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Abstract

The work presented in this thesis has focused on the development of novel and concise syntheses of *Alangium* and *Mitragyna* alkaloids, and especial approaches towards (±)-protoemetinol (a), which is a key precursor of a range of *Alangium* alkaloids such as psychotrine (b) and deoxytubulosine (c). The approaches include the use of a key radical cyclisation to form the tri-cyclic core.

Chapter 1 gives a general overview of radical chemistry and it focuses on the application of radical intermolecular and intramolecular reactions in synthesis. Consideration is given to the mediator of radical reactions from the classic organotin reagents, to more recently developed alternative hydrides. An overview of previous synthetic approaches to a range of *Alangium* and *Mitragyna* alkaloids is then explored.

Chapter 2 follows on from previous work within our group, involving the use of phosphorus hydride radical addition reactions, to alkenes or dienes, followed by a subsequent Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction. It was expected that the tri-cyclic core of the *Alangium* alkaloids could be prepared by cyclisation of a 1,7-diene, using a phosphorus hydride to afford the phosphonate or phosphonothioate, however this approach was unsuccessful and it highlighted some limitations of the methodology.

Chapter 3 explores the radical and ionic chemistry of a range of silanes. Initial studies explored the radical addition of a range of silicon hydrides to alkenes to afford the corresponding hydrosilylation products. The chemistry of the hydrosilylation products was then explored – it was hoped that a subsequent Peterson olefination or Fleming-Tamao oxidation would afford the corresponding alkene or alcohol. Subsequent investigations looked into the possibility of combining the radical and ionic reactions, to afford alkenes or alcohols, in a one-pot transformation.
Chapter 4 explores the radical cyclisation of various compounds, including unsaturated alpha-haloamides (d and e), xanthates (f), vinyl bromides (g and h). For this, a robust and efficient synthesis of an allyl tetrahydroisouquinoline core (i and j) was developed, following conversion into the desired radical precursors these compounds were treated with tributyltin hydride and a radical initiator. Finally, Chapter 4 investigates the radical cyclisation of some unsaturated phenylselenides (k and l), which resulted in the isolation of the desired target alkaloid (±)-protoemetinol (a) in 4 steps and in 2% overall yield.

\[X = \text{Cl, } \text{Br, SC(S)OEt, CO}_2\text{CH}_3, \text{H, Br, Me}]

Chapter 5, which builds on previous work within Chapter 4, discusses the cyclisation of vinyl bromides bearing an \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated ester (n and o). This resulted in short 4-step syntheses of both (±)-des-methyl-protoemetinol (m) and (±)-protoemetinol (a) (along with some epimers). Subsequent studies then expanded the synthetic strategy to include the synthesis of a structurally simpler analogue of mitragynine (p).
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### Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>acetyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCN</td>
<td>1,1'-azobis(cyclohexanecarbonitrile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIBN</td>
<td>2,2'-azobisisobutyronitrile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>app</td>
<td>apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aq.</td>
<td>aqueous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>aryl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atm</td>
<td>atmosphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE</td>
<td>bond dissociation enthalpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bn</td>
<td>benzyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boc</td>
<td>tert-butyloxycarbonyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.p.</td>
<td>boiling point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu</td>
<td>butyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bz</td>
<td>benzoyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAN</td>
<td>ceric ammonium nitrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cbz</td>
<td>carboxybenzyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>chemical ionisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conc.</td>
<td>concentrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>1,5-cyclooctadiene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSY</td>
<td>correlation spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA</td>
<td>camphorsulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCC</td>
<td>N,N'-dicyclohexylcarboidiimide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>dichloromethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>1,2-dichloroethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDQ</td>
<td>2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dec</td>
<td>decomposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPT</td>
<td>distortionless enhancement by polarisation transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLP</td>
<td>dilauroyl peroxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMAP</td>
<td>4-dimethylaminopyridine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>N,N-dimethylformamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMPU</td>
<td>N,N'-dimethyl-N,N'-propylene urea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>dimethyl sulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.r.</td>
<td>diastereomeric ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.s.</td>
<td>diastereoselectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMB</td>
<td>4-methoxybenzoyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNB</td>
<td>4-nitrobenzoyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>parts per million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>propyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTSA</td>
<td>para-toluenesulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyr</td>
<td>pyridine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quant.</td>
<td>quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>retention factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sat.</td>
<td>saturated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SET</td>
<td>single electron transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t, tert-</td>
<td>tertiary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBDPS</td>
<td>t-butyldiphenylsilyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEA</td>
<td>triethylamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF</td>
<td>tetrahydrofuran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>thin layer chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMEDA</td>
<td>N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS</td>
<td>trimethylsilyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tol</td>
<td>toluene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTF</td>
<td>tetrathiafulvalene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1 Radical chemistry

1.1.1 - Overview of radical chemistry

The story of radical chemistry begins over a hundred years ago, with the preparation and isolation of the triphenylmethyl radical by Gomberg in 1900. However his claims that the product was a free radical was greeted with skepticism, and it was not until after the theoretical approaches to covalent bonding that free radicals as odd electron species became believable. However it was not till the work by Paneth in 1929 that simple alkyl (methyl and ethyl) free radicals were prepared and studied. Paneth showed that heating a stream of tetramethyllead (in a quartz tube) deposited a lead mirror and an “active gas” which is capable of completely volatising a second deposit of lead, and reforming the tetramethyllead. Since these early days radical reactions have developed to become an important tool for the synthetic organic chemist and within the last twenty to thirty years the use of radicals in synthesis has grown enormously. For example, radical processes account for the production of about 75% of the polymers manufactured every year.

We are now at the point where radicals are routinely considered for the preparation of complex target molecules. This reflects the fact that radical reactions offer a number of advantages over ionic transformations. Reactions involving cations or anions generally proceed under conditions of high acidity or high basicity respectively, but radical reactions typically proceed under mild or neutral conditions. This allows acid sensitive and chiral substrates to be transformed without decomposition or racemisation. Whereas the solvent usually influences reactions involving ions, radicals are generally less solvated and hence can react similarly in a range of different solvents. As radicals are not solvated they are generally highly reactive, and so can be used to assemble sterically hindered centres within complex target molecules.

1.1.2 - General considerations of radical reactions

Most synthetically important radical reactions involve chain processes, such as the photolysis of chlorine and alkanes to form alkyl chlorides. Following initiation, the first-formed radical reacts through a series of propagation steps to produce a new radical(s), which ultimately leads to regeneration of the initial radical. Propagation reactions usually involve the formation of strong bonds at the expense of weaker bonds (e.g. the C–Cl bond in an alkyl chloride is stronger than the Cl–Cl bond in chlorine, Scheme 1).
The bond dissociation energies of the bonds that are broken and formed can provide a guide as to whether the process will proceed. Bond dissociation energies can also tell us which radicals are most likely to be generated in initiation steps; the weaker the bond, the more easily the radicals are formed.

Steric factors can play an important part in radical reactions and bulky substituents will reduce the rate at which the radical reacts due to steric hindrance. For example, dimerisation of the methyl radical typically has a rate constant of $3 \times 10^{10}$ dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (at rt), whereas the considerably more hindered trityl radical $1$ dimerises (head-to-tail, 2, Scheme 2) with a rate constant of only $3 \times 10^2$ dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (at rt) at the same concentration. Steric hindrance therefore increases the lifetime of radicals, which is exemplified by the stable crystalline TEMPO radical $3$.

There are numerous methods for initiating radical reactions. Common methods involve the homolytic cleavage of weak bonds by photolysis or thermolysis. Typical examples of radical initiators are peroxides or azo compounds. Although in theory only one molecule of initiator could be used to effectively initiate a chain reaction, due to solvent cage effects, and unwanted termination steps, typically 0.1 equivalents of the initiator is added. A slow addition of the initiator helps the chain reaction to continue as this generates a steady, low concentration of the radical intermediates which reduces the rate of radical-radical termination processes. The choice of initiator is usually decided by its half-life at the temperature of the reaction. AIBN is perhaps the most commonly used initiator with a
half-life of just over 1 h at 80 °C, under 5 minutes at 100 °C and over 50 h at 60 °C. AIBN is commonly used to initiate reactions involving tin hydrides; the nitrile–stabilised radical (Me₂C(CN)•) is able to selectively abstract the hydrogen atom in the weak Sn–H bond. Peroxides generate reactive oxygen-centered radicals (RO•)¹⁵,¹⁶ that can abstract hydrogen atoms from various organic molecules and, in some cases, this can lead to undesired side reactions and a lack of selectivity. More recently, trialkylboranes (especially triethylborane) in the presence of oxygen/air has been shown to be efficient radical initiators at temperatures down to -78 °C.¹⁷⁻¹⁹

1.1.3 - Overview of intermolecular additions

Intermolecular radical additions, to form a range of carbon–carbon bonds, commonly involve the addition of carbon-centred radicals to alkenes to form σ-bonds at the expense of weaker π-bonds.²⁰ Intermolecular radical additions mediated by Bu₃SnH can be difficult to conduct as rather than adding to the C=Ç bond, the intermediate carbon-centred radical can abstract a hydrogen-atom from Bu₃SnH in a process called simple reduction. Assuming the carbon radical adds to the C=Ç bond, if the rate of hydrogen-atom abstraction from Bu₃SnH is slow, then alkene polymerisation can occur.

For rapid and high yielding radical additions the polarity of the radical and alkene should be matched. Nucleophilic radicals add faster to electron–poor double bonds due to a dominating SOMO–LUMO interaction. Similarly, electrophilic radicals add faster to electron-rich alkenes due to a dominating SOMO–HOMO interaction (Figure 1).

This explains why the nucleophilic tert-butyl radical adds to the C=Ç bond of acrolein over 3000 times faster than to the C=Ç bond in propene at room temperature. Similarly the rate of addition of a radical to an electron poor double bond depends on the electronic properties of the radical.²¹,²² The more electron-withdrawing the substituent bonded to the
radical, the slower the rate of addition to an electron-poor double bond. This explains the formation of nitrile 7 in 95% yield from reaction of cyclohexyl iodide 4 and acrylonitrile with Bu₃SnCl (0.2 equiv.) and NaBH₄ (Scheme 3). Addition of the nucleophilic cyclohexyl radical 5 to the electron poor double bond is followed by hydrogen-atom abstraction by radical 6 to afford the nitrile 7. The polarity of the resulting “electrophilic” radical 6 is incompatible with addition to a second molecule of acrylonitrile that would lead to 9. Steric effects of alkene substituents are also important and, in general, the bulkier the substituents attached to a C=C bond, the slower the rate of radical addition.

Finally, intermolecular radical addition to alkynes is slower than for alkenes, as vinyl radicals are less stable than alkyl radicals. For example, the tert-butyl radical adds to the double bond of methyl acrylate around 5.5 times faster than to the triple bond of methyl propiolate at the same temperature.

### 1.1.4 - Overview of radical cyclisations

The development of cyclisations that are mild and versatile has been a recurring theme in organic synthesis. Although only recognized relatively recently, intramolecular addition reactions of radicals are among the most powerful methods for forming rings.

Efficient radical cyclisations require selective radical generation and rapid propagation steps. Cyclisations are often easier to conduct than bimolecular reactions, as many cyclisations are so rapid, compared to the rate of simple reduction, that it is hard to trap initial radicals with standard reagents (such as tin hydrides) before ring closure. Often, the products from competing bimolecular reactions are not observed because these reactions proceed at a much slower rate than cyclisation.
A common and reliably useful radical reaction is the cyclisation of unsaturated halides and similar compounds to form mainly 5- and 6-membered rings. An example is the cyclisation of the hex-5-en-1-yl radical 10, which forms the primary radical 11 in a 5-exo cyclisation rather than the more stable secondary radical 12, Scheme 4. In both cases, the reaction led to the formation of a C–C σ-bond at the expense of the weaker π-bond.

![Scheme 4](image)

The preference for 5-exo cyclisation can be rationalised by the stereoelectronically controlled chair-like transition state, where the 5-exo transition state favours overlap between the SOMO of the radical and the LUMO of the alkene, Figure 2. This places the angle of attack at 106°, which is close to the angle observed in a comparable intermolecular reaction (109°), however the orbital overlap of the SOMO and LUMO for the 6-endo cyclisation is less efficient.29-31

![Figure 2](image)

Following cyclisation, radicals 11 and 12 abstract a hydrogen atom from Bu3SnH at approximately the same rate to form the cycloalkane, with the tributyltin radical continuing the chain. The major process in competition with cyclisation is the direct reduction to form hex-1-ene, which depends on the concentration of Bu3SnH. Formation of the hex-1-ene would be a problem if Bu3SnH was added in a single portion, however the yield of hex-1-ene can be minimised via slow addition of tributyltin hydride which increases the lifetime of the first-formed carbon-centred radical allowing more time for cyclisation which is independent of the Bu3SnH concentration. Other competitive processes include the
addition of $\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn}^*$ to the double bond, however unlike halogen atom abstraction, this is reversible and fragmentation regenerates the tributyltin radical and alkene. Radical coupling reactions can also be minimised by using a low concentration of reactants.

Radical cyclisation mediated by $\text{Bu}_3\text{SnH}$ has proven to be an effective method for forming 5-membered rings. The rate of 5-\textit{exo} radical cyclisation can be increased by:

1. using vinyl/aryl radical precursors;
2. introducing alkyl groups, particularly geminal dialkyl groups, within the carbon chain;
3. introducing an oxygen or nitrogen atom within the carbon chain;
4. attaching an electron-withdrawing group to the acceptor bond.

![Scheme 5](image)

The increased reactivity of $sp^2$ vinyl and aryl radicals leads to rapid bond formation. The introduction of alkyl groups or a heteroatom changes the bond angles and/or lengths of the chain; these differences lead to better orbital overlap in the 5-\textit{exo} chair transition state and faster cyclisation. The effect of the nitrile group can be explained by polarity. The high-energy SOMO of the nucleophilic alkyl radical can interact more effectively with the relatively low energy LUMO orbital of the electron-poor double bond, and the cyclic secondary radical is mesomerically stabilised by the nitrile triple bond.

Similarly, the radical cyclisations of 1-halohept-6-enes can form the 6-membered ring 14, Scheme 6, however the rate of 6-\textit{exo} cyclisation is around 40 times slower than that of 5-\textit{exo} cyclisation. In addition, the hept-6-en-1-yl radical 13 can undergo competitive 1,5-hydrogen-atom abstraction to form a resonance-stabilised allylic radical 15.
Cyclisation using alternative precursors to alkenes (including alkynes, nitriles, imines, oximes and hydrazones) are also possible. Radical cyclisation onto alkynes and nitriles are slightly slower than for alkenes,\(^3\text{9}\) however imines and related compounds usually undergo faster cyclisation.\(^4\text{0}\) This trend largely reflects the order of stability of the cyclic radicals produced.

1.1.5 – Organotin radicals in synthesis
Tin hydrides (especially \(\text{Bu}_3\text{SnH}\)) are the most common reagents used to mediate radical reactions, including intermolecular radical additions using alkyl halides and unsaturated compounds like alkenes and alkynes, which is partly due to the large amount of kinetic data on radicals derived from tin hydrides. This has also led to the development of a wide range of novel radical initiators, to generate tin-centred radicals under mild conditions.

1.1.5.1 - Additions to carbon-carbon multiple bonds
To illustrate the application of radical reactions in synthetic chemistry an example from the Pattenden group is presented in Scheme 7.\(^4\text{1}\) Following previous work, the furan-based iodoynone \(\text{16}\) was anticipated to undergo a radical Diels-Alder like cyclisation reaction to give the steroidal analogue \(\text{18}\). However, the tetracyclic ketone \(\text{21}\) was isolated. The tetracycle was probably produced via initial 13–endo–dig macrocyclisation to form vinyl radical \(\text{17}\), followed by a 6–exo–trig transannular addition of the vinyl radical onto the furan, subsequent fragmentation, 5–exo cyclisation and H-atom abstraction. The driving force for the formation of \(\text{19}\) from \(\text{17}\) lies in the stabilisation of radical \(\text{19}\) by the adjacent oxygen atom, while the formation of \(\text{20}\) can be explained by the stability of the resonance-stabilised allylic radical.
1.1.5.2 - Addition to a carbon–heteroatom double bond

As well as halogen atom abstractions, tin centred radicals can also add to a range of carbon-carbon and carbon-heteroatom double bonds.\textsuperscript{42-45} Perhaps the best known example of the ability of tin-centred radicals to add to C=S double bonds is in the Barton-McCombie deoxygenation of alcohols.\textsuperscript{46} This is a mild method of reducing primary and secondary alcohols via xanthates (X = SMe), thiobenzoates (X = Ph) or thiocarbonyl imidazoles (X = imidazolyl). The reaction proceeds via reversible addition of the tin radical to the thiocarbonyl sulfur atom, which undergoes $\beta$-scission to give the corresponding carbonyl compound and an alkyl radical, which subsequently abstracts a hydrogen atom from the tin hydride (Scheme 8).

Tin-centred radicals can also add reversibly to carbonyl double bonds as illustrated in the synthesis of chromanol 26 via the cyclization of 2-(cinnamyloxy)benzaldehyde 22 (Scheme 9).\textsuperscript{47} The tributyltin radical adds to the oxygen atom of the carbonyl to afford benzylic radical 23, which is then able to undergo a 6-\textit{exo} cyclisation to afford 24. The intermediate benzylic radical 24 can fragment to regenerate the initial carbon-centred
radical 23. Although the rate of fragmentation is faster than the 6-exo cyclisation, the benzylic radical 24 reacts with Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH faster than the corresponding benzylic radical 24. Hydrolysis of the organotin adduct 25 results in the formation of chromanol 26.

![Scheme 9]

In more recent work, on developing approaches to tetrahydrofurans and tetrahydropyrans, Sammis and coworkers have reported cyclisation of an oxygen-centred radical onto silyl enol ethers. The inclusion of an oxygen substituent on the alkene provides both a synthetic handle for further functionalisation, whilst increasing the rate of cyclisation, by increasing the electron density of the alkene (an alkoxyl-radical is electrophilic). The generation of the oxygen-centered radical was achieved by cleavage of the N-OR bond in an N-alkoxyphthalimide 27 by the addition of a tributyltin radical, to the carbonyl oxygen. The high degree of chemoselectivity of the electrophilic alkoxy-radical 28, is demonstrated by the cyclisation of silyl enol ether 28, where both a simple alkene and the more electron rich silyl enol ether are present, which leads to the selective formation of only tetrahydrofuran 29, Scheme 10.

![Scheme 10]
Tin-centred radicals also add to alkenes and alkynes, with addition to electron-poor bonds being favoured because tin-centred radicals are typically nucleophilic. These addition reactions are generally reversible due to the formation of weak Sn-C bonds (e.g. Sn-Me has a bond enthalpy of only 278 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)). Bachi and co-workers used a hydrostannylation reaction to prepare fused bicyclic \(\beta\)-lactam \(31\) from alkyne \(30\) (Scheme 11). Hydrostannylation chemistry is growing in importance due to the use of organotin compounds in many transition-metal catalysed reactions such as in the Stille coupling.

However, competitive addition of tin-centred radicals to alkenes and alkynes is not usually seen in radical cyclisations of most unsaturated organohalides, as addition of Bu\(_3\)Sn\(\cdot\) to unsaturated CC bonds is reversible unlike the irreversible abstraction of a halogen atom.

### 1.1.5.3 - Problems associated with tributyltin hydrides

Currently, organotin reagents dominate the area of synthetic radical chemistry and are the automatic choice for many radical reactions. However, there are several major drawbacks associated with tin-based reagents. Organostannanes compounds are known to be very neurotoxic, and so require careful handling and disposal. For use in the pharmaceutical industry commercial drugs should contain tin levels below the ppb level. This level of purity is difficult to achieve because the tin halide by-products decompose to form tin oxides, which streak on silica. This has resulted in minimal use of tin hydrides in the pharmaceutical industry.

Several methods have been developed to overcome the problems associated with tin hydrides. One solution has been to retain the use of tributyltin hydride but to lower the amount of the hydride and hence lower the amount of tin byproducts. This has been achieved by using catalytic quantities of tributyltin hydride followed by reduction of the tributyltin halide by-product with a stoichiometric reducing agent, usually NaCN(BH\(_3\)).

![Scheme 11](image-url)
Alternatively, methods have been developed to aid the separation of tin residues from reaction mixtures.\textsuperscript{55, 56} For example, the use of solid supported reagents, where the tin hydride is attached to a polymer support and after the radical reaction has been performed, the tin by-product can be efficiently removed from the product by simple filtration,\textsuperscript{57-62} and if required, the tin hydride can be regenerated by reduction. An alternative method is to attach the organic substrate to a solid support – after the radical reaction, the tin residues are removed by thorough washing, before cleaving the product from the support.\textsuperscript{63} Another alternative is the use of fluorous tin hydrides. Once the radical chemistry has been performed, a fluorous phase extraction is used to remove most of the tin by-products from the product.\textsuperscript{64, 65} Alternatively, it has been shown that filtering the crude reaction mixture through a KF-Silica plug removes most of the tin-containing byproducts.\textsuperscript{66} Although useful on a small scale, these solutions are not practical on larger scales.

The problems, and limited range of solutions related to the use of tin hydrides has triggered a search for alternative approaches of generating free radicals that circumvent the use of tin hydrides. In recent years a wide range of potential hydride replacements for tributyltin hydride (as radical chain mediators) have been explored, which include the use of thiols, silanes, cyclohexadienes\textsuperscript{67} and phosphorus hydrides. Another area that has attracted interest is the generation of radicals via single electron transfer reactions (SET).

1.1.6 - Single electron transfer reactions

Single electron transfer reactions have become an increasingly common method of radical generation. There are two variations of a SET reaction, either reductive or oxidative, depending on whether, for example, a metal-containing reactant loses or gains an electron. A few examples of the use of SET reactions towards the synthesis of natural products are discussed.

1.1.6.1 - Nickel mediated reactions

Zard and co-workers have shown that nickel powder with acetic acid in refluxing 2-propanol can be used to generate radicals derived from trichloroacetamides, which can undergo cyclisations.\textsuperscript{68} This has been applied to the synthesis of the \textit{erythrina} alkaloid 3-demethoxyerythratidinone (Scheme 12 and Scheme 13). Treatment of trichloroacetamide 33, obtained in 3 steps from cyclohexanone 32, with nickel and acetic acid resulted in reduction to form intermediate radical 34,\textsuperscript{69-71} which then cyclises to give the 5-\textit{endo} product 35. Subsequent oxidation generates a cation, which undergoes elimination, and
after further C-Cl bond reduction yields lactam 36, in 49% yield, together with a 25% yield of the direct reduction material 37.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Scheme 12} \\
32 & \quad \begin{array}{c}
a) \text{ArCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2, \\
b) \text{Cl}_3\text{CCOCl, Et}_3\text{N,} \\
c) \text{HS(CH}_2)_3\text{SH, BF}_3\text{OEt}_2, \text{DCM, } 25 \, ^\circ \text{C}
\end{array} \\
& \rightarrow 33 \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c}
74\% \\
\end{array} \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c}
a) \text{ArCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2, \\
b) \text{Cl}_3\text{CCOCl, Et}_3\text{N,} \\
c) \text{HS(CH}_2)_3\text{SH, BF}_3\text{OEt}_2, \text{DCM, } 25 \, ^\circ \text{C}
\end{array} \\
& \rightarrow 34 \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c}
5\text{-endo} \\
\text{oxidation, elimination, reduction} \\
\text{reduction} \\
\end{array} \\
& \rightarrow 35 \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c}
25\% \\
\end{array} \\
& \rightarrow 36 \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c}
49\% \\
\end{array} \\
& \rightarrow 37 \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{oxidation, elimination, reduction} \\
\text{reduction} \\
\end{array} \\
& \rightarrow 38 \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c}
3\text{-demethoxyerythratidinone} \\
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

The synthesis was completed by treatment of the lactam 36 with \(p\)-toluenesulfonic acid to trigger a Pictet-Spengler reaction to afford the pentacycle 37 (Scheme 13). Reduction of the amide moiety using alane and subsequent removal of the dithioketal with migration of the C=C bond gave 3-demethoxyerythratidinone (38), in 7 steps starting from the cyclohexadione 32 (in 10% overall yield).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Scheme 13} \\
36 & \quad \begin{array}{c}
a) \text{PTSA, benzene, reflux} \\
\end{array} \\
& \rightarrow 37 \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c}
84\% \\
\end{array} \\
& \rightarrow 38 \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c}
3\text{-demethoxyerythratidinone} \\
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

### 1.1.6.2 - Manganese(III) acetate mediated reactions

An alternative SET approach to 3-demethoxyerythratidinone 38 has been reported by Ishibashi and co-workers, who focussed on the cyclisation of a methylthio amide (derived from cyclohexanone 32) mediated by manganese(III) acetate (Scheme 14). On treatment with manganese(III) acetate and copper(II) triflate in refluxing 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol, methylthio amide 39, afforded pentacycle 41 in 31% yield, via cation 40. Conversion to
3-demethoxyerythratidinone (38) was achieved using a previously developed procedure, via oxidation of the sulfide to the sulfoxide, and thermal elimination to afford unsaturated amide 42. Subsequent reduction of the amide with alane and acetal deprotection afforded 3-demethoxyerythratidinone 38.\textsuperscript{73}

\[\text{3-demethoxyerythratidinone (38)}\]

\[\text{3-demethoxyerythratidinone (38)}\]

\[\text{Scheme 14}\]

1.1.6.3 - Samarium(II) mediated reactions

Samarium(II)-mediated radical reactions have been known for some time and their synthetic use is exemplified by the total synthesis of (±)-hypnophilin (49) and the formal synthesis of (±)-coriolin (50) (Schemes 15 and 16).\textsuperscript{74} Samarium(II) iodide (in the presence of a co-solvent) donates an electron to the unsaturated aldehyde 43, to afford the intermediate radical anion 44, which undergoes a sequential 5-exo-trig, 5-exo-dig cyclisation to afford the tricyclic ketals 46 and 47, along with alcohol 45, from direct reduction of the aldehyde.
Completion of the synthesis was achieved by conversion of the tricyclic alcohol 46 into the conjugated dienone 48 (Scheme 16), from which selective epoxidation afforded (±)-coriolin (50) and (±)-hypnophilin (49). Treatment of alcohol 46 with p-toluenesulfonic acid in acetone resulted in cleavage of the ketal protecting group to afford the α,β-unsaturated system, subsequent treatment with LDA and TBS-C1, followed by oxidation using DDQ/2,6-lutidine afforded the conjugated dienone 48.

![Scheme 16](image)

1.1.6.4 Tetrathiofulvalenes
Murphy and co-workers have developed the Radical-Polar Crossover-Reaction,\(^\text{75}\) which combines radical and ionic steps in one-pot (Scheme 17).\(^\text{76-81}\) For example, an easily oxidised sulfide can undergo electron transfer to an appropriate substrate, to generate a radical-cation/radical anion pair, which can fragment to afford the radical (R·) which either recombines with the radical cation or undergoes further radical reactions before recombination to give the sulfonium salt. The sulfonium salt then undergoes a polar substitution reaction to expel the sulfide.

![Scheme 17](image)
The most commonly used sulfide is tetrathiafulvalene (TTF), which is able to donate an electron to an arenediazonium salt. This route has been used to prepare aspidospermidine from the diazonium salt \(51\) (Scheme 18)\(^{82}\). Electron transfer and subsequent loss of \(\text{N}_2\) affords the aryl radical \(52\), which undergoes \(5\text{-exo-trig}\) cyclisation to give secondary radical \(53\), which then combines with tetrathiafulvalene to give the sulfonium salt \(54\). An \(\text{S}_{\text{N}}\text{I}\) substitution reaction of \(54\) using water as the nucleophile affords alcohol \(55\), which is transformed into aspidospermidine using conventional methods.\(^{80}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{TTF} & \quad \text{acetone} \quad \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{BF}_4 \quad \text{N}_2 \quad \text{NHCOCF}_3 \\
\text{51}
\end{array} & \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{[NHCOCF}_3
\text{]}
\end{array} & \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{NHCOCF}_3 \\
\text{53}
\end{array} \\
\text{aspidospermidine} & \quad \text{H}_2\text{O} & \quad \text{CF}_3\text{CONH} \\
\text{55} & \quad \text{54}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 18

1.1.7 - Alternative hydrides
The most investigated solution to many of the problems of tin hydrides has been the development of alternative hydrides that are non-toxic. The weak Sn-H bond (~310 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) makes tin hydrides extremely versatile radical reagents, as the bond is weak enough for a variety of carbon-centred radicals to be able to rapidly abstract a hydrogen atom from the tin, forming a stronger C-H bond, thereby continuing the chain by regenerating the tin-centred radical. Alternative radical reagents must have a comparably weak hydride bond.

1.1.7.1 - Germanium hydrides
Investigations have been performed into the use of less toxic trialkylgermanium hydrides. Tributylgermanium hydride has a relatively strong Ge–H bond (~370 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)),\(^{83,84}\) often resulting in low levels of simple reduction of unsaturated organohalides. So addition of C-centered radicals to alkenes can proceed with essentially equimolar amounts of the halide and alkene, and slow addition is not usually required.\(^{85}\) The lower reactivity of germanium-
centred radicals limits this methodology to predominantly iodides. Further problems are the relatively high expense of tributylgermanium hydride and the relatively fast rate of addition of Bu₃Ge• to alkenes. A more reactive germanium hydride is tris(trimethylsilyl)germane [(Me₃Si)₃GeH], which is able to reduce a wider variety of functional groups but, the rate of hydrogen abstraction from (Me₃Si)₃GeH is faster than from tributyltin hydride, and so this can lead to increased levels of simple reduction, which limits its usefulness.

1.1.7.2 - Thiols

A range of alkyl and aryl thiols can act as hydrogen-atom donors on reaction with carbon-centred radicals – a relatively weak S-H bond is broken (~370 kJ mol⁻¹) and a stronger C-H bond is formed (~400 kJ mol⁻¹). The use of thiol-mediated radical reactions has been explored in a recent review by Majumdar and Debnath, and especially their use in the synthesis of carbocycles and heterocycles. Sulfur-centred radicals are unable to abstract a halogen atom from an alkyl halide at a rate to maintain a chain reaction. Consequently, most synthetic applications of thiols rely on their ability to add rapidly and reversibly to multiple bonds, such as in Naito’s synthesis of (-)-cispentacin (60) (Scheme 19).

The initially formed PhS• radical undergoes (reversible) addition to the alkene 56, giving a secondary radical 57, that undergoes 5-exo cyclisation to afford a nitrogen centred radical 58, which abstracts a hydrogen atom from thiophenol to afford 59. The synthesis of (-)-cispentacin (60) is then completed in 5 subsequent steps.

\[
\text{56} \xrightarrow{\text{PhSH, AIBN, C\_6H\_6, reflux}} \text{57} \xrightarrow{5\text{-exo cyclisation}} \text{58} \xrightarrow{\text{5 steps}} \text{60} \quad \text{73\%} \quad \text{cis:trans = 2:1}
\]
1.1.7.3 - Silanes

Silanes are the most widely studied alternatives to tin hydrides, principally because they are easily prepared, non-toxic and silicon-centred radicals react rapidly with a range of functional groups, including alkyl halides and double bonds. However, in a hydrosilylation reaction using a trialkysilane, the intermediate β-silylalkyl radical usually reacts relatively slowly with \( \text{R}_3\text{SiH} \) and so the yields of hydrosilylated products can be low. This is because simple triorganosilanes like triethylsilane possess a relatively strong Si–H bond (398 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for Et\(_3\)SiH) and so they are poor hydrogen atom donors.

However, Roberts showed that, in conjunction with a thiol catalyst, alkyl or aryl-silanes can serve as replacements for tin hydrides for the reduction of alkyl halides and related compounds, including double and triple bonds. In the absence of a thiol catalyst, the abstraction of the electron-rich hydrogen atom bonded to silicon, by a nucleophilic alkyl radical (step 1), is slow because of polar effects, and is usually too slow to maintain the chain. In the presence of a thiol, the slow direct hydrogen-transfer step is replaced by two faster steps (2 and 3) that benefit from favourable polar effects (Scheme 20).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R}^\prime + \text{R}^\prime_3\text{SiH} & \rightarrow \text{RH} + \text{R}^\prime_3\text{Si}^\prime \quad (1) \\
\text{R}^\prime + \text{R}''\text{SH} & \rightarrow \text{RH} + \text{R}''\text{S}^\prime \quad (2) \\
\text{R}''\text{S}^\prime + \text{R}^\prime_3\text{SiH} & \rightarrow \text{R}''\text{SH} + \text{R}^\prime_3\text{Si}^\prime \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 20

This method has also been applied to the hydrosilylation of various simple alkenes with a range of silanes. For Et\(_3\)SiH, it was found that the highest yields were obtained when using Et\(_3\)SiH as the solvent and the reaction initiated by di-\textit{tert}-butyl peroxide (DTBP) (Scheme 21).
1.1.7.4 - Silylated cyclohexadienes

It is known that cyclohexadienes can act as hydrogen atom donors in alkyl radical reductions. However, the intermediate cyclohexadienyl radical cannot abstract a halogen atom, and so 1,4-cyclohexadienes cannot reduce alkyl halides. However, Studer has introduced silylated cyclohexadienes that can mediate radical dehalogenations, deselenations, deoxygenations, and intermolecular additions. Abstraction of a hydrogen atom from the silylated cyclohexadiene affords a cyclohexadienyl radical, which aromatises to afford the dimethoxytoluene with expulsion of the silyl radical, that propagates the chain by reaction with the starting organohalide (Scheme 22).

Alternatively, if the silyl radical (formed in the aromatisation step) is allowed to react with an alkene the corresponding hydrosilylation product is formed. This hydrosilylation could be regarded as a transfer-hydrosilylation. This work has been applied to the cyclisation of
1,6-dienes 65, affording cyclised products 68 in up to 80% yield as a mixture of diastereoisomers, Scheme 23.\textsuperscript{111} The initially formed silyl radical adds to a C=C bond of the diene to form a \(\beta\)-silylalkyl radical 66 which undergoes a 5-\textit{exo}-cyclization to give primary radical 67 that is reduced by the silylated cyclohexadiene. The formation of the \textit{cis}-isomer as the major isomer is in accordance with the Beckwith-Houk model for 5-\textit{exo}-cyclisations.\textsuperscript{28, 112, 113}

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{X} & \quad \text{Yield (\%)} & \text{cis/trans} \\
C(CO_2Et)_2 & 80 & 43:10 \\
O & 71 & 25:10 \\
N-Ts & 76 & 20:10 \\
C(CH_2OH)_2 & 62 & 23:10
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 23

It has been shown that silylated cyclohexadiene 61 reduces primary carbon-centred radicals about 55 times slower than Bu\textsubscript{3}SnH does.\textsuperscript{107} This allows the study of slower radical chain reactions. For instance, on hydrosilylation/cyclisation of 1,7-diene 69, the product 70 was isolated in 61% yield as a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers, Scheme 24. The silyl radical addition occurs highly regioselectively at the less-hindered terminal double bond and this is followed by a 6-\textit{exo}-cyclisation. The product of mono-hydrosilylation, without cyclisation, was not observed.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CO}_2\text{Et} & \quad \text{61 (1.5 eq)} \quad \text{AIBN (0.6 eq), Hexane (0.25 M)} \\
\text{CO}_2\text{Et} & \quad \text{70 - 61\%, dr 1:1}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 24

1.1.7.5 - Tris(trimethylsilyl)silane

The most successful and widely used replacement for tributyltin hydride is tris(trimethylsilyl)silane (TTMSS).\textsuperscript{102, 114-116} TTMSS is non-toxic and the silicon byproducts from organohalide reductions are generally easier to separate from organic products. An added benefit is the slightly stronger Si–H bond (about 20 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1} stronger
than the Sn–H bond in tributyltin hydride) produces fewer by-products of direct reduction, allowing radical additions to be accomplished with a stoichiometric amount of the silane in the reaction mixture, removing the need for syringe pumping and high-dilution techniques usually required for tin hydrides.

Recently, Chatgilialoglu and coworkers have shown that (Me₃Si)₃SiH can mediate the reduction of iodides and bromides, hydrosilylate alkenes and carbonyls, in aqueous media using ACCN as the initiator at 100 °C. An amphiphilic thiol (HOCH₂CH₂SH) was needed for the reaction of water-soluble compounds¹¹⁷ and the reaction could be applied to the radical cyclisation of 1-allyloxy-2-iodobenzene (71) to furnish furan 72 (Scheme 25).

![Scheme 25](image)

Like other silicon-centred radicals, the (Me₃Si)₃Si• radical can add rapidly to multiple bonds and it has been used successfully in hydrosilylation reactions, with both electron rich and poor alkenes (Scheme 26 and Table 1).¹¹⁸, ¹¹⁹ Reaction of a range of alkenes has been carried out by using a slight excess of tris(trimethylsilyl)silane in toluene at 80-90 °C in the presence of AIBN to give the corresponding hydrosilylation products in good to excellent yield. A slight excess of tris(trimethylsilyl)silane (1.2 equiv) was sufficient to avoid polymerisation of the alkenes.

![Scheme 26 and Table 1](image)

Cyclisation of dienes, including diallyl ether 73, has also been accomplished (Scheme 27). The alkyl radical formed after the addition of the silyl radical to a C=C bond, cyclises to give the tetrahydrofuran derivative 74 in 63% yield (as a mixture of cis:trans isomers in the ratio 3:1).
However, there are several disadvantages with using TTMSS, including the cost (it is approximately 5 times more expensive than Bu₃SnH) and it is prone to aerial oxidation. Also, before the hydrosilylation method can become of general synthetic use, methods need to be developed for the transformation of the (Me₃Si)₃Si group into useful functionality (see Chapter 3).

1.1.7.6 - Organophosphorus hydrides

Relatively weak P–H bonds are found in a variety of organophosphorus compounds including dialkylphosphines and phosphites [(RO)₂P(O)H], which are easily handled, non-toxic, commercially available, and cheaper than many of the group 14 hydrides. Phosphorus centred radicals are formed from hydrides under mild conditions with commercially available initiators, many commercially available organophosphorus compounds are easy to derivatise, and a number of chiral and fluorous examples have been reported in the literature.

Phosphorus-centred radicals are known to add to alkenes. For example, Piettre has shown that addition of dimethyl phosphite to fluoro-alkenes is an excellent route to 2,2-disubstituted-1,1-difluorophosphonates (Scheme 28).

Phosphites, thiophosphites and phosphine oxides have all been shown to add regioselectively to a range of alkenes, including 1,6-dienes resulting in 5-exo cyclisations to yield 5-membered rings (Scheme 29).
The resulting phosphonates or phosphonothioates can undergo Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons-type (HWE) reactions to form alkenes. It is even possible to combine the radical addition and HWE reactions in a one-pot reaction (Scheme 30). For example, heating oct-1-ene (75) with diethyl thiophosphite and AIBN forms phosphonothioate 76, which is immediately reacted with sBuLi and benzophenone to give 1,1-diphenylnon-1-ene (77) in an 88% yield.\textsuperscript{121, 125, 126}

\[ \text{C}_6\text{H}_{13} \xrightarrow{} \text{(EtO)}_2\text{P(S)H, AIBN, THF, reflux} \xrightarrow{} \text{C}_6\text{H}_{13} \xrightarrow{} \text{sBuLi, Ph}_2\text{CO, -78 °C to r.t.} \xrightarrow{} \text{C}_6\text{H}_{13} \]

\textbf{Scheme 30}

Combined stereoselective radical cyclisations and intermolecular HWE reactions are also possible as are consecutive radical addition/alkylation/HWE reactions.\textsuperscript{121, 125, 126} Such as the addition of diethyl thiophosphite to allyl ether (78) and a subsequent HWE reaction to afford the alkene 79 (Scheme 31).

\[ \text{78} \xrightarrow{(\text{EtO})_2\text{P(S)H, AIBN, THF, reflux}} \xrightarrow{(\text{EtO})_2\text{P(S)H, AIBN, THF, reflux}} \text{79} \]

\textbf{Scheme 31}

Typically, the addition of a phosphine oxide or a phosphinate to an alkene requires a radical initiator such as benzoyl peroxide, AIBN, or more recently, the use of microwaves. However, Han has reported that a small amount of air/oxygen can initiate the addition of secondary phosphine oxides and H-phosphinates to alkenes.\textsuperscript{127} Under a pure nitrogen atmosphere (less than 1 ppm of oxygen), diphenylphosphine oxide and 1-decene did not react at 80 °C after 18 h, but when a trace amount of air was introduced an 85% yield of the addition product was isolated. The addition also proceeded in air, although the yield of
product was only 28%. This method was found to be applicable to both secondary phosphine oxides and phosphinates (but not phosphonates), and to a wide range of alkenes (Scheme 32).

\[
\text{R}_2\text{P(O)H} + \text{X} \xrightarrow{80 \degree \text{C}, 18 \text{h}} \text{R}_2\text{P} = \text{nBu}_2\text{P}, \text{Ph(}^{1}\text{Bu})\text{P, Ph}_2\text{P, Ph(}^{\text{OEt}}\text{P)} \text{X} = \text{(CH}_2\text{)}_4\text{OH, (CH}_2\text{)}_4\text{CN, (CH}_2\text{)}_4\text{CO}_2\text{Et, SiEt}_3, \text{O}^{\text{Bu}}, \text{S(O)Ph, P(}^{\text{O}}\text{Ph}_2, \text{44 - 98%, mostly 80 - 90%}
\]

**Scheme 32**

Additionally, it is possible to perform a radical cyclisation of 1,6-heptadiene (80), to yield the corresponding phosphonate 81, although in moderate yields (Scheme 33).

\[
\text{80} \xrightarrow{\text{Ph}_2\text{P(O)H}} \text{81} \text{P(}^{\text{O}}\text{Ph}_2 \text{air/nitrogen: 38%, d.r. 2.9/1} \text{10 mol% AIBN: 52%, d.r. 2.7/1}
\]

**Scheme 33**

The groups of Fensterbank, Lacote and Malacria have reported the homolytic cleavage of P-S bonds to generate phosphorus-centered radicals, which can add to alkenes, thereby allowing the synthesis of phosphonates (Scheme 34). The key step is a homolytic substitution on a sulfur atom and the dihydrobenzothiophene by-product could be easily separated from the desired products.128-134

\[
\text{Br}^{\text{P}}\text{R}^{\text{O}} \xrightarrow{\text{Bu}_3\text{SnH, AIBN}} \text{PhH, reflux} \text{R}_1 \text{R}_{1} \text{56-88%}
\]

**Scheme 34**

Reaction of a thiol with an alkyne, in the absence of tributyltin hydride, resulted in an efficient radical substitution following initial addition of the thiyl radical to the triple bond (Scheme 35).128 This new cascade sequence delivered good yields of phosphorus-containing products.
Finally, phosphinoyl radicals have been shown to add to triple bonds as illustrated by the formal cycloisomerisation of the thiophosphate oxide 82 to afford the thiophene 83 shown in Scheme 36.

**Scheme 35**

**Scheme 36**
1.2 Alkaloids

Alkaloid is the general name of all natural products containing a free, basic nitrogen atom. Alkaloids are produced as secondary metabolites by a large number of organisms, including bacteria, fungi, animals and plants. Alkaloids are typically divided into three subgroups; true alkaloids, proto alkaloids and pseudo alkaloids. True alkaloids are derived biosynthetically from amino acids and the nitrogen is part of a heterocyclic ring (e.g. nicotine). Proto alkaloids are derived biosynthetically from amino acids, however the nitrogen is outside of a ring system (e.g. amphetamines). Pseudo alkaloids are not directly derived biosynthetically from amino acids, but instead purines, (e.g. caffeine).\textsuperscript{135} Alkaloids can be further classified based on two different systems;

- either based on their chemical structure, i.e. their core framework, such as pyrrolidines, pyridines, tropanes, pyrrolizidines, isoquinolines, indoles, quinolines, terpenoids and steroids; or
- By the biological origin, such as the opium alkaloids from the opium poppy (\textit{Papaver somniferum}) or the ergot alkaloids from the ergot fungus (\textit{Claviceps}).

Many alkaloids have pharmacological effects, leading to their uses as medications and recreational drugs, such as those shown below (\textbf{Figure 3}).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{alkaloids.png}
\caption{Alkaloids: Amphetamines, Nicotine, Caffeine, Cocaine.}
\end{figure}

1.2.1 –Overview of \textit{Alangium} and \textit{Mitragyna} alkaloids

The \textit{Alangium} family of alkaloids have attracted interest due to their use as folk remedies for numerous ailments (including dystentery) and a number of benzo[a]quinolizidine alkaloids, exhibit potent biological activities.\textsuperscript{136} For example, psychotrine (84) (\textbf{Figure 4}),
isolated from the root of the Ipecacuanha plant, is a potent inhibitor of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase,\textsuperscript{137, 138} and deoxytubulosine (85) has cytotoxic properties. Mitragynine (86) is isolated from the plant \textit{Mitragyna speciosa}, which is traditionally used in tropical areas as a stimulant like coca or as a substitute for opium. The unique properties of this medicinal plant have attracted considerable interest. Recently, mitragynine (86) has been found to exhibit potent analgesic activity principally against $\mu$-opioid receptors.\textsuperscript{139-141}

![Chemical structures of Psychotrine, Deoxytubulosine, and Mitragynine](image)

**Figure 4** (original in colour)

1.2.2 - \textit{Alangium} alkaloids

1.2.2.1 - Synthesis of \textit{Alangium} alkaloids and related compounds

Battersby,\textsuperscript{142-146} Fujii\textsuperscript{147,148} and Brossi\textsuperscript{149} from the 1960s to 70s published around 50 papers based on synthetic methods to the \textit{Alangium} family of alkaloids including psychotrine (84), deoxytubulosine (85), emetine (87) and other related compounds (Figures 4 and 5). These racemic routes are long-winded, in excess of 10 steps. There are a few recent papers on the synthesis of \textit{Alangium} alkaloids including psychotrine (84), deoxytubulosine (85) and emetine (87). The following are some recent examples that show methods for the synthesis of the tricyclic core of these types of alkaloids, often proceeding via protoemetinol (88) (Figure 5).\textsuperscript{144}

![Chemical structures of Emetine, Protoemetinol](image)

**Figure 5**
1.2.2.2 - Iron catalysed cyclisation

Takacs and coworkers have developed novel cyclisation methods using catalytic metal-mediated reactions, including iron catalysts, which are attractive due to their low toxicity and availability. An example of a catalytic iron-mediated reaction is the cyclisation of enediene 89 to afford the substituted quinolizidine 90 in 70% yield, as a 6:1 mixture of diastereomers (Scheme 37).

\[
\text{cat } [\text{Fe(acac)}_3 / 3.1 \text{ Et}_3\text{Al}] \\
toluene, 55 \degree C, 12 \text{ h}, 70\%
\]

Scheme 37

It was envisioned that this methodology could be applied to the synthesis of the tricyclic core of the *Alangium* alkaloids (Schemes 38 and 39). The synthesis began following previous work by Meyers who devised an efficient route for the diastereoselective alkylation of chiral tetrahydroisoquinolines bearing a formamidine auxiliary. Deprotonation of tetrahydroisoquinoline 91 and subsequent alkylation with (Z)-1-chloro-4-benzyloxy-2-butene affords the desired substituted tetrahydroisoquinoline 92. Without purification, the chiral auxiliary was then removed to yield the free tetrahydroisoquinoline 93. To complete the enediene synthesis, the free tetrahydroisoquinoline 93 was *N*-alkylated with (E)-pentadienyl chloride to afford enediene 94 in 59% yield over 3 steps.

Scheme 38
Treatment of enediene 94 with a bisoxazoline-modified iron catalyst afforded the desired tricycle 95, although purification was problematic due to the labile enol ether (Scheme 39). Analysis of the vicinal coupling constants from the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum established the stereochemistry as depicted. All that remained was reduction of the two double bonds followed by reductive cleavage of the benzyl ether moiety. The one-pot palladium-catalysed reduction and debenzylation of crude tricycle 95 was efficient and afforded the corresponding alcohol 96 in 61% yield over the two steps.

\[\text{Scheme 39}\]

1.2.2.3 A domino hetero-Diels-Alder reaction

In 2004 Tietze reported the synthesis of *Alangium* alkaloids employing an enantioselective catalytic transfer hydrogenation of an imine, followed by a domino Knoevenagel/hetero-Diels-Alder reaction in the key cyclisation step.\(^{153}\) This resulted in the synthesis of a common intermediate 98, which could be elaborated to either emetine (87) or deoxytubulosine (85) (Scheme 40).

\[\text{Scheme 40}\]
The enantiopure NCbz protected tetrahydroisoquinoline 97 was prepared by initial synthesis of the racemic OTIPS tetrahydroisoquinoline 102 (Scheme 41). This was accomplished by reaction of 2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethanamine (99) with ethyl 2-(chlorocarbonyl)acetate to afford ethyl 2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenethylcarbamoyl)acetate (100) and subsequent Bischler-Napieralski cyclisation affords tetrahydroisoquinoline 101. Reduction of the α,β-unsaturated system and TIPS protection gave silyl ether 102 which was oxidised with KMnO₄ to give the imine 103 in 55% yield over 6 steps from 2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethanamine (99). Transfer hydrogenation with triethylammonium formate in the presence of a chiral ruthenium catalyst 106 afforded the tetrahydroisoquinoline 104 in 93% yield with 95% ee. Cbz protection of the nitrogen followed by TIPS deprotection and oxidation of the alcohol gave the desired tetrahydroisoquinoline-aldehyde 97.

Scheme 41

The reaction of tetrahydroisoquinoline-aldehyde 97, Meldrum’s acid (107) and enol ether 109 in benzene and a catalytic amount of ethylene diammonium diacetate in a sonic bath resulted in the formation of tetrahydroisoquinoline 111 (Scheme 42). Formation of the tetrahydroisoquinoline 111 proceeds via the 1-oxa-1,3-butadiene 108, which undergoes a
hetero-Diels-Alder reaction with enol ether 109 (with inverse electron demand) to afford 110 with undergoes a subsequent loss of CO₂ and acetone. Tetrahydroisoquinoline 111 is not isolated, but treated with K₂CO₃/MeOH and a catalytic amount of Pd/C under a hydrogen atmosphere to yield the benzoquinolizidine 98, along with two other diastereomers.

The benzoquinolizidine 98 can be converted into either emetine (87) or deoxytubulosine (85), in 3 or 4 steps, respectively, by subsequent functionalisation of the methyl ester with the appropriate substituent followed by Pictet-Spengler reactions to obtain the cyclised material and then reductions to obtain the correct oxidation levels (Scheme 43).
1.2.2.4 Catalytic asymmetric allylation

Recently, Itoh and workers reported the formal synthesis of (-)-emetine (87) (Schemes 44-46).\textsuperscript{154} Their strategy built on recent work by Shibasaki and coworkers who used allyltrimethoxyxilane and a catalytic amount of a Cu(I) salt for the allylation of ketones and aldehydes.\textsuperscript{155} Itoh applied this protocol to the stereoselective allylation of 6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline (112) (Scheme 44). Various phosphine derivatives were investigated as chiral ligands, with tol-BINAP in THF at room temperature giving the best result. It should be noted that although the stereoselectivity is moderate, this was the first example of a catalytic allylation reaction using a cyclic imine, and recrystallisation of the (-)-dibenzoyl-L-tartaric acid salt gave an improved enantiomeric excess.

In order to functionalise the allyl group in 113 it was necessary to protect the tetrahydroisoquinoline nitrogen with a Boc group to give 114, after which a cross-metathesis reaction (using the second-generation Grubbs’ catalyst) was carried out to give a high yield of the desired alkene 115 (Scheme 45).
Deprotection of alkene 115 afforded the free amine 116, subsequent slow addition of acrolein and treatment with pyrrolidine afforded the tricyclic formyl derivative 117 in good yield (Scheme 46). The formyl derivative 117 was subjected to a Wittig reaction with the ylide derived from methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide followed by treatment with methanol to give alkene 118. Finally, a Pd/C catalysed hydrogenation gave ester 119, which is an intermediate for the synthesis of (-)-emetine (87).

1.2.2.5 - [3+3] Annulation followed by acid-catalysed cyclisation

Recently the group of Chang has reported the total synthesis of (+)-protoemetinol (88) using an acid-catalysed intramolecular cyclisation of enlactam 126 to form the tricyclic core 127 (Schemes 47 and 48). Their synthesis started with the [3+3] annulation of sulfonyl acetamide 120 using α,β-unsaturated ester 121 (Scheme 47), followed by the regioselective reduction of the carbonyl α to the sulfonyl group in 122 with sodium borohydride to afford hydroxylactam 123. Subsequent mesylation and elimination furnished enlactam 124, which on treatment with sodium amalgam resulted in
desulfonation to afford the corresponding enlactam 125. Alkylation using LHMDS and iodoethane afforded 126 as a single diastereomer.

The tricyclic core of protoemetinol (88) was formed by the Lewis acid catalysed cyclisation of 126 using boron trifluoride diethyl etherate, which produced a 1:6 mixture of 127 and 128 in an excellent 83% yield. To complete the synthesis of (±)-protoemetinol (88) debenzylisation was achieved by treatment of 128 with palladium on carbon and hydrogen to afford alcohol 129 and finally, reduction with lithium aluminum hydride afforded (±)-protoemetinol (88) (Scheme 48), in 8-steps in a total yield of 36% from sulfonyl acetamide 120.
More recently, Delpech and coworkers have developed a route to trifluoroacetyl protected tetrahydroisoquinolines via aminopentadienals, formed from the condensation of homoveratrtylamine (or tryptamine) with glutaconaldehydes when treated with trifluoroacetic anhydride, proceeding via a Pictet-Spengler reaction. Subsequently, this methodology has been applied to the synthesis of protoemetinol (88) (and related tetrahydroisoquinolines). Their synthesis started with the formation of trifluoroacetyl protected tetrahydroisoquinoline 133 in two steps (Scheme 49). First, treatment of homoveratrtylamine (130) and glutaconaldehyde sodium salt (131) in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid afforded aminopentadienal 132, which on treatment with 2 equivalents of trifluoroacetic anhydride and a subsequent basic hydrolysis led to the isolation of tetrahydroisoquinoline 133.

To complete the synthesis of protoemetinol (88), reduction of the trifluoroacetyl and aldehyde groups afforded the secondary amine 134 (Scheme 50). A protected form of the enamine was introduced by the formation of an aminonitrile 135 via a Strecker reaction and oxidation of the allylic alcohol to the enal 136 was achieved by the use of Dess-Martin periodinane and NaHCO₃. Treatment of the enal 136 with 0.5 equiv of Zn(OTf)₂, in the presence of NaHCO₃, led to cyclisation followed by trapping of the resulting iminium salt.

---

a Treatment with one equivalent resulted in half the yield of product 133
b Use of Zn(OTf)₂ as a Lewis acid increases the electrophilicity of the enal by coordination to oxygen
by the cyanide ion. Finally, both the aldehyde and the masked iminium groups were reduced by NaBH₄ to afford protoemetinol (88) and its C-3 epimer in a moderate 28% yield.

![Scheme 50]

### 1.2.3 The *Mitragyna* alkaloid mitragynine (86)

Mitragynine (86) was first isolated in 1907 by Hooper, and then given its name following a subsequent isolation by Field. However, it was not until 1965 that the structure of mitragynine (86) was identified by X-ray crystallography of the hydroiodide salt. In small doses it has been shown to act as a stimulant, while in higher doses it has more opiate-like activity. Recent studies have shown that the methoxy group is essential for the analgesic activity. Mitragynine (86) was found to be an opioid agonist, which acts on both µ- and δ-opioid receptors, (µ-receptors are responsible for the enjoyable effects of opiates, analgesia and physical dependence). De-methyl mitragynine (137, also known as 9-hydroxy-corynantheidine) exhibits high affinity for µ-opioid receptors but is only a partial agonist. De-methoxy mitragynine (138, also known as corynantheidine) does not exhibit any opioid agonistic activity, but it reverses the morphine-inhibited twitch contraction, and so is classified as an opioid receptor antagonist.
1.2.3.1 - Synthesis of mitragynine (86) and related compounds

To-date there are two reported total syntheses of mitragynine (86) and a few syntheses of related de-methoxy compounds. This is a result of the lack of availability of 4-methoxytryptophan required for the synthesis of the indole core. Currently, 4-methoxytryptophan can only be obtained in high optical purity by the use of immobilized penicillin G acylase, in a kinetic resolution.\textsuperscript{162, 163}

1.2.3.2 - First total synthesis of mitragynine (86)

Takayama reported the first total synthesis of mitragynine (86) in 1995\textsuperscript{164} using 4-methoxytryptophyl bromide (140) and a chiral pyridine alcohol 141 as key starting materials (Schemes 51 - 54). The optically pure pyridine alcohol 141 was isolated using an enzymatic process, while the 4-methoxytryptophyl bromide (140) fragment was prepared from 4-hydroxyindole 139 via a five-step operation. This involved $O$-methylation followed by reaction with oxalyl chloride, ethanolysis, reduction with LiAlH\textsubscript{4} and finally, bromination (Scheme 51).
The 4-methoxytryptophyl bromide (140) and the pyridine alcohol 141 were condensed in refluxing benzene in the presence of a catalytic amount of sodium iodide to give pyridinium salt 142, which was reduced with sodium borohydride to yield the two alcohols, 143 and 144, in 33% and 27% yield, respectively (Scheme 52).

![Scheme 52](image)

Although two isomers were formed in the reduction, it was anticipated that the chiral centre from the starting pyridine alcohol 141 would control the stereochemistry in a subsequent Claisen rearrangement (expected to proceed via chair-like transition states 145 and 146) (Scheme 53). To this end, the allylic alcohols 143 and 144 were subjected to a Claisen rearrangement, by heating with trimethyl orthoacetate in the presence of a catalytic amount of benzoic acid in o-xylene. Isomer 148, with the wrong configuration at position C-2, could be transformed into the desired isomer 147 by an oxidation/reduction sequence via the 3,4-dehydroiminium salt.
Introduction of the formyl group was then achieved using LDA and HCOOMe to afford 149 (Scheme 54). Subsequent attempts at O-methylation of the enol system in 149 using diazomethane were inefficient. So, the formyl group was converted into a dimethyl acetal 150, which was treated with KO\textsuperscript{t}Bu to give the desired methyl enol ether 151. Finally, the C=C bond of the alkene was reduced using PtO\textsubscript{2} under a hydrogen atmosphere to afford mitragynine (86).
More recently, Cook has reported the enantiospecific synthesis of 4-methoxytryptophan using the Larock heteroannulation,\cite{165, 166} which has been employed in the total synthesis of mitragynine (86) and related alkaloids,\cite{167} based on an approach used in previous work for the synthesis of de-methoxy mitragynine (138, corynantheidine).\cite{168} The Larock heteroannulation is a method for the synthesis of substituted indole derivatives. The advantage of the Larock process stems from the high regioselectivity achieved when a bulky silyl-substituted internal alkyne is employed as a substrate - the regioselectivity is explained by steric interactions between the ortho aromatic hydrogen atom (or, in the Larock heteroannulation, the methoxyl group) and the substituent on the alkyne. The desired 4-methoxy-indole 154 was obtained in 82% yield by reaction of Boc-protected 2-iodo-3-methoxyaniline (152) with TMS alkyne 153 to give the N-Boc-protected indole derivative after 6 h, although if the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 days, this led to deprotection of the N-Boc group (Scheme 55). Hydrolysis of 154 using 2 N HCl in THF cleanly afforded 4-methoxy-D-tryptophan ethyl ester (155) in 91% yield. The ethyl ester was then hydrolysed and converted into the benzyl ester 156.

![Scheme 55](image)

Monoalkylation of indole 156 with allylic bromide 157 and Cs$_2$CO$_3$ in DMF/THF afforded the secondary amine 158 in 85% yield (Scheme 56). An asymmetric Pictet-Spengler reaction\cite{169} between the secondary amine 158 and aldehyde 159 furnished tricyclic diester 160. Diester 160 was then converted into the desired $\alpha$,\beta-unsaturated ester 161 in 64% overall yield via removal of one of the thiophenol groups, followed by oxidation with $m$-CPBA and elimination of the resulting sulfoxide.
The α,β-unsaturated ester 161 was then subjected to a Ni(COD)₂-mediated cyclisation to provide the tetracyclic skeleton 162 in 75% yield (Scheme 57). The benzyl group of the ester 162 was removed by treatment with PdCl₂ in the presence of triethylsilane and the corresponding carboxylic acid was converted into the tetracyclic ester 163 via the Barton-Crich decarboxylation process. Reduction of the alkene in 163 was achieved using Crabtree’s catalyst and the resulting tetracycle 164 was treated with (Boc)₂O and a catalytic amount of DMAP to afford the N-Boc tetracycle 165. Subsequent formylation and N-Boc deprotection followed by acetal formation and elimination of MeOH provided mitragynine (86).
1.2.3.4 - Synthesis of related alkaloids

1.2.3.4.1 - Synthesis of (-)-9-methoxymitralactonine (167)

Recently, mitralactonine (166) and (-)-9-methoxymitralactonine (167) (Figure 7) have been isolated (from the young leaves of Malaysian, *Mitragyna speciosa Korth*) and synthesised by Takayama and coworkers.\textsuperscript{170}
The synthesis of (-)-9-methoxymitralactonine (167) started with the preparation of the chiral epoxyketone 175 (Scheme 58). Initially, a Corey asymmetric reduction of α,β-unsaturated ketone 168 (using an oxazaborolidine catalyst 169) afforded allylic alcohol 170 and a subsequent Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation gave epoxy-alcohol 171, which on Swern oxidation yielded chiral epoxy-ketone 172.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{168} & \xrightarrow{\text{BH}_3\cdot\text{THF}, -20^\circ\text{C, THF}} \text{169} \\
& \xrightarrow{65\%, 97\% \text{ ee}} \text{170} \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{1BuOOH, Ti(OiPr)}_4, \text{DCM, -40 }^\circ\text{C}} \text{171} \\
& \xrightarrow{56\%, 99\% \text{ ee}} \text{172}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 58

The main core, 5-methoxy-3,4-dihydro-β-carboline (174), was prepared from 4-methoxytryptamine (173) by N-formylation and a subsequent Bischler-Napieralski reaction (Scheme 59). The tetracyclic core was prepared by reaction of the imine 174 with chiral epoxy-ketone 172, to afford two diastereomeric tetracyclic compounds (175 and 176) in 33% and 17% yield. The major isomer 175 was subjected to a Knoevenagel condensation with dimethyl malonate in refluxing toluene in the presence of AcONH\textsubscript{4} and AcOH to give pentacycle 177 in 51% yield. It is worth pointing out that the same pentacycle (177) was obtained from the minor isomer 176. Finally, the conjugated system was introduced using a two-step process, to furnish (-)-9-methoxymitralactonine (167) in 81% yield.
While working on new methods for the enantioselective allylation of cyclic imines Chong has reported the asymmetric synthesis of the enantiomer of corynantheidol (183) using an asymmetric allylboration of a cyclic imine in the key synthetic step. Treatment of cyclic imine 178 with the allylboronate 180 in toluene afforded the corresponding homoallylic amine 179 in good yield (71-92% for a range of imines), in excellent enantioselectivity.

Treatment of the homoallylic amine 179 with bromobutyric acid and DCC afforded the corresponding amide (Scheme 61), which was converted into the α,β-unsaturated ester 181, by a two step procedure involving an osmium tetroxide catalysed oxidation of the alkene and a Wittig reaction on the intermediate aldehyde. An "BuLi induced intramolecular Michael addition resulted in formation of the cis trisubstituted δ-lactam
182, as the major diastereomer. Treatment with lithium aluminium hydride resulted in reduction of both the amide and ester to afford the enantiomer of corynantheidol (183).172

\[ \text{enantiomer of corynantheidol} \]

Scheme 61

1.3 Project aims
This project aimed to apply radical cyclisation methodology to the concise syntheses of the Alangium and Mitragyna alkaloids, which all contain an octahydroquinolizine ring system. It was planned that using a radical promoted cyclisation in the key step would allow a more efficient approach to these structurally complex compounds than existing methods allow. It is known that a range of Alangium alkaloids including psychotrine (84) and deoxytubulosine (85) can be prepared from a common intermediate, protoemetinol (88). It was proposed that a radical cyclisation could be used to form protoemetinol (88), which could be set-up in one of two ways, either the cyclisation of an alkyl radical onto an \textit{N}-allyl system 185, or the cyclisation of a radical that is \( \beta \) to nitrogen onto an alkene 184.
The synthesis of protoemetinol (88) and related compounds will be explored using a variety of routes to form radical precursors leading to radicals 184 and 185 (Scheme 62). To this end this project will explore the cyclisations using a range of radical mediators, from the cyclisation of vinyl bromides, phenylselenides and acyl halides with tributyltin hydride to the cyclisation of 1,7-dienes using a phosphorus hydride, or a silane. The key aim being to develop an efficient, mild, stereoselective and general synthetic approach to *Alangium* and *Mitragyna* alkaloids.
Chapter 2 – Results and Discussion
Reactions of phosphorus-centred radicals

2.1 Introduction
Previous work within the group had shown that phosphites, thiophosphites and phosphine oxides all add regioselectively to a range of dienes to afford the corresponding cyclic adducts. Subsequent Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons-type (HWE) reactions to form alkenes, in a one-pot reaction, is also possible as exemplified by addition of diethyl thiophosphite to diallyl ether (186) and a subsequent reaction with base and benzophenone to afford the substituted alkene 187 (Scheme 63).\textsuperscript{121, 125, 126} Subsequent work within our group has applied this methodology to the synthesis of the cyclic core of cannabinoids.\textsuperscript{173}

![Scheme 63](image)

It was postulated that the core of the Alangium alkaloids could be prepared by cyclisation of a 1,7-diene, such as 188, with a phosphorus hydride (Scheme 64), followed by a Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons-type reaction of the intermediate phosphonate or phosphonothioate 189 to give tricyclic alkene 193.

![Scheme 64](image)

It was proposed that the synthesis would begin by allylation of tetrahydroisoquinoline 190 to afford dihydroisoquinoline 191, using one of a number of known approaches (Scheme 65). Subsequent N-allylation of the secondary amine would afford the 1,7-diene 188. Reaction of 188 with a phosphorus hydride was expected to result in an 6-exo-trig cyclisation (via a chair-like transition state, 192), and treatment with a base and methanal should afford terminal alkene 193. Finally, a subsequent oxidative hydroboration\textsuperscript{174} is expected to afford protoemetinol (88).
With protoemetinol (88) in hand a range of *Alangium* alkaloids could be accessed (Scheme 66), for example, psychotrine (84) can be accessed by an initial Jones oxidation\textsuperscript{175} of protoemetinol (88), to afford the carboxylic acid 196, and then coupling with 5-(2-aminoethyl)-2-methoxyphenol (197) (prepared in 3 steps from isovanillin)\textsuperscript{176} under Bischler-Napieralski\textsuperscript{177} conditions. Alternatively, deoxytubulosine (85) could be obtained from protoemetinol (88) via oxidation under Swern conditions to afford protoemetine (194), which on reaction with tryptamine (195) under Pictet-Spengler conditions affords deoxytubulosine (85). However, it should be noted that the formation of both deoxytubulosine (85) and epideoxytubulosine (the C-1’ epimer) is expected.\textsuperscript{178}
To investigate the synthetic approach in Scheme 65, first, the synthesis of 1,7-diene 188 was developed. This is followed by studies of the phosphorus hydride-mediated radical cyclisation. Although there is literature precedent for the radical cyclisation of 1,7-dienes containing nitrogen, a search of the literature revealed that there are very few examples of phosphorus- or silicon-centred radicals cyclising unsaturated amines.

2.2 Synthesis of the 1,7-diene

2.2.1 Synthesis of imines by oxidation

For the initial route to the substituted-tetrahydroisoquinoline 188, it was proposed that oxidation of commercially available 6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (190), using mercury(II) oxide and iodine according to the literature method,179 would form dihydroisoquinoline 188 (Scheme 67).180 The desired product was formed although we could not achieve the reported yield of 85%. In addition to 188, another product, possibly amide 198 (derived from over-oxidation), was observed, along with a black tarry residue, which is likely derived from oxidation of the catechol fragment. Similar oxidation reactions were carried out on 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (199) to yield the corresponding dihydroisoquinoline 200 in higher yields than for the dimethoxy-dihydroisoquinoline 188 (Scheme 67).

The low yield of imines 188 and 200 led us to try a different oxidation method, involving the use of N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) followed by aqueous sodium hydroxide, Scheme 68.181 This method gave a much higher yield of the corresponding imines and so this was adopted as the oxidation method of choice. It is, however, worth noting that for both methods, the yield of the dimethoxy-imine 188 was considerably lower, possibly due to the increased electron density of the dimethoxy-substituted aromatic ring.

\[ R = \text{OMe, 190} \quad R = \text{H, 199} \]

\[ R = \text{OMe, 188, 53-62\%} \]
\[ R = \text{H, 200, 62-66\%} \]

\[ R = \text{OMe, 198} \]

Scheme 67
2.2.2 Addition of organometallic reagents to imines

Previous work by Nakamura has shown that organozinc reagents can add efficiently to imines such as 188 and 200.\(^{182, 183}\) The addition of allylzinc bromide to imines (prepared in typically 80-90%, based on a Gilman titration)\(^{184-186}\) can be made enantioselective by using a chiral auxiliary, such as bis-oxazolidine 202, which can be prepared by reduction of L-valine (201) followed by condensation with diethyl malonate (Scheme 69).

For the initial studies of the radical cyclisation, a racemic synthesis would be sufficient and so addition of allylzinc bromide to imine 200 resulted in the isolation of the desired amine 203 in 55-70% yield after column chromatography, Scheme 70. As 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 199 is significantly cheaper than 6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 190, for optimization of the synthetic approach, we concentrated on the use of this starting material.

It was hoped that N-allylation of 203 would proceed following a literature procedure using crotyl bromide (1 equiv.) and K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) in MeCN.\(^{187}\) Unfortunately, this predominantly gave the quaternary ammonium salt 205, and recovered starting material (Scheme 71). It was possible to increase the yield of 204 (to 41%) by using high dilution and slow addition of the crotyl bromide.
2.2.3 Addition of organometallic reagents to imine salts

The problems of quaternary ammonium ion formation led us to use a reverse strategy, where dihydroisoquinoline 200 is first N-crotylated and then reacted with the organozinc reagent. This method gave the desired adduct 204 in much improved yields over the two steps (Scheme 72).

2.3 Reaction of phosphorus hydrides

2.3.1 Reaction of phosphorus hydrides with 1,7-diene 188

Radical cyclisation of 1,7-diene 205 using diethyl thiophosphite was then investigated. Initial attempts, using a dilute solution of 205 and diethyl thiophosphite, under a range of conditions resulted in recovered 1,7-diene 205. Even when the diene, (EtO)₂P(S)H and AIBN were heated at 80 °C in the absence of a solvent, only starting material was recovered (Scheme 73).
2.3.2 Reaction of phosphorus hydrides with a model system

The inability to cyclise 205 led us to investigate the simple addition of phosphorus hydrides to the allyl bond of the NMe compound 208 (prepared by methylation of 200 using MeI followed by addition of allylzinc bromide, Scheme 74).

![Scheme 74](image)

Unfortunately, attempted addition of (EtO)₂P(O)H, (EtO)₂P(S)H or Ph₂P(O)H to the allyl bond of 208 using AIBN or Et₃B/O₂ as initiator in a range of solvents was unsuccessful, with only starting alkene recovered (Scheme 75).

![Scheme 75](image)

2.3.3 Reaction of phosphorus hydrides with test systems

This result contrasts with similar reactions, such as reaction of 1-octene (75) with diethyl thiophosphite and AIBN, which, as expected from the literature, afforded O,O-diethyl octylphosphonothioate (76) and further Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons-type reactions yielded alkenes 77, 210, 211 and 212 in moderate to good yields using benzophenone, benzaldehyde, cyclohexanone or acetone, respectively (Scheme 76).
A consecutive radical addition/cyclisation/Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons type reaction was also tested. Allyl ether (78), diethyl thiophosphite and AIBN in dry THF were heated to reflux, after which tBuLi was added, followed by benzophenone (Scheme 77). This resulted in the desired alkene 79 being isolated in 86% yield.

To test the radical addition and cyclisation of unsaturated amines, the reaction of diallylamine or diallylmethylamine, with a range of phosphorus hydrides, was explored. Unfortunately, under a range of conditions, no cyclisation or addition of the phosphorus hydrides to the allyl amines was observed (Scheme 78 and Table 2). This contrasts with similar reactions of N-protected diallylamines with diethyl thiophosphite and AIBN in THF.121, 173, 188
The problematic reactions of diene 205, alkene 208 and other amines with phosphorus hydrides may be attributed to an electron-transfer process; the intermediate phosphorus-centred radical may accept an electron from the lone pair on nitrogen. Related radical reactions of amines are scarce in the literature, although this contrasts with successful cyclisations of unsaturated precursors containing amide or sulfonamide groups, where the lone pair is resonance-stabilised and less prone to single electron transfer processes. This led us to explore the preparation of related precursors containing enamide and amide groups.

2.4 Reaction of enamines with phosphorus hydrides

2.4.1 Preparation of enamines

Following a literature procedure, N-methylation of 213 proceeded quantitatively (from $^1$H NMR spectroscopy) but subsequent addition of allylmagnesium bromide did not proceed smoothly, giving 214 in trace amounts together with unidentified by-products (Scheme 79).c

---

c It is believed that Grignard formation is occurring, as the reaction mixture starts to reflux, changes colour and the magnesium metal is consumed. However, attempted addition of the Grignard reagent to benzaldehyde, cyclohexanone or 1-tetralone was unsuccessful. In comparison, in-situ formation of PhMgBr and addition to cyclohexanone gave the expected alcohol in 85% yield.
In comparison, under the same conditions, phenylmagnesium bromide undergoes addition to \(N\)-methylisoquinoline to give 1,2-dihydro-2-methyl-1-phenylisoquinoline (215) in an excellent 90% yield over the two steps (Scheme 80).

It was pleasingly found that changing the organometallic reagent from allylmagnesium bromide to allylzinc bromide, resulted in a greatly improved yield of the desired 1-allyl-1,2-dihydro-2-methylisoquinoline (214) to 50-60% (Scheme 81).

### 2.4.2 Reactions of enamines with phosphorus hydrides

When the enamine 214 was heated with diethyl phosphite and AIBN in benzene, only addition to the electron rich C=C bond in the ring was indicated from the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum, to afford 216 in 30% yield (as a single regioisomer, however the exact structure could not be determined by NMR spectroscopy) along with recovered starting material (40%). None of the expected product 215, derived from addition to the less substituted C=C bond, was isolated (Scheme 82).
The chemoselective addition to the enamine C=C bond in 214 could be explained by polarity, as the electrophilic phosphorus-centred radical may be expected to add selectively to the most electron-rich C=C bond. With this result in hand, the addition of phosphorus hydrides to a range of enamides was explored. However, disappointingly, under similar conditions only traces of products could be obtained (1-2%, Figure 8).

![Figure 8](image)

However it was found that the addition of diethyl phosphite to 1,3,3-trimethyl-2-methyleneindoline proceeded well to afford 218 in a reasonable 41% yield, structural assignment was confirmed by comparison with previously reported data.\textsuperscript{189}

![Scheme 83](image)

However it was discovered that the group of Tolmachev and Stawinski\textsuperscript{190, 191} have previously reported the addition of diethyl phosphite to 1,3,3-trimethyl-2-methyleneindoline (217) in the absence of AIBN, or any other radical initiator. It is proposed that the addition of diethyl phosphite to 1,3,3-trimethyl-2-methyleneindoline (or other enamines) occurs via an ionic mechanism as shown in Scheme 84.

![Scheme 84](image)
2.5 Preparation and reactions of amide based 1,7-dienes

Following the disappointing results with the addition of phosphorus-centred radicals to enamines, it was of interest to see if a 1,7-diene, bearing an amide protecting-group, such as 219 or 220, Figure 9, would undergo radical cyclisation in the presence of a phosphorus hydride.

![Figure 9](image)

However, the synthesis of 220 would be more complicated, so attention turned to the synthesis of 219, which could be obtained from 203. Due to the inefficient routes towards the substituted-tetrahydroisoquinolines 203, 204 and 208 via the addition of allylmetal reagents to an imine (such as 200) another synthetic method was explored. Meyers and co-workers have previously shown that tetrahydroisoquinoline can be substituted at the 1-position using the route shown in Scheme 85. 151, 192

![Scheme 85](image)

For asymmetric alkylation, Meyers used an L-valinol derived formamide, 152 to give high yields of 1-alkyl tetrahydroisoquinolines in 90-99% enantiomeric excess. To test this route, the racemic derivative N,N-dimethyl-N’-tert-butylformamide (DMBF) 221 was first synthesised (Scheme 86). 192

![Scheme 86](image)
Reaction of \(N,N\)-dimethyl-\(N'\)-tert-butylformamide 221 with 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 199 subsequently gave the desired 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline formamide 222 in 75-85% yield (Scheme 87).

![Scheme 87](image)

Metallation-alkylation of formamide 222 was achieved using \(t^\text{BuLi}\), although there is some precedent for using \(s^\text{BuLi}\). In our hands, reaction of formamide 222 with \(t^\text{BuLi}\) proceeded to give a cleaner reaction with fewer by-products to afford the allyl substituted butylformamide 223 (Scheme 88). However the same reaction with \(s^\text{BuLi}\) resulted in only recovered starting material.

![Scheme 88](image)

With substituted-tetrahydroisoquinoline 223 in hand, removal of the formamide fragment was required. There are several possible methods\(^{192}\) including hydrolysis (KOH, MeOH, water, 60 °C), hydrazinolysis (\(\text{NH}_2\text{NH}_2, \text{EtCO}_2\text{H}, \text{EtOH}\)) and reductive cleavage (LiAlH\(_4\)). It was found that the hydrolysis and hydrazinolysis both worked well, however the hydrolysis resulted in the higher product yields, Scheme 89, and slightly cleaner crude reaction mixtures.

![Scheme 89](image)
Following isolation of the secondary amine 203, attention then turned to N-acylation using crotonyl chloride or crotonyl anhydride, to afford amide 224 although this did not proceed smoothly, possibly due to a competing 1,4-type addition (Scheme 90).

![Scheme 90](image)

For $X = \text{Cl}$, 35-40%
For $X = \text{OCOCH=CH}_2$, 38-45%

However, with some of the amine 224 in hand, attempts at the radical mediated addition/cyclisation of a range of phosphorus hydrides ($(\text{EtO})_2\text{P(O)H}$, $(\text{EtO})_2\text{P(S)H}$ or $\text{Ph}_2\text{P(O)H}$) were explored (Scheme 91). Three possible products were envisaged; the direct addition product 225, the desired 6-**exo** cyclisation product 226 and the product of 7-**endo** cyclisation 227.

![Scheme 91](image)

Disappointingly only a complex mixture of products was obtained based on TLC analysis and the NMR spectra of the unpurified reaction product. Unfortunately, no isolated products could be assigned and there was no evidence of the direct addition product 225, 6-**exo** cyclisation product 226, or 7-**endo** product 227. It is proposed that some of these problems could be due to restricted bond rotation in the intermediate phosphorus-centred radical, which is discussed further in Chapter 4.
2.6 Conclusions

Following previous work within the group it had been hoped that an efficient route towards protoemetinol (88), a key intermediate of Alangium alkaloids such as psychotrine (84) deoxytubulosine (85) could be achieved using the phosphorus hydride mediated cyclisation of a 1,7-diene. Unfortunately this was not the case: although the radical addition-cyclisation reaction of simple alkenes and dienes (including 1-octene, 1,6-heptadiene allyl ether, diethyl malonate and a range of amides including N,N-diallylbenzamide) with diethyl phosphite proceeds to give adducts in excellent yields, similar reactions of unprotected amines (such as diallylamine and diallylmethylamine) give none of the desired cyclic adducts. This has been attributed to a competing electron-transfer process between the intermediate phosphorus-centred radical and the lone pair of electrons on the amine nitrogen.

Further exploration of the addition of phosphorus hydrides to unsaturated systems was investigated, including addition to an enamine. Reaction of the initial test substrate was promising, with the isolation of the adduct in a 30% yield. However, an investigation of a range of different enamines was disappointing and only the addition of diethyl phosphite to 1,3,3-trimethyl-2-methyleneindoline (217) proceeded well. However, it was noted that the group of Tolmachev and Stawinski\textsuperscript{190,191} have reported the same addition occurring under ionic conditions.

Two approaches towards the core allyl-tetrahydroisoquinoline ring system have been explored. The first approach involved the oxidation of an amine (190 or 199) to the corresponding imine (180 or 200) followed by the addition of an organometallic reagent. This route proved to be very successful when the imine was “activated” by N-allylation before addition of the organometallic reagent. However, the addition proved to be more problematic if the addition was attempted on the “unactivated” imine. A second approach towards the allyl-tetrahydroisoquinoline core was achieved using a route previously reported by Meyers and co-workers, involving the deprotonation of an formamide with ¹BuLi, followed by quenching with allyl bromide.
3.1 Introduction
Following the disappointing results on the use of phosphorus-centred radical additions to construct the *Alangium* alkaloids ring system (Chapter 2), alternative radical cyclisation methods were explored. As outlined in Chapter 2, it was envisaged that addition of a phosphorus-centred radical (generated from a phosphorus hydride) to a diene would form an organophosphorus adduct, which could then undergo a Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction to install the required C=C bond. With this in mind alternative radical methods, combining radical cyclisation with subsequent formation of a C=C bond, were investigated.

Various heteroatom radicals are known to add to a range of alkenes and a number of heteroatom based olefination reactions have been reported. Heteroatom-based olefination reactions are a group of alkene-forming reactions that typically involve the addition of a heteroatom-stabilised carbanion to a C=O bond. For example, silanes can be converted into alkenes by the Peterson reaction,193, 194 (Scheme 92) phenyl sulfones can be converted into alkenes by the Julia olefination,146, 195, 196 the Wittig reaction typically uses a triphenylphosphonium ylide, while the Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction uses a phosphonate, to form alkenes.197

A variety of work has been reported on the radical addition of silicon hydrides to alkenes,103, 116, 118 to afford the hydrosilylation products, and so novel radical reactions of silanes was explored. Organosilicon compounds have been exploited in the Peterson
olefination reaction to afford alkenes, and in the Fleming-Tamao oxidation to afford alcohols. However, there are few examples where the radical hydrosilylation of an alkene is combined with subsequent functionalisation of the organosilicon adduct to form, for example, an alkene or an alcohol. Initial studies concentrated on the development of a mild and efficient method of hydrosilylation.

### 3.2 Reactions of tris(trimethylsilyl)silane

The most widely used silicon hydride, for radical transformations, is tris(trimethylsilyl)silane (Chatgilialoglu’s reagent). Previous studies by Chatgilialoglu\(^\text{116}\) reported that tris(trimethylsilyl)silane can add to a range of alkenes and dienes, under mild conditions (using AIBN as the initiator) to afford the corresponding organosilicon adducts in high yields. In our hands, addition of tris(trimethylsilyl)silane \((\text{TMS})_3\text{SiH}\) to 1-octene, allylbenzene and diallyl ether, at first glance, appeared to afford the desired adducts in good yields. However on closer inspection of the \(^1\text{H}\) NMR, \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR and mass spectra of the unpurified products, it appeared that fragmentation of the silyl radical, \((\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{Si}^\cdot\), had occurred during the reaction, resulting in a mixture of products. Examination of the NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures indicated the absence of any starting alkene, although NMR could not determine the product ratio. After searching the literature, it was found that after the initial work by Chatgilialoglu, the group of Oshima and Utimoto\(^\text{198,199}\) reported that the \((\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{Si}^\cdot\) radical fragments to form \((\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{Si}^\cdot\) and \(\text{Me}_3\text{Si}^\cdot\) radicals, resulting in a mixture of products, thus supporting our findings.

![Scheme 93](image)

**Scheme 93**

Another problem with using \((\text{TMS})_3\text{SiH}\) in a radion transformation is that there no known methods to convert the \((\text{TMS})_3\text{Si}\) group into another functional group. Indeed, in our hands, an attempted Peterson reaction (using NaH, \(^8\)BuLi or \(^n\)BuLi, and Ph\(_2\)CO or PhCHO) or Fleming-Tamao oxidation (using KF, H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and KHCO\(_3\) in MeOH) failed on the mixture of organosilane adducts from the radical addition reactions, usually resulting in the recovery of the starting material. This led us to study the use of alkyl-, aryl- and chlorosilanes in radical additions.
3.3 Reactions of alkyl- and aryl-silanes

3.3.1 Addition of alkyl- and aryl-silanes at elevated temperature

Previous work by Roberts had shown that, in conjunction with a thiol catalyst, alkyl- or aryl-silanes efficiently add to C=C bonds, albeit it was necessary to use di-tert-butyl hyponitrite (TBHN), as initiator, to obtain good yields in some examples. Our investigations found that treatment of 1-octene (75) with a silane and AIBN afforded the desired organosilicon adducts (Scheme 94 and Table 3). The best yields were obtained using triisopropylsilanethiol as the polarity-reversal catalysis and carrying out the reaction neat, in the absence of a solvent.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R}_3\text{SiH, RSH} & \quad \text{AIBN, 80 ºC} \\
& \quad \text{SiR}_3 \\
\text{75} & \quad \text{SiR}_3 = \text{SiEt}_3 (228) \\
& \quad \text{SiR}_3 = \text{SiPh}_3 (220) \\
& \quad \text{SiR}_3 = \text{SiPhMe}_2 (230)
\end{align*}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiH (eq)</th>
<th>Thiol</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Et&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiH (2)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiH (2)</td>
<td>PhSH</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiH (2)</td>
<td>Dodecanethiol</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiH (2)</td>
<td>iPr&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiSH</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiH (4)</td>
<td>iPr&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiSH</td>
<td>No solvent</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiH (1.2)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiH (1.2)</td>
<td>PhSH</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiH (1.2)</td>
<td>Dodecanethiol</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiH (1.2)</td>
<td>iPr&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiSH</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiH (1.2)</td>
<td>iPr&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiSH</td>
<td>No solvent</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhMe&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;SiH (1.2)</td>
<td>iPr&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiSH</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhMe&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;SiH (1.2)</td>
<td>iPr&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;SiSH</td>
<td>No solvent</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scheme 94 and Table 3

Unfortunately, attempts at the radical cyclisation of dienes using AIBN or TBHN as initiators were unsuccessful. However, following work by Studer using silylated cyclohexadiene as a source of the phenyldimethylsilane radical, it was noted that an efficient transformation was achieved when using di-tert-butyl peroxide in hexane in a sealed tube at 140 ºC. This led to an investigation of radical addition-cyclisation reactions of various dienes using similar conditions (Scheme 95 and Table 4).
Although in the above case the desired cyclisation proceeded well, extending the method to alternative substrates proved problematic. It was found that under similar conditions, 1,6-heptadien-4-ol (238) gave a mixture of products 239 and 240 (Scheme 96), derived from addition of the silicon radical, but not cyclisation of the resulting carbon radical. Attempted cyclisation of diallylmethylamine, diallylamine or diallylsulfane were also unsuccessful.

In a similar approach, it was hoped that cyclisation of 1,7-dienes would afford the corresponding 6-exo products, however it is well known that 6-exo cyclisation is much more difficult than 5-exo cyclisation, due to a slower rate of cyclisation (approx 40 times slower) and competing 1,5-hydrogen atom transfer reactions. So it was not unsurprising to discover that under similar conditions, 1,7-octadiene (241) only afforded products of monoaddition 242 and diaddition 243 (Scheme 97).
Although, in some cases, these conditions resulted in the formation of the desired cyclisation products in good yields, the conditions are relatively harsh and are incompatible with the use of polyfunctional precursors. So, it was desirable to find a milder method for the radical addition chemistry.

### 3.3.2 Addition of alkyl- and aryl-silanes at room temperature

Our investigations moved on to the use of triethylborane, at room temperature, as the radical initiator. It was pleasing to find that addition of phenyldimethylsilane to a C=C bond proceeded very well in the presence of triethylborane and triisopropylsilanethiol as a thiol catalyst (Scheme 98, Table 5). It was observed that for efficient high yielding additions, a total of 1 equivalent of triethylborane is required, with addition of two portions of 0.5 equivalents being the most efficient. It was also noted that the thiol catalyst was still required, despite using 1 equivalent of the initiator.

![Scheme 97](image)

**Scheme 97**

![Scheme 98](image)

**Scheme 98 and Table 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolated yield (%)</th>
<th>Et₃B Ratioa</th>
<th>244 : 245</th>
<th>Portions equivalents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 : 0.4</td>
<td>1 : 1.1</td>
<td>1 : 1 : 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1 : 1.1</td>
<td>1 : 1.4</td>
<td>2 : 1 : 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>2 : 2.5</td>
<td>1 : 2.5</td>
<td>3 : 2 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1 : 3.5</td>
<td>1 : 3.5</td>
<td>4 : 3 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>1 : 1.1</td>
<td>1 : 1.1</td>
<td>5 : 1 : 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>1 : 0.5</td>
<td>1 : 0.5</td>
<td>6 : 1 : 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 : 1 : 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{a - the ratio was determined by } {^1}H \text{ NMR spectroscopy}\)
\(\text{b - the reaction was attempted in the absence of } ^3\text{Pr}_3\text{SiSH}\)
These conditions were then applied to a range of alkenes, where it was found that phenyldimethylsilane efficiently adds to a range of alkenes in the presence of triethylborane and 5 mol% of triisopropylsilanethiol (Scheme 99 and Table 6).

![Scheme 99 and Table 6](attachment:image)

After the successful addition of dimethylphenylsilane to various terminal alkenes, attempts were made at the cyclisation of a 1,6-diene, however it was found that polymerization occurred under the standard conditions. It was subsequently found that by increasing the dilution, and increasing the amounts of triisopropylsilanethiol and dimethylphenylsilane, clean 5-exo cyclisation occurred (Scheme 100, Table 7).
With a range of organosilicon adducts to hand, attention turned to the subsequent ionic transformations. Initial attempts concentrated on the formation of alkenes using the Peterson reactions. However, treatment of the organosilicon adducts with one of a wide variety of bases (\textsuperscript{t}BuLi, \textsuperscript{n}BuLi, \textsuperscript{t}BuLi or NaH) and an aldehyde or ketone (PhCHO, Ph\textsubscript{2}CO, acetone or cyclohexanone) (Scheme 101) all failed. Subsequent attempts were made to quench the initial anion, formed by deprotonation, by D\textsubscript{2}O but again this only resulted in isolation of starting material. It is likely that, with no anion stabilising group alpha to the silicon atom, the organosilicon adducts are not deprotonated.\textsuperscript{194}

Attempts were then made at oxidative removal of the silyl group following the method developed by Fleming,\textsuperscript{200, 201} where the phenyldimethylsilyl group is used as a masked hydroxyl group. Cleavage of the Si–Ph bond can be accomplished using boron trifluoride acetic acid complex to form the corresponding fluoro-silane\textsuperscript{258, 259} and\textsuperscript{260} in 75-88% crude yields (Scheme 102). Subsequent oxidation using \textit{meta}-chloroperoxybenzoic acid
and potassium fluoride gave the desired alcohols 261, 262, and 263 however in a low yield of 25-31%. The use of various oxidative conditions has been reported in the literature, to give alcohol products in yields of up to 90-95%. Unfortunately, in our hands, when treating the phenyldimethylsilane with mCPBA/KF in different solvents or with AcOOH/Et3N there was no improvement in the yield of the alcohols. Also, a one-pot conversion of the phenyldimethylsilane into the desired alcohol was explored using the conditions developed by Fleming,200 however these also gave the alcohols in low yield (typically less than 10%).

![Scheme 102](image)

3.4 – Reactions of alkoxysilanes

An alternative approach was then explored involving the radical addition of ethoxysilanes and methoxysilanes (including (EtO)3SiH, (EtO)2MeSiH, (MeO)3SiH and (MeO)2MeSiH) to C=C bonds, to give alkoxysilane adducts, that are precursors of the Tamao oxidation.202-204 However, it was found that initiation using Et3B and a thiol catalyst resulted in mainly recovered starting material. Even when using tBuOOtBu as initiator, and heating in a sealed tube, only starting alkene was recovered.

3.5 – Reactions of chlorosilanes

3.5.1 – Addition of chlorophenylsilane

An alternative precursor for the Tamao oxidation are chlorosilanes, particularly trichlorosilanes,202, 205 and so an investigation of the radical additions of a range of chlorosilanes was explored. Initial attempts concentrated on the radical additions of chlorodimethylsilane or dichloromethylsilane to a range of alkenes (1-octene, 4-allylanisole and 4-allyl-1,2-dimethoxybenzene). Unfortunately no reaction occurred in the presence of 1 equivalent of triethylborane, with no thiol catalyst, despite an exotherm being generated (attempts at cooling the reaction mixture in an ice bath, also proved to be unsuccessful). In the presence of a thiol catalyst the NMR spectra of the unpurified
reaction mixtures were complex, most likely due to formation of products derived from nucleophilic attack of the thiol onto the chlorosilane.

Pleasingly, based on $^1$H NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures, the radical additions of chlorodiphenylsilane or dichlorophenylsilane to 1-octene or 4-allylanisole with 2 portions of 0.5 equivalent of triethylborane, in a ice bath (0-5 °C), with no thiol catalyst, proceeded efficiently. However, isolation of the adducts using column chromatography was not possible, due to the high reactivity of the adduct chlorosilane. It should also be noted that neither chlorodiphenylsilane or dichlorophenylsilane are available pure (from commercial sources), and this, coupled with their relatively high expense, resulted in exploration of alternative chlorosilanes.

3.5.2 – Addition of trichlorosilane

It was then decided to investigate the radical addition of trichlorosilane to C=C bonds using triethylborane as the initiator. Trichlorosilane is commercially available and is relatively inexpensive. Pleasingly it was found that treatment of a terminal alkene with 3 portions of 0.4 equivalent of triethylborane, in an ice bath, with no thiol catalyst, resulted in the reaction proceeding to completion (Scheme 103). The $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures indicated the clean formation of the desired adducts. It is worth noting that although the initial reactions were successful, it was found that, after a while, the reactions stopped working. This was attributed to decomposition of the trichlorosilane, which was overcome by the use of bottles from Aldrich, with a SureSeal™ cap on. Due to the high reactivity of the intermediate organosilicon adduct, isolation and purification was not possible. This resulted in the crude reaction mixtures being taken forward to the subsequent oxidation chemistry. Treatment of the chlorosilane under Tamao oxidation conditions, using potassium fluoride and sodium hydrogen carbonate in THF/MeOH, followed by the addition of hydrogen peroxide proceeded to afford the desired alcohols in good yields (Scheme 103).

\[
\begin{align*}
R & \equiv \overset{\text{Cl}_3\text{SiH (2 eq),}}{\text{THF, 0 °C to r.t.,}} \overset{\text{Et}_3\text{B, (3 × 0.4 eq)}}{\text{overnight}} R \overset{\text{SiCl}_3}{\text{MeOH/THF, 0 C, 1 h}} \overset{\text{KF, NaHCO}_3, \text{H}_2\text{O}_2, 48 h, r t}{\text{R = C}_3\text{H}_7, 260, 39\%} \overset{\text{R = MeOC}_6\text{H}_4, 261, 51\%}{\text{OH}}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 103
Following the successful one-pot transformation of the two test alkenes into the corresponding alcohols, the reaction of other alkenes was examined, where for alkenes possessing an alkyl, aryl or ether functionality the reactions proceed well (Table 8).

![Representations of compounds 260-266](image)

Table 8

However, for alkenes containing a carbonyl group, either an ester or ketone, (Table 9) the reaction afforded a complex mixture, possibly due to one of two competing side reactions:

a) the initial silicon radical is able to add to the carbonyl oxygen

b) following the oxidation of the trichlorosilyl group, the resulting alcohol is set up for a 6-exo cyclisation on to the carbonyl in all cases, to afford a cyclic ester or lactol (this is supported by the presence of multiple carbonyl peaks in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum, for the product derived from diethyl allylmalonate).

![Scheme 104](image)

Scheme 104

Attempted addition of trichlorosilane to alkenes bearing an alcohol or amide group was also unsuccessful, (Table 9) resulting in complex NMR spectra for the unpurified products (with no evidence of the starting alkenes). This is not unsurprising, due to the potential for ionic reactions between the nucleophilic nitrogen and oxygen atoms and the electrophilic trichlorosilane.
Following the successful addition of trichlorosilane to “simple” alkenes, the synthetically more useful cyclisation of dienes, followed by oxidation, was attempted. It was found that under the standard conditions used above, the addition of the trichlorosilane to the dienes resulted in polymerization (based on the broad peaks in the NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture). However, efficient cyclisation was found to occur under increased dilution to afford the corresponding cyclic trichlorosilyl products in reasonable yields. Subsequent oxidation of the cyclic trichlorosilyl products, using the above method, resulted in the isolation of the expected cyclic alcohols (Scheme 105).

![Chemical Structures](image)

Table 9

The addition-cyclisation/oxidation chemistry was also tried using diethyl diallylmalonate, and although the addition-cyclisation step proceeded well, based on the 1H and 13C NMR spectra of the reaction mixture, the oxidation stage resulted in complex NMRs and TLCs of the unpurified reaction mixtures.

### 3.6 Conclusion

Following the unsuccessful results of the phosphorus hydride mediated cyclisation of dienes (bearing an unprotected nitrogen atom), alternative radical addition methods were explored. Our investigation focused on the radical mediated addition of silanes to alkenes. Several methods for functionalisation of the organosilane adducts are available, including Peterson olefination\(^ {193, 194} \) or the Fleming-Tamao oxidation.\(^ {200-204} \) However, there are few examples where radical hydrosilylation of an alkene is combined with the functionalisation of the adduct.
Our starting point was tris(trimethylsilyl)silane, which was found to add to alkenes. However, fragmentation of the initially formed silicon-centred radical occurred and this gave a mixture of products. This led us to study the use of alkylsilanes and arylsilanes.

Following previous work by Roberts, we have shown that, in conjunction with a thiol catalyst, alkylsilanes and arylsilanes add to simple terminal alkenes using AIBN as the initiator. Unfortunately, attempted addition/cyclisation of 1,5-dienes was unsuccessful. However, it was found that efficient cyclisation of dienes could be achieved using di-tert-butyl peroxide as the initiator in hexane in a sealed tube at 140 °C. Due to the harsh reaction conditions it was desirable to find an alternative, milder method for the radical addition chemistry.

Pleasingly, the addition of phenyldimethylsilane to a range of alkenes was achieved by using triethylborane as the radical initiator in the presence of triisopropylsilanethiol. It was also possible to carry out the cyclisation of 1,6-dienes to afford the corresponding pentacycles. However, attempted Peterson reaction of the organosilicon adducts proved unsuccessful. Attempts at the oxidative removal of the phenyldimethylsilyl group were examined, using conditions developed by Fleming, where it was found that efficient conversion to the corresponding fluoro-silanes was possible, however, the subsequent oxidation gave the desired alcohols in disappointing yields.

Alternative approaches examined radical additions of various ethoxysilanes and methoxysilanes, however it was found that these were unsuccessful resulting in only starting alkene. Pleasingly, it was found that addition of trichlorosilane to C=C bonds could be achieved in the presence of triethylborane as a radical initiator in the absence of a thiol catalyst. However, isolation of the intermediate adducts was not possible due to the high reactivity of the chlorosilane. The unpurified product was taken forward to the subsequent Tamao oxidation, which gave the desired alcohols in good yields. However this chemistry is limited due to the reactivity of the chlorosilane, for example, reactions with compounds containing an amine or alcohol group fails.
Chapter 4 – Results and Discussion
Tributyltin hydride mediated cyclisation approaches toward protoemetinol (88)

Following the disappointing progress towards *Alangium* alkaloids using a phosphorus hydride mediated radical cyclisation of a 1,7-diene (Chapter 2), and the limitations of the silane radical additions and the subsequent conversion of the silane adducts into useful precursors (Chapter 3), this resulted in a change to our synthetic strategy. Alternative approaches to protoemetinol (88), using more classical tributyltin hydride-mediated radical cyclisations, starting from xanthates or organohalides were explored.

4.1 - Synthesis of the allyl-tetrahydroisoquinoline core
Several proposed routes, including the cyclisation of an α-halocarbonyl 269, a xanthate 270 or vinyl bromide 271, were predicted to form the alkaloid core ring systems 272, 273 or 274, respectively (Scheme 106). It was envisaged that each of the resulting cyclic products could subsequently be elaborated into protoemetinol (88).

![Scheme 106](image_url)

4.1.1 – Myer’s approach to an allyl-tetrahydroisoquinoline core
In all cases, a precursor allyl-tetrahydroisoquinoline is required, which could be prepared following previous work by Myers and co-workers. It has been shown that tetrahydroisoquinolines can be substituted at the 1-position by installing an auxiliary on nitrogen, followed by deprotonation of the formamide and quenching with an electrophile.
Asymmetric alkylations are possible when using an L-valinol derived formamide, to give good yields of 1-alkyl tetrahydroisoquinolines in high enantiomeric excess.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Reflux, Toluene} & \quad \rightarrow \\
\text{NH} & \quad \rightarrow \\
\text{-78 °C, } & \quad \rightarrow \\
\text{tBuLi} & \quad \rightarrow \\
\text{-78 °C, EX} & \quad \rightarrow \\
\text{EX = MeI, EtI, allylBr, PhCH₂Br, 70-95%}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 107

This route allowed for an efficient synthesis of the non-methoxy isoquinoline 203 (Scheme 89), suitable for model studies, however, the corresponding 6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline is required for the synthesis of the *Alangium* alkaloids. This led to attempts at repeating the synthetic sequence starting from 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline (190) (Scheme 108). To efficiently prepare the formamide 275 it was found that carrying out the reaction in a sealed reaction tube in a microwave oven at 150 °C, allowed for complete conversion in 2 h as indicated by LCMS and ¹H NMR spectroscopy analysis of the reaction mixture. However, purification by silica column chromatography resulted in low yields of isolated formamide 275, together with unknown by-products that were not present in the reaction mixture. Subsequent attempts to elaborate the unpurified formamide (by deprotonation with tBuLi or BuLi, and quenching with allyl bromide, methyl iodide or benzyl bromide), resulted in complex reaction mixtures, with no clean isolated products after column chromatography on silica. This led to a search for other possible N-protecting/activating groups.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Me₂N} & \quad \rightarrow \\
\text{N'tBu} & \quad \rightarrow \\
\text{-78 °C, } & \quad \rightarrow \\
\text{tBuLi} & \quad \rightarrow \\
\text{-78 °C, EX} & \quad \rightarrow \\
\text{EX = MeI, allylBr, BnBr}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 108

4.1.2 – Methylsulfonyl approach to an allyl-tetrahydroisoquinoline core

Initially, 2-(methylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (276) was prepared from the quinoline 199 in 83% yield (Scheme 109). The sulfonamide 276 was then treated with
$^\text{sBuLi}$, followed by iodoethane, which resulted in the efficient synthesis of the alkylated isoquinoline 277 in 73% yield.

![Scheme 109](image)

However, when the same procedure was repeated using the dimethoxyisoquinoline 199, the deprotonation step of the sulfonamide 278 was less successful, with initial attempts resulting in decomposition products, but this could be minimised by maintaining the temperature at $-78^\circ$C (Scheme 110). However, on reaction with ethyl iodide, the expected product (279) was not observed, instead, the ethyl group added to the methylsulfonyl group to yield the propylsulfonfyl (280), due to deprotonation of the methylsulfonyl group, to afford intermediate 281.

![Scheme 110](image)

**4.1.3 – Phenylsulfonyl approach to an allyl-tetrahydroisoquinoline core**

Protection using a phenylsulfonyl group was then explored and the 2-phenylsulfonyl derivative 282 was prepared from isoquinoline 190 in 81% yield (Scheme 111). The sulfonamide 282 was then deprotonated at $-78^\circ$C followed by the addition of iodomethane. This, however, resulted in ortho-lithiation of the phenylsulfonyl group, to afford 6,7-dimethoxy-2-(o-tolylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (283).
4.1.4 – $N$-Pivaloyl approach to an allyl-tetrahydroisoquinoline core

Work by Simpkins,\textsuperscript{206} involving the enantioselective protonation of lithiated tetrahydroisoquinolines (building on previous work by Seebach),\textsuperscript{207, 208} established that an $N$-pivaloyl tetrahydroisoquinoline (284) could be substituted at the 1-position to give a racemic product (285) (Scheme 112). However, further metallation followed by reaction with a chiral proton quench (286) gave enantiomerically enriched product.

Following this route, the $N$-pivaloyl isoquinoline 287 was prepared (Scheme 113). Subsequent deprotonation of a solution of 287 in THF at low temperature, using tert-butyllithium, resulted in the solution changing to a deep red colour, which faded to orange on quenching with MeI. On work up, the expected methylated pivaloyl 288 was obtained in 62% yield. However, there were concerns about how easy it would be to cleave the amide group and so an alternative $N$-protecting group was examined.
4.1.5 – N-Boc approach to allyl-tetrahydroisoquinoline core

The Boc protected isoquinoline 289 was prepared in 80-85% yield and subsequently methylated to give carbamate 290 in 65% yield, along with some decomposition products (Scheme 114).

With the success of the mettallation, the process was repeated and the desired allyl fragment was introduced (Scheme 115). Initially, it was found that low product yields were obtained, but these were improved by carrying out the reactions at –78 °C, although recovered starting material was also obtained. Deprotection of the Boc group, using HCl (10 equiv.) in dioxane, was slow (it required overnight treatment) to give the desired hydrochloride salt, which after aqueous basic work up, afforded the free amine 292 in 75-89% yield. Alternatively, removal of the Boc group could be accomplished by the use of TFA in DCM at rt; after 6 h, following an aqueous basic work up afforded the amine 292 in 80-88% yield.

4.2 - Synthesis and reaction of a xanthate or alpha-halo amides

With a robust route to allyl-tetrahydroisoquinoline 292 developed, attention turned to the formation and cyclisation of xanthate 294 (Scheme 116). An atom transfer radical cyclisation of xanthate 294 was expected to afford the cyclic xanthate 293, which could then be elaborated to protoemetinol (88) (Scheme 116).
4.2.1 - Synthesis of alpha-halo amides and xanthate

The xanthate 294 was prepared by reaction of the secondary amine 292 with chloroacetyl chloride or bromoacetyl bromide, to form the corresponding halo-amides 295 and 296, respectively (Scheme 117), followed by displacement of the chloride ion using potassium ethyl xanthate. It should be noted, that unsurprisingly 295, 296 and 294 all occur as slowly interconverting rotamers at room temperature, (with one rotamer preferred over the other). Variable temperature NMR studies were carried out and showed incomplete coalescence of the peaks at 100 °C in DMSO.

4.2.2 – Radical reactions of xanthate (294)

Treatment of xanthate 294 with a radical initiator was expected to form a resonance-stabilised carbamoyl radical 297, which after 6-exo cyclisation would give a less stable primary radical 298. Primary radical 298 could then react with a molecule of starting material in a xanthate transfer reaction, to afford the cyclic xanthate 293 (Scheme 118).
It was with some disappointment that, on treatment of xanthate 294 with dilauroyl peroxide in dichloroethane at reflux, no evidence of cyclisation was observed. The major product was recovered starting material, together with a small amount of the direct reduction material 299 (Scheme 119) (presumably formed by hydrogen atom abstraction from dichloroethane). Changing the solvent, choice of initiator or equivalents of reagents had little effect, although on increasing the temperature, the NMR spectra of the crude products become more complex.

Failure of the xanthate transfer reaction led to treatment of xanthate 294 with tributyltin hydride so as to form the product from reductive cyclisation. However, the NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixture was still complex, and following column chromatography, only the direct reduction product (299) was isolated in 15% yield.
4.2.3 – Radical reactions of alpha-halo amides (295) and (296)

Similarly, attempts to cyclise alpha-chloro or alpha-bromo amides 295 and 296, using tributyltin hydride under a range of different conditions, were unsuccessful (Scheme 121). Only isolation of the direct reduction product (299) was observed (in 32-49% yield), with no evidence of the 6-exo cyclisation products.

![Scheme 121](image)

Previous work has shown that carbamoyl radicals cyclise efficiently onto α,β-unsaturated esters,215-218 and this led to examining the cyclisation of an α,β-unsaturated ester. Synthesis of the E-α,β-unsaturated ester 302 was achieved by an osmium tetroxide catalysed cleavage of the C=C bond in carbamate 291 to give an intermediate aldehyde, which was trapped in-situ by a stabilised phosphorane in a Wittig reaction (Scheme 122). The N-Boc group was then cleaved using trifluoroacetic acid and formation of the amide was achieved by treatment of the resulting secondary amine with bromoacetyl bromide.

![Scheme 122](image)

Subsequent treatment of α,β-unsaturated ester 302 with tributyltin hydride (1.2 equiv) and AIBN (0.5 equiv) in refluxing THF, however, resulted in a complex mixture, with only the
product of direct reduction identified, with no other products isolated. Subsequently it was found that Yamazaki had reported similar attempts to cyclise \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated ester 302, and in refluxing toluene, they obtained the desired tricyclic ester in only 11% yield.\(^{219}\) (Yamazaki subsequently showed that the tricyclic core could be accessed by cyclisation of the trichloro-amide 303 using CuCl in acetonitrile at 140 °C in a sealed tube. Chong has also reported the similar failure of a related \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated ester.\(^{171}\) It is proposed that the reason for the poor results of the carbamoyl radicals is due to the slow interconversion of the amide rotamers, where the major conformer has the radical pointing away from the alkene. This is supported by NOESY NMR studies of 299, 295 and 302, 25 °C), which showed no NOESY correlation between the CH\(_2\) or CH\(_3\) of the amide group and the alkene fragment. In addition, the \(^1\)H NMR spectra of 294 and 295 in benzene at 70 °C, showed little change to the NMR spectra run at 25 °C.

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8**

### 4.3 - Cyclisation of vinyl bromides onto an N-allyl fragment

Following the failure of the amide based approach, it was proposed that the tricyclic core of protoemetinol (88) could be obtained by cyclisation of vinyl bromide 305 to afford terminal alkene 304 (Scheme 123). The C=\( \text{C} \) bond in 304 could then be functionalised in a cross metathesis reaction (e.g. with methyl acrylate) to afford an \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated ester which on reduction would afford protoemetinol (88).

![Scheme 123](image)

**Scheme 123**
4.3.1 – Synthesis of vinyl bromide 307

Vinyl bromide 306 was prepared using a similar 4-step procedure to that developed for allyl-isoquinoline 292 (Scheme 124). After N-protection of 6,7-dimethoxy-isoquinoline (190) with a Boc group, deprotonation using sec-butyllithium in the presence of \( N,N,N',N' \)-tetramethylethlenediamine, then addition of 2,3-dibromopropene, followed by N-deprotection, gave secondary amine 306. Unfortunately, the allylation step proved to be low-yielding (typical 32-39%), perhaps due to the more acidic methylene protons of 2,3-dibromopropene compared to allyl bromide.

![Scheme 124](image)

The secondary amine (306) was then \( N \)-crotylated using conditions previously used by Williams,\(^{220}\) to afford diene 307 in a pleasing yield (Scheme 125).

![Scheme 125](image)

4.3.2 – Radical reaction of vinyl bromide 307

The slow addition of tributyltin hydride and AIBN to a refluxing solution of vinyl bromide 307 in THF afforded a complex mixture of compounds as indicated by TLC and \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy. Following column chromatography, four compounds were isolated: the desired 6,6,6-tricycle 308; a secondary amine 192; and two 6,5-fused bicyclic compounds 309 and 310.
The desired 6,6,6-tricycle is produced via the expected 6-exo cyclisation of the initially formed vinyl radical 311. Secondary amine 192 could be formed by an initial 1,5-hydrogen atom transfer of vinyl radical 311 to afford resonance-stabilised radical 312a. Reduction of radical 312b would form an enamine, which on hydrolysis (on silica column chromatography) gives secondary amine 192. The 6,5-fused bicyclic compounds 309 and 310 could be formed via an alternative 1,5-hydrogen atom transfer of the initial vinyl radical 311, followed by 5-exo cyclisation to afford the 6,5-bicyclic system 315. The resulting primary radical could undergo direct reduction to yield 309 or alternatively, another 1,5-hydrogen atom transfer to afford the resonance stabilised radical 316, which on reduction and hydrolysis (on silica column chromatography) of the resulting enamine gives the bicyclic secondary amine 310.
The formation of the single diastereoisomers of 309 and 310, with opposite stereochemistry for the CHCH₃ chiral carbon, can be explained by the stereochemistry of the two diastereoisomers of bicyclic radical 315. In one diastereoisomer (315-a) the primary radical is appropriately positioned to undergo a 1,5-hydrogen atom transfer reaction, however in the alternative diastereoisomer (315-b) the radical is unable to undergo a 1,5-hydrogen atom transfer reaction.

4.3.3 – Synthesis of vinyl bromide 317
It was envisaged that introducing an ester group onto the acceptor double bond would facilitate the radical cyclisation. This would allow for matching of the polarity of the radical to the acceptor double bond, and should facilitate the cyclisation, and minimise formation of by-products. Hence, α,β-unsaturated ester 317 was prepared from amine 306 in a satisfactory yield (Scheme 128).
4.3.4 – Radical reaction of vinyl bromide 307

Pleasingly, under identical conditions to the reaction of 307 with tributyltin hydride, it was found that α,β-unsaturated ester 317 cleanly afforded the desired tricyclic ester in an excellent 89% yield as a 2.8:1 mixture of partially separable diastereoisomers after column chromatography, with no by-products observed (Scheme 129). This crucial, very successful reaction to afford the key tricyclic ester 318, could be performed on a relatively large scale (3.0 g, 7.5 mmol) with no change in yield or diastereoselectivity.

The stereochemistry of the two diastereoisomers of 318 was determined from NOESY experiments. The major diastereoisomer is tentatively assigned as having the CH$_2$CO$_2$CH$_3$ group in an equatorial arrangement 318-a (Figure 10), which has the desired configuration for proemetinol (88). This assignment was based on the presence of a NOESY correlation between the alkene CH=CH$_2$ and the CH$_2$CO$_2$CH$_3$. The minor diastereoisomer was assigned as having the CH$_2$CO$_2$CH$_3$ group in an axial arrangement (318-b), since the methylene CH=CH$_2$ enhances the CHCH$_2$CO$_2$CH$_3$ signal.
4.3.5 – Approaches to protoemetinol (88) from ester (318-a)

Following the extremely successful cyclisation of vinyl bromide 317 to afford the tricyclic ester 318, attention then turned to the reduction of the ester side-chain and conversion of the alkene into an alcohol (Scheme 130). It was proposed that this could be achieved by an initial lithium aluminium hydride reduction to afford alcohol 319. There are several strategies to reduce the OH group in 319 including a Barton-McCombie deoxygenation, or conversion of the OH group into a leaving group and a subsequent lithium aluminium hydride reduction. Finally, a Grubbs cross metathesis reaction of the C=C bond in 320 would be expected to afford α,β-unsaturated ester 321, which could then be reduced to protoemetinol (88).

Scheme 130
Gratifyingly, treatment of tricyclic ester $318$-$a$ with lithium aluminium hydride resulted in clean conversion and isolation of the desired alcohol $319$. Unfortunately, subsequent conversion to the Barton ester proved problematic and resulted in decomposition. It was subsequently found that, on standing, alcohol $319$ decomposed to give unidentified products.

![Scheme 131](image)

Tricyclic ester $318$-$a$ was then treated with lithium aluminium hydride and the unpurified alcohol $319$ ($^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy confirmed formation of the alcohol) was treated with methanesulfonyl chloride in the hope of forming methanesulfonate ($323$), which could then be treated with a further portion of lithium aluminium hydride. Unfortunately, $^1$H NMR spectroscopy indicated decomposition of the methanesulfonate $323$.

![Scheme 132](image)

The decomposition that is observed on converting ester $318$-$a$ into either the Barton ester $322$ or methanesulfonate $323$, and the slow decomposition of alcohol $319$, could be explained by nucleophilic attack by the tertiary amine present in the tricyclic core. There are several examples of the relatively facile reactions of related tertiary amines with alkylating agents to afford the quaternary ammonium salts, which are then able to undergo rearrangement or elimination reactions.
4.4 Formation and reaction of phenylselenides

As an alternative route, it was proposed that protoemetinol (88) could be accessed from cyclic ester 324, which in turn, could be prepared by 6-exo radical cyclisation of phenylselenide 325 (Scheme 133).\textsuperscript{228-232} This strategy effectively reverses the order of introduction of the two allylic residues.

![Scheme 133](image)

4.4.1 Synthesis of phenylselenides (331) and (332)

The synthesis of phenylselenides 331 and 332 started with the formation of dienes 329 and 330 (Scheme 134). The dienes were prepared by treatment of 6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline 188 with allyl bromide or crotyl bromide to afford the corresponding quaternary salts 327 and 328, respectively, followed by addition of zinc and methyl (\textit{E})-4-bromobut-2-enoate to generate an organo-zinc reagent in-situ, which underwent nucleophilic addition to the C=\textit{N} bonds.

![Scheme 134](image)
Treatment of α,β-unsaturated ester 329 and 330 with the sodium salt of phenylselenol (formed by reduction of diphenyl diselenide) yielded only recovered starting material, but it was found that first quenching the sodium salt with acetic acid (to form phenylselenol) resulted in good conversion to the desired selenides 331 and 332 (Scheme 135).

\[ \text{(PhSe)}_2, \text{NaBH}_4, \text{AcOH, MeOH} \rightarrow 0^\circ \text{C to r.t., 12 h} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
329, \text{R} = \text{H} \\
330, \text{R} = \text{Me}, \text{E/Z} = 5:1
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
331, \text{R} = \text{H}, \text{64-72}\% \\
332, \text{R} = \text{Me}, \text{66-70}\%, \text{E/Z} = 5:1
\end{align*} \]

Scheme 135

4.4.2 Radical cyclisation of phenylselenides (331) and (332)

Attention turned to the radical cyclisation of selenides 331 and 332 using tributyltin hydride or tris(trimethylsilyl)silane (TTMSS), which both gave a complex mixture of products, from which two compounds were cleanly isolated (Scheme 136). The cyclic amide 335 was isolated as the major compound, while the desired tricyclic ester 333 or 334 was obtained in a disappointingly low yield. From the NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture the presence of compounds containing a C=C bond was indicated (such as 336 or 337), however, these compounds were never isolated.

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Bu}_3\text{SnH, AIBN, THF, reflux} \\
or; \text{TTMSS, AIBN, THF, reflux}
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
331, \text{R} = \text{H} \\
332, \text{R} = \text{Me}, \text{E/Z} = 5:1
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
333, \text{R} = \text{H}, \text{6-9}\%, \text{single d.s.} \\
334, \text{R} = \text{Me}, \text{4-8}\% \text{ d.r.} = 2:1
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
335, \text{for R} = \text{H}; 24-31\% \\
336, \text{R} = \text{H} \\
337, \text{R} = \text{Me}
\end{align*} \]

Scheme 136
The formation of the cyclic amide 335 can be explained by a 1,5-hydrogen atom transfer reaction of the initially formed radical 338 (Scheme 137), to afford radical 339, which on reduction of resonance form 340 would afford an enamine – this could explain the presence of compounds containing C=C bonds (336 and 337) in the NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixture. Hydrolysis of the enamine would afford the secondary amine 341 that cyclises to form the cyclic amide 335.

Interestingly the tricyclic ester 333 was isolated as a single diastereoisomer, whereas the tricyclic ester 334 was obtained as a mixture of diastereoisomers, 334-a and 334-b as a 2:1 ratio of diastereoisomers. The stereochemistry of 333, 334-a and 334-b is tentatively assigned as shown in Figure 11, as determined from NOESY experiments.

Treatment of the tricyclic ester 333 or 334-a with lithium aluminium hydride afforded the corresponding alcohols, (±)-des-methyl-protoemetinol (342) and (±)-protoemetinol (88-a). Comparison of the $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data with published data confirmed the formation of
(±)-protoemetinol (88-a), with the correct stereochemistry. Although (±)-protoemetinol (88) was formed using a concise 4-step synthesis, the overall yield (2%) was disappointing.

\[ \text{LiAlH}_4, \text{THF} \quad 0^\circ \text{C to rt, 6 h} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{333, } R = \text{H} \\
\text{334-a, } R = \text{Me}
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{R = H, } & \text{342, 64-80\%} \\
\text{R = Me, } & \text{88-a, 76\%}
\end{align*} \]

Scheme 138

4.4.3 Synthesis and cyclisation of a vinyl chloride bearing a phenylselenide (343)
To improve the efficiency of the synthesis of protoemetinol (88), in particular the radical cyclisation step, the formation and cyclisation of vinyl chloride 343 and \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated ester 344 was explored (Figure 12). It was expected that the introduction of an electron-withdrawing Cl or CO\(_2\)Me onto the acceptor double bond would increase the rate of 6-exo cyclisation, thereby minimizing formation of by-products. For vinyl chloride 343, it was expected that abstraction of the PhSe group, by a tin-centred radical, would be faster than abstraction of the Cl atom from the C=C bond.

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{343} \\
\text{344}
\end{align*} \]

Figure 12

The vinyl chloride 343 was prepared from imine 188 using a familiar two-step sequence, as a separable mixture of \( E/Z \) isomers, and then converted into phenylselenide 343 (Scheme 139).
Unfortunately, the attempted radical cyclisation of vinyl chloride 343 using 2.2 equiv. of tributyltin hydride (added slowly to 343) proved to be disappointing, resulting in a complex mixture of products (Scheme 140). The complex mixture contained cyclic products, but reduction of the C–Cl bond was problematic, resulting in a mixture of inseparable chlorinated 345 and non-chlorinated 344 cyclic compounds, with their corresponding diastereoisomers (the yields and diastereoisomer ratios of 344 and 345 could not be calculated, assignment of the structures are tentative based on $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR and mass spec data, along with comparison with previously made compounds). Unfortunately, the main product isolated was the cyclic amide 335. This inefficient radical cyclisation route was abandoned due to its limited synthetic use.

Scheme 140
4.4.4 Synthesis of an α,β-unsaturated ester containing a phenylselenide (349)

Attention then moved to the preparation and cyclisation of compounds similar to α,β-unsaturated ester 344 (Figure 12). It was expected that the α,β-unsaturated ester fragment could be installed by modifying an N-allyl group. The synthesis started by lithium aluminium hydride reduction of the previously synthesised phenylselenide 331 (Scheme 141). Although this resulted in the reduction of the ester, it was found that the major identifiable product was alcohol 347, which also had cleavage of the phenylselenide fragment, together with unidentified by-products. However, it was found that treatment of the ester with alane (formed by reduction of aluminum trichloride with lithium aluminium hydride237) afforded the desired alcohol 346 cleanly.238 Attempted purification by column chromatography resulted in decomposition, so the crude alcohol was immediately protected by treatment with tert-butyldiphenylsilyl chloride239-241 to give silyl ether 348 in 78% yield over two steps.

Attempted incorporation of the α,β-unsaturated ester fragment into 348, by a Grubbs metathesis using methyl acrylate,242-245 resulted in recovery of starting material (perhaps due to coordination of the tertiary amine to the ruthenium catalyst246, 247) so attempts to carry out the Grubbs metathesis on the protonated amine were attempted, but again this led to predominant recovery of starting material. An alternative approach, involving either an ozonolysis or osmium tetroxide oxidation of the C=C bond to form an aldehyde followed
by a Wittig reaction using methyl (triarylphosphoranylidene)acetate was also unsuccessful.

![Chemical structures](image)

**Scheme 142**

### 4.5 Conclusion

A robust and efficient synthesis of allyl tetrahydroisoquinoline 292 has been developed, which involves N-Boc protection of tetrahydroisoquinoline 190, allylation and finally, cleavage of the Boc group. Allyl tetrahydroisoquinoline 292 can be converted into alpha-haloamides (295) and (296) or xanthate 294, but the attempted radical cyclisation of these precursors proved to be problematic, with only the product of direct reduction or recovered starting material isolated. The inefficient cyclisation was attributed to slow interconversion of the amide rotamers.

This resulted in the exploration of the tributyltin hydride mediated cyclisation of a precursor containing both a vinyl bromide and an N-allyl fragment. Vinyl bromides 307 and 317 were synthesised in a similar 4-step procedure to allyl isoquinoline 292. It was found that attempted cyclisation of the N-crotyl compound 307 gave a complex mixture of compounds, including products derived from a range of 1,5-hydrogen atom transfer reactions, such as the secondary amine 192 and the 6,5-fused bicyclic compounds 309 and 310 along with the desired 6-exo cyclisation product. However, pleasingly, it was found that the corresponding α,β-unsaturated ester 317 cleanly cyclised to afford the desired tricyclic ester 318 in an excellent 89% yield (as a 1:2.8 mixture of diastereoisomers). Unfortunately, subsequent conversion of 318 into protoemetinol (88) proved to be problematic.

Finally, the radical cyclisation of phenylselenides 331 and 332 were investigated. The synthesis of the phenylselenides was achieved efficiently by Michael-type addition of phenylselenol to α,β-unsaturated esters 329 and 330. Subsequent tributyltin hydride-
mediated radical cyclisation afforded a mixture of products, including the desired tricyclic esters 333 or 334-a. The tricyclic esters could be reduced to the corresponding alcohols, including (±)-protoemetinol (88), which was isolated in 2% yield over the 4 steps. Attempts to improve the efficiency of the radical cyclisation by incorporating an electron-withdrawing group onto the acceptor alkene proved to be unsuccessful.
Chapter 5 – Results and Discussion

Vinyl bromide approaches to *Alangium* and *Mitragynine* alkaloids

5.1 - Approaches to (±)-protoemetinol (88a)

Following the generally disappointing progress towards the cyclic core of *Alangium* and *Mitragynine* alkaloids, a revision of the synthetic strategy was made. It was noted that the issues with the amide rotamers would be difficult to overcome, and although the chemistry of the phenylselenides resulted in the synthesis of (±)-protoemetinol (88a), the low yields resulted in this route being abandoned. It was however, noted that the radical cyclisations of the vinyl radical derived from the corresponding vinyl bromide onto an α,β-unsaturated ester proceeded extremely well. With this in mind an alternative route for the cyclisation of a vinyl bromide onto an α,β-unsaturated ester were explored.

An alternative approach to the synthesis of the tricyclic core of protoemetinol (88-a) involves the cyclisation of a vinyl radical β to nitrogen, such as the vinyl bromide of type 351. It is proposed that the 6-exo cyclisation would afford the tricyclic core 350, which on further functionalisation should furnish protoemetinol (88-a) (Scheme 143).

![Scheme 143](image)

5.1.1 – Synthesis and cyclisation of a model vinyl bromide (352)

To test the proposed cyclisation, a model vinyl bromide system was explored. The synthesis of vinyl bromide 352 was achieved by *N*-allylation of the previously prepared secondary amine 192, with 2,3-dibromopropene using the conditions previously used, to afford in a pleasing yield the desired vinyl bromide 352.

![Scheme 144](image)
With the model system in hand, the tributyltin hydride mediated radical cyclisation was investigated. Treatment of vinyl bromide 352 in refluxing THF, with the slow addition of 1.2 equivalents of tributyltin hydride afforded in un-optimized conditions the desired 6,6,6-tricycle 353 in 44% yield, as the major compound, as an inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers (Scheme 145). Also isolated following column chromatography was the 1,7-diene 354, derived from simple reduction. Another compound was isolated, possibly the 6,6,7-tricycle 355, which could be formed by a competing 7-endo-trig cyclisation (or from a tandem 6-exo/3-exo cyclisation followed by fragmentation of the cyclopropane ring), however this compound could not be cleanly isolated, or characterised.

![Scheme 145](image)

The relative stereochemistry of the major diastereoisomer of tricycle 353 was determined by a $^1$H-NOESY experiment and is consistent with that predicted from a 6-endo-trig radical cyclisation that proceeds via a chair-like transition state Figure 13. The $^1$H-NOESY spectrum showed correlation between $H_a$, $H_b$ and $H_c$. The methyl group showed a correlation to $H_c$, but no correlation to $H_a$, indicating that the cyclisation afforded the correct stereochemistry for protoemetinol (88-a).

![Figure 13](image)

For the synthesis of protoemetinol (88-a), it was envisaged that introducing an ester group at the end of the acceptor alkene (Figure 14) would increase the rate of 6-exo cyclisation.
(by lowering the LUMO) and also reduce the rate of any 7-endo cyclisation (for steric reasons). The ester group would also provide a useful synthetic handle for further modification, such as reduction to the required alcohol.

![Figure 14](image)

**5.1.2 - Synthesis (±)-des-methyl protoemetinol (342-a)**

To test the cyclisation of an ester of type 356 (Figure 14), the use of a 2-bromoprop-1-ene fragment was explored, due the commercial availability of 2,3-dibromoprop-1-ene, (i.e. R = H in 356). (It is noted that 1,2-dibromobut-2-ene (ie R = Me in 356) is required for subsequent conversion into the ethyl group found on the C ring in the target alkaloids). Vinyl bromide 358 was efficiently synthesised from commercially available methyl (E)-4-bromobut-2-enoate and 6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline (188) (Scheme 146). Formation of the quaternary salt (357) with 2,3-dibromoprop-1-ene proceeded quantitatively. This was followed by addition of an organo-zinc reagent generated in-situ (from zinc and methyl (E)-4-bromobut-2-enoate), which underwent nucleophilic addition to the C=N bond to give vinyl bromide 358 in an excellent 79% yield.

![Scheme 146](image)

Pleasingly, reaction of vinyl bromide 358 in refluxing THF, with the slow addition of tributyltin hydride and AIBN resulted in a clean conversion to the desired tricycle 359, which was isolated in an excellent 78% yield after column chromatography (as a partially separable 2.8:1 mixture of diastereoisomers) (Scheme 147). No evidence of the direct reduction product 360 or other by-products were observed. This very successful reaction to afford the key tricyclic ester 359, can be preformed on a large scale (up to 7.5 g, 18.3
mmol) with no change in yield or diastereoselectivity. Interestingly, the use of TTMSS (in place of Bu$_3$SnH) gave a lower yield of the desired 6-exo tricycle 359 (42%), although the diastereoselectivity of the cyclisation was improved to 6:1.

![Scheme 147](image)

Once again the relative stereochemistry of the major diastereoisomer of tricycle 359-a was determined by a $^1$H-NOESY experiment and is consistent with a cyclisation that proceeds via chair-like transition state, 361 (Figure 15). The $^1$H-NOESY spectrum suggested a cis-arrangement between H$_a$ and H$_b$.

![Figure 15](image)

Subsequent reduction of the major diastereoisomer, 359-a, with lithium aluminium hydride resulted in an excellent yield of the corresponding alcohol 362 (Scheme 148). Finally, it was proposed that a palladium on carbon hydrogenation would occur from the top, least hindered face of the C=C bond, to afford des-methyl protoemetinol (342-a). However, hydrogenation of 362 using 5% palladium on carbon afforded the reduced compound, as a 10:1 ratio of diastereoisomers, with the major isomer being (±)-des-methyl-epi-protoemetinol (342-b).

![Scheme 148](image)
The predominant formation of (±)-des-methyl-epi-protoemetinol (342-b), over diastereoisomer 342-a, was confirmed by examination of the ¹H NOESY correlations of the major diastereoisomer from the hydrogenation reaction (Figure 16).

![Figure 16](image)

Alternative conditions for the hydrogenation of 362 were then explored. Pleasingly it was found that by changing the catalyst from Pd/C to Crabtree’s catalyst, a moderate excess of (±)-des-methyl-protoemetinol (342-a) was formed (Scheme 149 and Table 10). The two diastereoisomers 342-a and 342-b can be easily identified using ¹H NMR spectroscopy, by examining the signal for the CHCH₃ group; 342-a has the CH₃ peak at 0.91 ppm as a doublet with J = 6.5 Hz whereas 342-b has the CH₃ peak at 0.97 ppm as a doublet with J = 6.9 Hz.

![Scheme 149 and Table 10](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrogenation Conditions</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>342-a</th>
<th>342-b (epi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd/C, MeOH, H₂, r.t.</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/C, EtOAc, H₂, r.t.</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/C, DCM, H₂, r.t.</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crabtree’s catalyst, CHCl₃, H₂, reflux</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crabtree’s catalyst, DCM, H₂, r.t.</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scheme 149 and Table 10
On storage (with trace amounts of dichloromethane), alcohol 362 slowly crystallised and a subsequent X-ray diffraction of a crystal resulted in an unexpected discovery. Instead of the expected compound 362, the crystal was in fact the dichloromethane adduct, 363 (Figure 17). However it did allow us to confirm the stereochemistry of 362, which agrees with that assigned from NOESY correlations.

![Figure 17 (original in colour)](image)

5.1.3 - Synthesis of protoemetinol 88-a

With the preparation of des-methyl-protoemetinol 342-a in hand, attention turned to the synthesis of protoemetinol 88-a. To achieve this, 1,2-dibromobut-2-ene (367) is required, which could be prepared in 3 steps from crotonaldehyde (364) (Scheme 150). Bromination of the double bond in 364 is followed by elimination of HBr using triethylamine to give (Z)-2-bromobut-2-enal (365) (typically in 80-85% yield). A subsequent Leuchte reduction using cerium(III) chloride and sodium borohydride yielded 2-bromobut-2-en-1-ol (366). In our hands though, the reduction of the alcohol led to isomerisation of the C=C bond, giving 366 as an inseparable mixture of cis- and trans-isomers. However, it was found that the extent of isomerisation could be limited by storing 2-bromobut-2-en-1-ol (366) at low temperature and by using the crude alcohol in subsequent reactions. Finally, conversion of alcohol 366 into 1,2-dibromobut-2-ene (367) was accomplished using carbon tetrabromide and triphenylphosphine.
Vinyl bromide 368 was then synthesised using 1,2-dibromobut-2-ene (367), methyl (E)-4-bromobut-2-enoate and 6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline (188) (Scheme 151). Following the previously developed route, involving formation of the quaternary ammonium salt and then addition of an organo-zinc reagent, gave the desired compound 368, although in a slightly disappointing yield.

Attention then turned to the key radical cyclisation step. Treatment of vinyl bromide 368 in refluxing THF, with the slow addition of tributyltin hydride and AIBN resulted in a crude product with a complex $^1$H NMR spectrum, which indicated a mixture of alkenes. After column chromatography the desired tricycle 369 was isolated in a disappointing yield of 44% (as a partially separable 2:1 mixture of diastereoisomers) (Scheme 152). No evidence of the direct reduction product was observed, however a cyclopentane containing tricycle 370 was also obtained in very low yield.
The cyclopentane containing tricycle 370 is likely formed via a 1,5-hydrogen atom transfer of the initial vinyl radical 371, to form allyl radical 372, followed by 5-exo-trig cyclisation (affording cyclopentane tricyclic radical 373) and reaction with tributyltin hydride (Scheme 153). It is also proposed that a competing 1,4-hydrogen atom transfer of allyl radical 372 (or a 1,6-hydrogen atom transfer from the alternative resonance form of 372) to form another allyl radical 374a, could explain the low yield of this reaction. The alternative resonance form of the allyl radical 374a is enamine 374b, which would be unstable to column chromatography, and this could explain some of the alkene signals in the $^1$H NMR spectrum of the crude product.

![Scheme 153](image)

The formation of additional byproducts could also be explained by a 1,5-hydrogen atom transfer reaction of the initially formed 6-exo cyclisation product 375, which would result in the formation of resonance-stabilised allyl radical 376a (Scheme 154). The presence of signals at 5.01, 5.10 and 5.85 ppm in the $^1$H NMR spectrum of the crude product provide tentative evidence for the formation of a terminal alkene derived from radical 376b.

![Scheme 154](image)
Subsequent reduction of ester 369 with lithium aluminium hydride resulted in a good yield of the corresponding alcohol 377 (Scheme 155). Reduction of the C=C bond by hydrogenation using a palladium on carbon catalyst resulted in isolation of epi-
protoemetinol 88-b, as the major diastereoisomer, with the undesired stereochemistry at C-3. However, once again it was found that the use of Crabtree’s catalyst afforded,248-250 in a moderate excess, (±)-protoemetinol 88-a.

\[
\text{Scheme 155 and Table 11}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrogenation Conditions</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>d.r. 88-a:88-b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd/C, MeOH, H₂, r.t.</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crabtree's Catalyst, DCM, H₂, r.t.</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1.1:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.4 - Conversion of (±)-des-methyl protoemetinol (343-a) into (±)-protoemetinol (88-a)

Due to the low yield of (±)-protoemetinol (88-a), arising from side reactions during the radical cyclisation of vinyl bromide 368, methods to convert (±)-des-methyl protoemetinol (343-a) into (±)-protoemetinol (88-a) were explored.

Initially, an osmium tetroxide catalysed cleavage or ozonolysis of the C=C bond in alcohol 362, or the related ester 359, was examined (Scheme 156). Examinations of the NMR spectra of the crude products showed no evidence of the expected ketone 378, or lactol 379. However, a Wittig reaction was attempted on each of the crude products with methyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate, which were unsuccessful.
1) OsO₄ (cat), NaIO₄
Dioxane:Water, 0 °C
or Ozone, DCM, -78 °C

2) Ph₃P=CHCO₂Me
DCM, 0 °C

Scheme 156

An alternative approach to functionalise the C=C bond in 359 and 362 involves use of the Grubbs cross metathesis reaction. Unfortunately, treatment of ester 359 or alcohol 362 with Grubbs I or II catalyst along and methyl acrylate (Scheme 156) resulted in recovery of starting material, with no evidence of cross metathesis products. Attempts to carry out the Grubbs metathesis on the protonated amine of 359 or 362 were attempted, but again, only starting material was recovered.

Scheme 157

5.1.5 - Alternative conditions for the cyclisation of the vinyl bromides

Although vinyl bromides of type 358 and 359 are classically used in tin hydride-mediated reactions they also served as precursors for various alternative cyclisation conditions. With the disappointing results of the cyclisation of vinyl bromide 359, and the unsuccessful attempts at the conversion of (±)-des-methylprotoemetinol 342-a derivatives into (±)-protoemetinol 88-a, alternative cyclisation conditions were explored.
Attempts at the cyclisation of vinyl bromide 358 were made using samarium(II) iodide (Scheme 158). It was hoped that samarium(II) iodide would allow for selective reduction of the vinyl bromide group in 358, 256, 257 in preference to the α,β-unsaturated ester. This, however was not the case, and the reaction resulted in clean reduction of the α,β-unsaturated system to give the ester 381, in excellent yield.

\[
\text{O} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{N} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{Br} \quad \text{SmI}_2 \text{(4 eq), MeOH, THF} \quad 0^\circ \text{C to r.t., 3 h} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{N} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{Br} \quad 83\% 
\]

Scheme 158

An alternative cyclisation approach involving halogen-metal exchange was considered. Initial attempts were made using a lithium-bromine exchange, 258-260 but unfortunately this resulted in decomposition of the reaction mixture.

\[
\text{O} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{N} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{Br} \quad \text{sBuLi, THF, -78^\circ \text{C to r.t.}} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{N} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{O} 
\]

Scheme 159

Subsequent attempts were made using a magnesium-bromine exchange, 261-263 which would afford the corresponding vinyl Grignard reagent, which would hopefully undergo a 1,4-addition to the unsaturated ester, in preference to the 1,2-addition. However the reaction resulted in clean recovery of the starting material, without any addition of any Grignard reagent to the α,β-unsaturated ester. This is perhaps due to the relatively acidic CH2 group next to the α,β-unsaturated ester, resulting in quenching of the initial Grignard reagent. An alternative approach involved treatment of the vinyl bromide with magnesium metal, in the hope of forming a vinyl Grignard reagent, which could undergo 6-exo cyclisation. However, even treatment with a large excess of magnesium in refluxing THF resulted in recovery of clean starting vinyl bromide.
An alternative and synthetically very useful reaction of a vinyl bromide with an alkene is the Heck reaction.\textsuperscript{264-266} With this in mind the vinyl bromide 358 was treated with palladium(II) acetate, triphenylphosphine, and an amine base (Scheme 161). This resulted in very quick consumption of the starting vinyl bromide which after column chromatography afforded the two conjugated dienes 382 and 383. The conjugated diene 382, had the expected NMR spectrum, and H-C connectivity was confirmed by HQSC and HMBC experiments. However the conjugated diene 383 had an unexpected HMBC correlations between $\delta_C 172.0$ (CO$_2$CH$_3$) and $\delta_H 3.29 + 3.14$ (CH$_2$CO$_2$CH$_3$), and no correlation to alkene H signals. The full connectivity was confirmed by COSY, HQSC and HMBC experiments.
5.2 - Approaches to mitragynine (86) starting from a vinyl bromide

Following the successful synthesis of protoemetinol (88-a, Section 5.1), a similar approach should be applicable to the synthesis of mitragynine (86), and related compounds (Figure 6, Chapter 1). Previous work has shown\textsuperscript{164, 167, 267} that installation of the vinyl ether can be accomplished from ester 385, which could be prepared by radical cyclisation of a vinyl bromide 386 derived from an imine 387 (Scheme 162).

\begin{align*}
\text{N} & \text{H} \\
\text{MeO}_2\text{C} & \text{OMe} \\
\text{N} & \text{MeO}_2\text{C} \\
\text{N} & \text{Pg} \\
\text{N} & \text{R} \\
\text{384} & \text{MeO}_2\text{C} \\
\text{385} & \text{MeO}_2\text{C} \\
\text{386} & \text{Br} \\
\text{387} & \text{Pg} \\
\end{align*}

\begin{itemize}
  \item R = \text{OMe}, 86, Mitragynine
  \item R = \text{OH}, 137, De-methyl mitragynine
  \item R = \text{H}, 138, De-methoxy mitragynine
\end{itemize}

Scheme 162

5.2.1 - Synthesis of vinyl bromide 395

For our model studies, the synthesis of de-methoxy mitragynine (138, corynantheidine), was explored due to the lack of commercial availability of 4-methoxytryptophan required for the synthesis of the indole core. Imine (390) can be prepared by oxidation of the corresponding amine, 2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1H-pyrido[3,4-b]indole under a range of conditions,\textsuperscript{180, 181} however this indole is expensive and its availability can be problematic.\textsuperscript{d} An alternate and more attractive route to imine 390 is from inexpensive and commercially available tryptamine (388),\textsuperscript{e} where reaction with ethyl formate is expected to form the intermediate formamide (389) (Scheme 163), and subsequent cyclisation using phosphoryl trichloride under Bischler-Napieralski conditions should furnish the desired imine 390.\textsuperscript{268}

\begin{align*}
\text{388} & \text{H} \\
\text{H} & \text{NH}_2 \\
\text{EtOC(O)H} & \text{[389]} \\
\text{POCl}_3 & \text{[390]} \\
\end{align*}

\begin{itemize}
  \item d The cost of 2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1H-pyrido[3,4-b]indole is typically in the region of £35 per gram
  \item e Tryptamine typically costs £35 per 50 g, and is available from decarboxylation of the amino acid tryptophan
\end{itemize}
However, this approach was not successful; analysis of the crude reaction mixture by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy and LCMS indicated the formation of a range of products and pure 4,9-dihydro-3H-pyrido[3,4-b]indole (390) was never isolated. However, installing a protecting group on the indole nitrogen solved this problem. 2-(1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-1H-(indol-3-yl)ethanamine (391) was synthesised by reaction of tryptamine (388) with 1.1 equivalents of sodium hydride followed by the slow addition of 1 equivalent of para-methoxybenzyl chloride (Scheme 164).

![Scheme 164](image)

The para-methoxybenzyl group was chosen due to the mild methods of removal, \(^{269-275}\) and it is not expected to significantly affect the electronics of the indole ring as, for example, a Boc group would. It is worth noting that benzylic hydrogen atoms are reactive to radical abstraction, however in this system this would require an intramolecular 1,7-H atom abstraction, on a rigid structure, which was thought to be unlikely (Figure 18).

![Figure 18](image)

With PMB-tryptamine (391) in hand, reaction with ethyl formate formed the intermediate formamide 392 and a subsequent reaction under Bischler-Napieralski\(^{268}\) conditions (using phosphoryl trichloride) afforded the desired imine 393 in good to excellent yields (Scheme 165).
In a similar procedure to that used in the synthesis of protoemetinol (88), the imine 393 was stirred with 2,3-dibromoprop-1-ene to form the quaternary salt 394. Subsequent addition of zinc and methyl (E)-4-bromobut-2-enoate generated the organo-zinc reagent in-situ, which reacted with quaternary salt 394 to give but-2-enoate 395 in a low 19% yield (Scheme 166).

5.2.2 – Radical reactions of vinyl bromide 395

Treatment of the vinyl bromide 395 with the slow addition of tributyltin hydride and AIBN in refluxing THF resulted in the product of direct reduction, diene 396, the desired cyclised product octahydroquinolizine 397 and a pentacyclic bridged system 398 (Scheme 167). Compound 397 was obtained as a mixture of partial separable diastereoisomers on column chromatography.
The pentacyclic-bridged system 398 was isolated as a 1:1 mixture of partially separable diastereoisomers. The assignment was made initially on the basis of the NMR spectra. For example, a CH$_2$ peak at 104.1 ppm in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum and signals at 4.89 and 4.43 ppm in the $^1$H NMR spectrum indicated the presence of a terminal alkene. It is proposed that the ring system is formed by a 5-exo cyclisation of the initial vinyl radical onto the indole ring, followed by a second 5-exo cyclisation on to the $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated ester (Scheme 168).

![Scheme 168](image)

A similar side reaction has been reported by the group of Takayama,$^{276,277}$ during their attempts at the synthesis of related indole alkaloids using a diester. Interestingly, the group of Cook$^{167,267}$ did not mention the formation of any by-products during their cyclisation of a related $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated ester possessing a vinyl iodide when subjected to a Ni(COD)$_2$-mediated cyclisation (Scheme 57, Chapter 1).

### 5.2.3 –Functionalisation of ester 397

With the desired 6-ring compound 397 in hand, it was envisaged that a palladium-catalysed hydrogenation, or alternatively a palladium hydroxide on carbon-catalysed hydrogenation, would led to hydrogenation of the alkene and also cleave the PMB group$^{272,278}$ to afford ester 399$^{273,279-282}$ (Scheme 169).

![Scheme 169](image)

However, hydrogenation of the major diastereoisomer of 397 using either palladium on carbon, or palladium hydroxide on carbon (at standard pressures), resulted in the isolation
of two products, both containing an \( N \)-PMB group (Scheme 170). The direct product of hydrogenation of the alkene, ester (400), was obtained as a 1:1 mixture of separable diastereoisomers. The other product was assigned as the cyclic amide 401.

![Scheme 170](image1)

It is tentatively proposed that lactam 401 is formed by initial attack of the nitrogen atom on to the ester, to form amide 402. The \( \text{C} = \text{C} \) bond in amide 402 can then co-ordinate to palladium and form an \( \eta \)-3 complex 403, after fragmentation of the positively charged nitrogen. Finally, hydrogenation of the palladium complex results in isolation of the cyclic amide 401 (Scheme 148).

![Scheme 171](image2)

The proposed mechanism is supported by the fact that the palladium-catalysed hydrogenation of the minor cyclic diastereoisomer from the cyclisation (395) does not appear to form the cyclic amide 401 (because the ester and amine groups cannot interact). It should be noted, in hindsight, that attempts at the hydrogenation of ester 359-a, (used in the studies of protoemetinol 88) did not proceed well, resulting in unidentified side products, whereas the hydrogenation of the corresponding alcohol 362 proceeded well.
(Scheme 172) This suggest the presence of the ester is required for the formation of amide 401.

![Scheme 172]

It was envisaged that treatment of ester 400 with TFA,\textsuperscript{274, 275, 283} would allow for cleavage of the PMB group. However, under a range of acidic, oxidative and Lewis acidic conditions, (Scheme 173), despite the disappearance of the benzylic signals in the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra of the crude products, no clean product 399 was obtained, and only apparent decomposition occurred, possibly due to some competing reactions involving the ester group.

![Scheme 173]

5.3 - Conclusion

Model vinyl bromide 352 was successfully cyclised to afford the desired 6,6,6-tricycle 353 in 44% yield as a 5:1 mixture of diastereoisomers. Attention then turned to the synthesis of des-methyl-protoemetinol (342). For this, vinyl bromide 358 was required, which was obtained in an excellent 79% yield from 6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline (188). The radical cyclisation of vinyl bromide 358 was successful with the desired tricycle 359 isolated in an excellent 78% yield (as a 2.8:1 mixture of diastereoisomers). Subsequent
reduction of the ester group with lithium aluminium hydride afforded the corresponding alcohol 362. The hydrogenation was however less successful, as a palladium on carbon hydrogenation was found to afford (±)-des-methyl-epi-protoemetinol (342-b) in good yield. However, use of Crabtree’s catalyst resulted in a moderate excess of the desired (±)-des-methyl-protoemetinol (342-a).

Following the synthesis of des-methyl-protoemetinol (342-a), attention turned to the synthesis of protoemetinol (88-a). To achieve this, 1,2-dibromobut-2-ene (367) was required, which was prepared in 3 steps from crotonaldehyde. Following the previously developed route, vinyl bromide 368 was synthesised, although in a slightly disappointing yield. Treatment of vinyl bromide 368 under similar cyclisation conditions to 358, afforded a complex mixture of alkenes, with the desired tricycle 368 and cyclopentane 369 isolated. A subsequent lithium aluminium hydride-mediated reduction of ester 368, followed by hydrogenation with Crabtree’s catalyst, afforded in a moderate excess (±)-protoemetinol (88-a).

Due to the low yield of (±)-protoemetinol (88-a), methods for converting advanced intermediates of des-methyl protoemetinol (343-a) into protoemetinol (88-a) were explored, although these were unsuccessful. Also, alternative conditions for the cyclisation of the vinyl bromides were explored, but these met with limited success.

Subsequent work explored a similar approach towards the synthesis of mitragynine (86). This started from PMB-protected tryptamine 391, which was converted into the desired imine 393 via a Bischler-Napieralski reaction. Formation of the vinyl bromide 395 was achieved via the previously developed one-pot procedure although in a low yield. It was found that treatment of the vinyl bromide 395 with tributyltin hydride resulted in a mixture of products, including the desired product 397, derived from 6-exo cyclisation as a 1.6:1 ratio of diastereoisomers, along with a pentacyclic bridged system 398, formed via radical cyclisation onto the indole. A subsequent palladium-catalysed hydrogenation of the cyclic ester 397 resulted in hydrogenation of the alkene, and formation of a cyclic amide byproduct, namely 401, however no cleavage of the PMB group was observed. To date it has not been possible to cleanly remove the PMB protecting group from 398 or 400.
Chapter 6
Summary, conclusions and future work

6.1 Chapter 2 - Summary, conclusions and future work

Our initial aims were to build on previous work explored within our group which had shown that phosphites, thiophosphites and phosphine oxides all add regioselectively to a range of dienes to afford the corresponding cyclic adducts, and that subsequent Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons-type (HWE) reactions affords the corresponding alkenes, in a one-pot reaction. Our initial approach towards the core of the Alangium alkaloids explored the cyclisation of 1,7-dienes, with phosphorus hydrides, and it was hoped that following a Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons-type reaction, a tricyclic alkene would be isolated.

Several approaches towards the 1,7-diene were explored. With the required 1,7-diene in hand the addition-cyclisation reaction was explored, however this proved to be problematic and there was no evidence of addition products being formed. This led us to explore the addition of a range of phosphorus hydrides, to a range of alkenes containing either a protected or unprotected nitrogen. It was found that the radical addition of phosphorus hydrides did not proceed in the presence of unsaturated amines, however this contrasts with similar reactions of N-protected amines, which proceeds well. This was attributed to an electron-transfer process; the intermediate phosphorus-centred radical may accept an electron from the lone pair on nitrogen. Alternately, an acid/base reaction between the amine and P-H groups is possible. Further work could explore the reaction of various phosphorus hydrides with different amines to determine the reaction mechanism. Also, although the reaction of various phosphorus hydrides was explored, investigations could explore the use of alternative phosphorus hydrides, such as diphenylphosphane (Ph₂PH).

6.2 Chapter 3 - Summary, conclusions and future work

We have shown that phenyldimethylsilane is able to add to a wide range of alkenes in the presence of triethylborane and triisopropylsilanethiol, to give adducts in good to excellent yields (54-95%). Similarly it has been shown that dimethylphenylsilane is able to mediate addition/cyclisation reactions of various 1,6-dienes to afford the corresponding 5-exo products. Unfortunately, in our hands, subsequent reactions of the dimethylphenylsilane adducts did not proceed smoothly. The Peterson reactions failed although the oxidative removal of the silyl group, following the method developed by Fleming, proved to be
more promising. The two step procedure, involving cleavage of the Si–Ph bond to afford the corresponding fluoro-silane and subsequent oxidation yielded the desired alcohols, although in low yields (25-31%). A one-pot conversion of the phenyldimethylsilane into the desired alcohol was also explored, however this also gave the alcohols in very low yield (less than 10%).

Our studies then investigated the radical addition of trichlorosilane to alkenes, using triethylborane as the initiator. Pleasingly, as evidenced by NMR spectroscopy, it was found that the addition proceeded to completion, but isolation of the trichlorosilane adducts were not possible, due to their high reactivity. Treatment of the unpurified trichlorosilanes under Tamao oxidation conditions, afforded the desired alcohols in good yields (39-70%). However reaction of trichlorosilane with alkenes bearing an alcohol, amide or carbonyl group failed.

The “radion” chemistry of silanes is an area that has not been fully investigated, and is an area that could be developed further. This includes the investigation of other silicon hydrides, possibly hydrides with a fluorine substituent, to form adducts that are more stable than chlorosilanes and are precursors to Fleming type oxidations. Exploration of alternative oxidation methods could also be explored, with a view to increasing the yields of alcohols. In a similar manner, the combined radical addition and subsequent ionic reactions of a range of sulfur hydrides could be investigated. For example, it is known that thiols undergo efficient radical addition to a range of unsaturated systems. The resulting adducts could then be oxidised to sulfones, which could then undergo a Julia type olefination reaction.

6.3 Chapter 4 – Summary, conclusions and future work

Initial work in Chapter 4 explored the synthesis of a range of unsaturated precursors that, on reaction with tributyltin hydride, would form a radical $\alpha$ to a carbonyl. However, the radical reactions of these precursors proved to give complex reaction mixtures with only the product of direct reduction being isolated. These results led us to explore the radical cyclisation of a vinyl bromide bearing an $N$-allyl system, such as 307 and 317 (Scheme 174). Vinyl bromides 307 and 317 were made using a concise 4-step procedure from 6,7-dimethoxy-isooquinoline (190). Treatment of vinyl bromide 307 or 317 with tributyltin hydride gave mixed results. Reaction of 307 gave a complex mixture of compounds,
including the desired tricycle 308, but in a disappointing 22% yield. Whereas under identical conditions compound 317 afforded the desired tricyclic ester in an excellent 89% yield. Unfortunately, subsequent conversion of the tricycle into protoemetinol (88) proved to be problematic.

![Scheme 174](image)

Chapter 4 then explored the chemistry of phenylselenides 331 and 332, which were derived from α,β-unsaturated esters 329 and 330, which in-turn were prepared using an efficient one-pot, two-step procedure (Scheme 175). Subsequent reaction of the α,β-unsaturated esters with phenylselenol afforded the desired phenylselenides 331 and 332 in good yields. Treatment of the selenides with tributyltin hydride afforded a complex mixture of products, the desired tricyclic esters 333 or 334 being obtained in a disappointingly low yield. The major product was the cyclic amide 335. A lithium aluminium hydride reduction of the tricyclic esters 333 and 334-a afforded the corresponding alcohols, (±)-des-methylprotoemetinol (342) and (±)-protoemetinol (88-a).
Attempts were made at improving the efficiency of the radical cyclisation step, by the use of a vinyl chloride, but the radical reaction gave a complex mixture of products, containing an inseparable mixture of chlorinated and non-chlorinated cyclic compounds, along with large amounts of cyclic amide 335. In an attempt to improve the efficiency of the cyclisation, attempts to change the acceptor C=C bond to an α,β-unsaturated ester were explored, however subsequent functionalisation of the N-allyl fragment proved to be unsuccessful. Future work could explore other routes towards phenylselenides containing an α,β-unsaturated ester.

6.4 Chapter 5 - Summary, conclusions and future work

Chapter 5 explored the radical cyclisation of a vinyl bromide bearing an α,β-unsaturated ester. The approach started with the development of an efficient one-pot, two-step route to the α,β-unsaturated esters, 358 and 368, from imine 118 (Scheme 176). Subsequent treatment of the vinyl bromides 358 and 368 with tributyltin hydride afforded the desired tricycles 359 and 369, respectively, in 44-78% yield as a mixture of diastereoisomers. Unfortunately, for the natural product synthesis (when R = Me) the yield of the desired 6-
exo cyclisation product was disappointing, with several side reactions taking place. Subsequent reduction of the major diastereoisomers, with lithium aluminium hydride, afforded the expected alcohols in good yields. The final catalytic hydrogenation step proved to be tricky, with a palladium on carbon hydrogenation affording both des-methyl-epi-protoemetinol (342-b) and epi-protoemetinol (88-b) as the major diastereoisomers. However, the selective synthesis of the desired diastereoisomers could be achieved by using Crabtree’s catalyst, to afford in a moderate excess, both (±)-des-methyl-protoemetinol (342-a) and protoemetinol (88-a).

Scheme 176

It was expected that a similar approach should be applicable to the synthesis of mitragynine (86) and related compounds. The synthesis of de-methoxy mitragynine (138, or corynantheidine) was explored. Our synthesis started by the PMB protection of tryptamine (388) (Scheme 177) which in hindsight, turned out to be a poor choice of protecting group. Reaction of 391 with ethyl formate formed the intermediate formamide and a subsequent Bischler-Napieralski reaction\textsuperscript{268} afforded imine 393. The synthesis of vinyl bromide 395 from 393 was achieved using a similar procedure to that used earlier, although 395 was isolated in a disappointing yield.
Treatment of the vinyl bromide 395 with tributyltin hydride and AIBN afforded the desired cyclised product octahydroquinolizine 397 (as a mixture of partially separable diastereoisomers) along with by-products. This included an unexpected pentacyclic bridged system 398, derived from a 5-endo cyclisation of the initial vinyl radical onto the indole ring, followed by a second 5-endo cyclisation onto the α,β-unsaturated ester. The major diastereoisomer of octahydroquinolizine 397 was then subjected to a palladium-catalysed hydrogenation, to afford ester 400 (as a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers) with retention of the PMB group, along with lactam 401. Unfortunately, subsequent cleavage of the PMB group proved to be difficult, with apparent decomposition occurring.
Future work could develop a route to enantiomerically pure protoemetinol (88). During time at AstraZeneca, the racemic vinyl bromide 358 was resolved by preparative chiral HPLC, resulting in clean separation of the enantiomers of the vinyl bromide. Each of the enantiomers were elaborated, using the synthetic sequence shown in Scheme 176, to give enantiomerically pure (+)-des-methyl protoemetinol (342) and (-)-des-methyl protoemetinol (342). A similar route could be used to access enantiomerically pure protoemetinol (88), or members of the Mitragyna alkaloids.

![Scheme 179](image-url)

On this theme, future work could explore the asymmetric synthesis of vinyl bromides 358, for example, by the asymmetric addition, of an organozinc reagent to imine 188. Alternatively, an enantioselective synthesis could be achieved using a Myers-type approach, involving an asymmetric allylation of an formamide (see Chapter 2), or a carbamate (see Chapter 4).

### 6.5 Summary of routes to (±)-des-methyl-protoemetinol (342-a) and (±)-protoemetinol (88-a)

In summary we have explored a range of radical mediated approaches towards the tricyclic core of protoemetinol (88-a), and in the process have developed two synthetic routes to both (±)-des-methyl(protoemetinol (342-a) and (±)-protoemetinol (88-a). Both routes involve 4 steps, and include the use of a powerful one-pot reaction to form the radical.

---

1 HPLC was carried out at AstraZeneca, Alderly Park, by Michael Hatton on a Rainin prep (200 ml heads) instrument with a Merck 100 mm 20 µm Chiralpak AS column, using IsoHex/IPA/TEA 80/20/0.1 as the mobile phase.
precursor. (±)-Des-methyl-protoemetinol (342-a) and (±)-protoemetinol (88-a) have been isolated in 2-32% overall yield (Table 12).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Radical Precursor</th>
<th>Number of Steps</th>
<th>Overall Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(±)-des-methyl-protoemetinol</td>
<td><img src="342-a" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td><img src="331" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(±)-protoemetinol</td>
<td><img src="88-a" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td><img src="332" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(±)-des-methyl-epi-protoemetinol</td>
<td><img src="342-b" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td><img src="358" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(±)-des-methyl-protoemetinol</td>
<td><img src="342-a" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td><img src="358" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(±)-epi-protoemetinol</td>
<td><img src="88-b" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td><img src="368" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(±)-protoemetinol</td>
<td><img src="88-a" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td><img src="368" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12
Chapter 7 - Experimental

7.1 General procedures

Solvents and commercially available reagents were either bought from Aldrich or Acros as extra dry solvents, or dried and purified in house before use where appropriate; dichloromethane, toluene, tetrahydrofuran and diethyl ether were dried by passing them through a column of activated alumina according to the procedure outlined by Grubbs. Pyridine was distilled from, and stored over potassium hydroxide. Benzene, toluene and triethylamine were distilled from CaH₂ and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves or KOH as appropriate, for extra dry tetrahydrofuran was distilled from sodium and benzophenone, while dimethylformamide was distilled under reduced pressure from CaH₂. ‘Petrol’ refers to that fraction of light petroleum ether boiling in the range 40-60 ºC. All non-aqueous experiments were carried out in oven-dried glassware under an argon or nitrogen atmosphere unless otherwise specified. "BuLi, "BuLi and "BuLi were purchased from Aldrich or Acros and stored at 4 ºC and titrated against N-benzylbenzamide before use.286 LiAlH₄ was bought as a 2.4 M solution in THF from Acros. Et₃B was bought as a 1 M solution THF from Aldrich or Acros.

Thin layer chromatography was performed using Merck aluminum backed 0.2 mm Kieselgel 60 F₂₅₄ precoated plates. Spots were visualised by the quenching of UV fluorescence (254 nm or 355 nm) and then stained using an aq. alkaline solution of KMnO₄, followed by heating. Retention factors (Rf) are reported with the solvent system used in parentheses. Flash column chromatography was performed either on Merck 60 silica gel, with a particle size of 40-63 µm, the solvent system being quoted in parentheses; the column was loaded as a slurry, prepared by pre-mixing silica gel with the eluent, or use of a combiflash companion using pre-sealed cartages ranging from 4 g to 750 g of silica, under a concentration gradient quoted in parentheses.

NMR spectra were recorded on a Jeol EX 270 (¹H, 270 MHz; ¹³C, 67.9 MHz), Jeol ECX400 (¹H, 400 MHz; ¹³C, 100 MHz), Jeol ESX400 (¹H, 400 MHz; ¹³C, 100 MHz), Bruker DPX400 (¹H, 400 MHz; ¹³C, 100 MHz), Bruker DPX500 (¹H, 500 MHz; ¹³C, 125 MHz) or a Bruker AV700 (¹H, 700 MHz; ¹³C, 176 MHz) spectrometer. Chemical shifts (δ) are quoted in parts per million (ppm) downfield of tetramethylsilane using residual protonated solvent as an internal standard. Assignments were made on the basis of chemical shift and coupling constants using COSY, TOCSY or NOESY experiments.
where appropriate. Abbreviations used in the descriptions of multiplicities are s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), quin. (quintuplet), sept. (septuplet), m (multiplet), br (broad) and app (apparent). Coupling constants ($J$) are quoted to the nearest 0.1 Hz (400, 500 and 700 MHz). Carbon-13 ($^{13}\text{C}$) chemical shifts ($\delta_{C}$) are quoted in parts per million (ppm) downfield of tetramethylsilane using solvent as an internal standard. Assignments were made on the basis of chemical shift using DEPT, HMQC, HSQC and HMBC where appropriate and by comparison with the data obtained from similar structures. Fluorine-19 ($^{19}\text{F}$) NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX400 ($^{19}\text{F}$, 376 MHz) or a Jeol ECX400 ($^{19}\text{F}$, 376 MHz) instrument. Chemical shifts ($\delta_{F}$) are quoted in parts per million (ppm) downfield of trifluoroacetic acid using solvent as an internal standard.

Infrared spectra were recorded as either thin films or as solutions in CDCl$_3$ or CH$_2$Cl$_2$ using an ATI Matteson Genesis FT-IR or on a Perkin Elmer Paragon 1000 FT-IR spectrometer. Absorption maxima ($\nu_{\text{max}}$) were recorded in wavenumbers (cm$^{-1}$) and are classified as strong (s), medium (m), weak (w) and broad (br). Melting points (mp) were measured on a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.

Mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker Daltronic microOTOF. $m/z$ values are reported in Daltons and are followed by their percentage abundances; only peaks with a signal of 10% or greater are included. High resolution mass spectra were recorded using a Bruker Daltronic microOTOF (CI or EI) instrument. Values are calculated from the molecular formula corresponding to the observed signal using the most abundant isotopes of each element, to 4 decimal places.
7.2 - Experimental for chapter 2

General procedures for oxidation of tetrahydroisoquinolines

General procedure 1 - Oxidation by mercury(II) oxide and iodine\(^{180}\)

To a solution of the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (0.5-5 g, 2.2-22 mmol, 1 equiv) in anhydrous DCM (10-150 mL) was added red mercury(II) oxide (0.7-7 g, 3.3-33 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and iodine (0.85-8.5 g, 3.3-33 mmol, 1.5 equiv) the resulting solution was stirred under nitrogen at r.t. for 2 h. The resulting precipitate (HgI\(_2\)) was removed by filtration, and the salt washed with a further portion of DCM, the combined DCM fractions were washed with aq. 5% Na\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_3\) solution, then water, dried over Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), and evaporated in vacuo to afford the crude product. The crude product was purified by either distillation or flash-chromatography to give the dihydroisoquinoline (0.27-2.2 g, 53-66%).

General procedure 2 - Oxidation by \(N\)-bromosuccinimide\(^{181}\)

To a stirred solution of the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (0.5-20 g, 2.2-150 mmol, 1 equiv) in DCM (10-400 mL) was added \(N\)-bromosuccinimide (0.72-29.3 g, 4.1-165 mmol, 1.1 equiv) portionwise over 20 min. After the addition was complete, the mixture was stirred until TLC (CH\(_3\)Cl:MeOH = 9:1) indicated that the starting material was consumed (approx 1 h). An excess of sodium hydroxide (30% aqueous solution) was added, and stirring at 25 °C for 1 h. The organic layer was separated and washed with water (100 mL), and the product was extracted with 10% HCl (2 × 100 mL). The combined acidic extracts were washed with DCM (100 mL) and made basic with concentrated ammonia (pH 9). The libered oil was extracted with DCM (3 × 100 mL), dried over Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), and evaporated in vacuo to afford a light yellow oil which was purified by either distillation or flash chromatography to give the dihydroisoquinoline (0.27-16 g, 51-92%).

3,4-Dihydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline (188)\(^{180, 181}\)

1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-6,7dimethoxyisoquinoline was oxidised using either General procedure 1 or General procedure 2, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate:petrol, 1:1), to yield 3,4-dihydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline 188 as a pale yellow oil which slowly crystallised to give a yellow soft solid; mp 134-138 °C; \(\nu_{\text{max}}\) (thin film)/cm\(^{-1}\) 3643 (m), 3373 (s), 2938 (s), 1695 (w), 1611 (s), 1573 (s), 1516 (s); \(\delta_H\) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 8.14 (1H, s, NCH\(_2\)), 6.72 (1H, s, \(\text{OCH}_2\)), 7.40 (1H, d, J = 10 Hz, ArH), 7.19 (1H, d, J = 10 Hz, ArH), 6.91 (1H, s, ArH), 6.82 (1H, s, ArH), 6.51 (1H, s, ArH), 6.37 (1H, s, ArH), 5.22 (2H, s, NCH\(_2\)), 4.08 (3H, s, OCH\(_3\)), 3.88 (3H, s, OCH\(_3\)).
6.58 (1H, s, ArCH), 3.82 (6H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.65 (2H, t, J = 8.0, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 2.59 (2H, t, J = 8.0, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar); δc (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 159.4 (NCH), 150.9 (ArCOCH$_3$), 147.6 (ArCOCH$_3$), 129.6 (ArC), 121.3 (ArC), 110.1 (2 × ArCH), 55.9 (OCH$_3$), 55.8 (OCH$_3$), 47.0 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 24.5 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar); m/z (CI) 193 (10%), 192 (MH$^+$, 100).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.$^{180,181}$

3,4-Dihydroisoquinoline (200)$^{180,181}$

1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroisoquinoline was oxidised using either general procedure 1 or general procedure 2, the crude product was purified by vacuum distillation (80-85 °C at 5 Torr, lit 60-65 °C at 1 Torr) to yield 3,4-dihydroisoquinoline 200 (62-92 %) as a colourless oil which slowly crystallised to give an off white soft solid; mp 40-42 °C; ν$_{max}$ (thin film) 3402 (s), 2089 (w), 1642 (s) /cm$^{-1}$; δ$_{H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 8.28 (1H, s, NCH), 7.32-7.18 (3H, m, ArCH), 7.10 (1H, d, J = 7.3, ArCH), 3.72 (2H, app t, J = 7.6, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 2.69 (2H, t, J = 7.69, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar); δ$_{C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 160.1 (NCH), 136.0 (ArC), 130.8 (ArCH), 128.2 (ArC), 127.2 (ArC), 126.9 (ArC), 126.8 (ArC), 47.1 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 24.8 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar); m/z (CI) 133 (15%), 132 (MH$^+$, 100).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.$^{180,181}$

1-Allyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (203)

An excess of zinc (5 eq, 3.2-11.2 g, 50-175 mmol) is activated by washing successively with 5% HCl, water, methanol, and diethyl ether and then dried under high vacuum. To a stirred suspension of the activated zinc in dry THF in a two neck round bottom flask fitted with a water condenser under nitrogen was slowly added allyl bromide (2 eq, 20-70 mmol 1.7-6.1 mL), during which time the solution starts to reflux, and turn a pale green-grey colour, following complete addition the solution is stirred for a further 3 h. The greenish supernatuant was then transferred via a cannula, to a stirred solution of the dihydroisoquinoline 200 (1 eq, 1.31-4.59 g, 10-35 mmol) in THF under nitrogen, the resulting solution was left stirring for 12 h. The reaction mixture was quenched by pouring into a saturated solution of aq. NaHCO$_3$ (50-150 mL) and allowed to stir for 30 minutes, the resulting precipitate was removed by filtration, and washed with EtOAc (50-150 mL),
the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc (2 × 50-150 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous K₂CO₃, filtered and evaporated to afford a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (triethylamine:hexane, 8:1), to yield 1-allyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 203 as a pale yellow oil (0.96-4.2 g, 55-70%). Rf 0.26 (hexane:triethylamine, 8:1); ν max (thin film) 3318 (m), 3071 (s), 3017 (s), 2921 (s), 2833 (s), 1833 (w), 1668 (s), 1638 (s), 1579 (w) /cm –1; δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.20-7.05 (4H, m, ArCH), 5.90-5.78 (1H, m, CH₂CH=CH₂), 5.21-5.12 (2H, m, CH₂CH=CH₂), 4.04 (1H, app dd, J = 9.0 and 3.4 NCH; 3.28 (1H, dt, J = 12.2 and 5.1, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 3.01-2.93 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.88-2.62 (3H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and CH₂CH=CH₂), 2.56-2.48 (1H, m, CH₂CH=CH₂); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 138.3 ( ArC), 135.3 (CH=CH₂), 135.1 ( ArC), 129.1 ( ArC), 125.8 (2 × ArC), 125.6 (ArC), 117.8 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 54.8 (NCH), 40.7 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 40.4 (CH₂CH=CH₂) and 29.7 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (Cl) 175 (15%), 174 (MH⁺, 100).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.¹⁸⁰,¹⁸¹

1-Allyl-2-(((E)-but-2-enyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (204)

To a stirred solution of 3,4-dihydroisoquinoline (200) (2 g, 15.2 mmol) in Et₂O (100 mL) at r.t. under nitrogen was added crotyl bromide (2.0 mL, 85%, 16.3 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred in the dark overnight, during which time a yellow precipitate formed. The crude mixture was evaporated to dryness to afford the bromide salt as an unstable, moisture sensitive yellow powder; Major trans isomer; δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 10.33 (1H, s, NCH), 8.11 (1H, d, J = 7.6, ArCH), 7.69 (1H, dt, J = 7.6 and 1.2, ArCH), 7.44 (1H, t, J = 7.6, ArCH), 7.34 (1H, d, J = 7.6, ArCH), 6.22-6.14 (1H, m, NCH₂CH=CHCH₃), 5.74-5.64 (1H, m, NCH₂CH=CHCH₃), 4.93 (2H, d, J = 7.0, NCH₂CH=CHCH₃), 4.08 (2H, t, J = 8.0, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 1.78 (3H, app d, J = 6.4, NCH₂CH=CHCH₃); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.5 (NCH), 137.9 (CH=CH), 137.8 (CH=CH), 135.9 (ArCH), 134.7 (ArC), 128.5 (ArCH), 128.0 (ArCH), 125.0 (ArC), 120.7 (ArCH), 62.5 (NCH₂CH=CHCH₃), 48.0 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 25.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 18.0 (NCH₂CH=CHCH₃); Minor cis isomer δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.93 (2H, d, J = 7.3, NCH₂CH=CHCH₃), 1.87 (3H, app dd, J = 7.0 and 1.8, NCH₂CH=CHCH₃).

To a stirred suspension of the bromide salt in THF (75 mL) under nitrogen at 0 ºC, was added a solution of allyl zinc bromide (30 mmol, prepared as above), following the
complete addition the suspension was warmed to r.t. and stirred for 12 h. The reaction mixture was quenched by pouring into a saturated solution of aq. NaHCO₃ (100 mL) and allowed to stir for 30 minutes, the resulting precipitate was removed by filtration, and washed with EtOAc (75 mL), the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc (2 × 75 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 2:1 to 1:1 petrol:EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound as a pale yellow oil, (1.9 g, 59%); Rf 0.25 (ethyl acetate:petrol, 1:1); ν max (thin film) 3071 (m), 3017 (m), 2915 (s), 1675 (m), 1638 (m), 1490 (s), 1451 (s) /cm⁻¹; δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.14-7.03 (4H, m, ArCH), 5.92-5.80 (1H, m, CH₂CH=CH₂), 5.60-5.52 (2H, m, CH₂CH=CHCH₃), 5.15-4.95 (2H, m, CH₂CH=CH₂), 3.75 (1H app t, J = 6.2, ArCHN), 3.16-3.20 (3H, m, NCH₂CH=CHCH₃ and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.92-2.80 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.66-2.52 (2H, m, CH₂CH=CH₂), 2.48-2.40 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 1.70 (3H, d, J = 4.5, NCH₂CH=CHCH₃); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 138.0 (ArC), 136.6 (CH=CH), 134.5 (ArC), 128.7 (ArCH), 128.6 (CH=CH), 128.2 (ArCH), 127.8 (ArCH), 125.8 (ArCH), 125.4 (ArCH), 115.7 (CH=CH₂), 60.1 (ArCHN), 55.8 (NCH₂CH=CH), 43.7 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 39.8 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 25.1 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 17.8 (CH₃); m/z 228 (17%), 229 (MH⁺, 100); HRMS C₁₆H₂₂N (MH⁺) requires 228.1752, found 228.1750.

1-Allyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-methylisoquinoline (208)

To a stirred solution of 3,4-dihydroisoquinoline (200) (1 g, 7.6 mmol), in diethyl ether (75 mL) at r.t. under nitrogen was added methyl iodide (0.54 mL, 8.3 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred in the dark overnight, during which time a yellow precipitate formed. The crude mixture was evaporated to dryness to afford the iodide salt as an unstable, moisture sensitive yellow power; δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 9.96 (1H, s, NCH), 8.02 (1H, d, J = 7.0, ArCH), 7.69 (1H, app t, J = 7.2, ArCH), 7.45 (1H, app t, J = 7.0, ArCH), 7.37 (1H, d, J = 7.2, ArCH), 4.15 (2H, t, J = 8.1, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 4.01 (3H, s, NCH₃), 3.41 (2H, t, J = 8.1, NCH₂CH₂Ar); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.4 (NCH), 137.9 (ArCH), 135.7 (ArC), 134.2 (ArCH), 128.5 (ArCH), 128.3 (ArCH), 124.4 (ArC), 51.0 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 48.7 (NCH₃), 25.3 (NCH₂CH₂Ar).
To a stirred suspension of the iodide salt in THF (50 mL) under nitrogen at 0 ºC, was added a solution of allyl zinc bromide (16 mmol, prepared as above), following the complete addition the suspension was warmed to r.t. and stirred for 12 h. The reaction mixture was quenched by pouring into a saturated solution of aq. NaHCO₃ (50 mL) and allowed to stir for 30 minutes, the resulting precipitate was removed by filtration, and washed with EtOAc (50 mL), the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc (2 × 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 2:1 to 1:1 petrol:EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound as a pale yellow oil (0.65 g, 45%); Rf 0.20 (ethyl acetate:petrol, 1:1); ν max (thin film) 3068 (w), 3024 (m), 2930 (s), 2850 (w), 1661 (m), 1636 (m), 1497 (s) /cm –1; δ H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.15-7.05 (4H, m, ArCH), 5.82-5.72 (1H, m, CH=CH₂), 5.07-4.98 (2H, m, CH=CH₂), 3.56 (1H, t, J = 5.5, NCH), 3.12 (1H, app dt, J = 12.2 and 6.1, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.81 (2H, t, J = 6.1, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.72-2.54 (3H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and CH₂CH=CH₂), 2.47 (3H, s, NCH₃); δ C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 137.5 (ArC), 135.7 (CH=CH₂), 134.6 (ArC), 128.6 (ArCH), 127.1 (ArCH), 125.8 (ArCH), 125.6 (ArCH), 116.1 (CH=CH₂), 63.5 (NCH), 48.5 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 42.7 (NCH₃), 38.8 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 26.5 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z 189 (14%), 188 (MH⁺, 100).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.²⁸⁸

**Diethyl octylphosphonate**¹²¹,¹²⁵,¹⁸⁸

![Diethyl Octylphosphonate](image)

To a stirred solution of 1-octene (1.0 g, 8.18 mmol.) in cyclohexane (20 mL) under nitrogen was added diethyl phosphite (11.3 g, 81.8 mmol) and AIBN (0.24 g, 2.46 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated to 80 ºC, and further portions of AIBN (0.24 g, 2.46 mmol) were added every 1 h, until a total of 5 additions had been made. The solution was stirred at 80 ºC for a further 12 h, after which the reaction mixture was cooled and the solvent removed in vacuo. The crude mixture was distilled to remove excess diethyl phosphite, and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (silica, petrol/EtOAc, 1:1) to afforded the title compound (1.9 g, 95%) as a colourless oil. Rf 0.3 (EtOAc); ν max (thin film) 2929 (s), 2857 (s), 1466 (m), 1392 (m), 1249 (s), 1164 (s), 1023 (s) cm⁻¹; δ H (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 4.16-4.02 (4H, m, 2 × OCH₂CH₃), 1.78-1.72 (2H, m, PCH₂), 1.65-1.59 (2H, m, PCH₂CH₂), 1.41-1.21 (10H, m, 5 × CH₂), 1.32 (6H, t, J = 7.0, 2 × OCH₂CH₃), 0.88 (3H, t, J = 7.0, CH₂CH₂CH₃); δ C (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 61.3 (d, J = 7.0, 2
× OCH₂CH₃), 31.7 and 29.0 (2 × CH₂), 30.6 (d, J = 17.0, PCH₂CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 22.3 (d, J = 6.0, PCH₂CH₂CH₂), 25.6 (d, J = 140.5, PCH₂), 16.4 (d, J = 6.0, 2 × OCH₂CH₃), 14.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃); m/z (CI, NH₃) 251 (MH⁺, 100%); (Found: MH⁺, 251.1779. C₁₂H₂₇O₃P requires: MH⁺, 251.1776).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.¹²¹, ¹²⁵, ¹⁸⁸

**O,O-Diethyl octylphosphonothioate (76)** ¹²¹, ¹²⁵, ¹⁸⁸

To a stirred solution of 1-octene (1.0 g, 8.18 mmol.) in cyclohexane (20 mL) under nitrogen was added diethyl thiophosphite (3.793 g, 24.5 mmol) and AIBN (0.24 g, 2.46 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated to 80 °C, and further portions of AIBN (0.24 g, 2.46 mmol) were added every 1 h, until a total of 5 additions had been made. The solution was stirred at 80 °C for a further 12 h, after which the reaction mixture was cooled and the solvent removed in vacuo. The resulting crude oil was purified by column chromatography (silica, petrol:EtOAc, 19:1) to afforded the title compound (2.15 g, 98%) as a colourless oil. R₇ 0.45 (petrol/EtOAc, 9:1); νₘₐₓ (thin film) 2928 (s), 2954 (s), 2856 (s), 2364 (w), 1465 (m), 1388 (m), 1160 (m), 1197 (s), 1028 (s) cm⁻¹; δₗ (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 4.19-3.97 (4H, m, 2 × OCH₂CH₃), 1.92-1.84 (2H, m, PCH₂), 1.63-1.51 (2H, m, PCH₂CH₂), 1.42-1.14 (10H, m, 5 × CH₂), 1.30 (6H, t, J = 7.0, 2 × OCH₂CH₃), 0.84 (3H, t, J = 7.0, CH₂CH₂CH₃); δₗ (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 62.2 (d, J = 7.0, 2 × OCH₂CH₃), 34.6 (d, J = 111.0, PCH₂), 31.8 and 29.1 (2 × CH₂), 30.3 (d, J = 18.5, PCH₂CH₂), 22.7 (d, J = 6.0, PCH₂CH₂CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 16.2 (d, J = 7.0, 2 × OCH₂CH₃), 14.1 (CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃); m/z (CI, NH₃) 267 (MH⁺, 100%); (Found: MH⁺, 267.1546. C₁₂H₂₇O₂PS requires: MH⁺, 267.1548).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.¹²¹, ¹²⁵, ¹⁸⁸

**General procedure 3: HWE reaction of phosphonothioates**

A stirred solution of the phosphonothioate (1 equiv, 0.94-2.23 mmol) in dry THF (20 – 40 mL) under nitrogen is cooled to -78 °C, and allowed to achieve thermal equilibrium. nBuLi (2 equiv, 1.88-4.46 mmol) is added dropwise, following complete addition, the solution is allowed to warm to 0 °C, and stirred for 30 minutes. The resulting solution is then cooled to -78 °C before the addition of the ketone/aldehyde (2 equiv, 1.88-4.46 mmol). The solution is then allowed to warm to rt, and stirred overnight. The crude reaction mixture is then passed through a plug of silica (washed through with EtOAc) and concentrated in
vacuo to yield crude product. Purification by column chromatography afforded the desired alkenes (39-85%) as colourless oils.

1-(1-Phenyl-1-noneny1)benzene (77)  

\[ 
\text{O,O-Diethyl octylphosphonothioate (0.50 g, 1.82 mmol, 1 eq.), } \text{^tBuLi (4.46 mmol) and benzophenone (0.812 g, 4.46 mmol, 2 eq.) were reacted according to General procedure 3. Purification by column chromatography (silica, petrol) afforded the title compound 77 (0.57 g, 90%) as a colourless oil. Rf 0.35 (petrol); } \nu_{\text{max}} \text{ (thin film) 3081 (m), 3059 (m), 3023 (m), 2957 (s), 2929 (s), 2855 (s), 1598 (m), 1494 (s), 1470 (m), 1443 (s), 1365 (w), 1030 (w) cm}^{-1}; \delta_{\text{H}} \text{ (400 MHz; CDCl}_3\text{) 7.39-7.15 (10H, m, ArCH}, \text{H}), 6.08 (1H, t, J = 7.5, \text{C=CH}), 2.10 (2H, app. q, J = 7.5, \text{C=CHCH}_2\text{), 1.43 (2H, m, C=CHCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{), 1.32-1.20 (8H, m, 4×CH}_2\text{), 0.87 (3H, t, J = 7.0, CH}_3\text{); } \delta_{\text{C}} \text{ (100 MHz; CDCl}_3\text{) 142.9, 141.3 and 140.3 (2×ArC, C=CH), 130.4 (C=CH), 129.9, 128.1, 128.0, 127.2, 126.8 and 126.7 (10×ArCH), 31.8, 30.0, 29.7, 29.2 and 29.2 (5×CH}_2\text{), 22.6 (CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{), 14.1 (CH}_3\text{); m/z (EI) 278 (M}^+, \text{26%), 193 (Ph}_2\text{C=}\text{CHCH}_2^+\text{, 100), 91 (C}_7\text{H}_7^+, \text{57), 41 (C}_3\text{H}_5^+, \text{52); (Found: M}^+, \text{180.1028. C}_{20}\text{H}_{24} \text{requires: M}^+, \text{180.1025). The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.} \text{121, 125, 188}
\]

1-[(E)-1-Nonenyl]benzene (210)  

\[ 
\text{O,O-Diethyl octylphosphonothioate (0.250 g, 0.94 mmol, 1 eq.), } \text{^tBuLi (1.88 mmol) and benzaldehyde (0.199 g, 1.88 mmol, 2 eq.) were reacted according to General procedure 3. Purification by column chromatography (silica, petrol) afforded the title compound 210 (0.07 g, 39%) as a colourless oil. Rf 0.45 (petrol); } \nu_{\text{max}} \text{ (thin film) 2927 (s), 2854 (s), 2361 (w), 1667 (w), 1465 (m), 1377 (m) cm}^{-1}; \delta_{\text{H}} \text{ (400 MHz; CDCl}_3\text{) 7.39 (2H, d, J = 7.0, } \text{ArCH}, \text{), 7.34 (2H, t, J = 7.0, } \text{ArCH}, \text{), 7.23 (1H, t, J = 7.0, } \text{ArCH}, \text{), 6.42 (1H, d, J = 16.0, ArCH=CHCH}_2\text{), 6.28 (1H, dq, J = 16.0 and 7.0, CH=CH=CHCH}_2\text{), 2.25 (2H, app. q, J = 7.0, CH=CHCH}_2\text{), 1.52 (2H, m, CH=CHCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{), 1.44-1.26 (8H, m, CH}_2\text{), 0.88 (3H, t, J = 7.0, CH}_2\text{CH}_3\text{); } \delta_{\text{C}} \text{ (100 MHz; CDCl}_3\text{) 137.9 (\text{ArC}, 131.3 (CH=CHCH}_2\text{), 129.6 (CH=CHCH}_2\text{), 128.4 (2×ArCH), 126.7 (2}
\]

130
× \( ^{13}\text{C}\text{H}) \), 125.9 (\( ^{13}\text{C}\text{H}) \), 33.1 (\( \text{CH}_2 \)), 29.7 (\( \text{CH}_2 \)), 29.4 (\( \text{CH}_2 \)), 29.2 (\( \text{CH}_2 \)), 22.7 (\( \text{CH}_2 \)), 14.1 (\( \text{CH}_3 \)); \( m/z \) (EI) 202 (M\(^+\), 21%), 117 (PhCHCHCH\(_2\)\(^+\), 100); (Found: M\(^+\), 202.1730. \( C_{15}H_{32} \) requires: M\(^+\), 202.1722). The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.\(^{121,125,188}\)

2-Methyl-2-decene (211) \(^{121,125,188}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O,O-Diethyl octylphosphonothioate (0.250 g, 0.94 mmol, 1 eq.), } & \text{ }^3\text{BuLi (1.88 mmol) and } \\
\text{acetone (0.109 g, 1.88 mmol, 2 eq.) were reacted according to General procedure 3. Purification by column chromatography (silica, petrol) afforded 2-methyl-2-decene 211 (0.065 g, 47%) as a colourless oil. } & \text{Rf 0.65 (petrol); } \\
\nu_{\text{max}} (\text{thin film}) & 2957 (s), 2923 (s), 2856 (s), 2729 (w), 2673 (w), 1667 (w), 1465 (s), 1378 (m) \text{ cm}^{-1}; \delta_H (400 \text{ MHz; CDCl}_3) & 5.15-5.09 (1H, m, C=CH) \text{, } 2.00-1.92 (2H, m, C=CHCH\(_2\)) \text{, } 1.69 \text{ (3H, d, } J = 1.0, \text{ CH}_3C=CH) \text{, } 1.60 \text{ (3H, s, CH}_3C=CH) \text{, } 1.45-1.20 (10H, m, 5 \times \text{CH}_2) \text{, } 0.88 \text{ (3H, t, } J = 7.0, \text{ CH}_2C\text{H}_3); \delta_C (100 \text{ MHz; CDCl}_3) & 131.1 \text{ (C=CH) \text{, } 125.0 (C=CH) \text{, } 31.9, 29.9, 29.7, 29.3, 29.3 \text{ and } 28.1 (5 \times \text{CH}_2), 25.7 (\text{CH}_3), 22.7 (\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3), 22.7 (\text{CH}_3), 14.1 (\text{CH}_3); m/z (EI) 154 (M\(^+\), 39%), 84 (\text{C}_8\text{H}_{12}\text{H}^+, 40), 69 (\text{C}_5\text{H}_9\text{H}^+, 86), 55 (\text{C}_4\text{H}_7\text{H}^+, 60), 41 (\text{C}_3\text{H}_5\text{H}^+, 100); (Found: M\(^+\), 154.1720. \text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{38} \text{ requires: M}^+, 154.1722).} 
\end{align*}
\]
The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.\(^{121,125,188}\)

1-Octylidenecyclohexane (212) \(^{121,125,188}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O,O-Diethyl octylphosphonothioate (0.250 g, 0.94 mmol, 1 eq.), } & \text{ }^3\text{BuLi (1.88 mmol) and } \\
\text{cyclohexanone (0.092 g, 1.88 mmol, 2 eq.) were reacted according to General procedure 3. Purification by column chromatography (silica, petrol) afforded 1-octylidenecyclohexane 212 (0.15 g, 80%) as a colourless oil. } & \text{Rf 0.6 (petrol); } \\
\nu_{\text{max}} (\text{thin film}) & 2930 (s), 2850 (s), 2661 (w), 1447 (m), 1376 (m), 1342 (m), 1306 (m) \text{ cm}^{-1}; \delta_H (400 \text{ MHz; CDCl}_3) & 5.06 (1H, t, } J = 7.0, \text{ C=CH) \text{, } 2.14 (2H, t, } J = 7.0, \text{ CCH}_2) \text{, } 2.06 (2H, t, } J = 7.0, \text{ CCH}_2) \text{, } 1.96 \text{ (2H, app. q, } J = 7.0, \text{ C=CHCH}_2) \text{, } 1.58-1.42 (6H, m, 3 \times \text{CH}_2), 1.38-1.20 (10H, m, 5 \times \text{CH}_2), 0.88 \text{ (3H, t, } J = 7.0, \text{ CH}_3); \delta_C (100 \text{ MHz; CDCl}_3) & 139.7 (\text{C=CH), } 121.7 \text{ (C=CH), } 37.2 (\text{CCH}_2), 31.7, 30.0 \text{ and } 29.0 (4 \times \text{CH}_2), 28.5 (\text{CCH}_2), 28.4, 27.6, 26.7, 26.8 \text{ and } 22.4 (5 \times \text{CH}_2), 13.8 (\text{CH}_3); m/z (EI) 194 (M\(^+\), 58%), 109 (\text{C}_8\text{H}_{13}\text{H}^+, 66), 96 (\text{C}_7\text{H}_{12}\text{H}^+, 86), 84 (\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{H}^+, 40), 69 (\text{C}_5\text{H}_9\text{H}^+, 86), 55 (\text{C}_4\text{H}_7\text{H}^+, 60), 41 (\text{C}_3\text{H}_5\text{H}^+, 100); (Found: M\(^+\), 154.1720. \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{38} \text{ requires: M}^+, 154.1722).} 
\end{align*}
\]
68), 81 (C₆H₅⁺, 63), 67 (C₅H₉⁺, 100), 55 (C₄H₇⁺, 37), 41 (C₃H₅⁺, 50); (Found: M⁺, 194.2034. C₁₄H₂₆ requires: M⁺, 194.2035).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.¹²¹, ¹²⁵, ¹⁸⁸

3-(2,2-Diphenylvinyl)-4-methyltetrahydrofuran (79) ¹²¹, ¹²⁵, ¹⁸⁸

\[
\text{Ph} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{Ph}
\]

To a stirred solution of allyl ether (1.0 g, 10.2 mmol, 1 eq.) in dry THF (40 mL) under nitrogen was added diethyl thiophosphite (1.9 g, 12.0 mmol, 1.2 eq.) and AIBN (0.405 g, 2.4 mmol, 0.25 eq.). The solution was then heated to reflux for 6 h, after which a further portion of AIBN (0.405 g, 2.4 mmol, 0.25 eq.) was added and reflux maintained overnight. The resulting reaction mixture was then cooled to -78 °C, and allowed to achieve thermal equilibrium. sBuLi (30.6 mmol, 3 eq.) is added dropwise, following complete addition, the solution is allowed to warm to 0 °C, and stirred for 30 minutes. The resulting solution is then cooled to -78 °C before the addition of benzophenone (3.4 g, 20.1 mmol, 2 eq.). The solution is then allowed to warm to rt, and stirred overnight. The crude reaction mixture is then passed through a plug of silica (washed through with EtOAc) and concentrated in vacuo to yield crude product. Purification by column chromatography (silica, petrol/EtOAc, 19:1) affords the title compound 79 (2.3 g, 86%) as an inseparable mixture of cis- and trans-isomers, (3:1, cis:trans) as a colourless oil. Rf 0.35 (petrol/EtOAc, 4:1); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (thin film) 3081 (m), 3028 (m), 2959 (s), 2933 (s), 2852 (s), 1886 (w), 1809 (w), 1658 (w), 1596 (m), 1575 (w), 1494 (m), 1440 (s) cm⁻¹; \( \delta_H \) (400 MHz; CDCl₃) (cis-isomer) 7.40-7.14 (10H, m, ArCH) 6.04 (1H, d, \( J = 10.5 \), C=CH), 3.95-3.87 (2H, m, CH₂OC₂H₆), 3.69 (1H, dd, \( J = 8.0 \) and 7.0, CHCH₂O), 3.53 (1H, dd, \( J = 8.0 \) and 6.0, OCH₂CHCH₃), 2.99-2.95 (1H, m, CHCHCH₂O), 2.35-2.29 (1H, m, OCH₂CHCH₃), 1.08 (3H, d, \( J = 7.0 \), CH₃); \( \delta_C \) (100 MHz; CDCl₃) (cis-isomer) 143.7 (\(^{13}\)C), 142.3 (C=CH), 140.0 (\(^{13}\)C), 129.8 (4 \( x \) \(^{13}\)CH), 128.2 (4 \( x \) \(^{13}\)CH), 127.2 (2 \( x \) \(^{13}\)CH), 127.0 (C=CH), 75.1 and 72.9 (CH₂OCH₂), 43.0 (CH), 38.2 (CH₂CH), 13.9 (CH₃); m/z (CI, NH₃) 282 (M+NH₄⁺, 39%), 265 (M+H⁺, 100), 207 (46), 180 (56); (Found: MH⁺, 265.1589. C₁₉H₂₀O requires: MH⁺, 265.1592).

The presence of the trans-isomer was indicated by: \( \delta_H \) (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 5.92 (1H, d, \( J = 10.0 \), C=CH), 4.05-4.01 (1H, m, OCH₂), 3.95-3.87 (1H, m, OCH₂), 3.63-3.57 (1H, m, OCH₂), 3.30-3.25 (1H, m, OCH₂), 2.59-2.43 (1H, m, CH), 2.20-2.10 (1H, m, CHCH₃), 0.94 (3H, d, \( J = 7.0 \), CH₃).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.¹²¹, ¹²⁵, ¹⁸⁸
**N,N-Diallylbenzamide**

![N,N-Diallylbenzamide](image)

To a stirred biphasic mixture of diallylamine (10.0 g, 0.103 mmol) in DCM (150 mL) and NaOH (6.7 g, 0.169 mmol) in water (150 mL) at 0 °C was added dropwise a solution of benzoyl chloride (13.1 mL, 0.113 mmol) in DCM (50 mL) (HCl fumes were observed during the addition). Following the complete addition of the benzoyl chloride solution the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for a further 30 minutes, then allowed to warm up to r.t. and left stirring for 6 h. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (2 × 150 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (150 mL) and dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by vacuum distillation (165 °C at 9 Torr) to yield the title compound as a pale yellow oil (18.5 g, 90%); νmax (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3489 (w), 3080 (m), 3011 (m), 2982 (m), 2921 (m), 1629 (s), 1577 (m), 1494 (m), 1453 (s) 1410 (s); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.42-7.36 (5H, m, 5 × ArCH), 5.80 (1H, s, CH=CH₂), 5.65 (1H, s, CH=CH₂), 5.25-5.18 (4H, m, 2 × CH=CH₂), 4.06 (2H, s, NCH₂) and 3.75 (2H, s, NCH₂); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 171.5 (C=O), 136.1 (ArC), 132.9 and 132.5 (CH=CH₂), 129.6 (ArCH), 128.1 (2 × ArCH), 126.3 (2 × ArCH), 117.6 (2 × CH=CH₂), 50.5 (NCH₂), 46.9 (NCH₂); m/z (CI, NH₃) 202 (MNH₄⁺, 100%), 105 (PhC(=O)+, 14).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.

**O,O-Diethyl (1-benzoyl-4-methyl-3-pyrrolidinyl)methylphosphonothioate**

![O,O-Diethyl (1-benzoyl-4-methyl-3-pyrrolidinyl)methylphosphonothioate](image)

To a stirred solution of N,N-diallylbenzamide (0.50 g, 2.5 mmol) and O,O-diethyl phosphonothioate (1.92 g, 12.5 mmol) in degassed cyclohexane (30 mL) was added AIBN (0.12 g, 0.70 mmol) under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The solution was heated at 80 °C for 6 h, then the solution was cooled to r.t. and solvent removed under reduced pressure. Kugelrühr distillation (60 °C, 1 mmHg) removed excess O,O-diethyl phosphonothioate from the mixture affording crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 2:1 to 1:1 petrol:EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated...
to dryness to afford the title compound (0.72 g, 80%) as a colourless oil, isolated as a 2.2:1 cis-trans- mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers. \( R = 0.3 \) (petrol:EtOAc 1:1); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (thin film) / cm\(^{-1}\) 2979 (s), 1625 (s), 1426 (s), 1047 (s), 1026 (s), 958 (s); major cis-diastereoisomer, \( \delta_{\text{H}} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.57-7.46 (2H, m, \( \text{ArCH} \)), 7.45-7.32 (3H, m, \( \text{ArCH} \)), 4.30-3.90 (4H, m, \( \text{POCH}_2\text{CH}_3 \)), 3.90-3.05 (4H, m, \( \text{NCH}_2 \)), 2.83-1.80 (4H, m, \( \text{CH and PCH}_2 \)), 1.40-1.06 (6H, m, \( \text{POCH}_2\text{CH}_3 \)), 1.02 and 0.89 (3H, 2 x d, \( J = 7.0 \) and 7.0, \( \text{CHCH}_3 \)); \( \delta_{\text{C}} \) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 168.7 and 168.6 (C=O), 136.4, 136.3 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 129.6 (ArCH), 128.0, 127.9 (2 x \( \text{ArCH} \)), 126.8 (2 x \( \text{ArCH} \)), 62.0 (d, \( J_{\text{CP}} = 7.0 \) and 7.0, \( \text{POCH}_2\text{CH}_3 \)), 56.0 and 53.3 (\( \text{NCCH}_2\text{CHCH}_3 \)), 52.4 and 49.3 (2 x d, \( J_{\text{CP}} = 7.0 \) and 10.0, \( \text{CH}_2\text{CHCH}_2\text{N} \)), 37.0 and 35.3 (2 x d, \( J_{\text{CP}} = 3 \) and 4, \( \text{PCH}_2\text{CH} \)), 35.9 and 34.3 (2 x d, \( J_{\text{CP}} = 14.0 \) and 14.0, \( \text{CHCH}_3 \)), 33.4 and 32.6 (2 x d, \( J_{\text{CP}} = 113.0 \) and 113.0, \( \text{PCH}_2 \)), 15.9 and 15.8 (2 x d, \( J_{\text{CP}} = 7.0 \) and 7.0, 2 x \( \text{POCH}_2\text{CH}_3 \)), 13.4, 12.7 (\( \text{CHCH}_3 \)); \( m/z \) (CI, NH\(_3\)) 356 (M+H\(^+\), 100%); (Found: M+H\(^+\), 356.1450. C\(_{17}\)H\(_{27}\)NO\(_3\)PS requires 356.1449).

The presence of the minor trans- diastereoisomer was indicated by \( ^1\text{H} \) and \( ^{13}\text{C} \) NMR spectroscopy; \( \delta_{\text{H}} \) (270 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) (mixture of conformers) 1.11 and 1.00 (3H, 2 x d, \( J = 6.5 \) and 6.5, \( \text{CHCH}_3 \)); \( \delta_{\text{C}} \) (67.9 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) (mixture of conformers) 169.2 (C=O), 61.9 (d, \( J_{\text{CP}} = 3 \) and 4, \( \text{PCH}_2\text{CH} \)), 55.7, 55.0, 52.5, 51.9 (2 x \( \text{NCH} \)), 40.9, 39.3 (2 x d, \( J_{\text{CP}} = 4 \) and 4, \( \text{PCH}_2\text{CH} \)), 40.1, 38.4 (2 x d, \( J_{\text{CP}} = 18 \) and 19, \( \text{CHCH}_3 \)), 15.1, 14.6 (\( \text{CHCH}_3 \)).

1-Allyl-1,2-dihydro-2-methylisoquinoline (214)

To a stirred solution of isoquinoline (2 g, 15.2 mmol) in diethyl ether (75 mL) at r.t. under nitrogen was added methyl iodide (1.9 mL, 30 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred in the dark at r.t. for 12 h during which time a yellow precipitate formed. The solvent was removed to dryness to afford the iodide salt as an unstable, moisture sensitive yellow power; \( \delta_{\text{H}} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 10.03 (1H, s, \( \text{CNH} \)), 8.71 (1H, dd, \( J = 6.7 \) and 1.2 \( \text{ArCH} \)), 8.57 (1H, d, \( J = 7.1 \) and 1.2 \( \text{ArCH} \)), 8.47 (1H, d, \( J = 8.2 \) \( \text{NCHCH} \)), 8.34 (1H, d, \( J = 8.2 \) \( \text{NCHCH} \)), 8.26-8.21 (1H, m, \( \text{ArCH} \)), 8.08-8.03 (1H, m, \( \text{ArCH} \)), 4.49 (3H, s, \( \text{NCHCH} \)); \( \delta_{\text{C}} \) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 152.2 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 150.5 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 142.7 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 136.6 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 135.8 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 130.9 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 129.9 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 127.0 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 125.2 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 47.8 (\( \text{NCHCH} \)).

To a stirred suspension of the iodide salt in THF (50 mL) under nitrogen at 0 °C, was added a solution of allyl zinc bromide (60 mmol, prepared as above), following the complete addition the suspension was warmed to r.t. and stirred for 12 h. The reaction
mixture was quenched by pouring into a saturated solution of aq. NaHCO$_3$ (100 mL) and allowed to stir for 30 minutes, the resulting precipitate was removed by filtration, and washed with EtOAc (100 mL), the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc (2 × 75 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO$_4$, filtered and evaporated to afford a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on basic alumina, elution gradient 2:1 to 1:1 petrol:EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound as a pale yellow oil (1.8 g, 63%); Rf 0.8 (ethyl acetate:petrol, 1:1); $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film) 3020 (m), 2905 (s), 1634 (m), 1499 (s) /cm$^\text{-1}$; $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.08 (1H, dt, $J = 7.3$ and 1.2, $^\text{Ar}CH$), 6.96 (1H, dt, $J = 7.3$ and 1.2, $^\text{Ar}CH$), 6.89-6.84 (2H, m, $^\text{Ar}CH$), 6.06 (1H, dd, $J = 7.3$ and 1.5, NCH=CH), 5.80-5.70 (1H, m, CH=CH$_2$), 5.22 (1H, d, $J = 7.3$, NCH=CH), 5.00-4.93 (2H, m, CH=CH$_2$), 4.32 (1H, app t, $J = 5.5$, NCH), 2.95 (3H, s, NCH$_3$), 2.49-2.32 (2H, m, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$); $\delta_C$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 136.6 (NC$_{\text{H}}$CH=CH), 135.0 (C$_{\text{H}}$H=CH$_2$), 132.8 ($^\text{Ar}C$), 128.4 ($^\text{Ar}C$), 127.1 ($^\text{Ar}CH$), 126.1 ($^\text{Ar}CH$), 123.9 ($^\text{Ar}CH$), 122.3 ($^\text{Ar}CH$), 117.2 (CH=CH$_2$), 96.1 (NCH=CH), 62.2 (NCH), 40.7 (NCH$_3$), 36.6 (CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$); m/z (CI) 187 (15%), 186 (MH$^+$, 100).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.$^{290}$

1,2,3,3-Tetramethylindolin-2-yl diethyl phosphonate (218)$^{190, 191}$

A stirred solution of 1,3,3-trimethyl-2-methyleneindoline (513 mg, 3.0 mmol), and diethyl phosphite (3.9 mL, 30.0 mmol) in THF (20 mL) under an atmosphere of N$_2$ was heated at reflux for 20 minutes, after which AIBN (74 mg, 0.45 mmol) was added and refluxing maintained, after 1 h, further portions of AIBN (74 mg, 0.45 mmol) were added every 1 h for 2 further portions. The resulting solution was stirred at reflux over night. The excess diethyl phosphite was removed by vacuum distillation (72 °C at 8 mmHg). The resulting crude reaction mixture was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 10:1 to 2:1 petrol:EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound as a pale orange oil (0.38 g, 41%), which on standing changes colour to form a red oil; $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film) / cm$^{-1}$ ) 3019 (m), 2908 (s), 1422 (s), 1049 (s), 1022 (s); $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.03 (1H, td, $J = 7.6$ and 1.2, $^\text{Ar}CH$), 6.91 (1H, dd, $J = 7.6$ and 0.8, $^\text{Ar}CH$), 6.65 (1H, td, $J = 7.6$ and 0.8, $^\text{Ar}CH$), 6.34 (1H, d, $J = 7.6$, $^\text{Ar}CH$), 4.10-3.95 (4H, m, OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 2.87 (3H, d, $^4J_{\text{PH}} = 1.4$, NCH$_3$), 1.39 (3H, s, C(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.32 (3H, d, $^3J_{\text{PH}} = 15.3$, PCCH$_3$), 1.25 (3H, s, C(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.23 (3H, t, $J = 7.0$, OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 1.16 (3H, t, $J = 7.0$, PCCH$_3$), 1.25 (3H, s, C(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.23 (3H, t, $J = 7.0$, OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 1.16 (3H, t, $J = 7.0$, PCCH$_3$).
OCH₃CH₃); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 149.3 (JCPC = 7.2, NC), 137.9 (JCPC = 7.7, NC), 127.4 (JC, 120.7 (JC), 117.8 (JC), 106.2 (JC), 72.9 (JCPC = 152.7, NC), 62.2 (JCPC = 7.2, POCH₂CH₃), 61.2 (JCPC = 8.1, POCH₂CH₃), 30.9 (NCH₃), 25.4 (JCPC = 5.0, C(CH₃)₂), 24.0 (JCPC = 6.9, C(CH₃)₂), 16.5 (JCPC = 3.2, POCH₂CH₃), 16.4 (JCPC = 3.4, POCH₂CH₃), 13.7 (JCPC = 13.7, PCCH₃); m/z (CI) 334 (10%), 334 (MNa⁺, 95), 313 (10), 312 (MH⁺, 100), 310 (70), 174 (40); HRMS C₁₆H₂₇NO₃P, (MH⁺) requires 312.1723, found 312.1720

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data 190, 191

N,N-Dimethyl-N'-tert-butylformamidine (221) 151, 152, 192

To a stirred solution of N,N-dimethylformamide (155 mL, 2.0 mol) and dimethyl sulfate (190 mL, 2.0 mol) was heated at 80-90 °C for 3 h under nitrogen, then cooled to 0 °C. Then a solution of tert-butylamine (231 mL, 2.2 mol) in 400 mL of DCM was slowly added over 45 minutes and the resulting solution was then heated at reflux for 24 h. The reaction mixture was cooled and poured into 2 L of a 20% aq. potassium hydroxide solution. The organic layer was removed and the aqueous layer extracted three times with DCM (200 mL). The combined extracts were washed with brine (300 mL), dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated by slow distillation at atmospheric pressure. The resulting liquid was fractionally distilled to provide N,N-dimethyl-N'-tert-butylformamidine as a colourless liquid, bp 130-134 °C (Lit 132-134 °C); νmax (thin film) 3361 (m), 2963 (s), 1647 (s), 1436 (m), 1369 (s)/cm⁻¹; δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.15 (1H, s, NCH=NtBu), 2.65 (6H, s, N(CH₃)₂), 1.00 (9H, s, NC(CH₃)₂); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 150.7 (NC-H=NtBu), 52.6 (C(CH₃)₂), 36.7 (N(CH₃)₂), 31.2 ((CH₃)₃); m/z (CI), 130 (10%), 129 (MH⁺, 100), 113 (10).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data 151, 152, 192

N-((3,4-Dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methylene)-2-methylpropan-2-amine (222) 151, 152, 192

To a stirred solution of the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (1 equiv) under an atmosphere of N₂, was added N,N-dimethyl-N'-tert-butylformamidine 221 (1.05 equiv), and a catalytic
ammonium sulfate in toluene and heated at reflux for 48-72 h. After consumption of the starting material, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting crude oil was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 12:1 petrol:Et₃N. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound as a colourless oil, (75-85%), Rₑ 0.60 (hexane:triethylamine, 10:1); νₑₑ (thin film) 3276 (w), 3022 (s), 2950 (s), 2820 (s), 1660 (s), 1583 (w) /cm⁻¹; δₑ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.39 (1H, s, NC(N)H), 7.10-6.98 (4H, m, ArCH), 4.41 (2H, s, NCH₂Ar), 3.41 (2H, t, J = 5.8 NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.72 (2H, t, J = 5.8 NCH₂CH₂Ar), 1.11 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃); δₑ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 150.0 (NC(N)H), 134.6 (ArC), 133.7 (ArC), 128.7 (ArCH), 126.4 (ArCH), 125.9 (2 × ArCH), 53.1 (C(CH₃)₃), 46.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 44.3 (NCH₂Ar), 31.2 (3 × C(CH₃)₃), 29.2 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (CI) 217 (MH⁺, 25%), 161 (100); HRMS found 217.1700; C₁₄H₂₁N₂ (MH⁺) requires 217.1699.

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data 151, 152, 192

**N-((1-allyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methylene)-2-methylpropan-2-amine (223)** 151, 152, 192

![Image of molecule](image)

To a stirred solution of N-((3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methylene)-2-methylpropan-2-amine (1.0 equiv) in THF under nitrogen at -78 °C (dry ice/acetone), was added nBuLi (1.1 equiv). The mixture become a yellow colour and was kept at -78 °C for 2 h, before the slow addition of allyl bromide (1.1 equiv), and the mixture slowly warmed to 0 °C over 3 h. The mixture was then partitioned between sat. aq. NaHCO₃ and DCM. After an additional extraction with dichloromethane (30 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 mL), and dried over K₂CO₃. Concentration in vacuo yielded the crude alkylated formamidine. The resulting crude oil was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 12:1 petrol:Et₃N. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 223 as a pale yellow oil (69-80%), Rₑ 0.52 (hexane:triethylamine, 10:1); νₑₑ (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3286 (w), 3031 (m), 2934 (s), 2819 (s), 1675 (s), 1642 (m); δₑ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.34 (1H, s, NC(H)=N), 7.08-7.0 (4H, m, ArCH), 5.80-5.70 (1H, m, CH=CH₂), 5.00-4.92 (2H, m, CH=CH₂), 4.69-4.60 (1H, m, NCH), 3.91-3.80 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 3.32-3.20 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.92-2.81 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.68-2.60 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.52-2.40 (2H, m, CH₂CH=CH₂), 1.07 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃); δₑ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 149.9 (NC(H)=N), 137.5 (ArC), 135.5 (CH=CH₂), 135.0 (CH=CH₂), 130.6 (ArC), 122.4 (CH=CH₂), 53.4 (C(CH₃)₃), 47.0 (NC(CH₂)₂Ar), 45.9 (NC(N)H), 31.3 (3 × C(CH₃)₃), 29.2 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 28.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 26.0 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 1.11 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃); m/z (CI) 217 (MH⁺, 25%), 161 (100); HRMS found 217.1700; C₁₄H₂₁N₂ (MH⁺) requires 217.1699.
134.8 (\(^{13}C\)), 128.9 (\(^{13}C\)H), 126.9 (\(^{13}C\)H), 126.4 (\(^{13}C\)H), 125.7 (\(^{13}C\)H), 117.2 (CH=CH\(_2\)), 57.8 (NCH), 52.9 (C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 41.2 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 31.5 (C(C(H\(_3\))\(_3\))), 28.2 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar); \(m/z\) (CI) 272 (MNH\(_4^+\), 20%) 258 (10), 257 (MH\(^+\), 100), 200 (75).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data \(^{151,152,192}\)

1-Allyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (203)

Procedure for Hydrolysis of Formamidine 223; A solution containing the formamidine 223 (1 equiv) and KOH (7 equiv) in methanol/water (5/3), was heated at 60 °C under nitrogen for 12 h. The amine was extracted with DCM and the combined extracts dried over sodium sulfate and then concentrated in vacuo. The crude amine was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to afford 1-allyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 203 (85-90%). The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.

Procedure for Hydrazinolysis of Formamidine 223; A solution of 1 equiv of the formamidine 223, 4 equiv of hydrazine, and 1 equiv of glacial acetic acid in ethanol was heated at 50 °C under nitrogen for 12 h. Upon cooling, the amine was extracted with DCM (3 \(\times\) 50 mL), the combined organic layer was washed with a saturated aq. sodium bicarbonate solution and dried over anhydrous Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and concentrated in vacuo. The crude amine was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to afford 1-allyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 203 (52-82%). The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.
7.3 - Experimental for Chapter 3

General procedure 4 - Addition of silanes at elevated temperature

A stirred solution of 1-octene (1.0 g, 9.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), lauroyl peroxide (99 mg, 0.25 mmol, 0.027 equiv) and the silane (9.8-35.7 mmol, 1.1-4.0 equiv), fitted with a short reflux condenser, was immersed in a preheated oil bath at 80 °C and, after allowing a few minutes for the solution to achieve thermal equilibrium, triisopropylsilane thiol (96 µL, 0.45 mmol, 5 mol%) was added in a single portion. The mixture was heated for 1 h when a further portion of lauroyl peroxide (99 mg, 0.027 equiv, 0.25 mmol) was added and heated for a further 2 h. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol). Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compounds (64-95%).

Octyltriethylsilane (228)\textsuperscript{103, 104}

\[
\text{Si} \quad \text{Si} \quad \text{Si} \quad \text{Si} \quad \text{Si} \quad \text{Si}
\]

1-Octene (1.0 g, 9.0 mmol), lauroyl peroxide (99 mg, 0.25 mmol), triethylsilane (4.15 g, 35.7 mmol), and triisopropylsilane thiol (96 µL, 0.45 mmol, 5 mol%) were reacted according to general procedure 4. Purification by column chromatography (silica, petrol) afforded the title compound 228 (1.25 g, 64%) as a colourless oil. Rf 0.85 (petrol); ν\textsubscript{max} (thin film)/\text{cm}–1 2920 (s), 2873 (s), 2853 (s), 1463 (m), 1415 (m), 1237 (w), 1014 (s); δ\textsubscript{H} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 1.36-1.24 (12H, m, SiCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 0.94 (9H, t, J = 7.9, SiCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 0.89 (3H, t, J = 7.0, CH\textsubscript{3}), 0.54-0.49 (2H, m, SiCH\textsubscript{2}), 0.51 (6H, q, J = 7.9, SiCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}); δ\textsubscript{C} (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 34.0 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 32.0 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 29.4 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 29.3 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 23.9 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 22.7 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 14.1 (CH\textsubscript{3}), 11.3 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 7.4 (3 × SiCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 3.3 (3 × SiCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}); m/z (ESI) 229 (20%), 228 (100, MH\textsuperscript{+}), 199 (45).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.\textsuperscript{103, 104}

Octyltriphenylsilane (229)\textsuperscript{103, 104}

\[
\text{Ph} \quad \text{Ph} \quad \text{Ph} \quad \text{Si} \quad \text{Si} \quad \text{Si}
\]

1-Octene (1.00 g, 9.0 mmol), lauroyl peroxide (99 mg, 0.25 mmol), triphenylsilane (3.51 g, 13.7 mmol) and hexane (15 mL) were reacted according to general procedure 4. Purification by column chromatography (silica, petrol) afforded the title compound 229 (2.8 g, 86%) as a colourless oil, which forms a soft white wax solid on standing. Rf 0.75
Octyl(dimethyl)(phenyl)silane (230) \(^{103, 104}\)

\[
\text{Si} \quad \text{Ph} \\
\text{CH}_3 \quad \text{CH}_3 \\
\text{CH}_2 \quad \text{CH}_2 \\
\text{CH}_3 \quad \text{CH}_3
\]

1-Octene (1.00 g, 9.0 mmol), lauroyl peroxide (99 mg, 0.25 mmol), dimethylphenylsilane (1.33 g, 9.8 mmol) and hexane (5 mL) were reacted according to general procedure 4. Purification by column chromatography (silica, petrol) afforded the title compound (1.70 g, 80%) as a colourless oil. Rf 0.80 (petrol); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (thin film)/cm\(^{-1}\) 3058 (w), 2918 (s), 2857 (s), 2120 (w), 1470 (m), 1431 (m); \( \delta_H \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.55-7.45 (2H, m, \(^{\text{Ar}}\)CH), 7.38-7.30 (3H, m, \(^{\text{Ar}}\)CH), 1.33-1.20 (12H, m, \(\text{CH}_2\)), 0.91 (3H, t, \(J = 7.0\), \(\text{CH}_3\)), 0.77 (2H, t, \(J = 8.1\), Si\(\text{CH}_2\)), 0.29 (6H, s, Si(\(\text{CH}_3\))\(_2\)); \( \delta_C \) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 139.7 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\)C), 133.5 (2 \(\times \) \(^{\text{Ar}}\)CH), 128.7 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\)CH), 127.7 (2 \(\times \) \(^{\text{Ar}}\)CH), 33.6 (CH\(_2\)), 31.9 (CH\(_2\)), 29.3 (2 \(\times \) CH\(_2\)), 23.8 (CH\(_2\)), 22.6 (CH\(_2\)), 18.2 (CH\(_2\)), 15.7 (CH\(_2\)), 14.1 (CH\(_3\)) and -3.0 (Si(\(\text{CH}_3\))\(_2\)); \(m/z\) (ESI) 250 (20%), 249 (100, MH\(^+\)), 233 (30), 171 (20).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.\(^{103, 104}\)

General procedure 5 - Cyclisation of 1,6-dienes using phenylsilanes

A solution of the 1,6-diene (3.8-10.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), dimethylphenylsilane (7.6-22.0 mmol, 2.2 equiv), or triphenylsilane (2.8 g, 11.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv), triisopropylsilane thiol (42-105 \(\mu\)L, 0.2-0.5 mmol, 5 mol\%, care required due to noxious smell) and tert-butyl peroxide (0.11-0.30 g, 0.95-2.5 mmol, 0.25 equiv) in benzene (20 mL) were sealed in an Ace pressure tube,\(^{291}\) and immersed in a preheated oil bath at 140 °C and stirred for 6 h. The reaction mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the resulting crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient petrol to 10:1 petrol:EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compounds (52-88%) as a mixture of diastereoisomers.
((4-Methyltetrahydrofuran-3-yl)methyl)triphenylsilane (231)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ph}_3\text{Si} & \\
\text{O} & \\
\end{align*}
\]

Diallyl ether (1.0 g, 10.0 mmol) was reacted with triphenylsilane (2.8 g, 11.0 mmol) according to \textbf{general procedure 5}. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1) pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title product \textit{231} (2.55 g, 69%) as a colourless oil which solidifies to form a white, waxy low melting point solid, \(\text{MP} = 25-30 \, ^\circ\text{C}, \text{RF} \, 0.51\) (petrol:ethyl acetate, 14:1) as an inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers (ratio of \textit{cis}:\textit{trans} = 3:1); \(\nu_{\text{max}}\) (thin film)/cm\(^{-1}\) 3070 (m), 3005 (m), 2950 (s), 1429 (s), 1252 (s), 1110 (s); \text{Major (cis) diastereoisomer} \(\delta\)\(H\) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.70-7.62 (6H, m, \(\text{ArCH}\)), 7.50-7.42 (9H, m, \(\text{ArCH}\)), 3.92 (1H, dd, \(J = 7.9\) and 6.1, \(\text{CH}_2\text{O}\)), 3.70-3.64 (1H, m, \(\text{CH}_2\text{O}\)), 3.38-3.30 (1H, m, \(\text{CH}_2\text{O}\)), 2.64-2.54 (1H, m, \(\text{CH}\)), 2.24-2.06 (1H, m, \(\text{CH}\)), 1.68 (1H, dd, \(J = 14.9\) and 4.2, \(\text{SiCH}_2\)), 1.46 (1H, dd, \(J = 14.9\) and 10.0, \(\text{SiCH}_2\)), 1.03 (3H, d, \(J = 7.0\), \(\text{CH}_3\)); \(\delta\)\(C\) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 135.5 (6 × \(\text{ArC}\)), 134.7 (3 × \(\text{ArC}\)), 129.4 (3 × \(\text{ArC}\)), 127.8 (6 × \(\text{ArC}\)), 74.5 (OCH\(_2\)), 73.0 (OCH\(_2\)), 37.9 (OCH\(_3\)), 37.3 (OCH\(_3\)), 13.0 (SiCH\(_3\)), 10.5 (SiCH\(_2\)); \text{m/z (CI) 382 (25%), 381 (100, MNa\(^{+}\), 30). HRMS C\(_{24}\)H\(_{26}\)NaOSi (MNa\(^{+}\)) requires 381.1645, found 381.1651. The minor (\textit{trans}) diastereoisomer was identified by the following key peaks; \(\delta\)\(H\) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 4.04 (1H, t, \(J = 7.6\), \(\text{CH}_2\text{O}\)), 3.70-3.64 (1H, m, \(\text{CH}_2\text{O}\)), 3.38-3.30 (1H, m, \(\text{CH}_2\text{O}\)), 3.23 (1H, t, \(J = 8.4\), \(\text{CH}_2\text{O}\)), 1.07 (3H, d, \(J = 6.7\), \(\text{CH}_3\)); \(\delta\)\(C\) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 75.0 (OCH\(_2\)), 74.2 (OCH\(_2\)), 43.4 (OCH\(_3\)), 42.8 (OCH\(_2\)), 15.7 (SiCH\(_2\)), 15.4 (CH\(_3\)).

((Tetrahydro-4-methylfuran-3-yl)methyl)dimethyl(phenyl)silane (232)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{PhMe}_2\text{Si} & \\
\text{O} & \\
\end{align*}
\]

Diallyl ether (1.0 g, 10.0 mmol) was reacted with dimethylphenylsilane (2.6 g, 22.0 mmol), according to \textbf{general procedure 5}. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title product \textit{232} (1.86 g, 52%) as a colourless oil, RF 0.43 (petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1), as an inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers (ratio of \textit{cis}:\textit{trans} = 1.9:1); \(\nu_{\text{max}}\) (thin film)/cm\(^{-1}\) 3068 (m), 2955 (s), 1426 (s), 1249 (s), 1113 (s); \text{major (cis) isomer} \(\delta\)\(H\) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.57-7.52 (2H, m, \(\text{ArCH}\)), 7.41-7.36 (3H, m, \(\text{ArCH}\)), 3.89 (1H, dd, \(J = 8.0\) and 6.0, \(\text{CH}_2\text{O}\)), 3.83 (1H, app t, \(J = 7.5\),
CH₂O), 3.49 (1H, dd, J = 8.0 and 3.5, CH₂O), 3.30 (1H, app t, J = 8.4, CH₂O), 2.38-2.26 (1H, m, CH₂CH₂O), 2.22-2.12 (1H, m), 0.97 (1H, app dd, J = 14.6 and 5.5, SiCH₃), 0.93 (3H, d, J = 7.0, CH₃), 0.76 (1H, app dd, J = 14.6 and 9.7, SiCH₂), 0.20 (6H, s, Si(CH₃)₂); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 138.8 (ArC), 133.8 (2 × ArCH), 128.9 (ArCH), 127.7 (2 × ArCH), 74.8 (OCH₂), 73.0 (OCH₂), 38.2 (OCH₂CH), 37.2 (OCH₂CH), 13.2 (SiCH₂), 13.0 (CH₃), -2.5 (2 × Si(CH₃)₂); m/z (CI) 257 (40%, MNa⁺), 253 (10), 252 (70, MNH₄⁺), 174 (15), 157 (100); HRMS C₁₄H₂₂NaOSi (MNa⁺) requires 257.1332, found 257.1330.

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data 292.

**Dimethyl((2-methylcyclopentyl)methyl)(phenyl)silane (233)**

1,6-Heptadiene (1.0 g, 10.0 mmol) was reacted with dimethylphenylsilane (2.6 g, 22.0 mmol), according to **general procedure 5**. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (petrol), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title product 233 (1.7 g, 68%) as a colourless oil, Rf 0.85 (petrol), as an inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers (cis:trans = 2:1); νmax (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3062 (w), 2923 (s), 2849 (s), 2131 (w), 1476 (m), 1435 (m); Both diastereoisomers, δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.68-7.60 (2H, m, ArCH), 7.47-7.41 (3H, m, ArCH), 2.02-1.83 (2H, m CH₂), 1.81-1.69 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.67 (1H, m, CH), 1.44-1.16 (4H, m, CH, CH₂ and SiCH₂), 1.08-0.74 (4H, m, SiCH₂ and CH₃), 0.23 (6H, s, Si(CH₃)₂); Major (cis) diastereoisomer, δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 140.1 (ArC), 133.5 (2 × ArCH), 128.6 (ArCH), 127.6 (2 × ArCH), 39.1 (CH), 38.2 (CH), 32.9 (CH₂), 32.1 (CH₂), 22.5 (CH₂), 16.6 (CH₂), 14.8 (CH₃), -2.26 and -2.33 (2 × Si(CH₃)₂); Minor (trans) diastereoisomer, δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 44.3 (CH), 43.9 (CH), 34.7 (CH₂), 33.9 (CH₂), 23.1 (CH₂), 20.6 (CH₂), 18.4 (CH₃), -1.9 and -2.0 (2 × Si(CH₃)₂); m/z (Cl, NH₃) 251 (12%), 250 (MNH₄⁺, 60), 233 (MH⁺, 30), 151 (100), 136 (40).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data 292,293.

**Diethyl 3-((dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)methyl)-4-methylcyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (234)**
Diethyl diallyl malonate (2.4 g, 10.0 mmol), was reacted with dimethylphenylsilane (2.6 g, 22.0 mmol), according to general procedure 5. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title product 234 (3.24 g, 88%) as a colourless oil, Rf 0.40 (petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1), as an inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers (cis:trans = 3.8:1); ν\text{max} (thin film)/\text{cm}^{–1} 3068 (m), 2955 (s), 2110 (w), 1728 (s), 1462 (m), 1427 (m), 1365 (m), 1250 (s); Major (cis) diastereoisomer, δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.43-7.36 (2H, m, \text{ArCH}), 7.26-7.20 (3H, m, \text{ArCH}), 4.08-3.98 (4H, m, OCH₂CH₃), 2.42-2.26 (2H, m, CH₂C(CO₂Et₂)2CH₂), 2.05-1.88 (2H, m, CH₂C(CO₂Et₂)₂CH₂), 1.82-1.74 (1H, m, CH), 1.11 (6H app t, J = 7.0, OCH₂CH₃), 1.01 (1H, app d, J = 6.1, CH₂Si), 0.84 (1H, app d, J = 6.1, CH₂Si), 0.80-0.74 (1H, m, CH), 0.70 (3H, app d, J = 5.8, CH₃), 0.20 (6H, s, Si(CH₃)₂); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 172.9 (2 × C=O), 139.3 ( \text{ArC}), 133.4 (2 × \text{ArCH}), 128.7 ( \text{ArCH}), 127.8 (2 × \text{ArCH}), 61.1 (2 × OCH₂CH₃), 58.8 (CH₂C(CO₂Et₂)₂), 40.9 (CH₂), 40.3 (CH₂), 38.7 (CH), 37.6 (CH), 15.8 (SiCH₂), 14.8 (CH₃), 13.9 (2 × OCH₂CH₃), -2.2 and -2.5 (2 × Si(CH₃)₂); m/z (Cl) 400 (30%), 399 (MNa⁺, 100), 331 (15), 299 (15), 225 (25), 181 (30); HRMS (CI) C₂₁H₃₂O₄SiNa⁺ (MNa⁺) requires 399.1962, found 399.1963.

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.²⁹²

2,2,2-Trifluoro-1-(3-methyl-4-((dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)methyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethanone (235)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O} & \quad \text{CF}_3 \\
\text{N} & \quad \text{SiMe}_2\text{Ph} \\
\end{align*}
\]

N,N-Diallyl trifluoroacetamide (0.75 g, 3.8 mmol), dimethylphenylsilane (0.6 g, 4.4 mmol), triisopropylsilane thiol (0.034 g, 0.3 mmol) and tert-butyl peroxide (0.14 g, 1.2 mmol), was reacted, according to general procedure 5. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title product 235 (0.65 g, 52%); Rf 0.55 (petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1), as an inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers and rotamers; ν\text{max} (thin film)/\text{cm}^{–1} 3150 (w), 3025 (w), 2976 (w), 1642 (s); both diastereoisomers, δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.53-7.48 (2H, m, \text{ArCH}), 7.41-7.37 (3H, m, \text{ArCH}), 3.88-3.50 (2H, m, CH₂NCH₂), 3.42-2.90 (2H, m, CH₂NCH₂), 2.34-2.15 (1H, m, CH), 1.88-1.60 (1H, m, CH), 1.19-1.12 (2H, app d, J = 7.3, CH₂Si), 0.91 (3H, app d, J = 4.0, CHCH₃), 0.36 (3H, s, Si(CH₃)₂), 0.32 (3H, s, Si(CH₃)₂); both diastereoisomers, δC
1-(3-((Dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)methyl)-4-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethanone (236)

Diethyl N,N-diallylacetamide (0.52 g, 3.8 mmol), dimethylphenylsilane (0.6 g, 4.4 mmol), triisopropylsilane thiol (0.034 g, 0.3 mmol) and tert-butyl peroxide (0.14 g, 1.2 mmol), was reacted, according to general procedure 5. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1) pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title product 236 (0.68 g, 70%) as a colourless oil, Rf 0.60 (petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1), as an inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers and rotamers; $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3040 (w), 2986 (w), 1652 (s); $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.57-7.45 (2H, m, ArCH$_2$), 7.41-7.30 (3H, m, ArCH$_3$), 3.82-2.70 (4H, m, NCH$_2$), 2.17-1.50 (5H, m, NC(O)CH$_3$ and CH$_2$), 1.20-0.54 (5H, CHCH$_3$ and CHCH$_2$Si), 0.30-0.35 (6H, m, Si(CH$_3$)$_2$); $m/z$ (CI) 277 (20%), 276 (MH$^+$, 100), 232 (40), 140 (10); HRMS C$_{16}$H$_{26}$NOSi (MH$^+$) requires 276.1778, found 275.1780.

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data. 292

3-((Dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)methyl)-4-methylcyclopentanol (239) and 1,7-bis(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)heptan-4-ol (240)

1,6-Heptadien-4-ol (1.0 g, 9.0 mmol) was reacted with dimethylphenylsilane (2.4 g, 20.0 mmol), according to general procedure 5. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title products.
3-((Dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)methyl)-4-methylcyclopentanol (239), the 5-exo product was obtained as a pale yellow oil, (1.63 g, 73%), Rf 0.40 (petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1), as an inseparable mixture of 4 diastereoisomers; $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3338 (bs), 3068 (w), 2952 (s), 2868 (m), 1426 (s), 1112 (s); all diastereoisomers, $\delta_{\text{H}}$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.47-7.43 (2H, m, $^3$CH), 7.30-7.25 (3H, m, $^2$CH), 4.23-4.08 (1H, m, CHO), 2.21-1.80 (3H, m, CH$_2$), 1.70-1.40 (2H, m, CH and CH$_2$), 1.30-0.99 (2H, m CH$_2$Si), 0.90-0.50 (4H, m, CH and CHCH$_3$), 0.22 (6H, s, Si(CH$_3$)$_2$); all diastereoisomers, $\delta_{\text{C}}$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 139.6 ($^4$C), 133.4 ($^4$CH), 133.3 ($^4$CH), 128.6 ($^4$CH), 127.6 ($^4$CH), 72.6 (CH(OH)), 72.1 (CH(OH)), 71.8 (CH(OH)) and 71.7 (CH(OH)), 44.9 (CH$_2$), 44.2 (CH$_2$), 44.1 (CH$_2$), 43.7 (CH$_2$), 43.2 (CH), 43.1 (CH$_2$), 42.7 (CH), 42.6 (CH$_2$), 41.5 (CH), 41.3 (CH), 37.5 (CH), 36.6 (CH), 36.5 (2 × CH), 20.6 (CH$_2$Si), 20.3 (CH$_2$Si), 18.8 (CH$_3$), 17.8 (CH$_3$), 16.8 (CH$_2$Si), 16.6 (CH$_2$Si), 16.0 (CH$_3$) and 15.4 (CH$_3$), -2.3 (Si(CH$_3$)$_2$), -2.4 (Si(CH$_3$)$_2$), -2.5 (Si(CH$_3$)$_2$), -2.6 (Si(CH$_3$)$_2$); m/z (Cl) 266 (MNH$_4^+$, 15%), 249 (MH$^+$, 17), 234 (75), 217 (25), 172 (70), 152 (100).

Bis(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)heptan-4-ol (240), the di-addition product was isolated as a pale yellow oil (0.52 g, 15%); Rf = 0.8 (10:1, petrol:ethyl acetate); $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3339 (bs), 3068 (m), 2952 (s), 1421 (s), 1248 (s), 1112 (s); $\delta_{\text{H}}$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.44-7.40 (4H, m, ArCH), 7.28-7.24 (6H, m, ArCH), 3.50-3.44 (1H, m, CHOH), 1.42-1.18 (8H, m, 4 × CH$_2$), 0.89 (1H, bs, OH), 0.76-0.56 (4H, m, 2 × SiCH$_2$), 0.18 (12H, s, 2 × Si(CH$_3$)$_2$); $\delta_{\text{C}}$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 139.4 (2 × $^4$C), 133.5 (4 × $^4$CH), 128.8 (2 × $^4$CH), 127.7 (4 × $^4$CH), 71.2 (CH(OH)), 41.4 (2 × CH$_2$CHOH), 19.9 (2 × CH$_2$), 15.7 (2 × CH$_2$), -3.0 (4 × Si(CH$_3$)$_2$); m/z (Cl) 387 (10%), 386 (30), 385 (100, MH$^+$), 250 (20).

**Dimethyl(oct-7-enyl)(phenyl)silane (242) and 1,8-bis(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)octane (243)**

![Dimethyl(oct-7-enyl)(phenyl)silane](image)

1,7-Octadiene (1.0 g, 9.7 mmol) was reacted with dimethylphenylsilane (2.6 g, 22.0 mmol), according to general procedure 5. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title products, dimethyl(oct-7-enyl)(phenyl)silane (242), the product of mono-addition (0.6 g, 26%) and 1,8-bis(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)octane (243), the product of di-addition (1.2 g, 34%).
A solution of 1,7-octadiene (1.0 g, 9.7 mmol), dimethylphenylsilane (1.3 g, 9.7 mmol), triisopropylsilane thiol (86 µL, 0.45 mmol) and tert-butyl peroxide (0.30 g, 0.25 mmol) in benzene (60 mL) were sealed in a tube and immersed in a preheated oil bath at 140 °C and stirred for 6 h. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded the crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title products, dimethyl(oct-7-enyl)(phenyl)silane (242) (0.9 g, 40%) and 1,8-bis(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)octane (243) (0.11 g, 5%).

Dimethyl(oct-7-enyl)(phenyl)silane (242) was isolated as a colourless oil; Rf = 0.5 (petrol); ν\text{max} (thin film)/cm\textsuperscript{-1} 3068 (m), 3050 (w), 2999 (w), 2922 (s), 2853 (s), 1640 (w), 1426 (m), 1247 (s), 1112 (s); δ\text{H} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 7.62-7.58 (2H, m, ArCH), 7.45-7.41 (3H, m, ArCH), 5.94-5.84 (1H, m, CH\textsubscript{2}=CH), 5.11-5.00 (2H, m, CH\textsubscript{2}=CH), 2.14-2.08 (2H, m, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}=CH), 1.48-1.38 (8H, m, CH\textsubscript{2}), 0.87-0.81 (2H, m, SiCH\textsubscript{3}), 0.35 (6H, s, Si(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}); δ\text{C} (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 139.6 (2 × ArC), 133.5 (2 × ArC), 128.7 (ArCH), 127.7 (2 × ArCH), 114.1 (CH=CH\textsubscript{2}), 33.8 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 33.4 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 28.9 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 28.8 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 23.8 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 15.7 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 2.75 (2 × Si(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}); m/z (ESI) 247 (15%), 246 (100, M\textsuperscript{+}), 231 (20), 169 (30).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.\textsuperscript{293}

1,8-Bis(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)octane (243) was isolated as a colourless oil; Rf 0.58 (petrol); ν\text{max} (thin film)/cm\textsuperscript{-1} 3067 (m), 3048 (m), 3020 (w), 2916 (s), 2851 (s), 1461 (w), 1426 (s), 1247 (s), 1118 (w), 1112 (m); δ\text{H} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 7.66-7.61 (4H, m, ArCH), 7.47-7.43 (6H, m, ArCH), 1.48-1.30 (12H, m, CH\textsubscript{2}), 0.89-0.81 (4H, m, SiCH\textsubscript{3}), 0.38 (12H, s, Si(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}); δ\text{C} (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 139.7 (2 × ArC), 133.5 (4 × ArC), 128.7 (2 × ArCH), 127.6 (4 × ArCH), 33.5 (2 × CH\textsubscript{2}), 29.2 (2 × CH\textsubscript{2}), 23.8 (2 × CH\textsubscript{2}), 15.7 (2 × CH\textsubscript{2}), -2.9 (4 × Si(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}); m/z (ESI) 383 (30%), 282 (100, M\textsuperscript{+}), 367 (25), 305 (40).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.\textsuperscript{293}

**General procedure 6 - Addition of dimethylphenylsilane to alkenes at room temperature**

To a stirred solution of the alkene (10 mmol, 1 equiv.), dimethylphenylsilane (2.0 g, 15 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) in THF (3 mL) was added triethylborane in THF (0.5 mL, 1 M solution, 5 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) and shortly after triisopropylsilane thiol (105 µL, 0.5 mmol, 5 mol%, care required due to noxious smell). After stirring at room temperature for 1 h, a further portion of triethylborane in THF (0.5 mL, 1 M solution 5 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) was added and left stirring overnight. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded the crude
product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:ethyl acetate, 5:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the silane addition products (56-95%)

Octyl(dimethyl)(phenyl)silane (230)

1-Octene (1.12 g, 10 mmol) was reacted, according to general procedure 6. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (petrol), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound (2.2 g, 88%) as a colourless oil; Rf 0.80 (petrol).

The spectroscopic data matches the sample prepared according to general procedure 4.

(3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)propyl)dimethyl(phenyl)silane (245)

1-Allyl-4-methoxybenzene (1.48 g, 10 mmol) was reacted according to general procedure 6. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:EtOAc, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 245 (2.61 g, 92%) as a colourless oil, Rf 0.45 (Petrol:EtOAc 10:1); νmax (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3010 (w), 2988 (w), 2959 (w), 2837 (w), 1743 (m), 1503 (w), 1466 (m); δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 7.57-7.53 (2H, m, ArCH), 7.42-7.38 (3H, m, ArCH), 7.11 (2H, app dd, J = 8.6 and 2.1, ArCH), 6.87 (2H, app dd, J = 8.6 and 2.1, ArCH), 3.81 (3H, s, OCH3), 2.61 (2H, t, J = 7.7, ArCH2), 1.71-1.63 (2H, m, CH2), 0.86-0.79 (2H, m, SiCH2), 0.29 (6H, s, Si(CH3)2); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 157.9 (ArCO), 139.6 (ArC), 134.4 (ArC), 133.7 (2 × ArCH), 129.5 (2 × ArCH), 128.9 (ArCH), 127.9 (2 × ArCH), 113.7 (2 × ArCH), 55.0 (OCH3), 38.5 (ArCH2), 25.8 (CH2), 15.0 (SiCH2), -3.5 (2 × Si(CH3)2); m/z (ESI) 285 (20%), 284 (100, M+), 207 (10).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.²⁹⁴

Dimethyl(hexyl)(phenyl)silane (246)
1-Hexene (0.84 g, 10 mmol) was reacted, according to general procedure 6. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (petrol), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 246 (1.97 g, 90%) as a colourless oil; Rf 0.80 (petrol); $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3036 (w), 2940 (s), 2884 (s), 1435 (m), 1269 (s); $\delta_{\text{H}}$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.63-7.58 (2H, m, ArH), 7.44-7.41 (3H, m, ArH), 1.43-1.31 (8H, m, $4 \times$ CH$_2$), 0.96 (3H, t, $J = 6.9$, CH$_3$), 0.87-0.81 (2H, m, SiCH$_2$), 0.34 (6H, s, Si(CH$_3$)$_2$); $\delta_{\text{C}}$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 139.7 ($^{\text{Ar}}$C), 133.5 ($2 \times ^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 128.7 ($^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 127.6 ($2 \times ^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 33.3 (CH$_2$), 31.5 (CH$_2$), 23.8 (CH$_2$), 22.6 (CH$_2$), 15.7 (CH$_3$), 14.1 (CH$_3$), -3.0 ($2 \times$ SiCH$_3$); m/z (ESI) 221 (20%), 220 (100, M$^+$), 142 (15).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.\textsuperscript{103}

**Dimethyl(4-methylpentyl)(phenyl)silane (247)**

\[
\text{\begin{figure}
\includegraphics{silane}
\end{figure}}
\]

4-Methylpent-1-ene (0.84 g, 10 mmol) was reacted, according to general procedure 6. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (petrol), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 247 (1.8 g, 95%) as a colourless oil; Rf 0.80 (petrol); $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film)/cm$^{-1}$ 2953 (s), 2869 (w), 1467 (w), 1426 (m); $\delta_{\text{H}}$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.57-7.54 (2H, m, $^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 7.40-7.37 (3H, m, $^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 1.57 (1H, sept, $J = 6.6$ Hz, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.41-1.32 (2H, m, CH$_2$), 1.26-1.20 (2H, m, CH$_2$), 0.88 (6H, d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 0.79-0.74 (2H, m, SiCH$_2$), 0.30 (6H, s, Si(CH$_3$)$_2$); $\delta_{\text{C}}$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 139.7 ($^{\text{Ar}}$C), 133.5 ($2 \times ^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 128.7 ($^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 127.6 ($2 \times ^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 43.0 (CH$_2$), 27.6 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 22.6 ($2 \times$ CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 21.5 (CH$_2$), 15.7 (SiCH$_2$), -2.9 ($2 \times$ SiCH$_3$); m/z (ESI) 222 (8%), 221 (20), 220 (100, M$^+$), 142 (15).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.\textsuperscript{294}

**6-(Dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)hexan-2-one (248)**

\[
\text{\begin{figure}
\includegraphics{silylketone}
\end{figure}}
\]

Hex-5-en-2-one (0.98 g, 10 mmol) was reacted, according to general procedure 6. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:Et$_2$O, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 248 (2.06 g, 88%) as a colourless oil; Rf 0.70 (petrol:Et$_2$O 10:1); $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film)/cm$^{-1}$ 2952 (m), 2948 (m), 1739 (m), 1715 (s), 1427 (m), 1370 (m), 1246
(s); δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 7.54-7.50 (2H, m, 1H, m, 1H, m, 3H, m, 2H, m, C(O)CH2), 2.11 (3H, s, CH3C(O)), 1.60 (2H, app quint, J = 7.4, CH2), 1.39-1.30 (2H, m, CH2), 0.81-0.74 (2H, m, SiCH2), 0.28 (6H, s, Si(CH3)2); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 209.1 (C=O), 139.1 (ArC), 133.4 (2 × ArCH), 128.7 (2 × ArCH), 127.6 (2 × ArCH), 43.3 (CH2), 29.6 (C(O)CH3), 27.4 (CH2), 23.4 (CH2), 15.5 (SiCH2), -2.9 (2 × SiCH3); m/z (CI) 258 (15%), 257 (MNa+, 100); HRMS C14H22NaOSi (MH+) requires 257.1332, found 257.1327.

3-(Dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)propyl acetate (249)

![Image](image_url)

Allyl acetate (1.0 g, 10 mmol) was reacted, according to general procedure 6. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:EtOAc, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 249 (2.07 g, 88%) as a colourless oil; Rf 0.78 (petrol:EtOAc, 10:1); νmax (thin film)/cm –1 3070 (w), 3004 (w), 2953 (m), 1735 (s), 1465 (w), 1427 (m); δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 7.56-7.51 (2H, m, 1H, m, 3H, m, 2H, m, C(O)CH2), 4.03 (2H, t, J = 6.9, OCH2), 2.05 (3H, s, CH3CO), 1.70-1.63 (2H, m, OCH2CH3), 0.81-0.75 (2H, m, CH2Si), 0.31 (6H, s, Si(CH3)2); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 171.0 (C=O), 138.6 (ArC), 133.4 (2 × ArCH), 128.9 (2 × ArCH), 66.8 (OCH2), 23.1 (CH2), 20.9 (CH3C(O)), 11.5 (SiCH2), -3.2 (2 × SiCH3); m/z (CI) 255 (10%), 254 (MNH4+, 60), 238 (15), 237 (MH+, 100), 159 (45); HRMS C13H21O2Si (MH+) requires 237.1305, found 237.1302.

3-(Cyclopropoxypropyl)dimethyl(phenyl)silane (250)

![Image](image_url)

(Allyloxy)cyclopropane (0.98 g, 10 mmol) was reacted, according to general procedure 6. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:EtOAc, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 250 (1.95 g, 85%) as a colourless oil, Rf = 0.65 (Petrol:Et2O 10:1); νmax (thin film)/cm –1 3004 (w), 2953 (w), 2868 (w), 1427 (m), 1336 (w); δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 7.58-7.54 (2H, m, 1H, m, 3H, m, 2H, m, C(O)CH2), 3.70 (1H, dd, J=11.5 and 3.0, CH2), 3.52-3.43 (2H, m, CH2O), 3.37 (1H, dd, J = 11.5 and 5.8, CH2), 3.17-3.13 (1H, m, OCH), 2.79 (1H, dd, J = 4.9 and 4.1, CH2), 2.61 (1H, dd, J = 4.9 and 2.7, CH2), 1.71-1.62 (2H, m, CH2), 0.83-0.77 (2H, m, SiCH2), 0.32 (6H, s, Si(CH3)2);
δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl3) 139.0 (\(^{13}C\)), 133.5 (2 × \(^{13}C\)CH), 128.8 (\(^{13}C\)CH), 127.7 (2 × \(^{13}C\)CH), 74.2 (CH2), 71.3 (CH2), 50.8 (OCH), 44.2 (OCH2), 24.0 (CH2), 11.6 (SiCH2), -3.15 (2 × Si(CH3)2); m/z (Cl, NH3) 275 (MMeCN+, 30%), 273 (100).

**Dimethyl(phenyl)(3-phenylpropyl)silane (251)**

![Chemical structure of dimethyl(phenyl)(3-phenylpropyl)silane (251)](image)

1- Allylbenzene (1.18 g, 10 mmol) was reacted, according to **general procedure 6**. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:EtOAc, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 251 (1.79 g, 71%) as a colourless oil, Rf = 0.26 (Petrol); ν max (thin film)/cm\(^{-1}\) 3005 (w), 2989 (w), 1559 (w), 1458 (w), 1275 (s), 1260 (s); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl3) 7.60-7.56 (2H, m, \(^{13}CH\)), 7.45-7.41 (3H, m, \(^{13}CH\)), 7.35 (2H, app tt, J = 7.3 and 1.5, \(^{13}CH\)), 7.27 (1H, app dt, J = 7.3 and 1.5, \(^{13}CH\)), 7.23 (2H, app dd, J = 7.3 and 1.5, \(^{13}CH\)), 2.70 (2H, t, J = 7.6, \(^{13}CH_2\)), 1.78-1.69 (2H, m, CH2), 0.92-0.84 (2H, m, SiCH2), 0.34 (6H, s, Si(CH3)2); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl3) 142.8 (\(^{13}C\)O), 139.6 (\(^{13}C\)), 129.0 (\(^{13}C\)CH), 128.7 (2 × \(^{13}C\)CH), 128.4 (2 × \(^{13}C\)CH), 127.9 (2 × \(^{13}C\)CH), 125.8 (\(^{13}C\)CH), 39.5 (\(^{13}CH_2\)), 25.7 (CH2), 15.2 (SiCH2), -3.4 (2 × Si(CH3)2); m/z (ESI) 255 (20%), 254 (100, M\(^+\)).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.²⁹⁴

**Dimethyl(3-phenoxypropyl)(phenyl)silane (252)**

![Chemical structure of dimethyl(3-phenoxypropyl)(phenyl)silane (252)](image)

1-(Allyloxy)benzene (1.34 g, 10 mmol) was reacted, according to **general procedure 6**. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:EtOAc, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 252 (2.37 g, 88%) as a colourless oil, Rf = 0.64 (Petrol:EtOAc 10:1); ν max (thin film)/cm\(^{-1}\) 3054 (w), 2996 (w), 2972 (w), 2886 (w), 1591 (m), 1479 (m); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl3) 7.58-7.54 (2H, m, \(^{13}CH\)), 7.42-7.36 (3H, m, \(^{13}CH\)), 7.32 (2H, t, J = 7.3 \(^{13}CH\)), 6.95 (1H, app tt, J = 7.3 and 1.0, \(^{13}CH\)), 6.90 (2H, app dd, J = 7.3 and 1.0, \(^{13}CH\)), 3.92 (2H, t, J = 7.6, OCH2), 1.87-1.79 (2H, m, CH2), 0.93-0.85 (2H, m, SiCH2), 0.32 (6H, s, Si(CH3)2); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl3) 159.4 (\(^{13}CO\)), 139.2 (\(^{13}C\)), 133.5 (2 × \(^{13}CH\)), 129.6 (2 × \(^{13}CH\)), 129.1 (\(^{13}CH\)), 128.0 (2 × \(^{13}CH\)), 120.6 (\(^{13}CH\)), 114.6
(2 × ArCH), 70.2 (OCH₂), 23.5 (CH₂), 11.3 (SiCH₂), -3.5 (2 × Si(CH₃)₂); m/z (ESI) 271 (20%), 270 (100, M⁺), 193 (30).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.

(3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)propyl)dimethyl(phenyl)silane (253)

1-Allyl-3,4-dimethoxybenzene (1.78 g, 10 mmol) was reacted, according to general procedure 6. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to Petrol:EtOAc, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 253 (2.9 g, 94%) as a colourless oil, Rf = 0.30 (Petrol:EtOAc 10:1); νmax (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3004 (w), 2990 (w), 2952 (w), 2929 (w), 2833 (w), 1739 (m), 1509 (w), 1514 (s), 1464 (m); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.53-7.44 (2H, m, ArCH), 7.37-7.34 (3H, m, ArCH), 6.79 (1H, d, J = 8.0, ArCH), 6.69 (1H, dd, J = 8.0 and 1.9, ArCH), 6.66 (1H, d, J = 1.9, ArCH), 3.86 (6H, s, OCH₃), 2.57 (2H, t, J = 7.6, ArCH₂), 1.68-1.56 (2H, m, CH₂), 0.83-0.77 (2H, m, SiCH₂), 0.26 (6H, s, Si(CH₃)₂); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 148.7 (ArCO), 147.1 (ArCO), 139.3 (ArC), 133.7 (2 × ArCH), 128.9 (ArCH), 127.8 (2 × ArCH), 120.4 (ArCH), 111.7 (ArCH), 111.1 (ArCH), 56.0 (OCH₃), 55.9 (OCH₃), 39.3 (ArCH₂), 26.1 (CH₂), 15.4 (SiCH₂), -3.0 (2 × Si(CH₃)₂); m/z (ESI) 315 (20%), 314 (100, M⁺), 283 (30), 237 (15).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.

Diethyl 2-(3-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)propyl)malonate (254)

Diethyl 2-allylmalonate (2.0 g, 10 mmol) was reacted, according to general procedure 6. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:EtOAc, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 254 (3.2 g, 95%) as a colourless oil; Rf = 0.49 (Petrol:EtOAc 10:1); νmax (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 2981 (w), 2954 (w), 1748 (m), 1730 (s), 1458 (w), 1427 (w); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.51-7.47 (2H, m, ArCH), 7.36-7.33 (3H, m, ArCH), 4.17 (4H, qd, J = 7.3 and 0.9, 2 x OCH₂), 3.33 (1H, t, J = 7.6, CH), 1.92 (2H, d, J =
2-(3-(Dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)propoxy)ethanol (255)

\[
\text{HO} - \text{O} - \text{Si} - \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}
\]

2-(Allyloxy)ethanol (1.02 g, 10 mmol) was reacted, according to **general procedure 6**. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:EtOAc, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound **255** (1.33 g, 56%) as a colourless oil. $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3370 (b, m), 3068 (w), 2929 (m), 2867 (m), 1458 (w), 1426 (m), 1356 (m); $\delta$H (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.55-7.51 (2H, m, ArCH$_2$), 7.33-7.29 (3H, m, ArCH$_2$), 3.71 (2H, t, $J = 4.6$, OCH$_2$), 3.51 (2H, t, $J = 4.6$, OCH$_2$), 3.43 (2H, t, $J = 7.0$, OCH$_2$), 2.40 (1H, bs, OH), 1.68-1.57 (2H, m, CH$_2$), 0.80-0.73 (2H, m, SiCH$_3$), 0.30 (6H, s, Si(CH$_3$)$_2$); $\delta$C (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 138.9 ($^{13}$C), 133.5 (2 $\times ^{13}$C), 128.8 ($^{13}$C), 127.7 (2 $\times ^{13}$C), 73.9 (OCH$_2$), 71.6 (OCH$_2$), 61.7 (OCH$_2$), 23.9 (CH$_2$), 11.6 (SiCH$_3$), -3.2 (2 $\times$ Si(CH$_3$)$_2$); m/z (Cl, NH$_3$) 262 (20%), 261 (MNa+, 100); Found: 261.1281, C$_{13}$H$_{22}$NaO$_2$Si requires 261.1286.

2-(3-(Dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)propyl)phenol (256)

\[
\text{H} - \text{O} - \text{Si} - \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}
\]

2-Allylphenol (1.34 g, 10 mmol) was reacted, according to **general procedure 6**. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:EtOAc, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound **256** (2.1 g, 81%) as a colourless oil. $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3340 (b, w), 3058 (w), 2996 (w), 1495 (w); $\delta$H (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.76-7.72 (2H, m, $^{13}$CH), 7.58-7.54 (3H, m, $^{13}$CH), 7.31 (1H, dd, $J = 7.4$ and 1.6, $^{13}$CH), 7.26 (1H, td, $J = 7.4$ and 1.6, $^{13}$CH), 7.08 (1H, td, $J = 7.4$ and 1.0, $^{13}$CH), 6.90 (1H, dd, $J = 7.4$ and 1.0, $^{13}$CH), 5.87 (1H, bs, OH), 2.86 (2H, t, $J = 7.6$, $^{13}$CH$_2$), 1.94-1.86 (2H, m, CH$_2$), 1.09-1.03 (2H, m, SiCH$_3$), 0.49 (6H, s, Si(CH$_3$)$_2$); $\delta$C (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 153.3 ($^{13}$CO), 139.3 ($^{13}$C), 133.5 (2 $\times$ Si(CH$_3$)$_2$), 128.8 ($^{13}$C), 127.7 (2 $\times ^{13}$C), 133.5 (2 $\times ^{13}$C), 136.0 (2 $\times ^{13}$C), 128.8 (2 $\times ^{13}$C), 127.7 (2 $\times ^{13}$C), 61.7 (OC$_2$H$_5$), 51.6 (C(O)C$_4$H$_4$ONa), 32.3 (CH$_2$), 21.7 (CH$_2$), 15.3 (SiCH$_3$), 14.0 (CH$_3$), -3.1 (2 $\times$ Si(CH$_3$)$_2$); m/z (CI, NH$_3$) 360 (35%), 359 (MNa+, 100); Found: 359.1650 (MNa+), C$_{18}$H$_{28}$NaO$_4$Si requires: 359.1649.
× $\text{^3}$C), 130.2 ($\text{^3}$C), 128.7 ($\text{^3}$C), 128.4 ($\text{^3}$C), 127.6 (2 × $\text{^3}$C), 126.9 ($\text{^3}$C), 120.5 ($\text{^3}$C), 115.2 ($\text{^3}$C), 33.6 (CH$_2$), 24.1 (CH$_2$), 15.6 (CH$_2$), -3.1 (2 × Si(CH$_3$)$_2$).

**General procedure 7 - Cyclisation of 1,6-dienes using dimethylphenylsilane at room temperature**

To a stirred solution of the 1,6-diene (3.8-10.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), dimethylphenylsilane (7.6-22.0 mmol, 2.2 equiv.) in THF (40-100 mL) was added triethylborane in THF (0.5 mL, 1 M solution, 5 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) and shortly after triisopropylsilanethiol (0.4-1.0 mmol, 10 mol%, care required due to noxious smell). After stirring at room temperature for 1 h, a further portion of triethylborane in THF (0.5 mL, 1 M solution 5 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) was added and left stirring overnight. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure and purification of the resulting reaction mixture by flash chromatography (silica, petrol to petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1) afforded the title compounds as a mixture of diastereoisomers (61-88 %) as colourless oils.

**((Tetrahydro-4-methylfuran-3-yl)methyl)dimethyl(phenyl)silane (232)**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{PhMe}_2\text{Si} & \quad \text{O} \\
\text{O} & \quad \text{PhMe}_2\text{Si} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Diallyl ether (1.0 g, 10.0 mmol) was reacted according to general procedure 7. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title product **232** (1.4 g, 61%) as a colourless oil, R$_f$ 0.43 (petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1), as an inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers (trans:cis = 1:2.4). The spectroscopic data matches the sample prepared according to general procedure 5.

**Dimethyl((2-methylcyclopentyl)methyl)(phenyl)silane (233)**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{PhMe}_2\text{Si} & \quad \text{O} \\
\text{O} & \quad \text{PhMe}_2\text{Si} \\
\end{align*}
\]

1,6-Heptadiene (1.0 g, 10.0 mmol) was reacted according to general procedure 7. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title product **233** (1.6 g, 70%) as a colourless oil, R$_f$ 0.85 (petrol), as an inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers (trans:cis = 1:2.2). The spectroscopic data matches the previously made sample according to general procedure 5.
Diethyl 3-((dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)methyl)-4-methylcyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (234)

![Chemical Structure]

Diethyl diallyl malonate (2.4 g, 10.0 mmol), was reacted according to general procedure 7. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title product 234 (3.3 g, 88%) as a colourless oil, Rf 0.40 (petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1), as an inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers (trans:cis = 1:4.0). The spectroscopic data matches the sample prepared according to general procedure 5.

3-((Dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)methyl)-4-methylcyclopentanol (239)

![Chemical Structure]

1,6-Heptadien-4-ol (1.0 g, 9.0 mmol) was reacted according to general procedure 7. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol to petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1), pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 239 as a pale yellow oil (1.8 g, 73%), Rf 0.40 (petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1), as an inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers. The spectroscopic data matches the sample prepared according to general procedure 5.

**General procedure 8 – Oxidation of dimethylphenylsilanes**

\[
\text{R} - \xrightarrow{\text{Cl}_3\text{SiH, Et_3B}} \xrightarrow{\text{THF}} \text{R} - \xrightarrow{\text{KF, NaHCO}_3, \text{MeOH/THF then H}_2\text{O}_2} \text{OH}
\]

**General procedure 8.1 – Conversion of dimethylphenylsilanes into dimethylfluorosilanes**

To a stirred solution of the dimethylphenylsilane (5.0 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry dichloromethane (15 mL) at room temperature was added boron trifluoride-acetic acid complex (1.4 mL, 10.0 mmol, 2 equiv), the resulting solution was stirred for 6 h, during
which time the solution turned orange. The reaction mixture was quenched by slowly being poured into a stirred solution of 1 M sodium hydrogen carbonate (100 mL), the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (2 × 75 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the title compound as a pale yellow oil (0.71-0.93 g, 75-88%). No further purification was carried out, and the resulting oil was subjected to the oxidation conditions.

**General procedure 8.2 - Oxidation of dimethylfluorosilanes**

To a stirred solution of the unpurified dimethylfluorosilane (3.4-4.0 mmol, 1 equiv) and anhydrous potassium fluoride (0.39-0.46 g, 6.8-8.0 mmol, 2 equiv) in dry DMF (5 mL) at room temperature was added dropwise a solution of *meta*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (1.38-1.62 g, 85%, 6.8-8.0 mmol, 2 equiv) in dry DMF (10 mL). The resulting solution was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (75 mL) and washed successively with aqueous sodium thiosulfate (2 × 50 mL), aqueous sodium carbonate (2 × 50 mL), brine (50 mL), and then dried over MgSO₄ and purification by flash chromatography (petrol:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the title products as colourless oils (0.11-0.18 g, 25-31%).

**1-Octanol (260)**

Octyldimethylphenylsilane (1.24, 5.0 mmol) was reacted, according to general procedure 8.1. Following the aqueous workup, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford the unpurified octyldimethylfluorosilane as a pale yellow oil (0.71 g, 75%). (No further purification was carried out, and the resulting oil was subjected to the oxidation conditions). δ_H (270 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.58-1.42 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.38-1.14 (10H, m, 5 × CH₂), 0.90 (3H, t, J = 7.0, CH₃), 0.76-0.66 (2H, m, CH₂Si), 0.17 (6H, d, J = 7.5, Si(CH₃)₂).

The crude octyldimethylfluorosilane (0.65 g, 3.4 mmol) was reacted, according to general procedure 8.2. Following the work up procedure the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (petrol:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the title product 260 as a colourless oil (0.11 g, 25%); Rf = 0.32 (petrol:diethyl ether, 10:1); ν_max (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3332 (m), 2926 (s), 2855 (s), 1465 (w), 1378 (w), 1055 (m); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 3.60-3.54 (2H, m, CH₂OH), 2.60-2.18 (1 H, bs, OH), 1.56-1.46 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂OH), 1.34-1.16 (10H, m, CH₂), 0.84 (3H, t, J = 6.7, CH₃); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 62.8 (CH₂OH), 32.6 (CH₂), 31.7 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂), 29.2 (CH₂), 25.7 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂), 13.9 (CH₃); m/z (Cl) 149 (10%), 148 (MNH₄⁺, 100), 131 (MH⁺, 30).
The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data. (Sigma Aldrich)

**3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)propan-1-ol (261)**

![3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)propan-1-ol](image)

3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)propyl(dimethyl)(phenyl)silane (1.42, 5.0 mmol) was reacted, according to **general procedure 8.1**. Following the aqueous workup, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure afford the crude 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propyl(dimethyl)fluorosilane as a pale yellow oil (0.88 g, 78%). (No further purification was carried out, and the resulting oil was subjected to the oxidation conditions). $\delta_H$ (270 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.08 (2H, d, $J = 9.0$, $^{13}$CH), 6.82 (2H, d, $J = 9.0$, $^{13}$CH), 3.78 (3H, s, $^{13}$OCH₃), 2.58 (2H, t, $J = 7.0$, ArCH₂), 1.72-1.60 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CH₂), 0.77-0.69 (2H, m, CH₂Si), 0.19 (6H, d, $J = 7.5$, Si(CH₃)₂).

The crude 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propyldimethylfluorosilane (0.80 g, 3.5 mmol) was reacted, according to **general procedure 8.2**. Following the work-up procedure the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (petrol:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the title product **261** as a colourless oil (0.18 g, 31%); $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3305 (bs), 3000 (m), 2900 (s), 1610 (s), 1450 (m); $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.11 (2H, app dt, $J = 8.4$ and 2.1, $^{13}$CH), 6.82 (2H, app dt, $J = 8.4$ and 2.1, $^{13}$CH), 3.77 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.64 (2H, t, $J = 6.4$, OCH₂), 2.64 (2H, t, $J = 7.5$, $^{13}$CH), 1.85 (2H, app tt, $J = 7.5$ and 6.4, CH₂CH₂OH), 1.75 (1H, bs, OH); $\delta_C$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 157.6 ($^{13}$CO), 133.8 ($^{13}$C), 129.2 (2 × $^{13}$CH), 113.8 (2 × $^{13}$CH), 62.1 (OCH₂), 55.2 (OCH₃), 34.3 ($^{13}$CH₂), 31.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₂OH); m/z (Cl, NH₃) 167 (10%), 166 (MH⁺, 100).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data. (Sigma Aldrich)

**3-Phenoxypropan-1-ol (262)**

![3-Phenoxypropan-1-ol](image)

3-Phenoxypropyl(dimethyl)phenylsilane (1.35, 5.0 mmol) was reacted, according to **general procedure 8.1**. Following the aqueous workup, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure afford the crude 3-**phenoxypropyl(dimethyl)fluorosilane** as a pale yellow oil (0.88 g, 78%). No further purification was carried out, and the resulting oil was subjected to the oxidation conditions; $\delta_H$ (270 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.23-7.10 (2H, m, $^{13}$CH), 6.88-6.75 (3H, m, $^{13}$CH), 3.78 (3H, t, $J = 7.0$, OCH₂), 1.85-1.70 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CH₂), 0.78-0.71 (2H, m, CH₂Si), 0.17 (6H, d, $J = 7.5$, Si(CH₃)₂).
The crude 3-phenoxypropylidemethylfluorosilane (0.85 g, 4.0 mmol) was reacted, according to general procedure 8.2. Following the work up procedure the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (petrol:diethyl ether, 10:1) to afford the title product 262 as a colourless oil (0.15 g, 26%); \(\nu_{\text{max}}\) (thin film)/cm \(^{-1}\) 3390 (bs), 3020 (w), 1610 (m), 1505 (m): \(\delta_H\) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.28 (2H, app t, \(J = 7.3, \text{ArCH}\)), 6.98-6.89 (3H, m, \(\text{ArCH}\)), 4.11 (2H, t, \(J = 5.9, \text{OCH}_2\)), 3.86 (2H, t, \(J = 5.9, \text{OCH}_2\)), 2.04 (2H, quin, \(J = 5.9, \text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}\)), 1.96 (1H, bs, OH); \(\delta_C\) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 158.6 (\(\text{ArCO}\)), 129.4 (2 \times \(\text{ArCH}\)), 120.8 (\(\text{ArCH}\)), 114.4 (2 \times \(\text{ArCH}\)), 65.6 (\(\text{CH}_2\)), 60.5 (\(\text{CH}_2\)), 31.9 (OCH\(_2\text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_2\)); \(m/z\) (CI, NH\(_3\)) 153 (10%), 152 (100), 94 (80).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.\(^{295}\)

General procedure 9 – Addition of trichlorosilanes to alkenes followed by oxidation

\[
\text{R} \quad \overset{\text{Cl}_3\text{SiH, Et}_3\text{B}}{\text{THF}} \quad \left[\begin{array}{c}
\text{R} \quad \overset{\text{SiCl}_3}{\text{Cl}_3\text{SiH, Et}_3\text{B}} \\
\end{array}\right] \quad \overset{\text{KF, NaHCO}_3, \text{MeOH/THF}}{\text{then H}_2\text{O}_2} \quad \text{R} \quad \overset{\text{OH}}{\text{Cl}_3\text{SiH, Et}_3\text{B}} 
\]

General procedure 9.1 – Addition of trichlorosilane to alkenes

To a stirred solution of the alkene (5.0 mmol, 1 equiv) in THF (5 mL) at 0 °C, under air, was added trichlorosilane (1.0 mL, 10.0 mmol, 2 equiv) followed by the slow dropwise addition of triethylborane (2.0 mL, 1 M solution in THF, 2.0 mmol, 0.4 equiv). The resulting solution was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h, after which a further portion of triethylborane (2.0 mL, 1 M solution in THF, 2.0 mmol, 0.4 equiv) was added and the mixture stirred for a further 1 h at 0 °C followed by addition of a further portion of triethylborane (2.0 mL, 1 M solution in THF, 2.0 mmol, 0.4 equiv). The resulting solution was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h then warmed to room temperature and stirred for a further 4 h. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded the crude trichlorosilane addition product, as an oil.

General procedure 9.2 – Oxidation of trichlorosilanes

The crude trichlorosilane addition product was taken up in THF (75 mL) and the solution was stirred at room temperature (under air) while MeOH (75 mL) was slowly added, after which KF (2.6 g, 45.0 mmol, 9 equiv) and KHCO\(_3\) (9.00 g, 90.0 mmol 18 equiv) was added and the suspension was stirred for 1 h. To the resulting white suspension was added H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (5.1 mL, 30% solution, 45.0 mmol, 9 equiv) and the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred for 24 h. After which sodium thiosulfate pentahydrate (7.4 g, 30.0 mmol, 6 equiv) was added and the mixture stirred for 1 h. The mixture was filtered through a Celite plug, and the filter cake was rinsed with 50 mL of diethyl ether. The filtrate was concentrated
under vacuum and the resulting residue was dissolved in 50 mL of DCM, and dried over MgSO₄, the volatiles were removed in vacuo to afford the crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol:ethyl acetate, 2:1), and pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the alcohol (39-51%).

1-Octanol (260)

1-Octene (0.56 g, 5.0 mmol) was reacted, according to general procedure 9.1. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded the crude trichlorosilane addition product, as a moisture sensitive oil; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.62-1.54 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.43-1.36 (4H, m, CH₂ and SiCH₂), 1.33-1.22 (8H, m, 4 × CH₂), 0.88 (3H, t, J = 6.8, CH₃); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 31.8 (CH₂), 31.7 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 28.9 (CH₂), 24.3 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂), 22.2 (CH₂), 14.1 (CH₃).

The crude trichlorosilane addition product was reacted, according to general procedure 9.2. Following the work-up procedure the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1 – 2:1), and pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 260 as a colourless oil (0.25 g, 39%); R_f = 0.32 (petrol:diethyl ether, 10:1); ν_{max} (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3332 (m), 2926 (s), 2855 (s), 1465 (w), 1378 (w), 1055 (m); δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 3.60-3.54 (2H, m, CH₂OH), 2.60-2.18 (1H, bs, OH), 1.56-1.46 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂OH), 1.34-1.16 (10H, m, 5 × CH₂), 0.84 (3H, t, J = 6.7, CH₃); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 62.8 (CΗ₂OH), 32.6 (CH₂), 31.7 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂), 29.2 (CH₂), 25.7 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂), 13.9 (CH₃); m/z (Cl) 149 (10%), 148 (MNH₄⁺, 100), 131 (MH⁺, 30).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.²⁹⁶

3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)propan-1-ol (261)

4-Allylanisole (0.74 g, 5.0 mmol) was reacted, according to general procedure 9.1. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded the crude trichlorosilane addition product as a moisture sensitive oil; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.09 (2H, d, J = 8.7, ³¹CΗ), 6.84 (2H, d, J = 8.7, ³¹CΗ), 3.78 (3H, s, OCH₃), 2.66 (2H, t, J = 7.4, ³¹CΗ), 1.41-1.35 (2H, m, SiCH₂), 1.27-1.23 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CH₂Si); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 158.1 (³¹CO), 132.9 (³¹C), 129.4 (2 × ³¹C), 113.9 (2 × ³¹C), 55.3 (OCH₃), 36.8 (CH₂), 24.3 (CH₂), 23.7 (CH₂).
The crude trichlorosilane addition product was reacted, according to general procedure 9.2. Following the work-up procedure the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1 – 2:1), and pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 261 as a colourless oil (0.42 g, 51%); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (thin film)/cm\(^{-1} \) 3305 (bs), 3000 (m), 2900 (s), 1610 (s), 1450 (m); \( \delta_{\text{H}} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.11 (2H, app dt, \( J = 8.4 \) and 2.1, \( ^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 6.82 (2H, app dt, \( J = 8.4 \) and 2.1, \( ^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 3.77 (3H, s, OCH\(_3\)), 3.64 (2H, t, \( J = 6.4 \), OCH\(_2\)), 2.64 (2H, t, \( J = 7.5 \), \( ^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 1.85 (2H, app tt, \( J = 7.5 \) and 6.4, CH\(_2\text{CH}_{2}\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \)), 1.75 (1H, bs, OH); \( \delta_{\text{C}} \) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 157.6 (\( ^{1H}\text{CO} \)), 133.8 (\( ^{1H}\text{C} \)), 129.2 (2 \( 2^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 113.8 (2 \( 2^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 62.1 (OCH\(_2\)), 55.2 (OCH\(_3\)), 34.3 (\( ^{1H}\text{CH}_2 \)), 31.0 (CH\(_2\text{CH}_{2}\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \)); \( m/z \) (Cl, NH\(_3\)) 167 (10%), 166 (MH\(^+\), 100).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.\(^{296}\)

3-Phenoxypropan-1-ol (262)

Allyl phenyl ether (0.68 mL, 5.0 mmol, 1 equiv) was reacted, according to general procedure 9.1. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded the crude trichlorosilane addition product as a moisture sensitive oil; \( \delta_{\text{H}} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.26 (2H, dd, \( J = 8.8 \) and 7.7, \( ^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 6.93 (1H, td, \( J = 7.7 \) and 1.1, \( ^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 6.87 (2H, dd, \( J = 8.8 \) and 1.1, \( ^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 3.98 (2H, t, \( J = 6.1 \) OCH\(_2\)), 2.09-2.01 (2H, m, CH\(_2\)), 1.62-1.56 (2H, m, SiCH\(_2\)); \( \delta_{\text{C}} \) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 157.9 (\( ^{1H}\text{COC} \)), 128.5 (2 \( 2^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 120.3 (\( ^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 114.1 (2 \( 2^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 60.9 (OCH\(_2\)), 34.5 (CH\(_2\)), 22.4 (CH\(_2\)).

The crude trichlorosilane addition product was reacted, according to general procedure 9.2. Following the work-up procedure the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1 – 2:1), and pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 262 as a colourless oil (0.36 g, 49%); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (thin film)/cm\(^{-1} \) 3390 (bs), 3020 (w), 1610 (m), 1505 (m); \( \delta_{\text{H}} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.28 (2H, app t, \( J = 7.3 \), \( ^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 6.98-6.89 (3H, m, \( ^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 4.11 (2H, t, \( J = 5.9 \), OCH\(_2\)), 3.86 (2H, t, \( J = 5.9 \), OCH\(_2\)), 2.04 (2H, quin, \( J = 5.9 \), OCH\(_2\text{CH}_{2}\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \)), 1.96 (1H, bs, OH); \( \delta_{\text{C}} \) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 158.6 (\( ^{1H}\text{COC} \)), 129.4 (2 \( 2^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 120.8 (\( ^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 114.4 (2 \( 2^{1H}\text{CH} \)), 65.6 (CH\(_2\)), 60.5 (CH\(_2\)), 31.9 (OCH\(_2\text{CH}_{2}\text{OCH}_2\)); \( m/z \) (Cl, NH\(_3\)) 153 (10%), 152 (100), 94 (80).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.\(^{295}\)

1-Decanol (263)

\[ \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \]
1-Decene (0.70 g, 5.0 mmol) was reacted, according to **general procedure 9.1**. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded the crude trichlorosilane addition product, as a moisture sensitive oil; $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 1.62-1.54 (2H, m, CH$_2$), 1.43-1.36 (4H, m, CH$_2$ and SiCH$_2$), 1.33-1.22 (8H, m, 4 × CH$_2$), 0.88 (3H, t, $J = 6.8$, CH$_3$); $\delta_C$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 31.8 (CH$_2$), 31.7 (CH$_2$), 29.0 (CH$_2$), 28.9 (CH$_2$), 24.3 (CH$_2$), 22.6 (CH$_2$), 22.2 (CH$_2$), 14.1 (CH$_3$).

The crude trichlorosilane addition product was reacted, according to **general procedure 9.2**. Following the work-up procedure the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1 – 2:1), and pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 263 as a colourless oil (0.34 g, 43%); $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3232 (m), 2900 (s), 2850 (s), 1470 (w), 1320 (w); $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 3.60 (2H, t, $J = 7.3$, CH$_2$OH), 2.10 (1H, bs, OH), 1.60-1.46 (2H, m, CH$_2$), 1.35-1.20 (14H, m, 7 × CH$_2$), 0.90 (3H, t, $J = 6.9$, CH$_3$); $\delta_C$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 63.2 (CH$_2$OH), 32.5 (CH$_2$), 31.8 (CH$_2$), 29.3 (2 × CH$_2$), 29.2 (2 × CH$_2$), 25.9 (CH$_2$), 21.9 (CH$_2$), 14.0 (CH$_3$); $m/z$ (Cl) 160 (10%), 159 (MH$^+$, 100).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.$^{296}$

**3-Phenylpropan-1-ol (264)**

1-Allylbenzene (0.59 g, 5.0 mmol) was reacted, according to **general procedure 9.1**. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded the crude trichlorosilane addition product, as a moisture sensitive oil; $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.25-7.20 (2H, m, ArCH$_2$), 7.15-7.10 (3H, m, ArCH$_2$), 2.60 (2H, t, $J = 7.5$ ArCH$_2$), 1.88-1.78 (2H, m, CH$_2$), 1.38-1.33 (2H, m, SiCH$_2$); $\delta_C$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 140.0 ($^{13}$C), 128.4 ($^{13}$CH), 128.3 (2 × $^{13}$CH), 126.0 (2 × $^{13}$CH), 37.7 (CH$_2$), 23.9 (CH$_2$), 23.7 (CH$_2$).

The crude trichlorosilane addition product was reacted, according to **general procedure 9.2**. Following the work up procedure the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1 – 2:1), and pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 264 as a colourless oil (0.32 g 47%); $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3300 (m), 3053 (w), 2920 (m), 1602 (m); $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.33-7.27 (3H, m, $^{13}$CH), 7.25-7.17 (2H, m, $^{13}$CH), 3.68 (2H, t, $J = 6.4$, CH$_2$OH), 2.71 (2H, t, $J = 7.7$, ArCH$_2$), 1.85-1.95 (2H, m, ArCH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$OH); $\delta_C$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 141.8 ($^{13}$C), 128.4 (2 × $^{13}$CH), 128.4 ($^{13}$CH), 125.8 (2 × $^{13}$CH), 62.3 (CH$_2$OH), 34.3 (CH$_2$), 32.1 (CH$_2$); $m/z$ (Cl) 138 (10%), 137 (MH$^+$, 100).
The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data. (Sigma Aldrich)

4-Phenyl-1-butanol (265)

4-Phenyl-1-butene (0.66 g, 5.0 mmol) was reacted, according to general procedure 9.1. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded the crude trichlorosilane addition product, as a moisture sensitive oil; $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.24-7.18 (2H, m, $^1$HCH), 7.14-7.08 (3H, m, $^1$HCH), 2.57 (2H, t, $J = 7.4$ ArCH$_2$), 1.71-1.63 (2H, m, CH$_2$), 1.60-1.54 (2H, m, CH$_2$), 1.38-1.33 (2H, m, SiCH$_2$); $\delta_C$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 141.7 ($^4$C), 128.5 ($^4$CCH), 128.3 (2 $^4$CCH), 125.8 (2 $^4$CCH), 35.3 (CH$_2$), 33.5 (CH$_2$), 24.1 (CH$_2$), 21.9 (CH$_2$).

The crude trichlorosilane addition product was reacted, according to general procedure 9.2. Following the work up procedure the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1 – 2:1), and pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 265 as a colourless oil (0.34 g, 47%); $\nu_{max}$ (thin film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3350 (m), 3020 (w), 2953 (s), 2888 (m), 1499 (m), 1452 (m); $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.25-7.19 (2H, m, ArCH$_2$), 7.15-7.10 (3H, m, ArCH$_2$), 3.59 (2H, t, $J = 7.7$, CH$_2$OH), 2.60 (2H, t, $J = 7.4$ ArCH$_3$), 1.75-1.52 (5H, m, CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$OH); $\delta_C$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 142.1 ($^4$C), 128.3 ($^4$CCH), 128.2 (2 $^4$CCH), 125.7 (2 $^4$CCH), 62.8 (CH$_2$OH), 35.5 (CH$_2$), 32.1 (CH$_2$), 27.4 (CH$_2$); m/z (Cl, NH$_3$) 168 (MNH$_4^+$, 45%), 152 (10), 151 (MH$^+$, 100).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.$^{296}$

3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-1-propanol (266)

4-Allyl-1,2-dimethoxybenzene (0.86 mL, 5.0 mmol) was reacted, according to general procedure 9.1. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded the crude trichlorosilane addition product as a moisture sensitive oil; $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 6.79 (1H, d, $J = 8.0$, $^1$HCH), 6.70 (1H, dd, $J = 8.0$ and 1.9, $^1$HCH), 6.68 (1H, d, $J = 1.9$, $^1$HCH), 3.87 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.85 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 2.66 (1H, t, $J = 7.4$, $^1$HCH$_2$), 1.43-1.37 (2H, m, SiCH$_2$), 1.26-1.24 (2H, m, CH$_2$).

The crude trichlorosilane addition product was reacted, according to general procedure 9.2. Following the work up procedure the crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography (elution gradient petrol:ethyl acetate, 10:1 – 2:1), and pure fractions were
evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 266 as a colourless oil (0.48 g, 49%); 
\( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (thin film)/cm\(^{-1} \) 3315 (bs), 3010 (w), 2910 (m), 1601 (s), 1450 (m); \( \delta_{\text{H}} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 6.78 (1H, d, \( J = 8.7 \), \( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH} \)), 6.72 (1H, dd, \( J = 8.7 \) and 1.6, \( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH} \)), 6.71 (1H, app d, \( J = 1.6 \), \( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH} \)), 3.85 (3H, s, OCH\(_3\)), 3.84 (3H, s, OCH\(_3\)), 3.66 (2H, t, \( J = 6.4 \), OCH\(_2\)), 2.64 (1H, t, \( J = 7.4 \), \( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH} \)), 1.86 (2H, app tt, \( J = 7.4 \) and 6.4, CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)CH\(_2\)OH); \( \delta_{\text{C}} \) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 148.7 (\( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{CO} \)), 147.0 (\( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{CO} \)), 134.3 (\( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{C} \)), 120.1 (\( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH} \)), 111.6 (\( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH} \)), 111.1 (\( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH} \)), 62.1 (OCH\(_3\)), 55.8 (OCH\(_3\)), 55.7 (OCH\(_3\)), 34.3 (CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)OH), 31.6 (\( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH} \)); 
m/z (CI, NH\(_3\)) 197 (10%), 196 (100, MH\(^+\)), 181 (30). 
The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.\(^{296}\)
7.4 - Experimental for Chapter 4

\[ N-((6,7-Dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methylene)-2-methylpropan-2-amine (275) \]

Using conventional heating: To a stirred solution of the 6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 190 (5 g, 25.87 mmol) under nitrogen was added \( N,N \)-dimethyl-\( N \)-tert-butylformamidine (4.48 ml, 28.46 mmol), and a catalytic amount of ammonium sulfate (34 mg, 0.26 mmol) in toluene and the mixture was heated at reflux for 4-5 days. After consumption of the starting material, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Purification was not possible and the crude material is used in subsequent reactions.

Using microwave heating: A solution of 6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 190 (0.25 g, 1.29 mmol), \( N,N \)-dimethyl-\( N \)-tert-butylformamidine (0.224 ml, 1.42 mmol) and ammonium sulfate (2 mg, 0.01 mmol) were dissolved in toluene (0.65 ml) and sealed into a microwave tube. The reaction was heated to 150 ºC for 2 h in a microwave reactor and cooled to r.t. Further purification was not possible and the crude material was used in subsequent reactions. \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (thin film) 3279 (w), 3018 (m), 2954 (s), 2816 (s), 1658 (s) /cm\(^{-1}\); \( \delta_\text{H} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.39 (1H, s, NC(N)H), 6.55 (1H, s, \( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH} \)), 6.51 (1H, s, \( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH} \)), 4.33 (2H, s, NCH\(_2\)Ar), 3.76 (3H, s, \( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{COCH}_3 \)), 3.74 (3H, s, \( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{COCH}_3 \)), 3.41 (2H, t, \( J = 6.0 \), NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 2.70 (2H, t, \( J = 6.0 \), NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 1.10 (9H, s, C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)); \( \delta_\text{C} \) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 149.9 (NC(N)H), 147.3 (\( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{COCH}_3 \)), 147.1 (\( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{COCH}_3 \)), 126.2 (\( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{C} \)), 125.4 (\( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{C} \)), 111.2 (\( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH} \)), 109.0 (\( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH} \)), 55.7 (\( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{OCH}_3 \)), 55.6 (\( ^{\text{Ar}}\text{OCH}_3 \)), 53.0 (\( \text{C(CH}_3)_3 \)), 45.9 (NCH\(_2\)Ar), 43.7 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 31.0 (3 \( \times \) C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 28.5 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar); \( m/z \) (CI) 278 (15%), 277 (100, MH\(^+\)); HRMS C\(_{16}\)H\(_{25}\)N\(_2\)O\(_2\) (MH\(^+\)) requires 277.1911, found 277.1920.

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data. \(^{151,152,192}\)

\[ 2-(Methylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (276) \]

\[ \text{O} \quad \text{N} \quad \text{O} \]
To a stirred solution of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 188 (1.3 g, 9.76 mmol) and triethylamine (2.72 mL, 19.52 mmol) in DCM (50 mL) at 0 ºC was added methanesulfonyl chloride (0.831 mL, 10.74 mmol) dropwise, and the resulting solution was warmed to r.t. and stirred for 6 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with water (25 mL), and the layers separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (25 mL), the combined organic layer were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford the crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to 50% EtOAc in isohexane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 2-(methylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 276 (1.680 g, 83%) as a white crystalline solid, mp 102-106 ºC; νmax (thin film) /cm –1 3015 (w), 2935 (w), 2161 (w), 1317 (s), 1272 (m), 1150 (m), 1136 (s); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.22-7.14 (3H, m, ArCH), 7.11-7.07 (1H, m, ArCH), 4.46 (2H, s, NCH₂Ar), 3.57 (2H, t, J= 6.0, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.98 (2H, t, J= 6.0, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.83 (3H, s, SO₂CH₃); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 133.2 (ArC), 131.8 (ArC), 129.0 (ArC), 127.0 (ArC), 126.5 (ArC), 126.3 (ArC), 47.2 (NCH₂Ar), 43.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 36.0 (SO₂CH₃), 28.7 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (CI, NH₃) 274 (30%), 252 (100, MMeCN¹), 207 (50).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.²⁹⁷

6,7-Dimethoxy-2-(methylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (278)²⁹⁷,²⁹⁸

To a stirred solution of 6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (2.5 g, 10.88 mmol) and triethylamine (4.55 mL, 32.65 mmol) in DCM (50 mL) at 0 ºC was added methanesulfonyl chloride (0.831 mL, 10.74 mmol) dropwise, and the resulting solution was warmed to r.t. and stirred for 6 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with water (25 mL), and the layers separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (2 × 25 mL), the combined organic layer were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford the crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to 50% EtOAc in isohexane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 6,7-dimethoxy-2-(methylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 278 (2.47 g, 84%) as a white crystalline solid, mp 142–144°C; νmax (thin film) /cm –1 2935 (w), 2841 (w), 2160 (w), 2028 (w), 1611 (m), 1518 (s), 1468 (w), 1448 (w); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.63 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.57 (1H, s, ArCH), 4.39 (2H, s, NCH₂Ar), 3.87 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.85 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.56 (2H, t, J= 6.0, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.90 (2H, t, J= 6.0, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.84 (3H, s, SO₂CH₃); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 148.2 (ArC), 148.0 (ArC), 125.1 (ArC), 123.5
(ArC), 111.7 (ArCH), 109.1 (ArCH), 56.0 (ArCOCH₃), 55.9 (ArCOCH₃), 47.0 (NCH₂Ar), 43.5 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 36.1 (SO₂CH₃), 28.1 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (Cl, NH₃) 313 (MMeCNH⁺, 60%), 272 (MH⁺, 100), 193 (29).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.²⁹⁷, ²⁹⁸

6,7-Dimethoxy-2-(propylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (280)

To a stirred solution of 6,7-dimethoxy-2-(methylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 278 (0.5 g, 1.84 mmol), in THF (17 mL) under nitrogen at -78 ºC was added a solution of sBuLi (1.47 mL, 2.21 mmol) dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred for 2 h at -78 ºC. To the resulting dark red solution was added iodoethane (0.3 mL, 2.21 mmol) dropwise, after 5 minutes the dark red colour faded to pale orange, and the reaction mixture was warmed to r.t. and quenched with water (50 mL) and extracted with DCM (3 x 50 mL), the organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford a colourless oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 10 to 50% EtOAc in isohexane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 6,7-dimethoxy-2-propylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 280 (0.49 g, 89%) as a colourless oil; νₓₘₐₓ (thin film) /cm⁻¹; 2930 (w), 2842 (w), 1614 (m), 1513 (s), 1465 (w); δₓ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.61 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.55 (1H, s, ArCH), 4.41 (2H, s, NCH₂Ar), 3.85 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.84 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.57 (2H, t, J = 5.9, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.96-2.91 (2H, m, SO₂CH₂), 2.86 (2H, t, J = 5.9, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 1.85 (2H, app sext, J = 7.5, SO₂CH₂CH₂H), 1.04 (3H, t, J = 7.5, SO₂CH₂CH₂CH₃); δc (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 148.3 (ArCOCH₃), 148.2 (ArCOCH₃), 125.3 (ArC), 123.3 (ArC), 111.5 (ArCH), 109.4 (ArCH), 55.9 (ArCOCH₃), 55.8 (ArCOCH₃), 47.9 (NCH₂Ar), 43.8 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 37.6 (SO₂CH₂), 28.5 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 13.5 (SO₂CH₂CH₂), 12.6 (SO₂CH₂CH₂CH₃); m/z (Cl, NH₃) 363 (MMeCNH⁺, 60%), 300 (MH⁺, 100), 193 (40).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.²⁹⁹, ³⁰⁰

6,7-Dimethoxy-2-(phenylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (282)²⁹⁹
To a stirred solution of 6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (2.5 g, 10.88 mmol) and triethylamine (4.55 mL, 32.65 mmol) in DCM (49 mL) at 0 °C was added benzenesulfonyl chloride (1.528 mL, 11.97 mmol) dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred at 25 °C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with water (25 mL), and the layers separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (2 × 25 mL), the combined organic layer were dried over Na2SO4, filtered and evaporated to afford the crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to 20% MeOH in DCM. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 6,7-dimethoxy-2-(phenylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 282 (2.95 g, 81%) as a white solid; mp 156-160 °C; νmax (thin film) /cm–1 2980 (m), 2970 (m), 1607 (w), 1517 (s), 1463 (w), 1447 (m); δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 7.88-7.84 (2H, m, PhSO2CH), 7.61-7.53 (3H, m, PhSO2CH), 6.57 (1H, s, NCH2Ar), 6.53 (1H, s, NCH2Ar), 4.22 (2H, s, NCH2Ar), 3.84 (3H, s, OCH3), 3.83 (3H, s, OCH3), 3.38 (2H, t, J = 5.9, NCH2CH2Ar), 2.85 (2H, t, J = 5.9, NCH2CH2Ar); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 148.0 (ArC OCH3), 147.8 (ArCOCH3), 136.7 (PhSO2C), 132.8 (PhSO2CH), 129.1 (2 × PhSO2CH), 127.7 (2 × PhSO2CH), 125.0 (ArC), 111.5 (ArCH), 109.1 (ArCH), 56.0 (ArCOCH3), 55.9 (ArCOCH3), 47.2 (NCH2Ar), 43.8 (NCH2CH2Ar), 28.4 (NCH2CH2Ar); m/z (CI, NH3) 397 (MMeCNNa+, 15%), 334 (MH+), 193 (20), 192 (100).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.299, 300

6,7-Dimethoxy-2-(o-tolylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (283)

To a stirred solution of 6,7-dimethoxy-2-(phenylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 282 (0.613 g, 1.84 mmol), in THF (16.79 mL) at -78 °C was added of sBuLi (1.47 mL, 2.21 mmol) dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred for 1 h at -78 °C. To the resulting dark red solution was added iodomethane (0.138 mL, 2.21 mmol) dropwise, after 5 minutes the dark red colour faded to pale orange. The reaction was warmed to rt and quenched with water (50 mL) then extracted with DCM (3 x 50 mL), the organic layer was dried over Na2SO4, filtered and evaporated to afford a colourless oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to 20% MeOH in DCM. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 6,7-dimethoxy-2-(o-tolylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 283 (0.58 g, 91%) as a colourless gum; νmax (thin film) /cm–1 2980 (m), 2971 (m), 1612 (w), 1517 (s), 1462 (m); δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 7.91 (1H, dd, J = 7.9
and 1.3, TolSO₂CH), 7.38 (1H, td, \( J = 7.5 \) and 1.3, TolSO₂CH), 7.27-7.21 (2H, m, TolSO₂CH), 6.50 (1H, s, \(^{13}CH\)), 6.44 (1H, s, \(^{14}CH\)), 4.24 (2H, s, NCH₂Ar), 3.76 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.74 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.43 (2H, t, \( J = 5.8 \), NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.73 (2H, t, \( J = 5.8 \), NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.55 (3H, s, TolSO₂CH); \( \delta_C \) (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 147.0 (\(^{15}COCH₃\)), 146.8 (\(^{13}COCH₃\)), 137.1 (\(^{14}C\)), 135.5 (\(^{15}C\)), 131.8 (TolSO₂CH), 131.7 (TolSO₂CH), 129.1 (TolSO₂CH), 125.0 (TolSO₂CH), 124.2 (TolSO₂C), 122.7 (TolSO₂C), 110.6 (\(^{13}CH\)), 108.0 (\(^{15}CH\)), 55.0 (\(^{13}COCH₃\)), 54.9 (\(^{14}COCH₃\)), 45.2 (NCH₂Ar), 41.8 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 27.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 19.5 (TolSO₂CH); \textit{m/z} (CI, NH₃) 370 (MNa⁺, 20%), 348 (MH⁺, 40), 193 (20), 192 (100).

1-(6,7-Dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)-2,2-dimethylpropan-1-one (287)\textsuperscript{206}

To a stirred solution of 6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (2.5 g, 10.88 mmol) and triethylamine (4.55 mL, 32.65 mmol) in DCM (50 mL) at 0 ºC was added pivaloyl chloride (1.640 g, 13.60 mmol) dropwise over a period of 5 minutes under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction mixture was poured into water (50 mL), extracted with DCM (3 × 50 mL), the organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford the crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to 20% MeOH in DCM. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 1-(6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)-2,2-dimethylpropan-1-one 230 (2.82 g, 93%) as a colourless gum; \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (thin film) /cm\(^{-1}\) 3014 (m), 2972 (m), 2954 (m), 2838 (w), 2161 (w), 1615 (s), 1517 (s), 1463 (m), 1449 (w); \( \delta_H \) (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.63 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.61 (1H, s, ArCH), 4.68 (2H, s, NCH₂Ar), 3.87 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.86 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.84 (2H, t, \( J = 5.9 \), NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.81 (2H, t, \( J = 5.9 \), NCH₂CH₂Ar), 1.33 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃); \( \delta_C \) (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 176.7 (\(^{15}NC(CH₃)₃\)), 147.8 (\(^{14}COCH₃\)), 147.7 (\(^{15}COCH₃\)), 126.2 (\(^{13}C\)), 125.4 (\(^{14}C\)), 111.5 (\(^{15}CH\)), 109.2 (\(^{14}CH\)), 56.0 (\(^{13}COCH₃\)), 55.9 (\(^{14}COCH₃\)), 47.1 (NCH₂Ar), 43.5 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 38.6 (C(CH₃)₃), 28.5 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 28.4 (3 × C(CH₃)₃); \textit{m/z} (CI, NH₃) 279 (10%), 278 (MH⁺, 100), 191 (10).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.\textsuperscript{206}
1-(6,7-Dimethoxy-1-methyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)-2,2-dimethylpropan-1-one (288)\textsuperscript{206}

To a stirred solution of 1-(6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)-2,2-dimethylpropan-1-one \textbf{287} (0.510 g, 1.84 mmol), in THF (16.79 mL) at -78 ºC was added a solution of \textsuperscript{1}BuLi (1.47 mL, 2.21 mmol, 1.5 M) in THF dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred for 30 minutes at -78 ºC. Iodomethane (0.138 mL, 2.21 mmol) was added and the resulting solution warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with water (50 mL), extracted with DCM (3 x 50 mL), the organic layer was dried over Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, filtered and evaporated to afford a colourless oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to 20% MeOH in DCM. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 1-(6,7-dimethoxy-1-methyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)-2,2-dimethylpropan-1-one \textbf{288} (0.32 g, 62%) as a colourless oil, as a mixture of rotamers; $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 6.58 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.57 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.48 (1H, bs, NCH\textsubscript{Ar}), 4.35 (1H, bs, NCH\textsubscript{2}), 3.85 (3H, s, OCH\textsubscript{3}), 3.84 (3H, s, OCH\textsubscript{3}), 3.33 (1H, bs, NCH\textsubscript{2}), 2.92 (1H, ddd, $J = 16.0, 12.3$ and $5.5$, ArCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}N), 2.63 (1H, app d, $J = 16.0$, ArCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}N), 1.45 (3H, d, $J = 6.6$, CHCH\textsubscript{3}), 1.31 (9H, s, C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}); $\delta_C$ (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 175.6 (NC\textsubscript{H}(O)C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}), 147.3 ($\textsuperscript{13}$COCH\textsubscript{3}), 147.2 ($\textsuperscript{13}$COCH\textsubscript{3}), 126.9 ($\textsuperscript{13}$C), 124.9 ($\textsuperscript{13}$C), 110.9 ($\textsuperscript{13}$CH), 109.5 ($\textsuperscript{13}$CH), 55.9 ($\textsuperscript{13}$COCH\textsubscript{3}), 55.7 ($\textsuperscript{13}$COCH\textsubscript{3}), 49.4 (NCHAr), 41.0 (NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 38.4 (C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}), 28.4 (NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 27.2 (3 x C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}), 21.0 (CHCH3); m/z (Cl, NH\textsubscript{3}) 333 (MMeC NH\textsuperscript{+}, 20%), 293 (20), 292 (MH\textsuperscript{+}, 100), 205 (10).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.\textsuperscript{206}

\textit{tert}-Butyl 6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxylate (289)\textsuperscript{301}

To a stirred solution of 6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (2.5 g, 10.88 mmol) and triethylamine (4.55 mL, 32.65 mmol) in DCM (50 mL) at 0 ºC was added di-\textit{tert}-butyl dicarbonate (3.56 g, 16.33 mmol) portion-wise. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. The reaction mixture was poured into water (50 mL), extracted with DCM (3 x 50 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with 1 M aq.
NaOH (2 × 50 mL), water (50 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford the crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to 20% EtOAc in isohexane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford tert-butyl 6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxylate 289 (2.55 g, 80%) as a colourless oil, which on standing crystallised to afford a white solid; mp 36-38 ºC; ν\text{max} (thin film) /\text{cm}^{-1} 3003 (w), 2971 (w), 2838 (w), 2159 (w), 1701 (s), 1608 (w), 1519 (m); δ\text{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.63 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.60 (1H, s, ArCH), 4.51 (2H, s, NCH₂Ar), 3.88 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.87 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.64 (2H, t, J = 5.8, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.77 (2H, t, J = 5.8, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 1.51 (9H, s, OC(CH₃)₃); δ\text{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 154.8 (NC\{(O)OC(CH₃)₃), 147.7 (\text{N\{O)OC(CH₃)₃), 127.5 (\text{N\{C}, 125.5 (\text{ArC}), 111.4 (\text{ArCH}), 79.8 (OC(CH₃)₃), 59.9 (\text{ArOCH₃), 59.8 (\text{ArOCH₃), 47.6 (NCH₂Ar), 40.9 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 28.4 (3 × C(CH₃)₃), 28.3 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (CI) 316 (MNa⁺, 45%), 294 (MH⁺, 10), 238 (M-OCH(CH₃)₃+NH₄, 100), 192 (15). HRMS C₁₆H₂₃NNaO₄ (MNa⁺) requires 316.1519, found 316.1529.

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.³⁰¹

**tert-Butyl 1-allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxylate (291)**³⁵⁴

![tert-Butyl 1-allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxylate (291)](image)

To a stirred solution of tert-butyl 6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxylate 289 (12.5 g, 42.61 mmol), in tetrahydrofuran (300 mL) and diethyl ether (100 mL) at -78 ºC, under nitrogen was slowly added a solution of tBuLi (31.2 mL, 46.87 mmol, 1.5 M) in hexane. The resulting solution was stirred at -78 ºC, for 1 h during which time the solution turned a dark red. Allyl bromide (4.42 mL, 51.13 mmol) was added dropwise at -78 ºC, and the resulting solution was stirred at -78 ºC for 1 h during which time the solution turned to a light orange colour, after which the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction mixture was poured into water (200 mL), and extracted with EtOAc (3 × 250 mL), the combined organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford a yellow oil, which was used without further purification. Room temperature NMR in CDCl₃ show duplication of signals caused by the presence of rotomers, variable temperature NMR showed these peaks to coalesce. δ\text{H} (400 MHz, DMSO, 100 ºC) 6.78 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.71 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.90-5.79 (1H, m, CH=CH₂), 5.10-5.00 (2H, m, CH=CH₂), 4.00-3.90 (1H, m, NCH), 3.75 (6H, s, 2 × OCH₃), 3.25-3.00 (3H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.75-2.65 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.60-2.40 (2H, m
CH₂CH=CH₂), 1.40 (9H, s, OC(CH₃)₃); δC (100 MHz, DMSO, 100 ºC) 156.0 (NC(O)OC(CH₃)), 150.0 (NC(O)OC(CH₃)), 149.7 (NC(O)OC(CH₃)), 137.5 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 131.4 (NC), 128.4 (NC), 118.5 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 115.1 (NC), 113.8 (NC), 80.8 (OC(CH₃)), 58.2 (NC), 58.0 (NC), 55.4 (NC), 41.9 (NC), 38.5 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 30.1 (OC(CH₃)), 29.5 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (CI, NH₃) 277 (MH+-tBu, 20%), 236 (100), 233 (M-Boc⁺, 40).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.¹⁵⁴

1-Allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (292) ¹⁵⁴

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{Method A: To a solution of tert-butyl 1-allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxylate 291 (17 g, 50.99 mmol) in THF (20 mL) at room temperature was added a solution of hydrochloric acid (102 mL, 407.89 mmol, 4 M in dioxane) the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo to yield the hydrochloride salt as a brown solid, (7.9 g, 80%); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.63 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.60 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.00-5.90 (1H, m, CH=CH₂), 5.34 (1H, dd, J = 17.0 and 1.3, CH=CH₂), 5.28 (1H, d, J = 10.1, CH=CH₂), 4.52 (1H, app t, J = 5.0, NCH), 3.86 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.83 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.69-3.59 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 3.40-3.32 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 3.20-3.05 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 3.00-2.90 (2H, m, CH₂CH=CH₂), 1.60 (2H, br s, NHH); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 148.9 (NC), 148.2 (NC), 132.0 (CH=CH₂), 124.1 (NC), 123.0 (NC), 120.9 (CH=CH₂), 111.5 (NC), 109.4 (NC), 67.1 (NCH), 56.1 (OCH₃), 55.9 (OCH₃), 54.2 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 38.8 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 25.2 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); The hydrochloride salt was deprotonated using 2 M aq. NaOH solution (200 mL), followed by extraction with DCM (2 x 200 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (200 mL) and dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo to yield 1-allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 292 as a pale yellow oil.

Method B: To a solution of tert-butyl 1-allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxylate 291 (4.7 g, 14 mmol) in DCM (20 mL) at room temperature was added trifluoroacetic acid (7.3 mL, 98 mmol) and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo and the resulting brown oil was taken up in a fresh portion of DCM (100 mL) and stirred with 2 M aq NaOH solution (100 mL) for 15 minutes. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer
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was extracted with DCM (2 × 50 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with water (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to yield 1-allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 292 as a pale yellow oil; ν \text{max} (thin film) / cm⁻¹ 3332 (bs), 3072 (w), 1637 (m), 1612 (m); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.66 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.57 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.90-5.80 (1H, m, CH=C=CH₂), 5.20-5.13 (2H, m, CH=CH₂), 3.99 (1H, app dd, J = 8.8 and 3.5, NCH), 3.84 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.83 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.21 (1H, dt, J = 12.3 and 5.3, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.95 (1H, ddd, J=12.3, 7.7 and 5.0, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.75-2.60 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and CH₂=CHCH₂), 2.52-2.46 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and CH₂=CHCH₂), 2.25 (1H, br s, NH); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 147.4 (ArCOCH₃), 147.2 (ArCOCH₃), 135.5 (CH=C=CH₂), 129.5 (ArC), 127.4 (ArC), 117.9 (CH=C=CH₂), 112.0 (ArCH), 109.0 (ArCH), 55.9 (OCH₃), 55.5 (OCH₃), 54.7 (NCH), 42.5 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 41.0 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 29.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (Cl, NH₃) 256 (MNa⁺, 10%), 234 (MH⁺, 100), 205 (35), 189 (10).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.¹⁵⁴

1-(1-Allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)-2-chloroethanone (295)

To a stirred solution of 1-allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 292 (4.8 g, 21.0 mmol) and triethylamine (7.8 mL, 62.0 mmol) in DCM (100 mL) at 0 ºC was added chloroacetyl chloride (2.0 mL, 25.2 mmol) dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The reaction mixture was poured into water (100 mL), extracted with DCM (2 × 100 mL), the combined organic layer was washed with 1 M aq. NaOH (100 mL), water (100 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford the crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 4:1 to 1:1 petrol:EtoAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 295 as a colourless gum, (4.0 g, 62%); Rf = 0.35 (2:1 petrol:EtoAc); ν \text{max} (thin film) / cm⁻¹ 2955 (w), 1640 (s), 1453 (m), 1340 (m); Room temperature NMR in CDCl₃ show duplication and overlapping of signals caused by the presence of rotomers, variable temperature NMR showed incomplete coalescence of the peaks at 100 ºC in DMSO. δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of rotamers) 6.63 (1H, bs, ArCH), 6.59 (1H, bs, ArCH), 5.92-5.80 (1H, m, CH=C=CH₂), 5.00-4.86 (2H, m, CH=CH₂), 4.79-4.60 (1H, m, NCH), 4.20-4.10 (2H, m, C(O)CH₂Cl), 3.92-3.82 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 3.85 (3H, bs, OCH₃), 3.83 (3H, bs, OCH₃), 3.62-3.56 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 3.00-2.50 (4H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar, NCH₂CH₂Ar, CHCH₂CH=CH₂); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of rotamers)
To a stirred solution of 1-allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 292 (1.6 g, 7.0 mmol) and triethylamine (2.70 mL, 21.0 mmol) in DCM (50 mL) at 0 ºC was added bromoacetyl bromide (0.92 mL, 10.5 mmol) dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The reaction mixture was poured into water (50 mL), extracted with DCM (2 × 50 mL), the combined organic layer was washed with 1 M aq. NaOH (50 mL), water (50 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford the crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 4:1 to 1:1 petrol:EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 296 as a colourless gum (1.34 g, 55%); Rf = 0.40 (2:1 petrol:EtOAc); νmax (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 2944 (w), 1644 (s), 1513 (m), 1360 (m); Room temperature NMR in CDCl₃ show duplication and overlapping of signals caused by the presence of rotomers, variable temperature NMR showed incomplete coalescence of the peaks at 100 ºC in DMSO. δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of rotamers) 6.55 (1H, bs, ¹HCH), 6.52 (1H, bs, ¹HCH), 5.80-5.70 (1H, m, CH=CH₂), 5.05-4.90 (2H, m, CH=CH₂), 4.75-4.55 (1H, m, NCH₂), 3.90-3.70 (3H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar, C(O)CH₂Br), 3.78 (3H, bs, OCH₃), 3.76 (3H, bs, OCH₃), 3.58-3.50 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 3.01-2.48 (4H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar, NCH₂CH₂Ar, CHCH₂CH=CH₂); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of rotamers) 165.5 (¹NCH₂), 165.4 (¹NCH₂), 147.8 (¹NCH₂), 147.7 (¹NCH₂), 147.6 (¹NCH₂), 147.5 (¹NCH₂), 134.3 (CH=CH₂), 133.9 (CH=CH₂), 128.1 (¹NCH₂), 127.6 (¹NCH₂), 125.9 (¹NCH₂), 119.1 (CH=CH₂), 117.6 (CH=CH₂), 111.5 (¹NCH₂), 111.0 (¹NCH₂), 109.9 (¹NCH₂), 109.4 (¹NCH₂), 57.5 (NCH₂), 56.0 (OCH₃), 55.9 (OCH₃), 55.8 (OCH₃), 55.7 (OCH₃), 51.8 (NCH₂), 41.0 (CH₂), 40.9 (CH₂), 40.6 (CH₂), 34.9 (CH₂), 28.6 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 28.5 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 26.6 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 26.3 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), m/z (CI, NH₃) 378 (MBr⁺Na⁺, 50%), 376...
(MBr$^{79}$Na$^+$, 50), 357 (18), 356 (MBr$^{81}$H$^+$, 98), 355 (18), 354 (MBr$^{79}$H$^+$, 100); HRMS C$_{16}$H$_{21}$BrNO$_3$ (MBr$^{79}$H$^+$) requires 354.0699, found 354.0705.

1-[6,7-Dimethoxy-1-(prop-2-en-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-2-yl]-2-[(ethoxymethanethioyl)sulfanyl]ethan-1-one (294)

To a stirred solution of 1-(1-allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)-2-chloroethanone 295 (2.3 g, 7.5 mmol) in MeCN (20 mL) at room temperature was added potassium O-ethyl xanthate (1.3 g, 8.25 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h, during which time a precipitate formed. The reaction mixture was poured into water (50 mL), and extracted with EtOAc (2 × 50 mL), the combined organic layer was washed with 1 M aq. NaOH (50 mL), water (2 × 50 mL), brine (50 mL), and dried over MgSO$_4$, filtered and evaporated to afford the crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 9:1 to 1:1 petrol:EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 294 as a colourless gum, (2.6 g, 84%); Rf = 0.55 (1:1 petrol:EtOAc); $\nu_{max}$ (thin film) /cm$^{-1}$ 2935 (s), 2834 (w), 1643 (s), 1517 (s), 1443 (s), 1360 (m), 1244 (s), 1224 (s); Room temperature NMR in CDCl$_3$ show duplication and overlapping of signals caused by the presence of rotamers, variable temperature NMR in CDCl$_3$ show incomplete coalescence of the peaks at 90 °C in DMSO. $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, mixture of rotamers) 6.52 (1H, bs, $^\text{Ar}$CH), 6.51 (1H, bs, $^\text{Ar}$CH), 5.85-5.64 (1H, m, CH=CH$_2$), 5.44 and 4.84 (1H, t, $J$ = 6.5, NCH), 5.10-4.88 (2H, m, CH=CH$_2$), 4.52 (2H, q, $J$ = 6.5, OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 4.06 and 4.04 (2H, s, C(O)CH$_2$S), 3.84-3.76 (1H, m, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 3.72 (3H, bs, 2 × OCH$_3$), 3.54-3.46 (1H, m, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar and CHCH$_2$CH=CH$_2$); $\delta_C$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, major rotamer) 213.6 (SC(S)OEt), 165.1 (NC(O)CH$_2$), 147.8 ($^\text{Ar}$CO$_2$CH$_3$), 147.6 ($^\text{Ar}$CO$_2$CH$_3$), 134.7 (CH=CH$_2$), 128.5 ($^\text{Ar}$C), 125.2 ($^\text{Ar}$C), 117.4 (CH=CH$_2$), 111.3 ($^\text{Ar}$CH), 110.2 ($^\text{Ar}$CH), 70.4 (OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 56.0 (OCH$_3$), 55.9 (OCH$_3$), 52.4 (NCH), 41.4 (CH$_2$), 40.6 (NC(O)CH$_2$S), 39.8 (CH$_2$), 28.7 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 13.7 (OCH$_2$CH$_3$); $\delta_C$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, minor rotamer) 213.9 (SC(S)OEt), 165.3 (NC(O)CH$_2$), 148.1 ($^\text{Ar}$CO$_2$CH$_3$), 147.5 ($^\text{Ar}$CO$_2$CH$_3$), 133.8 (CH=CH$_2$), 127.8 ($^\text{Ar}$C), 126.1 ($^\text{Ar}$C), 119.2 (CH=CH$_2$), 111.6 ($^\text{Ar}$CH), 109.8 ($^\text{Ar}$CH), 70.3 (OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 56.7 (OCH$_3$), 56.1 (OCH$_3$), 52.4 (NCH), 41.0 (CH$_2$), 40.1 (NC(O)CH$_2$S), 39.9 (CH$_2$), 27.6 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 14.2 (OCH$_2$CH$_3$); m/z (ESI) 459
(M$^{32}$S$_2$NaMeCN$^+$, 22%), 459 (M$^{32}$S$_2$Na$^+$, 25), 398 (10), 397 (20), 396 (M$^{32}$S$_2$H$^+$, 100%); HRMS C$_{19}$H$_{26}$S$_2$NO$_3$ (M$^{32}$S$_2$H$^+$) requires 396.1298, found 396.1299.

1-(1-Allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)ethanone (299)

A solution of 294, 295 or 296 (1.0-2.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) in THF (50-150 mL) was stirred at reflux for 30 minutes under nitrogen. Then AIBN (0.05-0.12 mmol, 0.05 equiv.) was added, followed by the slow addition of a solution of tributyltin hydride (1.2-3.0 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and AIBN (0.5-1.25 mmol) in THF (20 mL) by a syringe pump over a period of 1-4 h. Following the completion of the addition of the tributyltin hydride, the solution was maintained at reflux for a further 2 h, after which the solution was cooled to r.t. The reaction mixture was contracted in vacuo, until approx 10 ml of solvent was left and this was then stirred with KF/silica for 10 min. The resulting slurry was loaded on to a short KF/silica column, and flushed with petrol then EtOAc, the EtOAc fraction was concentrated in vacuo to afford a yellow gum. The gum was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 5:1 petrol:EtOAc to EtOAc, the pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound as a colourless oil (25-49%); Rf = 0.35 (2:1 petrol:EtOAc); $\nu$ max (thin film) /cm$^{-1}$ 2953 (w), 1639 (s), 1516 (m), 1435 (m), 1359 (m); Room temperature NMR in CDCl$_3$ show duplication and overlapping of signals cased by the presence of rotomers, variable temperature NMR showed incomplete coalescence of the peaks at 100 °C in DMSO. $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, mixture of rotamers) 6.59 (1H, bs, $^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 6.55 (1H, bs, $^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 5.90-5.78 (1H, m, CH=CH$_2$), 5.09-4.95 (2H, m, CH=CH$_2$), 4.75-4.65 (1H, m, NCH), 3.81 (3H, bs, OCH$_3$), 3.78 (3H, bs, OCH$_3$), 3.77-3.70 (1H, m, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 3.53-3.48 (1H, m, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 3.01-2.48 (4H, m, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar, CHCH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 2.16 (3H, bs, OCH$_3$); $\delta_C$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, mixture of rotamers) 169.2 (NC(O)CH$_3$), 169.1 (NC(O)CH$_3$), 147.6 ($^{\text{Ar}}$COCH$_3$), 147.5 ($^{\text{Ar}}$COCH$_3$), 147.4 ($^{\text{Ar}}$COCH$_3$), 147.3 ($^{\text{Ar}}$COCH$_3$), 134.9 (CH=CH$_2$), 133.8 (CH=CH$_2$), 128.9 ($^{\text{Ar}}$C), 128.1 ($^{\text{Ar}}$C), 126.4 ($^{\text{Ar}}$C), 125.1 ($^{\text{Ar}}$C), 118.6 (CH=CH$_2$), 117.0 (CH=CH$_2$), 111.5 ($^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 111.0 ($^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 110.0 ($^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 109.5 ($^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 57.5 (NCH), 55.9 (OCH$_3$), 55.7 (OCH$_3$), 51.2 (NCH), 41.3 (CH$_2$), 40.9 (CH$_2$), 40.5 (CH$_2$), 34.8 (CH$_2$), 28.5 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 27.6 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 21.9 (NC(O)CH$_3$), 21.7 (NC(O)CH$_3$); $m/z$ (CI) 277 (15%), 276 (MH$^+$, 100), 232 (30).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.$^{302}$
**tert-Butyl 6,7-dimethoxy-1-[(E)-4-methoxy-4-oxobut-2-en-1-yl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrossoquinoline-2-carboxylate (300)**

To a stirred solution of tert-butyl 1-allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxylate 291 (1.1 g, 3.34 mmol) in dioxane:water (8 mL:4 mL) was added a crystal of osmium tetaoxide, followed by the slow portionwise addition of sodium metaperiodate (1.6 g, 7.3 mmol) over 20 minutes. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h, after which brine (30 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 25 mL). The organic layer was dried over NaSO₄ and concentrated to afford a light brown oil.

To a stirred solution of the crude aldehyde in DCM (10 mL) was added methoxycarbonylmethylene triphenylphosphorane (1.7 g, 5.0 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h. The reaction mixture was then concentrated in vacuo, then diluted with Et₂O (75 mL), the resulting white precipitate is filtered, and the organic solution washed with water (50 mL), brine (50 mL) and then dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to afford an brown oil. The resulting oil was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 5:1 petrol:EtOAc to neat EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 300 as a pale yellow oil (0.82 g, 62%), Rf = 0.55 (EtOAc); νmax (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3066 (w), 2905 (w), 1715 (s), 1690 (s), 1630 (m); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.02-6.88 (1H, m, CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 6.53 (1H, s, ÆCH), 6.50 (1H, s, ÆCH), 5.82-5.70 (1H, m, CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 5.26-5.00 (1H, m, NCH), 4.20-3.92 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 3.78 (3H, s, ÆOCH₃), 3.75 (3H, s, ÆOCH₃), 3.64 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.23-3.01 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.84-2.55 (4H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and CHCH₂CH); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃, major rotamer only) 166.4 (CO₂CH₃), 154.2 (OC(CH₃)₃), 147.4 (OC(OCH₃), 147.3 (OC(OCH₃), 145.5 (CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 127.9 (OC), 126.5 (OC), 122.9 (CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 111.4 (CH), 109.5 (CH), 97.8 (OC(CH₃)₃), 55.9 (OCCH₃), 55.8 (OC(OCH₃), 53.6 (NCH), 51.3 (CO₂CH₃), 39.7 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 36.6 (CH₂CH=CH), 28.3 (3 × OC(CH₃)₃), 28.2 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (CI) 393 (10%), 392 (MH⁺, 50), 291 (15), 290 (100); HRMS C₂₁H₃₀NO₆ (MH⁺) requires 392.2067, found 392.2071.
Methyl (E)-4-(6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl)but-2-enoate (301)

To a stirred solution of tert-Butyl 6,7-dimethoxy-1-[(E)-4-methoxy-4-oxobut-2-en-1-yl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-2-carboxylate 300 (783 mg, 2 mmol) in DCM (10 mL) at room temperature was added dropwise trifluoroacetic acid (1.9 mL, 25 mmol). Following complete addition the solution was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo and the resulting brown solid was taken up in a fresh portion of DCM (50 mL) and stirred with 2 M aq. NaOH solution (50 mL) for 15 minutes. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (2 × 50 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with water (100 mL), dried over MgSO4 and concentrated in vacuo to afford a brown oil. The resulting oil was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient DCM to 10:1 DCM:MeOH. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 301 as a pale yellow oil (0.217 g, 37%), Rf = 0.26 (15:1 DCM:MeOH); νmax (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3340 (bs), 3075 (w), 1712 (s), 1633 (m), 1612 (m); δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 6.92 (1H, dt, J = 15.7 and 7.7 CH=CHCO2CH3), 6.52 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.51 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.85 (1H, dt, J = 15.7 and 1.2, CH=CHCO2CH3), 4.10-4.00 (1H, m, NCH2), 3.79 (3H, s, ArOCH3), 3.78 (3H, s, ArOCH3), 3.66 (3H, s, CO2CH3), 3.18-3.04 (1H, m, NCH2CH2Ar), 2.95-2.85 (1H, m, NCH2CH2Ar), 2.75-2.50 (4H, m, NCH2CH2Ar and CH2CH=CHCO2CH3), 1.74 (1H, bs, NH); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 166.2 (CO2CH3), 148.0 (ArCOCH3), 147.7 (ArCOCH3), 146.3 (CH=CHCO2CH3), 129.5 (ArC), 127.5 (ArC), 123.5 (CH=CHCO2CH3), 111.8 (ArCH), 108.8 (ArCH), 55.9 (ArOCH3), 55.8 (ArOCH3), 54.5 (NCH), 51.5 (CO2CH3), 40.8 (NCH2CH2Ar), 39.3 (CH2CH=CH), 29.3 (NCH2CH2Ar); m/z (CI) 315 (10%), 314 (60, MNa⁺), 310 (12), 309 (75, MNH⁺), 293 (18), 292 (100, MH⁺); HRMS C16H22NO4 (MH⁺) requires 292.1548, found 292.1545.
Methyl (E)-4-(2-(2-bromoacetyl)-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl)but-2-enoate (302)

To a stirred solution of methyl (E)-4-(6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl)but-2-enoate 301 (204 mg, 0.7 mmol) and triethylamine (270 µL, 2.1 mmol) in DCM (5 mL) at 0 ºC was added bromoacetyl bromide (92 µL, 1.05 mmol) dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The reaction mixture was poured into water (10 mL), extracted with DCM (2 × 20 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with 1 M aq. NaOH (20 mL), water (20 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford the crude product. The crude product was purified by a SCX column elution gradient 4:1 DCM:MeOH to 4:1 MeOH/NH₃:DCM to afford the title compound 302 as a yellow gum (273 mg, 95%), as a mixture of rotamers; νₓₒₓ(thin film) / cm⁻¹ 3069 (w), 2912 (w), 1712 (s), 16940 (s); Room temperature NMR in CDCl₃ show duplication and overlapping of signals cased by the presence of rotomers, variable temperature NMR showed incomplete coalescence of the peaks at 100 ºC in DMSO. major rotamer, δₓₜ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.90 (1H, dt, J = 15.6 and 7.7 CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 6.59 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.58 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.80 (1H, dt, J = 15.6 and 1.2, CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 5.59 (1H, t, J = 5.5, NCH), 3.92 (2H, s, NC(O)CH₂Br), 3.87-3.82 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 3.85 (3H, s, ArOCH₃), 3.83 (3H, s, ArOCH₃), 3.69 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.55 (1H, app ddd, J = 15.0, 10.6 and 4.2, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.98 (1H, app ddd, J = 16.1, 10.6 and 5.4 NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.82-2.68 (3H, m, CH₂CH=CHCO₂CH₃ and NCH₂CH₂Ar); δₓₜ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.5 (CO₂CH₃), 165.9 (NC(O)CH₂Br), 148.1 (ArCO₃), 147.8 (ArCO₃), 144.3 (CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 127.1 (ArC), 125.2 (ArC), 123.8 (CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 111.2 (ArCH), 109.8 (ArCH), 56.1 (ArOCH₃), 56.0 (ArOCH₃), 51.8 (NCH), 51.6 (CO₂CH₃), 41.6 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 39.1 (CH₂CH=CH-C), 28.6 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 26.1 (NC(O)CH₂Br); m/z (Cl) 436 (MBr⁸¹Na⁺, 30%), 434 MBr⁷⁹Na⁺, 30), 431 (MBr⁸¹NH₄⁺, 45), 429 (MBr⁷⁹NH₄⁺, 45), 415 (16), 414 (MBr⁸¹H⁺, 98), 413 (18), 412 (MBr⁷⁹H⁺, 100); HRMS C₁₈H₂₃BrNO₅ (MH⁺) requires 412.0754, found 412.0766.

The minor rotamer is identified by the following key peaks; δₓₜ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.95 (1H, dt, J = 15.6 and 7.7, CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 6.60 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.55 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.90 (1H, dt, J = 15.6 and 1.2, CH=CHCO₂CH₃).
To a stirred solution of tert-butyl 6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxylate 289 (11.7 g, 40.0 mmol), in tetrahydrofuran (300 mL) and diethyl ether (300 mL) at -78 °C, under nitrogen was slowly added a solution of sBuLi (40.0 mL, 40 mmol, 1.0 M) in hexane. The resulting solution was stirred at -78 °C, for 45 minutes, during which time the solution turned a dark red. To the resulting solution was added TMEDA (7.3 mL, 48 mmol), after 15 minutes, 2,3-dibromopropene (4.4 mL, 44 mmol) was added dropwise, and the resulting solution was maintained at -78 °C for 1 h during which time the solution turned to a light orange colour, after which the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction mixture was poured into water (200 mL), and extracted with EtOAc (3 × 250 mL), the combined organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford yellow oil, which was used without further purification.

To a stirred solution of the crude oil in DCM (50 mL) at room temperature was slowly added trifluoroacetic acid (80 mL, 320 mmol) and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. After which time the solution was concentrated in vacuo and the resulting brown oil was taken up in a fresh portion of DCM (100 mL) and stirred with a 2 M aq. NaOH solution (100 mL) for 15 minutes. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (2 × 100 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with water (2 × 100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The oil was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to 10% MeOH/NH₃ in DCM. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 306 as a pale yellow oil (3.49 g, 28%); Rf = 0.75 (15:1 DCM:MeOH/NH₃); v_max (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3335 (sb), 3077 (w), 1633 (m), 1610 (m); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.58 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.57 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.71 (1H, appt, J = 1.1, C(Br)=CH₂), 5.57 (1H appd, J = 1.3, C(Br)=CH₂), 4.24 (1H, dd, J = 9.6 and 3.8, NCH₂), 3.84 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.83 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.16 (1H, app dt, J = 12.2 and 5.8, NCH₂), 3.00 (1H, app dt, J = 12.2 and 5.8, NCH₂), 2.86 (1H app ddd, J = 14.3, 3.8 and 1.1, CH₂CBr), 2.80-2.72 (3H, m, CH₂CBr and NCH₂), 2.29 (1H, bs, NH); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 147.5 (δ_COCH₃), 147.1 (δ_COCH₃), 131.4 (C), 129.1 (C), 127.1 (C), 119.7 (=C_H₂), 111.7 (δ_CH₂), 109.1 (δ_CH₂), 55.9 (OCH₃), 55.7 (OCH₃), 52.8 (NCH₂), 48.2 (C(Br)=CH₂), 40.0 (NCH₂), 29.0 (δ_CH₂); m/z (CI, NH₃) 315 (10%), 314 (MBr⁺, 100), 313 (11), 312 (MBr²⁺, 100); HRMS C₁₄H₁₉BrNO₂ (MBr⁺) requires 312.0594, found 312.0592.
1-(2-Bromoallyl)-2-((E)-but-2-enyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline (307)

To a stirred solution of 1-(2-bromoallyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline 306 (0.75 g, 2.4 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (0.39 g, 2.8 mmol) in DMF (15 mL) under nitrogen at room temperature was added Et₃N (0.39 mL, 2.8 mmol), and crotyl bromide (0.24 mL, 2.8 mmol). After stirring for 24 h, the reaction mixture was poured into a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (50 mL) and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aq. Na₂S₂O₃ solution (2 × 30 mL), brine (2 × 30 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 3:1 to 1:1 Petrol:EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 307 as a yellow gum (0.61 g, 69%) as a 4.8:1 mixture of inseparable E:Z alkenes; Rf = 0.34 (1:1 Petrol:EtOAc); νₘₐₓ (thin film) /cm –¹ 3079 (w), 3033 (w), 1635 (m), 1613 (m); major E isomer δ₁H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.55 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.54 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.57-5.54 (2H, m, CH=CH), 5.49 (1H, app d, J = 1.4, =CH₂), 5.44 (1H, app d, J = 1.4, =CH₂), 3.99 (1H, t, J = 6.7, NCH), 3.82 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.87 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.24-3.01 (3H, m, NCH₂CH= and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.95-2.81 (3H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar, CH₂Br and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.58 (1H, app dd, J = 13.9 and 6.8, CH₂Br), 2.48-2.38 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 1.68 (3H, d, J = 3.7, CH₃); δ₁C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 147.3 (4s, ArC(OCH₃), 146.7 (4s, COCH₃), 132.4 (4s, C(Br)=CH₂), 128.8 (4s, CH₂=CH), 128.2 (4s, CH=CH), 125.9 (4s, C(Br)=CH₂), 111.2 (4s, CH₂=CH), 110.7 (4s, CH=CH), 57.3 (4s, NCH), 55.7 (4s, OCH₃), 55.6 (4s, OCH₃), 55.5 (4s, NCH₂CH=), 47.4 (4s, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 43.1 (4s, CH₂CBr), 23.7 (4s, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 17.7 (4s, CH₃); m/z (Cl) 369 (10%), 368 (MBr⁺H⁺, 98), 367 (10), 366 (MBr⁺, 100) 268 (30); HRMS C₁₈H₂₅BrNO₂ (MBr⁺) requires 366.1063, found 366.1059.

The minor Z isomer was identified by the following key peaks; δ₁H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.54 (3H, d, J = 5.5, CH₃); δ₁C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 132.3 (4s, C(Br)=CH₂), 128.6 (4s, CH=CH), 128.0 (4s, CH=CH), 126.7 (4s, CH₂=CH), 125.8 (4s, CH₂=CH), 57.7 (4s, NCH), 49.4 (4s, NCH₂CH), 47.7 (4s, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 43.1 (4s, CH₂CBr), 23.6 (4s, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 13.0 (4s, CH₃).
A solution of 1-(2-bromoallyl)-2-((E)-but-2-enyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline 307 (0.58 g, 1.60 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was stirred at reflux for 30 minutes under nitrogen. Then AIBN (0.013 g, 0.08 mmol) was added, followed by the slow addition of a solution of tributyltin hydride (0.6 mL, 2.24 mmol) and AIBN (0.12 g, 0.72 mmol) in THF (20 mL) by a syringe pump over a period of 4 h. Following the completion of the addition of the tributyltin hydride, the solution was maintained at reflux for a further 2 h, after which the solution was cooled to r.t. The crude product was passed thought an SCX column, elution gradient 4:1 DCM:MeOH to 4:1 DCM:MeOH/NH₃, and evaporated to afford a yellow oil. The oil was then purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 6:1 Petrol:EtOAc to 10:10:1 Petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH₃, the pure fractions were collected and concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compounds.

The 6-exo cyclisation product, 308 was obtained as a yellow oil (103 mg, 22%) as a 4:1 mixture of partially separable diastereomers; Rf = 0.55 (10:10:1 Petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH₃); νₘₐₓ (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3205 (w), 3060 (w), 3029 (w), 1632 (m), 1599 (m); major diastereomer, δₜₜ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.68 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.58 (1H, s, ArCH), 4.90 (1H, d, J = 1.2, CH=CH₂), 4.73 (1H, d, J = 1.0, CH=CH₂), 3.87 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.84 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.12 (1H, td, J = 10.9 and 3.1, NCH₂CH), 3.12-3.00 (3H, m, NCH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.81 (1H, dd, J = 12.5 and 2.8, CHCH₂C=CH₂), 2.68-2.63 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.50 (1H, td, J = 10.9 and 3.6, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.29-2.20 (1H, m, CHCH₂CH₃), 2.21 (1H, dd, J = 12.5, CHCH₂C=CH₂), 1.97 (1H, t, J = 11.0, NCH₂CH), 1.80-1.68 (1H, m, CH₂CH₃), 1.32-1.45 (1H, m, CH₂CH₃), 0.99 (3H, t, J = 7.4, CH₂CH₃); δₜₜ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 149.8 (C=CH₂), 147.4 (ν⁺CH₂COCH₃), 147.1 (ν⁺COCH₃), 129.7 (ν⁺C), 126.6 (ν⁺C), 111.4 (ν⁺CH), 108.1 (ν⁺CH), 106.0 (C=CH₂), 64.0 (NCH), 62.3 (NCH₂CH), 56.0 (OCH₃), 55.8 (OCH₃), 51.7 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 42.7 (CHCH₂CH₃), 41.8 (CHCH₂C=CH₂), 29.2 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 22.2 (CH₂CH₃), 11.7 (CH₂CH₃); m/z (CI, NH₃) 289 (15%), 288 (MH⁺, 100); HRMS C₁₈H₂₆NO₂ (MH⁺) requires 288.1958, found 288.1966.
The 6,5-fused bicyclic compound 309 was obtained as a yellow oil (61 mg, 13%) as a single diastereomer; $R_f = 0.15$ (10:10:1 Petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH$_3$); $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film) /cm$^{-1}$ 3290 (w), 3055 (w), 3015 (w), 1628 (m); $\delta_{\text{H}}$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 6.55 (1H, s, $^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 6.42 (1H, s, $^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 5.65-5.45 (2H, m, CHCH=CHCH$_3$), 3.83 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.82 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.75 (1H, d, $J = 6.1$, NCHAr), 3.40 (1H, t, $J = 5.4$, NCHCH$_2$Ar), 3.12-3.07 (2H, m, NCH$_2$CH=CHCH$_3$), 2.91 (1H, dd, $J = 17.4$ and 5.4, NCHCH$_2$Ar), 2.60-2.47 (3H, m, CH$_2$CHCH$_3$, CHCH$_3$ and NCHCH$_2$Ar), 1.66 (3H, d, $J = 6.1$ and 1.1, CH=CHCH$_3$), 1.26-1.20 (1H, m, CH$_2$CHCH$_3$), 0.94 (3H, d, $J = 6.8$, CHCH$_3$); $\delta_{\text{C}}$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 147.2 (ArC=OCH$_3$), 147.0 (ArC=OCH$_3$), 133.6 (ArC), 128.6 (CH=CH), 127.8 (CH=CH), 124.5 (ArC), 111.5 (ArC), 109.2 (ArC), 61.2 (NCHAr), 59.4 (NCHCH$_2$Ar), 55.8 (2 × OCH$_3$), 50.7 (NCH$_2$CH=CHCH$_3$), 42.5 (CH$_2$CHCH$_3$), 34.3 (CHCH$_3$), 25.0 (NCHCH$_2$Ar), 17.8 (CHCH$_3$), 17.6 (CHCH$_3$); $m/z$ (CI, NH$_3$) 289 (15%), 288 (100, MH$^+$); HRMS C$_{18}$H$_{26}$NO$_2$ (MH$^+$) requires 288.1958, found 288.1961.

The 6,5-fused bicyclic compound 310 was obtained as a yellow oil (46 mg, 12%) as a single diastereomer; $R_f = 0.10$ (10:10:1 Petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH$_3$); $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film) /cm$^{-1}$ 3335 (bs), 3190 (w), 3040 (w), 2995 (w), 1400 (m); $\delta_{\text{H}}$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 6.93 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.48 (1H, s, ArCH), 4.12 (1H, d, $J = 5.9$, NCHAr), 3.82 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.81 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.33 (1H, d, $J = 5.0$, NCHCH$_2$Ar), 3.04 (1H, dd, $J = 16.5$ and 5.0, NCHCH$_2$Ar), 2.52 (1H, d, $J = 16.5$, NCHCH$_2$Ar), 2.21 (1H, bs, NH), 2.15-2.00 (2H, m, CHCH$_2$CH and CHCH$_3$), 1.57 (1H, dt, $J = 11.4$ and 5.9, CHCH=CH), 1.12 (3H, d, $J = 6.7$, CH$_3$); $\delta_{\text{C}}$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 147.6 (ArC=OCH$_3$), 146.8 (ArC=OCH$_3$), 135.8 (ArC), 123.8 (ArC), 112.5 (ArC), 108.4 (ArC), 61.0 (NCHCH$_2$Ar), 59.3 (NCHAr), 55.9 (OCH$_3$), 55.8 (OCH$_3$), 46.1 (CHCH$_2$CH), 37.2 (CHCH$_3$), 37.2 (NCHCH$_2$Ar), 22.2 (CHCH$_3$); $m/z$ (CI, NH$_3$) 235 (15%), 234 (100, MH$^+$); HRMS C$_{14}$H$_{20}$NO$_2$ (MH$^+$) requires 234.1489, found 234.1491.

1-Allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (192) (31 mg, 8%) was isolated as a pale yellow oil, the spectroscopic data is in agreement with material prepared previously.

Methyl (E)-4-(1-(2-bromoallyl)-3,4-dihydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)but-2-enoate (317)

To a stirred solution of 1-(2-bromoallyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline 306 (1.9 g, 6.0 mmol) and K$_2$CO$_3$ (0.99 g, 7.2 mmol) in DMF (50 mL) under nitrogen at room
temperature was added Et₃N (0.92 mL, 7.2 mmol), and methyl (E)-4-bromobut-2-enoate (0.70 mL, 7.2 mmol). After stirring for 24 h, the reaction mixture was poured into a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (100 mL) the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 60 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated Na₂S₂O₃ aqueous solution (2 × 50 mL), brine (2 × 50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 4:1 to 1:1 Petrol:EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound as a yellow gum (1.73 g, 70%); Rf = 0.4 (1:1 Petrol:EtOAc); νmax (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3100 (w), 3048 (w), 2985 (w), 1712 (s), 1614 (m); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.00 (1H, dt, J = 15.7 and 5.8, NCH₂CH), 6.55 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.53 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.01 (1H, dt, J = 15.7 and 1.6, CHCO₂Me), 5.52 (1H, d, J = 1.1, C(Br)=CH₂), 5.46 (1H, d, J = 1.5 C(Br)=CH₂), 3.91 (1H, dd, J = 7.2 and 6.2, NCH), 3.82 (3H, s, ArOCH₃), 3.81 (3H, s, ArOCH₃), 3.72 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.38 (2H, ddd, J = 15.7, 5.8 and 1.6, NCH₂CH) 2.61 (1H, dd, J = 14.3 and 5.6, CH₂CBr), 2.50-2.42 (1H, m, ArCH₂); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.7 (C=O), 147.5 (COCH₃), 147.0 (COCH₃), 146.7 (CH₂CH), 132.1 (C(Br)=CH₂), 128.3 (ArC), 125.7 (ArC), 122.2 (CH₂C₂CH₂), 118.9 (C(Br)=CH₂), 111.3 (ArCH), 110.5 (ArCH), 58.7 (NCH), 55.8 (OCH₃), 55.7 (OCH₃), 54.4 (CH₂CBr), 51.4 (CO₂CH₃), 48.1 (NCH₂), 43.2 (NCH₂), 23.5 (ArCH₂); m/z (Cl, NH₃) 413 (19%), 412 (MBr⁺, 98), 411 (20), 410 (MBr⁺, 100); HRMS C₁₉H₂₅BrNO₄ (MBr⁺) requires 410.0961, found 410.0961.

Methyl 2-(2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-9,10-dimethoxy-2-methylene-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-3-yl)acetate (318)

A solution of methyl (E)-4-(1-(2-bromoallyl)-3,4-dihydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)but-2-enoate 317 (1.50 g, 3.75 mmol) in THF (100 mL) was stirred at reflux for 30 minutes under nitrogen. Then AIBN (31 mg, 0.19 mmol) was added, followed by the slow addition of a solution of tributyltin hydride (1.5 mL, 5.6 mmol) and AIBN (277 mg, 1.69 mmol) in THF (25 mL) by a syringe pump over a period of 5 h. Following the complete addition of the tributyltin hydride solution, the reaction mixture was maintained at reflux for a further 2 h, after which the solution was cooled to r.t. The crude reaction mixture was passed thought a SCX column, elution gradient 4:1 DCM:MeOH to 4:1
DCM:MeOH/NH₃, the DCM:MeOH/NH₃ fractions were evaporated to afford a yellow oil. The oil was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 16:4:1 petrol:Et₂O:MeOH/NH₃ to 10:10:1 petrol:Et₂O:MeOH/NH₃. Pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound as a yellow oil (1.10 g, 89%) as a 2.6:1 mixture of partially separable diastereomers.

Major diastereoisomer (**318-a**); RF = 0.20 (16:4:1 petrol:Et₂O:MeOH/NH₃); νmax (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3043 (w), 2987 (w), 1720 (s), 3610 (m, C=CH₂), 3.46 (1H, s, C=CH₂), 3.48 (1H, s, C=CH₂), 3.79 (3H, s, ArOCH₃), 3.79 (3H, s, ArOCH₃), 3.65 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.14-3.08 (1H, m, NCH), 3.05 (1H, dd, J = 10.9 and 4.6, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.90-2.82 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂CO₂CH₃), 2.77 (1H, dd, J = 12.0 and 3.0, NCHC₂CH=CH₂), 2.63 (1H, dd, J = 15.4 and 6.0, CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 2.62-2.57 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.50-2.43 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.24 (1H, dd, J = 15.4 and 8.1, CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 2.21 (1H, t, J = 12.0, NCHCH₂C=CH₂), 2.07 (1H, t, J = 10.9, NCH₂CH); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 172.9 (CO₂CH₃), 148.4 (C=CH₂), 147.5 (ArCOCH₃), 147.2 (ArCOCH₃), 129.5 (ArC), 126.7 (ArC), 111.5 (ArC), 108.2 (ArC), 106.5 (C=CH₂), 63.6 (NCH), 62.2 (NCH₂CH), 56.1 (ArOCH₃), 55.8 (ArOCH₃), 51.7 (CO₂CH₃), 51.3 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 41.5 (NCHC₂CH=CH₂), 38.0 (NCH₂CH₂CO₂CH₃), 34.9 (CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 29.3 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (CI) 333 (20%), 332 (100, MH⁺); HRMS C₁₉H₂₆NO₄ (MH⁺) requires 332.1856, found 332.1864.

Minor diastereoisomer (**318-b**); RF = 0.24, (16:4:1 petrol:Et₂O:MeOH/NH₃); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.66 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.57 (1H, s, ArCH), 4.84 (2H, s, C=CH₂), 3.85 (3H, s, ArOCH₃), 3.83 (3H, s, ArOCH₃), 3.63 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.12-3.02 (2H, m, NCH and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.90-2.74 (4H, m, NCH₂CH₂CH₂, NCH₂CH₂Ar, NCH₂CH₂ and CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 2.67 (1H, dd, J = 12.0 and 3.0, CHCH₂CH=CH₂), 2.61 (1H, dd, J = 15.3 and 7.3, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.55 (1H, app dd, J = 16.0 and 3.0, CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 2.52-2.42 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.26 (1H, app t, J = 11.6, CHCH₂C=CH₂); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 173.5 (CO₂CH₃), 147.6 (C=CH₂), 147.5 (ArCOCH₃), 147.3 (ArCOCH₃), 129.9 (ArC), 127.1 (ArC), 111.5 (ArC), 110.3 (C=CH₂), 108.1 (ArC), 63.7 (NCH), 60.8 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 56.1 (ArOCH₃), 55.9 (ArOCH₃), 52.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 51.4 (CO₂CH₃), 40.4 (NCH₂CH₂CO₂CH₃), 37.6 (CHCH₂C=CH₂), 37.5 (CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 29.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar).
To a stirred solution of methyl 2-(2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-9,10-dimethoxy-2-methylene-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-3-yl)acetate **318-a** (166 mg, 0.5 mmol) in THF (20 mL) at 0 °C under nitrogen, was slowly added a solution of lithium aluminium hydride in THF (2.3 mL, 2.4 M, 5.5 mmol), the solution was stirred for 15 minutes at 0 °C, then warmed to r.t. and stirred for 6 h. The stirred reaction mixture was then quenched by the sequential dropwise addition of H2O (0.21 mL), 15% aqueous NaOH (0.21 mL) and H2O (0.63 mL), then EtOAc (20 mL) and celite (1.0 g) were added and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through a celite plug, the plug was flushed with EtOAc (2 × 15 mL), evaporation of the solvent afforded the crude product as a yellow oil. The oil was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 16:4:1 petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH3 to 0:20:1 petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH3. Pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound **319** as a yellow oil (116 mg, 77%); Rf = 0.30 (10:2:1 petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH3); νmax (thin film) /cm–1 3440 (sb), 3040 (w), 2990 (w), 1610 (m); δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 6.66 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.56 (1H, s, ArCH), 4.89 (1H, s, C=CH2), 4.71 (1H, s, C=CHH), 3.85 (3H, s, OCH3), 3.82 (3H, s, OCH3), 3.68 (2H, app t, J = 6.7, CH2OH), 3.19 (1H, d, J = 9.5, NCH), 3.10-3.06 (1H, m, NCH2CH2Ar), 3.06 (1H, dd, J = 10.8 and 4.5, NCH2CH), 2.98 (1H, ddd, J = 11.0, 5.9 and 1.8, NCH2CH2Ar), 2.81 (1H, dd, J = 12.5 and 2.8, CHCH2C=CH2), 2.66-2.59 (1H, m, NCH2CH2Ar), 2.49 (1H, td, J = 11.0 and 3.9, NCH2CH2Ar), 2.47-2.42 (1H, m, CHCH2CH2OH), 2.18 (1H, t, J = 12.5, CHCH2C=CH2), 2.05-1.90 (2H, m, NCH2CH and CH2CH2OH), 1.53-1.43 (1H, m, CH2CH2OH); δc (100 MHz, CDCl3) 149.0 (C=CH2), 147.4 (αCOCOCH3), 147.0 (αCOCOCH3), 129.3 (αC), 126.3 (αC), 111.2 (αCH), 107.9 (βCH), 106.4 (C=CH2), 63.7 (NCH), 62.3 (NCH2CH), 60.2 (CH2OH), 55.9 (OCH3), 55.7 (OCH3), 51.5 (NCH2CH2Ar), 41.4 (CHCH2C=CH2), 37.6 (CHCH2CH2OH), 32.3 (CH2CH2OH), 28.9 (NCH2CH2Ar); m/z (Cl, NH3) 305 (15%), 304 (100, MH+); HRMS C18H26NO3 (MH+) requires 304.1907, found 304.1910.
Methyl (E)-4-(2-allyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinolin-1-yl)but-2-enoate (329)

To a stirred solution of 6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline 188 (2.8 g, 14.6 mmol), in diethyl ether (100 mL) at r.t. under nitrogen was added allyl bromide (1.42 mL, 16.1 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred in the dark overnight, during which time a yellow precipitate formed. The crude mixture was evaporated to dryness to afford the bromide salt as a moisture sensitive yellow powder; \(\delta_H\) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 10.05 (1H, s, NCH), 7.63 (1H, s, \(^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH}\)), 6.79 (1H, s, \(^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH}\)), 6.04-5.92 (1H, m, CH=CH\(_2\)), 5.57 (1H, dd, \(J = 17.0\) and 0.9, CH=CH\(_2\)), 5.46 (1H, dd, \(J = 10.0\) and 0.9, CH=CH\(_2\)), 4.82 (2H, d, \(J = 6.5\), NCH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 3.94 (3H, s, OCH\(_3\)), 3.92 (2H, t, \(J = 8.2\), NC\(_2\)H\(_2\)Ar), 3.85 (3H, s, OCH\(_3\)), 3.20 (2H, t, \(J = 8.2\), NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar); \(\delta_C\) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 165.8 (NC\(_2\)), 158.0 (ArC\(_OCH_3\)), 149.3 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\text{COCH}_3\)), 132.4 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\text{C}\)), 127.4 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\text{C}\)), 124.6 (CH=CH\(_2\)), 117.7 (CH=CH\(_2\)), 116.4 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH}\)), 111.0 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH}\)), 62.5 (NCH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 57.2 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\text{COCH}_3\)), 57.1 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\text{COCH}_3\)), 47.9 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 26.0 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar).

To a stirred suspension of the bromide salt in acetonitrile (100 mL) was added methyl (E)-4-bromobut-2-enoate (2.9 mL, 21.9 mmol) and zinc (2.10 g, 32.2 mmol) and the resulting suspension was stirred at R.T. under nitrogen for 2 days. The reaction mixture was quenched by pouring into a saturated solution of aq. NaHCO\(_3\) (150 mL) and allowed to stir for 30 minutes. The resulting precipitate was removed by filtration, and washed with EtOAc (75 mL), the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc (2 x 75 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO\(_4\), filtered and evaporated to afford a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 4:1 to 1:1 isohexane:EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound (4.10 g, 84%) as a yellow oil which solidified on standing. Rf 0.45 (ethyl acetate:petrol, 1:1); mp 60-64 °C; \(\nu_{\text{max}}\) (thin film) \(\text{cm}^{-1}\) 3007 (w), 2958 (w), 2781 (w), 1706 (s), 1652 (m), 1609 (w), 1514 (m); \(\delta_H\) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.04 (1H, dt, \(J = 15.6\) and 7.0, CH\(_2\)CH=CHCO\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 6.57 (1H, s, \(^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH}\)), 6.48 (1H, s, \(^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH}\)), 5.95-5.85 (1H, m, CH\(_2\)CH=CHCO\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 5.81 (1H, app dt, \(J = 15.6\) and 1.3, CH\(_2\)CH=CHCO\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 5.21-5.11 (2H, m, CH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 3.84 (3H, s, \(^{\text{Ar}}\text{OCH}_3\)), 3.81 (3H, s, \(^{\text{Ar}}\text{OCH}_3\)), 3.76 (1H, t, \(J = 6.3\), NCH), 3.71 (3H, s, CO\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 3.23 (2H, d, \(J = 6.3\),
NCH₂CH=CH₂), 3.16-3.08 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.88-2.77 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.66 (1H, app quint d, J = 7.5 and 1.4, CH=CHCH₂), 2.59-2.47 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and CH=CHCH₂); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.9 (CO₂CH₃), 147.7 (CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 147.6 (¹⁺COCH₃), 147.2 (¹⁺COCH₃), 136.2 (CH=CH₂), 128.9 (¹⁺C), 126.2 (¹⁺C), 122.0 (CH=C=CHCO₂CH₃), 117.2 (CH=CH₂), 111.7 (¹⁺CH), 110.6 (¹⁺CH), 59.6 (NCH), 62.0 (NCH₂CH₂CH=CH₃), 55.9 (¹⁺COCH₃), 55.8 (¹⁺COCH₃), 51.3 (CO₂CH₃), 43.8 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 38.4 (CHCH₂CH=CH₂), 24.6 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (Cl, NH₃) 333 (20%), 332 (100, MH⁺), 232 (15); HRMS C₁₉H₂₆NO₄ (MH⁺) requires 332.1856 found 332.1852.

**Methyl (E)-4-(2-((E)-but-2-enyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinolin-1-yl)but-2-enoate (330)**

![Methyl (E)-4-(2-((E)-but-2-enyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinolin-1-yl)but-2-enoate](image)

To a stirred solution of 3,4-dihydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline 188 (2.0 g, 10.5 mmol) in diethyl ether (100 mL) at room temperature under nitrogen was added crotyl bromide (2.5 mL, 21.0 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred in the dark overnight, during which time a yellow precipitate formed. The crude mixture was evaporated to dryness to afford the bromide salt as a moisture sensitive yellow powder; δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 9.98 (1H, s, NCH), 7.63 (1H, s, ¹⁺CH), 6.81 (1H, s, ¹⁺CH), 6.12-5.90 (1H, m, CH=CH), 5.66-5.52 (1H, m, CH=CH), 4.73 (2H, d, J = 6.9, NCH₂CH=CHCH₃), 3.94 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.90 (2H, app t, J = 8.2, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 3.86 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.20 (2H, app t, J = 8.2, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 1.71 (3H, dd, J = 6.9 and 1.1 CHCH₃); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 164.5 (NCH), 157.2 (¹⁺COCH₃), 148.6 (¹⁺COCH₃), 137.1 (CH=CH), 131.8 (¹⁺C), 121.1 (¹⁺C), 117.1 (CH=CH), 115.7 (¹⁺CH), 110.5 (¹⁺CH), 61.5 (NCH₂CH=CH₂), 56.6 (OCH₃), 56.5 (OCH₃), 47.1 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 25.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 17.9 (CHCH₃); The minor Z isomer was identified by the following key peaks; δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.84 (2H, d, J = 7.3, NCH₂CH=CHCH₃), 1.80 (3H, dd, J = 7.3 and 1.6, CHCH₃).

To a stirred suspension of the bromide salt in acetonitrile (100 mL) was added methyl (E)-4-bromobut-2-enoate (2 ml, 16.8 mmol) and zinc dust (1.1 g, 16.8 mmol). The resulting suspension was stirred at r.t. under nitrogen for 2 days. The reaction mixture was quenched by pouring into a saturated solution of aq. NaHCO₃ (150 mL) and the mixture was allowed to stir for 30 minutes. The resulting precipitate was removed by filtration, and washed with
EtOAc (75 mL), the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc (2 x 75 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 8:1 to 1:1 petrol:EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound (2.43 g, 68%) as a yellow oil; \( \text{Rf} \) 0.55 (ethyl acetate:petrol, 1:1); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (thin film) / cm⁻¹ 3009 (w), 2948 (w), 1710 (s), 1655 (m), 1605 (w), 1514 (m); \( \delta_H \) (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.93 (1H, dt, \( J = 15.6 \) and 7.3, CH₂CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 6.47 (1H, s, \( \text{ArC} \)), 6.39 (1H, s, \( \text{ArC} \)), 5.71 (1H, d, \( J = 15.6 \), CHCO₂CH₃), 5.57-5.40 (2H, m, NCH₂CH=CHCH₃), 3.74 (3H, s, \( \text{ArOCH₃} \)), 3.72 (3H, s, \( \text{ArOCH₃} \)), 3.67 (1H, t, \( J = 6.3 \), NCH₂CH=CHCH₃), 3.61 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.06 (2H, d, \( J = 6.3 \), NCH₂CH=CHCH₃), 1.61 (3H, d, \( J = 5.9 \), CH=CHCH₃); \( \delta_C \) (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.6 (C₀₂CH₃), 147.5 (CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 147.4 (\( \text{ArCOCH₃} \)), 146.9 (\( \text{ArCOCH₃} \)), 128.8 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 128.5 (CH=CH), 128.2 (CH=CH), 126.5 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 121.7 (CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 111.4 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 110.4 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 59.3 (NCH), 55.8 (NCH₂CH=CH), 55.7 (\( \text{ArOCH₃} \)), 55.6 (\( \text{ArOCH₃} \)), 51.1 (CO₂CH₃), 43.5 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 38.0 (CH₂CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 24.5 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 17.6 (CHCH₃); \( m/z \) (Cl, NH₃) 347 (20%), 346 (100, \( \text{MH}^+ \)); HRMS C₂₀H₂₈NO₄ (\( \text{MH}^+ \)) requires 346.2018 found 346.2015.

The minor \( Z \) isomer was identified by the following key peaks; \( \delta_H \) (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.48 (3H, d, \( J = 6.7 \), CH=CHCH₃); \( \delta_C \) (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 147.5 (CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 147.4 (\( \text{ArCOCH₃} \)), 146.9 (\( \text{ArCOCH₃} \)), 128.7 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 127.8 (CH=CH), 126.8 (CH=CH), 126.4 (\( \text{ArC} \)), 59.5 (NCH), 55.7 (NCH₂CH=CH), 43.6 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 38.2 (CH₂CH=CH), 24.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 12.9 (CHCH₃).

Methyl 4-{(2-[2E]-3-chlorobut-2-en-1-yl)-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl)-3-(phenylselenyl)butanoate (331)

To a stirred suspension of diphenyl diselenide (5.2 g, 18.8 mmol) in degassed EtOH (40 mL) in a 100 mL two-neck round-bottom flask under nitrogen was added sodium borohydride (2.1 g, 56 mmol), until the solution became colourless. The resulting reaction
mixture was then cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath for 5 min. Glacial acetic acid (6.5 mL, 112 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe, and allowed to stir for 5 minutes. Next, the diene 329 (4.6 g, 14.0 mmol) was added in degassed EtOH (10 mL) via cannula, the reaction was stirred for 5 min at 0 °C, and then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was poured into H2O (100 mL) and was extracted with Et2O (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (2 × 75 mL), dried over MgSO4, and concentrated to give a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 8:1 petrol:EtOAc to EtOAc. The pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound 331 as a yellow gum (4.3 g, 64%) as a 5:1 mixture of inseparable diastereomers; Rf 0.45 (2:1, ethyl acetate:petrol); νmax (thin film) /cm–1 3070 (w), 2999 (m), 2948 (s), 2835 (m), 1731 (s), 1609 (w), 1516 (s); major diastereomer, δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 7.68-7.63 (2H, m, PhCH), 7.34-7.27 (3H, m, PhCH), 6.51 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.35 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.97-5.84 (1H, m, CH=CH2), 5.16-5.07 (2H, m, CH=CH2), 3.94-3.85 (2H, m, NCH and CHSePh), 3.82 (3H, s, ArOCH3), 3.79 (3H, s, ArOCH3), 3.63 (3H, s, CO2CH3), 3.25-3.07 (3H, m, NCH2CH=CH2 and NCH2Ar), 2.90 (1H, m, NCH2CH2Ar), 2.86-2.75 (3H, m, NCH2CH2Ar, CH2CO2CH), 2.30 (1H, d, J =11.9 and 4.5, NCH2CH2Ar), 2.00-1.92 (1H, m, NCHCH2CH), 1.80-1.73 (1H, m, NCHCH2CH); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 172.3 (C02CH3), 147.5 (ArCOCH3), 147.4 (ArCOCH3), 138.1 (CH=CH2), 135.6 (2 × PhCH), 129.0 (2 × PhCH), 128.5 (PhC), 127.9 (PhCH), 127.7 (ArC), 126.1 (ArC), 116.8 (CH=CH2), 111.5 (ArCH), 110.4 (ArCH), 58.4 (NCH), 56.4 (NCH2CH=CH2), 56.0 (ArOCH3), 55.9 (ArOCH3), 51.6 (CO2CH3), 42.2 (NCH2CH2Ar), 42.0 (CH2CO2CH3), 41.4 (NCHCH2CH), 37.9 (CHSePh), 21.7 (NCH2CH2Ar); m/z (CI, NH3) 492 (20%), 491 (23), 490 (M80SeH+, 100), 489 (10), 488 (M78SeH+, 50), 487 (18), 486 (M76SeH+, 18); HRMS C25H32NO4Se (M80SeH+) requires 490.1491, found 490.1485.

The minor diastereoisomer was identified by the following key peaks; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 7.59-7.55 (2H, m, PhCH), 7.27-7.24 (3H, m, PhCH), 6.51 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.41 (1H, s, ArCH).
To a stirred suspension of diphenyl diselenide (3.7 g, 12.0 mmol) in degassed EtOH (40 mL) in a 100 mL two-neck round-bottom flask under nitrogen was added sodium borohydride (1.5 g, 40 mmol), until the solution became colourless. The resulting reaction mixture was then cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath for 5 min. Glacial acetic acid (4.6 mL, 80 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe, and allowed to stir for 5 minutes. Next the diene 330 (3.5 g, 10 mmol) was added in degassed EtOH (10 mL) via cannula, the reaction was stirred for 5 min at 0 °C, and then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred over night. The reaction mixture was poured into H2O (50 mL) and was extracted with Et2O (3 × 75 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (2 × 75 mL), dried over MgSO4, and concentrated to give a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 8:1 petrol:EtOAc to EtOAc. The pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound 332 as a yellow gum (3.42 g, 68%); as a mixture of inseparable diastereomers; Rf 0.50 (2:1, ethyl acetate:petrol); νmax (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3053 (w), 2936 (s), 2856 (m), 1736 (s), 1608 (w), 1515 (s); δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 7.62-7.58 (2H, m, PhCH), 7.26-7.22 (3H, m, PhCH), 6.46 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.30 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.55-5.45 (2H, m, CH=CH), 3.88-3.82 (2H, m, NCH and CHSePh), 3.76 (3H, s, ArOCH3), 3.74 (3H, s, ArOCH3), 3.57 (3H, s, CO2CH3), 3.12-2.96 (3H, m, NCH2CH and NCH2CH2Ar), 2.88 (1H, dd, J = 13.7 and 5.5, NCH2CHAr), 2.82-2.70 (3H, m, NCH2CH2Ar and CH2CO2CH3), 2.24 (1H, td, J = 16.7 and 4.3, NCH2CH2Ar), 1.98 (1H, dd, J = 14.7, 10.9 and 3.5, NCHCH2CH), 1.68-1.62 (1H, m, NCHCH2CH), 1.64 (3H, d, J = 4.9, CHCH3); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 172.0 (CO2CH3), 147.2 (ArCOCH3), 147.1 (ArCOCH3), 135.4 (PhCH), 135.3 (2 x PhCH), 129.7 (CH=CH), 128.7 (2 x PhCH), 128.4 (ArC), 127.6 (CH=CH), 127.5 (PhC), 126.0 (ArC), 114.4 (ArC), 110.3 (ArC), 57.8 (NCH), 55.8 (OCH3), 55.6 (OCH3), 55.2 (NCH2CH=CH), 51.3 (CO2CH3), 41.9 (CH2CO2CH3), 41.7 (NCHCH2CH), 41.4 (NCH2CH2Ar), 37.7 (CHSePh), 21.6 (NCH2CH2Ar), 17.7 (CHCH3); m/z (CI), 506 (28%), 505 (30), 504 (M80SeH+, 100), 503 (10), 502 (M78SeH+, 50), 501 (17), 500 (M76SeH+, 20); HRMS C26H34NO4Se (M80SeH+) requires 504.1648, found 504.1649.
Methyl 2-((2\textsuperscript{R},3\textsuperscript{R},11b\textsuperscript{S})-9,10-dimethoxy-3-methyl-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)acetate (333), 9,10-dimethoxy-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-4(11bH)-one (337)

A solution of methyl 4-(2-allyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinolin-1-yl)-3-(phenylselanyl)butanoate (331) (2.4 g, 5.0 mmol) in THF (250 mL) was stirred at reflux for 30 minutes under nitrogen. Then AIBN (0.041 g, 0.25 mmol) was added, followed by the slow addition of a solution of tributyltin hydride (2.0 mL, 7.5 mmol) and AIBN (0.41 g, 2.5 mmol) in THF (40 mL) by a syringe pump over a period of 8 h. Following the completion of the addition of the tributyltin hydride, the solution was maintained at reflux for a further 4 h, after which the solution was cooled to r.t. The crude product was passed through an SCX column, elution gradient 4:1 DCM:MeOH to 4:1 DCM:MeOH/NH\textsubscript{3}, and evaporated to afford a yellow oil. The oil was then purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 6:1 petrol:EtOAc to 10:10:1 petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH\textsubscript{3}. Pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compounds 333 and 337.

The 6-exo cyclisation product, 333, was obtained as a yellow oil (148 mg, 9%) as a single diastereomer; Rf = 0.85 (10:10:1 petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH\textsubscript{3}); \(\nu\text{max} \text{ (thin film) } /\text{cm}^{-1}\) 3215 (w), 3053 (w), 1670 (s), 1432 (m), 1299 (m); \(\delta\text{H} \text{ (400 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{) } 6.64 \text{ (1H, s, } \text{ArCH})\), 6.55 (1H, s, \(\text{ArCH}\)), 3.83 (3H, s, \(\text{ArOCH}_3\)), 3.82 (3H, s, \(\text{ArOCH}_3\)), 3.69 (3H, s, \(\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3\)), 3.11 (1H, d, J = 11.6, NCH), 3.04 (1H, dd, J = 11.0 and 6.0 NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 2.94 (1H, ddd, J =11.5, 6.0 and 1.7, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 2.90 (1H, dd, J = 11.5 and 3.9, NCH\textsubscript{2}CHCH\textsubscript{3}), 2.65-2.60 (1H, m, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 2.60 (1H, dd, J = 15.4 and 4.1, CHCH\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 2.46 (1H, td, J = 11.5 and 4.0, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 2.31 (1H, dt, J = 12.7 and 3.0, CHCH\textsubscript{2}CH), 2.15 (1H, dd, J = 15.4 and 8.6, CHCH\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 2.08 (1H, t, J = 11.5, NCH\textsubscript{2}CHCH\textsubscript{3}), 1.77-1.67 (1H, m, CHCH\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 1.65-1.56 (1H, m, CHCH\textsubscript{3}), 1.24 (1H, dd, J = 12.7 and 11.6, CHCH\textsubscript{2}CH), 0.92 (3H, d, J = 6.4, CHCH\textsubscript{3}); \(\delta\text{C} \text{ (100 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{) } 173.7 \text{ (CO}_2\text{CH}_3\text{), 147.5 (\text{ArCOCH}_3\text{), 147.2 (\text{ArCOCH}_3\text{), 129.7 (}\text{ArC\text{), 126.7 (}\text{ArC\text{), 111.5 (}\text{ArCH\text{), 108.4 (}\text{ArCH\text{), 64.1 (NCH}\textsubscript{2}CHCH\textsubscript{3}\text{), 62.5 (NCHCH\textsubscript{3}\text{), 56.2 (}\text{ArOCH}_3\text{), 55.8 (}\text{ArOCH}_3\text{), 52.1 (NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar\text{), 51.6 (CO}_2\text{CH}_3\text{), 40.2 (CHCH\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}\text{), 38.5 (CHCH\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}\text{), 37.6 (CHCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}\text{), 35.3 (CHCH\textsubscript{3}\text{), 29.2 (NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar\text{), 16.8 (CHCH\textsubscript{3}\text{).}}

\[\text{333} \quad \text{337}\]
The cyclic amide 337 was obtained as a pale yellow oil (401 mg, 31%); Rf = 0.10 (10:10:1 petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH3); $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film) /cm$^{-1}$ 3203 (w), 3349 (w), 1658 (s); $\delta_{\text{H}}$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 6.66 (1H, s, $^\text{Ar}$CH), 6.60 (1H, s, $^\text{Ar}$CH), 4.86 (1H, ddd, $J = 12.0, 4.5$ and 3.0, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 4.59 (1H, dd, $J = 10.6$ and 4.5, NCH), 3.89 (3H, s, $^\text{Ar}$OCH$_3$), 2.88 (1H, td, $J = 15.2$ and 4.5, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 2.75 (1H, td, $J = 12.0$ and 3.0, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 2.58-2.46 (2H, m, NCHCH$_2$ and NC(O)CH$_2$), 2.35 (1H, dd, $J = 17.9$ and 6.5, NC(O)CH$_2$), 1.98-1.76 (2H, m, NCHCH$_2$CH$_2$), 1.68 (1H, m, NCHCH$_2$); $\delta_{\text{C}}$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 169.4 ($^\text{Ar}$C(NO)CH$_2$), 147.8 ($^\text{Ar}$COCH$_3$), 147.7 ($^\text{Ar}$COCH$_3$), 129.2 ($^\text{Ar}$C), 127.3 ($^\text{Ar}$C), 111.6 ($^\text{Ar}$CH), 108.2 ($^\text{Ar}$CH), 56.8 (NCH), 56.1 ($^\text{Ar}$OCH$_3$), 55.9 ($^\text{Ar}$OCH$_3$), 39.7 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 32.3 (NC(O)CH$_2$), 31.0 (NCHCH$_2$), 28.5 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 19.7 (NCHCH$_2$CH$_2$); m/z (CI, NH$_3$) 284 (20%, M$^{+}$Na), 263 (15), 262 (109, MH$^{+}$); HRMS C$_{15}$H$_{20}$NO$_3$ (MH$^{+}$), requires 262.1438, found 262.1438.

Methyl 2-((2$R^*$,3$R^*$,11b$S^*$)-3-ethyl-9,10-dimethoxy-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)acetate (334-a) methyl 2-((2$R^*$,3$S^*$,11b$S^*$)-3-ethyl-9,10-dimethoxy-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)acetate (334-b) and 9,10-dimethoxy-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-4(11bH)-one

A solution of methyl 4-(2-(but-2-enyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinolin-1-yl)-3-(phenylselanyl)butanoate (332) (1.0 g, 2.0 mmol) in THF (125 mL) was stirred at reflux for 30 minutes under nitrogen. Then AIBN (0.016 g, 0.1 mmol) was added, followed by the slow addition of a solution of tributyltin hydride (0.81 mL, 3.0 mmol) and AIBN (0.15 g, 0.9 mmol) in THF (20 mL) by a syringe pump over a period of 8 h. Following the completion of the addition of the tributyltin hydride, the solution was maintained at reflux for a further 4 h, after which the solution was cooled to r.t. The crude product was passed thought an SCX column, elution gradient 4:1 DCM:MeOH to 4:1 DCM:MeOH/NH$_3$, and evaporated to afford a yellow oil. The oil was then purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 6:1 petrol:EtOAc to 10:10:1 petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH$_3$. Pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo, to afford the title compounds 334a, 334b and 335.

The 6-exo cyclisation products were obtained as a 2:1 ratio of diastereomers. The major diastereomer, 334-a was obtained as a yellow oil, (37 mg, 5.5%); Rf = 0.80 (10:10:1
petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH3); ν\textsubscript{max} (thin film) /cm\textsuperscript{-1} 3048 (w), 1668 (s), 1433 (m), 1301 (m); δ\textsubscript{H} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 6.64 (1H, s, \textsuperscript{13}CH), 6.55 (1H, s, \textsuperscript{13}CH), 3.83 (3H, s, \textsuperscript{13}OCH\textsubscript{3}), 3.82 (3H, s, CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 3.69 (3H, s, CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 3.13-3.03 (3H, m, NCH\textsubscript{3}HCH and NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 2.95 (1H, dd, J = 11.3 and 4.7, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 2.67-2.59 (2H, m, CHCH\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3} and NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 2.55-2.42 (1H, m, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 2.36-2.29 (1H, m, CHCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 2.14 (1H, dd, J = 15.4 and 8.7, CHCH\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 2.04 (1H, t, J = 11.2, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 1.91-1.10 (5H, m, CHCH\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}, CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3}, CHCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3} and CHCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 0.91 (3H, t, J = 7.4, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}); δ\textsubscript{C} (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 173.7 (CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 147.4 (\textsuperscript{13}COCH\textsubscript{3}), 147.0 (\textsuperscript{13}COCH\textsubscript{3}), 129.7 (\textsuperscript{13}C), 126.6 (\textsuperscript{13}C), 111.3 (\textsuperscript{13}CH), 108.2 (\textsuperscript{13}CH), 62.4 (NCH), 61.0 (NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 56.0 (OCH\textsubscript{3}), 55.7 (OCH\textsubscript{3}), 52.3 (NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 51.5 (CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 41.3 (CHCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 38.3 (CHCH\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 37.9 (CHCH\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 29.1 (NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 23.5 (CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 11.0 (CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}); m/z (Cl, NH\textsubscript{3}) 349 (20%), 348 (MH\textsuperscript{+}, 100); HRMS C\textsubscript{20}H\textsubscript{30}NO\textsubscript{4} (MH\textsuperscript{+}) requires 348.2169, found 348.2171.

The minor diastereomer, 334-b, was obtained as a yellow oil (18 mg, 2.5%); Rf = 0.85 (10:10:1 petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH3); δ\textsubscript{H} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 6.65 (1H, s, \textsuperscript{13}CH), 6.56 (1H, s, \textsuperscript{13}CH), 3.83 (3H, s, \textsuperscript{13}OCH\textsubscript{3}), 3.82 (3H, s, \textsuperscript{13}OCH\textsubscript{3}), 3.70 (3H, s, CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 3.12-3.02 (2H, m, NCH\textsubscript{3} and NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 2.96 (1H, dd, J = 11.5 and 2.1, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 2.83 (1H, dd, J = 11.5 and 5.5, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 2.56 (1H, dd, J = 15.5 and 3.1, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 2.44 (1H, td, J = 11.7 and 3.8, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 2.38-2.25 (4H, m, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2} and CHCH\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 2.04 (1H, dt, J = 12.6 and 2.5, CHCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 1.72-1.57 (1H, m, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 1.54-1.46 (1H, m, CHCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 1.36-1.20 (2H, m, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 0.89 (3H, t, J = 7.3, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}); δ\textsubscript{C} (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 173.5 (CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 147.2 (\textsuperscript{13}COCH\textsubscript{3}), 147.0 (\textsuperscript{13}COCH\textsubscript{3}), 130.3 (\textsuperscript{13}C), 126.9 (\textsuperscript{13}C), 111.4 (\textsuperscript{13}CH), 108.0 (\textsuperscript{13}CH), 63.0 (NCH), 58.7 (NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 56.0 (\textsuperscript{13}OCH\textsubscript{3}), 55.7 (\textsuperscript{13}OCH\textsubscript{3}), 52.8 (NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 51.5 (CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 39.0 (CHCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 38.2 (CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 37.3 (CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 33.5 (CHCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 29.3 (NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 17.7 (CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 12.4 (CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}); m/z (Cl, NH\textsubscript{3}) 349 (20%), 348 (MH\textsuperscript{+}, 100); HRMS C\textsubscript{20}H\textsubscript{30}NO\textsubscript{4} (MH\textsuperscript{+}) requires 348.2169, found 348.2160.

The cyclic amide 337 was obtained as a pale yellow oil (144 mg, 28%); the spectroscopic data is in agreement with material prepared previously.
2-((2R*,3R*,11bS*)-9,10-Dimethoxy-3-methyl-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)ethanol, (±)-des-methyl-protoemetinol (342-a)

To a stirred solution of methyl 2-((2R*,3R*,11bS*)-9,10-dimethoxy-3-methyl-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)acetate 333 (60 mg, 0.18 mmol) in THF (10 mL) at 0 °C under nitrogen, was slowly added a solution of lithium aluminium hydride in THF (1.1 mL, 2.4 M, 2.7 mmol). The solution was stirred for 15 minutes at 0 °C, then warmed to r.t. and stirred for 6 h. The stirred reaction mixture was then quenched by the sequential dropwise addition of H2O (0.1 mL), 15% aqueous NaOH (0.1 mL) and H2O (0.33 mL), after which evaporation of the solvent afforded the crude product as a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 10 to 20% MeOH/NH3 in DCM. Pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound as a yellow oil (45 mg, 80%); Rf = 0.30 (10:2:1 petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH3); νmax (thin film) /cm⁻¹) 3460 (bs), 3040 (w), 2910 (w), 1422 (m), 1291 (w); δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 6.66 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.55 (1H, s, ArCH), 3.83 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.82 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.81-3.70 (2H, m, OCH₂), 3.14-3.03 (2H, m, NCH₂ and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.97-2.92 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.89 (1H, dd, J = 11.5 and 4.0, NCH₂CHCH₃), 2.65-2.56 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.44 (1H, td, J = 11.5 and 4.0, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.31 (1H, dt, J = 11.5 and 3.0, CHCH₂CH), 2.02 (1H, t, J = 11.2, NCH₂CHCH₃), 1.97-1.89 (1H, m, CHCH₂CH₂OH), 1.90 (1H, bs, CH₂OH), 1.65-1.50 (1H, m, CHCH₃), 1.45-1.26 (2H, m, CHCH₂CH₂OH and CHCH₂CH₂OH), 1.19 (1H, app q, J = 11.5, CHCH₂CH), 0.91 (3H, d, J = 6.5, CHCH₃); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 147.3 (ArCOCH₃), 147.0 (ArCOCH₃), 129.9 (ArC), 126.6 (ArC), 111.4 (ArCH), 108.2 (ArCH), 64.6 (NCH₂CHCH₃), 62.6 (NCH), 60.4 (OCH₂), 56.0 (ArOCH₃), 55.7 (ArOCH₃), 52.1 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 39.8 (CHCH₂CH₂OH), 36.9 (CHCH₂CH), 36.0 (CHCH₂CH₂OH), 35.1 (CHCH₃), 29.0 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 16.8 (CHCH₃); m/z (CI) 307 (15%), 306 (MH⁺, 100), 304 (10); HRMS C₁₈H₂₈NO₃ (MH⁺) requires 306.2064, found 306.2065.
2-((2R*,3R*,11bS*)-3-Ethyl-9,10-dimethoxy-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)ethanol, protoemetinol (88-a)

To a stirred solution of methyl 2-((2R*,3R*,11bS*)-9,10-dimethoxy-3-methyl-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)acetate 334 (31 mg, 0.09 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at 0 °C under nitrogen, was slowly added a solution of lithium aluminium hydride in THF (0.55 mL, 2.4 M, 1.35 mmol). The solution was stirred for 15 minutes at 0 °C, then warmed to r.t. and stirred for 6 h. The stirred reaction mixture was then quenched by the sequential dropwise addition of H2O (0.05 mL), 15% aqueous NaOH (0.05 mL) and H2O (0.16 mL), after which evaporation of the solvent afforded the crude product as a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 10 to 20% MeOH/NH3 in DCM. Pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound, protoemetinol (88-a) as a yellow oil (21 mg, 76%); Rf = 0.35 (10:2:1 petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH3); v_max (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3450 (sb), 2932 (w), 1512 (m), 1426 (m), 1252 (m); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.69 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.57 (1H, s, ArCH), 3.85 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.84 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.81-3.70 (2H, m, OCH₂), 3.14-3.03 (3H, m, NCH, NCH₂CH₂Ar and CH₂OH), 2.96 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂CH₃), 2.61 (1H, dd, J = 16.0 and 4.0, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.64-2.60 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.47 (1H, td, J = 11.5 and 4.0, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.37-2.30 (1H, app d, J = 12.0, CHCH₂CH), 2.01 (1H, t, J = 11.0, NCH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.97-1.92 (2H, m, CHCH₂CH₂OH and CHCH₂CH₃), 1.70-1.64 (1H, m, CHCH₂CH₃), 1.44-1.41 (2H, m, CHCH₂CH₂OH and CHCH₂CH₃), 1.28-1.24 (1H, m, CHCH₂CH₂OH), 1.10-1.00 (1H, m, CHCH₂CH), 0.92 (3H, t, J = 7.5, CH₃CH₂); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 147.4 (ArCOCH), 147.0 (ArCOCH), 129.4 (ArC), 126.3 (ArC), 111.4 (ArCH), 108.1 (ArCH), 62.6 (NCH), 61.1 (OCH₃), 60.2 (NCH₂CH), 56.2 (ArOCH₃), 55.7 (ArOCH₃), 52.2 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 40.8 (CH), 37.4 (CH), 36.9 (CHCH₂CH), 35.6 (CHCH₂CH₂OH), 29.5 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 23.3 (CHCH₂CH₃), 11.0 (CHCH₂CH₃); m/z (CI) 321 (20%), 320 (MH⁺, 100); HRMS C₁₉H₃₀NO₃ (MH⁺) requires 320.2220, found 320.2224.

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.¹⁵⁶,¹⁵⁷
To a stirred solution of 6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline 188 (4.4 g, 23.0 mmol), in DCM (100 mL) at r.t. under nitrogen was added 1,3-dichloro-2-butene (3.0 mL, 27.6 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred in the dark for 3 days. The crude mixture was evaporated to dryness to afford the salt as a moisture sensitive yellow oil. To a stirred solution of the oil in acetonitrile (100 mL) was added methyl (E)-4-bromobut-2-enoate (4.8 ml, 34.5 mmol) and zinc dust (2.3 g, 34.5 mmol), the resulting suspension was stirred at room temp for 48 h and stirred at r.t. under nitrogen for 2 days. The reaction mixture was quenched by pouring into a saturated solution of aq. NaHCO₃ (150 mL) and allowed to stir for 30 minutes. The resulting precipitate was removed by filtration, and washed with EtOAc (100 mL), the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc (2 × 100 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 4:1 to 1:1 petrol:EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compounds as a mixture of separable isomers.

The major isomer, methyl (E)-4-(2-((E)/Z)-3-chlorobut-2-enyl)-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl)but-2-enoate was isolated as a yellow oil (2.6 g, 31%), Rf = 0.48 (ethyl acetate:petrol, 1:1); v_max (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 2995 (w), 2948 (s), 2835 (m), 1722 (s), 1656 (m), 1516 (s); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.96 (1H, dt, J = 15.7 and 7.2, CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 6.53 (1H, s, CHburse CH₃), 6.45 (1H, s, CHburse CH₃), 5.77 (1H, dt, J = 15.7 and 1.3, CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 5.57 (1H, app td, J = 5.5 and 1.0, NCH₂CH₃=C(Cl)CH₃), 3.80 (3H, s, CHburse CH₃), 3.77 (3H, s, CHburse CH₃), 3.68 (1H, t, J = 6.2, NCH), 3.66 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.40-3.25 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₃=C(Cl)CH₃), 3.10-3.02 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.84-2.75 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.66-2.42 (3H, m, CH₂CH=CHCO₂CH₃ and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.08 (3H, d, J = 1.0, NCH₂CH₃=C(Cl)CH₃); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.9 (CO₂CH₃), 147.6 (CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 147.5 (CHburse CO₃CH₃), 147.1 (CHburse CO₃CH₃), 132.5 (C), 128.7 (C), 126.6 (C), 123.6 (CH=C(Cl)CH₃), 122.1 (CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 111.5 (CHburse CH₃), 110.4 (CHburse CH₃), 60.2 (NCH), 55.9 (CHburse CH₃), 55.8 (CHburse CH₃), 52.1 (NCH₂CH₃=C(Cl)CH₃), 51.4 (CO₂CH₃), 44.2 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 38.2 (CH₂CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 26.4 (CH=C(Cl)CH₃), 25.0 (NCH₂CH₂Ar).
The minor isomer, methyl \((E)-4-(2-((Z)-3\text{-chlorobut-2-enyl})-6,7\text{-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl})\text{-but-2-enoate}\) was isolated as a yellow oil (0.52 g, 7%); \(\delta_H\) (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 6.97 (1H, dt, \(J = 15.6\) and 7.3, CH=CHCO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 6.54 (1H, s, \(^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH})\), 6.45 (1H, s, \(^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH})\), 5.78 (1H, dt, \(J = 15.6\) and 1.4, CH=CHCO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 5.70 (1H, td, \(J = 7.1\) and 1.2, CH=C(Cl)CH\textsubscript{3}), 3.82 (3H, s, \(^{\text{Ar}}\text{OCH})\), 3.79 (3H, s, \(^{\text{Ar}}\text{OCH})\), 3.72-3.68 (1H, m, \(N\text{CH})\), 3.68 (3H, s, CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 3.18 (2H, app dd, \(J = 7.3\) and 0.8, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH=CHCl), 3.14-3.05 (1H, m, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 2.83-2.72 (2H, m, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar and NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 2.65-2.47 (3H, m, CH\textsubscript{2}CH=CHCO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3} and NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 1.99 (3H, d, \(J = 0.8\), NCH\textsubscript{2}CH=CHCl), \(\delta_C\) (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 166.9 (CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 147.7 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\text{COCH})\), 147.3 (CH=CHCO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 147.2 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\text{COCH})\), 132.4 (C), 128.5 (C), 126.3 (C), 125.3 (CH=C(Cl)CH\textsubscript{3}), 122.2 (CH=CHCO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 111.5 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH})\), 110.4 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\text{CH})\), 59.8 (NCH), 55.9 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\text{OCH})\), 55.8 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\text{OCH})\), 51.4 (CO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 51.3 (NCH\textsubscript{2}CH=CHCl), 43.7 (NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 38.6 (CH\textsubscript{2}CH=CHCO\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 24.4 (NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}Ar), 21.3 (CH=C(Cl)CH\textsubscript{3}).

**Methyl 4-(2-[(2E)-3-chlorobut-2-en-1-yl]-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl)-3-(phenylselanyl)butanoate (343)**

To a stirred suspension of diphenyl diselenide (2.1 g, 6.7 mmol) in degassed EtOH (30 mL) in a 100 mL two-neck round-bottom flask under nitrogen was added sodium borohydride (0.68 g, 18 mmol), until the solution became colourless. The resulting reaction mixture was then cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath for 5 min. Glacial acetic acid (2.1 mL, 36 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe, and the mixture allowed to stir for 5 minutes. Then methyl \((E)-4-(2-((E)-3\text{-chlorobut-2-enyl})-6,7\text{-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl})\text{-but-2-enoate}\) 345 (1.7 g, 4.5 mmol) was added in degassed EtOH (10 mL) via cannula, the reaction was stirred for 5 min at 0 °C, and then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was poured into H\textsubscript{2}O (50 mL) and was extracted with Et\textsubscript{2}O (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (2 × 75 mL), dried over MgSO\textsubscript{4}, and concentrated to give a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 8:1 petrol:E\textsubscript{t}OAc to E\textsubscript{t}OAc. The pure fractions were concentrated \textit{in vacuo} to afford the title compound as a yellow gum (1.28 g, 36%) as a 5:1 mixture of inseparable diastereomers; \(\nu_{\text{max}}\) (thin film) /cm\textsuperscript{-1} 2998
(m), 2948 (s), 2837 (m), 1734 (s), 1511 (s); major diastereomer, $\delta_{H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.72-7.67 (2H, m, PhCH$_3$), 7.33-7.27 (3H, m, PhCH$_3$), 6.51 (1H, s, ArCH$_3$), 6.32 (1H, s, ArCH$_3$), 5.65 (1H, t, J = 5.6, NCH$_2$CH$_2$C(Cl)CH$_3$), 3.92-3.84 (2H, m, NCH$_2$CH$_2$C(Cl)CH$_3$), 3.82 (3H, s, ArOCH$_3$), 3.78 (3H, s, ArOCH$_3$), 3.63 (3H, s, CO$_2$CH$_3$), 3.38 (1H, dd, J = 13.5 and 5.6, NCH$_2$CH$_2$C(Cl)), 3.20 (1H, dd, J = 13.5 and 5.6, NCH$_2$CH$_2$C(Cl)), 3.14-3.08 (1H, m, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 2.96-2.86 (2H, m, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar and NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 2.80 (1H, dd, J = 15.9 and 8.7, CH(NO)$_2$CH$_2$CO$_2$CH$_3$), 2.72 (1H, dd, J = 15.9 and 8.0, CH(NO)$_2$CH$_2$CO$_2$CH$_3$), 2.38-2.28 (1H, m, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 2.09 (3H, d, J = 1.2, CH$_3$C(Cl)CH$_3$), 2.03-1.94 (1H, m, NCH$_2$CH$_2$CHSePh), 1.64 (1H, ddd, J = 14.3, 11.0 and 3.7, NCH$_2$CH$_2$CHSePh); $\delta_{C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 172.3 (CO$_2$CH$_3$), 147.5 (ArCOCH$_3$), 147.4 (ArCOCH$_3$), 135.7 (2 × PhCH), 131.6 (CH$_2$C(Cl)CH$_3$), 129.6 (ArC), 129.1 (2 × PhCH), 127.9 (PhCH), 126.1 (ArC), 124.9 (ArC and NCH$_2$CH(Cl)CH$_3$), 111.5 (ArCH), 110.4 (ArCH), 58.5 (NCH), 56.0 (ArCOCH$_3$), 55.8 (ArCOCH$_3$), 51.7 (CO$_2$CH$_3$), 51.6 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$C(Cl)), 42.2 (CH(NO)$_2$CH$_2$CO$_2$CH$_3$), 42.1 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 41.8 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$CHSePh), 37.7 (CHSePh), 26.4 (CH$_2$C(Cl)CH$_3$), 22.0 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar); m/z (Cl), 540 (M$^{80}$Se$^{37}$ClH$^+$, 30%), 539 (20), 538 (M$^{80}$Se$^{35}$ClH$^+$ and M$^{78}$Se$^{37}$ClH$^+$, 100), 537 (10), 536 (M$^{78}$Se$^{35}$ClH$^+$ and M$^{76}$Se$^{37}$ClH$^+$, 60), 535 (17), 534 (M$^{76}$Se$^{35}$H$^+$, 20); HRMS C$_{26}$H$_{33}$ClNO$_4$Se (M$^{80}$Se$^{35}$ClH$^+$) requires 538.1258, found 538.1266.

The minor diastereomer was identified by the following key peaks; $\delta_{H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.58-7.54 (2H, m, PhSeCH$_3$), 7.30-7.27 (3H, m, PhSeCH$_3$), 6.53 (1H, s, ArCH$_3$), 6.40 (1H, s, ArCH$_3$).

**4-(2-Allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl)butan-1-ol (347)**

![Structure](image)

To a stirred solution of methyl 4-(2-[2E]-3-chlorobut-2-en-1-yl]-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl)-3-(phenylselanyl)butanoate (331) (1.6 g, 3.2 mmol) in THF (50 mL) at 0 °C under nitrogen, was slowly added a solution of lithium aluminium hydride in THF (8.0 mL, 2.4 M, 19.2 mmol). The solution was stirred for 15 minutes at 0 °C, then warmed to r.t. and stirred for 6 h. The stirred reaction mixture was then quenched by the sequential dropwise addition of H$_2$O (0.72 mL), 15% aqueous NaOH (0.72 mL) and H$_2$O (2.16 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 30 minutes and evaporation of the solvent
afforded the crude product as an oil. The crude oil was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 1:9 to 2:8 MeOH/NH₃:DCM. Pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound 347, as a yellow oil (0.38 g, 42%); Rf = 0.35 (1:9 MeOH/NH₃:DCM); νmax (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3400 (sb), 3054 (w), 2920 (w), 1526 (m); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.52 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.49 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.89 (1H, ddt, J = 17.0, 10.2 and 6.5, CH=CH₂), 5.16-5.07 (2H, m, CH=CH₂), 3.84 (1H, bs, OH), 3.81 (6H, s, 2 × ArOCH₃), 3.61 (2H, t, J = 6.0, HOCH₂), 3.50 (1H, dd, J = 8.3 and 4.4, NCH₂), 3.17 (2H, d, J = 6.5, NCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂OH); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 147.2 (ArC=OCH₃), 147.1 (ArC=OCH₃), 136.2 (CH=CH₂), 130.1 (ArC), 126.0 (ArC), 117.3 (CH=CH₂), 111.2 (ArCH), 110.6 (ArCH), 62.2 (CH₂OH), 60.0 (NCH), 56.5 (CH₂), 55.8 (OCH₃), 55.7 (OCH₃), 43.3 (NCH₂), 35.8 (CH₂), 32.2 (CH₂), 23.3 (ArCH₂), 22.5 (CH₂); m/z (CI) 329 (20%), 328 (MNa⁺, 100), 306 (45); HRMS C₁₈H₂₇NNaO₃ (MNa⁺) requires 328.1883, found 328.1885.

4-(2-Allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl)-3-(phenylselanyl)butan-1-ol (346)

To a stirred suspension of aluminium chloride (0.56 g, 4.2 mmol) in THF (25 mL) at 0 ºC, was slowly added a solution of lithium aluminium hydride in THF (5.2 mL, 2.4 M, 12.6 mmol) and the resulting solution was stirred for 10 minutes, after which the solution was cooled to -78 ºC, and a solution of methyl 4-(2-[(2E)-3-chlorobut-2-en-1-yl]-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl)-3-(phenylselanyl)butanoate (331) (1.03 g, 2.1 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 6 h at -78 °C, and then quenched by the sequential dropwise addition of H₂O (0.45 mL), 15% aqueous NaOH (0.45 mL) and H₂O (1.35 mL) at -78 ºC. After 5 min the resulting solution was warmed to r.t., then EtOAc (20 mL) and celite (1.5 g) were added and stirred for 1 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through celite (1 g) and flushed through with EtOAc (2 × 15 mL). Evaporation of the volatile materials in vacuo afforded the title compound 346 as a yellow oil (965 mg, 99%), which was used straight away; δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.62-7.56 (2H, m, PhCH), 7.24-7.18 (3H, m, PhCH), 6.44 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.19 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.92-5.80
\(1H, m, CH=CH_2\), 5.12-5.03 (2H, m, \(CH=CH_2\)), 3.78-3.73 (1H, m, NCH), 3.76 (3H, s, \(^{13}C\)OCH), 3.71 (3H, s, \(^{13}C\)OCH), 3.73-3.66 (2H, m, CH\(_2\)OH), 3.62-3.54 (1H, m, CHSePh), 3.22-2.99 (5H, m, NCH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 2.92 (1H, dd, \(J = 13.9\) and 5.7, NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 2.84-2.72 (2H, m, CH(SePh)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)OH), 2.26 (1H, dd, \(J = 16.0\) and 4.8, NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 2.11-2.02 (1H, m, NCHCH\(_2\)CHSePh), 1.80-1.72 (1H, m, NCHCH\(_2\)CHSePh); \(\delta\)C (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 147.6 (\(^{13}C\)OCH), 147.5 (\(^{13}C\)OCH), 135.7 (CH=CH\(_2\)), 135.1 (2 \times PhCH), 134.1 (PhCH), 129.3 (PhC), 129.1 (PhCH), 128.9 (\(^{13}C\)C), 127.7 (PhCH), 125.5 (\(^{13}C\)C), 118.4 (CH=CH\(_2\)), 111.2 (\(^{13}C\)CH), 110.5 (\(^{13}C\)CH), 60.5 (CH\(_2\)OH), 59.6 (NCH), 56.3 (NCH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 56.1 (\(^{13}C\)OCH), 55.9 (\(^{13}C\)OCH), 42.3 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 42.2 (CH\(_2\)CH(SePh)), 40.1 (CHSePh), 39.2 (CH\(_2\)CH(SePh)), 21.6 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar); \(m/z\) (CI, NH\(_3\)) 464 (20%), 463 (23), 462 (M\(^{78}\)SeH\(^{2+}\), 100), 461 (10), 460 (M\(^{76}\)SeH\(^{2+}\), 50), 459 (18), 458 (M\(^{76}\)SeH\(^{2+}\), 17); HRMS C\(_{24}\)H\(_{32}\)NOSe (M\(^{78}\)SeH\(^{2+}\)) requires 462.1542, found 462.1541.

The minor diastereoisomer was identified by the following key peaks; \(\delta\)H (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.47-7.43 (2H, m, PhCH), 7.20-7.17 (3H, m, PhCH), 6.43 (1H, s, \(^{13}C\)CH), 6.41 (1H, s, \(^{13}C\)CH).

**2-Allyl-1-(4-(**tert**-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-((phenylselanyl)butyl)-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (348)**

To a stirred solution of the crude 4-(2-allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl)-3-(phenylselanyl)butan-1-ol 346 (0.96 g, 2.10 mmol) and imidazole (0.34 g, 5.0 mmol) in dichloromethane (50 mL) under nitrogen at 0 °C was added dropwise tert-butyl diphenylchlorosilane (0.65 mL, 2.5 mmol). After 20 min, the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched with brine, and extracted with further portion of DCM, and dried over Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product. Purification by flash chromatography (silica, ethyl acetate:petrol 5:1-2:1) and concentration of the pure fractions afforded the title compound 348 as a yellow oil (1.14 g, 78%); Rf = 0.30 (5:1, EtOAc:Petrol); \(\nu_{\text{max}}\) (thin film) /cm\(^{-1}\) 3070 (m), 2997 (m), 2931 (s), 2856 (s), 1515 (s), 1501 (m), 1458 (m), 1424 (m), 1365 (m), 1306 (m), 1282 (s), 1251 (s), 1184 (s), 1104 (s), 1062 (s), 1024 (s), 964 (s), 838 (s), 732 (s), 671 (s), 604 (s), 571 (s), 553 (s), 517 (s), 488 (s), 443 (s), 420 (s), 399 (s), 354 (s), 320 (s), 283 (s), 265 (s), 245 (s), 225 (s), 206 (s), 188 (s), 170 (s), 153 (s), 132 (s), 118 (s), 102 (s), 88 (s), 78 (s), 68 (s), 59 (s), 53 (s), 49 (s), 45 (s), 39 (s), 35 (s), 31 (s), 29 (s), 27 (s), 24 (s), 21 (s), 19 (s), 16 (s), 14 (s), 12 (s), 10 (s), 8 (s), 6 (s), 4 (s), 2 (s), 1 (s).
1463 (m); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.68-7.59 (5H, m, SiPh), 7.42-7.34 (7H, m, SiPh), 7.26-7.23 (3H, m, SiPh), 6.45 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.30 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.84-5.73 (1H, m, CH=CH₂), 5.06-4.95 (2H, m, CH=CH₂), 3.88-3.70 (4H, m, NCH₂CH₂SePh and CH₂OSi), 3.76 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.71 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.24 (1H, dd, J = 6.9 and 13.4, NCH₂CH=CH₂), 3.16-3.04 (2H, m, NCH₂CH=CH₂ and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.97-2.80 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.27 (1H, dd, J = 16.3 and 4.4, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.09-1.97 (2H, m, CH₂CH(SePh)CH₂), 1.92 (1H, app q, J = 6.3, CH₂CH(SePh)), 1.69 (1H, app ddd, J = 14.5, 10.7 and 3.2, CH₂CH(SePh)), 1.05 (9H, s, SiC(CH₃)₃); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 147.4 (ArCOCH₃), 147.3 (ArCOCH₃), 137.4 (CH=CH₂), 135.6 (4 × SiPhCH), 134.6 (2 × SePhCH), 134.0 (SiPhCH), 139.9 (SiPhCH), 130.5 (SePhCH), 130.0 (ArC), 129.7 (2 × SiPhCH), 128.9 (2 × SePhCH), 127.7 (4 × SePhCH), 127.1 (SePhCH), 126.1 (ArC), 116.7 (CH=CH₂), 111.5 (ArCH), 110.5 (ArCH), 62.1 (CH₂OSi), 58.7 (NCH), 56.5 (NCH₂CH=CH₂), 56.0 (ArOCH₃), 55.9 (ArOCH₃), 43.1 (CH₂CHSePh), 41.3 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 40.6 (CHSePh), 40.3 (CH(SePh)CH₂CH₂OSi), 26.9 (3 × SiC(CH₃)₃), 21.6 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 19.3 (SiC(CH₃)₃); m/z (CI, NH₃) 702 (30%), 701 (20), 700 (100, MSe⁸⁰H⁺), 699 (15), 698 (50, MSe⁷⁸H⁺), 697 (15), 696 (15, MSe⁷⁶H⁺); HRMS C₄₀H₅₀NO₃SeSi (MSe⁸⁰H⁺) requires 700.2720, found 700.2721.
7.5 Experimental for Chapter 5

1-Allyl-2-(2-bromoallyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline (352)

To a stirred solution of 1-allyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 192 (1.4 g, 6.0 mmol) and K$_2$CO$_3$ (0.99 g, 27.2 mmol) in DMF (30 mL) under nitrogen was added Et$_3$N (0.93 mL, 7.2 mmol), and 2,3-dibromopropene (1.4 g, 7.2 mmol) at r.t. After stirring for 24 h in the dark, the reaction mixture was poured into a saturated solution of NaHCO$_3$ (100 mL) and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ solution (2 x 50 mL), brine (2 x 50 mL), dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 3:1 to 1:1 petrol:EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound as a yellow gum (1.38 g, 66%); R$_f$ = 0.5 (1:1 petrol:EtOAc); $\nu_{\max}$ (thin film) / cm$^{-1}$ 2932 (w), 2803 (w), 1630 (m), 1511 (s); $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 6.56 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.53 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.02-5.92 (1H, m, CH=CH$_2$), 5.93 (1H, app d, $J$ = 1.0, C(Br)=CH$_2$), 5.57 (1H, app d, $J$ = 1.0, C(Br)=CH$_2$), 5.07-5.01 (2H, m, CH=CH$_2$), 3.84 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.83 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.65 (1H, dd, $J$ = 7.6 and 5.3, NCH), 3.40 (2H, s, NCH$_2$C(Br)), 2.87-2.76 (2H, m, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar and NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 2.59-2.50 (2H, m, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar and CHCH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 2.46-2.39 (1H, m, CHCH$_2$CH=CH$_2$); $\delta_C$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 147.3 ($^{\alpha}$COCH$_3$), 147.0 ($^{\alpha}$COCH$_3$), 136.7 (CH=CH$_2$), 132.1 (C(Br)=CH$_2$), 129.5 ($^{\alpha}$C), 126.0 ($^{\alpha}$C), 117.8 (=CH$_2$), 115.9 (=CH$_2$), 111.3 ($^{\alpha}$CH), 110.5 ($^{\alpha}$CH), 61.9 (NCH$_2$C(Br)=CH$_2$), 60.5 (NCH), 55.8 (OCH$_3$), 55.7 (OCH$_3$), 43.6 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 40.7 (CHCH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 24.3 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar); m/z (CI, NH$_3$) (MH$^+$, 100%), 355 (10), 354 (100), 353 (11), 352 (100); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C$_{17}$H$_{23}$BrNO$_2$ 352.0907, found 32.0908.

9,10-Dimethoxy-2-methyl-3-methylene-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-1H-pyrido[2,1-alisoquinoline (353) and 1,2-Diallyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline (354)
A solution of 1-allyl-2-(2-bromoallyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline (0.70 g, 2.0 mmol) in THF (25 mL) was stirred at reflux for 30 minutes under nitrogen. Then AIBN (16 mg, 0.10 mmol) was added, followed by the slow addition of a solution of tributyltin hydride (0.75 mL, 2.80 mmol) and AIBN (150 mg, 0.90 mmol) in THF (20 mL) by a syringe pump over a period of 4 h. Following the complete addition of the tributyltin hydride solution, the reaction mixture was maintained at reflux for a further 2 h, after which the solution was cooled to r.t. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, until approx 10 ml of solvent was left, this was then stirred with KF/silica for 10 min. The resulting slurry was loaded on to a short KF/silica column, and flushed with petrol then EtOAc, the EtOAc fraction was concentrated in vacuo to afford a yellow gum. The gum was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 3:1 petrol:EtOAc to EtOAc, pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compounds.

The 6-exo cyclisation product, 9,10-dimethoxy-2-methyl-3-methylene-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinoline (353) was isolated as a yellow gum (0.24 g, 44%), as a 5:1 ratio of diastereoisomers; Rf = 0.16 (EtOAc); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (thin film) /cm\(^{-1}\) 2995 (s), 2962 (m), 1622 (m), 1518 (m); \( \delta_{\text{H}} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 6.65 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.53 (1H, s, ArCH), 4.87 (1H, app d, \( J = 0.9 \), C=CH\(_2\)), 4.76 (1H, s, C=CH\(_2\)), 3.83 (3H, s, OCH\(_3\)), 3.80 (3H, s, OCH\(_3\)), 3.37 (1H, app d, \( J = 11.9 \), NCH\(_3\)=CH\(_2\)), 3.34 (1H, app d, \( J = 11.6 \), NCH\(_3\)), 3.08-3.00 (1H, m, NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 3.01 (1H, app d, \( J = 11.9 \), NCH\(_3\)=CH\(_2\)), 2.98-2.92 (1H, m, NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 2.63 (1H, app dt, \( J = 15.5 \) and 4.0, NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 2.49 (1H, app td, \( J = 15.5 \) and 4.0, NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 2.34-2.24 (2H, m, CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\) and NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 1.23 (1H, app q, \( J = 11.6 \), NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 1.14 (3H, d, \( J = 6.3 \), CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)); \( \delta_{\text{C}} \) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 147.8 (C=CH\(_2\)), 147.2 (\(^{13}\)COCH\(_3\)), 146.9 (\(^{13}\)COCH\(_3\)), 129.6 (\(^{13}\)C), 126.4 (\(^{13}\)C), 111.2 (\(^{13}\)CH), 108.1 (\(^{13}\)CH), 107.1 (C=CH\(_2\)), 63.4 (NCH\(_2\)C=CH\(_2\)), 62.3 (NCH), 55.8 (OCH\(_3\)), 55.6 (OCH\(_3\)), 51.0 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 40.7 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 35.8 (CH\(_3\)CH\(_2\)), 29.0 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 17.5 (CH\(_3\)CH\(_2\)), \( m/z \) (Cl, NH\(_3\)) 275 (20%), 274 (100, MH\(^+\)); HRMS C\(_{17}\)H\(_{24}\)NO\(_2\) (MH\(^+\)) requires 274.1807, found 274.1805.

The minor diastereoisomer was identified by the following key peaks; \( \delta_{\text{H}} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 6.60 (1H, s, \(^{13}\)CH), 6.53 (1H, s, \(^{13}\)CH), 4.78 (2H, s, C=CH\(_2\)), 3.82 (3H, s, OCH\(_3\)), 3.80 (3H, s, OCH\(_3\)), 3.20 (3H, d, \( J = 7.2 \), CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)); \( \delta_{\text{C}} \) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 147.9 (C=CH\(_2\)), 147.2 (\(^{13}\)COCH\(_3\)), 146.9 (\(^{13}\)COCH\(_3\)), 129.8 (\(^{13}\)C), 126.7 (\(^{13}\)C), 111.2 (\(^{13}\)CH), 108.0 (\(^{13}\)CH), 108.2 (C=CH\(_2\)), 57.9 (NCH\(_2\)C=CH\(_2\)), 56.4 (NCH), 55.8 (OCH\(_3\)), 55.6 (OCH\(_3\)), 51.2 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 38.4 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)), 35.4 (CH\(_3\)CH\(_2\)), 28.6 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 19.6 (CH\(_3\)CH\(_2\)).

The simple reduction product 354 was isolated as a yellow gum (0.14 g, 26%); Rf = 0.31 (EtOAc); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (thin film) /cm\(^{-1}\) 3042 (w), 2928 (w), 1632 (m), 1602 (m), 1516 (s); \( \delta_{\text{H}} \)
(400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.54 (1H, s, ^{6'}CH), 6.52 (1H, s, ^{6''}CH), 5.94-5.82 (2H, m, CHCH₂CH=CH₂ and NCH₂CH=CH₂), 5.20-5.00 (4H, m, CHCH₂CH=CH₂ and NCH₂CH=CH₂), 3.83 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.81 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.65 (1H, dd, J = 6.7 and 6.1, NCH), 3.22 (2H, d, J = 6.4, NCH₂CHCH₂), 3.20-3.13 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.86-2.76 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.57-2.46 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and CHCH₂CH=CH₂), 2.43-2.36 (1H, m, CHCH₂CH=CH₂); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 147.2 (ArC=OCH₃), 146.8 (ArC=OCH₃), 136.8 (CH=CH₂), 129.6 (ArC), 126.2 (ArC), 115.8 (CH=CH₂), 111.2 (^{4'}CH), 110.6 (^{6'}CH), 59.8 (NCH), 56.6 (NCH₂CH=CH₂), 55.8 (OCH₃), 55.7 (OCH₃), 43.7 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 40.0 (CHCH₂CH=CH₂), 24.3 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (CI, NH₃) 275 (18%), 274 (100%, MH⁺); HRMS C₁₇H₂₄NO₂ (MH⁺) requires 274.1807, found 274.1810.

Methyl (E)-4-(2-(2-bromoallyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinolin-1-yl)but-2-enoate (358)

To a stirred solution of 3,4-dihydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline 188 (7.5 g, 39.22 mmol) in Et₂O (100 mL) at r.t. under nitrogen was added 2,3-dibromoprop-1-ene (8.62 g, 43.14 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred in the dark overnight, during which time a yellow precipitate formed. The crude mixture was evaporated to dryness to afford the bromide salt as an unstable, moisture sensitive yellow powder; δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 9.94 (1H, s, NCH), 7.60 (1H, s, ^{6'}CH), 6.89 (1H, s, ^{6''}CH), 6.56 (1H, d, J = 2.1, C(Br)=CH₂), 5.90 (1H, d, J = 2.1, C(Br)=CH₂), 5.36 (2H, s, NCH₂C(Br)), 4.08 (2H, t, J = 8.2, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 4.02 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.94 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.29 (2H, t, J = 8.2, NCH₂CH₂Ar); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.9 (NCH), 158.5 (^{4'}COCH₃), 149.4 (^{6'}COCH₃), 133.3 (NCH₂C(Br)), 127.4 (^{6'}C), 123.6 (C(Br)=CH₂), 117.5 (^{4'}C), 116.4 (^{6'}CH), 111.3 (^{4'}CH), 67.0 (NCH₂C(Br)), 57.2 (^{6'}COCH₃), 57.0 (^{4'}COCH₃), 47.6 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 26.0 ((NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (CI, NH₃) (MH⁺, 100%).

To a stirred suspension of the bromide salt in acetonitrile (100 mL) was added methyl (E)-4-bromobut-2-enoate (8.3 mL, 58.8 mmol) and zinc dust (3.8 g, 58.8 mmol), the resulting suspension was stirred at r.t. under nitrogen for 2 days. The reaction mixture was quenched by pouring into a saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (250 mL) and allowed to stir for 30
minutes, the resulting precipitate was removed by filtration, and washed with Et₂O (200 mL), the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with Et₂O (2 × 200 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 10 to 40% EtOAc in isohexane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 358 as a yellow oil (12.66 g, 79%), which forms a solid on standing. Rf 0.25 (1:1 EtOAc:petrol); mp 55-60 °C; ν_max (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 2929 (w), 2909 (w), 2835 (w), 2789 (w), 1712 (s), 1653 (m), 1632 (m), 1608 (m), 1513 (s); δ_H (700 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.06 (1H, dt, J = 15.6 and 7.4, CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 6.54 (1H, s, ᵧ_CH), 6.46 (1H, s, ᵧ_CH), 5.85 (1H, s, CH₂C(Br)=CH₂), 5.80 (1H, app dt, J = 15.6 and 1.3, CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 5.55 (1H, s, CH₂C(Br)=CH₂), 3.82 (3H, s, ᵧ_COCH₃), 3.79 (3H, s, ᵧ_COCH₃), 3.72 (1H, dd, J = 7.6 and 5.5, NCH), 3.68 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.40 (1H, d, J = 15.2, NCH₂C(Br)), 3.37 (1H, d, J = 15.2, NCH₂C(Br)), 3.19-3.14 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.84-2.75 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.64 (1H, app quint d, J = 7.0 and 1.3 NCH₂CH₂Ar) and 2.57-2.49 (2H, m NCH₂CH₂CH₂; δ_C (176 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.8 (CO₂CH₃), 147.7 (²²_COCH₃), 147.3 (²²_COCH₃), 147.2 (CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 131.8 (C(Br)=CH₂), 128.6 (²²_C), 126.3 (²²_C), 122.2 (CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 118.0 (C(Br)=CH₂), 111.7 (²²_CH), 110.4 (²²_CH), 62.0 (NCH₂C(Br)), 60.1 (NCH), 55.9 (²²_COCH₃), 55.8 (²²_COCH₃), 51.3 (CO₂CH₃), 43.6 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 39.0 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 24.4 (CHCH₂CH₂); m/z (Cl, NH₃) 413 (18%) 412 (MH⁺Br⁺ 98), 411 (20), 410 (MH⁺Br⁺ 100); HRMS C₁₉H₂₅O₄Br⁺ (MH⁺) requires 410.0967, found 410.0962.

Methyl 2-((2R*,11bS*)-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-9,10-dimethoxy-3-methylene-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)acetate (359)

A solution of methyl (E)-4-(2-(2-bromoallyl)-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl)but-2-enoate 358 (2.5 g, 6.09 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was stirred at reflux for 30 minutes under nitrogen. Then AIBN (31 mg, 0.19 mmol) was added, followed by the slow addition of a solution of tributyltin hydride (2.028 mL, 7.31 mmol) and AIBN (0.500 g, 3.05 mmol) in THF (25 mL) by a syringe pump over a period of 3 h. Following the complete addition of the tributyltin hydride solution, the reaction mixture was maintained
at reflux for a further 2 h, after which the solution was cooled to r.t. The crude reaction mixture was passed through a SCX column, elution gradient 4:1 DCM:MeOH to 4:1 DCM:MeOH/NH₃, the DCM:MeOH/NH₃ fractions were evaporated to afford a yellow oil. The oil was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 16:4 petrol:EtOAc to 8:8:1 petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH₃. The pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound 359 as a yellow oil (1.52 g, 75%) as a 2.8:1 mixture of partially separable diastereomers; Rf = 0.33 (8:8:1, petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH₃); ν max (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 2981 (s), 2971 (m), 1733 (s), 1511 (m); major diastereoisomer; δ H (700 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.63 (1H, s, ᵃCH), 6.55 (1H, s, ᵃCH), 4.92 (1H, s, C=CH₂), 4.72 (1H, app d, J = 0.9, C=CH₂), 3.83 (3H, s, ᵃOCH₃), 3.82 (3H, s, ᵃOCH₃), 3.71 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.45 (1H, app d, J = 10.8, NCH), 3.38 (1H, d, J = 12.1, NCH₂C=CH₂), 3.12 (1H, d, J = 12.1, NCH₂C=CH₂), 3.07-3.01 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.99-2.93 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.83-2.76 (1H, m, CH₂CHCH₂), 2.73 (1H, dd, J = 15.5 and 6.5, CH₂CO₂Me), 2.67 (1H, app dt, J = 15.6 and 3.3 NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.54 (1H, app td, J = 10.6 and 4.3 NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.34 (1H, dd, J = 15.5 and 7.2, CH₃CO₂CH₃), 2.31 (1H, app ddd, J = 12.6, 4.3 and 2.9, CHCH₂CH), 1.29 (1H, app q, J = 12.6, CHCH₂CH); δ C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 173.5 (CO₂CH₃), 147.6 (³⁵COCH₃), 147.2 (³⁵COCH₃), 145.5 (C=CH₂), 129.4 (³⁵C), 126.6 (³⁵C), 111.5 (³⁵CH), 108.7 (³⁵CH), 107.6 (C=CH₂), 63.4 (NCH₂C=CH₂), 61.9 (NCH), 56.1 (³⁵COCH₃), 55.8 (³⁵COCH₃), 51.6 (CO₂CH₃), 50.6 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 38.4 (CHCH₂CH), 37.9 (CH₂CHCH₂), 36.9 (CH₃CO₂CH₃), 29.1 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (Cl, NH₃) 333 (20%), 332 (MH⁺, 100), 330 (35); Found: MH⁺ 332.1855, C₁₉H₂₆O₄N requires: MH⁺ 332.1861.

The presence of the minor diastereoisomer was identified by the following key peaks; δ H (700 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.60 (1H, s, ᵃCH), 6.55 (1H, s, ᵃCH), 4.87 (1H, s, C=CH₂), 4.86 (1H, app d, J = 0.9, C=CH₂), 3.83 (3H, s, ᵃOCH₃), 3.81 (3H, s, ᵃOCH₃), 3.68 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.51 (1H, app d, J = 11.0, NCH).

2-((2R*,11bS*)-2,3,4,6,7,11b-Hexahydro-9,10-dimethoxy-3-methylene-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)ethanol (362)

O
\begin{align*}
\text{O} & \text{N} \\
\text{OH} & \text{OH}
\end{align*}

To a stirred solution of methyl 2-((2R*,11bS*)-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-9,10-dimethoxy-3-methylene-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)acetate 359 (0.30 g, 0.91 mmol), in THF
(10 mL) at 0 ºC under nitrogen, was slowly added a solution of lithium aluminium hydride in THF (1.15 mL, 2.4 M, 2.75 mmol), the solution was stirred for 15 minutes at 0 ºC, then warmed to r.t. and stirred for 6 h. The stirred reaction mixture was then quenched by the sequential dropwise addition of H2O (0.10 mL), 15% aqueous NaOH (0.10 mL) and H2O (0.30 mL), then EtOAc (10 mL) and celite (0.5 g) were added and stirred for 1 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through a celite plug, the plug was flushed with EtOAc (2 × 15 mL), evaporation of the solvent afforded the crude product as a yellow oil. The oil was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 10 to 20% MeOH/ND3 in DCM. Pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound 363 as a yellow oil (0.24 g, 87%); Rf = 0.52 (10% MeOH/ND3 in DCM); vmax (thin film) /cm–1 3420 (bs), 3049 (w), 2994 (w), 1608 (m), 1509 (m); δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 6.62 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.51 (1H, s, ArCH), 4.72 (1H, app d, J = 0.9, C=CH2), 3.79 (3H, s, ArOCH3), 3.77 (3H, s, ArOCH3), 3.69 (2H, d, J = 6.6, CH2OH), 3.66 (1H, app d, J = 10.8, ArCHN), 3.32 (1H, d, J = 12.0, NCH2C=CH2), 3.02 (1H, d, J = 12.0, NCH2C=CH2), 2.69 (1H, bs, CH2OH), 2.64 (1H, app dt, J = 15.7 and 4.0, NCH2CH2Ar), 2.47 (1H, app td, J = 10.2 and 4.0 NCH2CH2Ar), 2.37-2.24 (2H, m, CHCH2CH and NCH2CH2Ar), 1.96 (1H, app td, J = 13.0 and 6.6, CH2CH2OH), 1.51 (1H, app td, J=13.0 and 6.6, CH2CH2OH), 1.29 (1H, app q, J = 11.7, CHCH2CH); δc (100 MHz, CDCl3) 147.2 (ArC=OCH3), 146.7 (ArC=OCH3), 146.1 (C=CH2), 129.3 (ArC=CH), 126.1 (ArC=CH), 111.2 (ArC=CH), 108.4 (ArC=CH), 107.2 (C=CH2), 63.3 (NCH2C=CH2), 61.9 (ArCHN), 59.6 (CH2OH), 55.7 (ArCOCH3), 55.4 (ArCOCH3), 50.1 (NCH2CH2Ar), 37.7 (CHCH2CH), 37.1 (CH2CH2CH), 34.3 (CH2CH2OH), 28.6 (NCH2CH2Ar); m/z (Cl, NH3) 305 (35%), 304 (MH+1, 100), 302 (25), 274 (10).

(2R*,11bS*)-5-(Chloromethyl)-2-(2-hydroxyethyl)-9,10-dimethoxy-3-methylene-1,2,3,4,5,6,7,11b-octahydropyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolinium chloride (363)
2-((2R*,3S*,11bS*)-2,3,4,6,7,11b-Hexahydro-9,10-dimethoxy-3-methyl-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl) (342-b) or (±)-des-methyl-epi-protoemetinol

A stirred solution of 2-((2R*,11bS*)-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-9,10-dimethoxy-3-methylene-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)ethanol 362 (303 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 5% Pd/C (30 mg) in MeOH (10 mL) was evacuated and back-filled with nitrogen (×3) then hydrogen (×2). The solution was then stirred under 1 bar hydrogen at r.t. for 6 h, after which time the reaction mixture was filtered through a plug of Celite (EtOAc) and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 10 to 20% MeOH/NH₃ in DCM. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 342-b (273 mg, 91%) as a yellow oil, as a 3:1 mixture of diastereoisomers.

Major diastereoisomer, (±)-des-methyl-epi-protoemetinol (342-b); ν max (thin film) / cm⁻¹ 3460 (bs), 3040 (w), 2910 (w), 1422 (m) 1291 (w); δ H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.68 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.65 (1H, s, ArCH), 3.84 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.82 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.70 (2H, app td, J = 6.7 and 3.0, CH₂OH), 3.12-3.02 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.97 (1H, d, J = 11.0, NCH), 2.81 (1H, ddd, J = 11.0, 6.9 and 1.2, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.74 (1H, dd, J = 11.1 and 2.2, NCH₂CH), 2.55 (1H, ddd, J = 15.9 and 3.5, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.43 (1H, dd, J = 11.1 and 3.5, NCH₂CH),
2.38 (1H, td, J = 11.0 and 3.5, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.01 (1H, bs, CH₂OH), 1.98 (1H, dt, J = 12.5 and 3.0, CHCH₂CH), 1.90-1.75 (2H, m, CHCH₂CH₂OH and CHCH₃), 1.60-1.50 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂OH), 1.31 (1H, app dd, J = 12.5 and 11.0, CHCH₂CH), 0.97 (3H, d, J = 6.9, CHCH₃); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 147.1 (ArCOCH₃), 146.9 (ArCOCH₃), 130.4 (ArC), 126.9 (ArC), 111.3 (ArC), 107.9 (ArC), 63.6 (NCH₂CH), 63.3 (NCH), 60.4 (CH₂OH), 56.0 (OCH₃), 55.7 (OCH₃), 52.8 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 36.5 (CH₂CH₂OH), 35.7 (CHCH₂CH₂OH), 32.9 (CHCH₂CH), 31.4 (CHCH₃), 29.2 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 12.5 (CHCH₃); m/z (CI) 307 (15%), 306 (MH⁺, 100), 304 (10); HRMS C₁₈H₂₈NO₃ (MH⁺) requires 306.2064, found 306.2063.

The minor diastereoisomer, (±)-des-methyl-protoemetinol (342-a), has spectroscopic data that is in agreement with the previously prepared material.

(Z)-2-Bromobut-2-enal (365)²⁵¹

To a stirred solution of crotonaldehyde (12.5 mL, 150 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (400 mL) at 0 ºC was slowly added a solution of bromine (7.7 mL, 150 mmol) in DCM (75 mL). The resulting dark red solution was stirred at 0 ºC for 10 minutes, during this time the red colour was replaced with a golden yellow colour. Triethylamine (41.8 mL, 300 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred for a further 1 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM (200 mL), and washed with 10% aq HCl (2 x 250 mL), and brine (250 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, followed by concentrated in vacuo. The resulting oil was purified by Kugelrohr distillation to afford (Z)-2-bromobut-2-enal (365) as a pale yellow oil; b.p 68-74 ºC at 17 mmHg, (lit b.p 65 ºC at 15 mmHg); νmax (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 1690 (s), 1605 (s); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 9.12 (1H, s, C(O)H), 7.17 (1H, q, J = 6.8, CH₃CH), 2.05 (3H, t, J = 6.8, CH₃(CH₂)); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 186.3 (C(O)H), 151.2 (CH₃CHCBr), 130.5 (CH₃CHCBr), 18.3 (CH₃CH); m/z (CI, NH₃) 262 (²¹BrM₂MeCNH-Br⁺, 100%), 260 (²⁹BrM₂MeCNH-Br⁺, 99), 192 (²¹BrMMeCNH⁺, 10), 190 (²⁹BrMMeCNH⁺, 10). The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.²⁵¹

(Z)-2-Bromobut-2-en-1-ol (366)²⁵¹

To a stirred solution of (Z)-2-bromobut-2-enal 365 (14.9 g, 100 mmol) in MeOH (200 mL), was added cerium(III) chloride heptahydrate (41.0 g, 110 mmol). The reaction was cooled to 0 ºC and stirred until all the solid had dissolved. Sodium borohydride (4.2 g, 110
mmol) was then added to the reaction portionwise over a period of 10 min, to control the vigorous evolution of gas. Once the evolution of gas had ceased (30 min) the resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo. The resulting white suspension was partitioned between Et₂O (300 mL) and saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution (300 mL). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer re-extracted with a further portions of Et₂O (2 × 250 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water (300 mL), brine (300 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄, and the solvent removed in vacuo to yield the crude product 366 (14.9 g) (no purification was carried out); νₘₐₓ (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3330 (bs), 2850 (m), 1630 (w), 1420 (m); major isomer; δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.98 (1H, qt, J = 6.5 and 1.2, CH₃CH₂), 4.12 (2H, t, J = 1.2, BrCCH₂OH), 2.60 (1H, bs, CH₂OH), 1.64 (3H, dt, J = 6.5 and 1.2, CH₃CH₂); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 128.4 (CH₃CH₂), 124.7 (CBr), 77.1 (CH₂OH), 16.5 (CH₃).

The minor (E)-isomer is indicated by; δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.22 (1H, qd, J = 6.6 and 0.8, CH₃CH₂), 4.58 (2H, t, J = 0.8, BrCCH₂OH), 1.72 (3H, dd, J = 6.6 and 0.8, CH₃CH); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 128.3 (CH₃CH₂), 124.4 (CBr), 68.4 (CH₂OH), 16.4 (CH₃).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.251

(Z)-1,2-Dibromobut-2-ene (367)²⁵¹

To a stirred solution of the crude 2-bromobut-2-en-1-ol (100 mmol) and carbon tetrabromide (39.3 g, 120 mmol) in acetonitrile (250 mL) at 0 ºC was added triphenylphosphine (31.5 g, 120 mmol) portionwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and stirred for 1 h, the solution was evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 2:1 petrol:Et₂O. Subsequent vacuum distillation (50-52 ºC at 15 mbar) resulted in a 1:1 mixture of the title compound and bromoform (24.1 g, 73%); νₘₐₓ (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3019 (s), 1721 (m), 11211 (m), 1143 (s); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.17 (1H, qt, J = 6.6 and 0.6, CH₃CHBr), 4.23 (2H, t, J =0.6, CBrCHBr₂), 1.76 (3H, d, J = 6.6, CH₃CH); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 129.7 (CH₃CH₂), 123.6 (CBr), 39.0 (CBrCH₂Br), 17.4 (CH₃CH); m/z (CI, NH₃) (MH⁺, 100%), 216 (MBr³¹Br⁸¹, 48), 214 (MBr⁸¹Br⁷⁹, 100), 212 (MBr⁷⁹Br⁷⁹, 50); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₄H₆Br₂ 211.8836, found 211.8843.

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.²⁵¹
Methyl (E) 4-(2-((Z)-2-bromobut-2-enyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinolin-1-yl)but-2-enoate (368)

To a stirred solution of 3,4-dihydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline (2.8 g, 14.5 mmol) in Et₂O (100 mL) at r.t. under nitrogen was added the dibromoalkene (a 1:1 mixture of 1,2-dibromobut-2-ene and CHBr₃, 12 g, 29 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred in the dark overnight, during which time a yellow precipitate formed. The crude mixture was evaporated to dryness to afford the bromide salt as an unstable, moisture sensitive yellow power; δ H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 9.83 (1H, s, NCH), 7.60 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.88 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.72 (1H, q, J = 6.3, CHCH₃), 5.26 (2H, s, NCH₂CBr), 4.09-3.96 (2H, m, NCH₂), 3.98 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.87 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.21 (2H, t, J = 8.0, ArCH₂), 1.74 (3H, d, J = 6.5, CHCH₃); δ C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 165.6 (NC₃), 155.6 (ArCOC₃H), 148.5 (ArCOCH₃), 135.3 (CBr=CH), 132.6 (CBr) 117.7 (NC₃), 116.8 (NC₃), 115.6 (NC₃), 110.6 (NC₃), 66.9 (NCH₂CBr), 56.7 (NC₃), 56.4 (NC₃), 46.7 (NCH₃), 25.3 (NC₃), 17.0 (CH₃).

To a stirred suspension of the bromide salt in acetonitrile (100 mL) was added methyl (E)-4-bromobut-2-enoate (3.4 mL, 29.0 mmol) and zinc dust (1.9 g, 29.0 mmol), and the resulting suspension was stirred at r.t. under nitrogen for 2 days. The reaction mixture was quenched by pouring into a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (150 mL) and allowed to stir for 30 minutes, the resulting precipitate was removed by filtration, and washed with Et₂O (200 mL), the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with Et₂O (2 × 150 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 8:1 to 1:1 petrol:EtOAc. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 368 as a yellow oil (5.77 g, 55%); Rf 0.27 (1:1, ethyl acetate:petrol); ν max (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 2997 (w), 2947 (m), 2834 (w), 1722 (s), 1657 (m), 1516 (s); δ H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.08 (1H, dt, J = 15.7 and 7.3, CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 6.54 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.45 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.95 (1H, q, J = 6.5, CHCH₃), 5.80 (1H, dt, J = 15.7 and 1.4, CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 3.82 (3H, s, ArOCH₃), 3.80 (3H, s, ArOCH₃), 3.72-3.68 (1H, m, NCH), 3.69 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.39 (2H, s, NCH₂CBr), 2.84-2.72 (2H, m, NCH₃CCH₂Ar and NCH₃CH₂Ar), 2.65-2.45 (3H, m, NCH₃CCH₂Ar and CHCH₂CH=), 1.75 (3H, d, J = 6.5, CHCH₃); δ C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.8 (CO₂CH₃), 147.5
(CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 147.4 (ArCH₂CO₂CH₃), 147.1 (ArCO₂CH₃), 128.5 (C(Br)=CH), 126.6 (ArC=CH), 126.3 (ArC=CH₂), 125.7 (CH₂CH₃), 121.9 (CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 111.3 (ArCH), 110.2 (ArCH₂), 62.3 (CH₂CBr), 59.5 (NCH₂), 55.8 (ArCO₂CH₃), 55.7 (ArCO₂CH₃), 51.2 (CO₂CH₃), 43.3 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 38.9 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 24.1 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 16.5 (CH₂CH₃); m/z (Cl, NH₃) 427 (20%), 426 (MBr₈₁H, 100), 425 (20), 424 (MBr₇₉H, 100); HRMS (ESI, MBr₇₉H⁺) calcd for C₂₀H₂₇BrNO₄ 424.1118, found 424.1114.

Methyl 2-(3-ethylidene-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-9,10-dimethoxy-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)acetate (368) and methyl (E)-3-(2-ethyl-1,2,3,5,6,10b-hexahydro-8,9-dimethoxypyrrolo[2,1-a]isoquinolin-1-yl)acrylate (369)

A solution of methyl (E) 4-(2-((Z)-2-bromobut-2-enyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinolin-1-yl)but-2-enoate (368) (1.8 g, 4.25 mmol) in THF (100 mL) was stirred at reflux for 30 minutes under a flow of nitrogen. Then AIBN (0.035 g, 0.21 mmol) was added, followed by the slow addition of a solution of tributyltin hydride (1.5 mL, 5.5 mmol) and AIBN (0.314 g, 1.91 mmol) in THF (20 mL) by a syringe pump over a period of 4 h. Following the complete addition of the tributyltin hydride, the solution was maintained at reflux for a further 4 h, after which the solution was cooled to r.t. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, until approx 20 ml of solvent was left. This was stirred with a 1:4 mixture of KF/Silica for 10 min. The resulting slurry was then loaded on to a KF/silica column and washed with approximately 400 mL of petrol, followed by flushing the column with EtOAc (approximately 300 mL). The resulting oil/gum was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 6:1 petrol:EtOAc to EtOAc. The pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compounds.

The 6-exo cyclisation product 368 was isolated as a yellow gum (0.64 g, 44%), as a 2:1 mixture of partially separable diastereoisomers; νₘₐₓ (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 2948 (m), 1730 (s), 1613 (w), 1520 (s), 1470 (m), 1375 (m), 1260 (s), 1200 (w), 1070 (w), 740 (s), 600 (s); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) (major isomer) 6.62 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.54 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.19 (1H, q, J = 6.5, C=CH₂CH₃), 3.82 (3H, s, ArOCH₂), 3.81 (3H, s, ArOCH₂), 3.72 (1H, d, J = 13.2, NCH₂C=CH), 3.70 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.43 (1H, app d, J = 8.9, NCH), 3.08-2.98 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.80-2.62 (4H, m, NCH₂C=CH, CH₂CH₂CH₂, CH₂CO₂Me, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.58-2.52 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 1.68 (3H, d, J =
6.5, C=CHCH₃), 1.27 (1H, app dd, J = 12.2 and 10.5, CHCH₂CH); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 173.4 (CO₂CH₃), 147.4 (¹³C COCH₃), 147.0 (¹³C COCH₃), 136.0 (C=CHCH₃), 129.5 (¹³C), 126.4 (¹³C), 115.8 (C=CHCH₃), 111.3 (¹³C CH), 108.3 (¹³C CH), 62.1 (NCH), 56.1 (NCH₂C=CH), 56.0 (¹³C COCH₃), 55.7 (¹³C COCH₃), 51.6 (CO₂CH₃), 51.1 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 38.7 (CHCH₂CH₂), 38.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₂), 36.9 (CH₂CO₂CH₃), 29.1 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 13.0 (CHCH₃); m/z (CI, NH₃) 347 (20%), 346 (MH⁺, 100); HRMS C₂₀H₂₈NO₄ (MH⁺) requires 346.2013, found 346.2018.

The presence of the minor diastereoisomer was identified by the following key peaks; δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 172.5 (CO₂CH₃), 147.2 (ArC OCH₃), 146.8 (ArC OCH₃), 134.8 (C=CHCH₃), 126.6 (¹³C), 126.3 (¹³C), 115.8 (C=CHCH₃), 111.4 (¹³C CH), 108.4 (¹³C CH), 60.2 (NCH₂C=CH), 57.1 (NCH), 55.8 (¹³C COCH₃), 55.6 (¹³C COCH₃), 51.5 (CO₂CH₃), 51.3 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 38.6 (CHCH₂CH₂), 38.4 (CH₂CH₂CH₂), 31.5 (CH₂CO₂CH₃), 29.8 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 12.4 (CHCH₃).

The 5-exo cyclisation product 369 was isolated as a yellow gum (58 mg, 4%); ν_max (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3030 (m), 2945 (m), 1721 (s), 1608 (m); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.13 (1H, dd, J = 15.5 and 9.0, CHCH=CHCO₂CH₃), 6.57 (1H, s, ¹³C CH), 6.56 (1H, s, ¹³C CH), 5.90 (1H, d, J = 15.5, CHCH=CHCO₂CH₃), 3.82 (1H, s, ¹³C OCH₃), 3.76-3.70 (1H, m, NCH), 3.74 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.71 (1H, s, ¹³C OCH₃), 3.10 (1H, app dq, J = 11.8 and 3.3, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.97-2.88 (2H, m, NCH₂CH and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.79-2.70 (2H, m, NCH₂CH and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.57 (1H, dt, J = 15.8 and 3.3, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.34 (1H, app q, J = 9.0, CHCH=CHCO₂CH₃), 2.03-1.94 (1H, m, CHCH₂CH₂), 1.57-1.46 (1H, m, CHCH₂CH₃), 1.35-1.21 (1H, m, CHCH₂CH₃), 0.88 (3H, t, J = 7.0, CH₂CH₃); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.8 (CO₂CH₃), 152.8 (CHCH=CHCO₂CH₃), 147.4 (¹³C COCH₃), 147.1 (¹³C COCH₃), 129.9 (¹³C), 126.6 (¹³C), 121.8 (CHCH=CHCO₂CH₃), 111.4 (¹³C CH), 108.8 (¹³C CH), 66.4 (NCH), 57.4 (NCH₂CH₃), 56.7 (CHCH=CHCO₂CH₃), 55.9 (¹³C OCH₃), 55.8 (¹³C OCH₃), 51.7 (CO₂CH₃), 48.0 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 46.0 (CHCH₂CH₃), 26.6 (CHCH₂CH₂), 26.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 12.8 (CH₃CH₃); m/z (CI, NH₃) 347 (20%), 346 (MH⁺, 100), 344 (10); HRMS C₂₀H₂₈NO₄ (MH⁺) requires 346.2013, found 346.2025.
To a stirred solution of methyl 2-(3-ethylidene-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-9,10-dimethoxy-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)acetate (368) (185 mg, 0.55 mmol), in THF (10 mL) at 0 °C under nitrogen, was slowly added a solution of lithium aluminium hydride in THF (2.3 mL, 2.4 M, 5.5 mmol), the solution was stirred for 15 minutes at 0 °C, then warmed to r.t. and stirred for 6 h. The stirred reaction mixture was then quenched by the sequential dropwise addition of H₂O (0.20 mL), 15% aqueous NaOH (0.20 mL) and H₂O (0.60 mL), then EtOAc (10 mL) and celite (0.5 g) were added and stirred for 1 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through a celite plug, the plug was flushed with EtOAc (2 × 25 mL), and evaporation of the solvent afforded the crude product as a yellow oil. The oil was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 10 to 20% MeOH/NH₃ in DCM. Pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound (378) as a yellow oil (146 mg, 84%); Rf = 0.50 (10% MeOH/NH₃ in DCM); ν_max (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3400 (s), 2995 (w), 1612 (m), 1510 (m); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.61 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.52 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.22 (1H, q, J = 6.5, C=CHCH₃), 3.79 (3H, s, ArOCH₃), 3.78 (3H, s, ArOCH₃), 3.73 (2H, t, J = 6.5, CH₂OH), 3.56-3.48 (1H, m, NCH₂C=CH₂), 3.39 (1H, app d, J = 10.5, NCH), 3.08-2.90 (3H, m, NCH₂C=CH₂, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.76-2.52 (4H, m, CH₂CHCH₂, NCH₂CH₂Ar, NCH₂CH₂Ar and CH₂OH), 2.28-2.20 (1H, m, CHCH₂CH), 1.84-1.78 (1H, m, CH₂CH₂OH), 1.68 (3H, d, J = 6.5, C=CHCH₃), 1.56-1.48 (1H, m, CH₂CH₂OH), 1.27 (1H, app q, J = 11.5, CHCH₂CH); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 147.2 (ArCOCH₃), 146.8 (ArCOCH₃), 136.6 (C=CHCH₃), 129.7 (ArC), 126.2 (ArC), 115.6 (C=CHCH₃), 111.1 (ArCH), 108.2 (ArCH), 62.0 (NCH), 60.2 (NCH₂C=CH), 56.1 (CH₂OH), 55.8 (ArCOCH₃), 55.7 (ArCOCH₃), 50.6 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 38.3 (CHCH₂CH), 37.8 (CH₂CHCH₂), 34.4 (CH₂CH₂OH), 28.8 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 12.9 (C=CHCH₃); m/z (CI) 319 (20%), 318 (100, MH⁺); HRMS C₁₉H₂₈NO₃ (MH⁺) requires 318.2064, found 318.2075.
2-((2\text{R*,3\text{S*,11b\text{S*}}})-3-Ethyl-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-9,10-dimethoxy-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)ethanol or epi-protoemetinol (88-b)

A stirred solution of 2-(3-ethylidene-2,3,4,6,7,11b-hexahydro-9,10-dimethoxy-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)ethanol 378 (75 mg, 0.26 mmol) and 5% Pd/C (10 mg) in MeOH (10 mL) was evacuated and back-filled with nitrogen (×3) then hydrogen (×2). The solution was then stirred under 1 bar hydrogen at r.t. for 6 h, after which the reaction mixture was filtered through a plug of Celite (EtOAc) and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 10 to 20% MeOH/NH₃ in DCM. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 88-b (47 mg, 63%) as a yellow oil, as a 10:1 mixture of diastereoisomers.

Major diastereoisomer (epi-protoemetinol (88-b)) was isolated as a pale yellow oil; νₚₑₑₑ (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3448 (b), 2930 (w), 1510 (m), 1428 (m), 1252 (m); δᴴ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.66 (1H, s, ᵃᵥCH), 6.54 (1H, s, ᵃᵥCH), 3.82 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.81 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.71 (2H, t, J = 6.7, OCH₂), 3.14-2.94 (3H, m, NCH₂, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CHCH₃), 2.61 (1H, dd, J = 11.7 and 4.0, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.58-2.50 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.40 (1H, td, J = 11.7 and 4.0, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.25 (1H, app d, J = 11.1, CHCH₂CH), 2.01 (1H, t, J = 11.0 Hz, NCH₂CHCH₃), 1.99–1.80 (2H, m, CHCH₂CH₂OH and CHCH₂CH₂OH), 1.69-1.63 (1H, m, CHCH₂CH₃), 1.60-1.38 (3H, m, CHCH₂CH₂OH, CH₂OH and CHCH₂CH₂OH), 1.30-1.24 (1H, m, CHCH₂CH₃), 1.18-1.08 (1H, m, CHCH₂CH), 0.89 (3H, t, J = 7.3 CH₂CH₃); δ C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 147.3 (⁻¹⁰⁷C), 147.1 (⁻¹⁰⁷COCH₃), 130.6 (⁻¹⁰⁷C), 127.2 (⁻¹⁰⁷C), 111.6 (⁻¹⁰⁷C), 108.1 (⁻¹⁰⁷C), 61.2 (OCH₂), 57.5 (NCH), 55.9 (⁻¹⁰⁷OCH₃), 55.8 (⁻¹⁰⁷OCH₃), 54.2 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 52.4 (NCH₂CH), 36.9 (CHCH₂CH), 40.7 (CH), 35.7 (CHCH₂CH₂OH), 32.5 (CH), 32.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 25.1 (CHCH₂CH₃), 12.1 (CHCH₂CH₃); m/z (Cl) 321 (20%), 320 (MH⁺, 100); HRMS C₁₉H₃₆NO₃ (MH⁺) requires 320.2220, found 320.2223.
Methyl 4-(2-(2-bromoallyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinolin-1-yl)butanoate (381)

To a stirred solution of methyl (E)-4-(2-(2-bromoallyl)-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl)but-2-enoate 358 (0.410 g, 1.0 mmol) and methanol (0.93 mL, 23.10 mmol) in THF (10 mL) at r.t. was added a solution of samarium(II) iodide (23.63 mL, 1.0 M, 2.36 mmol) in THF. The resulting solution was stirred at r.t. for 1 h, during which time the dark blue colour faded to green. The reaction was incomplete as indicated by LCMS analysis and a further portion of samarium(II) iodide (23.63 mL, 1.0 M, 2.36 mmol) in THF was added and the solution was stirred at r.t. for a further 3 h, during which time the dark blue colour faded to a pale blue solution. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 (75 mL), extracted with EtOAc (2 × 75 mL), the organic layer was dried over MgSO4, filtered and evaporated to afford the title compound 381 as a yellow oil (0.303 g, 73%); v_max (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 2997 (w), 2946 (m), 2834 (w), 1720 (s), 1656 (w), 1515 (s); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl3) 6.47 (1H, s, ArCH), 6.44 (1H, s, ArCH), 5.79 (1H, app d, J = 1.2, C(Br)=CH₂), 5.49 (1H, s, C(Br)=CH₂), 3.77 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.76 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.57 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.46 (1H, app dd, J = 7.5 and 4.5, NCH), 3.29 (1H, s, NCH₂C(Br)=CH₂), 3.13 (1H, app ddd, J = 14.0, 9.6 and 3.5, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.76-2.65 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.46-2.39 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.27 (2H, t, J = 7.1, CH₂CO₂CH₃), 1.80-1.59 (4H, m, NCHCH₂ and NCHCH₂CH₂); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl3) 173.0 (CO₂CH₃), 146.5 (²²COCH₃), 146.4 (³⁴COCH₃), 131.6 (C(Br)=CH₂), 129.0 (³²C), 125.2 (³⁴C), 117.0 (C(Br)=CH₂), 110.6 (³²CH), 109.5 (³⁴CH), 61.0 (NCH₂C(Br)=CH₂), 59.3 (NCH), 55.0 (²²COCH₃), 54.8 (³⁴COCH₃), 50.3 (CO₂CH₃), 42.3 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 34.7 (CH₂), 32.9 (CH₂CO₂Me), 22.8 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 20.7 (CH₂); m/z (Cl, NH₃) 414 (MH⁺Br⁺, 98%), 412 (MH⁺Br⁺, 100), 294 (10). HRMS C₁₀H₁₇Br⁺NO₄ (MH⁺) requires 412.1123, found 412.1120.
Methyl \((E)-2-(9,10\text{-dimethoxy-3-methylene-3,4,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2(11bH)-ylidene})\)acetate (382) and methyl 2-(9,10-dimethoxy-3-methylene-4,6,7,11b-tetrahydro-3H-pyrido[2,1-a]isoquinolin-2-yl)acetate (383)

A solution of methyl \((E)-4-(2-(2-bromoallyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinolin-1-yl)\)but-2-enoate (358) (205 mg, 0.5 mmol), palladium(II) acetate (11 mg, 0.05 mmol), triphenylphosphine (26 mg, 0.1 mmol), and \(N,N\)-diisopropylethylamine (0.20 mL, 1.5 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) was evacuated and back-filled with nitrogen (× 3). The solution was then heated to 80 °C for 2 h, during which time black palladium(0) formed. The reaction mixture was cooled to r.t. and diluted with EtOAc (20 mL), then filtered through a pad of Celite to remove the inorganic salts. The filtrate was poured into EtOAc (75 mL) and water (25 mL), and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 25 mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with water (3 × 25 mL), brine (3 × 25 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (4:1 petrol:EtOAc to EtOAc) to give the title compounds.

Compound 382 was isolated as a yellow oil (59 mg, 36%); \(\nu\)max (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3050 (m), 2994 (w), 1725 (s), 1420 (m); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.74 (1H, s, \(^{\text{Ar}}\)CH), 6.57 (1H, s, \(^{\text{Ar}}\)CH), 6.00 (1H, d, \(J = 2.2\), C=HCO₂CH₃), 5.25 (1H, s, C=CH₂), 4.96 (1H, s, C=CH₂), 4.29 (1H, dd, \(J = 15.6\) and 3.2, NCHCH₂CH₂C), 3.87 (3H, s, \(^{\text{Ar}}\)OCH₃), 3.83 (3H, s, \(^{\text{Ar}}\)OCH₃), 3.72 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.54 (1H, d, \(J = 12.9\), NCHCH₂C=CH₂), 3.44 (1H, dd, \(J = 10.5\) and 2.9, NCH), 3.22 (1H, d, \(J = 12.9\), NCHCH₂C=CH₂), 3.09-2.99 (2H, m, NCHCH₂C=CH₂), 2.72-2.66 (1H, m, NCHCH₂C=CH₂), 2.56 (1H, dd, \(J = 12.6\) and 6.1, NCH₂CH₂C=CH₂), 2.34 (1H, app, ddd, \(J = 15.6\), 11.4 and 2.5, NCHCH₂C); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 167.0 (CO₂CH₃), 156.7 (C=CH₂), 147.7 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\)CO₂CH₃), 147.4 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\)CO₂CH₃), 144.1 (C=HCO₂CH₃), 129.2 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\)C), 126.4 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\)C), 113.7 (C=HCO₂CH₃), 112.6 (C=CH₂), 111.4 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\)CH), 108.8 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\)CH), 61.5 (NCH₂C=CH₂), 60.8 (NCH), 56.1 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\)OCH₃), 55.9 (\(^{\text{Ar}}\)OCH₃), 51.2 (CO₂CH₃), 30.5 (NCH₂Ar), 29.0 (NCH₂Ar); m/z (CI) 334 (15%), 331 (20), 330 (100, MH⁺), 316 (15); HRMS C₁₉H₂₄NO₄ (MH⁺) requires 330.1700, found 330.1705.

Alkene 383 was isolated as a yellow oil (56 mg, 34%); \(\nu\)max (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3062 (m), 2990 (w), 1738 (s), 1392 (w); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.66 (1H, s, \(^{\text{Ar}}\)CH), 6.56 (1H, s,
\( \delta_{\text{C}} \) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 172.0 (C\( \text{O}_2\)CH\(_3\)), 147.7 (\( \text{ArCO}_2\)CH\(_3\)), 147.5 (\( \text{ArCO}_2\)CH\(_3\)), 138.2 (C=CH), 132.1 (NCH\(_2\)CH=C), 129.1 (CH=\( \text{C}2\)CO\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 128.2 (\( \text{ArC}2\)H), 126.7 (\( \text{ArC}2\)H), 111.6 (\( \text{ArC}2\)H), 109.7 (C=CH\(_2\)), 109.0 (\( \text{ArCH}2\)), 59.6 (NCH\(_2\)), 58.9 (NCH\(_2\)=CHCH\(_3\)), 56.1 (\( \text{ArOCH}3\)), 52.0 (CO\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 46.7 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar), 38.4 (CH\(_2\)CO\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 28.9 (NCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Ar); m/z (Cl) 347 (35%, MNH\(_4\)\(^+\)), 331 (20), 330 (100, MH\(^+\)); HRMS C\(_{19}\)H\(_{24}\)NO\(_4\) (MH\(^+\)) requires 330.1700, found 330.1699.

2-(1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-1H-indol-3-yl)ethanamine (391)\(^{303}\)

To a stirred solution of 2-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethanamine 388 (10 g, 62.42 mmol), in dimethylacetamide (150 mL) at r.t. was added sodium hydride (2.62 g, 60% dispersion in mineral oil, 65.54 mmol) portionwise, during which time evolution of gas was observed. The solution was stirred at r.t. for 1 h. The resulting suspension was cooled to 0 °C, and 1-(chloromethyl)-4-methoxybenzene (8.6 mL, 62.4 mmol) was added dropwise, following complete addition the resulting solution was warmed to r.t., and stirred for 6 h. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo to yield a viscous oil. The oil was diluted with water (100 mL) and EtOAc (200 mL), and allowed to stir, the layers were separated and the aqueous layers extracted with EtOAc (2 x 150 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (3 x 150 mL), brine (150 mL) and dried over Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), filtered and evaporated to afford a brown oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 10% MeOH/NH\(_3\) in DCM to afford 2-2-(1-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1H-indol-3-yl)ethanamine 391 (12.66 g, 72%) as a yellow oil. Rf 0.45 in 10% MeOH/NH\(_3\);DCM; \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (thin film) /cm\(^{-1}\) 2928 (m), 2835 (m), 1611 (m), 1511 (s), 1464 (s), 1440 (w); \( \delta_{\text{H}} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.60 (1H, app dt, \( J = 7.9 \) and 1.0, \( \text{ArCH}2\)), 7.27 (1H, d, \( J = 8.1 \), \( \text{ArCH}2\)), 7.16 (1H, dd, \( J = 8.1 \) and 1.0, \( \text{ArCH}2\)), 7.10 (1H, app dd, \( J = 7.9 \) and 1.0, \( \text{ArCH}2\)), 7.05 (2H, app dt, \( J = 8.7 \) and 2.5, \( \text{PMBCH}2\)), 6.92 (1H, s, \( \text{ArCH}2\)), 6.81 (2H, app dt, \( J = 8.7 \) and 2.5, \( \text{PMBCH}2\)), 5.19 (2H, s, \( \text{PMBCH}2\)), 3.75 (3H, s, \( \text{PMBCOCH}3\)), 3.00 (2H, t, \( J = 2.5 \))
6.6, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{Ar}), 2.88 (2H, t, J = 6.6, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{Ar}), 1.38 (2H, bs, NH\textsubscript{2}); δ\text{C} (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 158.8 (\textsuperscript{PMB}CO\textsubscript{CH\textsubscript{3}}), 136.5 (\textsuperscript{N}C), 129.5 (\textsuperscript{Ar}C), 128.1 (2 × \textsuperscript{PMB}CH), 128.0 (\textsuperscript{Ar}C), 126.9 (\textsuperscript{Ar}CH), 121.5 (\textsuperscript{Ar}CH), 118.9 (\textsuperscript{Ar}CH), 118.8 (\textsuperscript{Ar}CH), 113.9 (2 × \textsuperscript{PMB}CH), 112.5 (\textsuperscript{Ar}C), 109.9 (\textsuperscript{Ar}CH), 55.0 (\textsuperscript{PMB}CO\textsubscript{CH\textsubscript{3}}), 49.1 (NCH\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{PMB}), 42.5 (NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{Ar}), 29.4 (NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{Ar}); m/z (CI, NH\textsubscript{3}) 322 (MMeCNH\textsuperscript{+}, 20%), 281 (MH\textsuperscript{+}, 75), 264 (100).

The spectroscopic data is in agreement with reported data.\textsuperscript{303}

\textit{N-(2-(1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl)formamide (392)}

![N-(2-(1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl)formamide](image)

A stirred solution of 2-(1-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1H-indol-3-yl)ethanamine 391 (4.02 g, 14.35 mmol) in ethyl formate (21.03 mL, 258.30 mmol), under nitrogen was heated to reflux for 2 h. Analysis by LCMS indicated incomplete conversion so a further portion of ethyl formate (10.5 mL, 129 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at reflux overnight. Analysis by LCMS indicated complete conversion, and the solution was concentrated in vacuo to yield a viscous oil, of unpurified 392 (4.43 g, quant), which was taken forward to the next step without further purification; δ\text{H} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 7.58 (1H, d, J = 7.8, \textsuperscript{Ar}CH), 7.28 (1H, d, J = 8.1, \textsuperscript{Ar}CH), 7.16 (1H, app td, J = 8.1 and 1.0, \textsuperscript{Ar}CH), 7.10 (1H, app dd, J = 8.1 and 1.0, \textsuperscript{Ar}CH), 7.05 (2H, d, J = 8.6, \textsuperscript{PMB}CH), 6.92 (1H, s, \textsuperscript{Ar}CH), 6.81 (2H, d, J = 8.6, \textsuperscript{PMB}CH), 5.70 (1H, bs, NH), 5.17 (2H, s, \textsuperscript{PMB}CH\textsubscript{2}N\textsuperscript{+}), 3.75 (3H, s, OCH\textsubscript{3}), 3.59 (2H, app q, J = 6.6, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{Ar}), 2.95 (2H, t, J = 6.6, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{Ar}); m/z (CI, NH\textsubscript{3}) 372 (MMeCNNa\textsuperscript{+}, 20%), 331 (MN\textsuperscript{+}, 45), 309 (MH\textsuperscript{+}, 100), 200 (25).

\textit{9-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-4,9-dihydro-3H-pyrido[3,4-b]indole (393)}

![9-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-4,9-dihydro-3H-pyrido[3,4-b]indole](image)

A stirred solution of N-(2-(1-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl)formamide 392 (4.43 g, 14.4 mmol) in chloroform (5 mL) under nitrogen was cooled to 0 °C and stirred for 10 minutes to achieve thermal equilibrium, followed by the slow addition of POCl\textsubscript{3} (16.05 mL, 172 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at 0 °C for 15 minutes. When the vigorous initial reaction subsided, the mixture was warmed to r.t. and allowed to stir for 3
h. The excess POCl₃ was removed *in vacuo*. The resulting oil was taken up into DCM (100 mL) and washed with 2 M aqueous NaOH (100 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (100 mL), the combined organic layer was washed with a further portion of 2 M aqueous NaOH (100 mL), brine (100 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford the title product 393 (4.00 g, 96%) as a fine yellow powder (no further purification was required); ν<sub>max</sub> (thin film) / cm<sup>-1</sup> 2974 (m), 2941 (w), 1608 (m), 1406 (m); δ<sub>H</sub> (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 8.32 (1H, t, <sup>1</sup>J = 2.3, H₃C=N), 7.52 (1H, app dt, <sup>1</sup>J = 8.0 and 0.9, ArCH), 7.23 (1H, dt, <sup>1</sup>J = 8.3 and 0.9, ArCH), 7.18 (1H, td, <sup>1</sup>J = 8.3 and 1.1, ArCH), 7.05 (1H, app ddd, <sup>1</sup>J = 8.0, 6.8 and 1.1, ArCH), 6.93 (2H, app dt, <sup>1</sup>J = 8.7 and 2.5, PMBCH), 6.72 (2H, app dt, <sup>1</sup>J = 8.7 and 2.5, PMBCH), 5.26 (2H, s, PMBCH₂N), 3.82 (2H, td, <sup>1</sup>J = 8.6 and 2.3, NC₃H₂CH₂Ar), 3.66 (3H, s, PMBCOCH₃), 2.82 (2H, t, <sup>2</sup>J = 8.6, NCH₂CH₂Ar); δ<sub>C</sub> (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 159.1 (ArC OCH₃), 150.2 (HC=N), 137.6 (ArC), 129.4 (ArCH), 129.2 (ArC), 127.6 (2 × PMBCCH), 125.0 (ArC), 124.5 (ArCH), 120.2 (ArCH and ArC), 116.1 (ArC), 114.3 (2 × PMBCCH), 110.4 (ArCH), 55.3 (PMBCOCH₃), 48.5 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 46.3 (NCH₂PMB), 29.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (CI, NH₃) 292 (35%), 291 (MH<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O (MH<sup>+</sup>) requires 291.1497, found 291.1493.

**Methyl (E)-4-(9-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2-(2-bromoallyl)-2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1H-pyrido[3,4-b]indol-1-yl)but-2-enoate (395)**

![Chemical Structure](image)

To a stirred solution of 9-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4,9-dihydro-3H-pyrido[3,4-b]indole 393 (4.0 g, 13.78 mmol) in acetonitrile (48.2 mL) at r.t. under nitrogen was added 2,3-dibromoprop-1-ene (2.14 mL, 16.53 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred in the dark for 48 h, during which time the solution turned a dark brown. The crude mixture was evaporated to dryness to afford a dark brown gum. The brown oil was taken up in a further portion of acetonitrile (100 mL), to the resulting solution was added methyl (E)-4-bromobut-2-enoate (4.77 mL, 34.44 mmol) and zinc dust (1.802 g, 27.55 mmol), the solution was stirred at r.t. under nitrogen for 2 days. The reaction mixture was quenched by pouring into a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (150 mL) and allowed to stir for 30 minutes. The resulting precipitate was removed by filtration, and washed with EtOAc (200 mL), the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc (2 × 150 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to afford a brown oil. The
crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to 10% MeOH/NH3 in DCM. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compound 395 (1.20 g, 17%) as a yellow oil; $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (thin film) /cm$^{-1}$ 3585 (w), 3012 (w), 2841 (w); $\delta_{\text{H}}$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.53 (1H, app dd, J = 7.0 and 1.1, $^3$CH), 7.22 (1H, d, J = 7.7, $^3$CH), 7.20-7.09 (3H, m, 2 × $^3$CH and CH=CHCO$_2$Me), 6.84 (2H, d, J = 8.8, $^\text{PMB}$CH), 6.77 (2H, d, J = 8.8, $^\text{PMB}$CH), 5.82 (1H, dt, J = 15.7 and 1.3, CH=CHCO$_2$Me), 5.53 (1H, d, J = 1.0, BrC=CH$_2$), 5.42 (1H, s, BrC=CH$_2$), 5.25 (1H, d, J = 16.7, NCH$_2$PMB), 5.07 (1H, d, J = 16.7, NCH$_2$PMB), 3.74 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.72 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.73-3.70 (1H, m, CH$_2$N), 3.66 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.63 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.38 (1H, d, J = 14.7, NCH$_2$BrC), 3.32 (1H, d, J = 14.3, 11.8 and 5.2, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 3.21 (1H, d, J = 14.7, NCH$_2$BrC), 3.06 (1H, d, J = 14.3 and 5.7, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 2.91 (1H, app ddd, J = 16.8, 11.8 and 6.0, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 2.68-2.59 (2H, m, NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar and CHCH$_2$CH=CH), 2.44 (1H, dddd, J = 15.3, 7.3, 3.6 and 1.3, CHCH$_2$CH=CH); $\delta_{\text{C}}$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 166.8 (CO$_2$CH$_3$), 159.0 ($^3$C), 146.8 (CH=CHCO$_2$CH$_3$), 137.1 ($^3$C), 134.8 ($^3$C), 131.8 ($^3$C), 129.5 ($^3$C), 127.1 (2 × $^\text{PMB}$CH), 127.0 (CBr), 122.2 (CHCO$_2$CH$_3$), 121.8 ($^3$CH), 119.4 ($^3$CH), 118.7 (C(Br)=CH$_2$), 118.3 ($^3$CH), 114.3 (2 × $^\text{PMB}$CH), 109.5 ($^3$CH), 107.8 ($^3$C), 61.4 (NCH), 55.2 (OCH$_3$), 54.7 (OCH$_3$), 51.4 (NCH$_2$Br), 46.2 (NCH$_2$PMB), 42.8 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 37.4 (CH$_2$CH=CH), 17.2 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar); m/z (CI, NH$_3$) 511 (M$^{79}$BrH$^+$, 100%), 509 (M$^{79}$BrH$^+$, 98), 429 (10); HRMS C$_{27}$H$_{30}$Br$_7$O$_3$N$_2$ (MH$^+$) requires 509.1434, found 509.1430.

Methyl (E)-4-(2-allyl-9-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1H-pyrido[3,4-b]indol-1-yl)but-2-enoate (396), methyl 2-(12-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3-methylene-1,2,3,4,6,7,12,12b-octahydroindolo[2,3-a]quinolizin-2-yl)acetate (397) and methyl 8-[(4-methoxyphenyl)methyl]-10-methylidene-8,12-diazapentacyclo[10.3.2.0$^{1,9}$.0$^{2,7}$.0$^{9,13}$]heptadeca-2(7),3,5-triene-15-carboxylate (398)

A solution of methyl (E)-4-(9-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2-(2-bromoallyl)-2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1H-pyrido[3,4-b]indol-1-yl)but-2-enoate 395 (1.1 g, 2.2 mmol) in THF (100 mL) was stirred at reflux for 30 minutes, then AIBN (0.035 g, 0.21 mmol) was added. A solution of tributyltin hydride (0.9 mL, 3.5 mmol) and AIBN (0.14 g, 0.9 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added to
the refluxing solution of the vinyl bromide, over a period of 4 h under nitrogen. Following the complete addition of the tributyltin hydride solution, the reaction mixture was maintained at reflux for a further 2 h, after which the solution was cooled to r.t. The crude reaction mixture was passed through a SCX column, elution gradient 4:1 DCM:MeOH to 4:1 DCM:MeOH/NH₃, the DCM:MeOH/NH₃ fractions were evaporated to afford a yellow oil. The oil was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 20:1:1 petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH₃ to 10:10:1 petrol:EtOAc:MeOH/NH₃. Pure fractions were concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compounds.

The direct reduction product 396 was isolated as a yellow gum (33 mg, 3.5%); v_max (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 3583 (w), 3008 (w), 2949 (w), 2838 (w), 1722 (s), 1513 (s), 1463 (s); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.54 (1H, app dd, J = 6.6 and 1.3, ArCH), 7.20 (1H, app dd, J = 5.8 and 1.1, ArCH), 7.18-7.10 (2H, m, ArCH), 7.10 (1H, app dt, J = 15.7 and 7.0, CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 6.85 (2H, app dt, J = 8.8 and 2.5, PMBCH), 6.79 (2H, app dt, J = 8.8 and 2.5, PMBCH), 5.85-5.75 (1H, m, CH=CH₂), 5.81 (1H, app dt, J = 15.7 and 1.5, CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 5.22 (1H, d, J = 16.7, PMBCH), 5.09 (1H, d, J = 16.7, PMBCH), 5.00 (1H, app dd, J = 10.1 and 0.9, CH=CH₂), 4.99 (1H, app dd, J = 15.8 and 1.3, CH=CH₂), 3.81 (1H, dd, J = 7.1 and 3.3, NCH), 3.75 (3H, s, PMBCOCH₃), 3.73 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.27-3.21 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH=CH₂), 3.10-3.02 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH=CH₂), 2.96-2.87 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.64-2.55 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH=CH₂), 2.45-2.38 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂CH=CH₂); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.8 (C=O), 158.8 (PMBCOCH₃), 146.9 (CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 137.0 (CH=CH₂), 136.3 (ArC), 134.9 (ArC), 129.5 (ArC), 127.1 (2 × PMBCH), 127.0 (ArC), 121.9 (CH=CHCO₂CH₃), 121.6 (ArC), 119.2 (ArC), 118.2 (ArC), 117.5 (CH=CH₂), 114.1 (2 × PMBCH), 109.5 (ArC), 107.7 (ArC), 56.0 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 55.2 (PMBCOCH₃), 53.7 (NCH), 51.4 (CO₂CH₃), 46.2 (NCH₂PMB), 42.9 (NCH₂CH=CH₂), 37.2 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 16.9 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (CI) 432 (25%), 431 (MH, 100); HRMS (CI, MH⁺) calcd for C₂₇H₃₁N₂O₃ 431.2329, found 431.2323.

The 6-exo cyclisation product 397 was isolated as a yellow gum (0.435 g, 46%) as a 1.6:1 mixture of diastereoisomers; v_max (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 2966 (s), 2810 (m), 1733 (s), 1612 (w), 1512 (s); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) (major diastereoisomer) 7.52-7.48 (1H, m, ArCH), 7.13-7.06 (3H, m, ArCH), 6.89 (2H, d, J = 8.7, PMBCH), 6.78 (2H, d, J = 8.7, PMBCH), 5.26 (1H, d, J = 16.9, NCH₂PMB), 5.17 (1H, d, J = 16.9, NCH₂PMB), 4.88 (1H, s, C=CH₂), 4.72 (1H, app d, J = 1.6, C=CH₂), 4.03 (1H, dd, J = 12.0 and 3.0 NCH), 3.74 (3H, s, PMBOCH₃), 3.60 (1H, d, J = 13.5, NCH₃C=CH₂), 3.55 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.43 (1H, d, J = 13.5, NCH₃C=CH₂), 3.13-3.07 (1H, m, NCH₃CH₂Ar), 2.93-2.86 (2H, m,
NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.78-2.70 (2H, m, CHCH₂CO₂CH₃ and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.63 (1H, dd, J = 15.6 and 6.1, CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 2.17 (1H, dd, J = 15.6 and 7.9, CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 1.94 (1H, dq, J = 12.0 and 3.0, NCHCH₂Ar), 1.50 (1H, app q, J = 12.0, NCHCH₂CH); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃ (minor diastereoisomer) 172.9 (C=O₂CH₃), 158.8 (PMBCOCH₃), 144.7 (C=CH₂), 137.4 (ArC), 129.6 (2 × PMBCH), 127.1 (PMBC), 121.4 (ArCH), 2.17 (1H, dd, J = 15.6 and 7.9, CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 1.94 (1H, dq, J = 12.0 and 3.0, NCHCH₂CH), 1.50 (1H, app q, J = 12.0, NCHCH₂CH); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃ (minor diastereoisomer) 172.9 (C=O₂CH₃), 158.8 (PMBCOCH₃), 144.7 (C=CH₂), 137.4 (ArC), 129.6 (2 × PMBCH), 127.1 (PMBC), 121.4 (ArCH), 62.7 (NCH₂C=CH₂), 55.9 (NCH), 55.3 (ArOCH₃), 51.6 (CO₂CH₃), 46.6 (NCH₂PMBC), 46.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 37.8 (CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 36.9 (CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 35.7 (NCHCH₂CH), 22.2 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (CI, NH₃) 432 (25%), 431 (MH⁺, 100); HRMS C₂₇H₃₁N₂O₃ (MH⁺) requires 431.2329, found 431.2337.

The minor diastereoisomer was identified by the following key peaks; δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.27 (1H, d, J = 17.0, NCH₂PMBC), 5.13 (1H, d, J = 17.0, NCH₂PMBC), 4.89 (1H, dd, J = 17.0, NCH₂PMBC), 4.43 (1H, dd, J = 17.0, NCH₂PMBC), 3.79 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.56 (1H, d, J = 12.6 and 5.0, NCH₂CH), 2.74 (1H, dd, J = 12.6 and 5.0, NCH₂CH₂); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 172.9 (C=O₂CH₃), 158.8 (PMBCOCH₃), 144.7 (C=CH₂), 137.4 (ArC), 129.6 (2 × PMBCH), 127.1 (PMBC), 121.4 (ArCH), 62.7 (NCH₂C=CH₂), 55.9 (NCH), 55.3 (ArOCH₃), 51.6 (CO₂CH₃), 46.6 (NCH₂PMBC), 46.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 37.8 (CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 36.9 (CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 35.7 (NCHCH₂CH), 22.2 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (CI, NH₃) 432 (25%), 431 (MH⁺, 100); HRMS C₂₇H₃₁N₂O₃ (MH⁺) requires 431.2329, found 431.2337.

The minor diastereoisomer was identified by the following key peaks; δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.27 (1H, d, J = 17.0, NCH₂PMBC), 5.13 (1H, d, J = 17.0, NCH₂PMBC), 4.89 (1H, dd, J = 17.0, NCH₂PMBC), 4.43 (1H, dd, J = 17.0, NCH₂PMBC), 3.79 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.56 (1H, d, J = 12.6 and 5.0, NCH₂CH), 2.74 (1H, dd, J = 12.6 and 5.0, NCH₂CH₂); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 172.9 (C=O₂CH₃), 158.8 (PMBCOCH₃), 144.7 (C=CH₂), 137.4 (ArC), 129.6 (2 × PMBCH), 127.1 (PMBC), 121.4 (ArCH), 62.7 (NCH₂C=CH₂), 55.9 (NCH), 55.3 (ArOCH₃), 51.6 (CO₂CH₃), 46.6 (NCH₂PMBC), 46.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 37.8 (CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 36.9 (CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 35.7 (NCHCH₂CH), 22.2 (NCH₂CH₂Ar); m/z (CI, NH₃) 432 (25%), 431 (MH⁺, 100); HRMS C₂₇H₃₁N₂O₃ (MH⁺) requires 431.2329, found 431.2337.

The 5-exo/5-exo cyclisation product 398 was isolated as a yellow gum (113 mg, 12%) as a 1:1 mixture of partially separable diastereoisomers; νmax (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 2949 (m), 2903 (m), 1734 (s), 1613 (w), 1513 (m); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.22 (2H, dt, J = 8.5 and 2.9, PMBCH), 7.06 (1H td, J = 7.7 and 1.3, ArCH), 6.85 (2H, dt, J = 8.5 and 2.9, PMBCH), 6.88-6.83 (1H, m, ArCH), 6.66 (1H, td, J = 7.7 and 0.8, ArCH), 6.50 (1H, d, J = 7.7, ArCH), 4.89 (1H, dd, J = 1.6 and 0.4, C=CH₂), 4.43 (1H, dd, J = 1.9 and 0.8, C=CH₂), 4.34 (1H, d, J = 14.5, NCH₂PMBC), 4.03 (1H, d, J = 14.5, NCH₂PMBC), 3.79 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.56 (1H, d, J = 15.5, NCH₂C=CH₂), 3.48 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.24 (1H, d, J = 15.5, NCH₂C=CH₂), 3.21-3.12 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂), 3.10 (1H, d, J = 5.0, NCCHN), 2.74 (1H, dd, J = 14.5 and 6.0, NCH₂CH₂), 2.66-2.57 (1H, m, CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 2.36 (1H, dd, J = 14.5 and 9.0, CHCH₂CH), 2.14 (1H, dd, J = 12.6 and 6.0, NCH₂CH₂), 2.07 (1H, dd, J = 16.1 and 7.6, CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 1.96 (1H, dd, J = 16.1 and 7.6, CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 1.88 (1H, dd, J = 12.6 and 5.0, NCH₂CH₂), 1.55 (1H, dt, J = 14.5 and 5.0, CHCH₂CH); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 173.8 (C=O₂CH₃), 158.8 (PMBCOCH₃), 151.9 (C=CH₂), 145.6 (ArC), 131.0 (ArC), 130.8 (PMBC), 129.3 (2 × PMBCH), 128.1 (ArCH), 124.3 (ArCH), 117.6 (ArCH), 113.9 (2 × PMBCH), 106.1 (ArCH), 104.1 (C=CH₂), 90.5 (NCCHN), 70.1 (NCCHN), 60.4
(CCHCH2CO2CH3), 58.7 (NCH2C=CH2), 55.2 (PMBOCH3), 51.3 (CO2CH3), 48.7 (NCH2PMB), 47.4 (NCH2CH2), 44.3 (CCHCH2CO2CH3), 39.2 (CHCH2CO2CH3), 34.4 (CHCH2CH), 32.8 (NCH2CH2); m/z (Cl, NH3) 432 (25%), 431 (MH+, 100); HRMS C27H31N2O3, (MH+) requires 431.2329, found 431.2324.

The other diastereoisomer was identified by the following key peaks; \( \delta_H \) (400 MHz, CDCl3) 6.61 (1H, td, \( J = 7.6 \) and 0.8, \( \text{ArCH} \)), 6.41 (1H, d, \( J = 7.7 \), \( \text{ArCH} \)), 4.90 (1H, m, C=CH2), 4.62 (1H, dd, \( J = 2.0 \) and 0.6, C=CH2), 4.39 (1H, d, \( J = 15.2 \), NCH2PMB), 4.09 (1H, d, \( J = 15.2 \), NCH2PMB), 3.78 (3H, s, OCH3), 3.60 (3H, s, OCH3); \( \delta_C \) (100 MHz, CDCl3) 173.2 (CO2CH3), 158.7 (PMBCH3), 149.8 (C=CH2), 145.7 (ArC), 133.0 (ArC), 130.9 (PMBCH3), 129.3 (2 × PMBCH3), 127.8 (ArCH), 121.3 (ArCH), 117.0 (ArCH), 113.8 (2 × PMBCH3), 106.3 (ArCH), 104.3 (C=CH2), 90.9 (NCCHN), 69.3 (NCCHN), 59.6 (CCHCH2CO2CH3), 59.3 (NCH2C=CH2), 55.2 (PMBOCH3), 51.5 (CO2CH3), 48.0 (NCH2PMB), 47.2 (NCH2CH2), 44.9 (CCHCH2CO2CH3), 39.2 (CHCH2CO2CH3), 34.2 (CHCH2CH), 32.2 (NCH2CH2).

Methyl 2-((2R*,12bS*)-12-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1,2,3,4,6,7,12,12b-octahydro-3-methylindolo[2,3-a]quinolizin-2-yl)acetate (400) and 2-isopropyl-12-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1,2,3,6,7,12b-hexahydroindolo[2,3-a]quinolizin-4(12H)-one (401)

A stirred solution of methyl 2-(12-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3-methylene-1,2,3,4,6,7,12,12b-octahydroindolo[2,3-a]quinolizin-2-yl)acetate (397) (86 mg, 0.2 mmol) and Pd(OH)2/C (30 mg) in MeOH:EtOAc (5:5 mL) was evacuated and back-filled with nitrogen (× 3) then hydrogen (× 2). The solution was then stirred under 1 bar hydrogen at r.t. for 4 h, after which time the reaction mixture was filtered through a plug of Celite (EtOAc) and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 10 to 20% MeOH/NH3 in DCM. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the title compounds.

The hydrogenation product 400 was isolated as a yellow gum (41 mg, 48%) as a 1:1 ratio of separable diastereoisomers; \( \nu_{\max} \) (thin film) /cm\(^{-1}\) 2950 (m), 2905 (m), 1733 (s), 1613 (w), 1513 (s); \( \delta_H \) (400 MHz, CDCl3) 7.53-7.47 (1H, m, ArCH), 7.12-7.07 (3H, m, ArCH), 6.93 (2H, d, \( J = 8.7 \), PMBCH), 6.87 (2H, d, \( J = 8.7 \), PMBCH), 5.26 (1H, d, \( J = 17.1 \), NCH2PMB), 5.17 (1H, d, \( J = 17.1 \), NCH2PMB), 3.76 (3H, s, ArOCH3), 3.53 (3H, s,
CO₂CH₃), 3.33 (1H, dd, J = 11.4 and 1.5, NCH), 3.02-2.90 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 3.02-2.90 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.83 (1H, dd, J = 11.6 and 2.0, NCH₃CH₃), 2.76 (1H, dd, J = 11.6 and 3.0, NCH₂CH₂), 2.73-2.57 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.23-2.15 (2H, m, CHCH₂CO₂CH₃ and CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 2.08-2.02 (1H, m, CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 1.87-1.78 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂ and NCH₂CH₂), 1.38 (1H, dd, J = 7.0, CHCH₃); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 173.3 (CO₂CH₃), 158.7 (PMBCOCH₃), 138.1 (ArC), 136.7 (ArC), 129.9 (PMBC), 127.2 (2 × PMBC), 127.1 (ArC), 119.3 (ArC), 118.1 (ArC), 114.0 (2 × PMBC), 109.7 (ArC), 62.8 (NCH₂CH₂), 60.2 (NCH₂CH₂), 55.3 (ArOCH₃), 52.5 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 51.4 (CO₂CH₃), 47.5 (NCH₂PMB), 38.4 (CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 36.8 (CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 32.1 (CHCH₃), 31.9 (NCH₂CH₂), 22.7 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 13.4 (CHCH₃); m/z (CI, NH₃) 434 (25%), 433 (100, MH⁺); HRMS C₂₇H₃₃N₂O₃ (MH⁺) requires 433.2486, found 433.2487.

The other diastereoisomer was identified by the following peaks; δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.52-7.47 (1H, m, ArCH), 7.11-7.06 (3H, m, ArCH), 6.89 (2H, d, J = 8.7, PMBC), 6.77 (2H, d, J = 8.7, PMBC), 5.26 (1H, d, J = 17.0, NCH₂PMB), 5.14 (1H, d, J = 17.0, NCH₂PMB), 3.74 (3H, s, ArOCH₃), 3.56 (1H, d, J = 9.8, NCH₂), 3.46 (3H, s, CO₂CH₃), 3.13 (1H, dt, J = 11.2 and 5.5, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 3.00 (1H dd, J = 12.6 and 3.8, NCH₂CH₂), 2.99-2.91 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.86-2.76 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.69 (1H, ddd, J = 11.2, 6.8, 4.6, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.52 (1H, dd, J = 15.2 and 3.7, CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 2.45 (1H, dd, J = 12.6 and 10.8, NCH₂CH₂), 2.04-1.92 (2H, m, CHCH₂CO₂CH₃ and NCH₂CH₂CH), 1.70-1.58 (2H, m, CHCH₃ and CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 1.35 (1H, dd, J = 13.1 and 11.4, NCH₃CH₃), 0.86 (3H, d, J = 6.1, CHCH₃); δC (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 173.4 (CO₂CH₃), 158.7 (PMBCOCH₃), 137.8 (ArC), 136.7 (ArC), 129.7 (PMBC), 127.2 (2 × PMBC), 121.4 (ArC), 119.3 (ArC), 118.2 (ArC), 114.1 (2 × PMBC), 109.7 (ArC), 108.2 (ArC), 63.1 (NCH₂CH₂), 57.8 (NCH₂), 55.3 (ArOCH₃), 51.4 (CO₂CH₃), 49.3 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 47.1 (NCH₂PMB), 40.2 (CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 38.4 (CHCH₂CO₂CH₃), 34.8 (NCH₂CH₃), 33.1 (CHCH₃), 22.4 (NCH₂CH₂Ar), 16.7 (CHCH₃).

The cyclic amide 401 was isolated as a yellow gum (19 mg, 24%) as a single diastereoisomer; νmax (thin film) /cm⁻¹ 2953 (m), 2912 (m), 1688 (s), 1610 (w), 1515 (m); δH (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.53 (1H, dd, J = 6.3 and 1.6, ArCH), 7.20-7.12 (3H, m, ArCH), 6.88 (2H, dd, J = 6.8, PMBC), 6.80 (2H, dd, J = 8.5, PMBC), 5.30 (1H, d, J = 17.2, NCH₂PMB), 5.23 (1H, d, J = 17.2, NCH₂PMB), 5.01-4.94 (1H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar), 4.69 (1H, dd, J = 8.9 and 5.3, NCH), 3.75 (3H, s, PMBC), 2.92-2.70 (3H, m, NCH₂CH₂Ar and NCH₂CH₂Ar), 2.45 (1H, dd, J = 16.2 and 5.3, NC(O)CH₂CH₃), 2.26 (1H, dd, J = 16.2 and 9.0, NC(O)CH₂CH₃), 2.03 (1H, dt, J = 14.2 and 5.3, NCH₂CH₂), 1.81 (1H, ddd, J = 14.2, 8.9 and 5.2, NCH₂CH₂), 1.64-1.53 (1H, m, CHCH(CH₃)₂), 1.46-1.38 (1H, m, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.81 (3H,
d, $J = 6.6$, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, 0.76 (1H, d, $J = 6.6$, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$); $\delta_C$ (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 171.5 (NC(O)CH$_2$), 158.9 ($^{\text{PMB}}$COCH$_3$), 138.0 ($^{\text{Ar}}$C), 134.6 ($^{\text{Ar}}$C), 129.1 ($^{\text{PMB}}$C), 126.8 (2 $^{\text{PMB}}$CH), 126.4 ($^{\text{Ar}}$C), 122.1 ($^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 119.7 ($^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 118.3 ($^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 114.2 (2 $^{\text{PMB}}$CH), 110.9 ($^{\text{Ar}}$C), 109.6 ($^{\text{Ar}}$CH), 55.2 ($^{\text{PMB}}$OCH$_3$), 51.2 (NCH), 47.1 (NCH$_2$PMB), 40.4 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 36.0 (CH$_2$CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 35.8 (NC(O)CH$_2$CH), 33.2 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$), 30.8 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 21.2 (NCH$_2$CH$_2$Ar), 19.8 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 19.7 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$); $m/z$ (CI) 404 (25%), 403 (100, MH$^+$), 399 (30), 289 (45); HRMS C$_{26}$H$_{31}$N$_2$O$_2$ (MH$^+$) requires 403.2380, found 403.2376.
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Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for afp0901m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification code</td>
<td>afp0901m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C_{19}H_{31}Cl_{2}N_{5}O_{5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>424.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>110(2) K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>0.71073 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td>(a = 8.4632(5) \text{ Å})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\alpha = 88.3650(10)^\circ).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b = 8.5839(6) \text{ Å})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\beta = 89.6750(10)^\circ).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c = 15.8706(10) \text{ Å})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\gamma = 61.7150(10)^\circ).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>1014.84(11) Å(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (calculated)</td>
<td>1.389 Mg/m(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient</td>
<td>0.350 mm(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F(000))</td>
<td>452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size</td>
<td>0.28 x 0.20 x 0.12 Å(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta range for data collection</td>
<td>2.57 to 30.02(^\circ).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index ranges</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected</td>
<td>15393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent reflections</td>
<td>5779 ([R(int) = 0.0167])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness to theta = 30.02(^\circ)</td>
<td>97.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption correction</td>
<td>Semi-empirical from equivalents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. and min. transmission</td>
<td>0.959 and 0.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement method</td>
<td>Full-matrix least-squares on (F^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data / restraints / parameters</td>
<td>5779 / 0 / 266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on (F^2)</td>
<td>1.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indices [(&gt;2\sigma(I))]</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0348, wR2 = 0.0924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R indices (all data)</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0391, wR2 = 0.0960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Original in colour)
Largest diff. peak and hole \( 0.501 \text{ and } -0.215 \text{ e.Å}^{-3} \)

Table 2. Atomic coordinates \((x \times 10^4)\) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters \((Å^2 \times 10^3)\) for afp0901m. \(U(\text{eq})\) is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized \(U^{ij}\) tensor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>(U(\text{eq}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C(1)</td>
<td>-2676(2)</td>
<td>3482(2)</td>
<td>536(1)</td>
<td>20(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(2)</td>
<td>-662(1)</td>
<td>2793(2)</td>
<td>461(1)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(3)</td>
<td>83(1)</td>
<td>3725(1)</td>
<td>1017(1)</td>
<td>14(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(4)</td>
<td>2062(1)</td>
<td>3092(1)</td>
<td>884(1)</td>
<td>14(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(5)</td>
<td>2881(1)</td>
<td>3860(1)</td>
<td>1469(1)</td>
<td>15(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(6)</td>
<td>663(1)</td>
<td>4147(1)</td>
<td>2572(1)</td>
<td>13(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(7)</td>
<td>-204(1)</td>
<td>3434(1)</td>
<td>1956(1)</td>
<td>15(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(8)</td>
<td>3660(1)</td>
<td>1479(1)</td>
<td>2570(1)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(9)</td>
<td>3528(2)</td>
<td>1022(2)</td>
<td>3492(1)</td>
<td>19(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(10)</td>
<td>1707(1)</td>
<td>2134(1)</td>
<td>3877(1)</td>
<td>15(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11)</td>
<td>416(1)</td>
<td>3646(1)</td>
<td>3466(1)</td>
<td>14(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(12)</td>
<td>-1238(1)</td>
<td>4705(1)</td>
<td>3850(1)</td>
<td>15(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(13)</td>
<td>-1597(1)</td>
<td>4249(1)</td>
<td>4642(1)</td>
<td>15(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(14)</td>
<td>-287(1)</td>
<td>2708(1)</td>
<td>5070(1)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(15)</td>
<td>1341(1)</td>
<td>1678(1)</td>
<td>4685(1)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(16)</td>
<td>3075(2)</td>
<td>1973(2)</td>
<td>303(1)</td>
<td>20(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(17)</td>
<td>-4498(2)</td>
<td>6760(2)</td>
<td>4652(1)</td>
<td>19(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(18)</td>
<td>561(2)</td>
<td>887(2)</td>
<td>6326(1)</td>
<td>24(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(19)</td>
<td>3283(1)</td>
<td>4468(1)</td>
<td>2938(1)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(1)</td>
<td>5645(1)</td>
<td>3595(1)</td>
<td>2917(1)</td>
<td>23(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)</td>
<td>2659(1)</td>
<td>3455(1)</td>
<td>2391(1)</td>
<td>13(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(1)</td>
<td>-3189(1)</td>
<td>2231(1)</td>
<td>230(1)</td>
<td>24(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(2)</td>
<td>-3160(1)</td>
<td>5190(1)</td>
<td>5068(1)</td>
<td>18(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(3)</td>
<td>-769(1)</td>
<td>2369(1)</td>
<td>5849(1)</td>
<td>21(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(2)</td>
<td>7641(1)</td>
<td>1709(1)</td>
<td>8288(1)</td>
<td>22(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(4)</td>
<td>8066(2)</td>
<td>9569(2)</td>
<td>1526(1)</td>
<td>30(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(5)</td>
<td>6538(1)</td>
<td>7466(1)</td>
<td>2235(1)</td>
<td>32(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for afp0901m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Length [Å]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C(1)-O(1)</td>
<td>1.4324(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(1)-C(2)</td>
<td>1.5215(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(1)-H(1A)</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(1)-H(1B)</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(2)-C(3)</td>
<td>1.5294(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(2)-H(2A)</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(2)-H(2B)</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(3)-C(4)</td>
<td>1.5113(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(3)-C(7)</td>
<td>1.5406(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(3)-H(3A)</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(4)-C(16)</td>
<td>1.3305(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(4)-C(5)</td>
<td>1.5012(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(5)-N(1)</td>
<td>1.5248(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(5)-H(5A)</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(5)-H(5B)</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(6)-C(11)</td>
<td>1.5128(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(6)-C(7)</td>
<td>1.5289(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(6)-N(1)</td>
<td>1.5306(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(6)-H(6)</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(7)-H(7A)</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(7)-H(7B)</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(8)-N(1)</td>
<td>1.5136(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(8)-C(9)</td>
<td>1.5211(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(8)-H(8A)</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(8)-H(8B)</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(9)-C(10)</td>
<td>1.5128(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(9)-H(9A)</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(9)-H(9B)</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(10)-C(11)</td>
<td>1.3855(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(10)-C(15)</td>
<td>1.4059(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11)-C(12)</td>
<td>1.4048(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(12)-C(13)</td>
<td>1.3812(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(12)-H(12)</td>
<td>0.9500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(13)-O(2)</td>
<td>1.3661(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(13)-C(14)</td>
<td>1.4170(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(14)-O(3)</td>
<td>1.3653(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(14)-C(15)</td>
<td>1.3855(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(15)-H(15)</td>
<td>0.9500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(16)-H(16A)</td>
<td>0.9500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C(16)-H(16B)  0.9500
C(17)-O(2)  1.4300(13)
C(17)-H(17A)  0.9800
C(17)-H(17B)  0.9800
C(17)-H(17C)  0.9800
C(18)-O(3)  1.4335(14)
C(18)-H(18A)  0.9800
C(18)-H(18B)  0.9800
C(18)-H(18C)  0.9800
C(19)-N(1)  1.5069(13)
C(19)-Cl(1)  1.7717(11)
C(19)-H(19A)  0.9900
C(19)-H(19B)  0.9900
O(1)-H(1)  0.85(2)
O(4)-H(4A)  0.80(2)
O(4)-H(4B)  0.79(2)
O(5)-H(5C)  0.87(3)
O(5)-H(5D)  0.82(2)

O(1)-C(1)-C(2)  111.13(9)
O(1)-C(1)-H(1A)  109.4
C(2)-C(1)-H(1A)  109.4
O(1)-C(1)-H(1B)  109.4
C(2)-C(1)-H(1B)  109.4
H(1A)-C(1)-H(1B)  108.0
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)  114.29(9)
C(1)-C(2)-H(2A)  108.7
C(3)-C(2)-H(2A)  108.7
C(1)-C(2)-H(2B)  108.7
C(3)-C(2)-H(2B)  108.7
H(2A)-C(2)-H(2B)  107.6
C(4)-C(3)-C(2)  112.86(9)
C(4)-C(3)-C(7)  108.21(8)
C(2)-C(3)-C(7)  110.50(8)
C(4)-C(3)-H(3A)  108.4
C(2)-C(3)-H(3A)  108.4
C(7)-C(3)-H(3A)  108.4
C(16)-C(4)-C(5)  119.61(10)
C(16)-C(4)-C(3)  125.86(10)
C(5)-C(4)-C(3)  114.52(9)
C(4)-C(5)-N(1)  111.79(8)
C(4)-C(5)-H(5A) 109.3
N(1)-C(5)-H(5A) 109.3
C(4)-C(5)-H(5B) 109.3
N(1)-C(5)-H(5B) 109.3
H(5A)-C(5)-H(5B) 107.9
C(11)-C(6)-C(7) 109.69(8)
C(11)-C(6)-N(1) 110.13(8)
C(7)-C(6)-N(1) 111.70(8)
C(11)-C(6)-H(6) 108.4
C(7)-C(6)-H(6) 108.4
N(1)-C(6)-H(6) 108.4
C(6)-C(7)-C(3) 114.93(8)
C(6)-C(7)-H(7A) 108.5
C(3)-C(7)-H(7A) 108.5
C(6)-C(7)-H(7B) 108.5
C(3)-C(7)-H(7B) 108.5
H(7A)-C(7)-H(7B) 107.5
N(1)-C(8)-C(9) 111.73(8)
N(1)-C(8)-H(8A) 109.3
C(9)-C(8)-H(8A) 109.3
N(1)-C(8)-H(8B) 109.3
C(9)-C(8)-H(8B) 109.3
H(8A)-C(8)-H(8B) 107.9
C(10)-C(9)-C(8) 114.30(9)
C(10)-C(9)-H(9A) 108.7
C(8)-C(9)-H(9A) 108.7
C(10)-C(9)-H(9B) 108.7
C(8)-C(9)-H(9B) 108.7
H(9A)-C(9)-H(9B) 107.6
C(11)-C(10)-C(15) 119.08(10)
C(11)-C(10)-C(9) 121.29(9)
C(15)-C(10)-C(9) 119.55(9)
C(10)-C(11)-C(12) 120.48(9)
C(10)-C(11)-C(6) 121.96(9)
C(12)-C(11)-C(6) 117.40(9)
C(13)-C(12)-C(11) 120.30(10)
C(13)-C(12)-H(12) 119.9
C(11)-C(12)-H(12) 119.9
O(2)-C(13)-C(12) 124.73(10)
O(2)-C(13)-C(14) 115.49(9)
C(12)-C(13)-C(14) 119.79(10)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Length (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O(3)-C(14)-C(15)</td>
<td>125.31(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(3)-C(14)-C(13)</td>
<td>115.38(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(15)-C(14)-C(13)</td>
<td>119.31(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(14)-C(15)-C(10)</td>
<td>121.04(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(14)-C(15)-H(15)</td>
<td>119.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(10)-C(15)-H(15)</td>
<td>119.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(4)-C(16)-H(16A)</td>
<td>120.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(4)-C(16)-H(16B)</td>
<td>120.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(16A)-C(16)-H(16B)</td>
<td>120.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(2)-C(17)-H(17A)</td>
<td>109.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(2)-C(17)-H(17B)</td>
<td>109.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(17A)-C(17)-H(17B)</td>
<td>109.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(2)-C(17)-H(17C)</td>
<td>109.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(17A)-C(17)-H(17C)</td>
<td>109.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(17B)-C(17)-H(17C)</td>
<td>109.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(3)-C(18)-H(18A)</td>
<td>109.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(3)-C(18)-H(18B)</td>
<td>109.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(18A)-C(18)-H(18B)</td>
<td>109.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(3)-C(18)-H(18C)</td>
<td>109.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(18A)-C(18)-H(18C)</td>
<td>109.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(18B)-C(18)-H(18C)</td>
<td>109.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-C(19)-Cl(1)</td>
<td>112.04(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-C(19)-H(19A)</td>
<td>109.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(1)-C(19)-H(19A)</td>
<td>109.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-C(19)-H(19B)</td>
<td>109.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(1)-C(19)-H(19B)</td>
<td>109.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(19A)-C(19)-H(19B)</td>
<td>107.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(19)-N(1)-C(8)</td>
<td>112.85(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(19)-N(1)-C(5)</td>
<td>108.65(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(8)-N(1)-C(5)</td>
<td>110.07(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(19)-N(1)-C(6)</td>
<td>106.63(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(8)-N(1)-C(6)</td>
<td>109.42(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(5)-N(1)-C(6)</td>
<td>109.11(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(1)-O(1)-H(1)</td>
<td>108.7(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(13)-O(2)-C(17)</td>
<td>116.59(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(14)-O(3)-C(18)</td>
<td>117.12(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(4A)-O(4)-H(4B)</td>
<td>105(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(5C)-O(5)-H(5D)</td>
<td>111(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
Table 4. Anisotropic displacement parameters ($\text{Å}^2 \times 10^3$) for afp0901m. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: 

$$-2\pi^2 \left[ h^2 a^* U_{11} + \cdots + 2hk a^* b^* U_{12} \right]$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$U_{11}$</th>
<th>$U_{22}$</th>
<th>$U_{33}$</th>
<th>$U_{23}$</th>
<th>$U_{13}$</th>
<th>$U_{12}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C(1)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
<td>30(1)</td>
<td>19(1)</td>
<td>-3(1)</td>
<td>0(1)</td>
<td>-14(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(2)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
<td>23(1)</td>
<td>13(1)</td>
<td>-2(1)</td>
<td>0(1)</td>
<td>-12(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(3)</td>
<td>14(1)</td>
<td>17(1)</td>
<td>13(1)</td>
<td>-1(1)</td>
<td>0(1)</td>
<td>-8(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(4)</td>
<td>15(1)</td>
<td>18(1)</td>
<td>13(1)</td>
<td>0(1)</td>
<td>0(1)</td>
<td>-10(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(5)</td>
<td>17(1)</td>
<td>20(1)</td>
<td>11(1)</td>
<td>-2(1)</td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td>-12(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(6)</td>
<td>12(1)</td>
<td>15(1)</td>
<td>13(1)</td>
<td>-1(1)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>-6(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(7)</td>
<td>14(1)</td>
<td>19(1)</td>
<td>13(1)</td>
<td>-1(1)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>-9(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(8)</td>
<td>15(1)</td>
<td>14(1)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
<td>-1(1)</td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td>-5(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(9)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
<td>18(1)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>-4(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(10)</td>
<td>15(1)</td>
<td>17(1)</td>
<td>14(1)</td>
<td>-2(1)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>-8(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11)</td>
<td>14(1)</td>
<td>17(1)</td>
<td>12(1)</td>
<td>-1(1)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>-8(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(12)</td>
<td>14(1)</td>
<td>17(1)</td>
<td>13(1)</td>
<td>-1(1)</td>
<td>0(1)</td>
<td>-8(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(13)</td>
<td>14(1)</td>
<td>17(1)</td>
<td>14(1)</td>
<td>-3(1)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>-8(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(14)</td>
<td>18(1)</td>
<td>19(1)</td>
<td>12(1)</td>
<td>-1(1)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>-10(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(15)</td>
<td>17(1)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
<td>15(1)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>-1(1)</td>
<td>-8(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(16)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
<td>26(1)</td>
<td>21(1)</td>
<td>-7(1)</td>
<td>3(1)</td>
<td>-12(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(17)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
<td>20(1)</td>
<td>19(1)</td>
<td>-1(1)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>-6(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(18)</td>
<td>26(1)</td>
<td>26(1)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
<td>6(1)</td>
<td>-1(1)</td>
<td>-10(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(19)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
<td>19(1)</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
<td>-4(1)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>-10(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(1)</td>
<td>18(1)</td>
<td>33(1)</td>
<td>22(1)</td>
<td>-6(1)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>-15(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)</td>
<td>14(1)</td>
<td>15(1)</td>
<td>12(1)</td>
<td>-2(1)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>-8(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(1)</td>
<td>25(1)</td>
<td>38(1)</td>
<td>19(1)</td>
<td>-3(1)</td>
<td>0(1)</td>
<td>-24(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(2)</td>
<td>15(1)</td>
<td>21(1)</td>
<td>15(1)</td>
<td>0(1)</td>
<td>3(1)</td>
<td>-6(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(3)</td>
<td>22(1)</td>
<td>23(1)</td>
<td>14(1)</td>
<td>3(1)</td>
<td>3(1)</td>
<td>-8(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(2)</td>
<td>27(1)</td>
<td>21(1)</td>
<td>21(1)</td>
<td>0(1)</td>
<td>0(1)</td>
<td>-14(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(4)</td>
<td>32(1)</td>
<td>41(1)</td>
<td>25(1)</td>
<td>3(1)</td>
<td>-1(1)</td>
<td>-23(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(5)</td>
<td>26(1)</td>
<td>26(1)</td>
<td>39(1)</td>
<td>8(1)</td>
<td>-5(1)</td>
<td>-9(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Hydrogen coordinates (x 10^4) and isotropic displacement parameters (Å^2 x 10^-3) for afp0901m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>U(eq)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H(1A)</td>
<td>-3028</td>
<td>3717</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(1B)</td>
<td>-3317</td>
<td>4611</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(2A)</td>
<td>-381</td>
<td>2929</td>
<td>-134</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(2B)</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>1513</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(3A)</td>
<td>-581</td>
<td>5023</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(5A)</td>
<td>2306</td>
<td>5159</td>
<td>1372</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(5B)</td>
<td>4174</td>
<td>3369</td>
<td>1345</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(6)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5467</td>
<td>2511</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(7A)</td>
<td>-1510</td>
<td>4006</td>
<td>2063</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(7B)</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>2148</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(8A)</td>
<td>4938</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>2422</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(8B)</td>
<td>3158</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>2213</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(9A)</td>
<td>3822</td>
<td>-239</td>
<td>3544</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(9B)</td>
<td>4439</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>3820</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(12)</td>
<td>-2114</td>
<td>5740</td>
<td>3563</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(15)</td>
<td>2224</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>4972</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(16A)</td>
<td>4308</td>
<td>1664</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(16B)</td>
<td>2564</td>
<td>1482</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(17A)</td>
<td>-4031</td>
<td>7600</td>
<td>4560</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(17B)</td>
<td>-5570</td>
<td>7297</td>
<td>5004</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(17C)</td>
<td>-4808</td>
<td>6459</td>
<td>4109</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(18A)</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>-191</td>
<td>6004</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(18B)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>6861</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(18C)</td>
<td>1591</td>
<td>1081</td>
<td>6438</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(19A)</td>
<td>2902</td>
<td>4427</td>
<td>3525</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(19B)</td>
<td>2706</td>
<td>5722</td>
<td>2741</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(1)</td>
<td>-2910(30)</td>
<td>2060(30)</td>
<td>-285(13)</td>
<td>43(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(4A)</td>
<td>7800(30)</td>
<td>10230(30)</td>
<td>1125(14)</td>
<td>49(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(4B)</td>
<td>9100(30)</td>
<td>9250(30)</td>
<td>1594(14)</td>
<td>49(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(5C)</td>
<td>5520(30)</td>
<td>7630(30)</td>
<td>2028(15)</td>
<td>65(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(5D)</td>
<td>6910(30)</td>
<td>8050(30)</td>
<td>1964(13)</td>
<td>49(6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Torsion angles [°] for afp0901m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Torsion Angle [°]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O(1)-C(1)-C(2)-C(3)</td>
<td>-161.24(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4)</td>
<td>-176.19(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(7)</td>
<td>62.49(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(2)-C(3)-C(4)-C(16)</td>
<td>5.97(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(7)-C(3)-C(4)-C(16)</td>
<td>128.57(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(2)-C(3)-C(4)-C(5)</td>
<td>-175.02(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(7)-C(3)-C(4)-C(5)</td>
<td>-52.42(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(16)-C(4)-C(5)-N(1)</td>
<td>-122.61(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(3)-C(4)-C(5)-N(1)</td>
<td>58.31(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11)-C(6)-C(7)-C(3)</td>
<td>-175.32(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-C(6)-C(7)-C(3)</td>
<td>-52.92(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(4)-C(3)-C(7)-C(6)</td>
<td>49.94(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(2)-C(3)-C(7)-C(6)</td>
<td>173.97(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11)-C(6)-C(11)-C(10)</td>
<td>39.36(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(8)-C(9)-C(10)-C(11)</td>
<td>-12.07(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(8)-C(9)-C(10)-C(15)</td>
<td>171.09(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(15)-C(10)-C(11)-C(12)</td>
<td>-0.26(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(9)-C(10)-C(11)-C(12)</td>
<td>-177.13(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(15)-C(10)-C(11)-C(6)</td>
<td>-175.54(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(9)-C(10)-C(11)-C(6)</td>
<td>7.60(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(7)-C(6)-C(11)-C(10)</td>
<td>93.96(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-C(6)-C(11)-C(10)</td>
<td>-29.36(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(7)-C(6)-C(11)-C(12)</td>
<td>-81.45(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-C(6)-C(11)-C(12)</td>
<td>155.22(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(10)-C(11)-C(12)-C(13)</td>
<td>-0.06(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(6)-C(11)-C(12)-C(13)</td>
<td>175.43(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11)-C(12)-C(13)-O(2)</td>
<td>179.94(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11)-C(12)-C(13)-C(14)</td>
<td>0.20(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(2)-C(13)-C(14)-O(3)</td>
<td>0.28(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(12)-C(13)-C(14)-O(3)</td>
<td>-179.96(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(2)-C(13)-C(14)-C(15)</td>
<td>-179.78(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(12)-C(13)-C(14)-C(15)</td>
<td>-0.01(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(3)-C(14)-C(15)-C(10)</td>
<td>179.63(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(13)-C(14)-C(15)-C(10)</td>
<td>-0.31(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11)-C(10)-C(15)-C(14)</td>
<td>0.45(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(9)-C(10)-C(15)-C(14)</td>
<td>177.37(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(1)-C(19)-N(1)-C(8)</td>
<td>51.15(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(1)-C(19)-N(1)-C(5)</td>
<td>-71.21(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(1)-C(19)-N(1)-C(6)</td>
<td>171.31(7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C(9)-C(8)-N(1)-C(19) & 56.37(11) \\
C(9)-C(8)-N(1)-C(5) & 177.94(8) \\
C(9)-C(8)-N(1)-C(6) & -62.17(11) \\
C(4)-C(5)-N(1)-C(19) & -172.42(8) \\
C(4)-C(5)-N(1)-C(8) & 63.54(11) \\
C(4)-C(5)-N(1)-C(6) & -56.54(11) \\
C(11)-C(6)-N(1)-C(19) & -66.85(10) \\
C(7)-C(6)-N(1)-C(19) & 171.00(8) \\
C(11)-C(6)-N(1)-C(8) & 55.49(10) \\
C(7)-C(6)-N(1)-C(8) & -66.65(10) \\
C(11)-C(6)-N(1)-C(5) & 175.97(8) \\
C(7)-C(6)-N(1)-C(5) & 53.83(11) \\
C(12)-C(13)-O(2)-C(17) & -0.30(15) \\
C(14)-C(13)-O(3)-C(18) & 3.98(16) \\
C(13)-C(14)-O(3)-C(18) & -176.08(10) \\

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

Table 7. Hydrogen bonds for afp0901m [Å and °].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D-H...A</th>
<th>d(D-H)</th>
<th>d(H...A)</th>
<th>d(D...A)</th>
<th>&lt;(DHA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O(5)-H(5D)...O(4)</td>
<td>0.82(2)</td>
<td>2.07(2)</td>
<td>2.8772(16)</td>
<td>168(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(5)-H(5C)...Cl(2)#1</td>
<td>0.87(3)</td>
<td>2.51(3)</td>
<td>3.3647(12)</td>
<td>169(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(4)-H(4B)...Cl(2)#2</td>
<td>0.79(2)</td>
<td>2.49(2)</td>
<td>3.2727(12)</td>
<td>176(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(4)-H(4A)...O(1)#3</td>
<td>0.80(2)</td>
<td>2.05(2)</td>
<td>2.8346(15)</td>
<td>170(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(1)-H(1)...Cl(2)#4</td>
<td>0.85(2)</td>
<td>2.31(2)</td>
<td>3.1539(10)</td>
<td>176.2(18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

#1 -x+1,-y+1,-z+1  #2 -x+2,-y+1,-z+1  #3 x+1,y+1,z  
#4 x-1,y,z-1
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