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Abstract

Following the results from a pilot study indicating the interaction of endosomal maturation proteins MON1B and CCZ1 with the uncharacterised protein ‘WDR98/RMC1’, this potentially new protein complex was investigated further. Literature research and bioinformatics revealed the highly evolutionarily conserved nature of WDR98 and also its unique C-terminal ‘MIC’ domain (the N-terminal containing the common protein binding platform domain WD40). Immunoprecipitation methods were used to confirm the, then novel, interaction of WDR98 with MON1B and CCZ1 individually. Furthermore, it was discovered that the WD40 domain is alone capable of eliciting binding between these proteins. Though reported elsewhere that WDR98 was able to bind to RAB5 and RAB7 in a whole-lysate context, we are confident that WDR98 is unable to bind to either RAB in isolation in vitro. Immunofluorescence was utilised to localise WDR98 to endosomes both early (RAB5) and late (RAB7) and lysosomes (LGP120), specifically those most active around the nuclear periphery. WDR98 was not seen to localise to autophagosomes (LC3). Both the WD40 and MIC domains also localised to lysosomes individually. This, along with recent literature, indicates an important role for WDR98 in protein trafficking, specifically endosomal maturation and lysosome biology. In addition, its potential role in disease and the emerging disease-contribution of the lysosome, particularly concerning cancer, renders this protein an exciting discovery, with potential as a target for future investigations.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Lysosomes

Lysosomes use hydrolytic enzymes to break down many biological molecules, including those from the endocytic, phagocytic and autophagic pathways (Luzio et al. 2007). They are involved in a variety of cellular processes, not solely degradative, and contribute to cellular homeostasis regulation. As such, lysosomal dysfunction often contributes to disease pathogenesis, including that of lysosomal storage diseases, neurodegeneration and certain cancers (Appelqvist et al. 2013). The lysosomal membrane has a uniquely high carbohydrate content owing to the presence of heavily glycosylated proteins, the most abundant being lysosome-associated membrane protein (LAMP)-1 and -2 (Appelqvist et al. 2013). Lysosomal membrane proteins mediate many crucial functions, including luminal acidification, transport of small molecules and/or macromolecules, and potentially lysosomal interactions and fusions (Eskelinen 2006).

There are several routes of transport to the lysosome, including autophagy, endocytosis and phagocytosis (Figure 1).
Figure 1: Routes to the Lysosome

Routes of transport to the lysosome: phagocytosis, endocytosis and autophagy. Figure adapted from Xu et al. (2018).

Phagocytosis and endocytosis work to bring molecules external to the cell inside, and traffic these through the generation of vesicles (phagosomes and endosomes) before fusion with the lysosome (Richards and Endres 2017). Autophagy initiates the formation of a partial membrane - a phagophore - which begins to engulf particular intracellular molecules that have been marked for degradation. This matures to an autophagosome before lysosomal fusion (Eskelinen and Saftig 2009).

Autophagy is required to maintain homeostasis and proteomic function by protein degradation and turnover of organelles. There are three types of autophagy, distinguishable by their cargo delivery mechanisms (Kaushik et al. 2011). Macroautophagy eradicates damaged organelles or proteins by sequestering regions of the cytosol into double-membraned autophagosomes which fuse with the lysosome (Figure 1) (Kaushik & Cuervo 2012). Microautophagy encases proteins for
degradation inside vesicles via invagination of the lysosomal membrane, which is a more direct engulfment of cytoplasmic material into the lysosome (Cuervo & Wong 2014). Chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA) is distinct in that it selects individual, soluble proteins from a selective subset, and transports these directly to the lysosomal membrane where they translocate across into the lumen (Kaushik et al. 2011).

1.2 Endosomal Maturation

RAB proteins are small GTPases required for membrane fusion. RAB5 is known to function in early endocytic fusion events, and RAB7 in late endosomes, both acting in a coordinated fashion. MON1 has been seen to actively recruit RAB7 to endosomes, and it has been proposed that MON1 mediates the switch between early and late endosomal transition (Figure 2) (Poteryaev et al. 2010). Knockdown of MON1 caused early endosomes to accumulate as RAB5 remained trapped on the membranes, unable to exchange for RAB7, which was rectified following MON1 overexpression rescue (Poteryaev et al. 2010). MON1 and CCZ1 are proteins identified via gene knockouts to be required for almost all membrane-trafficking pathways, including autophagy, as measured by defective mutants (Wang et al. 2002). The MON1-CCZ1 complex has recently been acknowledged as the only known RAB7 guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) and similarly to Poteryaev et al. (2010), Yasuda et al. (2016) found that CCZ1 knockdown prevented RAB7 activation on late endosomal membranes. This data is also backed up by Hegedus et al. (2016), who also identified that the MON1-CCZ1-RAB7 complex is required for autophagosome-lysosome fusion as MON1 and CCZ1 mutants exhibited a reduction in acidic (active) lysosomes. P62 also accumulated, suggesting a loss of autophagic flux.
Figure 2: The Interactions of MON1 and CCZ1 with Rab5 and Rab7

RAB5 recruits MON1-CCZ1, which displaces the GDP dissociation inhibitor (GDI) from RAB7, required to initiate endosome maturation. Figure adapted from Kinchen and Ravichandran (2010).

1.3 WDR98 Identification

Data from a large scale immunoprecipitation with mass spectrometry analysis (IP LC-MS/MS) pilot study, conducted by the Pryor lab, found novel protein ‘C18orf8/WDR98/RMC1’, referred to hereafter as ‘WDR98’, appearing to bind to MON1 and CCZ1. Following subsequent literature searching, it was determined that this was a protein worth pursuing.
1.4 WDR98 in Literature

WDR98 has previously been identified in liquid chromatography (LC) mass spectrometry (MS) screens of lysosomal homogenate from human plancental tissue (Schroder et al. 2007) and it was predicted to be membrane-associated. It has also been identified as a RAB5 binding partner through affinity chromatography MS using Drosophila Rabs (Gillingham et al. 2014) and with a role in autophagy regulation following a genome-wide siRNA screen - knockdown of WDR98 resulted in an increase in autphagic flux (Lipinski et al. 2010). WDR98 has also been reported to bind FYCO1, a protein involved in autophagic vesicle transport, which in turn binds MON1B, CCZ1 and RAB7 (Behrends et al. 2010).

WDR98 is predicted to have an N-terminal WD40 domain, a common protein domain with a β-propeller structure and a unique C-terminal MIC α-helical domain of unidentified function (Figure 3).

Figure 3: WDR98 Protein Domains
WDR98 is predicted domain structureThe area between the WD40 and MIC domains has yet to be defined in terms of its structure.

HHPred (Soding et al. 2005) identifies the MIC domain to have a high degree of structural similarity to the N-terminal domain of clathrin heavy chain (92.60%) and vacuolar sorting proteins VPS11 (91.64%), VPS41 (91.11%) and VPS18 (90.86%). These three particular VPS proteins have all been shown to interact with MON1B in Homo sapiens (Poteryaev et al. 2010).

1.5 Lysosomes and WDR98 in Disease

RAS activation due to missense mutations enables the acquisition of oncogenicity and has been cited as a regulator of RAB5 with the ability to upregulate RAB5-dependent endocytosis (Balaji et al. 2012). This can be beneficial to cancer
cells in numerous ways, including the endocytosis and subsequent lysosomal degradation of E-cadherin, occludin and claudin, facilitating the destruction of adherens and tight junctions, which in turn promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition and eventually metastasis (Mellman and Yarden 2013). Lysosomal proteins have also been implicated in cancer, including the overexpression and presence of LAMP-1 and -2 in the epithelium of metastatic colorectal tissue according to immunohistochemistry analysis (Furuta et al. 2001) and increased activation of vacuolar ATPase - promoting an acidic tumour microenvironment and destruction of the extracellular matrix, also enabling tumour progression (Hernandez et al. 2012). The lysosome is an emerging cancer therapeutic target and this has been identified as a possible strategy to overcoming cancer drug resistance (Piao and Amaravadi 2016).

WDR98 also has disease relevance, as various research groups have linked it to cancer (Delgado et al. 2014, Jones et al. 2016) with overexpression in a range of cancers, including thyroid, non-small cell lung, colorectal, melanoma and pancreatic (Kimmelman et al. 2008, Doebele et al. 2017). Delgado et al. (2014) classified WDR98 as an oncogenic open reading frame and found homozygous mutations in a range of tumours. Jones et al. (2016) used multiplex targeted mass spectrometry to identify WDR98 as a potential plasma-based protein marker for colorectal cancer detection. Doebele et al. (2017) discovered WDR98-NTRK1 (TrkA receptor tyrosine kinase, which has important roles in nervous system development and regulates cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis and neuronal survival) fusion genes in a wide range of cancers. They argue this could be used as a biomarker to identify suitable chemotherapeutic treatments and predict response, for example, to RTK inhibitors. Kimmelman et al. (2008) observed genetic anomalies in the 18q11 amplicon (a 2Mb span containing WDR98) across multiple tumour types. They knocked down WDR98 in cells cultured from pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas and observed reduced colony formation in vitro. Kimmelman et al. argued for the need to characterise this gene given its likelihood as a ‘putative PDAC oncogene’.

Considering the context of WDR98 - its likely function in the endosomal-lysosomal system, interaction with essential proteins of this pathway and localisation to the lysosome - it is plausible to explore the possibility of WDR98 as another cancer drug target.
1.6 Model Systems

The primary model systems used throughout this study are normal rat kidney (NRK) cells. These were chosen due to their diploid nature and thin, flat appearance allowing detailed lysosome visualisation via immunofluorescence microscopy. Additional HeLa cell lines were occasionally used, including a previously-produced MYC-MON1B inducible expression line and newly-produced pcDNA5 MYC-GFP-humanWDR98 line in an attempt to achieve a stable cell line expressing GFP-tagged WDR98. Some preliminary work was undertaken in *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Xenopus laevis* which is not reported here due to a lack of biological replicates.

1.7 Aims and Objectives

Given that WDR98 reportedly binds to essential components of the endosomal-lysosomal pathway and has a potential role in lysosomal/autophagosomal biology, there is great cause for investigating its function, magnified by its possible association with cancer. Therefore the aims of this project are to characterise the novel protein ‘WDR98’ and to probe its function in the wider context of lysosome biology.

In order to achieve this, the following experimental objectives have been defined:

1. To produce a variety of constructs to enable characterisation of WDR98, including GFP-WDR98 and GST-WDR98 (Chapter 3)
2. To produce an antibody to WDR98 (Chapter 3)
3. To assess the protein-protein interactions in which WDR98 participates (Chapter 4)
4. To assess where WDR98 localises in the cell (Chapter 5)

A range of techniques were used to these ends, including yeast 2 hybrid, immunoprecipitations, pulldowns and immunofluorescence. Concurrently, similar work was being carried out by labs in Harvard (Pontano Vaites *et al.* 2017) and Stanford (Tsui *et al.* 2019) universities, and many of our results corroborate with
their data. The novelty of our work comprises that surrounding the WDR98 individual domain data, which has yet to be published by any group.
Chapter 2: Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

2.1.1 Antibodies

Details of antibodies used are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Details of Antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cat. No.</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Host Species</th>
<th>W.B Dilution</th>
<th>IF Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sc-28365</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech</td>
<td>APP</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>1 : 100</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sc-28365</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech</td>
<td>Beta-Amyloid</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>1 : 100</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sc-514290</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech</td>
<td>CCZ1</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>1 : 500</td>
<td>1 : 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ab137276</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>C18orf8</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1 : 200</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ab78596</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>C18orf8</td>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>1 : 1000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA521004</td>
<td>Proteintech</td>
<td>C18orf8</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1 : 1000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sc-390305</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech</td>
<td>C18orf8</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>1 : 100</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA521004</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>AFP</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 : 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pryor Lab</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1 : 500</td>
<td>1 : 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pryor Lab</td>
<td>GST</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1 : 500</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sc-133225</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech</td>
<td>HDAC5</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>1 : 100</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2366</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>HIS</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>1 : 200</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sc-101494</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech</td>
<td>HSP90</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>1 :100</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC3-5F10</td>
<td>Nanotools</td>
<td>LC3</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 : 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>Cambridge</td>
<td>LGP120</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 : 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pryor Lab</td>
<td>MYC</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>1 : 200</td>
<td>1 : 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat. No.</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Antigen</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>W.B Dilution</td>
<td>IF Dilution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3547</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>RAB5</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 : 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9367</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>RAB7</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 : 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ab134064</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>STUB1</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1 : 10000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Eurogentec</td>
<td>WDR98</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1 : 500</td>
<td>1 : 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Eurogentec</td>
<td>WDR98</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1 : 500</td>
<td>1 : 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Secondary Antibodies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cat. No.</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>W.B Dilution</th>
<th>IF Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A11056</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>α-Goat IgG</td>
<td>AF 546</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 : 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A150113</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>α-Mouse IgG</td>
<td>AF 488</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 : 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A21424</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>α-Mouse IgG</td>
<td>AF 555</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 : 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A21429</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>α-Rabbit IgG</td>
<td>AF 555</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 : 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9169</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>α-Rabbit IgG</td>
<td>HRP</td>
<td>1 : 8000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP186P</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>α-Goat IgG</td>
<td>HRP</td>
<td>1 : 8000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP130P</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>α-Mouse IgG</td>
<td>HRP</td>
<td>1 : 8000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2.2 Methodology**

Note: room temperature (RT) ranged from 17 - 23 °C.

**2.2.1 General Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)**

All PCRs were performed in a Bio-Rad PTC-200 DNA engine cycler. Optimised PCR parameters are displayed in Table 2.
Table 2. PCR Reaction Parameters

Three different enzymes with individual protocols were utilised: HiFi premix (Clontech), Pfu (Promega), Phusion (Thermo Fisher). The reagents required and temperature parameters for the reactions are detailed in the Table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HiFi</th>
<th>Pfu</th>
<th>Phusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>to 20 µl</td>
<td>to 50 µl</td>
<td>to 25 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 µl</td>
<td>4 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTPs (10 mM)</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
<td>0.5 µl</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers (10 µM)</td>
<td>1 µl each</td>
<td>2.5 µl each</td>
<td>1 µl each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>2.5 µl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.6 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA Template</td>
<td>variable</td>
<td>variable</td>
<td>variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymerase</td>
<td>12.5 µl premix</td>
<td>0.5 µl</td>
<td>0.2 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HiFi</th>
<th>Pfu</th>
<th>Phusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial Denaturation</td>
<td>98°C 10 sec</td>
<td>95°C 2 min</td>
<td>98°C 30 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denaturation</td>
<td>98°C 10 sec</td>
<td>95°C 45 sec</td>
<td>98°C 10 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annealing</td>
<td>Tm - 5°C 15 sec</td>
<td>Tm - 5°C 30 sec</td>
<td>Tm - 5°C 30 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>72°C 1 min/kb</td>
<td>72°C 2 min/kb</td>
<td>72°C 15 sec/kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycles</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Extension</td>
<td>72°C 10 min</td>
<td>72°C 10 min</td>
<td>72°C 10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Hold</td>
<td>4°C</td>
<td>4°C</td>
<td>4°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2 QuickChange PCR

Primers were designed to insert desired codon into target region of gene, one being the reverse complement of the other. PCR mastermix was prepared as follows: 37.5 µl ddH₂O, 5 µl 10X Pfu buffer + MgCl₂, 0.5 µl 10 mM dNTPs, 2.5 µl 10 µM primers, 0.5 µl Pfu and DNA template at variable amounts. A control PCR was run for each set of primers without the Pfu enzyme present to check for amplification. The reaction parameters were as follows: 95 °C for 2 minutes, 95 °C for 45 seconds, Tm - 5 °C 30 seconds, 72 °C 2 minutes per kb (11 total cycles), 72 °C for 10 minutes, kept at 4 °C.
2.2.3 DNA Electrophoresis and Purification

Agarose gels were made by dissolving 60 g agarose in 75 ml TAE buffer (40 mM Tris, 20 mM acetic acid, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 8 in 1 L ddH₂O) in a microwave and returning the volume to 75 ml using ddH₂O. SYBR Safe (Invitrogen) was added at 1:10,000 and mixed. DNA was electrophoresed at 60 V for 1 hour and visualised using a blue lightbox. Images captured via Syngene gel imager and UGenius software.

DNA was purified from gel slices using the Qiagen Gel Purification kit as per manufacturer’s instructions with the following modifications: 600 µl Buffer QC used to dissolve the gel slice with 200 µl isopropanol added following dissolution.

2.2.4 DNA Restriction Digests

Restriction digests were performed using 4 µl 10X KGB buffer (1 M glutamic acid, 250 mM Tris acetate pH 7.6, 100 mM magnesium acetate, 0.5 mg/ml bovine serum albumin, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol), 4 µl vector (~ 1 µg), 2 µl appropriate restriction enzyme and 30 µl ddH₂O incubated at 37 °C for 45 minutes. Digested products and undigested controls were analysed by DNA electrophoresis and DNA gel-purified.

2.2.5 Bacterial Transformation and DNA Extractions

DNA was inserted into the vector by combining 2 µl InFusion Enzyme Mix (5X) (Takara), ~ 100 ng vector DNA and ~ 50 ng insert DNA. The mixture was vortexed and incubated at 50 °C for 15 minutes. 2 µl InFusion reaction mixture was added to 50 µl stellar competent cells (Takara) and incubated on ice for 10 minutes, heat shocked at 42 °C for 1 minute and incubated on ice for 2 minutes. 250 µl SOC media (Takara) was added and cells recovered at 37 °C for 1 hour with shaking. Cells were centrifuged at 3500 rpm (Rotor FA-45-18-11) for 2 minutes, resuspended in the media meniscus and plated onto an antibiotic-selective plate.

Plasmid Minipreps from Bacteria:
DNA plasmids were extracted from 5 ml overnight cultures containing the relevant antibiotic using the Qiagen MiniPrep kit as per the manufacturer's instructions.

Plasmid Midipreps from Bacteria:
DNA plasmids were extracted from 50 ml overnight cultures containing the relevant antibiotic using the Qiagen MidiPrep kit as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

Wizard Minipreps from NRK Cells:
1 x T25 flask NRK cells were lysed and DNA extracted using the Promega Wizard Miniprep kit as per the manufacturer's instructions.

DNA samples were sent to either Source Bioscience or Eurofins GATC for sequencing analysis and prepared according to the company’s instructions.

2.2.6 Recombinant Protein Production

*E. coli* (BL21 DE3 pLysS) were transformed with plasmids encoding either GST- or HIS₆-tagged proteins as appropriate. Bacteria were grown in 2 x 600 ml of LB or 2TY broth as follows: 7.5 ml of LB (plus the appropriate selective antibiotics) was inoculated with a single bacterial colony and grown overnight at 37 °C with shaking. The next day, starter cultures were diluted 1:500 into a total volume of 600 ml LB or 2TY broth, and grown at 37 °C until an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 - 2.0 was obtained. Protein production was induced with IPTG to a final concentration of 0.2 mM, and cultures grown for a further 4 h. The bacteria were pelleted at 2,645 x g for 15 minutes at 4 °C. The cell pellet was resuspended in 10 ml of bacterial lysis buffer (1 % (v/v) Triton X-100, 1 mg/ml lysozyme, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 U/ml DNAase, in PBS) with protease inhibitors (Roche), and frozen at -20 °C overnight. The cell pellet was defrosted on ice and centrifuged at 47,800 x g in a Sorvall SS34 rotor for 20 minutes at 4 °C. For GST fusion proteins, the supernatant was incubated with 1 ml (packed volume) of glutathione sepharose (GE healthcare) for 1 h at 4 °C with gentle rotation. The sepharose beads were then collected and washed with 3 x 20 ml of wash buffer (1 % (v/v) Triton X-100 in PBS). GST/GST-fusion proteins were eluted with 20 ml elution buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 10 mM reduced glutathione). These fractions were then analysed by SDS-PAGE. The eluted proteins were then pooled and dialysed extensively into PBS at 4 °C for 2 days and stored at -20 °C until needed.
For the purification of HIS\textsubscript{6}-tagged proteins, cell pellets were processed as above. The soluble supernatant was incubated with 134 µl (packed volume) of HIS-Select resin (Sigma) for 1-2 h at 4 °C with gentle rotation. The beads were washed with 3 x 20 ml wash buffer (10 mM imidazole, 0.3 M NaCl, 50 mM Na\textsubscript{2}HPO\textsubscript{4}, pH8.0). Proteins were eluted with 20 ml elution buffer (250 mM imidazole, 0.3 M NaCl, 50 mM Na\textsubscript{2}HPO\textsubscript{4}, pH 8.0) and 1 ml fractions collected. Fractions were analysed by SDS-PAGE. The eluted proteins were then pooled and dialysed extensively into PBS at 4 °C for 2 days and stored at -20 °C until needed.

2.2.7 Mammalian Cell Culture

All cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10 % (v/v) FBS, 2 mM glutamine and 1 % (v/v) penicillin-streptomycin (10 U/ml and 10 µg/ml respectively) in a humidified 5 % CO\textsubscript{2} atmosphere at 37 °C, unless otherwise stated. Flp-In HeLa cells were a kind gift from Prof. M. Lowe (University of Manchester) and isogenic cell lines expressing either GFP or GFP-WDR98 protein were made by cloning genes into pcDNA5/FRT/TO and transfecting cells with this vector along with pOG44. Transfected Flp-In HeLa cells were selected with 50 µg/ml Hygromycin B (Roche) and protein expression was induced with 1 µg/ml doxycycline for 24h.

2.2.8 Mammalian Cell Transfection

Transfection reagents were combined with DNA in the ratios 20 µl X-tremeGENE HP (Sigma Aldrich) to 10 µg DNA made up to 1 ml total with OptiMEM (Gibco) to 1 µg DNA and incubated at RT for 20 minutes then added to cells that were split the day prior to obtain confluency of 80-90%.

2.2.9 CRISPR/Cas9 knockouts

An NRK CRISPR/Cas9 knockout protocol was optimised to the following: DharmaFect solution (DFS) was produced with 10 µl DharmaFect (Dharmacon) and 490 µl Dulbecco’s serum-free media (SFM) (Gibco), incubated at room temperature (RT) for 5 minutes. The transfection mixture contained: 210 µl SFM, 3 x 5 µl crRNA with distinct targeting sites (Dharmacon), 15 µl trRNA (Dharmacon), 3 µg Cas9
plasmid (Dharmacon) and 300 µl DFS, incubated at RT for 20 minutes before being added to cells containing 2.4 ml DMEM per well of a 6-well plate and cells at ~60% confluency, passaged the day prior.

Cells were incubated overnight at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ before seeding into larger dishes for selection of single colonies. The following day puromycin was added to a final concentration of 50 µg/ml. Once single colonies were established, cells were washed with PBS and colonies isolated with sterile pipette tips following trypsinisation. These were then transferred to a 24-well plate containing DMEM and puromycin and cultured for analyses.

2.2.10 Detergent Soluble Lysates

Tissue culture cells were washed with Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (Sigma) and scraped into ice-cold cell lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 2 mM EDTA, 0.5% (v/v) NP-40 with EDTA-free protease inhibitors (Roche)). The lysate was incubated at 4°C, 15 minutes and centrifuged at 16,000 x g, 10 minutes at 4 °C.

2.2.11 Protein Quantification Assay

BSA protein stock solution was prepared at 2 mg/ml. 100 µl BSA solution was diluted 1:1 with 0.2 M NaOH and used to set up a standard curve in duplicate with BSA 1 mg/ml ranging from 0 - 10 µl and 0.1 M NaOH ranging from 10 - 0 µl in order to make the total volume 10 µl per well of a 96 well plate. Protein samples to measure were diluted in water, if necessary, at increasing ratios and 5 µl each sample added to 5 µl 0.2 M NaOH in duplicate. BCA reagent and CuSO₄ were combined in the ratio 50:1 and 200 µl this reagent was added to all wells requiring quantification. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 30 minutes. Absorbance measurements were taken at 560 nm using a Thermo Multiscan GO plate reader to produce a standard curve and subsequently calculate the protein concentration of the sample(s).
2.2.12 Antibody Coupling

Amino-link beads (Pierce) were centrifuged and washed 3 x 500 µl PBS. 1 µg GFP nanobody was covalently coupled to 1 µl packed volume Amino-link beads via addition of 3 µl 5 M NaCNBH₄ per 200 µl total volume. The suspension was mixed by rotating at 4 °C for 2 hours, beads were pelleted and washed 3 x 500 µl 1 M Tris pH 8.0. 500 µl 1 M Tris and 3 µl 5 M NaCNBH₄ was added per 200 µl total volume. The suspension was mixed by rotating at 4 °C for 15 minutes and washed 3 x 500 µl PBS, followed by 6 x 1 M NaCl and 6 x 500 µl PBS. Beads were stored at 4 °C as a 50% packed bead slurry in PBS.

2.2.13 Immunoprecipitation

Transfected cells and untransfected controls were washed with ice cold PBS and lysates were produced. Protein quantification assay was used to estimate protein concentration which was normalised across samples. 8 µl bead slurry was added per 100 µg lysate and the suspension was rotated at 4 °C for 2 hours. Beads were washed 5 x 500 µl lysis buffer using Spin-X 0.45 µm cellulose acetate 8163 filter cups (Corning Costar), and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 1 minute (Rotor FA-45-18-11). 100 µl IgG elution buffer (Pierce) was added and beads centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 1 minute (Rotor FA-45-18-11). 100 µl IgG elution buffer was again added and incubated at RT for 10 minutes before centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 1 minute (Rotor FA-45-18-11). Samples were concentrated for 45 minutes using a vacuum pump.

2.2.14 RAB Nucleotide Loading

RAB proteins were diluted to 1 mg/ml in exchange buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 250 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.05 mM NP-40) and the solution adjusted to 5 mM EDTA and 250 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄. Each 1 ml solution was incubated with 33 units alkaline phosphatase beads with mixing by rotation for 15 minutes at RT. 250 µM GMPPNP and 33 units alkaline phosphatase beads were added to each solution and incubated with mixing by rotation for 30 minutes at RT. Beads were washed 2 x 500 µl exchange buffer using Spin-X 0.45 µm cellulose acetate 8163 filter cups (Corning Costar), and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 1 minute.
(Rotor FA-45-18-11). Flow throughs were pooled and divided equally into 3 tubes per Rab. Each tube was made up to 2 ml with exchange buffer and GMPPNP / GDP / GTP was added to 500 µM. Solutions were concentrated using Amicon Ultra Centrifugal Tubes at 3000 rpm for 30 minutes at 4 °C (Rotor FA-45-18-11). 2 ml loading buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.05 mM NP-40) was added to each tube with 200 µM nucleotide. Solutions were concentrated using Amicon Ultra Centrifugal Tubes at 3000 rpm for 30 minutes at 4 °C (Rotor FA-45-18-11) and the final step repeated. Each solution was then made up to 1 ml with loading buffer and stored at -80 °C.

2.2.15 Pulldowns

6 µg bait protein was combined with 6 µg prey protein and incubated in 500 µl pulldown buffer for 1 hour with mixing by rotation at 4 °C. Glutathione sepharose was washed 3 x 500 µl pulldown buffer and 20 µl unpacked pellet added to solutions and incubated for 1 hour with mixing by rotation at 4 °C. Beads were washed 3 x 500 µl pulldown buffer using Spin-X 0.45 µm cellulose acetate 8163 filter cups (Corning Costar), and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 1 minute (Rotor FA-45-18-11). 40 µl 95 °C Laemmlı sample buffer (3X stock: 188 mM Tris pH 6.8, 6% (w/v) SDS, 30% (v/v) glycerol, 0.03% (w/v) Bromophenol blue, 10% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol) was added to the beads and incubated at 95 °C for 5 minutes. Samples were analysed using SDS-PAGE and western blotting. Pulldown buffers consisted of loading buffer with slightly different compositions, including 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 / pH 6, 150-300 mM NaCl, 1-2% (v/v) NP-40, 1-2% (v/v) Tween-20, 1-2% (w/v) PEG 4000.

2.2.16 PLATE Transformation of Yeast

Transformed bacterial stocks were plated onto selective agar plates and cultured overnight at 37 °C. Single colonies were selected to Miniprep. Yeast strains were grown overnight in 5 ml YPD (yeast extract peptone dextrose, 1% (w/v) yeast extract, 2% (w/v) peptone, 2% (w/v) dextrose) in 50 ml tubes at 30 °C with agitation. Cultures were divided into 500 µl aliquots, centrifuged at 6,000 rpm (Rotor FA-45-18-11), for 1 minute and the supernatant removed. Pellets were resuspended with 20 µl ice-cold salmon sperm ssDNA and 20 µl of miniprep plasmid DNA. Following incubation at RT for 15 minutes, 250 µl PLATE solution (1 ml 10X TE, 1
ml 1M LiOAc, 8 ml 50% (w/v) PEG 4000) was added to the yeast and the yeast were further incubated overnight at 30 °C without agitation. Cells were heat-shocked at 42 °C, 15 minutes before centrifuging at 6,000 rpm (Rotor FA-45-18-11), for 1 minute and removing PLATE solution. 400 µl YPD was added and incubated at 30 °C for 1-4 hours. The yeast were then pelleted by centrifugation at 6,000 rpm (Rotor FA-45-18-11), for 1 minute then resuspended in 200 µl ddH₂O and 100 µl plated onto selective plates (pGAD vectors onto SD-leu agar and pGBDU onto SD-ura agar) and incubated at 30 °C until transformants could be picked.

2.2.17 Yeast 2 Hybrid

Yeast were plated out onto selective SD-leu/-ura plates and incubated at 30 °C for 48 hours. Yeast were replica plated onto YPD plates using sterile velvets so that pGAD vectors with inserts were mated with each pGBDU. Yeast were allowed to mate for 48 h at 30 °C. YPD plates were replica plated onto SD-leu, -ura plates and incubated at 30°C for 48 hours. Using a blunt-ended sterile cocktail stick, grown yeast from cross-sections were mixed into sterile water and 5 µl pipetted onto new SD-leu -ura plates and incubated at 30 °C for 48 hours. SD-leu -ura plates were replica plated onto SD-leu -ura -ade and incubated at 30 °C until growth observed.

2.2.18 SDS PAGE

Laemmli sample buffer (3X stock: 188 mM Tris pH 6.8, 6% (w/v) SDS, 30% (v/v) glycerol, 0.03% (w/v) Bromophenol blue, 10% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol) was added to 20 µl lysate samples followed by incubation at 95 °C for 5 minutes. Samples were resolved by gel electrophoresis using discontinuous polyacrylamide gels during which samples move through a stacking gel initially and are separated on a lower resolving gel, using the Bio-Rad minigel apparatus. Resolving gels were made with 7% (v/v) acrylamide (Protogel, National Diagnostics) using 2.5 ml resolving gel buffer (final concentration 0.375 M Tris-HCl ph 8.8, 0.2% (w/v) SDS), 6.1 ml ddH₂O, 50 µl 10% (w/v) ammonium persulfate (APS) and 5 µl TEMED. Gels were sealed with isopropanol. Stacking gels were made with 4% (v/v) acrylamide, 2.5 ml stacking gel buffer (final concentration 0.125 M Tris-HCl Ph 6.8, 0.2% (w/v) SDS), 50 µl 10% (w/v) APS and 10 µl TEMED. A protein ladder (Precision Plus Kaleidoscope ladder, Bio-Rad) was added to the gel along with samples and gel electrophoresis was
performed at 200 V in SDS running buffer (25 mM Tris, 0.1% (w/v) SDS, 192 mM glycine) until bromophenol dye had eluted from the gel.

2.2.19 Western Blotting

Proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes using the iBlot system (Invitrogen) as per manufacturer’s instructions. Membranes were blocked in 5% (w/v) semi-skimmed milk in TBS-Tween (TBST) (100 mM NaCl, to mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20), 30 minutes with agitation. Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies in 5% (w/v) milk TBST, 1 hour with agitation, followed by 3 x 5 minute TBST washes. Membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase conjugated secondary antibodies in 5% (w/v) milk TBST, 30 minutes with agitation. TBST washes were repeated and proteins were visualised using ECL reagent (Amersham).

2.2.20 Mass Spectrometry Sample Preparation

Samples were dried in a vacuum concentrator then solubilised in NuPAGE LDS sample buffer (Life Technologies) with heating at 70 °C for 10 minutes before running into a 7 cm NuPAGE Novex 10% (w/v) Bis-Tris gel (Life Technologies) at 200 V for 6 minutes. Gels were stained with SafeBLUE protein stain (NBS Biologicals) for 1 hour before destaining with ultrapure water for 1 hour. In-gel tryptic digestion was performed after reduction with dithioerythritol and S-carbamidomethylation with iodoacetamide. Gel pieces were washed two times with aqueous 50% (v/v) acetonitrile containing 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate, then once with acetonitrile and dried in a vacuum concentrator for 20 minutes. Gel pieces were rehydrated by adding 0.5 μg of sequencing-grade, modified porcine trypsin (Promega) in 200 μl of aqueous 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate. Digests were incubated overnight at 37 °C. Peptides were extracted by washing three times with aqueous 50% (v/v) acetonitrile containing 0.1% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid, before drying in a vacuum concentrator and reconstituting in aqueous 0.1% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid.
2.2.21 Liquid Chromatography Mass Spectrometry

Samples were loaded onto an UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano HPLC system (Thermo) equipped with a PepMap 100 Å C18, 5 µm trap column (300 µm x 5 mm Thermo) and a PepMap, 2 µm, 100 Å, C18 EasyNano nanocapillary column (75 µm x 150 mm, Thermo). The trap wash solvent was aqueous 0.05% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid and the trapping flow rate was 15 µl/minute. The trap was washed for 3 minutes before switching flow to the capillary column. Separation used gradient elution of two solvents: solvent A, aqueous 1% (v/v) formic acid; solvent B, aqueous 80% (v/v) acetonitrile containing 1% (v/v) formic acid. The flow rate for the capillary column was 300 nl/minute. Column temperature was 40 °C and the gradient profile was: linear 3-10% B over 15 minutes, linear 10-35% B over 108 minutes, linear 35-65% B over 30 minutes, linear 65-99% B over 7 minutes then proceeded to wash with 99% solvent B for 4 minutes. The column was returned to initial conditions and re-equilibrated for 15 minutes before subsequent injections. The nanoLC system was interfaced with an Orbitrap Fusion hybrid mass spectrometer (Thermo) with an EasyNano ionisation source (Thermo). Positive ESI-MS and MS2 spectra were acquired using Xcalibur software (version 4.0, Thermo). Instrument source settings were: ion spray voltage, 1,900 V; sweep gas, 0 Arb; ion transfer tube temperature; 275 °C. MS1 spectra were acquired in the Orbitrap with: 120 K resolution, scan range: m/z 375-1,500; AGC target, 4e5; maximum fill time, 100 ms. Data dependent acquisition was performed in top speed mode using a 1 s cycle, selecting the most intense precursors with charge states +2 to +5. Dynamic exclusion was performed for 50 s post precursor selection and a minimum threshold for fragmentation was set at 5e3. MS2 spectra were acquired in the linear ion trap with: scan rate, turbo; quadrupole isolation, 1.6 m/z; activation type, HCD; activation energy: 32%; AGC target, 5e3; first mass, 110 m/z; maximum fill time, 100 ms. Acquisitions were arranged by Xcalibur to inject ions for all available parallelizable time.

2.2.22 Mass Spectrometry Data Analysis

Peak lists were converted from .raw to .mgf format using Mascot Distiller (version 5, Matrix science), stipulating a minimum signal to noise ratio of 2 and correlation (Rho) of 0.6, before submitting to a locally-running copy of the Mascot program using Mascot Daemon (version 2.5.1, Matrix Science). Data were searched against
the *Rattus norvegicus* subset of the UniProt database (21,353 entries, date 20160909) specifying: Enzyme, trypsin; Max missed cleavages, 2; Fixed modifications, Carbamidomethyl (C); Variable modifications, Oxidation (M), Deamidated (NQ); Peptide tolerance, 5 ppm; MS/MS tolerance, 0.5 Da; Instrument, ESI-TRAP. Peak Lists were also searched against the same database using X! Tandem (The GPM, thegpm.org; version CYCLONE (2010.12.01.1)), with specified variable modifications increased to include: Glu/Gln->pyro-Glu (N-term) and ammonia-loss (N-term). Search results were combined and validated using Scaffold (version 4.8.4, Proteome Software Inc.). Protein identifications were accepted if they could be established at >99.0% probability to achieve a FDR <1.0% and contained at least 2 identified peptides. Protein probabilities were assigned by the Protein Prophet algorithm (Nesvizhskii et al. 2003). Proteins containing similar peptides that could not be differentiated based on MS2 analysis alone were grouped to satisfy the principles of parsimony. Proteins sharing significant peptide evidence were grouped into clusters. Proteins were annotated with GO terms from goa_uniprot_all.gaf (downloaded 12-Oct-2016) (Ashburner et al. 2000). Relative protein quantification was performed by spectral counting analogous to (Dowle, A et al. J. Prot. Res. 2016; 15, 3550–3562). Significant differences were calculated using Fisher’s exact test with Hochberg and Benjamini multiple test correction applied.

### 2.2.23 Immunofluorescence

Cells were rinsed with PBS and fixed:

**Methanol fixation:** cells were incubated in ice-cold methanol at -20 °C for 5 minutes before methanol aspiration.

**Formaldehyde fixation:** cells were incubated in 4% (v/v) paraformaldehyde in PBS, 20 minutes at RT, followed by paraformaldehyde aspiration and incubation in 50 mM NH₄Cl in PBS, 10 minutes, before aspiration. Cells were permeabilised in 0.2% (w/v) BSA, 0.05% (v/v) saponin in PBS, 10 minutes; all following washes and antibody dilutions were performed in BSA-Saponin-PBS (BSP). For cytosol extraction before fixation, cells were rinsed with cytosol extraction buffer (CEB) (25 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.4, 25 mM KCl, 2.5 mM magnesium acetate, 5 mM EGTA (sodium free), 150 mM potassium glutamate) and incubated in CEB 0.05% (w/v) saponin, 1 minute, followed by paraformaldehyde fixation.
Coverslips were incubated in primary antibody diluted in BSP, 1 hour at RT followed by 3 x 5 minute BSP washes. Coverslips were incubated in Alexa fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies in BSP, 30 minutes at RT followed by 3 x 5 minute BSP washes. Coverslips were rinsed in ddH₂O and mounted onto slides with MOVIOL 4-88.

Slides were imaged using Zeiss LSM 880 Axio Observer.Z1 inverted confocal laser microscope, 63x lens running Zen version 2.3 software. Image processing was completed using FIJI software (Schindelin et al. 2012).
Chapter 3: Identifying and Characterising WDR98

3.1 Introduction

To begin this study, all relevant publications and databases were mined for current information on WDR98. Commercially available reagents were assessed and a variety of constructs and cell lines produced to develop the tools necessary to begin establishing the characteristics of WDR98. Pre-existing data was accumulated, including identification of WDR98 as lysosomal in a large scale human placental proteomic analysis (Schroder et al. 2007); as a regulator of autophagy whereby WDR98 knockdown increased autophagic flux (Lipinski et al. 2010), and reportedly interacting with RAB5 (Gillingham et al. 2014) and FYCO1 (Behrends et al. 2010), both of which are involved in the lysosomal-autophagy systems. Considering this, it seemed to suggest that the Pryor lab pilot data, which indicated WDR98 interacted with CCZ1 and MON1B, was plausible. Furthermore, HH Pred protein structural prediction software (Soding et al. 2005) was used to identify proteins with similar structures based on amino acid sequence. The outcomes of this analysis were high degrees of structural similarity between WDR98 and the N-terminal domain of clathrin heavy chain (92.6%) and vacuolar sorting proteins VPS11 (91.64%), VPS41 (91.11%) and VPS18 (90.86%). These three particular VPS proteins have all been shown to interact with MON1B (Poteryaev et al. 2010). This information again suggested that looking to characterise WDR98 in the context of lysosome biology was a worthwhile avenue of exploration.

In order to achieve the project aims of characterising WDR98 and to begin to understand its function a variety of constructs were produced. This included GFP-WDR98, for immunoprecipitations and immunofluorescence microscopy, WDR98-HIS for Rab pulldowns and GST-WDR98 for antibody production. The latter being deemed necessary following commercial antibody characterisation which revealed no WDR98-appropriate results, as will be discussed later in this chapter.

Cell lines were also produced in an attempt to generate permanent tools to study the inducible overexpression of WDR98 in a stable line. The generation and characterisation of these cell lines will also be discussed.
CRISPR/Cas9 was also utilised extensively with the aim of obtaining a WDR98-knockout NRK cell line, however, the best result was a heterozygous knockout which displayed no distinct phenotype from wild type NRK cells.

Phylogenetic analysis of the conservation of WDR98 amino acid sequences between a wide range of species, both animal and plant, was carried out to determine the extent to which WDR98 was conserved, and from this to infer whether function was likely similar and how important it was biologically.

Overall, this chapter will discuss the attempts made to generate useful experimental tools and their characterisation, and the compilation of data already available. This will both inform later experiments and begin to build a picture of the role of WDR98 in the cell.

3.2 Methods

3.2.1 Phylogenetic Analysis

NCBI BLAST (protein-protein BLAST algorithm, Altschul et al. 1990) searches were performed using the human WDR98 full-length and unique MIC-domain amino acid sequences and subsequently identified sequences from species of decreasing complexity. Cladograms were compiled using Clustal X (Larkin et al. 2007) to align the sequences found across varying species and prepare phylogenetic trees. FigTree was used in their manipulation and Figure production.

3.2.2 Commercial Antibody Characterisation

Commercially-available antibodies to WDR98 were characterised using both NRK and HeLa cell lysates, with and without GFP-WDR98 transfections, by western blotting (Methods 2.2.18-19).
3.2.3 DNA Construct Production

After it was decided to use NRK as the main experimental model, all constructs produced (unless otherwise stated) were done so using the *Rattus norvegicus* WDR98 DNA sequence.

3.2.4 Antibody Production and Characterisation

GST-WDR98 protein was produced and purified as described in Methods 2.2.6. This protein was then sent to Eurogentec for antibody production using their 87-Day Classical Custom Polyclonal Antibody programme.

These commissioned antibodies were characterised via western blotting (Methods 2.2.18-19) and immunofluorescence (Methods 2.2.23). In some instances, antibodies (1:1000) were incubated with 10 μg GST-WDR98 protein prior to antibody incubation with the blot, with the aim of saturating the antibody and determining the identity of consistently strong bands.

3.2.5 Cell Line Production

Relevant constructs, pMEP4-MYC-GFP-WDR98 and pcDNA5-GFP-humanWDR98, were transfected into NRK cells and Flp-In-HeLa cells, respectively, and selected using G418 (pMEP4) and Hygromycin B (pcDNA5) to produce cell lines with inducible GFP-WDR98 expression. Expression induction was initiated using cadmium (ΔpMEP4) and doxycycline (pcDNA5). The efficacy of these cell lines was then assessed by immunofluorescence microscopy (Methods 2.2.23-24).

3.2.6 CRISPR/Cas9

An NRK CRISPR/Cas9 knockout protocol was optimised to the following: DharmaFect solution (DFS) was produced with 10 μl DharmaFect (Dharmacon) and 490 μl Dulbecco’s serum-free media (SFM) (Gibco), incubated at room temperature (RT) for 5 minutes. The transfection mixture contained: 210 μl SFM, 3 x 5 μl crRNA with distinct targeting sites (Dharmacon), 15 μl trRNA (Dharmacon), 3 μg Cas9 plasmid (Dharmacon) and 300 μl DFS, incubated at room temperature for 20
minutes before being added to cells containing 2.4 ml DMEM per well of a 6-well plate and cells at ~60% confluency, passaged the day prior.

Cells were incubated overnight at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ before seeding into larger dishes for selection of single colonies. The following day puromycin was added to a final concentration of 50 µg/ml. Once single colonies were established, cells were washed with PBS and colonies isolated with sterile pipette tips following trypsinisation. These were then transferred to a 24-well plate containing DMEM and puromycin and cultured for analyses.

Once confluent, cells were transferred to T25 culture flasks and then once confluency was achieved again, these cells were lysed and the DNA extracted using the Promega Wizard Miniprep kit as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

DNA was sent to either Source Bioscience or Eurofins GATC for sequencing around the CRISPR-target sites (appendix A ix).

3.3 Results

3.3.1 Evolutionary Conservation

WDR98 appears very well conserved with 90.68 - 100 % identity match among mammals and 24.27 % identity match between Homo sapiens and Arabidopsis thaliana. The source of this commonality lies in the C-terminal MIC domains, which appears consistently in all species identified. The range of species in which WDR98 orthologs have been found is presented in a non-exhaustive cladogram (Figure 4) intended to represent the level of WDR98 conservation. Efforts were made to identify orthologs in yeast and bacteria, through mining bioinformatics databases, without success.
Figure 4: Evolutionary History of WDR98

NCBI blast (protein-protein BLAST algorithm) searches were performed using the human WDR98 full-length and C-terminal MIC-domain amino acid sequences and subsequently identified sequences from varying species. This cladogram was compiled using Clustal X to align sequences and prepare phylogenetic trees using data from a small proportion of the sequences found across diverse organisms. FigTree was used to manipulate and organise the trees into cladograms such as that presented here.
3.3.2 Commercial Antibody Testing

Five commercially available antibodies to WDR98 (listed in Table 1) were tested via western blotting to check their specificity, in both wild type NRK lysates (except where stated) and lysates with GFP-WDR98 (100 kDa) transfection (Figure 5). Abcam ab137276 was later tested on HeLa lysates (5E) following a publication which claimed to have found specificity by western blotting in human cells (Pontano Vaites et al. 2017) though we were unable to replicate this result.
Figure 5: Commercial Antibodies Fail to Recognise WDR98 by Western Blotting

Commercial antibodies to WDR98 (75 kDa) were assessed for specificity via western blotting, using both NRK wild type lysates (or HeLa lysates in E) and lysates from cells which had been transfected with GFP-WDR98 (100 kDa). A - Abcam ab78596, B - SantaCruz sc-390305, C - Proteintech 20111-1-AP, D - Abcam ab137276, E - Abcam ab137276.
3.3.3 DNA Constructs

The following DNA constructs were successfully produced and verified by DNA sequencing (Appendices A i - A xiii):

- GST-WDR98
- WDR98-HIS
- GFP-WDR98
- GFP-WD40
- GFP-MIC
- ΔpMEP4-MYC-GFP-WDR98
- pcDNA5-GFP-humanWDR98
- pGAD-WDR98
- pGBDU-WDR98
- GST-RAB5A
- GST-RAB7A

3.3.4 Commissioned Antibody Testing

In order to assess whether the antibodies from two rabbits raised against GST-WDR98 were indeed specific to WDR98, they were first tested on NRK lysates from cells which had been transfected with GFP-WDR98 (100 kDa) (Figure 6A). This did not produce bands at either the endogenous molecular weight of 75 kDa, nor the weight of the overexpressed protein attached to GFP. There were, however, strong bands at 55 kDa from both antibodies which were consistently present when testing on NRK lysates. This led to the incubation of antibody ‘A’ with 10 μg GST-WDR98 pure protein in an attempt to saturate the antibody. The aim of this was to reduce binding to endogenous WDR98 in case it was running much lower than expected at 55 kDa. In Figure 6B, both lanes contain wild type NRK lysate, the first lane was treated with the original antibody without protein incubation, whilst the second lane was treated with the antibody that had been incubated with GST-WDR98. There was no evidence of the 55 kDa band intensity decreasing, nor the intensity of any other bands.
Figure 6: Commissioned GST-WDR98 Antibodies Fail to Recognise WDR98 by Western Blotting or Immunofluorescence

GST-WDR98 protein was produced and sent to Eurogentec for the production of antibodies using two rabbits. Antibodies were characterised by western blotting and immunofluorescence. (A) Both antibodies were tested on NRK lysates from cells transfected with GFP-WDR98, antibody ‘A’ was used in the first lane, and antibody ‘B’ in the second. (B) Using NRK lysates, the original antibody ‘A’ was used in the first lane and antibody incubated with GST-WDR98 was used in the second lane. (C) Antibody ‘A’ was also tested using immunofluorescence, NRK cells were transfected with GFP-WDR98 and cells were assessed for GFP-WDR98-antibody colocalisation. Pearson correlation coefficient $R = 0.07$. Scale = 20 $\mu$m.

Finally, antibody ‘A’ was also tested via immunofluorescence, again using NRK cells which had been transfected with GFP-WDR98 (Figure 6C). Complete colocalisation would be expected for a WDR98-specific antibody, however the Pearson correlation coefficient produced an $R$ value of 0.07, suggesting a lack of WDR98 specificity.
There were no points of colocalisation and this, combined with the western blotting results, led to the conclusion that these antibodies were not specific to WDR98.

As the first attempt at immunising rabbits against GST-WDR98 was unsuccessful, trial protein productions of GST-WDR98 and WDR98-His were performed, to determine the protein with which we could expect success, with both 4 hour (37 °C) and overnight (21 °C) inductions using IPTG, analysed by western blotting. The GST protein (anti-GST) exhibited large amounts of degradation in both conditions compared to the HIS (anti-HIS) protein (Figure 7), which had the least amount of degradation when induced overnight. WDR98-HIS protein was produced with induction overnight and sent to Eurogentec for new antibody production.

![Figure 7: WDR98-HIS Exhibits Less Protein Degradation than GST-WDR98](image)

*Figure 7: WDR98-HIS Exhibits Less Protein Degradation than GST-WDR98*

**GST-WDR98 and WDR98-HIS protein was produced. Tests were performed with both 4 hour (37°C) and overnight (O/N, 21 °C) inductions using IPTG, separated by western blotting, stained with anti-GST and anti-HIS.**

Extensive testing has yet to be carried out, but preliminary tests of the new antibodies raised against WDR98-HIS show potential viability with a band matching to that seen with anti-HIS at around 70 kDa, which becomes cleaner after antibody affinity purification (Figure 8).
Figure 8: Commissioned WDR98-HIS Antibody may show WDR98 Specificity

Initial tests of the latest WDR98-HIS (75 kDa) antibodies (44 and 45) on WDR98-HIS pure protein compared with anti-HIS on WDR98-HIS pure protein. Blots before (left) and after (right) antibody affinity purification.

The HIS and WDR98 antibody staining does not match up perfectly, so it is possible that there has been some protein degradation. Further optimisation and testing for function in immunofluorescence staining is needed.

3.3.5 Cell Line Characterisation

Cell lines NRK ΔpMEP4-MYC-GFP-WDR98 and HeLa pcDNA5-GFP-humanWDR98 were induced and protein expression assessed by immunofluorescence. The induced expression gave a weaker signal than transiently transfected GFP-WDR98 and over multiple passages, and after resurrection from liquid nitrogen storage, the expression weakened further (data not shown). As such, the decision was made to use transient transfections for all experiments to gain a consistent and higher level of expression.

3.3.6 CRISPR/Cas9 Knockout Characterisation

CRISPR/Cas9 has been employed extensively with the aim of generating a viable WDR98 knockout cell line, however, here we had little success. Due to the lack of a functional WDR98 antibody, cell line characterisation requires gDNA extraction,
PCR and DNA sequencing. Exons 1, 8, 9 and 10 of WDR98’s 20 (appendix A viii) have been targeted, with the final trials hitting NRK cells with guide RNAs specific to all four target exons. This yielded one cell line with unusual sequencing in exon 9, including up to three nucleotides identified per base (Figure 9 Panel A). Suspecting a mixed population, cells were further subcloned and exon 9 resequenced, which revealed up to two nucleotides present per base - indicating either a mixed population or a heterozygote. Subcloning was conducted again, with absolute certainty only to clone from single cells, and the results were consistent with previous findings. It is most likely to be a heterozygote cell line, with only one chromosome being affected by the Cas9, as wild type sequence can be identified within the nucleotides reported (Figure 9 Panel B).
Figure 9: WDR98 CRISPR/Cas9 Chromatograms

Exon 9 sequencing of WDR98 CRISPR/Cas9 knockout attempts in NRK cells, targeting directly after the blue highlighted sequence ‘TAAAT’, up to and including which is WT. Panel A: initial attempt. Panel B: subclone.

The WDR98 Cas9 heterozygote cell line has been characterised, with studies looking at lysosome size and distribution displaying no strong visible difference from wild type (data not shown). Growth rates were also compared, but again there was no notable difference between that of the Cas9 clones or wild type (data not shown).

3.4 Discussion

3.4.1 Assessment of Methods

When characterising antibodies, both commercial and those produced for this project, initial tests on NRK lysates failed to display bands of appropriate molecular weight. The inclusion of GFP-WDR98-transfected cell lysates negated the possibility that this was due to trace amounts of endogenous protein present within the cell, however, this did not improve the results and all antibodies to WDR98 tested failed to show evidence of protein-specificity and therefore useful functionality. Western blotting protocols were adapted to include semi-dry manual as well as iBlot transfers and different membranes were used, including nitrocellulose and PVDF. Unfortunately, none of these methods were successful in improving the antibody
performance and as such, this project has been carried out in the absence of an antibody to WDR98.

All CRISPR/Cas9 resultant cell lines were sequenced as either wild type, with silent mutations or as heterozygous knockouts. Given the numerous and extensive attempts to generate a WDR98 knockout using CRISPR/Cas9; optimisation of the transfection protocol using GFP and the use of a variety and multiple Cas9 guideRNA targets; it was considered that WDR98 complete knockouts were potentially unviable. This was later supported by Pontano Vaites et al. (2017) who also struggled to generate a knockout. They instead found success using transient siRNA knockdowns, identifying enlarged endosomal/lysosomal structures using both immunofluorescence and TEM analysis, and accumulation of P62 - indicating a reduction in autophagic flux. RAB7 was also less inclined to localise lysosomally.

A recent publication also supports this, reporting a similar phenotype using a CRISPRi knockdown HeLa cell line. In the absence of WDR98, they observed that early endosomes and lysosomes were enlarged, EEA1 and LAMP1 staining was increased, and EGF and EGFR trafficking to the lysosome was impaired (Tsui et al. 2019). Their data supports the theory that WDR98 plays a role in endosomal maturation in concert with MON1B and CCZ1, and its transient nature adds weight to the likelihood that it is not possible to make viable, stable WDR98 knockout cell lines.

**3.4.2 Contribution to the Project**

The discovery that WDR98 and its unique MIC domain are so well conserved adds weight to the theory that WDR98 performs a fundamental, necessary function and shows that the work carried out during this project to characterise this protein was relevant. The inability to identify an ortholog in yeast using bioinformatics is intriguing, but its complete absence is unlikely. It is more plausible that an ortholog exists which has yet to be identified, perhaps due to quite different amino acid sequences, or the function of a single/group of proteins in yeast may have since evolved to impose distinct functions. Despite this, the phylogenetic findings are interesting, and have yet to be discussed in any other publications.
It was logical to test all available WDR98 antibodies and upon finding none of them suitable, to produce a protein construct with which to attempt to generate our own. It is unfortunate that this too was proven non-specific to WDR98, and this lack of antibody certainly made the overall project more difficult. With hindsight, a GST-tag was perhaps not the best purification tag to use given its large size and inherent immunogenicity. It was considered that the antibody may be specific to GST itself, but western blots containing GST-WDR98 pure protein again did not reveal antigen-specificity (data not shown). If GST had induced a high level of immune response, it is possible the serum received from Eurogentec was a combination of many different antibodies; though these should have been cleared during antibody affinity purification.

Following the unsuccessful antibody trials, the production of several DNA constructs became invaluable. GFP-WDR98 was most commonly used and was used to obtain data from immunoprecipitation and immunofluorescence experiments. Further to this, the generation of GFP-WD40 and GFP-MIC truncated proteins also produced some exciting and novel, results which will be discussed later on in Chapters 4 and 5. GST-RAB constructs and WDR98-HIS were instrumental in conducting the pulldown experiment in the absence of an antibody and the pGAD and pGBDU constructs were used to perform yeast 2 hybrid experiments.

The inducible cell lines did not greatly contribute to the overall project, but did help establish that GFP-WDR98 is not well expressed within cells, through either inducible expression or transient transfection, though the latter yielded higher expression levels and was the method of choice for all experiments discussed.

Similarly, the CRISPR/Cas9 attempts unfortunately did not contribute any data to the project, but the attempts were valid and worthwhile given the breadth of data that stands to be gained from a resource such as a WDR98 knockout. Pontano Vaites et al. (2017) and Tsui et al. (2019) exemplified this via the data obtained from their knockdowns. WDR98 knockouts could be further exploited to determine the phenotype with regard to expression levels and distribution of MON1B and CCZ1 in the absence of WDR98. This could help elucidate the functionality of this protein complex, how much they rely on each other and whether there is a feedback loop.
present or if WDR98 has a role in regulating the expression or function of either of the endosomal maturation proteins it binds to. Finally, given the high level of conservation of WDR98, there are many options for attempting to create mutant organisms to further help understand the function of the protein in vivo, as none currently exist, according to publications.

3.4.3 Future Directions

In order to advance research in this field and speed up the acquisition of data, a suitable WDR98 antibody would be invaluable. Further testing of the new WDR98-HIS antibody will hopefully reveal WDR98-specificity.

Increased time spent exploiting bioinformatics techniques and mining sequence databases could eventually lead to the discovery of a yeast WDR98 ortholog, which would also be a highly suitable and cheap research model with which to generate data rapidly.

Finally, siRNA could be a good alternative to generate WDR98 knockdowns and subsequently assess the effect of its reduction in the cell on the endosomal maturation proteins, further elucidating the function of WDR98.
Chapter 4: WDR98 Protein-Protein Interactions

4.1 Introduction

With the aim of uncovering more detail about a possible function for WDR98, a variety of methods were employed to identify its protein binding partners with varying success. Methods selected included yeast 2 hybrid, pulldowns and GFP immunoprecipitations, with further assessment by western blotting and liquid chromatography mass spectrometry in order to maximise the potential to obtain data in the absence of a WDR98 antibody. The two main protein domains of WDR98 were also individually assessed by GFP immunoprecipitation: WD40 and MIC (Figure 3). According to Uniprot databases and JPred predictions, WDR98 has a \( \beta \)-sheet rich propeller-like N terminal ‘WD40’ domain. Such domains are highly abundant and have well-defined roles in functioning as protein-protein or protein-DNA interaction platforms (Xu and Min 2011). WDR98 also has a highly conserved C terminal \( \alpha \)-helical ‘MIC’ domain of unknown function, which appears to be unique to WDR98 and WDR98-related proteins, of which there are seven isoforms of varying lengths in humans. It has been consistently found that WDR98 interacts with CCZ1 and MON1B (Pontano Vaites et al. 2017) and that the WD40 domain is sufficient to elicit binding to these proteins, albeit not as strongly as the full-length protein.

4.2 Methods

4.2.1 Yeast 2 Hybrid Interactions

In addition to existing yeast 2 hybrid pGBDU (bait) and pGAD (prey) vectors containing MON1B, CCZ1, RAB5, and RAB7 that were previously generated by the Pryor lab; pGBDU- and pGAD-WDR98 were created to check for interactions with the aforementioned endosomal proteins. QuickChange PCR was also used to generate RAB constructs locked into the conformation of GDP-bound, GTP-bound and nucleotide free as well as the original wild type construct. SP6 was included as part of a different project and is not relevant to WDR98.
Yeast strains were plated out onto selective synthetic defined (SD)-leucine (pGAD) and SD-uracil (pGBDU) plates (without leucine or uracil in the agar media respectively) and grown individually before mating on YPD (complete amino acids) plates. Plates were later replicated onto SD-leu/-ura plates (no leucine and no uracil combined) and allowed to grow for 48 hours at 30 °C. Yeast from mated cross-sections were mixed with sterile water and pipetted onto new SD-leu/-ura plates and incubated at 30 °C for another 48 hours before replica plating onto SD-leu/-ura/-adenine, the loss of the latter being negated by a successful bait-prey interaction, enabling the production of the missing amino acids and therefore, growth (Figure 10).

Figure 10: Yeast 2 Hybrid Method

Yeast 2 hybrid utilises the GAL4 transcription factor system which is divided into a binding domain (BD) and activation domain (AD), both of which are required in order to initiate transcription. When this interaction is mediated by the additional interaction of a set of proteins (bait and prey) to which they are fused, transcription is only enabled if there is the ability of the bait and prey proteins to bind. In this instance, transcriptional control was over the genes responsible for producing leucine, uracil and adenine - which were absent from the final yeast plates, and as such, essential for growth. As such, visible yeast growth indicates a positive interaction between the bait and prey proteins. Figure adapted from Lin and Lai (2017).
4.3 Results

4.3.1 Yeast 2 Hybrid Interactions

Yeast 2 hybrid was utilised to assess the potential interactions among proteins involved in the endosomal-lysosomal systems, including WDR98 (Figure 11).

![Figure 11: MON1B and CCZ1 Interact via Yeast 2 Hybrid](image)

Yeast 2 hybrid with pGBDU (bait) and pGAD (prey) constructs expressing proteins involved in the endosomal and lysosomal systems, and RABs 5 and 7 locked into different states of nucleotide binding conformations. SP6 was included as part of a different project and is not relevant to WDR98. Yeast were plated out onto selective SD-leucine (pGAD) and SD-uracil (pGBDU) plates and grown individually before mating on YPD plates. Plates were later replicated onto SD-leu/-ura plates and allowed to grow for 48 hours at 30 °C. Yeast from mated cross-sections were pipetted onto new SD-leu/-ura plates and incubated for another
48 hours at 30 °C before replica plating onto SD -leu/-ura/-adenine. Evidence of positive interactions circled red and autoactivation outlined blue. Image taken on day 30.

Following 30 days of incubation for replicate three, the only evidence of positive interactions and resultant growth were between MON1B and CCZ1, with CCZ1 as the bait. Autoactivation also occurred in the pGBDU-SP6 and some of the pGBDU-RAB5 strains. There was no binding evident from any of the WDR98 yeast matings in either direction, though this does not indicate the interactions are not possible, simply that they were not detected via yeast 2 hybrid.

4.3.2 WDR98 and WD40 Domain Interacts with CCZ1 and MON1B

To confirm the interaction between WDR98 and CCZ1 (as indicated by the pilot large scale IP from the Pryor lab), and to examine whether either the WD40 or MIC domain were sufficient for the interaction, an IP was performed using Aminolink beads coupled to an anti-GFP-nanobody and NRK cells transiently transfected with GFP, GFP-WD40, GFP-MIC or GFP-WDR98. The GFP was then immunoprecipitated from lysates. Resultant lysates and immunoprecipitations were separated using SDS PAGE and lysates were blotted for GFP to show levels of GFP-protein expression in lysates pre- and post-IP (Figure 12A, B). Lysates and eluates were also blotted for CCZ1. Data shows consistent CCZ1 bands (SantaCruz) in pre-IP samples (Figure 12C). CCZ1 (55 kDa) was also present in post-IP samples: strongly in full-length GFP-WDR98 and much weaker, but evident, in GFP-WD40 (Figure 12D), suggesting this domain alone is sufficient for a low level of CCZ1-binding.
Figure 12: WDR98 and WD40 Domain Interacts with CCZ1 and MON1B

Into NRK cells, GFP, GFP-WD40, GFP-MIC (the two domains of WDR98) and full-length GFP-WDR98 were transfected and GFP immunoprecipitated (IP) and lysates analysed for the presence of CCZ1 and MYC-MON1B (using a MYC-MON1B-inducible cell line). (A) Pre-IP lysates analysed using anti-GFP. (B) Lysates post-IP. (C) Lysates pre-IP following transfections. (D) Post-IP eluate analysis for the presence of CCZ1 (55 kDa). (E) Post-IP eluate analysis for the presence of MYC-MON1B (59 kDa). GFP (27 kDa), GFP-WD40 (70 kDa), GFP-MIC (47 kDa), GFP-WDR98 (100 kDa).

To confirm the interaction between WDR98 and MON1B (again as indicated by the pilot IP from the Pryor lab) Flp-In HeLa cells with inducible MYC-MON1B expression were transfected with GFP, GFP-WD40, GFP-MIC or GFP-WDR98 and the MYC-MON1B expression induced. This was necessary due to the lack of a suitable MON1B or WDR98 antibody. As done previously, the GFP was then immunoprecipitated from lysates, resultant lysates and immunoprecipitations were analysed using SDS PAGE, and lysates were blotted for GFP and MYC. Replicating the data from CCZ1 interaction experiments, MYC-MON1B (59kDa) was present in post-IP samples: strongly in full-length GFP-WDR98 and weaker, but evident, in GFP-WD40 (Figure 12E), again suggesting the WD40 domain is sufficient for binding to MON1B.
4.3.3 Alternative Immunoprecipitation Interactions

Using data compiled from the BIOGRID database (Oughtred et al. 2019) and the Pryor lab large scale IP pilot, a protein interaction network was produced to display significant proteins (in terms of function, localisation and disease) which may potentially bind to WDR98, MON1 and CCZ1 (Figure 13). This was used to identify proteins to investigate further in terms of an interaction with WDR98.
Figure 13: WDR98 Potential Protein Interactions Network
Data compiled from the BIOGRID database and preliminary Pryor lab data was used to produce a potential protein interaction network.

Using specific antibodies, further immunoprecipitations were conducted to look for confirmation of interactions between full-length WDR98 and proteins identified on the potential protein interactions network. Given previous results (figure 12), CCZ1 was used as a positive control. Antibodies against HDAC6, HSP90, STUB1 (Figure 14A), APP and Beta-Amyloid (Figure 14B) were tested on pre-IP lysates and post-IP eluates.

Figure 14: WDR98 does not Interact with HDAC6, HSP90, STUB1 or APP
GFP and GFP-WDR98 were produced in transiently transfected NRK cells and GFP was immunoprecipitated (IP), then lysates analysed for the presence of a variety of proteins involved in trafficking. (A) Lysates analysed using anti-HDAC6, HSP90, CCZ1 and STUB1. (B) Pre-IP lysates analysed using anti-APP, CCZ1 and Beta-Amyloid.

There were no new WDR98 protein interactions identified through these IPs, which can be considered true negatives due to the positive antibody signal in pre-IP lysates and absence of signal in post-IP eluates, in the presence of a positive signal indicating the interaction between GFP-WDR98 and CCZ1.

4.3.4 RAB Pulldowns

To clarify previously reported RAB and WDR98 interactions (Gillingham et al. 2014, Pontano Vaites et al. 2017), recombinant GST-RAB5 and GST-RAB7 were produced and loaded with nucleotides (GMPPNP, GDP and GTP) before incubation with recombinant WDR98-HIS in the presence of glutathione sepharose beads. GST
bound to glutathione sepharose beads as indicated in Figure 15 and a weak amount of WDR98-HIS was detected in all conditions, including the GST-absent control, suggesting a weak ability to bind directly to the beads themselves. As the level of WDR98-HIS did not increase in any of the GST-RAB conditions compared to the negative control, and smudging in the GST-RAB5 lane was proven to be nonspecific through repetition, this is evidence that there is not a direct interaction between WDR98 or any nucleotide conformation RAB5/7 protein when in isolation *in vitro*.

![Image of a gel showing protein bands](image)

**Figure 15: WDR98 does not Directly Interact with RAB5/7 *in vitro***

WDR98-HIS (75 kDa) was incubated with GST-RABs 5 (49 kDa) and 7 (48 kDa) in varying nucleotide-locked conformations (with nucleotides present) to test for protein-protein interactions via pulldown with glutathione beads in a wide range of different buffers (representative example blot shown).

### 4.3.5 Immunoprecipitation Liquid Chromatography Mass Spectrometry

Immunoprecipitations were conducted again as previously described but on a much larger scale and with liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis of eluates for GFP, GFP-WDR98 and GFP-MON1A, the latter included to aid identification of binding partners specific to WDR98, as trial analysis by LC-MS/MS revealed common interactors for both proteins. Triplicate repeats and Fisher’s exact test and Benjamini-Hochberg multiple test correction statistical analysis revealed statistical confidence (p < 0.00071) in GFP-WDR98 binding to only a handful of proteins, including MON1B (Table 3).

**Table 3: MON1B and Structural Proteins are Binding Partners of WDR98**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Interaction Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>MON1B, structural proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-WDR98</td>
<td>MON1B, structural proteins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GFP and GFP-WDR98 were produced in transiently transfected NRK cells and GFP was immunoprecipitated, then eluates analysed via liquid chromatography mass spectrometry. GFP-WDR98 positive results from three replicates were compared to results from the GFP
control analysis, and statistical analysis (Fisher’s Exact Test and Benjamini-Hochberg multiple test correction) revealed the proteins displayed in this table were able to bind to GFP-WDR98 with significant statistical confidence \((p < 0.00071)\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GFP-WDR98 Binding Partners</th>
<th>Fisher’s Exact Test (p =)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MON1B</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSMC5</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIMENTIN CLUSTER</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYOSIN CLUSTER</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIBRONECTIN</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYTOSPIN A</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSMC2</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLATHRIN HEAVY CHAIN 1</td>
<td>0.00028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HISTONE H2B CLUSTER</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLASTIN CLUSTER</td>
<td>0.00036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLOTILLIN 2</td>
<td>0.00063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proteins identified mainly included cell-structural and transport proteins, notably clathrin heavy chain 1 which is required for clathrin-coated vesicles in protein trafficking, and two proteins (PSMC5 and PSMC2) of the 26S proteasome regulatory subunit.

MON1B was the only repeat protein that we had previously confirmed interacted with WDR98 to be deemed significant in these experiments. CCZ1 was present in the analysis but not to a high enough level to be considered a significant binding partner following multiple test corrections \((p = 0.0016)\).

\[4.4 \text{ Discussion}\]
4.4.1 Confirmation of Interactions

Overall, the main results obtained from these protein-protein interaction studies are confirmation of the interactions between WDR98 and CCZ1 and MON1B of the endosomal maturation complex. Whilst this has since been replicated and published in new literature (Pontano Vaites et al. 2017), we have also discovered that the WD40 domain is sufficient to bind to CCZ1 and MON1B, albeit with apparent weaker affinity than the full-length protein, whereas the MIC domain alone does not exhibit any CCZ1 or MON1B binding. The beta-propeller structure predicted to exist in the WD40 domain often acts as a protein-protein or protein-DNA binding platform in other proteins (Xu and Min 2011), which would appear to also be the case in WDR98. This may leave the unique helical MIC domain free to perform an alternative function to the binding of the WD40 domain. These findings localise WDR98 to maturing endosomes and lysosomes within the cell, and also suggest cross-species conservation as the rat-derived WDR98 protein was observed to bind both rat (large-scale IP LC-MS/MS) and human (IP western blotting) MON1B orthologs. Analysis via LC-MS/MS also supports a highly specific role for WDR98 in supporting endosomal maturation and trafficking to the lysosome as very few proteins were found to bind significantly to WDR98 using this method, including MON1B, structural proteins and members of the 26S proteasome regulatory subunit. The significance of the proteasome regulatory subunit-binding again highlights a well-defined role for WDR98 in helping to regulate protein trafficking and degradation. As does the clathrin heavy chain, which is essential for receptor-mediated endocytosis and vesicular structure. However, these two latter interactions have only been identified using this method, and further validation using other approaches would be required to achieve confirmation.

MON1 and CCZ1 have been shown to bind to both RAB5 and RAB7 in Caenorhabditis elegans (Poteryaev et al. 2010) and Drosophila melanogaster (Yousefian et al. 2013, Hegedűs et al. 2016). Drosophila melanogaster WDR98 (CG8270) has previously been shown to bind to RAB5 (Q to L mutant that stabilises GTP bound RABs) (Gillingham et al., 2014), whereas Pontano Vaites et al. (2017) detected WDR98 binding to RAB7 (T to N mutant that stabilises GDP bound RABs). However, RAB mutants such as T22N can cause RAB instability, which promotes
non-specific interactions (personal communication with Miguel Seabra, Imperial College, London). Our data suggests there may not be a direct interaction between WDR98 and RAB5 or RAB7. This is plausible as published data from Gillingham et al. (2014) and Pontano Vaites et al. (2017) cannot rule out indirect interactions via linker proteins. The presence of additional proteins, for example MON1B and/or CCZ1, may be required to enable an interaction between WDR98 and RABs, or they may simply work together as part of the same protein complex, for example during the RAB5 - RAB7 switch in endosomal maturation process. Regardless, this is the first in vitro interaction study of RAB5 and 7 with WDR98 in isolation. Following rigorous testing of differing protein concentrations, different types of cross-linking beads and a wide variety of buffers, our conclusion is that they are unable to interact directly in vitro.

4.4.2 Assessment of Methods

Yeast 2 hybrid was a relatively quick and economical way to test for interactions between multiple different proteins at the same time, with a visual positive/negative result. However, this method is not without its limitations. Most often, protein-protein interactions are not displayed as positive in both bait-prey directions, as seen here between the well-established interactors MON1B and CCZ1. Their positive interaction was only displayed using CCZ1 as the bait and MON1B as the prey, not the other way around, meaning it is necessary to try both conformations to check for false negatives. There is also the possibility of false positives, as also shown in this study. Autoactivation occurred in the pGBDU-SP6 and some of the pGBDU-RAB5 strains, which is a common limitation for some protein fragments within pGBDU vectors (Galletta and Rusan 2015). Whilst this rarely occurs in both pGBDU and pGAD vectors containing the same protein, it does restrict the data that can be collected, as true positives, only occurring in one bait-prey direction, may be masked by the false positives. For WDR98, there was no autoactivation or evidence of binding from any of the yeast matings in either direction. This does not indicate the interactions are not possible, simply that they are not detected in this yeast 2 hybrid approach.

The use of GFP immunoprecipitation has proven invaluable and can provide data using a variety of different analyses. For known targets, western blotting analysis is
quick and relatively economical once the relevant antibodies are acquired, and there are methods to circumnavigate the lack of a suitable antibody including the generation of inducible-expression cell lines and transfection of tagged DNA constructs, though it is a more lengthy and difficult process to produce the additional molecular constructs required. LC-MS/MS analysis completely removes the need for protein-specific antibodies and also allows proteome-wide, non-targeted forward screening. Here, this was able to illuminate WDR98 interactions with cell structural proteins and proteasome subunits which may otherwise not have been identified. The statistical analysis used, Fisher’s Exact Test with Benjamini-Hochberg multiple test corrections are highly stringent, (p < 0.00071), however they may be so stringent that we are also dismissing other positive results as not significant, including CCZ1 which had a p value of 0.0016, despite displaying a clear positive result from many IPs with western blotting analysis. Further repeats of these experiments would be useful, however it is costly given the amount of transfection reagent and volume of consumables required to achieve the level of sample necessary for the complex LC-MS/MS analysis, in addition to the costs of the MS itself.

Whilst isolated pulldown experiments can also do away with the requirement for protein-specific antibodies, they too are not without their limitations. Despite trying many different conditions to detect a WDR98-RAB5/7 interaction in vitro, it is possible that the optimal condition simply was not found in order to facilitate an interaction, highlighting the inherent difficulties behind in vitro studies. Many different replicates were carried out until the decision was made to conclude that we could not find evidence of a direct WDR98-RAB5/7 interaction in vitro. A further problem is finding suitable cross-linking beads, because all beads tested (including Ni-NTA agarose) were found to exhibit some level of nonspecific binding to either GST-RAB5/7 or WDR98-HIS. An increase in western blotting band intensity could have been taken as a positive result compared to nonspecific binding in the negative control, however this did not occur within our experiments and the conclusion remains that WDR98 is unable to directly interact with RAB5/7, in any nucleotide conformation, in vitro.

4.4.3 Future Directions
It would be very helpful to have an atomic-resolution structure of WDR98 to visualise the distinct domains, thus enabling a model of how the interactions with MON1B and CCZ1 might take place to be created. Atomic-resolution structures of WD40-type domains already exist and this is a domain which is relatively well researched (Zhang et al. 2019); the truncated WDR98 WD40 construct could be used for protein crystallisation studies. A crystal structure would also help with the investigation of the secondary structure of the central part of the protein, which is currently unknown. Current understanding is that a complex of both MON1B and CCZ1 dimers are required to form the endosomal maturation complex (Kiontke et al. 2017) and a crystal structure may help elucidate how WDR98 fits into that complex.

Further studies such as analytical size-exclusion chromatography or analytical ultracentrifugation could determine whether WDR98 too is required in duplicate. Potential obstacles to this include the fact that WDR98 is a rather unstable protein that tends to be insoluble, with attempts to produce high amounts of protein rendering relatively low yields (data not shown).

Repetition of the IPs with LC/MS-MS analysis would be costly but useful to check for any positive results which may have been missed, given that CCZ1 was determined to be a non-statistically significant binding partner.

Finally, as with all studies of this nature, development of a suitable antibody would be invaluable, as would generation of a WDR98 knockout or knockdown model. The model cell line could be used to analyse the effects on the levels and behaviour of MON1B and CCZ1, and the overall effect on endosomal maturation and lysosomal trafficking.

Chapter 5: WDR98 Subcellular Localisation
5.1 Introduction

To further characterise WDR98 and understand its likely function, addressing the final experimental objective, immunofluorescence was utilised to establish where WDR98 localised to within the cell. Due to the lack of an appropriate antibody, this was achieved through transient transfection of a GFP-WDR98 encoding vector into NRK cells (unless otherwise stated) and analysis using confocal microscopy. Given the previous literature claim that WDR98 localised to the lysosome (Schroder et al. 2007) we expected to find it colocalising with lysosomal membrane protein LGP120 and checked other cellular locations thereafter. CCZ1 was studied following the results of the pilot immunoprecipitation trial by the Pryor lab which suggested that CCZ1 and WDR98 interacted. Again due to the lack of an antibody to MON1B, a GFP-WDR98 encoding vector was transiently transfected into HeLa cells with inducible expression of MYC-MON1B. Other proteins analysed for colocalisation include RAB5, RAB7 and LC3. The localisation of the distinct protein domains, WD40 and MIC, were also studied using GFP tagged constructs. These were also observed to localise individually to the lysosome. It is important to note that as transfections were required to collect all of this data, thus the WDR98 subcellular localisation and distributions are that of cell lines overexpressing the target protein and may differ from endogenous WDR98 protein.

5.2 Methods

5.2.1 WDR98 Overexpression

Following the production of the DNA constructs, GFP-WDR98, GFP-WD40 and GFP-MIC, these were transformed into bacteria for replication and DNA extracted via Midiprep (Methods 2.2.5). The DNA was then transfected into NRK cells which were incubated for 24 hours prior to fixation and staining (Methods 2.2.23).

5.2.2 Transfection Optimisation
In order to obtain optimal transfections, and as such the highest level possible of protein expression, the transfection protocol was rigorously tested prior to experimentation. Two reagents were assessed: X-tremeGENE HP and Genecellin. Differing ratios of transfection reagent to DNA were trialled, ranging from 1:1 to 4:1 with varying cell confluency levels. The conditions with the brightest GFP signal by microscopy were X-tremeGENE HP 2:1 DNA with cells at 80-90% confluency at the time of transfection.

5.2.3 Image Analysis

Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM 880 Axio Observer.Z1 inverted confocal laser microscope running Zen software version 2.3. Images were collected as Z-stacks and compressed into maximum intensity projections. Further processing was completed using FIJI software (Rueden et al. 2017, Schindelin et al. 2012), and analysis for colocalisation was completed using the Coloc2 plugin. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was calculated using images of at least 30 separate cells from at least three replicate experiments and determining the average R value of each. R = -1 would indicate localisation at opposite sites, R = 0 would indicate no relationship, negative or positive, between signals, and R = 1 would indicate perfect colocalisation.

5.3 Results

5.3.1 WDR98 Subcellular Localisation

The subcellular location and distribution of WDR98 was characterised, in the absence of a suitable antibody, by overexpression of GFP-WDR98 using transiently transfected NRK cells. This was assessed against a range of organelle markers, including LGP120 (lysosome), CCZ1 and MON1B (endosomes and lysosomes), RAB5 (early endosomes), RAB7 (late endosomes) and LC3 (autophagosomes). Colocalisation has been qualified both visually (Figure 16) and semi-quantitatively using Pearson’s correlation coefficient analysis (Table 4). A GFP antibody was used to supplement the GFP construct transfections due to difficulties in expression and a weak signal.
GFP-WDR98 was transiently transfected into NRK cells using X-tremeGENE HP. This was assessed against a range of organelle markers, including LGP120 (A, lysosome), CCZ1 (B) and MON1B (C, endosomes and lysosomes), RAB5 (D, early endosomes), RAB7 (E, late endosomes) and LC3 (F, autophagosomes). Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM 880 Axio Observer.Z1 inverted laser scanning confocal microscope. Images were collected as Z-stacks and compressed into maximum intensity projections. Further processing was completed using FIJI software and analysis for colocalisation was completed using the Coloc2 plugin. Scale bar = 20 µm.
Table 4: Pearson Correlation Coefficients of GFP-WDR98 Subcellular Colocalisation

Immunofluorescence images of WDR98 subcellular localisation were acquired by transiently transfecting a GFP-WDR98 encoding vector into NRK cells (with the exception of MYC-MON1B inducible expression HeLa cells) and counterstaining with a range of markers for endosomal and lysosomal systems. Images were captured using a Zeiss LSM 880 Axio Observer.Z1 inverted laser scanning confocal microscope. Images were collected as Z-stacks and compressed into maximum intensity projections. Further processing was completed using FIJI software and analysis for colocalisation was completed using the Coloc2 plugin. A minimum of 30 cells were assessed per condition with the average Pearson correlation coefficient calculated as a quantification of colocalisation between markers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organelle</th>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Pearson Coefficient R =</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lysosome</td>
<td>LGP120</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosome and Lysosome</td>
<td>CCZ1</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosome and Lysosome</td>
<td>MON1B</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Endosome</td>
<td>RAB5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Endosome</td>
<td>RAB7</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autophagosome</td>
<td>LC3</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GFP-WDR98 was able to localise to lysosomes, specifically those surrounding the nuclear periphery (Figure 16A) and reported a moderate association score of 0.55. CCZ1 and MON1B (MYC-MON1B induced expression in HeLa cells) consistently displayed GFP-WDR98 colocalisation (Figure 16B and 16C), with strong correlation coefficients of 0.85 and 0.83 respectively, placing WDR98 at both endosomes and lysosomes. GFP-WDR98 was also observed to colocalise with both RABs 5 and 7 (Figure 16D and 16E), though less so with the former at early endosomes ($R = 0.51$) and more so with RAB7 at late endosomes ($R = 0.78$). Finally, there appears to be little to no association of WDR98 with LC3 at autophagosomes (Figure 16F) with a Pearson correlation coefficient score of 0.16.
5.3.2 WDR98 Truncated Domains Subcellular Localisation

The subcellular location and distribution of the individual WDR98 domains, GFP-WD40 and GFP-MIC, were also characterised in a lysosomal context (Figure 17) and Pearson correlation coefficient values were calculated (Table 5).
Figure 17: WDR98 and its Domains Localise to the Lysosome

The subcellular location and distribution of GFP, GFP-WD40, GFP-MIC and GFP-WDR98 were characterised by transient transfection of NRK cells with the relevant expression vector using X-tremeGENE HP. This was assessed against LGP120, a lysosomal membrane.
protein and marker. Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM 880 Axio Observer.Z1 inverted laser scanning confocal microscope. Images were collected as Z-stacks and compressed into maximum intensity projections. Further processing was completed using FIJI software and analysis for colocalisation was completed using the Coloc2 plugin. Scale bar = 20 µm.

Table 5: Pearson Correlation Coefficients of GFP-WDR98 Truncated Constructs at the Lysosome

Immunofluorescence images of GFP, GFP-WD40, GFP-MIC and GFP-WDR98 subcellular localisation were acquired by transient transfection and counterstaining for LGP120 to identify the lysosome. Images were captured using a Zeiss LSM 880 Axio Observer.Z1 inverted laser scanning confocal microscope. Images were collected as Z-stacks and compressed into maximum intensity projections. Further processing was completed using FIJI software and analysis for colocalisation was completed using the Coloc2 plugin. A minimum of 30 cells were assessed per condition with the average Pearson correlation coefficient calculated as a quantification of colocalisation between two markers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transfected Construct</th>
<th>LGP120 Colocalisation Pearson Coefficient R =</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-WD40</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-MIC</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-WDR98</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both GFP-WD40 and GFP-MIC individually localised to the lysosome (Figure 15B and 17C), with a similar association level to the full length protein (Figure 17D) and slightly more so than GFP alone (Figure 17A). The GFP control transfection obtained a Pearson score of 0.35 in contrast to the WDR98 domain scores of 0.57 for GFP-WD40 and 0.54 for GFP-MIC.

5.3.3 WDR98 Overexpression Phenotype

The approximate number, size and distribution of lysosomes in NRK cells with and without GFP or GFP-WDR98 expression was quantified to check whether transfections or increased expression of this protein affected lysosome morphology. Though there appeared to be no significant perturbations in terms of number of
lysosomes, there were clear differences between untransfected NRK controls and transfected cells, with both GFP- and GFP-WDR98-transfected cells displaying notable lysosomal swelling (Figure 18).

Figure 18: Transfection of GFP-Con structs causes Lysosomal Swelling
The effects of transfection using X-tremeGENE HP to insert GFP-sequences into NRK cells was assessed in terms of lysosomal phenotype using LGP120 as a lysosomal marker. Untransfected controls (Zoom A). Transfected cells (Zoom B). Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM 880 Axio Observer.Z1 inverted laser scanning confocal microscope. Images were collected as Z-stacks and compressed into maximum intensity projections. Scale bar = 20 µm. 4x Zoom.

5.4 Discussion

5.4.1 Confirmation of Localisation

Data from this study and also from Pontano Vaites et al. (2017) and Tsui et al. (2019) confirm the localisation of WDR98 to the endosomal and lysosomal systems within the cell. The immunofluorescence images published by Tsui et al. (2019) also
indicate a preference for WDR98 to colocalise at lysosomes close to the nuclear periphery, which have been suggested to contain a lower pH and be the more active set of lysosomes, compared to those around the cell membrane (Johnson et al. 2016). It is both novel and intriguing that the two separate protein domains, WD40 and MIC, also localised to the lysosome in a manner that was similar to the full length protein. This could mean that either domain is responsible for anchoring the protein to the lysosomal membrane - potentially the MIC domain as the WD40 domain has the capability to bind to MON1B and CCZ1, whereas MIC does not.

There is now an increasing body of evidence that WDR98 functions within the endosomal-lysosomal system, which has been added to by these immunofluorescence studies.

5.4.2 Assessment of Methods

The biggest caveat of the methods used to assess WDR98 localisation is that it is only detectable within a cell line overexpressing the target protein. This requires the use of a transfection reagent, which itself imposes an atypical phenotype on the cell, as suggested by the results in Figure 18. Not only are there a large number of differentially expressed mRNA transcripts, which is induced by transfection alone (Jacobsen et al. 2009), but the transfection process itself is likely to result in lysosomal stress as the cell enters survival mode. This is partially backed up by the increased lysosomal swelling observed in all transfected cells.

The use of the large GFP tag may also affect the folding and trafficking of WDR98 as it increases the molecular weight from 75 kDa to 100 kDa. With hindsight, a smaller tag would have been preferable, as due to the difficulty getting NRK cells to express GFP-WDR98 it meant an additional GFP antibody was required, negating the reasons for selecting a GFP-tag initially (although it appears localisation was not affected, as Pontano Vaites et al. (2017) found the same localisation using the smaller FLAG-HA tag). Furthermore, there is increasing evidence that GFP itself is harmful to cells, increasing the level of cellular stress in the experimental conditions (Ansari et al. 2016). Indeed, our NRK cells when transfected with GFP constructs were only viable for roughly 48 - 72 hours maximum before there was considerable cell death.
5.4.3 Future Directions

Once a suitable antibody has been produced, it would be very worthwhile to repeat all experiments in this chapter in true wild-type conditions, without the need for transfection, overexpression of target proteins, or the introduction of cytotoxic GFP-tagged constructs.

The distribution of endogenous WDR98 in altered metabolic conditions (e.g. starvation to increase autophagic flux and endocytosis inhibition to decrease trafficking to the lysosome) could be analysed to further elucidate its function and potential regulatory role.

Immunofluorescence would also be a great tool for the analysis of a WDR98 knockout/knockdown cell line to probe the effects on the endosomal-lysosomal system, and to determine whether it is possible to rescue some or all wild-type functionality with either the WD40 or MIC domain alone.
Chapter 6: Conclusions and Future Directions

6.1 Characterisation of WDR98

Progress has been made in the characterisation of WDR98, in terms of compiling available data from journal articles and databases, and of conducting new research into its protein binding partners and subcellular location. WDR98 has been shown, via immunoprecipitation and immunofluorescence, to interact, and colocalise, with MON1B and CCZ1. These interactions also occur with the WD40 domain alone. WDR98 and both of its domains in isolation localise to the lysosome, in particular those surrounding the nuclear periphery, which have been reported to be the most active (Johnson et al. 2016). We cannot find any evidence of a direct interaction in vitro between WDR98 and either RAB5 or RAB7 in any nucleotide-bound conformation.

6.2 Corroboration with Publications

Pontano Vaites et al. (2017) were the first to publish a paper with a significant focus on WDR98 (termed RMC1 by the Harvard lab) and their data reflected many, but not all, of my findings. They too identified the ability of WDR98 to interact with CCZ1, MON1A and MON1B as well as its localisation to the lysosome. However, Pontano Vaites et al. (2017) predicted the helical structure of the MIC domain to be responsible for binding other proteins, based on structural data alone. In contrast, we have consistently shown that the WD40 domain is sufficient to bind to CCZ1 and MON1B, whereas the MIC domain alone does not exhibit any CCZ1 or MON1B binding. The WD40 domain β-propeller structure in other proteins often acts as a protein-protein or protein-DNA binding platform (Xu and Min 2011), which would appear to also be the case in WDR98.

Drosophila melanogaster WDR98 (CG8270) has been shown to bind to RAB5 (in Q to L mutant that stabilises GTP bound Rabs) (Gillingham et al. 2014) and Pontano Vaites et al. (2017) found WDR98 binding RAB7 (in a T to N mutant that stabilises GDP bound Rabs). Our data suggests there may not be a direct interaction between WDR98 and RAB5 or RAB7. We argue this is plausible because published data from Gillingham et al. (2014) and Pontano Vaites et al. (2017) cannot rule out
indirect interactions. Pontano Vaites in particular, based their claim on the mere presence of both WDR98 and RAB7 in the same size exclusion chromatography fractions, which could be coincidence and would require much more explicit demonstration to truly demonstrate an interaction. We conclude that the presence of additional proteins, such as MON1B/CCZ1, may be required to enable an interaction between WDR98 and RABs.

Following unsuccessful attempts to produce a WDR98 knockout cell line using CRISPR/Cas9, we considered whether a WDR98 mutation could be homozygous-lethal. Pontano Vaites et al. (2017) also suggested that it was not possible after several failed attempts. Tsui et al. (2019) used transient CRISPRi HeLa cells to observe that in the absence of WDR98, early endosomes and lysosomes were enlarged, EEA1 and LAMP1 staining was increased and EGF and EGFR trafficking to the lysosome was impaired. This corroborates findings from Pontano Vaites et al., whose knockdown exhibited swollen endosomes and lysosomes and accumulation of p62, indicating disrupted autophagy; and also supports our theory that WDR98 knockout cell lines are not viable, as there have been no publications of their creation or use. More importantly, their data adds weight to the theory that WDR98 plays a role in endosomal and lysosomal maturation and trafficking.

6.3 WDR98 in Context

6.3.1 WDR98 in Health

As more research groups move to studying WDR98, its full characterisation in terms of its properties and biological function cannot be far away. There is growing interest in this previously uncharacterised protein and given the significance of the proteins it interacts with and the crucial systems it is involved with, it is exciting to think that we could soon have an established, proven function for WDR98. What we know so far is that this function will most likely centre around the regulation of endosomal maturation and lysosomal trafficking. The mechanism by which this is achieved remains unclear.
6.3.2 WDR98 in Disease

Various groups have found WDR98 to be mutated in different cancers, including colorectal (Jones et al. 2016), thyroid, pancreatic (Kimmelman et al. 2008), ovarian, liver, bladder, endometrial, lung, ovarian, prostate, oesophageal, kidney and melanoma (Delgado et al. 2014). Therefore, there is cause to study this protein in disease as well as in health. As discussed in the introduction, Kimmelman et al. (2008) managed to knockdown WDR98 in a pancreatic cancer cell line and intriguingly observed reduced ability to form colonies in vitro. Once further understanding of the role of WDR98 has been established, this will more appropriately inform disease-related research. Potential starting points include more knockdowns of WDR98 in different cancer cell lines to see whether they affect cell growth and overexpression in cancer cells, with experiments focusing on growth rates, viability and apoptotic assays.

Recently, a significant body of literature has focused on the roles of endocytosis, autophagy and the lysosome in cancer. Developments in this area included evidence showing that Ras-mutant tumours are able to upregulate RAB5-dependent endocytosis (Balaji et al. 2012) and overexpression of LAMP1/2 in metastatic colorectal tissue (Furuta et al. 2001). These mutations can benefit cancer cells by facilitating the destruction of adherens and tight junctions by cadherin degradation, which in turn promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition and eventually metastasis (Mellman and Yarden 2013) and increased activation of vacuolar ATPase promoting an acidic tumour microenvironment and destruction of the extracellular matrix, also enabling tumour progression (Hernandez et al. 2012). The lysosome is an emerging cancer therapeutic target and managing the interactions between the lysosome and cancer cells has been identified as a possible strategy to overcoming cancer drug resistance. There are numerous lysosome-targeting cancer drugs at the preclinical stage. Drugs targeting HSP70, a protein which protects the integrity of the lysosomal membrane, block autophagy and lead to apoptosis (Piao and Amaravadi 2016). Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine also inhibit autophagic flux and are drugs already approved for the treatment of malaria and these drugs could be used in combination with other anti-cancer therapies to circumvent the pro-survival effects of autophagy that can pertain to cancer drug resistance (Verbaanderd et al. 2017). Considering the likely integral-lysosomal status of WDR98 and its reported
overexpression in certain cancers, this is a protein worth studying and characterising, both in health and disease.

6.4 Future Directions

It would be interesting to investigate further whether there is a WDR98 ortholog present in yeast. This could be achieved by either a bioinformatics or wet lab approach, to identify proteins with homology and to see if their manipulation matches the phenotype of parallel WDR98 manipulation. Given the level of conservation and the seemingly important role played by WDR98, it would be unlikely for yeast to be missing a protein performing that role if there is no ortholog.

The development of an antibody would be invaluable to assess the subcellular localisation of endogenous WDR98 in a variety of cells and tissues across different organisms if the epitope allowed. It could also be used to study the response of WDR98 to environments affecting lysosomal trafficking, such as starvation and endocytosis inhibition.

It would be worthwhile to further develop and analyse WDR98 knockout or knockdown models to establish its exact function in the cell. Not only would this be valuable to our understanding at a cellular level, but it would be interesting to see if a whole organism would be viable in the absence of WDR98. The use of rescue constructs containing full length WDR98, as well as domains WD40 and MIC individually, could confirm function and help to determine the role each domain plays.

Visualisation of a crystal structure for WDR98 in complex with MON1B and CCZ1 would inform about protein-protein interactions and how the proteins complex together, and whether altered conformations are necessary for different functionality.

Finally, based on the collective results of Delgado et al. (2014), Jones et al. (2016) and Kimmelman et al. (2008), it is worth pursuing further understanding of WDR98 in a disease context. Given the emerging understanding of the role of the lysosome
in cancer, it is also worthwhile studying the organelle as a whole and scrutinising the viability of lysosomal proteins as cancer drug targets.

Appendices

A. DNA Construct Coding Sequences
(All sequences are from rat unless otherwise stated)

i. GST-WDR98

```
ATGTCCCCCTATACTAGGTATTTGGAAATTTAAGGGCCTTGTGCAACCCACCTGCACCTTCCTTT
TTGGAAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
TTGGAATATCTTTAGGAATAGCGAGCATTTTGAATGAGGAGATCAGCGGATTTCTTGG
```

ii. WDR98-HIS

ATGGGCGAGGACTACTAATCTGGAGCTGCTGAGCGCCAGTGATGTTGCGAGAGGCGACCTGCTCAGCGAGGCCAGTGCAGTTGCAGAAGGCGAACCCGGTTAACTGCGTGTTCTTCGACGAGGCCAACAAGCAGGTTTTTGCTGTTCGTGTCAGGTGGACCTACAGGAGTGGTCGTTAAAGGTCCAGATGATAGGAATCCCATCTCTTTAGAATGGACGACAGAGGAGAAGTGAAGTGCATTAAGTTTTCTCTAGAAAATAAGATTGAGTTACAGCTGACGAGGAAGTGCAACTAAACTTGACTCCATGACAGACATGCTGACATGTGACTGCTCGTGTCTCTCTGATGCTCACTGGCCACTGCTGTCCACGAGACACTTGAGAATGTCCTGCAGCCTTTTTACTTTAAGGCTGGCACCATGTCGAAGCTTCCCAAGTTTGAATTGAGTTACCAGCTGCACCGAAGTCAACTAAACTTAGCCTCTCAGAACGAGACATCGCGATGGCGACCATATACGGGCAGCTCTACGTCCTCTTCCTGCGGCATCATTCCGGACCTCCAATAGCACAGGAGCGGAGGTGGTGCTGTACCATCTCCCACGAGAGGGTGCAAGTAAGAAGATGCACATACTGAAGTTGAATAGGACGGGAAAGTTTGCTCTAAATGTAGTGACCGACATGACAGCTGTTTTCGATAAACTCAACCATGAGTACAAGAAGTACTTGGATGCTGAACAGAGCTACACAATGGCAGTAGAAGCAGGGCAAAGCCGGAGCAACCCACCTCTCAAAAGGCCAGTGAGGACCCAAGCCGTGGTAGACCAGTCTGATGTGTACACACACGTGCTGTCACCATTTGTGGAAAACAAGGAGACGCCACCCAAGTTTGTGATAGCTGTGCTGATGGAATACATCCGTTCTCTGAACCAGTTTCAGATCCCAGTGCAGCATTACTCACGAACATACCAATTCCTATATCCACACCAGCTTCCCGTCCCCTGTAAACTCTATTCCTCCTCGTGGATCGTCTTCCAACCAGACATCATCATCAGTGCAAGCCAAGGTTACCTCTGGAACCTGCAGGTGAAACTTCAGCCCATAGTGGAATCTCTTGCCAGATAAAGGAAGACATGATGGACTTTCTCCTCCAGAGGAAGGAGTGTAAAGGACAACCTGGTAGTTGTCCACCATCAGGACACAGAGACGTCAGTGATATTTGACATCAGGTTACGGGGAGAGTTCGACGGCACAGTCACCTTCCATCATCCTGTGCTTCCAGCGAGATCAATTCAGCCTTACCAGCTACCATCTCGGGAAGTTAATGAGGGAGAAATGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCATCCGGGGTATTGGCGGCCATGACAACATTTCCGCACGAAAATTCTTAGATGCTGCTAAGCAGACTGATGATGTCATGCTCTTCTATACCATATTCCGCTTCTTTGAACAGCGAAACCAGGTTTGCGAGGGAACCCTAACTTCACACCAGGAGAACACTGTGAGGAACACGTGCTGAGTGACATGCTGCTGAGTGAGTCAGACAGAGCCACGCTGCTGTGATAGCCACTGTTTTCGATAAACTCAACCATGAGTACAAGAAGTACTTGGATGCTGAACAGAGCTACACAATGGCAGTAGAAGCAGGGCAAAGCCGGAGCAACCCACCTCTCAAAAGGCCAGTGAGGACCCAAGCCGTGGTAGACCAGTCTGATGTGTACACACACGTGCTGTCACCATTTGTGGAAAACAAGGAGACGCCACCCAAGTTTGTGATAGCTGTGCTGATGGAATACATCCGTTCTCTGAACCAGTTTCAGATCCCAGTGCAGCATTACTCACGAACATACCAATTCCTATATCCACACCAGCTTCCCGTCCCCTGTAAACTCTATTCCTCCTCGTGGATCGTCTTCCAACCAGACATCATCATCAGTGCAAGCCAAGGTTACCTCTGGAACCTGCAGGTGAAACTTCAGCCCATAGTGGAATCTCTTGCCAGATAAAGGAAGACATGATGGACTTTCTCCTCCAGAGGAAGGAGTGTAAAGGACAACCTGGTAGTTGTCCACCATCAGGACACAGAGACGTCAGTGATATTTGACATCAGGTTACGGGGAGAGTTCGACGGCACAGTCACCTTCCATCATCCTGTGCTTCCAGCGAGATCAATTCAGCCTTACCAGCTACCATCTCGGGAAGTTAATGAGGGAGAAATGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCATCCGGGGTATTGGCGGCCATGACAACATTTCCGCACGAAAATTCTTAGATGCTGCTAAGCAGACTGATGATGTCATGCTCTTCTATACCATATTCCGCTTCTTTGAACAGCGAAACCAGGTTTGCGAGGGAACCCTAACTTCACACCAGGAGAACACTGTGAGGAACACGTGCTGAGTGACATGCTGCTGAGTGAGTCAGACAGAGCCACGCTGCTGTGATAGCCACTGTTTTCGATAAACTCAACCATGAGTACAAGAAGTACTTGGATGCTGAACAGAGCTACACAATGGCAGTAGAAGCAGGGCAAAGCCGGAGCAACCCACCTCTCAAAAGGCCAGTGAGGACCCAAGCCGTGGTAGACCAGTCTGATGTGTACACACACGTGCTGTCACCATTTGTGGAAAACAAGGAGACGCCACCCAAGTTTGTGATAGCTGTGCTGATGGAATACATCCGTTCTCTGAACCAGTTTCAGATCCCAGTGCAGCATTACTCACGAACATACCAATTCCTATATCCACACCAGCTTCCCGTCCCCTGTAAACTCTATTCCTCCTCGTGGATCGTCTTCCAACCAGACATCATCATCAGTGCAAGCCAAGGTTACCTCTGGAACCTGCAGGTGAAACTTCAGCCCATAGTGGAATCTCTTGCCAGATAAAGGAAGACATGATGGACTTTCTCCTCCAGAGGAAGGAGTGTAAAGGACAACCTGGTAGTTGTCCACCATCAGGACACAGAGACGTCAGTGATATTTGACATCAGGTTACGGGGAGAGTTCGACGGCACAGTCACCTTCCATCATCCTGTGCTTCCAGCGAGATCAATTCAGCCTTACCAGCTACCATCTCGGGAAGTTAATGAGGGAGAAATGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCATCCGGGGTATTGGCGGCCATGACAACATTTCCGCACGAAAATTCTTAGATGCTGCTAAGCAGACTGATGATGTCATGCTCTTCTATACCATATTCCGCTTCTTTGAACAGCGAAACCAGGTTTGCGAGGGAACCCTAACTTCACACCAGGAGAACACTGTGAGGAACACGTGCTGAGTGACATGCTGCTGAGTGAGTCAGACAGAGCCACGCTGCTGTGATAGCCACTGTTTTCGATAAACTCAACCATGAGTACAAGAAGTACTTGGATGCTGAACAGAGCTACACAATGGCAGTAGAAGCAGGGCAAAGCCGGAGCAACCCACCTCTCAAAAGGCCAGTGAGGACCCAAGCCGTGGTAGACCAGTCTGATGTGTACACACACGTGCTGTCACCATTTGTGGAAAACAAGGAGACGCCACCCAAGTTTGTGATAGCTGTGCTGATGGAATACATCCGTTCTCTGAACCAGTTTCAGATCCCAGTGCAGCATTACTC
iv. GFP-WD40

ATGGTGAGCAAGGCGAGGAGCTGTTCACCGGGGTTGGTCCATCCTGCTGCTGAGCTGG
ACGGGCGAGCTTAGCGGACGTAAACGGCCACAAGTTCAGCGTGTCCGGCGAGGGCGAGGGCGATGCCAC
CTACGGCAAGCTGACCCTGAAGTTCATCTGCACCACCGGCAAGCTGCCCGTGCCCTGG
CCCACCCTCCTGACACACCCTGACTACGGGGCTGAGCTTTTGCAGTAC
CATGTGCTCAGCGACTCCAAGCCTCTGGCTTGCTTGTTGCTGTCCCTAGAAAGCTTTT
ATCCTCCGCCACACAGCTGTCTCTGGAGACTTTGGAAGACCATTTCCACAGGAAATTTGAGC
AGACTGATGATGTCACTGCTCTTCTCTATACCATATTCCGCCTTCTTGGAAACAGGAAACCA
GCTTTTGGAGGGAACCCTAATCCTCACACCAACAGGAACACTGTGAGGACACGTAGCC
TCTTTCAAGCAGGCTTTTGGGAACAAAGCTCTAATGAGGCTGCTACAGTGTG

82
v. GFP-MIC

ATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGCTGTTCACCGGGGTGGTGCCCATCCTGGTCGAGCTGGACGGCGACGTAAACGGCCACAAGTTCAGCGTGTCCGGCGAGGGCGAGGGCGATGCCACCTACGGCAAGCTGACCCTGAAGTTCATCTGCACCACCGGCAAGCTGCCCGTGCCCTGGCCCACCCTCGTGACCACCCTGACCTACGGCGTGCAGTGCTTCAGCCGCTACCCCGACCATGAAGCAGCACGACTTCTTCAAGTCCGCCATGCCCGAAGGCTACGTCCAGGAGCGCACCATCTTCTTCAAGGACGACGGCAACTACAAGACCCGCGCCGAGGTGAAGTTCGAGGGCGACACCCTGGTGAACCGCATCGAGCTGAAGGGCATCGACTTCAAGGAGGACGGCAACATCCTGGGGCACAAGCTGGAGTACAACTACAACAGCCACAACGTCTATATCATGGCCGACAAGCAGAAGAACGGCATCAAGGTGAACTTCAAGATCCGCCACAACATCGAGGACGGCAGCGTGCAGCTCGCCGACCACTACCAGCAGAACACCCCCATCGGCGACGGCCCCGTGCTGCTGCCCGACAACCACTACCTGAGCACCCAGTCCGCCCTGAGCAAAGACCCCAAAGAGCGATCACATGGTCCTGCTGGAGTTCGTGACCGCCGCCGGGATCACTCTCGCATGGACGAGCTGTACAAG

vi. ΔpMEP4-MYC-GFP-WDR98

CGGCCTTGCCGGCCTCGACGCGCCGCTTACAGAGTATGGTAATTTCGTTCTTTAGATTTGCAGAATTTATAGAGAAAGAAAATTACAGCCTGCACACCCAAGACAAGGACGATTCCTCCCAATGAAGCTGGCTGCATCAAAGGTGGACTTCCGCTCAGGCTGTGAGGTTGGAGTCACAGTGTTATTGTTGCACCTGCTGATGTGACAACTGAGGGAGTAGGTGTAGGAGAGGAAGGCCGAGTTGTAGGCTTAGCTGTAGAATTGGTTGGCACTGGGGTGGTAGGTATTACAGAACAGGAGTCAGTACTGGTCTTCTGGGTACAATTACTTACTAATTCACTTGAACAATAGGTCTTATTTGCCTTCATGGCAATCTAGCCAGACGCAGGTAATATTATTAGTCAAGGTGGCGTTGACACAGGAAACACAGCTGTTGAAGCTCTCACAGGTTTCTGGCGGCTTGGTGGTGGTGAGCGTCGTCGGGACCACTTTGCTGGTCGTGGTCGGCGGGTCAGTCACGTTGGGAGATGCGCTGCTGTTGCTCTGCGCGGCCGACAGGCAGAGCGCGGCGAGGCAGGTGGCGGCCCAGAACAGTCCGCGGAGGCGCCCGACATCGTGTCCTCAGCCCGTCGGTCGACGCTAGCAGCTGGTACGAACAAA

GCAAAGCCAAGTTACCTCTGGAACCTGCAGGTGAAACTTCAGCCCATAGTGAATCTCTTGCCAGATAAAGGAAGACTGATGGACTTTCTCCTCCAGAGGAAGTAA

83
viii. WDR98 gDNA

(Exons in bold)
CCTAGGACAAGTACCCTGTGAGCCACTGGTTAAGTACCAGCACCATGCATTTCAGTTAAT
AGACAGCACTGTGTTCTAGTGAAGGATACGAGGAGAGGAAGATGTAATGCTACCTACCTAG
AACTTATGGCTCTTCAAGTTCAGCTCTACGCAAGAATGGTTATGTTGCTCTGTTCCCTCTCT
AGTGTGTTGTGCTGTGGGCTGGGCTGGGCTGGGCTGGGCTGGGCTGGGCTGGGCTGGGCTGG
GCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTG
AAACAAGGATGTTAAGTGAATGTGCCCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCT
AGTGAAGGATGTTAAGTGAATGTGCCCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCT
AACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACT
TTTATGCTTCACCCCTACGCTACACCTACCTACCTACCTACCTACCTACCTACCTACCTACCT
AGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCT
TATGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCA
TCCGGGGTATTGGCGGCCCATGACAACCATTTTTCCTGCAG
GAGAACACTGTGAGGAACACGTAGCCTTTTTCA
AGCAGGCTTTTGGAGAACAAGCTCTAATATTTCTGAGCCTTTGAGCCTTTGAGCCTTTGAGC
TATATGGTGATACAGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
TGTGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCA
TTATATGGTGATACAGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
TGTGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCA
TTATATGGTGATACAGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
TGTGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCA
TTATATGGTGATACAGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
TGTGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCA
TTATATGGTGATACAGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
TGTGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCA
TTATATGGTGATACAGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
TGTGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCA
TTATATGGTGATACAGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
TGTGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCA
TTATATGGTGATACAGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
TGTGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCA
TTATATGGTGATACAGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
TGTGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCA
TTATATGGTGATACAGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
TGTGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCA
TTATATGGTGATACAGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
TGTGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCA
TTATATGGTGATACAGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
TGTGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCA
TTATATGGTGATACAGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
TGTGATGAGATCGTAGAAGTGCTTCTGTCTAAGCACCAGGTGCTGGCTGCCCTAAGGTTCA
TTATATGGTGATACAGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
ix. CRISPR/Cas9 Target Sequences

1. Exon 1
   GTTTAACTGCCTGTTCTTCGA

2. Exon 8
   CTCTCTCCTGGACTAGATAC

3. Exon 9
   GGTTAGTTGTCCACCATCAGG

4. Exon 10
   GTGCTTCCAGCGAGATCAAT

x. pGAD-WDR98

TGCAATGCCTGCAGGTCGAGATCCTGGGATCAGAAGAGTTGTAATGGAATAGGAAA
TCAAGGGAGCATGAAAGGCAAAGAGCAAAATATAAGGATCGAAACGAAAAAATAAATGGAAGAA
GTTGGTATAGTGGTTATGGCTTTGGCGCGCCGAGAAAGGAGTAGTTTATCCGGTGGATAGGAAG
CCAGCAACACTTGGGTCAATATATTTAGTGGCCGAAAGGAACTGAGTGTTTGCATATAACAG
AACAAATAGGAGGAACTTTGCCAGAATTATTTGCTTTGCGGACTTGGTCTCCCTAA
CATGTAGGTGGCGGAGGGGAGATATACAATAGAACAGATACCAGACAAGACATAATGG
GCTAAACAAGACTACACCAATTACACTGCCTCATTGATGGTGGTACATAACGAACTAA
xii. GST-RAB5A

ATGTCCCCCATATACGTTATTTGGAATAATTAGGGGCTTTGTGCAACCAACTCGGACTTC
TTTTGGAATATCTTGAAGAAAAATATGAGACATATTGATGAGCGAGATGAAGGTAT
AAATGGCGAAACAAAAATTTTGAATTGGGTTTGGAGTTTCCCAATTTCCTTATTAT
ATTGATGGTGATGTCAAATTAACACGACTTATGCCGACATACGTTATATAGCTGACA
AGCACAACACTGTGGTGGTTGCTGCAACAAAAAGGCGAGATTTCAATGCTTGAAGG
AGCGTTTTTGGATATTTAGATACCTGGATGCTGCTGCTTAC
AAATAGTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTACATAGGAACCAATGTCGAGTTCCACA
AAATCCAGCTATAGTCTTATTTTATTTAACTGATAAGGCTATCCAAAATTTAGTAAATG
AAATCACCAGCATATGATTGAGGCTCTTCTGAGGAGTTTGCA
AAATGAGGTGAGTTCTTTTTCGTGCAACCAACGGGGCAAGGAGGAGTAGACCTTACCGAACCCACACAC
AAAACCAGGAGCAAATTCTGCCAGAGGAGGAGGAGTAGACCTTACCGAACCCACACACAC

xiii. GST-RAB7A
List of Abbreviations

ADE - adenine
AF - Alexa Fluor
AGC - automatic gain control
APP - amyloid precursor protein
APS - ammonium persulphate
BCA - bichinonic acid assay
BLAST - Basic Local Alignment Search Tool
BSA - bovine serum albumin
BSP - BSA-saponin-PBS
CEB - cytosol extraction-PBS
CMA - chaperone mediated autophagy
CRISPR - clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats
ddH₂O - double distilled water
DFS - DharmaFect solution
DMEM - Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium
DNase - deoxyribonuclease
EDTA - ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
EEA1 - early endosome antigen 1
EGF - epidermal growth factor
EGFR - epidermal growth factor receptor
ESI - electrospray ionisation
FDR - false discovery rate
GDI - guanine dissociation inhibitor
GDP - guanosine diphosphate
GEF - guanine nucleotide exchange factor
GFP - green fluorescent protein
GLU - glutamic acid
GLN - glutamine
GMPPNP - 5’-guanylyl imidodiphosphate
GST - glutathione s-transferase
GTP - guanosine triphosphate
HCD - higher-energy collisional dissociation
HDAC6 - histone deacetylase 6
HeLa - Henrietta Lacks
HIS - histidine
HRP - horseradish peroxidase
HSP90 - heat shock protein 90
IF - immunofluorescence
IgG - immunoglobulin G
IP - immunoprecipitation
KDA - kilodaltons
LAMP - lysosomal associated membrane protein
LC-MS - liquid chromatography mass spectrometry
LDS - lithium dodecyl sulfate
LEU - leucine
LGP120 - lysosomal membrane glycoprotein 120
LSM - laser scanning microscope
NCFI - National Center for Biotechnology Information
NRK - normal rat kidney
OD - optical density
PAGE - polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PBS - phosphate buffered saline
PCR - polymerase chain reaction
PEG - polyethylene glycol
PVDF - polyvinylidene fluoride
RMC1 - regulator of MON1-CCZ1 complex
RT - room temperature
SD - synthetic defined
SDS - Sodium dodecyl sulfate
SFM - serum free media
SOC - super optimal broth with catabolite repression
STUB1 - STIP1 homology and U-Box containing protein 1
TAE - tris-acetate-EDTA
TBS - tris buffered saline
TBST - tris buffered saline TWEEN 20
TEMED - tetramethylethylenediamine
URA - uracil
V/V - volume/volume
WB - western blot
WDR98 - WD40 repeat 98
WT - wild type
W/V - weight/volume
YPD - yeast extract–peptone–dextrose
Y2H - yeast two hybrid
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