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ABSTRACT

This thesis is a detailed discussion of the relatively neglected subject of private prayer in late Anglo-Saxon England, mainly focusing on three eleventh-century monastic codices: the Galba Prayerbook (London, British Library Cotton Nero A. ii + Galba A. xiv), Ælfwine’s Prayerbook (London, British Library Cotton Titus D. xxvii + xxvi) and the Portiforium of St Wulstan (Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 391). Chapter One provides a background to the following chapters by introducing a wide variety of English and Continental texts from the ninth century. This chapter demonstrates the many different prayer genres, prayer guides and attitudes to prayer which would be inherited by the late Anglo-Saxons. Chapter Two, which focuses on private adaptations of the canonical Offices, examines the different manuscript contexts in which private prayers were found. It argues that series of prayers were combined into increasingly sophisticated ordines for personal devotion, and that it was from these that the Special Offices arose. Chapter Three applies these concepts to prayers to the Holy Cross. After a discussion of the evidence for prayer before a cross, and involving the sign of the cross, it examines private prayer programmes based on the liturgy for Good Friday and those from which the Special Office of the Cross developed. Chapter Four turns to private confessions, arguing that these prayers were somewhat different from those hitherto discussed. It therefore begins with an exploration of the many kinds of confession which existed in the late Anglo-Saxon church, before examining a number of private confessional prayers in detail. Throughout this thesis, emphasis is placed on the bodily experience of prayer in its time and place, and upon the use of each text as it is found in the prayerbooks of eleventh-century England.
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INTRODUCTION

[Et cum oratis non eritis sicut hypocritae qui amant in synagogis et in angulis platearum stantes orare ut videantur ab hominibus amen dico vobis receperunt mercedem suam
tu autem cum orabis intra in cubiculum tuum et cluso ostio tuo ora Patrem
tuum in abscondito et Pater tuus qui videt in abscondito reddet tibi
Orantes autem nolite multum loqui sicut ethnici putant eni quia in
multiloquio suo exaudiantur nolite ergo adsimilari eis scit enim Pater vester
quibus opus sit vobis antequam petatis eum
sic ergo vos orabitis Pater noster qui in caelis es sanctificetur nomen tuum
veniat regnum tuum fiat voluntas tua sit in caelo et in terra
panem nostrum supersubstantialem da nobis hodie
et dimitte nobis debita nostra sicut et nos dimimus debitoribus nostris
et ne inducas nos in te
mpetationem sed libera nos a ayno
(Matt. 6:5-13).]

Post haec cursum diurnum, id est celebrationes horarum, ac deinde psalmos
quosdam et orationes multas ‹didicit›; quos in uno libro congregatos in sinu
suo die noctuque, sicut ipsi vidimus, secum inseparabiliter, orationis gratia,
inter omnia praesentis vitae curricula ubique circumducebat (ch. 24, ll. 1-6).

Private prayer has been part of the Christian tradition from the very beginning.

Furthermore, as the quotation from Matthew’s gospel above shows, set prayers have
always been regarded as a major component of private prayer, which can, of course,
also include spontaneous prayer. By the time of King Alfred (c. 848-899), about
whom Asser writes in the second quotation above, the corpus of texts believed to be

1 "And when ye pray, you shall not be as the hypocrites, that love to stand and pray in the synagogues and corners of the streets, that they may be seen by men: Amen I say to you, they have received their reward. But thou when thou shalt pray, enter into thy chamber, and having shut the door, pray to thy Father in secret: and thy Father who seeth in secret will repay thee. And when you are praying, speak not much, as the heathens. For they think that in their much speaking they may be heard. Be not you therefore like to them, for your Father knoweth what is needful for you, before you ask him. Thus therefore shall you pray: Our Father who art in heaven, hallowed be thy name. Thy kingdom come. Thy will be done on earth as it is in heaven. Give us this day our supersubstantial bread. And forgive us our debts, as we also forgive our debtors. And lead us not into temptation. But deliver us from evil."

2 "After this he learned the daily course, that is, the celebrations of the Hours, and after that certain psalms and many prayers, gathered together in one book for the sake of prayer, which he carried around with him everywhere on his person by day and night, just as we have seen, inseparable from himself, in all of the doings of this present life.” William Henry Stevenson, ed., Asser’s Life of King Alfred, Together with the Annals of Saint Neots Erroneously Ascribed to Asser (Oxford: Clarendon, 1959).
suitable for private prayer had expanded greatly, to include both Biblical texts such as the Paternoster and psalms, as well as compositions from late Antiquity and the early Middle Ages.

Indeed, Asser’s reference to ‘celebrationes horarum’ reveals that some of the set prayers intended for the king’s personal use were derived from the monastic liturgy for the Divine Office. Private prayer has always been an integral part of the Christian tradition and, during Late Antiquity, it would appear that such prayers were commonly undertaken at the third, sixth and ninth hours of the day. Evidence for this practice can be seen in Hippolytus’ Apostolic Tradition:

if indeed thou art at home pray at the third hour and praise God; but if thou art elsewhere and that time comes, pray in thy heart to God. For in this hour Christ was seen nailed upon the tree.³

One must praise God not only in words, but also in one’s inner thoughts.

As Christian worship developed, however, these hours ceased to be part of private lay observance, but instead became the basis of communal prayer in the monasteries.⁴ St Benedict of Monte Cassino (c. 480-c. 550) used these set times of prayer as the basis of his monastic rule, which, of course, established a schedule of psalms to be sung at each of the canonical Hours (Rule, chs. 8-13, 16-19).⁵ With the spread of Benedictine monasticism and other forms of the ascetic life across Europe,

---

the Divine Office became the most important form of daily worship for those in religious orders. Yet the Hours would later be adapted from their liturgical form for use in private prayer, and in this context once again the individual reader used them to link each part of the day to Christ’s crucifixion, just as the early Christians had done. Consequently, the tradition of private prayer that the early Middle Ages inherited was already a complex one, which was intricately bound to the monastic practice of chanting psalms and prayers at the times of the canonical Hours.

While recent decades have witnessed a surge of scholarly interest in liturgical prayer, comparatively little attention has been devoted to private prayer. Due to the fact that such practices have taken many different forms over the centuries, this thesis does not offer a broad overview of this vast subject, but employs a narrow focus upon one particular time and place, providing an in-depth analysis of late Anglo-Saxon England. Although various aspects of Anglo-Saxon religious life have been examined in detail, no full-length study of private prayer has previously been undertaken. In this thesis, I intend to develop a deeper understanding of the context, practice and meaning of late Anglo-Saxon private prayer, using manuscript sources from the eleventh century, and occasionally the tenth and twelfth. I seek to illuminate how the surviving texts were used in conjunction with one another in

---


order to create programmes for private devotion, and to uncover which aspects of liturgical worship were considered so essential to the spiritual life, that they were selected for use in private prayer. Furthermore, my focus will be on the practice of prayer in the monasteries, rather than on the prayers which were taught to the laity, such as the *Paternoster*, as far greater numbers of monastic prayer texts and guides to prayer survive.

The eleventh century is a particularly profitable period for the study of private prayer in England. It comes after the creation of the *Regularis concordia* (c. 973), which restated and revised the precepts of St Benedict for the English monasticism of the time, and after the compilation of the late tenth-century vernacular homilies, which provide an insight into how one was expected to pray. Indeed, it is to the tenth and eleventh centuries that the majority of extant Anglo-Saxon manuscripts can be dated, and this was also the period in which the Special Offices, a formalised kind of private devotion, were on the rise. England at this

---


time is also of particular interest, as in that country the vernacular was taking a more prominent place in religious works than ever before, and the use of two different languages gives an extra insight into how early medieval prayer functioned.  

A survey of the critical literature

The study of early medieval private prayer remains a relatively small discipline, although the subject has received intermittent attention over the years. The four remaining eighth- and ninth-century English prayerbooks were published in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Additionally, much of the early work on Anglo-Saxon and Carolingian private prayer was undertaken by André Wilmart, who edited prayers from the Bury Psalter, the Prayerbook of Jean Gualbert, and from four ninth-century Carolingian libelli precum, with the last of these providing an indispensable basis for the study of Anglo-Saxon private prayer, as they include texts which would appear in the later English prayerbooks. Wilmart’s edition has, however, been criticised by Susan Boynton for detaching the private prayers from their manuscript contexts, implying that private prayer is a genre completely removed from the communal liturgy.

---


This is a common problem, since many early twentieth-century editors only included the principal text of a particular manuscript in their editions, leaving other scholars to publish any appended works separately. However, it has become increasingly more common to edit manuscripts in their entirety, giving a more accurate impression of how the original codices functioned. Several useful editions of Anglo-Saxon liturgical texts have been published by the Henry Bradshaw Society in recent decades. These include three late Anglo-Saxon manuscripts which incorporate long sequences of private prayers as well as liturgical texts, lunar tables and medical recipes: the Galba Prayerbook (London, British Library Cotton Nero A. ii + Galba A. xiv), Ælfwine’s Prayerbook (London, British Library Titus D. xxvii + xxvi) and the Portiforium of St Wulstan (Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 391).

With a wide range of liturgical texts having been published, it is now possible to study the religious life of Anglo-Saxon monks and nuns in close detail. The practice of liturgy is an increasingly important subject in Anglo-Saxon studies, with many recent works providing a useful context for the study of private prayer. In addition to studies of service books and liturgical exposition in Anglo-Saxon

---


England, much has been written on textual evidence for the practice of lived religion. For example, M. Bradford Bedingfield’s *The Dramatic Liturgy of Anglo-Saxon England* explores how Anglo-Saxon religious ritual enabled its participants to participate emotionally in the events which they enacted. Pastoral Care in Late Anglo-Saxon England, edited by Francesca Tinti, and *The Liturgy of the Late Anglo-Saxon Church*, edited by Helen Gittos and M. Bradford Bedingfield, cover between them subjects such as the use of the vernacular in the liturgy of parish churches, rites for visiting the sick and dying, and the veneration of the cross. All aspects of cross devotion in Anglo-Saxon England, including blessings, hymns and private devotion, have been examined in the volumes of the Sancta Crux/Halig Rod project, edited by Karen Jolly, Catherine Karkov and Sarah Larratt Keefer. There has also been a great deal of interest in Anglo-Saxon confession and penance, especially from

---


Bradford Bedingfield, and from Sarah Hamilton, who also examined Continental sources in her monograph *The Practice of Penance 900-1050*.21

The critical literature specifically on private prayer is relatively small, although it is a subject which has received greater attention in recent years. This can be seen in Thomas Heffernan and Ann Matter’s edited volume *The Liturgy of the Medieval Church*, which includes articles by Jonathan Black: ‘The Divine Office and Private Devotion in the Latin West’ and Roger Wieck: ‘The Book of Hours’.22 More recently, Roy Hammerling’s edited collection, *The History of Prayer: the First to the Fifteenth Century*, has included contributions such as Columba Stewart’s ‘Prayer Among the Benedictines’, on individual prayer in the works of Cassian and Benedict, and Susan Boynton’s ‘Libelli precum in the Central Middle Ages’.23 In addition, Sarah Larratt Keefer has written widely on the relationship between liturgy, poetry and prayer.24 Above all, however, the most significant approaches for the purposes of this thesis are those taken by Thomas Bestul, John Hirsh, Allen Frantzen and Rachel Fulton.

Thomas Bestul undertook a review of private prayers found in Anglo-Saxon manuscripts in his 1986 article ‘Continental Sources of Anglo-Saxon Devotional

---


Writing’, which analysed the varieties of private prayer collections in such codices.\(^{25}\) Bestul argues that the genre of the early, Irish-influenced Anglo-Saxon prayerbook could have originated in England and later been adapted on the Continent by Alcuin, who assembled *De laude Dei*, a new form of anthology, from extracts of the Bible and the Fathers for private prayer.\(^{26}\) The prayers and prayerbooks associated with Alcuin’s name would later have a great deal of influence on the contents of eleventh-century English prayerbooks.

Bestul continues by discussing how the Anglo-Saxon Benedictine Reform of the tenth and eleventh centuries resulted both in a second wave of prayer collections and also in the adoption of the Continental fashion of adding prayers to earlier psalter manuscripts.\(^{27}\) The first of these two genres will be the main focus of this thesis, and the second will also be considered. Bestul’s article ends with a checklist of English manuscripts dated before 1100 which include private prayers or devotional works, in Latin or Old English, which number thirty-nine in total.\(^{28}\)

More recently, the issue of affectivity in Anglo-Saxon private prayer has been taken up by John Hirsh and Allen Frantzen. Hirsh argues that a new kind of monastic spirituality was gradually created, beginning in the ninth century and reaching full development in the eleventh, as a result of personal prayerbooks which


\(^{26}\) Bestul, ‘Continental Sources’, 103-111. *De laude Dei* is discussed in more detail in Donald A. Bullough, *Alcuin: Achievement and Reputation, Being Part of the Ford Lectures Delivered in Oxford in Hilary Term 1980*, Education and Society in the Middle Ages and Renaissance 16 (Leiden and Boston: Brill, 2004), 177-80.

\(^{27}\) Bestul, ‘Continental Sources’, 113-6.

included sequences of prayers that had no connection with the canonical Hours.  

He then demonstrates his findings with a reading of a prayer sequence from London, British Library Egerton 3763 (c. 1000), commenting that

> The devotions in Egerton addressed the individual in a way that was unusual for manuscript prayerbooks during this period... If [the reader’s] devotions were to involve him, then there must be an individual present to engage and respond. 

Hirsh’s idea that private prayer extended the boundaries of monasticism is a useful one. However, his argument seems to rest on the opposition of private prayer to communal prayer, whereas a major contention of this thesis will be that there was a symbiotic relationship between liturgical and private prayer.

In addition, Hirsh’s argument that the eleventh-century prayerbooks reflect a new kind of spirituality has been challenged by Allen Frantzen in his 2005 article ‘Spirituality and Devotion in the Anglo-Saxon Penitentials’. In this piece, he asks why the Anglo-Saxons have been excluded from histories of medieval devotion and affective piety. In Frantzen’s view, it is Hirsh who comes closest to acknowledging the role of the self in prayer, and yet he disagrees with Hirsh’s insistence that the eleventh century was a time in which the individual became of greater importance.  

Instead, he argues that earlier penitential texts stress the importance of the right inner attitude towards sin and forgiveness, requiring tears over one’s sins and the love of God to be felt in the heart. Frantzen’s insistence that the inner heart was just as important before the eleventh century as after it is a fundamental concept for my

---


study, as it draws attention to the individual monk or nun’s attitude towards his or her own prayers. His emphasis on the importance of interiority in sacerdotal confession is a useful one for the study of that genre of prayer, which I take further by focusing to a greater extent on prayers for use in solitary confession.

In his 2003 book *Alcuins Gebetbuch für Karl den Großen*, Stephan Waldhoff aims to reconstruct a private prayerbook which he believes to have been compiled by Alcuin for Charlemagne, and, in doing so, makes some useful observations on the nature of early medieval private prayer.34 Waldhoff argues that the late antique and early medieval church Fathers, including Cyprian, Benedict, Alcuin and Hrabanus, clearly drew a distinction between private and public prayer.35 However, drawing on the work of Angelus Albert Häußling, Waldhoff cautions that a term such as *orationes privatae* may have modern connotations which are not applicable to the Middle Ages; instead, *privatus* should be taken as the opposite of *publicus*, to mean that which was undertaken in secret.36 Waldhoff advocates examining how prayerbooks were used, concluding that

Die Privatgebetbücher unterscheiden sich von den liturgischen Büchern dadurch, daß ihr ‘Sitz im Leben’ außerhalb der liturgischen Vollzüge liegt.37

---

34 Stephan Waldhoff, *Alcuins Gebetbuch für Karl den Großen: Seine Rekonstruktion und seine Stellung in der frühmittelalterlichen Geschichte der libelli precum, Liturgiewissenschaftliche Quellen und Forschungen* 89 (Münster: Aschendorff, 2003). However, see also Jonathan Black, ‘Review of *Alcuins Gebetbuch für Karl den Großen*, by Stephan Waldhoff’, *Speculum* 83 (2008): 772-4, in which Black expresses doubt about whether such a prayerbook existed, and, if so, whether the manuscripts which Waldhoff identifies are in fact witnesses to it.


37 ‘Private prayerbooks distinguish themselves from liturgical books by the fact that their setting in life lies outside of liturgical performances.’ Waldhoff, *Alcuins Gebetbuch*, 32-3.
This codicological approach is a useful one, and highlights how a prayer which was originally intended for one particular use may be incorporated into a new compilation for an entirely different purpose.

Of special significance to this thesis is the approach taken by Rachel Fulton in her 2006 article ‘Praying with Anselm at Admont: A Meditation on Practice’. In this article, Fulton asks what effect the practice of spending many hours in prayer, both corporate and private, would have had on a person’s experience.\(^\text{38}\) At the centre of her argument is the concept that prayer

is the end product of the practice of a particular skill, a craft that one might learn and that was believed to require tools.\(^\text{39}\)

Referring to Admont, Stiftsbibliothek 289, an early copy of Anselm’s prayers made for Countess Matilda, Fulton asks

Where would the nun or Matilda have taken up the book? At what time of day or night? ... Would she have memorized the prayers first, or perhaps a few phrases from the prayers (as Cassian suggested one might do with the Psalms), and then recited them from memory in whole or in part? ... If alone, would the reader have spoken the words aloud, in a slight murmur, or silently “in her heart”?\(^\text{40}\)

While Fulton focuses on one particular manuscript of the early twelfth century, this thesis reveals how her methodology can be profitably expanded to earlier prayer forms, those which Anselm himself knew and which must have been his influences. I consider issues such as the time and place in which particular prayers were intended to be said, whether the speaker would have crossed him- or herself whilst


saying them, and which prayers might have been grouped together to form a complete act of worship.

**Issues and methodology**

The critical literature noted above has formed the starting point for this thesis by defining both the range of texts on which I draw and some of the approaches which I take to them. Both Bestul and Waldhoff scrutinise the textual history of prayers, while Hirsh and Frantzen raise questions about the interior experience of those who prayed. Fulton, most significantly of all, applies to private prayer the focus on lived religion which has more frequently been directed towards the liturgy. Her methodology involves asking detailed questions about precisely how and why these prayerbooks were used. My thesis draws upon all three of the approaches used by the abovementioned scholars. It aims to uncover new information about what Anglo-Saxon monks and nuns desired from their prayers, which prayers were selected from the liturgy for private use, and for what reasons. In addition, I examine how prayers were said in conjunction with one another to create sequences for personal use, arguing that it is from the idiosyncratic selections made by monks and nuns, extracted from the liturgy which they knew so well, that the Special Offices evolved. In the final chapter, I explore how confession, a kind of prayer for which a priest would normally be essential, was adapted for solitary use. Throughout this thesis, I consider the physical and emotional side of private prayer as well as the verbal.

A large number of surviving Anglo-Saxon manuscripts contain private prayers. Rather than attempting to answer the questions outlined above by considering manuscripts which only include one or two prayers, I have concentrated
on those which appear to have had the function of a prayerbook. My main sources are therefore the three eleventh-century English codices which appear to have been used in this way: the Galba Prayerbook (London, British Library Cotton Nero A. ii + Galba A. xiv), Ælfwine’s Prayerbook (London, British Library Titus D. xxvii + xxvi) and the Portiforium of St Wulstan (Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 391). The monastic origins and usership of these three manuscripts are well attested, as the former two are known to originate from the Winchester monasteries, while the Portiforium is believed to have been based on a Winchester exemplar. Also, it has been established that the original users of Ælfwine’s Prayerbook and the Portiforium were Ælfwine, Dean of New Minster, and Bishop Wulfstan of Worcester. It is therefore possible to analyse the contents of these manuscripts with a degree of certainty about their use and context. While individual studies of these three manuscripts currently exist, I analyse them all together, comparing them with one another, and specifically with regard to their use as private prayerbooks. In addition, it was a common practice in the tenth and eleventh centuries to append sequences of private prayers to earlier psalters. Consequently, I supplement my

---

41 For a full list of Anglo-Saxon manuscripts containing liturgical and private prayers, see Gneuss, 177. Old English prayers are listed under no. 12.4 in Angus Cameron and Roberta Frank, eds., A Plan for the Dictionary of Old English (Toronto and Buffalo, NY: University of Toronto Press, 1973).

42 Muir, Prayer-Book; Günzel, Ælfwine’s Prayerbook; Hughes, Portiforium.

43 These three manuscripts will be introduced in detail on pages 92-9. Although Hughes refers to Wulfstan of Worcester as ‘St Wulstan’, ‘Wulfstan’ is more commonly used to refer to this bishop, and therefore I will use this name, except when referring to the Portiforium manuscript.


An eleventh-century Winchester monk, using one of these books, would probably have received a much-copied prayer without knowing much about its origins other than that it was a ‘bona oratio’ (good prayer). The main focus of this thesis is to illuminate how this monk may have used his prayerbook, rather than to reveal the origins of the texts which he used. I do, to some extent, compare the different variants of texts, and in Chapter One I examine the history of some Anglo-Saxon prayers. However, more frequently I compare private prayer texts with the liturgical prayers and hymns which would have been well known to the monk who used a prayerbook, and in the light of which he prayed his ‘bona oratio’. I pay attention to each prayer, not only as a single text on its own, but as part of the sequence and a manuscript context in which it appears, as this contributes towards its meaning and use. Of particular importance are indications of the time and place in which prayer was undertaken, and evidence for the practice of praying before a cross or making the sign of the cross. To this end, I read the prayer texts in the light of homilies and monastic rules, which taught their audiences how to worship correctly, and draw attention to rubrics and phrasing within prayers which imply how they were intended to be used.

A few fundamental assumptions underlie the inquiry undertaken in this thesis. Although the main codices examined fulfilled the function of a private prayerbook, manuscripts often had many different simultaneous uses, and prayers for

---

private use are often found alongside other genres of text, including liturgical works. The influence of liturgy on other genres must not be underestimated: the Hours gave structure to the monastic day and provided monks and nuns with the language on which to draw in creating new prayers. A private prayer can be derived from a liturgical source, as it is ultimately the context in which a prayer is used that makes it private. Some chapters of this thesis offer introductory remarks on communal prayer, but they do so in order to provide a context for solitary prayer. Not only is the study of liturgy necessary for the understanding of private prayer, the reverse is also true: private prayer can help us to discover what was considered to be important in communal worship. Because nothing in private prayer was obligatory, the portions of the liturgy which were selected for private use reveal which parts of it were considered to be most important, most helpful and most appropriate for solitary prayer. The study of public liturgy and that of private prayer, therefore, require one another.

The relationship between public and private prayer tends to be cyclic. The Paternoster was originally taught as a prayer for private use, but became a standard part of church liturgy. Similarly, prayers taken from monastic services were put together to form personal rites for private worship. Just as a clear distinction between the public and the private cannot always be made, it is also necessary to remember that liturgy, private prayer, poetry, hagiography and the books of the Bible were not completely separate genres, but formed a web of interconnected texts with regards to the Christian tradition. Individual texts should not be isolated from the context either of their manuscript or of their cultural discourse.

---

46 Roger Wieck makes a similar point in relation to the lay use of the Book of Hours in the later Middle Ages. Wieck, ‘The Book of Hours’, 482.
Although this thesis is ultimately a textual study, it seeks to emphasise that prayer is not solely verbal. Instead, it is an experience which engages the whole person, both the intellect and the emotions, the body and senses as well as the soul. I consider how one prayed, at what times, in which places, and using which bodily postures or gestures. The evidence for this can be found in guides to prayer and in the rubrics which instruct the reader in how to say individual prayers. The meaning of a prayer is more than the sum of its manuscript variants, but is also created by its use in religious practice.

It has been necessary to impose limits on what would otherwise have been an extremely wide field of research by excluding certain subjects. As discussed above, the tenth and eleventh centuries are a more fruitful period for research than the earlier Anglo-Saxon centuries, and for this reason I only discuss the early Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks in Chapter One, as a background to the later manuscripts. Furthermore, prayer to the Virgin Mary in Anglo-Saxon England has been so comprehensively studied by Mary Clayton that it would be difficult to explore these prayers and their background without reproducing much of her work.\textsuperscript{47} The same can also be said for Anglo-Saxon litanies, which have been discussed at length by Michael Lapidge in the introduction to his edition of these texts.\textsuperscript{48}

Furthermore, some aspects of prayer are ultimately unknowable. Although there is reason to believe that monks and nuns were encouraged to pray by thinking about Christ’s sufferings, spontaneous inner prayer by its very nature leaves few textual traces. It is not always clear if prayers were spoken out loud, and if they were learned by heart, although the patterned, litanic nature of parts of the longer prayers may suggest that both of these are the case. Most of the sources surviving


\textsuperscript{48} Lapidge, \textit{Anglo-Saxon Litanies}. 
from this time relate to monks, nuns and secular clergy, and so the monastic experience must necessarily be the focus of this thesis. Little can be said for certain about the religious experience of the laity in the early Middle Ages, and so I do not attempt a comprehensive study of it, referring to it when necessary when information relevant to the study of monastic practices emerges. Accordingly, the prayers which would have been taught to laypeople, such as the *Paternoster*, are not investigated in depth.

**Chapter summaries**

This thesis is organised into four chapters, all drawing on the same set of prayers and prayerbooks, but each with a different focus. Chapter One uncovers the origins of the prayers which would later form the basis of the Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks, and introduces the main themes of the following three chapters. In Chapter Two, I distinguish between different kinds of prayer, and argue that it was through arranging prayers into sequences that formal private liturgies such as the Special Offices evolved. Chapter Three applies the kind of analysis used in Chapter Two to the developing Offices of the Holy Cross, and places particular emphasis on the bodily experience of prayer. The final chapter examines confession, a situation in which the practice of private prayer could potentially have been controversial.

Chapter One provides a foundation for the following three chapters by identifying some influential prayer practices in the Carolingian *libelli precum* and the early Anglo-Saxon private prayerbooks. First, the ninth-century letters of Alcuin
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are used to explore how the Carolingian world viewed private prayer, as distinct from the liturgy. Alcuin is a particularly significant figure in this regard, because, as an influential Anglo-Saxon at the Carolingian court, he played an important part in the development of the private prayerbook both in England and on the Continent. The chapter then turns to the history of the canonical Hours from late Antiquity up to Alcuin’s letter ‘Beatus igitur David’ and Hrabanus Maurus’ *De institutione clericorum*, in preparation for the analysis of private adaptations of the Offices in Chapter Two. I also explore Carolingian prayer programmes based on the psalms, as an early example of how elements of the Divine Office were used as the basis of private worship.

Prayer to the cross, which will be the major theme of Chapter Three, is introduced through a discussion of *In honorem sanctae crucis*: Hrabanus Maurus’ series of *carmina figurata*. In this work, prayers and images are combined to create a focus for the contemplation of Christ’s passion, and so it provides a useful context for the discussion of Ælfwine’s prayers to the cross in Chapter Three. Likewise, Hrabanus’ introduction to *In honorem* further illuminates Carolingian beliefs about how and why private prayer should be undertaken. Chapter One also examines the Carolingian theology of confession, which distinguished between public confession and that which was made in private. In either case, however, the role of the priest was extremely important. I conclude this chapter by analysing a prayer by Alcuin in which the speaker could confess his sins without a priest. This prayer was extremely widespread in late Anglo-Saxon England, along with other solitary confessions, a genre of private prayer which will be examined further in Chapter Four.

Chapter Two begins by discussing some of the major ideas and texts for the rest of the thesis. After introducing the major late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks in full,
I consider why certain prayers and prayerbooks are believed to have been used in private, and the different genres which can be identified within the category of private prayer. I then show how prayers, adapted from the liturgy or inherited from the personal prayerbook tradition, were put together in series or sequences, linked through the use of common themes, rubrics and refrains. Building on Bernard Muir’s observations on the Galba Prayerbook, I explore the possibility that it was through these idiosyncratic programmes for private devotion that the texts known as Special Offices were developed.50

The final part of Chapter Two examines private adaptations of the liturgy of the Hours. I discuss the text known as the Old English Benedictine Office in order to show how tenth-century readers actively reflected on the Divine Office. This text is then used as a basis for understanding a sequence of vernacular private prayers for the Hours found in the Galba Prayerbook, known as the Prayers ad horas. This chapter concludes with a brief source study of these prayers, in which I investigate a previously unnoticed connection between the Carolingian libelli precum and the Galba Prayerbook, and discuss how the Prayers ad horas were made more suitable for personal use in Old English translation.

In Chapter Three, I study prayer to the Holy Cross, a genre of prayer in which bodily gesture and devotion before images were of particular importance. For this reason, I begin by examining ceremonies in the Anglo-Saxon benedictionals for blessing crosses. Prayers in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook and the Vespasian Psalter imply that the speaker was expected to pray before a cross, or sign him- or herself while praying. I undertake a close reading of these monastic prayers, whilst indicating some of the uses of the cross in lay religious practice.

The second half of Chapter Three extends the previous chapter’s analysis of programmes for private prayer by identifying passages from the three late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks which may have been used as Special Offices of the cross. Many of these are based upon the liturgy for the Veneration of the Cross on Good Friday, while others appear to be modelled on the monastic Hours. Even from these three manuscripts alone, an increasing sophistication can be seen in these experiments in private worship, which draw on similar sources and yet have subtly different emphases. To conclude Chapter Three, I explore adaptations of one well-known part of the cross feasts, the hymn ‘Pange, lingua’ by Venantius Fortunatus, in order to provide a case study of one of the principal themes of this thesis: the adaptation of liturgical language as a means of creating new prayers.

Chapter Four raises questions about the status of private confessional prayer, which could not be adapted for private use so easily as other liturgical forms. Sacerdotal confession – that is, confession to a priest – was essential as a preparation for the Judgement Day. Only with a skilled confessor could the penitent make a full confession, be given guidance for the future, and be assigned an appropriate penance. Public confession before a bishop, in which the penitents were cast out of the body of the church and reunited with it again, was also important. However, there is evidence to suggest that solitary confession, before God alone, was not only acceptable but actively encouraged, provided that sacerdotal confession was not neglected altogether. Prayers of solitary confession were one part of the confessional process, and sometimes a preparation for visiting the priest. Therefore, while solitary confessions have some characteristics in common with formal confessions for use with a priest or bishop, I reveal how they also have distinctive qualities of their own.
Finally, I consider Old English confessional poems, in order to close not only this chapter but also the whole thesis by returning to a theme which runs through all four chapters. A less strict categorisation of different literary genres prevailed at this time, and so I argue that there is no reason why poems of penitence should not be considered to be prayers as well. In all four of the chapters outlined above, I inquire into the practice of prayer, insofar as it is known when, where and why certain prayers were said, and using which posture and gesture, since these aspects of prayer were as vital a part of its meaning as the words used.

**Appendix: definitions of private prayer**

It is necessary to define the kind of prayers under consideration, especially since the word ‘private’ can have a range of connotations, and is a term which has been used differently by different scholars. Chapter Two will justify the use of this terminology through analysing specific manuscript examples, but I will give some basic definitions here. For the purposes of this study, I use the term ‘private prayer’ to refer to prayer which is undertaken without the involvement of another human being. This may or may not take place in solitude. Thus, for instance, private prayer includes the programmes of private devotion discussed in Chapter Two, which are to be undertaken ‘þær ðu sylf sy’ (where you are alone) and in a ‘gelimpicere stowe’ (suitable place). When the *Regularis concordia* instructs monks to pray silently in church surrounded by their fellows (*RC*, 474-7), this likewise counts as private prayer. By contrast, the dialogue confession referred to in Chapter Four, in which two nuns confessed their sins to one another, is not considered to be a private
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prayer.\textsuperscript{52} In contrast, the term ‘public prayer’ is used to refer to prayers said openly by or in front of a community as part of the liturgy.

With the exception of psalters, sequences of private prayers tend to appear in manuscripts with a more unofficial character than formal liturgical books. These texts tend to be intermingled with medical remedies, computistical texts and scientific treatises, among other genres.\textsuperscript{53} It is also common to see alterations to private prayers, such as those which change the grammatical gender to suit a speaker of the opposite sex: for example, a ‘peccator miser’ (wretched sinner) becomes a ‘peccatrix misera’. Thus, the prayer is made more intimate and appropriate for the speaker.\textsuperscript{54}

The rubrics to private prayers, where any are given, also tend to differ from those in liturgical manuscripts. The headings in a collectar, for example, designate precisely how each prayer and hymn functions within a given service, and for which feast and Hour they are intended.\textsuperscript{55} Private prayers often have no rubrics at all, or are simply labelled as ‘oratio’ (prayer) or ‘bona oratio’ (good prayer). On the rare occasions when more extensive rubrics do appear, they are of a kind that specifies beyond doubt that the prayer is private. For example, the prayer ‘Qui in hunc mundum’ in the \textit{Galba Prayerbook} is prefaced with a rubric stating:

\begin{flushright}
52 For the dialogue confession, see Muir, \textit{Prayer-Book}, no. 62.
53 This can be seen in \textit{Ælfwine’s Prayerbook}, as shown in a simplified table of this manuscript’s contents, table 2.2, in Chapter Two below.
54 Bernard Muir argues that formal liturgical manuscripts would have been considered too precious to be annotated in this manner, an idea with which Stephan Waldhoff agrees. Muir, \textit{Prayer-Book}, xvii; Waldhoff, \textit{Alcuins Gebetbuch}, 38.
55 See, for example, E. S. Dewick and W. H. Frere, eds., \textit{The Leofric Collectar}, HBS 45 and 56, 2 vols. (London: Harrison and Sons, 1914 and 1921), I, cols. 1-2 and plate 1. The collectar opens with the rubrics, ‘Dominica I. de adventu Domini’ and ‘Ad vesperam’, with the antiphon, capitula and collect all marked with abbreviations in the text.
\end{flushright}
In quacumque die cantauerit homo hanc orationem nec diabolus nec illus homo impedimentum ei facere poterit, et quod petierit dabitur ei (Prayer-Book, 61, ll. 1-3).  

This implies that the prayer is for voluntary use; furthermore, the text also employs the grammatical singular. Where a prayer has been written with singular verbs and pronouns, this tends to indicate that the text was composed specifically for private use, or it has been adapted from a liturgical setting with the plural forms changed to singular ones. There would be no use for the singular number in the liturgy, although Waldhoff has noted that private prayers could also use the plural form on occasion.  

Although Latin is the main language of private prayer, there is not always a self-conscious preference for Latin over English: sequences of prayers in the Portiforium of St Wulstan and the Galba Prayerbook include prayers in both languages. In other manuscripts, such as Arundel 155, Latin prayers are comprehensively glossed in Anglo-Saxon. The vernacular would not have been used in formal liturgical situations, and therefore its appearance implies a more unofficial setting, and perhaps a desire for greater understanding or intimacy with God. As English was used more widely in ecclesiastical settings than most of the other vernacular languages of Europe in the eleventh century, this is a significant issue, and one which is of great interest to scholars at present. Accordingly, the issue of language choice will be raised throughout this thesis.
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56 ‘On whatever day a man should sing this prayer, neither a devil nor another man will be able to create any hindrance for him, and what he has asked for will be given to him.’
57 Waldhoff, Alcuins Gebetbuch, 37-8.
58 For example, Muir, Prayer-Book, nos. 64-5; Hughes, Portiforium, II, 14-15.
For ease of reference, I have given a name to each prayer that I discuss, which remains the same when the same prayer appears with minor variations in different manuscripts. These prayer names are sometimes by necessity rather arbitrary choices: because many prayers begin with similar opening invocations, they are often based on the second, not the first, sentence of the prayer. For example, I have given one prayer, quoted above, the title ‘Qui in hunc mundum’, as it begins:

_Domine Ihesu Christe qui in hunc mundum propter nos peccatores de sinu patris aduenisti (Prayer-Book, 61, ll. 4-5)._61

Each chapter ends with a table of all the private prayers which were examined in any detail during the chapter, noting their appearances in the main manuscripts which I discuss. These tables are not intended to be a comprehensive study of the manuscript record for each prayer, but simply to indicate approximately how widely they are distributed in a range of major sources.

---

61 Emphasis mine. ‘O Lord Jesus Christ, who came into this world from the bosom of the Father because of us sinners.’
CHAPTER 1

THE BACKGROUND TO LATE ANGLO-SAXON PRIVATE PRAYER

Introduction

In order to understand the meaning of late Anglo-Saxon private prayer, it is first necessary to consider its contexts. By the ninth century, a tradition of English private prayerbooks already existed, which was matched by the emergence of the ninth-century *libelli precum* on the Continent. In later centuries, a similar pattern would be seen in the composition of the *Regularis concordia*, a customary describing ideal practices for the tenth-century English church, which was influenced by native Anglo-Saxon practices as well as by earlier Continental reform movements.62

In this chapter, I will set the prayerbooks of late Anglo-Saxon England in their context by exploring the prayers, prayer programmes and attitudes to prayer which existed in the ninth century, many of which were associated with Alcuin of York or his pupil Hrabanus Maurus. As this is an extremely broad topic, I intend to discuss only a selection of the available texts, which I will introduce at the beginning of the chapter. I will then examine the attitudes to prayer expressed by Alcuin in his
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letters, many of which were addressed to former pupils, and often include Alcuin’s teachings on prayer, and on occasion actual prayers for the recipient.

After a brief discussion of a selection of prayer compilations from the ninth century, I introduce the three main themes which will be the subjects of the remaining three chapters. The first of these is prayer at the canonical Hours. Amongst Carolingian tracts on the Divine Office, this chapter explores how Hrabanus Maurus’ *De institutione clericorum* explained and justified the Offices in a time of monastic reform, and how Alcuin’s letter *Beatus igitur David* renewed the tradition of private Hours. Alongside these two tracts, this chapter also analyses a series of prayers recorded in the early ninth century, known as the *Prayers ad horas*. In this chapter I will focus on the early development of these prayers, while Chapter Two explores their later appearance in the *Galba Prayerbook*. I will also discuss two private prayer programmes which draw on the reader’s knowledge of the psalms, which were the most important part of monastic worship.

Chapter Three examines devotion to the cross in the late Anglo-Saxon church, and thus Chapter One draws attention to Carolingian interest in this subject by discussing Hrabanus’ sequence of *carmina figurata* known as *In honorem sanctae crucis* and the contribution which he made to cross symbolism. Like the psalm programmes mentioned above, this text emphasises the importance of sincere and voluntary private prayer. It also gives visible expression to the inexpressibly glorious holy cross, a theme which is likewise prevalent in the late Anglo-Saxon prayer collections.

Private confession, the subject of Chapter Four, is represented in Chapter One by a prayer from the *Book of Cerne* and a confession which Alcuin wrote for Charlemagne. These prayers draw on the tradition of the *lorica*, a prayer for
protecting the body, which features significantly in the early Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks. This section also goes on to explore how the Carolingian church distinguished between ‘public’ and ‘private’ penance; however, as Alcuin’s letters show, private confessional prayers remained controversial. Alcuin’s prayer ‘Deus inaestimablis misericordiae’ is therefore a kind of confession which is notably different from that which would be made to a priest, and it is significant that this distinction between private and sacerdotal confession appears to have continued into the tenth and eleventh centuries.

1.1: An introduction to the texts and authors

The compilers of the late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks drew their prayers from two ninth-century traditions: the early Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks and the Carolingian *libelli precum*. Both kinds of manuscript have been associated with the eighth-century scholar Alcuin. Accordingly, this chapter will focus on texts written in or just before the ninth century, many of which were associated with Alcuin’s name or accompany his works in the manuscript tradition.

Born c. 740, Alcuin was brought up in the cathedral community of York, and met Charlemagne twice whilst travelling in continental Europe in the 770s and 80s. While on the second of these journeys, probably in 781, the king asked the Anglo-Saxon scholar to become a member of his court. Alcuin was associated with Charlemagne’s entourage for two periods, each lasting no more than a few years.
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Nevertheless, he contributed greatly to the literary, religious and educational life of the Carolingian court through his prolific letters and poetic and doctrinal works.\textsuperscript{66} Of particular significance for this chapter is the letter ‘Beatus igitur David’, written in response to a request from Charlemagne, in which Alcuin expounds the reasons for praising God at the canonical Hours. Alcuin also wrote a private confession which, in a few manuscripts, appears alongside this very letter. Towards the end of his career, Alcuin was appointed abbot of St Martin’s in Tours, where he died in 804.\textsuperscript{67}

Hrabanus was born in Mainz c. 780 and became a child oblate at the monastery of Fulda.\textsuperscript{68} He later studied with Alcuin at Tours, from whom he gained the nickname Maurus (the name of St Benedict’s favourite pupil) and was ordained as a priest in 814.\textsuperscript{69} Hrabanus also served as head of the Fulda school, which grew in reputation as a result of his leadership, and he was appointed as Abbot of Fulda in 822, during a great period of growth for the monastery.\textsuperscript{70} In 847, he was appointed Archbishop of Mainz, and he died in 856.\textsuperscript{71} Primarily a writer of Biblical commentaries and educational texts, Hrabanus is also known for his series of carmina figurata, \textit{In honorem sanctae crucis}.\textsuperscript{72}

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{66} Bullough, ‘Alcuin’, 605.
\item \textsuperscript{67} Ibid., 605-7.
\item \textsuperscript{69} Ibid.
\item \textsuperscript{70} Ibid.
\item \textsuperscript{71} Ibid.
\item \textsuperscript{72} Ibid. \textit{In honorem sanctae crucis} is also known as \textit{De laudibus sanctae crucis}.
\end{itemize}
Table 1.1: Private prayer texts from the late eighth and ninth centuries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early medieval prayerbooks</th>
<th>Early Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks: Book of Cerne, Book of Nunnaminster, Royal Prayerbook, Harley 7653</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carolingian Libelli precum: Libellus Trecensis, Libellus Parisinus, Libellus Turonensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcuin’s writing on prayer</td>
<td>‘Beatus igitur David’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prayer guides based on the psalms</td>
<td>Psalms de paenitentia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>De laude psalmorum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prayers for the Hours</td>
<td>Prayers ad horas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texts by Hrabanus Maurus</td>
<td>De institutione clericorum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In honorem sanctae crucis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Early medieval prayerbooks

This chapter will be primarily concerned with private prayers relating to the Hours, the adoration of the cross and private confession, as these are the main subjects for consideration in the following chapters. However, the early history of the private prayerbook in general also requires consideration. Alcuin made an important contribution to the genre through his florilegium De laude Dei. This text survives in El Escorial B-IV-17 and Bamberg, Stadtbibliothek Msc. Patr. 17 (B. II. 10): respectively, these are a possibly southern French manuscript of the second half of the ninth century, and an early eleventh-century manuscript from Mainz.\textsuperscript{73} A large proportion of the text is composed of short excerpts from several different Biblical books, which, Donald Bullough notes, have been taken from a mixture of variant texts of the Bible.\textsuperscript{74} Longer prayers, along with extracts from the works of the church Fathers, are to be found in the latter part of the third book of De laude Dei, followed by a final book of quotations from Christian poets and liturgical texts.\textsuperscript{75} The collection, as preserved in the surviving manuscripts, may date to Alcuin’s years in Francia while revealing the reading which he undertook in York. It is therefore of

\textsuperscript{73} Bullough, Alcuin: Achievement and Reputation, 177.
\textsuperscript{74} \textit{Ibid.}, 180.
\textsuperscript{75} \textit{Ibid.}
great value as it evidently records what he wished to preserve, either for his teaching or for his own private spiritual development.⁷⁶

Also dating from this era is a group of English books dedicated chiefly to private prayers, with a relatively smaller proportion of Biblical extracts. In fact, it has been argued that the private prayerbook was invented in the British Isles, since Anglo-Saxon and Irish Christians were not native Latin speakers and many therefore lacked the capability to pray spontaneously in Latin in accordance with the teaching of the church Fathers.⁷⁷ Four prayerbooks, or fragments of prayerbooks, survive from eighth- and ninth-century England: Cambridge, University Library Ll. 1. 10 (the *Book of Cerne*);⁷⁸ London, British Library Royal 2 A. xx (the *Royal Prayerbook*);⁷⁹ Harley 2965 (the *Book of Nunnaminster*);⁸⁰ and London, British Library Harley 7653, a fragment which comprises a litany and a small number of private prayers.⁸¹

The *Book of Cerne* contains a wide variety of private prayers to Christ and the saints, with a particular emphasis on confession, including a number of texts which can also be found in the late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks. For example, the Marian prayer ‘Exaudi me et miserere mihi’ (*Cerne*, no. 58) later appears in
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⁷⁸ A. B. Kuypers, ed., *The Prayer Book of Aedelwald the Bishop, Commonly Called the Book of Cerne* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1902); Gneuss, no. 28; Ker, no. 27. A doctoral thesis on the Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks, focusing in particular on these four early examples, is currently being written by Kirsty March of University College Cork.

⁷⁹ The *Royal Prayerbook* has been edited as an appendix to Kuypers, *Cerne*, 201-25; Gneuss, no. 450; Ker, no. 248.

⁸⁰ Walter de Gray Birch, ed., *An Ancient Manuscript of the Eighth or Ninth Century Formerly Belonging to St. Mary’s Abbey, or Nunnaminster, Winchester* (London and Winchester: Simpkin and Marshall, 1889); Gneuss no. 432; Ker, no. 237.

Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, London, British Library Arundel 155 and the Portiforium of St Wulstan. However, Cerne differs significantly from the later manuscripts in its inclusion of Biblical material: the private prayers are preceded by the Passion narratives from all four gospels (Cerne, 5-79) and followed by a large collection of verses from the psalms (174-98). Although the Book of Cerne is of Mercian origin, Bullough notes a link between this manuscript and the north of England: parts of it appear to derive from a Northumbrian collection, and three prayers, including ‘Exaudi me et miserere mihi’, are attributed to Alchfrith, an anchorite from late eighth-century Northumbria whose letters are associated with Alcuin’s in the manuscript tradition.

The other early prayerbooks are of particular interest as they appear to have been used by women, an issue which will be raised again in Chapter Two in relation to the eleventh-century prayerbooks. The Royal Prayerbook, like Cerne, begins with Biblical extracts – in this case, the openings of the four gospels (ff. 2r-11v) – followed by the psalter canticles (ff. 13v-16v), prayers and hymns (ff. 17r-51v). Nunnaminster, by contrast, is distinctly different from the others. After the Passion narratives (Nunnaminster, 39-57) and the long prayer ‘Dominator dominus omnipotens’ (58-60), which is known to the later prayerbooks, most of

---


85 Kuypers, Cerne.
*Nunnaminster* is composed of a sequence of short prayers dedicated to different parts of Christ’s life, particularly the Passion (61-81).

A range of theories have been proposed regarding the use of these prayerbooks. Patrick Sims-Williams has noted that *Royal* and Harley 7653 are particularly concerned with protecting their readers from physical and spiritual harm, a feature which indicates their difference from contemporary Continental prayerbooks.\(^86\) He has also drawn attention to the presence of the morning prayer ‘Mane cum surrexero’ in Harley 7653 and *Royal*, from which he argues that some of the prayers in these two manuscripts were intended for use in a course of daily prayer.\(^87\) By contrast, Bernard Muir has proposed that *Royal* emphasises the healing work of Christ, while both *Nunnaminster* and *Cerne* focus on his Passion.\(^88\) Most noteworthy of all, however, is Sims-Williams’ observation of tenth-century glosses in both *Royal* and Harley 7653.\(^89\) These indicate the continued use of those manuscripts in later centuries, suggesting that they would have been used alongside the late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks and had an important effect upon their contents.

Another major influence on the later prayerbooks are the Carolingian private prayer collections now known as the *libelli precum*, which arose in places influenced by England and in particular by Alcuin himself.\(^90\) Jonathan Black has noted that, since the Carolingian reforms replaced native liturgical traditions with the Benedictine Offices, ‘prayerbooks for private usage served as a possible outlet for
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87 Ibid., 284-5.
90 Ibid., 276.
material from the suppressed traditions."⁹¹ Four of these prayerbooks were edited by Wilmart under the title *Precum libelli quattuor aevi Karolini*, and I will use three of those in my discussion of Carolingian prayer.⁹² The *Libellus Trecensis* (Troyes, Bibliothèque municipale 1742) dates from the first decade of the ninth century, and therefore was written at around the time of Alcuin’s death.⁹³ The *Libellus Parisinus* (Paris, Bibliothèque nationale lat. 5596) dates from the first quarter of the ninth century,⁹⁴ while the *Libellus Turonensis* (Paris, Bibliothèque nationale lat. 13388) dates from the mid-ninth century.⁹⁵ The study of the *libelli precum* began with Wilmart’s edition of the four manuscripts. Wilmart’s editions, however, removed what he considered to be private prayers from their manuscript contexts, whereas more recent studies accept that private and liturgical prayer are closely linked and sometimes difficult to distinguish from one another.⁹⁶

The prayers edited by Wilmart are noteworthy for their consistent use of first person singular grammatical forms, which strongly indicates that they were used in private. Special emphasis is placed upon confession, forgiveness and the protection of the speaker’s body and soul; however, they include far fewer prayers to the cross than the Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks. A number of programmes for private devotion are to be found in the *libelli precum*, particularly in the *Libellus Turonensis*, where psalms and prayers are combined with collects to form a carefully-planned act of
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⁹⁴ Ibid.  
⁹⁵ Ibid., 6.  
private worship. Most importantly for this chapter, these manuscripts also contain early versions of the letter ‘Beatus igitur David’, the confession ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’ and the *Psalmi de paenitentia*, all of which will be introduced below.

Of particular note is the fact that some of the prayers in the *libelli precum* can also be found in the late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks, such as a group of six prayers beginning with three to the individual members of the Trinity. The speaker prays to the Trinity for mercy, grace and love, and that he may attain a vision of God. These four prayers are linked by the words ‘te adoro, te laudo, te glorifico’ (nos. 1.7-10). Although these prayers are not discussed in depth in the following three chapters of this thesis, they are of importance because they are likewise found in later prayerbooks and reflect a tradition derived from the Carolingian *libelli precum* rather than from earlier Anglo-Saxon sources.

Furthermore, they reflect the use of private prayer to unite theological concerns with the love and praise of God.

‘*Beatus igitur David*’

At some point in time, Charlemagne appears to have asked Alcuin for guidance on private prayer, as the letter ‘*Beatus igitur David*’ responds to such a request with a
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97 See table 2.1 in Chapter Two below.
98 ‘O Lord, Holy Spirit, almighty God, who, being coequal, co-eternal and consubstantial with the Father and with the Son, ineffably proceed from them.’
99 ‘I adore you, I praise you, I glorify you.’
justification of prayer at the times of the Hours and a series of psalm verses to be said upon rising from bed.\textsuperscript{100} This letter was evidently taken, in the decades and centuries following, to be a useful guide for private devotion, as it was copied into three later private prayerbooks.\textsuperscript{101} Stephan Waldhoff has argued that ‘Beatus igitur David’ originally prefaced a personal prayerbook which Alcuin compiled for Charlemagne, and Waldhoff has attempted to reconstruct this prayerbook from two manuscripts: Paris, Bibliothèque nationale, lat. 2731A and Oxford, Bodleian Library d’Orville 45.\textsuperscript{102} Jonathan Black, by contrast, has expressed caution with regards to Waldhoff’s assumption that Alcuin compiled such a prayerbook at all, as well as about the viability of his attempt to reconstruct it.\textsuperscript{103} For the purposes of this study, it is not necessary to determine whether or not this prayerbook existed: what is important is that the texts in it circulated widely from the ninth century onwards and were associated with Alcuin’s name. Nevertheless, I use Waldhoff’s edition of ‘Beatus igitur David’ in Paris 2731A, in preference to that printed by Dümmler in the \textit{Monumenta Germaniae Historica}, as it is the most recent edition of the text.\textsuperscript{104}

\textsuperscript{100} Stephan Waldhoff, \textit{Alcuins Gebetbuch für Karl den Grossen: Seine Rekonstruktion und seine Stellung in der frühmittelalterlichen Geschichte der libelli precum}, Liturgiewissenschaftliche Quellen und Forschungen 89 (Münster: Aschendorff, 2003), nos. 1a-2a. All texts cited from this edition can be found in the appendix, on pages 341-91. The numbering used is that used by Waldhoff in this appendix.

\textsuperscript{101} These are: Paris, Bibliothèque nationale, lat. 2731A; Oxford, Bodleian Library d’Orville 45; and Paris, Bibliothèque nationale lat. 5596 (the \textit{Libellus Parisinum}). Waldhoff, \textit{Alcuins Gebetbuch}, nos. 1 and 1a; Wilmart, \textit{Precum libelli}, 33-4.

\textsuperscript{102} Waldhoff bases this argument on ch. 15 of the \textit{Vita Alcuini}, which lists in detail the contents of a prayerbook compiled by the scholar for the king. He then demonstrates that these contents are closely matched by the prayers found in d’Orville 45 (ff. 26r-50r) and Paris 2731A (ff. 40r-64r). Waldhoff, \textit{Alcuins Gebetbuch}, 113-26. Waldhoff accepts the datings of d’Orville 45 to 1067/8 and Paris 2731A to the tenth century: \textit{ibid.}, 120, 123.


\textsuperscript{104} On the inadequacy of Dümmler’s \textit{MGH} edition of ‘Beatus igitur David’, see Waldhoff, \textit{Alcuins Gebetbuch}, 139-4. Bullough comments that ‘[t]he text \textit{inc. Beatus igitur David rex} in the form in which it was printed by Dümmler as \textit{ep.} no. 304 is almost certainly not genuinely Alcuin’s, but a more authentic form may exist.’ Nevertheless, he does acknowledge that some version of the letter is ‘acceptably his’. Bullough, \textit{Alcuin: Achievement and Reputation}, 36 and 7.
'Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae'

In Paris 2731A and d’Orville 45, ‘Beatus igitur David’ is directly followed by a confession for private use, which would become one of the most commonly-copied private prayers in the late Anglo-Saxon period. Even where it does not accompany this letter, ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’ is often headed by rubrics which state that it is part of an ordo to be said in the morning. This prayer is generally agreed to be the genuine work of Alcuin: it is accepted as such by Black, and also by Bullough, who comments that it does not appear to have been originally designed for use with a priest.

Evidence for Alcuin’s authorship is found in rubrics to the prayer in two manuscripts: the Prayerbook of Charles the Bald and the ninth-century psalter Angers, Bibliothèque municipale 18. In addition, both Black and Waldhoff argue that a Carolingian letter addressed to a high-status laywoman refers to ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’: it recommends the ‘confessionem quam beatae memoriae Alcuinus ‹domno Karolo› dedit’ and the Psalmi de paenitentia, which is

---

105 Waldhoff, Alcuins Gebetbuch, nos. 1a-3a and 1-3.
106 Eleven of the thirty-two manuscripts which Black uses for his edition contain these rubrics. In the case of d’Orville 45, the Prayerbook of Charles the Bald and the Libellus Parisinus, the rubrics are part of the letter ‘Beatus igitur David’, from which they ultimately originate. Jonathan Black, ed., ‘Psalm Uses in Carolingian Prayerbooks: Alcuin’s Confessio peccatorum pura and the Seven Penitential Psalms (Use 1)’, Mediaeval Studies 65, 1-56 (2003): 18-19 and n. 50.
107 Black, ‘Alcuin’s Confessio’, 2-5; Bullough, ‘Alcuin and the Kingdom of Heaven’, 15. A collated edition of ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’ and the penitential psalm devotional Psalmi de paenitentia can be found in Black, ‘Alcuin’s Confessio’, 30-49. However, this edition takes into account all of the variants found in later manuscripts, whereas in this chapter I am concerned specifically with these texts in their early forms. Consequently, I use Waldhoff’s edition in Paris 2731A. Waldhoff, Alcuins Gebetbuch, nos. 3a-4a.
108 For example, in the Prayerbook of Charles the Bald, the prayer is titled, ‘CONFESSIO QUAM ALCUINUS COMPOSUIT KAROLO IMPERATORI’ (‘the confession which Alcuin composed for the Emperor Charles’). Waldhoff, Alcuins Gebetbuch, 161-2. The Prayerbook of Charles the Bald, in the Munich Schatzkammer, is no. 4 in Herbert Brunner, Schatzkammer der Residenz München: Katalog, 3rd edn. (Munich: Bayerische Verwaltung der Staatlichen Schlösser, Gärten und Seen, 1970).
introduced below, for morning prayer. Waldhoff notes that this letter specifically states that the prayer was to be used in private:

in exemplo illius secrete et, si potest, coram altari et coram deo et angelis eius faciatis (‘Lettres de l’époque carolingienne’, 241, ll. 53-4).\(^{111}\)

‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’ exists in a large number of manuscripts dating from the ninth century to the twelfth.\(^ {112}\) Some manuscripts contain a shortened or defective version of the prayer, including one which was attributed to Anselm.\(^ {113}\) There is also an Old English confession containing a partial translation of this prayer, which will be discussed further in Chapter Four.\(^ {114}\)

**Prayer guides based on the psalms: the ‘Psalmi de paenitentia’ and ‘De laude psalmorum’**

In the surviving manuscripts, ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’ is typically followed by a guide to prayer based on the seven penitential psalms which I have entitled the *Psalmi de paenitentia*.\(^ {115}\) Each psalm is followed by the *Kyrie eleison*,

---

\(^{110}\) Jonathan Black, ed., ‘Psalm Uses in Carolingian Prayerbooks: Alcuin and the Preface to *De psalmorum usu*’, *Mediaeval Studies* 64, 1-60 (2002): 20-1; Waldhoff, *Gebetbuch*, 162-3. Wilmart suggested that this letter may be by Hrabanus Maurus to Judith, wife of Louis the Pious; Black cautiously accepts this possibility, whereas Waldhoff is less certain. Wilmart, ‘Lettres de l’époque carolingienne’, 238-42; Black, ‘Alcuin and the Preface’, 20; Waldhoff, *Alcuins Gebetbuch*, 253-4. It should be noted that the reference to ‘domno Karolo’ is an editorial emendation for a blank space which appeared in the only known copy of this letter, Chartres, Bibliothèque municipale 127, now no longer extant, although Black as well as Wilmart believes that this refers to Charlemagne. Black, ‘Alcuin’s *Confessio*’, 3-4.

\(^{111}\) ‘You are to perform it secretly after his example and, if you can, before the altar and in the presence of God and his angels.’ See Waldhoff, *Alcuins Gebetbuch*, 179-80.

\(^{112}\) For a full list of manuscripts, see Black, ‘Alcuins *Confessio*’, 26-8.


\(^{115}\) The relationship between the confession and the *Psalmi de paenitentia* is explained in detail in Black, ‘Alcuin’s *Confessio*’, 18-21. Black does not give this text a name, but refers to it simply as the ‘program of penitential psalms’.
the *Paternoster*, a number of capitula and a collect.\footnote{Waldhoff, *Alcuins Gebetbuch*, no. 4a. The collects are derived from various versions of the Romana series of psalter collects. Waldhoff, ‘Alcuin’s *Confessio*’, 22-4; Louis Brou and André Wilmart, eds., *The Psalter Collects from V-VI\textsuperscript{th} Century Sources (Three Series)*, HBS 83 (London: Boydell Press, 1949, repr. 2009), Romana series nos. 6, 31, 37, 50, 101, 129, 142.} Interestingly, one manuscript containing the *Psalmi de paenitentia*, the *Libellus Trecensis*, is likely to have been written during Alcuin’s final years, or not long after his death.\footnote{Wilmart, *Precum libelli*, 5.} However, there is no reason to believe that he was the compiler of this prayer programme.\footnote{For example, Black refers to this text as an ‘independent program’ contemporary with Alcuin. Black, ‘Alcuin’s *Confessio*’, 1.}

While the *Psalmi de paenitentia* focuses on the penitential psalms alone, a similar, roughly contemporaneous guide to prayer is based on eight groups of psalms, of which the penitential psalms are only one. *De laude psalmorum* begins with a preface explaining the importance of the psalms, and then lists eight situations in which one should sing particular psalms, before concluding with a brief epilogue. Each of the eight situations is accompanied by a brief list of psalms and a comment on the effects that will be brought about by singing them.

*De laude psalmorum* appears as the preface to the ninth-century prayer guide *De psalmorum usu*.\footnote{Alcuin, *De psalmorum usu liber*, PL 101, cols. 465B-508D; *De laude psalmorum* can be found in cols. 465B-468A.} Once attributed to Alcuin, the authorship of *De psalmorum usu* was challenged by André Wilmart and is now assigned to an anonymous writer.\footnote{André Wilmart, ed., ‘Le manuel de prières de saint Jean Gualbert’, *Revue Bénédictine* 48, 259-99 (1936): 262-5.} However, Wilmart, and following him Black and Bullough, accepts *De laude psalmorum* as genuinely by Alcuin.\footnote{Wilmart, ‘Manuel de prières’, 263; Black, ‘Alcuin and the Preface’, 3-7. Bullough argues that it is the first text in which saying the penitential psalms is thought to be good for those who wish to undergo penitence and to find the mercy of God. Bullough, ‘Alcuin and the Kingdom of Heaven’, 19-20.} Waldhoff, drawing on the work of Jean Chazelas, argues against this attribution.\footnote{Waldhoff notes that, in many manuscripts, the text is in fact either attributed to Augustine or Jerome, or left anonymous, whereas the attribution to Alcuin occurs only in the context of prayerbooks like *De psalmorum usu*, and appears to have been made in order to give such works an}
The ‘Prayers ad horas’

Finally, the *libelli precum* cannot be discussed without mentioning a sequence of prayers which will be of great relevance to Chapter Two. It has already been seen that, in the letter ‘Beatus igitur David’, Alcuin instructs Charlemagne to pray at the times of the monastic Hours. Waldhoff has noted that these include the second Hour and the twelfth Hour, which were not among the usual canonical Hours, and that this sequence coincides exactly with the Hours chosen for a group of short prayers which is found further on in Paris 2731A.¹²³ This sequence of prayers will be referred to throughout this thesis as the *Prayers ad horas*.¹²⁴ As well as in Paris 2731A, they appear in the Carolingian prayerbooks *Trecensis, Turonensis* and *Parisinus*.¹²⁵ In *Parisinus*, the full sequence immediately follows ‘Beatus igitur David’, suggesting that the compiler of that manuscript understood the prayers to be a means of fulfilling the advice given in the letter.

The prayers for Prime, Terce, Sext, None and Vespers were derived from the sacramentary tradition: they appear as *orationes* for the canonical Hours in the both the *Gregorian* and *Gellone Sacramentaries*, and in the *Liber Sacramentorum*.¹²⁶ It would therefore appear that a prayerbook compiler around the ninth century constructed a series of prayers for the Hours by putting together some prayers from

¹²³ Waldhoff, *Alcuin's Gebetbuch*, 272-6. Black has accepted that Waldhoff’s argument has some weight, but adds that there is an earlier text of *De laude psalmorum* than he allows for, in Vienna, Österreichische Nationalbibliothek 1008, probably dating from before the year 800. Black, ‘Review of Alcuin’s Gebetbuch’, 773.


the sacramentaries and then adding, and perhaps composing, accompanying prayers so that there was one for each Hour. Since Trecensis dates to the first decade of the ninth century, it is apparent that, by the time of Alcuin’s death, two separate traditions of the Prayers ad horas had emerged: in the sacramentaries, a group of loosely-connected prayers for Prime, Terce, Sext, None and sometimes Vespers; and in the private prayerbooks a complete programme for all the Hours, including the second and twelfth Hours, and sometimes Compline. These two separate traditions of the Prayers ad horas were still in existence in the late Anglo-Saxon era, and therefore these prayers will be of particular significance for Chapter Two.

In the private prayerbooks, the Prayers ad horas usually appear as a group of prayers unconnected by other liturgical elements. However, the Libellus Turonensis contains an ordo in which some of the prayers are used as collects built around the hymns for the Hours (Libellus Turonensis, no. 7). Here, it can be observed that items from the monastic liturgy were taken from their contexts and put together to create ordines which became private liturgies in their own right. This pattern can also be seen in the Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks which will be examined in Chapter Two.

De institutione clericorum

The liturgies of the Hours and of the Mass were explained and re-interpreted many times in late Antiquity and the early Middle Ages, including in the eleventh-century Old English Benedictine Office, hereafter referred to as the Benedictine Office. This was not itself a text for use in liturgical practice, but a tract intended to teach secular

---

127 Wilmart, Precum libelli, 5.
clerics why the monastic Offices were celebrated and what form they took. The *Benedictine Office* can be understood in the light of similar works which preceded it, and, in particular, of Hrabanus Maurus’ *De institutione clericorum*, which is its main source.

In his dedicatory prologue to Haistulf, Archbishop of Mainz, Hrabanus explains that he wrote the book in response to questions which his brothers asked him about their religious duties. However, as Detlev Zimpel notes, *De institutione* originated from something more complex than simply these requests. Hrabanus was writing in an age of liturgical reform, in which it had become necessary to reconcile the widely varying liturgical customs followed in the Carolingian empire, and to explain and justify the forms of worship in use in the monasteries. It is therefore understandable, Zimpel argues, that his brothers would ask for clarification on liturgy and monastic customs, and that works such as *De institutione* and Amalarius of Metz’s *Liber officialis* would be written to meet these needs.

Once assessed as less popular in the Anglo-Saxon era than in late medieval England, works of Hrabanus are now known to survive in fourteen manuscripts written or owned in England dating from the late ninth to the late eleventh century, including three which contain excerpts from *De institutione*. Additional evidence for Anglo-Saxon knowledge of this text can be found in an inventory of Latin books,

---

128 For more details on the *Benedictine Office*, see pages 126-32 below.
130 Ibid., I, 18-20.
131 Ibid., I, 20-21.
133 Gneuss, nos. 12, 59, 65.5, 73, 131, 140, 178, 243, 258, 398, 644, 749, 779, 919.3. Nos. 59, 73 and 131 contain excerpts from *De institutione*, and nos. 65.5 and 644 include the *Old English Benedictine Office*. 
from eleventh- or twelfth-century Peterborough, which includes ‘Rabanus De institutione clericorum’.\textsuperscript{134}

\textit{In honorem sanctae crucis}

The \textit{carmen figuratum} is a poem written out letter by letter on a grid, which is decorated to form patterns or pictures; the letters within those pictures contain lines of verse which are not readable without the pictures, and are thus known as \textit{versus intertexti}. Hrabanus made a particularly sophisticated contribution to the genre in his \textit{In honorem sanctae crucis}. Following a prologue on prayer and the meaning of the crucifixion, the first book of this work consists of twenty-eight \textit{carmina figurata}, each accompanied by a \textit{declaratio}: a prose text explaining the symbolism of the images and the \textit{versus intertexti} which are to be found within the images.\textsuperscript{135} The second book is made up of twenty-eight short prose commentaries and prayers, each one relating to a chapter in Part One. Hrabanus’ \textit{carmina figurata} feature particularly detailed designs such as Christ on the cross, the cherubim and seraphim, and his own self kneeling before a cross, all of which contain \textit{versus intertexti}. Some manuscripts begin with a number of poems and images dedicating the work to the still living Louis the Pious: for example, reference is made to the arrival of Persian envoys at Louis’ court in 831; whereas the emperor himself died in 840, meaning that \textit{In honorem} must have been composed during the fourth decade of the ninth century.\textsuperscript{136}

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{134} Michael Lapidge, \textit{The Anglo-Saxon Library}, (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006), 143-7, item no. 59.
\textsuperscript{135} M. Perrin, ed., \textit{Rabani Mauri In honorem sanctae crucis}, CCCM 100 and 100A (Turnhout: Brepols, 1997).
\end{flushright}
As discussed above, Gneuss lists fourteen manuscripts written or owned in England up until 1100 which contain the works of Hrabanus in some form. Three of these codices include all or part of *In honorem sanctae crucis*, as does the twelfth-century manuscript London, British Library Royal 8. D. 18. In addition, Rosalind Love has shown how two lines from *In honorem sanctae crucis* were reused in a partly metrical charter made in 940, while Helmut Gneuss has observed that an image of St Dunstan in Oxford, Bodleian Library, Auctarium F. 4. 32 is based on the final poem of *In honorem sanctae crucis*. In *honorem sanctae crucis* may also, as Matti Kilpiö has argued, have been a source for *The Dream of the Rood*. From all these examples, then, it appears that Hrabanus’ *carmina figurata* were both copied and responded to in a variety of ways in Anglo-Saxon literature. His ideas on the meaning of the cross and the presence of its sign throughout heaven and earth were therefore arguably influential on some monastic liturgies of the cross feasts and private devotions to the cross.

---


1.2: Alcuin’s letters on prayer

Alcuin’s fame as a scholar lasted for many centuries, and the fact that prayer *ordines* were incorrectly distributed under his name merely serves to show how great his reputation for writing prayer was. Several of his genuine letters record his advice on prayer to his many friends and pupils, often in language which drew heavily on his reading of the Bible and the Fathers.  

Therefore, Alcuin’s letters are extremely useful for understanding prayer in the Carolingian court and church. They teach the importance of training oneself through a rigorous spiritual regime of the Hours and Masses, holy reading and personal prayer. Indeed, Alcuin emphasises that it is essential to pray constantly, both in community and in private, as both kinds of prayer bind a monk to God. Private prayer in particular strengthens the relationships between fellow believers. Significantly, Alcuin connects sacred reading with prayer and regards it as a form of prayer in itself.

Upon his appointment to the archbishopric of York, Alcuin’s pupil Eanbald received this advice from his former teacher:

> Omnia vestra honeste cum ordine fiant [1 Cor. 14:40].
>
> Tempus statuatur lectioni; et oratio suas habeat horas; et missarum solemnia proprio tempore conveniant (Epistolae, 168, ll. 1-2).

There is a proper time for everything, whether it be Mass, reading or private prayer: they are all important to the religious life. Together, these comprise a kind of

---

141 Bullough discusses Alcuin’s letter-writing and the rhetorical influences on it in *Alcuin: Achievement and Reputation*, 293-300.

142 Bullough comments that Alcuin regularly misquotes this verse, perhaps confusing it with 1 Cor. 12.24. Dümmler emended the manuscript reading from Alcuin’s ‘honesta’ to the Vulgate’s ‘honeste’. Bullough, *Alcuin: Achievement and Reputation*, 190.

spiritual training which will lead the reader towards God. This is evident in a letter to one of Alcuin’s former pupils:

Tu vero, fili carissime, in caritate te ipsum exerce, et in ecclesiasticis officiis ornare vitam tuam memento; vigillas et orationes frequentans, et in lectionis studio die noctuque desudans quaere Christum in litteris prophetarum praedictum, et in evangelica ostensum auctoritate (132, ll. 14-18).

Prayer, mentioned separately from the ecclesiastical Offices, is part of this training of oneself in love.

The distinction between communal and private prayer is clear in Alcuin’s letters; however, he links them closely, and emphasises that they are both essential. This line of thought is developed further in a letter to Bishop Aedilberctus of Hexham and the congregation of the church of St Andrew:

si unius iuxta apostolum ‘multum valet deprecatio iusti’ [Iac. 5:16], quanto magis et totius sanctissimae congregationis in Christo, quorum cotidie canonicis horis pacificae unanimitatis postulationes cælum penetrare credendum est, etiam et singularis uniuscuiusque in secreto oratio ad aures omnipotentis Dei pervenire non dubitandum est. Quapropter cum omni petitionis humilitate me ipsum et utrumque et communis otherum omni speciali singulorum, quantum mea valet apud vestram pietatem deprecatio, commendo orationi; ut per vestrae sanctitatis preces meorum catenis peccatorum absolutus, vobiscum, fratres carissimi, vitae ianuas ingredi merear (72, ll. 21-9).

Here, Alcuin affirms the importance of private prayer by citing Scripture itself. He praises personal and communal prayer in similar terms. Community prayers at the

144 ‘So, most dear son, train yourself in love, and remember to equip your life with the ecclesiastical Offices, frequenting vigils and prayers, and, exerting yourself in the study of reading by day and by night, seek Christ, foretold in the writings of the prophets, and shown in the Gospel’s authority.’

145 ‘If, as according to the Apostle, “the continual prayer of a just man availeth much”, then how great is that of the whole of a most holy congregation in Christ, whose pleas of peaceful unanimity, every day at the canonical Hours, must be believed to pierce through to heaven; and it is not to be doubted that even the individual prayer of each person in secret reaches the ears of Almighty God. On account of which, with all the humility of a request, I commend myself to your prayer, both to the common prayer of all and to the particular prayers of individuals, as far as my plea may prevail with your piety; so that, through your prayers of holiness, freed from the chains of my sins, most beloved brothers, I may merit to go in with you through the doors of life.’
canonical Hours ‘pierce through to heaven’, and the prayer of one person likewise ‘reaches the ears of Almighty God’. In this letter, it is particularly clear that Alcuin is discussing *private* prayer: he specifies that it is undertaken ‘in secreto’. Although communal and private prayer are different from one another, both create a direct link with God.

Such prayers also, as this letter shows, create bonds between fellow monks. Alcuin’s frequent references to private prayer are understandable, given that they are in the context of letters between friends who depend on one another for intercession with God. Therefore, like many of his letters, this one ends with a request that the recipients may pray for him:

> Iterum iterumque obsecro, ut mei nominis inter familiares vestros memoriam habere dignemini. Almitatem vestram pro tota Dei intercedentem ecclesia ipse deus Christus exaudiat vosque ad aeternae beatusin gloriam pervenire concedat, carissimi fratres (73, ll. 17-20).  

In the act of asking for the church’s prayers, Alcuin expresses his wishes for their eternal glory, indicating that he is praying for them too.

This willingness to pray for others in his letters is particularly clear in Alcuin’s letter to Charlemagne after the death of his queen, Liutgarda. The letter is addressed at times to Charlemagne himself, and at times to Christ, including its very opening. At one point, Alcuin writes in the voice of God himself:


146 ‘Again and again I pray, that you may deign to have the memory of my name among your household. May Christ God hear your kindness interceding for the whole church of God and may he allow you to come to the glory of eternal bliss, dear brothers.’  

147 ‘I do not want God to say to you: ‘O soul, what are you lamenting? Why are you bewailing my judgements? I have given, I have taken away. What do you have without me?’’ Cf. Iob 1:21, ‘Dominus dedit Dominus abstulit.’ ([T]he Lord gave, and the Lord hath taken away.’)
Here, Alcuin considers the prayer relationship from God’s perspective by adapting a quotation from the book of Job. It would presumably not be appropriate to put non-Biblical words into God’s mouth. The end of the letter is itself a prayer for Liutgarda’s forgiveness and salvation:

Domine deus Iesu, mitis et misericors, miserere illius, quam abstulisti a nobis. Exaudi nos per medicinam vulnerum nostrorum, quae pepedit in ligno, et sedens ad dexteram tuam interpellat pro nobis ... et anima, quae semper victura erit, semper dicat: ‘Laudabo Deum meum in vita mea, psallam Deo meo, quamdiu ero’ [Ps. 145:2] (326, ll. 13-15, 21-2).148

In this letter, Alcuin therefore not only offers to pray for Charlemagne, but does so within the letter itself. Parts of the letter are themselves prayer, and Alcuin uses Biblical language to imagine what God and the departed Liutgarda might say in prayer.

Spiritual reading has already been mentioned above as another way in which Alcuin exhorted his correspondents to devote themselves to God. Alcuin advises one pupil,

Disce ... sciens tibi loqui Deum, dum illius sacratissimas legeris scripturas, et versa vice te loqui Deo, dum compuncto corde oraveris ad Deum. Quid dulcis est quam hac vicissitudine frui cum Deo. Cogita illum tibi semper esse praesentem, et illius sacratissima praesentia te prohibeat ab omni peccato et inhonestate verbi vel facti (429, ll. 6-10).149

148 ‘O Lord Jesus Christ, mild and merciful, have mercy on her whom you have taken away from us. Hear us through the medicine for our wounds, which hung on the cross, and intercedes for us, sitting at your right hand ... and may her soul, which will always live, always say: ‘In my life I will praise my God, I will sing to my God as long as I will be’.’

149 ‘Learn ... knowing that God will speak to you while you read those most sacred writings, and that you in turn speak to God when you have prayed to him with an inspired heart. What is sweeter than to enjoy this interchange with God? Think of him as being always present to you, and may his most sacred presence restrain you from every sin and shameful word or deed.’
Reading and learning are therefore no less than direct communication with God, in which his presence is especially close. Reading can be considered either as a form of prayer in its own right, or as an inspiration for prayer.

Alcuin’s letters teach that spiritual progress involves making time for both the communal liturgy of the Hours and individual devotion to God through private prayer and reading. This message has important implications for the development of private prayer, because it emphasises that it is not enough merely to observe the Offices, or even to pray privately in an undisciplined way, but that all should follow a regime for private prayer and reading, which is precisely what some Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks provide. Communal and personal worship are different from one another, yet complementary. It should also be remembered that, even though prayer was said in private, it still bound a monk to his fellow Christians both inside and outside of the monastery. Finally, Alcuin’s association of reading with prayer is an important reminder that prayer exists in a context. The reading of saints’ legends or the Bible inspired and created prayers, and a few Anglo-Saxon religious poems could arguably be considered to be prayers in their own right. As Alcuin’s letter shows, the boundary between prayer and other genres is one which should continually be questioned.

2.1: Early Christian private prayer and the history of the Hours

Having introduced the private prayerbook traditions and Alcuin’s teachings on prayer, I will now turn to the monastic Offices and analyse how they were adapted for private use. As was shown in the Introduction, private prayer is built into Christianity as a part of Christ’s teaching, and this practice continued in the
following centuries. Thus St Cyprian (c. 200-58) offers private prayers for his correspondents, specifying that these are prayers made ‘in secessu’, as opposed to public rites involving many people:

Et nos quidem uuestri diebus ac noctibus memores, et quando in sacrificiis precem cum pluribus facimus et cum in secessu priuatis precibus oramus, coronis ac laudibus uestris plenam domini fauentiam postulamus (Epistula no. 37, ll. 15-18).\(^{151}\)

Following Jewish customs, early Christian communities also prayed at appointed periods of the day, as is evident from Acts 3:1. in which Peter and John go to the temple ‘ad horam orationis nonam’.\(^{152}\) Tertullian wrote that, although Christians are forbidden to pray on the street corners, they are still expected to pray in all places (De oratione, ch. 24, ll. 1-7).\(^{153}\) He also found justifications in the New Testament for prayer at the third, sixth and ninth hours of the day (ch. 25, ll. 1-8). These were initially, as Jungmann stresses, times for private rather than liturgical prayer, as is evident from the Apostolic Tradition, in which Hippolytus instructs his readers to pray at home at Terce, Sext and None (Apostolic Tradition, ch. 36.1-6).\(^{154}\) Indeed, Hippolytus’ work also ushered in a new mode of understanding for these Hours.\(^{155}\)

The third Hour was honoured as the time when Christ was nailed to the cross, the

\(^{150}\) See pages 1-2 above.

\(^{151}\) ‘And certainly, we pray, mindful of you, by day and night, both in the sacrifices with many people and we also pray in seclusion with private prayers. We ask full favour of the Lord for your crowns and praises.’ G. F. Diercks, ed., Sancti Cypriani episcopi epistularium: Cyprianus epistvlae 1-57, CCSL 3B (Turnhout: Brepols, 1994).


\(^{155}\) Bradshaw, Daily Prayer, 47-55.
sixth as the time when he hung upon it, and the ninth as when he was pierced upon it (Apostolic Tradition, ch. 36.2-6). Hippolytus also teaches that one should pray before sleep and at midnight (ch. 36.7-8). Early Christianity therefore set a pattern not only for prayer, but for private prayer, at specific Hours of the day, recollecting Christ’s crucifixion.

In the sixth century, the plan for the daily Offices in St Benedict’s Regula originated in the monastic tradition of Rome and from the earlier Regula Magistri. Benedict writes that divine service should be performed at the Hours of Lauds, Prime, Terce, Sext, None, Vespers and Compline (Rule, ch. 16.1-5). The basis of this sevenfold ordo for worship had been justified in De institutis coenobiorum (book 3, ch. 4) by John Cassian, who cites Psalm 118:164: ‘septies in die laudem dixi tibi’ (De institutis, 39, ll. 21-2). Because of this verse, God should be worshipped seven times a day, a custom which, he notes, comes from eastern monasteries (ll. 22-8). Like Cassian, Benedict refers to Psalm 118:164, and he justifies the night Office of Nocturns by verse 62 of the same psalm, ‘[m]edia nocte surgebam ad confitendum tibi’ (Rule, ch. 16.4). These verses would continue to be cited by later writers who sought to justify or explain the Offices.

---

156 This chapter begins with the instruction to read spiritual books at home and immediately afterwards gives instructions for private prayer at the Hours, which indicates how closely reading and prayer were linked. Dix, Apostolic Tradition, ch. 36.1.
158 Bradshaw, Daily Prayer, 136-40.
161 ‘At midnight I arose to give you praise’.
The *Regula Benedicti* is mainly concerned with the observance of the Offices in choir and does not contain any specific teachings on how to pray in private. However, it does make brief reference to the fact that formal liturgical worship could, and should, take place outside of the community. Chapter 50 states the following:

Fratres qui omnino longe sunt in labore et non possunt occurrere hora competenti ad oratorium – et abbas hoc perpendet, quia ita est – agant ibidem opus Dei, ubi operantur, cum tremore divino flectentes genua. Similiter, qui in itinere directi sunt, non eos praetereant horae constitutae, sed ut possunt agant sibi et servitutis pensum non neglegant reddere (ch. 50.1-4).  

Although the *Regula* does not give clear instructions for how this ought to take place, it is evident that private observance of the canonical Hours was not only allowed for, but required. Therefore, even at this early stage in Benedictine monasticism, the need for a private *ordo* based on the Hours can be seen.

### 2.2: *De institutione clericorum*

The influence of the Offices upon private worship took place partly through explanations of the monastic liturgy. The first full exposition of the liturgy in western Christianity was *De ecclesiasticis officiis* by Isidore of Seville, whose work was later used in the education of clergymen during the Carolingian reforms. As a result, the late eighth and early ninth centuries saw an increase in the number of new *expositiones missae*. One of these was Hrabanus Maurus’ *De institutione*  

---

162 ‘Brothers who work so far away that they cannot return to the oratory at the proper time – and the abbot determines that is the case – are to perform the Work of God where they are, and kneel out of reverence for God. So too, those who have been sent on a journey are not to omit the prescribed hours but to observe them as best they can, not neglecting their measure of service.’


clericorum, which was completed in 819. In this book, Hrabanus examines the ideals of the monastic life: the different grades of the ecclesiastical hierarchy, the sacraments, the celebration of the different Hours, prayer, fasting and the correct use of secular knowledge.

The second book of De institutione clericorum begins with the subject of the monastic Hours, which Hrabanus contrasts with the sacraments discussed in the previous book. Only to the priest is it granted to make the sacrifice of the Mass, but the Offices of the Hours are celebrated by the whole church: ‘preces et orationes generaliter sine differentia universae domino offerre decet ecclesiae’ (De institutione, book 2.1). This distinction between the sacraments and the Offices is an important one for private prayer. While an unordained monk or nun could not celebrate Mass, the prayers and psalms for the Hours and other liturgies were said by the whole community. Consequently, and importantly for Chapters Two and Three, these prayers could be adapted for private use.

Hrabanus begins his discussion of the Hours by explaining why they are so essential to the monastic life. Christ taught that one should not pray in the public streets, like the Pharisees, and yet both Paul and the Psalmist teach the importance of praising God and raising the hands of prayer in every place (book 2.1). Hrabanus resolves this contradiction by reading the verses from Paul and the Psalms figuratively: they refer ‘ad interna’ (‘to internal things’), so one should constantly pray to God, and raise pure hands up to him in one’s heart, by doing good works (De institutione, book 2.1). This is why it is necessary to keep the canonical Hours

---

165 Zimpel, De institutione, I, 16.
166 A summary of the text can be found in Zimpel, De institutione, I, 26-45.
167 “It is right for the whole church, generally and without distinction, to offer requests and prayers to the Lord.”
established by the Fathers: so that the church may fulfil the Biblical obligation to pray at all times (book 2.1).\footnote{Zimpel, De institutione, II, 250; Ps. 33:2; 1 Th. 5:17. Zimpel notes that, though chapter 16 of the Regula Benedicti mandates these canonical Hours, Hrabanus is here describing a situation which, at the time, was yet to exist in reality. This demonstrates the prescriptive nature of De institutione.} Similarly, this obligation is carried out by doing all things to the glory of God, by following the right way of life at all times, an argument which Hrabanus justifies by quoting 1 Cor. 10:31 (De institutione, book 2.1).\footnote{Zimpel, De institutione, II, 250. 1 Cor. 10:31: ‘sive ergo manducatis sive bibitis vel aliud quid facitis omnia in gloriam Dei facite.’ (‘Therefore, whether you eat or drink, or whatsoever else you do, do all to the glory of God.’)} Like Cassian and Benedict, Hrabanus justifies the seven day Hours by quoting Psalm 118:164; and, like Cassian, he refers to Daniel’s praying at the third, sixth and ninth hours (De institutione, book 2.1).\footnote{Petschenig, De institutis coenobiorum, 39, ll. 21-2.} The Hours are set apart for prayer so that, even though we are prevented from constant praise by the busyness of life, we can still worship God throughout the day (De institutione, book 2.1).

Hrabanus does not, of course, argue against private prayer – in fact, these chapters on the Hours are immediately followed by three on prayers outside of the liturgy (book 2.10-12), and in the second of these Hrabanus insists upon private prayer according to the example of the Fathers, because of Christ’s command to pray without ceasing (book 2.11). However, his argument in De institutione ch. 2.1 implies that the Offices have a higher status than individual private prayer. If the Hours exist as a way of fulfilling the command to pray constantly, then prayer outside of the Offices becomes less important.

After the introduction to book 2, the chapters immediately following explain why one should pray at each of the canonical Hours – Matins, Prime, Terce, Sext, None, Vespers, Compline and Nocturns – drawing on Biblical precedents, many of which are taken from the Psalms (book 2.2-9).\footnote{This is not the unusual sequence of Hours found in ‘Beatus igitur David’ and the Prayers ad horas. Waldhoff, Alcuins Gebetbuch, 230-4.} For example, it was at the morning
vigil that God led the Israelites through the Red Sea and that Christ also saved his people by rising from the dead (De institutione, book 2.2). Significantly, Hrabanus follows the tradition set by Hippolytus by relating the Hours of Terce, Sext and None to the events leading up to the death of Christ, but not to the same events. According to Hrabanus, Jesus’ passion began at the third Hour, he ascended the cross at the sixth, and he died at the ninth (book 2.4-6). So, for the sixth Hour, Hrabanus writes:

Sexta autem hora Christus in aram crucis ascendit, aeterno patri semetipsum offerens, ut nos a potestate inimici et a perpetua morte liberaret; atque ideo convenit, ut ea nos hora orantes et deprecantes in laudibus eius inveniat, qua ipse nos per suam passionem ad vitam aeternam restauravit (De institutione, book 2.5).

De institutione clericorum is, of course, far more concerned with liturgy than with private prayer. However, it has important consequences for the understanding and development of private prayer in the following centuries. Hrabanus’ work explains a traditional way of understanding the canonical Hours, one which maps the whole course of the day onto the narrative of Christ’s death and resurrection, bringing about a scheme of unceasing prayer as required by the Scriptures. These insights would in turn influence the observance of the canonical Hours in private prayer. Hrabanus’ distinction between the sacraments and Offices is also important: by stating that the sacraments are celebrated by the priest, and the Hours by the

---

173 See pages 50-1 above.
174 Zimpel compares these chapters to Cassian and Isidore, although neither is a direct source. Zimpel, De institutione, II, 254; Petschenig, De institutis, book 3.3; Christopher M. Lawson, ed., Sancti Isidori Episcopi Hispanensis De ecclesiasticis officiis, CCSL 113 (Turnhout: Brepols, 1989), book 1, ch. 19.
175 “For at the sixth hour, Christ ascended the altar of the cross, offering himself to the eternal Father, so that he might free us from the power of the enemy and from eternal death; and therefore it is right that that hour, in which he restored us through his passion to eternal life, should find us praying and interceding in accordance with his praises.”
whole church generally, he opens up the possibility of observing the Hours in private.

2.3: ‘Beatus igitur David’

While Hrabanus’ teaching was aimed at monks, Alcuin, in his role as the advisor to a royal court, adapted the Divine Office for the private prayer lives of laypeople, and his teachings on private observance of the Hours would later influence monastic practice.

The letter ‘Beatus igitur David’ evidently responds to a request by Charlemagne for advice on private prayer:

Sed quia uos rogastis, ut scriberemus uobis breuiarum comatico sermone, qualiter homo laicus, qui adhuc in actiu a uita consistit, per dinumeratas horas has deo supplicare debeat ... sed quia rogastis, dicemus breuiter quod sentimus (Alcuins Gebetbuch, no. 1a, ll. 13-14).\(^\text{176}\)

In this letter, Alcuin explains why it is necessary to observe the Hours. It has already been shown that Cassian and Benedict find a precedent for the Hours in Ps. 118:164. Alcuin likewise follows suit, regarding this verse as a ‘psallendi regulam’ (l. 2; ‘rule for psalm-singing’). Again, like Cassian, Alcuin notes that Daniel prayed to God at three set times during the day, while additional psalms indicate further times at which prayer should be undertaken: this results in a total of three night Offices and seven day Offices (ll. 5-12). He does not, however, link the times of prayer to the sufferings of Christ on the cross.

Instead of the monastic Offices themselves, under Alcuin’s scheme the reader is given a specific prayer programme to say in the morning:

\(^{176}\) ‘But because you asked that we might write to you, in a short letter, of the prayers by which a layman who until now has been in the active life, may be expected to pray to God by means of these enumerated Hours ... but because you asked, let us briefly tell what we know.’
Cum enim de lectulo stratus uestri surrexeritis dicendum uobis est. Dic primum: Domine iesu christe, filii [sic] dei uiui, in nomine tuo leuabo manus meas [Ps. 62:5]. Deus, in adiutorium meum [Ps. 69:2]. tres uitibus (no. 2a, ll. 1-3).  

This is followed by the incipits of a number of psalms, the Paternoster and certain preces, in the middle of which the reader is to stand again (ll. 4-14). The programme ends with as many psalms as the reader wishes (l. 15), and in many manuscripts is followed by the confession ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’ which will be discussed below.

What is evident from this letter and the accompanying prayer programme is that, although a layman would not be expected to take part in the Divine Office alongside monks, he could nevertheless keep the Hours in his own way, for the same reasons derived from the Bible. The verse ‘Deus in adiutorium meum intende’ (Ps. 69:2) is the opening of the monastic Offices (Rule, ch. 18.1), so lay worship is consciously patterned according to a monastic precedent. A prayer programme of this kind is significant, as it enriched a layman’s spiritual life with customs taken from monastic worship. While people in the secular world would not have had the time or inclination to dedicate themselves to the observance of the monastic Offices, nevertheless they could sanctify the different periods of the day by recalling Christ’s sufferings on the cross and by asking for God’s mercy. In this way, also, Charlemagne and those who followed him could share a little in the continual worship of God which took place in the monasteries.

177 ‘So, when you have risen from your bed, you are to say this, prostrate. Say this: ‘O Lord Jesus Christ, son of the living God, I will lift up my hands in your name.’ ‘O God, come to my assistance ...’ three times.’

178 ‘Et surgens incipiat uersum: Domine, labia mea aperies’ [Ps. 50:17], 2a, l. 11. (‘And, rising, may he begin the verse ‘O Lord, thou wilt open my lips’.)
2.4: *Psalmi de paenitentia*

The canonical Hours were centred around the recitation of the psalms, and the *Regula Benedicti*, of course, provides instructions for which psalms were to be chanted at which Hour, ensuring that the whole psalter was covered every week (*Rule*, chs. 8-18). Monks were, however, also instructed to read the psalms privately: the *Regula* specifies that ‘[p]ost refectionem autem vacent lectionibus suis aut psalmis’ (ch. 48.13). Even outside of the Offices, the psalms were clearly regarded as the best way of praying to God. Through them people could give voice to their needs, be this a desire to praise, to confess, or to seek aid in times of trouble.

The various guides to prayer which emerged during the ninth century show how the psalms, which the monks knew intimately from their weekly chanting of the whole psalter, created and shaped private prayer. Of particular importance were Psalms 6, 31, 37, 50, 101, 129 and 142, which were first identified as the penitential psalms by Cassiodorus in his *Expositio psalmorum*. These were used as the basis for the anonymous Carolingian private prayer guide which I have titled the *Psalmi de paenitentia*. According to the introductory rubric in the version of the text in Paris 2731A, these seven psalms are those

> QUAE UNAQUAEQUE ANIMA, QUAE DEO PLACERE DESIDERAT, PRO REMISSIONE PECCATORUM SUORUM CANERE DEBET (Alcuins Gebetbuch, no. 4a, l. 1).

Each psalm, marked in the text with its incipit, is followed by the *Paternoster*, a series of *preces* taken from the psalms, and a collect asking for forgiveness (ll. 2-51).

A prayer programme of this kind foregrounds the psalms and the *Paternoster* as the

---

179 “Then after their meal they will devote themselves to their reading or to the psalms.”


181 “Which every soul who wants to please God ought to sing for the remission of his/her sins.”
most important kind of prayer, and takes them further from their Biblical and liturgical contexts by using them as the basis for a kind of private liturgy.

2.5: *De laude psalmorum*

The power of the psalms to express one’s deepest needs to God is stated particularly explicitly in *De laude psalmorum*. In the course of this prayer programme, the writer comments,

nullatenus potes tua propria lingua nec humano sensu tam perfecte miseriam tuam ac tribulationem angustiamque diversarum temptationum explicare et illius misericordiam implorare quam in his psalmis et ceteris his similibus (*De laude psalmorum*, ll. 164-70).

The best way of approaching God and giving voice to one’s sufferings is through these psalms, which the monks knew from their daily observance of the Offices. Yet even though God sees and knows all, the reader still needs to express to him the difficulties which he is undergoing. *De laude psalmorum*, therefore, takes what was a universal part of communal worship and uses it as the basis of individual prayer. The structure of this text is as follows:

---

182 Black, ‘Alcuin and the Preface’, 53. ‘You cannot in any way, in your own language, nor in human thought, so perfectly explain your suffering, and the trouble and constriction of various temptations, and ask his mercy as in these psalms and in others similar to them.’
Table 1.2: De laude psalmorum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line nos. in edition</th>
<th>Section no. and incipit</th>
<th>Psalms and summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>83-140</td>
<td>Introduction: ‘Quia etiam prophetiae spiritus’</td>
<td>It is through the psalms that you can best praise God in your innermost heart and find the deepest form of prayer for every situation in life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-55</td>
<td>1: ‘Si vis pro peccatis agere’</td>
<td><strong>Pss. 6, 37, 101, 142, 31, 50, 129:</strong> to confess and do penance for your sins. Through these psalms you will quickly find God’s mercy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-70</td>
<td>2: ‘Si vis orare’</td>
<td><strong>Pss. 24, 70, 85, 69, 53, 66, 16:</strong> if you want to pray, you cannot explain your sufferings to God in any better way than through these psalms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171-86</td>
<td>3: ‘Si vis omnipotentem Deum laudare’</td>
<td><strong>Pss. 102-3, 104-6, 110-5, 116, 117, 134-5, 145-50:</strong> if you want to praise God and thank him for all his gifts from the creation to the incarnation, through these psalms you will offer him the sweet gift of milk and honey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187-201</td>
<td>4: ‘Si diversis tribulationibus afflictis sis’</td>
<td><strong>Pss. 21, 63, 68:</strong> if you are afflicted by tribulation or constricted by temptation, and it seems that God has abandoned you, God will help you to withstand the temptations through these psalms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202-10</td>
<td>5: ‘Si tibi praesens vita fastidiosa’</td>
<td><strong>Pss. 41, 83, 62:</strong> if you are living an exacting life and want to contemplate God and his kingdom, God will console your mind through these psalms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211-19</td>
<td>6: ‘Si te in tribulationibus a Deo derelictum intellegas’</td>
<td><strong>Pss. 12, 43, 55, 54, 30:</strong> if you understand yourself to have been abandoned by God in your troubles, with these psalms God will gladden you in your anguish.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220-31</td>
<td>7: ‘Post autem acceptam quietem’</td>
<td><strong>Pss. 33, 102, 144 + the ‘Hymnus trium puerorum’:</strong> to praise God in times of calm and prosperity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232-45</td>
<td>8: ‘Si volueris intima mente exercere te in divinis laudibus’</td>
<td><strong>Ps. 118:</strong> to occupy yourself in God’s praises, this psalm should be contemplated for the rest of your life, as every verse in it is the way of God, or his word or command.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246-61</td>
<td>Conclusion: ‘In psalterio solo usque ad obitum vitae’</td>
<td>Only in the psalms is there reading matter in which you will find all the different kinds of scripture, if you read them carefully in your innermost mind.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

183 Black, ‘Alcuin and the Preface’, 47-60. Black’s edition of De laude psalmorum is presented in parallel with an edition of Radulphus of Rivo’s Tractatus de psalterio observando, which opens with an extra eighty-two lines. Hence, the edition of De laude psalmorum begins at l. 83. I have based the psalm numbers upon Black’s interpretation of the psalm incipits listed in the text.
The defining feature of this text is its emphasis on the individual wants and needs of the person praying. Unlike the other programmes for private prayer discussed in this thesis, it is not in the form of an ordo which needs to be followed everyday by everybody, but rather a list of eight situations that readers may experience and the right psalms to be said on those occasions. In fact, seven of the eight reasons that the text gives for singing the psalms are introduced with the word ‘si’ (‘if’; ll. 141, 156, 171, 187, 202, 211, 232), and of those seven, four begin ‘si uis’ (‘if you wish’) or ‘si volueris’ (‘if you have wished’). For example, point one reads:

Si vis pro peccatis tuis paenitentiam agere et confessionem peccatorum tuorum et veniam rogare delictis ... (ll. 141-4).  

This treatise is not a fixed prescription for all people, but a guide from which one can pick and choose to suit one’s own personal circumstances.

At three points during De laude psalmorum, one is told to sing psalms ‘intima mente’. When in trouble, the reader is instructed: ‘intima mente decanta illos psalmos quorum caput est ...’ (194-6). Elsewhere, it is written, 

Si volueris intima mente exercere te in divinis laudibus ac praecptis et mandatis caelestibus, psalmum Beati inmaculati [Ps. 118] decanta” (ll. 232-5),

and the treatise ends by telling the reader of all the wisdom which is to be found in the psalms ‘si intima mente perscruteris’ (258-9). This repetition of ‘intima mente’ suggests that these psalms may have been intended to be read silently rather

---

184 ‘If you wish to undergo penance and confession for your sins and ask for the forgiveness of your sins ...’
185 ‘In your innermost mind, chant those psalms whose beginnings are ...’
186 ‘If you have wished to occupy yourself in the divine praises and precepts and heavenly commands with your innermost mind, chant the psalm “Blessed are the undefiled.”’
187 ‘If you have read [them] thoroughly in your innermost mind.’
than aloud. Other expressions of interiority also appear from time to time in *De laude psalmorum*. The introduction explains:

\[\text{Vox enim psalmodiae cum per intentionem cordis agitur, per hanc omnipotenti Domino ad cor iter paratur, ut intentae menti vel prophetiae mysteria vel compunctionis gratiam infundat (ll. 93-8).}\]

The phrase ‘intenta mente’ (with an intent mind) occurs another three times in the introduction to *De laude psalmorum*, all of which are within a few lines of one another (ll. 117-26). This phrase also appears in the section on the fifth reason for singing psalms, and reads:

\[\text{Si tibi praesens vita fastidiosa sit, et animum tuum delectet supernam patriam contemplare et omnipotentem Deum ardenti desiderio, intenta mente hos psalmos decanta (ll. 202-6).}\]

The heart, too, is to be employed in the singing of psalms: it is ‘compuncto corde’ (‘with a goaded heart’) that one is to sing when in times of trouble (l. 212).

Overall, then, *De laude psalmorum* testifies to the closeness of the relationship between liturgical and private prayer. It aims to meet whatever needs and desires the reader has, but it does so through the psalms, which were the central part of the monastic life, and in which all human experience could be understood. As will be discussed further in Chapter Two, there are signs that *De laude psalmorum* had an influence on private prayer programmes used in late Anglo-Saxon England, while the *Psalmi de paenitentia* would continue to be widely copied in later centuries, including in two of the three late Anglo-Saxon private

---

188 Emphases mine. ‘For the voice of psalmody, when it is made through the intention of the heart, makes a journey to the heart through that [intention] by the almighty Lord, that it may pour grace into the intent mind either by the mystery of prophecy or of compunction.’

189 ‘If you are living an exacting life, and your spirit delights to contemplate the celestial homeland and Almighty God with burning desire, chant these psalms with an intent mind.’

190 See pages 111-13 below.
prayerbooks.\textsuperscript{191} The popularity of these two texts indicates an ongoing trend for creating private devotional programmes based on liturgical sources, which would culminate in the late Anglo-Saxon prayer \textit{ordines} analysed in Chapter Two.

\textbf{3: In honorem sanctae crucis}

The Carolingian \textit{libelli precum} place noticeably less emphasis on prayer to the cross than the late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks do. In seeking understanding of prayer to the cross in this period, it is necessary to examine another kind of text, which, though not being a prayerbook \textit{per se}, was also intended to inspire prayer and meditation on the cross, which will be the subject of Chapter Three. It has already been discussed how Alcuin regarded sacred reading as a form of prayer in itself. Hrabanus Maurus’ \textit{In honorem sanctae crucis} was similarly intended to inspire prayer and reverence. Hrabanus’ concern with the theology of the cross is evident from his versions of the legends of the Invention and Exaltation of the Holy Cross.\textsuperscript{192} \textit{In honorem sanctae crucis} opens with a prose prologue which is useful in itself, as it indicates something of why and how prayer should be offered to God:

\begin{quote}
Hortatur nos lex divina ad deferendum Domino dona; nec excipit aliquem, sed ab omnibus spontaneam expetit oblationem, cum Moysi Dominus praecepit ita dicens: \textit{Loquere filiis Israel, ut tollant mihi primitias, ab omni homine qui offert ultroneus accipietis eas} [Ex. 25:2]. Vbi nullus excusationi locus datur, quando uoluntas prompta quæritur et non necessitas imponitur, sed uniuscuiusque proprio arbitrio relinquitur, unde ipse haec legens, animum deuotum impendens, offerebam has primitias, in laudem sanctae crucis expensas, quae columna est caelestis aedificii, in qua uidelicet constructa est domus Christi: ipsi arbitri interno qui me conspicit, non superba intentione, sed humili deuotione, quicquid sua gratia possum, in eius laudem uolo
\end{quote}


conferre, qui non secundum faciem, sed secundum cor iudicans, non aestimat quantitatem muneris, sed quantitatem deuotionis (In honorem, 17, ll. 1-16).\textsuperscript{193}

From the start of the introduction, it is made clear that this book of \textit{carmina figurata} is intended to draw the reader into prayer. Everyone must offer his or her own prayers to God of their free will. God values each person’s contributions according to their sincerity and judges the inner heart. Praise of the holy cross is of the greatest importance: the cross is a pillar from which the church of Christ is built.

The cross also illuminates the world and acts as a means by which the faith can be understood and God approached:

Nec enim arbitror me posse aliquid sanctae cruci decoris conferre, quae claritate sua cuncta clarificat; sed claritatem eius et maiestatem perpetuam, laudibus quibuscumque possum, conseruis meis praedico, ut saepius eam legentes ac sedulo conspicientes, nostram in ea redemptionem assidue cogitemus, Redemptorique nostro incessanter gratias agamus (17, ll. 17-22).\textsuperscript{194}

By frequently contemplating the cross, the reader can consider his or her salvation more deeply and praise God. As will be discussed further in Chapters Three and Four, prayer to the cross is different from, for example, confessional prayer. The latter is concerned with human sinfulness, and requires a person to enumerate and analyse their sins, whereas the cross is a symbol of God and can never be fully

\textsuperscript{193} ‘The divine law urges us to offer gifts to the Lord; it does not excuse anyone, but it requires voluntary prayer from all: wherefore the Lord taught Moses, saying thus: ‘Speak to the children of Israel, that they may bring firstfruits to me: of every man that offereth of his own accord, you shall take them’; whereby no place is given to excuse, when the eager will is sought, and no necessity is imposed, but it is given up from the free will of everyone. From which, reading this, employing my devoted soul, I was offering these first fruits, given in praise of the holy cross, a column which is of the heavenly building in which the house of Christ was built. By his grace, I want to give in his praise whatever I can – not with arrogant intent, but with humble devotion – to the inner judge who observes me: he who, judging not according to the appearance, but according to the heart, does not reckon the quantity of service, but the quantity of devotion.’

\textsuperscript{194} ‘For I do not judge myself to be able to confer something of holy glory on the cross, which enlightens with all its brightness, but I can proclaim to my companions its clarity and perpetual majesty, with whatever praises I can, so that, while reading this frequently and carefully observing it, we might ponder our redemption through it, and give thanks unceasingly to our Redeemer.’
comprehended. The *carmen figuratum* is a pictorial artform as well as a verbal one, allowing many meanings to be expressed at one time, and therefore particularly suitable for praise of the holy cross.

The *declaratio* to the first poem of *In honorem* states more clearly what the purpose of the sequence is. It depicts Christ standing with his arms outstretched, in the form of the cross (26); Hrabanus comments,

> Ecce imago Salvatoris membrorum suorum positione consecrat nobis saluberrimam, dulcissimam et amantissimam sanctae crucis formam ... ut quotiescumque crucem aspiciamus, ipsius recordemur, qui pro nobis in ea passus est (29, ll. 1-3, 5-6).\(^{195}\)

The very shape of the cross is made holy by the one who hung upon it. This explains, as Celia Chazelle argues, why there is no actual cross in this image: because it is meant

> to teach viewers that the crucified humanity exemplified the cross’s shape and thereby sanctified it.\(^{196}\)

‘*Crucem aspiciamus*’ is ambiguous: it could refer to ‘a cross’ or to ‘the cross’, and ‘aspiciamus’ could imply either contemplating the cross or actually looking at a physical object. Therefore the possible meanings are ‘however often we look at a cross’ and ‘however often we contemplate Christ’s cross’. The first interpretation implies that the mind should envisage the Christ’s cross within one’s heart, and the second the use of the image of the cross in worship. It would be wrong, however, to suggest that these two interpretations are exclusive: as the introduction to *In*...
honorem implies, the contemplation of the symbol of the cross leads to the contemplation of the redemption achieved through the crucifixion.

Hrabanus’ explanation of the form of Christ in this declaratio emphasises the importance of Christ’s corporeality. The versus intertexti in this carmen run around the edges of his form and outline it (In honorem, 26). Of these verses, Hrabanus writes,

Sunt ergo uersus quinque, qui in linea humani corporis speciem forinsecus circumdante conscripti sunt, quorum primus incipit a medio digito dextrae manus, et sic in indicem transit, postea in pollicem se erigens et per brachium dextrum ascendens, in ueste capitis finitur. Qui talis est: DEXTRA DEI SVMNI CVNCTA CREAUIT IESVS (32, II. 107-112).197

What is noticeable about this is that Hrabanus draws attention to the fact that these verses are in the form of ‘a human body’, without mentioning at this point that it is Christ’s body: corporeally, he was the same as any other man. Although Christ’s divinity makes him unique, he is unique amongst men, and so it is by his similarity to other men that he is able to effect their salvation. As will be discussed further in Chapter Two, similar devotions to Christ’s body appear in prayers to the cross in the eleventh-century Ælfwine’s Prayerbook.198

Consciousness of the speaker’s own body is particularly characteristic of private prayer, for example, in blessing oneself with the cross, or in confessions such as Alcuin’s ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’, which will be discussed below. In honorem sanctae crucis is especially relevant to understanding early medieval private prayer because it demonstrates the context out of which prayer grew, the

197 So there are five verses which are written in a line surrounding the form around the outside edge of a human body, of which the first begins from the middle digit of the right hand, and moves to the index finger, afterward to the thumb, raising itself up and ascending through the right arm, and is ended at the top of the head; which is the following: ‘Jesus created all things at the right hand of God Most High’.
198 See pages 110, 169-70 below.
contemplation of sacred images and the continual contemplation of one’s salvation through holy reading. Most importantly, it develops the complex relationship between the cross of Christ and the idea that any image of the cross stands for God’s immaterial presence in the physical world. This idea will be explored further in Chapter Three.

4.1: Confession and the Book of Cerne

The subject of Chapter Four is private confessional prayer in late Anglo-Saxon manuscripts, which is a fundamentally different topic from those of Chapters Two and Three. The liturgies of the Hours and of the feasts of the cross could be adapted and simplified for private use, as they were not sacramental and did not require a priest. Confession was different. Alcuin, as will be discussed below, insisted that one could not escape the wrath of God on Judgement Day without having confessed all of one’s sins to a priest. One’s own prayers would not be sufficient, and similar warnings are found in tenth- and eleventh-century English texts. On the other hand, confession was not clearly regarded as a sacrament in the same way as baptism and the Mass were. This is evident in the first book of De institutione clericorum, which concludes with a discussion of the holy sacraments. Quoting Isidore’s Etymologiarum sive originum, Hrabanus writes, ‘[s]unt autem sacramenta: baptismum et chrisma, corpus et sanguis’ (De institutione, book 1.24).199 After a brief explanation of the sacraments, the remainder of book 1 is concerned with a Christian’s progress through these sacraments: baptism, the catechumenate, holy anointing by the bishop, and finally the body and blood of Christ (book 1.24-34).

---

199 ‘These are the sacraments: baptism and chrism, the body and the blood.’ Lindsay, ed., Etymologiarvm sive originvm, I, 6.19.39-43.
Confession is not one of them, and is not discussed until the second book, after Hrabanus’ explanation of the Hours (2.13-14).

This thesis is primarily a study of how prayers were used by eleventh-century monks and nuns using the prayerbooks of their own era. References in later chapters to prayers which are found in the eleventh-century Galba Prayerbook, for example, are not intended to imply that the prayers were original to that prayerbook. My concern is with the prayers as they were used and found in eleventh-century manuscripts. Nevertheless, it is also helpful to examine these prayers in their earlier contexts. For example, Bernard Muir highlights six prayers which appear in both the Book of Cerne and in the Galba Prayerbook. These are mostly prayers of confession. On folios 48r-50r of Cerne appears the prayer ‘Ego humiliter te adoro’, with the rubric ‘Alma confessio’ (Cerne, no.10; ‘nourishing confession’). This begins in a style which is typical of the later prayerbooks, praising God through synonyms and repetition. For example:

Tú és rex regum et dominus dominantium. Tú és arbiter omnis saeculi. Tú és redemtor animarum ... (no. 10, 95, ll. 2-3).

After a long passage in this style, the speaker asks for mercy:

Ego té peto remisionem [sic] omnium peccatorum deus meus iues christe . Tú qui nemminem uis perire sed omnes saluos fieri et ad agnitionem ueritatis uenire [1 Tim. 2:4] (96, ll. 11-14).

---

201 This prayer will be discussed again in Chapter Four in relation to the Portiforium: see pages 261, 269 and 271 below.
202 ‘You are the king of kings and lord of lords. You are the judge of all the world. You are the redeemer of souls …’
203 ‘I ask of you forgiveness of all sins, O Jesus Christ my God, you who want no-one to perish, but all to become saved and to come to knowledge of the truth.’
The speaker then lists how he has sinned, initially with a long list of sins, but following that according to a list of several parts of the body. For example:

\[
\text{peccavi per superbiam et per malitiam peccavi per fornicationem et per gulam ... peccavi in manibus et in pedibus . peccavi in lingua et guttore ... (97, ll. 7-8, 17-18).}\]

This prayer asks God’s mercy repeatedly, and asks that God may not turn his face against the speaker’s prayer (99, ll. 1-2). ‘Ego humiliter te adoro’ is a private confession which nevertheless resembles the lorica tradition of the early Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks by listing different parts of the body, not that they may be blessed, but that they may be forgiven. However, in this prayer the list of sins remains merely a list, which is not merged with the lorica. This tradition of the confessional lorica was developed by Alcuin in his prayer ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’. This prayer should be seen in the light of Carolingian thought on private confession, and, most importantly, of Alcuin’s own.

4.2: Confession in the Carolingian church

The status of confession between the ninth and eleventh centuries can be best understood in the context of the changes which confession had undergone in the previous centuries. Alexander Murray has explained how, in the early church, the repentance which Christ preached was enacted by becoming a Christian in the first place, but, as Christianity spread and lapses became recognised as inevitable, the ceremony of canonical penance, performed by a bishop and only once in an

---

204 ‘I have sinned through pride and through malice. I have sinned through fornication and through appetite ... I have sinned in the hands and in the feet. I have sinned in the tongue and in the gullet ...’

205 For an example of a lorica known to the compilers of the early Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks, see the ‘Lurica lodgen’. Kuypers, Cerne, no. 4; Birch, Nunnaminster, 90-5.
individual Christian’s lifetime, was instituted.\textsuperscript{206} For understandable reasons, this was usually performed when the believer was dying, although Pope Leo I, in 459, allowed exceptions to be made for soldiers, whose lives would of course be most at risk.\textsuperscript{207} ‘Tariffed’ penance, which was repeatable and which prescribed different penances for sins of different gravity, appears to have originated amongst the Irish and was spread to Continental Europe by Irish and Anglo-Saxon missionaries.\textsuperscript{208} The earliest surviving mention of such penance was made by the Council of Toledo in 589, to whom it was a shocking novelty.\textsuperscript{209}

The combination of the old and new forms of penance led to what is now known as the ‘Carolingian dichotomy’: that public, unrepeatable penance could be done for public sins, and that the penitential tariff would be used for sins committed in private.\textsuperscript{210} However, Mayke de Jong warns against misunderstanding the difference between ‘public’ and ‘private’ sins and penance. While there was a distinction between \textit{paenitentia publica} and \textit{paenitentia occulta}, the latter involved public restitution in the form of alms and fasts, and so does not refer to secret penance.\textsuperscript{211} ‘Occult’ penance was simply that done for ‘occult’ sins: those which had not caused any public scandal or loss of reputation and did not require absolution from the bishop after public confession.\textsuperscript{212} The secrecy to which \textit{paenitentia occulta}
refers is therefore that of the sin, not of the penance, as *paenitentia publica* did: ninth-century concepts of ‘privacy’ are not those of the present day.\(^{213}\)

However, what is important to note is that public penitential rites and confessions to a priest involved confessing different kinds and different numbers of sins. Murray notes that, although canonical penance involved voluntarily confessing one’s sins to the bishop, tariffed penance reinforced the idea that the seriousness of the penance should be commensurate with the seriousness of the sin.\(^{214}\) Furthermore, tariffed penance required the penitent to think over each sin he or she had committed and to consider its circumstances.\(^{215}\) One could do no better than to summarise this issue using Rob Meens’ argument that, rather than trying to create a system for confession for lay people, the bishops of the Carolingian era wished to establish one form out of the many which existed at the time, caring more for how it was performed than how often.\(^{216}\) A similar pattern can be seen in the Anglo-Saxon church after the Benedictine Reform, in which two distinct kinds of confession had emerged: public ceremonies presided over by a bishop, and tariffed confession to a priest.\(^{217}\) It is in this context that private confessional prayers, to be said before God alone, were composed.

**4.3: Alcuin’s letters on confession**

Two of Alcuin’s letters have a great deal to say on the subject of confession, and his concerns reflect those of tenth- and eleventh-century England. His teachings on confession and penance are outlined in his letter *Ad pueros Sancti Martini*, which is

---


\(^{214}\) Murray, ‘Confession before 1215’, 57.

\(^{215}\) *Ibid*.


\(^{217}\) These will be discussed in full in Chapter Four, pages 230-41,243-51 below.
aimed at both the boys of the monastery of St Martin’s in Tours and at their elders, who were expected to guide them into a life pleasing to God. This letter is particularly useful for understanding Alcuin’s concerns because, as Abigail Firey has noted, it considers the sinful intent in the soul, which secular law had hitherto disregarded. In the Carolingian period, feelings of shame, which could provoke a sinner to conceal a sin or to repent of it, became part of his or her correction. In this letter, Alcuin emphasises that, sins will be forgiven, but only ‘si confiteri non erubesces et per paenitentiam purgare curaberis’ (Ad pueros, 50, ll.7-9). Although a sin may be hidden from others, God can still see it, and it is necessary to bring hidden sin into the open by confessing it, even though it causes shame.

The use of medical metaphors in ‘purgare’ and ‘curaberis’ is typical of Alcuin. In this letter, both God and the confessor are referred to as being like a good doctor (52, l. 12; 54, l. 4), and penitence is the medicine with which God treats the wounds of sin:

Si nullus est sine peccato, quis est, qui paenitentia non indigeat? Quae sine confessione vix fructuosa fieri valet ... Scit enim conditor noster fragilitatem naturae nostrae, ideo medicamenta paenitentiae vulneribus nostris perdonavit (58, ll. 21-3, 26-7).

Penitence on its own is not enough: it will not heal the sinner unless it comes after confession, and confession must be made to a priest:

---


219 Ibid., 179-80.


221 ‘If no one is without sin, who is there who would not need penance? Without confession, penance can hardly be fruitful ... For our Creator knows the fragility of our nature, and gave our wounds the remedy of penitence.’
Datur nobis a benignissimo iudice locus accusandi nostreipsos in peccatis nostris coram sacerdote Dei, ne iterum accuset nos in eis diabolus coram iudice Christo. Vult ut ignoscantur in hoc saeculo, ne puniantur in futuro (52, II. 20-2).  

It is only by revealing one’s sins to a priest in this life that one can escape the wrath of God on the Day of Judgement.

The idea is found, both in Caesarius of Arles and in the Irish penitential tradition, that minor sins could be confessed in private before God alone. However, Alcuin insists on the necessity of confessing to a priest rather than simply in private to God, as his letter to the monks in the land of the Goths reveals:

Dicitur vero neminem ex laicis suam velle confessionem sacerdotibus dare; quos a deo Christo cum sanctis apostolis ligandi solvendique accepisse potestatem credimus. Quid solvit sacerdotalis potestas, si vincula non considerat ligati? ... Multum offendisti Deum tuum, et alium non vis habere reconciliatorem nisi te ipsum? Confidis per orationes tuas salvari? (Epistolae, 216, II. 27-9; 217, II. 1, 23-5).

For Alcuin, there is never any question about the fact that the mediation of a priest is ultimately necessary for complete forgiveness of sin: otherwise, there would be no need for the sacerdotal office at all. Although Alcuin’s letters affirm the value of private prayer, it has its limits. Private prayer alone will not save a sinner.

Alcuin’s insistence upon confession, and specifically confession to a priest, is echoed in the concerns of the tenth- and eleventh-century English church. As will be discussed further in Chapter Four, the metaphor of sin as a wound or sickness which

---

222 ‘The most kindly judge gives us an occasion to accuse ourselves of our sins before a priest of God, in order that the devil may not accuse us of them before Christ the judge. He wishes them to be pardoned in this world so that they will not be punished in the world to come’.


224 ‘In fact, it is said that not one of the people wants to make his confession to priests, whom we believe to have accepted the power of binding and loosing from God and Christ, with his holy apostles. What does the priest’s power release, if he does not examine the chains of the bound man? ... You have offended your God greatly, yet you do not want to have any other reconciler, unless it is you yourself? Do you expect to be saved through your [own] prayers?’
must be healed with the medicine of confession was used to urge people to make their confessions in late Anglo-Saxon England too.\textsuperscript{225} There also, an emphasis was placed on the need to humiliate oneself before one man in this life, rather than before God and the whole world on the Day of Judgement. Finally, Alcuin’s insistence that a priest is necessary for confession, and that private confession alone is insufficient, raises important questions about the status of private confessional prayers in the late Anglo-Saxon church.

4.4: ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’

Alcuin’s uncompromising stance on confession to a priest might lead one to make the assumption that he disapproved of private confessional prayers. Nevertheless, he did compose the prayer ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’ for Charlemagne, which would become extremely popular in the following centuries.\textsuperscript{226} The private nature of this confession heavily influences how the speaker can confess his sins. ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’ is divided into four sections. The speaker begins with the speaker asking God’s clemency upon all his sins (‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’, 3a, ll. 2-3),\textsuperscript{227} after which he confesses to having sinned in all possible ways: in thoughts, words and actions (ll. 4-7). Following this is a list of all the specific sins committed by each and every part of the speaker’s body; this forms the main part of the prayer (ll. 8-26). It ends with the speaker admitting his sinfulness and making a plea to God to look with mercy upon him (ll. 27-33).

\textsuperscript{225} See pages 238-9 below.
\textsuperscript{226} Bullough has noted the paradox of Alcuin’s willingness to write a private confession while insisting in the above-quoted letters that confession to a priest was necessary. Bullough, ‘Alcuin and the Kingdom of Heaven’, 15.
\textsuperscript{227} Waldhoff, \textit{Alcuins Gebetbuch}. 
Examining the text in closer detail, it can be seen how the speaker of this prayer wishes to open up his whole heart to God and to confess all of his secret sins, in order to be completely clean before him:

\[\text{tu, domine, occultorum cognitor, qui dixisti, paenitentiam te malle peccatorum quam mortem, Tibi omnia cordis mei reuelabo archana (l. 29).}\] 228

This would be particularly important in a private confession, in the heart is opened before God alone.

The speaker’s sins can be divided into the traditional categories of thought, word and deed. 229 He has sinned:

\[\text{in cogitationibus pessimis, in meditationibus prauis, in consensu malo, in consilio iniquo, in concupiscentia atque delectatione inmunda, in uerbis otiosis, in factis malitiosis, in uisu, in auditu, in gustu, in odoratu, in tactu (l. 4).}\] 230

It is these five senses which act as the gateways between the speaker and the outside world, offering temptations to sin or the means of its expression. A similar idea is found in the following list of sins committed by each and every part of the body.

Some of these are rather inventive: for example, ‘humera mea \[sic\] ad portandum nequitiae onera subdidi’ (l. 16). 231 Allen Frantzen argues that listing so many limbs and organs and all the kinds of sins committed by them was especially necessary when confessing to God in private. 232 Confessions to a priest, he writes, had no need of a ‘long recitation of imagined offenses’, but private prayers like ‘Ego humiliter te

---

228 ‘You, O Lord, are the recogniser of hidden things, you who said that you prefer penitence of sinners rather than their death; to you I will reveal all the secrets of my heart.’

229 For the history of this division, see pages 263–4 in Chapter Four below.

230 ‘In the worst thoughts, in perverse meditations, in evil consent, in wicked counsel, in impure lust and delight, in superfluous words, in malicious deeds, in sight, in hearing, in taste, in smell and in touch.’

231 ‘I have put my shoulders to carrying the burdens of wickedness.’

adoro’ and ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’, which confess more sins than anyone was likely to have committed,

served an obvious purpose. They were a way to ensure that the penitent had confessed completely; and they were sure to impress on the sinner his weakness and his need to guard against it.233

So while not every user of this prayer may have committed every sin in this list, these prayers were intended to cover all possible sins, leaving nothing unconfessed, and to ask for total spiritual cleanness. Thus, the speaker concludes his catalogue of body parts by saying, ‘ut astra caeli atque arena maris ita mea innumerabilia cognosco delicta’ (‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’, l. 27).234 This emphasis on totality and comprehensiveness a particularly important concept for dealing with private confessional prayer, which will be explored further in Chapter Four.

As distinct from confession to a priest, in which the penitent was asked which sins he or she had committed, private confessional prayer expresses contrition for one’s overall sinfulness, as well as faith in God’s power to have mercy on all sin: he is ‘Deus inestimabilis misericordiae, Deus inmensae pietatis’ (l. 2).235 Yet, though the speaker inmoderately accuses himself of complete sinfulness, the prayer does not demand complete holiness from him, but realistically achievable goodness. The list of sins begins with the confession, ‘in membris singulis nature modum excessi’ (l. 7).236 The speaker confesses to having run into ‘malum sequendo libidinem supra modum’ (l. 8).237 Finally, the speaker concludes, ‘in omnibus membris meis me

233 Frantzen, Literature of Penance, 88.
234 ‘I know my crimes to be as innumerable as the stars of heaven and the sand of the sea.’ Cf. Gen. 22:17.
235 ‘God of inestimable mercy, God of immeasurable pity.’
236 ‘I have exceeded the measure of nature in all my members.’
237 ‘Evil in the way of lust beyond measure.’
reum intellego super mensuram’ (l. 27).\footnote{The prayer therefore consistently emphasises the importance of moderation in human behaviour, and the dangers of falling into extremes of sin. While it would, of course, to be wrong to take this to mean that the speaker is expected to be moderately sinful, this private confession refrains from demanding full perfection just as it does not claim to offer the absolution which only a priest could give.}

The list of sins and body parts in ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’ also indicates the posture which may have been used for prayer in Alcuin’s time. It includes the phrase, ‘[g]enua mea ad fornicationem potius quam ad orationem flexi’ (l. 10).\footnote{However, something different is suggested by ‘Mane cum surrexero’. This prayer is common to the Anglo-Saxon and Carolingian prayerbook traditions.}

In a passage asking God to protect each part of the speaker’s body, it includes the words,

\begin{quote}
Custodi pedes meos ne circumeant domos otiosas, sed stent in oratione dei.  
Custodi manus meas ne porrigantur saepe ad capiendum munera, sed potius eleventur in precibus domini munde et pure (Libellus Trecensis, no. 1.2).\footnote{Since these two prayers originated at around the same time, it would appear that prayer was undertaken either standing or kneeling.}
\end{quote}

As ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’ was so widely copied in later centuries, its concerns are very much those of late Anglo-Saxon private confessions.

The whole heart must be opened up to God, and what is secret must be made known

\footnote{In all my members I know myself to be guilty beyond measure.’}
\footnote{I have bent my knees in fornication more than in prayer.’}
\footnote{Libellus Trecensis, no. 1.2; Libellus Parisinus, no. 2.3; Kuypers, Cerne, no. 6; Royal, 209-10. In Trecensis and Cerne, ‘Mane cum surrexero’ is attributed to Jerome, and in Parisinus, Cerne and Royal it is marked as a morning prayer.}
\footnote{‘Guard my feet lest they wander around idle homes, but let them stand in prayer of God.  Guard my hands, lest they are frequently stretched out to take gifts, but let them rather be raised cleanly and purely in the prayers of the Lord.’}
to him. Whereas *In honorem sanctae crucis*, and other texts in praise of the cross, cannot express the depth of the cross’s glory, confessional prayers must list, enumerate and analyse the sins which the speaker may have committed. All sins must be confessed in order to ensure total forgiveness, and in order to ensure this, the penitent emphasises that his sins are nevertheless innumerable. These features of private confessional prayer distinguish it from the confessional manuals used by priests, and so appear to be what made private confession acceptable both to Alcuin and to those who came after him.

**Conclusion**

In this chapter, I have considered a wide range of evidence for private prayer in the ninth century, focusing on the three main themes of the Hours, the cross and private confession. Alcuin’s letters show that prayer cannot be considered as a distinct category of writing: it is sometimes indistinguishable from sacred reading or even from letter-writing. It is also clear that Alcuin placed equal emphasis on liturgical and private prayer.

Prayers for the Hours, and explanations of the monastic Offices, bear witness to the symbiotic relationship between liturgy and private worship. The Hours were originally instituted as times for private prayer amongst laypeople, but became the most important part of communal worship in the monasteries. By the start of the ninth century, however, the monastic Hours had been adapted for private use, as can be seen in Alcuin’s letter ‘Beatus igitur David’. Prayers for the Hours from the sacramentary tradition were also used to form private prayer sequences. The most important part of the Divine Office was the psalter, with which monks would have been thoroughly familiar. As the psalms were regarded as the best form of prayer,
their words were reused for every situation in which a believer might find him- or herself. Although the psalms were known from communal worship, the readers of prayer guides were encouraged to express their inner lives through them.

Prayer and sacred reading are linked again in Hrabanus’ *In honorem sanctae crucis*. In the prose parts of this work, Hrabanus emphasises the importance of sincere and voluntary prayer, into which the *carmina figurata* are intended to draw the reader. The cross is a mystery which cannot be fully expressed, and so it is best understood through the complex symbolism of the poetry, images and texts in Hrabanus’ work. This emphasis on the inexpressibility of the cross sign will be a major theme of Chapter Three, as it is a defining feature of prayers to the cross in late Anglo-Saxon manuscripts.

The nature of confession in the early Middle Ages is difficult to define. It is only by considering the Carolingian division between ‘private’ and ‘public’ penance that confessional prayers such as ‘Ego humiliter te adoro’ and Alcuin’s ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’ can be understood. Although confession was not necessarily considered to be a sacrament, the role of the priest was essential if the penitent were to be certain of forgiveness: Alcuin in particular warns against a reluctance to undergo a full confession. However, private confessional prayers were still written and used in the Carolingian church. Related to the *lorica* tradition of protecting each part of the body through prayer, private confessions emphasise the speaker’s general sinfulness, and the speaker is expected to confess to a wide range of sins in order that none may be left unconfessed. This tension between private and sacerdotal confession would remain in the late Anglo-Saxon church.
Table 1.3: The private prayers referred to in this chapter in my main manuscripts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page nos. above</th>
<th>Trecensis</th>
<th>Parisinus</th>
<th>Turonensis</th>
<th>Paris 2731A</th>
<th>Cerne</th>
<th>Harley 863</th>
<th>Royal 2 A. xx</th>
<th>Portiforium</th>
<th>Galba</th>
<th>Aelfwine</th>
<th>Tib A. iii</th>
<th>Arundel 155</th>
<th>Bury</th>
<th>Vesp A. i</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exaudi et miserere mihi</td>
<td>31-2</td>
<td>no. 58</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>no. 51.7</td>
<td>no. 28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qui coequalis, coaeternus et consubstantialis</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>no. 1.9</td>
<td>no. 16.3</td>
<td>no. 29a</td>
<td>cols. 449-50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no. 52.3</td>
<td>no. 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae</td>
<td>37-8, 74-8</td>
<td>no. 1.18</td>
<td>no. 2.4</td>
<td>no. 3a</td>
<td>no. 26</td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 1244</td>
<td>no. 17</td>
<td>no. 515</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prayers ad horas</td>
<td>40-1</td>
<td>no. 2.1-8</td>
<td>no. 1.2-8</td>
<td>no. 7.2-7</td>
<td>nos. 19a-26a</td>
<td>no. 65</td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 31</td>
<td>no. 67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ego humiliter te adoro</td>
<td>68-9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 10</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>no. 31</td>
<td>no. 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mane cum surrexero</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>no. 1.2</td>
<td>no. 2.3</td>
<td>no. 6</td>
<td>209-10</td>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>no. 67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

242 See also table 2.1.
243 This text is far more widespread than this table can show: see Black, ‘Alcuin’s Confessio’, 26-8. The Tiberius A. iii translation departs radically from its source after the opening.
244 Pulsiano and McGowan, ‘Four Unedited Prayers’.
246 See also table 2.6.
In the previous chapter, I examined attitudes towards private prayer in the eighth and ninth centuries, and the ways in which the Hours were observed, the cross was adored and confession made in private devotion at that time. In Chapters Two to Four, I consider how these texts and traditions were received by monks and nuns in the late Anglo-Saxon period, beginning in this chapter with the private observance of the Hours and other uses of personal prayerbooks, and followed in Chapters Three and Four by private cross devotion and confession. This involves the examination of a number of manuscripts with their origins in the Winchester monasteries, of which certain sections, at least, seem to have been used for private prayer.

This chapter aims to give an overview of late Anglo-Saxon private prayer: the features which distinguish it from liturgical prayer, the most important manuscripts in this field, the kinds of prayer which were transmitted, and their manuscript contexts. To begin with, I examine the features of prayer sequences which suggest that they may have been used in private prayer: the use of the singular grammatical number, the willingness to alter a manuscript to make gender changes, and the relatively frequent use of the vernacular language. After this, I give a full introduction to three eleventh-century manuscripts, all of which appear to have been personal compendia for monastic use rather than formal liturgical books, and
therefore contain sequences of private prayers. These are: Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, the Portiforium of St Wulstan, and the Galba Prayerbook.

Having narrowed down the field of research, I demonstrate that there was a difference between the various kinds of private prayer which can be found in these manuscripts: some prayers are specific to private prayerbook traditions, while others were derived from liturgical rites. Furthermore, different kinds of prayers were found in different contexts within the prayerbooks. They could, for example, be copied into blank spaces at the ends of folios, while other texts appear as simple sequences of prayers, or form ordines for private prayer which reached varying levels of complexity. Bernard Muir has drawn attention to these prayer programmes, noting that they are based on the liturgy for particular feasts but intended for personal use: by building on his observations, I argue that it was from these programmes that the Special Offices emerged, such as those of the Virgin or the Trinity, which had arisen by the early eleventh century.  

The influence of the Divine Office itself can also be seen in private prayers. For example, prayers attached to psalters indicate that the psalms could be chanted for the good of one’s soul or for those of one’s friends and family; moreover, the text known as the Old English Benedictine Office explains why it was considered important to observe the Divine Office at this time. In the light of these works, I present a brief source study of a series of vernacular prayers for the Hours in the Galba Prayerbook, which are translations of the Latin Prayers ad horas found in the Carolingian libelli precum, although the origin of these texts derives from the sacramentary tradition. Again, extending a theory propounded by Muir, I argue that

---

the translation and alteration of these prayers show the development of the private observance of the Hours in the eleventh century and beyond.248

Beginning with an overview of late Anglo-Saxon monastic private prayer and ending with one specific case study, this chapter acts as a basis for the studies of prayer to the cross and confessional prayer in the following two.

Evidence for private prayer

It is evident that the monastic life involved prayer beyond that which was required for the Divine Office and the Mass. This is implied in the Regula Benedicti, where the list of good works in Chapter Four includes the command,

orationi frequenter incumbere, mala sua praeterita cum lacrimis vel gemitu cotidie in oratione Deo confiteri (Rule, ch. 4.56-7).249

Prayer should normally be brief, although in private one might pray at length when inspired to do so (ch. 20.4). Monks were also permitted to pray alone in the oratory at any time (ch. 52.2-4). Furthermore, during Lent, they were expected to undertake extra prayer and spiritual reading (ch. 49.4).

St Benedict’s precepts for the practice of daily monastic life and worship were revised for the tenth-century English church in the Regularis concordia, a customary intended for use in English monasteries and convents.250 It arose from the 973 Synod of Winchester, and was intended to be part of the reformation of the

English church in this period.\(^{251}\) This document is therefore of great importance in
demonstrating which aspects of the monastic life were considered essential enough
to be restated or reformed. Noticeably, the *Regularis concordia* contains more
references to private prayer than the *Regula Benedicti*. Again, private prayer in the
oratory is referred to, but now includes voluntary prayer after Mass and Compline
(*RC*, ll. 87-91, 477, 600-4). Furthermore, when a monk rises for Nocturns, he is
expected to say verses and psalms on the way to the oratory, and, once he arrives, to
say the penitential psalms, interspersed with three collects (ll. 257-96). Indeed, the
*Regularis concordia* also incorporates time for private prayer, psalms and spiritual
reading during the day (ll. 366-73, 530). The *Regula Benedicti* and *Regularis
concordia* therefore both insist upon private prayer, but the latter takes a far more
prescriptive attitude to it. The compilers of the later customary were apparently
more certain of which prayers were effective to use, which suggests that the
reformers placed a greater emphasis upon private prayer than did Benedict.

Although it is clear that private prayer was required in the eleventh century, it
is nonetheless difficult to define. It cannot always be easily distinguished from
liturgical prayers, partly because the liturgy is very often a source for private texts,
but also because manuscripts do not usually differentiate between them, or regard the
two as separate categories. It is rare, for example, to find a rubric stating specifically
that a particular act of worship should be conducted alone.\(^{252}\) Nevertheless, there are
a number of criteria by which private prayer sequences can be identified.

The grammatical number and gender used in a particular manuscript copy of
a prayer can suggest its suitability for private use. The prayers to the individual
members of the Trinity, common in the *libelli precum* tradition, have already been

\(^{251}\) *Ibid.*, xvi. For more details on the origins of the text, see xvi-xxx.

\(^{252}\) Two examples of such rubrics will be discussed on pages 111-14 below.
discussed in Chapter One. Wherever these prayers occur, it cannot be doubted that they were intended for private use, as indicated by first person singular verbs and pronouns:

DOMINE IESV CHRISTE, FILI DEI VIVI ... te laudo, te adoro, teque glorifico. Ne me obsecro perire patiarias, sed per ineffabilem bonitatem tuam salua et adiuua me gratuito munere tuo (ÆP, no. 52.2).

Prayers in the first person would be inappropriate in a liturgical context, in which the community prays together. The presence of a large number of prayers containing singular grammatical forms thus indicates that the part of the manuscript in which they appear was probably used for private devotion.

A prayer does not, however, need to be derived from the prayerbook tradition in order to be classified as private, as the meaning of a such a text is determined more by its use than by its origin. Many manuscripts include prayers of liturgical derivation, such as long sequences of different collects that have been taken out of their ritual contexts. Often, these have been grammatically altered so that their plural pronouns and verbs have been replaced by singular forms. For example, the fourteenth-century Missale ad usum Westmonasteriensis preserves the following prayer, ‘Qui uiuorum dominaris’, as a collect for the Mass ‘Pro uiuis atque defunctis’:

Omnipotens sempiterne deus ... te supplices exoramus ut pro quibus effundere preces decreuimus quosque uel presens seculum adhuc in carne retinet uel futurum iam exitos corpore suscepit pietatis tue clemencia.

---

253 See page 35 above.
254 ‘O Lord Jesus Christ, son of the living God ... I praise you, I adore you, and I glorify you. I beseech that you not allow me to perish, but save me through your ineffable goodness and aid me with your voluntary gift.’ ‘Splendor et imago patris’, Beate Günzel ed., Ælfwine’s Prayerbook (London, British Library, Cotton Titus D. xxi + xxii), HBS 108 (London: Boydell Press, 1993). Ælfwine’s Prayerbook will be introduced on pages 92-5 below.
Bernard Muir identifies this collect as an analogue for an almost identical prayer in the eleventh-century *Galba Prayerbook*. However, in *Galba*, ‘exoramus’ and ‘decreuimus’ have been replaced with ‘exoro’ and ‘decreui’ (*Prayer-Book*, 104, ll. 4-5), transforming this collect into a prayer in which one person speaks to God about those for whom he or she has already prayed, and asks God to bring about their future glory.

While the prevalence of prayers in the grammatical singular can indicate that a section of a manuscript was intended for private use, this pattern does not always hold true, as some prayers contain both singular and plural forms. For example, the prayer directly before ‘Qui uiuorum dominaris’ in the *Galba Prayerbook* is a prayer that calls upon St Benedict for protection, which appears to have been taken straight from a liturgical source, and still contains the plural form ‘quesumus’ (103, l. 1; ‘we ask’). A prayer could therefore be made more suitable for private devotion by being adapted for grammatical number, but this did not always take place, perhaps because monks and nuns were not always skilled enough in Latin to make these alterations. Nevertheless, the removal of a liturgical prayer from the context of a particular Mass in itself suggests that it was being used for ‘unofficial’ purposes.

---

255 ‘Almighty and eternal God ... we humble people implore you for those for whom we have chosen to pour out prayers: whether he retains this present world here in the flesh, or whether he has already received the world to come, by the mercy of your pity may he deserve the pardon of all his sins, and to follow those who have cast aside this body to attain eternal joy.’ ‘Qui uiuorum dominaris’, John Wickham Legg, ed., *Missale ad Usum Ecclesie Westmonasteriensis*, 3 vols., HBS 1, 5 and 12 (London: Harrison and Sons, 1891-7). Moeller, no. 4064.  
256 Muir, *Prayer-Book*, 104. This manuscript will be introduced in more detail on pages 96-9 below.  
Alongside singular and plural word forms, grammatical gender also provides a clue to how prayers were used, and this can take place in two ways. A prayer can appear in the masculine gender in one manuscript, and in the feminine in another; alternatively, the manuscript copy of a prayer can be altered by a later user of the opposite sex, either by erasure or by interlinear correction. In both cases, it is clear that the users believed that prayers could be made more appropriate to their own use by adapting it to reflect their own gender. For example, _Ælfwine’s Prayerbook_ contains several prayers that have had feminine forms added by a twelfth-century user. ‘Te adoro, Domine’ appears in the Office of the Trinity and includes these amendments:

Deus, propitius esto mihi peccator/trici, quia non sum dignus, ego peccator/trix, leuare oculos meos ad celum (ÆP, no. 49.9).

This adaptation is not entirely consistent, as ‘dignus’ has not been altered to ‘digna’; however, it is clear that, in reusing a prayerbook from a previous century, a female reader has made the prayer more intimate by making it reflect her own voice.

When changes of gender and number are combined together, they provide strong evidence for a complete change of context, as can be seen in a collect for the

---

259 Emphases mine. ‘God, be favourable to me, a sinner, because I, a sinner, am not worthy to lift my eyes to heaven.’ Günzel marks the added feminine forms in two ways: some she incorporates into the text, others she mentions in footnotes, e.g. ‘[s]ucurrit e mihi, queso, omnes sancti Dei, ad quorum ego miser et peccator/trix patrocinia confugio’ (no. 76.51; hasten to me, I ask, all the saints of God, and to those in whose protection I, wretched and a sinner, take refuge). ‘Miser’, a footnote reveals, has been ‘changed into misera by a later hand.’ T. A. M. Bishop’s _English Caroline Minuscule_ includes a facsimile of this particular folio, revealing that the ‘a’ of ‘misera’ was inserted into the main body of text without breaking the line, whereas ‘trix’ has been added in superscript, above the final two letters of ‘peccator’ and the space after it. As far as can be told, these two kinds of gloss have been added by the same hand, but Günzel edits them differently on the grounds of where the gloss letters appear in relation to the original script. T. A. M. Bishop, _English Caroline Minuscule_ (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1971), 23 and plate 23.

260 It should be noted that not all of the feminine grammatical forms in _Ælfwine’s Prayerbook_ were added by a later user. The final sequence of private prayers (no. 76) contains some feminine forms despite having been written during _Ælfwine’s_ time: Günzel suggests that these may have been copied in from the exemplar, which serves as a reminder that the gender of a text does not always prove who is using it. Günzel, _Ælfwine’s Prayerbook_, 4.
Mass ‘Pro prelatis et subditis’, which has been identified, again by Muir, as an analogue for a prayer in the *Galba Prayerbook*. In the *Missale ad usum ecclesie Westmonasteriensis*, the collect takes this form:

Omnipotens sempiterne deus qui facis mirabilia magna solus. pretende super famulos tuos et super cunctas congregaciones illis commissas spiritum gracie salutaris. ut et in ureitate tibi complaceant perpetuum eis rorem tue benedictionis effunde (*Westmonasteriensis*, II, cols. 1143-4).

The version in the *Galba Prayerbook*, which contains a wide range of gendered forms, has:

pretende super me, misera famula tuam, et super cunctam congregationem mihi indignam commissam (*Prayer-Book*, 99, ll. 2-3).

This collect began as a prayer for a congregation on behalf of its male leaders, but was then altered for the benefit of a single female superior praying for herself, now a ‘miseram’ (‘pitiable’) servant, that she might fulfil her own duties as a good abbess or prioress. The prayer retains the status conferred upon it by its liturgical origins, but in private use its purpose changes. Interestingly, this particular prayer appears again in *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook*, but in that manuscript it is a prayer not for superiors in general, but for ‘famulum tuum, abbatem nostrum’ (*ÆP*, no. 76.6; ‘your servant, our abbot’).

One final aspect of the gendering of prayer texts is that it also indicates how manuscripts travelled between monasteries and convents in the eleventh century. It is noteworthy that all three of the prayerbooks which will be introduced below

---

262 ‘Qui facis mirabilia magna solus’: ‘Almighty and eternal God, who alone perform great wonders, extend to your [male] servants, and to all the congregations committed to them, a spirit of saving grace and, so that they may please you in truth, pour down on them the dew of your blessing.’ Moeller, no. 3938c.
263 ‘Extend to me, your pitiable [female] servant, and to all this unworthy congregation committed to me.’
originate from Winchester, or have a Winchester exemplar. This city was home not only to the Old and New Minsters, but also to the Nunnaminster, where the nuns would have had male chaplains to celebrate Mass for them. A large number of Latin prayers were copied into the Galba Prayerbook with feminine grammatical forms, yet all of the Old English texts in the same manuscript are specifically gendered masculine, except for one which appears to have been written for a woman and then adapted for a man. If the translations were made for the benefit of those who did not know Latin well, then it is evident that men as well as women needed assistance with Latin. Alternatively, this text may indicate a desire to pray in one’s mother tongue, which again would seem to apply to men as well as women. The presence of feminine Latin prayers, meanwhile, suggests that there were at least some educated women in the convent to which the manuscript belonged, and the Winchester prayerbooks provide an insight into the communication between monasteries and convents.

Another important sign of a manuscript’s private use is a relatively high proportion of texts in the vernacular language. Old English is not unknown in late Anglo-Saxon liturgical books: it appears in rubrics, calendars of the saints and in penitential texts for the laity. Furthermore, in the eleventh century, considerably more vernacular texts related to the liturgy appeared, and Dumville notes that, while there is no evidence that the liturgy was about to be translated for performance ... the testimony of the latest manuscripts hints that in time all of an English liturgical manuscript’s rubrics and directions (as well as much of any

265 This is Prayer-Book, no. 65, the Prayers ad horas, which will be examined in detail on pages 132-53 below.
accompanying computistical expositions) would routinely have been written in the vernacular.\textsuperscript{267}

As an explanation for this trend, Dumville offers a range of different possibilities including a general rise in vernacular literacy, changing attitudes amongst high-ranking churchmen towards vernacular literature, or perhaps a decline in the production of Latin texts that allowed writers to concentrate on English books.\textsuperscript{268}

Nevertheless, he maintains that church liturgy was not celebrated in English: it is at least reasonable to suppose that texts in Old English were used in less formal contexts, such as visiting the laity, teaching, or private prayer.

The \textit{Galba Prayerbook} exemplifies Dumville’s argument well, as it includes a number of prayers in Old English, all of which use the grammatical singular, and, where they are known to be translations, are generally very accurate.\textsuperscript{269} An example of this is the set of Latin prayers for the Veneration of the Cross on Good Friday, which in both \textit{Galba} and the \textit{Portiforium of St Wulstan} appear alongside a translation into Old English.\textsuperscript{270} For example, the second prayer begins thus:

\begin{quote}
\textit{Domine Ihesu [sic] Chrîste, gloriosissime conditor mundi, qui cum sis splendor glorie coeternus patrë sanctoque spiritui} ...

\textit{Drihten hæleng Crist, se wuldorfullesta middaneardes scippend, þeah þu sy wuldres beorhtnes efenece þinu fæder and þam halegum gaste} ...
\end{quote}

\textit{(Prayer-Book, 145, ll. 4-5, 14-16).}\textsuperscript{271}

\textsuperscript{267} \textit{Ibid.}, 130-2.
\textsuperscript{268} \textit{Ibid.}, 132.
\textsuperscript{269} These texts are the following: a translation of a prayer for victory over enemies; the rubric explaining a Latin prayer for making offerings; a list of prayers for curing the foot; ‘In naman þære halgan þrynesse’, a prayer of confession and forgiveness; the \textit{Prayers ad horas}; the prayers for the Veneration of the Cross; and a pair of medical recipes. Muir, \textit{Prayer-Book}, nos. 12, 13, 34, 65, 68, 70.
\textsuperscript{270} The \textit{Portiforium of St Wulstan} will be introduced on pages 95-6 below.
\textsuperscript{271} ‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’: ‘O Lord Jesus Christ, most glorious creator of the world, who while you are the splendour of glory, coeternal with the Father and the Holy Spirit ... Lord Saviour Christ, the most glorious creator of the world; although you are the brightness of glory, coeternal with your Father and the Holy Spirit ...’ An almost identical translation of this prayer and the preceding one, ‘Adoro te’, appears in the \textit{Portiforium}, written several decades later, indicating that the translation was not made specifically for \textit{Galba}. Hughes, \textit{Portiforium}, II, 20-22.
Although the translator freely alters ‘Domine Ihesu Christe’ (‘O Lord Jesus Christ’) to ‘Drihten hælend Crist’ (‘Lord Saviour Christ’), the text generally stays close to the original, and it can be seen in this quotation that the subjunctive ‘cum sis’ (‘while you are’) has been adapted to the vernacular equivalent ‘þeah þu sy’ (‘although you are’) without any difficulty. Furthermore, the translations of the Veneration of the Cross prayers indicate that, even if it was not used in public worship, the vernacular evidently mattered enough for someone to produce accurate, high-register translations of important liturgical texts. Muir draws attention to this trend, noting that some vernacular texts include new words designed to translate complex Latin theological terminology. As an example of this, I would suggest the word efenece (‘coeternal’), a striking neologism used in the quotation above: ‘efenece þinu fæder and þam halegum gaste’ (Prayer-Book, 145, ll. 15-16). Efenece reappears at the end of the same prayer, expanding the Latin ‘qui uiuis’ to ‘ðe mid þinum efenecean <fæ>der leofast and ricsast in annysse halig<e>gastes’ (146, ll. 4-5). Galba’s translation of ‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’ therefore suggests that the eleventh century was an era which not only saw the acceptance of private prayer and informal liturgies in English, but also placed a high value on translating complex theological terms into the vernacular.

272 Muir, Prayer-Book, xxiii.
273 ‘Coeternal with your Father and the Holy Spirit.’ The word efenece, or its alternative spellings efeneche, efenece, euenece and emnece, appears in thirty surviving Old English texts. While it can be found in fourteen of the homilies, it is equally common in glosses: it appears in thirteen gloss texts and one glossary. Such distribution may indicate something about the status of the word. Very often, efenece is used to translate the Latin coeternus in theological texts, such as a glossed version of the Athanasian Creed in the Vespasian Psalter (Vespasian, no. 3.6). On the other hand, efenece is noticeably rare in poetry, occurring only in Christ I and Christ II. This usage suggests that the word efenece was beginning to make the transition from technical theological vocabulary to being understood by the audience of the Old English homilies. Antonette diPaolo Healey, John Price Wilkin and Xin Xiang, eds., The Dictionary of Old English Corpus in Electronic Form (University of Toronto, November 2009), <http://tapor.library.utoronto.ca/doecorpus/>, accessed 03 and 04 December 2007; George Philip Krapp and Elliott van Kirk Dobbie, eds., The Exeter Book, ASPR 3 (New York: Columbia University Press, 1936), ‘Christ I’, 6, l. 122a; ‘Christ II’, 16, 465a; Sherman M. Kuhn, ed., The Vespasian Psalter (Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press, 1965). All textual references to Kuhn’s edition are taken from his Appendix II.
274 ‘You who live and dwell in the unity of the Holy Spirit with your coeternal Father.’
None of these criteria – the use of the grammatical singular, the removal of a prayer from its liturgical context, a willingness to alter texts for gender, and the presence of vernacular texts – is a simple indicator that a particular prayer is or is not a ‘private prayer’. However, the presence of one or more of these factors is a good indication that a sequence of prayers was copied for private use. Even though many of the prayers in private prayer sequences are of liturgical origin, I argue below that what makes prayers private is not their origin, but their context and usage.

An introduction to the eleventh-century prayerbooks

Although a large number of Anglo-Saxon manuscripts include private prayers, in this chapter I will focus on the genre of the eleventh-century private prayerbook. Arguably, there are no manuscripts extant from this period which were specifically intended for the purpose of private prayer alone. There are, however, three manuscripts which appear to have been personal compendia and contain sequences of various kinds of private prayer: Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, the Portiforium of St Wulstan, and the Galba Prayerbook.

Ælfwine’s Prayerbook

London, British Library Cotton Titus D. xxvii + xxvi, now separate codices, are believed originally to have been a single codex, and consequently have been edited as one volume by Beate Günzel under the name Ælfwine’s Prayerbook. It is a particularly small manuscript, measuring only c. 130 mm. x 95 mm. Indeed,

275 Gneuss, no. 380; Ker, no. 202. The unity of the two manuscripts is evident from five factors: (1) from their listing in the early Cotton catalogues; (2) the fact that each mentions their owner, Ælfwine, Dean of New Minster, Winchester; (3) the unusually small size which they share; (4) the appearance of the same two main hands in each; and (5) the changing, by the same hand, of masculine grammatical forms in each manuscript to feminine forms. Günzel, Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, 4-5.

276 Ibid., 4.
Barbara Raw pairs Ælfwine’s Prayerbook with the Galba Prayerbook because of their unusually small sizes, commenting that the earlier Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks are larger because they were probably used publicly.\textsuperscript{277} Ker notes that the obits attached to the Easter table are in the main scribe’s hand up until 1023, and that the prayerbook belonged to Ælfwine, Dean of the New Minster, Winchester, which can be seen from entries in the calendar and from a cryptographic note on folio 13 of D. xxvii.\textsuperscript{278} Since Ælfwine became abbot in 1035, the main text of Ælfwine’s Prayerbook dates to between 1023 and 1035.\textsuperscript{279}

The prayers in the manuscript are personalised by the naming of Ælfwine himself in the heading to a miniature of the crucifixion (ÆP, plate 1), and in the prayer ‘Qui es iustorum gloria’:

\begin{quote}
Deus, qui es iustorum gloria et misericordia peccatorum, pietatem tuam humili prece deposco, ut me, famulum/am tuum/am .ÆLFWINE., benignus respician (no. 76.28).\textsuperscript{280}
\end{quote}

As this quotation indicates, the manuscript had at least one other user and this must have been a woman, as a prayer using only feminine grammatical forms was later added to the manuscript (no. 47).\textsuperscript{281} Additionally, many of the existing prayers were glossed with feminine forms, presumably by the same woman. On these grounds, Ker proposes that the manuscript was owned by a woman in the twelfth century.\textsuperscript{282}

\textsuperscript{277} Barbara C. Raw, Trinity and Incarnation in Anglo-Saxon Art and Thought, CSASE 21 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997), 175, n. 36.
\textsuperscript{278} Ker, 265; Günzel, Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, 70, 109. Günzel has corrected Ker’s misstatement that Ælfwine was a deacon: he was, in fact, a dean (decanus). \textit{Ibid.}, 2-3.
\textsuperscript{279} Ker, 264-5.
\textsuperscript{280} ‘God, you who are the glory of the just and the mercy of sinners, I ask your love with a humble prayer, that you may graciously consider me, your servant Ælfwine.’ Moeller, no. 1591.
\textsuperscript{281} For the date of this prayer, see Günzel, Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, 3.
\textsuperscript{282} \textit{Ibid.}, 3-4; Ker, 266.
Although most of the entries are in two hands, this manuscript includes work by eleven scribes from the eleventh and twelfth centuries.\(^{283}\)

While a sixth of the manuscript is taken up with an incomplete collectar,\(^{284}\) additional folios contain numerous short texts, many of which concern computus, scientific knowledge and prognostics, while others focus on liturgy and prayer. Of particular interest are three Special Offices, two sequences of miscellaneous private prayers, a series of personal devotions to the cross, and an *ordo* for morning prayer.

A simplified table of contents (table 2.2), foregrounding the private prayer texts, can be found in the discussion of prayer sequences on page 106 below. Many of these private prayers have liturgical sources and appear in many other manuscripts, including the *Galba Prayerbook*.\(^{285}\) In addition, there are more extensive correspondences between the prayers in the collectar and other, more complete collectors.\(^{286}\)

As Ælfwine’s Prayerbook is not a formal liturgical book, it is important to understand what its purpose may have been. According to D. H. Turner, the role of dean could involve managing estates away from the monastery’s principal site.\(^{287}\)

With this in mind, Corrêa suggests that a portable service book may have been of use

---

\(^{283}\) A table of folios, items and the scribes who wrote them can be found in Günzel, *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook*, 10-11. Simon Keynes has argued that Hand B is in fact that of Ælfwine himself, to whom he also attributes the three miniatures in the manuscript. However, this evidence is too slender to make this argument persuasive, and it has been rejected by Catherine Karkov. Simon Keynes, ed., *The Liber Vitae of the New Minster and Hyde Abbey*, Winchester, British Library Stowe 944, Together with Leaves from British Library Cotton Vespasian A. VIII and British Library Cotton Titus D. XXVI, EEMF 26 (Copenhagen: Rosenkilde and Bagger, 1996), 112-3; Catherine E. Karkov, ‘Text as Image in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook’, in The Power of Words: Anglo-Saxon Studies Presented to Donald G. Scragg on his Seventieth Birthday, eds. Hugh Magennis and Jonathan Wilcox, Medieval European Studies 8, 95-114 (Morgantown, WV: West Virginia University Press, 2006), 97-8. See also Ker, 266.

\(^{284}\) Günzel, *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook*, 59.

\(^{285}\) Günzel provides a pair of tables showing the correspondences between the two sections labelled ‘Private Prayers’ and analogues in other manuscripts. *Ibid.*, 206-7.

\(^{286}\) These correspondences are also tabulated in *Ibid.*, 208-16.

if Ælfwine had conducted services in chapels on these other estates. Günzel uses the size of the manuscript, along with the fact that the collectar is incomplete and only comprises a sixth of the book, to argue that Ælfwine’s Prayerbook was never intended for use in public liturgy. Instead, the manuscript would have been useful to someone whose role involved so much travel, as he could carry it with him for use in both his private prayers and his supervisory role in liturgical worship. Ælfwine’s Prayerbook therefore appears to have been a personal handbook, containing all that Ælfwine and his successors needed for finding the date of Easter, participating in liturgical worship or praying in private.

Portiforium of St Wulstan

Another text with an interesting selection of private prayers is known as the Portiforium of St Wulstan and is believed to have been a compendium belonging to St Wulfstan, Bishop of Worcester. This is Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 391, a manuscript measuring 225 mm. by 135 mm., written in Worcester in 1065-6 from a Winchester exemplar, as Hughes deduces from the overlap in texts with the Galba Prayerbook. The chief contents of this manuscript are the Gallican Psalter, a collectar and the commune sanctorum, alongside a kalendar, hymns, blessings and prognostics and, on pages 581-681 of the manuscript, a sequence of private prayers. R. W. Pfaff has concluded that:

The variety of contents in this fascinating and as yet not satisfactorily explained book makes sense best on the supposition that Bishop Wulstan

---

289 Günzel, Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, 59.
290 Ibid.
291 For the use of the name ‘Wulfstan’ in reference to Wulfstan of Worcester, see n. 43 above.
292 Hughes, Portforium, II, v-vii.
293 Ibid., vi.
carried it around with him when he visited churches, and especially religious establishments, in his diocese.  

In this respect, the manuscript is similar to Ælfwine’s Prayerbook.

Thomas Bestul notes the similarity of the selection of private prayers to that in the Book of Cerne, remarking that Cerne or similar books:

must have been in active use by the monastic community there [i.e. in Winchester] during the eleventh century, and the impression is left that at Winchester no special need was felt to change or enlarge upon the inherited models.

The private prayers in the Portiforium are mostly confessional, with a number of prayers to the cross and some advice for how to pray when under persecution from enemies, both visible and invisible.

Galba Prayerbook

Another manuscript which appears to have been used for personal devotion is the composite manuscript London, British Library, Cotton Nero A. ii (ff. 3-13) + Galba A. xiv. Like Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, these are believed to have been originally one manuscript, which has been edited as one work by Bernard Muir, and I therefore refer to it as the Galba Prayerbook.

Ker argues for probable ownership at Nunnaminster in Winchester, on the grounds of the manuscript’s devotion to Winchester saints and its use of feminine grammatical forms. In terms of dating,

296 Muir, Prayer-Book; Gneuss, nos. 333 and 342; Ker, no. 157.
297 Ker, 198, 201. Muir also notes a reference to ‘Wentana’: that is, Winchester. Muir, Prayer-Book, xiv, 166.
Muir notes that the computational table in Nero A. ii corresponds to the lunar cycle for 1029 to 1047, and the table in the Galba A.xiv to the cycles for 1034-5, 1029 and 1040.298

The Galba manuscript, which comprises nearly all of the prayerbook, was seriously damaged by the Ashburnham House fire of 1731, to the extent that several folios are partially or completely illegible, and the binding has been destroyed.299 Consequently, some folios have been bound out of order and back to front.300 Muir’s edition places the texts in the sequence which was, as far as can be told, their original order, but using the foliation which was given to it when it was rebound in 1863.301 The folios of both manuscripts are the same small size, only 138 mm. x 103 mm., and for this and other reasons, Ker is satisfied that the eleven leaves from Nero A. ii were originally part of Galba A. xiv.302 Arguing against Michael Lapidge, Muir accepts this judgement.303

The genre of this manuscript is not easily classifiable. Its contents, which appear in an apparently random order, can be roughly arranged into the following groups: computational tables and other astronomical information; personal prayers; items relating to communal worship, such as collects from specific Masses; hymns, sometimes with interlinear musical notation; and miscellaneous texts, such as medical advice and Latin poetry. Many liturgical prayers appear in the manuscript

---

298 Ibid., xv. Although, of course, the composite manuscript shows signs of use over a longer period, this information provides a more precise dating for at least part of it.
299 Ibid., ix.
300 These are listed in ibid., xii.
301 Ibid., xi, n. 11. References here to folio numbers in Galba A. xiv use this numbering.
302 Ker, 200-1.
303 Lapidge notes that the litany in Nero A. ii does not name any prominent Winchester saints, and identifies it instead as a Cornish manuscript, as it includes saints venerated in British-speaking areas. He also questions why a manuscript would include the same prayer twice, ‘Domine Deus omnipotens’, which appears in both Nero and Galba. Muir, however, notes the presence of the British saints Petroc, Winnoc and Germanus in the Galba manuscript’s two litanies as well as in Nero’s, and argues that the repetition of texts is common enough in low-status manuscripts: Galba itself includes two versions of one text. Michael Lapidge, ‘Some Latin Poems as Evidence for the Reign of Athelstan’, ASE 9, 61-98 (1981), 84-6; Muir, Prayer-Book, xi-xii.
taken out of their original contexts and with singular grammatical forms. Furthermore, a number of items in the manuscript appear in Old English, including a series of prayers relating to the canonical Hours, and some prayers from the liturgy of the Veneration of the Cross on Good Friday, which are given in both Latin and Old English.

The lack of an obvious structure and genre to the *Galba Prayerbook* indicates that it was not a formal liturgical book. It is evident, from the way in which texts cross over quire boundaries, that the manuscript was originally a group of blank gatherings which were bound together into a book; Muir hypothesises that this was done because

> Someone in a position of authority must have thought that it would be useful to have such a book at hand for recording texts that might be of general interest within the monastery.\(^{304}\)

He also argues the *Galba Prayerbook* may have been used as a kind of exercise book for those who were being taught in the monastery or convent. This is evident from the fact that it was created during the early eleventh-century reform period, and from the poor quality of the script and Latin grammar.\(^{305}\) Since the book is not a high-quality text, it is unlikely to have been used in communal worship, so it may have been intended for teaching or practising chants.

Nevertheless, some of the texts found in the manuscript are written in good Latin, with few errors: the prayers for the Veneration of the Cross are an example of this.\(^{306}\) Since the first two of these prayers, along with their Old English

---


\(^{305}\) Ibid., xiii, xvii. Muir draws particular attention to five texts – three prayers to saints, a confessional prayer, and a poem to Æthelberht the Martyr – which are so error-prone that he generally leaves their faults unedited. *Ibid.*, xxii.

\(^{306}\) Ibid., no. 68.
translations, appear also in the *Portiforium*, it is unlikely that the translations were specifically written for the *Galba Prayerbook*, and these particular texts were probably not educational exercises like those referred to above. Muir accepts that the manuscript did not have one particular use, referring to it as a ‘pre-Conquest prayer-book’, related to formal worship, but not, in the end, a liturgical book: its lack of structure and free use of alterations suggest that it was for personal use. As will be discussed in this chapter, many features of the texts suggest their suitability for private devotion.

**Types of early medieval prayer texts**

Although some definition of private prayer has already been given in this chapter, it is important to note that it is not a homogeneous category. Different kinds of prayers exist, bearing different relationships to the liturgy and to other prayers in the same sequence. Some prayers have few directions for use, and their relationship to the prayers immediately following is unclear. Other kinds are collected together into prayer *ordines*, creating what appear to be nascent Special Offices. I will introduce four categories into which private prayers can be roughly, though not unproblematically, grouped. These share certain characteristics and appear in the same kind of contexts, and I have given them the names ‘short prayers’, ‘long prayers’, ‘liturgical prayers’ and ‘short liturgical prayers’. Likewise, there are at least five different manuscript contexts in which private prayers can be found outside of communal liturgies.

---

‘Short prayers’

The ‘short prayer’ is only a few sentences long, and derived from the prayerbook tradition, rather than from the liturgy. First, the speaker addresses and praises God, then makes a request of him, and the prayer ends with a closing doxology. These prayers can be found in both the early Anglo-Saxon and the Carolingian prayerbook traditions. They generally appear in long series of such prayers, with no directions for use, although some have rubrics such as ‘Oratio ad spiritum sanctum’ or simply ‘Oratio’.

‘Splendor et imago patris’, one prayer of this kind, has already been quoted in part.\footnote{309} Quoted in full from \textit{Ælfwine’s Prayerbook}, it exemplifies this genre well:

\begin{quote}
ORATIO AD PERSONAM FILII. DOMINE IESV CHRISTE, FILI DEI VIVI, OMNIPOTENS et misericors Deus, splendor et imago patris et uita eterna, cui cum eterno patre sanctoque Spiritu una est substantia, equus honor, eadem gloria coeterna maiestas, te laudo, te adoro, teque glorifico. Ne me obsecro perire patiaris, sed per ineffabilem bonitatem tuam salua et adiuvia me gratuito munere tuo, quem dignatus es redimere sanguine tuo, qui cum Deo coeterno patre sanctoque Spiritu uuius et regnas Deus (\textit{ÆP}, no. 52.2).\footnote{310}
\end{quote}

This prayer begins by addressing and praising Christ at length (‘Domine Iesu Christe ... teque glorifico’), before making one relatively brief request in relation to the speaker’s salvation (‘Ne me obsecro ... sanguine tuo’) and ending with a traditional formula (‘qui cum Deo ...’). The prayer has a rubric which explains what it is intended for, but not how it is to be used: there is no indication that it should be said while prostrated before the cross, for example, or in the morning, or after the

\footnote{309}{See page 85 above.}
\footnote{310}{\textit{Prayer to the person of the Son}. O Lord Jesus Christ, son of the living God, almighty and merciful God, splendour and image of the Father and eternal life, who with the eternal Father and with the Holy Spirit is one substance, to whom be equal honour and likewise glory and coeternal majesty, I praise you, I adore you, and I glorify you. I beg that you not allow me to perish, but save me through your ineffable goodness and aid me with your gift of grace, whom you deigned to redeem by your blood, you who coeternal with God the Father and with the Holy Spirit live and reign as God.’}
penitential psalms. It does, however, appear as one of three prayers to the Father, Son and Holy Spirit, which usually appear as part of a group including a further three prayers. These six texts can be found both in the Continental *Libelli precum*, in the early English prayerbook Harley 863, and in late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks and psalters. The strong association between these prayers, and the almost unchanging sequence in which they appear, can be seen in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Paris 2731A</th>
<th>Libellus Trecensis</th>
<th>Libellus Turonensis</th>
<th>Harley 863</th>
<th>Bodleian d’Orville 45</th>
<th>Portiforium</th>
<th>AEP</th>
<th>Arundel 155</th>
<th>Bury Psalter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qui consubstantialem</td>
<td>no. 27a</td>
<td>no. 1.7</td>
<td>no. 16.1</td>
<td>col. 449</td>
<td>no. 27</td>
<td>no. 52.1</td>
<td>no. 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splendor et imagina patris</td>
<td>no. 28a</td>
<td>no. 1.8</td>
<td>no. 16.2</td>
<td>col. 449</td>
<td>no. 28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no. 52.2</td>
<td>no. 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qui coequalis</td>
<td>no. 29a</td>
<td>no. 1.9</td>
<td>no. 16.3</td>
<td>cols. 449-50</td>
<td>no. 29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no. 52.3</td>
<td>no. 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aeterne et ineffabilis</td>
<td>no. 30a</td>
<td>no. 1.10</td>
<td>no. 16.4</td>
<td>col. 450</td>
<td>no. 30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rex virginiwm</td>
<td>no. 31a</td>
<td>no. 1.11</td>
<td>no. 16.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no. 52.4</td>
<td>no. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singularis meriti gratiae</td>
<td>no. 32a</td>
<td>no. 1.12</td>
<td>no. 16.6</td>
<td>col. 451</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>no. 51.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘Long prayers’

The second kind of prayer can be referred to as the ‘long prayer’. Examples of this genre are found in both the early Anglo-Saxon tradition and in the *libelli precum*, although they are more common in the former. Unsurprisingly, they tend to be

---


rather lengthy and comprise many different sections of praise and requests made of God. The literary style frequently changes during these prayers, suggesting that they may contain many prayers subsumed into one; furthermore, they make free use of liturgical and Biblical quotation. Like the ‘short prayer’, they generally appear in series of similar prayers, and may be introduced with a rubric, but there are few indications of how they were intended to be used.

One such example is ‘Qui in hunc mundum’. This prayer appears in the Book of Cerne and in several eleventh-century manuscripts: the Portiforium, Galba, the Bury Psalter and London, British Library Arundel 155. In Galba, it begins with a rubric promising that this prayer will protect the speaker from human and demonic harm on the day that he or she says it (Prayer-Book, 61, ll. 1-3). This prayer is seven and a half folios long in Galba and is, therefore, far too long to quote in full, so a short summary follows below. It begins by addressing Christ and using several Biblical allusions to recall his mercy (61, ll. 4-7). The speaker then asks for God’s mercy, accusing himself at length of being a sinner (61, l. 7 – 64, l. 1). He makes several requests for protection, all following the same pattern, for example: ‘Domine deus omnipotens, libera me de protoplasto satane’ (64, ll. 3-4; cf. 64, l. 1 – 65, l. 6). After this follow more requests for help to Christ, the Virgin Mary and the saints (65, l. 7 – 66, l. 11); a series of addresses to God, beginning ‘tu es’ (‘you are’; 66, l. 12 – 67, l. 2); and more invocations of the saints, angels and Christ (67, ll. 2-17). The speaker then accuses himself of a list of sins:


315 ‘O Lord God almighty, free me from the first-created Satan.’
Quia peccata mea innumerabilia sunt ego ueniam peto ad te, domine, pro peccatis meis neglegentiisque, pro uana gloria, pro concupiscencia carnali ...
(67, l. 17 – 68, l. 2, cf. ll 2-7).316

Finally, the speaker pleads to God for mercy, using Biblical and liturgical quotations and allusions (68, l. 7 – 69, l. 17). It should be clear that this prayer is distinctly different from the ‘short prayer’ ‘Splendor et imago patris’.

‘Liturgical prayers’
The ‘liturgical prayer’ is one which has been taken straight from an official liturgy, such as a collect from a Mass. It is normally relatively short, and may or may not have been adapted with regards to its grammatical number. Its presence outside of the context of a service book alone places it in the category of private prayer.

‘Liturgical prayers’ may have a rubric identifying, for example, the saint to whom it is said. Like the two categories of prayer discussed above, in private prayerbooks they usually appear in series of similar prayers with few directions for use. One example of this genre in the Galba Prayerbook has been identified by Muir as the collect for the dead in the Regularis concordia:317

\[\text{Inuen}•\text{iant, quesumus, d}<\text{omine} >\text{ an}•\text{me famulorum famularumque tu}•\text{o}•\text{rum lucis eterne consortium qui in hac luce positi tu}•\text{um consecuti sunt sacramentum} (\text{Prayer-Book}, 107, ll. 1-3).318\]

If Muir’s pagination is correct, The Galba Prayerbook contains at least two sequences of these ‘liturgical prayers’.

316 ‘Because my sins are innumerable, I ask mercy of you, O Lord, for my sins and neglects, for vainglory, for lust of the body ...’
317 Muir, Prayer-Book, 107, n. 1; cf. RC, ll. 294-6; Moeller, no. 3192a.
318 ‘We ask, O Lord, that the souls of your [male and female] servants may reach the community of eternal light, they who, placed in this light, have attained your sacrament.’
319 For example, Muir, Prayer-Book, 99-112 and 117-22.
'Short liturgical prayers'

The final kind of private prayer is the ‘short liturgical prayer’, usually an antiphon or lines from a psalm used in private prayer. Prayers of this kind are linked together by means of psalms and Paternosters, and sometimes have directions for use.

Ælfwine’s Prayerbook contains several folios of devotions to the cross which will be discussed in full below. Within these is a series of seven short liturgical prayers linked by the repetition of the Paternoster. It begins:

CVM HOC DICIS, PROSTERNE IN TERRAM ET DIC.
Ecce lignum crucis, in quo salus mundi pependit, uenite adoremus.
Pater noster. Credo in Deum.
O crux gloriosa, o crux adoranda, o lignum pretiosum et admirabile signum,
per quod et diabolus est uictus, et mundus Christi sanguine est redemptus.
Amen.
Pater noster ... (ÆP, no. 46.9).

These lines derive from antiphons for the feasts of the cross, and there is a clear direction for how to use them. As antiphons, by their nature, are so short, they tend to be linked together for use in private prayer.

Types of private prayer sequences and the development of the Special Office

Even in manuscripts which had the function of prayerbooks, sequences of prayers can be found interspersed with other kinds of text. Ælfwine’s Prayerbook demonstrates the different contexts in which private prayers can be found: added

---

320 See pages 108-10 below.
321 ‘When you say this, prostrate yourself on the ground and say: ‘This is the wood of the cross, on which the salvation of the world hung, come let us adore him’; ‘Our Father’; ‘I believe in God’; ‘O glorious cross, O venerable cross, O precious wood and wondrous sign, through which even the devil was conquered, and the world was redeemed by the blood of Christ. Amen.’ ‘Our Father’ ...’
singly to the end of a folio or in a margin; in series of prayers; in series of prayers which show signs of being organised into a specific *ordo* for private worship; complete *ordines* for private worship; and the formal Special Office. These five ways of copying private prayers into manuscripts illustrate the process by which prayerbooks take individual prayers out of their contexts, group them together, transform these groups into programmes for devotion, and ultimately produce Special Offices.

Ælfwine’s *Prayerbook* usefully shows the five different contexts in which private prayers can be found. In the following table I have shown each text in this manuscript which is related to private devotion, with the prayers which I discuss in detail indicated in bold type. Texts unrelated to private prayer are shown in italics and not listed individually.
### Table 2.2: A simplified table of contents for Ælfwine’s Prayerbook.323

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Folios</th>
<th>Text no.</th>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D. xxvii, 2-25v</td>
<td>1-33</td>
<td>Scientific and computistical material, calendar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26r-27r</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Three prayers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27r-64v</td>
<td>35-43</td>
<td>Scientific texts, divination, Ælfric’s ‘De temporibus anni’, Passion according to St John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64v</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>‘Ave alma crux’, ‘O sancta crux’, ‘Hoc signaculo sanctae crucis’ (followed by a blank, unnumbered folio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65v-73v</td>
<td>45-6</td>
<td>Miniature of the crucifixion, “Devotions to the Holy Cross”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74r</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>‘Credo quod sis angelus sanctus’ (12th century addition)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75v-85v</td>
<td>48-51</td>
<td>Miniature of the Trinity, Special Offices of the Trinity, the Holy Cross and the Virgin Mary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86r-93v</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>‘Private prayers’ (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. xxvi, 2r-v</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>‘Directions for private devotions’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3r-16r</td>
<td>54-68</td>
<td>Numerical texts and prognostics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16v</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>‘Prayers with Old English Rubric’: to be sung when cleansing the hands and eyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17r-18r</td>
<td>70-71</td>
<td>Boils medicine; decisions of a synod (followed by two blank pages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19v</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Miniature of Ælfwine with St Peter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20r-46r</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Collectar, including ‘Daily Prayers for Sinners’ and ‘Collects for the Day Hours’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46v-50v</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Psalms de paenitentia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51r-56v</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Litany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56v-79r</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>‘Private prayers’ (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79v</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>‘Charm for the finding of a thief’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80r-v</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Beginning of the Gospel according to St John</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Single private prayers**

The simplest way in which a prayer can appear in a manuscript is when it is added into a blank space between other items. One example of this in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook is item 44 in the table above, which comprises the three short liturgical prayers ‘Ave alma crux’, ‘O sancta crux’ and ‘Hoc signaculo sanctae crucis’, combined into a single prayer.324 Since the prayer directly follows the Passion according to St John, and the next folio and recto are blank, it is reasonable to assume that the scribe intended the text to fill some blank space. In other

323 This is based on Günzel’s table of contents and uses the text names and numbers from her edition. Günzel, Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, 10-11.
324 For their appearance separately in the Portiforium, see pages 114-5 below.
manuscripts, prayers are added into the margins, often at a considerably later date, as in the case of the tenth-century prayers in the *Royal Prayerbook*. Such prayers do not usually appear to be intended as part of a programme for private devotion.

**Series of private prayers**

Alternatively, prayers can be grouped together in a section of a manuscript lasting for several folios. In *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook*, this can be seen in items 52 and 76. These are a pair of long series of private prayers, mostly of the kinds defined above as ‘short’ or ‘liturgical’ prayers. Many of these are headed by rubrics such as ‘Collecta’ or, more rarely, a rubric explaining why it is to be used, such as ‘ORATIO AD SVFFRAGIA SANCTI POSTVLANDA BENEDICTI’ (*ÆP*, no. 52.11). Within a series of this kind, some prayers may be clustered together according to their theme (for example, no. 76.32-43, which are for the forgiveness of the dead), suggesting that the compiler was thinking about how his or her material could be organised. Otherwise, however, there are no further indications for how such prayers should be used. By contrast, the eleventh-century prayerbooks also contain a number of fully-developed programmes of private prayer, and occasionally they show signs of how these may have developed from simple series such as items 52 and 76.

---


326 ‘Prayer for asking the help of St Benedict.’

327 The prayers for the dead begin with the rubric ‘Pro defvnetis’ (*ÆP*, no. 76.32; ‘for the dead’), and ask for the forgiveness and salvation of the speaker’s fellow monks and nuns, parents and friends (e.g. no. 76.39). In some of these prayers, the speaker has the opportunity to pray for individuals by name: ‘miserere anime famuli tui .N.’ (no. 76.35; ‘have mercy on the soul of your servant [name]’).
Prayer sequences: the development of programmes for private prayer

One item in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, which Günzel titles ‘Devotions to the Holy Cross’ (no. 46), is worthy of particularly close examination. It appears to represent a halfway stage between the simple sequence of prayers and the fully-developed private prayer programmes which will be discussed afterwards. Table 2.3 presents a general overview of the prayers within these folios. The bold text and dotted lines indicate sections which appear to have been usable as a programme for private devotion in their own right, as will be argued below.
Table 2.3: Ælfwine’s ‘Devotions to the Holy Cross’, Cotton Titus D. xxvii, ff. 66r-73v.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Rubrics</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46.1-7</td>
<td>‘SI VIS ORARE ANTE CRVCIFIXUM HOS PSALMOS CANTA. AD PEDEM DEXTRVM’, etc., ‘PRECES’</td>
<td>A psalm and a prayer for each one of seven parts of Christ’s body: right foot, left foot, right hand, left hand, mouth, chest and ears</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>‘PRECES’</td>
<td>Biblical verses, with the refrain ‘Domine, miserere nobis’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>‘CVM HOC DICIS, PROSTERNE IN TERRAM ET DIC’</td>
<td>Antiphons and a prayer, linked by Paternosters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>‘Tuam crucem adoramus’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.11</td>
<td>‘ORATIO’</td>
<td>‘Ave, crux gloriosissima’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.12</td>
<td>‘HAE SVNT .III. CAVAE QVIBVS SANCTA CRVX ADORATVR’</td>
<td>Four reasons for adoring the cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.13</td>
<td>‘ORATIO AD CRVCEM CVM SEPTEM PETITIONIBVS’</td>
<td>‘Pro sancta cruce tua’ (a simple lorica for the protection of seven parts of the body)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.14</td>
<td>‘ANTE CRVCEM DOMINI DEPRECATIO SANCTA LEGENDA’</td>
<td>‘Per gloriam et uirtutem’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.15</td>
<td>‘PASSIO HIC DOMINI BREVITER CONSCRIPTA TENETVR. AD SANCTAMQVE CRVCEM. BONA HIC ORATIO CONSTAT’</td>
<td>‘Deus, qui uoluisti pro redemptione mundi’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.16</td>
<td>‘ORATIO IN .I. MANE AD CRVCEM’</td>
<td>Psalm 5, Gloria patri, Kyrie, Paternoster, Creed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.17</td>
<td>‘PRECES’</td>
<td>Psalm preces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.18</td>
<td>‘COLLECTA’</td>
<td>‘Respice, quesumus’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.19</td>
<td>‘ALIA’</td>
<td>‘Gregem tuum, quesumus’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.20</td>
<td>‘COLLECTA’</td>
<td>‘Adesto familie tue’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.21</td>
<td>‘ORATIO’</td>
<td>‘Obserco te, Domine Iesu Christe’ (lorica for the protection of eight parts of the body) and a final blessing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

328 I use Günzel’s numbering for each item.
329 ‘If you wish to pray before a crucifix, chant these psalms. To the right foot ...’
330 ‘When you say this, prostrate yourself on the ground and say: ...’
331 ‘These are four reasons for which the holy cross should be adored.’
332 ‘Prayer to the cross with seven petitions.’
333 ‘A holy prayer to be read before the cross of the Lord.’
334 ‘The passion of the Lord is preserved briefly written here, and to the holy cross. A good prayer is found here.’
335 ‘Prayer in the early morning to the cross.’
These eight folios contain a number of prayers of the kind which I have designated as ‘short’ or ‘short liturgical’. However, it can be seen from the table above that nearly all of the texts have identifying rubrics or directions for use. It is therefore something more than a simple series of prayers, and yet it is not altogether clear that the entire text was intended to be used together. It may instead be that this item encompasses several short sequences of prayers, any one of which could be separated from the others and used on its own as a complete programme for private devotion. For example, immediately following the miniature of the crucifixion (ÆP, no. 45) is the rubric, ‘SI VIS ORARE ANTE CRVCIFIXUM HOS PSALMOS CANTA’, followed by antiphons to be said to seven named parts of Christ’s body (no. 46.1-7).336 These seven prayers could stand alone, separate from the rest of the collection.

Similarly, towards the end of the section, appears the rubric ‘ORATIO IN I. MANE AD CRVCEM’,337 followed by a psalm, the Gloria Patri, Kyrie eleison, Paternoster, Creed, some preces, three collects, a short lorica and a final blessing before the end of the text (no. 46.16-21). It is not clear whether the rubric was intended to refer to all of the following prayers, but it is arguable that items 46.16-21 could have formed an act of devotion to the cross on their own. In these folios, prayers have been joined together with psalms and Paternosters as a formal act of private worship, which will be discussed further in Chapter Three (pages 194-203 below).


337 ‘Prayer in the early morning to the cross.’
The complete private prayer programme (1)

Transitional prayer sequences like Ælfwine’s devotions to the cross appear to have evolved into complete, formalised acts of private worship, giving detailed descriptions of how, when and why to use them. Two particularly good examples can be found in late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks: the ‘Directions for Private Devotions’ in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook and an ordo for demonic persecution in the Portiforium. The ‘Directions’ are as follows:

[1] Ælce sundæg heoð þæt ðære þrynnesse naman, þæt is fæder 7 sunu 7 se halga gast.


[4] 7 cwæþ ðonne God ælmihtig, ‘For þinre miclan mildheortnesse 7 for ðissa godes worda mægne, miltsa me, 7 syle me minra gedonra synna forgylfnesse, 7 ðara toweardra gescildnessa, 7 þine bletsunga to eallum þingum 7 hru minre sawle reste on ðam ecan life 7 a ðine miltse.’

[5] 7 geþenc ælce frigedæge, þæt ðu strecce þe on eorðan godes þances, 7 sing ‘DEVS misereatur nostri.’ [Ps. 66]


[7] 7 geþenc þæt he ðrowode on þone dæg micel for eall mancyn.

[8] Ne meg ænig mann on his agen gehæode þa geswinc 7 þara costnunga nearonessa, þe him onbecumað, God sce wulfremedlice areccan, ne his mildheortnesse biddan, swa he meg mid þillicum sealnum 7 mid oþrum swilcum.

[9] Gyf þu ælce dæge þine tidsangas wel asingst, ne þæart ðu næfre to helle, 7 eac on þisse worulde þu hæfst þe gedefe lif.

[10] 7 gyf ðu on hwilcum earfeðum byst 7 to Gode clyespe, he ðe miltsað 7 eac típað, þonne þu hine bitsð. Amen. (ÆP, no. 53). 338

---

338 ‘(1) Every Sunday, pray in the name of the Trinity, that is the Father, Son and the Holy Spirit. (2) And sing ‘Benedicite’, ‘Glory to God in the Highest’, ‘I believe in God’ and ‘Our Father’ to the praise of Christ; then all the week will go the better for you. (3) You could get used to singing it every day, when you first wake up. (4) And then say to God Almighty, ‘For your great mercy, and for the power of these words of God, have mercy on me, and grant me forgiveness of the sins I have committed, and protection against future ones, and your blessings in all things, and especially my soul’s rest in eternal life, and your mercy forever.’ (5) And every Friday, think to stretch yourself out onto the earth with thanks to God, and sing ‘God have mercy on us’. (6) And do this secretly, where you are alone. (7) And think that he suffered greatly on that day for all mankind. (8) No man can tell God so effectively, in his own language, of the hardship and oppression of the temptations which come to him, nor ask his mercy, as he can with these psalms and with other such. (9) If you sing your Hours well every day, you need never go to hell, and in this world too you will have a good life. (10) And if you are in any kind of trouble and call to God, he will have mercy on you and also give to you, when you ask him. Amen.’ This text has been written in a hand which Ker suggests may date from after Ælfwine’s use of the prayerbook. Ker, 266.
This text outlines a complete programme of morning devotions: an invocation of the Trinity, the *Benedicite, Gloria in excelsis, Creed* and *Paternoster*, a vernacular penitential prayer; and, on Fridays, a period of reflection followed by a short psalm of penitence which is nevertheless not one of the usual seven. The reader is told when to pray and in which posture, what he or she should think about, and that it is important to pray alone. Indeed, this *ordo* also explains why it is important to pray in private: it will lead to blessings this week and in this life generally, as well as in heaven; furthermore, God will forgive the reader’s sins and grant what he or she prays for. Unlike Ælfwine’s ‘Devotions to the Holy Cross’, this text allows for no ambiguity in how it was expected to be used. The beginning and ending of this text, and its usage, are indisputable.

Although Günzel reports no analogues for the ‘Directions’, it is important to note that they have a direct link to the Carolingian tradition of private prayer: specifically, to the *De laude psalmorum* attributed to Alcuin, which was discussed on pages 39 and 59-63 above. The reader of the ‘Directions’ is taught:

> Ne mæg ænig mann on his agen geþeo þa geswinc 7 þara costnunga nearonessa, þe him onbecumað, Gode swa fulfremedlice areccan, ne his mildheortnesse biddan, swa he mæg mid þillicum sealmum 7 mid oþrum swilcum (*ÆP*, no. 53.8).\(^{339}\)

The section of *De laude psalmorum* on the second use of the psalms includes the words,

> nullatenus potes tua propria lingua nec humano sensu tam perfecte miseriam tuam ac tribulationem angustiamque diversarum temptationum explicare et

---

\(^{339}\) ‘No man can explain to God so perfectly, in his own language, of the hardship and constriction of the temptations which come to him, nor ask his mercy, as he can with these psalms and with such others.’
illius misericordiam implorare quam in his psalmis et ceteris his similibus (De laude psalmorum, ll. 164-70).  

To date, it has not been observed that the Old English advice is almost an exact translation from the Carolingian text, apart from the absence of ‘nec humano sensu’ and the change from the second to the third person. The grammatical change is puzzling, considering that the rest of the Old English ‘Directions’ are in the second person: it implies that this advice is a kind of quotation from another, more authoritative source. De laude psalmorum therefore had a clear impact upon the concept of private devotion in later centuries. It shows how central the psalms were to creating a bond of prayer between the monk and God. Despite God’s complete knowledge of the speaker’s sufferings and temptations, he is expected to express to him, in the words of these most special prayers and psalms, his struggles with temptation in the present and need for mercy in the future.

A similarly detailed ordo for private prayer can be found in the Portiforium of St Wulstan and is to be said in cases of demonic persecution. This text was added to the manuscript in a hand of the eleventh or twelfth century, and while it is too long to be quoted in full, a rough outline is provided below:

[1] GYF DE DYNEC ἢæt ðine fynd ðwyrlice ymbe ðe ðrydian ðonne gang þu on gelimplicere stowe · 7 þe ða halgan rode to gescyldnesse gecii 7 asete þe aðenedum earmum 7 cweð þus ærest.

---

340 ‘You cannot in any way, in your own language, nor in human thought, so perfectly explain your suffering, and the trouble and constriction of various temptations, and ask his mercy as in these psalms and in others similar to them.’ Jonathan Black, ed., ‘Psalm Uses in Carolingian Prayerbooks: Alcuin and the preface to De psalmorum usu’, Mediaeval Studies 64, 1-60 (2002): 53.

The meaning of the cross sign and the prayers themselves will be examined further in Chapter Three. What is important here is the way in which these prayers have been consciously arranged as an ordo for specifically private use: it is addressed to a singular ‘thou’. The reader is told not only that these are good prayers to say, but also the precise situation in which they should be used: cases of demonic persecution. He is instructed which posture and gestures to use, and precisely how much of each psalm to sing. This shows how private prayerbooks develop the uses of prayers.

Interestingly, the sequence of prayers in the Portiforium also demonstrates how prayers that appear in one manuscript as single items can be found in other manuscripts as parts of private rituals for particular purposes. It was noted above

---

342 '(1) If it seems to you that your enemies are thinking about you insolently, then go to a suitable place and call upon the holy cross for your protection, and sit down with outstretched arms, and firstly say thus ... (2) ['Ave alma crux'] (3) Then sing these psalms to the end: ‘O Lord my God, in thee have I put my trust’, ‘How long, O Lord?’; ‘Hear, O Lord’ ... (4) [Kyrie, Paternoster and preces] (5) ['Redemptor et salvator noster'] (6) Then, stand up for some time and sing this psalm to the end with outstretched arms: ‘Why, O Lord, are they multiplied?’ and after that, say this blessing ... (7) ['Hoc signaculo sanctae crucis'] (8) Sing this psalm to the end, and sing it with outstretched arms as often as you most frequently can: ‘To thee, O Lord, have I lifted’ ... (9) [Kyrie, Paternoster and preces] (10) ['Deus, qui per crucem'] (11) And make Christ’s cross sign very frequently on your head, and say this frequently: ‘This is the cross of the Lord’; and say then this: ‘By this little sign of the holy cross’.

343 As will be examined further in Chapter Three, the prayers specifically refer to demonic persecution, although they ask for protection from human enemies also. See pages 176-8 below.
that the prayers ‘Ave alma crux’, ‘O sancta crux’ and ‘Hoc signaculo sanctae crucis’ have been added together to the end of a folio in _Ælfwine’s Prayerbook_ in the form of a single prayer (_ÆP_, no. 44). In the _Portiforium_ they appear as two separate prayers in this _ordo_ for demonic persecution. This demonstrates that individual prayers from different sources could be assembled into fully-developed programmes for private devotion such as the _Portiforium ordo_. Alternatively, the process may have happened in reverse: useful prayers may have been extracted from public and private liturgies and added onto blank folios. While little can be said with certainty about the transmission of prayer texts in the eleventh century, it is clear that the users of prayerbooks were at liberty to borrow from a wide variety of sources and put together their own programmes for private worship.

The complete private prayer programme (2)

Another kind of complete _ordo_ for private devotion is a group of prayers taken all together from a particular liturgy, with some directions for use. This, as will be argued, is a kind of Special Office in its evolutionary stages. _Galba_ contains several sequences of psalm incipits, _Paternosters_ and collects, taken from the same feast or organised according to a common theme. These sequences are listed in table 2.4 below:

---

344 See page 106 above.
### Table 2.4: Personal liturgical ordines in the Galba Prayerbook.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text no.</th>
<th>Muir’s title</th>
<th>Contents (identified by Muir)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>‘Various incipits and rubrics’</td>
<td>An incomplete version of no. 69 below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>‘The Psalter Collects’</td>
<td>The penitential psalms and the associated collects (the Carolingian Psalms de paenitentia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>‘Prayers for Eastertide’</td>
<td>Collects for Easter week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>‘A Series of Prayers ‘ad horas’’</td>
<td>Prayers for the Hours translated into Old English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>‘Prayers from the Veneration of the Cross Ceremony’</td>
<td>Penitential psalms and three prayers for Good Friday, in Latin and Old English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>‘Prayers and Incipits’</td>
<td>Paternoster etc., psalms and collects for Trinity Sunday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>‘Verses from Psalm [69]’</td>
<td>Verses from Ps. 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>‘Biblical Verses’</td>
<td>Verses from Judith, Psalms and Job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>‘The Feast of St. Michael’</td>
<td>Mass prayers for the feast of St Michael</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Many more of these programmes may be masked by the poor condition of the Galba manuscript. From this table, it should be clear that the Galba Prayerbook was frequently used to put together programmes of prayers for use in private worship.

The more significant examples amongst these deserve further detailed introduction. They will demonstrate that texts from the liturgies of the church’s feasts, or verses from the Bible, were adapted by private users to create complete programmes for private prayer, for use on a particular feast or in a specific situation.

The function of items 82 and 84 are not clear, as they and the intervening folios are almost illegible; however, they are both collections of Biblical verses united by the theme of enemies. For example, one verse reads:

\[\text{Effunde super eum } \text{[-os] iram tuam et indignatio tua} \text{ comprehendat eum } \text{[-os]} \text{ *** uerte *** dolor eius } \text{[-orum]} \text{ *** inimici et iniqui *** (Prayer-Book, 167).}\]

---

345 I use Muir’s numbering for each item.

346 ‘Pour thy indignation upon him and let thy wrathful anger take hold of him ... turn ... his wounds ... enemies and iniquity ...’ Modern English translation based upon the Douay-Rheims where possible. Glosses are marked in square brackets.
The *eum* of this text may have referred to a particular demon which the speaker wished to banish. With the manuscript in such poor condition, it is difficult to see how these texts were used, but they may have been *ordines* offering protection from spiritual assault, similar to the *ordo* in the *Portiforium*.

The prayers for the Veneration of the Cross (no. 68), on the other hand, more clearly demonstrate how a group of prayers could be assembled as a complete act of private worship. This item shows just how complex the relationship between private and liturgical prayer could be: it was a sequence of originally private prayers which was then altered for liturgical use, and subsequently used for personal devotion in its new form. In *Galba*, this text consists of the incipits of the seven penitential psalms divided up by three prayers to Christ on the cross: ‘Adoro te’, ‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’ and ‘Qui tuas manus mundas’, all of which appear in both Latin and Old English. A similar text, also bilingual, appears in the *Portiforium*, where it has been developed even further through the inclusion of the *Kyrie eleison* and three series of antiphons (*Portiforium*, II, 20-2). Lilli Gjerløw identifies these three prayers for the Veneration as being ‘of extraliturgical character’, and argues that they originate in private prayerbooks such as the *Book of Cerne* and the Carolingian *libelli precum*. It would therefore appear that the three prayers were derived purely from the Anglo-Saxon private prayerbook tradition.

However, these very same three prayers were used in the *Regularis concordia* liturgy for Good Friday, in which they were said together with the seven penitential psalms (*RC*, ll. 1066-1101), just as in *Galba* and the *Portiforium*. The

---

version of ‘Adoro te’ in the later prayerbooks is also far closer to that in the
Regularis concordia than to the distinctly different text in Cerne.\footnote{Gjerløw compares the two basic versions, one exemplified in Cerne and the other in the Concordia, in Adoratio Crucis, 16-21, 24-8. The glossed version of the Regularis concordia in Tiberius A. iii omits the glosses for all three prayers.} Gjerløw also
notes that ‘Qui tuas manus mundas’ does not appear in any manuscript before the
Concordia.\footnote{Ibid., 23. Gjerløw notes some similar prayers, including no. 52.10 in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, which may have been influences upon ‘Qui tuas manus mundas’.} It therefore seems that these ordines, as they appear in Galba and the
Portiforium, owe more to their liturgical usage than to the origins of these prayers in the early Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks. It may be the case that the users wanted to pray
using the original words of the Good Friday service, but needed a translation for the
greater understanding of them, or simply that they wished to say their private prayers
in their native language. The prayers for the Veneration of the Cross therefore show
that the relationship between private and liturgical prayer was a reciprocal one in
which each influenced the other.

In items 29 (prayers for Easter), 69 (prayers for Trinity Sunday) and 88
(prayers for the feast of St Michael), the compiler has extracted the collects for a
particular feast.\footnote{Item 65 (the prayers for the Hours) will be discussed in detail as the final part of this chapter on
pages 132-53 below.} The most significant of these is potentially item 69, which Muir
titles ‘Prayers and Incipits’. It follows this plan:

\begin{verbatim}
Quicumque vult
Paternoster
Creed
‘Benedictus es, domine ...’ [lines from the Benedictice]
‘Te trina deitas ...’ [lines from Gregory I’s Liber responsalis, for the feast of All Saints]
Eighteen Biblical verses, mostly from the psalms
‘In euangelium. Te deum patrem ingenitum ...’ [gospel antiphon for Trinity Sunday]
Four Biblical verses, again mostly from the psalms
‘Collecta. Omnipotens sempiterne deus, qui dedisti famulis tuis ...’ [collect for Trinity Sunday]
\end{verbatim}
In this item, a group of collects has been extracted from a feast liturgy for personal use, but prefaced by a large number of psalm verses and the *Paternoster* and *Creed*. This is therefore a particularly well-developed prayer programme. Muir comments that

> It seems as if someone had originally brought these texts together to form a short devotional text for Trinity Sunday for his or her personal use, which, however, was firmly rooted in the liturgy with which that person was so intimately familiar.\(^{352}\)

It may be that Muir understates the significance of this text. The Trinity Sunday devotional differs from the other prayer programmes discussed above by using the liturgical collects as the final part of an act of devotion beginning with the most important statements of belief in the Christian faith and based around the psalms.

From the examples discussed above, and from the evidence presented in table 2.4, it should be clear that the eleventh-century Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks contain a number of *ordines* for private prayer. Some, such as the *Psalmi de paenitentia*, were transmitted from earlier eras. Some appear to have been put together from existing collections of prayers, and the ‘Devotions to the Holy Cross’ in *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook* may be an example of the process which groups of prayers went through in order to be made into formalised *ordines*. Finally, the *Galba Prayerbook* contains several collections of prayers organised around a particular theme or from the same liturgy, joined together with psalms and *Paternosters* to make a personal devotional

---

\(^{351}\) Information on the sources of the texts is taken from Muir’s notes to this item and to its analogue in the same manuscript, *Prayer-Book*, no. 27.

guide. Muir’s concept of the ‘short devotional text ... [for] personal use’, based on liturgical sources, implies a similarity between these texts and a yet more formalised kind of private worship: the Special Offices, which can be seen in a number of manuscripts of the eleventh century.

The Special Office

Ælfwine’s Prayerbook includes three texts which Günzel has identified as Special Offices of the Trinity, the Cross and the Virgin Mary. Briefly put, Ælfwine’s Office of the Trinity follows this plan:

‘Deus in adiutorium meum intende’ [Ps. 69:2]
_Gloria Patri_
[Antiphons and psalm verses]
[Capitulum]
[Response and versicle]
‘HYMNVS. VENI CREATOR SPIRITVS.’
[Versicle and antiphons]
_Kyrie eleison_
_Paternoster_
_Creed_
‘Benedicamus patrem et filium ...’
‘Te summa deitas ...’
‘Domine exaudi’ [Ps. 101/142]
‘COLLECTA. OMNIPO TEN SEMPITERNE DEVS, COAETERNA maiestas et una deitas ...’
[Incipits of five other collects]
‘ORATIO. SANCTA TRINITAS VERAQVE VNITAS ...’
‘ALLA ORATIO. TE ADORO, DOMINE ...’
‘ORATIO AD INDIVIDVAM TRINITATEM. DOMINE DEVS OMNIPO TEN S, AETERNE ET INEFFABILIS ...’
‘ITEM ALIA ORATIO. OBSECRO TE, SANCTA TRINITAS ...’ (ÆP, no. 49).

The ‘Office of the Holy Cross’ is similar but shorter, with the hymn ‘Vexilla regis’ (no. 50). The ‘Office of the Blessed Virgin’, with the hymn ‘Ave maris stella’, follows the same pattern as the Office of the Trinity, but includes a greater number

---

353 _Ibid._
of orationes (no. 51). From the plan above, it should be apparent that the ‘Special Office of the Trinity’ in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook is longer and more complex than that in Galba, and more carefully modelled on communal liturgy. Most notably, it opens with the verse ‘Deus in adivorum’, with which the communal liturgy of the Hours began (Rule, ch. 18.1), and includes a hymn. There is, nevertheless, a similarity between the two: the first part of each text includes psalm verses and the Paternoster, Creed and other foundational prayers of the Christian faith, and the latter part is composed of prayers, of which at least some are collects taken from a liturgical feast.

These ‘Special Offices’ follow the liturgy of the Hours in their inclusion of antiphons, hymns and collects, but they also include prayers for the user, who can name him- or herself by name and with appropriate gendered forms. For example, ‘Obsecro te, sancta trinitas’, in the Office of the Trinity, gives the speaker the opportunity to name him- or herself:

\[
\text{defende me undique diuina protectione miserum/am et peccatorum [sic] /tricem famulum/am tuum/am .N. (ÆP, no. 49.11).}^{354}
\]

The prayer formats of the Divine Office are thus used to aid one’s own safety and salvation.

Private Special Offices were popularised in England in the eleventh century, and in some monasteries they became incorporated into the liturgy.\(^{355}\) According to a note appearing in a twelfth-century manuscript written by Orderic Vitalis (Alençon, Bibliothèque municipale, 14), Bishop Æthelwold of Winchester instituted

---

\(^{354}\) ‘Defend me, a pitiable sinner and your servant [both genders], [name], everywhere by your divine protection.’ Günzel notes that ‘peccatorum’ has been altered by a later hand to ‘peccatorem’. Günzel, Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, 131.

Offices of the Virgin; of Paul, Peter and the other apostles; and of all the saints.\footnote{Mary Clayton, \textit{The Cult of the Virgin Mary in Anglo-Saxon England}, CSASE 2 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990), 67.} Clayton queries whether this evidence is authentic, but accepts that English Offices of the Virgin developed out of private devotion and that \textit{Ælfwine’s Office} of the Virgin may possibly be the same as \textit{Æethelwold’s}.\footnote{Ibid., 67-8.} However, where Special Offices appear in other manuscripts, they are distinctly different from those in \textit{Ælfwine’s Prayerbook}: they are for each Hour of the monastic day. This is the case in the Hours of the Virgin in London, British Library Royal 2 B. v. and Cotton Tiberius A. iii, and those of the Trinity in the \textit{Crowland Psalter}, Oxford, Bodleian Library, Douce 296.\footnote{E. S. Dewick, ed., \textit{Facsimiles of Horae de Beata Maria Virgine from English MSS. of the Eleventh Century}, HBS 21 (London: Harrison and Sons, 1902), cols. 1-18 (Royal 2 B. v), cols. 19-44 (Tiberius A. iii); Barbara C. Raw, ed., ‘The Office of the Trinity in the Crowland Psalter (Oxford, Bodleian Library, Douce 296)’, ASE 28, 185-200 (1999): 192-200.} Another important difference is that they give the full text of their hymns.\footnote{Compare, for example, ‘Ave maris stella’ in Royal 2 B. v with its appearance in \textit{Ælfwine’s Prayerbook}. Dewick, \textit{Horae de Beata Maria}, col. 15; Günzel, \textit{Ælfwine’s Prayerbook}, no. 51.1.} Dewick notes that \textit{Ælfwine’s Office} of the Virgin contains elements of liturgies for both Prime and Evensong, suggesting that it is ‘a private devotion which might be said at any convenient time’.\footnote{Dewick, \textit{Horae de Beata Maria Virgine}, ix. A useful summary of the Special Offices found in Anglo-Saxon liturgical manuscripts can be found in J. B. L. Tolhurst, \textit{The Monastic Breviary of Hyde Abbey, Winchester}, 6 vols., HBS 69-71, 76, 78 and 80 (London: Henry Bradshaw Society, 1932-42), VI, 107-30.}

This raises the question of what should be counted as a ‘Special Office’, and what as merely a ‘programme for private prayer’. The examples of the latter, discussed above, are carefully-planned sequences of prayers connected by psalms and other liturgical items, on a particular theme. If an Office can be defined at all, it differs from these programmes in being more closely based on the liturgy for the canonical Hours, replicating traditional schemes of hymns, antiphons and responses. Muir, as shown above, considers the text on the Trinity in \textit{Galba} to be simply ‘a
short devotional text for Trinity Sunday’, rather than regarding it as a Special Office.361 Barbara Raw, on the other hand, refers to the Trinity texts in both Galba and Æelfwine’s Prayerbook as ‘[s]horter private devotions in honour of the Trinity’, presumably because they do not include texts for each of the Hours, whereas the text in the Crowland Psalter is an ‘office’ which ‘may have been put together for private use by a woman.’362 However, even though the Crowland text has a complete round of Special Offices for all the canonical Hours, these do not conform to one particular set of texts for the Hours of the Trinity, but draw on the different traditions represented in the other full sets of Offices.363 The Ælfwine and Galba texts are likewise made up of elements from these various versions of the Office.364 This shows, if a reminder were needed, that there was no liturgical uniformity in this period, least of all in private worship.

In summary, it is difficult to state precisely which programmes of private devotion can be called Special Offices and which cannot. The Galba prayers for Trinity Sunday could reasonably be considered, if not a full Office, at least an example of the Offices in development, while the texts in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook are full Special Offices, albeit only for one Hour each. The evolution of the private Anglo-Saxon Office then reached its completion in the full, formal Offices seen in manuscripts such as the Crowland Psalter and Royal 2 B. v. It may therefore be that the Special Offices themselves started out as idiosyncratic collections of liturgical texts and private devotions put together for personal use in prayerbooks such as Ælfwine and Galba. More of these Offices and proto-Offices will be examined in close detail in Chapter Three below.

361 Muir, Prayer-Book, 147, n. 1.
363 Ibid., 189-91.
364 See, for example, ibid., 193, n. 58.
This chapter has therefore demonstrated the wide variety of contexts in which private prayers are found in the prayerbooks of this period: lone prayers added into manuscript spaces; simple series of prayers; semi-formalised sequences, with some directions for use; complete prayer programmes which nevertheless had no formal place in private liturgy; private Offices for one Hour only; and the full series of private Offices for each Hour of the day. As the later medieval Book of Hours was formed of such Offices of the Virgin and the Cross, the eleventh-century Anglo-Saxon prayerbook can be seen as the environment in which the Books of Hours began to take shape.\textsuperscript{365} In addition, these prayerbooks included programmes of prayers and psalms which drew on the daily cursus of the monastic Hours. The remaining half of this chapter will examine how the psalms and the pattern of Offices instituted in the \textit{Regula Benedicti} likewise had their counterparts in private prayer.

\textbf{Private prayers in psalters}

The central part of all the Offices was the psalms, which were considered to be the best form of prayer. Consequently, when a monk or nun prayed in private, it was most likely to the psalms that he or she turned. This trend has already been seen in the Carolingian prayer programmes based on the psalms, which were discussed in Chapter One, such as the \textit{Psalmi de paenitentia}.\textsuperscript{366} Indeed, this work continued to be copied in later centuries – it appears in \textit{Ælfwine’s Prayerbook (ÆP, no. 74)} and also in \textit{Galba (Prayer-Book, no. 28)} – which indicates the continuing importance of the penitential psalms in private confessional prayer.

\textsuperscript{365} For a description of the typical contents of a late medieval Book of Hours, see Roger S. Wieck, ‘The Book of Hours’, in \textit{The Liturgy of the Medieval Church}, eds. Thomas J. Heffernan and E. Ann Matter, 473-513 (Kalamazoo, MI: Western Michigan University, 2001), 480-510.

\textsuperscript{366} See pages 38-9, 58-9 above.
In the late Anglo-Saxon era, private prayers were added to psalters from earlier centuries, which, as Thomas Bestul notes, was a particularly common practice in Continental Europe. In Anglo-Saxon England, this can be seen in manuscripts such as the *Vespasian Psalter*, London, British Library Cotton Vespasian A. i, where eleventh-century additions include a prayer added to the start of the psalter:

[S]uscipere digneris domine deus omnipotens hos psalmos consecratos quos ego indignus et peccator decantare cupio. in honorem nominis tui et beatæ semper virginis maris et omnium sanctorum tuorum. pro me miserrimo infelice. seu pro cunctis consanguineis meis. uel pro amicis meis. necnon pro illis qui in me habent fiduciam. et pro cunctis fideliibus uientibus siue defunctis. Concede domine ut isti psalmi omnibus proficiant ad salutem. et ad ueram penitentiam faciandam. uel emendmentem. et ad uitan æternam amen (*Vespasian*, no. 1).

This prayer does not make clear whether the psalter was chanted in private, or whether it refers to the ordinary recital of the psalms in the Offices. However, it does testify to the prayer-created bonds between the monk and his community, both inside and outside of the monastery, blurring the distinction between the enclosed world of the monastery and the wider church.

A similar prayer is found in London, British Library Arundel 155, which is an eleventh-century Roman psalter including contemporary glossed prayers. ‘Liberator animarum’, the forty-fourth and penultimate in this series of prayers, has

367 Bestul, ‘Continental Sources’, 112 and 114. See also Bestul’s list of Anglo-Saxon private prayers, which contains a number of these psalters, on pages 124-6.
368 Vespasian A. i, an eighth-century Roman psalter, had a number of prayers added to its end in the eleventh century, including ‘Deus inaequalis misericordiae’ and a number of interesting prayers to the cross. The manuscript is discussed and introduced in greater depth in Chapter Three (pages 161-2), where it is suggested that the Roman psalter may have been becoming obsolete at the time that the prayers were added. The addition of private prayers to the manuscript would suggest that the psalter fell into some kind of private use, or, at least, that private prayers had been composed to accompany the chanting of the psalms.
369 ‘O Lord God Almighty, may you deign to receive these consecrated psalms, which I, unworthy and a sinner, desire to sing in honour of your name and of the blessed ever-virgin Mary, and of all your saints, for me, unhappily most miserable, or for all my relatives, or for my friends, and also for those who have trust in me, and for all the faithful, living or dead. Grant, O Lord, that by all of these psalms they might attain to salvation, and to the making of true penitence, or emendation [of life], and to eternal life. Amen.’ All expansions of words in Kuhn’s edition of *Vespasian* are my own.
370 Gneuss, no. 306; Ker, no. 135.
the rubric ‘Oratio post psalterium. vel orationum’. In this prayer, the speaker asks that he may be protected from sin and from all harm through the singing of the psalms and prayers in the manuscript:

\[
\text{S supplico te ego peccator per inmensam clementiam et misericordiam tuam. et per modulationem psalmorum et orationum quos ego indignus et peccator decantavi. libera animam meam de omni peccato} \]

(‘Prayers from MS. Arundel 155’, 113, ll. 13-14, 114, ll. 1-3).\(^{372}\)

This prayer suggests that psalms and private prayers could be said together for the good of one’s own soul. Again, it is not clear if this psalter was used for reciting a private Office. However, these two prayers do reveal that there existed private prayers which shaped the experience of the communal liturgy and gave it its meaning. Individual readers were given the chance to offer the psalms which they chanted for the salvation of themselves and of the people around them. These prayers therefore acted as the meeting-point between the public liturgy of the Hours and private devotion, and show how the one shaped the other.

**Private celebration of the Hours: the Benedictine Office**

According to the *Regula Benedicti*, the liturgy of the Hours was instituted so that monks could fulfil the Psalmist’s injunction to praise God seven times a day (Ps. 118:164) and in the middle of the night (Ps. 118:62; *Rule*, ch. 16). The Offices were the essence of the monastic life, which is expressed in Benedict’s command to sing them with reverence:

\(^{371}\) Jackson J. Campbell, ed., ‘Prayers from MS. Arundel 155’, *Anglia* 81, 82-117 (1963), no. 44. The final prayer, ‘Deus omnipotens bone et iuste’, is in a different hand, suggesting that ‘Liberator animarum’ was originally intended to be the final prayer. Ker, 171.

\(^{372}\) ‘I, a sinner, ask you through your boundless compassion and mercy, and through the singing of psalms and prayers which I, an unworthy sinner, have chanted, free my soul from all sin.’ This prayer also appears in the *Libellus Turonensis*, no. 19.1. Wilmart, *Precum libelli*. 
Ubique credimus divinam esse praesentiam et oculos Domini in omni loco speculari bonos et malos, maxime tamen hoc sine aliqua dubitatione credamus cum ad opus divinum assistimus (Rule, ch. 19.1-2).\textsuperscript{373}

It has already been noted, in Chapter One, that the Rule of St Benedict insists that monks should participate in the Hours even when away from the monastery (ch. 50.1-4). This requirement is reiterated in the Regularis concordia: travellers are urged to speak of holy and necessary things,

\begin{quote}
 ut horas regulares non equitando sed de equis desiliendo, genuflectentes nisi dies festiva fuerit, conuenienter, ut potuerint, cum diuina compunctione compleant (RC, ll. 168-71).\textsuperscript{374}
\end{quote}

If the tenth-century reformers considered this Benedictine obligation to be worth restating, then they must have believed it to be of high importance. Indeed, this practice was not restricted to travel, nor to monks: Palazzo notes that it likewise became customary for clergy to say the Offices in private in the tenth century.\textsuperscript{375} Nevertheless, while the Regularis concordia does insist that monks dismount to celebrate the Hours, it does not specify beyond this what form the Offices might take outside of choir. Conversely, there are a couple of brief references to chanting the Hours outside of the official times. The senior monks are advised to do this after Prime to drive away the devil (RC, ll. 366-73), and, after the Veneration of the Cross on Good Friday, the monks are all expected to say Compline silently (ll. 1149-53).

The Regularis concordia says little about why monks were supposed to observe the Hours in this period, presumably because this was expected to be

\begin{footnotes}
\textsuperscript{373} ‘We believe that the divine presence is everywhere and that in every place the eyes of the Lord are watching the good and the wicked. But beyond the least doubt we should believe this to be especially true when we celebrate the divine Office.’
\textsuperscript{374} ‘So that they might more conveniently fulfil the regular Hours with divine compunction, as they might, not while riding, but dismounting from their horses, genuflecting, unless it is a feast day.’
\end{footnotes}
common knowledge. However, the Old English Benedictine Office (hereafter referred to as the Benedictine Office), a text compiled for secular clerics, explains in full why the Offices were so important. Although it is not, strictly speaking, a text intended for use in private prayer, it can be used to understand why certain parts of the Offices were extracted for private devotional use. Furthermore, an examination of this text will lead to a close analysis of the Prayers ad horas, a series of private prayers for the Hours which were in use in the same era as the Benedictine Office.

The Benedictine Office survives in two mid-eleventh century manuscripts: Oxford, Bodleian Library Junius 121 and Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 201.376 It is an explanatory guide to the Offices, structured according to the eight canonical Hours, plus the capitular Office following Prime. The short general introduction, which explains why God should be worshipped seven times a day, is followed by a section for each of the Hours, every one beginning with a short preface explaining why one should praise God at that time of the day, and a brief conclusion.377 These prose passages, all in Old English, are a reworking of Hrabanus Maurus’ De institutione clericorum, which indicates how influential Hrabanus’ explanation of the Hours was in the following centuries.378 Each preface is followed by Latin texts and incipits of some, but not all, of the liturgy necessary for performing the Offices at each Hour: collects, antiphons, hymn incipits, and psalm verses in Latin and

---

376 Junius 121: Gneuss, no. 644, Ker, no. 338; Corpus Christi 201: Gneuss, no. 65.5, Ker, no. 49.
378 Emil Feiler recognised Hrabanus Maurus’ De institutione clericorum as the basis for the prose parts of the Benedictine Office, and Bernhard Fehr identified the excerpts used from manuscripts Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 190 and 265. Building on Fehr’s work, Ure argues that this text is based on De clericorum institutione; that it was translated, probably by Ælfric, using Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 190; and that it was revised and extended by Wulfstan, using Corpus Christi 265. Conversely, Peter Clemoes argues against Ælfric’s authorship of the translation. Emil Feiler, ‘Das altenglische Benediktiner-Offizium: ein altenglisches Brevier aus dem 11. Jahrhundert’, Anglistische Forschungen 4, 1-81 (1901): 54; Bernhard Fehr, ‘Das Benediktiner-Offizium und die Beziehungen zwischen Ælfric und Wulfstan’, Englische Studien 46, 337-46 (1913): 337-46; Ure, Benedictine Office, 15-16, 25-46, especially 25-6, 34-5, 42-3; Peter Clemoes, ‘The Old English Benedictine Office, Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, MS 190, and the Relations between Ælfric and Wulfstan: a Reconsideration’, Anglia 78, 265-83 (1960): 265-70.
The version in Junius 121 also includes vernacular poems based on the *Paternoster, Gloria Patri* and *Creed.*

It has been argued conclusively that the *Benedictine Office* is far from being a complete service book. Ure notes that only the word ‘Psalmus’ indicates that the psalm is to be said at Terce, and that the *Benedictine Office* gives the prayers and readings, but not all the psalm readings. It cannot have functioned as a full service text, but merely an exposition of the liturgy. Furthermore, Houghton identifies aspects of the text as secular practice, with Houghton concluding that the *Benedictine Office* was
to be used by literate monks for the instruction of ignorant secular clergy in the performance of the seculars’ own proper divine service.

Although the *Benedictine Office* does not provide a straightforward description of the monastic Hours, it demonstrates which aspects of the monastic services were considered to be worth explaining and teaching to lay clerics in their own language.

It was noted in Chapter One that Hrabanus’ discussion of the Offices in *De institutione clericorum* emphasises the distinction between the Hours and the

---

379 Ure, *Benedictine Office*, 83-100. Thomson’s edition of 1849 was the first to note that the translated psalm verses were taken from a complete text of the poetic *Paris Psalter*, which is of interest as the extant manuscript has only prose versions of the first fifty psalms. E. Thomson, ed., *Godcunde Lar 7 Peowdom: Select Monuments of the Doctrine and Worship of the Catholic Church in England before the Norman Conquest* (London: Richard and John E. Taylor, 1849), xiv; Ure, *Benedictine Office*, 17-19.
380 Ibid., 83-94.
381 Ibid., 62.
382 Ibid., 63.
Mass.\textsuperscript{384} The general introduction to the Benedictine Office, which is essentially a much shorter summary of the opening chapter of the second book of \textit{De institutione}, does likewise. Just as Hrabanus begins by distinguishing between the Mass, which is celebrated by the priest, and the Hours, which are celebrated by the whole church, ‘\textit{generaliter sine differentia}’\textsuperscript{385} (‘generally and without distinction’), the Benedictine Office begins by explaining that

\begin{quote}

Godecund þeowdom is gesett on cyriclicum þenungum æfter canoneclican gewunan to nydrihte eallum gehadedum mannum (\textit{Benedictine Office}, 81, ll. 1-3).\textsuperscript{386}
\end{quote}

Since one can observe the Hours without having been ordained as a priest, there was the chance that one could say the Offices by oneself.

Being composed for those with less of a theological education, the Benedictine Office emphasises the aspects of the Offices which presumably were judged to be most important. This can be seen by comparing Hrabanus’ introduction to Matins with that in the Office:

\begin{quote}

In vigilia ergo matutina dominus Israhelem ducens per rubrum mare pharaonem et Aegyptios in ipso dimersit, et matutina hora Christus a morte resurgens, populum suum salvans, diabolum et satellites eius aeterna captivitate damnavit (\textit{De institutione}, 2.2).\textsuperscript{387}
\end{quote}

\begin{quote}

On dægred hit gewearð þæt ðurh Godes mihte Moyses gelædde þæt Israhelitiscce folc of Egipta land eall unwemme ofer ða Readan Sæ, and æfter ðam sona seo sylfe sæ besencte and adrencte Godes wiðerwinnan, Pharaonem and eall his gegenge. And on dægred hit gewearð þæt Crist of deape aras and of helle gelædde ealle þa ða he wolde; and his wiðerwinnan,
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{384} See page 53 above.
\textsuperscript{386} ‘The Divine Office is established in church services according to canonical custom as the duty of all ordained men.’
\textsuperscript{387} ‘So at the morning vigil, the Lord, leading Israel through the Red Sea, drowned the Pharaoh and the Egyptians in it; and in the morning hour Christ, rising again from the dead, saving his people, doomed the devil and his followers to eternal captivity.’
Here, the author is translating from *De institutione* but elaborating it in order to make absolutely clear the symbolism linking Moses and Pharaoh to Christ and the Devil, and using unsettlingly similar phrasing in each sentence, which suggests that this audience was rather unfamiliar with the prefiguration of New Testament events in the Old Testament. In this passage, the reader comes to understand his or her participation in the Hours as part of a tradition of religious service at specific times of the day, which stretches back to Old Testament times.

Again, as in *De institutione*, the *Benedictine Office* justifies the seven Hours by referring to Psalm 118:164 (81, ll. 5-10). The opening paragraph of the text does not engage with the paradoxes created by Scripture in quite the same way as does the introduction to the Hours in *De institutione*: it is not interested in harmonising Christ’s teaching on secret prayer with the injunctions in the New Testament Epistles to praise God openly at all times (*De institutione*, 2.1). However, it does introduce a similar subject by reconciling the ideal of constant prayer with the practicalities of life:

> On ælce timan man sceal God herian and on ælceræ stowæ georne to Gode clypian. Ac þæahhwæðere syndon gesette timan synderlice to ðam anum, þæt gyf hwa for bysgan oftor ne mæge, þæt he huru þæt nydriht dæghwamlice gefyle ... Nis æfre æniges mannes mæð þæt he cunne God swa forð geherian swa he wyrðe is. Ac hit is þeah ure ealra þearf þæt we geornlice him þeowian and ðenian þæs ðe we magon and cunnon (*Benedictine Office*, 81, ll. 4-7, 14-17).³⁸⁹

³⁸⁸ *At dawn it happened that, through God’s power, Moses led the Hebrew people out of the land of the Egyptians completely unscathed over the Red Sea, and right after that the same sea submerged and drowned God’s enemy Pharaoh and all his company. And at dawn it happened that Christ rose from death and led all that he wanted out of hell, and he submerged his enemy, that is the Devil himself, and all his company, in the torment of hell.’

³⁸⁹ *One should praise God all the time and call earnestly to God in every place; however, there are specific times appointed for each one, so that if someone, because of busyness, cannot do so more often, he may nevertheless fulfil that duty daily ... It is never in the power of any man that he may...*
The pragmatism of this introduction, its lack of detailed engagement with conflicting Biblical passages, and its emphasis on the busyness of life all make this text more suitable for those with relatively little knowledge of Scripture and experience of the Offices. It states that the Hours should be observed by all those who live the religious life, because through them one can link each part of the day to the death of Christ and commemorates the events in the Bible which prefigured it. It also teaches that God deserves to be praised at all times, but the Hours exist to reconcile this constant praise with what is humanly possible.

**Private celebration of the Hours: the Prayers ad horas**

The *Benedictine Office* is a good indicator of what was believed to be important in the Offices in the late Anglo-Saxon period. It can therefore be used as a lens through which to examine a series of vernacular prayers for the Hours in the *Galba Prayerbook*. These were given the name ‘a series of Prayers ‘ad Horas’’ by R. A. Banks and appear in *Galba* after either two or three other Old English prayers. The *Prayers ad horas*, as they appear in the *Galba Prayerbook*, seem to be a series of prayers for use at the times of the Hours. As they are in the vernacular, they must have had some kind of private use. The prayers share similar concerns to the *Benedictine Office*, especially since the collects for Prime, Terce and None in the *Office* are the Latin versions of the *Prayers ad horas* for those Hours (*Benedictine Office*...)

---

know how to praise God as much as he is worth. However, it is necessary for us all to serve and minister to him earnestly, as far as we can and know how to.’

390 R. A. Banks, ed., ‘Some Anglo-Saxon Prayers from British Museum MS. Cotton Galba A.xiv’, *NQ*, n. s. 12.6, 207-13 (1965): 210; Muir, *Prayer-Book*, no. 65. I consider the *Prayers ad horas* proper to begin with the prayer beginning ‘Min drihten hælendæ Crist, godes sunu, on þinu noman ic mine handa up ahebbe’ (*Prayer-Book*, 138, ll. 10-11): see page 147 below. Whether there are two or three Old English prayers preceding this one depends on whether a new prayer begins at ‘Min drihten, þu gefyldest me ...’ at the top of folio 106r, which is debatable. Muir, *Prayer-Book*, 138, l. 6.
Both the Benedictine Office and the Prayers ad horas aim to link each Hour to the day of Christ’s crucifixion. For example, the former introduces Terce as follows:

On undern we sculon God herian forðam on undern-timan Crist wæs ðurh þara Iudea dom to deaðe fordemed and toward þære rode geleđ, þe he syððan on þrowode for ealles middaneardes alysednyss (95, ll. 27-30).

The collect for Terce in the Benedictine Office is as follows:

Domine deus qui hora tertia diei ad crucis poenam pro mundi salute ductus es, te suppliciter deprecamur ut de preteritis malis nostris semper aput te inueniamus ueniam et de futuris iugiter habeamus custodiam, qui cum patre (96, ll. 29-31 – 97, ll. 1-2).

The same collect appears in the Prayers ad horas in this English translation:

Min drihten hæle‹nd› Crist, þu þe on þa þriddan ‹tide d›æges rode ‹pin›e geleđed wære for ealles middaneardes hælo, ic þe bidde eadmodlice þu mine synna adilgie and ic minra forðgewitenra synna æt þe forgiftenessa gemete and þeet þu me sy wið þan toweardum synnum arful hyrde (Prayer-Book, 138, ll. 15-19).

Through praying at the Hour of Terce, the reader allows his or her own daily routine to commemorate and be united with the events of the day on which Christ was

---

392 ‘In the morning we should praise God, because in the morning time Christ was condemned to death through the judgement of the Jews and led towards the cross, on which he afterwards suffered for the salvation of all the world.’
393 ‘O Lord God, who at the third hour of the day were led to the punishment of the cross for the salvation of the word, we humbly beg you that we may always find in you pardon for our past evils and that we may perpetually have protection against future evils, who with the Father ...’
394 For my preference for Banks’ emendation of ‘pine’ over Muir’s ‘þine’, see page 148 below.
395 ‘My Lord Saviour Christ, you who at the third time of the day were led to the torture of the cross for the salvation of all the world, I ask you humbly that you may blot out my sins and that I may find forgiveness of my past sins in you, and that you may be a merciful guardian against the future sins.’
crucified, while thanking God for his mercy in bringing him or her through each part of the day, marked by the natural periods of light and darkness, like the light of Christ and the darkness of sin. M. Bradford Bedingfield has written about how liturgy develops the participants’ feeling of identification with Biblical figures so much so that they are:

trained to feel that, for the time of the commemoration, they have some sort of connection with these biblical figures, speaking with their voices and relating to Christ as had they, experiencing what those invoked experienced, and learning what they learned.\(^\text{396}\)

The *Prayers ad horas* are an example of this phenomenon. By contemplating Good Friday through prayer, the reader becomes more conscious of the timescale and pacing of that day than if they had simply read or heard a Gospel narrative.

The *Prayers ad horas* also achieve a similar aim, that of linking Christ’s last day to the wider spiritual world. This is most obvious from the prayer for None:

Min drihten hælend Crist, þu þe on rode galgan ahangen wäre and þone scaþan þu onfenge þe on þe gelyfde on þa fægernesse neorxnawonges gefean ... Ic þe eadmodlice mine synna andette and ic bidde þe for þinre micelan mildheortnesse þæt ic mote æfter minre forðfore neorxnawonges gatu agan (*Prayer-Book*, 139, ll. 5-10).\(^\text{397}\)

Not only are the times of the crucifixion day linked to each part of the reader’s day, but the reader finds in the events of that day a pattern which will have an effect on his or her eternal future.


\(^{397}\) ‘My Lord Saviour Christ, you who were hung on the gallows of the cross and received the criminal who believed in you into the beauty of the joy of paradise ... I humbly confess my sins to you and ask you, by your great mercy, that I may reach the gates of paradise after my going hence.’
The appearance of the Prayers ad horas in the Galba Prayerbook

Although the focus of this thesis is on the eleventh-century use of texts, rather than on their origins, it is worth examining the process by which the Prayers ad horas may have come to be in the Galba Prayerbook. These prayers have liturgical roots, but in their full form owe more to the Carolingian prayerbook tradition. In the remainder of this chapter, I will undertake a brief study of the sources, indicating the relationship between the vernacular Prayers ad horas and their Latin analogues. This will include, on pages 143-6 below, a complete text of these prayers in both languages, followed by a close reading of the different variants in the Latin and English texts.

In Chapter One, I introduced the Carolingian libelli precum which include the Latin Prayers ad horas.\textsuperscript{398} It was R. A. Banks who identified the prayers in Galba as translations, and found a Latin analogue to all but one of them.\textsuperscript{399} However, he did not locate them in the libelli precum, nor in all of the sacramentaries in which some of them are found. These can be seen most clearly in the form of a table:

\textsuperscript{398} See pages 40-1 above.
\textsuperscript{399} Banks, ‘Some Anglo-Saxon Prayers’, 210-13.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hour</th>
<th>Opening of prayer in <em>Galba</em>, no. 65</th>
<th>Opening of Latin analogue</th>
<th>Page nos. in Banks</th>
<th>Analogues identified by Banks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Second hour]</td>
<td>Min drihten hælend° Crist, godes sunu, on þinum noman ic mine handa up ahæbbe; drihten hælend Crist, þu ðe me þisse uhtantide ...</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terce</td>
<td>Min drihten hælend° Crist, þu þe on þa ðriddan tide ...</td>
<td>DOmine ihesu [sic] christe qui hora tertia diei ...</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>Liber sacramentorum, Durham Collectar, Leofric Collectar, Portiforium of St Wulstan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sext</td>
<td>Min drihten hælend Crist, þu þe on þa sixtan tide ...</td>
<td>DOmine ihesu christe qui hora diei sexta ...</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>LS, DC, LC, Portiforium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Min drihten hælend Crist, þu þe on rode galgan ...</td>
<td>Domine ihesu christe qui hora diei nona in crucis patibulo ...</td>
<td>211-2</td>
<td>LS, DC, LC, Portiforium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vespers</td>
<td>Pancas ic do &lt;min drihten&gt; ...</td>
<td>Gratias tibi agimus, Domine Deus ...</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>De psalmorum usu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twelfth</td>
<td>Min drihten waldend and gescyldend ...</td>
<td>Domine Deus, dominator omnium et protector ...</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>DPsU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime</td>
<td>Drihten god almihtig, þu þe to fruman þisses dæges ...</td>
<td>Domine sancte pater omnipotens æterne deus qui nos ad principium huius diei ...</td>
<td>210, 212-3</td>
<td>LC, Benedictine Office</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are, however, some problems with Banks’ summary of the analogues. In his overall conclusion, Banks argues that the *Galba* scribe used a now lost source based closely upon *De psalmorum usu*, concluding that the *Prayers ad horas* were not, originally, liturgical prayers, but prayers from *De psalmorum usu* which found a use

---

400 Ibid.
in liturgical books. He therefore believes the *Galba Prayers ad horas* to be a sequence of private Old English prayers translated from a sequence of private Latin prayers. However, despite his identification of *De psalmorum usu* as the ultimate source of the prayers, he does not note that the full sequence appears there, including an ‘Oratio ad secundam horam’ which is clearly Banks’ missing source for the prayer ‘drihten hælend Crist, þu ðe me þisse uhtantide’. Banks instead believes that the Old English prayer is ‘a version of the collect for Prime’. He also links the opening sentence, ‘Min drihten hælendr Crist, godes sunu, on þinum noman ic mine handa up ahæbbe’, to the phrase ‘elevatio manuum nostrarum’ in *De psalmorum usu*, whereas I will demonstrate that it had a different derivation.

In order to create a fuller picture of the roots of the Anglo-Saxon *Prayers ad horas*, it is necessary to show that the Latin prayers appear in far more sources than Banks uncovered. Table 2.6 shows the main prayers in the *Ad horas* sequence in the sources which are most relevant to this study. For reasons of space and clarity, it excludes similar prayers on the same model which have become attached to the sequence in a couple of the manuscripts. Each text is listed according to its number in the standard edition used throughout this thesis, or, in the case of the *Leofric Collectar* and the *Benedictine Office*, according to the column and page number respectively. The unusual order of the prayers in the *Libellus Parisinus* and *Galba* is indicated in bold, as its implications will be made clear below.

---

401 Ibid., 212-3.
402 Alcuin, *De psalmorum usu liber*, PL 101, cols. 465B-508D; the prayers can be found at cols. 507A-508A. For the texts of the Latin prayer and its Anglo-Saxon translation, see the entry for the second Hour in table 2.7, on page 143 below.
403 Banks, ‘Some Anglo-Saxon Prayers’, 213.
404 Ibid., 210.
405 As discussed on page 139, some of the information in this table is derived from Banks, ‘Some Anglo-Saxon prayers’, 210-13, and Günzel, *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook*, 215.
### Table 2.6: The conventional Prayers ad horas sequence and its appearance in a select manuscript record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hour</th>
<th>Genre</th>
<th>Sacramentaries</th>
<th>Anglo-Saxon Collectars</th>
<th>Carolingian private prayerbooks</th>
<th>Guide to liturgy</th>
<th>Late AS private prayerbooks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gregorian, vol. 3</td>
<td>Gellone</td>
<td>Liber Sacramentorum, PL 101. 462D-463B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Durham Collector</td>
<td>Portiforium collectar 406</td>
<td>Leofric Collectar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ælfwine’s Prayerbook collectar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Paris 2731 A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>De psalmorum usu, PL 101. 507A-508A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Libellus Parisinus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Libellus Turonensis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Libellus Trecensis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ben. Office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Royal 2 A. xx&lt;sup&gt;407&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Galba Prayerbook (OE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising</td>
<td>In nomine tuo levabo manus meas</td>
<td>no. 4406</td>
<td>no. 2120</td>
<td>ch. 18.9</td>
<td>no. 1.1</td>
<td>no. 65.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from</td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 315</td>
<td>col. 69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bed</td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 19a</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>no. 7.3</td>
<td>no. 2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 20a</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>no. 7.2</td>
<td>no. 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime</td>
<td>Qui ad principium huius diei</td>
<td>no. 4407</td>
<td>no. 2122</td>
<td>ch. 18.10</td>
<td>no. 1.3</td>
<td>no. 65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 317</td>
<td>cols. 70 and 131</td>
<td>no. 73.195</td>
<td>no. 7.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 21a</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>no. 7.5</td>
<td>no. 2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; Hour</td>
<td>Qui nos in hac hora secunda</td>
<td>no. 4407</td>
<td>no. 2123</td>
<td>ch. 18.11</td>
<td>96-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 319</td>
<td>cols. 70 and 131</td>
<td>no. 73.196</td>
<td>no. 7.6</td>
<td>no. 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 22a</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>no. 7.7</td>
<td>no. 2.8</td>
<td>no. 65.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 20a</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>no. 65.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 25a</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>no. 65.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 26a</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terce</td>
<td>Qui hora tertia diei ad crucis</td>
<td>no. 4408</td>
<td>no. 2124</td>
<td>ch. 18.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 321</td>
<td>cols. 70-1 and 131</td>
<td>no. 73.197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 23a</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>no. 7.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 24a</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 25a</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 26a</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 27a</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 28a</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 29</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 30</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>406</sup> Hughes, *Portiforium*, I.

<sup>407</sup> Crowley, ‘Latin Prayers’.
Even a brief examination of table 2.6 should show clearly that only a limited range of the *Prayers ad horas* appears in the sacramentaries and collectars, whereas a longer sequence was transmitted in the private prayerbooks, including *Galba*. As Banks notes, the prayers for Terce, Sext and None appear in the *Liber sacramentorum*. Günzel has also noted their presence in the *Gregorian Sacramentary* and, accompanied by the prayer for Vespers, in the *Gellone Sacramentary*. To Banks’ and Günzel’s observations, it should be added that the prayer for Prime likewise appears in these three sacramentaries. However, the prayers for the second and twelfth Hours and for Compline do not occur in the sacramentary tradition, but are exclusive to the private prayerbooks.

The sacramentary-based sequence of the *Prayers ad horas* continued to be copied in the late Anglo-Saxon era. As Banks notes, the prayers for Terce, Sext and None appear in the *Leofric Collectar*, *Durham Ritual* (that is, the *Durham Collectar*) and the collectar of the *Portiforium*, and the prayer for Prime appears in the *Leofric Collectar*. The prayers for Terce, Sext, None and Vespers also appear in the collectar in *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook* (*ÆP*, nos. 73.191, 195-7), and the prayers for Prime and Vespers in the *Portiforium’s* collectar. It is therefore evident that the prayers for Prime, Terce, Sext, None and Vespers are derived from the sacramentary tradition, whereas the prayers for the second and twelfth Hours are not.

---

The Carolingian private prayerbooks, on the other hand, include full sequences of prayers for Prime, the second Hour, Terce, Sext, None, Vespers, the twelfth Hour and Compline. This sequence can be found in: the Libellus Trecensis; the Libellus Parisinus (except the Compline prayer); the Libellus Turonensis (except the twelfth Hour and Compline prayers); Paris, Bibliothèque nationale lat. 2731A; and in chapter fourteen of De psalmorum usu. As explained in Chapter One, in his letter ‘Beatus igitur David’, Alcuin advised Charlemagne to pray privately at ‘prima hora, secunda, tertia, sexta, nona, uespertina et duodecima’. Stephan Waldhoff has noted not only that these are not the canonical Hours, but also that they correspond exactly with the Prayers ad horas found in Paris 2731A (excepting the prayer for Compline). For this reason, he regards Alcuin himself as the author of the Latin Prayers ad horas. However, Jonathan Black has expressed doubts about this, noting that Waldhoff disregards the sacramentaries. What can be said, however, is that the unusual sequence of Hours in the Prayers ad horas may owe something to Alcuin’s influence, even if he did not compose the additional prayers.

Therefore, from an in-depth examination of the evidence presented in table 2.6, it should be clear that there were two separate traditions of the Prayers ad horas. However, rather than there being one Carolingian tradition and another Anglo-Saxon one, there was instead a limited version of the sequence in the sacramentaries and

---

413 Wilmart, Precum libelli, Libellus Trecensis no. 2.1-8, Parisinus, no. 1.2-8, Turonensis, no. 7.2-7; Waldhoff, Alcuins Gebetbuch, nos. 19a-26a; Alcuin, De psalmorum usu liber, cols. 507A-508A.
414 ‘The first hour, the second, the third, the sixth, the ninth, at evening and at the twelfth hour.’ Waldhoff, Alcuins Gebetbuch, no. 1a, l. 3.
415 Ibid., 230-5.
416 Ibid., 234-5.
417 Jonathan Black, ‘Review of Alcuins Gebetbuch für Karl den Grossen, by Stephan Waldhoff’, Speculum 83, 772-4 (2008): 773. Black has also argued that, since the Carolingian reforms replaced older liturgical traditions with the Benedictine cursus, ‘the orations for Secunda and Duodecima no longer would have been suited for liturgical usage, but they found a new place in private devotion and were preserved in nonliturgical prayerbooks.’ Jonathan Black, ‘The Divine Office and Private Devotion in the Latin West’, in The Liturgy of the Medieval Church, eds. Thomas J. Heffernan and E. Ann Matter, 45-71 (Kalamazoo, MI: Western Michigan University, 2001), 63-4.
collectars, and a fuller one in the private prayerbooks.\textsuperscript{418} It can be supposed that the prayers for Prime, Terce, Sext, None and Vespers were gathered from the liturgical sources and assembled into a series of prayers for the personal observance of the Hours, perhaps with the prayers for the second and twelfth Hours, and for Compline, being composed especially for this series. Since the full sequence appears to have been composed for the Carolingian prayerbooks, it is evident that \textit{Galba}, alone of the Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks, must have in some way have followed in this tradition.

At the moment, it is not possible to be certain where the Anglo-Saxon translator found his or her Latin texts of the \textit{Prayers ad horas}. There are far more textual variants than can be taken account of without making a full study of the prayers, and in any case not all of the sources may be known at present. However, the order of the prayers in \textit{Galba} suggest that it has been influenced, at whatever remove, by the version preserved in the \textit{Libellus Parisinus}, one of the earliest currently-known texts of the full sequence of prayers. Although there are some further lexical correspondences between the two versions (some of which are shared by other manuscripts of the \textit{Prayers ad horas}), there are, however, also dissimilarities.

This is not the place for a full study of all the variants between the different texts of the \textit{Prayers ad horas}, nor one in which to include all the additional prayers which have become attached to them in other traditions. However, it is still worthwhile to compare the Latin versions in the \textit{Libellus Trecensis} and \textit{Libellus Parisinus} with the translation in \textit{Galba}.

\textit{Trecensis} and \textit{Parisinus} both date from the early ninth century and are therefore the earliest versions of the full sequence, although they are demonstrably

\textsuperscript{418} While this is a useful overall distinction, the \textit{Benedictine Office} does not fit into one pattern or the other, and neither do the tenth-century additions to the \textit{Royal Prayerbook}, which include the prayers for Vespers and Compline. Crowley, ‘Latin Prayers’, nos. 28-9.
different from one another. In table 2.7, the prayers are shown in the order in which they appear in each manuscript. Significant differences between the versions are indicated in bold, and suggested changes to Muir’s edition are given in brackets. This table includes the entire sequence of prayers as they appear in these three manuscripts: there are no psalms, antiphons or other prayers to accompany them.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hour</th>
<th>Trecensis, no. 2</th>
<th>Parisinus, no. 1</th>
<th>Galba, no. 65</th>
<th>Translation of Galba</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rising from bed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[The end of the letter ‘Beatus igitur David’] ... Cum autem de lectulo stratus vestri surrexeritis, dicendum vobis est: «Domine Iesus Christus filius dei in nomine tuo levabo manus meas».</td>
<td></td>
<td>Min drihen hælend Crist, godes sunu, on þinum noman ic mine handa up ahaebbe; [the prayer for the second Hour follows immediately]</td>
<td>My Lord Saviour Christ, son of God, in your name I lift up my hands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime</td>
<td>PRIMA IGITUR ORA SIC ORANDUM EST. Domine deus qui ad principium huius diei nos pervenire fecisti, tua nos salva virtute, ut in hac die ad nullum declinemus peccatum, sed semper ad tuam iustitiam faciendam nostra procedant eloquia, per.</td>
<td>[See final prayer]</td>
<td>[See final prayer]</td>
<td>[See final prayer]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>SECUNDA VERO HORA SIC ORABITIS: Domine deus omnipotens qui nos in hanc horam secundam per nocturnos caligines pervenire fecisti, conserva nos hodie per omnium horarum spacia et momenta temporis, et perpetua nos semper fac misericordia permanere inlaesos, per.</td>
<td>Secunda vero hora sic orabis: «Domine deus omnipotens qui nos in hanc ora secunda nocturnas caliginis incolomis pervenire fecisti, conserva nos hodie per omnium orarum spacia et momenta temporis et in tua gracia nos semper fac permanere inlesus».</td>
<td>drihten hælend Crist, þu ðe me þisse uhtantide gesund ’n e þurh ðas nihtlican dimnesse becuman lete, geheald me nu todæg, drihten, þurh ealre tida fac and þæoþhtnas and mid þine gyfe læd me ungeredered ’n e.</td>
<td>Lord Saviour Christ, you who let me come through to this dawn whole, hold me now today, Lord, through the hours and moments of all times, and through your grace lead me unharmed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terce</td>
<td>TERTIA AUTEM HORA SIC ORABITIS: Domine deus Christe Iesu qui hora tertia diei ad crucis poenam pro mundi salute duxes es, te suppliciter deprecamur ut nostra delesse peccata,</td>
<td>Tercia autem hora sic orabis: «Domine Jesus Christe qui hora tertia diei ad crucis penam ductus es pro mundi salute, te suppliciter deprecamur ut nostra delesse peccata,</td>
<td>Min drihten hælend Crist, þu þe on þa ðriddan ðide ðæges rode þpine [pine? wite?] gelæded wære for ealles middaneardes hælo, ic þe bidde eadmoldlice þaer þu mine</td>
<td>My Lord Saviour Christ, you who at the third time of the day were led to your cross [to the torture/punishment of the cross?] for the salvation of all the world, I ask you humbly that you</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sext | NONA HORA SIC ORABITIS: Domine deus Iesu Christe qui hora nona in crucis patibulo confitentem latronem intra moenia paradosi transire iussistis, te suppliciter confitentes peccata nostra deprecamus, ut post obitum nostrum paradosi nobis gaudia introire gaudentes concedas qui cum patre vivis. | Sexta hora sic orabitis: Domine Iesu Christe qui dum hora sexta pro redemptione mundi crucis ascendistis, lignum universus mundus in tenebris conversus est, illum nobis lucem in animam et corpore semper tribue, per quam ad aeternam vitam pervenire mereamur qui cum patre. | My Lord Saviour Christ, you who at the sixth time of the day climbed the tree of the cross for the liberation of the world, and the world was all turned into darkness, grant always that light of my soul and my body, that I may merit and come to eternal life. |
| None | NONA HORA SIC ORABITIS: Domine deus Iesu Christe qui hora nona in crucis patibulo confitentem latronem intra moenia paradosi transire iussistis, te suppliciter confitentes peccata nostra deprecamus, ut post obitum nostrum paradosi nobis gaudia introire gaudentes concedas qui cum patre vivis. | Et hora nona sic orabis: «Domine Iesu Christe qui ora nona in crucis patibulo confitente latrone infra agmina paradosi transire fecisti, te supplices confitentes peccata nostra deprecamus ut post obitum nostrum paradosi nos portas fac introire gaudentes». | Min dríhten hælend Crist, þu þe on rode galgan ahagen waere and þone scapan þu onfenge þe on þe gelyfde on þa fiegnessse neorxanwonges gefæn, and hine mid þe feran lete; þu wære rice cyning þeah þu on rode hangadest. Ic þe eadmodlice mine synna andette and ic bidde þe for þinne micelan mildheortnesse þet ic mote æfter minre forðfore neorxanwonges gatu again. | My Lord Saviour Christ, you who were hung on the gallows of the cross and received the criminal who believed in you into the beauty of the joy of paradise, and let him go with you: you were a powerful king even though you hung on a cross. I humbly confess my sins and ask you, by your great mercy, that I may reach the gates of paradise after my going hence. |

ut et de praeteritis malis nostris semper apud te inveniamus veniam, et de futuris iugiter habeamus custodiam, qui cum patre.

et ut de preteritis malis nostris semper aput te inveniamus veniam, et de futuris iugiter habeamus custodiam.

synna adilgie and ic minra forðgewitenra synna æt þe forgifennessa gemete and þaet þu me sy wið þan toweardum synnum arful hyrde.

may blot out my sins and that I may find forgiveness of my past sins in you, and that you may be a merciful guardian against the future sins.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vesp</th>
<th>VESPERTINUS AUTEM HORIS SIC ORARE OPORTET: Gratias tibi agimus domine deus omnipotens qui nos viventes per huius diei cursum in hanc horam vespertinam pervenire tribuisti, te supplices deprecamur ut ad te elevatio manuum nostrarum sit in conspectu tuo acceptabile sacrificium vespertinum per.</th>
<th>Vespertinus autem horis ita orare oportit: «Gracias tibi agimus domine deus omnipotens qui nos viventes per unius diei cursus in hac ora vespertina pervenire tribuisti te supplices deprecamur ut ad te elevacio manuum nostrarum sit in conspecto tuo acceptabile sacrificium vespertinum».</th>
<th>ꢣnçãç ic do ṛ[min ṛdihten] æl mø ihtig god þer þu me gesundne þurh þisses dæges ryne to þisse æfentide becuman lete.</th>
<th>I give you thanks, my Lord God Almighty, that you let me come safe through the course of this day to this evening-time.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>DUODECIMA NAMQUE HORA ITA ORANDUM EST. Domine deus dominator omnium et protector qui separasti lucem de tenebris, te subnixis precibus exoramus ut per hanc superventurae noctis caliginem tua nos protegat dextera, ut in lucis auroram cuncti surgamus gaudentes per dominum. ET TAMENT ISTAS OMNES SUPRA SCRIPTAS HORAS SEMPER DOMINICA ORATIO CANTETUR.</td>
<td>Duodecima namque hora, ita orandum est: «Domine deus dominator omnium et protector qui separasti lucem de tenebris, te subnixis precibus exoramus ut per hanc superventure noctis caliginem tua nos protegat dextera ut lucis auroram cuncti sint gaudentes».</td>
<td>Min drihten waldend and gescyldend, þu þe leocht fram þystrum ascyredest, ic þe bidde gehyr mine bene.</td>
<td>My Lord, ruler and protector, you who separated light from darkness, I ask you, hear my prayer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp</td>
<td>AD COMPLETORIUM. Pacem tuam domine da nobis, et pax tua Christe semper maneat in visceribus nostris, ut dormiamus cum pace et vigilemus cum Christo, qui cum patre et spiritu sancto vivis et regnas deus per infinita saecula saeculorum amen.</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime</td>
<td>Hora igitur prima ita supplicandum est: «Domine deus qui ad principium huius diei nos pervenire fecisti, tua nos salva virtute et in hac die ad nullum declinemus peccatum, sed semper ad tuam iusticiam faciendum nostra procedant eloquia». Tamen ad istas supra scriptas horas semper dominica cantetur oracio.</td>
<td>Drihten god almih₉tig, þu þe to fruman þisses dæges me becuman lete, gehæl me, min drihten, mid þinum mægene þæt ic on þissum dæge on nane synne ne gehwyrfe, ac symble min word and min weore sy on þinre soðfæstnesse gehwyrfed þu þe lœo·fast and rixast a to worulde.</td>
<td>Lord God Almighty, you who let me come to the begining of this day, heal me, my Lord, with your strength, that I may turn to no sin on this day, but that my words and my deeds may always be turned to your truth, you who live and reign in the world eternally.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The table above shows immediately that *Galba* and *Parisinus* have a distinctive sequence of the *Prayers ad horas*: this sequence is, amongst the manuscripts which I have uncovered so far, unique to those two codices. Firstly, in *Parisinus* and *Galba* alone, the prayer for Prime is last, not first. Secondly, these two sources alone include the prayer for the twelfth Hour, ‘Dominator omnium’, but not the one for Compline, ‘Pacem tuam domine’.

Thirdly, in *Galba*, the prayer for the second Hour opens with the words, ‘Min drihten hælen›d› Crist, godes sunu, on þinum noman ic mine handa up ahæbbe’ (*Galba*, 138, ll. 10-11). These words do not appear in *Trecensis*, but they do reflect *Parisinus*, where the *Prayers ad horas* follow Alcuin’s letter ‘Beatus igitur David’, which ends with this instruction:

> Cum autem de lectulo stratus vestri surrexeritis, dicendum vobis est: «Domine Iesus Christe filius dei in nomine tuo levabo manus meas» (*Parisinus*, no. 1.1).

The prayer for the second Hour then follows. It is clear that the opening words of the *Galba* prayer are a translation of the concluding words of ‘Beatus igitur David’.

When the phrasing of the prayers is taken into account, however, the situation becomes more complex. The words shown in bold in table 2.7 indicate the similarities and dissimilarities between the versions. Below, I discuss the most significant distinctions between the versions, in order of the prayers in which they appear.

---

421 ‘My Lord Saviour Christ, son of God, in your name I lift up my hands.’
422 ‘So, when you have risen from your bed, you are to say this, prostrate: ‘O Lord Jesus Christ, son of the living God, I will lift up my hands in your name’.’
423 Muir interprets this as a prayer for Prime; however, it is clearly a translation of the prayer which is specifically stated in the Latin manuscripts to be for the second Hour. Muir, *Prayer-Book*, 138, ll. 10-14.
In the *Galba* prayer for the second Hour, the word ‘gesundne’ (‘whole’) translates ‘incolomis’ (‘unharmed’), which only appears in the *Parisinus* (no. 1.2) and *Turonensis* (no. 7.2) versions of this prayer. Furthermore, ‘mid þinre gyfe’ (*Galba*, 138, ll. 13-14; ‘with your grace’) translates ‘in tua gracia’, which is unique to *Parisinus* (no. 1.2).

The Terce prayer is similar across all the Latin sources. In *Galba*, after ‘rode’, the manuscript is damaged. Muir supplies the emendation ‘rode ‹þin›e’ (*Galba*, 138, ll. 15-16; ‘your cross’), whereas Banks’ earlier edition has ‘ro(de pi)ne’ (‘the torture/pain of the cross’). Due to the similarity between all the Terce prayers, ‘pine’ is the most likely reading, as it not only has the same meaning as the Latin ‘poena’, but is an anglicisation of the same word. If the damaged word were a possessive pronoun, it would in any case need to be ‘þinre’ rather than ‘þine’, to fit with Muir’s reading of it as the dative case. ‘Wite’ (‘punishment/torture’) may also be suggested as a possible reading: Bosworth and Toller note that it is the equivalent of ‘poena’.

In the prayer for Sext, *Galba* has ‘onlesednesse’ (*Galba*, 139, l. 2; ‘liberation’), which translates the ‘redemptione’ found in *Parisinus*, all of the sacramentaries and collectars, and in *De psalmorum usu. Trecensis* (no. 2.4) and *Turonensis* (no. 7.5) have ‘perditione’ (‘damnation’), and Paris 2731A has ‘proditione’ (‘treason’).

---

424 Banks, ‘Some Anglo-Saxon Prayers’, 211.
In the prayer for None, the extra word ‘portas’ is relatively rare: of the thirteen Latin versions of the prayer, it appears only in the *Gregorian Sacramentary* (no. 4409), *Gellone Sacramentary* (no. 2124), *Libellus Parisinus* (no. 1.5) and the *Durham Collectar* (no. 660). Interestingly, this word also appears in the *Galba* prayer as ‘gatu’ (*Prayer-Book*, 139, l. 10).

The translation of Prime also seems to draw on a version seen in the *Benedictine Office* and the *Poriforium*. The *Benedictine Office* prayer ends by adding the words, ‘et dirigantur opera’ (*Benedictine Office*, 94, l. 20; ‘and may our works be directed’) to the text seen in *Parisinus*. This is translated in *Galba* with the common Old English collocation ‘min word and min weorc’ (‘my words and my deeds’). In the *Poriforium*, the text has been developed one stage further, to ‘dirigantur cogitationes et opera’ (*Poriforium*, I, no. 315; ‘may our thoughts and deeds be directed’), which reflects the traditional confessional formula of thoughts, words and deeds.\(^{428}\) The versions in the *Office, Galba* and the *Poriforium* therefore show how the prayer had developed by the eleventh century.

I am not, of course, claiming that *Parisinus* itself was the immediate source of the *Prayers ad horas* in *Galba*. However, the similarity of structure between these two versions of the prayer sequence suggests that the immediate Latin source for the Old English translation of the *Prayers ad horas* had links to the tradition handed down in *Parisinus*. While it has not been my intention to produce a full collated edition of all the various forms of these prayers, I have shown that a further study of the development of the *Prayers ad horas* and their link to *Galba* would need to pay especial attention to *Parisinus* and any other manuscripts of the prayers which share its distinctive pattern.

\(^{428}\) For more examples of ‘word and weorc’, and a discussion of thoughts, words and deeds, see Chapter Four, pages 263-4 below.
The presence of the *Prayers ad horas* in *Galba* show that the tradition of these prayers in the Carolingian prayerbooks was continued in eleventh-century England. Furthermore, the *Galba* version developed the prayers beyond their sources. It demonstrates the importance of translating texts into the vernacular in the eleventh century, whether for the sake of those who lacked strong Latin skills, or because of the worth of praying in one’s native language. The quality of the translation is generally good, suggesting that this text was more than just an exercise for inexpert scribes and translators.

Furthermore, the vernacular *Prayers ad horas* display a number of features which develop the prayers and make them more intimate. Firstly and most noticeably, the speaker of the vernacular *Prayers ad horas* consistently uses the grammatical singular instead of the plural as the Latin prayers do, which takes the prayers further away from their liturgical origins and makes them more suitable for private devotion.\(^429\) They also contain some slight changes of emphasis and more precise phrasings. While the speaker of the Latin prayer for Terce asks, ‘ut de preteritis malis nostris semper aput te inveniamus veniam’ (*Parisinus*, no. 1.3),\(^430\) in Old English the speaker more directly asks ‘þæet þu mine synna adilgie’ (*Galba*, 138, l. 17).\(^431\) A request for forgiveness whenever one might need it is replaced by one for immediate forgiveness. Also, while the speaker of the Latin Terce prayer asks for abstract ‘custodiam’ (e.g. *Parisinus*, no. 1.3; ‘protection’) against future sins, in the vernacular, the speaker asks for Christ himself as an ‘arful hyrde’ (*Prayer-Book*, 138, l. 19; ‘a merciful guardian’), with ‘hyrde’ having specifically pastoral connotations, suggesting Christ the Good Shepherd. This process of translation, rather than merely transmitting the text, deepens its meaning.

\(^{429}\) Banks, ‘Some Anglo-Saxon Prayers’, 211.
\(^{430}\) ‘That we may always find pardon for our past evils in you.’
\(^{431}\) ‘That you may blot out my sins.’
Indeed, the English prayer for None likewise differs from the Latin in a number of ways. In the Latin versions, Christ commands that the thief on the cross be allowed to enter Paradise (for example, ‘confitentem latronem intra moenia paradysi transire iussisti’, *Trecensis*, no. 2.3). The vernacular is somewhat gentler: Christ lets (‘lete’; *Prayer-Book*, 139, l. 7) him go to Paradise, and travels with him (‘mid þe’) as he does. Only in the English version does the prayer address the mystery of the crucifixion directly: ‘þu wære rice cyning þeah þu on rode hangadest’ (ll. 7-8). The speaker here also confesses his or her sins directly (‘Ic þe eadmodlic mine synna andette’, ll. 8-9) rather than referring parenthetically to confession in the words ‘suppliciter confitentes peccata nostra’ (*Trecensis*, no. 2.5; ‘humbly confessing our sins’) as the Latin versions do. ‘Moenia paradisi’ (‘the walls of Paradise’) is the normal variant in the prayer for None, compared to ‘agmina’/‘aimina’ (‘streams’: *Parisinus*, no. 1.5; *Gellone*, no. 2124) and ‘gloriam’ (*Gregorian*, no. 4409; *Liber Sacramentorum*, no. 18.12). Compared to these, *Galba*’s ‘fægernesse’ (*Prayer-Book*, 139, l. 6; ‘beauty’) and ‘gefæan’ (l. 7; ‘joy’) are a little unusual. The translator appears to be emphasising the joy of heaven to which Christ brings both the thief and the speaker of the prayer. Alternatively, perhaps, this may be due to a confusion between ‘moenia’ with ‘amoena’ (‘pleasures’).

The English copy of the *Prayers ad horas* also shows its distinctively private use by having apparently been altered for gender. Unusually, it seems that the *Galba* prayers were written for the use of a woman, and then altered for a man, a possibility which has not so far been remarked upon. Now that the manuscript has been damaged, only two distinctly gendered words survives in the text of the seven

---

432 ‘You commanded the confessing thief to cross over to within the walls of Paradise.’
433 ‘You were a powerful king even though you hung on a cross.’
434 ‘I humbly confess my sins to you.’
Prayers ad horas. These are ‘gesundne’ (138, l. 12; ‘whole’) and ‘ungenederedne’ (l. 14; ‘unharmed’), both in the prayer for the second Hour. However, as Muir’s notes reveal, in each case the final ‘n’ has been added interlineally. This transforms the feminine adjectives ‘gesunde’ and ‘ungederede’ into masculine ones. Where the prayer for Vespers is damaged, he suggests ‘gesundne’, giving the impression that the prayer was masculine. Thus this text is an example of how it was considered acceptable to alter prayerbooks for individual use, and of how such manuscripts were transferred between female and male communities.

The survival of the prayerbook tradition of the Prayers ad horas into the eleventh century may have wider implications than may be immediately apparent. Banks refers to the vernacular, grammatically singular Prayers ad horas as a “book of hours”, albeit in quotation marks. Muir explores this idea more fully. Although he does not see any Special Offices in the Galba Prayerbook, he refers to the Prayers ad horas as an attempt, in an ‘unofficial context’, to create a personal devotional ritual based upon formal monastic observance, in which ‘we can see the book of hours in embryonic form.’

Elsewhere in the same article, he writes that

of the surviving early English manuscripts it is the eleventh-century Galba prayer book from Winchester that most closely anticipates later medieval devotional manuscripts, and I would suggest that its compilers were already feeling their way towards a compendium resembling what is today recognized generically as a book of hours; they had a sense of the things a

435 The three prayers immediately preceding include a couple of indisputably masculine forms. ‘In naman þære halgan þrynesse’ has ‘ic eom and-etta’ (Prayer-Book, 136, l. 2; ‘I am [a man] who confesses’), and ‘Min drihten hælend Crist, ic do þe þancas’ has ‘me synfullu’ (Prayer-Book, 138, l. 2; ‘me, sinful’). However, there is no reason to link these to the Prayers ad horas, and so they can be seen as simply some other vernacular prayers which have been copied in before them.
437 Banks, ‘Some Anglo-Saxon Prayers’, 208 and 212.
438 Muir, ‘The Early Insular Prayer Book Tradition’, 19. In this article, Muir denies the presence of Special Offices in Galba; however, it was argued above that the prayers for Trinity Sunday are essentially Special Offices in development, although Muir does not go quite as far as to use this term. See also Muir, Prayer-Book, 147, n. 1.
personal book of private devotion ought to contain, which they apparently handed on to later generations.439

Thus it can be seen that a series of prayers for the Hours, transmitted through the sacramentaries, were expanded to create a full sequence of Latin prayers for private use at the Hours. They were then translated into a vernacular language, using the grammatical singular and increasingly intimate language, prefiguring the later medieval Books of Hours.

Conclusion

In this chapter, I have turned from a discussion of the sources to a detailed examination of the contexts in which late Anglo-Saxon prayers are found, focusing above all on the surviving private prayerbooks. A firm distinction between private and liturgical prayer cannot be made in this period, and the books in which these prayers appear contain examples of both. Nevertheless, changes of grammatical number and gender, and the relatively frequent use of the vernacular, are good indicators of the private use of prayer texts. It is evident that Anglo-Saxon monks and nuns deliberately chose to create private prayer sequences which drew on the liturgy with which they were familiar, and which provided them with holy prayers, and yet wanted to make them speak for one person praying on his or her own. Quotations from the psalms and prayers of the church’s liturgy – which was, after all, how monks and nuns spent much of each day – are woven into private prayers: they provided a formulary of stock phrases, a shared language on which prayer composers drew to make new prayers. For this reason, it must be emphasised that

liturgical and private prayer are by no means two separate categories, but that they are related to one another and create one another.

Private prayer is clearly not a single category. Prayers differ in length, in context, and in the sources from which they were created. Furthermore, one prayer could be recontextualised for different purposes. This can be seen most clearly in the private ordines which are found in these three eleventh-century prayerbooks. This willingness to put together private and liturgical prayers for new purposes and in increasingly elaborate private acts of worship appears to have been the origin of the Special Offices in manuscripts such as Ælfwine’s Prayerbook. Indeed, just as a clear distinction between private and liturgical prayers cannot always be made, it is also not always appropriate to distinguish between a mere private ordo and a formal Special Office. Manuscripts such as Galba may be witnesses to the experimentation which took place in creating new forms of worship.

Although private prayer in eleventh-century English manuscripts is not usually concerned with the daily Offices of the monastic life, the Prayers ad horas which appear in Galba show that that liturgy also was thoughtfully recreated for private use. They demonstrate the importance of praying in one’s own language, and of linking one’s own experience of the monastic day to the death of Christ. The English Prayers ad horas are evidence that a tradition of the Hours which is specific to the Carolingian prayerbooks was still being read and copied in eleventh-century England, as distinct from the versions of the prayers which were transmitted in the contemporary collectars. Although this chapter has not included a full study of the different manuscript versions of the prayers, it has demonstrated the influence of a tradition seen in the Libellus Parisinus, and laid the foundations for a comprehensive examination of these prayers.
Table 2.8: The private prayers referred to in this chapter in my main manuscripts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page nos. above</th>
<th>Trecensis</th>
<th>Parisinus</th>
<th>Turonensis</th>
<th>Paris 2731A</th>
<th>Cerne</th>
<th>Harley 863</th>
<th>Royal 2 A. xx</th>
<th>Portiforium</th>
<th>Galba</th>
<th>Aelfwine</th>
<th>Tib A. iii</th>
<th>Royal 2 A.xx (additions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Splendor et imago patris 440</td>
<td>85, 100-1</td>
<td>no. 1.8</td>
<td>no. 16.2</td>
<td>no. 28a</td>
<td>col. 449</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no. 52.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qui uiuorum dominaris</td>
<td>85-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te adoro, Domine</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qui facis mirabilia magna</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 41</td>
<td>no. 76.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloriosissime conditor mundi</td>
<td>90-1, 117-18</td>
<td>no. 1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19 and 21-2</td>
<td>no. 68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qui es iustorum gloria</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qui in hunc mundum/Auxiliatrix est mihi</td>
<td>102-3</td>
<td>no. 1.4</td>
<td>no. 2.7</td>
<td>no. 47a</td>
<td>no. 18</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>no. 25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inveniant, quesumus</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no. 48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecce lignum crucis</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 46.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave alma crux/Hoc signaculo/Gyf ðe dynce</td>
<td>106, 114-15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>no. 44</td>
<td>3-5 341</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ælce sunnandæg bebeod þe</td>
<td>111-13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoro te</td>
<td>117-18</td>
<td>no. 2.12</td>
<td>no. 16.13</td>
<td>no. 19</td>
<td>18-19 and 20-21</td>
<td>no. 68</td>
<td>no. 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qui tuas manus mundas</td>
<td>117-18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsecro te, sancta trinitas</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 49.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suscipere dignaris domine</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberator animarum</td>
<td>125-6</td>
<td>no. 19.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>col. 434</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prayers ad horas</td>
<td>132-53</td>
<td>nos. 2.1-8</td>
<td>nos. 1.2-8</td>
<td>nos. 7.2-7</td>
<td>nos. 19a-26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vol. 1, nos. 315, 317, 319, 321, 323</td>
<td>no. 65</td>
<td>nos. 73,191, 195-7</td>
<td>nos. 28-9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

440 See also table 2.1
441 Pulsiano, ‘An Unrecorded Charm’. 
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>AS psalters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arundel 155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vespasian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Splendor et imago patris</strong></td>
<td>no. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qui uiuorum dominaris</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Te adoro, Domine</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qui facis mirabilia magna solus</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gloriosissime conditor mundi</strong></td>
<td>no. 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qui es iustorum gloria</strong></td>
<td>no. 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qui in hunc mundum/Auxiliatrix esto mihi</strong></td>
<td>no. 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Auxiliatrix esto mihi</strong></td>
<td>no. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inveniant, quesumus</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ecce lignum crucis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ave alma crux/Hoc signaculo/Gyf ðe ðynee</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ælce sunnandæg bebeod þe</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adoro te</strong></td>
<td>nos. 4-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qui duas manus mundas</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Obseero te, sancta trinitas</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Suscipere digneris domine</strong></td>
<td>no. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liberator animarum</strong></td>
<td>no. 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prayers ad horas</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 3

PRIVATE DEVOTION TO THE HOLY CROSS

In Chapter Two, I examined how forms of private prayer were created from communal liturgies, with a focus on the daily Offices. In this chapter, I extend this analysis to prayer to the cross. This is a genre of prayer which, more than any other, unites words with images and the spiritual with the physical: there is plenty of evidence for prayer before representations of the cross, and for the use of the sign of the cross in prayer and in many other contexts, such as medical remedies and charms. Accordingly, I begin by examining liturgical prayers for the ceremonies for blessing a new cross in a monastery, which suggest that great importance was placed on any image of Christ’s cross, and give evidence for how it may have been adored.

I use these liturgical prayers to provide a context for a more detailed discussion of the sign of the cross, which is referred to in some private prayers in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook and the Vespasian Psalter. Using evidence from homilies and medical manuals, I argue that the speaker was expected to make the sign while saying these prayers, as well as in a number of other contexts in everyday life: indeed, the sign of the cross was an act of worship which was familiar to laypeople as well as to monastics. The cross stood for something beyond itself, the glory of God, which cannot be expressed in words, and therefore all manifestations of the cross were considered to be holy.
In the second part of this chapter, I return to the development of the Special Offices and other private prayer programmes, previously discussed in Chapter Two. Prayers to the cross almost always appear grouped together, instead of accompanying prayers of other genres, and more often than not they are arranged as a devotional programme, in which the prayers are linked together with psalms, antiphons and the Paternoster. Beginning with the Regularis concordia’s ceremony for the Veneration of the Cross on Good Friday, I examine how the prayers for the liturgies of the cross were adapted for private use, with varying levels of sophistication in different manuscripts. By linking these prayers with Paternosters and antiphons, the compiler of the Portiforium created something similar to a Special Office of the cross. Ælfwine’s Prayerbook is widely recognised as including Special Offices, albeit only for one Hour each. I compare the Office of the Holy Cross in this manuscript to a short prayer programme found a few folios previously, arguing that this programme also bears some of the distinguishing marks of a Special Office of the cross. There is a noticeable similarity to be seen in the antiphons used in all of these Offices and prayer programmes, and in their themes and concerns, suggesting that, even if the users of these manuscripts recognised a difference between an Office and another kind of prayer programme, the two genres drew on the same liturgical sources.

With this in mind, I finish the chapter with a brief examination of the use of Venantius Fortunatus’ hymn ‘Pange, lingua’ in Anglo-Saxon prayers. The readiness of prayer-writers to adapt the liturgical texts which they knew from the feasts of the cross indicates what was considered most important in those liturgies and best for exploring the mystery of the cross in private worship.
Prayers to the cross: an introduction to the manuscripts and their background

A number of late Anglo-Saxon manuscripts contain sequences of private prayers to the cross, some of which were derived from the liturgy for the feasts of the cross.

Two of these manuscripts, *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook* and the *Portiforium of St Wulstan*, were introduced in the previous chapter. As shown in table 2.2 in Chapter Two above, *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook* contains a miniature of the crucified Christ, accompanying devotions to the cross, and a private Office of the cross.

The sequence of private prayers in the *Portiforium* are mostly either prayers to the cross or confessional prayers. The following table outlines all the prayers in this sequence. Prayers to the cross are shown in plain type and prayers of other kinds are in italics and not listed by name. Those which I discuss in detail are marked in bold.

*Table 3.1: The private prayers in the Portiforium of St Wulstan, indicating the prayers to the cross.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page no.</th>
<th>Page no. in MS</th>
<th>Prayers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>581-2</td>
<td>Liturgical prayers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>582-3</td>
<td>Prayers to the Trinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-8</td>
<td>583-92</td>
<td>Confessional prayers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-12</td>
<td>592-9</td>
<td>Prayers to the saints (incl. one to the cross: ‘Crux christi ego te diligo et amplector’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-18</td>
<td>599-609</td>
<td>Confessional prayers, prayer to St Swithun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-19</td>
<td>609-10</td>
<td>‘Adoro te in cruce ascendentem’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>609-10</td>
<td>‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’ with psalms and preces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>‘Qui tuas manus mundas’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>‘Qui pro humano genere’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

442 For an introduction to these manuscripts, see pages 92-6 above.
445 See table 2.1 in Chapter Two above.
446 ‘Adoro te’, ‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’ and ‘Qui tuas manus mundas’ are the three prayers for the Veneration of the Cross on Good Friday. Lucia Kornexl, ed., *Die Regularis concordia und ihre altenenglische Interlinearversion*, Texte und Untersuchungen zur Englischen Philologie 17 (Munich: Wilhelm Fink, 1993), II, 1069-1101. These prayers are discussed further on pages 181-94 below.
<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>‘Deus cui cuncte obedient creature’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>610-11</td>
<td>‘Sancta et ueneranda crux’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-2</td>
<td>611-15</td>
<td>‘Adoro te’ and ‘Gloriossimae conditor mundi’ with psalms and preces (in Latin and English)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>‘Deus qui crucem tuam ueneror’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-3</td>
<td>615-6</td>
<td>‘Tuam misericordiam recolentes’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>‘Qui per crucem passion[i]s tue’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-4</td>
<td>616-7</td>
<td>Confession to a priest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>617-8</td>
<td>Ordo for prayer before the cross, incl. prayers and antiphons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>Prayer to the Virgin Mary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another codex of interest is the mid-eleventh century manuscript London, British Library Cotton Tiberius A. iii, which likewise contains a number of private prayers. Its main contents are the Benedictine Rule, the glossed version of the Regularis concordia and Ælfric’s De temporibus anni; however, it also contains numerous other texts, including twelve brief homiletic pieces, a series of prayers to the Holy Cross, and two series of confessional texts.

London, British Library Cotton Vespasian A. i is of particular interest. This eighth-century Roman psalter contains a quire of prayers originating at Christ Church, Canterbury in the first half of the eleventh century. The eleventh-century additions comprise: the Te Deum and Quicumque vult glossed in Old English; a prayer titled ‘oratio evgenii toletani episcopi’; Alcuin’s ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’ with the heading ‘confessio ad dominum sive oratio’; and a sequence of five or six prayers to the cross or the crucified Christ. The prayers in

---

447 Gneuss, no. 363; Ker, no. 186.
448 The prayers to the cross are no. 186.10 (c)-(g) in Ker.
450 ‘Prayer of Bishop Eugenius of Toledo.’
451 ‘Confession or prayer to the Lord.’
452 Sherman M. Kuhn, ed., The Vespasian Psalter (Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press, 1965), nos. 2-10. All references to this edition are to Kuhn’s Appendix II. Kuhn’s edition preserves all the scribal abbreviations of the original text, and so all expansions in quotations from this text are my own. It is unclear whether this series includes five or six prayers to the cross, as the prayer which Kuhn edits as the ninth of the eleventh-century additions, ‘Ave sancta crux’, ends with the phrase, ‘per omnia secula sanctificari. Amen. Salua igitur beata et benedicta et adoranda crux’ (‘... to be
this sequence differ from the texts immediately preceding them by not having any title, nor any blank lines between prayers. It is therefore arguable that they were intended as a complete sequence of prayers in praise of the cross. The following table lists the eleventh-century additions to the end of this psalter, most of which comprise prayers to the cross. In this table again, prayers to the cross are indicated in plain type and prayers of other kinds in italics. Prayers which I discuss in detail are marked in bold.

### Table 3.2: Eleventh-century additions to the end of the Vespasian Psalter, indicating the prayers to the cross.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page no.</th>
<th>Folio no.</th>
<th>Prayer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>312-3</td>
<td>155r</td>
<td><em>Te deum laudamus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313-5</td>
<td>155r-156r</td>
<td><em>Quicumque vult</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>156r</td>
<td>‘Rex deus immens[e]’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316-7</td>
<td>156v-157v</td>
<td>‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317</td>
<td>157v-158r</td>
<td>‘O sanctum et u[ne]rabile nostri redemptoris signum’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317-8</td>
<td>158r-v</td>
<td>‘Iesu Christe crucifixe domine’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>158v-159r</td>
<td>‘Salve crux sancta et ueneranda’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319</td>
<td>159r-160r</td>
<td>‘Aue sancta crux omnium arborum gloriosissima’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319-20</td>
<td>160r</td>
<td>‘Salua igitur beata’ (edited as part of the above prayer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>160r-v</td>
<td>‘Te sancta dei crux humiliter adoro’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Helmut Gneuss makes the interesting point that, in the eleventh century, the Roman psalter was on the way towards becoming obsolete:

Im 11. Jahrhundert wurde das Psalterium Romanum durch das Gallicanum verdrängt. Da der Vespasian-Psalter das Romanum enthielt, war er zu Ende

--


454 Kuhn, *Vespasian*, 312-20.
Although it is difficult to speculate on what usage such an old-fashioned psalter might receive, it is not impossible that its lowered status may have led to its use in private devotions, causing a quire of prayers to be added to it. The Vespasian prayers are of particular interest because, while Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, the Portiforium and Tiberius A. iii have a number of prayers in common with one another, those of the Vespasian Psalter are apparently unique. They are also considerably longer than most other prayers to the cross, and draw on a wide range of antiphons and imagery.

Most private prayers to the cross are derived from the liturgies for the feasts of the Invention and Exaltation of the Cross. The former commemorates the finding of the True Cross by St Helena, mother of the Emperor Constantine; it is believed to have been celebrated in the western church from the fifth century, and was known in England from the eighth century onwards. The feast of the Exaltation of the Cross, which commemorates the foundation of the Church of the Resurrection in Jerusalem, is known to have been celebrated in that city in the fourth century and to have involved the veneration of relics of the cross. Although relics were brought to the west soon after, the feast itself first appeared in Rome in the early seventh century, and in the Frankish empire in the eighth century, as a result of the use there

---


of Roman service books. These feasts were evidently well-established in the Anglo-Saxon church, as they are given for the third of May and fourteenth of September respectively in all twenty of the pre-1100 kalendars edited by Francis Wormald. The earliest of these is Oxford, Bodleian Library Digby 63, which originated in late ninth-century Northumbria and was at the Winchester Old Minster by the tenth century.

The importance of these feasts is also reflected in the fact that Ælfric of Eynsham wrote vernacular homilies on both the Invention and the Exaltation of the Cross, and an anonymous homily on the Invention also survives. Naturally, the collectars include prayers, antiphons and hymns for these two feasts, which, as this chapter will show, were drawn upon to create the programmes for private prayer in the late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks. Even more influential in this respect was the ceremony of the Veneration of the Cross, a Continental rite which was evidently known in England from the late tenth century at least, as it is prescribed in the *Regularis concordia*. It is also important to note the the sign of the cross had wider significance in Anglo-Saxon culture: it was an important part of charms and

---

458 Ibid., 76.
461 See, for instance, the *Portiforium* and the *Leofric Collectar*. Hughes, *Portiforium*, I, nos. 1593-1601 (Exaltation) and 1776-86 (Invention); E. S. Dewick and W. H. Frere, eds., *The Leofric Collectar*, 2 vols., HBS 45 and 56 (London: Harrison and Sons, 1914 and 1921), I, cols. 167-71 (Invention) and 230-2 (Exaltation).
462 Kornexl, *Regularis concordia*, II. 1013-1155. For the models on which this ceremony was based, see Sarah Larratt Keefer, ‘The Veneration of the Cross in Anglo-Saxon England’, in *The Liturgy of the Late Anglo-Saxon Church*, eds. Bedingfield and Gittos, 143-84, at pages 143-60.
prayers used in finding lost items, medical remedies and in protecting people from demons.  

All these aspects, and more, of the holy cross in Anglo-Saxon England have been analysed from a variety of disciplinary perspectives in the three volumes of the Sancta Crux/Halig Rod project edited by Karen Jolly, Catherine Karkov and Sarah Larratt Keefer. Ælfric’s homilies on the feasts of the cross are the subject of an article by by Joyce Hill, who argues that differences of context and audience account for Ælfric’s varying treatments of these two legends. The series also investigates liturgical ceremonies: the blessings of crosses in Anglo-Saxon benedictionals, the Good Friday Veneration service, and Venantius Fortunatus’ hymns to the cross.

The various uses of the sign of the cross, and the terms used for it, are studied by David Johnson and Ursula Lenker. Most relevant to this chapter, of course, are the articles on prayer and prayerbooks in these volumes. Catherine Karkov examines Ælfwine’s Prayerbook primarily with regard to the three miniatures in the prayerbook, but argues that they were intended as focal points for the manuscript’s

\[463\] The ordo Gyf de dynce, discussed on pages 113-5 above, is an example of private prayer for use against devils, whilst the medical collections known as the Leechbook and Lacnunga include examples of the sign of the cross used in charms and medicine: see pages 175-6 below.


private prayers. R. M. Liuzza lists the late Anglo-Saxon manuscripts which contain prayers to the cross, commenting on the creation of programmes for private worship using liturgical sources such as the prayers for the Veneration of the Cross. The Sancta Crux/Halig Rod project has clearly done much to further the understanding of the meaning of the cross in Anglo-Saxon England, and I intend to extend this understanding by focusing on the use of the sign of the cross in private prayer and the development of private prayer programmes dedicated to the cross.

Praying before the cross

In the eleventh-century church, the cross could be venerated in a number of ways: through relics, through the crosses which were erected in churches, or by making the sign of the cross. In his sermon ‘Exaltatio sanctae crucis’, Ælfric writes of the true cross:

Is swa-þeah to witenne þæt heo is wide toðæled .
mid gelomlicum ofcyrfum to lande gehwilcum .
ac seo gastlice getacnung is mid gode æfre
á unbrośningendlic . þeah þe se beam beo to-coruen .
þæt hefonlice tacn þære halgan rode
is ure gǔðfana wiıp þone gram-lican deofol .
þonne we us bleťiað gebylde þurh god
mid þære rode tacne . and mid rihtum geleafan
(‘Exaltatio sanctorum crucifix’, ll. 143-50).

According to Ælfric, the holiness of the cross is not only contained in the cross on which Christ hung, but remains implicit in any image made of it, because its spiritual

468 Catherine E. Karkov, ‘Abbot Ælfwine and the Sign of the Cross’, in Cross and Cruciform, 103-32; see in particular 105-16.
469 R. M. Liuzza, ‘Prayers and/or Charms Addressed to the Cross’, in Cross and Culture, 276-320; see in particular 281-91.
470 'It is, however, to be known that it is scattered widely with frequent cuttings-off amongst every land, but the spiritual significance is with God forever, always incorruptible, even though the tree may be cut apart. The heavenly sign of the holy cross is our banner against the cruel devil, when we bless ourselves boldly through God with the sign of the cross, and with the right belief.’ ‘The Exaltation of the Holy Cross’, in Skeat, Lives of Saints.
significance (‘getacnung’) remains with God, still undivided though the true cross itself is in fragments. Therefore, since the cross is such a powerful sign of the spiritual reality beyond the physical world, it is powerful enough to overcome the devil himself.

Therefore, while only a fortunate few had access to relics of the True Cross, the majority of Christians were encouraged to access the cross’s power through praying before its representations. This is evident from the blessings for crosses in Anglo-Saxon benedictionals. For example, the *Canterbury Benedictional* contains the liturgy for a blessing, which suggests how such crosses may have been honoured.\(^{471}\) This ceremony, which is conducted by the bishop (*Canterbury Benedictional*, 129, l. 39), involves the singing of litanies, an exorcism of water, the washing of the cross, and a number of prayers interspersed with antiphons (130-4). During one pair of antiphons, the cross is censed with incense (132, ll. 24-9). One of these two is the antiphon ‘O crux splendidior cunctis astris’, which will be discussed further below. Several of these prayers are linked by their emphasis on the power of the cross to give protection against the devil and against all harm.

Interestingly, these prayers also suggest that the actual blessing of the cross would have taken place in a location that was not its usual resting place. The prayer ‘Salus immortalis, rex angelorum’ includes the words,

concede propitius . ut in locis ac domibus fidelium ubi crux ista manet . fugantur demones et inmundi spiritus (133, ll. 6-8).\(^{472}\)

---

\(^{471}\) This eleventh-century manuscript is London, British Library Harley 2892, which originated from Canterbury in the second quarter of the eleventh century. R. M. Woolley, ed., *The Canterbury Benedictional (British Museum, Harl. MS 2892)*, HBS 51 (London: Harrison and Sons, 1917), xiii-iv, xxv; Gneuss, no. 429. The relationship between different manuscripts including these rites, and an outline of the ceremony, is explained in Gittos, ‘Hallowing the Rood’, 246-62.

\(^{472}\) ‘Grant, O merciful one, that in the places and the homes of the faithful where this cross resides, demons and unclean spirits may be put to flight.’
This suggests that the cross could have subsequently been taken to the place of worship, or perhaps that it was a portable cross for use on pastoral visits. Woolley notes that plural grammatical forms have been written above the text so that more than one cross can be blessed, so perhaps many crosses from over the diocese would have been gathered together to be blessed by the bishop.\textsuperscript{473} Alternatively, a reference to ‘signum sanctę crucis . quod ... famulus tuus .ill. deuotus erexit’ (133, ll. 28, 30) suggests that the cross may have been of some size and donated by a patron.\textsuperscript{474} If so, the construction of the cross was itself an act of willing devotion.\textsuperscript{475}

The Canterbury ceremony does not refer specifically to the use of the cross in private prayer; however, it does imply how the cross was adored, actions which may have taken place in private as well as in liturgical settings. The cross is to be consecrated ‘per [os] et per manus atque officium nostrum’ (130, ll. 3-4): this suggests that it will be kissed and touched, and Offices will be sung before it.\textsuperscript{476} It will also be knelt before: the bishop prays ‘ut omnibus hic genu flectentibus ac tuam supplicantibus maiestatem gratia tua largiatur’ (133, ll. 31-2).\textsuperscript{477} Most importantly, the cross is specifically named as an image which points towards the true cross:

\begin{quote}
Benedic quesumus domine hanc crucem fabricatam ad instar et ad imaginem crucis , in qua passus est filius tuus unigenitus ihesus [sic] christus pro salute mundi (131, ll. 8-10).
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{473} Woolley, \textit{Canterbury Benedictional}, 129, n. 1.
\textsuperscript{474} ‘Sign of the holy cross which ... your devoted servant [name] has erected.’
\textsuperscript{475} See also the prayer ‘Qui pretioso unigeniti’: ‘[H]oc signum crucis quod uoluntaria mentis deuotione famuli tui religiosa fides construxit’, 131, ll. 30-1 (‘this sign of the cross which the religious faith of your servant built by willing devotion of the mind’).
\textsuperscript{476} ‘Quatinus consortio’: ‘Through the mouth, and through the hands, and our Offices.’ Woolley reads ‘os’ for the manuscript’s ‘hos’.
\textsuperscript{477} ‘Qui in virtute unigenitae’: ‘So that your grace may be given to all those bending the knee and beseeching your majesty here.’
\textsuperscript{478} ‘Tu conditor caeli’: ‘Bless O Lord, we ask, this cross, made in the likeness and in the image of the cross in which your only-begotten son Jesus Christ suffered for the salvation of the world.’
Once blessed and in its place, a cross could be adored in a number of ways. According to the *Regularis concordia*, this should take place as part of the Good Friday liturgy. This includes singing Venantius’ hymn ‘Pange, lingua’ and saying the three prayers for Good Friday: ‘Adoro te’, ‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’ and ‘Qui tuas manus mundas’. Elsewhere, the nineteenth of the *Vercelli Homilies* recommends going barefoot to ‘Cristes bec’ (Christ’s book, presumably the gospels) during Rogationtide, and saluting his ‘rodetacna 7 oðre halige reliquias’ (*Vercelli Homilies*, 19, ll. 91-4). This suggests not only that the cross would have been kept in a church, where a gospel-book would be found, but also that the status of a *reliquium* was given not only to the fragments of the true cross, but to any cross.

Considering how essential the adoration of a *crux* or *rodetacn* was to the feasts of the cross, it is natural that it was also an important part of monastic private devotion. It was shown in Chapter Two, table 2.3, that *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook* includes a set of private prayers to the cross (*ÆP*, no. 46). Amongst these appears a list of reasons for adoring the cross:

HAE SVNT VIIII. CAVSAE QVIBUS SANCTA CRUX ADORATVR.
PRIMA CAVSA EST, QVI IN VNA DIE septem cruces adit, aut septies unam crucem adorat, septem porte inferni clauduntur illi, et septem porte paradisi aperiuntur ei.
Secunda causa est, si primum opus tuum tibi sit ad crucem, omnes demones, si fuissent circa te, non potuissent nocere tibi.
Tertia causa est, qui non declinat ad crucem, non recipit pro se passionem Christi, qui autem declinat, recepit eam et liberabitur.
Quarta causa est, quantum terrae pergis ad crucem, quasi tantum de hereditate propria offeras Domino (no. 46.12).

---

479 Kornexl, *Regularis concordia*, ll. 1013-1155.
481 ‘These are four reasons why the holy cross is adored. The first reason is, whoever approaches seven crosses in one day, or adores one cross seven times, seven doors of hell are closed to him, and seven doors of paradise are opened to him. The second reason is, if your first act for yourself is to the cross, all the demons, if they have been around you, would not have been able to harm you. The third reason is, whoever does not bow to the cross does not receive the passion of Christ for himself; but whoever does bow has accepted it and will be saved. The fourth reason is, as much land as you walk
Even if this text does not refer to private worship, it shows that venerating the cross was simply a part of everyday life, and something which the monk had plenty of opportunities to do. He was expected to bow before it, and it appears that there were prayers intended for this kind of worship.

Indeed, prayers of this kind can be found in Ælfwine’s private devotions to the cross, and include a series of antiphons, which are prefaced by the rubric ‘[C]VM HOC DICIS, PROSTERNE IN TERRAM ET DIC’ (no. 46.9).482 As these begin, ‘[e]cce lignum crucis, in quo salus mundi pependit’, it is arguable that the speaker is to prostrate him- or herself before a cross, even though that is not specifically stated in the rubric.

Three other prayers, or series of prayers, amongst these private devotions have rubrics which specifically imply prayer before a cross of some kind. These are a series of seven prayers to different parts of Christ’s body, with the rubric, ‘[S]I VIS ORARE ANTE CRVCIFIXVM HOS PSALMOS CANTA’ (no. 46.1);484 an ‘[O]RATIO AD CRVCEM CVM SEPTEM PETITIONIBUS’ (no. 46.13);485 and a prayer with the rubric, ‘[A]NTE CRVCEM DOMINI DEPRECATIO SANCTA LEGENDA’ (no. 46.14).486 The prayers to the body of Christ directly follow a miniature of the crucifixion, so in Ælfwine’s copy, they were probably intended to be said before that image.487 The words ‘ante

---

482 ‘When you say this, prostrate yourself on the ground and say ...’
483 ‘This is the wood of the cross, on which the salvation of the world hung.’
484 ‘If you want to pray before a crucifix, sing these psalms.’
485 ‘Prayer to the cross with seven petitions.’
487 Günzel, ĀElfwine’s Prayerbook, plate 1. Above the crucified Christ appears the inscription, ‘Hec crux consignet Ālfwineum corpore mente’ (‘may this cross sign Ālfwine in body and in mind’), so the miniature was clearly an original part of the manuscript. For Catherine Karkov’s work on this series of prayers, see n. 336 above.
crucem/crucifixum’, which in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook only occur in these two examples, imply more clearly prayer before a cross than the commoner ‘ad crucem’. These examples show that physical representations of the cross in holy spaces were an important part of prayer.

However, Ælfric evidently believed that it was necessary to clarify the meaning of such devotion for the benefit of the laity, presumably for fear that they would fall into idolatry. He cautions that,

\[ ðære halgan rode tácn. is ure bletsung. and to ðære rode we ús gebiddað. na swa ðeah to ðam treowe. ac to ðam ælmihtigum drihtne. ðe on ðære róde for ús hángode (‘Dominica .V. Quadragesime’, ll. 290-3).488 \]

The rod can be prayed to because it is a tacn signifying the Lord who hung upon it. By using this terminology, Ælfric distinguishes between the transcendent sign of the cross and the mere treow from which the rod was made.

**Making the sign of the cross**

If bowing before a cross was a commonplace part of everyday monastic life, signing oneself with the cross was even more so. Nothing could prosper without being blessed in the name of Christ, according to the *Regularis concordia*, and so those who lived under a Rule were to dedicate all they did to him:

\[ omni tempore nocturnis horis, cum ad opus diuinum dê lecturer primum sibi signum sanct⁠ę crucis imprimat per sanct⁠ę trinitatis inuocationem (RC, ll. 257-60).489 \]

---

488 ‘The holy cross sign is our blessing, and we pray to the cross – not, however, to the tree, but to the almighty Lord who hung for us on the cross.’ Godden, Ælfric’s Catholic Homilies: the second series, 127-36.

489 ‘At every time of the night Hours, when a brother has risen from bed for the divine work, may he first of all imprint the sign of the holy cross upon himself, through the invocation of the Holy Trinity.’ The gloss upon ‘signum sanct⁠ę crucis’ in Tiberius A. iii is ‘tacn þære halgan rode’.
The sign of the holy cross could be used for protection, for healing, and as a weapon against the devil, and it is clear that it was chiefly made upon the forehead.490 This was part of Christian practice at least as far back as the second century, as Hippolytus’ *Apostolic Tradition* teaches that the forehead should be ‘sealed’ as a protection against the devil, using the sign with which the Israelites marked their doorposts in the Passover (*Apostolic Tradition*, ch. 37.1-3).491 Ælfric likewise taught that this sign, the Hebrew letter Tau, is the *rodetacen* which with Christians should sign themselves:

> we sceolon mearcian ure forewearde heafod. and urne lichaman mid cristes *rodetacne*. þæt we beon ahreedde fram forwyrde. þonne we beoð gemearcode ægðer ge on foranheafde. ge on heortan mid blode þære drihtenlican ðrowunge (‘Sermo de sacrificio in die Pascae’, ll. 55-9).492

While the body in general should be signed, as a sign of the mark of Christ on the heart within, the forehead is mentioned specifically: its name, *forewearde heafod*, apparently proves to Ælfric that it should be the antithesis of *forwyrde*. Similarly, in Goscelin of St Bertin’s life of St Edith, the saint makes the sign of the cross upon her forehead so often that the relic of her thumb remains perpetually intact.493 *Vitae* such as this, in which the saint makes the sign of the cross as a protection against evil, may have been instrumental in encouraging an audience to do the same.

The sign made upon the forehead was so important that it was, naturally, a central part of the holy sacraments. The last rites are alluded to in two of the prayers

---

490 The various uses of the sign of the cross, particularly in saints’ *vitae*, have been examined in Johnson, ‘The *Crux Usualis*’, 80-95. Ursula Lenker has also written on the different ways of making the sign of the cross and on the Old English terminology used for them. Lenker, ‘Signifying Christ’, 235-40, 254-5, 266-70.
492 ‘We should mark our forehead and our body with Christ’s cross sign, so that we may be saved from destruction. Then we will be marked both on the forehead and in the heart with the blood of the Lord’s suffering.’ Godden, Ælfric’s *Catholic Homilies: the Second Series*, 150-68.
in praise of the cross in the *Vespasian Psalter*. In the first of these, ‘O sanctum et venerabile nostri redemptoris signum’, the speaker prays that he may escape all kinds of persecutions and wickedness, ‘postquam huius uitæ terminum inviolabili tuae protectionis signo munitus’ (*Vespasian, no. 6, l. 11*). 494 Similarly, ‘Salve crux sancta et veneranda’ has:

in hora exitus mei quando morte preuentus tuum auxilium invocare non ualeo. pro tuo uenerabile signum quod fronte gero sacro crismate impressum. esto mihi adiutrix aduersus principes tenebrarum et terrores eorum (no. 8, ll. 14-16). 495

The speaker prays for those who have been signed with the ‘banner’ of the cross

O crux gloriosa. o lignum pretiosum et ammirabile signum in quo est salus uita. et resurrectio nostra. salua omnes in te liberatos. et uere tuo uexillo signatos (II. 20-22). 496

This also may be a reference to the last rites, or more probably to those who have entered the church through baptism. In either case, a person can neither enter the church nor leave this life without being united with Christ through the sign of the cross.

With all of this evidence for the sign of the cross in mind, it becomes more apparent that some prayer texts themselves imply that the speaker should make the sign of the cross while praying. ‘Per gloriem et virtutem’, in Ælfwine’s private prayers to the cross, begins with the petition,

Per gloriem et uirtutem sancte crucis tue, Domine Iesu Christe, cuius signaculum mihi inpono corde et corpore, salua me (*ÆP*, no. 46.14). 497

494 ‘After the end of this life, strengthened by the sign of your inviolable protection.’
495 ‘In the hour of my departure, when, having come to death, I cannot call upon your aid, through the venerable sign of you which I bear impressed with holy chrism on my forehead, be a help to me against the powers of darkness and their terrors.’
496 ‘O glorious cross, O precious wood and wondrous sign, in which is our salvation, life and resurrection, save all those freed in you, and truly sealed by your banner.’
The verb ‘impono’, with its physical connotations and with the object ‘corpore’ as well as ‘corde’, suggests that the speaker not only signs him- or herself symbolically, in spirit, but also physically upon his or her body with Christ’s signaculum.498 If this diminutive form of the word signum has the specific meaning of the little sign of the cross made upon the body, then the prayer ‘Tuam crucem adoramus’, again in Ælfwine’s devotions to the cross, may also require the speaker to sign him- or herself whilst saying the prayer:

per huius signaculum crucis prostermentur inimici et fugentur demonia. Per istius crucis signaculum a periculis mundi liberemur (ÆP, no. 46.10).499

Making the sign of the cross was such a simple and yet meaningful way of expressing one’s faith, that it is one of the chief ways in which monastic and lay religious practice coincided. Relatively little is known about how laypeople prayed at this time. However, it appears from the Blickling Homily for the Third Sunday in Lent that they were taught to do so with the sign of the cross:

eallum Cristenum mannum is beboden þæt hi ealne heora lichoman seofon sipum geblætian mid Cristes röde tæcne. Ærest on ærne morgen, ofre siphe on underfæd, priddan siphe on midne deag, feorpan siphe on nontid, fiftan siphe on æfen, syytan siphe on niht ær he ræste, seofoþan siphe on uhtan. Huru he hine Gode bebeode. Ond gif þa læreowas þis nellæl ðæstlice Godes folce bebeodian, þonne beoþ hi wip God swyþe scylðige, forþon þæt Godes folc sceal witon hu hi sylfe scyldan sceolan wip deoflu (Dominica Tertia in Quadragesima, ll. 117-24).500

497 ‘Through the glory and power of your holy cross, O Lord Jesus Christ, whose [little] sign I place upon myself in heart and in body, save me.’
498 ‘Impono’ is, for example, used in the sense of the laying on of hands in ordination and confirmation. Albert Blaise, ed., Dictionnaire latin-français des auteurs chrétiens, 2nd edn., rev. Henri Chirat (Turnhout: Brepols, 1954), s. v. ‘impono’, no. 1. The same signing of both the forehead and the heart occurs in ‘Qui comparasti nos pretioso sanguine tuo’, a morning prayer in a long series of assorted private prayers in Alfwine’s Prayerbook, which asks for protection through the cross: ‘Christe ... salua nos ... ciuitis signum in frontibus et cordibus nostris infimus’ (‘O Christ ... save us ... whose sign we fix onto our foreheads and our hearts’). Günzel, Alfwine’s Prayerbook, no. 76.54.
499 ‘May demons be put to flight through the [little] sign of this cross. May we be freed from the perils of the world through that [little] sign of that cross.’
As Alcuin taught Charlemagne, the times of the canonical Hours should be observed by laypeople as well as monks. For the homilist, the sign of the cross is one of the most important acts of Christian prayer, so much so that it is commanded to all Christians, whether they are monastic or not, and priests who fail to teach this are guilty before God. This is not only intended to ensure the piety of the laity, but to enable them to protect themselves from demons. Not everyone would become a monk or nun, but all human beings faced spiritual battles, and Christians were armed with the weapon of the holy cross.

The sign of the cross was therefore an essential part of the church’s ministry to the laity. Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 422 and Oxford, Bodleian Library Laud Miscellaneous 482 contain a pair of texts for priests to use when visiting the homes of the sick and dying. The rites for the sick include the instruction,

\[
\text{Wyrce her se preost rode tacn mid } \text{bam axum and } [\text{sealte} \text{ and wætere}}
\]
\[
\text{gemenged on } \text{ba breost[e] } \text{pæs seocan mannes and ofer } \text{ða bruwan}. \]

This rite serves as a reminder that cross prayers and ordines existed in a wider context, both inside and outside of the monastery: the sign of the cross in its various forms was used in prayers, blessings, charms and medical remedies.

---

York: Continuum, 2003), 26-37. ‘It is commanded to all Christian men that they should bless their entire bodies seven times a day with the sign of the Christ’s Cross. First in the early morning, the second time at before noon (9 o’clock), the third time at midday, the fourth time at none (3 o’clock), the fifth time in the evening, the sixth time at night before he goes to sleep, and the seventh time at dawn. At all such times, he should commend himself to God. If the teachers will not impart this upon God’s people, they will be very guilty before God because God’s people ought to know how to protect themselves from devils.’ All translations from the Blickling Homilies are taken from this edition.

501 See pages 56-7 above.

502 ‘Here, the priest should make the sign of the cross, with the ashes and salt and water mixed, on the chest of the sick man and over the eyebrows.’ Bernhard Fehr, ed., ‘Altenglische Ritualtexte für Krankenbesuch, heilige Ölzung und Begräbnis’, in Texte und Forschungen zur englischen Kulturgeschichte: Festgabe für Felix Liebermann zum 20. Juli 1921, eds. Max Förster and Karl Wildhagen, 20-67 (Halle: Max Niemeyer, 1921), 56-7. I have quoted the version in Corpus Christi 422.
There are no clear distinctions between these categories. It would be deviating from the focus of this chapter to examine the use of the cross in charms and healing too closely, and Karen Jolly has considered its various manifestations in detail elsewhere. However, it is relevant to note that these remedies make particularly creative use of the sign of the cross. In the Old English *Leechbook*, London, British Library, Royal 12. D xvii, one remedy for an illness caused by elves involves ‘writing’ three crosses with holy oil (‘writ .III. crucem mid oleum infirmorum’; *Leechbook*, 350, ll. 9-10), writing the sign of the cross over the healing drink and using a written prayer dipped in the drink to write a cross on the patient’s every limb, saying, ‘signum crucis chrisi conservate [sic] in uitam eternam . amen’ (ll. 10-17). A charm in the *Lacnunga*, London, British Library Harley 585, similarly uses the cross for finding lost cattle. The speaker is instructed to pray and say the charm three times, facing east, west, south and north, asking that the cross may bring back the cattle (*Lacnunga*, ll. 850-9), and to say:

Crux (Cristi) abscondita est et inuenta est; Iudeas Crist ahengon, dydon daeda þa wyrrestan, hælon þ(æt) hy forhelan ne mihtan; swa þeos daed nænige þinga f[o]rholen ne wurþe, þurh þa haligan Cristes rode. Amen (ll. 859-62).

---

505 ’[May] the sign of Christ’s cross keep you in eternal life. Amen.’
507 ’[T]he Cross of Christ was lost and is found; the Jews hung Christ, did the worst of deeds, hid that which they could not conceal; so may this deed not be concealed by any means, through the holy Cross of Christ. Amen.’
The cross, which itself was lost and found again by St Helena, will help the reader to find the lost cattle. Since the cross was the powerful sign of God himself expressed in the physical world, it was part of the remedy for any difficulty, however secular, in which one needed spiritual help.

While the scribes who copied the *Leechbook* and *Lacenunga* may have been satisfied that these charms were no less Christian for being used in secular life, Ælfric in particular was anxious to distinguish such remedies from pagan charms. In his view, the cross was the only sign which could legitimately be used as a blessing:

\[
\text{æah þe man wafige wundorlice mid handa ne bið hit æah bleþsunþ buta he wyrcæ tacn þære halgan rode . and se reða feond bþ þæ sona afyrht for ðam sige-fæstan tacne .}
\text{Mid þrym fingrum man sceall senian . and bleþian . for þære halgan þrynynysse . þe is þrim-wealdend god (‘Exaltatio sancte crucis’, ll. 151-6).}^{508}
\]

Not only is the sign of the cross the only acceptable way of blessing oneself, but it must also be done precisely, using three fingers to signify the Trinity.

However unorthodox Ælfric may have found the uses of the sign of the cross outside of the monastery, they have a great deal in common with monastic rituals for personal protection. Of particular importance is the *ordo* for dealing with demonic persecution in the *Portiforium*, which was introduced in detail in Chapter Two (see pages 113-5 above). This not only lists prayers for use in times of trouble, but also explains how and why to use them:

\[
\text{GYF DE DYNCE ðæt ðine fynd ðwyrlceb ymbe ðe ðrydian ðonne gang þu on gelimplicere stowe · 7 þe ða halgan rode to gescyldnesse geciig 7 asete þe ðenedum earmum (Portiforium, II, 24, ll. 3-5).}^{509}
\]

---

508 ‘Even though a man may wave strangely with his hands, it is nevertheless not a blessing unless he make the sign of the holy cross, and the fierce enemy will immediately be afraid of the victorious sign. One must sign and bless oneself with three fingers, because of the Holy Trinity, who is the glorious ruler God.’
It is not clear what this ‘gelimpicere stowe’ (suitable place) might be. It may be before a representation of the cross; however, this need not be the case, as the reader is advised to form the shape of the cross himself by stretching out his arms, and thus to call upon its power. A number of prayers and psalms follow, which make particularly explicit references to being protected by the cross. The speaker prays to Christ,

\[\text{c} \\text{oncede ut ego miser et peccator famulusque tuus. \textit{N. sanctæ signo CRUCIS}}\]

\[\text{munitus ac protectus omnium mihi adversantium machinas dirumpere ualeam (ll. 14-16).}\]^{510}

The term \textit{signaculum} is used in these prayers, most notably in the instructions following the final prayer, in which the reader is told,

\[\text{wyrc swyþe gelome cristes rode tacen on δινυν heaðe · 7 cweð þis gelome. \textit{Ecce crucem domini. 7 cweþ δις þonne. Hoc signaculo sanctæ crucis (ll. 29-31).}}^{511}\]

This is a particularly important mention of the sign of the cross in that it instructs the reader precisely how to sign himself. Furthermore, this \textit{ordo} repeatedly tells the reader to pray with ‘aðenedum earmum’ (ll. 5, 18-19; ‘outstretched arms’), a posture in which, as Liuzza notes, the speaker does not simply pray in front of a cross, but takes on the form of Christ on the cross, identifying himself with him.\(^{512}\)

The text also reminds the reader that the cross is the sign which will usher in the Last Judgement itself: one of the \textit{preces} for this ordo is, ‘[h]oc signum crucis erit in coelo

---

509 ‘If it seems to you that your enemies are thinking about you insolently, then go to a suitable place and call upon the holy cross for your protection, and sit down with outstretched arms.’ This \textit{ordo} can also be found in British Library, Cotton Tiberius A. iii, f. 59. Phillip Pulsiano, ed., ‘British Library, Cotton Tiberius A. iii, fol. 59rv: An Unrecorded Charm in the Form of an Address to the Cross’, \textit{American Notes and Queries}, n. s. 4:1 (1991): 3-5.

510 ‘Grant that I, a miserable sinner and your servant, [name], strengthened and protected by the sign of the holy cross, may prevail in breaking up the schemes of all my adversaries.’

511 ‘Make Christ’s cross sign very frequently on your head, and say this frequently: ‘This is the cross of the Lord’; and say this then: ‘By this little sign of the holy cross’.’

This calls to mind the transcendent significance of the cross: it links the past and the future together with the needs of the speaker in the present. This ordo therefore demonstrates effectively two of the most important features of prayer to the holy cross. It is intended to give complete protection from all kinds of harm, both physical and spiritual, and is particularly concerned with the devil. It shows how integral a part of prayer gesture and posture were, and, in particular, the sign of the cross made upon the forehead.

So far, this chapter has analysed the different ways in which Anglo-Saxon monks and nuns could pray through the holy cross, whether in the form of relics, a cross erected in a church, or by making the sign upon one’s forehead. In whichever of these ways the sign of the cross was made, it was a sign pointing to the immeasurable and unknowable God, and it was through the cross that the inexpressible could be symbolised. This is the case in all cross devotion, but private prayer sequences reveal which parts of the liturgy were considered important enough to be extracted for private use, in the desire for a deeper understanding of the divine mysteries. This can be seen in the Vespasian Psalter prayer ‘Salve crux sancta et veneranda’:

Quis tui misterii profunditatem. et sanctitatis magnitudinem sensu comprehendere. uel uerbis plene potest ennarrare. cum signum tuę imaginis tantam in se contineat uirtutem. ut quocu[m]quemodo exprimatur aduerse potestates et di[a]bolica fantasmata inde fugentur?

513 ‘This sign of the cross will be in heaven when the Lord will come to judge.’
514 ‘Who can understand the depth of your mystery and the greatness of the holiness with their sense or tell fully in words, since the sign of your image contains so much power in itself, that in whatever way it is expressed, the hostile powers and diabolical illusions flee from there?’ Kuhn, Vespasian, no. 8, ll. 7-9. The ‘aduerse potestates’ recall Eph. 6:12: ‘quia non est nobis conluctatio adversus carnem et sanguinem sed adversus principes et potestates adversus mundi rectores tenebrarum harum contra spiritualia nequitiiae in caelestibus’ (‘for our wrestling is not against flesh and blood; but against principalities and powers, against the rulers of the world of this darkness, against the spirits of wickedness in the high places’). It is perhaps a sign of the skill in adapting sources typical of these prayers that ‘aduersus’, in Ephesians, is used as a preposition, but is adapted into an adjective by the composer of the prayer. See also ‘Sancta et ueneranda crux’ in the Portiforium, which similarly
The words ‘quocumquemodo exprimatur’\textsuperscript{515} indicate the many ways in which the symbol of Christ’s cross can be made: in words, in image and in gesture. In all of these, God’s inexpressible power is so great that not only the true cross, not even only its image, but the sign of its image (‘signum tuę imaginis’) is enough to put all demons to flight.

**Identifying Offices and prayer programmes devoted to the Holy Cross**

As discussed in Chapter Two, private prayers do not only appear in continuous series, but also in sequences of prayers which were grouped together according to a theme, or because they were considered to be especially effective for a particular purpose. It can never be known for certain how Wulfstan or Ælfwine used his prayerbook, or how often or when each man said a particular group of prayers, or where he began and ended.\textsuperscript{516} The same set of prayers may have been used in diverse ways on different occasions and by different people, and there is a risk of imposing possibly artificial distinctions on a group of texts. However, at certain points in Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks, there are strong suggestions as to where one group of texts ends and another begins. These divisions can be indicated through the use of rubrics, a change of genre, or by supplementing the prayers with groups of psalms and antiphons. Of course, Anglo-Saxon manuscripts do not always divide up their contents as clearly as a modern edition might imply, and the use of private prayerbooks can ultimately only be speculated about. However, it is sometimes apparent that a collection of prayers has been organised according to a particular theme.

\textsuperscript{515} ‘Cuius uirtutes humana lingua non sufficit enarrare’ (‘whose powers the human tongue is not able to tell’). Hughes, *Portiforium*, II, 20, ll. 2-3.

\textsuperscript{516} ‘In whatever way it is expressed.’

For the use of the name ‘Wulfstan’ in reference to the owner of the *Portiforium*, see n. 43 above.
In the remainder of this chapter, I will examine organised programmes of prayers to the cross: that is, groups of prayers which are linked together with psalms, *Paternosters* and antiphons, and have rubrics guiding the reader in how to use them. Some of these have already been discussed in brief in Chapter Two, on pages 115-23 above. In that chapter, it was proposed that, although there is no clear distinction between a prayer programme and an Office, late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks do include some private Offices in an early stage of their development. I identified an Office, or proto-Office, as a programme of prayer modelled on the liturgy of the canonical Hours: it may begin with a psalm verse such as ‘Deus in adiutorium’ (Ps. 69:2), followed by psalm verses and the *Paternoster*, *Kyrie* or *Gloria Patri*, and perhaps a hymn, and it tends to finish with collects.

Here, I will examine the different programmes of prayer to the cross in *Galba*, *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook* and the *Portiforium*. Even within these three manuscripts, there is a variety of distinctly different programmes of prayer to the cross. This suggests that Anglo-Saxon monks and nuns were experimenting with liturgical forms, sometimes creating private Offices, and sometimes creating private prayer programmes based on other liturgies. In particular, the Veneration of the Cross on Good Friday was used as a plan for private prayer, and it is to this that I will turn first of all. R. M. Liuzza has already compared the different versions of the Veneration liturgy.\(^{517}\) However, he focuses on the version in Tiberius A. iii, using the *Portiforium* and *Regularis concordia* as points of comparison, and only mentions *Galba* and Arundel 155 in passing.\(^{518}\) In the following analysis, I extend Liuzza’s work by considering different levels of sophistication seen in the various private

\(^{517}\) Liuzza, ‘Prayers and/or Charms’, 299-7.

\(^{518}\) Ibid., 301, nn. 76-7.
prayer programmes based on the Veneration, and comparing them to the emergent private Offices of the Holy Cross in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook.

The Veneration of the Cross

The majority of prayer sequences found in the private prayerbooks are based, to a greater or lesser extent, on the liturgy. Sequences of prayers to the cross are most commonly modelled on the liturgy for the Veneration of the Cross on Good Friday, which features the three prayers ‘Adoro te’, ‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’ and ‘Qui tuas manus mundas’. As discussed in detail in Chapter Two (pages 117-8 above), both the Galba Prayerbook and the Portiforium of St Wulstan include the three prayers for this ceremony, as do the eleventh-century additions to the psalter London, British Library Arundel 155. In all three of these manuscripts, ‘Adoro te’ appears in a form which has clearly been taken directly from the Regularis concordia rather than from versions in earlier prayerbooks such as the Book of Cerne and the Libellus Parisinus. This can be seen in the fact that they all include the same six petitions to Christ dying and rising again, whereas the versions in Cerne and Parisinus have fifteen and thirteen lines respectively, concerning the creation, the Exodus and the life of Christ.520

520 A. B. Kuypers, ed., The Prayer Book of Aedelaud the Bishop, Commonly Called the Book of Cerne (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1902), no. 19; Kornexl, Regularis concordia, ll. 1069-82. Lilli Gjerløw has divided the different variants of ‘Adoro te’ into two groups, the ‘common form’ seen in Cerne and the Libellus Parisinus, and the ‘Concordia form’ seen in Galba and the Portiforium, partly on the basis of the number of petitions included in the prayer. Lilli Gjerløw, Adoratio Crucis: The Regularis Concordia and the Decreta Lanfranci. Manuscript Studies in the Early Medieval Church of Norway (Oslo: Norwegian Universities Press, 1961), 16-21, 24-8; Kuypers, Cerne, no. 19; André Wilmart, ed., Precum libelli quattuor aevi karolini (Rome: Ephemerides
Galba, Arundel 155 and the Portiforium include a total of four different adaptations of the Veneration liturgy, and these attest to how this ceremony was taken from its liturgical source and adapted for private use in four markedly different and increasingly sophisticated ways. Table 3.3 below outlines the Veneration liturgy as prescribed in the Regularis concordia, with the incipits of the three prayers given in bold.

**Table 3.3: Texts for the Regularis concordia liturgy of the Veneration of the Cross.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Text or incipit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>‘In tribulatione sua’ (Os. 6:1 ff.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response</td>
<td>‘Domine audivi’ (Hab. 3:2 ff.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prayer</td>
<td>‘Deus a quo et ludas’ [no text given]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>‘Dixit Dominus ad Moysen’ (Ex. 12:1 ff.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psalm</td>
<td>‘Eripe me Domine’ (Ps. 139)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gospel</td>
<td>Passion according to John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prayers</td>
<td>Beginning ‘Oremus, dilectissimi nobis’ [no text given]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improperia,</td>
<td>‘Popule meus’; Agios o Theos/Sanctus Deus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>divided up</td>
<td>‘Quia eduxi uos per desertum’; Agios o Theos/Sanctus Deus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by the Trisagion in Greek and Latin</td>
<td>‘Quid ultra’; Agios o Theos/Sanctus Deus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiphons</td>
<td>‘Ecce lignum crucis’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘Dum fabricator mundi’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymn</td>
<td>‘Pange, lingua’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| The seven penitential psalms divided up by three prayers: | ...
| ‘Adoro te’, | ‘Cum magno cordis suspicio vii poenitentie psalmos cum orationibus sancte cruci compe(n)entibus decantando peror. 
| ‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’, | In prima quidem oratione, tres psalmos primos cum oratione: |
| ‘Qui tuas’  | Domine Ihesu Christe, adoro te in cruce ascendentem; deprecor te, ut ipsa crux liberet me de diabolo percutiente. |
|             | Domine Ihesu Christe, adoro te in cruce ulceratum; deprecor te, ut ipsa ulnera remedium sint animé meæ. |
|             | Domine Ihesu Christe, adoro te in sepulchro positum; deprecor te ut ipsa mors sit uita mea. |
|             | Domine Ihesu Christe, adoro te descedentem ad inferos |

Liturgicae, 1940), *Libellus Parisinus*, no. 2.12. For more details on the differences between the two versions, and the place of Arundel 155 in this scheme, see n. 537 below.


liberantem captivus; deprecor te, ut non ibi me dimittas introire.

Domine Ihesu Christe, adoro te resurgentem ab inferis ascendentem ad caelos; deprecor te, miserere mei.

Domine Ihesu Christe, adoro te uentrurum iudicaturum; deprecor te, ut in tuo aduentu non intres in iudicio cum me peccante, sed deprecor te, ut ante dimittas quam iudices. Qui uiuis et regnas.

IN secunda, duos medioximvos sequente(m) oratione:

Domine Ihesu Christe, gloriosissime conditor mundi, qui cum sis splendor gloriei coeternus Patri Sanctoque Spiritui, ideo dignatus es carnem ex immaculata uirgine sumere et gloriosas palmas tuas in crucis patibulo permisisti configere, ut clastra dissipares inferni et humanum genus liberares de morte; respice et miserere michi misero, obpresso facinorum pondere multarumque nequitarum labe polluto; no[n] me digneris derelinquere, piissime Pater, sed indulge, quod impie gessi. Exaudi me prostratum coram adoranda gloriosissima cruce tua, ut merear tibi mundus adserirete et placere conspectui tuo. Qui con [sic] Patre.

IN tertia ultimos duos cum oratione:

Deus omnipotens, Ihesu Christe, qui tuas manus mundas propter nos in cruce posuisti et de tuo sancto sanguine nos redemisti; mitte in me sensum et intellegentiam, quomodo habeam ueram penitentiam et habeam bonam perseverantiam omnibus diebus uiue me. Amen.

523 ‘...with great sighing of the heart may he [the abbot] conclude by reciting the seven psalms of penitence with penitential prayers to the holy cross. For the first prayer, the first three psalms, with the prayer: ‘O Lord Jesus Christ, I adore you climbing onto the cross; I ask you that that cross may free me from the piercing devil. O Lord Jesus Christ, I adore you wounded on the cross; I ask you that those wounds may be the cure for my soul. O Lord Jesus Christ, I adore you placed in the tomb; I ask you that that death may be my life. O Lord Jesus Christ, I adore you going down to the people of hell, freeing the captives; I ask you that you may not send me to go there. O Lord Jesus Christ, I adore you rising from the people of hell, ascending to the heavens; I ask you to have mercy on me. O Lord Jesus Christ, I adore you about to come to judge; I ask you that in your coming you may not enter into judgement with me, sinning, but I ask you that you may forgive before you judge, you who live and reign...’"

524 ‘Secondly, the two middle ones, with the following prayer: ‘O Lord Jesus Christ, most glorious creator of the world, who while you are the splendour of glory, coeternal with the Father and the Holy Spirit, because of that you deigned to take on flesh from the immaculate Virgin and allowed your glorious palms to be fixed to the gallows of the cross so that you might destroy the gates of hell and free humankind from death. Look upon and have mercy on miserable me, burdened by the weight of sins and befouled by the dishonour of many crimes. Do not deign to abandon me, most holy Father, but be lenient towards what I have impiously done. Hear me, prostrated before your venerable and most glorious cross, that I may merit to appear before the world to you and be pleased in your sight. Who, with the Father ...’"

525 ‘Thirdly, the last two, with the prayer: ‘Almighty God, Jesus Christ, who because of us laid your clean hands on the cross and redeemed us by your holy blood, bestow on me sense and understanding by which I may have true penitence and may have good perseverance all the days of my life.’"
The dotted line in table 3.3 above indicates the point at which the versions in the private prayerbooks begin. At this point in the Good Friday liturgy, the monks said the first three penitential psalms followed by the prayer ‘Adoro te’, then the next two penitential psalms followed by ‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’, and then the last two followed by ‘Qui tuas manus mundas’. Interestingly, this pattern was used as the basis of four prayer programmes in Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks: one in the Galba Prayerbook, one in Arundel 155, and two in the Portiforium of St Wulstan. I will examine each of these programmes in this order, beginning with the one in Galba, which is closest to the version in the Concordia, and ending with the Portiforium versions, which are the most elaborate.

The Galba Prayerbook includes this part of the Veneration ceremony almost exactly as it appears in the Regularis concordia (RC, ll. 1069-1101). In fact, the last two rubrics have been reproduced verbatim from that text (Prayer-Book, 145, l. 1; 146, l. 6).526 The Galba text does not, however, develop the liturgy any further: it does not, for example, add any antiphons to the psalms and prayers. What it does do is offer some further explanation of the text. Unlike the Regularis concordia, Galba gives the incipits of each of the seven penitential psalms, prefaced by the rubric ‘Ps.’ (143, ll. 1-3; 145, ll. 2-3; 146, ll. 7-8). In addition, all three Latin prayers are followed by an English translation (144, ll. 6-20; 145, ll. 14-21; 146, ll. 1-5, 14-18). This would make it more suitable for readers who needed to be reminded which psalms were the penitential psalms, and for those who might either desire or need to read the prayers in their own language.

It is not clear whether this sequence was intended for use with the prayers immediately preceding it. This uncertainty is partly due to the damage done to

526 Muir also notes that a line has been left blank just before ‘Adoro te’, presumably so that the rubric instructing the reader to say the first three penitential psalms could be inserted there. Muir, Prayer-Book, 143, n. 2.
Galba. However, the first folio of the text, f. 110r, includes the ending of the preceding prayer ‘Mane cum surrexero’. This, in turn, begins on f. 108, at the start of which begins the prayer ‘Meis culpis, domine’ (Prayer-Book, 140-3). Likewise, the Veneration prayers end on f. 114r, followed immediately by the proto-Office of the Trinity discussed in Chapter Two (pages 118-19 above), which continue to the end of f. 117v (Prayer-Book, 146-9). Therefore, regardless of disagreements about the original foliation of this manuscript, it is at least certain that the Veneration of the Cross was preceded by two confessional prayers and followed by an Office of the Trinity. It may perhaps be the case that the confessional prayers were intended to be a part of a sequence of prayers dedicated to the cross, in which case the presence of ‘Mane cum surrexero’ suggests that it may have been for use in the morning. Alternatively, the abrupt change of genre suggests that the Veneration prayers form a complete prayer programme on their own. In fact, the position of the proto-Office of the Trinity immediately after the prayers to the cross may be significant: it appears that, as in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, private Offices for different occasions were grouped together in the manuscript (Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, nos. 49-51).

While Galba extracts the psalms from the Veneration liturgy, as well as the three prayers, Arundel 155 strips the prayers of their liturgical trappings altogether. This mid-eleventh-century Roman psalter has forty-four Latin prayers on ff. 171r-92v, all with a full interlinear gloss in Old English of approximately the same date. These private prayers are not linked together by psalms or antiphons, although some

527 This prayer begins, ‘Domine Ihesu Christe, mane cum surrexero intende in me et guberna actos meos et verba mea et cogitationes meas ut toto die transsequam in tua voluntate’ (Prayer-Book, 141, ll. 1-3). ‘O Lord Jesus Christ, when I rise early in the morning reach out to me and govern my actions and my words and my thoughts so that, all day long, I may go over to your will.’
of the prayers have rubrics indicating how and why they were to be said.\textsuperscript{528} Therefore, they can be regarded as simply a series of prayers rather than an Office. Nevertheless, the arrangement of its prayers according to genre shows the mind of a compiler at work. This is shown in table 3.4, in which prayers to the cross are indicated in bold, and other prayers in italics.

\textit{Table 3.4: The private prayers in London, British Library Arundel 155.}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number in edition\textsuperscript{529}</th>
<th>Type of prayer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>Prayers to the Father, Son and Holy Spirit\textsuperscript{530}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-9</td>
<td>‘\textit{Adoro te}’\textsuperscript{531}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>‘Qui tuas manus mundas’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>‘Qui pro humano genere’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>‘Per gloriam et virtutem’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>‘Omnipotens, dilectissime deus’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>‘\textit{Pro sancta cruce tua}’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-27</td>
<td>Prayers of confession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-43</td>
<td>Prayers to the saints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>‘\textit{Liberator animarum}’ (for use after chanting the psalter)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It should be apparent from this table that, in Arundel 155, the sequence of prayers for the Veneration has been extended by the addition of an extra four prayers to the cross: ‘Qui pro humano genere’, ‘Per gloriam et virtutem’, ‘Omnipotens, dilectissime deus’ and ‘Pro sancta cruce tua’. Even if this manuscript cannot in any way be said to include any Offices, it shows that the Veneration of the Cross was being developed and extended in private use.

\textsuperscript{528} ‘Per gloriam et virtutem’ has a rubric specifying that it is to be said in front of a cross: ‘\textit{Ante crucem domini. Oratio sancta}’ (Holthausen, \textit{Interlinearversionen}, no. 13; ‘Before the cross of the Lord. A holy prayer.’)

\textsuperscript{529} Nos. 1-16 and 18-27, and part of no. 28, are edited by Holthausen, ‘\textit{Interlinearversionen}’, 231-54; no. 17 by H. Logeman, ed., ‘Anglo-Saxonica Minora’, \textit{Anglia} 11, 97-120 (1889): 115-9; the remainder of no. 28 and nos. 29-44 by Jackson J. Campbell, ed., ‘Prayers from MS. Arundel 155’, \textit{Anglia} 81 (1963), 82-117. Gneuss, no. 306; Ker, no. 135.

\textsuperscript{530} See pages 35, 85 and 100-1 above.

\textsuperscript{531} Holthausen edits the six petitions of this prayer as six separate prayers.
The texts based on the Veneration in the *Portiforium of St Wulstan* also include extra prayers, and show the increasing level of sophistication with which this liturgy was adapted and became more like a private Office. Ff. 581-618 of the *Portiforium* contain a large number of private prayers, of which all but one of the prayers to the cross have been grouped together. Since the Veneration prayers appear twice in this sequence, it can be argued that there are two Offices, or proto-Offices, based on the Veneration in this manuscript, one immediately following the other. Table 3.5 gives the incipits of these prayers to the cross, found on ff. 609-16 of the *Portiforium*. The bold text indicates prayers, as opposed to antiphons or psalms, the italics indicate rubrics, and the dotted lines show where each Office appears to begin and end.
Table 3.5: The developing Offices based on the Veneration of the Cross in the Portiforium of St Wulstan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page no. in Hughes vol. II</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-18</td>
<td>[A lacuna of 8 folios is followed by a series of private prayers]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>OFFICE NO. 1</td>
<td><em>Hic decanta VII penitentiales psalmos.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First three penitential psalms</td>
<td><em>Domine ne in furore tuo</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ps. Beati quorum</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Domine ne in furore</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Paternoster and antiphons</em></td>
<td><em>Pater noster.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Adhoramus te Christe.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Omnis terra.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Per signum crucis.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ne derelinquas me domine [...]</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-19</td>
<td>‘Adoro te’</td>
<td><em>Oratio ad crucem.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>The next two penitential psalms, <em>Paternoster</em> and the same antiphons as above</td>
<td><em>De eadem re unde supra.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Miserere mei deus.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Domine exaudi</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>pater noster et preces</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’</td>
<td><em>Domine ihesu christe, gloriosissime conditor mundi [...]</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final two penitential psalms and the same antiphons as above</td>
<td><em>De profundis.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Domine exaudi.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Preces ut supra</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘Qui tuas manus mundas’</td>
<td><em>Alia oratio.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘Qui pro humano genere’</td>
<td><em>De eadem re.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

532 Hughes, *Portiforium*, I, 175.
<p>| 20 | ‘Deus cui cuncte obedient creature’ | Vnde supra. Deus cui cuncte obedient creature ... |
| 20-1 | ‘Sancta et ueneranda crux’ | Ut supra. Sancta et ueneranda crux, in qua nos gloriari oportet [...] |
|   | OFFICE NO. 2 | Item aliae orationes latine et anglice. |
|   | Kyrie and two antiphons, each in both Latin and English. | Kyrrieleyson christeleison kyrrieleyson. |
|   |   | Adhoramus [sic] te christe [...] |
|   |   | AnGLICE. We gebiddað þu alysdest us ure drihten [...] |
|   |   | LATINE. Per signum crucis de inimicis nostris libera nos deus noster. |
|   |   | Durh rode tacen þu alysdest us ure drihten. |
|   | Three penitential psalms | Ps. Domine ne in furore. |
|   |   | Ps. Beati quorum. |
|   |   | Ps. Domine ne in furore tuo. |
|   | Antiphon in Latin and English | Omnis terra adoret te [...] |
|   |   | ANGLICE. Eall eorðe gebidde [...] |
|   | [Latin alternating line-by-line with English. The second part of each line only appears in the English.] | AnGLICE. Drihten halend Crist ic bidde ðe on rode astigende · ic bidde þe þæt seo sylfe rod me alyse fram deofles slæge. |
|   |   | [...] in cruce uulneratum. |
|   |   | AnGLICE. [...] on rode gewundadne [...] |
|   |   | Latine. [...] in sepulchro positum. |
|   |   | AnGLICE. [...] on byrigenne geledne [...] |
|   |   | [...] descendentem ad inferos. |
|   |   | AnGLICE. [...] adune to helwarum [...] |
|   |   | Latine. [...] resurgentem ab inferis. |
|   |   | AnGLICE. [...] arisende fram helwarum [...] |
|   |   | HOC PROSEQUITUR Latine. [...] uenturum iudicaturum. |
|   |   | [...] toweardne deman [...] ðu þe leofast 7 rixast god mid god fæder in annysse haliges gastes · á in weorulda weoruld. Amen. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 21   | Two penitential psalms and *Kyrie* | *INDE PSALMUS.*  
Miserere mei Deus.  
Domine exaudi.  
*Kyrieleison.* |
| 21   | Three antiphons, each in Latin and English | *LATINE.* Dicite in nationibus [...]  
*ANGLICE.* Sæcgað on þeodum [...]  
Crucem tuam adoramus domine [...]  
*ANGLICE.* Drihten þine halgan rode we geadmedað [...]  
O crux benedicta [...]  
*ANGLICE.* Hala þu gebletsode rod [...] |
| 21-2 | ‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’ | *ORATIO.* Domine Ihesu Christe gloriosissime conditor mundi [...]  
*Drihten hælend crist se wuldorfullestæ middaneardæ scyppend* [...] |
| 22   | Two penitential psalms and *Kyrie* | De profundi clamaui.  
Domine exaudi. II.  
*Kyrieleison.* |
|      | Four antiphons each in Latin and English, including one line of ‘Adoro te’ | Tuam crucem adoramus domine [...]  
Drihten ðine halgan rode we geadmedað [...]  
Salua nos Christe salvator [...]  
Eala hælend Crist gehæl us [...]  
Ne derelinquas me domine pater et dominator uitæ meæ [...]  
Eala ðu drihten fæder 7 wealdend mines lifes ne forlæt ðu me [...]  
Domine Ihesu Christe adoro te in cruce ascendentem spineam coronam in capite portantem [...]  
[D]rihten hælend crist ic geadmeð þe on rode astigendne 7 ðyrnenne kynehelm on heafde berendne [...] |
| 22-3 | ‘Qui crucem tuam ueneror’ | Deus qui crucem tuam ueneror [...] |
| 22-3 | ‘Tuam misericordiam recolentes’ | Domine ihesu christe, tuam misericordiam recolentes [...] |
| 23   | ‘Qui per crucem passionis’ | Domine ihesu christe, qui per crucem passionis tue [...]  
[There follow some confessional prayers, apparently for use with a priest, in the middle of which is a lacuna of one folio. Afterwards follows the *ordo* for use against devils.] |
Although there is, of course, a risk of imposing artificial boundaries onto a continuous series of prayers, it is apparent that the rubric introducing the penitential psalms indicates a distinct change of purpose in the text. First, the psalms mark the beginning of several folios of relatively short prayers almost exclusively devoted to the cross, whereas the texts on the previous folios are prayers to the saints or long prayers of confession and penitence (*Portiforium*, II, 3-18). Second, the prayers to the cross are linked by the penitential psalms and antiphons dedicated to the cross. This practice is otherwise unknown in the private prayers in the *Portiforium*. The rubric introducing the penitential psalms is of particular note: ‘Hic decanta VII penitentiales psalmos’ (18, l. 29). The ‘hic’ (‘here’) implies that the reader is not simply reading prayers singly or at random, but that he is engaged in a programme which has to be followed in a particular order. For these reasons, we can therefore be reasonably confident that a kind of private Office, albeit one in its developing stages, is taking place at this point in the manuscript.

The first of the Veneration texts in the *Portiforium* stays close to the version in the *Regularis concordia* and the *Galba Prayerbook*. As shown in table 3.5 above, the reader is instructed to chant the first three of the seven penitential psalms (18, ll. 30-1), then the prayer ‘Adoro te’ (18, ll. 36-9; 19, ll. 1-11); the next two psalms (19, l. 13) and ‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’ (ll. 14-23); the final three psalms (l. 24), and then ‘Qui tuas manus mundas’ (ll. 25-9). However, this text goes beyond the equivalent one in *Galba* by extending the basic pattern with antiphons and additional prayers. After the first three penitential psalms, the reader is instructed to say the *Paternoster* and the antiphons ‘Adoramus te Christe’, ‘Omnis terra’, ‘Per signum crucis’ and ‘Ne derelinquas me domine’, of which only the final antiphon is written

---

533 ‘Here, chant the seven penitential psalms.’
out in full (18, ll. 31-4). Rubrics further on in the text indicate that the same antiphons are to be said with each set of psalms: these rubrics read, ‘Miserere mei deus. Domine exaudi, pater noster et preces’\(^{534}\) (19, l. 13) and ‘De profundis. Domine exaudi. *preces ut supra*’ (l. 24).\(^{535}\)

Furthermore, the Office has been extended through the addition of three extra prayers to the cross after the Veneration prayers: ‘Qui pro humano genere’, ‘Deus cui cuncte obediunt creature’ and ‘Sancta et ueneranda crux’ (19, ll. 30-40; 20, ll. 1-11). It is noticeable that ‘Qui pro humano genere’ follows the Veneration prayers in both the *Portiforium* and Arundel 155: it may be that the pattern of three prayers in the *Regularis concordia* was being expanded to include a fourth.

Perhaps surprisingly, this developing Office of the cross is immediately followed by another based on the Veneration of the Cross, beginning with the rubric ‘Item aliae orationes latine et anglice’ (20, l. 12).\(^{536}\) This follows a similar pattern to the previous text, although the third Veneration prayer, ‘Qui tuas manus mundas’, does not appear in this version at all. Before and after each of the two Veneration prayers, the reader says the *Kyrie eleison*, the penitential psalms and some antiphons. Similarly, this Office ends with a series of three prayers. However, the second *Portiforium* text develops the Veneration liturgy further by using a different selection of antiphons each time, which indicates the increasing complexity with which this basic pattern was treated in the developing private Office.

Furthermore, all of the antiphons in this text appear in both Latin and English, as do ‘Adoro te’ and ‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’.\(^{537}\) In the case of

---

534 Emphasis mine. ‘Have mercy on me, O God’, ‘Hear, O Lord’, *Pater noster* and *preces.*

535 Emphasis mine. ‘Out of the depths’, ‘Hear, O Lord’, *preces* as above.

536 ‘Also, other prayers in Latin and English.’

537 As indicated in table 3.5, the first line of ‘Adoro te’ appears as the final antiphon in the Office, immediately before the prayer ‘Qui crucem tuam ueneror’. Unusually, this takes a different form from that hitherto encountered in the *Portiforium*: ‘Domine Ihesu Christe adoro te in cruce’
‘Adoro te’, each line appears first in Latin and then in translation, and the second part of each line is only found in the English: for example:

LATINE. Domine Ihesu Christe adoro te in cruce ascendentem.
ANGLICE. Drihten hælend crist ic bidde ðe on rode astigende · ic bidde þe þæt seo sylfe rod me alyse fram defoles slæge.
Domine Ihesu Christe adoro te ... (20, ll. 23-6).  

Muir notes that only Galba and the Portiforium include the Old English translation of the Veneration prayers;\(^{539}\) indeed, the translation is essentially the same in these two manuscripts, and distinct from the gloss in Arundel 155. It is at least possible that this translation was included for saying together with the laity: as discussed on pages 95-6 above, Bishop Wulfstan may have taken the book with him when travelling in his diocese.\(^{540}\) Tracey-Anne Cooper notes that, according to the Waltham Chronicle, a version of ‘Adoro te’ was apparently said by Tovi the Proud, a thegn of King Cnut, while praying in penitence before a stone cross.\(^{541}\) If this

---

\(^{538}\) ‘Latin. O Lord Jesus Christ, I adore you climbing onto the cross. English. Lord Saviour Christ, I ask you climbing onto the cross: I ask you that that same cross may free me from the devil’s attack. O Lord Jesus Christ, I adore you ...’


chronicle reflects anything of the reality of Tovi’s prayer, or even what the chronicler expected a layman would pray before a stone cross, then it is not impossible that the prayers for the Veneration of the Cross were translated and taught to laypeople.

The three versions of the Veneration liturgy in Arundel 155, the Galba Prayerbook and the Portiforium of St Wulstan therefore show four different ways in which manuscripts of the same era adapted the Regularis concordia liturgy for the Veneration of the Cross. Galba detaches the second half of the liturgy and translates it for use as a programme of private prayer. Arundel 155 removes the liturgical additions, but adds an extra four prayers to the sequence. The two versions in the Portiforium, however, extend the sequence considerably, by adding translations, extra prayers, and, most importantly, antiphons to the cross in order to join the different elements of the liturgy together, bringing it closer to a complete private Office of the Cross.

Other Offices of the cross

The adaptations of the Veneration are not the only prayer programmes dedicated to the cross in late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks. Other, distinctly different ones can be found in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook and elsewhere in the Portiforium of St Wulstan, which show both similarities and dissimilarities to the texts based on the Veneration.

On ff. 76r-85v of Ælfwine’s Prayerbook there appear three distinct groups of texts, which the editor, Beate Günzel, has titled the Offices of the Trinity, the Holy Cross, and the Blessed Virgin Mary (ÆP, nos. 49-51). The first is prefaced by the miniature of the Holy Trinity (no. 48), and the latter two have headings in the manuscript itself: ‘IN HONORE SANCTI [sic] CRVCIS’ (no. 50) and ‘IN HONORE SANCTE
MARIAE’ (no. 51). This would suggest that the compiler of the manuscript consciously planned these items as discrete programmes of devotion in themselves. Furthermore, the contents and rubrics of these programmes suggest that they were consciously modelled on monastic communal worship. Günzel’s description of these texts as ‘Offices’ is therefore perfectly reasonable, even though there is not a separate text for each Hour. They can also be used as a model with which to compare the other potential Offices of the cross. In the following table, rubrics are indicated in italics, and prayers in bold.
Table 3.6: In honore sanctae crucis: an Office of the cross in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook (no. 50).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. in Günzel</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Incipit of text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opening psalm (verse)</td>
<td><em>IN HONORE SANCTI</em> [sic] CRVCIS. Deus in adiutorium meum intende. Domine [Ps. 69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gloria patri. Sicut erat.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antiphon</td>
<td>A. Salua nos, Christe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psalms</td>
<td>Deus in nomine tuo [Ps. 53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Confitemini Domino [Ps. 117]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beati inmaculati [Ps. 118], usque in finem.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quicumque uult.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antiphon</td>
<td>A. Salua nos, Christe saluator [...]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>Capitulum</td>
<td><em>CAPITVLVM.</em> Christus peccata nostra pertulit [...] [1 Pt. 2:24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>R. O crux beneficta [...]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Versicle</td>
<td>V. O crux admirabilis [...]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hymn</td>
<td><em>YMNVS. VEXILLA REGIS</em> [no text]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Versicle</td>
<td>V. Omnis terra adorat te, Deus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antiphon</td>
<td>A. Super omnia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnificat.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antiphon</td>
<td>A. Super omnia ligna cedrorum [...]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psalm</td>
<td>Domine exaudi [Ps. 101/142]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>Prayer</td>
<td><em>ORATIONES DE SANCTA CRVCE AC SALVBRITATE.</em> DEUS CVI CVCNCTE OBEDIVNT CREATVRE [...]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>Prayer</td>
<td><em>ITEM ALIA. ILLVMINA, DOMINE, VVLTUM TVVM SVPER NOS</em> [...]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>Prayer</td>
<td>ALIA. DEVS, QUI BEATAE CRVCIS PATIBVLM [...]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>Prayer</td>
<td>ALIA ITEM. DEUS, QVI PER SANGUINEM et crucem [...]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From table 3.6 above, it should be clear that *In honore sanctae crucis*\(^542\) is consciously planned out in the manner of a monastic Office, more so than the Veneration programmes. The text begins with ‘Deus in adiutorium meum intende’, the verse with which the liturgy of the Hours should begin, according to the *Regula*

\(^{542}\) I will use this title in preference to the ungrammatical title found in the manuscript.
After this, *In honore sanctae crucis* is structured around four psalms, a capitulum on Christ’s victory on the cross, the hymn ‘Vexilla regis’ by Venantius Fortunatus, and the most fundamental prayers and tenets of the faith, in the form of the *Quicumque vult*, *Gloria Patri*, *Magnificat*, *Kyrie*, *Paternoster* and *Creed*. All of these elements are linked together with antiphons and responses appropriate to the praise of the cross. Finally, like the developing Offices of the cross in the *Portiforium of St Wulstan*, *In honore sanctae crucis* ends with four prayers, unconnected by any antiphons. As this text appears to offer a highly developed private Office, it provides a useful comparison for other programmes of prayer dedicated to the cross.

Günzel does not identify any other text in *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook* as an ‘Office of the Cross’, and yet it is arguable that there is another such text earlier in the manuscript. Ff. 66r-73v of *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook* were discussed in Chapter Two (pages 108-10 above). This is a considerably less carefully organised collection of prayers to the cross, and accordingly Günzel has titled it simply ‘Devotions to the Holy Cross’ (*ÆP*, no. 46). Alternatively, Alicia Corrêa has more confidently identified it as ‘the Office of the Cross’: these two choices of title indicate the difficulty of categorising the private worship of this time. Table 2.3 (page 109) has already indicated, in very general terms, the contents of these folios. Summarised briefly, they begin with a series of seven psalms and prayers to be said to seven parts of Christ’s body; these are followed by a number of prayers and

---

544 For Venantius’ hymns to the cross, see page 209 below.
antiphons, and a list of reasons for adoring the cross;\textsuperscript{546} and the folios close with a series of prayers and collects prefaced with the \textit{Gloria Patri}, \textit{Kyrie}, \textit{Paternoster}, \textit{Creed}, and a group of antiphons.

Although the ‘Devotions to the Holy Cross’ do not appear to have an overall plan, it may be that small groups of these prayers were separated from the rest and used as Offices of the cross. This possibility is most strongly suggested by the prayers on ff. 72r-73v. Towards the bottom of f. 72r, there appears the rubric ‘\textit{ORATIO IN \textit{I. MANE AD CRVCEM’ (\textit{ÆP}, no. 46.16), which suggests that it is a separate programme for prayer to the cross to be undertaken in the morning.\textsuperscript{547} This follows a pattern which is more reminiscent of \textit{In honore sanctae crucis}, the Office of the cross discussed above. The following table, 3.7, outlines this text; as above, italics indicate rubrics and bold type indicates prayers.

\textsuperscript{546} Noticeably, two of the prayers in the middle section of the ‘Devotions to the Holy Cross’ also appear in the prayers to the cross in Arundel 155, with very similar rubrics. ‘Pro sancta cruce tua’ has the heading ‘\textit{ORATIO AD CRVCEM CVM SEPTEM PETITIONIBVS’ (\textit{ÆP}, no. 46.13; ‘Prayer to the cross with seven petitions’). The same rubric accompanies this prayer in Arundel 155, (‘Interlinearversionen’, no. 15). Another similarity between the two manuscripts can be seen in the prayer ‘Per gloriam et uirtutem’. In \textit{Ælfwine’s Prayerbook}, it is prefaced by the rubric ‘\textit{ANTE CRVCEM DOMINI DEPRECATIO SANCTA LEGENDA’ (\textit{ÆP}, no. 46.14; ‘a holy prayer to be read before the cross of the Lord’), while Arundel 155 has the similar rubric ‘Ante crucem domini. Oratio sancta’ (\textit{Interlinearversionen}, no. 13; ‘before the cross of the Lord. A holy prayer’). Although \textit{Ælfwine’s Prayerbook} does not have an Office based on the Veneration of the Cross \textit{per se}, it still draws on the same stock of texts as the Veneration Offices.

\textsuperscript{547} ‘Prayer in the early morning to the cross.’
Table 3.7: Oratio in .I. mane ad crucem: a possible Office of the cross in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook (nos. 46.16-21).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. in Günzel</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Incipit of text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46.16</td>
<td>Psalm</td>
<td><em>Oratio in .I. mane ad crucem.</em> <em>Verba mea auribus percipe,</em> <em>Domine</em> [Ps. 5], usque in finem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.17</td>
<td>Antiphons</td>
<td><em>Preces.</em> <em>Adoramus te,</em> Christe [...] Per signum crucis [...] Omnis terra adorat te [...] Psalmum dicam nomini tuo, Domine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.18</td>
<td>Prayer</td>
<td><em>Collecta.</em> Respite,* quesumus,* Domine Deus noster [...]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.19</td>
<td>Prayer</td>
<td><em>Alia.</em> Gregem tuum,* quesumus,* Domine pastor bone [...]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.20</td>
<td>Prayer</td>
<td><em>Collecta.</em> Adesto familie tue,* quesumus [...]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.21</td>
<td>Prayer(s)</td>
<td><em>Oratio.</em> Obsecro te,* Domine Iesu Christe filii Dei uiui,* per crucem tuam et per sanctam passionem tuam,* ut dimittas delicta mea. Pro beata cruce,* custodi capud meum [...]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final</td>
<td>blessings* Et omnes benedictiones,* que in scripturnis sanctis scripte sunt,* sint super me omnibus diebus uite meae. Amen. Benedicat me Deus pater [...]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Though somewhat shorter, this bears some obvious similarities to *In honore sanctae crucis.* It begins with an opening psalm, imploring God to hear the speaker’s prayers. It features the most important prayers of the Christian church in the *Gloria Patri,* *Kyrie* and *Paternoster,* and it has four antiphons, mostly in praise of the cross.

Like *In honore sanctae crucis,* *Oratio in .I. mane* prescribes the psalm ‘Domine exaudi’ before a block of about four prayers, after which it closes with a couple of general blessings upon the speaker. Even if Ælfwine’s ‘Devotions to the Holy Cross’ are not considered to be an Office, there are good grounds to regard *Oratio in .I. mane* as one.

Part of the reason for this is its use of antiphons. The antiphons in this Office are quoted below in full:
These three antiphons, and a number of others, continually feature in the texts dedicated to the cross which have already been discussed. Table 3.8 below shows the structures of the four prayer programmes analysed above alongside another, *Gyf de dynce*, which is the *ordo* for use against devils in the *Portiforium*, as seen in Chapter Two (pages 113-15 above). Antiphons are indicated in bold type, and an asterisk shows where the whole antiphon, rather than simply the incipit, is given in the manuscript.

---

548 *Preces.* We adore you Christ and we bless you because through your cross you redeemed the world. Through the sign of the cross free us from our enemies, our God. All the earth adores you, God, and sings to you; I will sing a psalm to your name, O Lord.*
Table 3.8: A comparison of the antiphons used in five prayer programmes dedicated to the cross.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Veneration 1 (Portiforium, II, 18-20)</th>
<th>Veneration 2 (Portiforium, II, 20-3)</th>
<th>Gyf de ðyne (Portiforium, II, 24)</th>
<th>Oratio in .I. mane (ÆP, nos. 46.16-21)</th>
<th>In honore sanctae crucis (ÆP, no. 50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Three psalms and Paternoster</td>
<td>Kyrie</td>
<td>Prayer</td>
<td>Psalm, Gloria, Kyrie, Paternoster, Creed</td>
<td>Psalm, Gloria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoramus te</td>
<td>Adoramus te*</td>
<td>O sancta crux*</td>
<td>Adoramus te*</td>
<td>Salua nos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omnis terra</td>
<td>Per signum crucis*</td>
<td>Two psalms, Kyrie and Paternoster</td>
<td>Per signum crucis*</td>
<td>Three psalms, Quicumque vult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per signum crucis</td>
<td>Three psalms</td>
<td>Per signum sancte crucis</td>
<td>Omnis terra*</td>
<td>Salua nos*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ne derelinquas me*</td>
<td>Omnis terra*</td>
<td>Adoramus te</td>
<td>Psalm, five prayers, two blessings</td>
<td>Capitulum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prayer, two psalms, Paternoster</td>
<td>Prayer, two psalms, Kyrie</td>
<td>Salua nos</td>
<td>O crux benedicta*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoramus te</td>
<td>Dicite in nationibus*</td>
<td>Prayer and psalm</td>
<td>O crux admirabilis*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omnis terra</td>
<td>Crucem tuam*</td>
<td>Hoc signaculo sanctae crucis*</td>
<td>Hymn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per signum crucis</td>
<td>O crux benedicta*</td>
<td>Psalm, Kyrie, Paternoster</td>
<td>Omnis terra</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ne derelinquas me</td>
<td>Prayer, two psalms, Kyrie</td>
<td>Hoc signum crucis erit*</td>
<td>Super omnia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prayer, two psalms</td>
<td>Tuam crucem adoramus*</td>
<td>Per signum sancte crucis</td>
<td>Magnificat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoramus te</td>
<td>Salua nos*</td>
<td>Omnis terra</td>
<td>Super omnia*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omnis terra</td>
<td>Ne derelinquas*</td>
<td>Psalm, prayer</td>
<td>Kyrie, Paternoster, Creed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per signum crucis</td>
<td>Adoro te*</td>
<td>Ecce crucem domini</td>
<td>Adoramus te</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ne derelinquas me</td>
<td>Three prayers</td>
<td>Hoc signaculo sanctae crucis</td>
<td>Hoc signum sancte crucis erit*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four prayers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This table shows some significant similarities in the antiphons used in each text.


These antiphons were clearly derived from the feasts of the cross in the late Anglo-Saxon church. Most of them are to be found in Wulfstan’s own collectar, which forms part of the Portiforium and therefore are a good guide to what would have been known to the compiler of the prayerbook. In that manuscript, ‘Adoramus te’, ‘O crux benedicta’, ‘Tuam crucem adoramus’, ‘Hoc signum crucis erit’, ‘Super omnia’, ‘Salua nos’, ‘Ecce crucem domini’, ‘O crux admirabilis’, ‘Per signum crucis’ are all antiphons, versicles or responses for the different Hours on the sixth feria, which were dedicated to the Holy Cross.549 Additionally, ‘Ne derelinquas me’ is one of the antiphons listed in the Portiforium collectar under the rubric ‘Incipiunt responsorio [sic] de Iudit’,550 and ‘Crucem tuam’ is one of a number of ‘Antiphone ante crucem decantandae dum defertur’ in the Portiforium.551 ‘Dicite in nationibus’ is not found in the Portiforium, but in the Leofric Collectar it is used at various Hours on the feasts of the Invention and Exaltation of the Cross, on the Sunday after Easter, and at Matins from the octaves of Easter to the octaves of Pentecost.552 If a private Office of the cross can be identified by its imitation of communal liturgy, and if In honore sanctae crucis can be considered a private Office, then the other prayer

549 Hughes, Portiforium, II: Vespers: ‘Adoramus te’ (no. 2749), ‘O crux benedicta’ (no. 1597), ‘Tuam crucem adoramus’ (no. 2749), ‘Hoc signum crucis erit’ (nos. 2745 and 2747), ‘Super omnia’ (no. 2743); Prime: ‘Salua nos’ (no. 2751); Terce: ‘Ecce crucem domini’ (no. 1779); Sext: ‘O crux admirabilis’ (no. 2752); None: ‘Per signum crucis’ (no. 1600).
550 Ibid., no. 2432; ‘here begins the responsory of Judith.’
551 Hughes, Portiforium, I, no. 749; ‘antiphons before the cross to be sung while it is venerated.
programmes, which draw on the same stock of antiphons, can arguably be regarded as Offices too.

**Thematic interests of the prayers to the cross**

Since these texts make use of the same antiphons and prayers, there will inevitably be a great extent to which the thematic concerns of each one are similar. However, the precise selection of prayers and antiphons used in each programme causes them to have slightly different emphases.

The three prayers for the Veneration of the Cross are oriented towards what Christ has done for the speaker personally, and for humankind in general. The six petitions of ‘Adoro te’ emphasise that Christ’s conquering of death has given life to the speaker himself:

> Domine ihesu christe, adoro te in sepulchro positum, deprecor te ut ipsa mors sit uita mea (Portiforium, II, 19, ll. 1-2).\(^{553}\)

The following prayer, ‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’, is more concerned than ‘Adoro te’ with the individual speaker’s sinfulness, but the two prayers are linked by the awareness that Christ underwent the cross for the sake of the whole of humanity:

> dignatus es carnem ex immaculata uirgine sumere et gloriosas palmas tuas in crucis patibulo permissisti configere, ut claustra dissipares inferni, et humanum genus liberare de morte. Respice et miserere mei miserrimo oppresso facinorum pondere multarumque nequitarum labe polluta (19, ll. 15-19).\(^{554}\)

---

\(^{553}\) ‘O Lord Jesus Christ, I adore you placed in the tomb; I ask you that that death may be my life.’

\(^{554}\) ‘You deigned to take on flesh from the immaculate Virgin and allowed your glorious palms to be fixed to the gallows of the cross so that you might destroy the gates of hell and free humankind from death. Look upon and have mercy on most miserable me, burdened by the weight of sins and befouled by the dishonour of many crimes.’
The third prayer, ‘Qui tuas manus mundas’, very similarly, recalls Christ’s placing his hands upon the cross ‘propter nos’ (ll. 25-6; ‘for us’), before asking him for the gifts of penitence and perseverance for the rest of the speaker’s life (ll. 27-9).

This basic sequence was augmented in different ways in the versions of the Veneration in the Portiforium and in Arundel 155. In each manuscript, ‘Qui tuas manus mundas’ is followed by the prayer ‘Qui pro humano genere’. It is not difficult to see why this prayer was used as a kind of fourth Veneration prayer. Like the previous one, it praises Christ for shedding his blood on the cross ‘pro humano genere’ (19, ll. 30-1; ‘for humankind’), before asking for forgiveness and protection in the future (ll. 32-5). In the Portiforium, this is followed by ‘Deus, cui cuncte obediant creature’ and ‘Sancta et ueneranda crux’. The former asks for God’s protection upon all believers through the cross, looking back to the Tree of Life in Eden, compared with which the cross is ‘lignum uitae paradisique reparator’, and will destroy the venom of the tempting serpent (ll. 38-9). The latter prayer, by contrast, looks forward to the Day of Judgement, as the speaker prays that Christ may protect him through the cross and let him enter heaven (20, ll. 6-10). The overall theme of the first Veneration text in the Portiforium is therefore Christ’s redemption of humankind through his sharing of human nature, and requests for future help for the speaker himself, after which he ends by meditating upon the cross’s role in opening the gate to heaven.

The second Portiforium text based on the Veneration also makes use of ‘Adoro te’ and ‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’, but with the addition of a large number of antiphons and the prayers ‘Qui crucem tuam ueneror’, ‘Tuam misericordiam recolentes’ and ‘Qui per crucem passionis tue’. As discussed above,

\[555\] ‘The tree of life and the restorer of Paradise.’
it may have been used with the laity. It also appears to have been intended for use
on Good Friday itself. In ‘Qui crucem tuam ueneror’, the speaker prays to Christ,
‘pro nobis pendens hodie in cruce’ (22, ll. 30-1).\(^{556}\) Also, an interlinear addition of
the words ‘hodierna die’ (‘on this day’) was made to the text of ‘Gloriosissime
conditor mundi’ after it was originally copied, resulting in the phrase:

\[
gloriosas palmas tuas \textit{hodierna die} \text{ in crucis patibulo promisisti configere (21, ll. 25-6).}^{557}
\]

The emphasis in this text is again on Christ’s redemption of humanity on the cross.

‘Qui crucem tuam ueneror’ is similar to ‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’: the speaker
asks, ‘salua me peccatorem prostratum adorantem te humilit er et confitentem’ (22, ll.
31-2).\(^{558}\) The following prayer likewise praises Christ for becoming human in order
to redeem human beings, in more certain terms than do the other prayers:

\[
os tantum amplexus es caritate ut iustus pro peccatoribus morereris. Nec enim periret essentia nostra fieri dignatus es redemptio nostrae [sic] (22, ll. 36-8).^{559}
\]

The prayers found in the \textit{Portiforium}’s two Veneration texts, then, have
similar concerns. Where the second differs from the first is in its addition of the
antiphons. The unifying theme of all of these antiphons is the praise of the cross,
and the first two sets of antiphons strike a particularly triumphant note: for example,

‘Dicite in nationibus quia dominus regnauit a ligno’ (21, l. 11).\(^{560}\) The third set of

\(^{556}\) ‘Hanging on the cross for us today.’

\(^{557}\) Emphasis mine. ‘On this day you promised your glorious palms to be fixed to the gallows of the
cross.’ For a facsimile of this page of the manuscript, see \textit{Parker Library on the Web}, version 1.2,
\texttt{http://parkerweb.stanford.edu/}, Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 391, 613, l. 3. ‘Promisisti’ is

\(^{558}\) ‘You embraced us so completely in love that you, the just one,
might die for us sinners. Lest our substance might die, you deigned to become our redemption.’

\(^{559}\) ‘Tuam misericordiam recolentes’: ‘Save me, a sinner, prostrated, adoring you humbly and confessing.’

\(^{560}\) ‘Say amongst the peoples that the Lord has reigned from the tree.’
antiphons ask Christ to save the speaker from his enemies, and to have mercy upon all Christians: for example,

Salua nos Christe saluator per uirtutem sancte crucis qui saluasti Petrum in mari miserere nobis (22, ll. 13-14).  

These antiphons immediately precede ‘Qui crucem tuam ueneror’ and therefore set the tone for its increased focus on the speaker’s sinfulness.

The prayer programmes in the Portiforium can be usefully compared with those in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook. In honore sanctae crucis has a similar selection of antiphons to the Portiforium texts, and therefore to some extent shares their triumphal quality. The antiphon ‘Super omnia’, which does not appear in the other prayer programmes that I have discussed, specifically praises the cross as that on which Christ triumphed and conquered death (ÆP, no. 50.1). The closing prayers are not concerned with the speaker as a sinner at all, but with protection for the future in this world and the next. ‘Deus, qui beatae crucis patibulum’ asks that God’s people may have

fidei fundamentum spei suffragium in aduersis defensio, in prosperis iuuamentum (no. 50.4).  

The following prayer closes the Office by asking God that his people may be enriched by his peace and by the society of angels (50.5). This Office therefore has a slightly more pronounced emphasis on Christ’s triumph on the cross and his gifts which were given through it, and, like the first of the Portiforium texts, concludes by looking towards heaven.

---

561 ‘Save us, saviour Christ, through the power of the holy cross; you who saved Peter on the sea, have mercy on us.’

562 ‘A foundation for faith, aid for hope, defence against enemies, assistance in fortune.’
*Oratio in *I. *mane ad crucem* again shares some of its antiphons with the other prayer programmes, but is slightly more oriented towards prayer to the cross as a defence against devils, or enemies in general. The opening psalm is not one of the penitential psalms, or ‘Deus in adiutorium’, but is instead ‘Verba mea auribus percipe’, Psalm 5:

Verba mea auribus percipe Domine
intellege clamorem meum ...
quoniam ad te orabo Domine
mane exaudies vocem meam
mane adstabo tibi et videbo
quoniam non deus volens iniquitatem tu es ...
Domine deduc me in iustitia tua propter inimicos meos
dirige in conspectu meo viam tuam (Ps. 5:1, 4-5, 9).

This is clearly a psalm particularly suited to opening an Office, as it asks God to hear the speaker’s cry, and it is also apt for morning prayer. In particular, the speaker calls upon a God who does not tolerate wickedness, and asks to be shielded from his enemies. The three short collects in this Office pray specifically for the monastery, or the church in general. It is his family (‘familiam tuam’, *ÆP*, no. 46.18; ‘familie tue’, no. 46.20) and his flock (‘[g]regem tuum’, no. 46.19), which the speaker asks to be protected against the devil’s attack (no. 46.19) and from the wickedness of its enemies (no. 46.20). The following prayer is a *lorica* in which the speaker asks that each part of his body be protected through the cross from the attacks of the devil, and his soul from all his enemies.

The prayer programmes dedicated to the cross, therefore, have slightly different emphases. *Oratio in *I. *mane* looks to the cross for protection against the devil; *In honore sanctae crucis* asks God for his protection; and the *Portiforium* texts

---

563 ‘Give ear, O Lord, to my words, understand my cry ... For to thee will I pray: O Lord, in the morning thou shalt hear my voice. In the morning I will stand before thee, and will see: because thou art not a God that willest iniquity ... Conduct me, O Lord, in thy justice: because of my enemies, direct my way in thy sight.’
stress the cross’s role in the redemption of humankind, with the second text emphasising Christ’s triumph a little more. However, the various prayer programmes all include each of these different themes in some way, showing not only how the cross was called upon for many different reasons, but also the extent to which the programmes are united by their use of a common corpus of prayers and antiphons. The prayers to the cross in the *Portiforium* and *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook* develop a pattern beginning with an opening psalm, and featuring all the central prayers and canticles of the church, linked together by psalms and antiphons, and ending with a series of approximately four prayers. If this can be described as a form of Special Office, then Anglo-Saxon manuscripts may well include more Offices than scholars have so far recognised, if we are able to look at them as an Anglo-Saxon monk would have done.

**Appendix: Venantius Fortunatus and ‘Pange, lingua’**

So far, I have mainly examined how prayers and antiphons from different sources could be put together to form new forms of worship. Relatively little attention has been paid to how new prayers were created in the first place. My discussion of the Offices of the cross has demonstrated that antiphons from the feasts of the cross were widely used in private worship. Such is the flexibility of liturgical genres that lines from hymns could be used as antiphons, and these could themselves go on to be used in building new prayers.

A good example of this in the Anglo-Saxon church is ‘Pange, lingua’ by Venantius Fortunatus, a hymn which happens to have been instrumental in bringing a piece of the True Cross to Francia, thereby precipitating the celebration of the feasts of the cross in the western church. Venantius gained his fame with an
epithalamium written for the wedding of Sigibert, the Frankish king, in 566.\footnote{Brian Brennan, ‘The Career of Venantius Fortunatus’, Traditio 41, 49-78 (1985): 54.} He is now best known for his work at Poitiers, where Radegund, the former wife of King Lothar of Thuringia, had founded a convent at which she was the deaconess.\footnote{Brennan, ‘The Career of Venantius Fortunatus’, 61; Judith George, Venantius Fortunatus: a Latin poet in Merovingian Gaul (Oxford: Clarendon, 1992), 30-1.} Venantius became closely associated with Radegund while he was at Poitiers and in particular with her quest to gain a piece of the True Cross from Emperor Justin II and Empress Sophia in Constantinople; once the relic had been obtained in 569, he wrote a series of hymns in honour of the cross as part of the ceremony celebrating their arrival.\footnote{Brennan, ‘The Career of Venantius Fortunatus’, 61-2; George, Venantius Fortunatus, 30-1.} Six of Venantius’ hymns are dedicated to the cross. However, as Milfull notes, two are carmina figurata and two are in the wrong metre for singing as a hymn, leaving only ‘Pange, lingua’ and ‘Vexilla regis prodeunt’, which may have been intended for the procession with the newly-gained relics.\footnote{Milfull, ‘Hymns to the Cross’, 44. For the texts of these six hymns, see Friedrich Leo, ed., Venanti Honori Clementiani Fortunati presbyteri italici opera poetica, MGH, Auctores Antiqui 4.1 (Berlin: Weidmann, 1881), <http://www.dmgh.de/>., II, nos. 1-6.} The latter may also have been sung during the Office at the convent when the arrival was commemorated in later years.\footnote{Milfull, ‘Hymns to the Cross’, 45-6.}

On the Continent, ‘Pange lingua’ came to be a part of the Good Friday Veneration of the Cross from the ninth century onwards, as is indicated by the appearance of its incipit in a number of ninth- and tenth-century liturgical books.\footnote{Keefer, ‘Veneration of the Cross’, 152-3.} The hymn also appears in four eleventh- and twelfth- century hymnals from northern French monasteries;\footnote{Ibid., 152.} and in the ‘New Hymnal’, a collection of Continental origin which, in its fullest form, reached England with the Benedictine Reform, often in
manuscripts associated with the reform centres of Canterbury and Winchester.\footnote{571} As on the Continent, ‘Pange, lingua’ was one of the hymns employed for the Veneration of the Cross on Good Friday. It is prescribed for this service in the *Regularis concordia*,\footnote{572} and likewise in Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 422 and Oxford, Bodleian Library Bodley 120; furthermore, in these two manuscripts, the verses of ‘Pange lingua’ are copied out in full, with the incipit of the seventh verse, ‘Crux fidelis’, indicating its use as an antiphon.\footnote{573} According to the *Leofric Collectar*, ‘Pange, lingua’ was also in frequent use in Holy Week.\footnote{574}

These various liturgical uses of this hymn, and in particular its prescription in the *Regularis concordia*, indicate that ‘Pange, lingua’ would have been well known by monastics, and therefore that it may have had an influence upon monastic literature. It has already been demonstrated that Venantius was read and quoted in Anglo-Saxon England: Michael Lapidge finds four manuscripts containing all or part of Venantius’ *Carmina*,\footnote{575} and the *Carmina* were also both quoted and cited in a range of works by key Anglo-Saxon authors.\footnote{576}


\footnote{572} Kornexl, *Regularis concordia*, l. 1062. The version of the text in London, Cotton Faustina B. iii specifies that this hymn is ‘versus Fortunati’ (the verses of Fortunatus). Symons, *Regularis concordia*, ch. 44.


\footnote{574} It is a hymn for the fifth Sunday in Lent, Palm Sunday and the two following days. The *divisio* ‘Lustra sex qui iam peracta’ is given for Palm Sunday. Milfull, ‘Hymns to the Cross’, 49-50 and n. 35; Dewick and Frere, *Leofric Collectar*, I, cols. 115, 122, 125.

\footnote{575} The *Carmina* can be found in London, British Library, Additional 24193, ff. 17-158 (early ninth century, possibly from Orleans, with English provenance in the second half of the tenth century). Excerpts from the *Carmina* are found in Badminton, Duke of Beaufort Muniments, 704, 1. 16 (possibly Canterbury, second quarter of the tenth century); and Cambridge, Gonville and Caius College, 144/194 (possibly England, early tenth century, provenance St Augustine’s, Canterbury). A fragment can be found in Cambridge, Pembroke College, 312C, no. 5 (tenth or eleventh century). Michael Lapidge, *The Anglo-Saxon Library*, (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006), 169, 335.

\footnote{576} The *Carmina* are quoted or cited in: Aldhelm’s *Enigmata*, *Carmen de Virginitate* and *Carmina Ecclesiastica*; Bede’s verse life of St Cuthbert, *De arte metrica*, *Historia ecclesiastica*, *Expositio apocalypseos* and *De orthographia*; Alcuin’s *De laude Dei* (Alcuin also refers to Venantius in his...
It can therefore be assumed that Venantius’ hymns, and ‘Pange, lingua’ in particular, were widely known in the late Anglo-Saxon church, and therefore that the language of this hymn would have had an impact on the religious writing of the period. This impact has already been noted in hagiography.\textsuperscript{577} Venantius’ phraseology can also be seen in private prayer, probably having reached it through the medium of antiphons for the cross feasts.

The one particularly influential part of ‘Pange, lingua’ in Anglo-Saxon liturgy and prayer is the verse ‘Crux fidelis’, which reinforces the probability that Corpus Christi 422 and Bodley 120 are typical in using this verse as a antiphon between the others. The text of this verse is as follows:

Crux fidelis, inter omnes arbor una nobilis  
nulla talem Silva profert flore fronde germine,  
dulce lignum, dulces clavo dulce pondus sustinens!  
('Pange, lingua’, ll. 22-4).\textsuperscript{578}

It was mentioned above (on page 166) that the antiphon ‘O crux splendidior cunctis astris’ appears in the blessing of the cross in the Canterbury Benedictional. The third line of ‘Crux fidelis’, italicised in the quotation above, was clearly used to create part of that antiphon:

O crux splendid[io]r cunctis astris mundo celebris hominibus multum amabilis sanctior uniuersus que sola fusti digna portare talentum mundi  
dulce lignum dulces clau[i] dulcia ferens pondera salua presentem cateruam in

\textsuperscript{578} Emphasis mine. ‘Faithful cross, the one noble tree amongst all others, no wood bears any with such a flower, branch and seed, sustaining sweet wood, a sweet nail and a sweet weight.’ Leo, \textit{Venanti Fortunati opera poetica}, 2.2. I have used this edition, in preference to Milfull, \textit{Hymns of the Anglo-Saxon Church}, as it includes the verse ‘Sola digna tu fusti’, which I will discuss shortly. This verse does not appear in the sources edited by Milfull, but must have been known to the Anglo-Saxon church, judging from its appearance in Corpus Christi 422. \textit{Parker Library on the Web}, Corpus Christi 422, 317.
In both the hymn and the antiphon, the cross is a sweet wood bearing sweet nails and the sweet weight of Christ. Furthermore, immediately before the quotation from ‘Crux fidelis’ appear the words, ‘que sola fuisti digna portare talentum mundi’.

This may be a paraphrase of the first line of the final verse of ‘Pange, lingua’, which reads as follows:

\[
\text{Sola digna tu fuisti ferre pretium saeculi} \\
\text{atque portum praeparare nauta mundo naufrago,} \\
\text{quem sacer cruor perunxit fusus agni corpore} \\
\text{('Pange, lingua', ll. 28-30).}
\]

Here, the words ‘ferre pretium saeculi’ have been replaced with close synonyms, resulting in the same overall meaning.

The antiphon ‘O cruix splendidior cunctis astris’ was itself subsequently used in the context of private prayer. As mentioned above, the ‘Devotions to the Holy Cross’ in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook begin with a miniature of the crucifixion (ÆP, no. 45) and a sequence of prayers and psalms to be said to parts of Christ’s body, headed with the rubric, ‘SI VIS ORARE ANTE CRVICIFIXVM HOS PSALMOS CANTA’ on f. 66r (no. 46.1). Like the Offices and proto-Offices of the cross, discussed above, this

---

579 Emphasis mine. ‘O cross more brilliant than all the stars, honoured by the world and greatly lovable to men, holier than all things, you who alone were worthy to bear the prize of the world. Sweet wood, sweet nails, bearing sweet weights. Save the present company, gathered in your praises today, alleluia.’ This is an antiphon for the feasts of the Invention and Exaltation of the Cross. Hesbert, no. 4019. Renato-Joanne Hesbert, ed., Corpus Antiphonalium Officii 3: Invitatoria et antiphonae, Rerum Ecclesiasticarum Documenta Series Maior: Fontes 7-12 (Rome: Herder, 1963-79).

580 ‘You who alone were worthy to bear the prize of the world.’

581 Emphasis mine. ‘You alone were worthy to bear the treasure of the world and to prepare a port for the sailor in the shipwrecked world, whom the holy blood anointed, poured from the body of the Lamb.’

582 The use of the miniature of the crucifixion as a part of this prayer sequence has been analysed by Catherine E. Karkov, ‘Text as Image in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook’, in The Power of Words: Anglo-Saxon Studies Presented to Donald G. Scragg on his Seventieth Birthday, eds. Hugh Magennis and Jonathan Wilcox, Medieval European Studies 8, 95-114 (Morgantown, WV: West Virginia University Press, 2006), 98-104.
sequence of psalms and prayers could have been used as a complete act of worship to accompany the miniature of the crucifixion, which is implied by the rubric instructing the reader to pray ‘ANTE CRVCIFIXVM’. The first of these prayers is to be said to Christ’s right foot:

\[\text{AD PEDEM DEXTRVM. Domine quid multiplicati sunt. [Ps. 3] ORATIO. O CRVX SPLENDIDIOR CVNCTIS ASTRIS, mundo celebris atque mihi}^{583}\text{ multum amabilis, plurimum et suavis, que sola fuisti digna portare talentum mundi. Dulce lignum. Dulces claui. Dulcia ferses pondera. Salua me, tuum famulum, in tuis laudibus omni die deuotus. AMEN (ÆP, no. 46.1).}^{584}\]

This prayer is the antiphon ‘O crux splendidior cunctis astris’, appearing almost exactly as in the Canterbury Benedictional, but with an important change of emphasis. In Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, it has become a prayer for the speaker praying on his own, as a result of the changes to ‘mihi’ (‘to me’) and ‘tuum famulum’ (‘your servant’). It is no longer a prayer for a specific occasion, implied in the word ‘hodie’ (‘today’) in the Benedictional, but asking Christ’s blessing ‘omni die’ (‘every day’).

The remainder of Ælfwine’s sequence of prayers to Christ’s body derives from an ordo for the Adoration of the Holy Cross.\textsuperscript{585} The final prayer is said to Christ’s ears. It may appear odd that this comes last, with the prayer to his heart being penultimate, but perhaps this was intended to emphasise Christ’s hearing the speaker’s prayers.\textsuperscript{586}

\textsuperscript{583} Günzel reports that the word ‘mihi’ was added to Ælfwine’s Prayerbook by a later hand.
\textsuperscript{584} ‘To the left foot. ‘Why, O Lord, are they multiplied?’ Prayer. O cross more brilliant than all the stars, honoured by the world and greatly lovable to me, and immensely sweet, you who alone were worthy to bear the prize of the world. Sweet wood, sweet nails, bearing sweet weights. Save me, your servant, devoted to your praises every day. Amen.’ The same pattern is followed for Christ’s left foot, his two hands, mouth, chest and ears.
\textsuperscript{585} This ordo can also be found in Vatican City, Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana Rossi 205, an eleventh-century manuscript from Moissac, although the psalms and body parts are slightly different in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook. Boynton, ‘Prayer as Liturgical Performance’, 926-7.
\textsuperscript{586} Catherine Karkov has noted how some of the psalms accompanying these prayers are concerned with God giving ear to the speaker’s prayers. She also argues that the seven prayers are spoken to the openings into Christ’s body: the five wounds, the mouth and the ears. Karkov, ‘Abbot Ælfwine and the Sign of the Cross’, 108-10.
O CRVX VIRIDE LIGNO, QVIA SVPER TE pependit redemptor Israelis. O quam dulce lignum, quam dudces clavi, quam dulcia ferens pondera. O quam pretiosum lignum, quam pretiosa gemma, que Christum meruit portare, per quem salus mundi facta est (ÆP, 46.7).\textsuperscript{587}

Again, the italics indicate how the antiphon draws on the themes and imagery of ‘Crux fidelis’: ‘dulce lignum, dulces clavo dulce pondus sustinens’ (‘Pange, lingua’, l. 24).\textsuperscript{588} The prayers to Christ’s body therefore draw mainly on the one verse of ‘Pange, lingua’ which was used as an antiphon, and from this verse they select specifically the imagery of the cross as the one tree which is worthy to bear the sweet nails and the sweet weight of Christ.

This line from Venantius’ hymn was therefore used as part of an antiphon, which was then used as a private prayer. Antiphons themselves could become influential on other forms of religious writing. ‘O crux splendidior cunctis astris’ is quoted by Hrabanus in his Sanctae crucis exaltatio, and therefore by Ælfric in his homily for the Exaltation, which is largely a translation of this work.\textsuperscript{589} In this way, an antiphon could be drawn on as language for another kind of text. This can also be seen in the prayers to the cross in the Vespasian Psalter. These are longer than the prayers in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, and take their phrasing freely from hymns and antiphons. Two of these prayers draw upon ‘Pange, lingua’, and here again the same images are selected from the same verse. For example, the prayer ‘Salve crux sancta et veneranda’ praises the cross as being ‘cunctis astris splendidior’ (Vespasian, no. 8, 588 ‘Sustaining sweet wood, a sweet nail and a sweet weight.’

\textsuperscript{587} ‘O crux viride ligno’, emphases mine. ‘O cross with living wood, upon you hung the Redeemer of Israel. O such sweet wood, such sweet nails, bearing such sweet weights. O such precious wood, such precious gems, which deserved to bear Christ, through whom the salvation of the world was achieved.’ This prayer, like ‘O crux splendidior cunctis astris’, is an antiphon or response for the Invention or Exaltation of the Cross. Hesbert, Corpus Antiphonalium Officii, nos. 4020, 7267.

l. 19), indicating the likely influence of the antiphon ‘O crux splendidior cunctis astris’. Yet it also shows the direct influence of ‘Crux fidelis’, as it begins with an image which appears in that verse but not in ‘O crux splendidior cunctis astris’:

\[
\text{Salue crux sancta et ueneranda. gloriosa et laudanda. quæ inter omnes arbores una es nobilis (l. 1).} \]

This is clearly reminiscent of the first line of ‘Crux fidelis’:

\[
\text{Crux fidelis, inter omnes arbor una nobilis}
\]
\[
\text{nulla talem silva profert flore fronde germine,}
\]
\[
\text{dulce lignum, dulces clauo dulce pondus sustinens (‘Pange, lingua’, ll. 22-4).} \]

The following prayer, ‘Ave sancta crux’, makes extended use of the images in the second and third lines, as indicated in continuous italics:

\[
\text{Sicut enim magnalia domini nostri iesu christi qui hostia pro nobis in te pependit manent incomprehensibilia; sic laudem tuam et uirtutem tuam nulla mortalis uinquam preuaet promere lingua. Ille equidem est fructus tuus piisimus. dulce lignum. dulce pomum. dulces clauos. dulce pondus. dulce onus sustinens ... Denique in comparatione tua aurum est ut stipula. gemmæ ut fauillae. et ut sentina omnis mundi gloria; sol ut nebula. et cuncta uen qui transeunt tibi inequalia sunt. Non orbis quadriformes partes tam felicem ferunt arborem. in radice. flore. fructu. sanctoque germine (Vespasian, no. 9, ll. 11-18).} \]

In ‘O crux splendidior cunctis astris’, the cross is brighter than all the stars; here, it is brighter than gold, gems and the sun. In the Vespasian prayers, the imagery found in

---

590 ‘More brilliant than all the stars.’
591 Emphasis mine. ‘Hail, holy and venerable cross, glorious and praiseworthy, you who alone are noble among all trees.’
592 Emphasis mine. ‘Faithful cross, the one noble tree amongst all others, no wood bears any with such a flower, branch and seed, sustaining sweet wood, a sweet nail and a sweet weight.’
593 ‘Ave sancta crux’, emphases mine. ‘For just as the wonders of our Lord Jesus Christ, who hung on you as a sacrifice for us, remain inconceivable, so no mortal tongue can ever merit your praise and your power. Indeed, he is your most holy fruit, sweet wood, sweet fruit, sweet nails, sweet weight, bearing a sweet burden ... For in comparison to you, gold is as straw, gems as ashes, and all the glory of this world is as dregs, the sun as a cloud, and all things which pass away are unequal to you. The four corners of the world do not bear a tree so blessed in root, in leaf, in flower, in fruit, and in holy seed.’
Venantius’ hymn and in ‘O crux splendidior cunctis astris’ is elaborated upon and used to form a longer meditation upon the inconceivable glories of the cross.

In the texts which I have discussed, it can therefore be seen that a line from Venantius’ hymn became part of an antiphon, which was then in turn used both in private prayer and in Hrabanus’ and Ælfric’s homilies for the Exaltation of the Cross, which shows just how intertwined different liturgical genres were. In order to understand how Anglo-Saxon monks and nuns perceived the religious life, it is important to understand these various expressions of the faith as part of an interconnected whole, rather than as separate genres with no impact on one another.

The prayers examined all draw upon ‘Crux fidelis’, but concentrate on a very specific image from one particular verse. This indicates that this one image was particularly meaningful to the composers and compilers of liturgical and private prayer collections. The Vespasian prayers are of especial interest as they develop these images further. The cross is not merely the greatest of trees, it is brighter than the stars; and not merely so, but brighter than anything else in creation. The glory of God cannot be shown except through the cross, and the glory of that cross cannot be truly expressed in human words: such things are ‘magnalia ... incomprehensibilia’ (‘inconceivable wonders’). Prayers to the cross therefore praise God in metaphors of the most beautiful and precious things that the physical world has to offer.

Conclusion

This chapter has begun from the conclusions reached in Chapter Two and extended them to prayer to the holy cross. More than the previous chapter, it has been
concerned with the location and the bodily nature of prayer, by considering the crosses in front of which Anglo-Saxon monks and nuns may have prayed, and how and when they made the sign of the cross. I showed that any representation of Christ’s cross was considered to be sacred, whether it was a relic, a cross used in worship, or the sign made upon the speaker’s body. Indeed, the nineteenth of the Vercelli Homilies implies that a cross in a monastery was in itself regarded as a relic, and the prayers in the Canterbury Benedictional show that it was kissed and touched in worship.

This evidence provides a valuable context for the private prayers in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook. The abundance of prayers to the cross in that manuscript suggests that eleventh-century monks and nuns had ample opportunities to come before a cross for worship, and that the speaker was expected to bow before the cross and sign him- or herself in prayer. The vernacular homilies suggest that this sign was most commonly made upon the forehead, an implication which is borne out by the instructions in the Portiforium of St Wulstan to make the signaculum of Christ’s cross upon one’s forehead when praying against devils. It was also shown that laypeople, too, were expected to protect themselves from demonic activity and pray to God using the sign of the cross.

The second half of this chapter explored the different prayer programmes dedicated to the cross in late Anglo-Saxon manuscripts, both those which draw on the liturgy of the Veneration of the Cross, and those which were more consciously modelled on the monastic Offices. I analysed a number of different adaptations of the Veneration service, arguing that Anglo-Saxon prayerbook compilers elaborated this prayer sequence far beyond its liturgical origins, drawing on prayers and antiphons from other feasts to create programmes which were in some ways similar
to the Special Offices. Furthermore, I found that a group of prayers amongst the
prayers to the cross in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook bear a particularly close relationship to
the Special Office further on in the same manuscript. If a Special Office can be
defined as an imitation of the liturgy of the Hours which is intended for private use,
then this Oratio in .I. mane is arguably an example of the genre.

My closing discussion focused on an area of the liturgy which has so far been
neglected in this thesis: the hymns. The brief quotations from ‘Pange, lingua’ in
antiphons and prayers from eleventh-century England serve as reminders that the
liturgy was drawn upon to make new prayers, and strongly indicate a more dynamic
response to this hymn in Anglo-Saxon England than may have been supposed.
These quotations also show that prayer writers were keen to enrich their
compositions with metaphorical language, as the best possible way to utter the glory
of the cross, which cannot be fully expressed in words. In praying to the cross, the
Anglo-Saxon monk or nun was protected from evil, meditated on the joys of
salvation, and was assured of entry into Paradise. In confessional prayer, by
contrast, he or she was mindful of sin and judgement. It is these prayers which will
be the subject of my final chapter.
Table 3.9: The private prayers referred to in this chapter in my main manuscripts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Early Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks</th>
<th>Late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks/compendia</th>
<th>AS psalters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Page nos.</td>
<td>Cerne</td>
<td>Harley 863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O crux splendidior cunctis astra</td>
<td>166, 211-13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hae sunt III. causae</td>
<td>168-9, 198</td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 46.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecce lignum crucis</td>
<td>169</td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per gloria et virtutem</td>
<td>169, 172-3, 198</td>
<td>col. 450</td>
<td>no. 46.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O sanctum et venerabile</td>
<td>172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuam crucem adoramus</td>
<td>173</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyf de dynce</td>
<td>176-8, 201</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salve crux sancta et veneranda</td>
<td>178-9, 215</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoro te</td>
<td>181-94, 203</td>
<td>no. 19</td>
<td>18-19, 20-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloriosissime conditor mundi</td>
<td>181-94, 203</td>
<td></td>
<td>19, 21-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qui tuas manus mundas</td>
<td>181-94, 204</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omnipotens dilectissime deus</td>
<td>186</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro sancta cruce tua</td>
<td>186, 198</td>
<td></td>
<td>no. 46.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Page nos.</td>
<td>Early Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks</td>
<td>Late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks/compendia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qui pro humano genere crucis</td>
<td>192, 204</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deus cui cuncte obediunt creature</td>
<td>192, 204</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sancta et veneranda crux</td>
<td>192, 204</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuam misericordiam recolentes</td>
<td>204</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qui crucem tuam veneror</td>
<td>204-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deus qui beatae crucis patibulum</td>
<td>206</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respice, quesumus</td>
<td>207</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregem tuum, Domine</td>
<td>207</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adesto familie tue</td>
<td>207</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O crux viride ligno</td>
<td>213-14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave sancta crux</td>
<td>215</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 4

CONFESSION AND PRIVATE PRAYER

In the preceding two chapters, I examined the adaptation of prayers from the liturgies of the Hours and of the Cross for use in private prayer, and explored how these texts could easily be adapted beyond liturgical uses, creating new forms such as the Special Offices. In this chapter, I will consider private confessional prayers in the same manner. Several liturgical manuscripts contain rites for public confession and penance, which at least in theory could have been used as models for private prayer. To adapt confessional prayer for private use, however, was a much more complex undertaking from adapting prayers for the Hours or the Veneration of the Cross. Unlike those rites, the processes of confession, penance and absolution required the skill of a priest. I will build on recent studies of public penitential ceremonies, such as the work of Sarah Hamilton, in order to compare private confessional prayer to the communal rites.  

It has already been shown in Chapter One that Alcuin strongly dissuaded his readers, both the missionaries to the land of the Goths and the boys of St Martin’s in Tours, from thinking that their own private confessions were sufficient. The same concerns were voiced in tenth-century England: homilists such as Ælfric often

---


595 See pages 71-3 above.
warned against the dangers of failing to confess to a priest, as each person would remain guilty of their unconfessed sins on Judgement Day. Nevertheless, in both eras, many manuscripts contain private prayers of confession and contrition for one’s sins. This chapter will show what the purpose of these solitary confessional prayers was and how they may have been used. First of all, however, it is necessary to demonstrate what was understood by ‘confession’ in this era. Due to the difficulties of adapting confessional prayer for private use, solitary confession has some characteristics in common with other forms of confession, and some which are unique to private prayer. As a consequence, the first half of this chapter will survey the different kinds of confession which took place in late Anglo-Saxon England.

First of all, I will discuss confession made in private to a priest or another spiritual elder. This was evidently considered to be the most beneficial kind of confession, as a priest could listen to the penitent’s personal sins and assign penances appropriate to their circumstances. Without the aid of a priest, a Christian could not be assured of salvation on the Judgement Day: for this reason, the penitent might ask the priest

\[ \text{þæt þu sy me to gewitnesse on domes dæge, þæt þe deofol ne mage on me anweald agan.} \]

On an examination of sacerdotal confession alone, it would appear that confession in private prayer was invalid and without purpose.

However, confession to a priest should be seen in the context of the other forms of confession which existed at the time. I therefore broaden the discussion of

---


597 ‘That you may be a witness for me on the Day of Judgement, so that by you the devil may not be able to gain power over me.’ Roger Fowler, ed., ‘A Late Old English Handbook for the Use of a Confessor’, *Anglia* 83, 1-34 (1965), ll. 76-8.
what confession was by drawing attention to the evidence for confessions made from one monk or nun to another, and before the whole monastic community. In the secular world, penitential ceremonies, presided over by bishops, also took place. On Ash Wednesday, the bishop would hear all the penitents’ sins, and teach them penance, before casting them out of the church community. After Lent, on Maundy Thursday, they returned to make a formal confession, after which they were absolved and were received back into the church. These rites therefore combined all the elements of confession to a priest with a liturgy which was the same for all those who took part in it.  

Having demonstrated what ‘confession’ meant in the eleventh century, I then undertake my own analysis of confessions made in solitude, to God alone, demonstrating that there is plenty of evidence that these took place. Solitary confessions included set prayers which have many features in common with the formal confessions made in penitential ceremonies: for example, they include long lists of all the sins which the penitent could have committed. However, they also have distinct characteristics of their own. In private confession, the penitent makes more extravagant and hyperbolic confessions of sin, expressing both a greater self-consciousness and a deeper awareness of his or her relationship with God. While a study of this kind has already been undertaken by Allen Frantzen in his examination of the confessions in the early Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks, I will analyse the series of confessional prayers in two later manuscripts: the Portiforium of St Wulstan and London, British Library Cotton Tiberius A. iii.

---


In ending this chapter, I briefly examine Old English poems which bear the
distinguishing features of private confessional prayers, arguing that poems of this
kind may well have been intended as prayers for individual use. The different genres
of eleventh-century religious texts were fluid and interchangeable: prayers for use
without a confessor resemble those which were used with one, and Anglo-Saxon
poetic form could be employed as the language of private prayer.

**Terminology and texts**

Since so many kinds of confession existed in late Anglo-Saxon England, it is helpful
to distinguish clearly between them. In this chapter, the term ‘sacerdotal confession’
refers specifically to confession made in private, whether by a monastic or a
layperson, to a priest, abbot or bishop.\(^\text{600}\) It involved the penitent both making a
confession of the sins which he or she had committed, and also saying a formal
prayer confessing to all kinds of sins. The confessor would then teach the penitent
how to live a better life and assign penance according to his or her circumstances.
‘Monastic confession’ refers generally to the more informal forms of confession
practised in monasteries and convents, in which confession was made either before
the whole community or in partnership with another monk or nun.\(^\text{601}\) ‘Public
confession/penance’ is used to describe the process of private confession to the
bishop and the readmittance of penitents to the church in a public ceremony on
Maundy Thursday. This category therefore includes sacerdotal confession within the
context of a formal and public penitential rite.\(^\text{602}\) Finally, ‘solitary confession’ refers

---

\(^{600}\) Sacerdotal confession is discussed on pages 230-41 below.

\(^{601}\) See pages 241-3.

\(^{602}\) See pages 243-51.
to the practice of confession and tears before God alone, and to the prayers which were used for this purpose.\footnote{Following this discussion of the different kinds of confession, I will analyse prayers for solitary confession on pages 251-74.}

Prayers for use in solitary confession can be regarded in two possible ways. Firstly, it could be argued that they should not be called ‘confessions’ at all. If this view is taken, then solitary confessional prayers were designed to inspire the penitent with sorrow and contrition, in order that he or she might prepare to confess to a priest. There is considerable merit in this argument. As will be shown below, there is evidence from confessional manuals that penitents were expected to confess in the sight of God alone first, before admitting their sins to their confessor.\footnote{See pages 254-5.} On these grounds, solitary confession appears to be of a lower status, intended purely as an instigation to, and preparation for, confession to a priest. The first part of this chapter, which demonstrates the absolute importance of sacerdotal confession, raises the possibility that solitary confession was not considered to be true confession.

Alternatively, a distinctly different interpretation for the existence of solitary confession could be made: that these prayers can rightly be called confessions, but they were understood to be a different kind of confession from sacerdotal confession. Viewed in this light, they are a perfectly legitimate form of repentance of sins, provided that they are not regarded as a substitute for the more complete absolution given by the priest. This argument is strengthened by the presence of rubrics in private prayerbooks, identifying a prayer as a ‘confessio’. As this chapter progresses, I will argue that many forms of confession existed aside from sacerdotal confession, which were nevertheless considered to be legitimate confessions.

Solitary confession had its own place amongst these. This chapter will therefore suggest that the latter interpretation of solitary confession is the more likely.
It is important to remember that the texts under discussion in this chapter were composed and used before the systematisation of the church’s sacraments in the twelfth century. Although sacerdotal confession and the penance which it involved were universally considered to be essential, the concept of confession was wide enough to encompass the different grades of formality seen in the four kinds of confession defined above. My study of confession in late Anglo-Saxon England will therefore use the term ‘confession’ in the second sense. I will assume that sacerdotal confession, penance and absolution were a duty and a necessity for all Christians, but also that other forms of confessing sins and expressing sorrow for them were considered to be acts of confession, either in their own right or as a preparation for sacerdotal confession.

In order to demonstrate the existence of the different forms of confession and what took place during them, this chapter draws on a wide range of texts, in order to explore significant examples of each type of confession. What was expected to take place in sacerdotal confession can be seen most clearly in guides for confessors, such as the six texts of the so-called Old English Handbook, which will be introduced below. That these confessions did in fact take place as they were supposed to is demonstrated through the use of vernacular homilies, which also indicate how high a value was placed upon sacerdotal confession. For examples of public confessional rites, I have selected the Lanalet Pontifical, as it includes a particularly wide range of prayers for episcopal absolution. I have also quoted the Egbert Pontifical for examples of rubrics instructing how this ceremony should take place. My discussion of solitary confession focuses on those in the Portiforium of St Wulstan in particular.

605 For more details on the contributions of different theologians to this debate, see Marcia L. Colish, Peter Lombard, Brill’s Studies in Intellectual History 41, 2 vols. (Leiden, New York and Köln: E. J. Brill, 1994), II, 516-32, 583-609.
606 See pages 229-30.
as this has an especially long series of confessional prayers. I also examine the personal prayers in London, British Library Cotton Tiberius A. iii, as examples of the more intimate nature of vernacular prayer.

The following table lists all the texts which I use in this chapter, aside from the *Regula Benedicti* or *Regularis concordia*. Each text is listed according to the kind of confession which it exemplifies: sacerdotal, monastic, public or solitary. Where I consider it necessary, I have noted the manuscript in which the texts appear: many of them are copied together, or alongside texts which were discussed in earlier chapters.
Table 4.1: Evidence for private confessional prayer.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence for sacerdotal confession</th>
<th>Manuscript information (where appropriate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Vercelli Homily III               | Napier no. LVII.  
| *Sermo ad populum dominicis diebus* |                                              |
| Ælfric, *In octavis Pentecosten dicendus* |                                              |
| Ælfric, *Letter to the Monks of Eynsham* |                                              |
| **Texts for use in sacerdotal confession** |                                               |
| *Old English Handbook* (six associated texts) | Some or all sections are found in: Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 201; London, Cotton Tiberius A. iii (ff. 55v–56v, 94v–97); Oxford, Bodleian Library Junius 121; Oxford, Bodleian Library Laud Miscellaneous 482. |
| **Forms of confession in Tiberius A. iii** | Tiberius A. iii, ff. 45v–46r (‘Man mot hine gebiddan’) ff. 51v–55r (‘Gif þu wilt nu læof’) |
| **Texts for use in monastic confession** | London, British Library Galba A. xiv, ff. 98r-v, 88r-v, 99r–102v |
| ‘Confessio inter presbiteros’ *(Galba Prayerbook)* |                                              |
| **Texts for use in public** | Bibliothèque nationale de France, lat. 10575 |
| *Egbert Pontifical* |                                              |


In addition to its many other contents, Tiberius A. iii (Gneuss, no. 363; Ker, no. 186) includes two series of confessional texts, which are tabulated in Tracey-Anne Cooper, ‘Lay Piety, Confessional Directives and the Compiler’s Method in Late Anglo-Saxon England’, *Haskins Society Journal* 16, 47-61 (2005): 49-50. The first confessional series begins with a group of prayers (some to be said in solitude, one to be said with a priest), followed by two exhortations to confession, and then by the first three sections of the *Old English Handbook*. The second confessional section begins with sections III to V of the *Handbook*, although section IV is regarded as incomplete by Fowler. Fowler, ‘Handbook’, 2.

As discussed in Chapters Two and Three, Corpus Christi 201 and Junius 121 contain the *Benedictine Office*, while Laud Misc. 482 includes the rites for visiting the sick and dying. The compilers of these manuscripts evidently had an interest in clerical education and the ministry to the laity. Fowler, ‘Handbook’, 2-3.

confession and penance | *Lanaet Pontifical* | Bibliothèque de la ville de Rouen A. 27

‘Dryhten þu halga god’ (prayer for public confession) | London, Cotton Vespasian D. xx, ff. 87r-92v

Evidence for solitary confession

‘Directions for Private Devotions’ (prayer *ordo*) | *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook*, Titus D. xxvi, f. 2


*Instructions for Christians* (poem) | Cambridge, University Library II. I. 33

Ælfric, *Dominica III post epiphania Domini*

‘Qui in hunc mundum’ (prayer for solitary confession) | London, British Library Arundel 155, ff. 177v-178v

Prayers of solitary confession

*Portiforium of St Wulstan* | Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 391, pp. 581-618

Old English confessional prayers | Tiberius A. iii, ff. 44r-45v, 46v-50v


London, Lambeth Palace Library 427, 183v


‘In naman þære halgan þrynesse’ (*Galba Prayerbook*) | Galba A. xiv, ff. 104r-105v

A great deal of information on the practice of sacerdotal confession in the tenth and eleventh centuries can be gained from a study of the penitential manuals used in England at this time. In particular, I have selected a group of confessional texts which has been edited by Roger Fowler under the title of ‘An Old English

---

613 Note that *The Rewards of Piety* (Ker, no. 49.2 (b)-(c)) is in an earlier part of this manuscript; the *Handbook*, and the *Benedictine Office* discussed in Chapter Two, were added in the mid-eleventh century.

Handbook for the Use of a Confessor’, and appears to have been, if not actually compiled, then certainly read, amended and quoted by Wulfstan the Homilist. Together, the constituent sections of the Handbook illuminate how the practice of confession was expected to take place in the late Anglo-Saxon church. While they are mostly concerned with sacerdotal confession, they also include useful teachings on solitary confession and public penance. Handbook I, the only section in Latin, is an ordo for solitary confession to be undertaken before visiting the priest (Handbook, ll. 1-24). Section II is a set prayer for the penitent to say before his confessor (ll. 25-81). While section IV combines a description of the practice of public penance with a tariff of penances (ll. 113-303), sections III (ll. 82-112), V (ll. 304-432) and VI (ll. 432-78) are treatises addressed to the confessor, on how confession should be heard and penance assigned. As a group, they are extremely important for the study of confession, as they not only include texts for use by the confessor, but also explanations and justifications of the penitential process.

**Sacerdotal confession**

The *Regula Benedicti* has relatively little to say about confession; however, it does make a couple of references to confession to the abbot. For example, the fifth step of humility is reached when the monk reveals secret bad thoughts and deeds to his

---

615 Melanie Heyworth, ‘The ‘Late Old English Handbook for the Use of a Confessor’: Authorship and Connections’, *NQ*, n. s. 54:3 (2007): 218-22. Fowler’s designation of this text as a ‘handbook’ has been questioned. Of the six manuscripts which he includes in his edition, only Corpus Christi 201 contains all six of the sections of this text, and nowhere are they all found in the order in which Fowler presents them in his edition. It may therefore be better to conclude, with Tracey-Anne Cooper, that this hypothetical ‘handbook’ was in fact merely a group of six short texts concerned with confession and penitence in pastoral care, which were associated with one another and on which the compilers of different manuscripts could draw to suit their own purposes. Tracey-Anne Cooper, ‘Lay Piety’, 51-3. A full guide to the manuscripts can be found in Fowler, ‘Handbook’, 1-4.
A later section of the Regula explains how to deal with mistakes and breakages which monks might make: in these circumstances, if they do not confess at once to the abbot to do penance, and it is discovered, their punishment must be the greater. To this is added,

Si animae vero peccati causa fuerit latens, tantum abbatii aut spiritalis aut senioribus patefaciat, qui sciat curare et sua et aliena vulnera, non detegere et publicare (ch. 46.5-6).

Revealing one’s sins to the abbot is important because he will not only listen to the confession, but also heal the wounds of sin and teach a better way of life.

The late Anglo-Saxon Regularis concordia restates Benedict’s requirement for monks to undergo confession. On Sundays, each monk had to confess to the abbot whatever was on his conscience (RC, ll. 431-6). If there were too many brothers, the remainder had to confess on Monday (ll. 436-9). Furthermore, any monk was supposed to be able to confess at any time if he were tempted (ll. 442-4). Significantly, if the ‘spiritual father’ were absent, the monks were expected to confess to his replacement (ll. 435-6).

Private confession to a superior was also expected on certain feast days. On Maundy Thursday, at Prime, the monks were expected to make their confession to the prior, in a place which is simply referred to as ‘confessionis locum’ (ll. 916-24;

---


617 “When the cause of the sin lies hidden in his conscience, he is to reveal it only to the abbot or to one of the spiritual elders, who know how to heal their own wounds as well as those of others, without exposing them and making them public.”

618 Sarah Hamilton has noted that Benedictine monks may not have recognised a clear distinction between penance and monastic discipline. Furthermore, she argues that the practice of confessing sins in secret or in public according to the circumstances of their commission may have led to the development of the ‘Carolingian dichotomy’, which was discussed on pages 69-71 above. Hamilton, Practice of Penance, 81-3.

619 Lucia Kornexl, ed., Die Regularis concordia und ihre altenglische Interlineaverion, Texte und Untersuchungen zur Englischen Philologie 17 (Munich: Wilhelm Fink, 1993).
the place of confession). Again, on Christmas Day and the first day of the Easter feast, all the brothers were supposed to come to the abbot to ask forgiveness of their sins in Chapter after Prime (ll. 698-706).

The possible context for this kind of confession is made clearer in Ælfric’s *Letter to the Monks of Eynsham*, which is based on the *Regularis concordia* to some degree, but intended for the use of one particular monastery. Ælfric outlines the Sunday confession in brief, but then adds a few extra details:

> sedeat abbas in claustro una cum fratribus et exeant singuli ad confessionem, humiliter illi confitentes quicquid tota ebdomada impugnante aduersario commiserint (ch. 7).

Christopher A. Jones has drawn attention to the fact that Ælfric, unlike his source, specifies that this confession should take place in the cloister, and that at Eynsham apparently only the abbot might hear these confessions. This may perhaps have been due to the small size of Ælfric’s monastery. Evidently, different monastic houses followed slightly different customs depending on their needs.

In all of these examples, the role of the abbot is that of a priest: he has the divine gift of uncovering the particular sins of the monks in his care, and through his skill in confession, the wounds of sin are healed. This role may have been undertaken by the abbot, or by another senior monk, but in all cases, the monks have the opportunity to speak privately to a spiritual superior, confessing their sins and receiving personal guidance.

---

621 “[T]he abbot shall sit in the cloister together with the brothers, and one by one they shall go to confession, humbly confessing to him whatever they have done at the Enemy’s instigation during the entire [past] week.” Jones, *Letter to the Monks of Eynsham*, 112-13. All translations from the *Letter to the Monks of Eynsham* are taken from this edition.
623 Elsewhere in his edition, Jones explains that the small size of Eynsham, compared to Winchester, made it unnecessary to allow Good Friday as well as Holy Saturday for the monks’ washing and shaving. *Ibid.*, 40.
The importance of sacerdotal confession can be inferred from the evidence suggesting that it was expected of laypeople as well as monastics. The third of the Vercelli Homilies tells its audience what to expect ‘þonne ge rihtre andetnesse to eowrum scriftum becumem’ (Vercelli Homily III, ll. 25-6). This phrase is noteworthy: it suggests that confession was unremarkable. Catherine Cubitt has pointed out that, while Wulfstan objects to the demise of public penance in his own time, he says nothing of the sort about confession to a priest alone, and he and Ælfric would have been the first to speak up in this way if priests were failing in their duty to provide confession for the laity. Of course, not everyone took part as they were expected to do. The late eleventh-century Sermo ad populum dominicis diebus contains a list, taken from the works of Wulfstan of York, of the different ways in which sinners serve the devil, which includes making excuses for not confessing their sins. However, this implies that confession was freely available, and that it was the sinner’s fault for not presenting himself to the priest. It is therefore clear from the homilies that a well-developed system of sacerdotal confession existed in late Anglo-Saxon England, both within and without the monastery, which was considered absolutely essential for living the Christian life.

Sacerdotal confession was valuable because it was made up of many parts and so offered complete healing. According to Handbook II, a penitent was expected to go to his confessor, affirm his faith in the Trinity, in eternal life and in

---

624 'When you receive good confession according to your confessors.' Donald Scrugg, ed., The Vercelli Homilies and Related Texts, EETS os 300 (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1992).
625 Catherine Cubitt, 'Bishops, Priests and Penance in Late Saxon England', EME 14:1, 41-63 (2006): 52-3. For Wulfstan, see his homily for Lent, in which he complains that public penance is not practised as often as it should be. 'Sermo in .XL.' in Dorothy Bethurum, ed., The Homilies of Wulfstan (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1957), 233-5, ll. 60-2. For more details on the part played by Ælfric and Wulfstan in developing and promoting confession and penance, see Frantzen, Literature of Penance, 141-7.
626 Homily LVII in Napier, ed., Wulfstan, 298, ll. 28-33; 299, ll. 1-7. For the relationship between this homily and the works of Wulfstan, see n. 609 above.
the Judgement, and then to bow humbly and say a long prayer making a formal confession of sin (Handbook, ll. 25-34). This prayer begins:

Ic andette ælmihtigu Gode and minum scritfe, þam gastlican læce, ealle þa synna þe me æfre þurh awirgede gastas on besmitene wurdon: oððe on dæde oððe on geþohte, oððe wið værmen oððe wið wifmen oððe wið ænige gesceaf, gecyndelicra sinna oððe ungecyndelicra (ll. 35-9). 627

Using a set prayer under the guidance of the priest, the penitent makes a comprehensive confession of sins, divided into categories according to how they were committed and against whom. In very general terms, he repents of the kinds of sins committed (ll. 40-7), viewing his actions by acknowledging them as manifestations of the chief sins, such as greed (l. 41), envy (l. 41) and pride (l. 47). He recognises that sinful desire has entered his body through his senses, and been expressed through all the parts of his body:

Ic andette eal þæt ic æfre mid eaguðm geseah to gitsunge oððe to tælnesse, oððe mid earum to unitte gehirde, oððe mid minum muðe to unnytte gecwæd. Ic andette þe ealles mines lichamon synna, for fel and for flæsc, and for ban and for sinuwan ... (ll. 48-52 ff.). 628

This confession appears to have been for monastic use: the penitent confesses that he has held his office unworthily and neglected the Hours (ll. 55-9). Furthermore, the confession is validated not only through the authority of the confessor, but also through the altar and holy relics:

Swa ic to dæg ealle andette mine scylida toforan Drihtene, hælendum Criste, se wealdeð heofonas and eordan, and beforan þissum halgan weofode and

627 'I confess to Almighty God and to my confessor, the spiritual doctor, all the sins by which I was ever defiled through malign spirits: either in deed or in thought, or against men or against women or against any created thing, natural sins or unnatural.'

628 'I confess everything of desire or blame that I ever saw with my eyes, or of slander which I heard with my ears, or of folly which I said with my mouth. I confess to you the sins of all of my body: through the skin and through the flesh, through the bone and through the sinews ...'
The relics are a sign of how seriously confession was taken, and make the holiness of the saints a witness to it. These lines may also suggest the ease with which penitents were able to come before relics for confession in a monastery. For example, if the long lists of relics owned by Exeter Cathedral before the twelfth century can be used as a guide, then it is likely that the inhabitants of such communities could pray before relics easily and frequently. Finally, the penitent asks God for forgiveness (Handbook, ll. 62-75) and the priest that he may be his witness on the Judgement Day (ll. 76-80). The penitent therefore repents of all the sins which he may have committed, but in general terms and without expressing his contrition at great length.

Of course, the speaker may not have committed all of the sins which he is expected to confess to in these set prayers. It may be the case that, as Allen Frantzen argues, penitents were simply expected to confess to a general list of sins, rather than ‘his own sins’. However, there is evidence in Tiberius A. iii which suggests that on some occasions the penitent was supposed to use the confession selectively, admitting only the sins which he or she had in fact committed. A brief prayer for sacerdotal confession is prefaced by the following instructions:

Man mot hine gebiddan, swaswa he mæg 7 cán, mid ðælum gereorde 7 on ælcere stowe. Nu is her on englisc andetnyss 7 gebed. Ac seðe ƿis singan wylle, ne sege he na mare on þære andetnysse, þonne he wyrcende wæs: for-þon-ðe ure Hælend nele, þet man on hine sylfne leoge, ne eac ealle menn on ánë wisan ne syngiað.

629 ‘Thus today I confess all my faults before the Lord, the Saviour Christ, who rules the heavens and the earth, and before this holy altar and these relics, and before my confessor and Mass-priest of the Lord.’
631 Frantzen, Literature of Penance, 170. Frantzen is referring to a confession in Oxford, Bodleian Library Bodley 718, which is a longer version of the set confession in Handbook II. He believes, however, that this text is for ‘devotional’ use by a community, rather than with a priest.
Because everyone sins in different ways, the priest’s role is particularly important: he needs to deal with each person’s case individually. If this advice was typical, set forms of confession may have had the role of a prompt, reminding the penitent of the sins which he or she may have committed.

The priest could also ask the penitent of which sins he or she was personally guilty, and prescribe appropriate penance. This is evident from *Vercelli Homily* III, which explains to an audience what they could expect from their confessors:

Broðor mine, þonne ge rihtre andetnesse to eowrum scriftum becumen, þonne sceal he eow geornlice ahsian mid hwylcum gemete oððe mid hwylcum intingum syo syn þurhtogen ware þe he geandette þet he ær gefremede, 7 æfter þam gemete þære dæde, he sceal him þa hreowsunge gedeman. He sceall hine eac swa læran þæt he of þam þweorlicu geþohtu andetnesse do, 7 he sceal hine manian þet he of þam eahta [h]eafodleatrum andetnesse do, 7 se sacerd him sceal synderlice ælcne leahtor genæman 7 swa of þam his andetnesse anfon (*Vercelli Homily* III, ll. 25-33).

According to this homily, the penitent’s sins should be divided up and understood according to a theological scheme, the ‘eahta [h]eafodleatrum’ (‘eight chief sins’), which refers to the eightfold division of sins explained by Cassian in *De institutis coenobiorum*.

---

632 ‘One must pray as he can and knows how to, with any language in any place. Now here is a confession and prayer in English. But whoever wants to sing this, may he say no more in that confession than he was doing, because our Lord does not want a man to lie about himself, nor do all men sin in one and the same way. I confess to Almighty God and also to my confessor ...’ Förster, ‘Zur Liturgik’, 8-10.

633 ‘My brothers, when you receive good confession from your confessors, then he must ask you eagerly to what extent or for what reasons the sin was committed which [the sinner] confessed that he did before; and, after the extent of the deed, he must determine the penance for him. He must also teach him that he should make a confession of perverse thoughts, and he must instruct him that he should make a confession of the eight chief sins, and the priest must name each sin to him individually and so receive his confession of them.’

634 The eight sins are listed in *De institutis coenobiorum* book 5, ch. 1: according to Cassian, they are gluttony, fornication, greed, wrath, sorrow, acedia, vainglory and pride. Michael Petschenig, ed., *De institutis coenobiorum, De incarnatione contra Nestorium*, CSEL 17 (Vienna: Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, 2004), 81, ll. 13-23, 82, ll. 1-4.
Handbook III describes the same process from the perspective of the confessor:

Đæt sceal geþencan se þe bið manna sawla læce and heora dæda gewita þæt gedał and þæt gescad, hu he mannum heora dæda gescrife; and þæah hwæðre ne fordeane ne hig ormode ne gedon. Þonne se man him his misdæda andettan wille, gehire him ærest geþildelice hu his wise gerad sy. Gif he wille and cunne eadmodlice his dæda andettan, and þu ondgite þæt him his sinna reowan, lær hine luflice and mildheortlice; gif he ne cunne his dæda andettan and his gíltas asmeagan, acsa hine his wisena and atred him þa gíltas ut and asec his dæda (Handbook, ll. 82-91).

Sacerdotal confession involves more than saying a set prayer: according to both the homily and Handbook III, the confessor should talk to the penitent about each of his sins. He should aim to understand the reasons why, and circumstances in which, each one was committed, and how serious each sin was. A priest can teach the penitent how to confess, adapting his teaching with sensitivity and care so that it is appropriate to the sinner’s attitude. This is what sacerdotal confession offered and solitary confession could not.

The priest also had to exercise his discernment in assigning penance in proportion to the status of the sinner and the seriousness of the sin. Handbook III stresses that the confessor must judge the penitent according to his status in life and to the severity of his sins:

man sceal medemian and gescadlice toscadan ylde and geoguðe, welan and wædlan, hale and unhale and hade gehwilcne. And gif hwa hwæt

635 ‘He who is the doctor of men’s souls, and a witness of their deeds, should know the kind and the way by which he should hear men’s confession of their deeds, and nevertheless he must not condemn them nor make them despondent. When the man wants to confess his misdeeds to him, may he first patiently hear what his habit of life is. If he wishes and knows how to confess his deeds humbly, and you recognise that his sins distress him, teach him lovingly and mercifully. If he does not know how to confess his deeds and think about his sins, ask him about his habits and search out his sins, and seek out his deeds.’
Similarly, the tariff of penances for sins in *Handbook* IV, which is mostly concerned with murder, sexual sin and witchcraft, shows how men of different ecclesiastical ranks were expected to receive increasingly harsh penalties for forcible murder:

Gyf læwede man neadunga man slihd, faeste iii gear, an on hlae and on wætere, and twa swa his scrift him ðæce, and bereowsige his misdæda æfre. Gyf hit bið subdiacon, faeste six gear; gyf hit beo diacon, faeste seofon gear; gy[f] hit beo mæssepreost, faeste x gear, and bispoc xii and reowsige æfre (ll. 138–43).

This demonstrates not only how penance was gradated according to the level of a churchman’s rank and responsibilities, but also how a confessor had an important role to play in determining what would be the best form of penance according to the situation.

As these texts were aimed at confessors in their teaching of the penitents in their charge, it was important that they knew how to hear confessions and award appropriate penances. The metaphor of sins as wounds is a widespread one in confessional literature, and it is given a particularly comprehensive use in *Handbook* V. The priest is a doctor who has a good salve for healing the wounds of sin (*Handbook*, ll. 317-22). Furthermore, confession and good teaching are like a

---

636 ‘One must make a distinction and reasonably differentiate between age and youth, wealth and poverty, health and illness and every status. And if someone does something wrong unintentionally, it is not the same as what he does wrong willingly and intentionally.’

637 ‘If a layman kills a man forcibly, may he fast for three years, one on bread and water, and two as his confessor teaches him, and may he forever repent of his misdeeds. If it is a subdeacon, may he fast for six years; if it be a deacon, may he fast for seven years; if it be a Mass-priest, may he fast for ten years, and a bishop twelve, and may he forever repent.’ Although this section of the *Handbook* is concerned with public penance, the tariff is of penances which should subsequently be assigned by the penitent’s priest.

638 God and Christ, as well as the priest, are traditionally referred to by the metaphor *medicus*. The pre-Christian origin of this term, and its many uses by Augustine, have been examined by Rudolph Arbesmann, and Patrick Sims-Williams identifies Ephrem of Syria as the first Christian to use the metaphor. Allen Frantzen, meanwhile, has commented on its use by Alcuin and Ælfric. Rudolph
healing drink which causes the penitent to vomit up the poison of the sins which are within him (ll. 322-7). Although it is stated that people sin ‘þurh deofles scife’ (ll. 307-8), Alice Cowen has argued that a rigid distinction between the devil’s responsibility for sin and the sinner’s is inappropriate for understanding this kind of penitential literature: the wound metaphor is concerned with the presence of sin in the self, and in any case the passage is aimed at confessors, not penitents, urging them to fulfil their responsibilities to heal the wounded soul. It is therefore evident that the confessor’s role was indispensable, as he healed more serious wounds than did a doctor of the body.

The various texts of the Handbook therefore testify to the fact that confession was a skill which had to be practised by a discerning priest, who is a doctor for the soul. Sacerdotal confession gives the penitent the opportunity to make a general confession of sinfulness, as well as personal guidance and penance for his or her own particular sins. It therefore gives the penitent the most complete form of confession and absolution that could be.

Sacerdotal confession was crucial due to its role as a preparation for Judgement Day, when all deeds would be made known, and all sins revealed, unless they had been confessed and repented. Ælfric’s sermon for the octaves of Pentecost states that:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ne mæg þonne nán man nahwar béon behydd,} \\
\text{ac ealle beoð þær þé æfre cuce wæron,} \\
\text{and þær béoð æteowde ure eallra geþohtas,}
\end{align*}
\]


\[639\] ‘At the devil’s instigation.’

and ealle ure dêda eallum þam werodum; 
þæt ðe ær wæs gebet ne bið þær na ætêowed, 
ac ða ungebettan synna beoð þær geswutelode; 
ið beoð þonne ofsceamode, and sorhfulle on móde, 
þæt hí ær noldon andettan heora synna, 
and dædbote gedôn be heora lareowes dihte 
(In octavis Pentecosten dicendus, ll. 391-9).  

Here, again, the ‘lareow’ or spiritual teacher is indispensable. It is noteworthy that the sinners are specifically said to be 

ofsceamode. According to an exhortation to confession found in Tiberius A. iii, it is better to shame oneself in front of one man – one’s confessor – than in front of God and all people on the last day. The confessor is instructed to tell the penitent:

Micle betere is þam men, þæt him scamige her on life beforan anan men his synna, þonne him scyle eft gescamian on Godes dome ætforan heofenwaran and eorðwaran and helwaran, þær ne mæg nan man nan þing Gode bediglian, þæs þe he æfre her on life geworhte godes oþþe yfeles.  

The same argument is made in the homilies (for example, in Vercelli Homily VIII, ll. 9-14), and was clearly a widespread idea in Anglo-Saxon penitential literature.  

It is because of this overwhelming importance of the confessor on the Judgement Day that the penitent in Handbook II was to say to his priest,

Nu ic bidde þe eadmodlice, Drihtenes sacerd, þæt þu sy me to gewitnesse on domes dæge, þæt þe deofol ne mage on me anweald agan, and þæt þu to
Drihtene beo min þingere þæt ic mote myne sinna and mine giltas gebetan (Handbook, ll. 76-79).  

The addressee is very specifically referred to as ‘Drihtenes sacerd’ (priest of the Lord), demonstrating that the role of confessor was one given specifically to a priest. This would invite the argument that confessional prayers, along with the prayers used as part of it, always needed the participation of a confessor, and therefore, unlike the prayers for the Hours or the Feasts of the Cross, could not be adapted for use as private confessions.

Monastic confession

However, solitary confession should not be considered in contrast to sacerdotal confession alone. There were other contexts in which sin could, and was expected to be, confessed. According to the Regularis concordia, monastic confession should take place in a number of ways. At Chapter each day, after the Rule or the Gospel had been read by the prior, monks who had committed any fault were expected to ask for forgiveness before the whole community, telling the prior what they had done wrong (RC, ll. 407-15). As mentioned above, on Christmas Day the abbot heard individual confessions from each of his monks. Afterwards, however, he was expected to prostrate himself and ask forgiveness of all the brothers together (ll. 703-4), which indicates that the leaders of religious houses were sometimes expected to confess to their inferiors.

Furthermore, there are also a few brief references in monastic sources to confession to a fellow monk or nun. According to the Regularis concordia, Compline on weekdays was followed by prayer and then, after a signal from the

644 ‘Now I ask you humbly, priest of the Lord, that you may be a witness for me on the Day of Judgement, so that by you the devil may not be able to gain power over me, and that you may be my advocate to the Lord, so that I may make amends for my sins and my offences.’
prior, ‘inuicem sibi dent confessionis salubre remedium’ (l. 562).

Confession in itself is therefore enough to bring a certain degree of healing to a sinner, no matter who it is offered by. Similarly, the *Galba Prayerbook* contains a confession which, though originally intended for use as a dialogue confession between two priests, appears to have been used by the sisters of the Nunnaminster, where the manuscript was owned.

The confession opens with a general confession to God and ‘coram sacerdote tuo’ (*Prayer-Book*, 130, l. 3; ‘before your priest’), with the masculine ‘tuo’ implying that, of course, the priest is male; in the closing rubric, ‘ipse sacerdos’ (‘the priest’) suggests the same (133, l. 16). However, the opening rubric later added to the text reads, ‘‹I›ncipit confessionem [sic] inter presbiteros’ (130, l. 1), and the speaker almost entirely consistently refers to herself using feminine grammatical forms, such as ‘transgressa sum’ (130, l. 13; ‘I have transgressed’) and

\[\text{fui ... inobediens et contentiosa et inuidiosa et iracunda et auara et cupida et rapax et incredula (132, ll. 9-11).}\]

This would suggest either that women could be presbyters, or, at the very least, that they were using prayers which were theoretically only for the use of the ordained, two possibilities on which Alexandra Barratt has commented:

\[\text{it would cause quite a stir in certain quarters if the Anglo-Saxon Church turned out to have harboured women presbyteri. What is more likely, of course, is that a women’s community was trying, somewhat unsystematically,}\]

---

645 ‘They are to give the healing remedy of confession to one another in turn.’

646 Muir has found that this example of a dialogue-style *Confiteor* was based on a text in *De psalmorum usu*. Bernard James Muir, ed., *A Pre-Conquest English Prayer-Book (BL MSS Cotton Galba A.xiv and Nero A.ii (ff. 3-13))*, HBS 103 (Woodbridge: Boydell Press, 1988), 130, n. 1; Alcuin, *De psalmorum usu liber*, PL 101, cols. 499A-501B.

647 ‘Here begins a confession between priests.’ ‘Confessionem’ is emended by Muir to ‘confessio’.

648 This prayer does contain one masculine phrase ‘non consolatus sum’ (131, ll. 15-16; ‘I have not consoled’).

649 ‘I have been ... disobedient, quarrelsome, envious, wrathful, avaricious, greedy, acquisitive and unbelieving.’
to adapt its exemplars for female use; some of the girls, new to Latin as well as theology, did not realize that not all prayers are suitable cases for such treatment.  

Barratt’s doubt stems, of course, from the fact that the refrain in this text reads, ‘Dimittat dominus omnia peccata tua’ (Prayer-Book, 130, l. 12), when such a pronouncement was intended for use by a priest. The appearance of the ‘Confessio inter presbiteros’ in as informal a manuscript as Galba, and with the feminine grammatical forms, suggests that it was used in dialogue between nuns, perhaps in preparation for meeting the priest, or in his absence. This testifies to the wide range of forms which confession could take in the monastery.

Public confession and penance

Solitary confession can also be contrasted with the confessional process undergone by the laity in public rites. Evidence for these ceremonies can be found in a range of homilies and there is a description of them in Handbook IV, while prayers and directions for the rites themselves were recorded in pontificals. Of these, I will focus above all on the Lanalet Pontifical, which includes a comparatively long series of prayers for absolution by a bishop. I will also discuss the Egbert Pontifical, and

---

651 ‘May the Lord forgive all your sins.’  
confessional prayers in London, British Library Cotton Vespasian D. xx and the *Portiforium of St Wulstan*.

Public confession and penance have already been studied in detail in recent years. Sarah Hamilton shows that public penance and excommunication, which were developed for public sins by the Carolingian church, were known in Anglo-Saxon England alongside sacerdotal confession and penance.\(^5\) For example, Ælfric writes in his homily for the seventeenth Sunday after Pentecost that ‘ða digelan gyltas man sceal digelice betan. 7 þa openan openlice’ (‘Dominica XVII post Pentecosten’, ll. 113-4).\(^6\) In addition, Brad Bedingfield has investigated the various references to public penance in late Anglo-Saxon texts, including the pontificals and additional works associated with Wulfstan.\(^7\) He concludes that public penance of some kind certainly took place, although the rites described in liturgical texts were probably used in a variety of ways, and the practice of public confession and absolution were mixed with those for the ashing of penitents on Ash Wednesday.\(^8\) The texts on which Bedingfield focuses include the *Handbook* and the eleventh-century pontificals, which can be examined in detail for the prayers used in public penitential rites.\(^9\)

*Handbook* IV describes how the process of public confession and penance was supposed to work. On Ash Wednesday, each bishop would be at his episcopal

---

\(^5\) Hamilton, ‘Remedies for ‘Great Transgressions’’, 83-105.


\(^7\) Brad Bedingfield, ‘Public Penance in Anglo-Saxon England’, ASE 31 (2002): 223-55. See also Sarah Hamilton, ‘Rites for Public Penance in Late Anglo-Saxon England’, in *The Liturgy of the Late Anglo-Saxon Church*, eds. M. Bradford Bedingfield and Helen Gittos, HBS Subsidia 5 (London: Boydell Press, 2005), 65-103. Frantzen has argued that, since the anonymous homilies make no reference to public penance and Ælfric and Wulfstan do, the practice of public penance did not exist when the former were composed. Frantzen, *Literature of Penance*, 157, see also 158-63.


\(^9\) More detail about the development of confession in the early and medieval church can be found in Martin Dudley and Geoffrey Rowell, eds., *Confession and Absolution* (London: SPCK, 1990).
seat, and everyone in that *scire* who had committed any of the eight chief sins would come and reveal their sins to him (*Handbook*, ll. 113-7). The bishop would teach each one penance according to the gravity of the sins committed, and, where appropriate, expel them all from the church community (ll. 117-121). On Maundy Thursday, these penitents would gather at the same place again, where the bishop gave them his absolution and blessing, and the penitents’ priest would have to ensure that they had fulfilled their penance (ll. 121-9).

According to *Handbook* IV, this is a custom which ‘man healt begeondan sæ’ (l. 113), although the writer adds that it should be practised amongst all Christian peoples (ll. 124-5). Nevertheless, the presence of rites for public confession and absolution in Anglo-Saxon pontificals implies that this ceremony did, in fact, take place in England. The *Egbert Pontifical*, for instance, includes four prayers for a bishop to say, with this rubric:

**orationes et preces super penitentem confitentem peccata sua more solito. feria. iiii. infra quinquagesimam (Egbert, 130, ll. 11-12).**

These prayers are immediately followed by a series of prayers for Maundy Thursday (131-2), with the heading ‘ORATIO AD RECONCILIANDUM PENITENTEM FERIA .V. IN CENA DOMINI’ (131, ll. 5-6). One of these is in Old English, indicating that the laity’s need for liturgy in their own language was recognised and in some way met (131-2, n. 30).

A penitent was expected to make a confession of sin, using a formal confession such as the one found in *Handbook* II. In fact, Max Förster

---

658 ‘One keeps beyond the sea.’
659 ‘Prayers and preces over a penitent confessing his/her sins in the usual manner on the fourth day [of the week] before Lent.’
660 ‘Prayer for reconciling a penitent, on the fifth day [of the week], on the feast of the Lord’s supper.’
661 See pages 234-5 above.
demonstrated that this prayer is a shorter version of the prayer ‘Dryhten þu halga god’, which is found in the mid-tenth century manuscript London, British Library Cotton Vespasian D. xx. In Vespasian D. xx, the prayer is preceded by a rite for assigning penance and giving absolution, to be used by a bishop or priest, a Mass for penitence and confessional prayers. Hamilton infers, from a reference to the absolution of penitents and excommunicants, that in the Vespasian manuscript the prayer was intended for use by a bishop in a liturgical ceremony.

The two texts of this prayer demonstrate that, in public confession as well as sacerdotal, a comprehensive and general confession was made of the sins which the speaker had ever committed against all people (‘Dryhten þu halga god’, ll. 13-20). The list of sins (ll. 20-41) is considerably longer than that in Handbook II (Handbook, ll. 39-47). For example, after a pair of parallel passages in which both prayers confess to false oaths, pride and recklessness of God’s commands (Handbook, ll. 44-7; ‘Dryhten þu halga god’, ll. 32-4), in ‘Dryhten þu halga god’ the penitent goes on to confess a number of other sins not included in the shorter prayer, before the two texts reconverge:

Ic eom ondetta ealra gesewenlicra lusta . 7 ungesewenra þara þe Ic æfre gefremede . on ciricean oððe butan ciricean ... Ic ondett e hatheortnesse 7 sleacornesse . slapornesse . 7 unntyte wæcecan . feondscipe 7 feowunge modes 7 muðes 7 dæda (‘Dryhten þu halga god’, ll. 34-6, 39-41).

662 Förster, ‘Zur Liturgik’, 25-30. Förster demonstrates in a comparative table that each line of the prayer in Handbook II, up to line 64, is paralleled in ‘Dryhten þu halga god’, but the latter prayer adds an opening passage expressing sorrow for sins, and throughout the prayer, it adds extra confessions which do not appear in the Handbook II prayer. ‘Dryhten þu halga god’ can be found in H. Logeman, ‘Anglo-Saxonica Minora’, Anglia 11, 97-120 (1889), 97-100; Gneuss, no. 395.5; Ker, no. 212.
664 Ibid., 92.
665 ‘I confess all the visible and invisible desires which I have ever committed, inside or outside of the church ... I confess anger and laziness, somnolence and unnecessary wakefulness, hostility and hatred of mind and mouth and deeds.’
‘Dryhten þu halga god’ also includes a list of body parts (ll. 41-53), which again is more comprehensive than that in the shorter prayer (Handbook, ll. 48-55). The existence of this prayer in two different versions is a good example, not only of the flexibility with which a liturgical form could be adapted, but also of a rite conducted at least partly in the vernacular.

On Maundy Thursday, the bishop would pronounce absolution over the penitent. The context of this ceremony can be seen in the second of two almost identical series of prayers for penitents in the Pontificale Lanaletense. Although the second series (Lanaletense, 140-3) is incomplete, it has an explanatory rubric which the first series lacks:

Incipit absolutio dicenda ab episcopo super conuersum et penitentem. qui conuersus, prosternatur coram altare, et psalmum decantet quinquagessimum. si autem est idiota, ex intimo corde crebro dicat, deus miserere peccatori seruo tuo et faciat episcopus letanias super eum et haec [sic] sequantur orationes (140, ll. 1-6).

This indicates not only the setting of the prayers of absolution, but also that the ceremony was used with learned people, who could sing a penitential psalm in Latin, as well as unlearned laypeople.

The accompanying prayers are longer and more numerous than similar compositions found in Egbert. They are generalised absolutions, asking forgiveness for all of the penitent’s sins, rather than specifying which he has committed. These prayers have some features in common with the prayers of solitary confession, which

---

666 *Here begins an absolution to be said by the bishop over a convert and penitent*. Let each convert prostrate himself before the altar and chant the fiftieth psalm. However, if he is an uneducated man, let him say frequently, out of his inmost heart, ‘God, have mercy on your servant, a sinner’, and let the bishop say litanies over him and may these prayers follow ...’ Here, I have quoted from the second series of absolutions in Lanaletense, as it includes this rubric. However, in all other quotations from this pontifical, I have quoted from the first series of absolutions on pages 75-80, as they are a more complete version of the same sequence of prayers.
will be discussed below. They often ask for forgiveness by recalling God’s mercy shown in the Bible. For example:

Precor domine clementiam tue maiestatis ... Qui in humeris tuis ouem perditam reduxisti ad caulas . qui publicani precibus uel confessione placatus ès . tu etiam domine huic famulo tuo placare (77, ll. 21, 23-5). 667

Likewise, the bishop prays that God, who does not desire the death of a sinner, may have clemency upon the penitent (80, ll. 2-10). The frequent references to the Bible imply that, if God was merciful once, he will be merciful again.

The prayers also ask God to look upon the penitent’s sorrow for his sin. This can be seen in the Lanaletense prayer ‘Deus humani generis benignissime conditor’:

Moueat pietatem tuam fletus ipse miserorum tuorum medere uulneribus . Tú benignam iacentibus manum porrige . ne aeclesia tua sui corporis portione priuata temeretur. ne grex tuus detrimentum sustineat ... Tibi igitur humiliamur omnes . tibi supplices fundimus preces . tibi fletum cordis offerimus (78, ll. 5-8, 10-11). 668

As with the prayers for solitary confession, this implies that tears as well as words were an important part of prayer. This prayer is also notable for asking that God may stretch out his hand upon the penitent. Again, as will be seen, some solitary confessions express closeness to God by imagining his actions and how he hears the prayer.

However, the prayers for public absolution also have some features which are specific to the genre and which are not shared with the solitary confessions. For example, the bishop has the power to grant forgiveness, ‘non electione meriti sed

667 ‘I ask, O Lord, the clemency of your majesty ... you who brought the lost sheep back to the sheepfold on your shoulders, you who were pleased by the prayers and the confession of the publican, may you be pleased also, O Lord, by this your servant.’
668 ‘May this weeping move your pity to heal the wounds of your wretched people. Stretch out your kind hand to those who are prostrate, lest your church may be deprived of a part of its body, lest your flock may sustain harm ... Therefore we all humble ourselves to you, we pour out prayers to you, we offer the tears of our heart to you.’
dono gratiae tuae’ (78, ll. 19-20). Prayers in the pontificals often refer to Christ’s giving Peter the power of binding and loosing, which gives the bishop the authority to forgive sins (75, ll. 25-6). It is perhaps for this reason that the prayers for public absolution, more than any others, frequently refer metaphorically to sins as chains: ‘sana illius uulnera . relaxa facinora . et delictorum catenas absolue’ (79, ll. 26-7).

Finally, there is an emphasis on the church, the body of Christ, to which the penitent is being readmitted: ‘eum unitati corporis acclésię tuę et membrorum perfecta remissione restitue’ (76, ll. 28-9). The very nature of public confession and absolution involves a stronger awareness of the bishop’s authority, inherited from the apostles, to forgive sin, and of the sinner’s separation from the body of the church over which the bishop presides.

Public confession was therefore important for laypeople and monastics alike, and important enough to translate into the vernacular. It involved all of the personal guidance of sacerdotal confession, together with a public ceremony of readmittance into the body of the church. Although, as will be shown, solitary confession shares some of its purpose and techniques with sacerdotal and public confession, ultimately, however, they offered something which solitary confession could not.

The Portiforium of St Wulstan includes not only a personal service-book but also a series of private prayers, many of which are for use in solitary confession. After these private prayers follows a set prayer for sacerdotal confession, followed by a blessing and prayer for forgiveness. The confession has a lacuna of one folio,

---

669 ‘Not by the choice of merit, but by the gift of your grace.’
670 ‘Heal his wounds, loosen his crimes and untie the chains of his faults.’
671 ‘Restore him by perfect forgiveness to the unity of the body of your church and its members.’
but the beginning and end survive (if we may assume that they are the same prayer);
furthermore, the opening is clearly made to a confessor:

Confe[ss]io. Ego uolo esse confessus deo omnipotenti et angelis eius et tibi
hominis dei de omnibus peccatis meis que ego feci postquam natus fui [sic] et
baptismum accepi usque in istam horam (Portiforium, II, 23, ll. 15-17). 673

The blessing on, and prayer for, the penitent which follow, however, feature glosses
changing most of the second- and third-person pronouns to the first-person. 674

Da nobis domine ut sicut publicani precibus et confessione placatus es, ita et
huic/mihi famulo tuo .illi. placare domine, et precibus eius/meis benignus
aspira, ut in confessione flebili permanens, et petitione perpetuam
clementiam tuam celeriter exoret/exoram, sanctisque altaribus sacramentis
restitutus, rursus celesti glorie mancipetur (Portiforium, II, 23, ll. 32-4; 24, ll.
1-2). 675

This prayer was evidently designed to reunite the penitent with the church, and this
same text was in fact used in the public penitential ceremonies discussed above
(Lanaletense, 76, ll. 14-19; Egbert, 131, ll. 34-5; 132, ll. 1-3).

The presence of this prayer in the Portiforium, with first-person glosses,
indicates that public confessional prayers, like any other liturgical form, could be
adapted for private use. However, this is an unusual example. The prayers for
solitary confession in manuscripts such as the Portiforium and Tiberius A. iii appear
to represent a separate genre, rather than comprising extracts taken directly from the

673 ‘I want to confess to God Almighty, and to his angels, and to you, a man of God, of all my sins
which I have committed, since I was born and received baptism, up to this hour.’
674 Neither Ker nor Montague James comments on the hand used in this gloss, which is evidently
similar to the main hand. Ker, no. 67; Montague Rhodes James, A Descriptive Catalogue of the
Manuscripts in the Library of Corpus Christi College, 2 vols. (Cambridge: Cambridge University
Press, 1912), II, no. 391; Parker Library on the Web, version 1.2, accessed 25 April 2011,
<http://parkerweb.stanford.edu/>, manuscript 391, 617.
675 Emphases mine. ‘Grant us, O Lord, that, just as you were pleased by the prayers and confession of
the publican, so also, O Lord, be pleased by this/me your servant [name] and breathe kindly upon
his/my prayers, so that, remaining in tearful confession, by petition he/I may swiftly entreat your
perpetual mercy, and, restored to the holy altars and sacraments, may be surrendered again to
heavenly glory.’
liturgy. In this chapter so far, I have shown that there were many kinds of confession available in late Anglo-Saxon England. In all of the examples discussed, the priest’s, abbot’s or bishop’s role was essential in order that the penitent might be restored to the body of the church or monastery in this life, and be assured of salvation in the next. However, there is plenty of evidence to suggest that Anglo-Saxon monastics, and perhaps laypeople also, practised confession and penitence to God alone. In the remainder of this chapter, I will provide a new analysis of solitary confession, arguing that, though it was in some ways similar to confession before a superior, it also had certain characteristics which were unique to the needs of a person praying without a confessor.

Evidence for solitary confession

It cannot be proven with any certainty that the confessions in private prayerbooks were intended for use in solitude; however, there are good reasons for believing that this was the case. Before offering a detailed reading of solitary confessional prayers, I will consider the evidence for the existence of private confessional prayer. To do so, I will draw upon the texts outlined in table 4.1 above: the Regula Benedicti; the poems The Rewards of Piety and Resignation A; the ordo for morning prayer in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook; Ælfric’s homily Dominica III post epiphania Domini; Handbook I; and the rubrics to solitary confessional prayers. These texts indicate that solitary confessional prayer did take place and was considered a form of confession in its own right, but one which was complementary to sacerdotal confession, not a substitute for it.

Solitary confession clearly took place, and was in fact expected to be undertaken daily. According to the Regula Benedicti, monks are expected to confess
their sins before God alone: one of the marks of a good monk in Chapter Four of the

*Regula* is, ‘mala sua praeterita cum lacrimis vel gemitu cotidie in oratione Deo

confiteri’ (*Rule*, ch. 4.57).\(^676\) Solitary repentance continued to be regarded as

important during the following centuries: in Anglo-Saxon England, it was also taught

through poetry, such as the relatively little-studied homiletic poem *Instructions for

Christians*.\(^677\) This explains how a person can live a good life, which includes doing

‘wop and hreowe for his misdæda’ (*Instructions for Christians*, l. 14).\(^678\) Although

the poem insists that both prayer and fasting are necessary for eternal bliss (ll. 7, 9),

it also implies that inner repentance is more necessary than the physical discipline of

fasting:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Gif mon mid ealra} & \text{ in[n]ancundre} \\
\text{heortan [gehygde]} & \text{ gehreowad his synna,} \\
\text{and fulfæstlice þencð} & \text{ þæt he forð ofer þæt þæt} \\
\text{þam æfre to} & \text{ eft ne gecyrre} \\
\text{þeah he ne fæste nawiht} & \text{ ***} \\
\text{þonne þreora dagas} & \text{ þeah wile drihten hine} \\
\text{fæderlice onfon} & \text{ æt his forðsiðe (ll. 166-72).} \(^679\)
\end{align*}
\]

Solitary confession is, effectively, obligatory. As well as saying prayers of

confession, it involves thinking over one’s sins and shedding tears of repentance.

An example of how this requirement was put into practice can be seen in the

*ordo* for morning prayer in *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook*.\(^680\) The reader is told to sing

certain canticles in morning prayer (*ÆP*, no. 53.1-2). This should be done every

\(^676\) ‘Every day with tears and sighs to confess your past sins to God in prayer’.

\(^677\) This appears in Cambridge, University Library li. I. 33, a manuscript of the second half of the
twelfth century. James L. Rosier, ed., ‘“Instructions for Christians”: a Poem in Old English’, *Anglia*
82 (1964): 4-22; Ker, no. 18.

\(^678\) ‘Lamentation and sorrow for his misdeeds.’

\(^679\) If a man repents of his sins with all the thought of his mind within, and earnestly thinks that he
will journey over that to which he will not return again, even though he does not fast at all [...] than
three days, even so the Lord will receive him like a father at his going forth.’

HBS 108 (London: Boydell Press, 1993), no. 53. This *ordo* was discussed in more detail on pages
111-13 above.
Sunday, but to this is added the further advice, `[m]ihtest þu gewunian þæt ðu hit
sunge ælce dæge, þonne ðu ærest onwoce’ (no. 53.3). The reader is then told to
make his confession to God alone:

cwê þonne God ælmihtig, ‘For þinre miclan mildheortnesse 7 for ðissa
godes worda mægne, miltsa me, 7 syle me minra gedonra synna forgynnesse,
7 ðara towheardra gescildnessa ...’ (no. 53.4)

This must be done ‘dihlice, þær ðu sylf sy’ (no. 53.6). The Old English poem The
Rewards of Piety assumes that solitary repentance will take place in a similar
context. The reader is told that he will be able to flee from demons,

gif þu filian wilt
larum minum, swa ic lære þe
digollice þæt þu on dægred oft
ymbe þinre sauwle ræd swiðe smeage
*(Rewards of Piety, ll. 68b-71).*

It therefore appears that repentance involved thinking about one’s sins in solitude,
and that this ought to take place in the early morning.

Solitary confession was not, however, expected to replace sacerdotal
confession. Ælfric complained that

*Sume men wenað þæt him genihtsumie to fulfreumedum læcedome; gif hi
heora synna mid onbryrdre heortan gode anum andettað. 7 ne þurfon nanum

---

681 ‘You could get used to singing it every day, when you first wake up.’
682 ‘Then say to God Almighty, ‘For your great mercy, and for the power of these words of God, have mercy on me, and grant me forgiveness of the sins I have committed, and protection against future ones’.’
683 ‘Secretely, where you are alone.’
684 Robinson argues convincingly that the poems previously known as *An Exhortation to Christian Living* and *A Summons to Prayer* are in fact one poem, which he titles *The Rewards of Piety*. Because *A Summons to Prayer* begins with the word ‘[þ]ænne’ (‘then’), it is unlikely to be the start of a new poem. Although it is macaronic, and *An Exhortation for Christian Living* is not, it is not unknown for an Old English poem to end with a short macaronic section: *The Phoenix* sets a precedent for this. Fred C. Robinson, “‘The Rewards of Piety’: ‘Two’ Old English Poems in their Manuscript Context”, in *The Editing of Old English*, ed. Fred C. Robinson, 180-95 (Oxford and Cambridge, MA: Blackwell, 1994), 181-3. All translations from this poem are taken from this edition.
685 ‘[I]f you will obey my teachings, as I teach you privily / that you should often at dawn / think carefully about your soul’s benefit’. 
sacerde geandettan gif hi yfeles geswicað; ac gif heora wena soð wære þonne
nolde drihten asendan þone þe he sylf gehælde to þam sacerde mid ænigre
lace (Dominica III post epiphania Domini, ll. 68-72).  

This echoes Alcuin’s warnings, quoted in Chapter One above, that one cannot be
saved by one’s own prayers alone. The examples quoted above suggest that
confessing in the sight of God alone, with tears and sighs over one’s sins, was not
only permissible but actively encouraged – but as a preparation and a complement to
confession to a priest. Ælfric’s use of the phrase ‘to fulfremedum læcedome’ (‘as
complete healing’) is significant: private confession may offer healing, just not
complete healing.

Similar sentiments can also be discerned in Handbook I, which was clearly
designed to promote solitary confession as a preparation for going to a priest. First
of all, it explains why confession is necessary, and then it instructs that the penitent
‘prosternat se humiliter in conspectu Dei super terram’ (Handbook, ll. 8-9).
Although he does not yet confess his sins, he is expected to pour out tears and
adoration (l. 9), which are as much a part of confession as the prayers. The penitent
should then ask the angels and saints for their intercessions, before saying certain
prayers and the Creed (ll. 9-19). It is then that the penitent is expected to confess to
both God and his confessor: ‘post hęc incipiat confessionem suam coram Deo et
coram sacerdote confitens peccata sua’ (ll. 20-1). Solitary confession therefore
had its place as a preparation for sacerdotal confession: the two were
complementary.

686 ‘Some men believe that it will suffice them as complete healing if they confess their sins with an
incited heart to God alone, and that they do not need to confess to a priest if they turn away from evil.
But if their belief were true, then God would not have wanted to send him whom he himself healed to
the priest with any offering.’ Clemoes, Catholic Homilies, 241-8.
687 Alcuin, Epistolae, Ernst Dümmler, ed., Epistolae Karolini aevi (II), MGH, Epistolae 4 (Berlin:
Weidmann, 1895), <http://www.dmgh.de/>, 216, ll. 27-9; 217, ll. 1, 23-5; see pages 73-4 above.
688 ‘Should prostrate himself humbly on the ground in the sight of God.’
689 ‘After these, may he begin his confession, confessing his sins in the presence of God and of his
priest.’
Handbook I clearly distinguishes between the prayers ‘in conspectu Dei’ and the confession ‘coram Deo et coram sacerdote’, suggesting that the former description refers to a confession before God alone. This is significant, as it strengthens the hypothesis that certain prayer rubrics refer specifically to solitary confessions. For instance, the prayer ‘Eala þu ælmihtiga god’ in Tiberius A. iii is labelled a ‘Confessio et oratio ad deum’ (*Four unedited prayers*, no. 1, l. 1).  

Similarly, the prayer ‘Confitibor tibi’ in the *Portiforium* begins with a rubric and a confession specifically to God alone:

*Confessio pura.* Confitibor tibi domine omnia peccata mea quæcumque feci omnibus diebus uite meae (*Portiforium*, II, 15, ll. 16-17).

This is distinctly different from the form of confession further on in the same manuscript, for use with a priest:


These rubrics indicate that solitary confessions were recognised as *confessiones*, just as sacerdotal confessions were.

As discussed above, confessions made to the priest or bishop could be made before an altar and relics. This also appears to be the case in the solitary confession ‘Qui in hunc mundum’, which appears to have been designed for use in monastic

---


691 ‘Pure confession. I confess to you, O Lord, all my sins, whatever I have committed during all the days of my life.’ See also ‘Confititeor tibi, domine, quia ego peccavi nimir coram te & coram angelis tuis’ (‘I confess to you, O Lord, because I have sinned greatly before you and before your angels’), in Arundel 155. Ferdinand Holthausen, ed., *Altenglische Interlinearversionen Lateinischer Gebete und Beichten*, *Anglia* 65, 230-54 (1941): no. 19, ll. 71-2.

692 Emphasis mine. ‘I want to confess to God Almighty, and to his angels, and to you, a man of God, all my sins which I have committed.’
horses.\textsuperscript{693} The version of ‘Qui in hunc mundum’ in Arundel 155 is clearly intended for solitary use in the presence of relics, as the prayer rubric states:

\begin{center}
In quacunque die cantaverit aliquis istam orationem, non nocebit illi diabolus, neque ullus homo impedimentum facere potest (‘Interlinearversionen’, no. 18).\textsuperscript{694}
\end{center}

Furthermore, in this manuscript, the prayer includes the words,

\begin{center}
Ad portam aecclesię tuę, domine Jesu Christe, confugio et ad pignora sanctorum tuorum prostratus indulgentiam peto (‘Interlinearversionen’, no. 18, ll. 16-18).\textsuperscript{695}
\end{center}

with ‘pignora’ (relics) glossed as ‘lichaman’ (bodies). This would suggest that private confession, like confession to a priest, could be made in church, in the presence of holy relics. This indicates not only the seriousness with which such confessions were taken, but also, perhaps, the need to validate a private confession by making it before the saints. Of course, copies of the text may have circulated in environments where there were no relics, which is suggested by the version of ‘Qui in hunc mundum’ in the \textit{Portiforium of St Wulstan}: it has ‘suffragia’ (‘intercessions’, ‘support’) instead of ‘pignora’.\textsuperscript{696}

There is therefore enough evidence to suggest that solitary confession was an important and accepted part of the confessional process. The penitent was expected to think about his or her sins, and weep over them, when alone in the morning, or in preparation for visiting the priest. Prayers such as those in Tiberius A. iii and the

\textsuperscript{693} Muir notes, from the version in \textit{Galba}, that the penitent confesses to having turned up late to the \textit{opus Dei} (‘work of God’). Muir, \textit{Prayer-Book}, 68, n. 19.

\textsuperscript{694} ‘On whatever day someone sings this prayer, the devil will not harm him, nor will another man be able to hinder him.’ A similar rubric begins the version in the \textit{Galba Prayerbook} and \textit{Bury Psalter}, and ‘Tempus meum prope est’ in the \textit{Portiforium}. Muir, \textit{Prayer-Book}, 61, ll. 1-3; André Wilmart, ed., \textit{The Prayers of the Bury Psalter}, repr. from \textit{Downside Review} 48 (1930), no. 3, ll. 1-6; Hughes, \textit{Portiforium}, II, 12, ll. 22-4.

\textsuperscript{695} ‘O Lord Jesus Christ, I flee to the door of your church and ask for mercy prostrated before the tokens of your saints.’

\textsuperscript{696} Hughes, \textit{Portiforium}, II, 3, l. 13.
Portiforium were also intended for making a confession of sins before God alone. Having established the place of solitary confession in the confessional process, I will now examine the texts of confessional prayers in detail.

The characteristics of solitary confessional prayers

Solitary confessions resemble prayers for sacerdotal confession in a number of ways. They include lists of sins and sinful body parts; they draw on Biblical examples in asking for God’s mercy; they are intended to stir up tears and sorrow for sin; and they express closeness to God by imagining the penitent’s prayer from his perspective. Set prayers for any kind of confession show a tendency towards generality and comprehensiveness. However, the solitary confessions differ from other forms of confession by making greater use of hyperbole and repetition, by being more emotional, and by placing great emphasis on the innumerability of the speaker’s sins.

Prayers for solitary confession can be found in a number of late Anglo-Saxon manuscripts, including private prayerbooks, additions to earlier psalters, and liturgical compendia. They are usually ‘long prayers’ of the kind identified in Chapter Two: they are normally composed of many parts in different styles, and tend not to have been adapted from liturgical forms. 697 I will focus this discussion upon two manuscripts, which include both some of the more common and more unusual confessional prayers of the time, both in Latin and Old English.

The Portiforium of St Wulstan contains a long series of private prayers, of which the majority are solitary confessions. The following table indicates the location of all the prayers in this sequence, with confessional prayers in plain type,

---

697 See pages 101-3 above.
and those which I discuss in detail in bold. Prayers of other kinds are noted in italics and are not listed individually.

Table 4.2: The private prayers in the Portiforium of St Wulstan, indicating the confessional prayers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page no. in edition.</th>
<th>Page no. in MS</th>
<th>Prayers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>581-3</td>
<td>Liturgical prayers; prayers to the Trinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>583-8</td>
<td>‘Qui in hunc mundum’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>588-90</td>
<td>(Oratio et confessio) ‘Ego humiliter te adoro’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>590-1</td>
<td>(Oratio sancta) ‘Qui es trinus unus’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>591-2</td>
<td>(Oratio) ‘Mane cum surrexero’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-12</td>
<td>592-9</td>
<td>Prayers to the cross and the saints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-13</td>
<td>599-600</td>
<td>(Oratio Sancti Gregorii) ‘Tempus meum prope est’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-14</td>
<td>600-1</td>
<td>‘Pecavi domine et nimis peccavi in homine’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-15</td>
<td>601-603</td>
<td>(Anglice) ‘For þinre þære miclan mildheortnesse’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>603-4</td>
<td>Prayer to St Swithun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>(Confessio pura) ‘Confitibor tibi domine omnia peccata mea’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-17</td>
<td>604-6</td>
<td>(Alia oratio unde supra) ‘Qui es trinitas unus’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>606-7</td>
<td>(Item alia) ‘Qui es omnium sanctorum’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>607-8</td>
<td>(Unde supra) ‘Miserere nobis misericors trinitas’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-18</td>
<td>608-9</td>
<td>(Alia oratio ad deum deuote corde dicenda) ‘Miserere domine, miserere Christe’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-23</td>
<td>609-16</td>
<td>Prayers to the cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>616-7</td>
<td>(Confessio) ‘Ego uolo esse confessus’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>‘Benedicat te deus celi’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-4</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>‘Da nobis domine ut sicut publicani’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>617-8</td>
<td>Ordo for prayer before the cross; prayer to the Virgin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additionally, I will refer to three Old English prayers for solitary confession in Tiberius A. iii, which are included as part of a collection of confessional texts in that manuscript alongside the first three sections of the Handbook.701

---

698 Hughes, Portiforium, II, 1-24.
699 A longer version of the prayer on page 7.
700 This prayer, attributed to Alcuin, is based on earlier texts by Marius Victorinus and, to a lesser extent, Augustine. Stephan Waldhoff, Alcuins Gebetbuch für Karl den Großen: Seine Rekonstruktion und seine Stellung in der frühmittelalterlichen Geschichte der libelli precum, Liturgiewissenschaftliche Quellen und Forschungen 89 (Münster: Aschendorff, 2003), 214-5 and n. 307.
701 These prayers also appear in London, British Library Royal 2. B. v; however, as I have focused on Tiberius A. iii in this chapter, all citations of ‘Eala þu ælmihtiga god’ and ‘Sí ðe wuldor and þanc’ are based on this manuscript. The prayer ‘For þinre þære miclan mildheortnesse’ appears in both
Table 4.3: Solitary confessional prayers in London, British Library Cotton Tiberius A. iii (Ker, no. 186.9, a-f).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page no. in edition</th>
<th>Folio no.</th>
<th>Prayers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>206-8</td>
<td>44r-45v</td>
<td>(Confessio et oratio ad deum) ‘Eala þu ælmihtiga god unasecgendlicere mildheortnesse’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-11</td>
<td>45v-46r</td>
<td>(Man mot hine gebiddan...) ‘Ic eom andetta ælmihtigan Gode’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>46r-v</td>
<td>‘Tibi flecto genua mea’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209-10</td>
<td>46v-47r</td>
<td>‘For þinre þære mycelan mildheortnysse’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210-12</td>
<td>47r-48r</td>
<td>‘Ic þe eom andetta’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212-6</td>
<td>48r-50v</td>
<td>‘Si ðe wuldor and þanc’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Solitary confessions have some features in common with prayers for use in sacerdotal or public confession, indicating that different forms of confession had a common purpose, and so I will begin by examining this common ground. Following this, I will identify the characteristics which are specific to solitary confessions alone.

The listing of sins has been seen in prayers for sacerdotal and public confession alike, and it also features in solitary confessions: for example, ‘Qui in hunc mundum’ (Portiforium, II, 4, ll. 31-5) and ‘Ego humiliter te adoro’ (6, ll. 10-18). These suggest that solitary confession was intended to be very generalised, not made in response to any particular sin, but part of the reader’s usual private prayers:

Peccavi per superbiam et per inuidiam. Peccavi per detractationem et per avaritionem, peccavi per malitiam et per mendatium, peccavi per fornicationem et per gulam (‘Ego humiliter te adoro’, Portiforium, II, 6, ll. 10-12).

---

Tiberius A. iii and the Portiforium: in the case of this prayer, I quote from the Portiforium as I use that manuscript more frequently than Tiberius. Pulsiano and McGowan, ‘Four Unedited Prayers’, 189. For the context of these prayers, and all the confessional texts, in Tiberius A. iii, see Cooper, ‘Lay Piety’, 49-50.

702 Where not stated, these page numbers refer to Pulsiano and McGowan, ‘Four Unedited Prayers’.
703 Förster, ‘Zur Liturgik’.
704 ‘I have sinned through pride and through envy. I have sinned through evasion and through avarice; I have sinned through malice and through deceit; I have sinned through fornication and through gluttony ...’
It is unclear however, how these generalised confessions were intended for use in solitary prayer. Above, it was seen that a prayer for sacerdotal confession in Tiberius A. iii instructs the penitent to confess only the sins which he had actually committed.\textsuperscript{705} It is possible that the solitary confessions were intended for use in the same way, with the penitent using the prayer as an examination of conscience, selecting the sins which he or she needed to confess.

Alternatively, Allen Frantzen has argued that these complete lists of sins were a necessary part of solitary confession:

\begin{quote}
In a ceremony not concluded by the assigning of penance – such as the public reconciliation of penitents – and in private prayer, long confessions served an obvious purpose. They were a way to ensure that the penitent had confessed completely; and they were sure to impress on the sinner his weakness and his need to guard against it.\textsuperscript{706}
\end{quote}

If the relationship between God and the penitent was not guided and supported by a confessor, whose skill was necessary to ensure that all sins were confessed and absolved before the Judgement, there was the risk that some sins might be left unconfessed. For this reason, in private confessional prayer, it was particularly important that the speaker should spare no effort in emphasising his sinfulness. So, although lists of sins feature in prayers for both solitary and sacerdotal confession, they may have had a different use in the different contexts.

Again, as in the forms of public and sacerdotal confession, other prayers apparently designed for solitary confession ask forgiveness upon each part of the body which has sinned. In the prayers for use with a priest or bishop, the various limbs and organs are merely named, and ‘Ego humiliter te adoro’ follows this pattern:

\textsuperscript{705} Förster, ‘Zur Liturgik’, 8-9.
\textsuperscript{706} Frantzen, \textit{Literature of Penance}, 88.
In ‘Si ðe wulدور and þanc’, by contrast, the list of body parts is more creative. Each sinful part of the body is contrasted with the corresponding parts of the holy body of Christ:

Min drihten, si þe þanc þæs þe þu þiné fót léte on deaðe acolían þe þu ærest mid eodest 7 mançynn to life laðodest. Forgyf me for þinra fota áre eall þæt ic æfre mid minum fotum unnyttes geeode oððe unnyttes gedyde (‘Four Unedited Prayers’, no. 4, ll. 71-4). 708

This is reminiscent of the prayer ‘Adoro te’ discussed in Chapter Three, in which Christ’s wounds heal the speaker’s soul, and his death is the speaker’s life. 709 In both prayers, a similarity is found between Christ and the speaker only to emphasise the difference between them.

Frantzen has compared lists of this kind to the loricae, in which the speaker prays for protection over each part of his or her body. 710 They could also be seen in the light of exorcisms such as one in the Antiphonary of Bangor, in which the devil

---

707 ‘I have sinned in relation to my eyes and my ears and my nose. I have sinned in relation to my tongue and my throat.’ It should be remembered that this prayer, with its simple list of sins, also appears in the Book of Cerne. A. B. Kuypers, ed., The Prayer Book of Ædelwald the Bishop, Commonly Called the Book of Cerne (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1902), no. 10. Compare also ‘Dryhten þu halga god’, ll. 41-53, in Logeman, ‘Anglo-Saxonica Minora’.

708 ‘My Lord, thanks be to you that you let your feet grow cold in death, with which you first went and led mankind to life. Through the grace of your feet, forgive me all the foolish places to which I have ever gone or folly committed with my feet.’ Frantzen has commented on the equally inventive list in Alcuin’s prayer ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’, which was discussed on pages 74-8 above. Frantzen, Literature of Penance, 89; Waldhoff, Alcuins Gebetbuch, no. 3a, ll. 7-26. For a comparative edition of the many variants of this text, including in Anglo-Saxon manuscripts, see Jonathan Black, ed., ‘Psalm Uses in Carolingian Prayerbooks: Alcuin’s Confessio peccatorum pura and the Seven Penitential Psalms (Use 1)’, Mediaeval Studies 65, 1-56 (2003): 25-40.

709 See page 203 above.

is cast out from each of a man’s limbs and organs. Lists of sinful body parts were therefore only one of several closely-related ways in which the body could be prayed for, in public and private settings: casting out the devil, asking forgiveness for past sin, asking for future virtue, and praying for protection.

The solitary confessions divide sins into kinds according to the theological schemes used by priests and bishops in examining penitents. One of these was that of the eight chief sins. This is the method used for self-examination in ‘Eala þu ælmihtiga god unasecgendlicere mildheortnesse’. This vernacular prayer begins as a direct translation of ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’, but the list of body parts is replaced with a confession based on the eight chief sins. For example:

Ic syngode gelome þurh asolcennysse ða ða me god ne lyste don, ne gán to godes húse, ne nan ellen niman to ænigum gódan weorc; ac ic lyfede min lif lange on solcennesse butan godum weorcum 7 godum biggenge (‘Four Unedited Prayers’, no. 1, ll. 38-40).

This way of dividing up sin would have been known to the speaker from sacerdotal confession, and a useful aid for when there was no priest to help. While ‘Dryhten þu halga God’ and ‘Qui in hunc mundum’ contain admissions of having neglected the Hours or the opus Dei (‘Dryhten þu halga God’, ll. 55-64; Portiforium, II, 4, ll. 34-5), this prayer has a more general confession of not having gone to church. Along

---


712 Lines 1-15 of ‘Eala þu ælmihtiga god’ are translated from ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’ (which can be found in Waldhoff, Alcuins Gebetbuch, 3a, ll. 2-5). Ker, no. 186.9(a) (London, Cotton Tiberius A. iii). This prayer is a good example of how an influential and widely-copied Latin prayer was both faithfully translated and changed into something completely different according to the needs of the copyist or translator.

713 ‘I have often sinned through sloth, when I did not desire to do good, nor go to God’s house, nor take any courage in doing any good work, but I have long lived my life in sloth without good works and good worship.’
with the use of the vernacular language, this suggests that it may have been particularly suitable for a layperson, although its usage cannot ultimately be proven.

Another scheme for understanding sin can be seen in ‘Tempus meum prope est’, in which the penitent asks forgiveness for ‘[q]uicquid locutus fui [sic], aut cogitau, aut feci’ (*Portiforium*, II, 13, ll. 3-4). The threefold division of sin into thoughts, words and deeds is an ancient one: Patrick Sims-Williams finds it the works of Cyril of Alexandria and in *opuscula* attributed to Ephrem of Syria, the latter of which he suggests may have been the source for Gregory the Great’s use of this ‘triad’. However, it was in Irish penitential literature that it found its most extensive use. Sims-Williams attributes this to the Irish emphasis on secret confession to priests, whereby it was spread across the Continent by Irish, and later Anglo-Saxon, missionaries. It was of particular use in ninth-century penitential texts, such as those in *De psalmorum usu* and the *Confiteor*, which made the triad widespread until the *Confiteor* was formally included in the Mass in the eleventh century.

The presence of the triad in prayers from the early Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks, such as ‘Mane cum surrexero’ in Royal 2 A. xx, is due to the Irish influences on the prayerbooks. These prayers, of course, were later copied into the late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks. Sims-Williams argues that the Irish church, which laid great importance on introspection, found this threefold classification of sins to be useful as it placed as high a value on thought and spoken sin as on sinful actions, and was simpler than Cassian’s scheme of eight chief sins whilst still encompassing all forms

---

714 ‘Whatever I have said, or thought, or done.’
716 For examples of the use of the triad in Irish or Irish-influenced texts, see *ibid.*, 82-103, especially 95; for the Irish emphasis on sacerdotal confession, see 103-4.
of sin.\textsuperscript{719} For the same reasons, the triad must have been of particular relevance to solitary confession, in which the penitent cannot hide from his or her secret thoughts and deeds; and in which, without a priest, the penitent must have a method of classifying sins which is simple to use and which covers all the kinds of sin which can be committed.

The prayers for public absolution examined above tend to draw on Biblical examples in order to ask God’s mercy. This is equally true of prayers for solitary confession. In particular, these prayers portray God as the Creator, sometimes with specific references to the book of Genesis. For example, the speaker of ‘Tempus meum prope est’ asks,

\begin{quote}
Effunde mihi lacrimas sicut fundasti aquas super terram, quia obduratum est cor neum quasi petra (\textit{Portiforium}, II, 12, ll. 33-4).\textsuperscript{720}
\end{quote}

Similarly, ‘Qui in hunc mundum’ contains the petition,

\begin{quote}
Domine de limo terre formasti me, ossibus ueni neruis formasti me. Domine pro tua pietate custodi me, saluum me fac (4, ll. 26-8).\textsuperscript{721}
\end{quote}

In this way, the creation of the human race is emphasised as the foundation of the relationship between God and the penitent.

Likewise, the speaker establishes a precedent with God by recalling times when he aided the Christian saints, or, more frequently, the holy men and women of the Old Testament: he helped them then, and he will help the penitent now. ‘Qui in hunc mundum’ begins,

\begin{quote}
\textsuperscript{719} Ibid., 110.
\textsuperscript{720} ‘Pour forth my tears, just as you established the waters above the earth, because my heart is as hard as stone.’
\textsuperscript{721} ‘O Lord, you formed me from the clay of the earth, with bones I came forth, you formed me with nerves. O Lord, in your mercy, guard me, make me whole.’
\end{quote}
Domine ihesu [sic] christe qui in hunc mundum propter nos peccatores de sinu patris aduenisti, ut de adae peccato nos redimmeres, quia scio et credo non propter iustos sed propter peccatores in terris abitare uoluisti; Audi et exaudi me domine deus meus peccatorem ...

(3, ll. 1-4)\textsuperscript{722}

Both the Old and New Testaments are invoked in a later passage from ‘Qui in hunc mundum’:

Miserere mei deus miserere mei rex gloriae, qui es unus et uerus, qui es solus et iustus, in quo omnia et per quem omnia facta sunt. Exaudi me domine orantem sicut exaudisti susannam et liberasti eam de manu inimicorum duorum testium. Exaudi me orantem sicut exaudisti petrum in mari, paulum in uinculis (5, ll. 11-15).\textsuperscript{723}

This use of Biblical language emphasises the universality of human wrongdoing, but is also particularly significant in considering the nature of private confession. While the prayers for public absolution do address God in this way, they also make frequent reference to the bishop’s authority to absolve sins. As no priest or bishop was present to aid the penitent in solitary confession, the Biblical comparisons become all the more important, ensuring that the confession is valid and the penitent will be heard.

Solitary confessions, like the other genres of confessional prayer, often express a desire for the gift of tears, to weep over one’s sins as they deserved. As it is the speaker him- or herself whose sins are being confessed, these pleas are more impassioned than in the penitential ceremonies:

\textsuperscript{722} ‘O Lord Jesus Christ, who came into this world from the bosom of the Father because of us sinners, so that you might redeem us from the sin of Adam, because I know and believe that you wanted to live on earth not because of the just, but because of sinners: O Lord my God, hear and listen to me, a sinner ...’

\textsuperscript{723} ‘Have mercy on me, O God; have mercy on me, King of Glory, you who are the one and the true, who are the only and the just, in whom all things and through whom all things were made. Hear me praying, O Lord, as you heard Susannah and freed her from the hand of her two hostile witnesses. Hear me praying, as you heard Peter on the sea, and Paul in chains.’
Suscita in me fletum penitentie, et mollifica cor meum durum et lapideum, et accende in me ignem timoris tui quia sum cinis mortuus (‘Qui es trinitas unus’, *Portiforium*, II, 16, ll. 35-7).  

This is also evident in ‘For þinre þære mielcian mildheortnesse’:

min drihten gehnexa þa heardnysse minre þære stænenan heortan · 7 forgif me teara genihtsum þæt ic mæge þa misdæda beweapan 7 behreowsian þe ic earning daeghwamlice ongean þinne willan gewyrce (14, ll. 25-7).  

If solitary confession was mainly intended as a preparation for sacerdotal confession, then its main purpose would have been to stir up sorrow and tears over sin in the presence of God, before making a more complete confession to the priest and receiving absolution. Tears and sorrow were therefore essential to all kinds of confession, but to solitary confession in particular.

As argued above, confession to a priest is absolutely necessary as a preparation for the Day of Judgement. However, the solitary confessions still have a role to play in making the penitent aware of death and judgement. The speaker of ‘Qui in hunc mundum’ asks for mercy

usque in finem meum, et in illa hora tremenda quando anima mea assumptura fuerit de corpore meo (3, ll. 16-17).  

It is rarer to ask directly for entry into the joys of heaven. However, the speaker of ‘Peccaui domine, et nimis peccaui in homine’ not only prays for a blessed afterlife, but pictures this relationship ahead of time:

---

724 ‘Awaken in me the tears of penitence, and soften my hard and stony heart, and ignite in me the fire of the fear of you, because I am dead ash.’

725 ‘My Lord, soften the hardness of my stony heart, and give me abundant tears, so that I may weep over and repent my misdeeds, which I, a wretch, daily commit against your will.’

726 ‘Up until my end, and in that terrible hour when my soul will have been taken from my body.’ Hughes notes that ‘fuerit’ has been ‘interlined’.
Ita deprecor te domine ut resuscites me de morte mea, ut merear esse ad dexterae tuam et audire uocem tuam dicentem mihi: Uenite benedicti patris mei percipite regnum (13, ll. 22-5).

The lone speaker is envisaged taking a part in the salvation granted to all the blessed, to whom Christ speaks with the plural ‘uenite’. This connection between the salvation of the many and of the one praying is also found in ‘Gloriosissime conditor mundi’, in which the speaker prays:

dignatus es carnem ex immaculata virgine sumere ... ut claustra dissipares inferni, et humanum genus liberares de morte: Respice et miserere michi misero oppresso facinorum pondere, multarumque nequitarianum labellus polloto (21, ll. 25-9).

In this way, the speaker is made conscious of his own place in the salvation offered to all humankind.

Here, the penitent asks God to look upon him and have mercy, which is reminiscent of ‘Deus humani generis benignissime conditor’, a prayer for public absolution discussed above, in which God is asked to extend his hand upon the penitent in forgiveness. In ‘For þinre þære miclan mildheortnesse’, the penitent more vividly imagines God’s response to him, and what is happening in his mind when he considers him:

min drihten ne læt me næfre færicum deaðe of þissum earman life gewitan · Ac loc hwænne min tima beo · þin willa sy · þæt ic þis hlæne lif forlætan scyle · læt me mid gedefenesse mine dagas geendian (14, ll. 8-11).

727 ‘Therefore I beg you, Lord, that you may revive me from my death, that I may deserve to be at your right hand and hear your voice saying to me, ‘Come, blessed ones, gain the kingdom of my Father.’”
728 ‘You deigned to take on flesh from the immaculate Virgin ... so that you might destroy the gates of hell and free humankind from death. Look upon and have mercy on miserable me, burdened by the weight of sins and befoiled by the dishonour of many crimes.’
729 See page 248 above.
730 ‘My Lord, never let me travel out of this wretched life by a sudden death, but look to when my time will be and when it be your will that I will have to give up this transitory life. Let me end my days in peace.’ For another example of this motif, see the Bury Psalter prayer ‘Tu es deus
Again, the prayers for use with a confessor refer to death and judgement, but this solitary confession implies a more intimate bond between God and the penitent, by making a more conscious reference to God’s knowledge of the specific day on which this particular man will die.

The prayers for solitary confession therefore have a number of features in common with other forms of confession: a tendency towards generality; lists of sins and sinful parts of the body; the use of schemes for classifying sins; references to events in the Bible; the desire for tears; and the view of oneself from God’s perspective. However, these attributes are often all the more necessary in solitary prayer, because there was no priest to help the penitent to confess, and to lend the confession his authority.

Some aspects of solitary confession are specific to that genre of prayer. These prayers make greater use of exaggeration, hyperbole and synonyms in an attempt to express the speaker’s overall sinfulness. He or she is far more emotional and self-conscious than in the public prayers, but is also more conscious of a personal bond with God and with his or her friends and family. Above all, these prayers emphasise the number of sins which the speaker has committed in his or her life, and they do so in several ways. Firstly, solitary confessions typically request that God forgive all of the speaker’s sins, each and every one that he has ever committed:

\[\text{Ego te peto remissionem omnium peccatorum meorum ... tibi nunc uolo confiteri omnia peccata mea, multiplicata sunt enim debita mea numerum non habent ('Ego humiliter te adoro', }\]
\[\text{Portiforium, II, 6, ll. 2, 7-8)}.\]  

indulgentiarum’, in which the speaker asks forgiveness of the sins of others, ‘quorum numerum et nomina tu scis’ (‘of whom the number and names you know’). Wilmart, \textit{Bury Psalter}, no. 4, l. 8.

\textit{\textsuperscript{731}} I ask you for the forgiveness of all my sins ... to you I now wish to confess all my sins, for they have multiplied; my debts have no number.’ Compare ‘omnia peccata mea et omnia mala mea’ (‘Qui in hunc mundum’, 3, l. 6; ‘all my sins and all my evils’); ‘omnes iniquitates meas ego agnosco’ (‘Tempus meum prope est’, 12, ll. 35-6; ‘I acknowledge all my wickednesses’).
The innumerability of sins is another common motif:

Te ego precor domine et [sic] inlumines cor meum quia peccata mea innumerabilia sunt ualde (‘Tempus meum prope est’, 12, ll. 29-30).\footnote{732 ‘I implore you, O Lord, that you may illuminate my heart, because my sins are greatly innumerable.’ Compare ‘ic eaðe ne mæg mi ne gyltas atellan’ (‘Eala þu ælmihtiga god unasecgendlicere mildheortnesse’, ‘Four Unedited Pprayers’, no. 1, l. 47: ‘I cannot easily enumerate my sins’).}

This is emphasised with an unnecessary ‘ualde’ (‘greatly’), which is clearly hyperbolic as there can hardly be degrees of innumerability. Similarly, in the section of ‘Eala þu ælmihtiga god unasecgendlicere mildheortnesse’ about the eight chief sins, the word oft (‘often’) appears seven times, its synonym gelome three times, and fela (‘many’) once (‘Four Unedited Prayers’, no. 1, ll. 17-47). Finally, the speaker of ‘Peccaui domine’ asks all the saints and angels to pray for him,

ut merear superare omnes iniquitates meas quas commissi a iuventute mea usque in presentem diem (Portiforium, II, 13, ll. 38-9).\footnote{733 ‘That I may deserve to overcome all the sins which I have committed from my youth up to the present day.’}

This suggests particularly strongly that the prayers are intended to encompass all possible sins.\footnote{734 Other examples of this request are: ‘[q]uicquid locutus fui [sic], aut cogitaui, aut feci a iuventute mea dimitte michi’ (‘Tempus meum prope est’, 13, ll. 3-4; ‘whatever I have said, or thought, or done from my youth onwards, forgive me’); ‘omnia peccata mea quecunque feci omnibus diebus uite meae’ (‘Confititbor tibi domine’, 15, ll. 16-17; ‘all the sins which I have committed all the days of my life’).}

This may explain why prayers of solitary confession have a tendency towards synonyms and repetition. A form of this can be seen in ‘Qui in hunc mundum’: 

Audi et exaudi me domine Deus meus peccatorem et culpabilem, et indignum, et negligentem, et obnoxium (Portiforium, II, 3, ll. 4-5).\footnote{735 Emphases mine. ‘Hear and listen to me, O Lord my God, a sinner, culpable and unworthy, and neglectful, and guilty.’}
This not only exemplifies the intensifying use of internal rhyme, but is also analogous to the use of synonyms in Old English verse. The speaker of ‘Eala þu ālmihtiga god unasecgendlicere mildheortnesse’ uses a number of synonyms (ofermetto, upahefednes and modygnes, all to refer to pride) as if to cover all possible sins which could have been committed in each category (‘Four Unedited Prayers’, no. 1, ll. 17, 20). Something similar is at work in the litanic kind of prayer found embedded in the two versions of ‘Qui es trinitas unus’ in the Portiforium:

Abscide a me domine seculi huius cupiditatem. Da mihi voluntarium paupertatem. Expelle a me iactantiam mentis et tribuit [sic] mihi compunctionem cordis (Portiforium, II, 16, ll. 28-30).

Here, a variety of synonyms for ‘take away’ and ‘give’ are used in the repetitive structure of the petitions. This style not only ensures that every possible sin is included, but also emphasises the strength of the penitent’s desire to be healed.

The speaker of solitary confessional prayers is also particularly conscious of him- or herself. This is evident in the rhetorical questions which occasionally arise in, for example, ‘Ego humiliter te adoro’: ‘Quid debeam agere[?] Quid debeam facere[?] Quid debeam loqui[?] Aut tacere[?]’ (Portiforium, II, 6, ll. 38-9). A penitent frequently refers to himself directly and explicitly with the words ‘ego sum’ (I am) in several places, accusing himself of being a sinner. As already discussed, in ‘Qui in hunc mundum’ the speaker refers to himself as ‘peccatorem et culpabilem, et indignum, et negligentem, et obnoxium’ (3, ll. 4-5), while in the prayer ‘Confitibor tibi domine’, the speaker requests that God may soften his heart, ‘quia

---

736 ‘Cut off from me, O Lord, the love of this world; give me voluntary poverty. Remove from me the boastfulness of the mind and allow me compunction of heart.’
737 Extra punctuation mine. ‘What should I do? How should I act? What should I say? Or not say?’
738 ‘A sinner, culpable and unworthy, and neglectful, and guilty.’
sum cinis mortuus, salua me dormientem, custodi me uigilantem’ (15, ll. 32-3). The penitent also recognises himself as God’s servant: the prayer ‘Ego humiliter te adoro’ has, close to its end, the request: ‘[d]efende me ... ne derelinquas me unum et miserum famulum tuum N.’ (6, l. 39; 7, ll. 1-2).

The speaker of these prayers admits fully that he has sinned against God in almost every way possible. However, the bond between them remains in place, as he has never abandoned God: ‘peccaui, erraui, tamen non te negaui; nec te dereliqui, deos alienos non adorau’ (‘Qui in hunc mundum’; 3, ll. 8-9). In the absence of an ordained priest, the validity of the confession rests all the more on the strength of the bond between God and the penitent. It is noteworthy that, while prayers to the cross ask for protection against the temptations of the devil, solitary confessional prayers rarely mention temptation, but instead place the blame on the penitent’s own sinfulness. After its list of sins, the speaker of ‘Ego humiliter te adoro’ concludes,

Si nunc erit uindicta tua tanta quanta in meipso sunt peccata multiplicata iudicium tuum domine quomodo sustineam, sed habeo te sacerdotem magnum. Confiteor peccata mea tibi domine deus meus. Tu es unus sine peccato (6, ll. 23-6).

The weight of the speaker’s sins can seem to be so heavy that nothing greater can be imagined, but nevertheless he has God as his defence.

While prayers for public penance in the Lanialet Pontifical ask that the penitent may be reunited with the body of the church, private prayer allows the speaker to pray for forgiveness for his or her fellow Christians. Considering the

739 ‘Because I am dead ash; save me while I am sleeping; guard me while I am awake.’
740 ‘Defend me ... do not abandon me, your lone and pitiable servant [name].’
741 ‘I have sinned, I have erred, but I have not denied you, nor abandoned you; I have not worshipped other gods.’ Virtually the same wording is used in ‘Confitebor tibi domine’, 15, ll. 22-3.
742 ‘If your vengeance will now be so great as sins are multiplied in me, O Lord, how may I sustain your judgement? But I have you, a great priest. I confess my sins to you, O Lord my God: you alone are without sin.’
particularly personal focus of ‘For þinre þære miclan mildheortnesse’, it is not surprising that it is one of the few prayers in Anglo-Saxon manuscripts in which the speaker prays for his friends and family:

Ic bidde ðe min drihten, eadmodlice þæt ðu me gehelpe 7 ealra minra freonda 7 maga · 7 ealra ðæra þe to minre gebedræddene ðencad · 7 hihtað · lïbbendra 7 forgæwitendra ... Eac ic bidde ðe min drihten þæt ðu gemildsige eallum þam ðat me god dydon · 7 god tæhton · 7 syle ece forgifenysse eallum þam de [sic] me yfel cwædon · oððe geþohtan · oððe gyta to donnæ ðencad (14, ll. 34-6, 39-41; 15, l. 1).743

Like Alcuin’s letters, this prayer evokes a network of people close to one another, depending on each other’s prayers. Prayers for others tend to be concerned with asking for forgiveness for sins: this is recommended in Ælfric’s homily for the eighth Sunday after Pentecost:

nu dæghwomlice godes gecorenan mid geleafan þære halgan ðrynnesse anbídiað. biddende heora sawla hælðe. and heora freonda. and awendað heora geðohtas. and word. and weorc to gode (‘Dominica VIII. Post Pentecosten’, ll. 36-9).744

In the prayer ‘Suscipere digneris domine’, attached to the Vespasian Psalter, the speaker sings the psalter

pro me miserrimo infelice. seu pro cunctis consanguineis meis. uel pro amicis meis. necnon pro illis qui in me habent fiduciam. et pro cunctis fidelibus uiuentibus siue defunctis. Concede domine ut isti psalmi omnibus proficiant ad salutem. et ad ueram pënitentiam faciendam. uel emendationem. et ad uitam ëternam (Vespasian, no. 1, ll. 2-5).745

743 ‘I ask you humbly, my Lord, that you help me and all of my friends and relatives and all those, living and passed on, who wish and hope for my intercession ... Also, I ask you, my Lord, that you have mercy upon all those who have done and taught me goodness, and give eternal forgiveness to all those who have said or thought evil of me, or who think to do so in the future.’
745 ‘For me, unhappily most miserable, or for all my relatives, or for my friends, and also for those who have trust in me, and for all the faithful, living or dead. Grant, O Lord, that these psalms may bring about salvation for all, and the making of true penitence, or emendation [of life], and eternal
As in ‘For þinre þære miclan mildheortnesse’, the speaker prays not only for himself and his friends and family, but also for all those who are relying on him for prayer, and for all the faithful, testifying to the importance of the links between the monastery and the outside world, particularly where ensuring the remission of sins was concerned. In this way, a monk did not only receive absolution from his own spiritual superiors, but also had a part to play in praying for the wider community and so bringing them to salvation.746

Despite the importance of sacerdotal confession, and of taking part in communal penitential ceremonies, solitary confession still had an important part to play in the confessional process, particularly as a preparation for meeting the priest. Although liturgical confessional prayers tended not to be used in solitude, there are certain similarities between prayers for the penitential ceremonies and those for use before God alone. The lists of sins and sinful body parts become all the more necessary, as, without a priest, the penitent is at risk of leaving some sins unconfessed. Again, without the guidance of a confessor, the penitent him- or herself needs to have a theological scheme, such as the eight chief sins or the division into thoughts, words and deeds, in order to ensure that no sins were forgotten. The comparison of the penitent to Biblical figures is again all the more necessary in solitary confession, as the speaker needs an assurance that the prayer has sufficient authority and that God will listen to it. As these prayers are intended to prepare the penitent for sacerdotal

746 Other examples of prayers asking forgiveness for departed friends and family include ‘Tu es deus indulgentiarum’ in the Bury Psalter, and a series of short prayers marked ‘Pro defunctis’ in Ælfwine’s Prayerbook. Wilmart, Bury Psalter, no. 4; Günzel, Ælfwine’s Prayerbook, nos. 76.32-43. Victoria Thompson has written on prayer and tears for friends who have already died, in a text in Oxford, Bodleian Library Bodley Laud Miscellaneous 482. Victoria Thompson, Dying and Death in Later Anglo-Saxon England, ASS 4 (Woodbridge and Rochester, NY: Boydell Press, 2004), 74-5.
confession, they are more concerned with inspiring sorrow for his or her sins than are the prayers for use with a confessor. Although the aid of a priest will be essential on the Judgement Day, the solitary prayers are used to incite the penitent’s awareness of both Judgement and of heaven.

It is primarily in their style and in the consciousness of the bond between God and the penitent that solitary confessional prayers differ from those used with a priest. The speaker becomes more self-conscious, and alludes more often to the bond between him- or herself and God. The confession becomes valid, not through the apostolic authority of a bishop, but through the penitent’s still unbroken bond with God. Solitary prayer becomes more emotional and hyperbolic, emphasising that the speaker’s sins are frequent and innumerable, and using repetition and synonym in order to stress that he or she is truly contrite. Finally, whereas the communal prayers are concerned with restoring the penitent to the community of the church, solitary prayers allow him or her to pray for the sins of others, friends, family and the rest of the monastery. Private though they are, these prayers are not only about the speaker’s own bond with God, but also about his or her place in a worshipping community.

Poetry as private prayer

The relationship between penance and Old English poetry has been explored by Allen Frantzen, who analyses poems which include exhortations to repentance, and those which are themselves based on the process of confession and absolution, such as the sequence of prayers in Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 201. However, these poems are simply about penance, with the exception of Judgment Day II,

---

which, in Frantzen’s view, in itself “is a devotional confession”.\textsuperscript{748} It can also be argued that other Old English poems may themselves have been confessional prayers written in verse form.

This thesis has often been concerned with the fluidity of the different genres of text in the early medieval church: for instance, it has frequently demonstrated how prayers which were composed for liturgical use could be adapted for private prayer. In the previous section, it was shown that prayers for use in solitary confession made use of synonyms and repetition, much like Anglo-Saxon vernacular verse. From this, it is logical to conclude that poems may themselves have functioned as prayers; and indeed, poetry of religious instruction often appears in liturgical compendia, outside of the main poetic codices.\textsuperscript{749} The original users of these manuscripts may not have differentiated between prose prayers which used rhythm and alliteration, and poems which were addressed to God, so there is no reason why texts composed according to Anglo-Saxon poetic conventions may not have been used as prayers.\textsuperscript{750}

*The Rewards of Piety*, mentioned briefly above,\textsuperscript{751} is believed by Graham Caie to have been used as a form of private instruction by priests as a part of the confessional process. The first part of the poem teaches right behaviour, while the second gives absolution.\textsuperscript{752} Caie’s argument is reinforced by the fact that, like a

\textsuperscript{748} Ibid., 185.

\textsuperscript{749} For example, Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 201: Gneuss, nos. 65 and 65.5; Ker, no. 49.

\textsuperscript{750} How vernacular poetry was used in monastic culture is by no means clear. However, Michael Drout has examined the possible uses of wisdom poetry in post-Reform monasteries. Michael D. C. Drout, ‘Possible Instructional Effects of the Exeter Book ‘Wisdom Poems’: a Benedictine Reform Context’, in *Form and Content of Instruction in Anglo-Saxon England in the Light of Contemporary Manuscript Evidence: Papers Presented at the International Conference, Udine, 6-8 April 2006*, eds., Patrizia Lendinara, Loredana Lazzari and Maria Amalia D’Aronco, Fédération Internationale des Instituts d’Études Médiévales, Textes et Études du Moyen Âge 39 (Turnhout: Brepols, 2007), 447-66.

\textsuperscript{751} For Robinson’s argument that *An Exhortation to Christian Living and A Summons to Prayer* are one poem, which he names *The Rewards of Piety*, see Robinson, ‘“The Rewards of Piety”’, 181-3.

number of private prayers, the first macaronic line of the poem contains a space for the priest to use the penitent’s name: ‘þænne gemiltsað þe, ·N·, mundum qui regit, / ðeoda þþrymcyningc’ (Rewards of Piety, ll. 82-3). Subsequent uses of the word ‘þe’ (ll. 86-7, 103-6a; you) in this poem are therefore directed towards this particular penitent and his or her conscience.

It therefore follows that, if an address by a priest to a penitent could be written in verse, then a prayer for use in solitary confession could also take a poetic form. Sarah Larratt Keefer has studied the use of liturgical phraseology in the poem titled A Prayer, found in London, British Library Cotton Julius A. ii and London, Lambeth Palace Library 427:

\[
\begin{align*}
Ic & \ \text{þe andette, \ ælmihtig god,} \\
\text{þæt ic gelyfe on þe, \ leofa hælend ...} & \\
\text{and ic ...} & \\
dæges & \text{ and nihtes} \quad \text{do, swa ic ne sceolde,} \\
hwile & \text{ mid weorce,} \quad \text{hwile mid worde,} \\
hwile & \text{ mid gepohet,} \quad \text{þearle scyldi,} \\
inwitniðas & \text{ oft and gelome (A Prayer, ll. 56-7, 61a, 63-66).}
\end{align*}
\]

Keefer notes that, in the lines which I have italicised above, the poem translates the phrase ‘peccavi in cogitatione, in locutatione et opere’, familiar from formal confessions of sin as discussed above. She also sees in the phrase ‘dæ(i)ges and nihtes’ (by day and by night), which occurs three times in the poem (A Prayer, ll. 753)

---

753 For example, from the prayer ‘Qui in hunc mundum’, ‘Exaudi me domine ... ut auertas iram tuam de me famulo tuo, N.’ (‘hear me, O Lord ... that you may avert your wrath from me, your servant, [name]’). Hughes, Portiforium, II, 4, ll. 19-20.
754 ‘[T]hen will the King of nations show mercy on you, ·N·, / forever without end, he who rules the world’. All translations from this poem are taken from Robinson’s edition.
755 Emphases mine. ‘Almighty God, I confess to you that I believe in you, dear Lord ... and I ... severely guilty, commit by day and by night, as I ought not, evil acts, sometimes in deed, sometimes in word, sometimes in thought, often and frequently.’ Eliott van Kirk Dobbie, ed., The Anglo-Saxon Minor Poems, ASPR 6 (New York: Columbia University Press, 1942), 94-6.
756 ‘I have sinned in thought, in word and in deed.’
12a, 17a, 63a), an echo of the confession of the phrase ‘regulariter die cotidie noctuque’.\textsuperscript{758} It could be added to Keefer’s observations that ‘oft and gelome’ recalls the expressions of the frequency of sin in ‘Eala þu ælmihtiga god unasecgendlicere mildheortnesse’.\textsuperscript{759}

Keefer also notes that the version of \textit{A Prayer} in Lambeth Palace 427 is an excerpt from the full poem, encompassing the section which expresses sorrow for sin.\textsuperscript{760} This was copied onto the end of a blank folio after a glossed confessional prayer, and is followed by the \textit{Confitebor tibi}, suggesting that the scribe selected these lines of the poem to fit a penitential context.\textsuperscript{761} It is furthermore arguable that the user of the psalter may not have regarded it as definitively either a prayer or a poem, but instead may have understood it as simply an act of contrition for sin.

\textit{A Prayer} is not the only poem which can be seen in this light. Alan Bliss and Allen Frantzen have already argued that the first half of \textit{Resignation}, which they term \textit{Resignation A}, should

be classified with Old English versified prayers such as the \textit{Pater Noster}, the \textit{Gloria}, and the \textit{Psalms}.\textsuperscript{762}

This is clearly correct, as it begins by addressing God directly as ‘þu’:

\begin{quote}
Age mec se ælmihta god,
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{758} ‘Regularly by day and commonly by night.’ Keefer, ‘Respect for the Book’, 40, n. 31. Keefer notes that this phrase can be found in Muir, \textit{Prayer-Book}, 140, ll. 2-3.

\textsuperscript{759} ‘Oft and gelome’ is also, of course, a phrase typical of Wulfstan: see, for example, the \textit{Sermo Lupi} in Napier, \textit{Wulfstan}, no. 59, ll. 20, 29.

\textsuperscript{760} Keefer, ‘Respect for the Book’, 39-40.

\textsuperscript{761} \textit{Ibid.}, Gneuss, no. 517; Ker, no. 280.

\textsuperscript{762} Alan Bliss and Allen J. Frantzen, ‘The Integrity of \textit{Resignation}’, \textit{Review of English Studies}, n. s. 27, 385-402 (1976): 395. In contrast to \textit{The Rewards of Piety}, Bliss and Frantzen argue, \textit{Resignation} was originally two separate poems. They note that line 69 of the poem ends the fifteenth quire of the \textit{Exeter Book}, but that there appears to be a folio missing from the quire. That the first poem ended and another new one began in the course of this missing folio is evident from the nature of the two texts. While \textit{Resignation A} is a verse prayer, \textit{B} is clearly a narrative poem. The former uses frequent imperatives, addressing God in the second person, asking for forgiveness, while the latter refers to God in the third person. \textit{Ibid.}, 388-95.
helpe min se halga dryhten!  Þu gesceope heofon ond eorðan ond wundor eall,  min wundorcyning (Resignation, ll. 1-3).\textsuperscript{763}

After this, the speaker commends himself to God’s keeping in these words:

\begin{itemize}
  \item Ic þe, mære god, mine sawle bebeode ond min word ond min weorc, wætig dryhten, ond eal min leoþo, mine geþohtas (ll. 5b-9).\textsuperscript{764}
  \item þam ic bibiode minre sawle gehealdness(e) and mines lichoman min word and weorc and mine gepohtas, mine heortan and minne hyge, min leomu and min lioðu ... (Prayer-Book, 136, ll. 3-6).\textsuperscript{766}
\end{itemize}

Although this is not a confessional prayer, the speaker uses the formula of words, deeds and thoughts in order to pray for himself.

Thomas Bestul compares Resignation A to the penitential psalms and the Old English confessional prayers which emerged in the tenth century, such as ‘Dryhten þu halga god’.\textsuperscript{765} However, a better comparison would be the confessional prayer ‘In naman þære halgan þrynesse’, in the Galba Prayerbook. After a brief invocation, the speaker of this prayer prays to God,

\begin{itemize}
  \item þam ic bibiode minre sawle gehealdness(e) and mines lichoman min word and weorc and mine gepohtas, mine heortan and minne hyge, min leomu and min lioðu ... (Prayer-Book, 136, ll. 3-6).\textsuperscript{766}
\end{itemize}

The similarity between the poem and the opening of the prayer is striking. A comparison of the two quotations immediately above shows that the speakers of each text commend (‘bebeode’/‘bibiode’) their souls (‘sawle’) and bodies (‘lic’/‘lichoman’) to God, followed by their words, deeds and thoughts (‘min word


\textsuperscript{764} ‘Mighty God, I commend to you my soul and my own body, and my words and my deeds, wise Lord, and all my limbs, guardian of light, and my numerous thoughts.’


\textsuperscript{766} ‘To whom I commend the preservation of my soul and of my body, my words and deeds and my thoughts, my heart and my mind, my limbs and my members ...’
ond min weorc ... [ond] mine ġeþohtas’), and all of their limbs (‘leoþo’/‘lioðu’).

After their opening lines, both the poem and the prayer go on to name more parts of the body which the speaker asks to be protected, in much the same way as a confessional prayer or a lorica does (Prayer-Book, 136, ll. 6-8).\textsuperscript{767} The writers of the two texts were evidently both composing a confession according to a familiar formula.

In this way, poets drew on their knowledge of liturgy and private confessional prayer in order to create vernacular poems. Considering the closeness in content and purpose between the prayers and the poems, there is no reason why these poems should not simply be considered as prayers in their own right. Whereas in The Rewards of Piety, the speaker is a priest who addresses the penitent as þu, in A Prayer and Resignation A, the speaker is the penitent him- or herself, addressing God. Since confessional prayers often use memorable phrases with balanced syntax, alliteration and rhyme, it is reasonable to suppose that some prayers may have been cast in poetic form.\textsuperscript{768} It may therefore not be appropriate to make too rigid a category distinction between ‘prayer’ and ‘poem’ in Old English literature: just as texts for one purpose such as the charms could be written in metrical, semi-metrical or prose form, so also could the prayers be.

\textbf{Conclusion}

The two chapters previous to this one were concerned with the adaptation of liturgical prayers for private use. It was demonstrated that it was common to create forms of private worship in this way, as the liturgies of the Hours and of the Cross

\textsuperscript{767} For a further discussion of the lorica and its relationship to the confessional prayers, see nn. 711-12 above.

\textsuperscript{768} For example, Jonathan Black has commented on this in relation to ‘Deus inestimabilis misericordiae’. Black, “Alcuin’s Confessio”, 7-8.
did not require the participation of a priest. The adaptation of confessional prayers was a more complex undertaking. It was essential to confess before someone who had the skill and authority to heal the wounds of sin. Monks were expected to confess in private to their abbot, and laypeople to their priest, and there is evidence to suggest that confession was available to all. Sacerdotal confession was valuable because it allowed the sinner not only to make a formal confession of all kinds of sins, but also to be questioned by the priest so that his or her own personal sins could be found out. The confessor could assign penance according to the severity of the sins committed and the status of the sinner. Similarly, public penitential ceremonies were presided over by the bishop, who had authority inherited from the apostles to hear confessions and assign penance. Confession to a priest, abbot or bishop was therefore indispensable, as it ensured that the penitent’s sins would be forgiven on the Judgement Day.

Nevertheless, while confession to a priest was the most essential kind, other forms of confession were still considered to be beneficial. Confession to another monk is specifically said to be a form of spiritual healing. Furthermore, it is evident, from monastic rules, penitential texts, poetry and ordines for private prayer, that solitary confessional prayer was not only widespread but expected of everyone, especially monks. Although it was not intended to replace sacerdotal confession, it could function as a preparation for it.

With this in mind, the characteristics of solitary confessional prayers can be better understood. They have much in common with prayers for confession and absolution in sacerdotal and public contexts: they include long lists of sins, they draw on the Bible to recall God’s mercy, and they are intended to provoke tears for the penitent’s sins. However, these characteristics are more important in the solitary
prayers than in the sacerdotal ones. The penitent cannot risk leaving out any of his or her sins; the Biblical *exempla* need to be invoked in order to establish a relationship between God and the penitent, in the absence of a priest’s authority; and a prayer intended as a preparation for full confession needs to inspire the anguish which would then be healed as part of sacerdotal penance. For this same reason, solitary confessions are more emotional and hyperbolic in their language, emphasising the innumerability of the speaker’s sins from his or her birth up until the present moment. While these prayers may speak of sin in general terms, they use very specific ones as far as sinning humanity is concerned: the speaker is particularly conscious of himself and God’s awareness of him, even down to his knowledge of the day on which he will die. Solitary confessional prayer, like any other kind, requires an awareness of death and judgement, but it also looks towards the speaker’s own part in the salvation of all the faithful.
### Table 4.4: The private prayers referred to in this chapter in my main manuscripts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Carolingian libelli precum</th>
<th>Early Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks</th>
<th>Late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks/compendia</th>
<th>AS psalters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Page nos.</td>
<td>Trecensis</td>
<td>Parisinus</td>
<td>Turonensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ælce sunnandæg bebeod þe</td>
<td>252-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confitebor tibi domine omnia peccata mea</td>
<td>255, 271</td>
<td>no. 24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confiteor tibi, domine</td>
<td>255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Eala þu ælmihtiga god unascendedlicere mildheortnesse  
|                                            | 255, 262-3, 269-70         | no. 1.18                        | no. 2.3                               | no. 3a      |             |                  | no. 26        | no. 1       | no. 17 no. 17 no. 5       |                             |
| Qui in hunc mundum/ Auxiliatrix esto mihi  | 255-6, 259, 262-6, 269-71  | no. 1.4                         | no. 2.7                               | no. 18      | 221         |                  | 3-5           | no. 25      | no. 18 no. 3                |                             |
| Ego humiliter te adoro                    | 259-61, 268-71             | no. 10                          |                                        |             |             |                  | 5-7           | no. 31      |                               | no. 4                        |
| Si ðe wuldor and þanc                     | 261                        |                                |                                        |             |             |                  |              |            |                               |                             |
| Tempus meum prope est                      | 263-4, 269                 |                                |                                        |             |             |                  | 12-13         | no. 16      | no. 16 no. 16              |                             |
| Mane cum surrexero                         | 263                        | no. 1.2                         | no. 2.4                               | no. 6       | 209-10      |                  | 7-8           | no. 67      |                               |                             |
| Qui es trinitas unus                       | 266, 270                   | no. 1.3                         |                                        | no. 15      | 58-60       |                  | 7 and 15-17   | no. 24      |                               |                             |
| For þinre þære mielian mildheortnesse     | 266-8, 272                 |                                |                                        |             |             |                  | 14-15         | no. 2       |                               |                             |
| Peccavi domine, et nimis peccavi           | 267, 269                   |                                |                                        |             |             |                  | 13-14         | no. 17      |                               |                             |
| Gloriosissime conditor mundi               | 267                        | no. 1.5                         |                                        |             |             |                  | 19 and 21-2   | no. 68.2    | no. 10                      |                             |
| Tu es deus indulgentiarum                  | 268                        |                                |                                        |             |             |                  |              |            |                               | no. 4                        |
| Suscipere digneris                         | 272-3                      |                                |                                        |             |             |                  |              |            | no. 1                       |                             |

---

769 This prayer begins as a translation of ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’, the texts of which are far more widespread than this table can show. See Black, ‘Alcuin’s Confessio’, 25-40.
CONCLUSION

In this thesis, I have undertaken a detailed study of the hitherto relatively little-researched subject of late Anglo-Saxon private prayer, one of the most important parts of everyday monastic life. It was in private prayer that the monk or nun expressed his or her deepest needs to the Creator, and therefore private prayer reveals most about the late Anglo-Saxon monastic understanding of the relationship with God. I have undertaken this analysis by examining which texts were selected and put together into sequences in prayerbooks, paying close attention, where possible, to the physical and sensory aspects of prayer as well as the verbal. Arguing that the usage, not the origins of a prayer, is what makes it a private prayer, I have inquired more deeply into how an eleventh-century monk or nun regarded and used the surviving prayerbooks, than into the composition and textual transmission of any given prayer.

In Chapter One, I examined some of the precedents for Anglo-Saxon private devotion. Ninth-century prayerbooks, both English and Continental, provided a stock of specifically private prayers on which the later prayerbooks drew. I also demonstrated the importance of liturgical models for private prayer, such as the penitential psalms and the Divine Offices. This chapter therefore showed how private prayers of liturgical and extra-liturgical origin were already closely associated with one another before the composition of the eleventh-century English prayerbooks.

Chapter Two justified the categorisation of some prayers and prayerbooks as being for private use. I proposed that private prayer is not one single genre: it
includes both prayers which were adapted from communal rites and those which were not, and encompasses a range of different lengths and styles. This chapter analysed in detail how prayers were grouped together in manuscripts in order to form detailed *ordines* for private devotion, with instructions for use. It was through these idiosyncratic prayer programmes, I argued, that the Special Offices, and ultimately the Books of Hours, were developed.

Chapter Three applied the previous chapter’s focus on the daily Offices to the liturgies for the feasts of the cross. In this chapter in particular, it was made clear that the various genres of religious writing, such as liturgical prayer and hymnody, cannot be separated from one another and from private prayer. Prayer to the cross, more than any other kind of prayer, symbolises how acts of worship focus on gestures and images in equal measure to words, and the veneration of Christ through the cross was the most important way in which all Christians, both monastic and lay, could express their faith in everyday life.

Chapters Two and Three showed how easily prayers originating in a liturgical setting could be adapted for private use. Confessional prayer, the subject of Chapter Four, was potentially more difficult to translate into a private context. The role of a confessor was essential for granting complete forgiveness, penance and guidance for the future, none of which solitary confession could ensure. Nevertheless, abundant evidence survives to show that solitary confession was not only acceptable but also encouraged. In Chapter Four, I first demonstrated what confession was in the late Anglo-Saxon era, in order to uncover the use and purpose of solitary confession. While these confessions were required to be very general, paradoxically, they were also the most intimate kind of confession, and indeed of private prayer.
In addition to these findings, much more could be written on the subject of private Anglo-Saxon prayer, or of Continental prayer of the same period. I have not discussed the many prayers to the saints and angels, or the meaning of contemporary terminology such as *bona oratio* (‘good prayer’) and *pura oratio* (‘pure prayer’). I have also left unexamined most of the psalters, generally originating in the early Anglo-Saxon period, with prayers attached to them in the tenth and eleventh centuries. A good foundation for more comprehensive studies could be laid through the creation of a complete database or catalogue of Anglo-Saxon prayers, using Thomas Bestul’s list of Anglo-Saxon manuscripts containing private prayers, or Gneuss’s *Handlist*, as a starting point. A more comprehensive study of the manuscript record of the *Prayers ad horas* would also be an important contribution to the study of private prayer in the early Middle Ages.

Although we can be confident that monks and nuns were expected to pray in private, and although there are some witnesses to how this was undertaken, ultimately we cannot be certain exactly how private prayer was performed and how prayer texts were used. Nevertheless, this very lack of prescriptiveness is the reason why the study of private prayer is an essential part of the study of liturgy. It was in private prayer, which was required but not regulated, that monks and nuns had the most freedom to experiment with liturgical forms. Therefore, it is in their selections from communal prayers that we can see which parts of the liturgy were considered to be the most important and the best for expressing one’s needs to God. It is in the adaptation of prayers for grammatical number and gender, and in the insertion of the speaker’s own name into his or her prayers, that we can see how acceptable it was to

---

reuse liturgical prayers for other purposes, and in which the thoughtful self-awareness of eleventh-century monks and nuns is seen most clearly.

Late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks drew on two traditions, one of prayers originating in the liturgy, and another of prayers originally intended for private use. However, these traditions did not remain distinct from one another, as the relationship between private and public prayer is always a cyclical one. For example, the liturgy of the Hours had its origins in private worship, was developed into a communal rite in the monasteries, and was subsequently adapted for use in personal devotion once more. Phrases from liturgical prayers, hymns and the Bible were drawn upon as a common language from which new prayers could be built up: all that the monk or nun had ever heard, read or chanted went into it and was drawn out from it. This can be seen above all in the unusual private prayers attached to the Vespasian Psalter, which make extensive use of antiphons and hymns for the feasts of the cross.

Most importantly of all, it is in the late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks that the roots of the Special Offices and Books of Hours can be seen. Muir has speculated on the use of personal devotionals in the Galba Prayerbook, and proposed that, although that manuscript contains no Special Offices, the Prayers ad horas show the Book of Hours in its earliest stages. I have extended his hypothesis by arguing that it was from private prayer programmes such as those in Galba that the Special Offices themselves arose. The study of private prayer, as well as considering the texts of individual prayers, should examine how prayers were used in conjunction with one another to form private acts of worship.

My study of the *Prayers ad horas* shows that the text in *Galba* is more rooted in the Carolingian prayerbook tradition than has so far been demonstrated. Although the history of these prayers has been examined to some extent by Banks, Muir and Waldhoff, until now the overall development of the sequence has not been traced. Two separate traditions of these prayers existed, one being a set of collects for some of the canonical Hours, and the other being a longer series of prayers for all the Hours, including some extra-canonical Hours. These two traditions are found respectively in the sacramentaries and in the Carolingian *libelli precum*. In tenth- and eleventh-century England, the two traditions are represented in the collectars and in the *Galba Prayerbook*. I have demonstrated the unusual closeness of the *Galba* translations to the sequence presented in the *Libellus Parisinus*. Additionally, I have noted how the psalm devotional *De laude psalmorum* was a direct influence on the instructions for private prayer in *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook*. In these findings, I have shown that the Carolingian *libelli precum* had a closer influence on the late Anglo-Saxon prayerbooks than has so far been noted.

Interestingly, both the *Ad horas* in *Galba* and the private devotions in *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook* are Old English texts, closely translated from the Latin. The importance of the vernacular in this period can be seen particularly well in private prayerbooks. Although English was not the main language of private prayer, prayer manuscripts change freely between languages, usually without any distinguishing rubric, suggesting that it was perfectly acceptable to pray in the mother tongue. English was important enough that the liturgy was explained and glossed in it, and prayers of private origin were also translated into it, such as the *Prayers ad horas*, ‘Deus inaestimabilis misericordiae’, and the prayers for the Veneration of the Cross. Prayers which appear to have been originally composed in Old English are amongst
the most intimate and self-conscious examples in the canon of Anglo-Saxon private prayer.

A strong awareness of one’s own body is also a notable feature of private prayer. In addition to the concern for posture and gesture which is shared with liturgical prayer, prayers such as the *loricae* bless and protect each part of the body, while private confessions emphasise the part played by each sense, limb and organ in committing sins and virtues. Thus in private prayer one becomes more aware of one’s own potential to commit both good and evil. Making the sign of the cross was a way of expressing faith in a God whose glory was ultimately beyond words. To conclude, I can do no better than to return to the directions for private morning prayer in *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook*:

> Ne mæg ænig mann on his agen geþeode þa geswinc 7 þara costnunga nearonessa, þe him onbecumað, Gode swa fulfremedlice areccan, ne his mildheortnesse biddan, swa he mæg mid þillicum sealmum 7 mid oþrum swilcum.772

Prayer, in the words of the psalms and of other good prayers, let the Anglo-Saxon monk give a voice before God to his sufferings and temptations, uniting his mind with God and the course of every day with the life of Christ.

---

772 ‘No man can tell God so effectively, in his own language, of the hardship and oppression of the temptations which come to him, nor ask his mercy, as he can with these psalms and with other such.’ Beate Günzel ed., *Ælfwine’s Prayerbook (London, British Library, Cotton Titus D. xxvi + xxvii)*, HBS 108 (London: Boydell Press, 1993), no. 53.8.
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