A study of stainless steel as a material of construction for a molten salt reactor



By: Ashleigh J Thompson

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Materials Science and Engineering Faculty of Engineering The University of Sheffield

February 2019

Abstract

The aim of this work was to investigate the corrosion of stainless steel within a molten salt, with the possibility that it could be used as a construction material within a molten salt fuelled nuclear reactor. Four different metal compositions were used; stainless steel 316L, stainless steel 304L, LDX2101 and iron, and these were tested in two different molten salts, LiCl-KCl-NaCl and KCl-NaCl at 600 and 750°C. Stainless steel 316L was tested for one day, one, three, four and six weeks. The samples were analysed using SEM/EDX and XRD. It was found that in general, a lithium containing spinel formed on the surface of the stainless steel, LiCrO₂, with a large percentage coverage. As immersion time increased the bulk also showed signs of attack. The three week test showed the formation of five different corrosion products and analysis suggests they are a combination of numerous mixed oxides. The three week test was subsequently repeated and showed the formation of a lithium containing spinel as observed in the one week test.

Further testing investigated the role of lithium in the formation of the protective layer, a LiCrO₂ layer formed on stainless steel 316L in the presence of a ternary salt, whereas mixed oxides were generally observed in the binary salt. Again an anomalous result was obtained in the three week binary test, where a tabular crystal containing sodium iron and oxide was formed.

Finally compositional changes were examined, and the subsequent effect they had on the corrosion layer. It was found that increasing the chromium content does not necessarily increase the surface coverage and it is likely that other elements aid in the formation of the protective layer.

From the results obtained in this work it is possible that with extensive research a stainless steel, which has been specifically designed, could be utilised within a molten salt reactor.

Firstly I'd like to thank my primary supervisor Professor John Provis, who has been a God send over the past few months, he has given me constant encouragement and support and always believed I would be able to do it, even when I didn't. Thank you for pushing me academically and over the finish line. I wouldn't have been able to finish on time without you.

I would also like to thank Professor Karl Whittle, Professor Mark Rainforth and Dr. Mark Ogden who have also provided guidance and help throughout this process and without their support, insight and help I would not have completed this work.

A special mention has to go to Dr Amy Gandy and Dr Kerry Abrams for their insight, I can think of several helpful conversations I have had with each of them together and separately over the years. Thanks also to Dr Martin Stennett for all the training I have received in the lab, and letting me book up equipment for days at a time to allow me to finish and Dr Nik Reeves McLaren for all the training and for not chucking me out of a window with my incessant questions and emails.

I am grateful for all the help from the laboratory managers over the years. Andrew Mould, Ian Watt and Mike Bell, thanks for fighting my corner and giving me numerous laughs.

To everyone in the ISL I've loved sharing an office and laboratories with you, a special mention has to go to Daniel Backhouse, Ian Moore and Dilwar Hussain, you always manage to find time to help me out and go for a 'quick' lunch.

Thank you must also go to the EPSRC for funding this project.

On a more personal note, I'd like to thank my parents, especially my mam who conquered her fear of long car journeys to help care for Rosie in the early years of this process and also for looking after Eric as I frantically wrote up; I know he's a handful and I hope you get a well-deserved break after this. To my dad, thank you for always finding time to proof read, listen and support, and for giving me such a strong appreciation of Excel. You're both wonderful and I'm lucky to have such fantastic parents. To my sister, Amber, thank you for spending so much time helping me proof read my work, over and over again. Your support has saved me countless hours and allowed me to at least have a couple of hours sleep a night. To my sister from another mister, Becky, thank you so much for helping me with all my design based woes, they may have appeared simple to you, but I was finding them impossible.

To the Duxbury babies, you have had to endure me writing up your entire life. Rosie you have been an absolute diamond, thank you for being such an understanding little girl and acting so mature when I've had to disappear to write up. Eric, you have ensured I have seen most hours since you have been born, which has given me so much more time to write up. Thank you for finally sleeping through. To both Rosie and Eric thank you so much for keeping me sane (or possibly insane, who knows now) and always managing to make me smile. It has been hard writing up, but the times I spend with you both has taught me how to unwind and enjoy life. Now it's time to perfect cake baking and crafts.

Finally, Jon, my 'calming influence'. I don't want you getting too big for your boots, but you have had to endure a hell of a lot; you've ran our house without once complaining, looked after children, proof read, endured and dealt with daily panics regarding Microsoft office and listened to my problems, rarely giving your opinion as you know I don't listen. You have been my rock over the past few years. Now this is done I'm not accepting anymore excuses for forgetting anniversaries.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Introduction and Literature Review	1
1.1: Molten Salt Reactors	2
1.1.1: History of Molten Salt Reactors	
1.2: Selection of a Molten Salt	
1.2.1: Salt Choice for this Work	
1.3: Materials for MSR's	
1.3.1: Nickel Superalloys	
1.3.2: Stainless Steel	
1.4: Basic Principles of Corrosion	
1.5: Materials Corrosion in Molten Salts	
1.5.1: Fluoride Systems	
1.5.2: Chloride Systems	
1.6: Studies of Corrosion of Different Alloys in Molten Salts	
1.6.1: Nickel Superalloys	
1.6.2: Stainless Steel	
1.7: Key Gaps in Understanding	
1.7.1: Aims	
1.7.2: Objectives	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Chapter 2: Methods and Materials	36
2.1: Materials	
2.1.1: Stainless Steel	
2.1.2: Salts	
2.2: Preparation of Testing Specimen	
2.3: Corrosion Testing	
2.3.1: Experiments	
2.3.1.1: Baseline Tests	
2.3.1.2: Stainless Steel 316L Tests	40
2.3.1.3: Lithium Tests	40
2.3.1.4: Compositional Tests	
2.4: Analysis	41
2.4.1: Inductively Coupled Plasma- Optical Emission Spectroscopy	
2.4.2: Combustion Methods	
2.4.3: X-Ray Diffraction	
2.4.4: Scanning Electron Microscopy	
2.5: Conclusions	
Chapter 3: Stainless Steel	53
3.1: Baseline Tests	
3.1.1: XRD	
3.1.2: SEM/EDX and Cross Sectional Analysis	
3.2: Stainless Steel 316L Tests	
3.2.1: XRD	62
3.2.2: SEM/EDX and Cross Sectional Analysis	

3.2.2.1: Surface SEM/EDX	
3.2.2.2: Cross Sectional Analysis	
3.2.2.3: Three Week Surface SEM/EDX	69
3.2.2.4: Three Week Cross Sectional Analysis	
3.3: Conclusions	79
Chapter 4: Lithium Tests	
4.1: XRD	
4.2: Surface SEM	
4.2.1: Ternary Results	
4.2.2: Binary Results	
4.3: Cross Sectional Analysis	
4.3.1: Ternary Results	
4.3.2: Binary Results	98
4.4: Conclusions	
Chapter 5: Compositional Tests	
5.1: Stainless Steel 304L	
5.1.1: XRD	
5.1.2: Surface and Cross Sectional Analysis	
5.2: LDX2101	
5.2.1: XRD	
5.2.2: Surface and Cross Sectional Analysis	
5.3: Iron	
5.3.1: XRD	
5.3.2: Surface and Cross Sectional Analysis 5.4: Conclusion	
Chapter 6: Discussion	
6.1: Formation of Corrosion Products	125
6.2: Annual Corrosion Rate	
6.3: Formation of Spinels	
6.4: Role of Lithium	
6.5: Apparent Anomalous Results Obtained in Three Week Tests	
6.6: Conclusions	
Chapter 7: Conclusions	
Appendix	