at 4 ºC using a Beckman Avanti J-26XP (JLA 8.1 rotor) centrifuge and media
discarded. A maxi column was equilibrated by adding 30 ml of buffer EQ1 and allowed to flow through. The bacterial pellet was resuspended in 10 ml of buffer R3 (containing RNase A 20 µg/ml) and further diluted with 10 ml of lysis buffer L7, mixed and left at room temperature for 5 min. DNA was precipitated by adding 10 ml of buffer N3 and sample transferred to the equilibrated maxi column. Lysate filtered through the column by gravity flow and was washed with 50 ml of buffer W8. The flow through was discarded. A sterile 50 ml centrifuge tube was used to collect eluted DNA after adding 15 ml of elution buffer E4. 10.5 ml of isopropanol was added to the eluted DNA and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 30 min at 4 °C, discarding the supernatant. DNA pellet was washed with 5 ml of 70% absolute ethanol and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C. Pellet was allowed to air dry before resuspending in 500 µl of TE buffer. DNA was stored at -20 °C.

2.2.2.5 Glycerol stocks of transformed bacterial cells

Single colonies were picked from an agar plate and grown overnight at 37 °C in an orbital shaker at 225 rpm in 4 ml of LB media with the required selective antibiotic. 700 µl of cell culture was mixed with 300 µl of sterile 50% glycerol. Cells were then stored at -80 °C.
2.2.3 Tissue culture techniques

2.2.3.1 Mammalian cell culture

HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM with 4,500 mg/L glucose, L-glutamine, and sodium bicarbonate (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO2. Cells were trypsinised by 1x trypsin (Gibco, Life Technologies) and subcultured 1:4 to 1:8 every 2-4 days.

2.2.3.2 Flp-IN cell lines

Stable cell lines were created by using Flp-In™ T-Rex™ Core kit according to the manufacturer’s manual (Invitrogen) in order to integrate Flag-SLBP, HA-SLBP and mutants structures into the Flp-In™ TRex™ host HeLa cell line. T-Rex stage HeLa cells containing a single integrated Flp recombination target (FRT) site has been acquired by the Smythe lab. The line was generated by transfecting HeLa cells with the pFRT/lacZeo vector that expresses a lacZ-Zeocin fusion gene controlled by the early SV40 promoter that contains a single FRT site and maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 4 µg/ml Blasticidin S hydrochloride (Fisher Scientific) and 50 µg/ml Zeocin (Invitrogen, Life Technologies).
To generate Flp-In stable cell lines, 100 mm dishes were seeded with 1.6x10⁶ T-Rex HeLa cells the day before transfection in media containing 4 µg/ml Blasticidin S hydrochloride and 50 µg/ml Zeocin. The following day, the plasmids PCDNA5/FRT/TO/CAT-Flag-SLBP and pOG44 were co-transfected in a 1:9 ratio using Polyfect reagent (Qiagen) (as described in section 2.2.3.4.1). Transfected cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 4 µg/ml Blasticidin S hydrochloride for 24 h and then media was replaced with fresh media containing 10% FBS and 4 µg/ml Blasticidin S hydrochloride for additional 24 h. After 48 h of transfection, cells were removed and transferred into T25 flasks at a range of densities (10-40% confluent) and then incubated for 4 h. After that the medium was replaced with DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 4 µg/ml Blasticidin S hydrochloride and 20 µg/ml Hygromycin B (Invitrogen, Life Technologies) and was changed every 2-3 days until isolated colonies of proliferating cells were observed. Then, these colonies expanded were expanded to produce Flp-In stable cell lines. This process was repeated for all plasmids used in this study.
2.2.3.3 Doxycycline (Dox) treatment of Flp-In cells

The expression of Flag-SLBP in Flp-In HeLa cell lines was induced through the addition of a 0.1-1 µg/ml in the media for the required length of time.

2.2.3.4 Mammalian cell transfection technique

2.2.3.4.1 Neon™ Transfection System

Cells were transfected with siRNA using Neon™ Transfection System (Invitrogen) using the supplied protocol. FlpIn HeLa cells were grown in a flask for one to two days prior to electroporation. On the day of the experiment, the cells are 70-90% confluent. For 6.0 cm dishes, the number of cells were 4x10^5 cells per each 100 µl Neon™ tip. Cells were trypsinised, re-suspended and transferred to 15 ml conical tube. The cells were counted to determine the cell density. Cells were centrifuged at 225 rpm for 5 min at room temperature. The supernatant was discarded and washed the cells with PBS and re-centrifuged three times. Finally, the cells were re-suspended in Resuspension Buffer R at a final density of 2x10^6 cells/ml and were gently pipetted to obtain a single cell suspension. Before electroporation step, 6.0 cm dishes were prepared by filling the dishes with 3 ml of DMEM with 0.5 µg/ml doxycycline and pre-incubated dishes in a humidified 37 °C / 5 % CO₂ incubator.
For electroporation step, 3 ml of Invitrogen Electrolyte buffer E was filled in the plastic neon tube and inserted a tube into the Neon pipette station. The Neon machine was set to the desired Pulse parameters. For FlpIn HeLa cells in 100 µl were set the following: Pulse voltage 1,400 / Pulse width (ms) 20 / Pulse number 1. 110 µl of cells in Resuspension Buffer R were transferred to the eppendorf tube containing 200 nM siRNA. The Neon pipetted was pressed down to open the pipette clamp, then insert the 100 µl Neon tip onto the Neon pipette pushing down firmly. The cells/siRNA mixture were mixed by pipette up and down and sucked up on the Neon pipette. The pipette and tip were inserted into the tube filled with electrolyte buffer on the Neon pipette station. It was ready to press “Start” on the Neon machine. The bubbles that appeared around the tip demonstrate that electroporation was working. The pipette was removed from the station and gently expelled the cell/siRNA mixture by pressing the push-button down to the first stop into a tissue culture dish containing pre-warmed media with doxycycline (Dox). The final step was ejected tip by pressing to second stop.
2.2.3.5 Cryo-preservation of cells

Cell lines were pelleted at 2,500 rpm for 5 min in a Biofuge Primo Heraeus bench top centrifuge (Heraeus #7591 rotor) and resuspended in cell freezing medium. Cells were slowly frozen using a Mr. Frosty cell freezing chamber (Invitrogen) at -80 °C for one week before being transferred to liquid nitrogen for long-term storage.

2.2.4 Protein techniques

2.2.4.1 Whole cell extract preparation

For preparation of whole cell extracts for analysis by Western blotting, dishes or plates containing HeLa cells were cooled on ice for a few minutes. The media was aspirated and cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS. Cells were lysed by the addition of lysis buffer. The cells were scraped and transferred to eppendorf tubes. The lysates were snap-frozen on dry ice and subjected to three freeze-thaw cycles. The lysates were then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C and the supernatants were transferred to new eppendorf tubes and stored at -20 °C.
2.2.4.2 Bradford assay

Protein concentration was determined using Bio-Rad Protein assay reagent based on the protein dye binding method of Bradford (1976). The protein sample being measured was mixed with Bradford reagent and milli-Q H₂O at the dilution 1:5. The absorbance was measured at OD₅₉₅ and determined the concentration of protein by using known BSA standard curve.

2.2.4.3 SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)

Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was carried out under denaturing condition using mini-gels (82 mm x 102 mm) according to the method of Laemmli (1970). In my study, 12% polyacrylamide gels were used. Water was added to protein samples to make up the total volume of each sample equal with an appropriate volume of 5x sample loading buffer. Prior to loading, protein samples were boiled at 95 °C for 5 min. Polymerised gels were assembled into the Bio-Rad Mini-PROTEAN II apparatus, before the inner and outer reservoirs were filled with 1x gel running buffer and current applied to run protein samples through the gel under typical electrophoresis conditions (120 V, 400 mA for 3 h).
### 2.2.4.4 Western blotting

Polyacrylamide gels were removed from their retaining plates, and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane that sandwiched between 4 sheets of Whatman paper at 100 V, 400 mA for 75 min using the Bio-Rad Mini-PROTEAN II apparatus. The nitrocellulose membrane was blocked with either 5% milk or 5% BSA in TBS for 1 h at room temperature. The membrane was incubated with primary antibody against the protein of interest at a dilution between 1:500 and 1:5,000 in either 5% milk or 5% BSA in TBS at 4 °C overnight. Membranes were then washed in TBS five times for min. The blots were then incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody at a dilution 1:5,000 in 5% milk in TBS for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were washed five times for 5 min in TBS and Amersham ECL Western Blotting Detection Reagent (GE Healthcare) was added for 5 min. Bands were detected by exposing the membranes to photographic film (Fuji film RX NIF) which was developed using an Optimax 2010 X-ray film processor. Images were quantified by using Image J software to determine the optical density. To re-probe the membrane with a different antibody, it was stripped by rotating on a rocker for 30 min in a stripping buffer. The membrane was washed five times for 5 min in TBS, re-blocked for 1 h at room
temperature and probed with new primary and secondary antibodies, respectively.

### 2.2.4.5 Coilloidal Coomassie blue staining of SDS-PAGE

Gels were stained in coilloidal Coomassie blue staining solution for at least 30 min before being de-stained in a solution of 40% methanol and 10% acetic acid. Gels were dried on Whatmann 3MM papers using a gel dryer (Gel Master™, Welch, Rietschle Thomas).

### 2.2.5 Flow cytometry

Flp-IN cells were seeded in 35 mm, 60 mm or 100 mm dishes at a density of 0.5x10^5-1x10^6 cells/cm², depending on the experiment. For bivariate flow cytometry, the medium was aspirated and replaced with medium containing 25 μM BrDu and the cells were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. prior to fixation. The cells were washed twice with PBS and detached from the surface of the dish by incubating with 0.05% trypsin-EDTA in PBS (Gibco) for 5 min. A IFA buffer was added to a total volume 10 ml. The cells were transferred to a falcon tube and centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 5 min at room temperature. The supernatant was aspirated, leaving 0.5 ml behind in which the cells were resuspended. 4.5 ml of ice-cold 70% ethanol was added dropwise while
shaking at a low speed using a vortexer. The cells were incubated on ice for 30 min and the centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C. Again, the supernatant was removed, leaving 0.5 ml behind in which the cells were resuspended. 4.5 ml of ice-cold 70% ethanol was added dropwise while shaking and the cells were incubated on ice for a further 30 min. Cells were stored at -20 °C if the flow cytometry was to be carried out on a different day. Before analysis by flow cytometry, the fixed cells were warmed to 4 °C if frozen. For univariate flow cytometry, the cells were washed once with ice-cold PBS, resuspended in 1 ml of PI staining solution and incubated at room temperature for a minimum of 30 min. For bivariate flow cytometry, the cells were washed once with ice-cold PBS, resuspended in 1 ml of ice-cold wash buffer and transferred to an eppendorf tube. The cells were centrifuged at 2,200 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was aspirated and the cells were resuspended in 1 ml of 2M HCl. After incubating at room temperature for 20 min, the cells were washed twice with ice-cold wash buffer by centrifuging at 2,200 rpm between washes and resuspended in 0.5 ml of 0.1 M sodium borate at pH 8.5 in order to neutralise any residual acid for 2-3 min. The cells were washed once with ice-cold wash buffer and resuspended in 100 µl of ice-cold dilution buffer. Rat monoclonal anti-BrdU primary antibody (Abcam) was added at a 1:50 dilution and the cells were
incubated at room temperature for 30 min and then at 4 °C for 30 min with occasional mixing. The cells were washed three times with ice-cold wash buffer by centrifuging at 2,200 rpm between washes and resuspended in 1 ml of ice-cold dilution buffer. Alexa Fluor® 488 goat anti-rat secondary antibody (Invitrogen) was added at a 1:50 dilution. The cells were protected from light and incubated at 4 °C for 1 h with occasional mixing. The cells were washed three times with ice-cold wash buffer by centrifuging at 2,200 rpm between washes. Finally, 1 ml of PI stain was added to cells and incubated at room temperature for a minimum of 30 min. Samples were analysed using a BD Bioscience LSR II flow cytometer at the Medical school and Stem cell facility, Department of Biomedical Science, University of Sheffield. The data analysis was performed using Flowjo software. Cells were irradiated with a blue laser and the emission measured at 660 nm for PI and 530 nm for Alexa Fluor® 488.
2.2.6 Immuno-isolation (I-i) of Flag-tagged SLBP

Immuno-isolation of Flag-tagged SLBP using ANTI-FLAG® M2 Affinity resin (Sigma-Aldrich). This is a monoclonal antibody covalently attached to agarose resin (Technical bulletin, Sigma-Aldrich, product number A2220). Lysates were generated from Flp-In-HeLa cells stably transfected with Flag-tagged SLBP grown in the presence of doxycycline (15 cm dish) (70% confluent) treated or untreated with 5 mM HU (to induce replication stress) for 20 min. 1 mg lysate in a total volume of 1 ml lysis buffer was incubated with 40 µl anti-FLAG M2 Affinity Gel for each I-i experiment. The samples were rotated at 4 °C overnight. After protein binding, the supernatant was retained as “depleted lysates” and the resin was washed three times with 0.5 ml TBS. Bound protein can elute with 3X FLAG peptide, 0.1 M glycine HCl, pH 3.5 or SDS-PAGE Sample Buffer, depending on the experiment. For 3X FLAG peptide elution, 100 µl of 3X FLAG elution solution (300 ng/µl final concentration was diluted from 25 µg/µl of 3X FLAG stock solution) was added to each sample. The sample was incubated with gentle shaking for 1 h at 4 °C. The resin was centrifuged for 30 sec at 8,000 rpm and then the supernatant was transferred to fresh tubes. For 0.1 M glycine HCl, pH 3.5 elution, 100 µl of 0.1 M glycine
HCl, pH 3.5 was added to each sample. The sample was incubated with gentle shaking for 5 min at room temperature. The supernatant was removed after centrifugation at 8,000 rpm for 30 sec at 4 °C and the pH returned to neutral by transferring the supernatant to fresh tubes containing 10 µl of 0.5M Tris HCl, pH 7.4. For SDS-PAGE Sample Buffer elution, 20 µl of 2x gel loading buffer was added to each sample. The sample was boiled for 5 min and spun down for 30 sec at 4 °C. Then, the supernatant was transferred to fresh tubes. All supernatant were stored at -20 °C until further analysis by SDS-PAGE, western blotting or mass spectrometry.

2.2.7 Protein purification

2.2.7.1 Preparation of recombinant GST-SLBP-6xHis

The modified pGEX-6P-1 plasmid (termed pGEX6SLBPHIS) encoding the GST-SLBP-6xHis fusion protein was transformed into *E. coli* strain BL21 DE3 pLysS. 5 ml of LB medium containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin was inoculated with a single colony of transforming bacteria. The bacteria were then incubated overnight at 37°C with shaking at 225 rpm before being transferred to 100 ml of LB/ampicillin until the culture reached an OD$_{600}$ = 0.4. Recombinant protein expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG with cultures maintained either at 30 °C
or 37 °C until each culture reached OD_{600} = 1.2. For a pilot 100 ml of
culture, bacteria were harvested by centrifuging at 3,000 rpm for 3 min.
The supernatant was discarded. The pellet was re-suspended in 25 ml of
0.9% NaCl and re-centrifuged again. The bacteria cells were
re-suspended in 10 ml of buffer A and were left on ice for 30 min. The
suspension was diluted with 1 ml of buffer B and was kept on ice for 1 h.
The suspension was then added 0.1% (by weight) sodium deoxycholate,
10 mM MgCl₂ and 25 µl DnaseI (stock 2,000 U/ml) and was also kept
on ice for 15 min until suspension was no longer viscous. The lysate was
clarified by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 30 min. The supernatant
was aspirated (~1.5 ml). 80 µl of packed glutathione agarose beads were
added to the lysate and incubated 45 min. at 4°C on a rotating wheel.
To isolate GST-tagged protein, the washed glutathione agarose beads
were washed five times with buffer C and then GST-SLBP-6xHis was
eluted three times with buffer C containing 5 mM glutathione, pH 8.0.

For large scale, 4 litres of culture was added 3 ml of packed
 glutathione agarose and the washed glutathione agarose beads were
added to the clarified lysate and incubated for 1 h at 4 °C on a rotating
wheel.
2.2.8 RNA techniques

2.2.8.1 Phenol-chloroform RNA extraction

Cells were washed twice in PBS before addition of 1 ml of TRI reagent (Sigma) and incubated for 5 min. Cells were detached by pipetting up and down and transferred to new eppendorf tube. 0.2 ml of chloroform was added to eppendorf tube, vortexed for 20 sec and then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C. The colourless upper aqueous phase was taken to a clean eppendorf tube and 0.5 ml of isopropanol added. The mixture was inverted for a few times, incubated for 10 min at room temperature and then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was aspirated. The RNA pellet was washed with 70% ethanol and centrifuged at 8,000 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was aspirated again and the RNA pellet was air-dried in fume hood. 20 µl of Rnase-free water was added to the dried RNA pellet. RNA concentration was determined by absorbance measurement at 260 nm using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer and samples were stored at -20 °C.
2.2.8.2 Reverse transcription

For the analysis of histone mRNA decay, RNA (2 µg per reaction) was reverse transcribed using the High Capacity RNA-to-cDNA Kit (Applied Biosystems). The total reaction volume was made up to 20 µl with Rnase-free water. The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 1 h and then 95 °C for 5 min. cDNA was quantified using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer and samples were stored at -20 °C.

2.2.8.3 Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)

qPCR was carried out using Bio-Rad C1000 Touch thermal cycler with a CFX96 real-time PCR detection system. The master mix reaction containing 5 µl of SYBR Green JumpStart Taq ReadyMix (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1 µl (100 µM stock solution) of forward and reverse primers (Invitrogen) and water to a total volume of 9 µl were added each to the wells of a 96-well qPCR plate. 10 µg of cDNA was diluted with water to a concentration of 100 ng/µl and 1 µl of the 100 ng/µl solution was added to the wells. The plates were sealed with StarSeal polyolefin film (STARLAB). The target cDNA was amplified using a program consisting of 40 cycles. The cycling parameters were as follow.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Cycles</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>94 °C</td>
<td>2 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>94 °C</td>
<td>15 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64 °C</td>
<td>30 sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each sample was analysed in triplicate. The results were quantified by comparison to a standard curve made by combining cDNA from all samples and analysing serial dilutions on the qPCR plate. A well containing no template was included to check for contamination and the formation of primer-dimers. Control targets (GAPDH) were amplified to allow for variations in plate loading. The data was analysed using Microsoft Excel, Prism and Bio-Rad CFX manager softwares.

2.2.8.4 Analysis of alternative mRNA splicing

The analysis of alternative splicing by PCR amplification using cDNA as a template, primers as shown in Rattray et al. (2013). The PCR components contained final concentration; 0.5 µM of forward and reverse primers, 1x Phusion® buffer contained and Phusion® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB) and 100 ng cDNA template. PCR reaction was performed for 30 sec at 98°C, followed by 35 cycles of 10
sec at 98°C, 30 sec at 64°C and 1 min 72°C, followed by a final 10 min at 72°C. The PCR products were eletrophoresed on 1.5% agarose gel.

2.2.9 The Wee1 kinase assay

2.2.9.1 Isolation of the substrate

The immuno-isolation of Flag-SLBP$^{res}$ (SLBPwt) and Flag-SLBP$^{resS182E}$ were performed as described in section 2.2.6. However, the lysis buffer was prepared in the absence of phosphatase inhibitors. After overnight incubation with ANTI-FLAG® M2 Affinity resin, the mixture was treated with 5 µl of lambda phosphatase in 1x lambda phosphatase buffer at 30 °C 10 min with mild shaking. The beads were washed with lysis buffer containing phosphatase inhibitor before eluting with kinase assay buffer without phosphatase inhibitors and 3X FLAG peptide.

2.2.9.2 Kinase assay

Before the experiment, 1 mM ATP (containing radioactive (gamma-32P) ATP at 10$^6$ cpm per nmol) was prepared, the WEE1 and kinase assay buffer were added into 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube. The reactions were started by adding 2 µl of 10 mM Mg acetate and 1 mM ATP (containing radioactive (gamma-32P) ATP at 10$^6$ cpm per nmol).
The tubes were incubated at 30 °C for 30 min. The reactions were stopped by adding 5 µl of 5x SDS loading buffer and run out on the SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis as described in section 2.2.4.3. The gel was dried on a Whatman 3.0 paper, and the dry gel was exposed to an autoradiogram. The cassette was kept at -80 °C for overnight or the desired time.

2.2.10 Proteomic techniques

2.2.10.1 InstantBlue™ staining compatible with mass spectrometry

After SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, gels were directly stained for 2 h or overnight with InstantBlue™ staining (Expedeon). Following this, gels were left in Milli-Q water until further processed.

2.2.10.2 In-gel Digestion

2.2.10.2.1 Band excision and destaining

The bands of interest were excised into pieces with a clean scalpel and transferred the gel pieces into Sterilin™ 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes. The gel pieces were washed with 200 µl of solution 1 and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The supernatants were discarded and this
step was repeated again. The gel pieces were dried down in vacuum concentrator for 30 min.

2.2.10.2.2 Reduction and alkylation of protein
(see Appendix A for the component of solution)

In this step, gels were reduced with freshly prepared of 1mM DTT in solution 2. The gels were incubated at 56 °C for 1 h. The supernatants were regarded. The gels were alkylated with 2 mM IAA in solution 2 at room temperature for 30 min in the dark. Then the gels were washed twice with 200 μl of solution 2 for 15 min. 200 μl of solution 3 was used to wash gels at 37 °C for 15 min. The liquid was removed by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 10 sec. Once the liquid was discarded the gel slices were dried down in vacuum concentrator for 30 min.

2.2.10.2.3 Enzymatic digestion

Before digestion, enzymes were prepared working stock at a concentration of 0.02 μg/ml trypsin (NEB), 0.025 μg/ml chymotrypsin (NEB) and 0.02 μg/ml elastase (NEB). To perform digestion, dried gel slices were incubated with enzymes at a final concentration of 1 ng/μl in solution 4 at 37 °C overnight.
2.2.10.2.4 Peptide extraction from gel slices

Next day, the gels were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 sec. The supernatants were collected into new Sterilin™ 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes. Further extraction of peptides was performed by incubating the gel slices with 20 μl of solution 5 at 37 °C for 15 min. 50 μl of solution 6 was added to the gel pieces and incubated at 37 °C for 15 min. The supernatants were collected into the same tubes as stated before. This step was repeated once. After a further repetition of this step, gel slices were incubated with 50 μl of solution 7 at 37 °C for 30 min followed by the collection of supernatants into the tubes. Extracted peptides were dried down using a SpeedVac concentrator overnight on low heat and then stored at -20 °C. Before the analysis by LC-MS/MS the peptides were resuspended in 0.5% formic acid at room temperature for 10 min. with gentle shaking.

2.2.10.3 HLBP-mass spectrometry setting

Resuspended peptides were injected by using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 uHPLC onto a PepMap100 C18 2 cm x 75 μm I.D. trap column (ThermoFisher Scientific) at 5μl/min in 0.1% formic acid, 2% acetonitrile and 45 °C in the column oven and 6 °C in the autosampler. The sample was separated over a 60-minute gradient of increasing
acetonitrile from 2.4% up to 72%, in 0.1% formic acid, using a 15 cm PepMap100 C18 analytical column (2 μm particle size, 100 Å pore size 75 μm I.D.) (ThermoFisher Scientific) at 300 nl/min and 45 °C.

The mass spectrometer analyzer used was an ETD (electron transfer dissociation) enabled ThermoFisher-Scientific Orbitrap Elite, equipped with a Nanospray Flex Ion ESI source (ThermoFisher Scientific). Nanospray ionization was carried out at 2.3 kV, with the ion transfer capillary at 250 °C, and S-lens setting of 60%. MS1 spectra were acquired at a resolving power of 60,000 with an AGC (automatic gain control) target value of 1x106 ions by the Orbitrap detector, with a range of 350-1850 m/z. Following MS1 analysis the top 10 or top 15 (depend on experiment) most abundant precursors were selected for data dependant activation (MS2 analysis) using CID (collision induced dissociation), with a 10 ms activation time, and an AGC setting of 10,000 ions in the dual cell linear ion trap on normal scan rate resolution. Precursor ions of single charge were rejected, and a 30 second dynamic exclusion window setting was used after a single occurrence of an ion.
2.2.10.4 Data analysis

Data analysis was performed using different software analysis because a new staff was positioned during my study.

Proteome Discoverer (PD) version 1.4.1.14 with Mascot search engine was used to identify peptides that contain phosphorylated residues (Brosch et al., 2009) including serine/threonine (ST) phosphorylation with high mass accuracy (within 100 ppm) in Chapters 4 and 5. For peptide-spectrum matches (PSM) scoring, false discovery rates (FDRs) for peptides medium-confidence peptide hits (actual relaxed) was set at 0.05 and for peptides high-confidence peptide hits (actual strict) was set at 0.01.

MaxQuant (MQ) version 1.5.5.1 was used to analyse SILAC proteomic analysis. For protein identification, false discovery rates (FDRs) at both the protein and peptide levels were set at 1% by decoy database searching. Proteins with 3 valid intensity values in the Flag-tagged SLBP immuno-isolation were considered for quantification. The statistical analysis was then performed by t-testing with correction for multiple hypothesis testing at two thresholds, 0.05 (5% FDR) and 0.01 (1% FDR). Proteins required a minimum of two peptides or above in order to be reported. Perseus version 1.5.5.3 was used to analysed the statistical significant among 3 independent experiments.
2.3 References


Chapter 3

Development of a model system for analysis of SLBP in a model cell line

3.1 Introduction

In order to achieve the aims of this project, it was necessary to develop a tractable model system to investigate SLBP function. I initially used a transient transfection approach to determine levels of transient expression of untagged and tagged forms of SLBP. I therefore created SLBP expression construct in two distinct vectors, pCI-neo and pCMV-Tag 2A plasmids were used to express untagged and Flag-tagged SLBP proteins, respectively. Each plasmid containing SLBP was transiently transfected in HeLa cells and I found that unlike untagged SLBP, expression levels of tagged SLBP were low and could not be directly compared with endogenous levels. Due to the limitations of transient SLBP expression, I subsequently decided to establish a stable transfection Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP in HeLa cells by using the Flp-In™ T-Rex™ system. This system provides the means for the

In the experiment described in this chapter therefore, I have set out to (i) determine whether inducible expression of tagged siRNA-resistant SLBP affected cell cycle progression (ii) establish that the induced form was resistant to siRNA targeting the wild-type mRNA and (iii) investigate SLBP mediated cellular function in cells solely expressing tagged siRNA resistant SLBP, specially the application of DNA replication stress, histone mRNA degradation and alternative mRNA splicing of SLBP.

Here, I demonstrate the construction and validation of that stable transfection Flp-In system and establish it has significant advantages over the use of transient transfection. Furthermore, I show that the inducible Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP protein is expressed in a manner similar to that of endogenous SLBP throughout cell cycle progression. Importantly, although both tagged versions showed slightly different profiles of degradation, the time required to observed degradation of SLBP was similar in both cases (~12 h). Therefore, only Flag-tagged
SLBP was subsequently used in this study, due to time limits unless otherwise stated.

3.2 Results

3.2.1 Transient transfection of untagged and Flag-tagged SLBP in HeLa cells

In order to construct SLBP expression vectors, cDNA was generated by reverse transcription of HeLa cell total RNA as described in section 2.2.1.1. This was used as a template for PCR amplification of wild-type SLBP cDNA. SLBP cDNA was generated following optimization of PCR amplification by utilising a range of annealing temperature between 60 °C and 70 °C as described in section 2.2.1.1. The optimal annealing temperature at 61°C showed an appropriate size of SLBP product, suggesting that optimised PCR conditions generated products consistent with the predicted size of full length SLBP cDNA (813 bp) (Figure 3.1). Annealing temperatures higher than 61 °C resulted in amplification of multiple PCR products with sizes inconsistent with the predicted for the expected specific product (data not shown). Figure 3.1 shows both PCR products obtained after gel electrophoresis using PCR primers designed to be compatible with each vector, the sequences of which were described in Appendices C and D. Each PCR
product was purified, and sub-cloned into pCI-neo and pCMV-Tag 2A plasmids as described in section 2.2.1. Each plasmid was verified by DNA sequencing (data not shown).

Transient transfection of untagged and Flag-tagged SLBP constructs was undertaken in HeLa cells, and expression of SLBP was analysed by western blotting of lysates as described in section 2.2.4.4 derived from transfected cells. The results indicated in Figure 3.2 showed that SLBP expression was detected following transfection with either plasmids as a band of the expected molecular weight (~30 kDa), although the levels of expression of the untagged form appeared to be far greater than that of the tagged protein. Detection of the endogenous protein was not observed under these conditions, presumably because the endogenous levels of SLBP expression are considerably lower than the levels observed following transient transfection of either constructs. However, the reason for the difference in expression levels is not known. The lower level of Flag-tagged SLBP could be a result of increased instability of the tagged protein, due to steric hindrance affecting protein folding or variation in expression arising from site of plasmid integration. An important concern was that utilising transient transfection for further study might generate large differences in
expression level among the individual cells within a population, which
might interfere with the overall analysis.

![Figure 3.1 DNA gel electrophoresis of SLBP PCR products.](image)

PCR products obtained using annealing temperature (Tm) at 61°C for
both untagged vector (lane 2) and Flag-tagged vector (lane 3) were
electrophoresed on a 1.5% agarose gel and visualised under UV light
using a photographed and analysed with Uvitech UviProchemi camera
system (as described in section 2.2.1.9). Lanes 1 and 5 contained DNA
molecular size ladder (Norgen FullRanger 100 bp DNA ladder, Norgen
Biotek Corp.).
Figure 3.2 Western blot detection of untagged SLBP, and Flag-tagged SLBP proteins expressed in HeLa cells after transient transfection of pCI-Neo-SLBP (left hand side) and pCMV-Tag2a-SLBP (right hand side) for 24 h.

Cell lysates (50 µg of protein) were subjected to 12% SDS-PAGE and analysed by western blotting with anti-SLBP (top panel), anti-Flag (middle panel), and anti-nucleolin antibody (bottom panel) as loading control. NT, non-transfected; M, mock (cells transfected with empty plasmid); SLBP, lysates from cells transfected with appropriate recombinant plasmid (* = non-specific bands).
Consequently, I decided to create stable cell lines expressing physiologically relevant levels of SLBP, expressing Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP using FLP recombinase technology that allows the stable integration of any given gene into a single site in the genome (O’Gorman et al., 1991).

3.2.2 Developing a stable cell line model for inducible siRNA-resistant expression of Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP in HeLa cells using the Flp-In™ T-Rex™ system

The generation of a Flp-In™ T-Rex™ expression cell line requires the integration of two plasmids, one (pOG44) containing a Flp Recombination Target (FRT) site and the other (pcDNA™5/FRT/TO) expression vector into which the gene of interest is cloned under the control of the human cytomegalovirus (CMV) immediate-early promoter (Figure 3.3). A HeLa cell line containing a single integrated FRT site was kindly provided by Dr. P. Eyers (University of Liverpool). The line was generated by transfecting HeLa cells with the pFRT/lacZeo vector and pcDNA6/TR plasmid. The pFRT/lacZeo vector expresses a lacZ-Zeocin fusion gene controlled by the early SV40 promoter, and containing a single FRT site (O’Gorman et al., 1991). The Zeocin-
resistant clones were screened by the Eyers lab to identify one containing a single integrated FRT site. The pcDNA6/TR plasmid constitutively expresses the Tet repressor (tetR) under the control of the human CMV promoter. However, upon the addition of doxycycline (Dox), derivative of tetracycline, Tet repressor is inactive and Tet operator (TetO2) is active which allow the induction of Flag-SLBP transcription (Figure 3.4). The Flp-In cell system allows one to generate isogenic, stable cell lines inducibly expressing a gene of interest under the control of a doxycycline-dependent promoter. Therefore, protein expression, once expression is induced, is constant across a population of cells. This system has a significant advantage over the use of transient transfection, in terms of opportunities for experimental manipulation and reproducibility of expression levels. The Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP DNA sequence (Appendix C) was integrated into a single genomic site in HeLa cells to generate a Flp-In stable cell line as described in section 2.2.3.2.
Figure 3.3 Schematic diagram for the preparing of Flag-tagged SLBP HeLa stable cell lines by using Flp-In system (picture was adapted from https://tools.thermofisher.com/content/sfs/manuals/flpinsystem_man.pdf).
The Flp-In™ T-Rex™-HeLa cell line (host cell) contains a single intergrated FRT site and stably expresses the Tet repressor from the co-expression of pFRT/lacZeo and pcDNA6/TR. This cell line is used to generate the Flp-In™ T-Rex™-HeLa expression cell line. The generation of a Flp-In™ T-Rex™ expression cell line requires the integration of two plasmids, one (pOG44) containing a Flp Recombination Target (FRT) site and the other (pcDNA5/FRT/TO) expression vector containing the gene of interest (Flag-SLBP). The Flp recombinase expressed from pOG44 catalyse a homologous recombination event between the FRT sites in the host cells and the pcDNA5/FRT/TO expression vector. The expression of Flag-SLBP is under the control of a tetracycline-regulated, hybrid human cytomegalovirus (CMV)/TetO₂ promoter. The selection of stable cell lines is selected by a hygromycin resistance gene. The expression of Flag-SLBP is repressed by the Tet repressor (TetR) which expresses from pcDNA6/TR. The addition of doxycycline induces Flag-SLBP expression by inactivation of the structure of TetR which allows the Tet operator to become active.
Figure 3.4 Schematic diagram of doxycycline-mediated regulation of Flag-tagged SLBP in the Flp-In™ T-Rex™ system (picture was redrawn from https://tools.thermofisher.com/content/sfs/manuals/flpinsystem_man.pdf).

The Tet repressor is expressed from pcDNA6/TR plasmid which is under the control of the human CMV promoter. However, the homologous recombination event between the FRT sites in the Flp-In™ T-Rex™ HeLa host cell line and the pcDNA5/FRT/TO which contain the tet...
operator2 (TetO₂) confers regulation by tetracyclin or doxycycline on the CMV promoter. In the Tet repressor-operator system, the tetracycline repressor protein (TetR) binds to the Tet operator sequence (TetO) and inhibits transcription of downstream elements. Addition of doxycycline (Dox), a tetracycline derivative, which is more stable than tetracycline in cell culture, allows a conformational change in the repressor structure. This releases complexes from the promoter and allows the transcription of Flag- tagged SLBP or HA-tagged SLBP.

3.2.3 Optimization conditions for doxycycline-induced expression of Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP

The aim of this part of the work was to determine conditions under which the level of Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP expression was similar to that of the endogenous expressed protein. Moreover, it was also to determine the similarities and differences between the level of Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP expression. To do this, I analysed Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP expression levels in cell lysates by western blotting, after cells were treated with either a range of Dox concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 1.0 µg/ml, and for varying lengths of time up to 72 h. Then cells were harvested, lysates prepared and analysed by western blotting.
(Figure 3.5 and 3.6). Dox treatment resulted in detectable amounts of HA-tagged and Flag-tagged protein after 1 h and 2 h, respectively. Over the 10-fold range of concentration of Dox used, there was little difference in the overall level of inducible expression of both forms of tagged protein. Both forms were expressed at slightly higher levels than the endogenous protein at all Dox concentrations tested after 2-6 h incubation (Figure 3.5A and 3.6A). The doublet bands reflect endogenous and tagged SLBP expression (Figure 3.5A). However, only tagged SLBP was detected after 8 h, probably due to the low expression level of endogenous SLBP. It is possible that cells respond to Dox treatment differently depending on the cell confluence or quiescent state. One example of such a circumstance would be contact inhibition which might affect expression pattern of cells (Abercrombie, 1970). After 24-72 h exposure to Dox, Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP level were reduced (Figure 3.5B and 3.6B). Importantly, this result confirms that there is no significant difference in Dox-inducible SLBP expression between either Flag- and HA-tags. In the subsequent experiments, induction of tagged SLBP was undertaken using 0.5 µg/ml Dox for 5-6 h, unless otherwise stated. Where relevant, cells used either contain one integrated copy of either Flag-tagged SLBP (designated HeLa^wtFlag-SLBP) or HA-tagged SLBP (HeLa^wtHA-SLBP).
**Figure 3.5** Induction of the expression for Flag-tagged SLBP stably transfected in Flp-In-HeLa cells under control of the tetracycline regulatory system (Tet<sub>on</sub>). Cells treated with (A) 0-6 h and (B) 0-72 h Dox with different doses. The concentration of Dox and induction times varied from 0.1 to 1 µg/ml. Cell lysate samples (50 µg of protein) were subject to 12% SDS-PAGE and analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-SLBP and anti-Flag antibodies. Anti-nucleolin was used as loading control.
A.

Figure 3.6 Induction of the expression for HA-tagged SLBP stably transfected in Flp-In-HeLa cells under control of the tetracycline regulatory system (Tet<sub>on</sub>). Cells treated with (A) 0-6 h and (B) 0-72 h Dox with different doses. The concentration of Dox and induction times varied from 0.1 to 1 µg/ml. Cell lysate samples (50 µg of protein) were subject to 12% SDS-PAGE and analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-SLBP and anti-HA antibodies. Anti-nucleolin was used as loading control.
3.2.4 The effect of inducible expression of Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP on cell cycle progression

In unmodified cells, SLBP expression is limited to S-phase and the protein is rapidly degraded at the end of S phase (Whitefield et al., 2000). I wished to investigate whether up-regulation of Flag- or HA-tagged SLBP was restricted to the G1/S transition and also whether it was degraded at the end of S-phase. Additionally, it was important to determine whether inducible expression of either tag affected normal cell cycle progression and the expression levels of each as a function of cell cycle progression.

In order to synchronise the Flp-In HeLa cells, cells growing in asynchronous culture were treated with 40 ng/µl nocodazole (Noc) for 12 h and mitotic cells were isolated by shake-off (Figure 3.7, red line). Cells were then re-plated and exposed to either Dox-containing fresh medium or fresh medium without Dox for 5 h. At the times indicated in Figure 3.7A, cells were harvested and lysates were prepared and analysed by western blotting.

Strikingly, the expression of Flag-tagged SLBP protein coincided closely with the endogenous protein (Figure 3.8 right-hand panel and Figure 3.9). Significant up-regulation of protein levels coincided with cyclin A expression corresponding to the beginning of S-phase, with a
peak of expression just before 13 h after mitotic release. In addition, HA-tagged SLBP protein also coincided closely with the endogenous protein (Figure 3.11 right-hand panel and Figure 3.12), with a peak of expression after 13 h. However, both of the inducible tagged-SLBPs were gradually degraded ~16-18 h after release from Noc arrest.

In order to determine whether expression of either Flag- or HA-tagged SLBP affects cell cycle progression, samples of the synchronised cells were also analysed by flow cytometry following propidium iodide staining (Figure 3.10 and 3.13). The results showed that after cells were released from Noc-induced mitotic arrest, cells expressing either tagged version of SLBP progress through cell cycle at a similar rate to cells to which no Dox was added, suggesting that the ectopic expression of Flag- or HA-tagged SLBP do not affect cell cycle progression.

The cell cycle progression using fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) analysis of both HeLa$^{\text{wtFlag-SLBP}}$ and HeLa$^{\text{wtHA-SLBP}}$ cells were similar to non-expressing cells. Taken together with the data reported above, these results indicate that the regulated timing of both the translation and the destruction of the Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP occurs normally in these cell line models. Additionally, although both tagged versions showed slightly different profiles of degradation, the time
required to observed degradation of SLBP was similar in both cases (~12 h) (Figure 3.14).

A.

![Diagram A: Experimental timeline for cell synchronization and inducible expression of Flag-tagged SLBP.](image)

B.

![Diagram B: Cell cycle phases with Nocodazole and Dox treatment.](image)

**Figure 3.7** Experimental timeline for cell synchronization and inducible expression of Flag-tagged SLBP (A) time indication of cell synchronisation with nocodazole (Noc) and Dox treatment (B) Cell cycle phase shows Noc treatment with synchronises cells in M phase.
When shake-off, cells start undergoing in M/G1 and early S phase which is consistent with the increase of SLBP level at the beginning of S phase.

**Figure 3.8** Expression of Flag-tagged SLBP cells with and without Dox. Flag-tagged SLBP cells growing in asynchronous (Asyn) culture were treated with 40 ng/µl Noc for 12 h, mitotic cells were isolated by shake off (time = 0 h) and cells were replated with no Dox or 0.5 µg/ml Dox for 5 h, and allowed to progress through the cell cycle for the indicated times. Lysates were subjected to 12% SDS-PAGE and analysed by western blotting followed by probing with the indicated antibodies.
Figure 3.9 Quantification of the expression of endogenous SLBP (black line) compared to Flag-tagged SLBP (red line) using ImageJ software. Protein levels are expressed relative to the density of the loading control.

Figure 3.10 FACS profiles of inducible Flag-tagged SLBP cells without Dox (top panel) and Dox-treated (lower panel) stained with PI at the indicated times after release from Noc arrest.
Figure 3.11 Expression of HA-tagged SLBP cells with and without Dox. HA-tagged SLBP cells growing in Asyn culture were treated with 40 ng/µl Noc for 12 h, mitotic cells were isolated by shake off and cells were replated with no Dox or 0.5 µg/ml Dox for 5 h, and allowed to progress through the cell cycle for the indicated times. Lysates were subjected to 12% SDS-PAGE and analysed by western blotting followed by probing with the indicated antibodies.
**Figure 3.12** Quantification of the expression of endogenous SLBP (black line) compared to HA-tagged SLBP (blue line) using ImageJ software. Protein levels are expressed relative to the density of the loading control. In a correlation analysis, the expression of endogenous SLBP showed a strong association ($r = 0.97$) between Flag-tagged SLBP and HA-tagged SLBP during 24 h. The figure shows data from only one experiment.
Figure 3.13 FACS profiles of inducible HA-tagged SLBP cells without Dox (top panel) and Dox-treated (lower panel) stained with propidium iodide (PI) at the indicated times after release from Noc arrest.
Figure 3.14 Quantification of the expression of Flag-tagged SLBP (red line) compared to HA-tagged SLBP (blue line) using ImageJ software. Protein levels are expressed relative to the density of the loading control. In a correlation analysis, the expression of exogenous SLBP showed a strong association ($r = 0.8$) between Flag-tagged SLBP and HA-tagged SLBP during 24 h. The picture shows data from only one experiment.
3.2.5 Ectopic expression of SLBP containing silent mutations is resistant to knockdown of endogenous protein using siRNAs directed against wild-type sequence

In order to investigate the ability of the Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP to rescue cells lacking endogenous SLBP with siRNA-mediated knockdown, a Flp-In cell line inducibly expressing Flag-tagged SLBP and HA-tagged SLBP were established using a form of the SLBP gene containing two silent mutations (2M) in the sequence corresponding to that targeted by siRNA knockdown (Erkmann et al., 2005), referred to hereafter as Flag-SLBP\text{res} and HA-SLBP\text{res}, respectively (Figure 3.15).

In both the Flag-SLBP and Flag-SLBP\text{res} cell lines, together with HA-SLBP and HA-SLBP\text{res} cell lines, siRNA-mediated knockdown of endogenous SLBP were observed (Figure 3.16A and 3.16C, lanes 3-6). Following Dox induction, reduced expression of both tagged proteins was also observed in cells containing tagged wild-type SLBP sequence, but not in cells containing Flag-SLBP\text{res} and HA-SLBP\text{res} sequences (Figure 3.16A and C, compare upper band in lanes 4 and 6) which was consistent with the quantification of SLBP expression shown in Figure 3.16B and C. These demonstrate that the tagged version of Flag-SLBP\text{res} and HA-SLBP\text{res} are resistant to siRNA-induced knockdown of endogenous protein.
**Figure 3.15** Schematic representation of the Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup>. The SLBP-siRNA target sequence is shown. Red/italic letters are mutated.
Figure 3.16 Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} and HA-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} resistant to siRNA-induced knockdown of endogenous protein.

The (A) Flag-SLBP and Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} expression and (C) HA-SLBP and HA-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} expression (either wild-type (WT) or containing silent mutations (2M) in the SLBP sequence) treated with 200 nM non-targeting (NT) or SLBP siRNA for 24 h in the absence (-) or presence (+) of 0.5 µg/ml Dox. Cell lysate (50 µg of protein) were subjected to 12% SDS-PAGE and analyzed by western blotting with anti-SLBP and anti-Flag antibodies. Anti-nucleolin was used as a loading control. Quantification of SLBP expression with anti-SLBP and anti-Flag
antibodies correspond to lanes 2, 4 and 6 of (B) Flag-SLBP and (D) HA-SLBP.

Previous work has shown that small interfering RNA-induced knockdown of SLBP results in a delay in progression through S phase (Zhao et al., 2004; Wagner et al., 2005). In order to determine whether tagged SLBP constructs were sufficient to rescue SLBP function, progression through S-phase was monitored by FACS analysis in control, and Dox treated HA-SLBP<sup>res</sup> cells treated with SLBP siRNA for 72 h, and synchronized as before (Table 3.1). These data is consistent with the notion that expression of a siRNA-resistant SLBP restores S phase progression after knocking down endogenous SLBP as expected (Wagner et al., 2005).
Table 3.1 Cells loss of SLBP progress more slowly through S phase.

Shown in the percentage of cells with S phase DNA content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (hours)</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Percentage of cells in S phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>siRNA/no Dox</td>
<td>56.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>siRNA/Dox</td>
<td>62.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>siRNA/no Dox</td>
<td>68.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>siRNA/Dox</td>
<td>62.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>siRNA/no Dox</td>
<td>68.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>siRNA/Dox</td>
<td>69.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>siRNA/no Dox</td>
<td>80.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>siRNA/Dox</td>
<td>50.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HA-SLBP and HA-SLBP<sup>res</sup> cells were synchronized using a previously established protocol with Noc for 12 h, following siRNA knock down for 24 h and subsequently released as described in section 2.2.3.4.1. Cells were harvested at the indicated time and stained with PI.
3.2.6 An alternative endogenously expressed SLBP splice form is targeted by SLBP siRNA

Previous work has demonstrated that HeLa cells up-regulated alternatively spliced forms of SLBP, lacking exons 2 and/or 3 which accumulate under the condition of replication stress (Rattray et al., 2013). One possibility is that these forms of SLBP play an important role in the initial cellular response to replication stress, and could be rate limiting for the rapid destruction of histone mRNA following replication stress. If this was the case, then tagged-SLBP<sup>res</sup> cells might be expected NOT to function efficiently in replication stress-induced histone mRNA decay following siRNA-induced knockdown of the endogenous proteins. This is because these cells are predicted to express full-length tagged-SLBP protein only, as the Flag-SLBP DNA sequence lacks introns and thus will not be subject to the alternative splicing reported previously. Considering this, I wished to confirm that whether or not the condition of siRNA knock-down removed the presence of alternatively spliced SLBP mRNA in Flp-In-HeLa cells model.

Flag-SLBP and Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup> cell lines were transfected with NT or siRNA targeting SLBP, respectively for 24 h in the presence of Dox. Cells were treated with 5 mM hydroxyurea (HU) up to 3 h. HU is an inhibitor of ribonucleotide reductase, which causes DNA polymerase to
stall at replication forks, resulted in DNA synthesis being blocked (Adams and Lindsay, 1967). RNA extract was prepared using phenol-chloroform RNA extraction and reverse transcription from RNA to cDNA as described in section 2.2.8. For analysis of alternative splicing, PCR amplification was undertaken using cDNA as a template, utilising primers as shown in Rattray et al. (2013) and Phusion® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB). PCR reaction was performed as described in section 2.2.8.4. Finally, the PCR products were eletrophoresed on 1.5% agarose gel.

Full-length SLBP transcripts are predicted to generate a PCR product of 752 bp with these primers (Rattray et al., 2013). Products of this size were detected in both Flag-SLBP cells treated with NT, and Flag-SLBPres cells-expressing siRNA-resistant Flag-SLBP treated with siRNA. Addition of HU for up to 3 h had no effect in either cell line on the expression of the PCR product corresponding to full-length transcript (Figure 3.17, Lanes 2-5 and 6-9). A PCR product of ~700 bp was also observed in Flag-SLBP cells treated with NT siRNA, which is presumed to correspond to SLBPΔE2 as described (Rattray et al., 2013). Here, there appeared little change in the level of this product following HU treatment (Figure 3.17, Lanes 2-5). Importantly, however, Flag-SLBPres cells which had been treated with siRNA targeting endogenous
SLBP did not have detectable levels of this PCR product, either in asynchronously growing cells or cells treated with HU for up to 3 h. These data are consistent with the notion that at least one alternatively spliced form of SLBP are present in the HeLa cells used in this study, and that they are also knocked down by the SLBP targeted siRNA in exon 4 used in these experiments. This finding indicates that in the siRNA-treated Flag-SLBPres cell line system, addition of Dox results in the expression of one SLBP isoform, corresponding to the full-length protein, following DNA replication stress.
**Figure 3.17** Alternative splicing of Flp-In Flag-SLBP and Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} HeLa cell lines when the latter is exposed to SLBP siRNA.

In cells expressing wild-type SLBP, at least one additional splice form (~700 bp) may be detected following exposure to replication stress, consistent with Rattray \textit{et al.}, 2013 (left-hand figure). In siRNA-treated cells expressing Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res}, the presence of the cognate sequence corresponding to the siRNA in all splice forms, means that, following addition of siRNA, all are knocked down (with the exception of mRNA from SLBP\textsuperscript{res}). This analysis allows us to test whether the splice variants alone are required for replication stress induced histone mRNA decay.

Flp-In Flag-SLBP and Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} HeLa cell lines were transfected with NT or siRNA with Dox for 24 h and untreated or treated with 5 mM HU before cell harvesting. The analysis of splicing using cDNA prepared from total RNA, followed by PCR amplification and agarose
gel electrophoresis on a 1.5% agarose gel (M = DNA molecular size ladder; Norgen FullRanger 100 bp DNA ladder, Norgen Biotek Corp.)

3.2.7 Ectopic expression of SLBP containing silent mutation facilitates histone mRNA decay following replication stress

In order to determine whether or not Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup> was capable of carrying out established functions of SLBP in histone mRNA decay following replication stress, quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was used to measure the amount of histone mRNA in cells.

I used two pairs of qPCR primers (see primer sequences in Appendix C) to quantify transcripts from the histone and GAPDH genes, respectively. The primers were designed to amplify cDNA generated from reverse transcription of total RNA from Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup> cells. The histone primers were designed to target the Hist1H3B gene. GAPDH (which encodes glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) was a reference gene for the total amount of mRNA.

To optimize the annealing temperature of histone and GAPDH primers, I initially used a PCR reaction with a gradient annealing temperature (Tm), with a range from 46 to 65 °C. Importantly, DNase treated RNA was added in small PCR tube before adding PCR reaction. Agarose gel electrophoresis (Figure 3.18) showed that all temperatures
in the range 46-65 °C give specific PCR products of the correct size for both histone and GAPDH cDNA (91 bp and 106 bp, respectively). However, this experiment lacks negative control which has no PCR reaction.

Next, qPCR reactions were carried out for both histone and GAPDH, using an annealing temperature of 65 °C and the melt curves were analysed (Figure 3.19). Both melt curves had a single peak, indicating that primer dimers were not forming. Therefore, an annealing temperature of 65 °C was used subsequently throughout this study.

To validate the qPCR reaction, the PCR efficiency was investigated. qPCR reactions of 10-fold dilutions of the positive control (cDNA of Flag-SLBP cells) were carried out for each pair of primers, using Tm 65 °C, to creat a standard curve. An example of the standard curve obtained with each set of primers is shown in Figure 3.20. The accepted range of correlation coefficient is 90-100% (Schmittgen and Livak, 2008). In my study, the correlation coeﬃciency was 99% which is in the accepted range.
Figure 3.18 Optimal annealing temperature

Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products following a gradient PCR reaction using cDNA from Flag-SLBP. The annealing temperature (Tm) range was 46-65 °C. PCR products were electrophoresed on a 1.5% agarose gel. Lanes 1 and 14 contained DNA molecular size ladder (Norgen FullRanger 100 bp DNA ladder, Norgen Biotek Corp.)
Figure 3.19 Melt curves of Histone and GAPDH products. Temperature (°C) (X-axis) is plotted against the first negative derivative of the fluorescence with respect to temperature (-dF/dT) (Y-axis). qPCR reaction for both sets of primers were performed using a 65 °C annealing temperature. This graph shows a single peak of both histone and GAPDH products which mean that PCR reaction of histone and GAPDH products only generate one amplicon.
Figure 3.20 Example of standard curves obtained using Histone and GAPDH primers (correlation coefficient ($R^2$) =0.99). Threshold cycle (Y-axis) is plotted against log DNA concentration (X-axis).

I next examined the ability of Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} to undergo histone mRNA decay following replication stress. Normal HeLa cells were treated for 24 h with a control non-targeting siRNA (NT) and Dox-treated HeLa Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} cells were treated for 24 h with siRNA targeting SLBP (Figure 3.21). Figure 3.21A clearly shows that knockdown efficiency was more than 90% compared to HeLa cells (compare lane 4-6 with 1-3 of top panel).

Both sets of cells were exposed to HU. Cells lacking endogenous SLBP and expressing Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} degraded histone mRNA to the same extent, and with the same kinetic as control cells in response to HU.
treatment (Figure 3.21B). This result confirms that Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} is capable of facilitating histone mRNA decay after the inhibition of DNA replication.
Figure 3.21 The effect of Flag-SLBP<sub>res</sub> expression on histone mRNA decay following replication stress. Error bars show the standard error in the mean obtained from three independent experiments. The data shows that no significant difference was observed when cells lacking
endogenous SLBP and expressing Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} degraded histone mRNA in response to HU treatment.

Normal HeLa cells were transfected with NT. Flp-In HeLa cells expressing Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} were transfected with siRNA and treated with doxycycline for 24 h. RNA and protein extracts were prepared before HU treatment and after the addition of 5 mM HU for 30 and 60 min. (A) SLBP levels were assessed by western blotting with anti-SLBP and anti-Flag antibodies. Anti-nucleolin was used as a loading control. (B) Fold change of histone mRNA levels measured using qPCR. GAPDH was used as an internal reference. The data was calculated by delta CT method which normalises the target (histone) with reference (GAPDH) value. The relative fold change in each minute after HU treatment was normalised with the untreated (no HU) condition (0 min).
3.3 Discussion

In this chapter, the objective was to develop a tracable model system to investigate SLBP function. Transient transfection using Polyfect (QIAGEN) were initially used to overexpress SLBP following a 24 h transfection protocol. At 24 h post transfection, SLBP was detected at a molecular mass $> 31$ kDa which is in agreement with the calculated molecular mass ($M_r$) which is slightly over 31 kDa (Martin et al., 1997).

3.3.1 Mammalian cells transfection: transient versus stable transfections

There are several aspects of transient transfection technology that may have resulted in the low expression of Flag-tagged SLBP compared to untagged SLBP. For examples, transiently transfection gives rise to cells expressing genes that are not integrated into the host genome and may only be expressed for a limited period of time (Kim and Eberwine, 2010). Additionally, the backbone of the Flag vector could differentially interfere non-specifically with the mechanism of Flag-tagged SLBP expression. Finally, the efficiency of transfection can be variable in transient experiments, and thus there may have been a difference between the extent of transfection between the two constructs.
Therefore, a stable transfection approach was used to overcome the low level of Flag-tagged SLBP expression obtained using transient expression. I began to generate a stable cell line system for the inducible expression of Flag-tagged SLBP in HeLa cells using the FLP-IN cell system, that utilises FLP recombinase technology. There are several advantages in generating stable Flag-tagged SLBP cell lines using this system. Firstly, the gene of interest (Flag-tagged SLBP) and any mutant derivatives are integrated into a single specific site on the genome, which minimises the risk that any observed differences are a consequence of differences in gene dosage, chromosomal location effects, differential expression. Secondly, the generation of an isogenic stable cell line permits for the efficient and rapid production of expressed protein. Thirdly, utilization of the Flp-In™ T-Rex™ expression system, allows for expression to be controlled by a Dox-inducible promoter, enabling the design of experiment where the timing of expression may be critical. However, inducible expression by Dox was used instead of tetracycline because of its long half-life (Gossen et al., 1995). The level of Flag-tagged SLBP expression varied subtly as a function of the different concentrations of Dox. At the concentration of 0.5 ug/ml of Dox, the maximal level of Flag-tagged SLBP expression was observed. Maximal expression levels of SLBP were obtained after 24-48 h and decreased
subsequently (by 72 h). One possible explanation for this is it may due to progressive reduction in the fraction of cells undertaking S-phase (where SLBP levels are presumed maximal) as cells approach confluence.

As mentioned above, the endogenous SLBP could not be detected in experiments where transient expression was attempted. In contrast, endogenous SLBP could be detected using the inducible stable expression system. Expression of endogenous SLBP is restricted to S-phase (Marzluff et al., 2008) and experimental limitations precluded an analysis to establish whether SLBP expression from the expression constructs was appropriately regulated. Because transiently transfected cells may contain multiple copies of transfected plasmid, it is possible that the level of ectopic expression was far higher than that of the endogenous protein, precluding the detection of the latter. As discussed aboved, it is also possible that the number of cells in S-phase under transient transfection conditions were very limited. Overall, these results suggested that the utility of the Flp-In system for inducible stable expression of Flag-tagged SLBP has significant advantages over the use of transient transfection, in terms of opportunities for experimental manipulation and likely reproducibility of expression levels.
3.3.2 Model system for analysis of SLBP in a model cell line

In order to investigate the effect of inducible expression of Flag-tagged SLBP and also the regulation of SLBP on cell cycle progression, I analysed the expression of Flag-tagged SLBP throughout the cell cycle. Flag-tagged SLBP cells, synchronised using mitotic shake-off, showed expression of Flag-tagged SLBP as a function of cell cycle progression. The mitotic shake-off method involves the addition of Noc to Flag-tagged SLBP cells for an extended period. Noc is a drug blocking cells at metaphase by destabilizing the microtubule structure (Vasquez et al., 1997; Xu et al., 2002). Cells round up during M-phase and may be detached from the culture plate by shaking them off. By shaking-off cells, Flag-tagged SLBP cells expression started through G1/S phases of cell cycle. In my study, after mitotic shake off, Flag-tagged SLBP cells were released into fresh medium in the presence or absence of Dox for 5h, and the medium was replaced again. Time zero corresponded to the point at which cells were placed in Noc-free medium. Flag-tagged SLBP cells progressed to S-phase by 7 h after replating. Interestingly, the expression of Flag-tagged SLBP cells was up-regulated at the same time expression of control cyclin A which resides in nucleus during S phase (Lim and Kaldis, 2013).
The expression of SLBP is cell cycle-regulated (Whitfield et al., 2000; Zheng et al., 2003). In asynchronous cells, Dox induced tagged-SLBP expression levels were approximately twofold higher than those of endogenous protein. This raised a concern that the level of tagged-SLBP expression might overwhelm the cellular regulatory systems that control both the upper regulation and down-regulation of SLBP protein levels. However, the results obtained using synchronized cells showed that the temporal regulation of both tagged forms of SLBP corresponded closely to that observed for the endogenous protein, with very similar kinetics. Overall, the findings are consistent with the previous studies have shown that the level of SLBP increases at the late G1/beginning of S phase, remains constant throughout S phase and rapidly decreases at the end of S-phase (Whitfield et al., 2000). Interestingly, in the experimental protocol developed here, cells were exposed to Dox for five hours only, after release from the Noc arrest. Subsequent FACS analysis confirmed that this corresponded to early G1 phase. During this period, almost no tagged protein could be detected, and it follows that the high levels of both Flag- and HA-tagged observed subsequently must have been synthesized using mRNA synthesized during the previous G1 phase. These data strongly support the notion that the translation of SLBP mRNA and/or SLBP protein stability is regulated by a cell cycle
regulation mechanism between G1 and S phase, as has been suggested (Whitfield *et al.*, 2000; Zheng *et al.*, 2003). Much of the previous work on SLBP protein has involved the use of a double thymidine block to synchronise cells for analysis (Whitfield *et al.*, 2000; Zheng *et al.*, 2003). While those reports suggest that this synchronization approach arrests cells at the boundary prior to S phase, this is not correct and thymidine treated cells are already in S phase (Feijoo *et al.*, 2001), complicating interpretation of the regulation of SLBP. The work discussed here used synchronisation from mitosis, which allows a more careful analysis of events at the G1-S transition (Whitfield *et al.*, 2000; Zheng *et al.*, 2003). While this work was in progress, Djakbarova and colleagues reported that SLBP protein levels are indeed regulated both by translational mechanism as well as regulation of proteasomal mediated destruction (Djakbarova *et al.*, 2014).

FACS analysis was used to monitor the effect of tagged protein expression on cell growth and progression through the cell cycle. The result showed that both Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP expressing cells normally progressed from G1 phase through S and G2 phases of the cell cycle, compared to normal cells expressing endogenous SLBP. After Noc treatment, most cells recovered completely and entered the subsequent G1 and S phase in a highly synchronous pattern, although a
small proportion of cells failed to progress. The reasons for this are unknown. Taken together, these observation confirmed the evidence that SLBP protein expression is cell cycle-regulated, and that the regulated expression of tagged-SLBP reflects the state of endogenous protein with reasonable accuracy.

In order to confirm that tagged SLBP was indeed functional, I examined the ability of tagged SLBP to rescue the reported delay in S-phase progression resulting from loss of SLBP expression. siRNA targeting SLBP induced significant knockdown of SLBP protein in synchronized cells (Figure 3.16) and had a modest effect on S phase progression which was reduced by expression of HA-SLBP (Table 3.1), again supporting the notion that tagged SLBP function in a HeLa cell model.

3.3.3 Use of the model system for analysis of aspect of histone mRNA decay in response to DNA replication stress

An important function of SLBP is that this protein is required for histone mRNA decay both at the end of S-phase as well as when DNA synthesis is inhibited (Kaygun and Marzluff, 2005c). While this project was underway, Rattray and colleagues (2013) reported that HeLa cells contain alternative spliced forms of SLBP mRNA that lack either exons
2 and 3 (HBP/SLBPΔE2 and HBP/SLBPΔE3, respectively), in addition to a form that lacks both exons 2 and 3 (HBP/SLBPΔE2+ΔE3). Replication stress induces elevated expression of these SLBP splice variants over a period of 18 h (Rattray et al., 2013). Because it was conceivable that truncated forms of SLBP might be essential for replication stress-induced histone mRNA decay, it was important to investigate the efficiency of histone mRNA decay in the presence and absence of mRNA capable of inducing expression of these splice forms. The results shown in Figure 3.17 show that a PCR product corresponding to the largest SLBP splice variant could be detected in control Flag-SLBP cells exposed to a non-targeting siRNA, but that this form was undetectable in cells (Flag-SLBPres) exposed to an siRNA targeting exon 4 of SLBP. These results suggested that resultant proteins derived from the splice variants are likely to be knocked down as a consequence of specific siRNA treatment. The other splice variants were not detected in the experiments described here. Although the reason for this is unclear, it may be that the experimental timeframe in which cells were exposed to replication stress here was insufficient to allow sufficient accumulation of variants whose expression was reported to be induced by replication stress (Rattray et al., 2013).
Therefore, I tested whether siRNA treated cells (which effectively lack endogenous full-length and presumably lower MW splice variants) expressing full length Flag-tagged SLBP alone are able to undertake efficient histone mRNA decay in response to replication stress. The experiment was to knock down endogenous SLBP forms using siRNA targeting exon 4, while inducing the expression of full-length Flag-tagged SLBP using Dox and compare the rate and extent of HU-induced histone mRNA decay using qPCR, to the rate and extent in HeLa cells capable of expressing all variants of endogenous SLBP. The data in Figure 3.21B showed that no significant difference was observed in the kinetic of HU-induced histone mRNA decay. These data suggest that the full-length protein is predominantly the form of SLBP involved in acute replication stress-induced histone mRNA decay. Additionally, the amount of histone mRNA remaining after HU treatment up to 60 min were different in the study using Human bone osteosarcoma epithelial (U2OS) cells compared to HeLa cells (Sullivan et al., 2009). Histone mRNA degradation was slower in U2OS cells than in HeLa cells. However, both cells showed the reduced rate pattern of histone mRNA degradation at 30 and 60 min after HU treatment. The factors involved in their differences might depend on cell type, transfection efficiency, time frame of knocking down and the level of SLBP expression etc. The low
levels of SLBP expression reflect the inefficient translation of histone mRNA resulting in the decrease of histone mRNA degradation and vice versa (Sullivan et al., 2009).

In summary, the stable transfection of Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP in HeLa cells by using Flp-In™ T-Rex™ system is a successful model which composed of (1) inducible expression of Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP progressed normally on cell cycle. (2) the tagged version of Flag-SLBP^{res} and HA-SLBP^{res} are resistant to siRNA-induced knockdown of endogenous protein. (3) HA-SLBP^{res} cells restores S-phase progression after knocking down endogenous SLBP. (4) in the siRNA-treated Flag-SLBP^{res} cell line system, addition of Dox results in the expression of one SLBP isoform, corresponding to the full-length protein, following DNA replication stress and this form of SLBP involved in acute replication stress-induced histone mRNA decay. Thus, Flag-tagged SLBP expressing cells will utilize for further study SLBP post-translation modification and its interaction complex using mass spectrometry.
3.4 References


Chapter 4

Establishment of an approach for immunoisolation (I-i) of Flag-tagged SLBP for mass spectrometry

4.1 Introduction

Having developed and undertaken a preliminary characterisation of my model cell line for SLBP analysis, as discussed in Chapter 3. I wished to study SLBP post-translational modifications as a function of replication stress, together with an analysis of SLBP interacting proteins in my model tissue culture cell line exposed to replication stress-induced histone mRNA decay. Advanced of peptide-based mass spectrometry (MS) together with the sequencing of the human genome has become incredibly for identifying protein-protein interactions. In MS-based proteomics coupled immuno-isolation allows for the isolation of SLBP protein isolate its interacting partners prior to determining Flag-tagged SLBP in association with its interacting partners, prior to determining SLBP interacting proteins by MS. In order to do this, I began with
identification of conditions for immuno-isolation of Flag-tagged SLBP to facilitate its analysis by MS.

Immuno-isolation could be attempted, using a target protein specific antibody, that is in this case anti-SLBP antibody. However, there are a number of challenges associated with this approach, including limitations in the availability and/or cost of reagents (anti-SLBP antibodies), as well as the possibility that antibodies selectively bind specific sub-populations of SLBP involved in some but not all of SLBP-associated functions. Consequently, I choose to use an ANTI-FLAG® M2 affinity resin for successful immuno-isolation. In principle, using this approach, a multicomponent complex can be isolated in a single step.

The experiments undertaken and discussed in Chapter 3 provided evidence that Flag-tagged SLBP expression rescued defects in cell cycle progression and replication stress-induced histone mRNA decay brought about by siRNA mediated knockdown of the endogenous gene product. Such data support the notion that the addition of a small tag at the N-terminus of the protein has little effect on its biological function, and suggest that analysis of the Flag-tagged SLBP is likely to be a relevant proxy to understand SLBP function in vivo.
Therefore, scoping experiments were undertaken to determine an appropriate ratio of affinity matrix to protein lysate to achieve efficient depletion of expressed SLBP. In addition, an estimate of efficiency of recovery of bound SLBP, by elution with 3X FLAG peptide, was determined by western blotting. Batch and micro-column methods of depletion and recovery have been compared, and batch methodology found to be more reliable. Matrix stability was found to be an issue during various stages of the procedure, with evidence of antibody dissociation produced. This was largely eliminated by removal of reducing agents in buffers used in the isolation procedure.

Following scale-up, initial MS analysis following tryptic in-gel digestion failed to detect any peptides derived from immuno-isolated Flag-tagged SLBP. Therefore, in order to establish conditions for proteolytic digestion of SLBP to maximize the number of SLBP peptides that could be detected by MS, a strategy for SLBP expression in, and purification from, *E.coli*, was developed. This facilitated comparison of proteolytic approaches (using trypsin, chymotrypsin and elastase) for identification of SLBP-derived peptides by MS. Finally, conditions were established for the identification and analysis of Flag-tagged SLBP immuno-isolated from S-phase synchronized HeLa cells.
4.2 Results

4.2.1 Optimisation of conditions for I-i of Flag-tagged SLBP from HeLa cells expressing functional, tagged SLBP

The aim of the work described in this section was to attempt to optimise conditions for the isolation of Flag-tagged SLBP and potential interacting proteins in the tissue culture cell line model described in Chapter 3. The longer term aim of these experiments was to establish conditions which would allow subsequent investigation into changes in SLBP post-translational status, in presence and absence of replication stress, with the objective of utilizing the immuno-isolated SLBP and consequent mass spectrometry, for molecular analysis of differences in macro-molecular complex composition following exposure to replication stress.

Immuno-isolation experiments were undertaken using ANTI-FLAG® M2 affinity resin (packed resin) (Sigma-Aldrich). The latter is a monoclonal antibody covalently attached to agarose resin (Technical bulletin, Sigma-Aldrich, product number A2220). To do this, cells stably transfected with Flag-tagged SLBP (as described in Chapter 3) were grown in the presence of optimal concentrations of
doxycycline (Dox), as determined previously (as described in section 3.2.4).

Cell lysate (typically 1 mg protein in 1x lysis buffer), produced from 100 mm dish of asynchronously growing cells (confluency approx. 80%) was used per I-i experiment, and was incubated with indicated volumes of packed resin overnight. The packed resin volume required to maximise recovery of tagged SLBP was first optimised by analysis of the extent of depletion of cell lysate obtained using either 10 µl and 20 µl of packed resin. The I-i material was isolated by micro-centrifugation (8,000 rpm for 30 sec), the supernatant removed (designated flow-through below) and, after washing with 600 µl of TBS, beads were eluted using 3X FLAG peptide dissolved in TBS (50 mM Tris-HCL and 150 mM NaCl) and then sample loading buffer. All samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and western blotting as described in section 2.2.4.4. The membrane was probed with mouse monoclonal α-SLBP and α-Flag antibodies, as described in section 2.2.6 in order to establish the efficiency of I-i.

In all cases, bands of expected molecular mass (approximate (approx.) 38 kDa) for SLBP were detected using both α-SLBP and α-Flag antibodies (Figure 4.1A and B). Comparison of input material to
immuno-depleted lysate indicated significant depletion of SLBP from the lysate (compare lanes 4 and 8 with lane 1 in Figure 4.1A and B). Depleted material was recovered almost exclusively by 3X FLAG peptide elution (Figure 4.1A and B, lanes 2 and 6). However, the recovery of SLBP in the eluate was approximately only 35% and 29% in lanes 2 and 6, respectively as judged by densitometry (data not shown). The extent of recovery did not appear to improve using 20 µl of packed resin volume compared to 10 µl, suggesting that the apparent reduced recovery was not simply a consequence of limited resin capacity for the amount of SLBP present in the lysate. However, low level of SLBP cross reacting material remained in the flow-through even when 20 µl of packed resin was used, suggesting that the procedure did not completely deplete all SLBP present. It is possible that this represents endogenous untagged SLBP, as it was not detected in the equivalent sample blotted using anti-Flag antibody. The apparent recovery of Flag-tagged SLBP from 20 µl of packed resin appeared to be less than that obtained using 10 µl. This contrasts with the result obtained with anti-SLBP antibody (compare lanes 2 and 6 in Figure 4.1B and A). The reason for this discrepancy is unknown.

Using heated sample loading buffer for elution resulted in elution of 50 kDa bands consistent in size with IgG heavy chains, suggesting
that covalent crosslinking of Flag antibody was not complete. Other Flag epitope cross-reacting proteins were present in both eluated samples (Figure 4.1B, lanes 2 and 6). As corresponding bands were not present in the blot using anti-SLBP antibody, these do not correspond to any novel SLBP species but presumably arises from non-specific binding, and enrichment from the total cell lysate, of Flag antibody cross-reacting proteins.
Figure 4.1 I-i analysis of FLAG-tagged SLBP isolated from Flp-In-HeLa cell lysate. In each experiment, 1 mg of cell lysate was incubated overnight with indicated volume of packed resin (added as 50% (v/v)
slurry in TBS) at 4 °C with repeated agitation prior to washing with 500 μl of TBS and elution, initially using 100 μl of 300 ng/μl final concentration of 3X FLAG peptide in TBS, followed by 8 μl of sample loading buffer (beads heated at 100 °C for 5 min). Flag-tagged SLBP in samples was detected using (A) anti-SLBP antibody and (B) anti-Flag antibody. Lane1, 10% of total input (I used 1 mg protein so I will load lysate 100 μg. I calculated the corresponding volume of 100 ug lysate from the stock of that lysate), Lanes 2 and 6, 30% of 3X FLAG peptide eluate, Lanes 3 and 7, 30% of sample loading buffer eluate, Lanes 4 and 8, 12.5% of flow-through, and Lanes 5 and 9, 5% of wash samples. Red asterisks indicate putative IgG heavy chains.

In order to investigate whether the use of the cell-packed top tip micro-column (Glysci) might improve recovery of Flag-tagged SLBP from ANTI-FLAG® M2 affinity resin compared to the batch procedure as described above, the experiment was repeated using resin packed into a small column (P200 size tip). Cell lysate was applied to column by gravity feed and following washing with 200 μl of TBS, eluted with 50 μl of TBS containing 3X FLAG peptide as before. Surprisingly, no SLBP was recovered from the eluate as judged by detection of an
appropriate size band by western blotting with either the anti-SLBP and anti-Flag antibodies. Some SLBP could be detected in the flow-through in each experiment (Figure 4.2B, lanes 4 and 8) although the relative recoveries as judged by band intensity with each antibody were inconsistent. The reason for this is unknown. Some SLBP may have been eluted following treatment of the resin with heated sample loading buffer, and additional lower molecular weight bands were recovered, which might reflect proteolytic or fissile peptide bond breakdown products (Figure 4.2 A and B, lanes 3 and 7). Moreover, the signals of SLBP and Flag-tagged SLBP were also indicated in flow-through. As the levels of SLBP recovered in this experiment were very low, it is difficult to interpret these results. However, they are not inconsistent with the possibility that both binding to, and elution from Flag antibody is relative slow, and thus efficiency is enhanced by using the batch procedure undertake in Figure 4.1.
Figure 4.2 I-i micro-coloumn of Flag-tagged SLBP isolated from Flp-In-HeLa cell lysate. In each experiment, 1 mg of cell lysate was applied to a
P200 size tip column containing either 10 or 20 μl of packed resin at 25 °C, washed with 200 μl of TBS, prior to elution with 50 μl of TBS containing 300 ng/μl final concentration of 3X FLAG peptide, followed by 8 μl of sample loading buffer (beads heated at 100 °C for 5 min). Flag-tagged SLBP in samples was detected using (A) anti-SLBP antibody and (B) anti-Flag antibody. Lane1, 10% of total input, Lanes 2 and 6, 30% of 3X FLAG peptide eluate, Lanes 3 and 7, 30% of sample loading buffer eluate, Lanes 4 and 8, 12.5% of flow-through, and Lanes 5 and 9, 5% of wash samples. Red asterisks indicate putative IgG heavy chains.

One possible explanation for the relatively poor yield of SLBP would be that association of the protein specifically with anti-Flag antibody was relative low affinity, and that the efficiency of binding was thus low under condition used. Thus, in a further attempt to improve recovery of Flag-tagged SLBP was undertaken. In addition because of concerns about the fate of endogenous, untagged SLBP in the initial experiment obtained in Figure 4.1, the experiment was repeated using lysates from cells grown in the absence or presence of 0.5 μg/ml of dox for 14 h, prior to lysate preparation. Using batch procedure, 1 mg of cell
lysate in each case was incubated overnight at 4 °C with ANTI-FLAG®
M2 affinity resin (10 μl final packed resin volume) as before and,
following washing in 500 μl of TBS, eluted with altered elution buffer
(10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4 and 30 mM NaCl) containing 300 ng/μl final
concentration of 3X FLAG peptide (Figure 4.3A and B). Under these
conditions, Flag-tagged SLBP expression level was significant higher
than the endogenous protein (Figure 4.3A, compare lanes 1 and 2) which
was barely detectable under these exposure conditions, and which
migrated at a position corresponding to a slightly lower molecular mass
(red arrow). Efficient depletion of Flag-tagged SLBP was observed as
judged by western blotting with either α-SLBP or α-Flag antibodies
(Figure 4.3A and B, compare lanes 2 and 6). Specific elution using the
3X FLAG peptide resulted in recovery of Flag-tagged SLBP with loss of
the band presumed to correspond to the endogenous, untagged protein,
suggesting that there is no interaction between polypeptides.

Unexpectedly, the endogenous protein could not be detected in the
depleted lysate (“flow-through”, Figure 4.3A and B, lanes 5 and 6),
possibly because the slight dilution of the extract resulted in the
endogenous protein below the threshold for detection. The results
showed that the immuno-isolated protein was recovered on specific
elution using the 3X FLAG peptide under modified conditions. However, again, there was variation in the intensity of the band, depending on which antibody (anti-SLBP or anti-Flag) was used; on this occasion, (in contrast to the data in Figure 4.1) an apparent higher recovery was observed using the α-Flag antibody as judged by the intensity of band. Cross-reacting bands at approx. 50 kDa were observed in this experiment in the depleted lysate fraction in both western blottings. As discussed above, these are likely to be immunoglobulin heavy chains derived from the affinity resin, and suggest that the efficiency of antibody cross-linking to the commercial resin may be less than 100% (Figure 4.3A and, lanes 5 and 6). The reason why they were not observed in this fraction in previous experiments is not known. However, this may relate to use of distinct batches of resin, or altered sensitivity of reducing agents.
Figure 4.3 I-i analysis of Flag-tagged SLBP isolated from Flp-In-HeLa cell lysate. Cells previously treated without (-) or with (+) 0.5 μg/ml of
dox were lysed as above. In each experiment, 1 mg of cell lysate was incubated overnight with indicated volume of packed resin (added as 50% (v/v) slurry in TBS) at 4 °C with repeated agitation, the depleted lysate removed (flow-through) prior to washing with 500 µl of TBS before elution using 100 µl of 300 ng/µl final concentration of 3X FLAG peptide in TBS. Flag-tagged SLBP in samples was detected using (A) anti-SLBP antibody and (B) anti-Flag antibody. Lane 1 and 2, 10% of total input), Lanes 3 and 4, 30% of 3X FLAG peptide eluate containing altered elution buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4 and 30 mM NaCl), and Lanes 5 and 6, 12.5% of flow-through. Red arrow indicates endogenous SLBP and red asterisks indicate putative IgG heavy chains.
4.2.2 Mass spectrometric analysis of immuno-isolated SLBP

Having established conditions for the isolation of Flag-tagged SLBP from cell lysates, the next step was to undertake the isolation on a larger scale to facilitate the analysis of SLBP under normal S-phase and replication stress conditions, and to identify SLBP-associated polypeptides, using mass spectrometry, In this section, Flag-tagged SLBP was isolated by I-i using ANTI-FLAG® M2 affinity resin from 37.5 mg of cell lysate protein, subjected to SDS-PAGE and polypeptides visualized by colloidal Coomassie blue staining. Bands were excised, digested in situ and analysed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).

I performed a large-scale, I-i of Flag-tagged SLBP (37.5 mg protein derived from dox-treated Flag-tagged SLBP expressing cells in total volume 7.5 ml and applied to 3 ml of packed resin volume) and eluted with 3X FLAG peptide. The eluate (7.5 ml) was concentrated to final volume 230 µl using a Vivaspin 500 (GE Healthcare) and samples derived from the input and recovered material were run subjected by western blotting, prior to further analysis, to confirm enrichment and recovery of Flag-tagged SLBP (Figure 4.4A).
Figure 4.4 Western blotting analysis of Flag-tagged SLBP content following Flag antibody I-i. (A) The packed resin was eluted with 3X FLAG peptide. Eluate and input material were analysed by western blotting using $\alpha$-Flag antibody. Lane 1, Input (0.4% of total; (150 µg in 30 µl lysate), Lane 2 (Eluate prior to concentration (0.4% of total 30 µl, out of 7.5 ml total elution volume), Lane 3, Eluate following 32-fold concentration (30 µl out of 230 µl total of elution volume). (B) Concentrated eluate (100 µl) was analysed by electrophoresis using a 12% SDS-PAGE gel followed by colloidal Coomassie blue staining. The gel was aligned to its corresponding western blotting and indicated bands.
(number 1-10), corresponding to positive signals in western blotting, were removed and subjected to in-gel digestion with trypsin.

In this experiment, western blotting of the cell lysate containing expressed Flag-tagged SLBP identified showed a faint band of the correct MW (approx. 38 kDa), in addition to a number of higher MW proteins. Protein band approx. 38 kDa in addition to a number of MW polypeptides was observed in the eluated fraction although significant concentration was required to observe this (Figure 4.4A, compare lanes 2 and 3). The remaining fraction of the eluted concentrate was subjected to 12% SDS-PAGE and stained with colloidal Coomassie blue staining. All bands (Figure 4.4B, number 1-10) were excised, digested with trypsin and peptides recovered were subjected to LC-MS/MS.

The Sequest search algorithm was used with Swissprot/Uniprot databases to analyse mass spectral data acquired following chromatographic peptide separation of material derived from bands number 1-10. No peptides derived from SLBP were observed in any samples obtained from excised bands shown in Figure 4.4B. The name of proteins identified by a large scale of I-i Flag-tagged SLBP by ANTI-FLAG® M2 affinity resin was shown in Appendix H, Table 1H). Most of them (highlighted by yellow colour) were common contaminants which
has been previously listed in immunoprecipitation by Flag antibody and ANTI-FLAG® M2 affinity resin from whole cell lysate of HeLa and U2OS cells, respectively (Guo et al., 2009 ; Mellacheruvu et al., 2013). As expected, Ig heavy chain was also found in a list (Appendix H, Table 1H , highlighted by green colour).

The data in Figure 4.4A suggested that Flag-tagged SLBP was indeed present in the eluted sample, although it is clear that the recovery of Flag-tagged SLBP was poor (I did not measure the protein concentration of eluate before concentrated so I lacked of data to show % recovery of samples after concentrated). Again it is unclear why SLBP was not detected in this experiment.

The most likely explanation for the presence of a 50 kDa cross-reacting protein in eluate that was exposed to packed resin is that this band corresponds to some Ig heavy chain, at least one polypeptide of which is covalently attached to the resin. However, the monoclonal used to bind Flag-tagged has been reported to sensitive to reducing agents, such as dithiothreitol (DTT) and 2-mercaptoethanol present in lysis buffer and its covalent attachment to the resin is via a di-thio hydrazide linkage, which may be hydrolysed by reducing agents (Technical bulletin, Sigma-Aldrich, product number A2220). However, in this experiment, a 50 kDa cross-reacting protein was presented in input
material of lysate (Figure 4.4, lane 1) which was not observed in input of lysate in previous experiment. Again, the reason for this difference is not known. However, using a secondary antibody as a probe, it could be shown that this cross-reacting band corresponded to 50 kDa immunoglobulin heavy chain (data not shown) presumably arrived from incomplete cross-linking of anti-Flag antibody to the matrix.

This suggests that this affinity matrix may be unstable under conditions of the experiment as the buffers used in the preparation of expressed proteins contain reducing agent, 2-mecaptoethanol. Given the presence of detectable and relative high levels of contaminating proteins, together with the presence of IgG, identified by LC-MS/MS, it was conceivable that relative low levels of SLBP-derived peptides might not be detect using the Top10 methodology used in this analysis of peptides. In order to address this, it would necessary to generate an inclusion list (Domon and Aebersold, 2006 ; Jaffe et al., 2008) of accurately defined peptide masses derived from the digestion of SLBP with a specific protease.

Therefore, I decided to establish an independent method for the optimisation of SLBP digestion conditions and to establish accurate parameters for the identification of SLBP-derived peptides using mass spectrometry. To do this, SLBP expression was undertaken in *Eschericia*
coli (E. coli) as a tagged fusion (GST-His tag) protein to facilitate affinity chromatography and thus obtain pure protein for subsequent proteolytic analysis and mass spectrometry.

4.2.3 Expression of recombinant GST-SLBP-6xHis protein in E. coli

4.2.3.1 Preparation of SLBP PCR product

To do this, I utilized PCR to amplify the SLBP coding sequence using a forward primer containing a 5’ XmaII restriction site, a reverse primer containing a 3’ NotI restriction site, and additional reverse complimentary sequence to generate a 6xHis tag at the C-terminus of the putative gene product. The template used for a plasmid pcDNA5/FRT/TO/CAT/Flag-SLBP (as described in section 2.2.1.3). A range of annealing temperatures was tested (Figure 4.5). In all cases, a strong band corresponding to the predicted size (852bp) was observed. However, the optimal calculated a recommended custom annealing temperature of 64 °C was used subsequently.

The PCR was purified from agarose gel using QIAquick gel extraction kit (as described in section 2.2.1.11), and following digestion of both plasmid backbone and putative insert with restriction enzymes XmaII and NotI, introduced into the bacterial expression vector, pGEX-6P-1 (Figure 4.6) using restriction sites XmaII and NotI, with subsequent
ligation, transformation and plasmid preparation. The putative recombinant plasmids were screened by restriction digestion with Xmal or NotI and subsequently verified by DNA sequencing.

**Figure 4.5** DNA gel electrophoresis of SLBP-6xHis PCR product.

PCR amplification was undertaken utilizing the forward and reverse primers (listed in Appendix C), with the plasmid pcDNA5/FRT/TO/CAT/F-SLBP as template, and Pfu DNA polymerase, using an annealing Tm of 64°C (Lane 2), 67 °C (Lane 3) or 70 °C (Lane 4), extension Tm of 68 °C and 20 cycles of amplification. The products were electrophoresed on a 1.5% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and imaged using gel documentation software (UVIprochemi,
UVITEC, CAMBRIDGE). Lanes 1 and 5 show DNA molecular size ladder (Norgen FullRanger 100 bp DNA ladder, Norgen Biotek Corp.)

Figure 4.6  Plasmid map information for *E. Coli* expression plasmid, pGEX-6P-1

(A) DNA sequence of the multiple cloning sites (B) Plasmid map of bacterial expression vector, pGEX-6P-1 (Amersham)
4.2.3.2 Expression of GST-SLBP-6xHis in E.Coli

The modified pGEX-6P-1 plasmid (termed pGEX6SLBP6His) encoding the GST-SLBP-His fusion protein was transformed into E. coli strain BL21 DE3 pLysS. The GST fusion system of pGEX-6P-1 is a versatile system for the expression and purification of fusion proteins produced in E coli where the induced protein accumulates in the cell’s cytoplasm. Expression of the GST fusion protein is under the control of the lac promoter, which is induced by the lactose analogue isopropyl b-D thiogalactoside (IPTG). pGEX-6P-1 has been engineered with an internal lacIq gene (lac repressor) to repress gene expression under the lac promoter. Addition of IPTG removes the repressor and thus allows protein expression.

4.2.3.3 Optimal temperature of induction

In order to optimise temperature of induction, two induction conditions were performed in parallel. Cultures 100 ml were grown at either 30 °C or 37 °C in LB medium until the absorbance of each at 600 nm (OD$_{600}$) reached 0.4, and then 1 mM IPTG was added to each of culture media. After the addition of IPTG to the culture, both incubations were continued until the OD$_{600}$ reached 1.2. Bacteria were harvested by centrifugation and subjected to hypotonic buffer (as described in section
2.2.7) prior to electrophoresis and either protein staining (Figure 4.7A and B) or western blotting (Figure 4.8A and B).

The data showed that following induction at either temperature detectable levels of GST-SLBP-6xHis (approx. 57 kDa) by colloidal Coomassie blue were not observed after induction with IPTG (Figure 4.7A and B). However, analysis of the samples by western blotting with α-SLBP antibody indicated that IPTG treatment did induce GST-SLBP-6xHis expression (Figure 4.8A and B, compare lanes 2 and 3).

These pilot cultures were subjected to affinity purification using glutathione (GSH) agarose beads. 1.5 ml of lysate was incubated with 80 µl of GSH beads for 45 min at 4 °C. Beads were subjected to 4 x 300 µl washes with wash buffer C (10 mM Hepes pH 8.0, 1mM DTT, protease inhibitors) and sequentially eluted using 3 x 75 µl of wash buffer C containing 5 mM glutathione pH 8.0.

Surprisingly, although both temperatures used for induction resulted in expression of apparently soluble fusion protein as judged by the presence of IPTG-inducible cross-reacting material in the supernatant following centrifugation of lysate at 13,000 rpm for 30 min (as described in section 2.2.7), elution with glutathione generated very little GST-SLBP-6xHis (Figure 4.8A and B). Specific elution of GST-SLBP-6xHis was observed only from bacterial cultures where recombinant protein
expression was induced at 30°C (compare Figure 4.8 A and B, lanes 7-9). At both induction temperatures, either all (37 °C) or the majority (30 °C) of GST-SLBP-6xHis produced remained in the supernatant following incubation of lysate with affinity resin, as judged by the approximate estimates of recovery following GSH-agarose affinity chromatography. However, the recovery of GST-SLBP-6xHis was very low. It is possible that apparently soluble GST-SLBP-6xHis fusion protein may be misfolded, thus preventing glutathione agarose binding. However, small amounts of correctly folded GST moiety was obtained at the lower temperature, and was eluted specifically using glutathione (Figure 4.8 A).
A. Temperature 30 °C

B. Temperature 37 °C

**Figure 4.7** Analysis of GST-SLBP-6xHis protein expression in *E.coli* by SDS-PAGE.
Bacteria growing in log phase ($\text{OD}_{600}=0.4$) were untreated (-) or treated (+) with 1.0 mM IPTG until each culture reached an optical density of $\text{OD}_{600}=1.2$, (A) at 30 °C or (B) 37 °C. Cells were lysed and lysates clarified by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 30 min generating a soluble fraction (supernatant) and insoluble pellet. Equivalent amounts of proteins from all fractions were electrophoresed and the gel stained using colloidal Coomassie blue staining. Lane 1: Protein ladder (NEB), Lane 2: lysate $\text{OD}_{600}$ 0.4, Lane 3: lysate $\text{OD}_{600}$ 1.2 (-IPTG), Lane 4: lysate $\text{OD}_{600}$ 1.2 (+IPTG), Lane 5: lysate before centrifugation (-IPTG), Lane 6: lysate before centrifugation (+IPTG), Lane 7: pellet after centrifugation (-IPTG), Lane 8: pellet after centrifugation (+IPTG), Lane 9: supernatant after centrifugation (-IPTG), Lane 10: supernatant after centrifugation (+IPTG).
Figure 4.8 Glutathione agarose affinity purification of GST-SLBP-6xHis.
Protein after IPTG-mediated induction (A) at 30 °C and (B) 37 °C. Samples were analysed by western blotting using α-SLBP antibody. 1.5 ml of sample lysate, with 80 µl of GSH agarose beads was used for affinity purification. Lane 1: protein ladder (NEB) Lane 2: Late log phase (- IPTG), Lane 3: Late log phase +IPTG, Lane 4: 10% of agarose bead wash #1, Lane 5: 10% of agarose bead wash #4, Lane 6: 3% of supernatant after bead incubation, Lane 7-9: 40% of Eluate 1st, 2nd and 3rd, respectively.

These results suggested that it is possible that reducing the culture temperature during induction might improve GST-SLBP-6xHis expression levels and recovery of soluble protein following affinity purification. Donovan et al (1996) found that using an *E.coli* expression system, reducing the induction temperature in some cases improve both yield and/or solubility of the recombinant protein product by decreasing unwanted metabolic responses to the synthesis of a foreign protein and facilitating alternative folding pathways. Therefore, IPTG induction of GST-SLBP-6xHis expression was analysed following IPTG addition (final 1mM to 1 litres of culture) at 15 °C which was fractionated as before. Material in each fraction was analysed by SDS-PAGE and western blotting (Figure 4.9A and B).
In this experiment, approximately 50% of total SLBP as judged by western blotting with α-SLBP antibody was present in the insoluble pellet (Figure 4.9, compare lanes 4 and 5), and that a significant proportion of apparently soluble SLBP (Figure 4.9, lane 5) did not bind the glutathione affinity resin (Figure 4.9, compare lanes 5 and 6). However, after washing of the beads (Figure 4.9, lane 7), repeated rounds of specific elution with glutathione indicated that some protein had been specifically bound to the column and was recovered using this protocol (Figure 4.9, Lanes 8-10). Analysis of the same material by colloidal Coomassie blue staining indicated that, in addition to material whose MW suggest that it corresponds to full length SLBP (arrow, Figure 4.9A and B), these fractions contained a range of smaller polypeptides, some of which cross-reacted with the SLBP antibody. These data are consistent with the notion that in addition to full-length fusion protein, a range of incompletely synthesized, or partially proteolysed GST-SLBP-6xHis fusion polypeptides were recovered in this experimental protocol.
A. Temperature 15 °C

B. Figure 4.9 Analysis of GST-SLBP-6xHis protein expression in *E.coli* and glutathione agarose affinity purification by (A) SDS-PAGE (B) western blotting.
Bacteria growing in log phase (OD$_{600}$= 0.4) were untreated (-) or treated (+) with IPTG until each culture reached OD$_{600}$= 1.2, at 15 °C. Cells were lysed and lysates clarified by centrifugation generating a soluble fraction (supernatant) and insoluble pellet. Equivalent amounts of protein from all fractions were electrophoresed and the gel stained using colloidal Coomassie blue staining for (A) SDS-PAGE and using α-SLBP antibody for (B) Western blotting. The equal volume of samples was applied to the gel in A and B. 1.5 ml of sample lysate, with 80 µl of GSH beads was used for affinity purification Lane 1: Protein ladder (NEB), Lane 2: lysate OD$_{600}$ 1.2 (-IPTG), Lane 3: lysate OD$_{600}$ 1.2 (+IPTG), Lane 4: pellet before bead incubation (+IPTG), Lane 5: supernatant before bead incubation (+IPTG), Lane 6: supernatant after bead incubation (+IPTG), Lane 7: agarose bead wash #5, Lane 8-10: Eluate 1$^{st}$, 2$^{nd}$ and 3$^{rd}$, respectively. The arrows indicate the expected position of GST-SLBP-6xHis.
4.2.4 Bacterial expression system provides SLBP profile by mass spectrometry

In previous section, I successfully purified small amount (30 µg of protein from 100 ml of bacteria) of GST-SLBP-6xHis by using culture temperature at 15 °C. In order to obtain sufficient quantities of recombinant protein to optimise proteolytic digestion conditions for mass-spectrometry related analyses, large-scale expression was performed. Briefly, 4 litres of LB medium were inoculated with overnight culture of *E. coli* strain BL21 DE3 pLysS containing the pGEX6SLBPHIS expression plasmid and recombinant protein expression induced under the conditions identified above (1 mM IPTG for 12 h at 15 °C). Cells were lysed as before, lysates clarified by centrifugation at 5,000 rpm for 15 min and the solution fraction incubated with 3 ml of GSH agarose beads for 1 h at 4 °C. After repeated washing with 15 ml of wash buffer C, the affinity resin was eluted with 3 x 3 ml of 5 mM glutathione pH 8.0 in wash buffer C. Then, 2 ml of the purified GST-SLBP-6xHis fractions were concentrated using a Vivaspin 500 concentrator (with 10,000 MWCO PES membrane) to a final volume 50 µl containing 175 µg protein, which was stored at -80 °C until required.
In order to determine appropriate conditions for digestion, 5 µl aliquots of concentrated eluate was subjected to SDS-PAGE (comprising approximately 18 µg of the purified fraction containing recombinant GST-SLBP-6xHis fusion protein) (Figure 4.10A, lanes 5, 6 and 7). In addition to a sample of concentrated eluate 1 µl (3.5 µg of protein) (Figure 4.10A, lane 3) and a sample of unconcentrated eluate (Figure 4.10A, lane 2) were subjected to SDS-PAGE along with concentrated eluate (corresponding to 4 µg of protein). In the case of lanes 5-7, the regions corresponding to full-length GST-SLBP-6xHis were excised and stained with colloidal Coomassie blue prior to processing for enzymatic digestion. In order to confirm that the relevant section of the gel containing GST-SLBP-6xHis had been correctly excised, the remaining gel, after excision of bands, was subjected to western blotting using α-SLBP antibody (Figure 4.10A, lanes 5-7) compared to uncut bands (Figure 4.10A, lanes 2 and 3). These data confirmed that the largest cross-reacting band corresponding to GST-SLBP-6xHis had been excised.

Excised gel slices were prepared for in-gel proteolytic digestion (as described in section 2.2.10.1). Enzymatic digestion and subsequent extraction of peptides for mass spectrometry was performed. Briefly, each gel slice was resuspended in 200 µl of buffer solution 1 containing
200 mM ABC and 40% ACN, and then incubated in 1 mM DTT and 2 mM IAA in buffer solution 2 (50 mM ABC) to bring about reduction and alkylation of protein prior to proteolytic digest. Slices were subsequently incubated at 37 °C overnight in 50 µl of buffer solution 4 (40 mM ABC and 9% ACN) containing 0.02 µg/ml trypsin (NEB), 0.025 µg/ml chymotrypsin (NEB) and 0.02 µg/ml elastase (NEB).

Resuspended peptides were injected by using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 uHPLC onto a PepMap100 C18 2 cm x 75 µm I.D. trap column (ThermoFisher Scientific) at 5µl/min in 0.1% formic acid, 2% acetonitrile and 45 °C in the column oven and 6 °C in the autosampler. The sample was separated over a 60-minute gradient of increasing acetonitrile from 2.4% up to 72%, in 0.1% formic acid, using a 15 cm PepMap100 C18 analytical column (2 µm particle size, 100 Å pore size 75 µm I.D.) (ThermoFisher Scientific) at 300 nl/min and 45 °C.
Figure 4.10 The regions corresponding to full-length GST-SLBP-6xHis were excised (red square) (in parallel to detection by western blotting) and stained with colloidal Coomassie blue for enzymatic digestion prior to mass spectrometry analysis.

Glutathione agarose affinity purification of GST-SLBP-6xHis protein (A) unconcentrated eluate (30 µl, 4 µg of protein) (Lane 2) and concentrated eluate (1 µl, 3.6 µg of protein) (Lane 3). Concentrated eluates (Lanes 5-7) were cut in order to stain with colloidal Coomassie blue before blotting with α-SLBP antibody. All samples were subjected to 12% SDS-PAGE and analyzed by western blotting with α-SLBP antibody. (B) Concentrated eluate (5 µl, 18 µg of protein) was analysed by electrophoresis using a 12% SDS-PAGE gel followed by colloidal Coomassie blue staining prior to proteolytic digestion with trypsin, chymotrypsin and elastase, respectively. SLBP-derived peptides
extraction from gel slices was subsequently identified by mass spectrometry.

Mass spectrometry analysis and subsequent database queries using the MASCOT search engine resulted in the successful identification of SLBP-derived peptides as identified in Figure 4.11 and Table 4.1. These data showed that digestion with trypsin produced twelve MS-detectable peptides giving the highest percentage coverage of SLBP of the three proteases tested. In contrast, only 2 peptides were detected using elastase. Chymotrypsin digestion, while not providing as extensive coverage of SLBP as trypsin, nonetheless generated peptides from regions not identified using trypsin in the protein were not detected in this experiment (Table 4.1, highlighted by green colours).
Figure 4.11 Comparison of SLBP sequence coverage following individual protease digestion of recombinant SLBP analysed by LC-MS/MS from bacterial cell lysate; trypsin (blue), chymotrypsin (green) and elastase (red), respectively.
Table 4.1 Identification of GST-SLBP-6xHis derived peptide sequences using three protease enzymes: trypsin, chymotrypsin and elastase, respectively (Highlighted by green colours were peptides from region not identified by trypsin).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide position</th>
<th>Peptide sequences</th>
<th>Charge</th>
<th>MS mass (Da)</th>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>Intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trypsin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>cDGDASPPSPAR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>615.26465</td>
<td>13.77</td>
<td>67892.88281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>RWFPDAFAAERHR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>840.89594</td>
<td>18.31</td>
<td>54978.32813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>WRPDAGDASHR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>762.84622</td>
<td>18.06</td>
<td>29771.9375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>RPEDDAEAHHR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>669.80521</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>6325.67304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>RPEDTTMPEGKPR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>799.91846</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>261507.1406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>cSDNASAVEEDERM</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>850.83014</td>
<td>23.81</td>
<td>39410.38281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>cSDNASAVEEDERM</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>842.8335</td>
<td>26.01</td>
<td>152696.7188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>ASAVEDEMR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>568.7497</td>
<td>15.67</td>
<td>98462.75781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>KLLINDFGR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>538.31683</td>
<td>25.16</td>
<td>363142.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>KSSSSGSDSKESmSTVPADFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>1042.79712</td>
<td>25.27</td>
<td>31676.28516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>SSSGSSGSDSKESmSTVPADFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>994.76672</td>
<td>27.33</td>
<td>99068.90625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>SSSGSSGSDSKESmSTVPADFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>1000.09766</td>
<td>25.78</td>
<td>137585.1563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>ESMSTVFPADFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>1072.48071</td>
<td>30.67</td>
<td>75586.53125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>ESmSTVPADFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>1088.47571</td>
<td>26.73</td>
<td>59698.2391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>RSFDDQQIK</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>520.78113</td>
<td>17.18</td>
<td>230911.6406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231</td>
<td>DFDVYSGTPTK</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>615.28711</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>39451.78906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232</td>
<td>DFDVYSGTPTK</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>557.7435</td>
<td>20.35</td>
<td>101369.6563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chymotrypsin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>RPEDDAEAHREGAE</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>532.5733</td>
<td>13.22</td>
<td>25388.32813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>ASAVEDEMR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>627.27051</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>14632.66504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>STVPADFETDESVL</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>755.35236</td>
<td>28.35</td>
<td>74107.63281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>IEVFRTGIL</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>496.3063</td>
<td>17.03</td>
<td>601398.0625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>FWDPDAEGECDL</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>718.2959</td>
<td>28.19</td>
<td>82920.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>PPAEGddQEVHVL</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>959.94598</td>
<td>28.46</td>
<td>222879.7188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>E1HFVQDESAEESSEFQTSQQDDF</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>1317.57068</td>
<td>26.12</td>
<td>207524.42723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>DLESAESSPPQTSQQDF</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>1029.91785</td>
<td>22.32</td>
<td>34450.32422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>ESSAESSPFQTSQQDF</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>915.86066</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>94464.67969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254</td>
<td>DLQNLQTEPL</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>580.77905</td>
<td>29.53</td>
<td>152162.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elastase</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>LHFDPDFAEG</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>649.29688</td>
<td>27.58</td>
<td>232929.7031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243</td>
<td>RHeSQVEDEFDLEA</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>918.8894</td>
<td>25.56</td>
<td>92016.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Having successfully identified GST-SLBP-6xHis derived peptide sequences using protease enzymes by LC-MS/MS, it facilitated to create the inclusion list including two additional potential predicted of cleavage site \textit{in silico} (highlighted by purple colours) shown in Table 4.2. It provided useful information for calibrating of the mass spectrometer, using precise masses of peptides obtained from bacterially expressed SLBP together with their liquid chromatography (LC) retention time, to facilitate identification of SLBP-derived peptides immuno-isolated from mammalian cells. In addition, the amount of protein required to obtain SLBP-derived peptides allowed a re-evaluation of the I-i strategy and experimental scale described in the early part of this chapter, required to obtain sufficient quantities of SLBP both for analysis of post-translational modifications in the presence and absence of replication stress, as well as to undertake a quantitative analysis of potential change in SLBP associated proteins. A further round of I-i of Flag-tagged SLBP was attempted on a significantly scale (this experiment used 10 mg of protein which less than almost 4x compared to the previous I-i coupled to mass spectrometry as described in section 4.3) using cell-cycle synchronized cells, together with a modification of the protocol whereby elution from affinity resin was followed by concentration of the eluate by centrifugal evaporation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide position</th>
<th>Peptide sequences</th>
<th>Acquisition time (min)</th>
<th>MS mass (Da)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Start</td>
<td>End</td>
<td>Start</td>
<td>End</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12.22</td>
<td>22.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>10.89</td>
<td>20.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>21.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>17.85</td>
<td>27.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>19.45</td>
<td>29.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>20.74</td>
<td>30.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>21.32</td>
<td>31.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>19.82</td>
<td>29.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>18.19</td>
<td>28.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>21.53</td>
<td>31.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>18.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>12.15</td>
<td>22.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>16.03</td>
<td>26.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2 Inclusion list obtained from bacterially expressed GST-SLBP-6xHis (m/z = mass to charge)
HeLa cells stably transfected with Flag-tagged SLBP (as described in Chapter 3) were initially grown in culture flasks with a surface area 175 cm$^2$ (T175) in a total of 15 flasks until cells reach 80% confluence. Then Flag-tagged SLBP cells were initially synchronized by exposure to nocodazole for 12 h after that mitotic shake-off was used to detach cells prior to grown them in 100 mm dishes in the presence of 0.5 µg/ml dox for 14 h. Cells were harvested and lysate prepared, respectively. Cell lysate (10 mg in 8 ml of buffer) was incubated with 1 ml of ANTI-FLAG® M2 affinity resin overnight at 4 °C with repeated agitation prior to washed with 5 ml of TBS and elution, respectively. Eluate initially was eluted in 500 µl of altered elution buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4 and 30 mM NaCl) containing 300 ng/µl final concentration of 3X FLAG peptide. Following elution, the eluate (500 µl) was concentrated by centrifugal evaporation prior to SDS-PAGE and gel slice excision as before.
Figure 4.12 The regions corresponding to full-length Flag-tagged SLBP were excised (red square) and stained with colloidal Coomassie blue in parallel to detect by western blotting prior to processing for enzymatic digestion I-i analysis of Flag-tagged SLBP. The packed resin was eluted with 300 ng/µl final concentration of 3X FLAG peptide in 500 µl of 10 mM Tris HCl and 30 mM NaCl. The eluate volume was reduced to dryness by centrifugal evaporation and the resulting pellet was resuspended in total volume 25 µl (5 µl of 5x sample loading buffer and 20 µl of ddH2O) and (A) analysed by western blotting using the α-Flag antibody. Lane 1, Input 0.25% (25 µg), Lane 2, 5% eluate (1.25 µl), Lane 3, 95% eluate (23.75 µl). (B) stained with colloidal Coomassie blue (Lane 3). There is no band apparent after staining with colloidal Coomassie blue. This is probably due to limitation of detection using this staining method due to
the small amount of eluate analysis. SLBP-derived peptides extraction from gel slices were prepared for in-gel trypsin digestion and subsequently identified by mass spectrometry.

Following LC-MS/MS analysis, Flag-tagged SLBP-derived peptide sequences with trypsin digestion using inclusion list from bacterially expressed SLBP were successfully detected in mammalian system (Figure 4.13 and Table 4.3). The peptide sequences with highlighted by blue colours were included in inclusion list. However, there are some peptides were detected with different sequences from inclusion list but their retention time were covered in inclusion list.
Figure 4.13 SLBP sequence coverage with trypsin digestion of Flag-tagged SLBP in mammalian expression system using inclusion list from bacterially expressed SLBP detected by LC-MS/MS.
Table 4.3 Identification of Flag-tagged SLBP-derived peptide sequences with trypsin digestion using inclusion list from bacterially expressed SLBP detected by LC-MS/MS (highlighted by blue colours are the peptide sequences based on inclusion list) \((m/z = \text{mass to charge})\). The site of with post-translational modifications by amino acids in lower-case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide position</th>
<th>Peptide sequences</th>
<th>Charge</th>
<th>MS mass (Da)</th>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>Intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 26</td>
<td>CGDAmPFPAR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>695.23083</td>
<td>18.62</td>
<td>20101.2637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 51</td>
<td>RWPEEAEAEHHR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>840.89613</td>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>57747.5156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 51</td>
<td>WRPEAEAEHHR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>762.84564</td>
<td>17.74</td>
<td>97367.7031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 55</td>
<td>WRPEEAEAEHGR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>646.63361</td>
<td>17.49</td>
<td>38684.8008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 69</td>
<td>RPESFTTPGPKFR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>560.26996</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>158220.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 69</td>
<td>RPESFTTPGPKFR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>799.91827</td>
<td>16.65</td>
<td>24970.7285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69 85</td>
<td>SCRSDWASAVEDEMR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>1927.7902</td>
<td>23.49</td>
<td>41892.9688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 85</td>
<td>cSDWASAVEDEMR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>842.83386</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>238962.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 85</td>
<td>eSDWASAVEDEMR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>1700.65349</td>
<td>23.88</td>
<td>307999.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98 106</td>
<td>KLLNDFGR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>538.31689</td>
<td>22.21</td>
<td>496899.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98 106</td>
<td>KLLNDFGR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>454.26144</td>
<td>20.15</td>
<td>392666.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 106</td>
<td>LLINDFGR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>474.2692</td>
<td>25.25</td>
<td>1020594.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 108</td>
<td>LLINDFGR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>411.56317</td>
<td>22.61</td>
<td>824510.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 137</td>
<td>KSSGSSGSDKESNSTEMTVAPDFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>1032.13477</td>
<td>25.08</td>
<td>46779.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 137</td>
<td>KSSGSSGSDKESNSTEMTVAPDFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>1037.46545</td>
<td>23.86</td>
<td>59099.3242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 137</td>
<td>KSSGSSGSDKESNSTEMTVAPDFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>1042.79614</td>
<td>21.48</td>
<td>66919.4375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 137</td>
<td>KSSGSSGSDKESNSTEMTVAPDFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>1064.12158</td>
<td>25.05</td>
<td>69796.4766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 137</td>
<td>KSSGSSGSDKESNSTEMTVAPDFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>1090.77551</td>
<td>27.11</td>
<td>16283.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 137</td>
<td>KSSGSSGSDKESNSTEMTVAPDFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>1069.45251</td>
<td>23.84</td>
<td>202290.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 137</td>
<td>SSSGSSGSSGSDKESNSTEMTVAPDFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>989.43481</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>95571.8594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 137</td>
<td>SSSGSSGSSGSDKESNSTEMTVAPDFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>994.76721</td>
<td>25.14</td>
<td>93637.2566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 137</td>
<td>SSSGSSGSSGSDKESNSTEMTVAPDFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>1000.09845</td>
<td>23.93</td>
<td>280521.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 137</td>
<td>SSSGSSGSSGSDKESNSTEMTVAPDFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>1021.42273</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>28021.0801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 137</td>
<td>SSSGSSGSSGSDKESNSTEMTVAPDFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>715.32349</td>
<td>28.87</td>
<td>71145.6328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 137</td>
<td>SSSGSSGSSGSDKESNSTEMTVAPDFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>1080.47827</td>
<td>27.64</td>
<td>286146.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 137</td>
<td>SSSGSSGSSGSDKESNSTEMTVAPDFETDESVLMR</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>1088.47522</td>
<td>25.91</td>
<td>132510.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147 156</td>
<td>NTIAYDRKIK</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>628.8356</td>
<td>20.41</td>
<td>109203.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178 186</td>
<td>TSRRSDQIK</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>516.24316</td>
<td>18.08</td>
<td>62887.5313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181 186</td>
<td>RSDQIK</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>530.78046</td>
<td>16.97</td>
<td>31771.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182 188</td>
<td>SDQIK</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>492.71226</td>
<td>22.07</td>
<td>40506.2617</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3 Discussion

The objective of this chapter was to establish a method for the isolation of Flag-tagged SLBP and potential associated interacting proteins using an ANTI-FLAG® M2 affinity resin immuno-isolation, and to develop a method for mass spectrometry-based characterisation of SLBP. The advantage of this system is that, in principle, the addition of a small hydrophilic peptide tag to a wild-type protein minimizes the risk of disrupting protein function while enabling affinity purification by immuno-isolation using the specific, commercially available anti-Flag antibody. The moderate avidity with which the epitope is bound means that it is readily displaced by competition with a synthetic peptide, enabling the development of gentle, specific elution conditions (Technical bulletin, Sigma-Aldrich, product number A2220). These are important for subsequent analysis of SLBP post-translational modification status, together with an analysis of its interacting proteins in the HeLa cell tissue culture cell line model by LC-MS/MS.
4.3.1 Immuno-isolation (I-i) of Flag-tagged SLBP

Immuno-isolation (I-i) experiments were undertaken to isolate Flag-tagged SLBP from cell lysates derived from the cell line characterised in Chapter 3. I began by using different amounts of packed resin volume (10 µl and 20 µl) to establish an appropriate ratio of packed resin to cell lysate necessary to immuno-isolate SLBP, as judged by western blotting detection.

There was little difference between results obtained using 10 µl or 20 µl of packed resin volume in terms of either depletion and recovery (Figure 4.1), although there was some variation in the apparent recovery, depending on the antibody either anti-Flag or anti-SLBP antibodies used to evaluate it. The reasons for such discrepancy are unknown. One possibility is that, as the Flag antibody clearly recognises addition polypeptides in cell lysates (Figure 4.1, lanes 2 and 6), an additional cross-reacting band was present in cell lysates at low levels at the size expected for SLBP, which was enriched using the ANTI-FLAG® M2 affinity resin.

Because there can be significant loss of gel beads on this scale, during incubation and washing steps, which might result in poor
recovery, an attempt was made to perform immuno-isolation using a fixed column format and gravity flow. This approach has a number of advantages. The depleted lysate may be efficiently collected with minimal dilution, wash steps can be monitored for loss of bound protein efficiently and effectively remove contaminating proteins from beads, without resin loss, and high recovery of antigen and co-precipitated proteins (Tomomori-Sato et al., 2013). Somewhat surprisingly, affinity depletion and elution of Flag-tagged SLBP by chromatography on a small ANTI-FLAG® M2 affinity resin column (either 10 µl or 20 µl volume) was not effective in my hands (Figure 4.2). Although analysis of the flow-through material suggested that SLBP was depleted from the lysate, at least partially, under these conditions, it was not recovered either by specific elution with 3X FLAG peptide. SLBP recovery by boiling beads in SDS-PAGE sample buffer was negligible, although interpretation of these data was hampered by cross-reactivity of addition material which eluted from the column under these conditions (Figure 4.2). The most likely interpretation was that these additional bands represent IgG antibody heavy and light chains which were not covalently cross-linked to the resin.
Bands consistent with the elution of IgG heavy chains were observed as expected when beads were eluted with sample loading buffer, presumably because the reducing agent (DTT) in sample loading buffer eliminates the intermolecular disulphide bonds between immunoglobulin (IgG) heavy and light chains (Figure 4.1 and 4.2, lanes 3 and 7). However, in the experiment shown in Figure 4.3, IgG heavy chains were also observed in the depleted lysate (Figure 4.3, lanes 5 and 6, designated “flow-through”). This suggests that low levels of reducing agents in the lysate were sufficient in this experiment to disrupt the IgG and may have contributed to reduced yield.

4.3.2 Mass spectrometric analysis of complex containing SLBP

For mass spectrometric analysis, the conditions established above in Figure 4.3 (batch procedure; 10 µl of packed resin per 1 mg protein lysate) were used in a scaled up experiment to immuno-isolate SLBP and putative interacting protein complexes which were visualized by staining with colloidal Coomassie blue. All visible bands were excised from the gel, digested with trypsin, and analyzed by Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Normally, proteins that are visible by colloidal Coomassie staining are usually in sufficient quantity for identification by mass spectrometry (Collins, M. personal
communication), therefore, all bands (number 1-10, Figure 4.4B) were excised and treated as described above. Surprisingly, no SLBP-derived peptides or known SLBP interacting proteins were detected. Most of proteins are keratins, heat shock proteins, ribosome-related, metabolic pathway enzymes and transcription/translation related such as heterogeneous nucleus ribonucleoproteins (HNRNP) and eukaryotic translation initiation factor (EIF). They are highly abundant proteins known to frequently contaminate proteins immunoprecipitated using Flag antibody and ANTI-FLAG® M2 affinity resin (Guo et al., 2009; Mellacheruvu et al., 2013). These authors reported all common contaminants following immunoprecipitation from whole cell lysate of HeLa and U2OS cells which included cytoskeletal proteins (actin and keratin), protein chaperones, ribosomal proteins, RNA-interacting proteins, and polypeptides involved in transcription and translation. All of these classes of protein were present in the samples analysed. However, some proteins could not be ruled out as contaminants because they might be SLBP-related during transcription and translation such as eukaryote translation initiation factor 4B and 2S1 (EIF4B and EIF2S1). This information will be described in detail in Chapter 6 which was detected following I-i of Flag-tagged SLBP.
It is unclear why SLBP was not detected at all in this experiment. SLBP has been analysed successfully by mass spectrometry previously, following immunoprecipitation using ANTI-FLAG\textsuperscript{\textregistered} M2 affinity resin in order to investigate its phosphorylation sites that was targeted by Pin1 (Prolyl isomerase) coordinating the degradation of SLBP by the ubiquitin proteasome system (Krishnan et al., 2012). However, its cellular abundance has not been accurately determined.

A band corresponding to the correct size for Flag-tagged SLBP was observed by western blotting of a sample of the specific eluate after concentration with the VivaSpin concentrator, however it is not clear whether this band corresponds to any of the ~50 kDa colloidal Coomassie blue-stained bands shown in Figure 4.4B. Because of the relatively large matrix volume required, this necessitated elution in a large volume (37.5 mg protein derived from dox-treated Flag-tagged SLBP expressing cells in total volume 7.5 ml and applied to 3 ml of packed resin volume and eluted with 7.5 ml PBS containing 3X FLAG peptide prior to concentration with the VivaSpin concentrator as in Figure 4.4). A VivaSpin concentrator was used to concentrate the samples by ultrafiltration in order to carry out the subsequent SDS-PAGE step. It is possible that low abundance proteins may bind disproportionately to the VivaSpin membrane and may not be recovered.
efficiently. In addition, the efficiency with which peptides are recovered following tryptic in-gel digestion, is a function of substrate availability, protease concentration, time allowed for proteolysis and properties of peptides generated. In this experiment, any of the first three parameters may have been significantly sub-optimal. Trypsin, which was used to generate peptides, cleaves peptide chains to generate a C-terminal lysine or arginine, except when either is followed by proline (Granvogl and Ploscher, 2007). Given the abundance of unconstrained lysine and arginine residues, a reasonable number of SLBP-derived peptides might be expected to be recovered. It is common for only a subset of the potential tryptic peptides to be detected, and “missed cleavages” may generate peptides with significant degree of hydrophobicity, making them insoluble under the standard extraction conditions used (Hubbard, 1998). Thus, future improvements might include consideration of parallel digestion with a second protease of different specificity such as chymotrypsin to improve probability of detection and protein sequence coverage. According to literature review, it also suggested to use multi-protease protein digestion which increases proteome sequence coverage and improve the identification of post-translational modifications (Tsiatsiani and Heck, 2015).
It is important that efficient extraction of the peptides and preparation of the sample for MS is efficient, while trying to minimize the presence of salts or detergents that may adversely affect ionization. Moreover, the presence of other proteins and other impurities, and the sensitivity and performance characteristics of the mass spectrometer and its mode of ionization, mass separation, and ion detection need to be considered (Baldwin, 2004). The MS Top10 method was used in this experiment that allowed the machine only analyses the top 10 most abundant peptides in the fraction coming off the HPLC at any one time. However, in the conditions under sample complexity and purity concerned as my experiment, Top 10 method may not detect low abundance peptides which were not included in the top 10 most abundant at that time. In contrast, using Top 20 method gives much higher resolution survey scans which can greatly augment sequence related information in peptide MS/MS, as not observed by Top 10 method (Michaelski et al., 2012). Therefore, it is possible that the percentages of SLBP-derived peptide coverage could improve using Top 20 or combination of two methods. The high degree of contamination, together with a potentially low abundance and recovery of SLBP by I-i together may have resulted in lack of detection of SLBP peptides.
In addition, an additional improvement would be to ensure that Flag-SLBP was excised from the polyacrylamide gel, by western blotting with anti-Flag antibody after excision of the relevant gel region. Considering this, I subsequently established an independent method to set up accurate parameters for the identification of SLBP-derived peptides by expression of SLBP as a tagged fusion (GST) protein in \textit{E.coli} followed by affinity chromatography to obtain purified SLBP polypeptides for MS analysis.

\textbf{4.3.3 SLBP expression in the \textit{E.Coli} system}

Previous analysis of SLBP have used baculovirus expression to produce human SLBP for the purpose of mass spectrometry analysis (Dominski \textit{et al.}, 2002; Koseoglu \textit{et al.}, 2008; Bansal \textit{et al.}, 2013). Time constraints prevented me from using baculovirus expression to establish conditions for the analysis of SLBP by mass spectrometry. The expression of protein in \textit{E. coli} is the easiest, quickest, cheapest and high production method of protein production (Sivashanmmugam \textit{et al.}, 2008). However, no previous work has reported the successful expression and isolation of soluble SLBP from \textit{E.coli}.

In my study, a GST fusion protein was constructed by inserting SLBP coding sequence with an addition 3’ tag encoding 6xHis, into the
pGEX-6P-1 vector under the control of the lac promoter. I carried out at different temperatures (30 °C and 37 °C). The results clearly demonstrated that both temperatures expressed low protein production and it was predicted to form insoluble aggregates (inclusion bodies). Therefore, it is possible to resolubilize the protein from the inclusion bodies or improve the solubility by expressing the protein at a lower temperature. Lower culture temperature may enhance the proper export and folding protein (Donovan et al., 1996). After lower temperature, the yield of SLBP was increased before and after purification with GSH compared to both culture temperatures at 30 °C and 37 °C. Although, the level of SLBP expression was not much high production, it reached my purpose to obtain SLBP purity for mass spectrometry analysis. Therefore, further experiment was to identify SLBP peptide using purified GST-SLBP-6xHis by proteolytic enzymes, trypsin, chymotrypsin and elastase following mass spectrometry.
4.3.4 Bacterial expression system provides an SLBP profile by mass spectrometry

After bacterial expression of the protein GST-SLBP-6xHis, I was able to successfully identified SLBP peptides by mass spectrometry. This confirmed that it is a sufficient abundance of SLBP with the right position of protein band on SDS-PAGE which corresponding to the protein band probing with anti-Flag antibody on western blotting. However, contaminants were still identified in the mass spectrometry data. These are difficult to avoid as they can be introduced during gel electrophoresis and cutting bands from the gel and from sample tubes, pipettes, buffers, or extraneous matter dropping into the sample (such as hair, skin, material from clothing, laboratory dust) (Baldwin, 2004).

The identification of candidate proteins was performed by searching database with the MASCOT search engine. Peptide mapping of SLBP identifies the percentage sequence coverage after digestion by each enzyme. Trypsin digestion gave the highest total sequence coverage of SLBP compared to chymotrypsin and elastase (41%, 34% and 10%), respectively. Using in silico digestion (ExPasy portal with PeptideMass), the prediction of the percentage sequence coverage of SLBP is about 40-80%, depending on the input parameters such as size of masses. Therefore, for example, the low percentage sequence coverage of SLBP
using trypsin digestion, it is possible that trypsin digestion does not cleave at some positions in the protein. The specificity of any proteases is influenced by other residues in close proximity to the cleavage site, together with other factors such as local conformation, tertiary structure and experimental conditions (Siepen et al., 2007). Therefore, using different digestion should improve the sequence coverage of proteins.

In summary, the success of this independent method for the identification of SLBP peptide with 45% of sequence coverage SLBP provides important insights into the strategy and scale of I-i required to obtain sufficient quantities of SLBP for both the analysis of SLBP post-translational modification status, together with an analysis of its interacting proteins in the HeLa cell tissue culture cell line model by LC-MS/MS. In particular, using inclusion list provided the calibration of the mass spectrometry experiment using the precise masses of peptides obtained from bacterially expressed SLBP together with their HPLC retention time should detect SLBP-derived peptides from mammalian expressed protein. The retention time using bacterially expressed SLBP covered the mammalian expressed Flag-tagged SLBP-derived peptide sequences (compare Table 4.3, highlighted by blue colour to Table 4.2, non-highlighted). However, some mammalian expressed SLBP-derived
peptide sequences were different sequences from inclusion list and vice versa. The reasons for this are obviously structural which affects accessible cleavage of trypsin (Hubbard, 1998).
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Chapter 5

Regulation of Serine 182 on cell cycle progression, SLBP expression, histone mRNA decay and SLBP stability

5.1 Introduction

The identification of SLBP-derived peptides has been successfully analysed by mass spectrometry after using a bacterial expression system generating GST-SLBP-6xHis and consequent affinity chromatography to obtain pure protein. Having established an independent method to obtain accurate HPLC and isotopic masses for SLBP-derived peptides, mass spectrometric analysis of immuno-isolated Flag-tagged SLBP was undertaken. SLBP-derived tryptic peptides were detected and, for the first time in mammalian cells, a series of phospho-modified peptides were also observed, several of which have been identified previously by other approaches. The functional significance of SLBP phosphorylation at position 182 has not been previously studied even though this site is in the RNA binding domain (RBD) of SLBP and the surrounding sequence
contains an evolutionarily conserved SRRS sequence (Thapar et al., 2004).

In order to understand the function of phosphorylation at Ser182, the experiment undertaken and discussed in Chapter 5 provides evidence of phosphomimetic substitution from serine to glutamate (S182E), and the non-phosphorylatable substitution to alanine (S182A) on cell cycle progression, SLBP expression, and histone mRNA decay. Moreover, the investigation of the protein kinase responsible for the phosphorylation of S182 was identified by in silico computational analysis of phosphorylation site substrate preference (GPS 3.0 software). The Aurora and WEE1 kinases were two high-scoring protein kinase families both of which are known to play relevant key roles related to genetic instability in the cell cycle. (Ducat and Zheng, 2004; Kollareddy et al., 2008).

WEE1 is the first kinase investigated to determine if it might be responsible for the phosphorylation of S182 in vitro. WEE1 plays an important role in regulation of the replication checkpoint, the control of DNA damage responses during S-phase as well as a role in regulating histone synthesis (Watanabe et al., 1995; Berry and Gould, 1996; Booher et al., 1997; Mahajan and Mahajan, 2013; Heijink et al., 2015; Saini et al., 2015). Unexpectedly, SLBP is not phosphorylated directly
by WEE1. However, the investigation of SLBP level by MK-1775, WEE1 kinase inhibitor, provides evidence to establish model that WEE1 kinase might activate Cyclin A/Cdk1 involving in T61 phosphorylation at the end of S phase and also the coordination of WEE1 and SLBP degradation.
5.2 Results

5.2.1 Identification of the phosphorylated Serine 182 in the conserved SRRS motif of SLBP

In the previous chapter, tryptic peptides derived from Flag-tagged SLBP expressed in synchronised HeLa cells were identified by LC-MS/MS. Bacterially expressed SLBP-derived peptides was used for accurate MS calibration prior to analysis of peptides derived from the mammalian expressed protein.

Phosphorylation sites at Ser20, Ser23, Thr61, Thr62, Ser110, Ser111, Ser112, Ser114, Ser120, Thr171 and Ser182 were detected after the re-analysis of data from Chapter 4. The peptide sequences of these phosphorylation sites and the annotated spectra of each of the relevant peptides are shown in Table 5.1 and diagram showing these phosphorylation sites in Figure 5.1 (see Appendix I for mass spectra). These phosphorylation sites identified here have been reported previously in PhosphoSitePlus® and PHOSIDA (phosphorylation site databases) (Hornbeck et al., 2004; Gnad et al., 2007), however, a number of these (Ser120 and Ser182) were identified in analyses of SLBP following heterologous expression in baculovirus-infected insect cells with unknown function (Bansal et al., 2013).
Table 5.1 Mass spectrometry (MS) of phospho-sites and other modifications on human SLBP expressed in HeLa cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide position</th>
<th>Peptide sequences</th>
<th>Modifications</th>
<th>IonScore</th>
<th>m/z (Da)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 26</td>
<td>cDGDAsPPsPAR</td>
<td>C1 (Carbamidomethyl); S6(Phospho); S9(Phospho)</td>
<td>40.99</td>
<td>695.2307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 69</td>
<td>GAERRPESFtTPEGPKPR</td>
<td>T10 (Phospho)</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>698.0043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 69</td>
<td>RPESFTtTPEGPKPR</td>
<td>T7 (Phospho)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>839.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 137</td>
<td>KSSGDSDKKKsSTVPADFETDESVLM</td>
<td>S12 (Phospho)</td>
<td>35.93</td>
<td>794.3433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 137</td>
<td>SSSGDSDKKKsSTVPADFETDESVLM</td>
<td>S3 (Phospho); M13(Oxidation)</td>
<td>64.16</td>
<td>1064.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 137</td>
<td>KSSGDSDKKKsSTVPADFETDESVLM</td>
<td>S2 (Phospho); M13(Oxidation); M28(Oxidation)</td>
<td>72.94</td>
<td>1069.452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 137</td>
<td>SSSGDSDKKKsSTVPADFETDESVLM</td>
<td>S1 (Phospho); S4(Phospho); M13(Oxidation)</td>
<td>24.03</td>
<td>1090.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 137</td>
<td>KSSGDSDKKKsSTVPADFETDESVLM</td>
<td>S1 (Phospho); M12(Oxidation); M27(Oxidation)</td>
<td>36.69</td>
<td>1078.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 137</td>
<td>SSSGDSDKKKsSTVPADFETDESVLM</td>
<td>S2 (Phospho); M12(Oxidation); M27(Oxidation)</td>
<td>38.93</td>
<td>1026.753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182 188</td>
<td>sWDQQIK S1 (Phospho)</td>
<td>30.44</td>
<td>492.713</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181 188</td>
<td>sWDQQIK S2 (Phospho)</td>
<td>30.17</td>
<td>570.7634</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182 188</td>
<td>sWDQQIK S1 (Phospho)</td>
<td>36.69</td>
<td>426.4784</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.1 Diagram showing phosphorylation sites (red letters) found on human SLBP expressed in HeLa cells.
Moreover, the phosphorylation sites at Ser20 and Ser23 were identified as a phosphodegron that controls SLBP polyubiquitination (Krishnan et al., 2012). Phosphorylation at Thr61 and Thr62 has been shown to trigger SLBP degradation at the end of S phase (Koseoglu et al., 2008). The roles of phosphorylation sites at Ser110, Ser111, Ser112 and Ser114 are still unknown. Interestingly, I observed two phosphorylation sites (Thr171 and Ser182) within the RNA-binding domain (RBD or L-motif; residues 125-197). The L-motif of the histone mRNA binding domain of SLBP form a ternary complex with histone mRNA stem-loop and 3’hExo (Tan et al., 2013). This leads to the modulation of L-motif function by posttranslational modifications (PTMs) which has been proposed to interact with proteins involved in histone pre-mRNA processing, mRNA decay, and mRNA translation (Thapar, 2015). For example, the interaction of prolyl isomerase Pin1 interacts with phosphor-Thr 171 form of the SLBP-L-motif which is necessary for recruitment of SLBP to the stem-loop to the site of histone pre-mRNA processing in the nucleus (Krishnan et al., 2012). Moreover, at the end of S phase, dephosphorylation of SLBP in its RNA binding domain by Pin1 and a phosphatase PP2A remove SLBP from the 3’ UTR, resulting in histone mRNA decay via exosome-mediated mRNA degradation and subsequent ubiquitination proteasomal degradation of
SLBP (Krishnan et al., 2012). Although, Ser182 phosphorylation has previously been reported, its significance or functional role is unknown (Bansal et al., 2013). It is possible that this Ser of the SLBP L-motif plays important biological or functional roles regulated by PTM. Therefore, I directed attention to phosphorylation at Ser182.

The identified mass spectrum of Ser182 phosphorylation in peptide sequence \(^{181}\text{RpSWDQQIK}^{188}\) is shown in Figure 5.2

**Figure 5.2** MS/MS spectrum of a phosphorylated peptide showing a doubly-charged peak at m/z 570.76. The corresponding peptide is identified as RpSWDQQIK (181-188), of which Ser182 is phosphorylated.
In order to study the role of phosphorylation at Ser182, I undertook site-directed mutagenesis to establish a phosphomimetic substitution from serine to glutamate (S182E) and also the non-phosphorylatable substitution to alanine (S182A) using the template plasmid pCDNA5/FRT/TO/CAT-Flag-SLBPres. The Flag-SLBPres notation refers to a form of the SLBP gene containing two silent mutations in the sequence corresponding to that targeted by siRNA knockdown. These mutants were used to generate the corresponding HeLa cell line using the FLP-IN system as described in section 2.2.3.2. The cell lines identified as Flag-SLBPresS182A and Flag-SLBPresS182E were used to investigate the cell cycle progression, the duration of SLBP expression, and the efficiency of histone mRNA decay after DNA replication arrest.

5.2.2 S phase progression

In order to determine whether expression of either Flag-SLBPresS182A or Flag-SLBPresS182E in the continued presence of endogenous SLBP, had an effect on progression through S-phase, cells were synchronized with 40 ng/µl nocodazole (Noc) for 12 h and mitotic cells were isolated by shake-off exactly as described in the experiment, figure 3.6-3.9 of Chapter 3. Cells were re-plated in presence of
doxycycline (Dox)-containing fresh medium for 5 h, after which medium was replaced with Dox-free medium. At indicated times, cells were harvested, lysates prepared, and analysed by western blotting. Cell cycle profile was analysed by flow cytometry.

As before with Flag-SLBP expressing cells (Figure 5.3), Noc treatment and subsequent release resulted in enrichment for a G1 population of cells which was similar for Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182A</sup> cells and Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182E</sup> cells (Figures 5.4 ; 5.5 ; Table 5.2). Once again, a proportion of cells were observed in S and G2 phases (Figures 5.4 ; 5.5 ; Table 5.2). Both mutant cell lines progressed through S phase as judged by flow cytometry, although a noticeable difference was observed in the approximate duration of S phase (Table 5.2) which was ~10 h long in the Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182A</sup> -expressing cell line, and ~ 14 h long in the Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182E</sup> -expressing cell line, compared to ~ 12 h for Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup> (Figure 5.6). The timing of S phase initiation for each cell line appeared to vary, although it is not clear whether this reflects the stochastic variability associated with the G1/S transition (see below). Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182A</sup> cells appeared to transit through G2/M more quickly (12-14 h) than Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup> cells (14-18 h) with the Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182E</sup> cells taking even longer to complete a cell cycle (18-24 h) with new G1
population of the foremost clearly visible after 14 h, compared with 18-24 h in the latter (Figures 5.4 ; 5.5 ; 5.6).

By western blotting analysis, the overall duration of expression of Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{resS182E} appeared to be longer (~11 h) than Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{resS182A} (~8 h) and similar to that of Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} at ~11 h (Figure 5.7A). While the profile of Flag-SLBP expression broadly coincided with the proportion of cells in S phase for Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{resS182E} cells and Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} cells, this did not appear to be the case for Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{resS182A} cells. In these cells, SLBP protein expression lagged behind the peak of cells transiting through S-phase, as judged by FACS analysis.

Consistent with published observations (Pines and Hunter, 1991 ; Erlandsson \textit{et al.}, 2000) and its known role in S-phase progression and mitotic entry, levels of cyclin A increased during S phase, approaching close to maximal levels ~16 h after Noc release in Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} cells, Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{resS182A} and Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{resS182E} cell lines (Figure 5.7B). The Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{resS182A} cells appeared to show a slight delay in cyclin A accumulation, compared with the other 2 lines and consistent with a similar delay in SLBP accumulation (Figure 5.7). Cyclin A levels subsequently decreased within 2-4 h in Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{resS182E}-expressing cells, consistent with the known timing of cyclin A destruction just after nuclear envelope breakdown in prometaphase (den Elzen and Pines,
2001). Surprisingly, levels of cyclin A in Flag-SLBPresS182A-expressing cells (Figure 5.7B) did not appear to decrease over the time course of this experiment. The reason for this is not clear, and will require further investigation. It is possible that these cells do not enter into mitosis in schedule. Time constraints precluded the investigation of this point.

These data suggested that expression of phospho-mimetic SLBP may increase the duration of S phase, and non-phosphorylatable SLBP facilitates more rapid transit through S phase, at least when compared to the wild-type tagged protein, despite the presence of endogenous wild-type protein.
Figure 5.3 Cell cycle progression of Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup> cells. Flag-SLBP cells were arrested by treatment with nocodazole and subsequently released. Samples were prepared for FACS analysis by staining with propidium iodide (PI) at the indicated times after release. FACS profiles with PI staining Asynchronous (Asyn), 5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 18 and 24 h after release from nocodazole block. The percentage of cells with G1, S and G2-phases DNA content were shown. Results are representative of two independent experiments.
Figure 5.4 Cell cycle progression of Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182A</sup> cells. Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182A</sup> cells were arrested by treatment with nocodazole and subsequently released. Samples were prepared for FACS analysis by staining with propidium iodide (PI) at the indicated times after release. FACS profiles with PI staining Asynchronous (Asyn), 5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 18 and 24 h after release from Noc block. The percentage of cells with G1, S and G2-phases DNA content were shown. Results are representative of two independent experiments.
Figure 5.5 Cell cycle progression of Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182E</sup> cells. Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182E</sup> cells were arrested by treatment with nocodazole and subsequently released. Samples were prepared for FACS analysis by staining with propidium iodide (PI) at the indicated times after release. FACS profiles with PI staining Asynchronous (Asyn), 5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 18 and 24 h after release from Noc block. The percentage of cells with G1, S and G2-phases DNA content were shown. Results are representative of two independent experiments.
Table 5.2 Summary of the percentages of cells in the respective phases (G1, S and G2) with different time points of the cell cycle in Flag-SLBP<sub>res</sub>, Flag-SLBP<sub>resS182A</sub> and Flag-SLBP<sub>resS182E</sub>-expressing cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Cells</th>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage of cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>G2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asyn</td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;res&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182A&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182E&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;res&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182A&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182E&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;res&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>7.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182A&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182E&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;res&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182A&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182E&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;res&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>73.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182A&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182E&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>88.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;res&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182A&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182E&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>94.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;res&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182A&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182E&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;res&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>7.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182A&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>52.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;resS182E&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5.6 Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} cells display and apparent delay in S phase progression. The percentage of cells in S phase in Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res}, Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res S182A} and Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res S182E} cell lines after synchronised release Noc block. The second set of data shows the same trend as the first set. However, the second set of data has incompleted time course (data not shown).
A.

Figure 5.7 SLBP expression is prolonged in Flag-SLBP<sub>resS182E</sub> cells compared to Flag-SLBP<sub>resS182A</sub> cells. Western blot analysis of the Flag-SLBP<sub>res</sub>, Flag-SLBP<sub>resS182A</sub> and Flag-SLBP<sub>resS182E</sub> cell lysates using α-Flag (A) and α-cyclin A (B) antibodies collected at indicated time after treatment with Noc and subsequently released. Graph was normalised with loading control. Results are representative of two independent experiments.
Another experiment was undertaken with an extended time courses (18, 24 and 29 h) in order to observe the relative stability of the phospho-mimetic form of SLBP compared to the wild-type protein. These three extended length experiments allows cells to progress continually through the next cell cycle. In this experiment, Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{resS182E} cells were compared solely with Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} cells. Cells were first treated with either non-targeting siRNA (NT) or siRNA targeting SLBP for 24 h. Then cells were synchronized with Noc as described above. Cells were harvested at 18, 24 and 29 h after release from Noc block. The level of SLBP, Flag, cyclin A and nucleolin (as loading control) proteins were analysed by western blotting (Figures 5.8A and B) and quantified (Figure 5.8C). Simultaneously, mRNAs of them were extracted from lysates in order to quantify histone mRNA level by qPCR (Figure 5.9).

The protein expression results show that, when normalised to the amount of SLBP present at 18 h after Noc release, the efficiency with which SLBP levels decrease is dramatically reduced in cells expressing Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{resS182E} compared with Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} (Figure 5.8C), with \(~\)70% of protein remaining 29 h after release from Noc arrest, compared with 0-25% remaining in cells expressing Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res}. siRNA-mediated knockdown of the endogenous protein had a small effect in
Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup> expressing cells, reducing the efficiency with which SLBP levels decreased, with no effect on Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182E</sup> cells.

Interestingly, qPCR analysis of histone mRNA revealed that histone mRNA levels were significantly elevated in Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182E</sup> cells compared to Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup> cells at least at 18 and 29 h after release from Noc arrest, consistent with data obtained in Figure 5.8C (Figure 5.9), and the notion that prolonged expression of SLBP results in prolonged stabilization of histone mRNA.
Figure 5.8 Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup>S182E cells slow SLBP degradation

Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup> and Flag-SLBP<sup>res S182E</sup> Flp-In HeLa cells were exposed to non-targeting (NT) or SLBP-targeted siRNA for 24 h using the electroporation method as describe in section 2.2.3.4.1 followed by
synchronisation with Noc for 12 h. After cells were released from Noc arrest, Dox was added to cells for 5 h. Cells were subsequently harvested at 18, 24 and 29 h. The levels of SLBP, Flag, cyclin A and nucleolin were determined by western blotting with relevant antibodies. (A) Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup> cells treated with NT or SLBP-targeted siRNA as indicated (B) Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182E</sup> cells treated with NT or siRNA-targeted siRNA as indicated (C) the relative intensity of indicated Flag-SLBP variant normalised to the amount of nucleolin and Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182E</sup> with NT or siRNA, determined by Image J of the anti-Flag antibody blots. The second set of data shows the same trend as the first set. However, the second set of data has incompleted time course (data not shown).
Figure 5.9  Relative levels of histone mRNA derived from Flag-SLBP^{res} and Flag-SLBP^{resS182E} cells at the indicated time.

The total RNA from the cells from Figure 5.8 were extracted and reverse transcribed to cDNA as described in section 2.2.8. The level of histone cDNA was determined by subsequent qPCR as described in section 2.2.8.3. The values obtained for Flag-SLBP^{res} cells were normalised with NT+Flag-SLBP^{res} at 18 h and the value of Flag-SLBP^{resS182E} was normalised with NT+ Flag-SLBP^{resS182E} at 18 h. Each bar showed SD of three technical replicates within each condition.
5.2.3 Flag-SLBP^{resS182E}-expressing cells delay histone mRNA decay after the inhibition of DNA synthesis

The results above demonstrate that Flag-SLBP^{resS182E}-expressing cells appear to transit through S phase more slowly than Flag-SLBP^{resS182A} cells, and that compared to wild-type cells show a delay in SLBP degradation and retain higher levels of histone mRNA as a result of prolonged S-phase progression.

I also wished to determine whether Flag-SLBP^{resS182E}-expressing cells were capable of efficient histone mRNA decay after the inhibition of DNA synthesis (Marzluff et al., 2008). Flag-SLBP^{res}, Flag-SLBP^{resS182A} and Flag-SLBP^{resS182E} cells were transfected with SLBP-targeted siRNA for 24 h in the presence of Dox. For comparison, Flag-SLBP^{res} cells were transfected with NT siRNA for 24 h in the presence of Dox. Then cells were treated with or without 5 mM HU up to 60 min. Lysates were harvested at indicated times in order to detect SLBP expression and quantify the level of protein and mRNA by western blotting and qPCR, respectively.

To determine knockdown efficiency, the level of SLBP protein was examined by western blotting. The results showed knockdown of endogenous SLBP was > 95% in Flag-SLBP^{resS182A}, Flag-SLBP^{resS182E} and Flag-SLBP^{res} cells treated with SLBP-targeted siRNA compared to
Flag-SLBP$^{\text{res}}$ exposed to the NT control siRNA (Figure 5.10A). Somewhat surprisingly, in Flag-SLBP$^{\text{resS182E}}$-expressing cells, the levels of histone mRNA appeared to increase transiently, following imposition of replication stress (5 mM HU) before ultimately decreasing to ~10% of the original level after 60 min of exposure to HU. In contrast, Flag-SLBP$^{\text{resS182A}}$ expressing cells efficiently induced histone mRNA decay following HU treatment, with kinetics that were indistinguishable from Flag-SLBP$^{\text{res}}$-expressing cells. Again surprisingly, the efficiency of histone mRNA decay in Flag-SLBP$^{\text{res}}$-expressing cells exposed to NT siRNA was reduced compared to cells solely expressing either Flag-SLBP$^{\text{res}}$ or Flag-SLBP$^{\text{resS182A}}$, although not to the extent of that seen with Flag-SLBP$^{\text{resS182E}}$-expressing cells. The results of the two-way ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple comparisons test indicated that there was a statistically significant difference of histone mRNA levels in between groups at 30 min after HU treatment (p = 0.0001). However, there was no significant difference of histone mRNA levels in the conditions of SLBP-targeted siRNA with Flag-SLBP$^{\text{res}}$ compared to Flag-SLBP$^{\text{resS182A}}$ following HU treatment for 30 min. Moreover, SLBP-targeted siRNA with Flag-SLBP$^{\text{res}}$ compared to Flag-SLBP$^{\text{resS182E}}$ was a statistically significant difference of histone mRNA levels at 60 min after HU treatment (p = 0.001).
Taken together, these data suggest that S182 phosphorylation may play a role in regulating the efficiency with which histone mRNA is degraded following replication stress, and that the phosphorylated form of the protein is less efficient than either wild-type protein or the unphosphorylatable form of SLBP in executing this aspect of SLBP-related function. Interestingly, expression of Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} in the absence of siRNA-mediated knockdown of endogenous protein also resulted in a reduction in efficiency of histone mRNA decay. As a proportion of wild-type protein must be phosphorylated, these data are not consistent with a role for phosphor-S182 in negatively regulating replication stress induced histone mRNA decay.
A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>siRNA</th>
<th>HU (min)</th>
<th>NT</th>
<th>SLBPwt</th>
<th>SLBPwt</th>
<th>SLBPwt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLBP</td>
<td>0 30 60</td>
<td>0 30 60</td>
<td>0 30 60</td>
<td>0 30 60</td>
<td>0 30 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flag-SLBPreS</td>
<td>Flag-SLBPreS</td>
<td>Flag-SLBPreS182A</td>
<td>Flag-SLBPreS182E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.10 Differential response to replication stress in cells expressing either Flag-SLBPreS182A and Flag-SLBPreS182E.

Asynchronous Flag-SLBPreS182A and Flag-SLBPreS182E stably transfected in Flp-In HeLa cells were treated with either NT or siRNA for 24 h using electroporation method. (A) Lysates were subjected to 12% SDS-PAGE and analysed by western blotting followed by probing with the indicated
antibodies, SLBP, flag and nucleolin (B) Histone mRNA levels after HU treatment for 30-60 min were analysed by qPCR. Statistical analysis was performed using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the addition of a post test using Tukey’s multiple comparisons test at the 95% confidence interval for the difference. The GraphPad Prism v 6.0f (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) was used for analysis in this study. Error bars show the standard error in the mean obtained from three independent expriments. The data shows that no significant difference was observed when cells lacking endogenous SLBP and expressing Flag-SLBP<sup>es</sup> degraded histone mRNA in response to HU treatment.
5.2.4 The protein kinase, WEE1 prevents premature SLBP degradation

In a first approach to investigate the identify of the protein kinase responsible for the phosphorylation of S182, an *in silico* computational analysis of phosphorylation site substrate preference (GPS 3.0 software) was used to identify candidate protein kinases which might be expected to phosphorylate S182 on the basis of the amino acid motifs surround the site of phosphorylation (Liu et al., 2015). The analysis of ranked protein kinases with the potential to phosphorylate S182 is shown in Table 5.3, including peptide sequences and prediction scores.

**Table 5.3** List of Ser/Thr kinases identified using GPS 3.0 software

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Kinase</th>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Other/AUR/AurC</td>
<td>FKKYSRRSWDQQIKL</td>
<td>10.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Other/AUR/AurB</td>
<td>FKKYSRRSWDQQIKL</td>
<td>10.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Other/AUR</td>
<td>FKKYSRRSWDQQIKL</td>
<td>9.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Other/WEE/Myt1</td>
<td>FKKYSRRSWDQQIKL</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Other/WEE/Myt1/PKMYT1</td>
<td>FKKYSRRSWDQQIKL</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Other/WEE</td>
<td>FKKYSRRSWDQQIKL</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Atypical/PDHK/PDHK/PDK3</td>
<td>FKKYSRRSWDQQIKL</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>CAMK/DAPK/DAPK/DAPK1</td>
<td>FKKYSRRSWDQQIKL</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This analysis identified two high-scoring protein kinase families both of which are known to play relevant key roles related to genetic instability in the cell cycle. The Aurora kinase family are involved in regulation of spindle morphology, chromosome alignment and cytokinesis (Ducat and Zheng, 2004; Kollareddy et al., 2008).

WEE1, and the related Myt1 protein kinase, plays an important role in regulation of the replication checkpoint, the control of DNA damage responses during S phase as well as a role in regulating histone synthesis (Watanabe et al., 1995; Berry and Gould, 1996; Booher et al., 1997; Mahajan and Mahajan, 2013; Heijink et al., 2015; Saini et al., 2015). WEE1 controls the activity of both Cdk2 and Cdk1, acting as a negative regulator of both by phosphorylation of tyrosine 15, (Parker and Piwnica-Worms, 1992; Watanabe, 2008) ensuring maintenance of genome integrity (Sørensen and Syljuåsen, 2012; Beck et al., 2012). Moreover, WEE1 phosphorylation is regulated by components of the DNA replication checkpoint machinery and controls normal mitotic entry (Smythe and Newport, 1992; Michael and Newport, 1998; Owens et al., 2010).
5.2.5 Inhibition of WEE1 kinase by MK-1775 reduces cellular SLBP levels

Aurora kinases are involved in regulation of spindle morphology, chromosome alignment and cytokinesis (Ducat and Zheng, 2004; Kollareddy et al., 2008) and in the absence of an obvious connection to the replication checkpoint were not considered for this initial analysis. However, WEE1, and the related Myt1 protein kinase, plays an important role in regulation of the replication checkpoint, the control of DNA damage responses during S phase as well as a role in regulating histone synthesis (Watanabe et al., 1995; Berry and Gould, 1996; Booher et al., 1997; Mahajan and Mahajan, 2013; Heijink et al., 2015; Saini et al., 2015). Because of the direct role for WEE1 in the coordination of S phase events, I chose to investigate whether experimental manipulation of WEE1 kinase activity affected SLBP stability in vivo. Asynchronous Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup> cells which had previously been exposed to 0.5 μg/ml Dox for 5 h were either untreated (control) for 6 h, or exposed to the potent and selective WEE1 kinase inhibitor, MK-1775 (Hirai et al., 2009) for the same period.

As shown in Figure 5.12, exposure of Flag-SLBP<sup>res</sup> cells to MK-1775 resulted in the progressive reduction in SLBP levels detected by western blotting, with SLBP being almost undetectable after 6 h.
Previous worked has indicated that loss of WEE1 activity in vivo triggers a DNA damage response involving the production of DNA double strand breaks (Domínguez-Kelly et al., 2011). Consistent with this observation, cells exposed to MK-1775 showed elevated levels of gamma-H2AX (γ-H2AX), the biomarker for DNA double-strand breaks.

**Figure 5.11** Inhibition of WEE1 results in destabilisation of SLBP in Flag-SLBPres-expressing cells.

Flag-SLBPres-expressing cells were treated with 100 nM MK-1775 for the indicated time (0.5-6 h) in the presence of 0.5 μg/ml Dox. Cell lysate (50 μg of protein) were subjected to 12% SDS-PAGE and analyzed by western blotting with anti-γ-H2AX and anti-SLBP. NB: Under the electrophoresis conditions used, resolution was insufficient to distinguish between endogenous and Flag-SLBP species. Anti-nucleolin was used as a loading control.
One explanation for the data presented above is that WEE1 directly phosphorylates SLBP to modulate the stability of the latter under circumstances where S phase must be prolonged as a result of DNA damage or replication stress. To investigate whether WEE1 kinase directly phosphorylates S182, I performed a protein kinase assay in vitro using a commercially produced source of WEE1 (in Sf21 insect cells, Millipore) together with immuno-isolated Flag-SLPB
res
 cells (SLPwt), Flag-SLPB
resS182E
 cells and purified GST-SLPB as potential substrates (isolated as described in Chapter 4).
**Figure 5.12 In vitro** WEE1 kinase assay with SLBP.

Individual proteins (Flag-SLPB\(^{\text{res}}\) and Flag-SLPB\(^{\text{resS182E}}\)) were obtained by immuno-isolation from cell lysate using ANTI-FLAG\(^{\text{®}}\) M2 affinity resin (packed resin) (Sigma-Aldrich). Bacterially-expressed GST-SLPB was purified using Glutathione-agarose beads. Each kinase reaction was carried out as described in Chapter 2 using Mg\(\gamma^{32}\text{P}\)ATP at a specific activity of \((10^6 \text{ cpm/nmol})\) ATP. Each reaction mixture was subjected to (A) autoradiography and (B) SDS-PAGE. The relative mobility of WEE1, GST-SLPB, and Flag-SLPB are indicated.
No phosphorylation of full-length SLBP (expected relative Mr of bacterially-expressed SLBP<sub>WT</sub> ~ 65 kDa; expected relative Mr of Flag-SLBP ~ 38kDa) was observed in any reaction mixture containing added SLBP (Figure 5.12). That the preparation of WEE1 was capable of phosphorylation was confirmed by the presence of significant degree of autophosphorylation in all samples to which the kinase was added (Fig. 5.12 A and B, Lanes 2, 3, 4 and 5), at a relative Mr consistent with that expected for WEE1 (Parker <i>et al.</i>, 1995; Millipore, 2012). Weak phosphorylation of a low molecular weight species (Mr ~ 26 kDa) was observed in the sample containing added GST-SLBP (Figure 5.12 A and B, Lane 3). This likely corresponds to a truncated translation product comprised predominantly of GST, together with some N-terminal sequence of SLBP (Carl Smythe, personal communication), and may indicate adventitious phosphorylation of a C-terminal disordered region in the fusion protein.

While the experiment described above suggest that SLBP is not phosphorylated directly by WEE1, at least in vitro, it did not rule out the possibility that WEE1 is responsible for the phosphorylation of SLBP at S182 in vivo. If WEE1 is responsible for SLBP phosphorylation at S182 and its resultant stabilisation, then treating Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182E</sup>-expressing cells with MK-1775 would be expected to have limited or no effect on
levels of the expressed protein. Therefore, I examined the effect of inhibiting WEE1 on the stability of Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182E</sup> in vivo (Figure 5.13).

Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182E</sup>-expressing cells were treated with 100 nM MK-1775 for up to 6 h in the presence of 0.5 µg/ml Dox. Cell lysate (50 µg of protein) were subjected to 12% SDS-PAGE and analysed by western blotting with anti-γ-H2AX and anti-SLBP antibodies.
Figure 5.13 Destabilisation of Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182E</sup> is also observed following treatment with MK-1775 <i>in vivo</i>.

Flag-SLBP<sup>resS182E</sup>-expressing cells were treated with 100 nM MK-1775 for indicated times (0.5-6 h) in the presence of 0.5 µg/ml Dox. Cell lysate (50 µg of protein) were subjected to 12% SDS-PAGE and analysed by western blotting with anti-γ-H2AX and anti-SLBP antibodies. **Note:** Under the electrophoresis conditions used, resolution was insufficient to distinguish between endogenous and Flag-SLBP species. Western blotting with anti-nucleolin antibodies was used as a loading control.
The kinetics of MK-1775-induced loss of SLBP in Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{resS182E} -expressing cells was very similar to that observed for Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} cells (Figure 5.14), indicating that MK-1775 induced SLBP degradation is not blocked by the presence of phosphomimetic residue at position 182. This data strongly suggest that the destabilisation of SLBP resulting from WEE1 inhibition is independent of the state of S182 phosphorylation.

**Figure 5.14** SLBP degradation following MK-1775 treatment.

Quantitative using Image J of the level of SLBP protein in Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res} and Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res S182E} -expressing cells shown in Figure 5.10 and 5.12 normalised to the amount of nucleolin in each sample.
5.3 Discussion

Here, I have used phospho-proteomics to identify in vivo phosphorylation sites in human SLBP. This analysis showed for the first time that in mammalian cells, hSLBP is phosphorylated on at least 11 sites. The function of some of these sites (such as Ser110, Ser111, Ser112 and Ser114) is reasonably well understood, all these modification sites have not previously been determined using LC-MS/MS strategy. In the case of Ser182, it has been previously reported as a site of modification using an LC-MS/MS strategy, although the function of this remains the unknown (Bansal et al., 2013). It lies in the RBD and present in a highly conserved SRRS sequence (Thapar et al., 2004). Therefore, I directed attention to attempting to understand the role and significance of phosphorylation at Ser182.

In order to study the role of phosphorylation at Ser182, site-directed mutagenesis was undertaken to establish a phospho-mimetic substitution from serine to glutamate (S182E) and also the non-phosphorylatable substitution to alanine (S182A) in cells that enable doxycycline inducible expression of a siRNA-resistant form of the protein. Observing cells that predominantly express only the mutant form of SLBP, differences between mutants in S phase progression, and duration of SLBP expression, were observed. The kinetics of replication
stress-induced histone mRNA decay also varied dependent on the nature of the expressed form of SLBP. Bioinformatics analysis suggested that WEE1 kinase is a potential candidate to directly phosphorylate Ser182. No evidence was found to support this notion, however, treatment of cells with the WEE1 selective inhibitor MK-1775 brought about a rapid destruction of both Flag-SLBP$^{\text{res}}$ and Flag-SLBP$^{\text{resS182E}}$, suggesting a role for the WEE1 kinase in the regulation of SLBP stability, that is likely independent of the phosphorylation state of Ser182. However, the mechanism of this regulation is still unknown.

5.3.1 Identification of post-translational modifications in hSLBP

In order to determine sites of post-translational modification (PTM) on hSLBP by mass spectrometry, it was necessary to obtain a purified hSLBP preparation isolated from the model cell system. This was done by using the strategy of adding a Flag-tag to the SLBP coding sequences at N-terminus prior to its introduction into mammalian HeLa cells. In addition, the isolation was undertaken from cell preparations in S-phase by synchronization using Noc. Anti-FLAG® M2 affinity gel and 3X FLAG peptide were used to purify Flag-tagged SLBP and the efficiency of Flag-tag based protein purification was described as in Chapter 4. Despite the use of tryptic peptides derived from bacterially-
expressed hSLBP as calibration standards to ensure maximise the extent of identity of equivalent peptides from in vivo samples and synchronised cells were used in order to increase the yield of SLBP, the percentage of SLBP coverage following tryptic digestion was not covered more than 50%. There are three explanations of these. Firstly, it is likely of low abundance of SLBP. In my study, the amount of protein is approx. 0.475 mg by comparing with bacterially expressed SLBP-derived peptides based on western blotting data for detecting PTM by LC-MS/MS. There were no literature reviews showing the used amount of purified SLBP for LC-MS/MS analysis.

Secondly, the condition of the mass spectrometer and its mode of ionization, mass separation, and ion detection need to be considered. It is possible that some peptides were out of range of mass spectrometry detection an (See discussion in section 4.3.2, Chapter 4).

Finally, it may be relevant that additional limitations may have impacted on the protein coverage obtained using the expression system and purification method utilised here. The purification technique and expression system are important tools to keep peptide soluble which involves in the amino acid composition (Price et al., 2011; Niu et al., 2013). The amino acid composition has an effect on chemical and
physical properties of peptide in condition of solubility. As previously shown SLBP has an intrinsically disordered structure and low stability in the absence of of histone mRNA stem-loop (Thapar et al., 2004). A number of mass spectrometry studies into hSLBP phosphorylation for structural and sequence information have been undertaken previously using protein expressed in, and isolated from, baculovirus-infected insect cells, using Ni\textsuperscript{2+} affinity and gel filtration chromatography for hSLBP purification (Zheng et al., 2003 ; Borchers et al., 2006 ; Bansal et al., 2013). Use of relatively large amounts of protein using this approach may have resulted in the 85.2% coverage of the sequence reported by Bansal and colleagues (2013).

For FLAG-affinity purification, the Flag-tag was located at the N-terminus of SLBP. Functional studies have shown that SLBP N-terminal domain are important for translation initiation of histone mRNAs, SLBP degradation, cyclin binding and also the binding site for hSLIP1 (Sanchez and Marzluff, 2002 ; Zheng et al., 2003 ; Cakmakci et al., 2008 ; Bansal et al., 2013). However, there was only one publication shown dSLBP expressed with C-terminal tags, which does not influence the function of SLBP (Thapar et al., 2004). Thus there was potential for loss via proteolytic cleavage (and thus loss) of SLBP protein during
purification, N-terminal proteolysis affecting the DYK epitope, or aberrant folding of the FLAG tag masking the epitope, are potential reasons for reduced efficiency of the purification (Slootstra *et al*., 1997). A recent study has suggested that post-translational modification (PTM) of FLAG-tag by sulfation abolishes the interaction between FLAG epitope and its cognate antibody, resulting in difficulties in detection and FLAG purification of secreted Flag-tagged protein (Schmidt *et al*., 2012). Although SLBP is not a secreted protein, it is not clear whether this or other PTMs of FLAG-tag reduced the potential of the immunopurification method. The use of complimentary purification approaches such as tandem affinity purification (TAP) tag improves purification complexes from a relative small number of cells (Riguat *et al*., 1999). Moreover, combined TAP for MS analysis has proven to efficiently allow for study proteins interacting with a given target protein (Völkel *et al*., 2010). Thus, in order to increase the overall yield of tagged SLBP is likely to improve the overall proportion of the mammalian-expressed hSLBP protein sequence detected using mass spectrometry.
5.3.2 S phase progression

Expression of SLBP phosphorylation site mutants appeared to have subtle effects on cell cycle progression and the apparent length of S-phase. Both FACS analysis and analysis of SLBP expression levels suggested that the rate of progression of the entire cell population through S-phase was faster for cells co-expressing Flag-SLBP<sub>resS182A</sub> than either Flag-SLBP<sub>resS182E</sub> or Flag-SLBP<sub>res</sub> suggesting that phosphorylation of SLBP at this site affects progression through S phase. This may arise through increasing the extent of dispersion of a synchronised cell population progressing through S phase and might reflect a role for SLBP phosphorylation in participating in co-ordinated regulation of normal S phase checkpoint responses to ensure availability of histone mRNA as cells progress through S phase. Alternatively, at this stage it was also conceivable that phosphorylation plays a role in regulating directly the stabilisation mechanism of SLBP. This latter issue is addressed below. As these effects were observed in cells that also expressed normal levels of endogenous wild-type SLBP, these data suggest that these mutants may act in part as dominant negatives, preventing normal SLBP-mediated homeostasis.
The analysis of proportion of cells in S phase in the various Flag-SLBP-expressing cell lines shown in Figure 5.6, which suggested that a significant proportion of cells remained in S phase for extended periods of time in Flag-SLBP$^{\text{resS182E}}$ cells compared to Flag-SLBP$^{\text{res}}$ cells prompted a more detailed study of the stability of SLBP species both in the presence and absence of the endogenous wild-type protein. Initially this analysis was undertaken comparing Flag-SLBP$^{\text{resS182E}}$ with Flag-SLBP$^{\text{res}}$. This was because it was clear from the data in Figures 5.3 and 5.4 that Flag-SLBP$^{\text{resS182E}}$-expressing cells take significantly longer to transit through G2/M compared to either wild-type or S182A mutant cells.

Interestingly these results showed that SLBP stability was maintained for significantly longer periods in cells expressing solely Flag-SLBP$^{\text{resS182E}}$ than in Flag-SLBP$^{\text{res}}$-expressing cells (Figure 5.8C). Consistent with these data, comparative qPCR data suggested that levels of histone mRNA were elevated in Flag-SLBP$^{\text{resS182E}}$ at least at some time points, than in Flag-SLBP$^{\text{res}}$ cells. Taken together these data suggest that one role of S182 phosphorylation may be to modulate (i.e. delay) the scheduled destruction pathway that normally brings about the SLBP destruction at the end of S phase. As is well established, destruction is
brought about by Cdk1-mediated phosphorylation of Thr61 which facilitates Casein Kinase II (CK2) mediated Thr60 phosphorylation and proteosomal degradation (Zheng et al., 2003). Interestingly, S182E also appeared to induce a delayed response to replication induced stress induced histone mRNA decay, although the reason for the apparent increase in histone mRNA immediately after addition of HU is not clear. In this circumstance, the rate of decay observed with wild-type protein was very similar to that observed with the S182A mutant. These data might suggest that the dephosphorylated form of SLBP may be required for a rapid response following exposure to replication stress, while the phosphorylated form may be relevant in circumstances where histone mRNA levels are required for chromatin homeostasis or outstanding DNA replication/repair in late S- or G2-phases.

Unfortunately time constraints precluded a comparative analysis of the Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{resS182A} mutant for comparison. However, future work might be expected to examine directly the role of both mutants on the timing and molecular interactions of SLBP at the end of S phase, including the efficiency with which combinations of phosphorylation mutations (such as T60A, S182A) are degraded to understand whether one site acts dominantly over the other to alter the timing of SLBP
destruction. Although S182 phosphorylation was observed in SLBP samples obtained from synchronised cells, it is not clear whether the stoichiometry of phosphorylation at this site alters throughout S-phase. However, given that this site is conserved (Thapar et al., 2004) and that there are differences in S phase progression, SLBP stability and replication stress induced histone mRNA decay efficiency between cell lines expressing the various mutants compared to wild-type protein, it would be surprising if phosphorylation at this site was not involved in some aspect of regulation of SLBP function.

Interestingly, analysis of cell cycle profiles of cells expressing the various phosphorylation site mutants suggested that there were distinct differences in the efficiency with which progression through S-phase was achieved, and Fag SLBP<sup>resS182A</sup>-expressing cells are most efficient at progressing through S phase, through G2-phase and into the next cell cycle. Analysis of the capability of various mutants to efficiently process histone pre-mRNA to ensure a timely supply of histone protein was beyond the scope of this thesis. One possible explanation for the variation in S phase progression relates to the possibility that Ser182 mutations affect efficient delivery of histone protein which in turn impact on the rate of DNA replication. Recently, Broderson and
colleagues (2016) have reported an important role for CRL4\textsuperscript{WDR23} mediated ubiquitination of SLBP during S-phase to ensure adequate histone supply during DNA replication. It will be interesting to establish whether phosphorylation at Ser182 has any role to play in modulating CRL4\textsuperscript{WDR23} ubiquitination which occurs at residue K156.

5.3.3 Regulatory pathways affecting SLBP stability

Serine 182 phosphorylation site is interesting due to the position at RNA binding domain which is an important domain for RNA binding and processing (Zhang et al., 2012). Importantly, Ser182 is present in a highly conserved SRRS sequence as shown in Figure 5.15 (Thapar et al., 2004). Therefore, it was important to study the molecular function of the phosphorylation at Ser182. Thus, I mainly examined whether or not the functions of phosphomimic and unphosphorylated mutations affect the biological pathway associated with SLBP and histone mRNA degradation.
Figure 5.15  SLBP sequence aligned with the RNA-binding domain (RBD) of *Homo sapiens* (HS), *Xenopus laevis* (X1 and X2), sea urchin (SU), *Ciona* (CI) and *Caenorhabditis elegans* (CE). The bold black letters are conserved residues and red letters are the position of S182.

Interestingly, phosphomimetic S182E has an effect on SLBP stability which was also associated with elevated levels of histone mRNA after cells treated with HU. This is the first insight into the functional differences that arise in cells which are incapable of expressing a form of SLBP, which cannot be dephosphorylated at S182. Although the precise mechanism by which the phosphorylation of SLBP at Ser182 prolongs the effect on stability SLBP, bio-informatics analysis in addition to literature reports supported WEE1 as a potential candidate. WEE1 protein is normally expressed in S and G2 phases (Featherstone and Russell, 1991 ; McGowan and Russell, 1995). WEE1 has roles both in S phase regulation and histone synthesis (Beck *et al*., 2012 ; Mahajan and Mahajan, 2013) as well as a role in regulating the G2/M transition (Smythe and Newport, 1992). Importantly, during replication stress,
WEE1 is a crucial downstream activator after CHK1 phosphorylates and inactivates CDC25 (Saini et al., 2015). WEE1 phosphorylates Cdk1 at tyrosine15 throughout S phase to prevent the G2/M transition (McGowan and Russell, 1995; Beck et al., 2012) and also has a role in regulating the activation state of Cdk2 (Chow et al., 2003) which is believed to be required for S phase progression (Figure 5.16). Interestingly, inhibition of WEE1 results in the emergence of DNA damage in newly synthesised DNA, suggesting that WEE1 plays a direct role in ensuring genomic stability during S phase progression (Beck et al., 2012). As DNA damage and replication stress may be encountered unexpectedly during S phase progression, it would not be inconceivable therefore that one role of WEE1 would be to ensure that the duration in which histone mRNA is expressed may be prolonged to accommodate delays in S phase duration emerging from such DNA damage and replication stress. Moreover, exposure of Flag-SLBPres cells to MK-1775 resulted in the progressive reduction in SLBP levels detected by western blotting. WEE1 is therefore the first kinase to test that it could robustly phosphorylates SLBP at Ser182 in vivo.
Figure 5.16 Regulation of WEE1 during DNA replication stress (diagram was redrawn from Sorensen et al., 2011 and Seligmann, H (Ed)). The authors and journal give permission to use this picture.

(A). During S phase, CHK1 controls CDC25 by phosphorylation. Cdk1 activity is regulated by phosphorylation and dephosphorylation. WEE1 activity is counteracted by CDC25 phosphatase. (B). During replication stress in S phase, it is sensed by ATR, which stimulates CHK1. This affects the effective activity of CDC25 and thus its capacity to activate Cdk1, while WEE1 phosphorylates Cdk1 at tyrosine15 throughout S phase to prevent the G2/M transition.
5.3.4 Inhibition of WEE1 kinase by MK-1775 reduces cellular SLBP levels

On the basis of bioinformatics analysis suggesting that Ser182 might be expected to be phosphorylated by WEE1, one hypothesis that we wished to test was whether WEE1 kinase was responsible for SLBP Ser182 phosphorylation. When asynchronous cells were treated with MK-1775, SLBP levels decreased rapidly compared to untreated cells. Assuming that MK-1775 is indeed specific for WEE1, then these results suggested that WEE1 kinase does play a role in maintaining SLBP stability.

It was an unexpected result that WEE1 did not phosphorylate S182E in in vitro experiments. Weak phosphorylation of a low molecular weight species (M, ~ 26 kDa) was observed in the sample containing added GST-SLBP. This likely corresponds to a truncated translation product comprised predominantly of GST, together with some N-terminal sequence of SLBP (Carl Smythe, personal communication), and may indicate adventitious phosphorylation of a C-terminal disordered region in the fusion protein. It is possible that bacterially-expressed wtSLBP along with GST-tagged protein purification might interrupt SLBP translation which leads to truncated
protein product as the consequence of codon usage during expression in
\textit{E. coli} (Zhang et al., 1991).

Protein kinases execute their cellular function through the
covalent attachment of an ATP-derived phosphate to one or more protein
substrates. To identify a substrate for a specific kinase, it is essential that
there is a sufficient substrate concentration and active kinase activity as
well as the additional substrate $[\gamma^{-32}\text{P}]\text{ATP}$ under appropriate conditions.
In the experiments reported here, autophosphorylation of WEE1 was
observed, suggesting that this protein kinase preparation was indeed
active and functional under the conditions used. However there was less
confidence in the abundance of SLBP as discussed in Chapter 4, which
was not observable even using Colloidal coomassie blue stain after a
protein gel electrophoresis. It is conceivable that the molecular forms of
SLBP substrate utilized here were inappropriate, either because they are
inappropriately folded or they lacked an additional critical
macromolecule - either protein or potentially RNA, rendering the
relationship between substrate and kinase insufficient for catalytic
activity (Ubersax and Ferrell, 2007).

SLBP might not be in proper conformation to be receptive to
phosphorylation. SLBP is unfolded in the free state having limited
extended secondary structure but not a compact one. It is less stable,
easily degraded, and stabilized only in the presence of another protein or in the presence of an ordered mRNA interface (Thapar et al., 2004). Therefore, the observed biochemical properties of SLBP may be simply due to this unusual feature of SLBP in the absence of a highly ordered RNA stem-loop.

Another obvious possibility is that WEE1 is not the relevant protein kinase that targets Ser182. In addition to the high scoring Aurora kinase reported in the bio-informatics analysis, based on literature analysis, inspection of amino acid sequences surrounding Ser182 (FKKY SRRSWDQQIKL), also matches motifs preferred by PKA (R-X-S/T) and CK1 (S-X-X-S/T (Gnad et al., 2011)) protein kinase families. The CK1 family is a highly conserved Ser/Thr protein kinase that phosphorylates key regulatory protein involved in cell cycle, transcription and translation (Schittek and Sinnberg, 2014). Therefore, either Aurora, PKA or CK1 families might also be responsible for Ser182 phosphorylation.

An additional possibility arises from observations related to phosphorylation dependent substrate protein kinase targeting. It might be the case that phosphorylation of S182E is controlled by more than one protein kinases. Multiple examples exist where phosphorylation
both in vivo and in vitro require a previous priming phosphorylation event for efficient subsequent phosphorylation. For example, the phosphorylation of SLBP at Thr60 for SLBP degradation at the end of S phase and the phosphorylation involved in WEE1 degradation at the onset of M-phase by cyclin/cdk1 requires a priming phosphorylation by CK2 (Watanabe et al., 2005; Koseoglu et al., 2008).

Phosphorylation/dephosphorylation is a dynamic system. Therefore, it is also possible that additional, inhibitory phosphorylation sites exist that was not found in my study. For example, although I could not detect phosphorylation of S182E in vitro, glutamate substitution at this site prolonged SLBP degradation, with the notion that dynamic phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of this site could be important if it is phosphorylated in vivo.

Under the conditions of time available to complete Ph.D., I did not have enough time to further experiment in order to identify other candidate protein kinases such as Aurora (AUR) and CK1. However, there are many approaches to identifying the kinase without having to resort to bioinformatics. One way might be to utilise a luciferase based assay system. With regard to the known Cdk sites that promote SLBP destruction (Koseoglu et al., 2008), I would mutate the Cdk sites such
that they were permissive for SLBP degradation (T60E) and then create SLBP mutant expressed in a cell line that expresses a reporter gene by making a luciferase (such as luciferase containing a 3’ UTR stem loop)

Thus, cells might be expected to generate luciferase when SLBP phosphorylated, but not when not phosphorylated. In combination with genome wide siRNA knockdown approaches, it might be possible to identify their kinase that reduces SLBP induced luciferase expression.

However, I found that cells solely expressing Flag-SLBP^{S182E}, which presumably mimics phosphorylation at Ser182, also displayed equivalent kinetics of SLBP destabilization when exposed to the inhibitor of MK-1775. These data indicated whatever the mechanism by which WEE1 regulates the stability of SLBP, it is likely to be independent of the phosphorylation state of SLBP at Ser182. Currently, the significance of a phosphorylatable residue at position 182 in SLBP thus remains unknown.

The regulation of WEE1 and both Cdk1 and Cdk2 is a double negative regulation (Enders, 2010). Both Cdk1 and Cdk2 are inhibited when phosphorylated on tyrosine 15 which is located in the ATP binding site of the protein kinase. At the low levels of cyclin A or B, the WEE1 kinase inactivates Cdk1/Cdk2 by phosphorylating residue
Tyr15, blocking ATP binding and hydrolysis which blocks mitotic entry (Cdk1) and S phase progression (Cdk2) (Soloman, 1990).

This phosphorylation is brought about by both WEE1 and Myt1 protein kinases (Chow et al., 2003) The activation of each Cdk by its cognate cyclin increases the activity of any unphosphorylated Cdk complex which is capable of phosphorylating and activating the relevant CDC25 phosphatase. Consequently, activated CDC25 can remove the inhibitory Tyr15 phosphorylation on Cdk1/2 which allows cells to undergo S phase progression (Cdk2) or mitotic entry (Cdk1) (Watanabe et al., 1995; Okamoto and Sagata, 2007).

The mechanism by which phosphorylation at Thr61 by CyclinA/Cdk1 is believed to trigger SLBP destruction at the end of S phase is shown in Figure 5.17. An alternative explanation for the effect of MK-1775 on SLBP stability is as follows. Because WEE1 is believed to be the protein kinase responsible for the negative regulation of Cyclin A/Cdk1 during S phase (Chow et al., 2003), and as increasing levels of Cyclin A/Cdk1 appear to determine precisely when SLBP destruction is triggered, it follows that any pharmacological agent that suppresses WEE1 activity is likely to result in a prematurely active Cyclin A/Cdk1. In these circumstances, prematurely activated Cyclin A/Cdk1 would be expected to bring about phosphorylation of Thr61 in SLBP and thus
reduce cellular SLBP levels, irrespective of whether S phase was completed or not (Figure 5.18). Future work will be required to establish whether or not this is indeed the case, and whether treatment of synchronised cells with MK-1775 results in a premature activation of Cyclin A/Cdk1 and, early phosphorylation of Thr61 and premature SLBP destruction. One prediction of this hypothesis would be that the supply of histone would be insufficient for ongoing DNA synthesis towards the end of S phase, and the resulting inability of cells to assemble chromatin would result in DNA and chromosome instability. Such an outcome is not inconsistent with the data obtained in other studies where WEE1 activity has been reduced using siRNA technology (Beck et al., 2012).
Figure 5.17 Potential WEE1 kinase might activate cdk1 involving in T61 phosphorylation at the end of S phase.
Figure 5.18 Model for the coordination of WEE1 and SLBP degradation

Exposure of cells to double-strand breaks induce a pathway involving in ATM/ATR and CHK2/CHK1. However, WEE1 is a protein kinase that negatively regulate cell cycle progression by phosphorylating and deactivating cyclin-associated Cdk. WEE1 has been shown to phosphorylate cyclin-associated Cdk at Tyr15 during the S-G2 phase, resulting the delay of onset of mitosis. The model pathway of WEE1 and SLBP is unknown. It is an interesting mechanism somehow WEE1 inhibitor involves SLBP degradation.
It has previously been shown that the phosphorylation of Ser20 and Ser23 in SLBP acts as a phosphodegron resulting in polyubiquitination and proteasomal degradation during S phase. This involves Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase NIMA-interacting 1 (Pin1) which facilitates the dissociation of SLBP from the SLBP-histone mRNA complex (Krishnan et al., 2012). However, the kinases responsible for phosphorylation at these positions remain unknown. It is possible that there is complex interplay between phosphorylation at Ser20 and Ser23 and Ser182 which ultimately impinges on Thr61 phosphorylation. As Pin1 has also been reported to be involved in the regulation of Wee1 function, it is tempting to speculate that Pin1 might be involved in a coordinated mechanism to link cell cycle progression with the stability of critical components involved in each phase of the cycle.

Through the results provided in Chapter 5, it can be summarised that (1) there are factors that affect low percentage coverage of SLBP following tryptic digestion such as low abundance of SLBP, the conditions of mass spectrometry analysis setting, and expression system/purification method (2) S182 phosphorylation may be to modulate (i.e. delay) the scheduled destruction pathway that normally
brings about the SLBP destruction at the end of S-phase (3) WEE1 might not be the target protein kinase of S182 phosphorylation, however, it involves in SLBP stability.
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Chapter 6

Analysis of the Interactome of SLBP in response to DNA damage and replication arrest-induced histone mRNA decay

6.1 Introduction

Cellular surveillance mechanisms act as the safeguards of genome integrity in eukaryotes to reduce the risk of errors that may result either in cell death or diseases. Cell cycle checkpoints are the surveillance mechanisms that operate to regulate the timing of critical cell cycle events to ensure that cells have sufficient time to resolve aberrant DNA or chromosomal structures. One component of the cell cycle checkpoint system operates via a large network of DNA damage response (DDR) genes. Checkpoint components are predicted to comprise sensor components, in addition to signal transduction components as well as effector molecules (as described in section 1.5, Chapter 1) For example, DNA replication stress involves a series of molecules (Mazouzi et al., 2014) including ATRIP, Rad17 and 9-1-1 complex which together with TOPBP1 recognises the presence of excessive amounts of the single-
stranded DNA binding protein RPA, in addition to the highly conserved signal transduction system that comprises the PIKK family members ATR and ATM, as well as their downstream amplifying protein kinases Chk1 and Chk2 (Ciccia and Elledge, 2010) (see diagram in section 1.4.2, Chapter 1). These kinases are activated by genotoxic stresses, either generated externally, such as ionising radiation or ultraviolet light induced DNA damage, or internally as a consequence of innate challenges associated with whole genome replication, leading to delays in cell-cycle progression, the activation of DNA repair pathways, or, should it become clear that genome integrity is compromised, programmed cell death (Ciccia and Elledge, 2010). It is clear that loss of checkpoint functions results in genomic instability, which is the critical factor in the initiation of many cancers.

The Smythe group in collaboration with Muller (2007), as well as Marzluff and colleagues (Kaygun and Marzluff, 2005a), have investigated histone mRNA decay as a potential target of the intra-S phase checkpoint. In support of this notion, Kaygun and Marzluff (2005a) showed that a dominant negative form of ATR was sufficient to block efficient histone mRNA decay. In contrast, Muller et al found that a dominant negative form of ATR was not sufficient to induce replication stress-induced histone mRNA decay which required the
presence of inhibitors of checkpoint signalling including caffeine which principally targets ATR, in addition to LY294002 which blocks DNA-PK (Muller et al., 2007).

However, replication stress does not induce histone mRNA decay via destabilisation and proteolytic destruction of SLBP, as SLBP may still be detected after a prolonged period of replication stress (Kaygun and Marzluff, 2005a). Several models have been proposed to explain how checkpoint transducers such as, ATR and/or DNA-PK may regulate the stability of histone mRNA. However, the detailed mechanism by which replication stress induced histone mRNA decay is unknown. Therefore, I chose to use mass spectrometry to investigate potential changes in SLBP post-translational modification status, together with an analysis of its interacting proteins following replication stress. Flag-tagged SLBP was utilised as a bait to immuno-isolate mRNP complex for analysis by mass spectrometry.
6.2 Results

6.2.1 Triple labeling SILAC strategy

To investigate both SLBP and changes in its network of interacting proteins following replication arrest-induced histone mRNA decay, mass spectrometry analyses of Flag-tagged SLBP and associated proteins was undertaken. To do this, a stable isotopic labeled amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) approach was adopted involving 3 separate isotopically labeled media formulations-heavy (H), medium (M) and light (L), (see below). Cell lysates from each condition were subjected to the immuno-isolation protocol devised in Chapter 4. Given the distinct isotopic content as a result of incubation with SILAC amino acid-containing media, proteins obtained from each treatment show a characteristic mass difference that is detectable by mass spectrometric analyses. The ratios of M/L, H/L and H/M represent a change in protein levels in their respective conditions.

SILAC media containing either light (K0R0), medium ([D4]-lysine, [13C6]-arginine, K4R6) or heavy ([13C615N2]-lysine, [13C615N4]- arginine, K8R10) isotopes of the indicated amino acids were used for three separate growth cell incubation conditions that could be resolved and quantitated by MS. Incorporation of light, medium and
heavy amino acids was verified after cells were permitted to grow over 5 passages to ensure that all proteins were homogeneously labelled isotopically. To check this, a lysate derived from cells grown in K8R10 and K0R0 medium was mixed 1:1 ratio and ratios verified for greater than > 95% of all proteins (data not shown).

In all cases, cells were subjected to the synchronisation protocol, in which cells were treated with Noc for 12 h, released into fresh medium and after that mitotic shake-off was used to detach cells prior to grown them in dishes in the presence of 0.5 µg/ml dox for 14 h, at which point cells are in S phase, either mock-treated, or exposed to 5 mM HU for 20 min. To control for non-specific interactions, cells both exposed to HU and not (50:50 ratio), were grown in K0R0 (light) medium in the absence of Dox (Figure 6.1). Cells in the presence of Dox (and thus expressing Flag-SLBP) were either grown in K4R6 (medium) medium in the absence of HU (mock-treated), or grown in K8R10 (heavy) medium, and subsequently exposed to HU for 15 min to induce replication stress (Figure 6.1).
Figure 6.1 Schematic diagram of the experimental strategy

Triple metabolic labelling SILAC strategy was used to label HeLa cells expressing Flag-tagged SLBP with three different combinations of isotope lysine and arginine. Flag-tagged SLBP stably transfected in Flp-In-HeLa cells growing in asynchronous culture were treated with 40 ng/µl Noc for 12 h, isolated by shake off, replated and then
treated with or without 0.5 µg/ml Dox for 14 h. Then cells were treated with or without 5 mM HU for 20 min., harvested and immunoisolated with ANTI-FLAG M2 affinity gel. Immunoisolations were pooled and analyzed simultaneously by mass spectrometry. Peptides arising from each cell population were quantified by measurement of the relative intensity of light (K0/R0), medium (K4/R6), and heavy (K8/R10) peaks with MaxQuant.
In all three cases, cell lysates were prepared, each subjected to the immuno-isolation protocol described in Chapter 4, and the eluates were combined in equal proportion (by volume). Subsequently, the combined eluate comprising proteins labelled with each isotope combination were subjected to gel electrophoresis, 5 gel slices were generated, and each was subjected to in-gel digestion with trypsin as described in section 2.2.10. This experimental protocol was undertaken for three separate experiments.

### 6.2.2 Overview of identified SLBP-interacting proteins

In total, peptides derived from 2,964 proteins were identified over all three experimental conditions after data processing using MaxQuant software (Cox and Mann, 2008). In order to calculate isotopic ratios and thus relative abundances of individual proteins, Maxquant software requires that a minimum of 2 peptides, and their isotopic variants (that is M/L, H/L and H/M) were identified for any given protein, and these criteria were fulfilled for 977 proteins.

One way to verify that true SLBP interactors are detected using this approach is to establish whether previously characterized SLBP
interacting proteins are identified in the MS analysis. I therefore selected published SLBP interactors from six databases:

IntAct (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/intact/),
BioGRID (https://thebiogrid.org),
HPRD(http://www.hprd.org),
APID (http://cicblade.dep.usal.es:8080/APID/init.action),
STRING (http://string-db.org),
and PIPs (http://www.compbio.dundee.ac.uk/www-pips/).

I selected those interactions supported by more than one publication (Appendix J). The data presented here showed that 67% (12/18) of those interactors were identified (see below), indicating that the experimental set-up is capable of the analysis of the SLBP interactome, with a high level of sensitivity.
6.2.3 Identification of SLBP-bounds proteins by quantitative mass spectrometry

Determination of the sets of ratios describe above allows for the normalisation of the data, to allow comparison between experimental conditions and between biological replicates. To determine equivalence of the ratio distributions between biological replicates, I calculated Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) between replicates. While high values were obtained for all three sets of ratios between replicates 2 and 3, (M/L, H/L and H/M correlations of 0.89, 0.92 and 0.53, respectively), very poor correlation was observed with replicate 1 (Figure 6.2), suggesting poor performance in this replicate (non-linear correlation). This data indicates that the distribution of population values in the three biological replicates varies between individual experiments which may have the effect of increasing both false positive and false negative identification of candidate interaction partner proteins. However, stringent criteria threshold and restricted filtering data to reduce contaminants were applied and will be discussed in more detail in the sections that follow.
Figure 6.2 The comparison of the three independent biological replicates of log₂ SILAC ratios (A) M/L, (B) H/L and (C) H/M for each experiment.
**Figure 6.3** Analysis of protein interaction dynamics occurring between no HU and HU conditions.

Scatter-plots were plotted with $\log_2(M/L)$ on the x axis versus $\log_2(H/M)$ ratios on the y axis of all proteins quantified by MaxQuant in the three biological replicates of each SLBP-interacting proteins. The dotted pink lines show a threshold cutoff 0.5 fold change. Proteins identified with $H/M$ ratios between 1.5 and 0.7 ($0.5 > \log_2 H/M > -0.5$) were categorised as unchanging. Proteins whose association is increased in response to replication stress are expected to have $H/M$ ratios more than 1.5 ($\log_2 H/M > 0.5$) while proteins whose association is decreased in response to replication stress show $H/M$ ratios lower than 0.7 ($\log_2 H/M < -0.5$). Blue, red and green spots represent quantified proteins in replicate 1, 2 and 3, respectively.
In order to display the specificity of the interaction between identified proteins and SLBP (M/L ratio) together with any changes resulting from imposition of replication stress (H/M ratio), a plot of $\log_2(M/L)$ versus $\log_2(H/M)$ values was produced for all 977 proteins in all three replicate experiments (Figure 6.3).

SLBP was enriched between 40 and 100 fold in all three replicates (Figure 6.3). Although the level of SLBP does not change upon HU treatment (Kaygun and Mazluff, 2005a), the H/M ratios obtained for SLBP varied between 1.5 and 0.7 (-0.5 < $\log_2H/M$ < 0.5). Consequently, proteins identified with H/M ratios between 1.5 and 0.7 (0.5 > $\log_2H/M$ > -0.5) were categorised as unchanging under the conditions of the experiment.

Thus proteins whose association is increased in response to replication stress are expected to have H/M ratios more than 1.5 ($\log_2 H/M > 0.5$) while proteins whose association is decreased in response to replication stress show H/M ratios lower than 0.7 ($\log_2 H/M < -0.5$) (Figure 6.3). Proteins that show M/L ratios lower than 1 are likely to be mostly non-specific contaminants. The threshold value of the M/L ratio used here was 1.5 ($\log_2M/L > 0.5$). This is an arbitrary figure and proteins close to this threshold may either be contaminants or falsely discarded interaction partners. To minimise the number of proteins...
mis-categorised in this way, candidate proteins were only identified if shown to be enriched in at least two of three independent biological replicates.

Consequently, the I-i-MS experiment identified 299 proteins interactors of SLBP consisting of 3 categories with respect to status following replication stress: increased association (4 proteins), unchanged association (213 proteins) and decreased association (82 proteins) as shown in Table 6.1-6.3 (categorised protein names by alphabetical order were shown in Appendix K).

I failed to observe some previously reported SLBP interactors such as MIF4G domain-containing protein (MIF4GD or SLIP1) (von Moeller et al., 2013), CBP80/20-dependent translation initiation factor (CTIF) (Choe et al., 2013) and zinc finger protein 473 (ZNF473 or ZFP100) (Dominski et al., 2002). However previously reported SLBP interactors such as nuclear cap-binding protein subunit 1 (NCBP1 or CBP80), nuclear cap-binding protein subunit 2 (NCBP2 or CBP20) (Choe et al., 2013) were identified. In addition, components (UPF1, UPF2 and UPF3B) of the nonsense mediated decay pathway, at least one of which (UPF1) has been previously implicated in replication stress induced histone mRNA decay (Kaygun and Marzluff, 2005b; Mueller et al., 2007) were identified. Moreover, immuno-isolation-MS
experiments led to identification of a number of novel SLBP-interactors, both under steady-state conditions as well as following replication stress. Most notable among these are proteins involved in mRNA export, translation initiation, DNA repair, nuclear exosome complex, RNA helicases and histone mRNA processing.

**Table 6.1** Proteins (4 proteins) whose association with SLBP increases following replication stress. The log$_2$(M/L) and log$_2$(H/M) of 3 biological replicates were shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein names</th>
<th>Gene names</th>
<th>Log$_2$(M/L) rep1</th>
<th>Log$_2$(M/L) rep2</th>
<th>Log$_2$(M/L) rep3</th>
<th>Log$_2$(H/M) rep1</th>
<th>Log$_2$(H/M) rep2</th>
<th>Log$_2$(H/M) rep3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THO complex subunit 4</td>
<td>ALYREF</td>
<td>1.09309</td>
<td>0.977097</td>
<td>1.63882</td>
<td>0.0361869</td>
<td>0.571628</td>
<td>0.755229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THO complex subunit 1</td>
<td>THOC1</td>
<td>2.67446</td>
<td>1.55189</td>
<td>1.48923</td>
<td>0.494774</td>
<td>0.571628</td>
<td>0.614851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THO complex subunit 5 homolog</td>
<td>THOC5</td>
<td>2.63583</td>
<td>1.03759</td>
<td>0.0961369</td>
<td>0.699285</td>
<td>0.970486</td>
<td>0.729009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THO complex subunit 6 homolog</td>
<td>THOC6</td>
<td>1.55567</td>
<td>1.34008</td>
<td>1.43039</td>
<td>0.586308</td>
<td>0.404685</td>
<td>0.920446</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6.2 Proteins (213 proteins) whose association with SLBP unchanged following replication stress. The log₂(M/L) and log₂(H/M) of 3 biological replicates were shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein names</th>
<th>Gene names</th>
<th>Log₂(M/L) replicate 1</th>
<th>Log₂(M/L) replicate 2</th>
<th>Log₂(M/L) replicate 3</th>
<th>Log₂(H/M) replicate 1</th>
<th>Log₂(H/M) replicate 2</th>
<th>Log₂(H/M) replicate 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFG3-like protein 2</td>
<td>AFG3L2</td>
<td>0.933195</td>
<td>0.986884</td>
<td>1.20132</td>
<td>-0.20329</td>
<td>-0.001297</td>
<td>0.076716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPF0656 protein C4orf106</td>
<td>C4orf106</td>
<td>1.20959</td>
<td>0.931894</td>
<td>1.49686</td>
<td>0.050447</td>
<td>0.312781</td>
<td>-0.346105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncharacterized protein C1orf78</td>
<td>C1orf78</td>
<td>0.009436</td>
<td>2.20865</td>
<td>2.27807</td>
<td>-0.208941</td>
<td>-0.0011467</td>
<td>0.056428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphatase target of PIM1 protein</td>
<td>PIM1</td>
<td>0.007211</td>
<td>1.67182</td>
<td>1.9733</td>
<td>0.018359</td>
<td>0.182166</td>
<td>0.183333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIN-1-like 3 alpha subunit</td>
<td>CIN1L3A</td>
<td>1.1219</td>
<td>1.401572</td>
<td>1.86477</td>
<td>-0.24386</td>
<td>-0.0934482</td>
<td>0.427986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIN-1-like 2 subunit alpha</td>
<td>CIN1L2A</td>
<td>0.003146</td>
<td>1.0852</td>
<td>1.3985</td>
<td>-0.21866</td>
<td>0.0542344</td>
<td>0.0528322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX1</td>
<td>DDX1</td>
<td>1.05588</td>
<td>1.15596</td>
<td>1.62863</td>
<td>0.0040489</td>
<td>0.0326982</td>
<td>0.028997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein A20.7 NAC domain RNA helicase DDX15</td>
<td>DDX15</td>
<td>0.60789</td>
<td>1.63614</td>
<td>2.12534</td>
<td>0.0133286</td>
<td>-0.269507</td>
<td>-0.2457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX15</td>
<td>DDX15</td>
<td>0.003146</td>
<td>1.0852</td>
<td>1.3985</td>
<td>-0.21866</td>
<td>0.0542344</td>
<td>0.0528322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium permeable amiloride-sensitive ion channel 4</td>
<td>KCNE1</td>
<td>1.13152</td>
<td>1.13152</td>
<td>1.85229</td>
<td>-0.083158</td>
<td>-0.175498</td>
<td>-0.2285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium potassium transporter alpha 4</td>
<td>SLC1A4</td>
<td>0.003146</td>
<td>1.0852</td>
<td>1.3985</td>
<td>-0.21866</td>
<td>0.0542344</td>
<td>0.0528322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein AAH2</td>
<td>FAM98B1</td>
<td>1.10789</td>
<td>1.04219</td>
<td>1.60661</td>
<td>-0.004797</td>
<td>-0.104854</td>
<td>-0.003279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein A20.7 NAC domain RNA helicase DDX15</td>
<td>DDX15</td>
<td>0.003146</td>
<td>1.0852</td>
<td>1.3985</td>
<td>-0.21866</td>
<td>0.0542344</td>
<td>0.0528322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium permeable amiloride-sensitive ion channel 4</td>
<td>KCNE1</td>
<td>1.13152</td>
<td>1.13152</td>
<td>1.85229</td>
<td>-0.083158</td>
<td>-0.175498</td>
<td>-0.2285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium potassium transporter alpha 4</td>
<td>SLC1A4</td>
<td>0.003146</td>
<td>1.0852</td>
<td>1.3985</td>
<td>-0.21866</td>
<td>0.0542344</td>
<td>0.0528322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein AAH2</td>
<td>FAM98B1</td>
<td>1.10789</td>
<td>1.04219</td>
<td>1.60661</td>
<td>-0.004797</td>
<td>-0.104854</td>
<td>-0.003279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium permeable amiloride-sensitive ion channel 4</td>
<td>KCNE1</td>
<td>1.13152</td>
<td>1.13152</td>
<td>1.85229</td>
<td>-0.083158</td>
<td>-0.175498</td>
<td>-0.2285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium potassium transporter alpha 4</td>
<td>SLC1A4</td>
<td>0.003146</td>
<td>1.0852</td>
<td>1.3985</td>
<td>-0.21866</td>
<td>0.0542344</td>
<td>0.0528322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein AAH2</td>
<td>FAM98B1</td>
<td>1.10789</td>
<td>1.04219</td>
<td>1.60661</td>
<td>-0.004797</td>
<td>-0.104854</td>
<td>-0.003279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium permeable amiloride-sensitive ion channel 4</td>
<td>KCNE1</td>
<td>1.13152</td>
<td>1.13152</td>
<td>1.85229</td>
<td>-0.083158</td>
<td>-0.175498</td>
<td>-0.2285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium potassium transporter alpha 4</td>
<td>SLC1A4</td>
<td>0.003146</td>
<td>1.0852</td>
<td>1.3985</td>
<td>-0.21866</td>
<td>0.0542344</td>
<td>0.0528322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein AAH2</td>
<td>FAM98B1</td>
<td>1.10789</td>
<td>1.04219</td>
<td>1.60661</td>
<td>-0.004797</td>
<td>-0.104854</td>
<td>-0.003279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium permeable amiloride-sensitive ion channel 4</td>
<td>KCNE1</td>
<td>1.13152</td>
<td>1.13152</td>
<td>1.85229</td>
<td>-0.083158</td>
<td>-0.175498</td>
<td>-0.2285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium potassium transporter alpha 4</td>
<td>SLC1A4</td>
<td>0.003146</td>
<td>1.0852</td>
<td>1.3985</td>
<td>-0.21866</td>
<td>0.0542344</td>
<td>0.0528322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein AAH2</td>
<td>FAM98B1</td>
<td>1.10789</td>
<td>1.04219</td>
<td>1.60661</td>
<td>-0.004797</td>
<td>-0.104854</td>
<td>-0.003279</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Proportion of proteins showing increased mRNA expression | N/A | 0.00129 | 0.24641 | 0.00129 | 0.24641 | 0.00129 | 0.24641 |
Table 6.3 Proteins (82 proteins) whose association with SLBP decreases
following replication stress. The log2(M/L) and log2(H/M) of 3
biological replicates were shown.
Protein names
ATP-binding cassette sub-family F member 1
Actin-like protein 6A
Aspartyl/asparaginyl beta-hydroxylase
ATPase family AAA domain-containing protein 3A
Ataxin-2-like protein
Ribosome biogenesis proteinBMS1homolog
Caprin-1
Cytoskeleton-associated protein 5
Carboxymethylenebutenolidase homolog
Nucleolar RNA helicase 2
Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX47
Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6
Putative ATP-dependent RNA helicase DHX30
ATP-dependent RNA helicase DHX36
ATP-dependent RNA helicase A
DnaJ homolog subfamily A member 3, mitochondrial
Developmentally-regulated GTP-binding protein1
Probable rRNA-processing protein EBP2
Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2
Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit 2
Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit 3
Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit E
Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit H
Eukaryotic initiation factor 4A-II
Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4 gamma 1
Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 5B
Exosome complex component RRP4
Exosome complex component RRP41
Exosome complex component MTR3
pre-rRNA processing protein FTSJ3
Ras GTPase-activating protein-binding protein 2
Gem-associated protein 5
Glioma tumor suppressor candidate region gene 2 protein
Putative oxidoreductase GLYR1
G-rich sequence factor 1
Nucleolar GTP-binding protein 1
Vigilin
Inhibitor of nuclear factor kappa-B kinase-interacting protein
Interleukin enhancer-binding factor 2
Interleukin enhancer-binding factor 3
BTB/POZ domain-containing protein KCTD5
KH domain-containing, RNA-binding,
signal transduction-associated protein 1
La-related protein 4
Microtubule-associated protein;Microtubule-associated
protein 4
Protein LYRIC
Myb-binding protein 1A
N-acetyltransferase 10
Nucleolin
Nucleolar protein 14
Probable 28S rRNA (cytosine(4447)-C(5))-methyltransferase
tRNA (cytosine(34)-C(5))-methyltransferase
Nuclear fragile X mental retardation-interacting protein 2
Protein odr-4 homolog
Poly [ADP-ribose] polymerase 1
Protein polybromo-1
Pre-mRNA-processing factor 19
Polymerase I and transcript release factor
60S ribosomal protein L10a
60S ribosomal protein L23a
60S ribosomal protein L27
60S ribosomal protein L3
60S ribosomal protein L30
60S ribosomal protein L36
60S ribosomal protein L4
60S ribosomal protein L8
Ribosome-binding protein 1
Ribosomal L1 domain-containing protein 1

Gene
names
ABCF1
ACTL6A
ASPH
ATAD3A
ATXN2L
BMS1
CAPRIN1
CKAP5
CMBL
DDX21
DDX47
DDX6
DHX30
DHX36
DHX9
DNAJA3
DRG1
EBNA1BP2
EIF2S1
EIF2S2
EIF2S3
EIF2S3L
EIF3E
EIF3S3;
EIF3H
EIF4A2
EIF4G1
EIF5B
EXOSC2
EXOSC4
EXOSC6
FTSJ3
G3BP2
GEMIN5
GLTSCR2
GLYR1
GRSF1
GTPBP4
HDLBP
IKBIP
ILF2
ILF3
KCTD5

Log2(M/L) rep1 Log2(M/L) rep2 Log2(M/L) rep3 Log2(H/M) rep1 Log2(H/M) rep2 Log2(H/M) rep3
0.993493
0.29901
0.683113
0.0257376
0.710966
0.67726
2.60179
0.75745
0.485736
1.05873
0.782409
1.44747
0.987685
0.684998
0.416948
0.328147
0.746571
-0.0196676
0.750949
0.93885

1.27095
1.85204
1.08454
2.19027
0.690909
1.86592
1.53082
1.85012
0.993566
2.36991
2.98714
2.07632
1.90068
1.42637
0.918615
2.21636
2.42862
3.54164
1.05436
2.07738

3.10378
2.42282
1.35265
2.58628
0.984261
2.01981
2.56769
1.37662
0.827413
2.83261
3.01623
2.3822
2.28084
1.7398
1.65668
1.96706
2.49339
3.29992
1.8324
3.15189

-0.267132
0.173895
-0.138235
0.128161
-0.071012
-0.116927
-0.0314595
0.0229004
-0.748796
0.016211
-0.0562362
0.142217
0.00805652
0.00705191
0.0427844
-0.229179
-0.224857
0.0843364
-0.0923709
-0.125463

-0.701131
-0.655717
-0.713947
-0.634039
-0.537592
-0.890198
-0.948391
-1.18148
-1.54738
-0.821381
-0.982477
-0.82309
-0.547953
-0.756575
-0.80826
-0.760577
-1.05224
-1.23253
-0.603293
-1.10995

-1.24171
-0.574326
-0.710307
-0.686313
-0.996196
-0.666439
-0.878799
-1.20078
-0.994183
-0.876387
-0.883635
-0.600424
-0.584674
-0.623154
-0.947167
-0.561409
-0.90417
-1.12664
-0.558878
-1.43901

1.24294

1.93708

2.54955

-0.202207

-1.04922

-1.13343

-0.209278

0.70496

2.03372

-0.167231

-0.554167

-0.892848

-0.772407

1.45654

2.0588

-0.0132905

-0.697266

-0.853475

1.07998
0.487898
0.555914
0.232661
0.563158
0.000432815
-0.0653401
1.09228
0.406537
0.140909
1.94235
0.59846
0.0597706
0.857105
-0.212584
0.345964
0.810649
1.34596

2.17961
1.68916
0.885028
0.991898
1.51243
1.59034
1.76791
2.37446
1.46957
3.40667
4.57634
1.91659
3.30118
2.05287
3.01781
2.04736
1.74252
1.51435

2.97807
2.43435
1.88554
2.2047
1.80244
2.09748
2.47298
2.26147
2.05513
2.67154
3.06781
2.60604
3.15714
2.29152
2.48238
2.31307
1.32866
1.68648

0.116365
-0.132483
-0.116364
-0.0250596
0.0227584
0.184979
0.130008
0.0429245
0.00388999
-0.229568
-0.0330971
-0.129339
0.334568
0.0143553
0.0900404
0.00230651
0.0329472
-0.106343

-1.70925
-0.676503
-0.807149
-0.789935
-0.663237
-0.843862
-0.875513
-0.594683
-1.10321
-0.527533
-1.53061
-1.11282
-0.636055
-1.30134
-1.41227
-0.853006
-0.604148
-0.756965

-1.22267
-0.80138
-1.36587
-0.52197
-0.522323
-0.516532
-0.782198
-0.637626
-0.873397
-0.594617
-1.40916
-0.764469
-0.578068
-1.25822
-0.943278
-0.578736
-0.72922
-0.526203

KHDRBS1

1.51546

2.63448

2.72179

-0.0497107

-0.553617

-0.672864

LARP4

0.689925

0.908044

1.28765

-0.170995

-0.562495

-0.807528

MAP4

1.98535

1.60374

2.87741

-0.0685439

-0.785005

-1.06664

MTDH
MYBBP1A
NAT10
NCL
NOP14
NOP2
NSUN2
NUFIP2
ODR4
PARP1
PBRM1
PRPF19
PTRF
RPL10A
RPL23A
RPL27
RPL3
RPL30
RPL36
RPL4
RPL8
RRBP1
RSL1D1

0.356707
0.158725
0.327687
0.573181
0.809497
0.00532815
0.438932
1.23799
-0.434286
1.56589
-0.156048
0.80331
0.279531
0.0617071
0.259062
0.042084
0.280362
0.00647761
-0.227168
0.490159
0.0174944
0.180403
0.43808

3.5036
1.7586
1.84844
1.86271
0.548634
2.26027
0.856309
2.22983
1.00087
0.929034
1.1501
2.32328
2.15445
1.31499
1.54992
0.971737
3.08819
1.53481
1.3003
3.15262
3.04937
2.12241
4.19456

3.08217
1.92471
2.83952
3.16712
1.64547
2.87149
1.72238
2.09478
1.15853
1.63166
2.64934
2.42148
2.13622
3.11347
2.05408
1.42277
3.34696
2.36569
1.94561
3.55937
3.96689
3.06176
3.8339

0.351967
0.15769
0.00360232
0.139601
0.0200577
0.339023
0.116498
-0.102744
-0.177751
-0.253773
0.233029
0.116098
-0.591353
0.286171
-0.0342489
0.0127833
0.141564
0.293488
0.264837
0.10809
0.263515
-0.146579
0.0511633

-0.894751
-0.631667
-0.858227
-0.615641
-0.621111
-0.794783
-0.633815
-0.681006
-0.581886
-0.808917
-0.679873
-0.697055
-0.670865
-0.529156
-0.523442
0.0619835
-0.890252
-0.681932
-0.698974
-0.852016
-0.616945
-0.845417
-0.939925

-0.763758
-0.774009
-1.11397
-1.03753
-1.17358
-1.12674
-0.989192
-0.594857
-0.722586
-0.877712
-1.41231
-0.57626
-0.518288
-1.07585
-0.635943
-0.205414
-0.703055
-1.06873
-1.11791
-0.847544
-1.03792
-1.36665
-0.757428
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene names</th>
<th>Log2(M/L) rep1</th>
<th>Log2(M/L) rep2</th>
<th>Log2(M/L) rep3</th>
<th>Log2(M/H) rep1</th>
<th>Log2(M/H) rep2</th>
<th>Log2(M/H) rep3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SWI/SNF-related matrix-associated actin-dependent regulator of chromatin subfamily E member 1</td>
<td>SMARCE1</td>
<td>0.441377</td>
<td>2.0234</td>
<td>2.86439</td>
<td>-0.796742</td>
<td>-1.05284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal recognition particle subunit SRP6B</td>
<td>SRP6</td>
<td>-0.011954</td>
<td>2.58183</td>
<td>3.6589</td>
<td>0.035207</td>
<td>-0.610874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal recognition particle subunit SRP72</td>
<td>SRP72</td>
<td>0.237074</td>
<td>2.46106</td>
<td>2.71831</td>
<td>-0.118022</td>
<td>-0.824929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRP protein kinase 1</td>
<td>SRPK1</td>
<td>1.52252</td>
<td>2.5291</td>
<td>2.45004</td>
<td>-0.0141207</td>
<td>-0.771495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACT complex subunit SSRP1</td>
<td>SSRP1</td>
<td>1.10971</td>
<td>2.23011</td>
<td>2.38593</td>
<td>-0.596924</td>
<td>-0.859023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrocye banded 7 integral membrane protein</td>
<td>STOM</td>
<td>-0.846402</td>
<td>1.1378</td>
<td>1.860933</td>
<td>-0.204016</td>
<td>-0.600708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmembrane alpha protein 2</td>
<td>TBL2</td>
<td>-0.485601</td>
<td>3.11373</td>
<td>3.33871</td>
<td>0.187641</td>
<td>-0.658999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitochondrial import inner membrane translocase subunit TIM44</td>
<td>TIMM44</td>
<td>0.854024</td>
<td>1.30065</td>
<td>0.762996</td>
<td>-0.286294</td>
<td>-0.629121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA topoisomerase 1</td>
<td>TOP1</td>
<td>1.41091</td>
<td>2.49976</td>
<td>3.20408</td>
<td>-0.207195</td>
<td>-1.38105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulator of nonsense transcripts 1</td>
<td>UTF1</td>
<td>-0.0083565</td>
<td>1.07806</td>
<td>1.81672</td>
<td>-0.0141434</td>
<td>-0.852954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ybox-binding protein 3</td>
<td>YBX3</td>
<td>0.30795</td>
<td>2.57213</td>
<td>2.60559</td>
<td>0.066761</td>
<td>-0.945249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc finger CCCH domain-containing protein 15</td>
<td>ZC3H15</td>
<td>1.2307</td>
<td>2.83668</td>
<td>2.36863</td>
<td>-0.104955</td>
<td>-0.811335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc finger CCCH domain-containing protein 18</td>
<td>ZC3H18</td>
<td>0.269871</td>
<td>1.5685</td>
<td>1.15225</td>
<td>0.260716</td>
<td>-1.2389</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Log2(H/M) rep1 Log2(H/M) rep2 Log2(H/M) rep3
0.441377 2.0234 2.86439 0.0607391 -0.798742 -1.05284
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6.2.4 Functional analysis

The Cytoscape plugin ClueGO integrates Gene Ontology (GO) terms, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) information and BioCarta data to generate functionally grouped gene ontology and pathway annotation networks (Bindea et al., 2009; Lopes et al., 2010). Default settings for the biological process category were used to analyse the three categories of enriched proteins and only pathway connections which showed statistical significance ($p < 0.05$) (Huang et al., 2007) are displayed.

The group of up-regulated proteins, ALYREF, THOC1, THOC5 and THOC6 are well-established components of the TREX complex which is involved in multiple steps of transcription, 3’ end formation and nuclear export (Katathira, 2012). (Figure 6.4). Interestingly, ALYREF, THOC1 and THOC5 have also been implicated in replication fork processing and connect with genome instability (Wellinger et al., 2006; Dominguez-Sanchez et al., 2011; Heath et al., 2016) and at least one of these components (THOC5) is a known target of the DNA damage checkpoint regulator ATM (Ramachandran et al., 2011).
Figure 6.4 The biological role of up-regulated genes visualised with ClueGO (kappa score: \( \geq 0.4 \)).
In the group of down-regulated proteins, the group leading terms involved regulatory pathways associated with translation initiation complex formation, translation, nuclear-transcribed mRNA catabolic processes, ribonucleoprotein complex assembly, regulation of mRNA stability, assembly of the 60S ribosome subunit and DNA damage recognition in global genome nucleotide excision repair (GG-NER) (Figure 6.5).

In the group of stable-regulated proteins, there were 20 group-leading pathways as shown in Figure 6.6. The roles of stable-regulated genes are in the pathways of mRNA processing, transcription, post-transcriptional processing and translation. However, there are also proteins involved in epigenetic modification, nucleosome assembly, non-coding RNA (ncRNA) metabolic processing, telomere maintenance and ribonucleoprotein complex assembly.
Figure 6.5 The biological role of stable-regulated proteins visualised with ClueGO (kappa score: ≥ 0.4)
**Figure 6.6** The biological role of down-regulated proteins visualised with ClueGO (kappa score: ≥ 0.4)
6.2.5 Interactome analysis based literature reviews

As previously mentioned, my study aimed to discover potential interacting proteins of SLBP which might improve the understanding of molecular pathway of histone mRNA decay, following imposition of replication stress. In order to accurately determine all of proteins in each category, all of proteins were reviewed together with keyword “DNA damage response (DDR)” by searching on PubMed database (Table 6.4).
Table 6.4 Proteins involve in DDR found in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein names</th>
<th>Pathways involved</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XRCC5, XRCC6, PARP1, DHX9, RCC2, HDAC1, HDAC2, NONO, PHRF1</td>
<td>NHEJ</td>
<td>Taccioli et al., 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Walker et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zhou et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Miller et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Krietsch et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chang, et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBM14</td>
<td>DNA-PK-dependent NHEJ pathway</td>
<td>Kai, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hnRNPUL, SERBP1, CtIP PARP1, RBMX</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Polo et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hong et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ahn et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adamson et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYBBP1A, NAT10, GLTSCR2</td>
<td>p53</td>
<td>Kuroda et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Liu et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lee et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2.6 Post-translational modification of SLBP detected by mass spectrometry

In addition to undertaking a protein-protein interaction analysis, the availability of isotopically labelled Flag-tagged SLBP from mock as well as HU-treated cells enabled the analysis of changes in SLBP phosphorylation stoichiometry following this treatment. In this experiment the peptides containing sites previously observed at Thr62 Thr171 and Ser182 were not observed. However, in this analysis, two high-confidence sites (high-confidence identity, posterior error probability [PEP], < 0.01) were identified (shown in red in Figure 6.7) in addition to 3 other sites with medium PEP (shown in blue). Importantly all of these sites have been reported previously on the PhosphoSitePlus database (www.phosphosite.org) with a high number of records assigned using only MS, suggesting that these modification sites are commonly present on SLBP. Importantly the isotopic labelling data indicates that phosphorylation at Ser20 and Ser23 is significantly up-regulated in response to hydroxyurea treatment (Table 6.5). There was no change in stoichiometry at positions 61. However, phosphorylation at Ser110 and Ser115 was not shown the same changes at the different retention time.
These data indicate clearly that SLBP undergoes a significant increase in phosphorylation at residues 20 and 23, and probably 114 and 115, in response to replication stress.

**Figure 6.7** SLBP sequence coverage with phosphorylation sites of Flag-tagged SLBP in mammalian expression system detected by SILAC based-LC-MS/MS.

**Table 6.5** Identification of SLBP phosphorylation and unphosphorylation sites in the presence of SILAC conditions (highlighted by red colours are phosphorylated residues).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide position</th>
<th>MS/MS</th>
<th>Retention time</th>
<th>Position of Phosphorylation site</th>
<th>Peptide sequences</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>Intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 26</td>
<td>3263</td>
<td>22.046</td>
<td>CDGDA</td>
<td>PARWSLG</td>
<td>20 and 23</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>754730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 26</td>
<td>3263</td>
<td>13.328</td>
<td>CDGDA</td>
<td>PARWSLG</td>
<td>20 or 23</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>195030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 69</td>
<td>1596</td>
<td>22.822</td>
<td>RPESF</td>
<td>TPEGPKPR</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>RPESF</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3561300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 69</td>
<td>1596</td>
<td>33.275</td>
<td>RPESF</td>
<td>TPEGPKPR</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>RPESF</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>336100000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 69</td>
<td>1596</td>
<td>10.822</td>
<td>RPESF</td>
<td>TPEGPKPR</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>RPESF</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>287460000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 137</td>
<td>6029</td>
<td>26.438</td>
<td>SSGGDSSDSKESMSTVPADFETDESVIMR</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>754730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 137</td>
<td>6029</td>
<td>58.216</td>
<td>SSGGDSSDSKESMSTVPADFETDESVIMR</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3561300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 137</td>
<td>6029</td>
<td>82.943</td>
<td>SSGGDSSDSKESMSTVPADFETDESVIMR</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>336100000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 137</td>
<td>6029</td>
<td>32.162</td>
<td>SSGGDSSDSKESMSTVPADFETDESVIMR</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>287460000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 137</td>
<td>21887</td>
<td>83.774</td>
<td>SSGGDSSDSKESMSTVPADFETDESVIMR</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>287460000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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6.3 Discussion

Quantitative mass spectrometry using stable isotope labeling of amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) is a powerful technique in order to study the protein interactomes. Changes in interactome composition as a function of different biological conditions could offer insights into biological states, complementing analyses of molecular mechanism of the protein of interest. Therefore, this approach was applied to investigate changes in SLBP-interacting proteins directly and indirectly under DNA damage and replication stress-induced histone mRNA decay. It was also hoped to discover new protein members of the SLBP interactomes which may help to understand this DDR pathway.

6.3.1 Intrinsic strengths and limitations of immuno-isolation-mass spectrometry (I-i-MS)

Immuno-isolation (I-i) is a term using in this study in order to isolate Flag-tagged SLBP and SLBP-interacting proteins from whole cell lysates using ANTI-FLAG® M2 Affinity gel, to facilitate the isolation and analysis of SLBP, and in particular SLBP-protein interactions. However, I-i is a subset term of affinity-purification (AP). Compared to other methods used to define protein-protein interactions such as yeast two-hybrid, I-i coupled to MS has four major advantages. First, it can be
performed under near physiological conditions, in the relevant organism and cell type. Second, it does not affect post-translational modifications which are often important for the organization and/or activity of complexes. Third, it can be used to study dynamic changes in the composition of protein complexes in combination with SILAC. Finally, MS can detect every abundant protein present in the immuno-isolation (Gingras et al., 2007).

The I-i approach coupled to MS does have limitations particularly regarding the issues of false positive and false negative identifications. False positives deal with the lack of specificity in the presence of contaminants proteins due to non-specific binding such as common contaminants (for examples, actin and tubulin) which are often present in immuno-isolated protein samples, in addition to a set of proteins that are known to interact with affinity matrices (such as Flag-antibody resins) (Gingras et al., 2007; Dunham et al., 2012).

In this study, the experimental design allowed me to distinguish between proteins associated with Flag-SLBP (i.e. in the presence of Dox) in the absence and presence of replication stress, and proteins associated with the matrix identified from cell lysates not expressing Flag-SLBP (in the absence of Dox). The use of quantitative proteomics using SILAC helps to distinguish true interactors from background
contaminants. In this work, high thresholds both for increased and decreased association were used, in order to identify proteins whose abundance change significantly in response to replication stress. Protein identifications were also only included if they were hits in at least two of three independent biological replicates.

Unfortunately, the correlation coefficient of SILAC ratios was low in replicate 1 compared with replicates 2 and 3. However, the data arising from replicate 1 was not eliminated as to do so would impact the statistical confidence of results. Unfortunately, given the time constraints, there was not enough time to repeat this replicate again. That multiple biological replicates were undertaken nonetheless increases confidence that identified proteins are true interactors as they were observed twice or more.

This study is the first to report SLBP-interacting proteins under normal and replication stress conditions using SILAC-based MS. There is a paucity of previously documented SLBP-interacting proteins under replication stress conditions with the possible exception of UPF1 (Kaygun and Marzluff, 2005a; Kaygun and Marzluff, 2005b). However, the validity of this experiment is confirmed by the identification of 67% of known protein SLBP interactions identified in six databases.
In the case of false negatives, there are four factors likely to contribute to the lack of detection of known interactors. Firstly, the proteins might not have interacted under the tested conditions. Secondly, the nature and N-terminal location of the Flag-tag might have disrupted interactions when this form of the protein was expressed in the model cell line. Thirdly, the conditions of the I-i may have been too harsh to preserve the interaction. Lastly, a potential problem resides with the relative abundances of a tagged bait and the amount of prey in the cell sample (Gingras et al., 2007; Bonetta, 2010). The detection of low-abundance interactors of SLBP may be challenging given the nature of SLBP itself. Native SLBP is an intrinsically disordered protein, lacking a stable three-dimensional structure (Thapar et al., 2004a; Thapar et al., 2004b; Zhang et al., 2012). It is conceivable that SLBP structural flexibility may result in loss of some interactors that require a specific conformation for stable interaction (Thapar, 2014). Thus, using in vivo cross-linking combined with I-i is an option in the future to enrich SLBP-interacting proteins and minimise false negatives in this type of experiment.
There are several points that should be kept in mind when interpreting the SLBP-interacting proteins in all 3 categories. The presented results do not distinguish between direct and indirect interactions, and thus identified proteins might interact indirectly with SLBP, via one or more bridging molecules. In the work presented here, ribonuclease (RNase) was deliberately not added in the I-i experiment. This was to ensure that a comprehensive list of SLBP associated proteins were identified that would include ribonucleoprotein components. It is conceivable that RNAase treatment would reduce the complexity of the SLBP interactome enabling analysis solely of proteins that interact directly with SLBP and possibly eliminate additional false positive contaminants. This was not done for the reasons given above. Finally, it is conceivable that some protein-protein interactions detected in a cell lysate may occur as a consequence of artifact arising from the generation of the lysate, and may not actually occur in vivo if the relevant proteins never co-localize within the cell. It follows that the validation of SLBP-interacting proteins should be in the next step for comprehensive insight into the biological functions of this protein both during normal and replication stress induced conditions.
6.3.2 Overview GO analysis

Using all three independent biological replicates, the SILAC approach used here allowed the identification of 997 proteins in which isotopic ratios corresponding M/L, H/L and H/M could be quantified. By plotting the distribution of the log$_2$ isotopic ratios on a scatter-plot graph, this enabled the identification of proteins that associate non-specifically with the matrix (log$_2$M/L < 0.5; 121 proteins), together with proteins whose association with SLBP increases (log$_2$H/M > 0.5; 4 proteins), decreases (log$_2$H/M < 0.5; 82 proteins) or stays largely unchanged (0.5 > log$_2$H/M > -0.5; 213 proteins).

To date, no studies of the SLBP-interactome in the presence of HU-induced histone mRNA decay has been presented. Most studies have been focused on identification of RNA binding protein (RBP) targets, RNA-protein interaction by using RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP), UV crosslinking and immunoprecipitation (CLIP), enhanced CLIP (eCLIP), photoactivatable-ribonucleoside-enhanced CLIP (PAR-CLIP) and individual-nucleotide-resolution CLIP (iCLIP) (Ule et al., 2005; König et al., 2010; Ascano et al., 2011; van Nostrand et al., 2016). However, this substantial information will be useful a framework for SLBP- or other RBP-protein interaction database in the future.
With the data provided here when DNA damage and replication stress response, protein alters between different cell compartments especially nucleolar proteins in Cajal bodies (CBs) and nucleolus. In general, both Cajal bodies (CBs) and the nucleolus are involved in the production of non-poly(A)-tailed RNAs, including histone mRNAs, small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs), and small nucleolar ribonucleoproteins (snoRNAs) (Boulon et al., 2007). Interestingly, most of the SLBP interacting proteins described here are associated with either CBs and nucleoli in the cellular response to replication stress.

6.3.2.1. Up-regulated proteins

Export of histone mRNA into the cytoplasm requires the transcription export complex (TREX) in addition to the export receptor TAP (Erkmann et al., 2005; Lei et al., 2011). TREX complex comprised of the components listed in Table 6.6 is an evolutionary conserved multi-protein complex that plays a major role in the functional coupling of different steps during mRNA biogenesis, including mRNA transcription, processing, mRNP maturation and nuclear export (Katahira, 2012; Heath et al., 2016). The RNA export factors, including the TREX complex (THOC1, THOC2, THOC3, ALYREF, THOC5, THOC6, THOC7, spliceosome RNA helicase DDX39B (UAP56), CHTOP,
POLDIP3, ZC3H11A and UAP56-interacting factor (UIF) bind the cap-binding protein (CBC) complex comprising CBP80/20, also referred to as nuclear cap-binding protein (NCBP) 1 and 2) (Ohno et al., 2000; Cheng et al., 2006) as part of the assembly of an export competent mRNP. CBP80/20 (or NCBP1/2) rather than EIF4E are believed to be bound to histone mRNA when histone mRNA degradation is initiated (Choe et al., 2013).
Table 6.6 Composition of the TREX complex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>THO subunits</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOC1</td>
<td>Core subunit of THO subcomplex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOC2</td>
<td>Core subunit of THO subcomplex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOC3</td>
<td>Core subunit of THO subcomplex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOC5</td>
<td>Export co-adaptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOC6</td>
<td>Metazoa-specific subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOC7</td>
<td>Metazoa-specific subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TREX subunits</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAP56 (DDX39B)</td>
<td>DEAD-type box helicase, splicing factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDX39A</td>
<td>Putative EJC-associated protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALYREF</td>
<td>Export adaptor, EJC-associated protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UIF</td>
<td>Export adaptor, EJC-associated protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUZP4</td>
<td>Export adaptor, EJC-associated protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHTOP</td>
<td>Export adaptor, EJC-associated protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP29 (SARNP)</td>
<td>ATP-dependent interaction with UAP56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLDIP3</td>
<td>ATP-dependent interaction with UAP56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZC3H11A</td>
<td>ATP-dependent interaction with UAP56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREX-associated proteins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NXF1 (TAP)</td>
<td>RNA export receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NXT1</td>
<td>Required for NXF1 stabilisation and mRNA export</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZC3H18</td>
<td>NEXT complex component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRRT</td>
<td>CBC effector in RNA 3’ processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17orf85 (NCBP3)</td>
<td>Involved in RNA export upon viral infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCBP1 (CBP80)</td>
<td>Transcription elongation, RNA export and stability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Why might there be increased association between components of the TREX complex and SLBP under conditions of replication stress? One possibility is that the increased association reflects an additional step in the mechanism of replication stress induced histone mRNA decay that up-regulates the steady state levels of histone mRNPs for export to induce rapid degradation of the histone mRNA which occurs in the cytoplasm. Thus the increased association of these proteins with SLBP following replication stress may reflect the notion that the intra S-phase checkpoint targets the process of RNP assembly and the export of mature RNAs from the nucleus, in addition to affecting cytoplasmic SLBP associated proteins.

However, a significant number of proteins involved in this pathway (Table 6.7) such as THOC2, chromatin target of PRMT1 protein (CHTOP), polymerase delta-interacting protein3 (POLDIP3) and Zinc finger CCCH domain-containing protein 11A (ZC3H11A) were not found to increase in association with SLBP following HU treatment.
**Table 6.7** The Log₂H/M ratios of composition of the TREX complex found in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>Log₂H/M rep.1</th>
<th>Log₂H/M rep.2</th>
<th>Log₂H/M rep.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Up-regulated proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOC1</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALYREF</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOC5</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOC6</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stable-regulated proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOC2</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHTOP</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLDIP3</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZC3H11A</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The relative lack of enrichment of these components may be due to technical limitations associated with selected stability of some components of the TREX complex. However, it is also entirely possible that the subset of the TREX complex found associated with SLBP represents a novel replication stress-induced sub-complex which facilitates histone mRNA decay. For example, in yeast, deletion of specific THO/TREX components increases genome instability via R-loop formation during transcription, resulting in loss of genomic integrity (Huertas and Aguilera, 2003; Bermejo et al., 2012; Montecucco and Biamonti, 2013).

While the data in this study support the notion that THOC5 (in addition to other TREX components) associates with SLBP under conditions of replication stress, its ability to bind to target mRNAs in response to DNA damage mediated is reportedly reduced following phosphorylation by the checkpoint kinase, ATM (Ramachandran et al., 2011). Disruption of THOC1 function has also been implicated in loss of genomic integrity. Mutants in THOC1 accumulate DNA damage as measured by increases in the level of phosphorylated histone H2AX (Li et al., 2007). Thus further work will be required to establish the precise nature and composition of this complex as well as its functional implications in the cellular response to replication stress.
It is becoming increasingly evident that rapid responses to physiological stress conditions involve the synthesis, processing and export of synthesized mRNA (Holcik and Sonenberg, 2005). Interestingly, several previous studies have identified RNA binding proteins involved in different steps of mRNP assembly and maturation, as targets of the DNA damage or replication checkpoint kinases ATM (ataxia telangiectasia mutated) and ATR (ATM-Rad3 related). (Matsuoka et al., 2007; Paulsen et al., 2009; Hurov et al., 2010; Montecucco et al., 2013).

In particular, a large-scale proteomic study assessing protein expression changes in the DNA damage response (DDR) revealed enrichment in RNA processing proteins, indicating that RNA metabolism and DNA repair pathways functionally intersect. In addition genetic screens have identified a range of RNA processing factors including RBPs in the DNA damage response (Paulsen et al., 2009; Lackner et al., 2011; Kai, 2016).

Together these data, in addition to the data presented here, suggest that there is a complex interplay between the cellular response to replication stress, and the machinery involved in progress from transcription to translation. However, the role played by mRNA processing factors in the cellular response to endogenous and exogenous
sources of DNA damage is still largely unexplored and will require considerable additional dissection.

6.3.2.2 Stable and down-regulated proteins

Under normal circumstances, SLBP associated with the histone stem loop would be expected to interact with the processes of histone gene expression, mRNA maturation, RNP assembly, nuclear export, translation and mRNA turnover. Replication stress induced histone mRNA decay is dependent both on the presence of SLBP and on ongoing translation. Consequently it is unsurprising that, in the experimental set up utilized in this screen proteins associated with histone mRNP assembly and biogenesis, as well as ribosomal components and the products of histone translation were abundant components associated with I-i of SLBP.

Following pre-mRNA processing, RNP assembly and nuclear export, translational initiation involves the engagement of capped mRNA with components of the 40S subunit of the ribosome before the recruitment of the 60S component and assembly of a functional ribosome. Categories of proteins that were specifically down-regulated in response to replication stress included 8 protein components of the 60S ribosomal complex (Figure 6.8) as well as 12 translation initiation
factors (Figure 6.9). In contrast, none of the 11 heterogeneous ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) identified were down-regulated (Figure 6.10), nor were any of the 11 identified components of the 40S ribosomal subunit (Figure 6.11). These data are consistent with the initiation of a cellular response to selectively down-regulate histone protein production in response to replication stress via a suppression of the ribosome assembly pathway, at the point of recruitment of the 60S subunit. Despite these changes, surprisingly, there was no observable change in the abundance of any of the 18 histone protein variants (Figure 6.12) associated with SLBP following 20 min of replication stress. While this time period was chosen, as it is approximately the length of time required for decay of ~50% of replication dependent histone mRNA following replication stress, it is presumably insufficient to effect a significant change in histone protein production rate.
**Figure 6.8** The component of 60S ribosomal proteins in response to replication stress (d stands for down-regulation and – stands for stable regulation)

**60 S ribosomal proteins**  
*(Ribosomal large subunit biogenesis)*

- RPL11 (-)
- RPL3(d)
- RPL4(d)
- RPL8 (d)
- RPL10A(d)
- RPL23A(d)
- RPL27(d)
- RPL30(d)
- RPL36(d)

**Figure 6.9** The component of translation initiation factor in response to replication stress (d stands for down-regulation and – stands for stable regulation)

**Eukaryotic translation initiation factor**

- EIF2A (d)
- EIF2B (d)
- EIF2G (d)
- EIF3E (d)
- EIF3H (d)
- EIF4A1 (d)
- EIF4A2 (d)
- EIF4F (d)
- EIF4GI (d)
- EIF4G1 (d)
- EIF4G2 (d)
- EIF5B (d)
- EIF4E (-)
- EIF4A1 (-)
- EIF4A3 (-)
Figure 6.10 The component of heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNPs) in response to replication stress (– stands for stable regulation)

Figure 6.11 The component of 40S ribosomal proteins in response to replication stress (– stands for stable regulation)
Figure 6.12 The component of histone protein variants in response to replication stress (– stands for stable regulation)
6.3.3 The role of known mRNA decay factors in histone mRNA degradation

Another major category of proteins whose SLBP-associated abundance decreased following HU treatment was components of the exosome, the multi-protein intracellular complex capable of degrading RNA. Components EXOSC2, EXOSC4, EXOSC7 and MTR3 (Januszyk and Lima, 2014) all of which are members of the cytoplasmic exosomal complex and have 3’-5’ exoribonuclease activity, were significantly reduced. These data are consistent with the notion that that replication stress induces significant cytoplasmic exosomal degradation of histone mRNA within 20 min of HU exposure, resulting in a decreased abundance of the exosomal components. As these data were obtained via an SLBP immuno-isolation approach, they are not consistent with models that propose that SLBP dissociation is required for histone mRNA decay. Although significant levels of the nuclear 5’-3’ exonuclease XRN2 was also detected in SLBP isolates, there was no significance change in its levels under these conditions. XRN2 is the conserved homolog of XRN1 that is recruited to facilitate pre-mRNA 3’-processing and terminates RNA polymerase II transcription by degrading the downstream RNA in nucleus (Kaneko et al., 2007 ; Brannan et al., 2012). However it also plays a role in mRNA decay (Brannan et al.,
2012). These data may imply that it is involved in normal pre-mRNA processing, and/or it plays a limited role in replication stress induced mRNA decay, although given that it is a processive exonuclease, it is also conceivable that it retains the capability to interact indirectly with SLBP via its cognate histone mRNA, while the process of degradation is underway.

CBP80/20 are the components of the cap-binding complex (CBC) that binds to 5’cap 7-methylguanosine (7mG) and recruits the enzymes and cofactors to the transcript which mediate further processing, export and translation (Gonatopoulos-pournatzis and Cowling, 2014). Choe et al (2012) have reported that histone mRNA may be found associated either with the cap binding proteins CBP80/20 (also known as NCBP1/2) or eukaryotic translation initiation factor eIF4E, but that rapid degradation of histone mRNA following inhibition of DNA replication preferentially occurs on CBP80/20 associated histone mRNA. Although both CBP80, and CBP20, in addition to eIF4E were detected in this experiment, no significant change in either cap binding configurations was observed. These data suggest that the difference in efficiency of histone mRNA decay occurs independently of the interaction between CBC components and SLBP, despite the known role of decapping (via Dcp1/Dcp2) in the process of histone mRNA decay (Coller et al.,
2001; Kaygun and Marzluff, 2005b). As SLBP was identified in a yeast two hybrid screen as a CBP80/20-dependent translation (CT) initiation factor interacting protein, this result may not be unexpected.

The interactomic analysis also identified additional interaction partners of the CBC - arsenic-resistance protein 2 (ARS2) and zinc finger CCCH domain-containing protein 18 (ZC3H18) - which have been linked to the role of the nuclear RNA exosome in transcription termination (Andersen et al., 2013). The CBC associates with ARS2 to form CBC-ARS2 (CBCA) for transcription termination and together with nuclear exosome targeting complex (NEXT) which contains superkiller viralicidic activity 2-like 2 (SK2L2 or MTR4), the putative RNA binding protein RBM7, and the Zn-knuckle protein ZCCHC8, forms CBC-NEXT (CBCN) for exosome targeting (Lubas et al., 2011). Both CBCA and CBCN interact with ZC3H18 to form NEXT complex aiding in the exosomal degradation of promoter-upstream transcripts (PROMPTS) (Preker et al., 2008).

Additional proteins down-regulated in response to DNA damage and replication stress induced histone mRNA decay were DEAH-Box Helicase 9 (DHX9) which is involved in resolving intra-molecular triplex nucleic acid structures thus preventing genomic instability (Jain et al., 2013) and Y-Box Binding Protein (YBX3) which has a role in
mRNA stability (Eliseeva et al., 2011). In addition to helicases discussed above, a full list of helicases showing reduced association, in addition to those which did not change is shown in Figure 6.13. The significance of these changes is currently unknown.

**Figure 6.13** The component of helicases in response to replication stress

(d stands for down-regulation and – stands for stable regulation)
6.3.4 UPF1 associates with SLBP following imposition of replication stress

Kaygun and Marzluff (2005a) were the first to suggest that Upf1, the core RNA helicase involved in nonsense mediated decay also plays a role in replication stress induced histone mRNA decay, and demonstrated a modest increase in the association between SLBP and UPF1 following imposition of replication stress. Consequently there are two working models proposed to explain how UPF1 mediates histone mRNA decay.

(1). Upf1 binds to the decapping complex (Dcp1/Dcp2) and the Xrn1 5’-3’ exoribonuclease, which are involved in degrading mRNA from its 5’ end (Kaygun and Marzluff, 2005b).

(2). The recruitment of Upf1 stimulates the specific recruitment of the terminal uridylyl transferases (TUTases) which oligouridylate to 3’ mRNA end. The U6 snRNA-associated Sm-like protein (Lsm 1-7) complex binds this tails and recruits decapping complex (Dcp1/Dcp2) to remove the 5’ cap of the mRNA (Coller et al., 2001). Then mRNA is degraded from 5’- 3’ by the Xrn1 exoribonuclease or degraded from 3’ - 5’ exonuclease by exosome components (Mitchell et al., 1997).
With the exception of components of the exosome, almost none of these proteins were identified in this interactomic experiment. However, a notable exception to this was the identification of UPF1. Somewhat surprisingly, a significant decrease in abundance was observed in response to replication stress, in direct contrast to results reported by Kaygun and Marzluff (2005a), who used cells over-expressing both components to detect an increased level of co-immunoprecipitating complex. Although Kaygun and Marzluff (2005a), reported that other components of the nonsense mediated decay pathway were not involved in replication stress induced histone mRNA decay, the analysis undertaken here also identified the presence of components UPF2 and UPF3 associated with SLBP, although interestingly, the relative levels did not decrease in response to replication stress. Taken together, these data confirm that SLBP does interact with UPF1, and possibly other components of the NMD machinery, although precise details of mechanism remain to be elucidated.
6.3.5 SLBP-interacting proteins involved in DDR

Somewhat surprisingly, the SLBP interactome also contained a large number of proteins, which, although their levels did not change in response to replication stress, are nonetheless well-established components of replication pathways, or DNA damage response components associated with replication fork stalling and its consequences.

DNA replication components identified include the replicative helicase subunit MCM5, in addition to the replication factor C (RFC) subunits 1, 3, 4, and 5. MCM5 is part of the MCM2-7 complex which acts as the major DNA replicative helicase during replication (Bochman and Schwacha, 2009). RFC1, RFC2, RFC3, RFC4, and RFC5 form a heteropentamer complex that interacts with proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and enables the binding of its N-terminal DNA-binding domain to duplex DNA. This mechanism is essential in the recognition of non-primer template DNA structures during replication and/or repair (Mossi et al., 1997).

One of the key consequences of replication stress, whether it occurs endogenously as a result of the competition between replication and transcription, the presence of fragile sites, or as a result of exogenous genotoxic stress, involves replication fork arrest, stalling, and
then distinct restart pathways that depend on the extent of DNA damage arising from these events. Such responses include both homologous recombination induced replication restart, as well as both HR and NHEJ associated mechanisms to address double strand breaks repair arising from replication form stalling (Shrivastav et al., 2008). Most of these responses are known or are believed to respond to checkpoint signalling pathways activated in response to replication stress.

SLBP immuno-isolates were enriched in X-ray repair cross-complementing protein 5 (XRCC5/ Ku70) and X-ray repair cross-complementing protein 6 (XRCC6/Ku80), two proteins involved in DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair by non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) (Taccioli et al., 1994 ; Walker et al., 2001) Importantly, both Ku70 and Ku80 are known to activate the PIKK DNA activated protein kinase (DNA-PK) (Lovejoy and Cortez, 2009 ; Fell and Schild-Poulter, 2015) which has been implicated in the mechanism by which replication stress induced histone mRNA decay is activated (Muller et al., 2007). Also enriched were a number of proteins previously identified as partners of Ku 70/80, including: the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase I (PARP1), ATP-dependent RNA helicase 9 (DHX9) and protein RCC2 (RCC2) (Zhou et al., 2010). PARP1 is one of the first proteins to be recruited and activated through its binding to the free DNA ends after the
generation of DSBs. Upon activation, PARP1 recruits DNA and RNA binding proteins such as non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein (NONO) NONO is known to stimulate NHEJ and represses homologous recombination (HR) (Krietsch et al., 2012) and participates in mediating the DDR including the activation of the PIKK ATR. ATR is also implicated in the mechanism by which replication stress induced histone mRNA decay is activated (Muller et al., 2007; Kaygun and Marzluff, 2005a).

Additional enriched proteins included RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosome (RBMX) binds to PARP1 to promote HR (Adamson et al., 2012). RNA-binding protein 14 (RBM14) interacts with XRCC6 in controlling the DNA-PK-dependent NHEJ pathway (Kai, 2016). Moreover, histone modifying enzymes such as histone deacetylase 1 and 2 (HDAC1 and HDAC2) (Miller et al., 2010) and PHD and RING finger domain-containing protein 1 (PHRF1) (Chang, et al., 2015) that function in promoting DSB repair by NHEJ were also enriched. Interestingly, HDAC1 is directly involved in the recruitment of the Rad9-HUS1-Rad1 DNA binding clamp which in turn directly activates the ATR PIKK (Cai et al., 2000).

Fragile X mental retardation protein 1 (FMR1 or FMRP) was also enriched in SLBP immuno-isolates. FMR1 is recruited to chromatin in
response to replication stress following the phosphorylation of the histone, H2AX mediated by ATR kinase (Alpatov et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014). FMR1 forms heterodimers with FXR1 (autosomal homolog FXR1 fragile X related 1) and associates with nuclear fragile X mental retardation-interacting protein 2 (NUFIP2) which was also enriched here. This complex is known to engage directly with the micro RNAs (miRNA) induced silencing complex (RISC) which can lead to the degradation or translational regulation of the respective RNA molecule (Gessert et al., 2010). It is possible that DNA damage and replication arrest-induced histone mRNA decay might require FMR1 through chromatin association connected to DDR regulating genome stability. However, the detailed molecular mechanism of FMR-dependent DDR in response to replication stress still needs further investigation.

All of the proteins identified in this segment have been previously linked to DNA damage signalling consistent with a block in DNA synthesis, stalled replication fork and collapse into DNA double-strand break (DSBs). Taken together, the extent and range of SLBP associated proteins that have functions in replication and replication stress, suggest that histone mRNA surveillance and its regulation may be closely associated with these processes in the nuclear compartment.
6.3.6 SLBP phosphorylation and protein kinases immuno-isolated with SLBP

SLBP function is known to be regulated by phosphorylation and a number of phosphorylation sites have been reported and characterised (Koseoglu, M.M. 2007; Zhang et al., 2014). Previously, phosphorylation at Ser20 and Ser23 has been implicated as a Pin1 mediated phosphodegron that controls SLBP polyubiquitination and subsequently proteasomal degradation at the end of S-phase, although other phosphorylation sites in the C-terminus of the protein were also implicated in the interaction with Pin1 (Krishnan et al., 2012). Importantly, the identification of those phosphide sites in that study were not performed in synchronised cells, nor were stoichiometries determined. Thus, the significance of that data is not clear. The data obtained here clearly show that phosphorylation at these sites only become significantly elevated following imposition of replication stress. As it is known that SLBP is not degraded during replication stress, it is very unlikely that phosphorylation at these sites do act as a phosphodegron as reported (Krishnan et al., 2012). At least two hypotheses can be proposed. The first is that replication stress induced phosphorylation at residues 20 and 23 (in addition to 114 and 115) results in Pin1 mediated disassociation of SLBP from histone mRNA,
allowing for the rapid destruction of the latter. The alternative is that replication stress brings about the dissociation of Pin1 from the N-terminal region of SLBP enabling access to the sites by an unidentified protein kinase. Irrespective of the details of the mechanism, it will be of great importance to establish the identity of the protein kinase responsible for phosphorylation of Ser20 and Ser23.

Because of the catalytic nature of protein kinases and thus their transient interaction with multiple substrates, it would not necessarily be expected that relevant kinases might be detected in immuno-isolation interactomic experiments such as that undertaken here. Nonetheless SLBP I-i was enriched for two isoforms of casein kinase (CK2) as well as casein kinase 1. In addition both Glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK3) (Cohen and Frame, 2001) and Aurora A kinase (Gavriilidis et al., 2015) were also found. Casein kinase 2 is functionally implicated in the destruction of SLBP at the end of S-phase, as it is responsible for phosphorylation of Thr60, after a priming phosphorylation at Thr61 by Cyclin A/Cdk1. Mutations in these residues result in a failure to bring about efficient degradation of SLBP at the end of S phase.
Interestingly, Aurora A kinase was also identified. The principle known function of Aurora A is related to the control of mitotic progression, including the coordination of spindle assembly, regulation of spindle assembly checkpoint, and control of cytokinesis (Ducat and Zheng, 2004). To date, no role for Aurora A has been found during interphase. For this reason, despite the fact that bio-informatics analysis suggested that it was a likely possible kinase with the capability of phosphorylating Ser182, this experiment was not undertaken. Given the data reported here, future experiments should be undertaken to test this possibility.

The significance of the presence of GSK3 associated with SLBP is unclear at present. However, it is of note that this kinase is required for the destruction of the S phase cyclin E via the ubiquitin pathway (Duronio and Xiong, 2013). Its role, if any in the mechanisms regulating SLBP homoeostasis remain to be determined.
6.3.7 A potential role for C17orf85 in histone mRNA homeostasis

In response to DNA damage and replication arrest, histone mRNA decay is initiated to prevent the production of excess of histone proteins (Muller et al., 2007). Part of the mechanism by which this occurs appears to involve an interaction between the nonsense mediated decay component UPF1 and SLBP (Kaygun and Marzluff, 2005; Muller et al., 2007). In addition, the PIKK checkpoint kinases ATR and DNA-PK are also implicated in this mechanism as inhibition of both results in inefficient histone mRNA decay. Because UPF1 is rich in serine/threonine-glutamine clusters (S/TQ domain) (Fiolini et al., 2012), which are the preferred substrate motifs for this family of protein kinases, and which appear to be required for its RNA decay function, hyperphosphorylation of UPF1 is suspected of being one mechanism by which the checkpoint signaling pathway engages with the histone decay machinery.

However recently, a novel mRNA cap binding protein (previously referred to as C17orf85 but renamed nuclear cap-binding protein subunit 3 (NCBP3)) has been identified and shown to interact with CBP 80/20 (Gebhardt et al., 2015). Interestingly, NCBP3 was found to be associated with SLBP in addition to CBP80/20. Intriguingly, NCBP3 has been shown to be an in vivo substrate for the
checkpoint kinase Chk1 that acts downstream of ATR (Blasius et al., 2011). Taken together these data suggest that a multi-protein complex comprising SLBP, CBP80/20 and BCBP2 is a target for checkpoint phosphorylation. The functional consequences of Chk1 phosphorylation on this complex may provide new insights into the mechanism of histone mRNA decay.

In summary, Immuno-isolation mass spectrometry-coupled SILAC approach enabled me to extend novel knowledge on the interactome of SLBP upon DNA damage and replication arrest-induced histone mRNA decay by providing a global view of connection network of RNA metabolism and DNA repair. However, mechanistic models for building effective covering all the functions of SLBP will be a long and arduous process.

In light of these findings as a whole, it is clear that SLBP is a key part to modulate histone mRNA processing through a direct interaction with stem-loop and some proteins as mentioned above, and also through an indirect association along with other RBPs impact on many steps of gene expression such as nuclear export complexes. Moreover, in agreement with previous findings, my study confirms that RBPs coordinate the functional regulation with a diverse set of proteins of RNA molecule, including SLBP (Anko and Neugebauer, 2012).
Moreover, the participation of DNA repair proteins and RNA binding proteins in complexes are dynamically modulated in response to DNA damage by activating DNA repair pathways. This study is the first report that novel CHK1 substrate ‘C17orf85” activates ATR in response to DNA damage and replication arrest-induced histone mRNA decay. However, it needs to be confirmed by further experiments. Taken together, these data provide the groundwork for a system-wide modeling of the effects of DNA damage and replication arrest-induced histone mRNA decay on other biological processes.
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Chapter 7

Concluding discussion and future perspectives

7.1 Introduction

Histone mRNA decay (HD) is the surveillance process which ensures that histone is rapidly degraded following completion of DNA replication at the end of S-phase. Strict coordination between histone protein production and DNA replication is essential for the correct packaging of newly replicated DNA, as imbalances can lead to deleterious effects such as genomic instability.

Interestingly, histone mRNA decay is controlled by the presence of a stem-loop structure at the 3’-untranslated of histone mRNA and a protein HBP/SLBP (Hairpin/stem-loop binding protein) which specifically binds to histone mRNA. SLBP is a unique RNA-binding protein that contains a novel RNA-binding domain (RBD). RBD is the only region of SLBP conserved among diverse metazoans (Caenorhabditis elegans, Drosophila and vertebrates). SLBP expression is regulated during the cell cycle and required for multiple aspects of the regulation of histone mRNA homeostasis such as pre-mRNA transcription, processing, cleavage, translation, and degradation (Zheng
Moreover, HD is one functional target of an intra S-phase checkpoint activated when DNA synthesis is inhibited, ensuring that histone mRNA is rapidly destroyed when global DNA replication is blocked. However, replication stress-induced HD does not induce SLBP destruction (Muller et al., 2007).

Therefore, the main goals in this thesis were to investigate the mechanism of DNA damage and replication arrest-induced histone mRNA decay by focusing on SLBP as the key player of histone mRNA stem-loop.

The data described in this thesis showed that

1. Inducible Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP protein is expressed in a regulated manner similar to that of endogenous SLBP throughout cell cycle progression.

2. The regulated timing of both the translation and the destruction of the Flag- and HA-tagged SLBP occurs normally in these cell line models.

3. A mutated form of SLBP (SLBP\textsuperscript{res}) utilising redundancy in the genetic code to express wild-type protein sequence is resistant to siRNA-induced knockdown of endogenous protein. Flag-SLBP\textsuperscript{res}, which does not generate alternatively spliced forms of SLBP is capable of facilitating histone mRNA decay after the inhibition of DNA replication.
4. The expression of a siRNA-resistant SLBP restores S-phase progression after knocking down endogenous SLBP.

5. Using an inclusion list of SLBP-derived peptide masses obtained from bacterially expressed GST-SLBP-6xHis enabled the identification and characterisation of immuno-isolated SLBP using shotgun proteomics with an overall SLBP sequence coverage of 45%.

6. SLBP was found by mass spectrometry to be phosphorylated in vivo at multiple sites including the novel site Ser182. This site is in the RNA binding domain (RBD) of SLBP.

7. The expression of phospho-mimetic SLBP (S182E) may increase the duration of S-phase, and non-phosphorylatable SLBP (S182A) facilitates more rapid transit through S-phase.

8. Flag-SLPB\textsuperscript{resS182E} expressing cells delay histone mRNA decay after the inhibition of DNA synthesis.

9. Inhibition of WEE1 kinase by MK-1775 rapidly reduces cellular SLBP levels and therefore, WEE1 prevents premature SLBP degradation.

10. A role for the WEE1 kinase in the regulation of SLBP stability is likely independent of the phosphorylation state of Ser182.

11. In addition to histone mRNA decay as a mechanism for replication stress induced reduction in histone protein production, there
is a cellular mechanism which blocks SLBP associated ribosomal assembly. Histone mRNA decay occurs via the exosome mediated degradation although the subcellular location is unclear.

12. UPF1, UPF2 and UPF3b are associated with SLBP, and following replication stress, Upf1 levels, but not Upf2 or 3, decrease.

13. Phosphorylation at Ser20 and Ser23, and Ser114/115 is up-regulated in response to HU treatment, but there is no change in stoichiometry at Ser111, Ser112 or Ser117.

14. SLBP is found associated with a newly identified component (C17orf85 (NCBP3)), of the cap-binding complex. As NCBP3 is a Chk1 substrate, this represents a possible novel mechanism by which replication stress may regulate histone mRNA stability and expression.

7.2 The potential of Flp-In™ T-Rex™ system for analysis of SLBP in a model cell line

According to data presented in Chapter 3, it is clear that inducible expression of exogenous Flag-tagged SLBP using the Flp-In™ T-Rex™ system in HeLa cells is a successful model for further molecular analysis of SLBP function. (1) Both translation and the destruction of the Flag and HA-tagged SLBP occurs normally in Flp-In HeLa cells, and thus tagged SLBP expression is correctly limited to S phase.
(2) The progression through the cell cycle was not affected by ectopic expression of Flag- or HA-tagged SLBP. (3) Knock-down of endogenous SLBP and subsequently expression of Flag- and HA-SLBP<sub>res</sub> facilitates histone mRNA decay at the same rate as normal cells under conditions of DNA damage and replication stress, supporting the notion that the exogenously expressed protein is regulated as the endogenous protein. As the exogenously expressed protein is not capable of undergoing splicing, the data do not support a role for SLBP splice variants in the acute phase of replication stress induced histone mRNA decay.

7.3 Successful establishment of an approach for immuno-isolation (I-i) of Flag-tagged SLBP for mass spectrometry

In summary, the success of this independent method for the identification of SLBP peptides with 45% of sequence coverage of SLBP provides important insights into the strategy and scale of immuno-isolation required to obtain sufficient quantities of SLBP for both the analysis of SLBP post-translational modification status, together with an LC-MS/MS analysis of its interacting proteins in the HeLa cell tissue culture cell line model. In particular, use of an inclusion list enabled the
calibration of the mass spectrometer using the precise masses of peptides obtained from bacterially expressed SLBP together with their HPLC retention time to detect SLBP-derived peptides from an in vivo mammalian source.

Inevitably, there were differences in the specific peptides identified in the analysis of mammalian SLBP compared to the bacterial protein. This issue arises as a result of one of the common limitations of shotgun proteomics for the analysis of proteins derived from complex samples, and is related to the ability of the MS methodology to identify specific peptides in particular combinations of complex mixtures. The variation in specific peptide identification arises because MS peptide identification occurs dynamically and is dependent on the complexity of sample content, and the related peptide abundance presented at any one time to the mass spectrometer. Because these parameters inevitably differ between samples produced from distinct sources, there will always be variation in the identification of specific peptides depending on their relative abundance in the context of other peptides present in the sample mixture.

For example, a number of mass spectrometry studies into hSLBP phosphorylation for structural and sequence information have been undertaken previously using protein expressed in, and isolated from,
baculovirus-infected insect cells, using Ni$^{2+}$ affinity and gel filtration chromatography for hSLBP purification (Zheng et al., 2003; Borchers et al., 2006; Bansal et al., 2013). Use of relatively large amounts of purified protein using this approach will have contributed to the 85.2% coverage of SLBP sequence reported by Bansal and colleagues (2013).

In the work presented here, coverage of the mammalian SLBP protein was significantly lower (45%) than values obtained with purified protein. This is a consequence of the difference in the nature and associated complexity of the material under analysis. In the work presented here, SLBP immuno-isolates not only contained SLBP, but also a large number of co-immunoprecipitating proteins. Analysis of these more complex mixtures inevitably reduces the extent of coverage of specific proteins, and thus limits the depth of analysis possible. Despite this issue, significant analysis of SLBP post-translational status was identified in association with changes in interactomic content, using the adopted approach.
7.4 The role of Ser182 phosphorylation in SLBP function

In the work presented here, I have shown SLBP is phosphorylated in vivo on Ser182 and that expression of a Ser182 phospho-mimetic mutant increases the duration of SLBP expression together with a consequent increase in the duration of histone mRNA expression. In comparison, expression of a non-phosphorylatable mutant at this site reduces the duration of SLBP expression. Additionally, a phospho-mimetic mutant at this site reduced the efficiency of histone mRNA decay following the inhibition of DNA synthesis, compared to either wild-type protein or an unphosphorylatable mutant.

WEE1 was identified as a potential candidate kinase for S182 phosphorylation given that it has roles both in S phase regulation and histone synthesis (Beck et al., 2012; Mahajan and Mahajan, 2013) as well as a role in regulating the G2/M transition (Smythe and Newport, 1992). Importantly, during replication stress, WEE1 is a crucial downstream activator after CHK1 phosphorylates and inactivates CDC25 (Saini et al., 2015). WEE1 phosphorylates Cdk1 at tyrosine15 throughout S phase to prevent the G2/M transition (McGowan and Russell, 1995; Beck et al., 2012). It also has an analogous role in regulating the activation state of Cdk2 (Chow et al., 2003) required for
S phase progression, by regulating the activation state and thus S phase substrate range during S phase.

In experiments undertaken here, SLBP was not phosphorylated by WEE1 kinase in vitro, suggesting that WEE1 is not the physiological kinase responsible for Ser182 phosphorylation. These data must be interpreted with caution as it may be the case that phosphorylation of SLBP at Ser182 by its cognate kinase requires the in vivo configuration of SLBP, which may not have been the case in the experiments undertaken here.

The bioinformatic analysis of kinases capable of Ser182 phosphorylation also included Aurora kinase A. Although there is limited information in the literature regarding the capability of Aurora A to undertake Ser182 phosphorylation, the data obtained here suggesting its enrichment in SLBP I-i preparations suggest that it is conceivably the relevant kinase in this case.

**7.4.1 Effects of WEE 1 inhibition on SLBP expression**

In this work, I found that inhibition of WEE1 kinase by MK-1775 reduces cellular SLBP levels, independently of S182 phosphorylation status. While these results do not inform an understanding of the significance of Ser182 phosphorylation, they do support the hypothesis
that WEE1 activity levels are important regulators of SLBP expression levels. As SLBP expression at the end of S-phase is believed to be regulated by CyclinA/Cdk2, the data presented here, together with known literature results, suggest that WEE1 inhibition results in premature activation of CyclinA/Cdk2, resulting in turn of premature activation of the SLBP destruction pathway. This hypothesis will require further testing, but may well help to explain aspects of genome instability observed in cells exposed to Wee1 inhibition.

7.5 SLBP acts a multi-helper in histone mRNA homeostasis

The function of SLBP in the homeostatic regulation of histone protein production is an exciting and topical subject. It has important functions in multiple aspects of histone mRNA metabolism including: histone gene expression, mRNA maturation, RNP assembly, nuclear export, translation and mRNA turnover. In this thesis, evidence indicated that SLBP is involved in multiple aspects of histone mRNA homeostasis in nucleus and cytoplasm under the condition of DNA damage and replication arrest via TREX complex involvement in export, degradation via exosome complex and DNA damage via DDR as shown in Figure 7.1. However, caution has to be taken as most of the putative functions and interactions of SLBP have to be further confirmed.
**Figure 7.1** Overall of SLBP-interactome analysis associated with many functions in response to DNA replication stress.
7.6 Phosphorylation of Ser20 and Ser23 play a critical role of SLBP degradation under DNA replication stress

Evidence in this study has identified the interesting notion that phosphorylation at these sites only become significantly elevated following imposition of replication stress. As it is known that SLBP is not degraded during replication stress, it is very unlikely that phosphorylation at these sites do act as a phosphodegron as reported (Krishnan et al., 2012). Therefore, at least two hypotheses can be proposed. The first is that replication stress induced phosphorylation at residues 20 and 23 (in addition to 114 and 115) results in Pin1 mediated disassociation of SLBP from histone mRNA, allowing for the rapid destruction of the latter. The alternative is that replication stress brings about the dissociation of Pin1 from the N-terminal region of SLBP enabling access to the sites by an unidentified protein kinase. Interestingly, two isoforms of casein kinase (CK2), Glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK3) and Aurora A kinase were enriched in response to DNA replication stress. These observations may be relevant for the identification of the kinase responsible for phosphorylation of Ser20 and Ser23 under DNA replication stress. However, this identification, and its consequences will require future analysis in this system using
synchronised cell experiments to identify the significance of Ser 20/23 in induction of replication stress induced histone mRNA decay.
7.7 Future perspectives

There are many remaining challenging for the future for complete understanding of details of SLBP interactions in S phase progression. Those objectives are

1. To further confirm SILAC- based MS analysis of SLBP under DNA replication stress in presence and absence of RNAase treatment to establish the nature of direct and indirect SLBP interacting components.

2. To fully establish whether treatment of synchronised cells with WEE1 inhibitor, MK-1775 results in a premature activation of Cyclin A/Cdk1 and, early phosphorylation of Thr61 and thus premature SLBP destruction.

3. To fully establish the identity of the protein kinase responsible for phosphorylation of Ser20 and Ser23.

4. To elucidate the precise mechanism between observed SLBP-interacting proteins and their functions in response to DNA replication stress.
7.8 Final Conclusion

This work highlights the notion that SLBP is a fundamental multi-helper protein involved in regulating histone mRNA homeostasis. It also provides new evidence about the functions of S182 phosphorylation on cell cycle progression, SLBP expression, histone mRNA decay and SLBP stability. Importantly, the induced signal in response to DNA replication stress involving Ser20 and Ser23 phosphorylation, which presumably involves Pin1 mediated disassociation of SLBP from histone mRNA will be important for the understanding of replication stress induced histone mRNA decay.

This is a pioneering research area providing insight into the molecular mechanisms relating to the interaction between SLBP-containing protein complexes that mediate histone homeostasis after inhibition of DNA replication. As such, it builds on the basic knowledge of contemporary fundamental cell biology in this area.
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### Appendix A. Recipes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffers</th>
<th>Components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blocking buffer</td>
<td>5% (w/v) Skimmed milk or BSA in TBS or TBST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affinity purification buffer (Buffer A-C)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer A</td>
<td>2.3 M sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mM EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mM PMSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer B</td>
<td>50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mM DTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 mM KCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mM EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mM PSMF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer C</td>
<td>10 mM Hepes pH 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mM DTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1x proteinase inhibitor tablet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell freezing medium</td>
<td>10% DMSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90% FBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffers</td>
<td>Components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colloidal Coomassie blue stain</td>
<td>0.1% Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50% methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10% glacial acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destaining solution</td>
<td>40% methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10% glacial acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilution buffer</td>
<td>0.5% BSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2% Tween 20 in PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6x DNA loading buffer</td>
<td>0.25% (w/v) bromophenol blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25% (w/v) xylene cyanol FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30% (v/v) glycerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFA buffer</td>
<td>10 mM HEPES pH 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150 mM NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4% serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1% sodium azide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luria-Bertani (LB) liquid medium (1L)</td>
<td>10 g tryptone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 g yeast extract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 g NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI staining solution</td>
<td>20 μg/ml propidium iodide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 μg/ml Rnase in ice-cold PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stripping buffer</td>
<td>25 mM Glycine, pH 2.5 and 2% SDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffers</td>
<td>Components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5x Lysis buffer</td>
<td>100 mM Tris-acetate pH 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 mM EGTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 mM EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 mM sodium β-glycerophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 mM sodium pyrophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250 mM sodium fluoride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.4 M sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Add just before use to 1x lysis buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 mM sodium ortho-vanadate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 mM PMSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1x proteinase inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x SDS-PAGE loading buffer</td>
<td>100 mM Tris Cl (pH 6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4% SDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20% glycerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 mM DTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x SDS-PAGE running buffer (1L)</td>
<td>30 g Tris-base pH 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>144 g glycine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 g SDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffers</td>
<td>Components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In-gel digestion buffer (Solution buffer 1-8)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution buffer 1</td>
<td>200 mM ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40% CAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution buffer 2</td>
<td>50 mM ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution buffer 3</td>
<td>50 mM ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50% CAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution buffer 4</td>
<td>40 mM ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9% CAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution buffer 5</td>
<td>100% CAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution buffer 6</td>
<td>5% formic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution buffer 7</td>
<td>50% CAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5% formic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution buffer 8</td>
<td>1mM HCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super Optimal Broth media (SOB) (1L)</td>
<td>2% w/v tryptone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5% w/v Yeast extract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mM NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 mM KCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ddH₂O to 1000 mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mM MgCl₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mM MgSO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffers</td>
<td>Components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super Optimal broth with Catabolite repression media (SOC) (1 L)</td>
<td>1 L SOB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 mM glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1x Tris-acetate EDTA (TAE)</td>
<td>40 mM Tris-acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 mM acetic acid and 0.5 mM EDTA (pH 8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1x Tris-buffered saline (TBS)</td>
<td>50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150 mM NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1x Tris-buffered saline tween (TBST)</td>
<td>50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150 mM NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5% (v/v) Tween-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash buffer</td>
<td>0.5% BSA in PBS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix B. List of antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Raised against</th>
<th>Suppliers</th>
<th>Product Code</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-BrdU</td>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>React with BrdU in single stranded DNA</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>AB6326</td>
<td>Flow Cytometry: 1mg/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Cyclin A</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Human cyclin A</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>AB38</td>
<td>WB: 5µg/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Cyclin E</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Human, mouse, rat, Chinese Hamster cyclin A</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>AB7959</td>
<td>WB: 1:1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Flag</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Flag Tag</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>F1804</td>
<td>WB: 1:1000 IP: 1µg/mg protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-goat HRP</td>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>Goat IgG</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>SC-2020</td>
<td>WB: 1:5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-His</td>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>His Tag</td>
<td>AbD Serotec</td>
<td>AHP1656</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Raised against</td>
<td>Suppliers</td>
<td>Product Code</td>
<td>Dilution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-mouse HRP</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Mouse IgG</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>SC-2060</td>
<td>WB: 1:5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-SLBP</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Human SLBP</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>SC-101140</td>
<td>WB: 1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-nucleolin</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Human nucleolin</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>SC-17826</td>
<td>WB: 1:10000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix C. List of oligonucleotides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names</th>
<th>Sequence 5’-3’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flag-tagged SLBP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>GCACTTAAGATGGATTACAAGGATGACGATGACAAGCTGGCCTGCCGCCCCGCGAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>TCGACTCGAGTTAGCTCATGGCTGAGAAGTCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flag-tagged SLBP&lt;sub&gt;res&lt;/sub&gt; - Forward</td>
<td>CCTCATCAATGACTTTGGAAGGGGAGCGAAAAATCATCATCAGGAAGTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flag-tagged SLBP&lt;sub&gt;res&lt;/sub&gt; - Reverse</td>
<td>AACTTCCTGATGATGATTTTCGCTCCCTCCAAAGTCATTTGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcloning of human SLBP into pCI-neo</td>
<td>GCAGAATTCAATGGCCTGCCGCCCGCGAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Names</td>
<td>Sequence 5’-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH -Forward</td>
<td>TCGCTCTCTGCTCCTCTCTGTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH -Reverse</td>
<td>CGACCAAATCCGTTGACTCCGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone -Forward</td>
<td>GGTAAGCGCCACGCAAGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone -Reverse</td>
<td>GGCAGTAAACGTTGAGGCTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLBP-RNA Primer</td>
<td>GAGGGTTTCTTTCTTTTTATTTGGCAATTCCCATAACAAAAAGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLBP siRNA - Forward</td>
<td>GAGAGAGAAAAUCAUCAUCUU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLBP siRNA – Reverse</td>
<td>GAUGAUGAUUUUCUCUCUU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names</th>
<th>Sequence 5’-3’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subcloning of human SLBP into pCMV-tag2a-Forward</td>
<td>AATTGAATTCCATGGCCTGCGCCCCGCGAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcloning of human SLBP into pCMV-tag2a-Reverse</td>
<td>TCGACTCGAGTTAGCTCATGGCTGAGAAGTC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A non-targeting siRNA (Thermo Scientific D-001810-01) was used as a negative control.
## Appendix D. List of plasmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmids</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Antibiotic resistant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pCDNA5/FRT/TO/CAT</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCDNA5/FRT/TO/CAT-Flag-SLBP</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCDNA5/FRT/TO/CAT-Flag-SLBP&lt;sub&gt;res&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCI-Neo-SLBP</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCMV-Tag 2A-Flag-SLBP</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Kanamycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pOG44</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix E. Flag-tagged SLBP protein sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>MACRPRSPPR</th>
<th>HQSRCDGDAS</th>
<th>PPSPARWSLG</th>
<th>RKRRADGRRW</th>
<th>RPEDAEAAEH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix F. Flag-tagged SLBP cDNA sequence

GAT TAC AAG GAT GAC GAT GAC AAG  atggcctgcc gccccggaag cccgccccggg
31  catcagagc gctgcagccc gggcgcggcgg cggccggtccc cggccgagtg gagcctggga
91  cgcagcgcagc gagccgacag caggcgctgg aggccccgaag acgccgagga ggcagagcac
151 cgcgcccccg agcgcagacc cgagagcttt accactcctg aaggccccaa accccgttccc
211 agatgctcttg actgggcaag tgcagttgaa gaagatgaaa tgaggaccag agtttaacaa
271 gaaatggcag gatataaaag gaaactcctc atcaatgact ttggaagaga gagaatttaa
331 tcatcaggg gaattcagtttc aagggagtct atgtctactg tgccgctgta ctttgagaca
391 gatgaaagtg tcctaatgag gagacagaag cagatcaact atgggaagaa cacaattgcc
451 tacgatctgt atattaaaga agttccccaaga cacctcggac acacctggcat tcatcccaag
511 acccctaata aatattaaga gttatgcgag cgttcatggg accagcaaat caaactctgg
571 aaggggcttc tgcatttttt tgatcctcct caagggagaag gatgtgtatt gcgaagaata
631 caccctgtag accttgaatc tgcagaagaag acgctcggagc ccagaccag ctctcaggat
691 gactttgatg tgtactctgg cacaccaccg aaggtgagac acatggacag tcaagtgagag
751 gatgagtttg atttggaagc ttgattaact gaaccccttga gagaactttc agccttgagc
811 taa
Appendix G. HA-tagged SLBP cDNA sequence

TAC CCA TAC GAT GTT CCA GAT TAC GCT atggcctgcc gccgccggaag cccgccgagg
31  catcagagcc gctgccaacgc tgaacgcaga cccgccgatcc ccgcggcagatg gagcctggga
91  cggagagcgca gaggccgaggg caggccgaggg aggcccgaag acgcggagga ggccagacac
151 cgcgggcgcc gcggcagacc cgaggagcttt accactcctg aaggccctaa accccgcttcc
211 agatgtctctg actggaagaag tcgagttgaa gaagatggaag tgaggacacag agttaacaaa
271 gaaatggcag gagataaaag gcacactcctc atcaatgact ttggagaagaga gagaatatca
331 tcatcagagac gccgctgattc aaaggagctt atgtctactg tggcggctgag cttggagaca
391 agatgaagagtg tcctaatagag gcagacagag cagatcaact atgggagaaga cacaattgac
451 tacaagctggat atattaaaga agtctaaagc acctattgagc aacctttgcac tcatccccag
511 acccttaaata aatattaagga gttatagcaga ctttcatagg accagcaaatt caaatctcag
571 aagttgggcac tgcatttttg ggtacatccgg cggagagagac gatgtgagt gcaagaaatat
631 caccccctatt acctgatact tgcagaaaaac gctctccgac gccagaccag cttggagacat
691 gacattttgtgg tcgcatcctgg caccacccgac acaggtgagac acagtcagatg ctaattggaga
751 gatgaagatgatt atgggagaagag tgggcttaactgct ggcacattgctc gcghcagacag
811 taa
Appendix H.

Table 1H. Protein identified by LC-MS/MS in the large-scale I-i Flag-tagged SLBP by ANTI-FLAG® M2 affinity resin.

(Highlighted by yellow colours are also identified in the IP by Flag antibody and ANTI-FLAG® M2 affinity resin from whole cell lysate of HeLa and U2OS cells.)

(Highlighted by green colour is Ig heavy chain.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession numbers</th>
<th>Protein names</th>
<th>Gene Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P62333</td>
<td>26S protease regulatory subunit 10B</td>
<td>PSMC6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P08195</td>
<td>4F2 cell-surface antigen heavy chain</td>
<td>SLC3A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P05388</td>
<td>60S acidic ribosomal protein</td>
<td>RPLP0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P52209</td>
<td>6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase, decarboxylating</td>
<td>PGD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P11021</td>
<td>78 kDa glucose-regulated protein</td>
<td>HSPA5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q01518</td>
<td>Adenyl cyclase-associated protein 1</td>
<td>CAP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P06733</td>
<td>Alpha-enolase</td>
<td>ENO1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P04083</td>
<td>Annexin A1</td>
<td>ANXA1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P07355</td>
<td>Annexin A2</td>
<td>ANXA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12429</td>
<td>Annexin A3</td>
<td>ANXA3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P08133</td>
<td>Annexin A6</td>
<td>ANXA6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P00505</td>
<td>Aspartate aminotransferase, mitochondrial</td>
<td>GOT2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P27797</td>
<td>Calreticulin</td>
<td>CLAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P81605</td>
<td>Dermcidin</td>
<td>DCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9NY33</td>
<td>Dipeptidyl peptidase</td>
<td>DPP3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P27695</td>
<td>DNA-(apurinic or apyrimidinic site) lyase</td>
<td>APEX1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9UIU6</td>
<td>Drebrin-like protein</td>
<td>DBNL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P19474</td>
<td>E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase TRIM21</td>
<td>TRIM21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P29692</td>
<td>Elongation factor 1-delta</td>
<td>EEF1D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P26641</td>
<td>Elongation factor 1-gamma</td>
<td>EEF1G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P05198</td>
<td>Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit 1</td>
<td>EIF2S1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P23588</td>
<td>Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4B</td>
<td>EIF4B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P15311</td>
<td>Ezrin</td>
<td>EZR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P52907</td>
<td>F-actin-capping protein subunit alpha-1</td>
<td>CAPZA1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P04075</td>
<td>Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase A</td>
<td>ALDOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q13630</td>
<td>GDP-L-fucose synthase</td>
<td>TSTA3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P06744</td>
<td>Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase</td>
<td>G6P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P14314</td>
<td>Glucosidase 2 subunit beta</td>
<td>PRKCSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers</td>
<td>Protein names</td>
<td>Gene Names</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9UBQ7</td>
<td>Glyoxylate reductase/hydroxypyruvate reductase</td>
<td>GRHPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9Y2T3</td>
<td>Guanine deaminase</td>
<td>GDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P08107</td>
<td>Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1A/1B</td>
<td>HSPA1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P08238</td>
<td>Heat shock protein HSP 90-beta</td>
<td>HSP90AB1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P51991</td>
<td>Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A3</td>
<td>HNRNPA3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P52272</td>
<td>Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein M</td>
<td>HNRNPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O60506</td>
<td>Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein Q</td>
<td>SYNCRIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O43390</td>
<td>Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein R</td>
<td>HNRNPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P50502</td>
<td>Hsc70-interacting protein</td>
<td>ST13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q15181</td>
<td>Inorganic pyrophosphatase</td>
<td>PPA1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P13645</td>
<td>Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 10</td>
<td>KRT10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P02533</td>
<td>Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 14</td>
<td>KRT14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P35527</td>
<td>Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 9</td>
<td>KRT9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P04264</td>
<td>Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 1</td>
<td>KRT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P04259</td>
<td>Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 6B</td>
<td>KRT6B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q16719</td>
<td>Kynureninase</td>
<td>KYNU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P20700</td>
<td>Lamin-B1</td>
<td>LMNB1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P09960</td>
<td>Leukotriene A-4 hydrolase</td>
<td>LTA4H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P00338</td>
<td>L-lactate dehydrogenase A chain</td>
<td>LDHA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P05455</td>
<td>Lupus La protein</td>
<td>SSB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q15046</td>
<td>Lysine--tRNA ligase</td>
<td>KARS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P40925</td>
<td>Malate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>MDH1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P40926</td>
<td>Malate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>MDH2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9BQA1</td>
<td>Methylosome protein 50</td>
<td>WDR77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P26038</td>
<td>Moesin</td>
<td>MSN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q15233</td>
<td>Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein</td>
<td>NONO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P19338</td>
<td>Nucleolin</td>
<td>NCL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q02790</td>
<td>Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase FKB4</td>
<td>FKBP4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P00558</td>
<td>Phosphoglycerate kinase 1</td>
<td>PGK1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O43660</td>
<td>Pleiotropic regulator 1</td>
<td>PLRG1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q13310</td>
<td>Polyadenylate-binding protein 4</td>
<td>PABPC4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P02545</td>
<td>Prelamin-A/C</td>
<td>LMNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9UQ80</td>
<td>Proliferation-associated protein 2G4</td>
<td>PA2G4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P48147</td>
<td>Prolyl endopeptidase</td>
<td>PREP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O14744</td>
<td>Protein arginine N-methyltransferase 5</td>
<td>PRMT5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P30101</td>
<td>Protein disulfide-isomerase A3</td>
<td>PDIA3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P13667</td>
<td>Protein disulfide-isomerase A4</td>
<td>PDIA4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P07237</td>
<td>Protein disulfide-isomerase</td>
<td>PDIA4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P55735</td>
<td>Protein SEC13 homolog</td>
<td>SEC13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O00764</td>
<td>Pyridoxal kinase</td>
<td>PDXK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers</td>
<td>Protein names</td>
<td>Gene Names</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P50395</td>
<td>Rab GDP dissociation inhibitor beta</td>
<td>GDI2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P18754</td>
<td>Regulator of chromosome condensation</td>
<td>RCC2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q14257</td>
<td>Reticulocalbin-2</td>
<td>RCN2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9H6T3</td>
<td>RNA polymerase II-associated protein 3</td>
<td>RPAP3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q15019</td>
<td>Septin-2</td>
<td>SEPT2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P52788</td>
<td>Spermine synthase</td>
<td>SMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q15427</td>
<td>Splicing factor 3B subunit 4</td>
<td>SF3B4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q14247</td>
<td>Src substrate cortactin</td>
<td>CTTN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P38646</td>
<td>Stress-70 protein</td>
<td>HSPA9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P55809</td>
<td>Succinyl-CoA:3-ketoacid coenzyme A transferase 1</td>
<td>OXCT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P50990</td>
<td>T-complex protein 1 subunit theta</td>
<td>CCT8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q86W42</td>
<td>THO complex subunit 6 homolog</td>
<td>THOC6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P26639</td>
<td>Threonine-tRNA ligase, cytoplasmic</td>
<td>TARS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P37837</td>
<td>Transaldolase</td>
<td>TALDO1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P29401</td>
<td>Transketolase</td>
<td>TKT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P07951</td>
<td>Tropomyosin beta chain</td>
<td>TPM2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9UHD9</td>
<td>Ubiquilin-2</td>
<td>UBQLN2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9BUA3</td>
<td>Uncharacterized protein C11orf84</td>
<td>C11orf84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6UXN9</td>
<td>WD repeat-containing protein 82</td>
<td>WDR82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P13010</td>
<td>X-ray repair cross-complementing protein 5</td>
<td>XRCC5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12956</td>
<td>X-ray repair cross-complementing protein 6</td>
<td>XRCC6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P16989</td>
<td>Y-box-binding protein 3</td>
<td>YBX3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix I. The annotated spectra of the relevant peptides at the phosphorylation sites

1. CDGDAsPPsPAR
2. GAERRPESFtTPEGPKPR
3. RPESFTtPEGKPR
4. DSKEsMSTVPADFETDESVLMR
5. KSsSGSSDSKESmSTVPADFETDESVLMR
6. KsSSGSSDSKESmSTVPADFETDESVLmR
7. KSssGSSDSKESmSTVPADFETDESVLMR
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8. sSSGSSDSKESmSTVPADFETDESVLmRR
9. SSSGsSDSKESmSTVPADFETDESVLmR
10. SsGSDDSKESmSTVPADETFDESVLmR
11.HLRQPGIHPKtPNK
12. YSRRsWDQQIK
13. RsWDQQIK
14. sWDQQIK
**Appendix J.** Published SLBP-interacting protein in six databases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database name</th>
<th>Known SLBP interacting proteins</th>
<th>Found proteins on my study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IntAct</td>
<td>ZNF473, CDK4, CDK6, TACC3, Desi1</td>
<td>CDK4, CDK6, TACC3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioGRID</td>
<td>CDK4, CDK6, ERI1, GSTM3, HIST1H2BG, P4HA3, UPF1, ZFC3H1, XNF473</td>
<td>CDK4, CDK6, ERI1, GSTM3, HISTH2BG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPRD</td>
<td>USP8, ZNF473, LSM10, ERI1</td>
<td>LSM10, ERI1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APID</td>
<td>MIF4GD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRING</td>
<td>ERI1, ZNF473, LSM10, MIF4GD, LSM11, SNRPB, EIF4E, SNRPG, NASP, SNRPD3</td>
<td>ERI1, LSM10, LSM11, SNRPB, EIF4E, SNRPG, NASP, SNRPD3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIPs</td>
<td>EIF3S2, EIF3S4, EIF3S10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix K. List of categorised protein names by alphabetical order

Table K1. Proteins whose association with SLBP is unchanged following replication stress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>ADAR</th>
<th>CSTF1</th>
<th>FAM120A</th>
<th>HIST1H2BK</th>
<th>H2BFS</th>
<th>MRPS9</th>
<th>RBBP7</th>
<th>RPL23</th>
<th>SF3A2</th>
<th>UPF3B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFG3L2</td>
<td>C14orf166</td>
<td>FARSA</td>
<td>HIST1H2BL</td>
<td>IGF2BP3</td>
<td>MTA2</td>
<td>RBM4</td>
<td>RPL26</td>
<td>SLAIN2</td>
<td>USP39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKAP8L</td>
<td>C1orf85</td>
<td>FAU</td>
<td>HIST1H2BM</td>
<td>IK</td>
<td>NCBP1</td>
<td>RBM4B</td>
<td>RPL27A</td>
<td>SLBP</td>
<td>U2AF2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKT2</td>
<td>DDRGK1</td>
<td>FEN1</td>
<td>HIST1H2BN</td>
<td>JUNB</td>
<td>NCBP2</td>
<td>RBM6</td>
<td>RPL31</td>
<td>SLC25A13</td>
<td>VIM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP5C1</td>
<td>DDX1</td>
<td>FMRI</td>
<td>HIST1H4A</td>
<td>KCTD17</td>
<td>NELFE</td>
<td>RBM14</td>
<td>RPL35A</td>
<td>SLC25A24</td>
<td>WDR82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUB3</td>
<td>DDX17</td>
<td>FRG1</td>
<td>HIST2H2AA3</td>
<td>KCTD2</td>
<td>NONO</td>
<td>RBM17</td>
<td>RPL36A</td>
<td>SMARCA5</td>
<td>WTAP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALD1</td>
<td>DDX3X</td>
<td>FUBP3</td>
<td>HIST2H2AC</td>
<td>KRASRP</td>
<td>NOP56</td>
<td>RBM27</td>
<td>RPL36AL</td>
<td>SMN1</td>
<td>XRC5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC5L</td>
<td>DDX3Y</td>
<td>FXR1</td>
<td>HIST2H2BF</td>
<td>KPNAA2</td>
<td>NOP58</td>
<td>RBM39</td>
<td>RPN1</td>
<td>SMU1</td>
<td>XRC6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDKN2AIP</td>
<td>DDX5</td>
<td>GNL3</td>
<td>HNRNP2</td>
<td>KPNAA6</td>
<td>PA2G4</td>
<td>RBMX</td>
<td>RPP30</td>
<td>SRNRP70</td>
<td>XRN2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD2BP2</td>
<td>DDX42</td>
<td>GSK3B</td>
<td>HNRNP40</td>
<td>KRR1</td>
<td>PCID2</td>
<td>RBMXL1</td>
<td>RPS2</td>
<td>SNW1</td>
<td>YBX1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHTOPI</td>
<td>DDX59</td>
<td>G3BP1</td>
<td>HNRNP91</td>
<td>LGALS3</td>
<td>PDLIM7</td>
<td>RCC2</td>
<td>RPS3</td>
<td>SRFBP1</td>
<td>YTHDF2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKA14</td>
<td>DHX15</td>
<td>HADA</td>
<td>HNRNP1L2</td>
<td>LMNB1</td>
<td>PFKFB3</td>
<td>RCL1</td>
<td>RPS3A</td>
<td>SRP14</td>
<td>ZBTB24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMSS1</td>
<td>DCK1</td>
<td>HAC1</td>
<td>HNRNP3</td>
<td>LRRRC7</td>
<td>PHAX</td>
<td>REST</td>
<td>RPS6</td>
<td>SIRT1</td>
<td>ZC3HAV1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNP</td>
<td>DPM1</td>
<td>HDAC2</td>
<td>HNRNPAB</td>
<td>LRRRC9</td>
<td>PHF6</td>
<td>RFC3</td>
<td>RPS9</td>
<td>SRSF9</td>
<td>ZC3H11A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPE</td>
<td>EIF4A1</td>
<td>HIST1H1B</td>
<td>HNRNPF</td>
<td>MAGED2</td>
<td>PHRF1</td>
<td>RFC4</td>
<td>RPS15A</td>
<td>SUGP1</td>
<td>ZNF622</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPSF7</td>
<td>EIF4A3</td>
<td>HIST1H2D</td>
<td>HNRNPK</td>
<td>MAP7</td>
<td>POLDDP3</td>
<td>RFC5</td>
<td>RPS16</td>
<td>SYNCRIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPTIA</td>
<td>EIF4E</td>
<td>HIST1H2A</td>
<td>HNRNPL</td>
<td>MCM5</td>
<td>PRMT1</td>
<td>RPL6</td>
<td>RPS18</td>
<td>TAMM41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSDE1</td>
<td>ELMO2</td>
<td>HIST1H2AH</td>
<td>HNRNPM</td>
<td>MOGS</td>
<td>PRPF31</td>
<td>RPL7</td>
<td>RPS20</td>
<td>THOC2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSNK1A1</td>
<td>EMD</td>
<td>HIST1H2J</td>
<td>HNRNPR</td>
<td>MRPL3</td>
<td>PRF338B</td>
<td>RPL11</td>
<td>RPS25</td>
<td>TOMM34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSNK2A1</td>
<td>ERLN1</td>
<td>HIST1H2BC</td>
<td>HNRNPUL2</td>
<td>MRPS22</td>
<td>PSMD4</td>
<td>RPL17</td>
<td>RTCB</td>
<td>TMPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSNK2A2</td>
<td>EXOSC7</td>
<td>HIST1H2BD</td>
<td>H1FX</td>
<td>MRPS27</td>
<td>PTBP1</td>
<td>RPL19</td>
<td>SCCPDH</td>
<td>TRUB2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSNK2A3</td>
<td>FAM98B</td>
<td>HIST1H2BH</td>
<td>H2AFJ</td>
<td>MRPS34</td>
<td>QPCTL</td>
<td>RPL22</td>
<td>SF1</td>
<td>UPF2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table K2. Proteins whose association with SLBP decreases following replication stress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>EIF2S2</th>
<th>ILF2</th>
<th>PTRF</th>
<th>TIMM44</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABCF1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTL6A</td>
<td>EIF2S3</td>
<td></td>
<td>RPL10A</td>
<td>TOP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASPH</td>
<td>EIF2S3L</td>
<td></td>
<td>RPL23A</td>
<td>UPF1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATAD3A</td>
<td>EIF3E</td>
<td>KCTD5</td>
<td>RPL27</td>
<td>YBX3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATXN2L</td>
<td>EIF3S3</td>
<td>KHDBS1</td>
<td>RPL3</td>
<td>ZC3H15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS1</td>
<td>EIF4A2</td>
<td>LARP4</td>
<td>RPL30</td>
<td>ZC3H18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPRIN1</td>
<td>EIF4G1</td>
<td>MAP4</td>
<td>RPL36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKAP5</td>
<td>EIF5B</td>
<td>MTDH</td>
<td>RPL4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMBL</td>
<td>EXOSC2</td>
<td>MYBBP1A</td>
<td>RPL8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDX21</td>
<td>EXOSC4</td>
<td>NAT10</td>
<td>RRB1P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDX47</td>
<td>EXOSC6</td>
<td>NCL</td>
<td>RSL1D1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDX6</td>
<td>FTSJ3</td>
<td>NOP14</td>
<td>SERBP1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHX30</td>
<td>G3BP2</td>
<td>NOP2</td>
<td>SMARCE1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHX36</td>
<td>GEMIN5</td>
<td>NSUN2</td>
<td>SRP68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHX9</td>
<td>GLTSCR2</td>
<td>NUFP2</td>
<td>SRP72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNAJA3</td>
<td>GLYR1</td>
<td>ODR4</td>
<td>SRPK1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRG1</td>
<td>GRSF1</td>
<td>PARP1</td>
<td>SSRP1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBNA1BP2</td>
<td>GTPBP4</td>
<td>PBRM1</td>
<td>STOM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIF2S1</td>
<td>HDLBP</td>
<td>PRPF19</td>
<td>TBL2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>